

Tuesday, 23rd July, 1957

# LOK SABHA DEBATES

**Second Series**

**Volume III, 1957**

*(15th July to 26th July, 1957)*



**SECOND SESSION, 1957**

*(Vol. III, contains Nos. 1 to 10)*

**LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT  
NEW DELHI**

# CONTENTS

No.	Day, 19th July, 1957.	COLUMNS
Members Sworn		3365
Oral Answers to Questions—		
Starred Questions Nos. 1 to 18, 21 and 9 to 19		3365—97
Starred Questions Nos. 20 and 22 to 36		3398—3407
Unstarred Questions Nos. 1 to 8 and 27		3407—19
Death of Dr. A.N. Sinha		3420
Motions for Adjournment		3420—32
(1) Threatened strike of P. & T. employees		3420—22
(2) Threatened strike of C.T.O. employees		3422
(3) Proposed strike by Central Government employees		3422—24
(4) Hartal of wholesale dealers in Delhi		3425—26
(5) Non-location oil refinery in Assam		3426—30
(6) Alleged harassment of Buddhist converts		3430—31
(7) Food situation in U.P.		3431—32
Papers laid on the Table		3432—34
Correction of Answer of Supplementary Question		3434
President's Assent to Bills		3434—35
Message from Rajya Sabha		3435
Railway Protection Force Bill—		
Laid on the Table as passed by Rajya Sabha		3435
Resignation of Member		3436
Railway Protection Force Bill		3436—3535, 3539—74
Motion to consider		3436—3542
Clause 2 to 20		3502—35, 3539—74
Question of Privilege		3535—39
Daily Digest		3575—80
No. 2.—Tuesday, 16th July, 1957.		
Oral Answers to Questions—		
Starred Question Nos. 37 to 40, 74, 75, 41 to 43 and 45 to 51		3581—3617
Written Answers to Questions—		
Starred Questions Nos. 44, 52 to 71, 73 and 76 to 94		3617—41
Unstarred Question Nos. 29 to 66		3641—58
Re. Motion for Adjournment		3658—59
Re. Questions		3659—60
Re. Proceedings of 15th July		3660—62
Papers laid on the Table		3662
Business Advisory Committee—		
Third Report		3662—63
Railway Protection Force Bill, as passed by Rajya Sabha		3663, 3747 —51
Clauses 20, 21, the Schedule and Clause I		3664—80
Motion to pass, as amended		3680
Wealth-Tax Bill—		
Motion to refer to Select Committee		3693—3746 3751—68
Daily Digest		3769—72



**No. 3.—Wednesday, 17th July, 1957.****Oral Answers to Questions—**

Starred Questions Nos. 94 to 106, 108 to 110 and 114 to 117 . . . . .	3773—3807
---	-----------

Correction of Answers to Starred Question No. 102, dated 17-7-57 . . . . .	3800
--	------

**Written Answers to Questions—**

Starred Questions Nos. 107, 111, 113 and 118 to 131 . . . . .	3807—16
---	---------

Unstarred Questions Nos. 67 to 83 . . . . .	3816—24
---	---------

Papers laid on the Table . . . . .	3824—28
------------------------------------	---------

**Estimates Committee—**

Minutes of sittings . . . . .	3829
-------------------------------	------

**Committee on Private Member's Bills and Resolutions—**

First Report . . . . .	3829
------------------------	------

Correction of Answers to Starred Question . . . . .	3829
---	------

Point of Information . . . . .	3829
--------------------------------	------

**Wealth-Tax Bill—**

Motion to refer to Select Committee . . . . .	3829—37
---	---------

**Expenditure Tax Bill—**

Motion to refer to Select Committee . . . . .	3837—3936
---	-----------

Demands for Grants—Railways . . . . .	3937—47
---------------------------------------	---------

Discussion <i>re</i> . Influenza Epidemic . . . . .	3947—86
---	---------

Daily Digest . . . . .	3987—92
------------------------	---------

**No. 4.—Thursday, 18th July, 1957.****Oral Answers to Questions—**

Starred Questions Nos. 134 to 143 and 145 to 150 . . . . .	3993—4025
--	-----------

**Written Answers to Questions—**

Starred Questions Nos. 133, 144, 151 to 153 and 155 to 158 . . . . .	4025—30
--	---------

Unstarred Questions Nos. 84 to 100 and 102 to 113 . . . . .	4040—44
---	---------

Papers laid on the Table . . . . .	4044—47
------------------------------------	---------

Petitions . . . . .	4047—48
---------------------	---------

Statement <i>re</i> . proposed strike by P. & T. Employees . . . . .	4048—53
--	---------

Cotton Fabrics (Additional Excise Duty) Bill—Introduced . . . . .	4053—54
---	---------

**Demands for Grants—Railways—**

Demand No. 1 . . . . .	4054—4192
------------------------	-----------

Daily Digest . . . . .	4193—96
------------------------	---------

**No. 5.—Friday, 19th July, 1957.****Oral Answers to Questions—**

Starred Questions Nos. 159 to 170, 172, 174 to 177, 179 and 180f . . . . .	4199—4234
--	-----------

**Written Answers to Questions—**

Starred Questions Nos. 171, 173 and 181 to 197 . . . . .	4234—45
--	---------

Unstarred Questions Nos. 114 to 148 . . . . .	4245—62
---	---------

**Motions for Adjournment—**

(1) Strike Notice by Civil Aviation Department Employees Union . . . . .	4262—65
--	---------

(2) Inter-state Sales Tax . . . . .	4265
-------------------------------------	------

Point of Information . . . . .	4265
--------------------------------	------

Papers laid on the Table . . . . .	4265—67
------------------------------------	---------

Business of the House . . . . .	4267—68
---------------------------------	---------

**Election to Committee—**

Delhi Development (Provisional) Authority . . . . .	4269
---	------

Demand for Grants Railways . . . . .	4269—4348
--------------------------------------	-----------

	COLUMNS
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—First Report	4348—52
Resolution re Appointment of a Second Pay Commission . . . . .	4352—4426
Business Advisory Committee—Fourth Report . . . . .	4425
Resolution re Discontinuance of Grant of Scholarships to students on community basis . . . . .	4425-26
Daily Digest . . . . .	4427—32
<i>No. 6—Monday, 22nd July, 1957.</i>	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 198, 200 to 206, 208, 210, 214, 216 to 220, 222 to 224, 226 to 228 and 231. . . . .	4433—68
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 199, 207, 209, 212, 213, 221, 225, 229, 230, 232 to 237 and 239 to 244 . . . . .	4468—78
Unstarred Questions Nos. 149 to 164 . . . . .	4478—86
Papers laid on the Table— . . . . .	4486
Election to Committees—	
(1) Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur. . . . .	4487
(2) All India Council for Technical Education. . . . .	4487-88
Business Advisory Committee—	
Fourth Report. . . . .	4488
Dhories (Additional Excise Duty) Amendment Bill—Introduced . . . . .	4489
Demands for Grants—Railways . . . . .	4489—4570
Navy Bill—	
Motion to refer to Joint Committee . . . . .	4570—4646
Daily Digest— . . . . .	4647—50
<i>No. 7—Tuesday, 23rd July, 1957</i>	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 247 to 251, 254 to 256, 258 to 261, 263, 264 and 268 to 270. . . . .	4651—85
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 245, 252, 253, 262, 265 to 267 and 271 to 287. . . . .	4685—96
Unstarred Question Nos. 166 to 168, 170 to 181 and 184 to 203. . . . .	4696—4712
Papers laid on the Table . . . . .	4712-13
Navy Bill—	
Motion to refer to a Joint Committee . . . . .	4713—31
Motion for reference adopted . . . . .	4731
Demands For Grants—	
Ministry of External Affairs . . . . .	4731—4835
Department of Atomic Energy . . . . .	4835—52
Daily Digest . . . . .	4853—56
<i>No. 8—Wednesday, 24th July, 1957—</i>	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 288 to 295, 297, 299 to 303, 305, 306, 308 and 309. . . . .	4857—91
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 296, 298, 304, 307 and 310 to 326 . . . . .	4892—4904
Unstarred Questions Nos. 204 to 224 and 226 to 234. . . . .	4904—20
Re. Plantation Enquiry Committee . . . . .	4920-21

	COLUMNS
Paper laid on the Table . . . . .	4921-22
Committee on Private Members' Bills, and Resolutions—	
Second Report . . . . .	4923
Petition . . . . .	4929
Statement re Air France Incident . . . . .	4921-23
Election to Committees—	
(1) Indian Council of Medical Research . . . . .	4924
(2) Central Institute of Research in Indigenous Systems of Medicine . . . . .	4924-25
Demands for Grants—	
Department of Atomic Energy . . . . .	4925-36
Ministry of Defence . . . . .	4957-5054
Daily Digest . . . . .	5055-58
No. 9—Thursday, 25th July, 1957.	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 327 to 334, 336, 340 to 347, 349, and 350 . . . . .	5059-93
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 335, 337, 338 and 351 to 366 . . . . .	5093-5103
Unstarred Questions Nos. 235 to 264 . . . . .	5103-17
Papers laid on the Table . . . . .	5118
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Re Canal water dispute . . . . .	5118-22
Re Motion for adjournment—	
Collision of two trains near Tatanagar . . . . .	5123-25
Legislative Councils Bill—Introduced . . . . .	5125
Demands for Grants—	
Ministry of Defence . . . . .	5126-5223
Ministry of Education and Scientific Research . . . . .	5225-50
Daily Digest . . . . .	5251-54
No. 10—Friday, 26th July, 1957.	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 367, 368, 370 to 373, 375 to 385 and 387 to 389 . . . . .	5255-91
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 369, 374, 386, 390 to 401 and 403 to 406 . . . . .	5291-5301
Unstarred Questions Nos. 265 to 277 and 279 to 300 . . . . .	5301-21
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Tatanagar train collision . . . . .	5321-22
Foreign Exchange Regulation (Amendment) Bill—Introduced . . . . .	5322
Re Question of Privilege . . . . .	5323-26
Demands of Grants—	
Ministry of Education and Scientific Research . . . . .	5326-5438
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—	
Second Report— . . . . .	5441
Bills introduced—	
(1) Sadhus and Synyas (Registration) Bill by Shri Radha Ramen . . . . .	5442
(2) Punishment for Molestation of Women Bill by Shri Radha Ramen . . . . .	5442
(3) Factories (Amendment) Bill (Amendment of Sections 51, 54 and 59) by Shri V. P. Nayar . . . . .	5442

	COLUMNS
(4) National and Festival Paid Holidays Bill by Shri Kadiyan . . . . .	5443
(5) Code of Civil Procedure (Amendment) Bill. (Amendment of Section 60) by Shri P. R. Patel . . . . .	5443, 5444
(6) Indian Arms (Amendment) Bill by Shri P. R. Patel . . . . .	5443
(7) Code of Civil Procedure (Amendment) Bill Commission of Section 87) by Shri M. L. Dwivedi . . . . .	—
(8) Parliament Library Bill by Shri D. C. Sharma . . . . .	5444
(9) Child Marriage Restraint (Amendment) Bill (Amendment of Section 12) by Shri D. C. Sharma . . . . .	5444—45
(10) Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Bill. (Insertion of new Section 8) by Shri Jhalan Sinha . . . . .	5445
Central Government Servants (Option for joining Contributory Health Service Scheme) Bill— . . . . .	
Motion to consider . . . . .	5445—66
Daily Digest— . . . . .	5467—70

---

**N.B.** The sign + above a name of a Member on Questions, which were orally answered, indicates that the Question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

---

# LOK SABHA

## ALPHABETICAL LIST OF MEMBERS

'A'

Abdul Latif, Shri (Bijnor).  
 Achal Singh, Seth (Agra).  
 Achar, Shri K. R. (Mangalore).  
 Achint Ram, Lala (Patiala).  
 Agadi, Shri Sangappa Andanappa (Koppal).  
 Agrawal, Shri Manakbhai (Mandsaur).  
 Ajit Singh, Shri (Bhatinda—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Alva, Shri Joachim (Kanara).  
 Ambalam, Shri P. Subbiah (Ramanathapuram).  
 Amjad Ali, Shri (Dhubri).  
 Anjanappa, Shri B. (Nellore—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Anthony, Shri Frank (Nominated—Anglo—Indians).  
 Arumugham, Shri R. S. (Srivilliputhur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Arumugham, Shri S. R. (Namakkal—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Ashanna, Shri K. (Adilabad).  
 Assar, Shri Premji R. (Ratnagiri).  
 Atchamamba, Dr. Komaraju (Vijayavada).  
 Awasthi, Shri Jagdish (Bilhaur).  
 Ayyakannu, Shri M. (Nagapattinam—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Ayyangar, Shri M. Ananthasayanam (Chittoor).  
 Asad, Maulana Abul Kalam (Gurgaon).

'B'

Badan Singh, Ch. (Bissuli).  
 Bagdi, Shri Maganal (Hoshangabad).  
 Bahadur Singh, Shri (Ludhiana—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Bajaj, Shri Kamalnayan Jammalal (Wardha).  
 Bakliwal, Shri Mohanlal (Durg).  
 Balakrishnan, Shri S. C. (Dindigul—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Baldev Singh, Sardar (Hoshiarpur).  
 Balmiki, Shri Kanhaiya Lal (Bulandshahr—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Banerjee, Shri Santosh Kumar (Cooch-Bihar).  
 Banerjee, Shri 'Pramathanath (Contai).  
 Banerjee, Shri S. M. (Kanpur).  
 Banerji Shri Pulin Behari, (Lucknow).  
 Banerji, Dr. Ram Goti (Bankura).  
 Bangshi Thakur, Shri (Tripura—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Barman, Shri Upendranath (Cooch-Bihar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Barrow, Shri A. E. T. (Nominated—Anglo-Indians).  
 Barua, Shri Hem (Gauhati).  
 Barupal, Shri Panna Lal (Bikaner—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Basappa, Shri C. R. (Tiptur).  
 Basumatari, Shri Dharanidhar (Goahpara—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

**'B'—contd.**

- Beck, Shri Ignace (Lohardaga).  
 Bhadoria, Shri Arjun Singh (Etawah).  
 Bhagat, Shri Baliram (Shahabad).  
 Bhagavati, Shri Bijoy Chandra (Darrang).  
 Bhakt Darshan, Shri (Garhwal).  
 Bhanja Deo, Shri Laxmi Narayan (Keonjhar).  
 Bhargava, Pt. Mukat Behari Lal (Ajmer).  
 Bhargava, Pt. Thakur Das (Hissar).  
 Bharucha, Shri Naushir Cursetji (East Khandesh).  
 Bhatkar, Shri Laxmanyaoji Shrawanji (Akola—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Bhattacharyya, Shri Chapala Kanta (West Dinajpur).  
 Bhogji Bhai Shri P. B. Banswara—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Bholi Sardar, Shri (Saharsa—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Bidari, Shri Ramappa Balappa (Bijapur South).  
 Birbal Singh, Shri (Jaunpur).  
 Birendra Bahadur Singhji, Shri (Raipur).  
 Borooah, Shri Prafulla Chandra (Sibsagar).  
 Bose, Shri P. C. (Dhanbad).  
 Brahm Prakash, Shri (Delhi Sadar).  
 Brajeshwar Prasad, Shri (Gaya).  
 Braj Raj Singh, Shri (Firozabad).  
 Brij Narayan, Brijesh, Pandit (Shivpuri).

**'C'**

- Chakravartty, (Shrimati Renu (Basirhat).  
 Chanda, Shri Anil Kumar (Birbhum).

**'C'—contd.**

- Chandak, Shri Bhikulal Lakhmichand  
 Chandra Shankar, Shri (Broach).  
 Chandramani, Kalo Shri (Sundergarh).  
 Chaturvedi, Shri Rohanlal (Etah).  
 Chaudhuri, Shri Tridib Kumar (Berhampore).  
 Chavan, Shri D. R. (Karad).  
 Chawda, Shri Akbar (Banaskantha).  
 Chettiar, Shri R. Ramanathan (Pudukottai).  
 Choudhry, Shri C. L. (Hajipur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Choudhury, Shri Suresh Chandra (Dumka).  
 Chuni Lal, Shri (Ambala—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

**'D'**

- Damani, Shri Surajratan Fatehchand (Jalore).  
 Damar, Shri Amar Singh (Jhabua—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Dange, Shri Shripad Amrit (Bombay City Central).  
 Dasappa, Shri H. C. (Bangalore).  
 Dasaratha Deb, Shri (Tripura).  
 Das, Shri Kamal Krishna (Birbhum—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Das, Dr. Mono Mohan (Asansol—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Das, Shri Nayantara (Monghyr—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Das, Shri Ramdhani (Nawada—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Das, Shri Shree Narayan (Darbhanga).  
 Dasgupta, Shri Bibhut Bhushan (Purulia).  
 Datar, Shri Balwant Nagamb (Belgaum).  
 Daulta, Shri Pratap Singh (Jhajjar).

**'D'—contd.**

Deb, Shri Narasingha Malla Ugal Senda (Midnapur).

Deb, Shri P. G. (Angul).

Deo, Shri Pratap Keshari (Kalahandi).

Deo, Shri Shanker (Gulbarga—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Desai, Shri Morarji R. (Surat).

Deshmukh, Shri K. G. (Ramtek).

Deshmukh, Dr. Panjabrao S. (Amravati).

Dhanagar, Shri Banshi Das (Mainpuri).

Dharmalmgam, Shri R. (Tiruvannamalai).

Dige, Shri Shankarrao Khanderao (Kolhapur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Dindod, Shri Jaljibhai Koyabhai (Dohad—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Dinesh Singh, Shri (Banda).

Dora, Shri Dippala Suri (Parvathipuram).

Drohar, Shri Shivadin (Hardoi—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Dube, Shri Mulchand (Farrukhabad).

Dubish, Shri Vishnu Sharan (Sardhana).

Dwivedi, Shri M. L. (Hamirpur).

Dwivedy, Shri Surendranath (Kendrapara).

**'E'**

Eacharan, Shri V. Iyyani (Palghat).

Elayaperumal, Shri L. (Chidambaram—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Elias, Shri Muhammed (Howrah).

**'G'**

Gaekward, Shri Fatesingh Rao Pratapsingh Rao (Baroda).

Gaekward, Shri Bhaurao Krishnarao (Nasik).

**'G'—contd.**

Ganapathy, Shri T. (Tiruchendur).

Gandhi, Shri Feroze (Rai Bareilly).

Gandhi, Shri Maneklal Maganlal (Panchmahals).

Ganga Devi, Shrimati (Unnao—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Ganpati Ram, Shri (Jaunpur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Gautam, Shri Chintaman Dhivrjuji (Balaghat).

Ghodasar, Thakor Shri Fatehsinhji Ratansinhji.

Ghosal, Shri Aurobindo (Uluberia).

Ghose, Shri Bimal Coomar (Barrackpore).

Ghose, Shri Subiman (Burdwan).

Ghosh, Shri Atulya (Asansol).

Ghosh, Shri Mohmdra Kumar (Jamshedpur).

Godsora, Shri Sambhu Charan (Singbhum—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Gohain, Shri Chowkhamoon (Nominated—Assam Tribal Areas).

Gohokar, Dr. Deorao Yeshwantrao (Yeotmal).

Gopalan, Shri Ayillath Kuttieri (Kasergod).

Goray, Shri Narayan Ganesh (Poona).

Goundar, Shri N. P. Shanmugha (Tindivanam).

Goundar, Shri A. Doraiswami (Tiruppattur).

Gounder, Shri K. Periaswami (Karur).

Govind Das, Seth (Jabalpur).

Guha, Shri Arun Chandra (Barasat).

Gupta, Shri Chheda Lal (Hardoi).

Gupta, Shri Sadhan Chandra (Calcutta—East).

## T

Hajarnavis, Shri Ramchandra  
Martand (Bhandara).

Halder, Shri Kansari (Diamond  
Harbour—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Harvani, Shri Ansar (Fatehpur).

Hensda, Shri Subodh (Midnapur—  
Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Hathi, Shri Jaisukhlal Lal Shanker  
(Halar).

Hazarika, Shri Jogendra Nath  
(Dibrugarh).

Heda, Shri H. C. (Nizamabad).

Hukam Singh, Sardar (Bhatinda).

Hynniewta, Shri Hoover (Autonomous  
Districts—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

## T

Imam, Shri J. M. Mohamed (Chital-  
drug).

Iqbal Singh, Sardar (Ferozepur).

Iyer, Shri S. Easwara (Trivandrum).

## J

Jadhav, Shri Yadev Narayan  
(Malegaon).

Jagjivan Ram, Shri (Sasaram—  
Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Jain, Shri Ajit Prasad (Saharanpur)

Jain, Shri Mool Chand (Kaithal).

Jaipal Singh, Shri (Ranchi West—  
Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Jangde, Shri Resham Lal (Bilaspur).

Jadhe, Shri Keshavrao Marutirao  
(Baramati).

Jena, Shri Kanhu Charan (Balasore—  
Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Jhunjhunwala, Shri Banarsi Prasad  
(Bhagalpur).

Jinachandran, Shri M. K. (Telli-  
chery).

Jogendra Singh, Sardar (Bahraich).

Jogendra Sen, Shri (Mandi).

## J—contd.

Joishi, Shri Anand Chandee  
(Shahdol).

Joishi, Shrimati Subhadra (Ambala).

Joishi, Shri Liladhar (Shajapur).

Jyotishi, Pandit Jwala Prasad  
(Sagar).

## K

Kale, Shrimati Anasuyabai (Nagpur).  
Kalika Singh, Shri (Azamgarh).

Kamble, Dr. Devrao Namdevrao  
Pathrikar (Nanded—Reserved—Sch.  
Castes).

Kamble, Shri Bapu Chandrasen  
(Kopergaon).

Kanakasabai, Shri R. Pillai (Chidam-  
baram).

Kanungo, Shri Nityanand (Cuttack).

Kar, Shri Prabhat (Hooghly).

Karmarkar, Shri D. P. (Dharwar  
North).

Karni Singhji, Shri (Bikaner).

Kasliwal, Shri Nemi Chandra  
(Kota).

Katti Shri D. A. (Chitodi)

Kayal, Shri Paresah Nath (Basirhat—  
Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Kedaria, Shri Chhaganlal Madaribhat  
(Mandvi—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Keshar Kumari, Shrimati (Raipur—  
Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Keshava, Shri N. (Bangalore City).

Keskar, Dr. B. V. (Musafirkhana).

Khadilkar, Shri Raghunath Keshav  
(Ahmednagar).

Khadiwala, Shri Kanhaiyalal (Indore).

Khan, Shri Osman Ali (Kurnool).

Khan, Shri Sadath Ali (Warangal).

Khan, Shri Shah Nawaz (Meerut).

Khedkar, Shri Gopalrao Bajirao  
(Akola).

Khimji, Shri Bhawanji A. (Kutch).



## A—contd.

- Khuda Buksh, Shri Mohammed (Murshidabad).  
 Khawaja, Shri Jamal (Aligarh).  
 Kistaiya, Shri Surti (Bastar—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Kodiyan, Shri P. K. (Quilon—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Koratkar, Shri Vinayak Rao K. (Hyderabad).  
 Kotaki, Shri Lifadhar (Nowgong).  
 Kottukapally, Shri George Thomas (Muvattupuzha).  
 Kripalani, Acharya J. B. (Sitamarhi).  
 Kripalani, Shrimati Sucheta (New Delhi).  
 Krishna Chandra, Shri (Jaleswar).  
 Krishna, Shri M. R. (Karimnagar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Krishnasiah, Shri D. Balarama (Guduvada).  
 Krishnamachari, Shri T. T. (Madras South).  
 Krishnappa, Shri M. V. (Tumkur).  
 Krishna Rao, Shri Mandali Venkata (Masulipatnam).  
 Krishnaswamy, Dr. A. (Chingleput).  
 Kumaran, Shri M. K. (Chirayinkil).  
 Kumbhar, Shri Banamali (Sambalpur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Kunhan, Shri P. (Palghat—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Kureel, Shri Baij Nath (Rae Bareilly—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

## L

- Lachhi Ram, Shri (Hamirpur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Lachman Singh, Shri (Nominated—Andaman and Nicobar Islands).  
 Lahiri, Shri Jitendra Nath (Serampore).  
 Lal, Shri Ram Shanker (Domariajdi).

## L—contd.

Laskar, Shri Nibaran Chandra (Cachar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Laxmi Bai, Shrimati Sangam (Vicarsabad).

## M

- Mafda Ahmed, Shrimati (Jorhat).  
 Mahagaonkar, Shri Bhausaheb Rasoahab (Kolhapur).  
 Mahanty, Shri Surendra (Dhenkanal).  
 Mahendra Pratap, Raja (Mathura).  
 Majhi, Shri Ram Chandra (Mayurbhanj—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Majithia, Sardar Surjit Singh (Tarn-Taran).  
 Maiti, Shri Nikunja Bihari (Ghatal).  
 Mallah, Shri U. Srinivasa (Udipi).  
 Malaviya, Pandit Govind (Sultanpur).  
 Malaviya, Shri Keshva Deva (Basti).  
 Malviya, Shri Kanhaiyalal Bherulal (Shajapur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Malviya, Shri Motilal (Khajuraho—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Manaen, Shri T. (Darjeeling).  
 Manay, Shri Gopal Kaluji (Bombay City Central—Reserved—Scheduled Castes).  
 Mandal, Shri Jailal (Khagaria).  
 Mandal, Dr. Pashupati (Bankura—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Maniyangadan, Shri Mathew (Kottayam).  
 Manjula Debi, Shrimati (Goalpara).  
 Masani, Shri M. R. (Ranchi—East).  
 Masuriya Din, Shri (Phulpur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Mastara, Shri Laxman Mahadu (Thana—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Mathur, Shri Harish Chandra (Pali).  
 Mathur, Shri Mathur Das (Nagaur).  
 Mathu, Shri S. A. (Ghridih).  
 Mehdi, Shri Syed Ahmed (Rampur).

## 'M'—contd

- Mehta, Shri Balwantray Gopalji (Gohilwad).  
 Mehta, Shri Jaswant Raj (Jodhpur).  
 Melkote, Dr G S (Raichur).  
 Menon, Dr K B (Badagara).  
 Menon, Shri V K. Krishna (Bombay City North).  
 Menon, Shri T C Narayanankutty (Mukandapuram).  
 Minimata, Shrimati Agamadas Guru (Baloda Bazar—Reserved—Sch Castes).  
 Mishra, Shri Bhagwan Din (Kaisarganj).  
 Mishra, Shri Bibhuti (Bagaha).  
 Mishra, Shri Lalit Narayan (Saharsa).  
 Mishra, Shri Mathura Prasad (Begusarai).  
 Mishra, Shri Shyam Nandan (Jainagar).  
 Misra, Shri Raghubar Dayal (Bulandshahr).  
 Misra, Shri Raja Ram (Faizabad).  
 Mohan Swaroop, Kanwar (Pilibhut).  
 Mohideen, Shri M Gulam (Dindigul).  
 Mohuddin, Shri Ahmed (Secunderabad).  
 Munisamy, Shri N R (Vellore).  
 Morarka, Shri Radheshyam Ramkumar (Jhunjhunu).  
 More, Shri Jayawant Ghanshyam (Sholapur).  
 Mukerjee, Shri Hirendra Nath (Calcutta—Central).  
 Mullick, Shri Baishnav Charan (Kendrapara—Reserved—Sch Castes).  
 Murmu, Shri Paika (Rajmahal—Reserved—Sch Tribes).  
 Murthy, Shri B S (Kakinada—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Musafir, Giam Gurmukh Singh (Amritsar).  
 Muthukrishnan, Shri M (Vellore—Reserved—Sch. Castes).
- ❧
- Nadar, Shri P Thanulingom (Nagercoil).  
 Naidu, Shri R Govindarajulu (Tiruvallur).

## 'N'—contd.

- Naidu, Shri T D. Muthukumarasami (Cuddalore).  
 Nair, Shri C. Krishnan (Outer Delhi).  
 Nair, Shri K. P. Kuttikrishnan (Koshikode).  
 Nair, Shri P. K. Vasudevan (Thiruvella).  
 Naldurgkar, Shri Venketrao Shrinivasrao (Osmanabad).  
 Nallakoya, Shri Koyilat (Nominated—Laccadive, Minicoy and Amindivi Islands).  
 Nanda, Shri Gulzarilal (Sabarkantha).  
 Nanjappan, Shri C (Nilgiris).  
 Naraindin, Shri (Shahjahanpur—Reserved—Sch Castes).  
 Narasimhan, Shri C R (Krishnagiri).  
 Narayanasamy, Shri R. (Perryakulam).  
 Naskar, Shri Purendu Sekhar (Diamond Harbour).  
 Nathavani, Shri Narendrabhai P. (Sorath).  
 Nath Pai, Shri (Rajapur).  
 Nayak, Shri Mohan (Ganjam—Reserved—Sch Castes).  
 Nayar, Dr Sushila (Jhansi).  
 Nayar, Shri V P (Quilon).  
 Nehru, Shri Jawaharlal (Phulpur).  
 Nehru, Shrimati Uma (Sitapur).  
 Nek Ram, Shri (Mahore—Reserved—Sch Castes).  
 Neswi, Shri T R (Dharwar South).

## 'O'

- Onkar Lal, Shri (Kota—Reserved—Sch Castes).  
 Oza, Shri Ghanshyam Lal (Zalawad).

## 'P'

- Padalu, Shri Kankipati Veeranna (Golugonda — Reserved — Sch Tribes).  
 Padam Dev, Shri (Chamba).  
 Pahadia, Shri Jagan Nath Prasad (Sawai Madhopur—Reserved—Sch Castes).  
 Palaniandi, Shri M. (Perambalur).

## P—contd.

Palchoudhuri, Shrimati Ila (Nabadwip).  
 Pande, Shri C. D. (Naini Tal).  
 Pandey, Shri Kashi Nath (Hata).  
 Pandey, Shri Sarju (Rasra).  
 Pangarkar, Shri Nagorao Karojee (Parbhani).  
 Panigrahi, Shri Chintamani (Puri).  
 Panna Lal, Shri (Faizabad—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Parmar, Shri Karsandas Ukabhai (Ahmedabad—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Parmar, Shri Deenabandhu (Udaipur—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Parmar, Shri Yeshwant Singh (Mahasu).  
 Parulekar, Shri Shamrao Vishnu (Thana).  
 Parvathi Krishnan, Shrimati M. (Coimbatore).  
 Patel, Shrimati Maniben Vallabhbhai (Anand).  
 Patel, Shri Nanubhai Nichhabhai (Bulsar—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Patel, Shri Purushottamdas R. (Mehsana).  
 Patel, Shri Rajeshwar (Hajipur).  
 Patil, Shri Balasaheb (Miraj).  
 Patil, Shri Nana (Satara).  
 Patil, Shri Rakhmajji (Dhondiba Bhir).  
 Patil, Shri S. K. (Bombay City South).  
 Patil, Shri Uttamrao Laxman (Dhulia).  
 Patnaik, Shri Uma Charan (Ganjam).  
 Pillai, Shri S. C. C. Anthony (Madras North).  
 Pillai, Shri P. T. Thanu (Tirunelveli).  
 Pocker Sahib, Shri B. (Manjeri).  
 Prabhakar, Shri Naval (Outer Delhi—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Pragi Lal, Chaudhari (Sitapur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

## P—contd.

Prasad, Shri Mahadeo (Gerakhpur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Proddhan, Shri Bijaya Chandrasingh (Kalahandi—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Punnoose, Shri P. T. (Ambalapuzha).  
 P  
 Radha Mohan Singh, Shri (Ballia).  
 Radha Raman, Shri (Chandni Chowk).  
 Raghubir Sahai, Shri (Budaun).  
 Raghunath Singh, Shri (Varanasi).  
 Raghunath Singhji, Shri (Barmer).  
 Raghuramaiah, Shri Kotha (Guntur).  
 Rahman, Shri M. Hifzur (Amroha).  
 Rai, Shri Khushwaqt (Kher).  
 Raj Bahadur, Shri (Bharatpur).  
 Rajiah, Shri Devanapalli (Nalgonda—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Raju, Shri Pusapati Vijayarama Gajapathi (Visakhapatnam).  
 Raju, Shri D. S. (Rajahmundry).  
 Rajyalaxmi, Shrimati Lalita (Hazari-bagh).  
 Ramakrishnan, Shri Peelamedu Rangaswamy Naidu (Pollachi).  
 Raman, Shri Uddaraju (Narasapur).  
 Raman, Shri Pattabhi C. R. (Kumbakonam).  
 Ramanand Shastri, Swami (Bara Banki—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Ramananda Tirtha, Swami (Anranga-bad).  
 Ramaswami, Shri S. V. (Salem).  
 Ramaswamy, Shri K. S. (Gobichettipalayam).  
 Ramaswamy, Shri Puli (Mahbubnagar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Rameshwar Rao, Shri J. (Mahbubnagar).  
 Ram Garib, Shri (Basti—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Ram Krishan, Shri (Mahendargarh).  
 Rampure, Shri Mahadevappa Y. (Gulbarga).

**'R'—contd.**

Ram Saran, Shri (Moradabad).  
 Ram Subhag Singh, Dr. (Sasaram).  
 Ranbir Singh, Ch. (Rohtak).  
 Rane, Shri Shivram Rango (Buldana).  
 Ranga, Shri N. G. (Tenali).  
 Rangarao, Shri M. Sri (Karimnagar).  
 Rao, Shri B. Rajagopala (Srikakulam).  
 Rao, Shri Devulapalli Venkateswar  
 (Nalgonda).  
 Rao, Shri Etikala Madhusudan (Mah-  
 bubabad).  
 Rao, Shri P. Hanumanth (Medak).  
 Rao, Shri R. Jagannath (Koraput).  
 Rao, Shri T. B. Vittal (Khammam).  
 Raut, Shri Bhola (Champaran—Re-  
 served—Sch. Castes).  
 Raut, Shri Rajaram Balkrishna  
 (Kolaba).  
 Ray, Shrimati Renuka (Malda).  
 Reddy, Shri Chegireddy Bali (Marka-  
 pur).  
 Reddy, Shri K. C. (Kolar).  
 Reddy, Shri R. Lakshmi Narasa (Nel-  
 lore).  
 Reddy, Shri T. Nagi (Anantapur).  
 Reddy, Shri R. Narapa (Ongole).  
 Reddy, Shri Vutukuru Rami (Cudda-  
 pah).  
 Reddy, Shri K. V. Ramakrishna  
 (Hindupur).  
 Reddy, Shri T. N. Vishwanatha  
 (Rajampet).  
 Roy, Shri Biren (Calcutta—South  
 West).  
 Roy, Shri Bishwanath (Salempur).  
 Rungtung Suisa, Shri (Outer Mani-  
 pur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Rup Narain, Shri (Mirzapur—Re-  
 served—Sch. Castes).

**'S'**

Sadhu Ram, Shri (Jullundur—Re-  
 served—Sch. Castes).  
 Sahodrabai, Shrimati (Sagar—Re-  
 served—Sch. Castes).  
 Sahu, Shri Bhagabat (Balasore).  
 Sahu, Shri Rameshwar (Darbhanga—  
 Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Saigal, Sardar Amar Singh (Janjgir).  
 Saksena, Shri Shibban Lal (Maharaj-  
 ganj).  
 Saſunke, Shri Balasaheb (Khed).  
 Salam, Shri M. K. M. Abdul (Tiru-  
 chirappalli).  
 Samanta, Shri Satis Chandra  
 (Tamluk).  
 Samantsinhar, Dr. N. C. (Bhubanes-  
 war).  
 Sambandam, Shri K. R. (Nagapat-  
 tinam).  
 Sampath, Shri E. V. K. (Namakkal).  
 Sanganna, Shri Toyaka (Koraput—  
 Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Sankarapandian, Shri M. (Tenkasi).  
 Sarhadi, Shri Ajit Singh (Ludhiana).  
 Satis Chandra, Shri (Bareilly).  
 Satyabhama Devi, Shrimati (Nawada).  
 Satyanarayana, Shri Biddika (Par-  
 vathipuram—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Seindia, Shrimati Vijaya Raje (Guna).  
 Selku, Shri Mardi (West Dinajpur—  
 Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Sen, Shri Asoka Kumar (Calcutta—  
 North-West).  
 Sen, Shri Phani Gopal (Purnea).  
 Seth, Shri Bishanchandar (Shahjahan-  
 pur).  
 Shah, Shrimati Jayaben Vajubhai  
 (Girnar).  
 Shah, Shri Manubhai (Madhya Sau-  
 rashtra).

## S—contd.

Shah, Shri Manvendra (Tehri Garhwal).

Shakuntala Devi, Shrimati (Benka).

Shankariah, Shri M. (Mysore).

Sharma, Shri Diwan Chand (Gurdaspur).

Sharma, Shri Harish Chandra (Jaipur).

Sharma, Pandit Krishna Chandra (Hapur).

Sharma, Shri Radha Charan (Gwalior).

Shastri Pandit Hiralal (Sawai Madhopur).

Shastri, Shri Lal Bahadur (Allahabad).

Shivananjappa, Shri M. K. (Mandya).

Shobha Ram, Shri (Alwar).

Shukla, Shri Vidya Charan (Baloda Bazar).

Siddananjappa, Shri H. (Hassan).

Siddish, Shri S. M. (Mysore—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Singh, Shri Awadhesh Kumar (Katihar).

Singh, Shri Babunath (Sarguja—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Singh, Shri Chandikeshwar Saran (Sarguja).

Singh, Shri Digvijaya Narain (Pupri).

Singh, Shri Dinesh Pratap (Gonda).

Singh, Shri Har Prasad (Ghaziपुर).

Singh, Shri Kamal Narain (Shahdol—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Singh, Shri Kamal (Buxar).

Singh, Shri Lalsram Achaw (Inner Manipur).

Singh, Shri Mahendra Nath (Maharajanj).

Singh, Shri Rajendra (Chupra).

## S—contd.

Singh, Shri Tribhuan Narayan (Chandauli).

Sinha, Shri Anirudh (Madhubani).

Sinha, Shri Bansaji Prasad (Monghyr).

Sinha, Shri Gajendra Prasad (Palamau).

Sinha, Shri Jhulan (Siwan).

Sinha, Shri Kailash Pati (Nalanda).

Sinha, Shri Satyendra Narayan (Aurangabad).

Sinha, Shri Sarangdhar (Patna).

Sinha, Shri Satya Narayan (Samastipur).

Sinha, Shrimati Tarkeshwari (Barh).

Sinhasan Singh, Shri (Gorakhpur).

Siva, Dr. M. V. Gangadhara (Chittoor—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Sivaraaj, Shri N. (Chingleput—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Snatak, Shri Nardeo (Aligarh—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Sonawane, Shri Tayappa (Sholapur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Sonule, Shri Harihar Rao (Nanded).

Somani, Shri G. D. (Dausa).

Soren, Shri Debi (Dumka—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Subbaroyan, Dr. P. (Tiruchengode).

Subramanyam, Shri Tekur (Bellary).

Sugandhi, Shri Murigeppa Siddappa (Bijapur North).

Sultan, Shrimati Maimoona (Bhopal).

Supakar, Shri Shraddhakhar (Sambalpur).

Sumat Prasad, Shri (Muzaffarnagar).

Sunder Lal, Shri (Sahapur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Suryanarayanamurthy, Shri Misula (Golugonda).

Surya Prasad, Shri (Gwalior—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

**'S'— contd.**

Swarai, Shri V. N. (Chanda).  
 Swaran Singh, Sardar (Jullundur).  
 Syed Mahmud, Dr. (Gopalganj).

**'T'**

Tahir, Shri Mohammed (Kishanganj).  
 Tanti, Shri Rameshwar (Sikar).  
 Tangamani, Shri K. T. K. (Madurai).  
 Tewari, Shri Dwarikanath (Cachar).  
 Thakore, Shri Motisinh Bahadursinh (Patan).  
 Thevar, Shri U. Muthuramalinga (Srivilliputhur).  
 Thummaiah, Shri Dodda (Kolar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Thurumal Rao, Shri M. (Kakinada).  
 Thomas, Shri A. M. (Ernakulam).  
 Tiwari, Shri Babu Lal (Nimar Khandwa).  
 Tiwari, Shri Ram Sahai (Khajuraho).  
 Tiwary, Pandit Dwarka Nath (Kesaria).  
 Tripathi, Shri Vishwambhar Dayal (Unnao).  
 Tula Ram, Shri (Etawah—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Tyagi, Shri Mahavir (Dehra Dun).  
 Tyabji, Shri Saif F B (Jaina)

**'U'**

Uike, Shri M. G. (Mandla—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Umrao Singh, Shri (Ghosi).  
 Upadhyaya, Pandit Munishwar Dutt (Pratapgarh).  
 Upadhyaya, Shri Shiva Datt (Rewa).

**'V'**

Vajpayee, Shri Atal Bihari (Balrampur).  
 Valvi, Shri Laxman Vedu (West Khandesh—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Varma, Shri B. B. (Champaran).  
 Varma, Shri Manikya Lal (Udaipur).  
 Varma, Shri Ramsingh Bhai (Nimar).  
 Vedakumari, Kumari M. (Eluru).  
 Venkatasubbaiah, Shri Pendekanti (Adoni).  
 Verma, Shri Ramji (Deoria).  
 Vijaya Raje, Shrimati (Chatra).  
 Vishwanath Prasad, Shri (Azamgarh—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Vyas, Shri Ramesh Chandra (Bhindwara).  
 Vyas, Shri Radhelal (Ujjain).

**'W'**

Wadiwa, Shri Narayan Maniramaji (Chindwara—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).  
 Warrior, Shri K. K. (Trichur).  
 Wasnik, Shri Balkrishna (Bhandara—Reserved—Sch. Castes).  
 Wilson, Shri John N (Mirzapur).  
 Wodeyar, Shri K G (Shimoga).

**'Y'**

Yadav, Shri Ram Sewak (Bara Banki).  
 Yajnik, Shri Indulal Kanaiyalal (Ahmedabad).

## **LOK SABHA**

### **The Speaker**

**Shri M. Ananthasayanam Ayyangar.**

### **The Deputy-Speaker**

**Sardar Hukam Singh.**

### **Panel of Chairmen**

**Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava.**

**Shri Upendranath Barman.**

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty**

**Shri Frank Anthony.**

### **Secretary**

**Shri M. N. Kaul, Barrister-at-law.**

### **Business Advisory Committee**

**Shri M. Ananthasayanam Ayyangar (Chairman)**

**Sardar Hukam Singh**

**Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava.**

**Shri Satyanarayan Sinha.**

**Shri S R Rane**

**Shri Shree Narayan Das**

**Shri B S. Murthy**

**Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani.**

**Shri M. L. Dwivedi**

**Shri Raghubir Sahai**

**Shri T. B. Vittal Rao.**

**Shri Surendranath Dwivedy.**

**Shri Surendra Mahanty**

**Shri Jaipal Singh.**

**Shri Vijayarama Raju.**

### **Committee of Privileges**

**Sardar Hukam Singh. (Chairman)**

**Shri Satya Narayan Sinha.**

**Shri Asoka K. Sen.**

**Pandit Munishwar Dutt Uppadhyay.**

Dr. P. Subbarayan.  
Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal.  
Shrimati Jayaben Vajubhai Shah.  
Shri N. M. Wadiwa.  
Shri Sarangadhara Sinha.  
Shri Shivram Rango Rane.  
Shri Hirendra Nath Mukerjee.  
Shri Indulal Kanaiyalal Yajnik.  
Shri Bimal Comar Ghose.  
Shri Shraddhakar Supakar.  
Shri Hoover Hynniewta.

**Committee on Absence of Members from the Sitzings of the House**

Shri Mulchand Dube. (Chairman)  
Shrimati Shakuntala Devi.  
Shri V. N. Swami.  
Shri M. Ayyakannu.  
Shri Ram Krishan.  
Shri Kamal Krishna Das.  
Shri Surti Kistaiya.  
Shri Rungsung Suisa.  
Shri B. L. Chandak.  
Shri K. R. Achar.  
Shri Chintamani Panigrahi.  
Shri Karsandas Parmar.  
Shri Yadav Narayan Jadhav.  
Shri Harish Chandra Sharma.  
Shri Ignace Beck.

**Committee on Assurances**

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava. (Chairman)  
Shri Anirudha Sinha.  
Shri Mulchand Dube.  
Shri Bhakt Darshan.  
Shri C. R. Basappa.  
Shri P. Subbiah Ambalam.  
Shrimati Ita Palchoudhury.  
Shri Naval Prabhakar.  
Shri Jeswantraj Mehta.  
Shri Motilal Malviya.  
Shri Kamal Singh.  
Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee.  
Shri Ramji Verma.  
Shri R. K. Khedilkar.  
Shri P. K. Vasudevan Nair.

**Committee on Petitions**

Shri Upendranath Berman. (Chairman)  
Pandit Jwala Prasad Jyotishi.  
Shrimati Uma Nehru.  
Pandit Dwarka Nath Tiwary.



Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani.  
Shri M. K. M. Abdul Salam.  
Shri Jiyalal Mandal.  
Shri K. G. Wodeyar.  
Shri Nanubhai Nichhabhai Patel.  
Shri Pendekanti Venkatasubbaiah.  
Chaudhary Pratap Singh Daulta.  
Shri D. R. Chavan.  
Shri Nath Pai  
Shri Ram Chandra Majhi  
Shri Arjun Singh Bhadauria.

**Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions**

Sardar Hukam Singh. (Chairman)

Sardar Amar Singh Saigal.  
Shri Narendrabhai Nathwani.  
Shrimati Ila Palchoudhury  
Shri Krishna Chandra.  
Shri Jhulan Sinha.  
Shri K. R. Sambandam  
Shri S A Agadi  
Shri Jagan Nath Prasad Pahadia  
Shri Sunder Lal  
Shri S. Easwara Iyer  
Shri Balasaheb Patil  
Shri Pramathanath Banerjee  
Shri Shradhdhakar Supakar  
Shri Shambhu Charan Godsora.

**Committee on Subordinate Legislation**

Sardar Hukam Singh (Chairman)

Shri Pham Gopal Sen  
Shri Anand Chandra Joshi.  
Shri Harish Chandra Mathur  
Shri R. M. Hajarnavis.  
Shri K S Ramaswamy  
Shri Sinhasan Singh  
Shri Jitendra Nath Lahiri  
Shri Bahadur Singh  
Shri T. N Viswanatha Reddy  
Shri Shamrao Vishnu Parulekar  
Shri Aurobindo Ghosal.  
Shri J. M. Mohamed Imam  
Dr. A. Krishnaswami.  
Shri Braj Raj Singh

**Estimates Committee**

Shri Balvantray Gopaljee Mehta (Chairman)

Shri S. A. Dange.  
Shri Jogendra Singh.  
Shri Mahavir Tyagi.  
Shri Satyendra Narayan Sinha.

Shri Radha Charan Sharma.  
Chaudhuri Ranbir Singh  
Shri Gopalrao Khedkar.  
Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani.  
Shri R R Morarka.  
Shri M. Thirumala Rao.  
Shri J Rameshwar Rao.  
Shri C R. Narasimhan  
Shri Amjad Ali  
Shri R Ramanathan Chettiar.  
Shri Ahmed Mohiuddin  
Shrimati Renuka Ray  
Shri Uma Charan Patnaik  
Shri Raghubir Sahai  
Pandit Dwarka Nath Tiwary.  
Shri Govind Malaviya  
Shri R L Jangde  
Shri N C Kashiwal  
Shri Dodda Thimmaiah  
Shri M L Dwivedi  
Shri A E T Barrow  
Shri V. P Nayar  
Shri R K. Khadilkar  
Shri B K Gaikward  
Shri Shraddhakar Supakar

**House Committee**

Shri U Srinivasa Malliah (*Chairman*)  
Shri Resham Lal Jangde  
Shri Digvijaya Narain Singh  
Shri Rohan Lal Chaturvedi  
Shri Maneklal Maganlal Gandhi  
Shri Missula Suryanarayanamurti  
Shrimati Maimoona Sultan  
Shri Kamal Krishna Das  
Shri A. E T Barrow  
Shri K T. K Tangamani  
Shri Khushwaqt Rai  
Shri Bhausahab Raosaheb Mahagaonkar

**Joint Committee on Salaries and Allowances of Members of Parliament**  
*Lok Sabha*

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha  
Shri U Srinivasa Malliah,  
Shri Diwan Chand Sharma  
Shri Chapalakanta Bhattacharyya  
Shri Kanhaiyalal Khadiwala.  
Shri Raghubir Dayal Misra  
Shri Doraiswami Gounder  
Shri Narayan Ganesh Goray.  
Shrimati Parvathi M Krishnan.  
Shri U Muthuramalinga Thevar

(xv)

*Rajya Sabha*

Dr. Shrimati Sita Parmanand.  
Shri Amar Nath Aggarwal.  
Shri T. J. L. Wilson.  
Shri Santosh Kumar Basu.  
Shri M. V. Govindan Nair.

*Public Accounts Committee*  
*Lok Sabha*

Shri T. N. Singh. (Chairman)  
Dr. Ram Subhag Singh.  
Shri Nibaran Chandra Laskar.  
Shri N. G. Ranga.  
Shri Radhelal Vyas.  
Shri A. C. Guha.  
Shri N. R. M. Swamy.  
Shri Upendranath Barman.  
Shri J. M. Mohamed Imam.  
Shri H. C. Dasappa.  
Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha.  
Shri Prabhat Kar.  
Shri Jaipal Singh.  
Shri N. Siva Raj.  
Shri Vijayarama Raju.

*Rajya Sabha*

Shrimati Pushpalata Das.  
Shri P. T. Leuva.  
Shri Shyam Dhar Misra.  
Shri R. M. Deshmukh.  
Shri M. Govinda Reddi.  
Shri Jaswant Singh.  
Shri J. V. K. Vallabha Rao.

*Rules Committee*

Shri M. Ananthasayanam Ayyangar. (Chairman)  
Sardar Hukam Singh.  
Shri Satya Narayan Sinha.  
Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava.  
Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman.  
Shri Tekur Subramanyam.  
Shri Radhelal Vyas.  
Shri Tayappa Hari Sonavane.  
Shri Shivram Rango Rane.  
Dr. Sushila Nayar.  
Shri K. T. K. Tangamani  
Shri Purushottamdas R Patel.  
Shri Amjad Ali.  
Shri M. R. Masani.  
Shri Bhaurao Krishnarao Gaikward.

## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

### Members of the Cabinet

Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and also in charge of the Department of Atomic Energy.—Shri Jawaharlal Nehru.

Minister of Education and Scientific Research.—Maulana Abul Kalam Azad.

Minister of Home Affairs.—Shri Govind Ballabh Pant.

Minister of Commerce and Industry.—Shri Morarji Desai.

Minister of Railways.—Shri Jagjivan Ram.

Minister of Labour, Employment and Planning.—Shri Gulzarilal Nanda.

Minister of Finance.—Shri T. T. Krishnamachari.

Minister of Transport and Communications.—Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri.

Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel.—Sardar Swaran Singh.

Minister of Works, Housing and Supply.—Shri K. C. Reddy.

Minister of Food and Agriculture.—Shri Ajit Prasad Jain.

Minister of Defence.—Shri V. K. Krishna Menon.

Minister of Irrigation and Power.—Shri S. K. Patil

### Ministers of State

Minister of Parliamentary Affairs.—Shri Satya Narayan Sinha

Minister of Information and Broadcasting.—Dr. B. V. Keskar.

Minister of Health.—Shri D. P. Karmarkar.

Minister of Co-operation.—Dr. Panjabrao S. Deshmukh.

Minister of Mines and Oils.—Shri Keshava Deva Malaviya.

Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs.—Shri Mehr Chand Khanna.

Minister of Commerce.—Shri Nityanand Kanungo.

Minister of State in the Ministry of Transport and Communications.—Shri Raj Bahadur.

Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs.—Shri B. N. Datar.

Minister of Industry.—Shri Manubhai Shah.

Minister of Community Development.—Shri Surendra Kumar Dey.

Minister of State in the Ministry of Education and Scientific Research.—Dr. K. L. Shrinani.

Minister of Law.—Shri Asoke K. Sen.

Minister of State in the Ministry of Transport and Communications.—Shri Humayun Kabir.

### Deputy Ministers

Deputy Minister of Defence.—Sardar Surjit Singh Majithia.

Deputy Minister of Labour.—Shri Abid Ali.

Deputy Minister of Works, Housing and Supply.—Shri Anil K. Chanda.

Deputy Minister of Agriculture.—Shri M. V. Krishnappa.

Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power.—Shri Jaisukhlal Lalshanker Hathi.

Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry.—Shri Satish Chandra.

- Deputy Minister of Planning.—Shri Shyam Nandan Mishra.  
Deputy Minister of Finance.—Shri B. R. Bhagat.  
Deputy Minister of Education.—Dr. Mono Mohon Das.  
Deputy Minister of Railways.—Shri Shah Nawaz Khan.  
Deputy Minister of External Affairs.—Shrimati Lakshmi N. Menon.  
Deputy Minister of Home Affairs.—Shrimati Violet Alva.  
Deputy Minister of Defence.—Shri Kolha Raghuramaiah.  
Deputy Minister of Food and Agriculture.—Shri A. M. Thomas.

## LOK SABHA DEBATES

4651

### LOK SABHA

Tuesday, 23rd July, 1957

*The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock*

[MR SPEAKER in the Chair]

#### ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

##### Export of Coffee

\*247: **Shri Keshava:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state what is the present position regarding the export of coffee to Finland, the USA and Australia as a result of the discussions the delegations from these countries had with the Indian Coffee Board?

**The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kanungo):** The Coffee Board has allocated a quantity of 600 tons of coffee specifically for export to Finland and Australia, and another 500 tons for export to the USA.

**Shri Keshava:** Even in Europe, people appreciate the quality of the Indian coffee in preference to Brazilian coffee. I learn that Denmark was anxious to have coffee trade with India direct and that they are able to have the trade only through the UK. May I know if it is a fact and if anything could be done in the matter?

**Shri Kanungo:** I do not think that it is a correct state of the position in respect of exports for any country. Any country is welcome to buy in the Government auctions either directly or through their shippers.

**Shri E. Ramanathan Chettiar:** How is the price of our coffee compared to the prices obtaining in the United States, Australia and Finland?

**Shri Kanungo:** I could not give the exact prices. Qualities also differ and comparisons are rather difficult.

4652

**Shri Dasappa:** Is the demand for our coffee greater than we are able to meet?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** We have not enough coffee to export.

**Shri Dasappa:** That is what I say. Am I to understand that there is greater demand in the foreign markets than what we are able to cater?

**Shri Kanungo:** That is exactly the reason why we have on hand a programme for increasing the acreage under coffee.

**Dr. K. B. Menon:** May I know whether the closing of some of the coffee shops by the Coffee Board in the South is due to a change in the programme of the Coffee Board or is it because the work there is quite satisfactory?

**Shri Kanungo:** I beg to submit that there is no reference in this question to the coffee shops.

**Shri R. Ramanathan Chettiar:** In view of the increase in the output of coffee this year, would the Government consider the possibility of increasing its export in order to earn foreign exchange which we are in dire need of?

**Shri Kanungo:** That is exactly why we have allotted this quantity for specific exports plus other exports.

#### Import Licences for Tractors

+

\*248 { **Shri Narayanankutty Menon;**  
**Shri A. K. Gopalan;**

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that import licences worth about Rs 8,00,000 for tractors were granted to some Bombay firms during July—December, 1956;

(b) if so, what were the sale-after-service arrangements with the licensees; and

(c) the location of workshops set up to render service facilities to the imported tractors?

**The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra):**  
(a) Yes, Sir

(b) and (c) The firms concerned had the necessary workshop and technical personnel to attend to after-sale-service. The workshops are located in Bombay and Calcutta

#### Coal Mine Machinery

+  
\*249. { Shri T. B. Vittal Rao:  
Shri Matin:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) whether it has been decided to set up a plant for the manufacture of machinery and other equipment for coal industry with the assistance of Soviet experts,

(b) if so, whether they have been asked to submit a project report, and

(c) where the plant is likely to be located?

**The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah):** (a) and (b) This is one of the machinery projects indicated in the statement I made in the House in answer to Question No 662 on the 30th May 1957 for which it is proposed to utilise Russian aid. Copies of the preliminary report of the Soviet experts on this project have been separately made available to the Parliament Library

(c) It is too early to give an answer

**Shri T. B. Vittal Rao:** May I know if we are going to accelerate the pace of setting up of these plants in view of the fact that we are unable to increase our coal production due to the short supply of various machinery in the coal mines?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** I would like to separate out the two parts of the question. We are trying to accelerate the production of this machinery. But, as far as the targets of coal production are concerned, they are not directly connected with the setting up of the machinery because the first deliveries of this plant will not be available earlier than 1962

**Shri B. S. Murthy:** May I know whether the Government has done anything about the location of the plant?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** For the present we have only surveyed about nine sites. The actual location would be decided after the Russian expert team comes here

**Shri P. C. Bose:** What are the particular kinds of machineries that will be built up in this proposed plant and also whether these are different from those which are being built already in India?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** The present production is of a minor character—about Rs 50 lakhs a year—and the target is Rs 15 crores per year. The machines are coal loader, electrical locomotives, winding machines of different sorts, coal winches, excavators, etc for the coal mines

**Shri P. C. Bose:** Whether the coal cutting machine will be manufactured here? That is not mentioned by the hon Minister

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** A small quantity is being made now but what we want is a very large amount of different categories of coal cutters which will also be manufactured in this plant

**Shri T. B. Vittal Rao:** May I know if Hyderabad is one of the sites which have been surveyed?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** No, Sir

**Shri Dasappa:** May I know whether there are any private firms coming forward to manufacture cranes and earth moving equipment and so on?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** As the hon Member is aware, under the Industrial Policy statement, coal mining equipment and machinery comes under the public sector—Schedule I. However, there are certain varieties of cranes and other things which we permit the private sector to manufacture

#### India-Nepal Trade Treaty

+  
 \*250. { **Shri Bibhuti Mishra:**  
**Dr Ram Subhag Singh:**  
**Shri Raghunath Singh:**  
**Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:**

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether the Governments of India and Nepal have agreed to effect some changes in the India-Nepal Trade Treaty, and

(b) if so what are the important features of those changes?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru)** (a) and (b) The Governments of India and Nepal have had under discussion some changes in the India-Nepal Trade Treaty. Some of these changes have been agreed to, others are still under discussion. It would not be proper to disclose the changes till the matter is finalised, more especially as the old Government in Nepal has resigned and a new Government is yet to be formed.

**श्री बिभूति मिश्र** क्या सरकार बतला सकती है कि यह ट्रेड की सधि कब तक बदली जा रही ?

**श्री जवाहरलाल नेहरू** मैं ने अभी भ्रजं किश कि नेपाल मे पुरानी गवर्नमेन्ट अब नही रही है । नई बनने वाली है । जब तक नई बने नही, उस वक्त तक हमारा इस बात का एकतरफा फैसला करना मुश्किल है ।

**Shri C. D. Pande:** Nepal is a land-locked country and almost the entire trade passes through India. In view

of this, is it not desirable that we should persuade Nepal to enter into a Zollverein or a sort of permanent customs union rather than having ad hoc treaties?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru.** What the hon Member says will also, presumably, be a treaty. I do not quite understand that except that the treaty would go further than the present treaties have gone. But, as far as the customs union is concerned I do not know, I cannot commit myself now in regard to such a proposition.

**Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:** One of the conditions of proposed agreement is that Nepal will have a separate foreign exchange fund of its own and it will be with the Reserve Bank of India. I just want to have the information as to what is the present amount of foreign exchange of Nepal that is now lying with India?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru** I cannot give that figure without enquiry.

#### Wage Board for Motor Transport Workers

+  
 \*251. { **Shri Punnoose:**  
**Shri Vasudevan Nair**

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state

(a) whether Government propose to set up a Tripartite Wage Board for Motor Transport Workers, and

(b) if so when?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali)** (a) and (b) No such proposal is under Government's consideration.

**Shri Punnoose.** Is it not a fact that the Indian Labour Conference recommended that wage boards may be appointed in certain industries and is not public transport included therein?

**Shri Abid Ali:** I do not think that this industry was included in that.



**Shri Narayanankutty Menon:** May I know whether the Government proposes to include public motor transport industry also in the wage board supposed to be appointed for the different industries?

**Shri Abid Ali:** Not at present

**Shri Tangamani:** In view of the fact that a Wage Board has been set up for the textile industry, may I know whether the Government will consider the question of appointing a Wage Board for the road transport industry also?

**Shri Abid Ali:** It is not necessary at this moment

**Shri S. M. Banerjee:** May I know whether a Tripartite Wage Board is likely to be set up for the leather workers?

**Shri Abid Ali:** The representatives of a union suggested to include that also in the list, but it was not agreed to by the Conference as such

**Shri B. S. Murthy:** May I know whether any list has been drawn up of the industries for which Wage Boards have to be set up and, if so, whether the road transport industry finds a place in that list?

**Shri Abid Ali:** Wage Board for cotton textile industry has been set up. The question of setting up Wage Boards for sugar and cement is under consideration. No other list has been prepared at present

**Shri Ranga:** Was this question considered by the Labour Conference? What is the usual practice? May I know whether such things are taken up by Government after they are discussed by the Labour Conference?

**Shri Abid Ali:** Yes, Sir, they are taken up after they are considered by the Labour Conference

**Shri Sadhan Gupta:** May I know what principles are followed in deciding as to for which industries Wage Boards will be appointed and for which industries they will not be appointed?

**Shri Abid Ali:** Firstly, where minimum wages are not applicable they are considered. Then, the capacity of the industry to pay, other service conditions and the wages of the workers are also considered

**Shri V. P. Nayar:** May I know whether in the Memorandum submitted by the National Federation of Road Transport workers this point has been raised and, if so, what action the Government has taken?

**Shri Abid Ali:** I have not seen that Memorandum

**Shri V. P. Nayar:** It is in your waste paper basket, search for it

**Shri Punnoose:** May I know the total number of workers employed in motor transport industry in the country, and whether minimum wage has been fixed for these workers throughout the country?

**Shri Abid Ali:** About 80,000 workers are employed and minimum wages have been fixed for most of the workers in this industry

#### Indian Nationals in Pakistan

+

\*254 { **Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:**  
**Shri Panigrahi:**  
**Shri Radha Raman:**  
**Shri Raghunath Singh:**  
**Shri D. C. Sharma:**

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state whether the Government of India are aware of the fact that the Government of Pakistan have decided to issue an ordinance restricting Indian Nationals residing in Pakistan?

**The Deputy Minister of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon):** Yes, Sir. An Ordinance has been promulgated amending the Registration of Foreigners Act of 1939 and the Foreigners Act of 1946. Our present knowledge of its contents is derived from accounts appearing in the Pakistan Press. The authorised text is awaited.

**Shri Kashiwal:** It seems to be the claim of Pakistan that, because India

is supposed to have taken certain steps regarding Pakistani nationals resident in India Pakistan has taken this step by way of reciprocity. May I know how far the claim of Pakistan is justifiable?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru):** First of all, I should not like to express an opinion till we have examined the authorised text of these things but, *prima facie* I think that the criticism made in the Pakistan Press of some action we have taken must have been due to some misunderstanding, because we took no action except to register the Pakistani nationals, give them an opportunity of registration here so as to avoid difficulties which sometimes arise. It is not an action against Pakistanis as such, and the action they have taken apparently goes much further.

श्री डा० ना० सिवारी : क्या मैं जान सकता हूँ कि बन्द महीने पहले पाकिस्तानी नेशनल जो यहाँ काम करते हैं उनके ठहरने की अवधि बढ़ा दी गई है और अब वे अधिक दिन यहाँ ठहर सकते हैं ?

श्री जवाहरलाल नेहरू : उनको रजिस्टर होने के लिये शायद ३० सितम्बर तक का वक्त दिया गया है।

**Shri A. C. Guha:** May I know if a Minister of the East Bengal Government gave a sort of public threat that in view of certain steps alleged to be contemplated by the Government of India they would take certain steps against Indian nationals in Pakistan?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** I believe, Sir, that some rather intemperate speech was delivered.

**Shri Bimal Ghose:** I should like to know if any such proposed Ordinance would not be against the spirit of some of the provisions of the Indo-Pakistan Agreement?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** As I said, we should like to see the Ordinance

itself before expressing an opinion about it. It may well be what the hon. Member thinks.

#### Purchase of Foreign Goods for Government Stationery Deptt.

\*255. **Shri Assar:** Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state whether the Supply Department are purchasing foreign goods for the stationery department and for various offices of the Government of India though Indian goods are available?

**The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri K. C. Reddy):** No, Sir, unless for reasons of extreme urgency or where unreasonably high prices are quoted by the indigenous manufacturers.

**Shri Assar:** May I know the amount that has been spent on the purchase of stationery goods?

**Shri K. C. Reddy:** I have got the figures here for 1955-56. The total value of stationery purchased was Rs 3,12,00,000 and the value of stationery goods imported was Rs 9,93,087, roughly about 2.58 per cent.

**Shri Goray:** What sort of foreign goods were purchased?

**Shri Assar:** In view of the foreign exchange position and nation-wide austerity drive, may I know whether the Government will stop these purchases altogether?

**Shri K. C. Reddy:** I could not follow the question, Sir.

**Mr. Speaker:** In view of the foreign exchange position the hon. Member suggests that steps may be taken not to import any quantity from anywhere.

**Shri K. C. Reddy:** This matter is receiving constant attention of the Government. In fact, the policy of the Government is not to purchase imported goods wherever such stores are available from indigenous sources.

Several rules have been laid down in regard to this matter. Price preference is allowed on the merits of each case ranging from 15 per cent. to 50 per cent. In suitable cases relaxation in specifications is also made, and development orders are placed. There is an inter-ministerial standing committee which screens all the orders placed abroad. Indents for imported stores are thoroughly screened to ensure that such stores are not available from indigenous sources. These are the various steps that the Government are taking, and it is the definite policy of the Government not to import goods which are available from local sources.

**Shri Tyagi:** What are the main items that are imported?

**Shri Goray:** I also wanted to know the main items of stationery purchased from foreign countries.

**Shri K. C. Reddy:** Special varieties of drawing and printing paper like paper litho, paper ferro prussite, unsensitized thin white paper, printing paper, art paper etc., and stationery articles like drawing brushes, tracing cloth, drawing pencils, pens, stapling machines, etc.

#### सीमेंट का वितरण

\*२५६ श्री विभूति बिश्व क्य बाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृप करेंगे कि

(क) क्या सरकार ने १९५७-५८ में विभिन्न राज्य सरकारों को सीमेंट के वितरण के बारे में कोई नीति निर्धारित की है, और

(ख) यदि हा, तो उसका स्वरूप क्या है ?

उद्योग मंत्री (श्री मनुभाई शाह) :  
(क) और (ख). राज्य की सरकारों को हर तिमाही के लिये सीमेंट दिया जाता है जो कि राज्यों की उन योजनाओं तथा

उन कामों के लिये होता है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार की जिम्मेदारियों जैसे प्रतिरक्षा के कामों, रेलों, केन्द्रीय निर्माण विभाग और १ करोड़ ६० या इससे अधिक लागत वाली बड़ी सिंचाई और बिजली योजनाओं के अलावा हों। हर तिमाही में जितना माल मिल सकने की उम्मीद होती है, उसमें से केन्द्रीय तथा राज्य सरकारों दोनों की सीमेंट की जरूरतें, जहाँ तक ज्यादा से ज्यादा हो सकता है, पूरी करने की कोशिश की जाती है।

जो योजनाएँ चलाना केन्द्रीय सरकार की जिम्मेवारी है, उनके लिये जितना मुमकिन होता है, उतना सीमेंट रख कर बाकी का उपलब्ध माल राज्य सरकारों में बांट दिया जाता है जिससे वे दूसरी पच-बर्षीय योजना में शामिल योजनाओं (जिनकी जिम्मेवारी केन्द्र पर है, उन्हें छोड़ कर), अर्द्ध सरकारी और सावजनिक संस्थाओं, खेती सम्बन्धी योजनाओं छोटे उद्योग धंधों तथा निजी निर्माण कार्यों की जरूरतें पूरी कर सकें।

सीमेंट बांटते समय, कितना माल मिलने की उम्मीद है, इसके साथ ही इस बात का भी उचित तौर पर ध्यान रखा जाता है कि पिछली तिमाही में कितना माल उठाया गया। जब राज्य सरकारों को इकट्ठा सीमेंट दे दिया जाता है तो उनसे यह आशा की जाती है कि वे अपने अपने राज्य में हर मास का महत्व और जरूरत देखते हुए परमिट जारी करेंगी। सीमेंट की कमी की वजह से राज्य सरकारों को सलाह दी गयी है कि वे मामूली किस्म की इमारतों में चूने और सुरभी के प्रयोग को बढ़ावा दें।

एक विवरण पत्र सदन की मेज पर रखा जाता है जिसमें दिखाया गया है कि जनवरी-मार्च, अप्रैल, जून और जुलाई-सितम्बर १९५७ की अवधियों में हर राज्य को कितना

सीमेंट दिया गया। [बिलिखे परिशिष्ट १, अनुबन्ध संख्या ७६]

**Shri B. S. Murthy:** This is an important matter, we want the answer in English

**Mr. Speaker:** The answer may be read in English

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** I have said that after meeting the requirements of the Central Government for defence works, railways, central works department and major irrigation and power projects costing above Rs 1 crore, the rest of the quantity of cement is distributed to the State Governments for their own requirements for projects and for the requirements of quasi-government and public bodies and civil supply. A statement showing the cement allocation to each State for the last nine months is laid on the Table of the House

श्री विभूति मिश्र मैं यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि केन्द्रीय सरकार हर तिमाही में राज्य सरकारों को सीमेंट का वितरण करती है और जब कि मैं समझता हूँ कि हर एक राज्य में ऐसे स्थान मौजूद हैं जहाँ रेल और ट्रान्स्पोर्ट की दिककत होने के कारण वहाँ से ६ महीने बाद जा कर नहीं सामान पहुँचता है तो इस दिककत को ख्याल रखते हुये क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार पहले से ही ६ महीने या ८ महीने की सम्बन्धित राज्य की सीमेंट आवश्यकता के अनुसार वितरित करने की व्यवस्था कर देगी ?

श्री मनुभाई शाह माननीय सदस्य जो कह रहे हैं ऐसा आजकल होता नहीं है। सीमेंट तैयार होते ही राज्यों में रेलगाड़ियों के जरिये पहुँचा दिया जाता है। ऐसा मुमकिन है कि जैसा कि माननीय सदस्य कह रहे हैं कि किसी किसी इलाके में कुछ सीमेंट की तंगी हो और अगर ऐसी कोई बात हो तो माननीय सदस्य या तो राज्य सरकार को लिखें या

हमें बतायें तो हम इस बात की कोशिश करेंगे कि उनकी कलीक को दूर किया जाय।

श्री विभूति मिश्र शायद माननीय मंत्री ने अभी उत्तरी बिहार क्षेत्र के दर्शन नहीं किये हैं नहीं तो उनका पता चल जाता कि वहाँ पर कोई रेल लिंक नहीं है और रेल की पर्याप्त सुविधा न होने के कारण उस क्षेत्र में सीमेंट की कितनी तंगी महसूस होती है ?

श्री मनुभाई शाह मैं दो दफा उत्तरी बिहार हो आया हूँ और वहाँ की अवस्था का अध्ययन किया है और उसका कोई हल निकालने की कोशिश भी की है।

**Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:** May I know whether cement is distributed to the State Governments at the market price or whether any subsidised rate is given to the State Governments?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** As the hon. lady Member knows, there is an equalised price of cement for everybody throughout India in every place.

**Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:** What is the profit that has been made by the State Trading Corporation on the basis of this equalised price all over the country?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** Obviously this question does not arise from the question on the Order Paper. But as the hon. lady Member has asked the question, I may point out that the profit of the State Trading Corporation is not only from the cement trade; it deals with umpteen number of items, it is very difficult to say what is the profit from cement alone.

**Shri B. S. Murthy:** What is the percentage of cement given to the public consumers after deducting the allocation made for Central and State Government purposes, what is the price fixed and how is it being fixed?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** As far as the proportion is concerned, no percentages are worked out. As I have already indicated in my main answer, priority is given to all the national projects. After meeting their requirements, an allocation is made to the State Governments for their projects below Rs 1 crore and for the civil supply requirements. It is for the State Government to decide how much to release for the requirements of the civil population. As regards the price, I would like to remind the hon. Member the answer I have already given, the price is equalised whether for civil supply or for Government.

#### Small Scale Production Centres

\*258. **Shri Supakar.** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) the location of the fifteen Small Scale production centres proposed to be established in 1957-58, and

(b) when these production Centres are likely to start production?

**The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah):** (a) and (b) A statement is laid on the Table of Lok Sabha [See Appendix I, annexure No 77]

**Shri Supakar.** May I know how many educated unemployed persons each of these centres is likely to employ?

**Shri Manubhai Shah.** Roughly I hope they will cover about 10,000 educated unemployed.

**Shri Supakar:** In each centre?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** No, in all the Centres.

**Shri Supakar:** Are statistics about educated unemployed in each State available in order to facilitate the location of the centre in a particular State?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** The location of the centre would not be determined by the quantum of the number of

educated unemployed in that particular State; the Planning Commission has undertaken a survey and no definite statistics are yet available. But, as the hon. Member can see from the allocation, we have tried to cover a pocket of each State where *prima facie* there is a large number of educated unemployed.

**Shri Thimmalah:** May I know if these production centres are located in the community project areas or also in other areas?

**Shri Manubhai Shah.** Not necessarily so. The distribution, as I said, is determined by the quantum of *prima facie* educated unemployed in each area.

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:** May I know if these small-scale production centres are entirely financed by the Centre or whether the State Governments also give some money or whether some amount is also paid in the form of shares in co-operatives?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** This is primarily a central responsibility that we have undertaken.

**Shri Supakar:** In Orissa may I know in which place this centre is likely to be located?

**Shri Manubhai Shah:** I have indicated that there is one centre in Orissa and we are in correspondence with the Orissa Government as to where actually it should be located. Perhaps it will be in Cuttack or somewhere near that.

#### Indians in Ceylon

+

\*259. { **Pandit D. N. Tiwary:**  
**Shri Naushir Bharucha:**

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to refer to the reply given to the supplementaries on Starred Question No 109 on the 17th May, 1957 and state:

(a) the number of families repatriated from Ceylon to India since the Agreement of 1954 and the places where they have been settled;

(b) whether the Ceylon Government have evolved a scheme which will allow the persons of Indian origin to remain in Ceylon without acquiring citizenship rights; and

(c) if so, the details of the scheme?

**The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of External Affairs (Shri Sadath Ali Khan):** (a) According to figures available, 23,285 Indians who were served with quit notices by the Ceylon Government, returned to India upto the end of June, 1957. Besides this, 26,198 Indians returned to India voluntarily during the same period.

As most of them returned to India with their earnings and have resettled themselves in districts to which they originally belonged, it has not been found necessary to take any special steps for their rehabilitation

(b) and (c) According to press reports, the Government of Ceylon are working out a formula by which stateless persons would be naturalised without the right to vote. The Government of India have, however, received no such scheme from the Government of Ceylon

**Pandit D. N. Tiwary:** May I know whether those Indians, on whom quit notices were served and who have come to India, have settled in India and in which province? May I also know whether the Government is aware that some of them are in indigent circumstances and whether any help was given to them?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru):** This question relates to Indian nationals who have gone usually on business elsewhere; and, normally, of course, the Government concerned has the right to terminate the visa period or the permit period. This may be done in a way to give adequate facilities to the party or not. When they come back, they can bring their belongings with them. So, normally the question of assisting them does not arise, excepting in so far as one might assist any person who is in difficulty, which is desirable. There

may be special cases where some special effort might be made.

**Shri Naushir Bharucha:** Is the Government aware that the Government of Ceylon have already disposed of 4,50,000 applications for citizenship from persons of Indian origin, rejecting those applications?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** The hon. Member is suffering under some confusion. This question is about Indian nationals, and not about non-Indian nationals. He is referring to non-Indian nationals.

**Shri Naushir Bharucha:** I am not referring to Indian nationals; I am referring to persons of Indian origin.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** The question relates to an entirely different category of persons.

**Shri Tangamani:** Part (b) of the question relates to persons of Indian origin

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** I cannot immediately say what the exact figure is, but it is perfectly true that a large number of such applications have been rejected by the Ceylon Government.

**Shri Hem Barua:** In view of the fact that the Government of Ceylon is working out proposals to naturalise these Indians without giving them the right to vote, may I know if the Government is going to take certain measures so as to ensure the rights of citizenship to these Indians?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** The Government of India can take no measures in a foreign independent country, except friendly approaches and diplomatic approaches

**Shri R. Ramanathan Chettiar:** May I know whether, it is hoped as a result of the talks that our revered Prime Minister had with the Prime Minister of Ceylon, such questions will be settled in the very near future?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** I cannot say when they will be settled. That is a very old standing question. I

would not like to give an assurance as to when they will be settled.

**Shri Thanu Pillai:** In answer to part (a) it was said that the people who have come from Ceylon have come with their belongings. Is the Government aware that a large number of them were ordinary workers and they have come with nothing to India?

**Mr. Speaker:** They have no belongings.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** Indian nationals there are of many types: merchants, domestic servants, petty shop-keepers, barbers and people in such like employment. I can very well understand that many of them are not what might be called well off.

**Shri Thanu Pillai:** In such cases, will they be rehabilitated by the Government of India?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** Government of India does not normally have rehabilitation schemes for persons coming back from other countries. We do try to help them if we can. But, we cannot undertake responsibility as, for instance, we did in the case of Partition of Punjab. We cannot take that type of responsibility. We help, if we can, individuals concerned.

**Shri Punnoose:** Am I to understand that the figures given do not include the thousands of Indians who may have been Indian citizens or people who have been in Ceylon for long years and who have come back to India because they were denied jobs or because their visas were over? They have come thousands of people. Does the figure include those Indians also and may I know whether any rehabilitation measures have been taken for them?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** I have not completely heard the hon. Member's question.

**Mr. Speaker:** Does the figure include every category of Indians who come away from Ceylon?

**Shri Punnoose:** Several thousands have come back to Madras and Kerala.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** I know quite a number have come back to Kerala. This is a matter entirely in law in the competence of the Ceylon Government, that is, to deal with the non-nationals who have come here. As I said, the Government may deal with them in any way. Because of great distress or no distress, we try to deal with these matters. We cannot challenge their right in the case of non-nationals, just as no one can challenge our right to deal with non-nationals in India.

**Shri Ranga:** In view of the fact that a large number of people are being more or less compelled, being obliged to leave Ceylon—not that they wanted to leave, but they had to leave under the orders of the Government—would the Government consider the advisability of instructing our Labour Exchanges and also aiding the State Governments to give them special preference in finding employment for them when they come back?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** I think that has been done.

**Shri Thanu Pillai:** No, Sir.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** We have communicated to the local Governments,—I know that—to give them facilities and help so far as they can, and the Labour Exchanges, etc. Whether it has been implemented to any large extent, I do not know.

#### Ashoka and Janpath Hotels

\*260. { **Shri V. P. Nayar:**  
**Shri Khushwaqt Rai:**  
**Shri H. C. Mathur:**

Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state the amount charged per day for each category of rooms in Ashoka Hotel and Janpath Hotel?

**The Deputy Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri Anil K. Chanda):** A statement giving the tariff of the Ashoka Hotel and Hotel Janpath is laid on the Table of Lok Sabha [See Appendix I, annexure No 78].



**Shri V. P. Nayar:** May I know whether it is a fact that when it is difficult to get rooms in other first class hotels in the city, there are always vacant rooms in the Ashoka Hotel and if so, what are the reasons?

**Shri Anil K. Chanda:** It is a very big hotel and I won't be surprised if occasionally rooms are vacant.

**Some Hon. Members:** Not occasionally; but always.

**Shri Ranga:** A large number of rooms are always vacant

**Shri V. P. Nayar:** May I know whether the Government are aware that in the Ashoka Hotel, which, as the hon. Minister said is a very big hotel, the bearers attending the rooms have to climb down the flight of steps from the fourth floor for every order of each customer and most of the customers are dissatisfied with the delay in service? Have service lifts been provided?

**Shri Anil K. Chanda:** There are service lifts in every floor.

**Mr. Speaker:** This question relates to the charges. The general administration of the Ashoka Hotel is not the question at issue.

**Shri T. B. Vittal Rao:** In the statement laid on the Table of the House, there are some Deluxe suites and Special Deluxe suites with a charge of Rs 200 and 250 per day. Could we know what is the occupation ratio, for how many days in a month these are occupied?

**Shri Anil K. Chanda:** Does the hon. Member refer to the luxury suites? A separate question may be asked. But, very often these suites are in occupation.

**Shri Tyagi:** May I know what is the average loss per day, these days, in maintaining this luxury of Ashoka Hotel?

**Shri Anil K. Chanda:** Till the Profit and Loss accounts are finally prepared

at the end of the year, it is impossible to categorically say anything about the financial position of the hotel.

**Shri Tyagi:** It is surprising that the Government does not know the daily loss incurred in this and how many rooms are occupied and how many are vacant. They should know.

**Shri Anil K. Chanda:** There is a certain income every month and certain adjustments have also to be made. I am surprised that an Ex-Finance Minister should put a question like this.

**Shri Tyagi:** What is the average daily expenditure on the Hotel?

**Shri Anil K. Chanda:** The total annual expenditure is...

**Shri Tyagi:** I want the daily average.

**Shri Anil K. Chanda:** You can divide it by 365. The annual expenditure estimated to be Rs. 45 lakhs.

**Mr. Speaker:** It is not a cross-examination.

**Shri Tyagi:** Why should matters be minced? The House must be told what is the daily loss they are incurring from day to day.

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru):** The hon. Member is speaking with less than his usual clarity.

**Shri Tyagi:** Because the loss is very great.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** If I may say so, it is quite extraordinary to judge of the success or non-success of a hotel on some odd daily figure. There is no doubt—I do not know the figure—there has thus far been continuing and considerable loss. The Hotel was started, I believe, 7 or 8 months ago when it was incomplete, built partly. It is still being built. It is not yet built. It was started, as the House probably knows, more especially for the U.N.E.S.C.O. conference when 1200 delegates came. It was built with great speed. The staff



was also engaged with great speed. Because of that speed, the staff, unfortunately, could not be adequately trained. There have been, I believe, as one hon. Member pointed out, complaints about service. These are being improved. I cannot say exactly what the financial position of the hotel will be later. But, there is no doubt that a Hotel like that had become a necessity in Delhi. As a result of the coming into existence of that hotel, the other hotel charges have gone down. Otherwise, there was no competition at all. It has been certainly a great convenience to a large number of people coming from foreign countries. For instance, I believe, in the course of a month or two, the whole hotel has been engaged by one party. One big party coming in a big liner engages the whole hotel. I cannot say what will happen in the future. I believe that a hotel like this, in Delhi, ought to be certainly not a financial burden, it ought to be a financial success. One must give it some time to settle down. I am told that it has been booked from the next October to the next February.

#### Ambar Charkha

\*261. Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) whether the Ambar Charkha scheme has so far provided any additional employment, and

(b) whether Government have examined the feasibility of its projected large-scale investment on this scheme?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra):

(a) Yes, Sir, the Ambar Charkha programme has provided employment to about 73,000 persons upto the end of June, 1957.

(b) Before the project was approved, a pilot scheme was undertaken with a view to test the potentialities of the Ambar Charkha and its economic aspects. The reactions of handloom weavers to the yarn produced

were also studied. Subsequently, the Ambar Charkha Enquiry Committee was appointed with a special Economic Sub-Committee to examine the Technical and economic aspects in detail, based on the findings of the various technological laboratories which had tested the spinning unit as well as the results of the pilot project. It was decided to introduce 75,000 Ambar Charkhas during 1956-57. A review of the working of the programme was made to determine its size for 1957-58. An additional 180,000 Ambar Charkhas have been sanctioned. Half the fund for expansion was provided in the first instance. The balance was to be sanctioned after a review in September, 1957.

Government have throughout adopted a cautious approach to this project and have evaluated achievements at frequent intervals before sanctioning further expansion.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I know what progress has been achieved so far regarding the target of 300 million yards of cloth ear-marked for ambar yarn, and whether such progress is a good augury for the future?

Shri Satish Chandra: The number of ambar charkhas which have been manufactured so far is about 98,500. The production of cloth has been a little more than 2 million yards, but as the programme gains momentum, it is expected that 300 million yards could be produced by 1960-61 by introducing 8 lakh ambar charkhas.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: In view of the closure of certain mills, would it not be desirable to divert part of the attention of Government from the ambar yarn problem to the other problems?

Shri Satish Chandra: The total production of cloth in textile mills has also been increasing gradually.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: May I know why Government must be weavers and hotel keepers? Can they not do administration better?

Shri C. D. Pande: What is the average earning per day of a person who plies the ambar charkha?

**Shri Satish Chandra:** It all depends upon the speed and skill of the man. A man can spin from six to ten hanks a day. The wages paid are 1½ annas per hank.

**Shri Shroenarayan Das:** What is the number of persons so far trained in this ambar charkha and how many of them are engaged in this work?

**Shri Satish Chandra:** 73,000 people have been found employment as a result of the ambar charkha programme.

**Shri A. C. Guha:** How many of them have been trained?

**Shri Satish Chandra:** All men are trained.

**Shri A. C. Guha:** How many of them are engaged?

**Shri Satish Chandra:** Out of this, about 61,000 have been provided ambar charkhas for independent operation. The rest are under training or are employed on making ambar charkhas or on other jobs connected with it.

**Shri C. D. Fande:** Does the wage of six annas a day also include the subsidy portion on it?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** The wage is not six annas a day. The wage varies from 14 annas to nearly Rs 1-8-0.

**Shri Heda:** Is it the desire of the Government that every ordinary spinner in Khadi should be provided with ambar charkha? If so, the number of charkhas will have to be increased. In that case, what will be the target?

**Shri Satish Chandra:** The present target for 1960-61 is 8 lakh ambar charkhas if 300 million yards are to be produced. The Government so far have sanctioned only 2,55,000 ambar charkhas. The rest of the programme is still to be considered.

**Shri Gajendra Prasad Sinha:** Is there any difficulty in finding out market for the yarn produced by the ambar charkha?

**Shri Satish Chandra:** All the yarn is woven under the auspices of the Khadi Commission and is being sold through their sales depots.

**Shri Shankaraiya:** May I know whether it has come to the notice of Government that in many of the Parishramalayas for want of sufficient number of charkhas, chance is given by rotation and full employment is not given? May I also know the amount of wages earned by each member?

**The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah):** That is why the Khadi Commission is now increasing the number of ambar charkhas.

#### **Integrated Publicity Programme of Plans**

\*263. **Shri S. C. Samanta:** Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state—

(a) whether the First Five Year Plan Integrated Publicity Programme was carried out according to the schedule,

(b) whether there have been any changes in the regions, headquarters and jurisdiction of the regional officers appointed, and

(c) if so, what are they?

**The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar):** (a) Generally speaking, yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) Changes were made to conform to the reorganised boundaries of States, and a copy of the statement showing the old and revised set-up is laid on the Table of Lok Sabha [See Appendix I, annexure No 79].

**Shri S. C. Samanta:** May I know whether there is any proposal to create new zones especially in the South?

**Dr. Keskar:** No, Sir.

**Shri S. C. Samanta:** May I know whether the sum allotted during the first Plan has been fully spent?

**Dr. Keskar:** As I said, the programme has been carried out, and according to the statement the hon. Member will see that the sum has been practically spent. I mean, there is a difference. For example, the sum allotted is Rs 37½ lakhs, and a sum of Rs. 36½ or Rs. 37 lakhs has been spent. There is a slight difference. Most of the amount has been spent, and in any case most of the programme has been carried out

**Shri Kasiwal:** May I know how many documentaries were produced by this Ministry as part of an integrated publicity programme for the First Plan, and what is the number that is proposed to be produced for the Second Plan?

**Dr. Keskar:** It will be difficult for me to give the exact number, but the documentaries produced for the First Plan can be said to be only for three years because the publicity programme began only in the third year of the Plan. It was between 40 and 50. Regarding the current Plan, I would require notice to give exact figures

**Shri Ranga:** On what basis are these zones formed? How many zones are there in South India in view of the fact that there are five languages there?

**Dr. Keskar:** The zones are formed on the basis of the funds allocated for this programme. What I mean is that we would have liked to have more zones, but the amount allocated was not adequate for having many more zones and we had to satisfy ourselves with what we could according to the financial position. In the South at present there are two zones, one known as Central and the other South. Most of the southern States are divided into these two zones.

**Shri Ranga:** Are funds allocated on the basis of the number of people who speak these different languages, or the importance that these various languages hold in our national life?

**Dr. Keskar:** The headquarters and also the distribution of the zones has

been made more on the basis of our co-ordination with the State Governments. For example, our publicity scheme for the Five Year Plan is not by the Centre alone. It is done in co-operation with the State Government. We pool whatever resources the State Government has with our resources, and it is on the basis of the resources available that we have made the zones

**Shri B. S. Murthy:** May I know why the population basis has not been taken into consideration because in the matter of publicity population counts?

**Dr. Keskar:** That is because in certain States the State machinery for publicity was quite inadequate and we had to give more emphasis and help to those States. Ultimately our objective is that the work of publicity should be carried on well and on an equitable basis throughout the country

#### Moradabad Brassware

\*264. **Shri S. M. Banerjee:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the quality of plating of Moradabad brassware is deteriorating,

(b) if so, the reasons therefor,

(c) whether the Electroplating Co-operatives applied for import licences for good polishing composition, and

(d) if so, the steps Government propose to take in the matter?

**The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah):** (a) Yes, Sir

(b) This is reported to be due mainly to unhealthy competition amongst the manufacturers and non-availability of good quality polishing composition

(c) The Cottage Industries Electroplating Co-operatives Society Limited, Moradabad applied for an actual user import licence

(d) 23 quota licences have been issued in respect of the January-June period. The Government of Uttar Pradesh have introduced a scheme for the quality marking of Moradabad brassware with financial assistance from the Central Government. The question of granting an adequate quota for import license for polishing composition for distribution to the manufacturers of Moradabad brassware is also under consideration.

#### Religious Shrines in Pakistan

\*268. **Shri A. S. Sarhadi:** Will the Prime Minister be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No 1439 on the 21st December, 1956 and state.

(a) whether any meeting of the Joint Committee consisting of representatives of India and Pakistan set up to work out the details pertaining to the preparation of a list of important shrines in West Pakistan and their protection and preservation has been held so far, and

(b) if so, with what results?

**The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of External Affairs (Shri Sadath Ali Khan):** (a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise

**Shri A. S. Sarhadi:** In view of the fact that no co-operation is coming forth from Pakistan on this issue, and none is expected in the near future, may I know whether Government have thought of a way, through the United Nations or otherwise, of finding a permanent solution of this problem?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru):** I am not prepared to say that no co-operation can be expected from Pakistan in regard to this particular issue, whatever other issues there might be. In fact, in the past, there has been a good deal of co-operation in regard to shrines. I do not see why the hon Member should be so exceedingly pessimistic about it. Anyway, there is no other way of approach except by co-operation.

#### Report of Indian Delegation to China

**Shri Shree Narayan Das:**  
**Shri D. C. Sharma:**  
**Shri Bhakt Darshan:**  
**Shri Bibhuti Mishra:**  
 \*269. **Dr. Ram Subhag Singh:**  
**Shri Ram Krishan:**  
**Shri Naval Prabhakar:**  
**Shri A. S. Sarhadi:**  
**Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:**

Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:

(a) whether the report submitted by the delegation headed by Shri R K Patil which visited China has been considered; and

(b) if so, the action proposed to be taken?

**The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Labour and Employment and Planning (Shri L. N. Mishra):**

(a) and (b) The report submitted by the Co-operative Delegation to China is under examination in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture in consultation with the Planning Commission. The Ministry of Food and Agriculture have requested State Governments for their views and suggestions on the recommendations made in the report of the Co-operative and Agricultural Delegations to China. A copy of the Ministry's letter dated the 10th July is placed on the Table of Lok Sabha [Placed in Library See No S-136/57]

**Shri Shree Narayan Das:** From the statement, it appears that one letter dated the 13th June, 1953, was sent to the various States regarding the development of co-operative farming, and it was envisaged in the letter that quarterly reports in this connection would be submitted by the various State Governments to the Central Government. May I know whether the State Governments have submitted any reports so far?

**Shri L. N. Mishra:** The letter was issued by the Ministry of Food and Agriculture, and I believe that that

Ministry might be receiving such regular reports from the State Governments.

**Shri Shree Narayan Das:** May I know whether in pursuance of the report submitted by this delegation, any organisation has been set up at the Centre to deal with co-operative farming and to co-ordinate the activities of the various States in this respect?

**Shri L. N. Mishra:** This is one of the various recommendations made by this delegation. Since the whole report is under consideration, it is difficult to say anything at this stage.

**Mr. Speaker:** Next question.

**Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:** There are so many Members who have tabled this question. So, at least two or three more Members should be allowed to ask supplementary questions.

**Mr. Speaker:** I have already allowed two supplementary questions.

It is true that several Members may have tabled the question. The answer is that the report is under consideration. If, in anticipation of what is contained in the report, hon. Members go on putting questions, am I to allow those questions? Hon. Members must first see what the report is, and thereafter ask question.

**Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:** On a point of information. The report is before us, and we have read the report. Now, the report is under the consideration of Government. There are basic differences in that report, and some members of that delegation have given altogether different arguments in their dissent report. Those arguments also are before us. Now, there are certain doubts that arise in our mind. We want to know what the policy of Government in this regard is. Should we not be allowed to ask supplementary questions on this?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru):** How can the hon. lady

Member or anybody else expect a discussion of policy in answer to a question?

**Mr. Speaker:** Apart from that, no question of policy can be raised on a question. That is clear, as the Prime Minister has stated. That is provided for under the rules.

However, what I would say is this. The report is before the House, and I am extremely glad that hon. Members have read the report. The report is under the consideration of Government. Does the hon. lady Member want to use this question for the purpose of having a debate and for suggesting to Government in anticipation, 'You must accept this recommendation; you must not accept that recommendation and so on'? I am not able to understand the hurry. All that the hon. lady Member can ask is 'Why do you not get the resolution of Government as quickly as possible?', and they will do so.

I now proceed to the next question.

**Shri Ranga:** But there is only one consideration. They themselves have stated that they have asked for quarterly reports from State Governments, long before they have decided the action that they propose to take, and long before they have made up their mind as to the consideration of this particular report which contains both majority as well as minority views.

**Mr. Speaker:** Let the State Governments consider it in the same manner as the Central Government are doing.

**Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:** I would like to bring to your notice one other point. While the report has not been considered by Government fully, the Food and Agriculture Ministry have stated in the meanwhile that they are going to start one thousand co-operative farms in the country during a period of five years. What is the basis of that statement? If the Prime Minister is not prepared to give us any indication of Government's policy or of how Government's mind is working in this regard, how do the Food and Agriculture Ministry make

this statement that they are going to open a thousand co-operative farms?

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** Government's mind is perfectly clear. The hon lady Member might be assured

**Mr. Speaker:** I believe all the agitation is only for this purpose. If Government are considering the report, and are not willing to take the House into confidence, when questions are asked as to what exactly has been done, let no Minister go about saying, 'Yes, we are implementing this report.' That is rather embarrassing. Either Government must be prepared to answer to this House primarily, or if they are not willing to answer, let them keep quiet until the entire answer is placed before the House.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** With all respect to you, I do not quite understand what this argument is about. Government policy has been laid down in the Five Year Plan. It may be varied here and there according to circumstances.

When reports come to us from other countries, we try to study them and profit by them, and to some extent they may influence our policy here and there. The argument in that report from China is a very interesting one, but really it has no effect on the immediate work of any Plan or the steps that the Agriculture Ministry might be taking. That may be a subsequent step possibly.

**Mr. Speaker:** Next question.

**Pandit D. N. Tiwary:** The Ministers of some of the State Governments have expressed their opinion against co-operative farming.

**Shri Ranga:** There is no scheme in it. There are only minority and majority schemes.

**Mr. Speaker:** I have already called the next question.

कच्ची फिल्मों इत्यादि का निर्वहण

\*२७० { श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी  
श्री बोरेन राव

क्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि भारत में कच्ची फिल्मों, चित्रोपयोगी कागज तथा एक्सरे के लिये फिल्म तैयार करने के उद्योग स्थापित करने के सम्बन्ध में अब तक क्या प्रगति हुई है ?

वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग उपमन्त्री (श्री सतीश चन्द्र) : पूर्वी जर्मनी से मैसर्स थ्रुफोन का एक विशेषज्ञ दल जनवरी १९५६ में भारत आया था। उसने एक रिपोर्ट हमें दी थी जिसमें बताया गया है कि भारत में सिनेमा की फिल्मों, एक्सरे-फिल्मों तथा फोटोग्राफी के काम आने वाला कागज बनाने का एक कारखाना स्थापित किया जा सकता है। इस विदेशी फर्म से अभी बातचीत चल रही है।

चित्रोपयोगी कागज की ५० प्रतिशत आवश्यकता देश में बने कागज से पूरी होती है और शेष आयात करके। चित्रोपयोगी कागज बनाने की और भी क्षमता स्थापित करने का इस समय कोई प्रस्ताव नहीं है।

श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी : मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि जब कि हमारे देश में फिल्म उद्योग बहुत बड़ा बढ़ा हुआ है और उसके लिये फिल्मों की बहुत अधिक आवश्यकता है तो क्या कारण है कि सरकार इस कारखाने को स्थापित करने में इतनी देरी कर रही है ?

श्री सतीश चन्द्र : विलम्ब तो नहीं हो रहा है। हमारी पूरी कोशिश है कि कारखाना जल्दी से जल्दी लग जाये और इसके बारे में बातचीत चल रही है। मुमकिन है कि शीघ्र ही कोई निश्चय हो जाये।

श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी इस सम्बन्ध में पंच वर्षीय योजना में क्या सरकार ने कोई बनराशि मुकरर की है और यदि की है तो कितनी और कब तक यह कारखाना चालू हो जायेगा ?

श्री सतीश चन्द्र इसके लिये करोड़, ७ ८ करोड़ कैपिटल इन्वेस्टमेंट, ग्लोबल बैंकिंग कैपिटल के, की आवश्यकता है और हमको इस रुपये के मिलने की आशा भी है ।

**Shri Dasappa:** May I know whether these negotiations have not been going on for the last three years with this particular firm?

**Shri Manubhai Shah.** It is a fact that the negotiations are going on, but, as the hon Member is aware, this is a sort of monopoly manufacture business in the whole world. There are only three or four firms in the world, of which only one has offered to discuss this with us. So naturally it takes time.

**Shri G. P. Sinha:** What is the quantity of raw and X-ray films annually imported into India?

**Shri Satish Chandra:** The import of cinema films was a little over 300 million feet in 1955-56 and the cost was Rs 2,22,00,000.

#### WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

##### Hired Accommodation for Government Offices

\*245. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that a number of private buildings have been hired by Government for accommodating various offices (including semi-Government Organisations) in Delhi and New Delhi,

(b) if so, how much amount is being paid as rental charges per mens-

(c) the total area hired, and

(d) what steps are being taken to reduce the expenditure?

**The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri K. C. Reddy):** (a) Yes Sir

(b) and (c) Complete information is not immediately available. The same will be placed on the Table of Lok Sabha as soon as available.

(d) Government are undertaking construction of office buildings which should enable their requirements to be met progressively from their own buildings.

##### कराची स्थित भारतीय उच्चायुक्त का कार्यालय

\*२५२ { श्री रघुनाथ सिंह .  
श्री राम सभाष सिंह

क्या प्रश्न मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि क्या यह सत्य है कि पाकिस्तानी समाचार पत्रों में इस बात का प्रचार किया जा रहा है कि कराची स्थित भारतीय उच्चायुक्त का कार्यालय पाकिस्तान के हिन्दुओं को मयूक्त निर्वाचन के विरुद्ध भड़का रहा है और गुप्त समायोक्त कर रहा है ?

वैदेशिक कार्य मन्त्री (श्री.मन्त्री लक्ष्मी मेनन) जी हा । कराची के कुछ अखबारों ने यह झूठा समाचार लगाया है । जो भी हो, इसमें कोई सच्चाई नहीं है ।

##### International Labour Conference

\*253 **Shri Mahanty.** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state

(a) whether the 40th International Labour Conference at Geneva, considered the question of automation in industries in the under-developed countries, and

(b) if so, the views of Government expressed through its Delegates?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) "Labour and Social implications of automation and other technological developments" was a subject for general discussion at the Conference.



(b) The Indian Government delegation expressed the view that (i) in underdeveloped countries with an abundance of Labour and scarcity of capital the accent of economic policy has to be on the maximum use of labour and economy in the use of capital and (ii) lack of technical knowhow and capital resources would set limits to rapid introduction of automation in such countries

#### **Heavy Electrical Equipment Factory**

\*262. **Shri Ram Krishan:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state at what stage is the scheme of Heavy Electrical Equipment Factory?

The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah) The Project Report submitted by the Technical Consultants has been accepted. All preliminary steps for the execution of the Project have already been taken. The land has been acquired and civil works are under construction. The construction of the Training School and ancillary buildings will soon commence. The requisite machinery for the Training School has been ordered. Some engineer trainees are undergoing training in the UK. Negotiations for placing orders for the machinery to be installed in the factory are in progress.

#### **Commonwealth Economic Conference**

\*265. **Shri Harish Chandra Mathur:** Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether it has been decided to have a Commonwealth Economic Conference in the near future, and

(b) what will be the scope of this conference and at what level it is proposed to be held?

The Deputy Minister of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon):

(a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise

#### **Employment Exchanges**

\*266. **Shri Jhulan Sinha:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state the total number of persons of all grades on the live registers of Employment Exchanges in the country on 31st December, 1956?

The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali): 7,58,503

#### **National Buildings Organisation**

\*267. **Shri Morarka:** Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state

(a) the amount so far spent on the National Buildings Organisation, and

(b) the amount spent on the library built by this Organisation so far?

The Deputy Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri Anil Chanda) (a) About Rs 6.28 lakhs

(b) About Rs 0.56 lakhs

#### **Shipment of Iron and Manganese Ores**

\*271. **Shri Keshava:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) the result of the exploration by the State Trading Corporation of the possibility of using the Cochin port for the shipment of iron and manganese ores from Mysore, and

(b) which are the ports being used at present for the export of these items from Mysore?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra):

(a) The State Trading Corporation have come to the conclusion that it will be a practicable proposition to use the Cochin Port for the shipment of iron and manganese ores. It is proposed to export 50,000 tons of iron ore and 30,000 tons of manganese ore from the port of Cochin during the year July 1957 to June 1958.

(b) Madras, Masulipatam, Kakinada, Vizagapatam and Bombay ports



**Employees' Provident Fund**

\*272. **Shri T. B. Vittal Rao:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 277 on the 22nd May, 1957 and state whether the proposal to enhance the rate of contribution to the Employees' Provident Fund from 6½ to 8½ per cent has since been finalised?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** The matter is still under consideration

**Educated Unemployment**

\*273. { **Dr. Ram Subhag Singh:**  
**Shri D. C. Sharma:**  
**Shri Heda:**

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state.

(a) whether there is any proposal to set up a study group on the educated unemployed,

(b) if so, what will be its functions; and

(c) when is it likely to be set up?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) No

(b) and (c) Do not arise

**Pottery and Ceramic Industry Workers**

\*274 { **Shri A. K. Gopalan:**  
**Shri Narayanankutty Menon:**

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state.

(a) whether the Government are aware that the pottery and ceramic industry workers suffer from silicosis and tuberculosis, and

(b) if so, what precautionary steps Government have taken to protect the health of the workers?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) An industrial hygiene investigation carried out by the Organisation of the Chief Adviser Factories in the pottery

and ceramic industry has revealed a high incidence of Silicosis of and Tuberculosis among workers in the industry

(b) In the report a number of recommendations have been made for the control of dust hazards, improvement of general hygiene of workplaces and for safeguarding the health of the workers. These have been brought to the notice of the State Governments for necessary action

**पीकिंग में भारतीय प्रदर्शनी**

\*275. { **श्री रघूनाथ सिंह :**  
**श्रीमती इला पारुषीचरी :**

क्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि

(क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत सरकार सितम्बर, १९५७ में पीकिंग में एक बहुत बड़ी प्रदर्शनी का आयोजन कर रही है, और

(ख) यदि हा, तो उस प्रदर्शनी की मुख्य विशेषताये क्या होगी ?

वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग उद्गमत्री (श्री सतीश चन्द्र ) (क) जी हा ।

(ख) चीन में होने वाली भारत की यह पहली बड़ी प्रदर्शनी है । इसका उद्देश्य भारत की वस्तुओं की बिक्री के बारे में खोजबीन करना और अपनी औद्योगिक प्रगति को दिखाना है ।

**सीप का बटन उद्योग**

\*276. **पंडित डा ना तिवारी**  
क्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि

(क) क्या प्लास्टिक बटन उद्योग के कारण सीप के बटन उद्योग को जोकि बिहार में विशेषतया कुटीर उद्योग के रूप में है, काफी हानि हुई है ,

(ख) क्या सीप के बटन उद्योग को संरक्षण देने का विचार है, और

(ग) यदि हां, तो सरकार ने इस विषय में क्या कदम उठाये हैं ?

उद्योग मंत्री (श्री मनुभाई साह) .  
(क) जी, हा, कुछ हद तक ।

(ख) और (ग) . बिहार सरकार कुछ कदम उठा रही है, मिसाल के लिये, एक सामान्य सेवा संस्था की स्थापना करना और इस उद्योग को कच्चा माल जुटाने और बिक्री-व्यवस्था करने के लिये वित्तीय सहायता देना ।

#### Refugees at Sealdah

\*277. **Shri H. N. Mukerjee:** Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state the steps that are being taken to provide accommodation for refugees who reside on the platforms and precincts of Sealdah Station?

The Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri Mehr Chand Khanna): According to our information no displaced persons are residing permanently on the platforms and precincts of Sealdah Station. Every now and then deserters from within the State of West Bengal and outside come to Sealdah and take temporary shelter there. Recently some migrants with forged migration certificates have also been noticed. The State Government have been advised to take appropriate action in the matter.

#### Sub-letting of Government Quarters

\*278. **Shri S. C. Samanta:** Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state:

(a) how many cases of sub-letting of Government quarters without the prior permission of Government have come to the notice of the Estate Office during 1956-57;

(b) whether all of them have been suitably dealt with; and

(c) whether any changes in rules are proposed to stop this practice?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri K. C. Reddy): (a) 454.

(b) Action under the rules has been taken in 361 cases. 93 cases are under consideration

(c) The existing rules provide for penalties in cases of subletting without permission such as eviction from Government accommodation combined with debarment up to 5 years and levy of penal rents for the period of subletting. These rules are enforced when cases of subletting come to light. No changes in the rules are considered necessary

#### Gypsum Boards and Dolomitic Lime

\*279. **Shri Morarka:** Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state:

(a) the progress made in the experiments on the manufacture of Gypsum Boards;

(b) where these experiments are actually being carried out;

(c) where do Government propose to set up a demonstration and experimental centre for the manufacture of dolomitic lime, and

(d) the estimated cost in setting up such a centre?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri K. C. Reddy): (a) and (b) At the instance of the Indian Standards Institution, National Buildings Organisation carried out a number of experiments at the Low Cost Housing Exhibition site on Mathura Road. At this stage the aim is to formulate standards for domestic products. Preliminary work has been completed and a report is under preparation.

(c) and (d) It is proposed to carry out a survey with the assistance of the T.C.M. to examine the possibilities of developing manufacture of lime mainly from ordinary lime stone and possibly also from Dolomitic lime. Questions of location of a demonstration and experimental centre and the estimated cost do not arise at this stage.

### Jute and Textile Mills

\*280. **Shri S. M. Banerjee:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state—

(a) whether there is a proposal to take over management of the jute and textile mills which have since been closed by the mill owners, and

(b) if so, the names of those mills?

**The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kanungo):** (a) No such action is under contemplation at present

(b) Does not arise

### Industrial Estate in Mysore

\*281 { **Shri Keshava:**  
**Shri Shankaraiya:**

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) whether any industrial estates have been established in the State of Mysore and

(b) if so, where?

**The Minister of Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah):** (a) and (b) The establishment of an Industrial Estate in Yadagiri Extension, Mysore has been sanctioned. Land has been acquired by the State Government. Levelling of land and construction of six sheds are in progress.

The State Government propose to set up Industrial Estates at Harihar, Bangalore, Ramanagaram, Gulbarga, Mangalore, Belgaum and Hubli. On receipt of these schemes from the State Governments they will be scrutinized and formal approval issued.

### Industrial Committee on Inland Transport Services

\*282. **Shri T. B. Vittal Rao:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state

(a) whether any Trade Union Organisations have submitted any subjects for inclusion in the agenda for the meeting of the Industrial Committee on Inland Transport Services; and

(b) whether the agenda for the meeting has been finalised?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) Yes

(b) The constitution of the Committee is being considered and the agenda will be finalised when that has been done.

### Colliery Labour Disputes

\*283. **Dr. Ram Subhag Singh:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state

(a) whether Government have made any attempt to promote settlement of labour disputes between colliery owners and colliery workers out of court,

(b) if so, the nature of attempt made in that regard, and

(c) the outcome of the attempts made so far?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) to (c) Government made an attempt through discussions with the representatives of the colliery owners and workers to resolve the dispute over the implementation of the decision of the Labour Appellate Tribunal as a result of which the colliery owners agreed to withdraw their appeals pending before the Supreme Court and implement the award. Workers agreed to receive the arrears of wages arising out of the award in nine quarterly instalments.

### Workers' Education Seminar

\*284 { **Shri A. K. Gopalan:**  
**Shri Narayanankutty Menon:**

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state the names of the National Trade Federations of different Industries from which representatives were invited to the Workers' Education Seminar held in March, 1957.

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):**

**EMPLOYERS' ORGANISATIONS**

- 1 Employers Federation of India
- 2 All India Organisation of Industrial Employers
- 3 All India Manufacturers Organisation

**WORKERS' ORGANISATIONS**

- 1 Indian National Trade Union Congress
- 2 Hind Mazdoor Sabha
- 3 All India Trade Union Congress
- 4 National Federation of Indian Railwaymen
- 5 Post & Telegraph Employees' Union

**Rationalisation in Cotton Mills**

\*285. **Shri Raghunath Singh:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state

(a) how many labourers were retrenched during 1956-57 on account of rationalization in cotton mills of India, and

(b) how many retrenched labourers were absorbed?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) I presume that by rationalisation which is a wide term, the Honourable Member is referring to replacement of plain looms by automatic looms. No retrenchment has taken place in 1956-57 on this account.

(b) Does not arise.

**Heavy Water Production**

\*286. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Prime Minister be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No 15 on the 14th May, 1957, and state whether any decision has since been taken regarding the production of Heavy Water at Sindri?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru):** No. A number of different

processes relating to the production of heavy water are at present under study at Sindri.

**Rock Salt**

\*287. **Pandit D. N. Tiwary:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) whether Government are aware that the price of rock salt imported from Pakistan has gone very high, and

(b) the reason for the high price of this variety of salt?

**The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Satish Chandra):**

(a) No rock salt has been imported from Pakistan since October, 1950.

(b) The question does not arise.

**Fine Chemicals**

166. **Shri V. P. Nayar:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No 252 on the 27th May, 1957 and state the names of the Indian and foreign firms which propose to collaborate in the scheme to produce a large number of fine chemicals referred to in answer to part (1) of that question?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** As the scheme has not yet been finalised and approved by Government, it is not considered appropriate to disclose the names of the Indian and foreign firms at this stage.

**High Tension Insulators**

167. **Shri V. P. Nayar:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) whether Government had received any application for the establishment of a new unit or for expansion of any existing unit for the production of High Tension Insulators, from the Government of the erstwhile

Travancore-Cochin State or the Kerala State prior to 15th April, 1957; and

(b) if so, what were the proposals?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise

#### Manufacture of Antibiotics

168. { Shri V. P. Nayar:  
Shri Easwara Iyer:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the extent to which the manufacture of the following drugs from the primary products had progressed:

(i) Sulpha drugs (ii) Penicillin  
(iii) Streptomycin (iv) Terramycin  
(v) Aureomycin and (vi) Chloromycetin?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai): (i) Sulpha Drugs: Out of 12 firms licensed for the production of sulpha drugs, with a total installed capacity of 450 tons per annum, 10 firms with a capacity of about 90 tons have already undertaken production from basic raw materials. One firm with a capacity of 110 tons has also started manufacture from an intermediate nearer to basic chemicals. The balance is being produced from penultimate products

(ii) Penicillin (Bulk): M/s. Hindustan Antibiotics Ltd. are producing penicillin from basic raw materials with a capacity of 15 million m.u. per annum. This capacity is being expanded.

(iii) Streptomycin (Bulk): Streptomycin is not being produced at present in the country, but a scheme for its manufacture by M/s. Hindustan Antibiotics is under consideration.

(iv) Terramycin (Oxy-tetracyclin). This is not being produced in the country.

(v) Aureomycin (Chlor-tetracyclin): This is not being produced by one firm starting from crude aureomycin with a capacity of 9,600 kg. per annum.

(vi) Chloromycetin (Chloramphenicol): Out of 3 firms licensed to produce chloramphenicol with a total capacity of 14,200 kg. per annum, one is producing it from an intermediate, 'levo base' with a capacity of 3,600 kg. per annum, to be increased to 10,000 kg. per annum. The remaining two firms, with a total capacity of 4,200 kg. per annum, have not gone into production yet.

#### Bombay Cotton Exchange

170. Shri V. P. Nayar: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) the total turn-over of business in the Bombay Cotton Exchange in the five years of the First Five Year Plan and the first year of the Second Five Year Plan; and

(b) the profit or loss in the trade in the above years?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) The total turn-over of business in the forward section of the East India Cotton Association, Bombay was as follows:

Cotton season	
1st September to	(in lakh bales of
31st August	400 lbs. each)
1954-55	1,052
1955-56	465
1956-57	910

The figures for other years are not available.

(b) Government have no information.

#### Raw Cotton and Yarn Prices

171. Shri V. P. Nayar: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the indices of raw cotton and yarn prices for the years 1953-57 with base as 1939?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** Index Numbers of wholesale prices of raw cotton and cotton yarn for the years 1953-56 and for the first six months of 1957 are as under:

Base Year ended Aug. 1939—100		
Year & Month	Raw Cotton	Cotton Yarn
1953	440*	441
1954	464	454
1955	402	423
1956	479*	475
1957.		
January	469	492
February	479*	488
March	487*	484
April	491*	483
May	502*	478
June	504	481

\* Estimated.

#### Cotton Textile Industry

**172. Shri V. P. Nayar:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) the price of raw materials paid for the period from 1951—1957 in the Cotton Textile industry, and

(b) the total wage bills paid and the profits earned by the industry during the above period?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** (a) The raw material which is consumed by cotton textile industry is mainly cotton and during the period 1951—57, cotton worth about Rs 250 crores has been consumed

(b) Precise information is not available. However, the cotton textile industry at present pays about Rs 100 crores as wages per year. As regards profits, they vary from mill to mill and year to year. In a normal year the average profits may be estimated at about Rs 30 crores representing 8 per cent on the turnover

#### NEFA

**173. Shri Ram Krishan:** Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the scheme for North East Frontier Agency under Second Five Year Plan has been drawn, and

(b) if so, the main features thereof?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru):** (a) Yes. The scheme for development works in NEFA under the Second Five Year Plan has been drawn up

(b) The main objective of the development schemes under the Plan are to

(i) Improve the means of communication and transport in the area,

(ii) Raise the standard of living of the tribal people, at the same time taking care to disturb as little as possible their traditional pattern of life, simplicity and self-reliance

The main heads of expenditure under the Plan are as follows:

1 Engineering (which includes the development of communications)	207 25 lakhs.
2 Agriculture	51 56 "
3 Forests	29 26 "
4 Community Development/National Extension Service	76 27 "
5 Medical and Public Health	58 03 "
6 Education	53 83 "
7 Industry	18 48 "
8 Research	13 40 "
9 Publicity	4 50 "
10 Co-operation	5 42 "
11 Planning and Evaluation	2 00 "
<b>Total.</b>	<b>520.00 lakhs</b>

**Radio and Wireless Receiving Sets**

174. **Shri A. K. Gopalan:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the value of imports of wireless receiving sets and radios and spare parts during this year so far?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** A statement is laid on the Table. [See Appendix I, annexure No 80]

**Import of Motor Cars**

175. **Shri A. K. Gopalan:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the value of imports of motor cars and spares for this year, so far?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** A statement is laid on the Table [See Appendix I, annexure No 81]

**Refrigerators and Air-conditioning Equipment etc.**

176. **Shri A. K. Gopalan:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the value of imports of refrigerators, Air-conditioning equipment, cooling apparatus etc. and their spare parts during this year so far?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** A statement is laid on the Table [See Appendix I, annexure No 82.]

**Development of Textile Industry**

177. **Shri M. V. Krishna Rao:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the total amount allocated to the Textile Export Promotion Council, the Textile Research Institutes and similar other organisations connected with the development of the Textile industry during the period 1953 to 1957?

**The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kanungo):** A statement is laid on the Table. [See Appendix I, annexure No. 83.]

**Workers in Textile Industry**

178. **Shri M. V. Krishna Rao:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount sanctioned as grants-in-aid and disbursed actually (State-wise) to the Textile industry and its allied units like processing and finishing factories to provide amenities to their workers such as Housing Schemes, Provident Fund Scheme, Insurance and Medical Relief and Education during the years from 1953 to 1957; and

(b) the number of workers who have been benefited by such grants-in-aid?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) No grant-in-aid was sanctioned

(b) Does not arise

**Indian Pilgrims to Pakistan**

179. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of pilgrims from India who attended the religious fairs in Pakistan during the current year so far; and

(b) the facilities provided for the pilgrims by the Government of Pakistan?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru):** (a) 869

(b) The Government of Pakistan provided to the pilgrims the usual facilities for transport, board and lodging, on payment

**All India Radio**

180. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state the total number of Scheduled Caste personnel employed in the All India Radio as on the 30th June, 1957?

**The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar):** The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of Lok Sabha.

**Central Training Institute, Koni**

181. **Shri Keshava:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state—

(a) the number of trainees receiving instructions at the Central Training Institute at Koni (Bilaspur) in Madhya Pradesh at present, and

(b) the cost of running this Institution?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) 158 on 30th June, 1957

(b) The annual cost is Rs 1,57,000 approximately

**Film on Untouchability**

184. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 11 on the 14th May, 1957, and state the result of their discussions with some well known producers regarding the proposal for producing a full-length educative film on the removal of untouchability?

**The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar):** The proposal is still under discussion with some well-known producers

**Import of Drugs by Post**

185. **Shri S. C. Samanta:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 294 on the 28th February, 1955 and state whether it is a fact that Indian doctors try to by-pass import restrictions by getting drugs from foreign countries by post?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** No such case has come to the notice of the Government.

**Displaced Persons in Tripura**

186. **Shri Dasaratha Deb:** Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that an investigation was carried out by the

Statistical Bureau of the Union Government into the conditions of the displaced persons in Tripura during 1956; and

(b) if so, whether Government will publish the reports submitted by the Statistical Bureau?

**The Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri Mehr Chand Khanna):** (a) and (b) A Statistical Survey of displaced persons in Tripura was undertaken by the Rehabilitation Department of the Tripura Administration in 1956. Field Survey has been completed, and the report is under preparation. It will be published in due course.

**Coffee Houses**

187. { **Shri Heda:**  
      **Shri R. J. Rao:**

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) how many Coffee Houses the Coffee Board has decided to close down,

(b) the number of employees that would be retrenched as a result thereof, and

(c) the losses that the Board had to bear in these Coffee Houses during the last three years?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** (a) The Board has decided to close down gradually all Coffee Houses. The Coffee Houses at Simla, Agra, Guntur and Avenue Road, Bangalore, have already been closed down.

(b) About 164 class III and 852 class IV employees will be retrenched when all the Coffee Houses close down.

(c) The Propaganda Department of the Coffee Board, which is administering these Coffee Houses, had incurred an excess of expenditure over receipts of approximately Rs 4,31,000 (Rupees four lakhs thirty one thousand only) during the years 1953-54 to 1955-56.



### Production of Oils

188. **Shri Heda:** Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state what is the production of (1) Sandal wood oil (2) Palmrose oil (3) Lemongrass oil (4) Peppermint oil (5) Bergamot oil and (6) Citrus oil in India for this year so far?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** A statement giving the required information is laid on the Table [See Appendix I, annexure No 84]

### Government of India Factories in Orissa

189. **Shri Surendranath Dwivedy:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state

(a) the total number of factories and labourers in Orissa which come directly under the Government of India, and

(b) whether the Central Government have any machinery of its own in Orissa besides the Government of Orissa to deal with the Labour problem?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) Six factories and 1029 workers

(b) Yes

### Newsprint Consumption

190. { **Shri D. C. Sharma**  
**Shri Vasudevan Nair:**

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state

(a) whether Government have requested the newspaper publishers to accept a voluntary cut of fifteen per cent in their newsprint consumption, and

(b) if so, the response thereto?

**The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai):** (a)

and (b) The Indian and Eastern Newspaper Society were requested, in view of the tight foreign exchange shortage and of the necessity to curtail imports to the bare minimum, to make an effective contribution to meet the situation by offering to voluntarily reduce substantially their import of newsprint. In response to this request the Society have offered a voluntary cut in import licences upto a maximum of fifteen per cent over their consumption in any of the licensing periods—January-June/56 or July-December/56

### Co-operatives in Tripura

191. **Shri Dasaratha Deb:** Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state

(a) how many co-operatives have been formed in the Division of Khowai, Tripura, exclusively among the displaced persons so far, and

(b) what posts of office-bearers are held in these co-operatives by Government Servants?

**The Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri Mehr Chand Khanna):** (a) Five

(b) Posts of President, Vice-President and Secretary are held by Government Officers for the time being

### Contract Labour in Industries

192. **Shri S. M. Banerjee:** Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state

(a) whether Government have under consideration any plan for the study of living and working conditions of contract labour in various industries, and

(b) if so, which industries and the time by which it will be done?

**The Deputy Minister of Labour (Shri Abid Ali):** (a) and (b) It is proposed to conduct an enquiry into the conditions of labour employed through contractors in various industries. Since a comprehensive enquiry

into the extent and nature of the problem in all the industries, would involve heavy expenditure, time and labour, it has been decided that for the present special surveys should be undertaken in the following industries in which the percentage of contract labour is fairly high:

- (1) Building and construction;
- (2) Iron Ore Mines;
- (3) Ports;
- (4) Railways; and
- (5) Petroleum.

So far as Iron Ore mines are concerned, a questionnaire has been issued and field investigation has started. The work in the other industries will be started soon. The enquiry is likely to be completed in about a year's time.

#### Asian Regional Nuclear Centre in Manila

193. { Dr. Ram Subhag Singh:  
Shri Vajpayee:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received an invitation from the U.S. Government to send representatives to Washington to consider the establishment of an Asian Regional Nuclear Centre in Manila; and

(b) if so, the action Government have taken in that regard?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Government's delegation is at present attending the meeting at Washington

#### Bagasse

194. { Shri Shankaraiya:  
Shri Keshava:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether any licence has been granted for the manufacture of paper

out of Bagasse at Mandya (Sugar Factory) Mysore State;

(b) if so, what is the progress made so far;

(c) whether any assistance from Government has been sought for and if so, with what result; and

(d) when the factory is likely to be started?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The licence was issued in April, 1957, and according to conditions of the licence, 'Effective Steps' are to be taken by the 5th January, 1958.

(c) The request of the firm for the issue of capital and import of plant and machinery on deferred payment terms is under consideration.

(d) Regular production may be started in early 1961

#### Activated Charcoal

195. Shri V. P. Nayar: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) the present production of activated charcoal in India; and

(b) the estimated quantity produced from the rice husk?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) 25 tons per year

(b) 25 tons per year

#### Ambar Charkha Programme

196. Shri Mohamed Imam: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) when the Ambar Charkha scheme was introduced;

(b) the amount spent so far on the implementation of this scheme;

(c) the amount given as grants and loans,

(d) the number of Ambar Charkhas produced so far; and

(e) how many million yards of yarn have been produced by these Charkhas during 1956?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) A pilot programme was introduced in November 1955. On the basis of the result obtained from it and the recommendations of the Ambar Charkha Enquiry Committee, the Ambar Charkha Programme of 1956-57 was sanctioned in June 1956. The implementation of the programme commenced from July of that year.

(b) and (c) The following expenditure has been incurred on the implementation of the scheme upto the 30th June, 1957

Year	In lakhs of Rs	
	Grants	Loans
1956-57	118 40	193 22
1957-58	1 36	3 51
Total	119 76	196 73

(d) The number of charkhas manufactured in 1956-57 was 76,784. The number manufactured in 1957-58 upto the 30th June 1957 was 22,140 making a total of 98,924 so far.

(e) 214,711 lbs.

#### Faridabad Development Corporation

197. Shri Ram Krishan. Will the Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs be pleased to state

(a) whether a Trading Corporation under Faridabad Development Corporation Act has been set up, and

(b) if so, the number of members in the Corporation and their names?

The Minister of Rehabilitation and Minority Affairs (Shri Mehr Chand Khanna): (a) Not yet.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Advertising Agencies

198. Shri Keshava: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

(a) how many foreign controlled advertising agencies are there in the country, and

(b) the names thereof?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): (a) and (b) The number of foreign controlled advertising agencies accredited to the Indian & Eastern Newspaper Society is four. They are

- (i) J Walter Thompson (Eastern Ltd), Bombay, Calcutta, Delhi
- (ii) Grant Advertising Corporation, Calcutta, Bombay
- (iii) D J Keymer & Co, Bombay, Madras
- (iv) Lintas Ltd, Bombay

#### Hindustan Housing Factory

199. Shri Keshava: Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state

(a) whether the Wood Work Department of the Hindustan Housing Factory continues to function from 1st April, 1957, and

(b) if so, on what basis?

The Deputy Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri Anil Chanda): (a) Yes.

(b) It receives and executes orders for the manufacture of doors, windows and utility furniture, both from Government and Private Parties.

#### Rate/Running Contracts

200. Shri Morarka: Will the Minister of Works Housing and Supply be pleased to state

(a) how many rate/running contracts are in existence to day and for what items, and

(b) the total amount of goods bought during the year 1956 under such contracts from each party?

**The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Shri K. C. Reddy).** (a) 298 rate running contracts

A statement of the list of items covered by these contracts is placed in Library [See No S-137/57]

(b) The total value of stores drawn by Direct Demanding Officers against rate/running contracts from all parties during the year 1956 amounted to Rs 27 24 crores approximately, based on the returns furnished by suppliers.

A Statement showing the names of the parties and the value of Stores drawn is placed in Library [See No S-137/57] Returns from 78 parties have not been submitted to the DGS & D

#### O. & M. Division

201. **Shri Morarka** Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state the total amount spent year-wise on the Organisation and Methods Division since its inception?

**The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru).** The year-wise expenditure on pay and allowances of the staff of the O & M Division and the amount spent on contingencies since the inception of the Division in March 1954 are given below

Year	Pay & All owance of Officers & staff	Contingen- cy of ex- penditure (app- roximate- ly)	Total
1	2	3	4
	Rs	Rs	Rs
1954-55	25,968 00	4,900 00	30,868 00
1955-56	75,064 00	6,600 00	81,664 00
1956-57	95,812 00	15,000 00	110,812 00

**Note** The expenditure on contingencies is debited to the Grant allotted under that head to the Cabinet Secretariat as a whole, of which the O & M Division forms only a small part. The figures in column 3 above have been worked out on a proportionate basis

#### Publications Division

202 **Pandit D N. Tiwary:** Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state

(a) the total circulation figures of each of the eighteen magazines published by the Publications Division, and

(b) the number of copies sold and distributed as complementaries?

**The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar):** (a) and (b) A statement giving the information is laid on the Table of Lok Sabha [See Appendix I, annexure No 85]

#### होटल जनपथ

२०३ श्री लुशवक्त राव. क्या निर्माण आवास और सभरल मंत्री यह बताने को कृपा करेंगे कि होटल जनपथ के निर्माण पर कितना व्यय हुआ है ?

**निर्माण, आवास और सभरल मंत्री (श्री व० च० रेड्डी).** कुल खर्च ७० ८६ लाख रुपया है। खर्च का विवरण पत्र सभा की मेज पर रख दिया गया है। [वैकल्पिक परिशिष्ट १, अनुबन्ध सख्या ८६]

12 h14

#### PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

##### AMENDMENT TO RUBBER RULES

**The Minister of Commerce (Shri Kanungo)** I beg to lay on the Table, under sub-section (3) of section 25 of the Rubber Act 1947 a copy of the Notification No SRO 2220 dated the 8th July 1957 making certain amendment to the Rubber Rules, 1955 [Placed in Library See No 8-130/57]

##### AMENDMENT TO COFFEE RULES

**Shri Kanungo** I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (3) of Section 48 of the Coffee Act, 1942, a copy of the Notification No SRO 2221 dated the 8th July 1957, making certain amendment to the Coffee Rules 1955 [Placed in Library See No S-131/57]

## AMENDMENT TO CENTRAL EXCISE RULES

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri B. R. Bhagat): I beg to lay on the Table, under Section 38 of the Central Excise and Salt Act, 1944, a copy of the Notification No SRO 2108 dated the 29th June 1957, making certain further amendments to the Central Excise Rules, 1944 [Placed in Library See No S-132/57]

## NAVY BILL—contd

Mr. Speaker: The House will now proceed with further consideration of the motion moved by the Minister of Defence on the 22nd July 1957, for referring the Navy Bill to a Joint Committee

The Minister of Defence (Shri Krishna Menon) Mr Speaker, Sir, in moving that the Navy Bill be referred to Joint Committee on behalf of Government, I sought to observe here the general rule that we were to deal with the main and salient principles of the proposed legislation. Owing to the considerable interest evinced in the Indian Navy and the attention that various Members had given to the study of this Bill clause by clause, the debate went much further afield and not only covered matters that are not strictly relevant to the Bill at all, but went into the consideration of the various clauses of the Bill in a very detailed manner.

With great respect, if I were to pursue this procedure, I am afraid I shall tire the patience of the House. There will be opportunity for the Joint Committee to consider the Bill clause by clause and, therefore, in replying to the debate, I propose to deal with the main set of observations that were made during the debate.

Government is happy to feel that the Bill has received general welcome in the House. The utmost criticism of it has been that there are some

drawbacks in it. I hope the time will never come when a spokesman of the Government will ever be able to present to this Parliament a Bill which is free from drawbacks, because then the whole purpose of debate and our procedures would stand nullified.

But I am not, as the Minister responsible, prepared to say that the Bill suffers from very serious drawbacks and while I am grateful for the observations made, I am rather sorry to say that criticism of only parts of a section without reference to the other parts has led to a great deal of confusion.

Now, the first general onslaught on this Bill from one or two quarters has been that this too closely follows the British Act and that it is dominated by fear complex. First of all, may I say that there is no Navy Act in Britain as yet? They will have one. Probably it is being debated now in Parliament. Ours is ahead of their prior effort. If it is contended that we should not borrow the experience of other nations in our legislation particularly the experience of British legislation, then perhaps we would immediately have to consider the scrapping of the Government of India Act, and the rules which you, Mr Speaker, follow in this House—I think, they closely follow the rules of the British Parliament.

We have had to take the experience of other peoples and adapt them to our own purposes, not forgetting the social purposes of our community and not forgetting also that this is a law governing the Navy. It is not a law regulating the Hindu Joint Family? Therefore, its purpose is to maintain an efficient Navy under modern conditions, and such penal provisions as may appear in it also reflect the modern trend of opinion.

Not only have we not borrowed any Act, but we have made considerable adaptations to suit our conditions and there is no reason to think that the British or any other Parliament may not benefit by our experience.

As I said yesterday, four or five thousand years of navigation by man has seen the emergence of common question. That is still a prominent feature of all naval law. In clause 84 and 85 of this Bill itself, there is reference to this custom. No Act of Parliament regarding the administration of justice, meting out punishment, maintenance of discipline etc., can be read outside the context of circumstances and history outside the relationship that exists between those on whom these laws make their impact and those who have to administer them. Therefore, to read these clauses outside the context of the history of the Indian Navy would be not to understand the reality of the situation.

I do not know why this particular legislation should be regarded as reflecting a fear complex. All law is based upon sanction, namely, the ultimate power to exercise force and the fear of punishment. That is the basis of modern law. It may be that with the advance of time we may move to other methods of evaluation, of social processes, but at present, this Bill shares the characteristic of all naval laws namely, that if certain regulations are broken, if there is conduct prejudicial to the service of the Navy, then certain penalties are prescribed with necessary safeguards.

If it is said that there is provision against mutiny in the Navy and that arises from a fear complex, all I would say is this, it is not the fear of mutiny but prudent caution against the contingency of a mutiny which would endanger the safety of this country and the position of the armed forces.

The distinguished lady Member from West Bengal referred to the status of women. May I say in this connection that I welcome the statements from that side of the House in general support of the Bill? One cannot but expect that women Members of this House would have something to say about the status of women under my Bill, if they do not, I suppose they would suffer criticism elsewhere. But

the clause that is relevant is clause 10(3). This is a clause which is essential for purposes of this Bill. We have not come to that stage in this country where women should carry lethal weapons in the armed forces. Whether it is the Army, the Navy or the Air Force or the National Cadet Corps, there are certain differences between men and women in respect of joining these services as against other services.

If you read the clause, what it really seeks to state are the exceptions which are brought to the forefront.

*"No woman shall be eligible for appointment or enrolment in the Indian Navy or the Indian Naval Reserve Force except in such department branch or other body forming part thereof or attached thereto"*

Therefore, the positive part of it is that women can still join certain departments, certain branches or other body forming part of the Navy or attached thereto. These are subject to the conditions laid down by the Central Government by notification in the Official Gazette.

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Bastarhat)** My point was this that you just put positively by notification that women can join such and such services. That is all.

**Shri Krishna Menon.** It is only natural that each person should have a different way of putting things. But we are more concerned with the substance. It is put the other way here.

So that this is really a positive provision for the inclusion of women in the services, and it is necessary from a legal point of view to make the reservation from the general provision that men and women are equal under our fundamental rights.

So in drafting this Bill, one has to think—particularly after the last ten years' experience—of how it would fare before the Supreme Court or any other court in the land. Unless such an express reservation was made

[Shri Krishna Menon]

having regard to the general provisions of our Constitution, and at the same time, to meet the other valid objection namely exclusion of women, a specific mention is made by the incorporation of the service to women the purpose that all of us have in mind would not be served.

I hope with this assurance the objection would be far less pointed than it was. Government do not have any objection against the modification or formulation of these clauses if there are better draftsmen on the Joint Committee. I think that is the purpose of the Joint Committee.

Then, we have had a large number of observations on which I am bound to comment because the reports of proceedings in this House are read outside and are also read by the members of the Services. May I say with respect that it is regrettable that suggestions should be made that in legislation put forward by this Government, particularly in regard to the Armed Services, there is an attitude to create a caste of officers. There are officers in every country in the world, irrespective of the nature or economy of their society, in some cases more than in others. But, the suggestion made is that the other ranks of the Navy are treated as an inferior class, socially, biologically or otherwise and that they suffer under greater disabilities.

I do not propose to read the relevant clauses. But, if the relevant clauses relating to these, clauses 53, 54, and 55 were read, it will be found that the officer is placed under more onerous conditions under this Bill than the ratings. That is the position.

I was asked yesterday, why it is an offence for a rating to strike an officer that he would be court-martialled and why is it not an offence for an officer to strike a rating? The answer is, the question is not contemplated as arising because an officer striking a rating is

an officer behaving in a way not consistent with the dignity and duties of the officer and this is provided for in the Bill. He would be acting in an undignified way and thereby subjecting himself to disciplinary action immediately. But, in the other case, it is an unusual occurrence in the normal state of our relationship and, therefore, specific provision has to be made. I want to assure the House that any penalty that will be visited on officers for this lack of good behaviour towards other ranks of the Navy is indeed incorporated in this Bill. There are greater responsibilities resting on those wearing the uniform of officers of Armed Services and the clauses are, therefore, not intended to countenance conduct unbecoming the character of an officer.

I think, Shrimati Renu Chakravartty, in dealing with the question of dismissal with disgrace, seems to have proceeded from the belief that this Bill provides for two kinds of treatment in respect of officers and ratings. The rating, it was alleged, may be dismissed with disgrace and the officer may not. That is not the position at all. If you read clause 55, further on, it says:

"Provided that in the case of officers, unless the sentence of dismissal with disgrace is also awarded "

The clause refers to dismissals with disgrace. Later on, it says that if the officer is dismissed with disgrace, he must resign his commission. In every case where sentences are pronounced on officers, they have to resign their commissions. In the case of the ratings, it does not always mean termination of services. Therefore, if anything it is the other way about and naturally so because the officer has the advantage of greater education and has had the opportunities of exercising responsibility and, therefore, it is expected that he must shoulder this responsibility and behave in that way. Therefore, instead of these provisions being weighted



as against the other ranks of the Navy, the Indian Navy Bill has taken very good care to see that there shall be onerous burdens placed upon the officers of the Indian Navy, to behave in a way consistent with our civilisation, with the background of our country with modern trends of relationships between the officers and men

There are several provisions in the Bill, which when the Joint Committee examines then will come to light and which definitely make provision in regard to the conduct of officers. It is the duty of the officers to cultivate good relationship. But, even if these provisions were not there, I would say that reference is made in clauses 84 and 85 to the custom of the Navy and the relationship that exists is adequate guarantee. And, I would submit that it is a poor tribute to our men, whether they be officers or ratings, to think that the Navy as it exists at present, or the trends of its development or the tendencies which exist, are reflections of an authoritarian attitude towards life and I am happy to say, as Minister of Defence, with the limited experience I have of our Armed Forces, that the relations between our officers and men are sound and healthy

There have been a number of observations with regard to appeals. It was mentioned that Government was careful to mention that there would be no appeals from court-martial and, at the same time, it was pointed out that the procedure of court-martial had been varied to bring it in conformity with modern standards, that the Indian Law of Evidence where applicable to court-martial, that defence by outside advocates or by other persons duly qualified whom the accused wanted to appear before trials, all these things are now incorporated in the Navy Bill. But, appeal in the sense of appeal to the courts or a new trial is not allowed for a very good reason and I hope the Joint Committee will take this into account. By necessity, all punishments in the Armed Forces must be of a character

that is comparatively safe. Otherwise, you cannot maintain discipline in the Armed Forces

Secondly, we could not have procedures which would entail long absence of personnel concerned from their services along with a number of officers if evidence is required and so on and so forth. I would like those who have this apprehension—I do not say this criticism—to bear in mind that, certainly, so far as the Navy is concerned, it is for the greater part of the time afloat. Is the appeal to wait till the ships come back? Circumstances may have changed, probably, evidence might be capable of being produced. What we have avoided in this retrial, going through the witnesses and the whole procedure as in a court of appeal. But review is provided. The review is by the Judge Advocate General whose qualifications are prescribed in the Bill. It is for the Chief of the Naval Staff to deal with the punishments already awarded. What is more, there is a provision in clause 167 which goes much further than any assurance or insurance we give to our civilians in regard to treatment of convicted persons. There may be many persons who think that, perhaps, all of these ought to be introduced into our civil law. That is not for me to say.

Mr Speaker, clause 167 (b) says

"the case may at any time, and shall at intervals of not more than three months, be reconsidered by the Central Government or committing authority or a prescribed officer, and if on any such reconsideration it appears to the Central Government or committing authority or such prescribed officer that the conduct of the offender since his conviction has been such as to justify a remission of the sentence the Central Government or committing authority or such prescribed officer shall remit the whole or any part of it."

There is a provision by which the case comes under review automatically. There is nothing so elaborate



[Shri Krishna Menon]

rate as this in any other law. There is an obligation on the Commanding Officer or the Central Government to review the sentences every three months.

It was argued against the Bill that in this review that is undertaken by the Judge Advocate General or by the Central Government, or indeed, by the Chief of the Naval Staff, the accused or his representatives cannot appear. The Bill does not provide against their appearance. The Bill simply says that it is not obligatory that they should do so. They need not. It is entirely open to the Judge Advocate General to ask for the accused's presence or to call in anybody he considers necessary in the exercise of his judicial mind and discretion in order to assist him in his review. The whole of this procedure is based upon the conception that the Judge Advocate General is not only a being qualified but an independent officer acting with a judicial approach. The clause with reference to this matter is not as rigid as suggested. It simply says, there is no obligation that the accused should be present. Because, if there was an obligation on the accused to be present and if a ship is thousands of miles away with many days of travelling, then the course of justice may be delayed.

There was some criticism about the vagueness and absence of definitions. As I said it is partly due to the tradition of the armed forces, more particularly the Navy where justice has been rough but ready. It is not possible to define some things. Things happen there fairly quickly. By the time some preliminaries are carried out as under the Civil Codes, we may very well have passed the course of events. It has been said that there is no definition of cruelty. It is not capable of being defined in terms that the critics would like. There is no definition of drunkenness, somebody has said. Mr. Speaker, there is no definition of drunkenness anywhere in the world. I believe there was once a

definition given by someone who thought he was a humorist who said that a man is drunk when he does not know whether he holds the ground or the ground is holding him! Surely, we cannot write that sort of thing in the Bill. The definition or rather the decision on whether a man is drunk or not is this. A man is drunk when he is not sober, when he has no control over himself and there are physical evidences of the consumption of alcohol or other material and so on. If this is not adequate, the Joint Committee would presumably go into it. So far as the Government is concerned, if definitions are practical and in line with the Criminal Procedure Code and our law and modern conceptions then they would be worthy of consideration.

I was also told that the word 'lawful' did not appear before 'command' everywhere. It is very inappropriate in the law relating to the Navy to insert the word 'a lawful' before the word 'command'. Because, all commands are lawful, otherwise, they are not commands. The Navy has great objection—I am not saying that it could over-rule Parliament but it is my duty to put the view of the Government in its naval arm and the Ministry of Defence—to the suggestion that commands that are issued by an officer would be unlawful commands, if they are otherwise they are not commands. There is reference to 'lawful' in some places but it is not repeated everywhere. Naturally, if a person disobeys the command on the ground that it is unlawful, he does take a certain amount of risk, but that is a risk which every individual in a civilised society carries with him.

There was much concern that the Navy had made no provision that the ratings or other ranks are not enjoined to provide for their family members other than wife and children. I submitted in the beginning that this was an Act for the Indian Navy—not something to regulate the joint family.

Why should there be any greater responsibility placed upon a rating than upon any other individual in this respect. There is no legal obligation except in our private law to provide for the maintenance of others. There are moral obligations; but in view of that you cannot attach a Naval man's salary because you have also to see that the Navy works. The man should be capable of maintaining himself and performing his duties. There are however certain limitations placed upon him even then. He must maintain his wife and children, legitimate or otherwise. But, if the officer or other ranks are to maintain all their relations, close and distant, I am afraid you are asking the Navy to take a responsibility, I may say with great respect, which is not placed upon the Members of Parliament who also receive a salary. But, if there is any other obligation under the civil law or under the Hindu Law or any other law to maintain relatives, that is not abrogated by this provision. We are here dealing with the self earned property of an individual and there is nothing in our law which places a statutory responsibility upon any permanent servant that he is bound to spend his salary in this particular way. The obligation of maintenance of families rests on our private law or by a provision. In this Bill we have made provision that a sailor shall provide for the maintenance of his wife and offspring. If you proceed further and place upon our armed personnel such another obligation and if it has to be obliged it will really mean placing on the community a greater burden. You cannot expect the discharge of such a responsibility unless you provide the resources. If each sailor would then probably have to maintain not only himself and his limited family but the whole of his joint family, this maintenance provision in the Bill if I may say with respect is entitled to an unqualified welcome in this House. I want to report that it does not take away any responsibility that the ordinary law of the land places

upon the citizen. It only protects the navy man's salary and his clothing and equipment; it is necessary for naval purposes.

**Pahdit Thakur Das Bhargava (Hisar):** If according to the Hindu law, a person who gets a salary is bound to maintain his parents, should he not do so?

**Mr. Speaker:** He does not say so. We have passed legislation recently making it obligatory for the son and the daughter to maintain the parents. The hon. Minister does not say that this law is intended to abrogate the ordinary law of the land.

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Basirhat):** The point is about a particular word. 'Pay' is limited. There is no obligation as far as I can make out from that clause for the maintenance of the father and mother which we have put in the ordinary law.....  
(Interruptions)

**Mr. Speaker:** If otherwise he is obliged, he is obliged.

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:** Then, why have this particular clause put in?

**Shri Krishna Menon:** I have stated the Government's point of view and it can certainly be considered in the Joint Committee. But, it would be quite impossible in any provision that Parliament makes for the armed forces to maintain and to discharge a greater social responsibility than the officers or ratings could carry at present. I believe this clause does provide for normal families, it certainly cannot provide for abnormal cases. In this connection, I may say that there are various other institutions connected with the armed forces which deal with some of these special cases of hardship. Where some relative is a destitute because provision has not been made for him or her or those who have been provided are not sharing benefits with others, such cases are considered on compassionate grounds. It is not possible to make legal provision for the maintenance of all members of the family.

[Shri Krishna Menon]

Article 24 was criticised and some observations were made with regard to those who had patriotically served the country. There is nothing in this article which precludes these people. If it is the desire of Parliament that a particular group of people, either because they come from a particular part of the country or because they have done something or not done something, should have benefits, it should pass separate legislation for that special purpose. It is not possible to include in the Navy Act a provision of that kind. It can only prescribe qualifications for service. If a candidate passes the necessary tests and has the qualifications, then his enrolment follows if there is room for him in the service concerned.

To read clause 24 as though this provision excludes by a legal provision this particular class of persons from certain things is, I think, a wrong reading. | 2

There has been some criticism also of the position of officers and men of the Navy in relation to civilians in regard to offences and crimes and, if I may say so, it is a very just apprehension. There is, however, no reason for the kind of thought that was expressed, that the men in our Armed Services are likely to run riot and be a danger to civilian population. The function of the Armed Forces is to protect the community both from external difficulties and internal troubles, and to go to the aid of civil authorities when any such troubles arise whether naturally or otherwise.

Now, with regard to civilians, first of all it is possible to ask the Commanding Officer to enable a civil trial to take place. Nothing in this law prevents a civilian who suffers an injury from going to the courts as against a person in the Navy. But, if at the time the alleged offender is already under trial by court-martial then, in my humble submission, no doubt, the courts of this land would

take into question the doctrine of double jeopardy, whether it is right to try a man twice over. This is an ordinary basic principle of civilised jurisprudence. It is however the intention of the Government, when rules are issued under this Bill, to bring this position in regard to civilians in conformity with Section 549 of the Criminal Procedure Code. That should satisfy any feeling that we are creating a class of people who can with immunity commit violence or place other people in danger. There has not been a consideration at all of exempting any officer who is responsible for offences against civilians. In fact, the provision of the law is that it should be immediately brought under Naval law, because the offender may not be here and he would be brought to trial in the manner prescribed and punished if found guilty.

If there are any civilian claims, claims of property or damages, nothing in this Bill exempts those who are responsible. As a matter of fact, that is the law of the land. It is also possible for any civilian concerned to make his reference through the usual channels and in any legitimate way through the Officer Commanding or to the Government itself. If a civilian should so suffer, no doubt he would have recourse to the support of the Members of Parliament, Officers of the Government and Ministers who must all be presumed to be approachable. In this way the attention of the naval authorities could be drawn to any case? But provision has to be made against a member of the Armed Forces being tried for the same offence twice. And, what is more, against the possibility that the material that might have come out in the first trial will be used against him in the second trial, as it would be.

It was also said that there is no provision for withdrawing minors from the Navy. First of all, there is a period of three months during which

the candidate or the appointee can come away. That should be enough time for him to make up his mind. Here again, I say, these provisions should be read in the context of the facts as they are. How does a young man get into the Navy? He goes to a training school, college or military establishments for training. In any case he has three months further time to make up his mind. Then he is part of the Armed Forces bound by the law of the Armed Forces. We cannot have a law for the Army or Navy under which a person just gives a week's notice and goes away.

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:** Not even minors?

**Shri Krishna Menon:** There are not so very much and in big sense minors. If they are good enough to risk their lives for the country, they are good enough to choose for themselves and to abide choice.

**Shri Warrior (Trichur):** Before appointment of minors, will the Government take the responsibility of ascertaining whether the parents agree or not, because the minors cannot themselves decide on such matters?

**Shri Krishna Menon:** Parents are consulted. During the period of three months the minor can withdraw himself or the parents can get the minor withdrawn. What was asked for was not that. It was said that during the whole period of minority of the rating or officer as the case may be, there should be an authority vesting in the parent to withdraw him. Then the question really becomes who is really the Commanding Officer of the Navy, the parent or the officer?

Reference was also made to the relationship that should exist between officers and other ranks. We fully concede, not only concede, we think, more in the positive sense, that these relations should be based upon self-respect, upon allegiance to the com-

mon law of the Navy as a whole and a common purpose, namely, defence of the country, traditions of the Navy and maintenance of good discipline. But, over and above that, the relations that actually exist in the Indian Navy are of a character where there is no reason to think that the rights or the self-respect of other ranks would be disregarded. I am not able to subscribe, Mr. Speaker, to the view that our legislation should be such that when the Navy turns out on parade the other ranks would give orders to officers and the officers would obey. We cannot reverse positions in that way and maintain armed forces. But, there is nothing in this provision of the Bills or the practice that obtain that need cause any apprehension.

There were some misgivings in regard to death sentences. It is not customary for a Minister to express his personal opinion in the House except perhaps in matters of conscience. If it was the law of the country that death sentences should be abolished, I as a person would be extremely happy at that consummation. But, so long as this country permits capital punishment, it is necessary that in this law the capital sentence should be prescribed for all those offences which would merit capital sentence under the civil law, and also for such matters which concern the discipline of the Navy, in regard to treason, mutiny or such other matters. It does not mean that the sentence as prescribed, which is the maximum, is always the sentence that is imposed. Secondly, death sentence is subject to confirmation by Government, it cannot be carried out without that.

Then, the last of the observations which I would like to deal with and which, if I may say so, reflects a very legitimate apprehension, is the fear that delegated legislation may make great inroads into the liberty of the citizen and of the use of the rule making power really destroy the very purpose of law itself, and also

[Shri Krishna Menon]

make a very big dent in our constitutional safeguards. Perhaps I did not make it clear in my opening statement but it is in the Bill. If you look at clause 188, it is expressly provided that all regulations that are made under this Act shall be submitted to Parliament. If Parliament is not an adequate repository of the capacity to protect and safeguard the rights of the citizens, in our system we can indeed look no further. These regulations are made in the same way as Presidential Orders, till now they were Presidential Orders and now these rule making powers are sought to be vested with the Executive by this Bill. Regulations have to be submitted to Parliament in the normal way. Clause 188(3) says

"All regulations made under this Act shall, as soon as may be after they are made, be laid for not less than thirty days before each House of Parliament and shall be subject to such modifications as Parliament may make during the session in which they are so laid or the session immediately following"

This is a very long way from the time when the Captain of a ship made a law which enabled him to tie the offender to the corpse and push him into the sea. He cannot take the law any further than Parliament directly or indirectly, but effectively permits. I do not see any other way of dealing with the administration of law in modern society. Regulations have to be made because contingencies do arise and several new questions may have to be dealt with. It is not possible or wise to come to Parliament and introduce Bills on such individual cases or consequential matters. In all modern systems of administration a considerable field of delegated legislation has become necessary on account of the pressure on parliamentary time, and also the rate of activity, intensity and complexity of modern life. But the constitutional

safeguard that these regulations shall be placed before Parliament, where they are subject to modifications or amendments, is, I submit, a good and complete protection in regard to the misuse of the frame to make regulations.

Sir, I hope I have dealt with the main provisions of the Bill, and with the main question raised and, if I may so hope, also some of the misconceptions which seem to have crept in some of the speeches made.

I am grateful to those who have spoken on this Bill and for the general welcome that it has received from all sides of this House. I feel sure that this Bill will emerge from the Joint Committee where it will receive full consideration and improvements as the collective wisdom of the Members of both the Houses of Parliament will bring to bear upon it. In this belief I commend the motion before House for its acceptance.

Mr Speaker I shall put the motion to the House.

The question is

'That the Navy Bill 1957 be referred to a Joint Committee consisting of 39 members: 26 from this House, namely Shri S V Ramaswamy, Shri Kotha Raghuramaiah, Lt Col H H Maharaja Manabendra Shah of Tehri Garhwal, Shri Raghunath Singh, Shri Digvijaya Narain Singh, Shri Arun Chandra Guha, Shri Shivram Rango Rane, Shri Bhawanji A Khimji, Shri K P Kuttikrishnan Nair, Shri Joachim Alva, Shri B S Murthy, Shri Dwarka Nath Tewary, Shri P Thanulmgom Nadar, Shri Harish Chandra Mathur, Shri T Sanganna, Shri Mool Chand Jain, Shrimati Maimoona Sultan, Shri Rameshwar Sahu, Shri K K Warrior, Shri T C N Menon, Shri Narayan Ganesh Goray, Shri Aurobindo Ghosal, Shri Shradhakar Supakar, Shri Jaipal Singh, Shri C R Basappa, Shri V K Krishna Menon.

and 13 members from Rajya Sabha;

"that in order to constitute a sitting of the Joint Committee the quorum shall be one-third of the total number of members of the Joint Committee;

that the Committee shall make a report to this House by the first day of the next Session;

that in other respects the Rules of Procedure of this House relating to Parliamentary Committees will apply with such variations and modifications as the Speaker may make, and

that this House recommends to Rajya Sabha that Rajya Sabha do join the said Joint Committee and communicate to this House the names of members to be appointed by Rajya Sabha to the Joint Committee"

*The motion was adopted*

#### \*DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

##### MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up discussion of the Demands for Grants Nos 23, 24, 25, 26 and 108 relating to the Ministry of External Affairs. As the House is aware 4 hours have been allotted for the Demands of this Ministry

Regarding the time limit for speeches, the usual practice has been to fix a time-limit of 15 minutes for all Members including Movers of cut motions and 20 minutes, if necessary or 30 minutes for Leaders of Groups

There are a number of cut motions to these Demands. Hon Members may hand over at the Table within 15 minutes the numbers of the selected cut motions which they propose to

move. I shall treat them as moved, if the Members in whose names those cut motions stand are present in the House and the motions are otherwise in order

##### DEMAND No 23—TRIBAL AREAS

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 3,39,51,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'Tribal Areas' "

##### DEMAND No 24—EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 4,84,44,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'External Affairs' "

##### DEMAND No 25—STATE OF PONDICHERRY

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 1,92,69,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'State of Pondicherry' "

##### DEMAND No 26—MISCELLANEOUS EXPENDITURE UNDER THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 2,11,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum

---

\*Moved with the recommendation of the President

[Mr. Speaker]

necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of Miscellaneous Expenditure under the Ministry of External Affairs' "

**DEMAND NO 108—CAPITAL OUTLAY OF THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS**

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 17,50,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'Capital Outlay of the Ministry of External Affairs' "

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): I beg to move that the Demands standing under the Head External Affairs, Tribal Areas and State of Pondicherry etc, be taken into consideration.

You have just referred to the cut motions and I have been looking through all these cut motions and a large portion of them. Possibly, the largest number deal with tribal areas. I find that out of 15 cut motions dealing with tribal areas, 7 deal with what might be called the disturbed conditions in the Naga Hills District. Strictly speaking, the Naga Hills District does not come under this head at all because the Naga Hills are under the Assam Government, but the External Affairs Ministry deals with the North East Frontier Agency. Sometimes hon Members perhaps get a little confused between the two, because they are adjoining and sometimes also the problems also are similar. The fact that the Naga Hills does not come into this picture in this way, is not with a view to avoid anybody speaking on the Naga Hill situation, but perhaps dealing with it casually in this way will not help...

Shri H. N. Muktyjee (Calcutta Central). May I point out that in the North East Frontier Agency also there have been disturbances and questions in this House have elicited certain answers very recently?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Nevertheless, I would say that most of these questions relating to these disturbances relate to the Naga Hills District and not to the Tuensang Division, but I am not raising a technical plea from that point of view. More than half of the cut motions relate to the Naga disturbances. Then there are fairly considerable number—14, I think—dealing with Pondicherry. Those are minor matters relating to the Pondicherry Administration. Then there are fairly considerable number relating to the economy of avoidance of waste of criticism of extra expenditure and the like, which, of course, is a perfectly legitimate and a very important aspect the administration which has to be looked into by the Ministry and by this House. There are a number of other matters ..

सेठ गोविन्द दास (जबलपुर) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, श्री प्रधान मंत्री जी ने यह कहा कि .. .

Mr. Speaker: Hon Members will kindly hold their souls in patience. After the hon Minister finishes if they have got a number of important questions which have not been answered by him, I would allow them another opportunity. Possibly he may anticipate and answer all questions.

सेठ गोविन्द दास : मैं केवल एक बात आपसे पूछना चाहता था.....

Mr. Speaker: Not now

Shri Jaipal Singh (Ranchi West-Reserved-Sch Tribes): I rise on a point of order. You have just given 15 minutes for the various cut motions to be placed. The discussion



is to begin only after we know what the cut motions are before the House and then Members who have moved the cut motions may be absent from the House, in which case no time need be spent on discussing them

**Mr. Speaker:** He is answering all the cut motions. The hon. Prime Minister.

The cut motions have already been given notice of. They are in the Order Paper. Hon. Members will be given an opportunity to choose from among those of which notice has been given. Such of the cut motions which they think are necessary and in the time allotted may be moved and referred in the House. No Member is prevented in anticipation of referring to all the cut motions in general or groups and categories. That is what the hon. Prime Minister is doing.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** Apart from the three categories that I have mentioned, there are those cut motions dealing with a variety of topics like Goa, like the hardy perennial of India's association with the Commonwealth and of people of India's descent in Ceylon, and Burma. These are the main subjects and there is also a cut motion I believe dealing with our opening a Mission abroad in Madrid. Now, this is hardly an occasion for me to deal with wider policy questions and although they are vague, I should like to say a few words and deal briefly at this stage with some of the criticisms and some of the cut motions.

In considering the foreign policy and the work of our foreign missions, we cannot isolate them in conditions that prevail in the world today; nor indeed we may be able to isolate them from the past. They are governed by those conditions and to some extent, we have to do many things that normally we would not do, or we would not like to do. We have to go and accept the challenge of the establishment of a Commission in

Indo-China, which is not normally within the scope of our work, but for the sake of conditions there, we could not escape from that responsibility. We went to Korea; we have got a detachment on the Israeli-Egyptian border today. All these are really outside the normal scope of our work. Occupying the position that we do, that is to say, the position in which sometimes other countries like to put faith in our impartiality, we are called upon to undertake certain tasks and we cannot escape that burden.

The world today, as everyone knows, has been for some time past pursued by this conflict between major Power Blocs, sometimes this is called cold war, sometimes by some other name. This is the dominant feature of the political landscape in the world. Whether you consider disarmament on the one hand or any other major question, whether you consider the question of Kashmir or any other, somehow it gets entangled so far as other countries are concerned in this cold war approach and cold war technique. A distinguished statesman who was here only 2 or 3 days ago and who was here from abroad said in answer to a question about some of these problems including Kashmir that it is entangled in the cold war. Otherwise, they might have been much easier to handle. I am merely mentioning this so that people may realise how much we are conditioned by these major factors and every problem today, whether it is discussed in the United Nations or elsewhere, is conditioned, is affected, by this approach. We have tried and tried with success to keep outside these military groupings and we have tried to judge every problem on the merits so far as we can, even though, of course, we do not live in some ivory tower cut off from the rest of the world; we are affected by the world's happenings. We have often adopted policies and programmes which have to take into consideration the facts of life, if I may say so. I am not just ideological.



[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

If I may give an instance, there is the instance of Algeria. All of us here, I take it, feel very strongly about the tragedy of Algeria. We want Algerian freedom. We have functioned in regard to Algeria in the United Nations, however, not merely proclaiming loudly that we are in favour of Algerian freedom, but also always trying to find some way to approach it, some way to bring people together, some way even to influence in so far as we can the Republic of France in a friendly way, because our object has been to get something done, to get peace established, so that freedom may advance and not merely to shout out everything that we dislike.

There are so many things in this world that we dislike. There are so many things in our own country that we dislike. If we merely shout out at them all the time peacefully, it would yield no result. Sometimes we are criticised because we do not go out as champions proclaiming our faith in the various things that ought to be done in the world. I suppose that would be a noble attitude, but apart from not yielding any results always it actually may put back the clock, because if one has to solve the world's problems by peaceful methods, one has always to try, while adhering to a principle to win over the other side, to influence the other side by various methods such as might lead to a peaceful approach, whatever the problem may be. I ask this House to bear this in mind whether the problem is that of, let us say, Indians in South Africa on which every single person here and in the country and many people outside this country have the strongest feelings about the policy of the Government of the Union of South Africa. We are limited in what we can do. We cannot declare war on South Africa. We go to the United Nations, we take other steps. Unfortunately those steps had not yielded any great results, although I believe world

opinion has very largely accepted, I will not say our view-point, but at any rate accepted the view-point that the South African Government's policy is completely wrong, not only wrong, but highly objectionable. That has happened. But even that question is tied up with this cold war. Even the question of Goa about which we feel so much is tied up with the cold war. So every subject that you touch gets tied up with these major conflicts. That does not mean that we should give up that particular subject, we should go on dealing with it, but we must realise that that particular subject or conflict, simple as it may appear to us to be, is not simple because it is tied up with the major conflicts in the world today, and all kinds of pressures are brought upon us in regard to the major conflicts in this way. The House knows how the question of Kashmir has been dragged into this in many ways. Other countries, regardless of the merits of the case, judge it from the point of view of the cold war.

The cold war technique, we feel, is a very wrong technique because ultimately the cold war technique is a technique if not of actual violence, always thinking in terms of violence and hatred thereby increasing the conflicts, not really solving the problem, but keeping it on the brink of major conflicts. It is in this context that we have to function in the world and deal with every single problem on foreign affairs today. We have tried, and again in a large measure succeeded, to keep friendly relations with all countries, even those countries that are opposed to us in many ways and with whose policies we do not agree.

Take another instance, I believe there is a cut motion on that. Take the question of Hungary. I do not quite know what some hon. Members expected us to do at that time. Possibly we were more exercised, as

much or even more exercised, about developments in Hungary than many others who should rather loudly about it. But we were terribly exercised at that time to see that it did not suddenly flare up into a world war. That much in itself and been bad enough, of course, but it would have meant absolute disaster for Hungary itself. We wanted to serve and help in this cause and we tried our best there and elsewhere not merely by shouting or condemning, but expressing our frank opinion about it privately and publicly and thereby, I believe, at least having some influence on the developments that took place. Therefore, I am trying to put before this House that whatever subject there may be in the context of foreign affairs, we try to approach it from this broad standpoint, in order to try to solve it peacefully without giving up any single principle of ours, because there is no other way. The other way is one of war. If we want to avoid war, we should not all the time talk in terms of war or in terms of threats and counter-threats. We have to express ourselves strongly occasionally because we feel strongly, but we try to avoid condemning any country as far as possible—sometimes one has to do it in discussing a policy,—because condemnation does not take us anywhere, more especially when the condemnation is not of a particular country, but inevitably is seen in the context of these groups against each other and, instead of throwing any light on the situation, it merely aggravates it and angers the party condemned.

I do not think I need say much about the present situation in the world except that in the course of the last few months what is called the Middle-East or Western Asia has been the centre of a great deal of tension. In fact, it was a centre of military operations last year and the tension continues. There again, there are many problems of that region, but every single problem has been made more difficult by the military

approach, by the cold war approach, by the military alliance approach, by the Baghdad pact approach. I confess I have tried hard to understand how these military approaches have in practice, apart from theory, helped in easing the situation there. It is my belief that but for these military approaches, but for the Baghdad pact, the situation would never have deteriorated as it did last year in Western Asia. In my belief, it is only by giving up these military approaches, the situation there and elsewhere will gradually stabilise itself. I do not say that the problems will be solved by that. I do not say that any country can forget the problems of its own security. We have to talk of our security from danger. We are, I believe, more peacefully inclined than any other country in the world, I believe, at least as much. Yet, we have to talk of our own security. We have to take measures for it. I cannot ask any country not to take measures for its security. It is one thing to take measures for security and quite another thing to talk in terms of war, to have threats and counter-threats and live in this atmosphere of cold war.

13 hrs

The world today is living under the shadow of the hydrogen bomb and atomic war. This House and the other too declared themselves quite clearly on this subject. I believe in the world today there is a very strong opinion among the people and even among many Governments against nuclear warfare and against nuclear test explosions. There is, perhaps, a little better atmosphere for disarmament too. Yet fears and apprehensions prevent nations from coming to agreement. All I can say is, I hope they will come to some kind of agreement however partial it may be. We cannot hope for full and complete agreement suddenly. Even if small agreements are made, they create a better atmosphere for the next advance. But, in particular, this business of test explosions of atomic and hydrogen bombs seems to us

[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

completely immoral from any point of view, completely injurious and to consider that they are advancing the cause of peace in the world seems to me rather an extraordinary way of looking at things

In regard to some of our major problems with our neighbouring country Pakistan, problems of Kashmir, canal waters, etc., I will not say much except, again, to say that one of the chief difficulties about these problems is this cold war which has been brought to India's borders by these pacts like the Bagdad Pact or the SEATO, by the military assistance given to Pakistan and thereby these problems have been made much more intricate and more difficult

Take the canal waters problem. We handed it over to the World Bank for their help. For five years, they dealt with it. After two or three years, they made a proposal which, broadly, we accepted. Pakistan did not accept it. Again, for two or three years, they had been going on discussing this matter. Some of our best engineers, for five years, had been sitting in Washington, going backwards and forwards. We lose their services here. We spent large sums of money in keeping a huge delegation discussing it there. We have pursued this policy because we wanted to solve the canal water problem peacefully, to the advantage of both the countries. It is not a political problem at all. It is a technical problem which technicians should have solved, sitting together. It is well known that there is quite enough water in the various rivers of the Punjab to go round and much more left over for the sea. It is only a question of arrangement with some expenditure involved. Yet, this has been made into a purely political problem. Year after year has passed and Pakistan has taken up an attitude which becomes more and more intransigent.

I am amazed, if I may say so, at the kind of speeches recently delivered by the Prime Minister of Pakistan

in the USA and to some extent, even in the United Kingdom; Pakistan is suffering from hunger and thirst because of canal water disputes; what will not a hungry and thirsty man or a country do, it will not die of hunger and thirst. This is an extraordinary approach to these problems. At any time that would have been bad. At a time when the World Bank has, again, put forward some proposals which cast a heavy burden on India, a very heavy burden and yet, for the sake of peace we have again accepted, subject to certain minor matters to be discussed,—we have not yet got Pakistan's reply—for the Prime Minister of Pakistan to go about in the United States and say that we are bent on reducing Pakistan to a desert by cutting off water and placing the population of Pakistan in such agonies, does seem to me to be a thing very far, far from the truth. It is a realm of fancy which should not normally be brought into play in dealing with solid matters of fact. I regret this tendency on the part of the Pakistan Prime Minister. It is not a question of difference of opinion. We differ in many ways. We differ in our outlook on life, way of life, way of thinking. That may be better. But, there are certain standards, I think, which should normally be kept up by people who occupy responsible positions. I regret that these standards are being repeatedly ignored.

About this canal water question, I may remind this House, because there is so much talk about it, that here in Delhi, in May, 1948, we actually came to a friendly understanding, agreement, a kind of treaty which we signed, Pakistan and India. It was on the 4th of May, if I remember rightly, in 1948. In spite of that, after two years, it was denounced by Pakistan. Since then, all these arguments have taken place.

In all these matters, we live under the shadow of cold war. Unfortunately, Pakistan, through these military alliances and the rest, is encouraged to pursue wrong paths

which prevent the settlement of so many problems that we have with Pakistan. It is obvious that two countries like India and Pakistan, which are neighbours, which will continue to be neighbours and which have so much in common, must be friends, should be co-operative and help each other. Any kind of injury to Pakistan ultimately is bound to be an injury to India just as an injury to India is bound to injure Pakistan. But, it has been our misfortune during the past many years to live in this state of mental conflict and actual conflict sometimes with Pakistan. In spite of our efforts to normalise our relations, they have not improved to any considerable extent.

**Raja Mahendra Pratap (Mathura):** May I interrupt and just say a word?

**Mr. Speaker:** Not now.

**Raja Mahendra Pratap:** If the hon. Prime Minister allows, I will just say a word.

**Mr. Speaker:** He won't allow and I also won't allow.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** As I said, there are a great many cut motions about extravagance and waste in our foreign missions. It is not for me to say that our foreign missions, all of them, are perfectly run without any waste, without any extravagance. In so many missions that we have all over the world, there may be waste. We try to check them. We have checked them and we will go on checking them. But, I would like the House to keep in mind two or three factors. One is to compare them with other countries' missions. I do not mean to say that we should compete with others in extravagance or waste, but still, after all, we should have some manner of comparison, and I think if these are compared with other countries, it would be found that our missions are much more economically run than almost any country. Secondly, I speak from some personal experience, many of our younger officers abroad—I am not talking for the moment of ambassadors and high commissioners

but of first secretaries, second secretaries and others—the cost of living is different in various places, but by and large many of these officers are hard put to carry on a decent existence. It may appear that they are being paid heavily, but there are quite a number of Members of this House who have gone abroad, who have seen some of our missions abroad, and almost every one of them has reported to me that they were distressed and sometimes even shocked at the difficulties that our younger officers had to face; that is, the salary and allowances given to them were not enough for them to live as decently and properly as they ought to do. I am not judging these various opinions, but I am merely pointing out to this House that any impression abroad that our officers abroad are terribly extravagant and wasteful is not justified.

Some may be, naturally, when hundreds and thousands of people are there, I am not speaking for all. Some missions may be wasteful. The larger the establishment, the more difficult it becomes to make it run according to an ideal system. All kinds of difficulties arise. But broadly speaking, I do maintain that our missions abroad are run much more economically, less is spent on them than missions of other countries, and more especially, our younger officers as well as the other staff, the subordinate staff, is by no means heavily paid or even well paid by any standards.

The work that they have to do is not just filing papers or signing documents or files. A foreign mission has to be always on the alert, ought to be. The officer has to keep in touch with all kinds of people there, he has to mix with them, he has to invite them, he has to have social relations with them, political relations with other people. All this cannot be done easily unless he is given facilities for this.

Anyhow, we are constantly trying to look into this question. Again instructions have been issued, and I have no doubt that under the stress

[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

of circumstances we shall make some further economies, because we are all aware of this.

Our biggest mission abroad in the point of numbers is the High Commission in London. The High Commission in London is much more than an embassy because it deals with several things. Firstly, there is stores purchase which is a very big affair. Then, there are more students abroad in England than any other foreign country. We have got to look after them. And we have to maintain there representatives of our Army, Navy and Air Force also, chiefly for purchases and for other purposes too. So that the High Commission plus the Indian Stores Department etc., is a very big organisation.

We have gone into it repeatedly. We have had some economies made, not a big figure. We are again going into it. It was our intention to send some officers there to help in this process. Then when I was there in London recently with our Secretary-General of the External Affairs Ministry, we felt that it would be better for a close scrutiny to be made by the High Commissioner and the senior officers there first of these departments and then later for a finance officer from here and a senior officer from the External Affairs Ministry to go there, because otherwise if these people go there, it is very difficult in three, four or five weeks' time for them perhaps to get a full insight into the picture. So also we are dealing with other cases.

I should like to repeat that while I have pointed out that I think that the expenditure, heavy as it is, on our foreign missions is not very great considering the type of work they have to do, considering what other missions have to spend, nevertheless, I have no doubt that in the vast establishments there is extravagance in places, and that has to be and ought to be checked, and will be checked I hope. We have indeed sometimes come down rather heavily

on some of our officers who according to us have not behaved properly.

Then, I will not say much about Pondicherry. We have been waiting for a long, long time for the French Government to pass their law so that the transfer of the French territories here might become legal, *de jure*—up till now it is *de facto*. The House knows that French Governments have been changed frequently and all kinds of difficulties have been faced by them, and this thing which should have been a formal affair has not yet been done. We are now assured that in the course of the next month or two it will be done. I hope so.

As for the internal administration of Pondicherry, I do not wish to take up the time of this House, but those Members who are interested in this matter may see me and I shall gladly discuss it with them outside at some convenient moment.

Then there is this old question of our being, continuing in the Commonwealth. I do not know if I can add anything to what I have previously said on this issue. It seems to me that the difference in opinion on this issue arises really from a different conception of what we are there and what we do there. If it is a conception that by being in the Commonwealth we are in any sense subordinate to anybody, in any sense tied up to something that might come in our way, then I would be completely at one with those who object to our being in the Commonwealth. If, on the other hand, it does not come in our way in the slightest degree, and in fact give us certain opportunities, helpful opportunities, to serve the larger causes that we have at heart, then it is worth while being there.

I have asked in this House previously and I would like to ask that question again now. I can understand somebody feeling sentimental; well, he does not like it. To that, of course, there is no logical reply. But I should like to know practically how

our being in the Commonwealth has injured our policies or our advocacy of any cause that we hold dear. It has helped us, I say, in influencing others. It has helped us in regard to other matters too. And broadly speaking, I am against breaking any kind of association with any nation which I have. I want more associations, not less.

Our association with our neighbour country like Burma is a very close one, with other countries also it is often very close. It may not be dignified by a special name, but it is very closer in many ways than our association with many of the Commonwealth countries. So, I just do not understand this except that I can understand it on the ground of sentiment. In the Commonwealth there is the Union of South Africa with which we have nothing to do.

Now, certain changes are coming over the Commonwealth which I think are good in the wider scheme of things, that is the coming into the Commonwealth of independent Ghana a new nation, an African nation, to be followed a little later by Nigeria. To be followed presumably a little later by Nigeria. All these things really have a great deal of historical significance and we can help or hinder in these processes which are not only of importance to those countries concerned, but in the wider context of Africa and Asia and world peace.

Why should we

Raja Mahendra Pratap (Mathura)  
It is habitual slavery

(Raja Mahendra Pratap then left  
the House)

An Hon Member: Why this walk-out?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: There are only one or two small points to which I shall refer now. There are one or two cut motions which refer to our issuing visas or not issuing visas: to the World Peace Congress, I think which was held in Colombo, and something in regard to the Youth Festival in Moscow.

90 LSD—

So far as the Youth Festival is concerned, I do not quite know, but I think we have issued probably over two hundred. So, it is not a question of our not issuing visas. Certainly we do not quite understand this tremendous urge for people to attend Peace Congresses, and now the cause of peace to which we are committed is helped by these repeated assemblies gathering together in various parts of the world and holding aloft the banner of peace. We do not prevent people from going there, but we do not encourage them, and we make it quite clear that we do not encourage them. If they want to go, they can go certainly. Why do we say so? We say so because, I feel that many of these Peace Congresses, although in the name of peace, and although, no doubt, desiring peace, become political platforms also for other purposes, with the result that somehow the cause of peace itself becomes associated with a political platform, and thereby, to some extent, this bright shining surface is tarnished. Therefore, I can understand, of course, these discussions occasionally but how these repeated Peace Congresses help in the cause of peace has not been made clear to me yet.

There is one thing more. There is a cut motion about the continuation of the Gurkha recruitment camps. I must confess that I feel very distressed about this continuation. And we have tried our hardest to hurry this removal. Two countries are concerned, not only the United Kingdom, but Nepal, and there are limits beyond which we do not want to go. In this matter, we press them, we talk to them, we write to them, and they promise to take early steps. I believe, now, they have again told us that it should not take very long. I do not know what else to do in the present context, and so, they have continued much to our regret. That is all that I wish to say at this stage.

Perhaps, if necessary, I may answer any criticism made afterwards.

Mr. Speaker: The Demands for Grants Nos 23, 24, 25, 26 and 108 are



[Mr Speaker]

now before the House I have not yet received a prepared list of the cut motions which hon Members would like to move. As soon as I get the list, I shall read them out to the House.

Now, I shall call hon Members to speak on both the Demands for Grants and the cut motions.

**Shri H. N. Mukerjee:** I am glad the Prime Minister has made certain preliminary observations, for, in spite of their length, they have the effect, I am sure, of assisting our discussion. In any case, especially in view of an intimation from the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs that later in this session, we are likely to have another opportunity of discussing foreign policy, I had already decided to confine myself to specific demands which are now being asked by the Ministry of External Affairs.

I need not reiterate that though the Prime Minister quite evidently has certain mental reservations about our attitude towards his foreign policy, we do offer by and large our support to his foreign policy, though, of course, we do not approve of such things as the Prime Minister's fixation—I use that word with respect—which recently appeared to be a little loosened, but still it appeared to be a fixation about the quality of the British Commonwealth and its potentiality for good in the context of today. But, in any case, I am not going to harp on that aspect of the matter. I shall refer to specific points on which I wish Government give us some satisfactory reply.

Several cut motions refer to the failure of the steps taken so far to bring about lasting peace, in what I might call the Naga land, since there is some difficulty, some technical difficulty, about most of the Nagas concerned living in the Naga Hills District of Assam—but quite a large chunk of them inhabit the North East Frontier Agency. Last session, on the 27th May, a question was asked, i.e.

starred question No 389, and the answer gave us the information that last year, that is, in 1956, the number of hostile Nagas killed in NEFA was 155. But this year, up to the 30th April, in four months' time, the number killed is already 84.

This shows that all is not well in this area, and that is why I was very glad when, the other day, the Prime Minister made a categorical statement in answer to a question which I put, that he was perfectly prepared to consider amendments to the Sixth Schedule of our Constitution in consultation with the people concerned. I very heartily welcome this categorical statement, and I suggest that this statement of the Prime Minister is very widely publicised in the Naga areas. I would beg of the Prime Minister not to make a complete restoration of the law and order situation—which, of course, is very important—to be an absolute condition precedent to the kind of consultation which he says he is ready to have immediately. I wish that he proceeds in this matter.

Meanwhile, I would ask him to rectify such disproportion as I notice in the budget figures. I find, for example, that out of Rs 1 09 crores odd provided for economic development of tribal areas, under the head 'External Affairs', more than Rs 60 lakhs go towards the pay of officers and of establishments and their allowances, which is much more than 50 per cent of the total. Perhaps, this is not quite the way of winning the hearts of the people of the area.

The country, now being told so often of governmental austerity, has been perturbed by reports of unwarranted extravagance and I am glad the Prime Minister says that wherever extravagance is detected, it should be checked and stopped if that is possible. Last March, in the last session of the First Parliament, the Public Accounts Committee presented a report, that made some very serious revelations about the state

of affairs in the External Ministry. We find, for example, from that report:

"During the period 1st April, 1954 to 15th July, 1954, about Rs. 94,000 were spent on 144 parties.

"There was overlapping of parties given in some cases. Although Hyderabad House has been taken over and suitably furnished for the purpose of official entertainments, the majority of parties were held at the residence of officials. The charges paid per head for a party varied widely from Rs. 9 to Rs. 50 in the case of a dinner party. Although under the rules, sanction of Finance was necessary for expenditure exceeding Rs. 1000 in computing this limit, the cost of wines etc. supplied from stock was excluded".

All this sounds rather peculiar in the context of the Prohibition policy which Government persists in sticking to in spite of certain suggestions being made from certain quarters that if perhaps prohibition can be modified, a good deal of revenue might come to our coffers.

The Report goes on to say:

"While the Committee recognise the need for such entertainments, they consider that the ceiling rates fixed by the Ministry in some of the cases are too liberal, specially when those rates did not include the cost of drinks. They trust that the Ministry of Finance would scrutinise the rates carefully and fix them reasonably"

Then, Sir, the Report presented last March referred to the case of an officer who had drawn advances three times for the purchase of a motor car, which he purchased and sold again and gave false reports to the Ministry. In regard to this, the observations of the Public Accounts Committee have led the Ministry to take certain steps, that is to say to

recover the amount from this officer and credit it to Government.

Now, I feel that in cases of this sort, when quite egregiously the Government's revenue is being taken away by means which are absolutely reprehensible, definite steps ought to be taken and, prosecution ought to be instituted. The Committee—our own Public Accounts Committee—has said:

"We are distressed to note that even after the matter had been brought to the notice of the Ministry by Audit, the Ministry has taken too lenient a view. It is a matter that merits serious action".

This came to us in March 1957. As against this, I find a special article in the *Statesman* over the signature of a very well known journalist of our country who says, — rightly or wrongly, I do not know— (this is from the Calcutta edition dated 5th July):

"Believe it or not, the first tangible result in New Delhi of the economy drive in the Administration which has been announced to the country in a blaze of publicity, is the discharge of 40 peons in the External Affairs Ministry".

Then it goes on to say

"This is more ridiculous than it is painful. Mark you"—these are his words—"their discharge from service is not accompanied by the slightest change in the pattern of the system which they embodied. There has been no alternation in the scale of peon allotment—"

this is a new expression, new to me anyhow—

"to Ministers and officials" Perhaps steps are being taken in this direction, but it is more than time something is done very definitely to see to it that our Administration is purged of the dross which this kind of behaviour represents"



[Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

Real economy must begin to be practised not only in the over-ramified administration here, but also, with all respect to the Prime Minister, in our Embassies. My suggestion is: do not stint on our publicity abroad. It has already been inadequate and ineffective. I know in such countries as Afghanistan, for example, our publicity officers get so very little that they cannot entertain journalists. They cannot ask people to come and have a cup of tea with them—if you are so much insistent on prohibition. But they have not even got the money to ask them to a cup of tea. That is a position which we ought to change. Our publicity already so far has been very ineffective and inadequate. Make them adequate. Make them effective. Put capable men there. But economise on other things.

For example, I do not understand why in our Peking Embassy when postage, telegram and telephone charges are supposed to come down from Rs. 1,41,000 in 1956-57 to Rs. 65,000 in 1957-58—I do not know why—at the same time purchase and maintenance of furniture jumped from Rs. 25,400 in 1956-57 to Rs. 45,000 in 1957-58, and purchase and maintenance of cars from Rs. 8,000 in 1956-57 to Rs. 35,000 in 1957-58. This is a pattern of activity which, at least being strangers to the art of good living, we are quite unable to understand.

I do not think the country will like it—in spite of the Prime Minister's pleading—that we are going to open a new Embassy in Madrid. Spain wishing to have an Embassy here in Delhi is no reason for us to oblige them. Next door physically and ideologically to Portugal, Spain is a country with which our trade also is entirely negligible. Neither for political, nor for cultural nor for commercial reasons, do we have such intercourse as necessitates the institution of an Embassy, which is going to cost us, according to the budget figures, Rs. 2,20,100. But when the *charge d' affaires* goes and when the

full-fledged Ambassador goes to Madrid in all his glory, naturally the expenses of the Madrid establishment will go up.

I feel that this is extremely ill-advised. Contact with Spain—I do mind contact with Spain; I have no sense of untouchability about that kind of thing—contact at the consular level is good enough. Let us put a Consul-General there. We already perhaps have in Barcelona a Consulate-General—I am not very sure. We must have a Consulate-General. Let us have trade with Spain, and if things improve, let us have further diplomatic relations. But for the time being, there is no point in opening an Embassy in Madrid.

The Prime Minister has referred to our High Commission in London which, we find from figures supplied to us, spends a fabulous amount which of course, everybody knows. This figure is perhaps something which will bear retelling. Last time, the Prime Minister told us—on the 30th May, 1957 in reply to unstarred question No. 494—that the total expenditure in London in the High Commissioner's office was Rs. 1,58,52,788 and some naye paise added to it. Out of this, Rs. 60,82,334 was accounted for to 'External Affairs' and the rest to other Ministries like Works, Housing and Supply, Education and so on and so forth.

Now, I do not wish to be misunderstood in the least, but it does irk—it does irk all of us—when we find, for example, foreign journalists making bantering comments. I was in the Parliament Library the other day and I looked up a foreign journal which stated.

"India parades her austerity, but the High Commissioner for India in London rides a new Rolls Royce which is bigger than the English Queen's Daimler".

I know that perhaps the Prime Minister has a very adequate reply, let us know, let the country be assured that as far as avoidable expenditure

as in the High Commission in London are concerned, we are avoiding them. Let us know that as far as the purchases by the High Commissioner in London are concerned, we are making them as low as possible.

I got a reply the other day to a question in regard to the kind of work which the High Commission does in London, and I got the answer that Rs. 25 crores were paid by the High Commission, in London through foreign banks. Now we get periodicals like the *Swadeshi* of Bombay. Those who run this journal are very far from my way of thinking, but at the same time, as far as using swadeshi goods and services are concerned, we are all agreed. Why in the name of whatever you deem sacred, why do we utilise foreign banks and not India banks, who are coming forward to say that they too have foreign exchange facilities these days, to the extent of Rs. 25 crores in the year July 1955 to June 1956? That was the figure given to us. Why do we work in that fashion? Why is the working of the High Commission in London so extravagant that it is entirely oblivious of the real interests of our people and of our country at the present time? That is why I say—I do not want to say anything about the many scandals happening earlier, like the Jeep scandal, this scandal and that scandal and so on and so forth; I do not want to rake all that up—that is why I say that in regard to this it is very necessary that we have to be careful. We cannot be too careful, and our expenditure in London should be properly screened and suitably brought down.

The Prime Minister had told us about his distress over the continuation in our country of these Gurkha recruiting camps. This matter, as the House knows, has been hanging fire for more than five years. We were very blissfully under the impression that what the Prime Minister had told us even as late as July 1956 was the real state of things. We were absolutely assured that the

British Army was not being given a recruiting camp for Gurkhas on Indian soil. We knew that for the time being the Indian Government was giving the British Army facilities for the transit of Gurkhas across the country to Malaya. We did not like that at all. But, we had to swallow the bitter pill. That was all that was happening. But, now, suddenly, early this month, on the 2nd of July, I saw an item on 'Recruitment of Gurkha Soldiers' in the *Calcutta Statesman* and it says that for all practical purposes the Lehra Depot near Gorakhpur continues to be a well-organised centre for training Gurkha soldiers. The special correspondent of the *Statesman* from Gorakhpur says, somewhere in near Darjeeling, Kalimpong or other place, I do not know, the recruiting camps are still continuing and the British Gurkhas have an address in Calcutta. In the *Calcutta Telephone Directory*, I see that there is an entry "British Gurkhas." Anyhow, they continue.

But, why are we still having this kind of thing? The Prime Minister goes to the Commonwealth Conference; we do not mind. But, why should this kind of thing continue? Why, in spite of assurances being given by the British Government that they are not going to tarnish our fair name by recruiting Gurkhas on Indian soil to send them to Malaya to get rid of those who are for good reason or for bad reason trying to fight for their own liberation in their own way, why should the British Government behave in this fashion? The Prime Minister says that we should be very careful very cautious. I agree everything is tied up with the cold war and I know everything is tied up with cold war. Otherwise, we would not have this opportunity of shouting against this kind of thing. But, at the same time, everything being tied with cold war is no excuse for our having a bloodless policy all the time. Let us be very careful, let us be very discreet, as polite as we can be; let us not behave as, perhaps, I behave in this House. But, let us,

[Shri H N Mukerjee]

at any rate, be effective (Interruptions) Let us try to bring a real and effective change in the cold war atmosphere Occasionally, we have to say a few strong things in a strong voice to certain people and I need not specify who those particular people are

I wish the House is given an opportunity, I think the Prime Minister will agree, to discuss the state of Pondicherry whose *de jure* accession to India will, perhaps, soon materialise But, I would like just to say, because we have this opportunity now, that in the Pondicherry Representative Assembly, the Opposition, which is nearly equal in number to the Government, is not given any representation in committees like we do get here in Parliament or in the States I hear also that the textile industry in Pondicherry, deprived of its former market in the French Empire, needs special help which is not being forthcoming

Then, again, I have been told that only Rs 20 lakhs have been set apart for building a pier in Pondicherry, but that is not enough What is needed is the development of the port so that it may, one day, become a major port in the country There are certain difficulties about Pondicherry which, I think, the Prime Minister will take into consideration and take the necessary steps

I refer now to Indo-Pakistan relationships and I must begin by saying that the country, certainly, supports the Prime Minister's policy on this point, especially in regard to Kashmir Only, sometimes, being rather troublesome, we suggest that, perhaps, it is better that we withdraw the Kashmir issue from the Security Council But, anyhow, we are all with the Prime Minister as far as that policy is concerned

With the Pakistan Prime Minister, whom we know rather well because he comes from our part of the country, there can hardly be any hope of

successful negotiations But, surely, we can try, in spite of the difficulties in the situation, we can try to do a little more than we are doing now to explain our position to the people of Pakistan and to show that we really can truly befriend them This is a job which ought to be done and is not being done Publicity should help in regard to this matter For example, there is the canal waters dispute Our publicity on this matter should reach Pakistan and we should take steps to do so

Then, we can also tell the people of East Pakistan, for example, that it is India's object to utilise the waters of the Ganga, that India wants to build a barrage at Farraka, not in order to deprive Pakistan of any part of the waters of the Ganga but so that Pakistan as well as Bengal can gain, and, with the Ganga barrage at Farraka the future of Calcutta port can be ensured and the future of the Calcutta port involves also the future of the economy not only of India but also of East Pakistan So, it is very necessary that this kind of thing is put across to the people of Pakistan.

Then, I feel—it might be a fantastic suggestion—that we have a trade agreement with Pakistan, and we might go on and tell them that we want to develop this into something like a *zollverein* or customs union The Indo-Pakistan continent is an economic unity and it is necessary If in Europe they can talk about European free trade area and all that sort of thing why cannot we, at the same time, suggest the idea of a kind of Indo-Pakistan understanding on economic matters? (Interruption) Why all this controversy and trouble about canal waters and other things? To the devil with all this trouble! People can lead The Commerce and Industry Minister is there The Prime Minister is there The Prime Minister is the best man for the purpose He can make a few gestures I know he is perhaps tired of making gestures now He has made so many of

them and some of them have been responded to and more of them have not been, as far as Pakistan is concerned. At the same time, I feel that we have to make a gesture to the people of Pakistan. And this reminds me of an answer which, very happily, the Prime Minister gave me the other day.

He said, in answer to a question I asked about Pakistani nationals in India, in my part of the country, in Bengal and Assam also, fearing about their jobs—security of jobs—that there is going to be no throwing out by discrimination on this side. I was all the more happy that he said it. But the trouble is that, as a matter of fact, the Pakistani nationals coming to West Bengal—and they have been coming for generations to West Bengal—to earn their living, are in a kind of panic. Here is a member from Howrah, Mr. Muhammad Elias—he is a trade unionist—who tells me that in Howrah district there are Muslim workers from East Bengal who work there, because they do know some specialised jobs, in the jute factories and in the engineering industries and many of them are taking out their provident fund because an impression has been created that, at any time, their jobs might go.

I have read editorial articles in Calcutta newspapers, with large circulations, suggesting that in order to solve the unemployment problem in India, the service of the 80,000 and odd Pakistani nationals who work in West Bengal and Assam should be dispensed with as soon as ever that is possible. I find a report in a newspaper which I do not usually credit, a Bombay paper, which said that on the 15th of May, 1957, a conference of police officials from West Bengal was held with the Home Ministry here and the suggestion that was mooted was that in order to secure popularity of Congress it was better that you offered employment opportunities to Indian nationals and pushed out these Pakistanis. This may be an inaccurate report. But the impression has been created, particularly in the Calcutta Port.

Now, Sir, the port is manned by people who come from East Bengal, from Chittagong, from Noakhali, and such other areas. They have been coming there for generations. They know no other way of earning their living. They are the cheapest and the most efficient seamen in the world. They have been coming for generations. All these people are in some kind of fear. A word from the Prime Minister, if properly reported, will mean a great deal. What he said in answer to my question, the papers did not report because, after all, it was a question from me, even though the Prime Minister was kind enough to answer it. But, if something goes out from the Prime Minister, it would put heart in those people and if we can get the support of the people of Pakistan then, and then alone, can we bring about a change in the policies of the Government of Pakistan.

The Government of Pakistan, as at present constituted, is behaving in a fashion which I want to characterise by an adjective which the Prime Minister and yourself would certainly disapprove of and so I desist. But, after all, what we should try to do is to get the friendship of the people of Pakistan. And, for that purpose, I beg of the Prime Minister to take whatever steps he can in regard to this matter. Their fears may be exaggerated but the fears are there. Let not the fears be worked up into a panic because in that case all kinds of ill effects ensue.

The Prime Minister has referred to his virtual disapproval of people going to repeated peace conferences and youth festivals and so on and so forth. While I was quite ready to understand what exactly was in the Prime Minister's mind, I do not quite approve of his allergy towards Indian contacts with socialist countries. The Youth Festival is being held in Moscow and the idea, I understand, is that every two years, there is a youth festival, I think, in Moscow, Prague or in some other place. It is only a biennial affair; it is not held too often. They invited a thousand people or so from India. As for myself, I would not like

[Shri H N Mukerjee]

a thousand people to go That is my personal view I do not mind the Prime Minister saying I would not send more than 200 people But, what I do mind is the way in which the Government has proceeded in this matter

I did not know, but I only learnt recently that in the Moscow Youth Festival, they have set apart a certain time for the performance of Tagore's songs and drama and that kind of thing An entire Tagore programme was there Certain people were chosen by a Festival Committee It held a festival and a large number of people joined in it and they chose a few to go and take part in the Tagore performance The position is so bad now that nobody can go and sing a Tagore song This matter was brought to the notice of the Education Ministry by my hon friend, Shrimati Renu Chakravartty and Maulana Azad was good enough to say that this should have been done earlier and that these people should have been permitted to go The Festival people have been in touch with all kinds of important people in Delhi Unfortunately, the Prime Minister was absent Perhaps if he were here, he might have done something about it because it was a very clear case of the necessity of our participation in the Tagore programme of the youth Festival but that was not done

I do not like the Prime Minister disapproving of the Peace Conferences quite in the manner that he does After all, when the Colombo meeting of the World Peace Council was held the Government of Ceylon behaved as if they were the hosts of the congregation The Ministers of the Ceylon Government came more than once to take part in the proceedings and something like a national wave of enthusiasm over the whole idea of peace gripped the whole island of Ceylon But, a Member of this House, Shri Ilias again who happens to be a member of the World Peace Council wanted to go to Colombo but did not have the pass-port He wanted a pass-port but could not get

This might be due to something in the red tape apparatus which is the bane of our country and unless it is changed altogether no results are going to ensue whatever huge Plans you may have It may be due to something and the red tape apparatus has got to be looked into The red tape apparatus has got to be re-moulded if you want to bring the country nearer the kind of life that we want our people to have

There are so many matters that the Prime Minister should apply his mind to I am not going to refer in general terms to the many points that the Prime Minister has referred to only by implication and by suggestion and I hope we shall have another opportunity of having a full dress discussion on foreign affairs when certain of the points which have been hinted by the Prime Minister may be amplified or may be discussed properly by the House

But I feel that as far as the Demands for Grants are concerned, there are certain points, very specific points which we have brought up

These points are all linked up with what we consider to be the Achilles heel of the Prime Minister's foreign policy, the unique weakness of his foreign policy He does not know that from time to time, he has to take a strong stand, he is always harping on the idea of our advantages on account of continuance in the Commonwealth. After all having been in the Commonwealth, what are we getting from time to time? Over Goa, have we got assistance? Over Kashmir, have we got any assistance? Over this European Economic area are we going to have any assistance? No Every time, it is no And at the same time we are to go on juggling the illusion that being in the Commonwealth in the way that we are really makes for progress

The Prime Minister does say that we are much more friendly with a country like Burma than many other countries in the Commonwealth That is not the whole story When the Citizenship Act was put on the Statute

Book, this was pointed out over and over again. Anybody from Canada or Australia or New Zealand or other countries in the Commonwealth can come to India and more or less enjoy the rights of citizenship. But a man from Burma cannot. We have said to the Home Minister so many times: Why cannot we have some kind of understanding? He says you cannot. There may perhaps be some technical difficulties. But, then let us not be told that our relations with Burma are very much closer than our relations with U.K. They are not.

Our relations with the United Kingdom are the most crucial factor of all—that is the most inhibiting factor—of all. The Prime Minister is not going to get out of the Commonwealth and I am not talking dangerously. To get out of the Commonwealth does not mean that we become unfriendly with England. So many of us on this side of the House are friendly with English people. With the English traditions also, we have a certain acquaintance. With the English language and literature also, we have a certain kind of acquaintance. So, naturally all our sympathies are very largely perhaps on the English side. England has no reason to fear if we get out of the Commonwealth. Getting out of the Commonwealth does not mean the adoption of bellicose anti-British policy. On the contrary, if we get out of the Commonwealth, we shall be rid of the incubus which, like that old man in the story of Sindbad the Sailor, prevents us from acting in the way we ought to. But, that is the real position.

If I go on in this fashion, I shall only be adding to the irritation I might already have caused to the members of the ruling Party which delights in saying that I repeat. We do repeat the same thing if that is an important thing. We say the same thing if that thing remains to be done and is always undone.

Therefore, without making any apologies for referring to the Commonwealth, I would say this. I would not pursue the matter but I suggest that

the Prime Minister who is loaded with so many anxieties gives a little more basic thought to basic matters. Then, perhaps he would be able to help us very much more than he has been so far. He has done a great job of work, I know, but, he can do a very much greater job of work only if he rids himself of certain incubuses which have made a kind of permanent settlement in his mind.

**Shri Surendranath Dwivedy** (Kendrapara) In spite of all that the Prime Minister had said, I wish to put forward a viewpoint which has been demanding our withdrawal from the Commonwealth. I feel that the pledge given to the nation in the year 1930 would be left unhonoured if we do not decide on our dissociation from the Commonwealth. There was a great expectation all over the country when, following the Anglo-French aggression on Egypt, the Prime Minister stated that the time had come to reconsider our association with the Commonwealth. When Britain conducted an unprovoked aggression against a small country like Egypt, India was not even informed but countries like the United States, which are outside the Commonwealth, were taken into confidence. India was neither consulted nor informed of the aggression. Again, regarding the hydrogen bomb tests in the Pacific—we all know how the people in this part of the world are very much concerned about it,—Britain is quite unmindful of the sentiments, difficulties and dangers that such action may entail upon the less unfortunate partners of the Commonwealth.

Therefore, it was expected when the recent Commonwealth Conference was meeting against this background some reference to these two incidents would be made. But, I am sorry to say that the cryptic communique that was issued gave no indication to these. According to the PTI correspondent in London, it was stated that this communique was capable of different interpretations. The Manchester Guardian put it more positively on June 28, 1957.

"There was no attempt to hold a post mortem on the issues but



[Shri Surendra Nath Dwivedy]

one of the most interesting aspects of yesterday's discussion is that Nehru apparently referred to the past events in generous terms."....

"He showed no resentment about the events of last October, nor did he criticise the action of the United Kingdom Government."

14 hrs.

Sir, really it is a matter of surprise that the resentment that was shown all over the country, not only in India but even in Asia and Africa, vanished into the sky when we reached the Commonwealth Conference and our Prime Minister remained calm. I think he owes an explanation to this country. Why is it that such a cowardly act of aggression was not taken into account? When he himself had condemned it in no uncertain terms, why is it that it was not included in the discussions? Will I be wrong, Sir, if I say that there was some sort of political horse trading in this Commonwealth Conference?

Again, according to the P.T.I. correspondent:

"The blistering attack" on Russia for or reprehensible conduct in Hungary was dropped from the final communique at the instance of Nehru. In return, perhaps, the Anglo-French attack was not condemned.

In other words, we became a party to a decision where these two wanton aggressions were condoned.

I agree with the Prime Minister when he says that we are not subordinate to any other country by remaining in the Commonwealth. But, can he say that we are not carrying on any political obligations by remaining in the Commonwealth? This is one of the instances which shows that we have to carry out certain obligations.

14.02 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

There is new slogan raised in the country that the nature of the Commonwealth has been changing; Ghana has been admitted as a member and Malaya is coming into it after 31st August. The father of this slogan is Mr. Bevan who came to this country. So far as British interests are concerned, there is no difference between a Conservative and a Labourite.

They say: 'You will have a majority in the Commonwealth. Why are you afraid? Go forward and have close contacts with new nations that are joining the Commonwealth.' I wish to impress upon you, Sir, that, perhaps, it is a fact that no decision in the Commonwealth is taken on a majority or minority basis.

Actually, I feel that our association with the Commonwealth vitiates our relations between countries like Ghana and India. If we are really serious to develop our contacts with freedom loving Asian and African nations, it must be on the basis of unity of outlook upholding freedom and social justice, and its sphere is not certainly the Commonwealth.

Again, I would like to point out that on the question of Cyprus also the Commonwealth remained silent, and I have no doubt that by remaining silent it has done a great blow to our prestige, because although we supported the Cyprus struggle in the United Nations, when we go to the Commonwealth we forget ourselves and we go the way the Londoners want us to go.

I would have thought that there was some substance, some reason for our remaining in the Commonwealth if by our association it was possible for us to contribute towards better understanding amongst the different nations that constitute the Commonwealth. But what is the real achieve-

ment in this regard? None of the Commonwealth countries with which we had so many disputes have been able to really understand our view points properly. We are still in the midst of disputes as we were before, there is no proper understanding.

It is not that Britain has ever shown its inclination to help us in problems with which we are greatly interested. Take the question of Goa. I am proud that our Prime Minister is wanted very much for consultation in other countries. President Nasser wanted his help and guidance in certain very important matters. I would like our Prime Minister also to take some lessons from men like President Nasser. How did he solve the Suez problem? He had the boldness to take a step, and after some years you will see that in spite of all international complications Suez is going to be that of Egypt after a year. Can our Prime Minister also not take this lesson from President Nasser, and take a bold step to see that Goa also becomes part of India without delay?

Sir, when the Commonwealth Conference was going on it must have come to your notice that there was lathi-charge in Johannesburg on Indians. Even that fact was not taken notice of and there was no condemnation from any quarter whatsoever. The Prime Minister is telling us about different countries, but it seems for some time past he is completely silent over this racial question.

Therefore, I feel that it is not only on account of sentimental reasons but because of very practical reasons it is necessary that we should dissociate ourselves from the Commonwealth. By all means develop friendship, enter into treaties with England for commercial and cultural purposes, and let us also have closer and intimate contacts in a more freer atmosphere with other Asian and African nations, but for God's sake leave this Commonwealth.

It is said that there are some economic advantages. I do not know

what these are. The Prime Minister himself has admitted in a statement that the prospects of a development loan from Britain is very bleak. One of the terms of this loan, I am told, seems to be to maintain our sterling balances at a certain level. Why should we agree to such a proposal? We know what happened to Egypt. All assets of Egypt were frozen as soon as there was a little quarrel.

It will also be seen that because of the Commonwealth relations British profits on visible and invisible exports to Commonwealth countries are about £280 million a year. But, what is the assistance or grants that Britain is giving to the Commonwealth countries? It is not more than £60 million a year.

My friend Mr Mookherjee has already referred to our attitude towards this recent European free trade plan. Australia and New Zealand have expressed their misgivings. I want to know what India has to say about it, and whether India has been informed about it.

I have a feeling, if we dissociate ourselves from the Commonwealth, perhaps, we will be able to develop an international relationship of our own for money and other international transactions. Therefore, we strongly feel that the time has come when this relationship, our association with the Commonwealth should be done away with.

Having said this much, I will refer to the economy in the Embassies. I am glad that the Prime Minister realises that there is some extravagance which ought to be looked into. I am not complaining that we have given excessive grants. I realise that when our foreign relations are going to be expanded there is need for more money. What I want to point out is that whatever amount is allotted is not properly spent or, in most cases mis-spent. I looked into the Explanatory Memorandum, pages 260 to 361, Volume I, but I am sorry to say that it does not give us any details.



[Shri Surendra Nath Dwivedy]

I find there are some changes as regards entertainment and discretionary expenditure. There is a drop in entertainment charges but at the same time there is an increase in the discretionary expenditure. I would like to know from the Prime Minister what is the number of staff that are working in the different embassies and what is the actual work allotted to them. It will be seen that there are many duplications of duty and many of them are unnecessary. I won't go into the details about the Indian High Commissioner's Office. My friend has also referred to the report of the Public Accounts Committee but I want to point out why in spite of the fact that there is a huge building at the disposal of the Indian High Commission, containing many residential quarters, our visiting Ministers are living in hotels, very costly hotels. It appeared in the papers that our Defence Minister Shri Krishna Menon when he was in England was staying at Claridges paying 11 guineas a day and I do not know why. A friend here says it was 35 guineas a day. In the Indian High Commissioner's Office, I am told there are as many as 28 officers of the External Affairs Department and besides these we have as many as 62 officers representing different Ministries separately. I do not know why we are duplicating the staff. It is said we have to make purchases ...

An hon. Member: There may be a Parliament also there.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: We want purchases. That is all right but it is also known that whenever any big purchases are to be undertaken big officials from this country also visit the place. If ultimately they are to decide the purchases, is it necessary to maintain this big staff at the Indian High Commissioner's Office? (Interruption).

I again want to point out that in our 37 embassies I learn on good authority that we have as many as 15

financial officers in the rank of joint or Deputy Secretaries. What is their work? Their work seems to be to sign all the financial papers that emerge out of the embassies. Ultimately these papers are to be submitted to the financial control of the External Affairs Department and then they go to the Finance Ministry itself. Why not this task be given to the Ambassadors themselves? They can as well do this job mostly easily.

I am told that when our revered Vice-President, Dr. Radhakrishnan was in Moscow there were as many as 9 Secretaries at the Moscow Embassy but by the time he left or during the tenure of his office, he reduced the staff to two and if that could be done by a successful Ambassador like Dr. Radhakrishnan, I fail to see why this cannot be done in other embassies also. I would like to know what is the position in Moscow Embassy today.

I also feel that if we take into consideration the entire thing, you will find that even in the lower ranks, there is over-staff. I do not complain about because I am told that there is some very great defect in our economic system, in our accounting system, for which it is necessary that we have to send our men from this place, whereas in other embassies they have to import local men to do this type of job. I am told that in Switzerland in the British Embassy there are only 3 assistants, whereas in our embassy there are 5 assistants. We have already decided upon the metric system and can we not develop some accounting system of our own and cannot a beginning be made in our international affairs at least, if not in the vast accounting system that we have in this country?

I would like to point out another thing. I wish to be corrected if I am wrong. The entertainment allowance that is provided to the officers is not properly spent. It is just sometimes accounted in the name of the person for whom that is meant. Why not have a system in which, we

may make some arrangement in the embassy for the high salaried officers to entertain persons in the embassies just in the way it is done here in the case of ministers. I think that if some such device is made, there will be great economy in this matter.

I would like to finish my speech by referring to one more point and that is publicity. Already we have read so many reports in the Press from very responsible journalists from responsible papers that our publicity organization is most ineffectual. Recently our Prime Minister visited European countries and it was published in the Daily Express that the Prime Minister has changed his views on Hungary after a discussion in some Scandinavian countries, when the London Correspondent of the *Statesman* (*Statesman* published it on the 30th June 1957) pointed out, the Indian High Commissioner's Office said that they have no knowledge about it whatsoever. It is a fact that smaller countries like Pakistan have their publicity organization and they are so efficient that any matter of controversy or misrepresentation is contradicted within 24 hours. But unless it comes to the notice of our big guns probably we do not know what propaganda is carried on against us in other countries.

I will again point out to you something and on which I would seek some clarification from the Minister. In the *Statesman* dated the 12th May 1957, there was a column in which it was stated that there is some difference between the Indian High Commissioner and the Defence Minister, Shri Krishna Menon. It was further stated:

"Embarrassing as they are, the facts must be faced. No one visiting Britain or the U.S.A. these days fails to hear the most damaging stories about India's diplomatic reputation as a result of reports that Mr. Krishna Menon and Mrs. Pandit do not see eye to eye in official matters

concerning both or either. It is known that the Prime Minister is fully aware of the position, but it is obvious that his personal wishes in this matter are not always respected."

If this is so, I ask what will happen to our prestige. I therefore think that it is necessary that we should clarify matters like this.

As regards the internal publicity, I learn that the External Affairs Ministry has as many as 3 officers for internal publicity, for acquainting people and organizations in this country about the foreign policy. I want to ask whether these officers have ever met together to devise plans as to how they should contact the people here. So far as I know, there is no such work carried on. The people are quite ignorant of what is happening in other countries and the vehicle of this propaganda is certainly newspapers, political organizations and other such bodies. I want to know what the Prime Minister has to say about it. With these remarks, I conclude my speech.

सठ गोविन्द दास : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस सदन में मैं वैदेशिक विभाग की मांगों पर न जानें कितनी बार बोल चुका हूँ। इस बात को इस देश के प्रायः सभी लोग जानते हैं कि हमारी जो वैदेशिक नीति चल रही है उसका मैं सबसे बड़ा समर्थक हूँ। इसके कुछ कारण हैं। पहला कारण यह है कि हमारी वैदेशिक नीति भारतीय संस्कृति और भारतीय इतिहास के अनुरूप है और दूसरा कारण यह है कि संसार की इस समय की स्थिति में इस संसार का लाभ हमारी वैदेशिक नीति से ही हो सकता है। जब मैं आपसे यह कहता हूँ कि हमारी वैदेशिक नीति भारतीय संस्कृति और भारतीय इतिहास के अनुसार है उस समय मैं आपको और इस सदन के माननीय सदस्यों को स्मरण कराना चाहता हूँ कि इस संसार के और भारत के सब से पुराने ग्रन्थ ऋग्वेद में इस

## [सिंठ गोविन्द दास]

सम्बन्ध में क्या कहा गया है। हमारे ऋषि, मुनियों ने हमारे तत्ववेत्ताओं ने, हमारे ज्ञानियों और संतों ने एक बात इस संसार में सबसे पहले जान ली थी कि यथार्थ में यह विश्व एक ही तत्व है और इस ज्ञान के अनुरूप उन्होंने ऋग्वेद के एक सूत्र में कहा था - "सर्वं खल्विदं ब्रह्म", अर्थात् यह विश्व यथार्थ में ब्रह्म का रूप है। तो जब यह विश्व एक ही तत्व है, मैं वहीं हूँ जो आप हैं, आप वहीं हैं जो मैं हूँ और समस्त विश्व वहीं है जो आप और मैं, तब आप देखें कि हमारी वैदेशिक आर्थिक नीति भी इस ज्ञान के अनुसार चल रही है या नहीं चल रही है। हमारे कोई शत्रु नहीं है, हम किसी को अपना शत्रु नहीं मानते। मित्र मित्र देशों की नीति अपने अपने देश में चाहे किसी ही क्यों न हो, वे अपने अपने देश में चाहे किन्हीं सिद्धान्तों के अनुरूप क्यों न चलते हों, उनकी राज-नैतिक, और आर्थिक नीति चाहे एक दूसरे के ठीक विपरीत क्यों न हो, दुष्टान्त के लिये आप अमरीका और रूस को ले लीजिये पर हम अमरीका के भी मित्र हैं और हम रूस के भी मित्र हैं। हमारी इस नीति के कारण कभी कभी गलतफहमियाँ भी होती हैं। कई बार अमरीका हमें गलत समझता है, कई बार रूस हमें गलत समझता है। मैं इस सम्बन्ध में भी आपको कुछ दृष्टान्त दूंगा।

कोरिया के सम्बन्ध में और अभी हंगरी के सम्बन्ध में अमरीका ने हमको गलत समझा, परन्तु हमने इस बात को सिद्ध कर दिया कि चाहे अमरीका हमें गलत समझे, लेकिन हम अपनी नीति के अनुसार चलते हैं। इसी प्रकार अनेक बार रूस और चीन भी हमें गलत समझते हैं। कामन-वेल्थ में रहने के प्रश्न की ही आप ले लीजिये। जब जब वैदेशिक नीति पर विवाद होता है हमेशा कामनवेल्थ का प्रश्न आता है। आज

भी मेरे मित्र श्री एच० एन० मुर्जी ने और उनके पञ्चात् जहाँ महाशय बोले उन्होंने कामनवेल्थ के सम्बन्ध में बहुत कुछ कहा, परन्तु उन्होंने आज या इससे पहले कभी भी हमें यह नहीं बताया कि कामनवेल्थ में रहने से हमारी कौन सी हानि हो रही है? कामनवेल्थ में रहने से हम क्या रूस और चीन के शत्रु हैं? हमारी कामनवेल्थ में रहने की नीति भी हमारी वैदेशिक नीति की पृष्ठभूमि में जो सिद्धान्त है, उन सिद्धान्तों के ठीक अनुरूप है। ग्रेट ब्रिटेन और ग्रेट ब्रिटेन के साथ रहने वाले देशों से हमारा बहुत पुराना सम्बन्ध है। स्वराज्य प्राप्त करने के बाद हमारा मैत्री का सम्बन्ध हो गया। आज जब दुनिया के देश एक दूसरे के इतने निकट आ गये हैं शीघ्रगामी यातायात के साधनों के कारण, तब हम यह नहीं चाहते कि हमारा देश एक छोटे से दायरे के अन्दर रहे। कामनवेल्थ में रहने से कोई नुकसान तो नहीं बताया गया पर एक बहुत बड़ा लाभ जो है उस और हमारे मित्रों का ध्यान नहीं जाता। कामनवेल्थ में रहने से हम एक व्यापक क्षेत्र में हो जाते हैं और व्यापक क्षेत्र में होने के कारण दुनिया से हम कुछ अधिक मिला सकते हैं, दुनिया को कुछ अधिक मिला सकते हैं, दुनिया के साथ कुछ अधिक दूर तक चल सकते हैं और दुनिया को अपने साथ कुछ अधिक दूर तक चला सकते हैं, यह बहुत बड़ा लाभ है हमारे कामनवेल्थ में रहने का।

मैंने अभी जैसा आप से निवेदन किया मेरी समझ में आज तक नहीं आया, कि कामनवेल्थ में रहने से हमारी हानि क्या है। यह कहा जाता है कि यदि हम कामनवेल्थ में हैं तो कामनवेल्थ में रहने का हमको कुछ लाभ होना चाहिये, मसलन बोम्बा का प्रश्न, काश्मीर का प्रश्न। इन बातों में कुछ

सध्य अवश्य है, इसको मैं स्वीकार करता हूँ परन्तु जिस नीति पर हम चल रहे हैं उसमें यदि हम को कुछ लाभ होंगे तो वे लाभ लम्बे दौरान में होंगे, आज के आज हमको लाभ नहीं हो सकते। इसलिये जैसा मैंने अभी कहा कि कभी अमरीका हम को गलत समझता है कभी रूस और कभी ग्रेट ब्रिटेन भी। अभी स्वेज नहर के मामले पर हमको इंग्लैंड में कितना गलत समझा गया। इंग्लैंड में उस समय मैंने सुना कि भारतीयों का रहना दूसरा हो गया था। वहाँ पर हर एक भारतीय का एक प्रकार से अपमान होता था। एक बार तो मैंने यह सुना कि वहाँ पर एक विचित्र घटना घटित हुई। एक ट्राम में एक भारतीय जा रहा था, एक अंग्रेज भी वहाँ पर बैठा हुआ था। उस भारतीय को देखते ही उन अंग्रेज महाशय को इतना क्रोध उमड़ आया कि उन्होंने कहा कि तुम्हें कामनवेल्थ में से निकाल देना चाहिये और शायद कुछ झड़प भी हो गई। उस भारतीय ने तुरन्त उत्तर दिया कि यदि हम कामनवेल्थ में से निकल गये तो आपकी समझ लेना चाहिये कि उस दिन ग्रेट ब्रिटेन एक छोटा सा टापूभर रह जायगा और उसका कोई महत्व नहीं रहेगा। इस प्रकार ग्रेट ब्रिटेन द्वारा भी हमको अनेक बार गलत समझा जाता है पर यह सब अस्थायी बातें होती हैं। आज इंग्लैंड में हम को इस प्रकार गलत नहीं समझा जा रहा है कि जैसे उस समय समझा जा रहा था जब स्वेज नहर का झगडा उठा हुआ था। भारत की नीति सब को मित्र समझने की है किसी को शत्रु समझने की नहीं है, हम सब के मित्र हैं हम किसी के शत्रु नहीं। इसलिये आज हमको चाहे कोई गुट गलत समझे, कल हमें चाहे कोई गुट गलत समझे, हमने जैसा बार बार कहा है हम किसी गुट में शामिल होने वाले नहीं हैं और हम सबको मित्र समझने वाले हैं।

एक बात देख कर मुझे खुशी होती है। यहाँ जो कटौती के प्रस्ताव आये, मैंने उन्हें

देखा। एक समय था जब मैं देखता था कि वे कटौती के प्रस्ताव कुछ बड़े बड़े सिद्धान्तों पर रखे जाते थे पर अब मैं देखता हूँ कि इन कटौती के प्रस्तावों में कोई बड़े बड़े सिद्धान्त नहीं हैं, छोटी छोटी बातें कही गई हैं।

यहाँ पर अभी दूतावासों के खर्च के निम्नत्व कहा गया और हमारे वैदेशिक विभाग के मन्त्रालय के खर्च के सम्बन्ध में भी कहा गया।

श्री हीरेन्द्र नाथ मुकर्जी से मैं एक बात में सहमत हूँ कि हमारे मन्त्रालयों द्वारा दिल्ली में दूतावासों द्वारा जो भोज या प्रीति भोज दिये जाय, उनमें शराब का जरा भी उपयोग नहीं होना चाहिये उसे सर्वथा बन्द करना चाहिये। इस सम्बन्ध में मैंने कुछ दिन पहले एक प्रस्ताव कांग्रेस दल को भेजा था जिस में मैंने कहा था कि हमारे कांग्रेस के मंत्री, कांग्रेस के मन्त्रालय या कांग्रेसजन यदि कोई भोज दें तो उस में शराब का उपयोग नहीं होना चाहिये। मैं अभी भी इस मत का हूँ और मैं चाहता हूँ कि हमारे प्रधान मंत्री इस सम्बन्ध में अपने मन्त्रालयों को हमारे मन्त्रालयों को और जहाँ जहाँ कांग्रेस-वादियों का सम्बन्ध है उन सब स्थलों की स्पष्ट आदेश भेजे कि यदि उनके किसी भोज में किसी प्रीतिभोज में शराब का उपयोग हो तो वह तत्तत् बन्द कर दिया जाय।

लेकिन हमके अतिरिक्त अभी यहाँ पर जो दो भाषण हुये उन में कुछ टुच्ची बातों को छोड़ कर कोई ऐसी बात नहीं रखी गई जिस में हम इस बात को मान लें कि हमारे दूतावासों में बहुत अधिक खर्च होता है। श्री हीरेन्द्र नाथ मुकर्जी ने पीकिंग के सम्बन्ध में दो बहुत छोटी बातें रहीं। मैं समझता हूँ कि इस तरह की छोटी बातें इस प्रकार कसदन में नहीं गड़ी जानी चाहिये। उन्होंने कहा कि अमुक अमुक वर्ष में फनिचर पर इतना खर्च हुआ था इस वर्ष इतना खर्च हुआ। मैं उन से कहना चाहता हूँ कि शायद वह फनिचर बहुत खराब हो गया होगा और उस को बदलने की आवश्यकता होगी।

[श्रेष्ठ गोविन्द दास]

क्या श्री हीरेन्द्र नाथ मुकर्जी और सदन के दूसरे सदस्य इस बात को नहीं जानते कि हमारे बंगलों का फर्निचर भी बदला जा रहा है और हम बड़े चाव से उस को बदलवा रहे हैं। वे यह देखेंगे कि हमारे बंगलों में इस वर्ष जो फर्निचर भेजा जा रहा है उसके कारण इस वर्ष फर्निचर के मद्द का खर्च शायद गत वर्ष के खर्च की अपेक्षा कहीं ज्यादा होगा। तो फर्निचर बदलने की बात कहना मोटर बदलने की बात कहना, यह कहना, कि मोटर बदलने में पीकिंग से आठ हजार रुपये ज्यादा लगा, मैं समझता हूँ कि बड़ी दुर्लभ बातें हैं, बहुत छोटी बातें हैं, और इस प्रकार की बातें इस सदन में नहीं की जानी चाहियें।

मैं प्रायः दुनिया के सभी देशों में हो आया हूँ। मैंने अपने दूतावासों को देखा है, और मैं आप से कहना चाहता हूँ कि हमारे दूतावासों में जितना कम खर्च होता है, उतना दुनिया का कोई और देश नहीं करता, पाकिस्तान का दूतावास भी नहीं करता। हमारे दूतावासों के जो कर्मचारी हैं उन को इतना अधिक काम करना पड़ता है कि उन को छुट्टियाँ नहीं मिलनी। कहा जाता है कि हमारे दूतावासों में अधिक आदमी है, मैं तो कहता हूँ कि हमारे दूतावासों में बहुत कम आदमी है। जहाँ तक हमारे दूतावासों का सम्बन्ध है, मैं कहना चाहूँ कि उन को खर्च कम नहीं मिलना चाहिये उन को तो और ज्यादा खर्च मिलना चाहिये क्योंकि उन के खर्च में कमी करने से हमारे पूरे बजट के खर्च में कोई भारी कमी नहीं हो जायगी। गांव वानो को एक रुहावत है कि यदि मुर्दे के बाल काट दिये जायें तो उसका वजन कुछ कम नहीं होता, वह उतने का उतना हो रहता है। इसलिये जो हमारी इतनी बड़ी सरकार चल रही है और उसको जो खर्च हो रहा है, उसके खर्च में दूतावासों के खर्च में कमी करके, कोई कमी नहीं हो जायगी। मैं समझता हूँ कि हमारे देश

के हित की दृष्टि से और दूसरी दृष्टियों से इन दूतावासों को अधिक खर्च मिलना जरूरी है।

यहाँ नये दूतावासों के खोलने के सम्बन्ध में भी कहा गया। यह कहा गया कि जिन देशों के दूतावास यहाँ हैं उन सब देशों में हमारे भी दूतावास खुलें यह जरूरी नहीं है। मैं मानता हूँ कि यह जरूरी नहीं है, परन्तु इस के साथ ही यह भी कहना चाहता हूँ कि कहीं कहीं पर इस प्रकार के दूतावास बहुत आवश्यक हो जाते हैं। मैं दक्षिण अमरीका का दुष्टात दूंगा। दक्षिण अमरीका में हमारे केवल दो दूतावास हैं जब कि वहाँ छोटे बड़े सब मिला कर २१ देश हैं। यथार्थ में दक्षिण अमरीका एक देश न हो कर एक महाद्वीप है, एक कांटीनेंट है। वहाँ के देशों से हमारे बड़े प्रेमपूर्ण सम्बन्ध हैं अमरीका में हमें कुछ और दूतावास खोलने की आवश्यकता है। मैंने अभी आप से कहा कि शीघ्रगामी यातायात के कारण यह दुनिया बहुत छोटी हो गई है। अपनी वैदेशिक नीति के कारण हम सब के मित्र हैं और इसलिये किसी भी दूतावास में किराँ भी वक्त खर्च देने की तरफ सकोच करने की आवश्यकता नहीं है। हमें उन्हें और अधिक खर्च देना चाहिये और कुछ दूतावास हमें और खोलने चाहिये।

जैसा मैंने आप से कहा, मैंने जिन कटीती प्रस्तावों को देखा उन से मुझे मालूम हुआ कि यह कटीती के प्रस्ताव कोई बड़े बड़े सिद्धांतों के ऊपर नहीं हैं, बहुत छोटी छोटी बातों पर हैं। कुछ बातें और हैं जैसे हमारे और पाकिस्तान के सम्बन्ध, काश्मीर का मसला, नहरी पानी का सवाल, गोवा का प्रश्न, यह सब प्रश्न अभी तक ऐसे हैं जो हल नहीं हुये हैं। लेकिन इस सम्बन्ध में आज हमारे प्रधान मंत्री जी ने जो कुछ कहा वह ध्यान देने योग्य है। उन्होंने कहा कि

इन सब प्रश्नों को हल करने के लिये हमें तरीकों की तरफ ध्यान देना चाहिये। हमें इस पर विचार करना चाहिये कि हम इन सब प्रश्नों को किन तरीकों से हल करना चाहते हैं। जो रास्ता हमने पकड़ा है वह चाहे जल्दी चीजों को हल न करे, लेकिन वह चीजों को स्थायी रूप से हल करने वाला है। हम बहुत दूर तक सफल भी हुये हैं। हमारी वैदेशिक नीति जितनी सफल हुई है, उतनी शायद और कोई नीति नहीं हुई।

जो हमारे पंचशील के सिद्धान्त हैं, जिन पर हमारी वैदेशिक नीति आधारित है, आज वे कई देशों द्वारा स्वीकार किये जा चुके हैं और आज प्रायः सारे संसार में यह माना जाता है कि इन पंचशील सिद्धान्तों पर चलने से ही इस संसार का कल्याण हो सकता है। पंचशील के सिद्धान्तों पर चलने के लिये हमको दुनिया में मनोवैज्ञानिक स्थिति क्या है इस तरफ ध्यान देना होगा। हम को लोगों की मनोवैज्ञानिक स्थिति को बदलने का प्रयत्न करना होगा। वह हम अनेक प्रकार से कर सकते हैं। हम उसे कर सकते हैं अपने यहां के विद्वानों को, अपने यहां के कलाकारों को दूसरे देशों में भेज कर और वहां के लोगों को यहां पर बुला कर। इस आदान-प्रदान से हम एक विश्वेक प्रकार का मनोवैज्ञानिक स्थिति दुनिया में पैदा कर सकते हैं। हमारे प्रधान मंत्री के जो दोरे होते हैं, और वे प्रति वर्ष होते हैं, उनसे भी इस मनोवैज्ञानिक स्थिति में बहुत परिवर्तन हुआ है। इससे हमारे देश की प्रतिष्ठा बढ़ती है, हमारे देश के सिद्धान्तों को, पंचशील के सिद्धान्तों को, हमारी वैदेशिक नीति के सिद्धान्तों को समझने का मौका लोगों को मिलता है। इसलिये इस प्रकार आदान प्रदान होना चाहिये कि हमारे लोग विदेशों को जायें, विदेशों के लोग यहां आयें, हम एक दूसरे को अधिक समझने का प्रयत्न करें। यदि हम इस तरफ बढ़ते जायेंगे तो मुझे विश्वास है कि हम दुनिया

की मनोवैज्ञानिक स्थिति बदलने में सफल हो सकेंगे।

अन्त में मैं आप से एक बात और कहूंगा, जो मैं सदा ही कहता रहा हूं। कुछ ऐसी बातें हैं जिन में भिन्न भिन्न दलों में रहने पर भी, चाहे हम कांग्रेस दल के हों, चाहे हम प्रजा समाजवादी दल के हों, चाहे साम्यवादी, हिन्दु सभा, रामराज्य परिषद्, राष्ट्रीय स्वयं सेवक सघ, जनसंघ, किमी भी दल के हों, चाहे हम स्वतन्त्र हों, हम मिल कर काम कर सकते हैं। चुनावों में हम एक दूसरे से लड़ सकते हैं, इस प्रकार के मौके और भी आ सकते हैं, जिनमें हम एक दूसरे का विरोध करें, पर इसके साथ ही कुछ ऐसे काम भी हैं, जो कि हम मिलकर कर सकते हैं, और उन कामों में मैं वैदेशिक नीति को सबसे प्रधान मानता हूं। मेरा यह मत है कि हमारी वैदेशिक नीति, जिस को कि अब इस देश के प्रायः सभी दल स्वीकार करने लगे हैं, जिसका समर्थन आज दुनिया में भी बहुत दूर तक हो गया है, उस वैदेशिक नीति में हम सब जितने भी दल हैं, मिल कर उस का समर्थन करें, उसके अनुसार काम करें।

जैसा कि मैंने आरम्भ में कहा था, अन्त में फिर दुहराता हू कि इस वैदेशिक नीति का मैं बड़ा भारी समर्थक रहा हू। आज भी हू। अतः आज इस अवसर पर भी मैं इस वैदेशिक नीति का हृदय से समर्थन करता हू।

**Shri Brajeshwar Prasad (Gaya):**  
This afternoon I will make nine concrete suggestions for the earnest consideration of the Ministry and this House.

My first suggestion is that an offer of a federal union or a military alliance should be made to China and Russia. Pakistani troops are standing on our borders in unusually large numbers. I do not expect any help from America, for it is America which has armed Pakistan to the teeth, and even if America offers

[Shri Brajeshwar Prasad]

help militarily in the event of a conflict between India and Pakistan, I would not like the Government of India to take any advantage of the offer, for they will want air bases, land bases, ports and harbours in order to attack Pakistan, but if we take the help of the Communist countries, Russia and China in particular, they do not require to come here in order to attack Pakistan. Therefore, it is militarily and politically advantageous for us to enter into a military alliance with both these countries.

This is my second suggestion. A sub-committee of the Cabinet should be set up to explore the possibilities of a federal union with all the other countries of the Afro-Asian land mass in general, and with Egypt and Syria in particular.

Thirdly, a sub-committee of the Bandung Powers should also be set up to explore the possibilities of a federal union.

Fourthly, the goal of an Arab world should be actively supported by the Government of India. We cannot pursue a dog-in-the-manger policy. We do not want the Americans to fill the vacuum in the Middle East. We do not want Russia to fill the vacuum. There is a political vacuum in the Middle East and this vacuum cannot remain unfilled for long. There is no other alternative but to support some native power or powers of the Middle East so that they may fill the vacuum themselves. If America fills the vacuum in the Middle East, our power position will be liquidated. Therefore, I support the goal of an Arab world.

My fifth suggestion is this. The only way of resolving the deadlock between the Jews and the Arabs is the establishment of a federal union between Israel and the Arab world. (Laughter).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member need not wait for the reactions of the Members immediately.

Shri Brajeshwar Prasad: I am amazed at this stupendous ignorance of the hon. Members who laugh with-

out understanding the implications of my suggestions.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is presumed that every hon. Member is well acquainted with all these policies. Therefore ignorance or any other thing should not be attributed.

Shri Brajeshwar Prasad: It is so good of you to say so.

Shri Thirumala Rao (Kakinada): We are trying to be his colleagues.

Shri Brajeshwar Prasad: My sixth suggestion is that a joint warning by India and Russia should be publicly administered to Pakistan. Pakistan must be confronted with the strategy of a war on two fronts. Unless this is done, there is likely to be aggression. Pakistan is under the impression that because of the Prime Minister's idealistic stand on Hungary, Russia is not likely to support India. Therefore, it can easily invade India. This misapprehension should be removed. If this warning is publicly given, then I am certain that Pakistan will not take the risk.

My seventh suggestion is that Goa should be occupied if there is any danger of its being transformed into a SEATO base for military operations. Not otherwise. Let me be frank about it. I do not want that we should be entangled in some complications unnecessarily at this moment. Formosa is still in American hands and the Chinese are sitting tight. They are not taking any military steps. Why should we? But if there is any danger of Goa being transformed into a SEATO base for military operations, then we cannot wait.

My eighth suggestion is this. To prevent the partition of Algeria, to facilitate the admission of China into the UNO, to prevent further test explosions and to facilitate integration of the Afro-Eurasian land mass, I suggest that no economic aid should be taken from the USA.

My ninth suggestion is that arms and military planes should be pur-



chased from Communist countries also. I do not know of any type of weapons which the Russians cannot manufacture within a period of three months. The argument that because our army is modelled on the British pattern, we cannot import weapons from Soviet Russia and the other countries of Eastern Europe lacks substance. The Russians are prepared to train our personnel in India itself. If there is any struggle between India and Pakistan, I apprehend our arms supplies will be stopped. This door must always remain open.

When I say that we should not take any aid from the United States, I am likely to be told that economic considerations should govern foreign policy. I think it is a first class political blunder to think that economic consideration should primarily govern foreign policy. There are no economic considerations behind the foreign policies of either Russia or America. Their goal is primarily political. Their aim is to establish world hegemony. Economic considerations govern the foreign policies of satellite State. I am certain that no Member of this House will accept the position of a satellite State of America and seek economic aid from that country.

**Dr. P. Subbarayan (Tiruchengode):** What about being a satellite of the other two countries which the hon. Member mentioned?

**Shri Brajeshwar Prasad:** I have not been able to understand the meaning of the word 'alignment', though I have been a Member of this House and I have tried to understand it; possibly, due to my limited intelligence, I have not been able to understand it.

**Shri Jaipal Singh:** No, no.

**Shri Brajeshwar Prasad:** I have failed to understand the meaning of the word 'alignment'. China is aligned with Russia. Does alignment mean subservience? Is China a servile State? Are there not differences of opinion on most problems of foreign policy between England and America. How has alignment acted as a brake

in any way on the independence of either England or China? Economic aid from the West hinders the political integration of the old world, and political integration of the old world is the only solution of all the problems of international politics.

Economic aid from the West also widens the gulf between India and Russia. This is hardly the time to irritate Russia or to widen the gulf. When Pakistani troops are standing on our borders, I think it is high time for us to show some gesture of goodwill and friendship to Russia, and for this, I suggest that we should abandon the taking of aid from the West.

**Shri Khadilkar (Ahmednagar):** Once again, the question of the Commonwealth has been raised in this discussion, and I would like to examine it, on this occasion, a little more objectively.

Unfortunately, two friends sitting on this side of the House have expressed their opinion in a particular manner, but I fail to agree with their point of view. It has become a slogan, in my opinion, of the movement of the left. Perhaps, this slogan is persisted in, because it is presumed it might appeal to our past hostility and to a certain amount of hatred that we had towards the British people, when they wielded power here.

In order to examine this opinion in a dispassionate way, I have tried to ascertain the views of people who command the respect of this section of the House. For instance, I learn on good authority that a great thinker like Rajini Palme Dutt now holds that this question of the connection with the Commonwealth should not be stressed too far. I am quoting this opinion in order to strengthen the view that I want to place before this House.

If it could be proved that this connection with the Commonwealth is in any way having a restraining influence, that it is constraining us from following a policy in this direction or in that direction, or a certain economic



[Shri Khadilkar]

development that we have planned for, if it could be proved that the old colonial domination is coming in our way, I am prepared to accept it, otherwise, I am not convinced about this

After freedom, particularly, some of the nations that belonged to the Commonwealth formerly, are feeling a sense of isolation. That is human nature. Their immediate needs are more social and economic than anything else. As for the contact with the West of an informal nature, where the Commonwealth statesmen in their old age meet in a spirit of a jamboree, as one of the leading Left journals in the West has put it, does it do any harm? Does it impel us to make any commitment contrary to our interests? That is the point at issue to be considered.

So, I do not share the view of my hon friends on this side regarding the Commonwealth connection. Our foreign policy at the present juncture is being pursued in the spirit of a mission, of a crusading nature, and to a large measure, under the given conditions, it has achieved success. Its success depends much more today on the personality of our Prime Minister. We must admit that. If I may put it that way, it has a sort of rose-water quality about it. When you sprinkle a little of it, cools, refreshes, and gives good fragrance. But when you try to search for the base, sometimes you are disillusioned.

From this angle, I would like to suggest that instead of laying great stress on our connection with the Commonwealth, we should try to evolve simultaneously something very concrete in this part of the world, namely the Indian Ocean area. For instance, as I said in the beginning, those nations which have become free, such as Burma and Ceylon, and Malaya and Singapore also, which have achieved freedom recently, are all in this area. I would suggest that they should be combined in some manner, and India should become a sort of focal point for such combina-

tion. Their needs are much more economic and social than anything else. Shri H N Mukerjee has pointed out regarding Pakistan one ought to realise that under the given circumstances, their connection with big Powers, with hydrogen bombs and other things, their sovereignty has lost its former significance.

In the context of the modern world, the freedom of the smaller nations, however, much they may parade their freedom and sovereignty, has very limited significance. That must be clearly understood. So, within the orbit of the Commonwealth, I would propose a sort of a new line, a suggestion for consideration, namely, that our Prime Minister should try to evolve an Indian Ocean Power Pact, where there will be an organisation for defence, where individual nations will not be put to great burden of defence. Collectively, if we evolve such a defence organisation having a common defence and a common economic policy,—we may call it the Indian Ocean Nations' Organisation—taking in Egypt taking in Ceylon, Burma, Singapore, Malaya etc., then we would be helping the immediate needs of these countries, the economic needs of these countries, by lessening the burden of defence, as we are feeling it today. When an additional demand of Rs 50 crores for defence was proposed, everybody from this side felt it very much. That is too great a burden for India at this period of our development tempo. But we have no other alternative, if on the one hand we want the Plan to succeed and on the other, we want the needs of security also to be met. Unless an organisation of the type that I have visualised is developed, whatever we might say, these nations will either go this side or that side. There is a tendency to align oneself this way or that way. Human nature is such that one does not like to remain isolated. Therefore, I maintain that as we maintain our contact with the Commonwealth, we should try to link ourselves—all these nations—in one or

ganisation that would be mutually helpful to serve the defence needs and lessen the economic burdens of these small countries. This is one suggestion I would make.

15 hrs.

Another point is about Indians overseas. We have about 30 lakh Indians overseas. We are keeping contact with them. They are far and wide settled down. Perhaps we keep contact with them through our Embassies, Consulates or otherwise. But after independence, we must strengthen the cultural contacts with Indians overseas. I have seen what China is doing. They invite missions on popular level. Why not invite missions from Overseas Indians to participate in August 15 or the Republic Day celebrations? Let them participate, let them see what we are doing, how we have progressed. Let them carry this message. Will it not strengthen our cultural and other ties with them, people who have chosen their home elsewhere and are loyal to those countries?

I do not for a moment want to raise the question of dual nationality here, as was raised in South East Asian countries in connection with China. Let them be nationals of those countries. At the same time, there is such a thing as cultural contact. There is such a thing as past heritage which they cannot wipe out. Therefore, I would very strongly urge that our External Affairs Ministry should take note of this suggestion and try to invite missions from overseas Indians. It won't cost much. If we are short of funds, invite a small mission from Trinidad, Mauritius, Madagascar, East Africa and South Africa on a popular level, and let them participate in our Independence Day. This will definitely strengthen in their own conviction and they will be happy to find how India is progressing after independence. This is my second suggestion.

I would like to touch on another point which is a bit controversial. We

know that our attitude to Hungary was criticised in many quarters. I know it has created a certain amount of dissatisfaction even in quarters which are not in a sense anti-communist. There was a Committee appointed by the UN to inquire into this matter. When a certain attitude was adopted *vis-a-vis* the popular uprising in Hungary at that time, there was an attack on Egypt. The situation was very delicate. The possibility of it developing into a world war was there. That possibility no longer remains. Things have more or less normalised.

In such a context, this issue will come before the United Nations. Our Prime Minister, while he was in Scandinavian countries, gave expression to his views on this point. I do not rely on the Report of the Committee, I have seen a summary of the Report of the UN Committee, we were not a party to that Report, nor has India led any evidence. Of course, the Indian Ambassador had the privilege to go there and find out what was the truth. When a motion that a UN representative should be sent to Hungary was there, along with Ceylon and, if I mistake not, Indonesia, India supported it. But it was rejected and, therefore, when a UN representative was not allowed to go there, a certain Committee was set up to inquire into the happenings in that country and its report is now published. I have read the summary of the Report, but still I do not rely on it. I would like to rely much more on a book or other correspondence and documentary evidence—actual evidence—that has appeared in one of the progressive weeklies of England, a great admirer of our Prime Minister. I mean the New Statesman and Nation. I have gone through it very thoroughly and the *Daily Worker* correspondent, Mr Peter Fryer, who was there when things happened, has reported on the tragedy of Hungary. His book is available here.

If you see through the whole thing, this matter needs reconsideration and

[Shri Khadilkar]

an expression of opinion not from the point of view of an anti-communist. I do not for a minute say that anti-communists or western imperialists did not try to foment certain disturbances there. But they failed to reach it.

It was a great event in modern world history. I consider it as great, perhaps, as the events of 1917. After 40 years, people who have lived under this new civilisation, have realised that they are getting the bread promised to them; a pair of shoes, they see a lot of production statistics, scientific advance, hydrogen bomb and the like, but the vital needs of human life, truth, a certain amount of dignity and freedom, are denied to them. That feeling has dawned on them in this great revolution, the popular uprising in Hungary, in which students, teachers, intellectuals, soldiers, peasants and workers took the lead. It was not an anti-communist show, on all evidence.

Therefore, with due respect, whatever has happened in the past, I would like to say this. There was a certain diplomatic attitude at a particular moment. I do not want to go into the question whether it was justified or not. At the same time, I would urge—very strongly urge—that we keep friendly relations with the Soviet Union on a State level. Certainly, we would like to do that with China, the Soviet Union and the socialist world. We must keep the best and most friendly relations with them. But that should not restrain us from saying anything in making a critical appraisal of their policies as they affect the Soviet people or the Chinese people.

I am glad to observe that recently our Prime Minister said something about recent developments in the Soviet Union. They were very good remarks. But still we have got to examine, after the emergence of the new civilisation which we feel has created some new values, not only material values but values which can be measured in human terms, and if

we find that these are being suppressed, then I am afraid we are, by not saying so, brushing aside so far as Hungary is concerned, our basic policy of *Panchsheel*.

What happened to the people of this small nation when they rose in revolt? They wanted to protest against the order of things that prevailed so as to bring about change for better socialist reconstruction. They did not want to throw away the baby with the bath-water. They wanted to have socialism. They wanted to organise their own society in a particular manner; their liberty to live according to their likes and according to their conviction, was denied to them and we say nothing. If at all *Panchsheel* means anything in the context of modern world politics, it is this, that smaller nations or bigger nations, all guarantee freedom to different people, whether they are small or big, powerful or weak. This is the main concept of *Panchsheel*, and if this principle is violated anywhere in the world, we must raise our voice of protest and clear our position once for all.

Therefore, I would like to appeal to the Prime Minister to clarify our position, not taking a cue from the anti-communist world front—I do not belong to that—but taking a stand on human considerations, of human freedom and pronounce our judgment on events in Hungary.

In conclusion, I would like to make only a small point because I wanted to offer some criticisms on the administrative side of the department but for want of time, I cannot deal with it at length. It is rather very insignificant, but from my own experience I am telling this. This happened when I was once or twice in foreign countries, particularly in the West. On the 15th of August, I was in Switzerland sitting with our Ambassador. I had that privilege because he was a friend of mine. What happened? Many people were invited for the 15th of August celebrations and hardly a few responded. I asked my

friend why there was no response He told me—whether it is correct or not I do not know—that on such occasions, in western countries, normally drinks are served Next day, I found there was an informal party and more than a thousand people had gathered So, this hypocrisy of prohibition in our foreign embassies must be banished This is wrong This is happening everywhere

I was in Vienna, I visited our Consular head there I was in Switzerland, in Berlin I was before our regular Embassy was opened there I discovered in western society at least, we should not try to carry too far this hypocrisy Let us limit it to ourselves at least I do not wish to say a word against prohibition as such for the moment I am not against prohibition But, the implementation of it at this level creates a wrong impression Therefore, in the end I would like to make a submission that this policy of prohibition so far as our Missions in foreign countries are concerned, must be modified in the light of experience

Mr Deputy Speaker The following are the selected cut motions relating to various Demands under the Ministry of External Affairs which have been indicated by the member to be moved

Demand No	No of Cut Motion
23	160, 161, 34, 35
24	163, 164, 23, 89, 168, 169, 170, 171, 173, 174, 261, 262, 263, 265, 266, 267, 275, 279, 281.
25	188, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290.

# Administration of tribal areas

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I beg to move

‘That the demand under the head ‘Tribal Areas’ be reduced to Re 1”

*Inadequacy of the funds allotted for economic development of tribal areas*

Shri Vasudevan Nair I beg to move

‘That the demand under the head ‘Tribal Areas’ be reduced to Re 1”

*Failure to take effective steps towards ensuring peace in the Naga Hills*

Shri Hem Barua: I beg to move

That the demand under the head ‘Tribal Areas’ be reduced by Rs 100”

*Failure to meet the linguistic aspirations of the NEFA people*

Shri Hem Barua I beg to move

That the demand under the head ‘Tribal Areas’ be reduced by Rs 100”

*Continued association of India with Commonwealth*

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I beg to move

That the demand under the head ‘External Affairs’ be reduced to Re 1”

*Proposed opening of an embassy in Madrid*

Shri Vasudevan Nair. I beg to move

‘That the demand under the head ‘External Affairs’ be reduced to Re 1”

*Failure to take effective action in the matter of Goa and other Portuguese enclaves*

Shri Nandair Bharucha: I beg to move

‘That the demand under the head ‘External Affairs’ be reduced by Rs 100”

*Waste in the Ministry*

**Shri Supakar:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs. 100"

*Denial of passports to a number of participants in the World Peace Congress Session in Colombo*

**Shri Vasudevan Nair:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Policy of issuing passports*

**Shri Vasudevan Nair:** I beg to move.

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 100."

*Position of the people of Indian origin in Ceylon*

**Shri Vasudevan Nair:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs. 100".

*Extravagance in certain Indian missions abroad*

**Shri Vasudevan Nair:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Restriction on the number of participants in the World Youth Festival in Moscow*

**Shri Vasudevan Nair:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs. 100"

*Inefficiency of our Information Service abroad*

**Shri Vasudevan Nair:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs. 100."

*Lack of efficiency in the External Affairs publicity arrangements*

**Shri Surendranath Dwivedy:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs. 100."

*Failure to dissociate India from the Commonwealth of Nations*

**Shri Surendranath Dwivedy:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Need of economy in the working of the embassies and missions abroad*

**Shri Surendranath Dwivedy:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Plight of Tamil speaking people of Indian origin in Ceylon*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Lack of interest in the repatriation of the Tamil speaking people from Ceylon*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Refusal of passports to several delegates from India for World Peace Conference at Colombo*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 100."

*Foreign Publicity*

**Shri Bimal Ghose:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs 1000"

*Failure to take note of the dissatisfaction of the Indians settled in Ceylon*

**Shri Sampath:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs. 100."

*Failure to check the growing extravagance in the administration*

**Shri Sampath:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'External Affairs' be reduced by Rs. 100."

*Administration of Pondicherry*

**Shri Vasudevan Nair:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs. 100"

*Delay in developing Pondicherry Port*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs. 100"

*Lack of sufficient help for regular running of textile mills*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs. 100

*Failure to provide employment to recently retrenched workers of Bharathi and other mills*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Attitude of the Pondicherry Representative Assembly and the Council of Advisers towards the opposition*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs. 100."

*Council of Advisers, impediments to Pondicherry Municipal administration on political grounds*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Arrest and prosecution of the Mayor of Pondicherry prior to a bye-election to the Pondicherry Municipal Council*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs 100"

*Failure of the Council of Advisers to hold elections to Temple Panchayats.*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs. 100"

*Interference by the Council of Advisers in the smooth working of Temple Panchayat at Murungappaur even after chief secretary's assurance.*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs. 100."

*Failure to supply cheap electricity to Industrial Units in consultation with the State Government of Madras*

**Shri Tangamani:** I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'State of Pondicherry' be reduced by Rs 100."

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** These cut motions are before the House.

**Dr. P. Subbaroyan (Tiruchengode):** Mr Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I am glad that the foreign policy that is followed by my leader has had the general approval of this House. I agree there have been some differences which have been pointed out. But, I am glad that the House, as a whole, approves the policy that has been followed for the last 10 years.

Of course, my friend, Shri Mukerjee touched on the Commonwealth and Commonwealth relations. May I tell him that I am an impenitent supporter of the Commonwealth relation for the simple reason that it does not tie us to any particular policy. On the other hand, as the Prime Minister explained we have differed from the Commonwealth. There are nations in the Commonwealth like South Africa, for instance, from whom we fundamentally disagree. But, I think, there is this advantage of the old association, there is the advantage of our being able to influence others on critical occasions, and thus save peace. I know we became rather unpopular during the Suez crisis. But, that again shows that at least our influence did avert a bigger catastrophe than what would have happened if we had not been a member of the Commonwealth.

As the Prime Minister has explained time and again, that if he finds that this association is not leading us anywhere, he may rethink about it. But, I do not think such a time has come. I am glad that the previous speaker at least did say that he is not an opponent of the present association with the Commonwealth.

My friend, Shri Mukerjee talked of the expenditure in various Embassies. Having been an Ambassador myself, of a very small Embassy no doubt, I can tell you, that the Prime Minister said was absolutely correct. The younger officers, the men in the foreign service, do find themselves placed in a very difficult position. They find themselves unable to meet the

ordinary expenditure of their life in those countries. They find it difficult on the small salaries we give our Foreign Service, compared with, as he said, the other Embassies our expenditure is really very limited.

Shri Mukerjee also talked of our opening an Embassy in Madrid. We are in the United Nations. Spain has become a member of the United Nations and I do not see why we should not have diplomatic associations with countries who are members of the United Nations.

**An Hon. Member:** What about South Africa?

**Dr. P. Subbaroyan:** South Africa is in a very different position because of the treatment of their nationals of Indian origin in South Africa, and the policy of apartheid which we totally disapprove.

We may differ from them fundamentally. But, we have no such quarrel with Spain. In a small way, we should have an Embassy. An Embassy is really a listening post supposed to bring home to our Government what happens in any particular area. We may differ from the Spanish Government in every way. We may not agree with their ideologies. But, I think, Spain is a big enough country for us to understand what is happening there.

Shri Mukerjee talked of Portugal and our trouble with Portugal and the Goa situation. Spain has nothing to do with that. Spain is not responsible for her neighbour's action in this country.

**Shri Ranga (Tenali):** All the more reason why we should be very near.

**Dr. P. Subbaroyan:** My friend, Shri Ranga says that it is all the more why we should be very near. We can know from the Ambassador in Spain what is happening in Portugal. Apparently, that is his idea. I agree with him on that.



There are a large number of countries who want ambassadorial representation in this country and, to a certain extent, we have got to reciprocate. For instance, in South America, we have only two Embassies, one in Brazil and the other in Argentine. There is Cuba, for instance, which is demanding that we should have some sort of representative in Cuba. South America is very distant for us, no doubt. But, in the United Nations, a large number of votes belong to South American countries and they very often go with the United States. We may be able to influence them in a very large way if we have representatives in these countries. But, the financial situation being what it is, it may not be possible for us to be represented in every country we want to be represented in. At the same time, there is the advantage of knowing the feeling in any country if we have an Ambassador or a Minister on the spot and he is able to report back to our Foreign Office what is happening at a particular moment in that particular country.

I heard my friend, Shri Brajeshwar Prashad, rather carefully. His suggestions are not new to me because I have known his opinion for a long time. For instance, federation with China and Russia. Our policy has been based on no military alliances with any country whatever. If we become a federation with these two big Powers, we do make a military alliance.

The Prime Minister, even in the beginning of his speech in moving this Demand, said that he is against all military pacts because military pacts lead to cold war and we get into the cold war at once because of what is happening in our neighbouring country, Pakistan. As he properly said, I think, both the Kashmir problem and the canal waters problem have assumed a political aspect because of the Baghdad Pact and SEATO etc.

That is what we want. I think it is correct that our foreign policy should be passed on friendship with other nations but not military alliances with any one. That has been the policy for the past ten years and I welcome it. I think it has saved the world from many catastrophes.

Have you in history heard of any country going to places like Korea or Indo-China or recently to Suez, not for fighting, but to preserve peace. That, I think, is a great contribution our Prime Minister has made to world politics and I wish him every success in this venture of trying to keep peace and trying to get more countries to understand the basis of our policy.

I hope, as he himself said, there is some hope that there is going to be a partial disarmament agreement. In fact, I was rather pleased to read what Rajaji said this morning in the *Hindustan Times*. I entirely agree that this talk of ten months stoppage of nuclear tests is like a man threatening to fast from breakfast to lunch. That is really what it means. I hope that the nations will see that a long period like one or two years at least is allowed for the stoppage of these experiments.

After all, these two big giants have got enough experience of these bombs and they have enough storage of these too. So, by stopping for two years, they are not going to lose in the race. President Eisenhower himself said that he dreads the idea of an atomic war. If he does dread the idea, he must make his contribution towards banning war by getting the disarmament agreement partially no doubt, but at the same time doing something tangible which will appeal to the world and make the world feel that we are at a period when we shall move into peace.

**Shri V. Raju** (Visakhapatnam): Sir, listening to the foreign affairs debate gives one a rather dismal feeling. It is one long stretch of eulogy of the Prime Minister's actions, the good that is the outcome of the



[Shri V. Raju]

foreign policy of our country. This mood is, I am afraid, carried from the Opposition to the Congress benches. But, I am one of those persons who do not carry the same mood. There is a great deal in our foreign policy which could be rectified.

I believe that the policy of the country should be considered from two stand points. The first principle of any foreign policy should be its direct effectiveness in such areas where the Government can act positively and be of some use. When use the word 'use', I mean 'be of help' to the persons residing in those areas. The second type of area is the one in which the Government could act through its policy. There, the Government of India has no direct relationship but it can indirectly affect the lives and prosperity and happiness of the citizens.

If we are to take up our foreign policy from this point, we find that in areas where we have a direct relationship and our foreign policy can affect the lives of people individually and collectively and create for them better existence, the Government's policy, to say the least, has been reactionary and not progressive. On account of the extreme suspicion that exists between the larger power blocs—Russia and the United States—the tendency is to place all difficulties that exist in our foreign policy at the door of the cold war. This is an unrealistic attitude in relation to the first category of territories.

I would refer to the NEFA where today the Government of India has waged an active war against our own people. Apart from that, the whole of that area has been divided into four separate territories. We have the NEFA under the External Affairs Ministry, Manipur and Tripura under the Home Minister and the Naga Hills under the Assam Government. We have four separate divisions of this one area. Strangely, the attitude of our Government in relation to this area is absolutely similar to the atti-

tude taken by the previous imperialist Government to the people of the North Western Frontier which bombed the tribesmen and did that sort of thing. As a student, I remember, how angry all of us became when that area was bombed. Yet, I have not seen a single voice of protest when there is this organised murder that is taking place...

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: The hon. Member seems to imply that we have been bombing that area, we have never done that anywhere at any time. Indeed, I am amazed that he talks like this (Interruptions.)

An Hon. Member: He is talking in dreams but that is Dr. Lohia's politics.

Shri V. Raju: Dr. Lohia is not here to defend himself (Interruptions) There has been no bombing but there has been shooting. There is no dispute about that I suppose. The figures provided in this House go to show that people have been shot in that area. Apart from that, we know how one or two of their leaders like Phizo are being hunted. Any gentleman who is able to catch him will be given Rs 10,000 I do not know by which agency.

Shri Jaipal Singh: Is he in NEFA?

Shri V. Raju: It is all one area but there are four separate divisions. They are all Nagas. (Interruptions.) They are all tribesmen, I stand corrected.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member should not mix them up.

Shri V. Raju: I am not mixed up. I think the Prime Minister himself has stated that his department was not connected with the other areas but even then he would answer the question that was put. Therefore, I am raising this question.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: If he is prepared to answer it, it does not mean that we should go out of our trend.

He said that he did not take shelter under that plea but would be prepared to answer the question.

**Shri V. Raju:** I am making these statements and it is for the Government to say that it is wrong. After all, I have been elected to this House and I represent a view point. I want it to be clearly understood that I cannot understand why we have this section in the North-Eastern Agency which is separate from the nation, apart from the fact that we have a Member in the Parliament who represents this area—he is Shri Gohain, a nominated Member. I cannot understand even why he is nominated and why the electoral process is not there. If he can sit as a Member of this House, then how does it become part of our foreign affairs? I am only introducing it in that sense. I know this could have been introduced in the debate on Home Affairs. Why I am trying to point this out here is this. I had earlier mentioned that there are two criteria on which I would like to base my remarks today. One is about areas in which our policy can directly affect the happiness of the persons concerned, and this is one of such areas. When Mr Phizo

**An Hon. Member:** Is that under Foreign Affairs?

**Shri V. Raju:** There is a demand to that extent. I believe that a definite stand should be taken by the Government. All these areas should be integrated as far as possible. There is a demand, I know, for a separate State. It is possible that we cannot grant them this demand. But, at the same time, in coming to friendly relations with these tribal people we have to know how to deal with them.

For instance, they are a very proud race. They are not prepared to come forward and negotiate without their leaders being given the opportunity to talk on equal terms. When they use the term 'equal' of course, I know that the might of Delhi can never be equal to some village in the North-East Frontier Agency. Still, it is a matter of pride, it is a matter of human rela-

tions which can be developed. And, here I believe that a gesture is necessary; not merely a gesture, the Government must definitely come forward, reorganise that whole area and try to create a situation where it is not placed outside the pale of India but becomes an integral part of our nation.

Apart from this, there are two other areas where Government of India's actions have in my opinion been suspected to a large measure. We have gone out of our way always to say that we do not believe in satellites, protectorates, arms pacts and various other associations which disturb peace. As a nation carrying the heritage and background of a revolution, of a freedom struggle just ten years back, and apart from the fact that we had the leadership of Mahatma Gandhi, we have always prided ourselves in our capacity to export two things: peace and change, not mere peace but peace with change—'change' means being able to forget, transcend the past, the past of imperialism. Here I find that the Indian Government, in a sense, is once again creating a new type of imperialism, and that has taken place in the protectorate status that we have now given to Bhutan and Sikkim, two small Himalayan States which are next to us.

In Sikkim, for instance, a popular Government has now been deposed and we have come to terms with the rulers, we are giving them protection. I know for a fact that in these areas it is not possible for a people's movement to begin, because on all counts they are liable to be affected by our military and police forces. Treaty rights have been made directly between our nation on one side and autocratic rulers in Bhutan and Sikkim on the other. These are direct instances which I would like to point out, apart from the fact that closer at home, where we have to deal with our own nationals, in Ceylon, South Africa or Pakistan the relationship may be more strained,

[Shri V. Raju]

because I know that other factors get involved in those issues.

My second point, as I stated, is on the larger issue, on foreign policy in relation to nations where we have indirect relationship, if I may use the term. In this I am rather surprised at the trend or attitude whereby the Government's policy in this country tends to affect or nullify the attitudes of even progressive governments that may be taking place in our neighbouring countries. I am reading from the Report of 1956-57 that has been circulated by the External Affairs Department. As far as the Burma Government is concerned, it says:

"Certain measures taken by the Government of Burma in the sphere of trade and economy have adversely affected Indian interests in that country. Indian nationals living in Burma have experienced difficulties in making remittances to their dependants in India. A large number of cases involving the claims of Indian ex-employees of the Burma Government for pension, gratuity, arrears of pay, leave salary etc., still remain to be settled although as a result of our Embassy's efforts some relief was secured. The Government of Burma invited applications during the year for payment of compensation for lands nationalized by the Government. While the Government of Burma is responsive to our requests in matters concerning Indian nationals, its own economic difficulties have not permitted a great deal of co-operation in this regard in the past.

All this I can understand, because it is all a statement of fact. Then it is said-

"This, however, was not allowed to interfere with the cordial rela-

tions existing between the two countries."

When it is said: "this was not allowed to interfere", we are led to believe that it is possible that the difficult conditions in which the Burmese Government is going through may not allow the Burmese Government to pay the Indian staff their salaries etc. and, therefore, they should be absolved. I can understand that position. But, when we add to this attitude the question of payment of compensation to landlords, the Indian landlords who owned practically the whole of Burma's rice productive area before the war, what do we mean? After all, the whole Burmese nation was mortgaged to Indians at one point or other, and today when we try to stress in this negative fashion that we do not allow this relationship to be spoiled we give a tendency, apart from the impression that is caused in the external sphere, to the landlord classes here, an impression internally to the landlords in this country that without payment of compensation no progress can take place, in spite of the fact that land holdings are one of the major stumbling blocks in our nation for the progress of our agriculture.

Why I am pointing this out is, as I had earlier said, our nation should be in a position to create two ideas: (a) the idea of peace and (b) the idea of progress. I assume that progress is more important, because it is not merely the idea of negative peace that we want, we want positive progress. The Prime Minister is keen on saying about the idea of Panch Sheela. What exactly is Panch Sheela? It is something positive; it cannot mean merely negative expressions between people. Therefore, to a large extent, our foreign policy has to reflect our internal ideology in terms of our external relationships and here I find that we are indirectly supporting vested interests in these countries and giving expression to such a situation.

Similarly, as I was listening to the debate, I have the feeling that we are not able to express ourselves fully and clearly. There is a restraint that is there in the House. This is something that does not directly relate to External Affairs, it has direct relation also to Defence questions. The whole thing is tied up and today there is a general lack of confidence throughout the nation. There is the general subconscious fear that lurks in the country.

**An Hon. Member: Question**

**Shri V. Raju:** That it is possible for the country to be hurt, to be attacked externally and the cause for this is that all these problems happen to be decided by us. Partly it is true this fact may be caused by a false understanding of us by others and yet I believe that to a large extent much of this problem has been caused by this self gagging that has taken place in this country the direct, critical appraisal of the policies pursued by the Congress and the policies expressed by other parties.

While I was speaking here, an hon. Member from the State of Mysore referred to one of the leaders of my Party. I am only bringing this fact incidentally to show that in politics we must be prepared to accept clear, precise and openly expressed sentiments of approval as well as disapproval and I find that it is approved that is expressed as far as the policies in foreign affairs and defence are concerned.

Here I would like to point out another summary of the report and I am sure that when this report was put forward the full implications would not have been completely—I won't say understood—but appreciated as I would like to express them and I would like to point out also to you. This demonstrates to us the deterioration of the principle of free expression and debate, the deterioration of our democratic structure in this country

to some extent and the glorification of the cult of the individual. It says here.

"The Government of India have acceded to the request of the Iranian Embassy that necessary facilities be provided to them for establishing cultural institutes in Delhi and Bombay. One such institute has been established in Bombay" and continuing

The Government of India have decided to subsidise the translation of some of Shri Jawaharlal Nehru's books into Persian. A sum of Rs. 5,000 has been sanctioned in connection with the translation of his 'Autobiography', the book has since been printed. The translation of 'Glimpses of World History' and the 'Discovery of India' is being taken up. The Government of India have also subsidised the Arabic translations of 'Gitanjali' and 'Fruit Gathering'."

We do not know who is the author of the two books.

**Shri S. V. Ramaswami (Salem):** These are all gems of literature.

**Shri V. Raju:** It is perfectly correct, but I would like to point out this difference because about one month back many questions arose and in one instance the Prime Minister had also written to one of the leaders of the political parties in this nation and said that the question of using Indian aeroplanes was for security reasons and that he was not responsible for such things. Perfectly all right. But here is a position where right in front of us we go into such forms of expenditure. I do not think I need say any more in this context. I think my implication is perfectly clear.

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** Moreover the time is up.

**Shri V. Raju:** Very well, Sir  
(At this stage Raja Mahendra Pratap rose)

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** Order, order. The hon Member may have something to say. But two hon Members are standing simultaneously. Let the Member finish his speech. Has the hon Member concluded?

**Shri V. Raju:** I have not

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** Order, order. The hon Member may conclude his speech. He should however finish within a minute.

**Shri V. Raju:** Therefore, I would like to say that if our foreign policy if it is to be effective has to be sure of accepting the concomitant policy 'strife' where necessary. This principle has always been accepted as a part of our political and philosophical doctrine or theory that is part of the Indian nation. We have never shirked or ran away from responsibility on the plea that peace would be effected on the one hand and therefore, Indian political theory has accepted two principles: peace not at all costs, but peace with principle and in the event of principle being ineffective, peace also should be foregone because peace becomes cowardice at a certain point and therefore one of our Gods told a person going to the battle field that he had necessarily to fight even if it was against his own family and relatives. With these few words I would like to say that the Government of India policy has to pursue peace, and honour and also pursue then effectively and not negatively, as has been the case so far.

**Dr. Sushila Nayar (Jhansi):** Mr Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I am a newcomer to this House and I was very eagerly listening to the speeches from the Opposition benches in the hope of hearing something very worthwhile. I must say I was not only sorely disappointed, but I was deeply distressed to hear some of the statements that have been made, which are worse than half truths, which are exaggera-

tions, which are confusions and which are liable to be exploited by unscrupulous neighbours as well as those others who never miss an opportunity to throw bricks at us. Their difficulty is that India has always set such high standards in the international field. India has always stood by principles and has set up precedents and practices of such a high order that they cannot keep pace with us and the only way in which they can try to show their worth in the world is by trying to make use of some ill-informed incorrect and confused statements of some of our friends, who in their zeal to criticize the Government forget the larger interests of the country.

Now the hon Member has just stated that the Government has entered into some kind of agreements with reactionary Governments in Sikkim and Bhutan. Surely, the Government has to enter into agreement with the Government that is there whatever there may be. While in our own country we are setting up very high standards of democracy we cannot interfere with the internal affairs of other countries big or small. The hon Member said that democratic standards are deteriorating. If that was so, the hon Member would not have been able to make the speech that he has made here. The very fact that he was able to speak in an uninterrupted manner—even when he was interrupted, the hon Deputy Speaker came to his rescue and made him complete his speeches—shows how strongly the foundations of democracy have been laid in this country.

The hon Member said something about Government referring to compensation to some of the Indians who owned property in Burma. Surely the Government of India has to look to the interests of Indians in other countries. Just a short while ago, some other hon Members were complaining that Government was not doing enough about it. Now, the hon Member of the Opposition seems to confuse his ideas of socialism with the

responsibility of the Government towards its nationals in this country and in countries abroad. If in Burma, the law of the land was such that no compensation was to be paid to anyone, it would be perfectly all right. Then the Government of India would not have asked for compensation for its nationals in Burma. What is it that the Government of India have stated in the pamphlet? In spite of the fact that there are these difficulties which our nationals are facing and the fact that we are trying to get justice for them, in spite of that, our normal cordial relations with that country continue. In other words, our Government is keeping its principles and standards high and the small irritations are not allowed to interfere with the larger perspective of having goodwill, understanding and cordial relations with all the nations of the world.

The hon. Member even criticised the translation of some of the writings of our Prime Minister and the great poet, Tagore. I wonder whether the hon. Member has taken the trouble to read these books. If he had read them, he would not have indulged in the kind of remarks he did. Whether our Prime Minister is remembered as the Prime Minister of India or not, he will be surely remembered as the author of the many books he has written. I have no doubt that he will be remembered both as a great statesman and as a writer for all times. Today on the face of this earth, there is not another man who can stand comparison with him, whether as a statesman, as a writer, as a human-being or as a great man. Yet, the hon. Member of the Opposition had the temerity to say that we are indulging in the cult of personality. All such men can be criticised. The newspapers can go for him, the hon. Members of the Opposition can go for him and yet he says democratic standards are deteriorating. It is an amazing statement.

Another hon. Member on this side said something about Pakistani troops

being on the border and therefore the need for alliances with Russia, China etc. This country, as has been already pointed out by Dr. Subhas Chandra Bose, has always stood for strong opposition to all military alliances and stood for the principle of solving all problems peacefully. As a matter of fact, non-alignment has been the stand of our Prime Minister, not a passive non-alignment, but a non-alignment which stands for this principle that physical force solves no problems. I am often reminded of what Bapu used to say. Gandhiji often said, "there may be differences between me and Jawaharlal while I live, but, when I am no more, Jawaharlal will speak my language." When one hears and reads our Prime Minister's speeches on international problems, in international gatherings, or at home, one is very much reminded of those words of Gandhiji. It is this one great heritage which has today given a high status and great prestige to our country throughout the world. Even in those countries where some of the Governments may not quite appreciate our policy of non-alignment, their people are full of appreciation and admiration for this stand of India. Under these circumstances where does the question of our forming alliances with anybody arise?

Moreover, I would like the hon. Member to remember that India has chosen the path of democracy. We are friends with all the world. We have chosen the path of Panch Sheel. What our Prime Minister has been saying and what our Government has stood for is, let democracy and the method of communism both pursue their own path and show by practical example which is the better method, and, mankind will follow that method. While we stand for that principle, the fact remains that in our country we have chosen the path of democracy and we are following that path solidly and persistently. Under these circumstances, it is fantastic to think in terms of making a suggestion made by the hon. Member.

[Dr. Sushila Nayar]

What is more, I do not see why we should be afraid of Pakistani troops. We are not a small country; we are not a weak country. While we do not want fight and while we stand for peace, at the same time, we are a country which unarmed was able to throw out the Britishers from this country. Today we are not unarmed. We have spent money, we are armed; we have courage and we have faith in our force. So, there is no reason why we should think in terms of fear and get excited about it and begin to talk in the manner in which the hon Member did.

The trouble with Pakistan, of course, is there. The difficulty is, as everyone remembers, at the time of partition many people said that Pakistan would not be viable economically and therefore Pakistan would not be accepted by the Muslim League and so on. They did accept Pakistan and we conceded to it. What they want us to do now obviously is to make them economically viable at our expense. The Prime Minister said that we have accepted the award of the World Bank in principle. I do not know the details, but from what I have heard, I believe it is a fantastic sum of money that Pakistan wants from us. There is no reason why, in order to make Pakistan economically viable, in order to make a success of their mistakes or overcome the effects of their mistakes, India should be made to pay these fantastic sums of money. In a way, we are asked to pay them the price of our own vivisection so to say. If the World Bank or the United Nations are so anxious to help Pakistan, let them find the money. Why do they want us to find the money? It is not our responsibility. The trouble is that they live on the hymn of hate. In Othello, Iago was asked by Othello; "Why do you hate me," and he said, "you are so beautiful that you make me look ugly". In comparison to India, they look black. That is not our fault. Let them raise their standards; let them behave better; let them do

more things for their people and let them try to develop their country. We want nothing better than stability in our next door and we wish them prosperity, so that both of us may live in peace. Surely they cannot ask us to make sacrifices and find money for their prosperity and development after they have chosen the path of partition and separation.

Then, there was something said regarding the extravagance in foreign missions. I entirely agree with the Prime Minister that most of our officers, particularly below the rank of ambassadors are very hard put. I have seen several of them in some of the countries, I have seen the difficulties in which they are living. For instance, during my recent visit to New York, I discovered that since 1955, the year of my last visit, the costs of several necessary articles of life have risen by 50 per cent. If they had to pay 10 cents for a cup of coffee in 1955, today they have to pay 15 cents. If they had to pay 10 cents in 1955 for the underground train, today, they have to pay 15 cents. These have got to be kept in mind. There is no use saying that we are representatives of a poor country and therefore we must live in that way. I won't mind if the Government of India set up a colony in each of these countries where all the inhabitants of our missions can live together. They can live with any standard or live the Indian way of living, whatever it be. As it is, they have to live in the midst of those people and in a short time, they have to make contacts and also represent our country. As such, they have to have the necessary means to do that. Many of our officers, I must say, are living like students. They are not able to live like officers as they should be living. I think that this question has to be very carefully considered. Various items of necessity and the cost of living should be considered and salaries and allowances should be fixed in accordance with those prices.



16 hrs.

I would, however, say this. I feel that in some places there is perhaps room for retrenchment. The Prime Minister himself mentioned the case of the High Commissioner's Office in London. While there are various responsibilities that that office has, the fact remains that an elaborate retrenchment scheme was worked out, so far as I know, by our previous High Commissioner Shri B. G. Kher. I do not know why it has not been implemented during the several years it has been in existence and operation. I was told by some of the young men and women working there that they would not have more than one hour's work. They wanted more work. That is a case which needs looking into very carefully.

One of the reasons given by some of the people there was this. They say that the people that are brought from India are not able to work and their output is not half of the output of the people that are recruited locally. I have had the opportunity of working with some of those recruited from India and foreign recruits. I think the output of our people is not less and their willingness to work for longer hours is much greater. But, even if it be true that the output of our people is not so good, it only means that we are not making careful selection when we are sending people outside. It very often happens that somebody they wish to get rid of from the States is sent to the Central Government and from the Central Government, he is sent to the foreign missions. Every one of our people there, whether high-ups or lower-downs, is an ambassador of a sort because he represents India. From his way of working, or way of living or way of behaving, people judge our country. Therefore, I am strongly of the opinion that we should send to our foreign missions the most competent, the most desirable and well selected people. Then, we won't have this trouble that their output is not so good.

Another thing that I would like to point out in regard to some of these officers of ours is this. There are married officers with wives and children. The Government pays for taking their wives and children with them. Those who are bachelors have their dependents too. They cannot take them. It is rather hard. It means that their dependents have to be supported in India. They have to send money to keep their establishment here. That makes it very difficult for them to support themselves. What happens is this. I met a delightful girl, one of our First Secretaries. For years, the girl has lived by herself. She has a mother and she could have her mother with her. But, she cannot afford and she could have her passage. The Government won't pay. Married officers are given this facility. It is very hard to go home after a hard day's work just to face the bare walls. There is nobody in the house. This is a point to which the hon. Prime Minister may give some attention. For these dependents, widowed mother, younger brother or sister, the same facilities should be extended in the case of unmarried people as in the case of married officers, particularly in view of the customs in India. A family does not consist only of a man, his wife and children. It extends much further.

I would like to point out something with regard to prohibition and publicity. An hon. member said that people do not come to our parties, Independence Day party, etc., because of prohibition, this is farce, this is hypocrisy. I beg to differ. I wish to say that my experience is very different. I have been to Independence Day parties where there has been prohibition. A large number of people have come to these parties. What is more, in a country like America, when I talked with some of the very high people in the State Department, they said, if you people do not serve liquor, we will be very happy and we will not have to serve it to you either. As a matter of fact, what happens is, our people get it there free of duty and it



[Dr. Sushila Nayar]

is cheaper. For them, it is much more expensive. They respect us. As a matter of fact, I was asked in several places, you have a policy of prohibition, but how is it that you people drink? There are only a very few of you who don't drink. I said that they drink in order to please you, in order not to offend you. I did not know what else to say to them.

If we have adopted a policy of prohibition at home, this policy should be strictly enforced in the missions abroad. Some of our Officers say, you make them drink and then pump out secrets out of them. Those people are greater adepts in drinking than our people, relatively. There is no point in speaking in this fashion. There is competition in drinking. There is a hierarchy. Instead of all our people whether clerks or high-ups, living as one family, there seems to be a stratification. I do not know to what extent that is due to the fact that many of our Ambassadors abroad are I.C.S. officers and other officials who have grown up in the tradition of stratification. But, that is so even in respect of people who have been with the people, who have been popular persons, who have lived with the masses and understand the masses. I would really request the Prime Minister to look into this aspect also to the extent it is possible.

On the publicity side, I feel that perhaps we are not doing all that we should possibly do. I found to my amazement that some of our own people who should be able to convince others with regard to several of our policies, themselves were doubtful about them. They wondered whether we had gone back on certain commitments, on certain words of ours. When I explained to them the points—we discussed several matters whether it was Kashmir or anything else—there was a different look in their faces and in their eyes. With regard to Kashmir, when I explained to some foreigners that there have been two general elections, popular elections on

this issue of accession and they have been won by the leaders of the National Conference who are ruling Kashmir, the only question that they asked was: "were these elections fair" I asked: "Are you convinced that our elections in India were fair"? They were, in fact, asking about Kerala. I told them, if the elections are not fair, how do you expect the communists to come to power in Kerala. Then, they said, they believed that the elections in India were fair. Then, they agreed that if the elections are fair in Kerala, there is no reason to doubt the fairness of the elections in Kashmir. Pakistan uses every Pakistani national in that country, whether a student or anybody else and they are all living propaganda machines. Our people do not know all the facts. They are not informed and they are not made use of. I am sorry to say that there is not enough contact between our embassies and the Indian nationals living in those countries. There may be difficulties, there may be hardships, there is not much time for me to go into these in detail, but this is a matter which does need looking into, so that our people understand things. Our case is strong, but we have to present it. We have done a good job at the recent meetings of the United Nations, and we have brought out several of the facts which had got rusted over, but much more has to be done in this respect not only with regard to one issue, but several issues. After all, we stand by very high principles, and our practice has been of a very high standard and there is no reason why these countries should not understand. If they understand our case, I have no doubt in my mind that they will stand by it.

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** I thought I might be able to accommodate one more Member, but that is not possible now. Members will excuse me. The hon. Minister.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** I shall endeavour to deal briefly with some of the remarks, comments and criticisms made.

To begin with, hon. Member Shri H. N. Mukerjee spoke about the policy governing our tribal areas and expressed his appreciation of what I had said the other day, that is that the Sixth Schedule of the Constitution could be amended. I was a little surprised to learn that because I have often said that before too. In fact, I have said something more. Our attitude in regard to the Naga Hills District or any other tribal area, in fact, is that we should give them as much autonomy as possible and we should amend the Sixth Schedule by consultation. That has been proclaimed repeatedly and I should like to make that clear even now.

And further, there is no question of our pursuing even those who have been opposed to us, or even those who have done much which is highly deplorable. We do not wish to have any reprisals, and we want to deal with them as our fellow countrymen and take as lenient a view as possible even of what might be called criminal offences in the course of these troubles. Our approach has all along been friendly, but a friendly approach means nothing at all unless it is also a firm approach. Otherwise, the friendliness is only supposed to be weakness and fear. Therefore, it has to be firm and at the same time a friendly approach.

The hon. Member Shri V. Raju said many things to which I listened with considerable amazement so far as the facts are concerned. There is no question of my being surprised at his ideas because it is open to him to hold any ideas he likes, however absurd they might be, but so far as facts are concerned, there is a certain limitation, I take it, that they should conform to reality.

He laid great stress on apparently some terrible thing that had happened in these areas in the east, that one area had been divided by us into four, this one area being Tripura, Manipur, the Naga Hills and NEFA. I do not know at what time this was one area

from any point of view—politically, geographically, biologically, historically, culturally I do not know. Because it was never one area. Each part of it is as different from the others as anything can be. Take even Manipur and Tripura which have been States for hundreds and hundreds of years, separate States with very distinctive cultures. Most Members know something of their famous culture, their dancing and other things. There is nothing in common, if I may say so, between let us say the Manipuri dance and many things that you might see in the NEFA region. It is as different as two human beings can be different in Europe and America and India and anywhere.

So, to imagine this was one area which has been split up because of some nefarious design on the part of Government is completely without foundation.

To some extent we are always bound by certain historical development. I do not know but it may be a time may come when these areas may be brought together under one administration. It might be a good thing. I am not opposed to it. In fact, I am rather inclined to think it might be a good thing. But it is not our desire to compel these areas against their will to do so. There was a very strong feeling in Tripura, in Manipur, against any such merger of theirs with other parts and we recognised that for the time being. I do not know what the future will be.

Anyhow, my submission is that the whole basis of his argument was so fallacious about this one solid area being split up that almost everything that followed from it was wrong.

He referred then to our treating this area, or perhaps only the Naga Hills District, in the same way as the British used to treat the North West Frontier Province, bombing etc., but I ventured to point that there has been no bombing. He said: "All right, then, shooting." I cannot deny that there

[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

has been shooting, but I would like hon Members to consider what would normally happen in any country, what might have happened in British times, or might happen even now in other countries where a kind of revolt of this type took place which was carried on with smiting, murder and all kinds of things, and then compare what we have done. Compare even the casualties. They are amazingly few. There are parts of the world where things are happening today. Guns go off quickly and bombs are dropped. Nobody likes this kind of conflict. We hate it. But I think we may justly say that our army there, apart from odd instances, regrettable instances, have behaved with restraint, and that has been one reason why the army could not perform its function as rapidly as it might have done. But we preferred taking much more time over it than sowing the seeds of hatred and bitterness which will carry on, because we are out to win these people, make friends and comrades of them, not enemies.

Then again, Shri Raju referred to Bhutan and Sikkim and to our having put down, crushed popular movements there. That again was news to me.

Shri V. Raju: I did not say you put it down. I said you made a treaty with the Rulers there and in the case of Sikkim there was a popular Government before the treaty was made which is no longer there at the moment.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Who exactly we should make a treaty with there or in any country except with the Government of the country is not clear to me. Normally we deal with Governments, whether it was the Government of Bhutan or Sikkim. As a matter of fact, we had treaties with them. We have inherited treaties. Since then we have had new treaties made, or amendments to the old treaties. There was a special relationship between India and Sikkim and Bhutan. It is not the same relationship. They differ, there is some difference between

the two. We inherited it, and having inherited it, we interpreted that relationship in as free a way as possible, to encourage these Governments to have their own free life without interference. We are not interested in them except, naturally, that we would like them to progress. We are interested in them certainly because they are frontier Governments and what happens in them is of deep interest to us. For the rest we are only interested in their development according to their lines and wishes. So far as Bhutan is concerned, we have not even got a representative sitting in Bhutan. From what Shri V. Raju has said, one might imagine that we have got armies stationed there. We have not even got a mission or a representative or an agent in the whole of Bhutan, so far as I can remember, unless something has taken place very recently that I do not know.

Occasionally, our representative who sits in Gangtok has been to Bhutan. It is a long journey, about seven or eight days' journey to reach from the border of Bhutan to the capital. The capital itself is a moving capital. It is a cut-off place, probably more cut off, certainly much more cut off than Tibet is nowadays. Probably, there is hardly a place in the world which is quite so cut off.

There is no question of interference by us. There are many things that happened there which we may like or dislike. We cannot interfere with a country like that. We are friendly with them. We have given them some help. They wanted some engineers. We gave them some engineers. They want some teachers. And there has been some little surveying by our engineers about roads. That is all. So, I think, for anyone to say that we are carrying on some kind of an imperialist tradition in Sikkim and Bhutan is very very far from reality or the facts.

There are a number of small matters to which I shall make a reference, Shri H. N. Mukerjee had referred to the Gurkha recruitment centres of the U.K. I had previously also referred to these centres, and I had expressed my regret that they continue. But I should like to make it perfectly clear that they do not recruit in India. It has nothing to do with recruitment. We stopped that long ago. We certainly have allowed them to continue as transit centres; they bring these Gurkhas from Nepal, and they stay in these transit centres, are given clothing etc and then passed on, and I believe, they are also examined medically there. I am not justifying that, because we do not want these things to continue there. I only wish to say, however, that nobody is allowed to be recruited here. This facility that was given was a transit facility. I am told that other depots in Nepal territory are being built now by the British.

Shri Jaipal Singh: Does that mean that no training is given in these transit centres as used to be the case previously?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: To my knowledge, no training is given. If the hon. Member wants, I can find out. What I have been told is that these transit centres are places for storage and clothing, they are also used for X-ray screening, payment of pensions, collection and staging of parties to Calcutta and handling of leave and discharge parties. That is the definition. These depots would be closed, as soon as the British depot under construction in Daran Bazar is completed.

There was some reference made to a colossal figure of Rs. 25 crores, which Shri H. N. Mukerjee said, apparently, had to go through British banks. I was myself surprised to hear that; and I knew nothing about it. I had to enquire from the Finance Ministry, because the External Affairs Ministry has really nothing to do with this. They have sent me some papers, which I have been unable to read quickly. But from these papers, it appears that all our money is dealt

with, of course, by Indian banks, as far as London is concerned. But where money has to be sent to other parts of Europe, the position is that Indian banks do not function there yet, unfortunately, and, therefore, for any transfers from London to other places, we have had to utilise the services of the British banking system—to what extent, I cannot immediately say.

But, obviously, it is our desire to do away with this practice, and to use Indian agencies, and Indian services, wherever we can possibly do so. It is quite absurd if we cannot do so. It was only when we could not do so that we have had to have recourse to other countries' services.

An instance was mentioned by Shri H. N. Mukerjee of an officer who is said to have bought several cars in a brief period of time. The Public Accounts Committee had drawn attention to this.

16 25 hrs

[MR SPEAKER in the Chair]

When I saw this reference in the Public Accounts Committee's report, I enquired into this matter. I found that perhaps, all the facts had not been placed before the Public Accounts Committee; perhaps, they had come out subsequently. The facts were, very briefly, that this officer of ours had purchased cars three times in the course of four years, which, on the face of it, sounds odd, and certainly it appears to be something wrong; and on one or two occasions, he had asked for advances to purchase these cars. But the fact is that this poor man was being transferred repeatedly. He had six transfers during a period of four years, and when he was transferred, he had the option to carry his car with him or sell it. And apparently, he preferred selling it.

Secondly, Government did not suffer the slightest loss in it. Government do not come into the picture at all. But we suspected, and the thought came to us that perhaps, this

[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

repeated buying and selling might have led to some considerable profit, and we did not like that, although, of course, if he had suffered loss, he would have had to suffer the great loss, and we would not have made that good. But we did not like it. When we went into it, we found that in these three transactions, he had made a profit of Rs 1000, that is, in these three buyings and sellings, and we made him pay up that Rs 1000 to the Ministry.

An Hon. Member. It was absurd.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I am merely stating the facts. I am merely giving the House the facts.

Shri Jaipal Singh: Under what rule was this officer made to pay that Rs 1000 to the Ministry?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I do not know under what rule. It was no rule.

Mr Speaker: Illegal profit.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: When this matter came up, and we enquired into it—I find that the exact sum was Rs 1,100 and not Rs 1,000—we simply asked him to pay that money to the Ministry, and the officer paid it. He did not go to a court of law about it.

Shri Goray: It must be out of fright.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Anyhow, this can hardly be termed as any serious offence.

The hon. Member opposite has referred the discharge of peons recently said something about the peon allotment to officers remaining the same. It has not remained the same. It is because the peon allotment is being changed that they are being discharged, really, they are not discharged, we are not discharging them, but we are discharging them from their present functions, and trying to find other work for them.

There is another question that has been raised, and that is about the posts and telegraph charges from, I think, our China Embassy, from Peking to Hong Kong. The decrease in the posts and telegraph charges was due to the introduction of a weekly courier service. There has been some corresponding increase on travelling allowance of courier from Peking to Hong Kong. But by this introduction of the courier service, there has been a net saving of over Rs 50,000.

There was also some criticism about more and more furniture in Peking. Well, the furniture was for the India base staff which was sent there, and some provision was made for the maintenance of it. These are relatively minor matters which it is very difficult to deal with separately.

It may of course be, that in some places out of the fifty or seventy missions that we have, something goes wrong here or there, I cannot guarantee but the only thing I can say is that we are trying to keep a check on this.

There is another thing about entertainment in Delhi, to which reference has been made. I think the Public Accounts Committee had made some reference to it, that money had been spent more on one occasion and less on others, in private houses, and not in Hyderabad House. It is true that entertainments took place by permission in private houses occasionally, because it is rather difficult let us say, if half a dozen persons are to be invited, to take the Hyderabad House for half a dozen persons.

It is all very well for a big party, dinner party or reception, but for four or five or six persons to sit in a huge hall is rather inappropriate. So the matter was referred to me. I said that in such cases it was better to have a little homelier atmosphere in one's home than to go to Hyderabad House or a hotel. Since then, certain scales have been laid down beyond which they are not allowed to go.

Two or three hon Members have referred to the question of alcoholic drinks in our Embassies, some in favour and some against. I should like to say that I do not agree with the statement which is sometimes made even by our Ambassadors that drinks attract people to their parties and if there are no drinks, people will not come. I have told them quite clearly that if people are only attracted by drinks, they had better keep away from our Embassies.

✓ I am not for the moment supporting or opposing prohibition, that is a different matter. But I do not believe in this type or kind of diplomacy which depends on drinking. As one hon Member said, if we have to indulge in that particular type of diplomacy, we are less trained for it and others are likely to win. It often happens. As a matter of fact apart from the question of prohibition, it is undesirable for certain types of officers—in fact, for everybody—but more especially for certain types of officers like defence officers and defence men and foreign office men to drink, because they are supposed to be in possession of all kinds of secret information, and once you drink, you may not be drunk but your tongue is loosened and you talk about things which you should not talk about.

Therefore, it is the practice even in countries where drink is allowed and there is no prohibition, to have strict injunctions as to when people should drink, officers and others who are dealing with secret matters.

So far as we are concerned, since we follow a certain policy of prohibition, not complete prohibition—in some places more, in some places less—it would be highly unbecoming of our officers to attend big functions or parties elsewhere where drink is served. We do not allow in formal functions alcoholic drinks, and I am not aware that they have suffered from the point of view of attendance.

**Shri Hem Barua:** Is it a fact that to our Embassies abroad drinks are given at 80 per cent less concession?

**Shri Ranga:** By whom?

**Mr. Speaker:** It is not such an important matter as to call for an interruption.

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** All diplomats, wherever they are, in Delhi or outside, get various articles cheaper than those paid by ordinary human beings, because certain duties do not apply to diplomats. All the foreign missions here—not Indians here—can get their alcoholic drinks plus other things minus the duty. That is obviously much cheaper, and that applies to Indian missions abroad. It is a common thing which applies to all missions; they can get these things minus certain duties.

I was saying that we do not permit alcoholic drinks at normal, big, official functions. We have relaxed the rules somewhat at small parties where we have permitted wines to be served wine cup—can—not very strong drinks.

About publicity, I must confess that I have myself often been very much dissatisfied at the results of our publicity. We have shifted and changed it. We are constantly thinking about it, and yet the results appear to be less than hoped for. I cannot suggest a remedy which will give us full results. But I would like to point out that the reaction to publicity in many countries depends so much on the policy that that country pursues. That is, if our policy is contrary to that country's policy, we do not get much publicity there, and it does not affect people—I am talking about the governmental circles or the Press. The Press may be very free, and the Press is supposed to be free and is, in a sense, free, and yet in another sense, not legal, I mean, it is as regimented as anything can be, not forcibly regimented, but simply ideologically regimented. I am not merely talking about countries which are supposed to be totalitarian but other countries



[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

too where the Press not all the Press—follows one line, because they are used to that line. They do not like anything said in contradiction of it.

So that publicity, properly carried out, should be helpful, and probably the best kind of publicity ultimately is the personal approach and the personal explanation, and that way again, our people serving in our Embassies ought to be given facilities for the personal approach and personal explanation meeting people. That is also slightly expensive, a little costly matter, not very expensive.

But the real thing is that when policies conflict, in these days of rather passionate attachment to one programme or policy, anyone not agreeing with it is *prima facie* supposed to be a person in the wrong and all eyes and ears are closed to him.

I would like to say, however, that whatever Governments and others or even the Press may say or may not say about India,—I say so with all respect and with some hesitation—the fact is that to the common people in every country, in their minds India is popular. I have no doubt about it. They are increasingly interested in India, her work and difficulties in India's philosophy, if you like, but I am not talking about our civilisation, but about what we are doing today. It is extraordinary interest in our Five Year Plans and in our efforts has grown in a great part of the world, I do not say all over the world—I cannot speak for all the world, but certainly for very large parts of the world. This interest has grown very greatly. There is appreciation that even though we might make many mistakes, we try to live up to certain standards in our public life and in our foreign policy.

There was some reference to our opening a mission in Madrid. We have resisted opening an office there for many years and for various reasons. Ultimately, we felt that this

was not in conformity with the general policy we had laid down. What is this policy? We have said that China, for instance, should be recognised—we have recognised her, I am talking about other countries—regardless of the fact whether we agree with China's policy or not. It is a fact and it should be recognised and dealt with as a great nation. Now, if that is so, if we say that we do not agree with the policy of another country and we won't have dealings with her, that argument was put before us repeatedly. We had no real answer. For other reasons too, we made this decision. After all, many of us for long years had certain sympathies in regard to Spain. Even the United Nations, at one time, expressed an opinion which was not favourable to the present regime in Spain and asked countries not to have Missions there. That was years ago and years have passed, and we have felt that it would not be right in the present circumstances for us not to have recognised the Government of Spain and to have our Mission there and to exchange Missions from there.

Another small matter. Reference was made and a quotation was read from some English newspapers about the Rolls Royce attached to our High Commission in London. I think I have seen that paper. The hon. Member did not read the name of it. But, it is a newspaper, so far as I remember, which takes a peculiar delight in running down India in every way. There are a few such newspapers in England. And, I do not think the hon. Member, Prof. Mukerjee, normally would care to read what that newspaper writes. As far as the Rolls Royce is concerned, it is one of the best investments that was made 9 years ago. It is not a new car, it was bought, I think, 9 or 8½ years ago and it has proved a good investment and it is in excellent condition than other cars, they have changed, the smaller cars, but this has gone on and I have the privilege of using it whenever I go to London.

But, in regard to one matter, I express, if I may with all respect, my agreement with Shri Raju. That is about the payment of some kind of subsidy for the production of a translation of one or two books in Iran. I was not aware of that. I knew that repeatedly requests came to us from those countries, sometimes from our own mission. They thought that this would be good publicity, these books being placed there. Sometimes, the citizens of those countries were doing that and wanted some help. But, I was not aware that actually any grant was given. I think I agree with him that it should not have been done.

Shri C. D. Pande (Naim Tal)  
Tagore's book 'Gitanjali' was there.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Coming to Hungary. It is rather difficult to deal with this matter in all its aspects. But, I should like to point out two or three aspects of it. There has never been any doubt in any person's mind—any person acquainted with the facts—about certain major developments there. First, and undoubtedly it was or it developed into a national uprising. I do not think to begin with, it was organised as such. Obviously, there was the feeling there. It began in a small way but, because of certain circumstances, it spread and became undoubtedly a national uprising. Undoubtedly also, various elements took advantage of that national uprising which are normally called fascist and the like. Various people from outside Hungary also came into the picture. Even before that there had been continuous efforts to create trouble. All this was there and, nevertheless, it is true that it was a national uprising. It is also true that this national uprising was forcibly crushed by the military, chiefly the Soviet forces that came in. Some emphasise one aspect more than the other, but these facts are clear.

The point is to look at them in a certain context of events. In one sense, the context goes far back. Of

course, development has been there; I am not referring to that, but to the fact that all this coincided with what happened in Egypt at the time and Suez Canal. It was a great misfortune for Hungary that this coincided with the Anglo-French intervention in Egypt because both those things coming together raised the tempo of the world situation and the temperature was high no doubt. There was grave danger in the minds of many people and many Governments that war was coming. Because of that, many things were done which, perhaps, normally would not have been done. A situation had arisen in Poland which, as the House knows, was settled peacefully and to the satisfaction more or less of all the parties concerned. It is quite conceivable that the same thing would not have happened in Hungary but for what took place immediately in Egypt, the invasion of Egypt and the Suez Canal. That is, a situation arose when every country began to think in terms of war coming, in terms of security, in terms of seeing that it does not lose its strategic point, in terms of seeing that the hostile frontier did not come nearer to us. All kinds of tactics came in. These are not the excuses. I am only trying to understand the situation that had arisen.

So far as we are concerned although all these factors were before us, nevertheless we, right from the beginning—some people think that I stated in Scandinavia something which I had not stated before—but from the beginning we have said that we do not like to go about merely condemning. We stated from the beginning that the people of Hungary should be given freedom to decide their own way whatever they wish. And, secondly that foreign forces should be withdrawn from there as from everywhere.

This was our attitude throughout in the United Nations as well as everywhere. The only thing we were anxious to avoid doing was to



[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

take a step at that very critical moment which might have plunged, we thought at that time, Europe and the world into war; not by our action, but every small thing counts at that time. So, It is in this perspective that I would like the House to consider this matter.

Of course, even that is a smaller perspective. One should go back to the last war decisions. After all, we are in a happy position; but, nonetheless, the decisions at Yalta and other places we have to consider and the facts and consequences of some of those decisions taken there. Anyhow, I believe that for a variety of reasons, the developments that are now taking place are in the right direction and it should be our endeavour to encourage them instead of discouraging and not doing something which comes in the way of action.

I just referred to Rs. 5,000 for the Persian translation. I find, the facts are that we did not pay for the translation; but a translation was made in Iran and copies were purchased for distribution as publicity in Iran by our Mission—the Publicity Division—as this was considered good publicity. May I express my gratitude to the Members of the House who have, in spite of minor criticisms, have dealt with this matter in a friendly and gentle manner?

Mr. Speaker: I will now put the cut motions together to the vote of the House.

All the cut motions were put and  
negatived

Mr. Speaker: I will now put the Demands to the vote of the House. The question is:

"That the respective sums not exceeding the amounts in the fourth column of the Order Paper, be granted to the President, to complete the sums necessary to defray the charges that will come

in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of the following heads of demands entered in the second column thereof:—

Demands Nos. 23, 24, 25, 26 and 108.

The motion was adopted

[The motions for Demands for Grants which were adopted by the Lok Sabha are reproduced below—Ed.]

#### DEMAND No. 23—TRIBAL AREAS

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,39,51,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'Tribal Areas'".

#### DEMAND No. 24—EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,84,44,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'External Affairs'".

#### DEMAND No. 25—STATE OF PONDICHERRY

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,92,69,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'State of Pondicherry'".

#### DEMAND No. 26—MISCELLANEOUS EXPENDITURE UNDER THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,11,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'Miscellaneous Expenditure under the Ministry of External Affairs'".

**DEMAND No. 108—CAPITAL OUTLAY OF THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS**

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 17,50,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'Capital Outlay of the Ministry of External Affairs'."

**DEPARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY**

**Mr. Speaker:** The House will now take up the discussion of the Demands for Grants Nos. 97, 98 and 135 relating to the Department of Atomic Energy. As the House is aware, 2 hours have been allotted for the Demands of this Department.

Hon. Members may hand over at the Table, within 15 minutes, the numbers of selected Cut Motions, which they propose to move. I shall treat them as moved, if the Members in whose names those Cut Motions stand are present in the House and the Motions are otherwise in order.

**DEMAND No. 97—DEPARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY**

**Mr. Speaker:** Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,07,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'Capital Outlay of the Department of Atomic Energy'."

**DEMAND No. 98—ATOMIC ENERGY RESEARCH**

**Mr. Speaker:** Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,80,83,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'Atomic Energy Research'."

**DEMAND No. 135—CAPITAL OUTLAY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY**

**Mr. Speaker:** Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,25,00,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958, in respect of 'Capital Outlay of the Department of Atomic Energy'."

**Shri Jawaharlal Nehru:** Mr. Speaker, I do not want to take up the time of the House at this stage. I find that some of the cut motions set down for this Demand are rather unusual in character. In fact, they are not cut motions at all. They want more to be spent and more to be done in regard to that. In view of that, I would rather wait for others to throw light as to what more we shall do and then I shall venture to say something in reply.

**Shri Naushir Bharucha (East Khandesh):** Sir, the hon Prime Minister has said that we have tabled rather cut motions of unusual character because we desire that something more should be spent on development of atomic energy. But, may I point out that the rules of the House do not permit any other method of raising a discussion and hence this was the procedure?

Coming to the question of development of atomic energy of this country—it is being debated, to my knowledge, for the first time here, though occasional references have been made to it—I have to offer the following remarks. The need for finding new fuel resources is so great that unless we evolve a new type of fuel, there is a great danger of our limited resources of coal and oil not being sufficient for the requirement of our developing economy. The Prime Minister repeatedly emphasised that we have entered a new era and that India cannot afford to remain aloof from that new era. But, I am not sure that we have done all that

[Shri Naushir Bharucha]

we can in order to develop nuclear energy

Power generation is the main reason why we want nuclear energy to be developed. Power generation, in the first place, implies that there should be more intensive and extensive survey of the country for locating fissionable mineral deposits.

It will also be necessary, after these deposits have been located, to have adequate resources for processing of fissionable minerals.

That brings us to the question whether we are going to breed the new type of nuclear fuel and whether we are going to have reactors for that purpose. Judging by the thinness of this House, it appears that hardly any Member is interested in this question which, to my mind, is of such a significance that unless we sit up, take notice and prepare for development of atomic energy on a vast scale, a time may come when we may find that our lack of energy in this respect constitutes a serious handicap to our economic development.

I was referring to the question of reactors. Reactor to a layman means something with which he is not concerned, which he does not understand. But, let us try and understand something of what we have been doing, so that we shall be able to know whether the reactors that we have already got in hand and those that are under construction will suffice for our purpose and requirements.

This House is aware that as far back as 1948, nearly ten years ago, the Atomic Energy Commission was set up. Its objectives were surveying the country for fissionable minerals and to see what minerals can be developed on an industrial scale. Even after ten years we are still very far from that. Another objective of the Commission was to conduct research in scientific and technical problems, and very little has been done in that direction. Then there is training of technical personnel. We have so far 300

nuclear scientists and we hope to have 800 by 1959. Again, there is slow progress in this direction. Another objective was to conduct fundamental research in the science of "nucleonics".

Later on, these objectives have been re-stated with more practical bias, namely, that the aim of Atomic Department is to develop atomic energy as a source of atomic power and to promote its use in agriculture, industry and medicine.

If we are to achieve these things what should we do, because we must have a clear objective before us. First is an extensive survey of the country for fissionable minerals. I am afraid, in this direction we have not done enough. We also require resources for processing them for extraction of fissionable fuel. We are very far from construction of reactors. We have got only one and that has got going. I believe on 4th August 1956. With regard to experimenting there is the question of training of technical staff and we are very far from all these things. If we have these things then alone we can proceed in the matter of generating electrical power by means of nuclear energy.

When I said progress in this direction has been slow, I must not be misunderstood that our scientists—at this stage I might pay a tribute to the Secretary of the Atomic Energy Department, who is a figure having a world-wide reputation in these matters—have been slow in their work. I am not attributing anything to slackness of our administrative authorities or our scientists. What I mean is that this House has not adequately appreciated the importance of development of atomic energy, and has not provided adequately for that purpose.

Though it was in 1948 that the Atomic Energy Commission was established, what is the position today? In Trombay near Bombay a plot of land of nearly 2500 acres is still waiting for 18 buildings to be

constructed and getting ready by 1959

I do pay a tribute to the work that has already been done. We have done quite a lot but, to my mind, that is not enough. What have we got so far? Under the establishment of the Atomic Energy Department we have got a Raw Materials Division which looks into the survey. How does it look to the survey of the country? By providing one jeep and one helicopter, and of course, supplying certain motors to a few private persons. May I ask the hon. Prime Minister, when can we complete the survey of the country at that rate? In other countries, what do they do? They have a sort of vacation and college students well versed in science are provided with some cheap type of motors and go about trying to find out and locate fissionable minerals in the country.

17 hrs.

We have got three main sections of the atomic energy establishment. There is the Physics section which deals with apart from theoretical physics and applied mathematics, nuclear physics, reactor control, electronics, and air monitoring. These are very elementary things. The Chemistry section deals with analysis of mineral samples, developing alternative methods of treating monazite sands for recovery of thorium, etc. So it is in the preliminary stage. There is an Engineering group which deals with designing of reactors and investigations on the preparation of uranium and thorium by electrolysis. So, it is also still in a very elementary stage.

The establishment is also concerned with certain industrial operations. We have got the Rare Earths Limited, a concern which treats 1500 tons of monazite to produce rare earth chlorides and carbonates and acts as a feeder to the thorium-uranium plant. I do not know whether the thorium-uranium plant is actually functioning, but it is supposed to process the residual cake left over from monazite after extraction of

rare earth. They have a pilot plant for extracting uranium from tailings of copper in some mines in Bihar. I do not know what amount of uranium you are going to get out of that.

The establishment has three reactors. One reactor has been curiously named as Apsara, but this Apsara is not very useful. What does this reactor do? It produces radioactive isotopes of a limited variety and it is also used for experiments of a very elementary character in neutron physics. It is used for studying properties of shielding materials for reactors, for irradiation of seeds and other biological materials and for training more personnel for advanced types of reactors. So we are very far from having our first power station run by nuclear fuel.

So, we have also been told in the report of the Atomic Energy Department that we have got the Canada-India NRX reactor which will go into operation by the end of 1958. I must point out that this reactor will only help us in detailed and intensive research. We are told that it is going to be one of the most powerful research reactors which will enable us to undertake advanced engineering research for the development of power stations. We are going to have that reactor only by the end of 1958 when we shall begin our experiments in the development of power stations. This reactor will also produce important radioisotopes like, for instance, cobalt, which is not being produced in the Apsara reactor.

So, it will be seen that today we are still in the very elementary stage of development when compared with other countries. We are told that we have got another reactor, the Zerlina. I do not know but the name sounds curiously feminine. We are told that it may help us to formulate new designs of reactors and particularly those using mixed lattices of uranium and thorium or plutonium and thorium. Therefore, we are still in an experimental stage. We are in an elementary stage of experiment, very far below other countries.

[Shri Naushir Bharucha]

We are also told that there is going to be a high altitude cosmic ray research station constructed in Gulmarg. I do not understand anything about cosmic ray research. Dr Bhabha is a great authority. Some day, we shall be able to understand these things better. Today, I cannot say anything about it, how far research in cosmic ray has progressed and what direct bearing it will have on the development of nuclear energy.

Be that as it may, our Atomic Energy Establishment has been working in other directions also such as the supply of radio-active isotopes to interested parties. These isotopes have been imported. I do not know to what extent we are able to produce radio-active isotopes. We are also giving grants to various institutions for conducting research in other directions. Taking all things together, we are still far removed from having a nuclear power station. I am aware of the fact that the Report for 1956-57 emphasises the fact that India must have a nuclear power station of the capacity of 100,000 KW by the end of the Second Five Year Plan. I do not know whether going at the rate that we do today, it will ever be possible to attain that objective.

Let me repeat, when I say that there is slow progress it is because we have not given enough funds, because we have not paid sufficient attention to this. I am aware that there are no other people half as much interested in this subject as our Prime Minister. There is a general lack of interest in this House and therefore, we have not devoted more funds and therefore, there is slow progress. What we really want is a more extensive survey, a sort of thorium hunt holiday to make the country conscious of the necessity of having atomic power and to locate our resources. Perhaps, the hon Prime Minister will tell us that we have got sufficient deposits of these which are rich in themselves, and at the moment, there is no need for having an extensive

and intensive survey. I cannot understand that. Unless we have surveyed a fair portion of the country, we do not know where we are, whether we have got the richest and the most easily accessible deposits at present. Therefore, an extensive and intensive survey is necessary.

My concrete suggestion is this. Science students should be recruited in this campaign of surveying the country with the object of finding thorium and uranium deposits. Secondly, at the International Conference on Peaceful uses of Atomic Energy, certain conclusions were arrived at. These are highlighted, again, in the report for 1956-57. We are told, apart from other things, that there is sufficient uranium available in the world to generate sufficient power on a fairly high standard. But, the decision that concerns us is this. We are told that it is possible to breed in fast reactors with thorium as well as uranium. Breeding in thermal reactors with Thorium was shown to be possible, and that the Thorium-Uranium-233 Fuel Cycle was shown to be superior to the U-238-Plutonium Cycle. What is going to be our main fuel? It is going to be U-233 or Plutonium. I do not know whether any decision has been taken on this major policy issue because unless we take a decision, I do not know whether it would be possible for us to construct a reactor of one type or the other. Therefore, to my mind it is necessary that a quick decision on policy should be taken whether we want to adopt the thorium-U-233 fuel cycle or the U-238-plutonium cycle or both if we have to have reactors of different types, of different designs. Today, when we are so stingily giving money for the development of atomic energy I do not know whether we can have the luxury of these two types of reactors in our country.

Then, also it is necessary for us to take first steps for having some definite blueprint about having a power station

run by nuclear energy of a capacity of 100,000 KW which is supposed to be most economical. I do not know whether anything has been done about it at all, because as I understand the atomic energy establishment today, we are still in an experimental stage about everything, about reactors, about fissionable material, about the type of ore that will be required, about the moderators and so many other things.

Therefore, let us take a decision and let us have a blueprint so that the country will become conscious of the fact that we are really entering into a stage where nuclear power is being put to practical industrial purposes.

It is high time we had a reactor which really means business. Neither the 'Apsara' which I compare with a college girl in science class nor the NRX which is nothing but comparable to a compulsory post-graduate course, come near industrial development. Government must decide on a policy and have a reactor which will breed fuel. It is for the experts to suggest whether it will be plutonium or any other type of fuel, but let us have a breeder reactor. If we have it, then I think it will be possible for us to tell the country that we have spent so many crores of rupees but not spent them in vain. It will make them quite conscious that we are entering upon the industrial uses of nuclear energy. That is one point where I feel there is very slow progress.

The second point is that we have not taken sufficient steps to produce certain types of radio isotopes. We have been experimenting far too much. It may be necessary, I do not say no, but I believe if we can establish reciprocity on the basis of export of monazite sands or semi-processed sands in exchange for the results of experiments in the production of radio isotopes from other countries, it would cut out very considerable cost on our own experiments.

We should also show to the country that nuclear energy and the peaceful uses of it can be straightaway put to a commercial use. For instance, I would like that instead of taking any other problems, we should concentrate on the use of radio isotopes in industry, particularly for preservation of meat and fish by methods of sterilisation with nuclear radiation. If we concentrate our attention on that, we will be increasing our food supplies to a very large extent. Also, the same thing could be extended for the preservation of other tinned foods, and it would also be necessary to have use of tracer techniques in other directions.

Let us take the case of agriculture. There also I think nuclear energy can be used for preservation of storage in bulk such as foodgrains which may be subjected to infestation by pests. Killing pests I believe with homoeopathic doses of radiation is possible, but if we direct our attention to such practical subjects instead of to other problems which to the scientists may be very interesting, that is to practical things to attain practical results, what an amount of saving in food would it mean. I do not know what is the percentage of food that is lost every year as a result of pests attacking stores of foodgrains, but if you can cut that out even to a slight degree, not only will it increase the available sources of food, but also we will save so much of foreign exchange. What is more, the psychological effect of it would be that it tells the people that nuclear energy is not merely something which is abstract, but is something which is of practical use and the peaceful and beneficial uses of nuclear energy can be brought home to them.

Also, it may be necessary to experiment to induce mutations with the object of producing superior strains not only of foodgrains, but cattle. These are urgent practical problems which require attention. There is also the question of the use of tracer technique in crop production and also

[Shri Naushir Bharucha]

in the breeding of particular types of animals through mutations. That might take a long time, I agree, and more expenditure may have to be incurred on them. But problems such as preservation of meat, fish, tinned provisions etc., and also preservation of foodgrains stores by sterilisation and radiation are things which immediately and directly concern us. But I do not think sufficient advance has been made in this direction, which can enable us to say that 'Yes, within ten years, we have made so much progress'. I repeat that I am not blaming anybody, I am not blaming those scientists who work day in and day out. I am aware of the things that they have achieved good many results.

I am only saying that we as a nation, even as a parliament, have not yet understood that we have to spend a great deal more on atomic energy if we want to have immediate practical results.

The use of radio isotopes in medicine is well known. I do not know whether any problem in medicine requires to be attacked immediately. There is also the question of the use of radio isotopes in biology. These are things which require yet to be done.

I suggest that if we concentrate our attention, first, on production of electrical power, and secondly, on these problems which concern us directly and immediately, I think we shall be in a position to tell the country that "Yes, the crores of rupees that we have spent on the Atomic Energy Department have not been wasted, and we shall soon be benefited by it".

There is one final point that I wish to make. I am not satisfied with the grants that we have made so far to the Atomic Energy Department, because I feel that Rs. 10 crores more could have been given in 1957-58 to this Department. The Prime Minister would ask me 'From where are we to get this amount?' I may tell

him straightway 'In the Defence Ministry, you are going to spend Rs. 50 crores. I am not satisfied with that. So long as the Defence Minister maintains a very peculiar and strange secrecy, I do not know whether these Rs. 50 crores will be spent in a wise and profitable manner.' If I were the Prime Minister, and if I had any say in this matter, I would take away Rs. 10 crores from the amount allotted to the Defence Ministry, and give it to the Atomic Energy Department, where it will be more wisely and more usefully spent, and the progress that would otherwise take years to make could be compressed and telescoped in a matter of three or four or at the most five years.

It is true, as the Prime Minister has said, it is a strange cut. I want more to be spent. I have moved that Rs. 100 be reduced. What can I do? There is no other method of raising this discussion.

I submit that Government should look at this problem in this direction. Perhaps, the experts in the Atomic Energy Department may have an answer to all these questions. But I am not a scientist, I am speaking only as a layman. But it does occur to me that our expenditure on atomic energy should be in this particular direction. If we can do this, maybe, we may be able to rouse the country to a greater consciousness of the need for developing nuclear power; also, side by side, we shall impress upon our country that we have entered into a totally new age, and we cannot afford to lag behind, for to do so would mean considerable handicap to the economic development on which all of us are so very keen.

Shri V. P. Nayar (Quilon): I have also moved some cut motions. Unfortunately, I was not present here when the Prime Minister made some reference to them. But I can assure him that these cut motions have been moved not with any pretensions or



knowledge about the details of working, but only with a desire to focus attention on certain matters. I am very glad that we are having an opportunity to discuss this subject.

It may be that most of us may have only to contribute little towards the running of the Atomic Energy Department. But it is a matter of such tremendous importance that criticism ought to be welcomed from whatever sources they might come, and I feel that the Prime Minister will certainly look into the various points which we may make.

As the hon. Member who spoke before me said, we are really proud that in the matter of atomic research and atomic energy, our country has come to a position of advantage in many Asian countries. We are also proud that we have among our scientists some who enjoy world-wide reputation today. But that does not mean that in the matter of atomic energy and its uses, we have gone to the extent that we should have. We are finding in the budget proposals that there is a sort of economy drive in this department. There should be no economy drive in this department at all. We would welcome any amount being spent on the activities of this department. We are not worried at all, we may even lose some money because it is a question of such tremendous importance not only to this generation but also to the coming generations. We are only sorry that the Government could not find more funds for this department when they could have effected economies from various other departments, and pooled the resources for the better working of this department.

I would not like to discuss the details because I happen to have no information at all about the various processes or the details of working of the department or the release of atomic energy. But I would only like to submit that in certain spheres of activities, we are lagging behind in a manner detrimental to the future

interest of our country. For one thing, the Report, which is a summary of the activities of this department, does not give us, laymen, an idea of what has been happening. I am not worried that the Report has no technical details because most of us cannot understand them. But from the Report, one is inclined to think that all is not very well with the working of this department.

For example, there is a very important work which the Commission has undertaken. You find from the Report that there is a Medical health and biology division. This is one of the points to which I want the House to bestow some attention. I find that although we are running this institution so far we have had experiments—this is what the Report says—only on one thing:

‘Experiments are undertaken to shed light on the path of conduction of the bacillus in leprosy using radio-active DDS’

I know in other countries how far the application of isotopes have come to the relief of human suffering. In many cases where no medicines could be used and diagnosis could not be made, radio isotopes are doing the job which doctors could not do so far. I am not a doctor to know all the details, but I read various articles in the Press that today even in the case of heart ailments, radio-isotopes are employed to diagnose and to treat. In the case of cancer, one of the killing diseases, till very recently we had only the radium needle to rely upon. But what is happening today? I understand that many lives which would otherwise have been taken away by cancer are now being saved by radio-active cobalt.

I do not want to go into the details. It is not merely a question of destroying cancer even if it is deep-rooted, by radio-active cobalt, but cancer could be further prevented by introducing a suture with radio-active



[Shri V. P. Nayar]

cobalt. Things like this are being developed in other countries. I want to know what is the co-ordination between the Ministry of Health and this department in respect of the various problems which India has to face in this sphere. Many problems could be solved. It is not as if in the case of cancer alone we have a remedy. There are tracers which trace the position of brain tumours. There are other methods of detecting the exact part of infection.

I understand that recently they have also made an isotope cocktail as a remedy for infections in the thyroid gland. This will be taken orally. There are other types of cancer which can be cured by radio-active cobalt. I want our country, which has many afflictions from diseases, to at least initiate research in this. There will be many difficult problems; I understand the difficulties. But to content ourselves with the fact that there has been one research on the application of a particular drug in the case of leprosy this year is something which we cannot tolerate. There are other uses of atomic energy which we know other countries are employing, in the field of medicine, in the field of surgery and in relieving human pain. I do not know many hospitals in India which use such isotopes—at least not in my State. I understand from Shri Bharucha that one or two hospitals in Bombay or some private practitioners have started using it. I want the Prime Minister to consider how it will be possible to co-ordinate the activities of the Health Ministry or any other Ministry engaged in finding out remedies for tropical diseases, to have joint action with the Atomic Energy Commission in launching an effective attack on diseases.

Then, again, there is the question of industry. I do not propose to go into details. But, various problems in industry are today solved by the use of radio-active isotopes. I do not want to give the details. But, today there are in India many problems for

industries. How is it that our problems in industries are posed to the Atomic Energy Commission? Is there any connection between the Atomic Energy Commission and the various industrial undertakings run by Government or others? In the case of the Hindustan Aircraft Factory which I visited last year or year before last, I found that in the manufacture of component parts they were using X-ray. There may be many other uses of radio-active isotopes in industry. We have not been able to employ the use of radio-active isotopes in detecting errors in our industry.

Take the case of agriculture. My hon. friend suggested that we do not think in terms of production by radiation. I understand that new species have been evolved, disease-resisting species of plants. By using isotopes you can find the extent to which a particular fertiliser is absorbed by a particular species of plant and also choose a particular variety of fertilizer which will give the best results. You can evolve new strains which are rust-resistant, which are mould-resistant, which could be made to yield more and which could yield a particular variety of fruits, or flowers or leaves.

Very exciting experiments are going on and we still seem to be far far away from it. Maybe that our difficulties are such that we will not be allowed. But, here what is the measure of co-operation which exists between other departments and the department of agriculture which is in charge not merely of agriculture but of animal husbandry also. I read in some book the other day that by using the radio-active isotopes, even hens may be made to lay more eggs, the milk of goats can be increased and the casein contents of milk can be increased. There have been ever so many experiments. I would request the hon. Minister to consider what we have been doing. I at least do not find any indication of any work having been done by the Atomic Energy

Commission Maybe, they are doing it, but being small they have not come out. But, I want the Government to consider whether it is not time that we go into such activities because everything needs some move

Take, for example, two problems of agriculture, the two problems which confront us from Kerala very much more. There is a devastating disease in the coconut trees which form a very important part of our economy. For over a decade, all the skill and tools of science have been employed in developing a cure for the disease. We have not succeeded. Gardens and groves are being made of no use to the people. Is there any suggestion that the Agriculture Department should ask the Atomic Energy Commission to find out a remedy for this?

There are industries which depend upon our agricultural products but do not get enough of them. For example, the cashew-nut industry. By activating the top of fruits by using radio-active elements, maybe from our own cashew trees we may be able to meet all India's requirements. There are many problems. I do not think these are the only problems. I want to say something more about

one particular aspect. That is the working of the raw material division. My friend was saying that there was only one jeep and probably one or two counters. I find that it is not so in other cases. In the community development projects, there are jeeps available for the officers even to go and purchase their own domestic supplies—fish, vegetables and every thing. But, for such an important work in such a big country as ours, for the purpose of prospecting and finding out whether atomic minerals are available, it is possible to have only one jeep! It is very deplorable state of affairs.

Even so, I want the House to consider whether we are doing everything in the right way. The other day, I asked a question about strontium. Strontium 90 has its other uses.

**Shri T B Vittal Rao (Khammam)**  
It is already 5-30 P M

**Mr Speaker:** There are some other hon Members also, He may continue his speech tomorrow.  
5-32 hrs

*The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Wednesday the 24th July 1957*

[Tuesday, 23<sup>rd</sup> July 1957]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS —		S.Q. No	Subject	Columns
S.Q. No	Subject			
247	Export of Coffee	4651-85		
248	Import Licences for tractor	4651-52		
249	Coal mine machinery	4652-53		
250	India-Nepal Trade Treaty	4653-55		
251	Wage Board for Motor Transport Workers	4655-56		
254	Indian Nationals in Pakistan	4656-58		
255	Purchase of Foreign goods for Government Stationery Department	4658-60		
256	Distribution of Current	4660-61		
258	Small Scale Production Centres	4661-65		
259	Indians in Ceylon	4665-66		
260	Ashoka and Janpath Hotels	4666-70		
261	Ambar Charkha	4670-73		
263	Integrated Publicity Programme of Plans	4673-76		
264	Moradabad Brassware	4676-78		
268	Religious shrines in Pakistan	4678-79		
269	Report of Indian Delegation to China	4679		
270	Manufacture of Raw Films etc	4680-83		
WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS		4684-85		
245	Hired accommodation for Government Offices.	4685-96		
252	Indian High Commission Karachi	4685-86		
253	International Labour Conference	4686		
262	Heavy Electrical Equipment Factory	4686-87		
265	Commonwealth Economic Conference	4687		
266	Employment Exchanges	4687		
267	National Buildings Organisation	4688		
271	Shipment of Iron and Manganese Ores	4688		
272	Employees' Provident Fund	4688		
273	Educated Unemployment	4689		
274	Pottery and Ceramic Industry Workers	4689-90		
275	Indian Exhibition at Peking	4690		
276	Conch-Shell Button Industry	4690-91		
277	Refugees at Sealdah	4691		
278	Sub-letting of Government Quarters	4691-92		
279	Gypsum Boards and Dolomitic Lime	4692-93		
280	Jute and Textile Mills	4693		
281	Industrial Estate in Mysore	4693		
282	Industrial Committee on Inland Transport Services	4693-94		
283	Colliery Labour Disputes	4694		
284	Workers' Education Seminar	4694-95		
285	Rationalisation in Cotton Mills	4695		
286	Heavy Water Production	4695-96		
287	Rock Salt	4696		
U.S.Q. No				
166	Fine chemicals	4696		
167	High Tension Insulators	4696-97		
168	Manufacture of Antibiotics	4697-98		
170	Bombay Cotton Exchange	4698		
171	Raw Cotton and Yarn Prices	4698-99		
172	Cotton Textile Industry	4699		
173	N.F.F.A.	4700		
174	Radio and Wireless Receiving Sets	4701		
175	Import of Motor Cars	4701		
176	Refrigerators and Air conditioning Equipment etc	4701		
177	Development of Textile Industry	4702		
178	Workers in Textile Industry	4702		
179	Indian Pilgrims to Pakistan	4702		
180	All India Radio	4702		
181	Central Training Institute, Koni	4703		
184	Film on Untouchability	4703		
185	Import of Drugs by Post	4703		
186	Displaced Persons in Tripura	4703-04		
187	Coffee Houses	4704		
188	Production of Oils	4705		
189	Government of India Factories in Orissa	4705		
190	Newspaper consumption	4705-06		
191	Co-operatives in Tripura	4706		
192	Contract Labour in Industries	4706-07		
193	Asian Regional Nuclear Centre in Manila	4707		
194	Bagasse	4707-08		

	COLUMNS
195. Activated Charcoal	4708
196. Ambar Charkha Programme	4708-09
197. Faridabad Development Corporation	4709
198. Advertising agencies	4710
199. Hindustan Housing Factory	4710
200. Rate/Running Contracts	4710-11
201. O. & M. Division	4711
202. Publications Division	4712
203. Hotel Janpath	4712

Columns

38 of the Central Excise and Salt Act, 1944, making certain further amendments to the Central Excise Rules, 1944.

# MOTION TO REFER THE BILL TO JOINT COMMITTEE ADOPTED

COLUMNS

4713-31

Further discussion on the motion to refer the Navy Bill to a Joint Committee was concluded and the motion was adopted

## PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE 4712-13

The following papers were laid on the Table

- (1) A copy of the Notification No. S.R.O. 2220, dated the 6th July, 1957, under sub-section (3) of Section 25 of the Rubber Act, 1947, making certain amendment to the Rubber Rules, 1955
- (2) A copy of the Notification No. S.R.O. 2221, dated the 6th July, 1957, under sub-section (3) of Section 48 of the Coffee Act, 1942, making certain amendment to the Coffee Rules, 1955
- (3) A copy of the Notification No. S.R.O. 2108, dated the 29th June, 1957, under Section

## DEMANDS FOR GRANTS 4731-4852

Discussion on Demands for Grants in respect of Ministry of External Affairs concluded The Demands were voted in full.

Discussion on Demands for Grants in respect of the Department of Atomic Energy was commenced. The discussion was not concluded

## AGENDA FOR WEDNESDAY, 24TH JULY, 1957—

Further discussion on Demands for Grants relating to Department of Atomic Energy and also discussion on Demands for Grants relating to Ministry of Defence