

लिए दावा प्रायुक्त के रूप में काम कर रहे हैं स्वर्गीय श्री रंजीतसिंह से रिस्तेदारी का दावा करने वाले तीन व्यक्तियों से क्षावेदन-पत्र प्राप्त हुए हैं जो इस प्रकार हैं :-

उत्तराधिकारियों के नाम रिस्तेदारी

- (i) श्री बाबू लाल भाई
- (ii) श्रीमती कलावती विधवा बहन
- (iii) श्री रामस्वरूप उर्फ पप्पू दत्तक पुत्र

भारतीय रेल अधिनियम के अनुसार मृत्यु के लिए देय क्षतिपूर्ति 50,000 रु० प्रति-यात्री है। यह मामला दावा प्रायुक्त के समक्ष विचाराधीन एवं न्यायाधीन है।

#### Expansion of India Pistons Limited

8672 SHRI DEVINDER SINGH GARCHA: Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Commission has cleared the expansion proposals of India Pistons Limited stipulating certain conditions regarding dilution of equity holding and 15 per cent export obligation; and

(b) whether these recommendations have been received by the Government and some action taken in this regard?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI BEDABRATA BARUA): (a) and (b). The Commission has submitted its report on these proposals recommending approval subject to certain conditions regarding dilution of equity-holding and export obligation of 15 per cent in the fifth year. These recommendations are under consideration of the Government.

उज्जैन स्थित श्री सिन्धेटिक मिक्स के तुल्य पत्र की विशेष लेखा परीक्षा निम्न जाने का प्रस्ताव

8673. श्री हुकूम चन्द कश्यप : क्या बिबि, न्याय और कम्पनी कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार का विचार कम्पनी अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत उज्जैन स्थित श्री सिन्धेटिक मिक्स के तुल्य पत्र की विशेष लेखा परीक्षा कराने के लिए आदेश जारी करने का है; और

(ख) क्या उक्त कारखाने में भारी हानि को दिखाने की दृष्टि से स्टोर्स विभाग ने 22 लाख का खर्च हुआ बताया गया है ?

बिबि, न्याय और कम्पनी कार्य मन्त्रालय में उपमन्त्री (श्री बेदब्रत बरुआ) : (क) कम्पनी अधिनियम 1956 की धारा 233क के अन्तर्गत विशेष लेखा परीक्षा के आदेश देने का कोई प्रस्ताव विचाराधीन नहीं है।

(ख) सूचना संग्रह की जा रही है व सदन के पटल पर प्रस्तुत कर दी जावेगी।

#### Complaints against the distributors of India Tube Company for Northern India with M.R.T.P Commission

8674. SHRI BISWANARAYAN SHASTRI: Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether complaints have been lodged with the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Commission against the distributors of India Tube Company for Northern India as well as against the Company; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

**THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI BEDABRATA BARUA):** (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.

On 3rd November, 1972, Delhi Pipe Dealers Association filed a complaint before the Commission alleging that the Indian Tube Co. Ltd. and its 3 distributors in the Northern India Region were indulging in certain restrictive Trade Practices. As required by section 11 of the M.R.T.P. Act, 1969, the Commission referred the matter to its Director of Investigation for preliminary investigation and report. In his report, the Director of Investigation completely exonerated the Indian Tube Company Ltd. from the allegations of indulging in any restrictive trade practices, but he found that the three distributors in Northern India Region were indulging in certain restrictive trade practices. On 8th January, 1974, the Commission initiated an inquiry and issued Notice under Regulation 7 of the Restrictive Trade Practices (Enquiry) Regulations, 1970, to Indian Tube Company Ltd. and its three distributors. The Indian Tube Company was made a party as it was the producer of goods in respect of which the restrictive trade practices were alleged to exist and in any Scheme of distribution which might ultimately be framed, its presence would be required. Its presence was also necessary because there were allegations against some of its officers. During the pendency of the inquiry the three distributors filed an application under Section 37(2) of the Monopolies & Restrictive Trade Practices Act on the 18th March, 1974 for the approval of the Commission to the Scheme of the distribution proposed by them which according to the distributors would eliminate the restrictive trade practices complained of. The Indian Tube Company Ltd. agreed to supervise the implementation of the scheme. The Commission by its Order dated 11th April, 1974

held that the Scheme did ensure that the trade practices would no longer be prejudicial to the public interest and it was not necessary to go through an enquiry under Section 37 (1) and to make an Order thereon. The Commission accordingly approved the Scheme and permit it to be implemented with effect from 1st May, 1974.

#### **Rural Engineering Survey in West Bengal**

8675. **SHRI S. N. SINGH DEO:** Will the Minister of IRRIGATION AND POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether any rural engineering survey has been undertaken in West Bengal during the last three years;

(b) if so, total amount sanctioned and the finding of this rural engineering survey during the last three years; and

(c) name of the villages covered by the survey up-to-date, district-wise and year-wise?

**THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER (SHRI SIDDHESHWAR PRASAD):** (a) The Rural Engineering Surveys scheme was sanctioned for West Bengal in October, 1971 and the field surveys work commenced in 1972-73.

(b). The total amount of grant-in-aid released to the State Government for this work during the last three years was Rs. 28.28 lakhs. The village-wise engineering surveys and soil surveys have been taken up in the districts of Midnapore and Purulia. The engineering surveys have been completed in 456 villages and soil surveys in 303 villages in these districts.

(c) The names of villages covered, district-wise and year-wise by