

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE  
LOK SABHA**

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:1667  
ANSWERED ON:28.11.2001  
MEDICAL FACILITIES IN BATRA ESCORTS AND GANGA RAM HOSPITALS  
RAM MURTI SINGH VERMA

**Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:**

- (a) whether the Government servants were earlier allowed to avail of medical facilities at Escorts, Apollo, Batra and Sir Gangaram Hospitals;
- (b) if so, the reasons for discontinuing this facilities;
- (c) whether all medical facilities are also available in the recently recommended hospitals which were available in the above said hospitals; and
- (d) if not, the reasons therefor?

**Answer**

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (PROF. RITA VERMA)

(a) to (d) The information is given in the statement attached.

STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN PARTS (a) TO (d) OF LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 1667 FOR 28.11.2001.

(a) CGHS beneficiaries were allowed to take treatment in 24 private hospitals recognized under CGHS Delhi as per Deptt. Of Health's O.M. dated 18.9.96 which included Escorts Heart Institute and Research Centre, New Delhi, Indraprastha Apollo Hospitals New Delhi, and Batra Hospital & Medical Research Centre, New Delhi. However, Sir Gangaram Hospital, New Delhi was not recognized under CGHS in the said O.M. dated 18.9.96.

(b) Tenders were called for fresh recognition of private hospitals under CGHS Delhi on the basis of which 55 private hospitals/diagnostic centres were recognized vide Deptt. Of Health's O.M. dated 7.9.2001. As the hospitals mentioned in the earlier para did not agree to the revised rates along with the terms and conditions offered by the Government in the tender document for fresh recognition, the said hospitals were not included in the said O.M. dated 7.9.2001. However, it has been decided for the benefit of CGHS beneficiaries that they can take treatment in these hospitals but reimbursement shall be restricted as per the package deal rates given in the Department of Health's earlier O.M. dated 18.9.96.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) In view of (c) above, the question does not arise.