

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
RAILWAYS  
LOK SABHA**

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:4483  
ANSWERED ON:22.12.2005  
NEW RAILWAY LINES IN KERALA  
Koya Dr. P. Pookunhi

**Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:**

(a) whether the Government has received any representations from Members of Parliament to establish new railway lines in the State of Kerala; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and the reaction of the Government thereto?

**Answer**

MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS ( SHRI R. VELU )

(a) & (b): A statement is attached.

STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PARTS (a) & (b) OF UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 4483 BY DR. P.P. KOYA TO BE ANSWERED IN LOK SABHA ON 22.12.2005 REGARDING NEW RAILWAY LINES IN KERALA

(a) & (b): Details of proposals received from the Hon`ble Members of Parliament during last one year for construction of new rail line falling fully/partly in the State of Kerala and Action Taken thereon is as under:-

Sl. No.    Proposal    Action Taken

1. Chengannur-Kottarakara    On the suggested alignment  
-Thiruvananthapuram area, survey for Kayankulam  
-Kottarakara via Adoor,  
Pattanapuram & Auvaneeswaram  
has been taken up.
2. Guruvayur-Idappalli    Survey was completed in 2003-04.  
In view of unremunerative  
nature of this line and  
acute constraint of resources,  
the proposal could not be considered.
3. Chamarajanagar-Guddalure    In view of heavy throwforward  
/Nilambur of ongoing projects and acute  
constraint of resources,  
the proposal could not  
be considered.
4. Nilambur-Nanjangud    Survey was completed in 2003-04.  
In view of unremunerative nature  
of the line and acute resource  
constraint with the Railways,  
the proposal could not be considered.
5. Dindigul-Sabarimala    Survey was completed in 1997-98.  
In view of unremunerative nature  
of this line and acute constraint  
of resources, the proposal could  
not be considered.

6. Vaikam Road-Vaikam Temple Survey was completed in 2002-03.  
In view of unremunerative nature  
of this line and acute constraint  
of resources, the proposal could not  
be considered.