LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Twelfth Session)



(Vol. XLVI contains Nos. 21 - 29)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price: Rs. 1.00

CONTENTS

No. 29Friday, September 24, 1965/Asvina 2, 1887 (Saka)	Columns
Oral Answers to Questions—	
*Starred Question Nos. 814 to 819, 821 and 822A. Short Notice Question No. 12 Written Answers to Questions— Starred Question Nos. 820, 822, 823, 823A and 824 to	7317—53 7353—55
Unstarred Question Nos. 2739 to 2795 and 2797.	9 834. 7355—68 7368—7408
Re: Calling Attention Notices—(Query) Papers laid on the Table Replies to Estimates Committee's Recommendations Committee on Private Members Bills and Resolutions—	7409—17 7417—19 7 42 0
Minutes	7 42 0
Committee on Absence from sittings of the House— Minutes	7420
Minutes Assurances—	. 7420—21
Messages from Rajya Sabha 74	21-22, 7551-54
Priority of Notice of Questions	7422
Bills introduced—	, ,
(i) Railways (Employment of Members of the Armed Fo	
Bill	· 7422-23
(ii) Coal Mines Labour Welfare Fund Bill .	· 7423
Discussion on UN Security Council Resolution Re: Cease between India and Pakistan and Resolution Re: I quitling the Commonwealth	
Shri Alvares	. 7428—39
Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad	. 7439—50
Shri P. K. Deo	. 7453—60
Shri H. N. Mukerjee	. 7460—70
Shri Ansar Harvani	. 7470-74
Dr. L. M. Singhvi	. 7474—83
Shri A. C. Guha	. 7483—89
01 1 11 0 01 1	. 7489—98 . 7498—7513
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia	· /490—/313 · 7513—26
Shrimati Vijay Laxmi Pandit	. 7526-34
Shri Muhammad Ismail	7534—39
Shri J. B. Kripalani	. 7539—46
Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri	· 7549—50
President's Assent to Bills	· 7550—51

^{*}The sign+marked above the name of a Member indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

LOK SABHA

Friday, September 24, 1965/Asvina 2, 1887 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Ten of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Shortage of Cement

Shri Bagri; Shri Hem Raj: Dr. P. Srinivasan; Shri Paramasivan: Shri Jashvant Mehta:

*814, ¿ Dr. Mahadeva Prasad: Shri Kajrolkar: Shri Mohammed Koya: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: Shri Basappa:

Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there is an acute shortage of cement in the country:
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and
- (c) the steps taken or proposed to be taken to improve the situation?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply Bibudhendra Misra): (a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4980/65].

भी बागडी : घष्यक महोदय : इस बयान के मताबिक सीमेंट की 16 से 20 सैंकड़ा तक कमी है, परन्तु हम व्यवहार में देखते हैं कि गांवों में रहने बाले लोगों को सीमेंट का 1454(Ai) LS-1.

5 सैंकड़ा हिस्सा भी नहीं मिल रहा है। स्या मंत्री महोदय यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि बहुत बड़ी तादाद में जो लोग ग्रामों में रहते हैं भीर जो बहुत छोटे छोटे मकान बनाते हैं उनको कितने सैकडा सीमेंट मिलता है भीर बढ़ बढ़े कामों में कितने सैंकड़ा सीमेंट इस्ते— माल होता है ?

उद्योग तथा सम्भरण मन्त्रालय में भारी इंजीनियरिंग तथा उद्योग मन्त्री (भी त्रि॰ ना॰ सिह) इस धनपात का धन्दाजा लगाना बड़ा मुक्किल है कि कितने परसेंट सीमेंट गांवों में मिलता है धीर कितने परसेंट शहरी जगहों में मिलता है।

श्री बागड़ी: भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा सवाल सिर्फ परसँटेज के बारे में नहीं है। ग्राज ग्रामों में सीमेंट मिलता ही नहीं है---नहीं के बराबर मिलता है. जबकि सीमेंट की कमी 15, 16 परसेंट है।

ध्यथा महोदय: मिनिस्टर साहब क्राप्ते हैं कि परसेंटेज बताना मुश्किल है।

श्री बागडी: मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि नागरिकों को जो सीमेंट नहीं मिल रहा है उसके लिए सरकार ने भव तक क्या प्रबन्ध किया है। क्या सरकार यह भी बतायेगी कि घब तक किन कारणों से मीमेंट नहीं मिल रहा या भ्रीर लोगों को भ्राईन्दा ठीक तरह से सीमेंट देने के बारे में वह क्या प्रबन्ध कर रही है ?

श्री त्रि॰ ना॰ सिष्ठ : सीमेंट का उत्पादन हर साल एक से इड मिलियन टम के रेट से बढ़ता जा रहा है। मेरा ख्याल है कि दो तीन साल में हमारे गांवों में भी धौर दूसरे लोगों की भी सीमेंट काफी मिकदार में मिल जायेगा । हमें गांवों का खास तौर से ख्याल है। हम स्टेट्स को कहा करते हैं, कि जहां तक हो सके गांवों को, भीर खास तौर से एवीकल्चरल कामों को तरजीह दी जाये ।

श्री बागड़ी: श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरे सवाल का जवाब नहीं दिया गया है। मैंने पूछा है कि किन कारणों की बिना पर गांबों में श्रव तक सीमेंट नहीं मिला है, श्रीर श्राग कौन से तरीके श्रपनाये जायेंगे, जिस से गांवों में सीमेंट मिले। गांवों को हर एक चीख से—चीनी से भी शीर मीमेंट से भी—वंचित किया जाता है।

ब्रध्यक्ष महोबय : मिनिस्टर साहब ने कहा है कि इस बक्त सीमेंट थोड़ा है, लेकिन उम्मीद है कि दो तीन साल में हम इतना सीमेंट पैदा कर लेंगे कि गांवो घोर दूसरी जगहों की जकरत पूरी हो आयेगी ।

श्री बागड़ी: इस वक्त 15, 16 सैकड़ें की कमी है। गांवों को उसके मुताबिक तो सीमेंट दिया जाना चाहिये।

श्री मण्डु लिमये: इस वक्तव्य में सीमेंट की कमी को पूरा करने के लिये पैदावार बढ़ाने की बात कही गई है। सरकार की प्रोर से सीमेंट उद्योग को कहा जाता है कि वह करीब करीब 33 फी सदी पूराने थीरों का इस्ते— गाल करे। लेकिन उन बोरीं, जूट बस्स, का दोबारा इस्तेमाल करने से काफी सीमेंट का नुकसान होता है। क्या सरकार ने मौजूदा स्थित को देखते हुए इस बात पर भी बिचार किया है कि पुराने बोरों को दोबारा इस्तेमाल करने की जो नीति है, उसको बदला जाये भीर यह प्रतिशत घटा दिया जाये ?

श्री ति. ना. सिंह : मेरी समझ में इस पर पुनिवचार करने की जरूरत नहीं है—इस लिए कि झाज-कल की स्थिति में हमारे पास बोरों के जो कुछ भी रिसोसिख हैं, उनका मैक्सिमम युख करना चाहिए।

भी मधु लिमपे : उस से सोमेंट का जो नुकसान होता है, उसका क्या होगा ? **ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय**ः श्रगर बोरे नहीं रहेंगे, तो सीमेंट किस में जायेगा ?

श्री मचु लिमये: पूराने बोरों का इस्ते-माल करने से सीमेंट का नुकसान होता हैं।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय: ग्रगर थोड़े से सीमेंट को बचायेंगे, तो बोरों का नुकक्षान होगा।

श्री रामसेवक याववः क्या मंत्री महोदय को यह जानकारी है कि एक धोर तो खेती के कामों के लिए सीमेंट नहीं मिलता है, जैसे कुओं धादि के लिए, धोर दूसरी धोर बड़ी बड़ी कोठियों के लिये काले बाजकर से ज्यादा भाव पर सीमेंट मिल जाता है। यदि हां, तो इसको रोकने के लिये क्या धादेश दिये जा रहे हैं?

श्री ति. ना. सिंह: काले बाजार की जानकारी शायद माननीय सदस्य को ज्यादा होगी—मुभ नहीं हैं। लेकिन जहां कहीं भी इस बारे में शिकायत धाती है, हम उस की तरफ घ्यान देते हैं भीर कारवाई करते हैं।

भी बागड़ी : भ्रष्ट्यक्ष महोदय : भाधे सवाल का जवाब नहीं दिया गया है।

श्री रामसेवक यावव : क्या मंत्री महोदय को काले बाजार की जानकारी नहीं है?

Shri Basappa: Are Government aware of the availability of the raw materials in the Vasadurga area of Chitaldrug district of Mysore State? Is it a fact that the Chief Minister of Mysore has made a reference to this? If so, what action has been taken by Government?

Mr. Speaker: Each State cannot be taken up now.

Shri Basappa: More production of cement is also covered in the question.

Mr. Speaker: We might put a general question.

Shri Basappa: Please let him answer the question.

Shri T. N. Singh: Whenever the Chief Minister of Mysore as also of

7322

other States have written, I have tried to accommodate them to the best of our ability. In such cases, we do what is possible, but there are other considerations also.

Shrimati Jyotsua Chanda: Do Government propose to stop the supply of raw materials to Pakistan to run their factory in Sylhet, and do they intend to open a cement factory in the Khasi and Jaintia Hills in Assam?

Shri T. N. Singh: At present, there are no supplies being made to Pakistan. As regards the future, it is yet to be seen.

Shri Kajrolkar: Do Government intend to make cement available to the Maharashtra Government in response to their urgent need for it for implementation of big Industrial schemes and housing projects?

Mr. Speaker: That must be taken note of as he seldom puts a question.

Dr. P. Srinivasan: As we know, there is acute ahortage of cement all over, but even the little quantity of cement available in the black market is found adulterated. What steps do Government propose to take to remedy that state of affairs?

Shri T. N. Singh: There is nothing unusual if black-marketeers and adulterators join together.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: The statement commences with the sentence that 'there is an overall shortage of cement in the country'. In view of this, what are the reasons which have impelled Government to decide on decontrol of cement, and how do they propose after decontrol to prevent the prices from going up without any kind of restriction?

Shri T. N. Singh: As the hon. Member is aware, due to the emergency, that decision has been postponed for the time being. The decision to decontrol was taken by the Prime Minister and the Government as it was felt that with the regulated system of supply of essential things that we are

thinking of, it would be possible to do away with some of the evils that are there and at the same time, ensure better supply.

भी रामेक्बरानन्व : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इस समय सारे देव में सीमेंट की तीन कीमतें हैं: एक, कंट्रोल की कीमत; दूसरी, कंट्रोल से नीचे की कीमत; भीर तीसरी, कंट्रोल से ऊपर की कीमत । सरकारी इमारतों के लिये सीमेंट कंट्रोल माव से नीचे मिलता है। देहाती लोगों को कंट्रोल भाव से नीचे मिलता है। देहाती लोगों को कंट्रोल भाव पर भी सीमेंट मिल जाता है। इन तीन कीमतों का कारण हैं सरकार की दूखित वितरण—नीति । मैं यह जानना बाहता हूं कि क्या सरकार इस नीति को जिस के प्रत्यंत वी० डी० घो० धीर इस्पेक्टरों के द्वारा सीमेंट का बंटवारा होता है, समाप्त करने का विचार रखती है या नहीं?

Mr. Speaker: Is the distribution policy to be revised or not?

Shri T. N. Singh: The whole policy was under consideration, and we were going to take certain steps . . .

भी रामसेवक यावव : दंशी भाषा में सवाल किया गया है भीर देशी भाषा में ही जवाव दीजिये ।

भी रामेश्वरानम्ब : भाप को तो हिन्दी भाती है।

स्राच्यास महोदयः भ्रापने भीतो कान में लगारकाहै।

बी तिक नां सिंह : जैसा सदन को सालूम है कि इसके पहले ग्रमी प्रधान मंत्री ने बोचवा की बी कि मीमेंट का डिकंट्रोल होने ताला है । ग्राज जो स्थित है उसको देखते हुए उसको प्रभी नहीं किया गया है । लेकिन प्रामे क्या करना चाहिये, ये सब अने प्रभी विचाराधीन है ।

7323

Mr. Speaker: The demand is so great and the supply is so little that I cannot satisfy all the members.

Shri Ranga: Are we to understand that the emergency is over, the Government are not going to give any thought to it at all, and there is a process of attrition by which the Prime Minister's wishes and Prime Minister's decisions, arrived at after having consulted the concerned Ministry, are being destroyed piecemeal by all sorts of excuses by the Minister concerned?

Shri T. N. Singh: The insinuation that the Prime Minister's decision is in any way being circumvented is quite wrong. We are going to honour whatever the Prime Minister has decided. The decisions were taken after full consideration by the Government. Let it however be admitted that the emergency is not over yet.

Shri Ranga: It has come for your benefit.

विल्ली में स्वायी प्रदर्शनी

+ िश्रीम०ला० द्विवेदीः *815. देशीस० चं० सामन्तः ेशीसुद्योष हंसदाः

क्या वाणिज्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या दिल्ली में देश में बनी मशीनों तथा पूर्जों की स्थायी प्रदर्शनी घायोजित करने का प्रस्ताव विचाराधीन है; घौर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या देश के भ्रन्य भागों में भी ऐसी प्रदर्शनियां भ्रायोजित करने का विचार है ?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) and (b). There is a proposal under consideration of the Government to organize a Permanent Exhibition in New Delhi for the display of Indian manufactured products and with a view to present a picture of developing and changing India with particular reference to our development plans and export potential. It is also proposed to get up Showrooms for the display of India's exportable products particularly more manufactured products at the principal commercial and industrial centres of India such as Bombay, Calcutta, Madras, Delhi and Bangalore and some progress has already been achieved in this regard.

भी म० ला० द्विषेवी: विभिन्न क्षेत्रों में उद्योगों की प्रगति न होने का एक कारण यह भी है कि उन उद्योगों में जो मणीनरी की भावश्यकता होती है उसका कहीं पर डिमप्ले नहीं होता है भीर जो पूरक उद्योग होते हैं, जो एंसिलरी इंडस्ट्रीज होती है, उनके लिए किन किन बीजों की भावश्यकता है भापको बनानं की, उनका भी कहीं प्रदर्शन नहीं होता है, लिहाजा लोग उन्हें नहीं बना पाते हैं। मैं जानना बाहता हूं कि पूरक उद्योगों की भाव स्थकतामों की भीर मणीनरी के प्रदर्शन की उचित स्थवस्था कब तक हो आएगी?

भी मनुभाई शाह: जैसे स्वत को पता
है कि बहुत सी एग्डीबीशंड हिन्दुस्तान के प्रलग
धलग, शहरों में मुकाभी व्यवस्था पर प्रौर
हंगामी व्यवस्था पर हो रही है। यह जो
सवाल था यह तो एक्सपोर्ट प्रोरियेटेंशन के
लिए था ताकि जो फारेनर खरीददार
हैं उनको यहां का सामान देखने के लिए कैसे
मिले। इसके लिए एक परमानेंट एग्डीबीशन
हम दिल्ली में बहुत बड़े पैमाने पर करने जा
है हैं जो कायम रहेगी। पांच छः बड़े बड़े
हरों के मन्दर भी स्थेशन एक्सपोर्ट भोरियें
टेशन को देखते हुए प्रोड्डस्ट्स को दिखाया
जायेगा।

भी म० ला० क्षिचे हो: प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा प्रश्न यह वा कि देशों में में उद्योगों... **धध्यक्ष महोदय:** ग्रद ग्राप दूसरा सवाल कीजिये ।

भी म. सा. दिवेबी : मेरा दूसरा सवाल यह है कि जिस प्रदर्शनी के प्रायोजन का भार सरकार उठाने जा रही है दिल्ली में प्रीर दूसरे स्थानों में, उसके लिए वौषी पंच वर्षीय योजना में कितनी धन-राशि निर्धारित की गई है प्रीर यह नुमाइस कब तक लग जाएगी ।

श्री मनुभाई झाह: इस नुमाइस के घन्दर कोई तीन से चार करोड़ रुपये का खर्च होगा धौर कुछ खर्च तो इस साल किया जायगा। लेकिन चूंकि एमरजेंसी हो गई है घौर जो जगह यहां हमें मिली घी उसे हमें घौर कामों के लिए दे देना पड़ा है, इस वास्ते कुछ देर हो सकती है। लेकिन हम समझते हैं कि घोड़े महीनों के घन्दर फिर वह जमीन हमें मिल जाएगी तो धाने वाली चतुर्ष पंचवर्षीय योजना के पहले या दूसरे साल में काफी इंतजाम हो जाएगा।

Shri S. C. Samanta: Is it not a fact that the All-India Manufacturers' Association collected all the indigenous machine: and paris that were manufactured in the country and showed them in Delhi? May I know whether they were also exhibited in different parts of the country?

Shri Manubhai Shah: This is what I was actually telling the hon. Member who put the question first; there are numerous exhibitions with numerous agencies already taking place in the country. What we are really concentrating upon is export orientation through a number of such exhibitions and for that purpose the scheme is on.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know whether these exhibitions will be simply for displaying of goods or whether they will be treated as exhibitions-cum-markets so that exhibitors

can have the facilities for booking orders on the spot?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Both the aspects will be taken into consideration.

श्री बक्षपाल सिंह : यह जो प्रदर्शनी है यह प्राइवेट सेक्टर के लिए हैं या पब्लिक सेक्टर के लिए हैं ? किस से इसका खर्च लिया जाएगा । सरकार खर्च करेगी या जो एग्डीबिट कर गहे हैं, वे करेंगे ?

भी मनुभाई शाह : यह सारे भारत के लिए है, सभी क्षेत्रों के लिए है भीर उसमें दोनों सेक्टर भीर तीसरा सेक्टर भी हिस्सा ले सकता है, उसको इस्तेमाल कर सकता है । खर्चा तो उसका भारत सरकार करेगी लेकिन साथ साथ सामान जो है, वह तो जिसकी फैक्ट्री होगी उसका ही भाएगा ।

भी तुलकीवाल आषय : इस एग्जीबीमन में दुनिया के जिस माल के साथ हमारा कम्पी— टीमन होता है उस माल का एग्जीबीमन यहां होगा घौर साथ साथ मशीनरी जो तैयार करेंगे उसका भी एग्जीबीमन यहां होगा ?

भी मनुभाई झाहः सारे एस्पेक्ट्स को रखा जायेगा।

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know how the Government is going to attract these businessmen who are going to make purchases of our small-scale, medium-scale and large-scale industrial products?

Shri Manubhai Shah: I am glad that this has been raised. We propose to invite foreign delegations from different sectors from different countries and particularly the East European countries on a government level and from the rest of the countries on a business level so that they can see what we are producing and make onthe-spot purchases.

Shri Priya Gupta: In the recent International Transport Exhibition held at Munich, while there were some stalls from Pakistan which does not export much, we did not find a single stall from India, though India exports locomotive engines, wagons, etc., and we produce aircraft also, in that International Transport Exhibition, and so, may I know what is the view of the Government in this matter?

Mr. Speaker: It is a different question. दिल्ली का जिक हो रहा है लेकिन भाप तो उठ कर बाहर चले गए।

Shri Priya Gupta: Part (b) of the question says about exhibitions organised in other countries.

भी प्रवत सिंह: पुरानी जं। एम्बीबीशन की जो इमारतें भीर जमीन पड़ी हुई है, वहीं पर क्या इसको भी किया जाएगा ?

बी मनुभाई शाह : जां फीरांजगाह कोटला पाउंड है, उसके काफी जो मकानात प्रच्छी कंडिणन में है, उनका पूरा इस्तेम।ल किया जाएगा लेकिन एम्बीबीणन को तो इंटर-नेशनल एंगल से रिप्यान करना पड़ेगा ।

Trade with East European Countries

*816. Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) the prospects of the expansion of trade transactions between India and East European countries, specially in non-traditional goods; and
- (b) the steps being taken by Government in this direction?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT

Prospects for expansion of India's trade with East European countries in non-traditional goods are very bright. As trade with East European countries is transacted on bilateral

pasis with mutual consent of the Governments concerned every opportunity is taken to remind those Governments of the necessity for their purchase of such non-traditional items, that are required for their economy and are presently manufactured in India. In all trade negotiations this matter is highlighted and agreements do specify a large number of engineering, chemicals as well as consumer goods as exportable To intensify from India. efforts in this direction exhibitions are regularly organised in those countries, technical and business delegations are also sent every now and then to contact the East European Countries' Buying organisations cultivating their interests in our nontraditional items. The State Trading Corporation of India has also established its offices in some of those countries and is maintaining frequent contacts with enterprises in addition to finalizing contracts for supply of such goods from India as are envisaged under the trade agreements.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: In view of the prospects of expansion of India's trade with east European countries, may I know, up till now, to what extent we have been able to enter into contracts with some of these countries and the financial transactions which are likely to follow from them?

Shri Manubhai Shah: As the hon. House is aware, our trade with the east European countries, particularly, has been very gratifying; in the last five years, the trade has almost ten times expanded particularly with the Soviet Union. Now, in the fourth Plan, the Minister of Foreign Trade, Mr. Petelichev, and his team are expected come here in the first week of November and we are going to double the trade between India and the Soviet Union from the present level of Rs. 75 crores each way or a total of Rs. 150 crores, to Rs. 300 crores both ways in the fourth Five Year Plan.

Shri P. B. Chakraverti: Is it a fact that the Government of India and the Yugoslavian Government have now entered into a joint venture to explore the potentialities or the possibilities of our expansion and, if so, with what effect?

Shri Manubhai Shah; This is also true; Mr. Briley, the Deputy Prime Minister of Yugoslavia was here at the beginning of this year and we have entered into a joint economic co-operaand tion agreement between India Yugoslavia and we are thinking of putting up a wagon assembly plant in the port of Rijak so that we can export Indian wagons from here and sell them to Yugoslavia after re-assembling. It is also going to be the focal point of all these transport equipment for the whole of eastern Europe. Likewise, Yugoslavia is also helping us to put up ventures in third countries so that Indian machinery and equipment and technical knowhow could be re-exported to countries.

Shri Raghunath Singh: May I know what are the prospects of export of our engineering and electrical goods to these countries?

Shri Manubhai Shah: There are good prospects.

Shri Warlor: May I know whether the Government will see to it that the private manufacturers and exporters also get opportunities to export materials from here to the East European countries, apart from government to government level?

Shri Manubhai Shah: It is precisely so. We have a mixed economy here. In fact, in the recent Leipzig Fair, more than 60 Indian industrialists took part and we were the biggest stall-holders in Leipzig.

भी मुक्तान : मंत्री महोदय ने घणी बत-लाया है कि धमी धाजकल जो दूसरे देखों ने व्यापार हो रहा है, खास तौर से, सोवियत मंघ से, वह भविष्य में 300 करोड़ क० तक जायेगा । मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या यह व्यापार जो हमारी सैनिक जकरतें हैं उनको भी पूरा करेगा । जो हिंदयार वगैरह हमको चाहिये क्या वह भी इसमें शामिल हैं ?

भी मनुभाई झाहः प्रगर हम सारी जरूरतों को पूरा करने की कोशिश करेंगे तो वह तो बहुत सालों के बाद हो सकता है।

Shri Sham Lai Saraf: In view of the fact that the East European countries have a socialist economy, may I know if we have by now been able to find dependable channels in order to canalise our exports and imports with them?

Shri Manubhai Shah; We also deploy different techniques to suit different commodities. Some commodities are canalised through the STC, of which we have five in number now. In other cases, we allow private trade to negotiate deals with the socialist countries.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Has it come to the notice of the Government that one of the lacunae in our trade with other countries is the lack of our exhibits being demonstrated in those markets? If so, what steps are being taken to remove that?

Shri Manubhai Shah: This is really so. We are not in a position to exhibit as many items as we would like to do. But with the limited resources we have, we have been trying every year to participate in a minimum of one exhibition in each of the socialist countries.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if an assessment has been made of the needs of these East European countries as to the goods that we can supply and if so, whether we are able to fulfil all their needs?

Shri Manubhai Shah: It is impossible to fulfil all the needs of all the countries. But certainly our effort is to sell as much manufactured goods or our country as possible which we have in rather larger supply here. Unfortunately, the economies of the socialist countries are not yet consumer-goodsoriented. They prefer to buy only

basic materials or raw materials from us. It is our constant endeavour to sell more and more of our manufactured industrial products.

Oral Answers

Sixth Steel Plant

*817. Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Himatsingka:
Shri Kanakasabal:
Shri Mohammed Koya:

Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

- (a) whether negotiations have been going on with France for the setting up of the sixth Steel Plant in the public sector in India; and
- (b) if so, how far the negotiations have progressed so far?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Mines (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) and (b). Certain French parties have shown interest in exploring the possibilities of setting up of a steel plant in this country. They have been told that collaboration assistance could be considered provided finance on suitable terms could be arranged. No specific proposal has however so far been received from these parties.

Shri P. C. Borooah: May I know which are the possible sites under the consideration of the Government for the location of this plant, and how Hospet stands a chance, which was recommended as the second best site for the fifth steel plant?

Shri P. C. Sethl: The question of the site would arise much later. We are now seized of the site for the fifth plant.

Shri P. C. Borooah: May I know whether the Government have decided upon the minimum limit for Indian participation in finance and also in the technical engineering works, so as to avoid uncertainty as was experienced in the Bokaro plant?

Shri P. C. Sethi: All these considerations would arise later. At present only an interest in exploring the possibilities has been shown by the French and German parties.

Shri Himatsingka: Having regard to the fact that many public undertakings are located at places on considerations other than merit, will the Government give an assurance to the House that in matters of important projects like a steel plant costing a very huge amount and involving very heavy foreign exchange merit only will be the consideration in taking a decision?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): Yes, Sir, merit would certainly be taken into consideration, but other factors also are there which are much more important and those factors also will be taken into consideration.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May I know whether this task of exploration has also been entrusted, as understand it has been, to our Embassy in France; if so, whether any progress has been made by our Embassy in France in respect of identifying the parties with whom we could collaborate; if so, on what terms?

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: I think everything is in a preliminary stage because we will have to provide finances in our own Plan, rupee finance and all that. Our Plan itself is in a nebulous position still and allotment of money for the Fourth Plan has still not yet been finally decided. Therefore, our Ambassador in France is not in a position to proceed further.

Shri Sivamurthi Swamy: Sir, expert committees have been appointed by the Ministry and so many reports have been submitted in this behalf. But only one report, the Anglo-American expert committee's report, has been placed in the library. In that report two places have been recommended—one is Hospet and the other is Vishakhapatnam. Therein it

has been stated that not only the sixth steel plant but even the first steel plant should have been located at Hospet because it has the cheapest iron ore found not only in India but in the whole world. I want to know whether it is a fact or not?

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: 1 wonder whether I can answer this question. He has got a very strong opinion about Hospet and I agree with him.

Mr. Speaker: It is only a plea for having a steel plant there in Hospet.

Shri Shinkre: In view of the fact that one of the reasons of inflation in this country is this huge cepital investment in so many steel plants and other big projects of the Government of India, will the Government see to it that this sixth steel plant does not bring any additional pressure on the limited finances of this country and only allow it if it is fully without any burden on the country's finance?

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: I do not know whether it is proper to say that huge amounts are invested in them and because of that only there is some imbalance. I do not agree with that view, because unless you invest huge amounts you cannot have the steel plants and steel is absolutely necessary for the progress of a country, not only for agriculture and defence but for other basic raw materials. Whatever the cost, we will have to produce steel. Other developed countries are much advanced in steel production and it will he very difficult for us unless we produce 16.5 million tonnes in the Fourth Plan and million tonnes in the Fifth Plan.

भी धोंकार लाल बेरवा: मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि फांस के धलावा उन्होंने धौर किन किन देशों से बातचीत की है धौर यह फैसला कब तक हो जायेगा ।

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: We have the Anglo-American consortium at present for the fifth steel plant. The Germans are also showing interest. Krupps and Sidexport of France and other smaller countries—I do not want to name them there are others also—are also showing interest. Provided we have rupee finance and we go ahead, they are prepared to assist us.

भी हुकम चन्द कख्वाय : मैं जनना चाहता हूं कि फांस से जो बात चीत चल रही है उस में क्या उन्होंने कोई ऐसा सुझाव दिया है कि कहां कहां उनको कारखाना खोलना है या हम ने उन को कुछ इस संबंध में बतलाया है। यदि हां,तो वह कौन से स्थान हैं धाँर उसमें कितनी प्'जी लगने का घन्दाज है।

च्चम्यक्त महोबयः यह तो बहुत बडा सवाल है।

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: No, Sir, no details have been worked out.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: May I know whether the Government consider the desirability of going into the details of the Anglo-American consortium report and also bestow its attention to have an advance plan so far as the sixth steel plant is concerned by selecting one of the places suggested by the consortium after Vishakhatpatnam?

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: All these matters are before the Cabinet and naturally the Cabinet will consider all aspects of the question and take a decision.

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: The hon. Minister has been telling us on the floor of this House that he has left it to the experts to give their opinion and they will take a decision. Since the report has come, why is there so much delay in decising about the site?

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: The hon. Lady Member is perhaps not aware of the serious phase through which we passed just now. The Cabinet will certainly take a decision in the near future.

Shri Warior: In view of the necessity and demand for more and more steel plants, may I know whether Government is taking measures to expedite the indigenous production of machinery necessary for establishing steel plants in the Ranchi project so that we can establish steel plants ourselves without any collaboration?

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: That is our attempt. In the Third Plan we have used only 10 to 15 per cent of indigenous material in setting up steel plants. In the Fourth Plan we would be using 35 to 40 per cent of indigenious material. In the Fifth Plan it will be a much higher percentage.

Shri Basappa: In order to avoid controversies that have been raised regarding the location of the fifth steel plant, will the question of the location of the fifth and sixth plants be decided upon simultaneously?

Shri Sanjiva Reddy: All the aspects of the question are before the Cabinet. The valuable suggestion of Shri Basappa will also be considered by the Cabinet.

Railway Reservations

*818, Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Railway Administrations are cognisant of the widespread corruption and graft in the reservation of berths and seats on the Railways throughout the country; and
- (b) if so, the special efforts made to eradicate this evil?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Bailways (Shri Ram Subhag Singh): (a) Some complaints are being received of corruption and graft in the matter of reservation of berths and seats.

(b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT

- Everyone of the complaints is thoroughly enquired into and severe punishment meted out in proven cases.
- At transference of reservation is found to be one of the causes facilitating corrupt practices, the Indian Railways Act has been amended to deal effectively with such situations.
- 3. Surprise checks have been organised by the Special Police Establishment in co-operation with the Railway authorities to check on possible corrupt practices in booking of berths and seats in trains.
- 4. At certain important stations, a special squad of Vigilance staff and TTEs in plain clothes are posted at the booking windows during the rush periods to check the activities of unscrupulous elements.
- Supervision has been strengthened at booking offices, particularly during rush periods to eliminate the possibility of staff conniving at any malpractices.
- 6. As the root cause is inadequacy of accommodation, every effort is being made to augment train services to provide adequate accommodation.
- Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May I know whether Government are aware of the fact that in spite of the six steps indicated in the statement laid on the Table of the House the problem of corruption in the matter of reservations on the railways has not been tackled adequately? If that is so, I would like to know whether it is the Government's intention to pursue this matter more vigorously and, if so, in what way.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Yes, Sir. Our intention is to eradicate it as fully as possible.

Mr. Speaker: In what way?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: The six points have already been mentioned.

Mr. Speaker: He wants to know whether there are any other steps besides these six?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: There will be better check on people who travel without tickets.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Would the hon. Minister tell us as to how many cases were detected and out of the cases detected how many persons have been punished and whether in the light of the experience gained in the number of persons punished there are any other ways that the administration intends to pursue?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: In the cases detected either fines are realised in addition to fare or they are....

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I am not asking about ticketless travel. I am asking about corruption in the matter of railway reservations.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Disciplinary action is taken against persons who are found indulging in corrupt activity. For information about the exact number of persons against whom action has been taken I would like to have notice.

श्री द्वा० ना० तिवारी: क्या यह सही बात है कि कुछ बड़े बड़े स्टेशनों पर जनता की तरफ से शिकायत भाने के बाद भी, एनक्वारी होने के बाद भी या नामिनल वार्निंग देने के बाद भी कर्मचारी भपना रवैया नहीं बदलते हैं, भौर इन रिजरवेशन के कामों में भौर ज्यादा गड़बड़ी करते हैं।

बा॰ राम सुभग सिंह: कतिपय स्थानों पर ऐसी बात देखी गई है और एक खास शिकायत माननीय सदस्य महोदय ने दी है, उसके मुतास्तिक तीन बड़े स्टेझनों पर बड़ी तत्परता से जांच हो रही है और काफी कड़ी कार्रवाई उनके खिसाफ की जायेगी! Shri A. P. Sharma: Frequent complaints are made regarding prevalent corruption in the reservation of seats by the railways. When the complaints are proved incorrect what action is Government proposing to take against those people, who prefer frivolous complaints, in order to protect the railway employees who have now no protection?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: If persons make frivolous complaints we take action according to rules. Some cases are referred to courts also. Our rules provide for them.

Shri Narendra Singh Mahida: May I know whether the hon. Minister has studied the Japanese railway system which is fool-proof in the matter of reservations?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh; I know a little about the Japanese system. Whatever is best in the Japanese and other railways, we will try to introduce them in the Indian railways. But here the conditions are different and so most of the difficulties arise.

श्री यक्तपाल सिंह: क्या मानतीय मंत्री जी ने यह क्याल किया है कि मेल ट्रेंस में भौर एक्सप्रेस ट्रेंस में ज्यादातर ऐसा होता है कि सिर्फ एक पैस्जिय के लिये एयर कंडीशन बोगी सनाई जाती है। तो इस जमाने में जब कि हर एक को हाई लाइक की जकरत है, सरकार ने यह क्याल किया है कि ऐसे करफान को रोका जाये भीर जो लोग इतने गुल बदन हैं कि बगैर एयर कंडीशन कोख के सफर नहीं कर सकते उन्हें घर ही रहने दिया जावे?

भी सरम् पाण्येयः स्या माननीय मंत्री जी के प्यान में यह बात घायी है कि सबनऊ से दिल्ली घाने वाली गाड़ियों में जो उत्तर प्रदेख सरकार का कोटा होता है उसमें कर्मणारी नहीं चलते बल्कि उन सीटों को पैसे लेकर लोगों को दिया जाता है?

डा॰ राम मुभग सिंहः इसका पता लगाऊंगा।

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: Some days back there was an interesting news item in Bombay dailies to the effect that the General Manager of the Western Railway accompanied by some high-ranking officials went incognito to the reservation counter and he was unceremoniously pushed uside by the constable on duty and after waiting for several hours he could not get reservation incognito whereas certain parties got reservation in his presence after some payment; yet, it seems that no action has been taken on this incident.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Most effective action will be taken.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: In view of the fact that the Railway reservation section has assumed an importance in the hierarchy of corrupt officials in the Railway Department, may I know whether Government has made any arrangement to remove the persors concerned by the transfer of tnese officials to some other sections?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: I repudiate this insinuation that only the railway hierarchy is having corrupt officials because this a social ailment awe are as much liable to it as railway and other officers. If any specific incident is pointed out by the honquestioner, we shall take most effective action against that particular officer.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: What about the transfer of these officials?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: He has not pointed out any case. On a generic basis he has no basis.

भी बिशन चन्द्र सेठ : रेलवे करप्शन के संबंध में मैंने एक चिट्ठी चार महीने हुए मंत्रालय को भेजी थी धौर निवेदन किया या कि माहजहांपुर से पीलीभीत, सीतापुर बगैरह जो गाड़ियां जाती है उनमें हजारों लोग बिना टिकट जाते हैं, लेकिन धाज तक उस संबंध में कोई कार्रवाई नहीं की गयी है?

डा॰ राम सुभग सिहः सीतापुर से पीलीभीत तकका इन्तिजाम कराया जायेगा।

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I know whether it is a fact that Members of Parliament who reserve accommodation in all the trains generally do not send their cancellation slips and that is one of the reasons for those quotas to be used for black market purposes; if so, have instructions been given to Members of Parliament to cancel their reservations promptly?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: That type of request is issued by the Lok Sabha Secretariat.

श्री रामसेवक याववः क्या माननीय मंत्री महोदय को यह जानकारी है कि प्रथम श्रेणी में घाम तौर से जिन लोगों के पास पास होते हैं उनके नाम पर फर्जी रिजरवेशन द्वृद्धा करता है, धौर जब जगह मांगी जाती है तो कह देते हैं कि भरा द्वृद्धा है, धौर बाद में सीटें खाली जाती हैं?

डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह: इसका मतलब उन से हैं जिनको पास हैं जैसे हम लोग या दूसरे लोग जो पास होल्डर हैं। उनको यह शिकायत हो सकती है, इस पर घ्यान दिया जायेगा।

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi: Has it come to the notice of Government that information regarding unoccupied seats in the sleeper coaches is never given earlier and when it is ten minutes for the departure of the train, usually the seats are sold as it were; if so, what special machinery is there to check this corruption specially in the sleeper coaches?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: The machinery is there. The hon. Member writes to us, but so far she has not pointed out this type of a case. If she points it out, we will take action.

Rural Industrialisation

- *819. Shri Heda: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that Government are considering a proposal to select 15 areas for rural industrialisation; and
- (b) if so, the names of the districts and the priority industries which will be taken up in those areas?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bibudhendra Misra): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Shri Heda: Was not there any proposal to take up rural industrialisation in particular areas where the per capita income is very low; if so, what happened to it?

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: The question is whether there is any new proposal to start rural industries in selected areas. About this proposal, Shri Heda probably knows, that under the auspices of the Planning Commission the Rural Industries Committee have set up 45 rural industrial centres in 45 areas in the entire country.

Shri Heda: May I know whether the Industry Ministry has given any attention to this idea as to what type of rural industries will be set up so that the agricultural bias is there?

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: It was done with the full concurrence of the Ministry of Industry and in the implementation of the scheme, the officers of the Ministry of Industry are also associated. Broadly speaking, the industries in these 45 centres, of course, have an agricultural bias.

भी बात्मीकी: जैसे तीन पंच वर्षीय योजनाओं के संदर यह भावना बतलाई गई है कि डिस्पर्सल भौफ इंडस्ट्रीज किया जायेगा इंडस्ट्रीज ज्यादातर जो एक ही स्थान पर इकट्ठी रहती हैं उन को सरकार हटा रही है तो मैं मली महोदय से यह पूछना चाहता हूं कि डिस्पर्सल भौफ इंडस्ट्रीज की बात को देखते हुये क्या क्या कदम उठाये गये हैं भौर क्या कुछ शहरी क्षेत्रों से इंडस्ट्रीज उठा कर देहाती क्षेत्रों में लगाई गई हैं भौर क्या इस प्रकार से प्रामीण भौधोगीकरण को बल दिया जा रहा है?

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: All attempts are being made....

श्री बाल्मीकी: मैं घपने सवाल का जवाब हिन्दी में चाहता हूं।

धन्यक महोदयः माननीय सदस्य उसे सुन लें धीर धगर वह न समझ सकेंगे तो उन्हें समझा दिया जायेगा।

shri Bibudhendra Misra: All attempts are being made. There are certain physical limitations also, for example, the development of transport, electricity, power and all that. But all attempts are being made to give a practical shape to this idea.

श्री बिभूति निश्च : प्रभी मंत्री जी ने बतलाया कि गांवों के लिए कोई व्यवसाय का इन्तजाम सरकार नहीं सोच रही है तो मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि तीन योजनाएं बीत गयीं, गांव के लोगों की रोजी, रोटी के लिए भीर उन की ग़रीबी को दूर करने के लिए क्या सरकार कोई इंतजाम करने को सोच रही है, यदि हां, तो उस का स्वरूप क्या है?

उद्योग तथा संभरण मंत्रालय में भारी इंजीनियरिंग तथा उद्योग मंत्री (भी त्रि॰ ना॰ सिंह): यह नहीं कहा गया है कि ऐसा कोई प्रोधाम गांवों में नहीं किया जा रहा है। उत्तर में सिर्फ यह कहा गया है कि जिन 15 स्थानों के रूरल इंडस्ट्रियलाइचेशन के बारे में सेलैक्ट करने के बारे में पूछा गया है वह ठीक नहीं है बस्कि 45 स्थानों पर इस तरीके के प्रयोग किये जा रहे हैं कि कैसे गांवों में दूर दूर तक इंडस्ट्रीज ले जाई जा सकती है। उस का बहुत सा धनुषव हुमा है धौर इस प्रोधाम का मागे भौर विकास करने का विचार है।

Shri S. Kandappen: I would like to know whether any preferential treatment will be given to those who offer to locate industries in rural areas in the matter of issuing of licences and other things.

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: As a matter of fact, certain incentives are given to them.

श्री काझी राम गुप्त : क्या मंत्री महोदय यह बतलाने की कृपा करेंगे कि जिन ग्रामों में बिजली पहुंच चुकी है उन के लिए विशेष तौर से प्राथमिकता के ग्राधार पर उद्योगों की कोई ऐसी लिस्ट बनाई गई है जो कि इस चतुर्ष पंचवर्षीय योजना के भीतर वहां पर जारी किये जायेंगे ?

श्री त्रि० ना० सिंह: चतुर्ष पंचवर्षीय योजना के बारे में घभी विचार हो रहा है धौर हमारे घौर प्लानिंग कमिशन द्वारा मिल कर उस के बारे में बार्तें सोची जा रही हैं लेकिन घभी उस के बारे में ठीक घौर पक्की तौर से नहीं कहा जा सकता है।

श्री जगवेय सिंह सिद्धान्ती: जिन गांवों में प्रभी तक विजली नहीं पहुंच पायी है तो सरकार की निगाह में ऐसे कौन से छोटे उद्योग धंधे हैं जो कि वहां पर चालू किये जा सकते हैं ताकि वे उन के लिए लाभदायक सिद्ध हो सकें?

श्री त्रि० ना० सिंह: उस सम्बन्ध में खादी कमिशन धौर हैंडी कैपटस बोड बहुत कुछ यत्न कर रहे हैं धौर उनको सफलता भी मिली है। श्री किव नारायण : यह जो ट्रेनिंग सैटर प्राप ने बतलाये कि इतने खोले हैं तो वे किन किन स्थानों पर खोले हैं, किस किस सूबे में खोले हैं?

भ्रष्यक्ष महोदय: उस की वह फैहरिस्त रख देंगे।

श्री रामेश्वरानन्द : गांवों में जो उद्योग घंघे खोलते हैं उनको एक तो वित्तीय सहायता नहीं मिलती, वित्तीय सहायता मिल भी जाती है तो उन को ऊपर से मंजूरी नहीं मिलती, मंजूरी मिल जाती है तो उन को बिजली होते हुए भी बिजली नहीं मिलती है इसलिए इन कठिनाइयां को दूर करने के लिए मंत्री महोदय क्या कोई सकिय पग उठायेंगे ?

श्री त्रि॰ ता॰ सिंह : जो लोग भी गांवों में उद्योग, धंधे बढ़ाना चाहते हैं उनकी पूरी सहायता की जाती है भौर इसके लिए उन को उस्साहित किया जाता है लेकिन जहां बिजली नहीं पहुंची है वहां तो दिक्कत है ही।

श्री रामेश्वरानम्ब : बिजली पहुंची हुई है, ऊपर से कर्जा मिला है, मशीन फिट कर रक्की है लेकिन वह उसको पास हो नही करते हैं। मैं मंत्री महोदय को लिख कर ऐसे केसेज इंगा।

श्री गुलकान: देहातों में नये कारखाने खोलने के लिए मजदूर धौर बिजली की दिक्कत होती तो मैं यह जानना चाहूंगा कि देहातों में मखदूर होते हुए धौर जिन देहातों में बिजली भी पहुंची है ऐसे देहातों में नये कारखाने खोलने के लिए सरकार को क्या दिक्कत है? इस के झलावा मैं यह भी जानना चाहूंगा कि जो 45 नये कारखाने खोलने के लिए सरकार जा रही है वह किस किस राज्य में धौर किन किन जगहों में खोले जा रहे हैं?

श्री त्रि॰ ना॰ सिंह: 43 जगहों पर इस का सनूचन किया जा रहा है। इस सम्बन्ध में जो कोई कठिनाइयां पेश साती हैं उनका काफ़ी परिचय मिला है। उन कठिनाइयों को देखते हुए हमें यह कहने में कोई भी हिच-

किचाहट नहीं होती है कि यह प्रयोग बडे महत्व कर होते हए भी इस में बडी बडी कठिनाइयां हैं। सिर्फ बिजली या कार्यकृशल मजदूरों की ही बात नहीं है। उस में धच्छे मुन्छे उद्योग व्यवसाय जानने बाले मादमियों की जरूरत है जो कि उस काम को कर सकते हैं. ऐसे बहुत से लोग उन कामों को करना चाहते हैं लेकिन उसके लिए जरूरी धनुभव नहीं है। रा मैटीरियल की भी कमी है जिसके कि कारण वह उन उद्योगों को बढ़ा नहीं सकते । इस तरह की कई दिक्कतें हैं उनको देख कर हमें बहुत कुछ धनुभव हुमा है भीर मेरा ऐसा खयाल है कि घापस में प्लानिंग कमिशन के साथ विचार करने के बाद कोई एक धच्छा प्रोग्राम इस बारे में मृत्क के सामने रक्खाजासकेगा।

श्री गुलकान: यह जो 45 उद्योग खोले जा रहे हैं उसके लिए मंत्री जी ने जवाब नहीं दिया कि वे कहां कहां भीर किस किस राज्य में खोले जारोंगे?

प्राप्यका महोबय: भ्रब 45 की लिस्ट वह भ्रभी यहां पर कैसे दे सकते हैं?

श्री रामेडबरानन्द: धघ्यक्ष महोवय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रक्त है। मैं धाप को यह बतलाना चाहता हूं कि जैसे चावल कूटने का धंधा है तो देहाती उसे खाते भी हैं भीर पैदा भी करते हैं। उदाहरण के तौर पर अमुना के इलाक़े में लोग चावल कूट सकते हैं भीर खा सकते हैं लेकिन वे बेचारे उसको कूट नहीं सकते क्योंकि उनको मशीनें नहीं मिलतीं हानांकि वह धपने पैसे से लगवा सकते हैं। धब भगर महर में कुटवाने के लिए लाते हैं तो उन्हें पुलिस पकड़ नेती है कि तुम अमुना पार ले जा रहे थे।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इस में मुस्करान की तो कोई बात है नहीं ? बेचारे कुटवाने के सिए शहर में ले जायें तो पुनिस उन्हें पकड़ ले। अध्यक्ष महोदय: मुस्कराना तो जरूरी है जब कि भ्राप ने चावल खिलाना गुरू कर दिया। मुस्करा मैं इसलिए रहा था कि मैं इस व्यवस्था के भ्राप के प्रश्न का जवाब क्या हूं?

भी हुकम चन्द्र कछवाय: सरकार मृगीं पालो, मच्छी पालो भीर मक्खी पालन के ऊपर जितना रुपया खर्च कर रही है तो इस काम पर वह कितना रुपया खर्च कर रही है भीर जो शहरों में खोले जा रहे हैं उन में कितना पैसा खर्च किया जा रहा है?

भीत्रि॰ ना॰ सिंहः यह दूसरी मिनिस्टरी से सम्बन्ध रखता है।

भी क० ना० सिवारी: मंत्री महोदय ने प्रभी बतलाया कि जिन 45 जगहों पर इसका परीक्षण हो रहा है उसमें बहुत सी विक्कतें पेश भाई हैं तो क्या कोई ऐसी कठिनाई उनके सामने पेश भाई है जिसकी कि वजह से रूरल ऐरियाज में इंडस्ट्रीज नहीं लग सकती हैं भीर क्या इन विक्कतों को दूर करके किस तरीके में इंडस्ट्रीज वहां रूरल ऐरियाज में लगाई जा सकती हैं इस के बारे में एक्सपैरीमैंटस किये जा रहे हैं?

भी त्रिश्नाश सिंह: यह मतलब नहीं है कि उन के प्रयोग को बढ़ाया नहीं गया है लेकिन कुछ कठिनाइयों के भनुभव के बाद हम यह सोच रहे हैं कि क्या हम उन कठिनाइयों को अवाब नहीं पा सकते हैं भीर भ्रमी उन कठि-नाइयों का अवाब निकासा जा रहा है।

Processing of Cashew

*821. Shri Warior;
Shri Vasudevan Nair:

Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 871 on the 27th August, 1965 and state:

(a) whether Government have formulated and scheme to process cashew by mechanised means to meet possible competition from the African countries; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) No, Sir, not yet.

(b) Does not arise.

Shrl Warior: May I know whether Government have taken any steps to ascertain from the African countries what sort of mechanisation they are adopting?

Shri Manubhai Shah: We have seen also the set-up of the factory in Tanganyika. It is a completely mechanised unit, and we are studying it, and very soon we are contemplating to establish a cashew board which will also put up such mechanised factories for cashew processing.

Shri Warior: In view of the fact that we are short of raw nuts here, may I know what special steps Government are taking to see that we are self-sufficient in the raw material itself?

Shri Manubhai Shah: This is a very vital question. Today we are getting more than 2 lakhs tonnes of raw auts from East Africa, Tanganyika and those areas. We are, therefore, having a special project to produce more than 3 lakhs tonnes of raw nuts in the Fourth Plan, and I have already indicated that the establishment of the cashew board is under consideration.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Government have a scheme in the Fourth Plan to produce 3 lakhs tonnes. May I know whether Government are proposing to enter into some long-term contract with Tanganyika and Kenya so that we may be assured of the supply of raw nuts at least for the coming five years till we attain self-sufficiency?

Shri Manubhai Shah: We have made several attempts, but the very country which produces raw nuts would naturally be reluctant to enter into any long-term commitment to supply raw materials to us in preference to setting up their own factories.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: In view of the fact that Westerns have literally gone crazy for bottled cashew-nuts in the form of wine, what does the hon. Minister propose to do in that regard?

Shri Manubhai Shah; We have contemplated one alcoholic factory based on cashew fruit which is rejected after the nut is taken out, and some progress will be made in that direction.

Office of Tea Board in Calcutta

Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Office of the Tea Board in Calcutta has been closed with effect from the 1st September, 1965; and
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Commerce (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy); (a) and (b). In consultation with the West Bengal Government, the office of the Tea Board was closed on the 1st September, 1965 due to continued indiscipline and deplorable behaviour of some of the 478 employees of the Tea Board. In this connection, a copy of the Statement issued by Shri P. C. Sen, Hon'ble Chief Minister of West Bengal, on Tuesday, the 7th September, 1965 is laid on the Table of the House. The House will observe from the statement that some of the employees had resorted to gross misconduct and indiscipline.

The office of the Tea Board had however re-opened on Monday, the 20th September, 1965, as a result of the settlement arrived at through the good offices of the Labour Commissioner of the West Bengal Government. The settlement stipulates that 10 persons are suspended and charge-sheeted for gross misconduct and indiscipline. 455 out of 478 employees have reported on duty and are regularly working since 20th September and 13 persons are on leave. The Board offices are working in a normal manner.

STATEMENT

"On the afternoon of 31st of last month a large number of employees of the Tea Board at Calcutta, indulged in gross misconduct and undisciplined behaviour invading in a body the Board room where an important meeting attended by outsiders was in progress and interrupting official work. They prevented the Chairman and other senior officials of the Board from leaving the room shouted abuses, slogans etc. in these compelling circumstances the Government of India in consultation me have closed the office until further orders.

The Tea Board is an office of national importance and it is a matter of great regret that the employees should have indulged in such conduct and behaviour which forced the Government of India to down the office. However, the country, threatened by aggression from Pakistan, is now facing a very serious situation. It is unfortunate that in these circumstances the Tea Board office in Calcutta has to be kept closed because of undisciplined and deplorable behaviour on the part of some members of the staff. I would like to urge the saner elements amongst the Tea Board employees to disown this misconduct and give suitable guarantees for the future good behaviour so that conditions conducive to the reopening the Tea Board Office can be brought about."

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We could not hear the answer properly. How many were charge-sheeted?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: Ten. 1454(Ai)LSD-2.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: For what?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: For gross indiscipline and misbehaviour.

भी बजारास सिंह: क्या यह सही है कि हड़ताल बहुत थोड़े कर्मचारियों ने की यी भीर तनक्याह सब की रोक भी गई भीर यही कारण दफतर बन्द करने का हभा?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: It started that way, but 300 people rushed into the Board's office when a meeting was going on and threatened violence to the chairman, deputy chairman, the sccretary and all the officers.

श्री प्रश्नपाल सिंह : क्या यह सही है कि जब दक्षतर बन्द किया गया श्रीर बाद में खोला गया, इस बीच में देण को लाखों रुपयों का नुकसान हुखा; यदि हां, तो यह कमी कहा से पूरी की जायेगी?

भी मनुभाई शाह ४ कोई नुकसान नहीं हुमा ।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: statement laid on the Table says that at the time when the country is threatened by aggression from Pakistan, it is a matter of great regret that the employees should indulged in such misconduct and misbehaviour which forced the Government of India to close down that office. May I know whether those employees who indulged in such misbehaviour belonged to a union of which the secretary was or is the secretary of the West Bengal Congress Committee, and if so, whether Minister is in a position the Hon. to firmly and categorically state that in the case of those employees who have been charge-sheeted for misconduct, indiscipline and misbehaviour, the cases against them will not be hushed up owing to pressure from

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): There is no question of hushing up, because the Chief Minister of West Bengal who belongs to this party is a party to the settlement.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The first part of my question has not been answered, namely whether these employees belong to a union of which the secretary was or is the secretary of the West Bengal Congress Committee.

Shri Manubhai Shah: The first part of the question would not arise whether a particular trade union belongs to one party or the other. Those who commit such gross misconduct and carry on such acts of indiscipline have got to be punished.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But is it admitted that the Secretary of this Union was the secretary of the Bengal Provincial Congress Committee?

Mr. Speaker: Has he any information about that?

Shri Manubhai Shah: No. Sir.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The hon. Deputy Minister stated that because of the good offices of the Labour Commissioner, this matter was settled and the office has started functioning from 20th September, 1965. I would like to know 'he terms of settlement, and whether a no-victimisation assurance has been given to the employees.

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: The office was opened on the 20th September on the employees executing bonds expressing regret for past behaviour and guaranteeing future good conduct. Out of 478 members of the staff. 10 have been suspended and charge-sheeled. This was agreed upon. Of the remaining 468, 455 have reported after giving the undertaking referred to above, 12 have applied for leave, and one is absent.

Mr. Speaker: Would there be no victimisation? Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: Victimisation means doing something beyond what is necessary. Whatever is necessary will be done.

Shri A. P. Sharma: Is Government aware that in spite of the fact that there was a registered trade union functioning amongst the Tea Board employees, certain other elements of rival trade unions like the AITUC branch of West Bengal instigated them, and if so, what action is Government proposing to take against them?

Shri Manubhai Shah: That would hardly arise.

Shri P. C. Borooah: May I know whether it is a fact that in spite of the provocations, the Chairman of the Tea Board was very considerate to the employees and in fact he raised the emoluments in certain cases, and that with the help of some of the executive the trade court members, he tried his best to solve the problem?

Shri Manubhai Shah: I am glad at the tribute paid to the Chairman.

Shri Priya Gupta: May I know if the period for which the establishment was closed is, according to the decision of the Labour Commissioner, decision of a strike or a lay-off?

Shri Manubhai Shah: It was a lockout declared by the Central Government. There is no question of any decision.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhury: Was there any representation earlier from the side of the employees about certain standing grievances which led to this incident?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Those grievances are natural in any establishment, and I can assure the hon. Members that all such legitimate grievances will be continuously looked into. Here was a gross misconduct on which neither the Central Govern-

ment nor the Tea Board could do anything. Therefore, the West Bengal Government intervened and brought about a settlement.

Dr. Ranen Sen: Is it a fact that the whole trouble started with the employees demand for bonus which was rejected by the authorities of the Tea Board there, and if so, what is the ultimate outcome of this dispute on the question of bonus?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: With regard to this bonus for Puja the rule says Rs. 75 per head, but they demanded Rs. 200. About 300 employees broke into the room when a meeting was going on and demanded a decision immediately that Rs, 200 would be granted. In fact, the officers were wrongfully restrained in that room till about 8 p.m.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: The question is about the outcome of the dispute about bonus. Has any bonus been agreed upon or not?

Shri Manubhai Shah: The demand for Puja allowance is regulated by a rule passed by Parliament. We are helpless in the matter. After this indiscipline it becomes difficult to do anything. But certainly when there is a proper representation in this regard in the future, we should consider all aspects.

11.00 hrs.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION

The Muir Mills Ltd., Kanpur

S.N.Q. 12. Shri S. M. Banerjee:

Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that lockout still continues in the Muir Mills Ltd., Kanpur;
- (b) if so, whether the mill-owners have demanded a rehabilitation loan of Rs. 40 lakhs from the Government;

- (c) if so, the reaction of Government thereto;
- (d) whether the loan has been sanctioned;
 - (e) if so, on what conditions; and
- (f) whether the employers have decided to re-open the mills?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Commerce (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.

- (c) to (f). The request for financial assistance is under consideration.
- Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like to know whether this mill is likely to start within this month or next month at least so that the 6000 employees may be taken back?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): We hope it will start next month.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like to know whether some directors will be appointed by Government after giving this loan or it will be kept entirely in their hands.

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: Two from the Central Government and one from the State.

Shri Daji: Even before the reopening of the mills will Government do something immediately to see that the 6000 workers who have not been paid their wages are given their wages and are not allowed to starve on the streets?

Shri Manubhai Shah: As far as the present conditions are concerned, the mill is under such financial stringency. I cannot promise anything at the moment. But we shall see to it that as soon as it starts, all these dues are settled.

श्री हुकम चन्द कस्त्रवाय : इस मिल के बन्द होने का कारण क्या या ? क्या बद-रंतिज्ञामी के कारण यह बन्द हुई या और किसी कारण से ? Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: It started with 150 winders not doing their work properly and then striking work. Then the indiscipline spread to other auxiliary departments. Then it had to be locked-out.

Shri Warior: May I know whether the Goernment cannot allow some advance from the loans which will be given to the mills so that the dues of the workers could be given?

Shri Manubhai Shah: All these issues will be taken into account but the deficit is so huge that I cannot promise anything at the moment.

श्री सरक् पाण्डेव : इस मिल को जो पैसा दिया जा रहा है माडन इजेशन के लिए दिया जा रहा है या किसी धौर काम के लिए ?

भी मनुभाई ज्ञाह विकास कैपिटल के तौर पर दिया जा रहा है।

Shri Tridib Rumar Chaudhuri: Which is the particular agency of the Central Government from which this loan is being advanced?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: It may be through some financial institution like the I.F.C. and so on.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Indo-Yugoslav Ventures

*820. Shri Surendra Pal Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Government of India have made a proposal to the Government of Yugoslavia for joint Indo-Yugoslav ventures to set up industrial and other projects in the countries of Asia and Africa;
- (b) if so, the broad outlines of this proposal; and
- (c) the reaction of the Yugoslav Government thereto?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhal Shah): (a) to (c). The idea of Indo-Yugoslav co-operation in setting up Joint industrial projects in third countries emerged during the recent discussions held in Yugoslavia. This proposal is still in the formative stage and no details have so far been worked out.

Pig Iron Plant in Hissar (Punjab)

*822. Shrimati Maimoona Sultan: Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have sanctioned the establishment of a pig iron plant in Hissar, Punjab;
- (b) if so, its estimated cost and proposed production capacity;
- (c) the number of pig iron plants sanctioned for being set up in other purts of the country this year so far; and
 - (d) the details of the projects?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri N. Sanjiva Reddy): (a) and (b). A letter of intent was issued in September 1963 in favour of the Government of Punjab for establishing a pig iron plant at Hissar with an annual capacity of 100,000 tonnes. Total cost of the project is estimated at around Rs. 4 crores.

- (c) So far, this year, no pig iron plant has been approved by the Government.
- (d) Does not arise in view of (c) above.

Detention of Train at Mogalhat (East Pakistan)

*823. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that an Indian Passenger Train bound for Mogalhat, East Pakistan, was detained by a Pakistan! mob at Mogalhat on the 6th September. 1965;
- (b) if so, the details of this inecdent; and

(c) the action taken by Government in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) to (c). 205 Up Gitaldaha-Mogalhat mixed train with Indian Railways' Rolling Stock, crew and guard which left Gitaldaha at 13.00 hours on 6th September, 1965 for Mogalhat (East Pakistan) and was scheduled to work back as 206 Dn. mixed from Mogalhat to Gitaldaha the same day with the same set of loco crew, guard and stock has not yet returned to India.

Negotiations have been initiated at the diplomatic level for repatriation of Indian staff detained in Pakistan. The International Red Cross Society have also been approached for assistance.

National Scientific Laboratories

- *823-A. Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government are aware that fullest use is not being made of the products and processes developed by the National Scientific Laboratories:
- (b) whether the licensing policy of Government has been in some measure responsible for it, and in a number of cases the licensed firms have either completely failed to manufacture the indigenous products or their manufactures have been of poor quality;
- (c) whether it is also a fact that even Government undertakings continue to show a preference for foreign manufactures to our own; and
- (d) if so, the steps Government propose to take in the matter?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bibudhendra Misra); (a) to (4). A statement is laid on the Table of the

House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4987/65].

Import of Electric Lecomotives

- *824. Shri Madhu Limaye: Will the Minisier of Railways be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 541 on the 10th September, 1965 and state:
- (a) whether the Ministry have made any comparative study of the prices of electric and diesel locumotives produced by the leading industrial countries of the world;
- (b) if so, whether the Third and Fourth Plan policy with regard to the import of these locomotives is or will be governed by price considerations or other factors such as, long term credits or aid allocation; and
- (c) if so, the broad details of this proposal?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Ram Subhag Singh): (a) Yes.

(b) and (c). Prices paid for electric locomotives and Narrow Gauge diesel locometives imported during the Third Plan have been based on International competitive bids. The prices for other diesel locomotives obtained from the United States and financed under that country's aid, on the basis of competitive bids within the U.S.A., are in general found to compare favourably with prices for similar locomotives from other countries.

Thus, during the Third Plan, the import of the locomotives was governed by considerations of technical suitability, manufacturers' capability delivery and prices, but also taking into account, in certain cases, the source of foreign exchange made available by Government for such import with due consideration for the price factor.

In regard to the Fourth Plan period, it is at present anticipated that the requirements of Broad Gauge locomotives—Electric and Diesel—would

be met by indigenous manufacture at Chittaranjan and Varanasi. No proposals for import of Metre Gauge and|or Narrow Gauge locomotives have yet been formulated.

बिराल (पूर्वी पाकिस्तान सीमा) पर रेलगाड़ी का रोका जाना

*825. श्री हुकम चन्द कञ्जनाय: स्या रेलवे मन्त्री यह बताने की क्रूपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि 6 सितम्बर, 1965 को बारसोई स्टेशन, कटिहार डिबी-जन, होती हुई पूर्वी पाकिस्तान जाने वाली 102 डाउन याजी गाड़ी को पाकिस्तानियों ने पूर्वी पाकिस्तान की सीमा पर बिराल स्टेशन पर रोक लिया था:
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि गाड़ी का गाड़ें, ड्राइवर तथा फायरमैंनों को पाकि-स्तानियों ने पकड़ लिया है ; भीर
- (ग) यदि हा, तो इस सम्बन्ध में सर-कार ने क्या कार्यवाही की है ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में राज्य मन्त्री (इा० राम मुनग सिंह) (क) (ख) भीर (ग), 105 झप बारमोई-बिराल मिली-जुली गाड़ी मार-सीये रेल के इंजन डिक्बों, कर्मीदल भीर गाड़े के साथ 6-9-1965 को दिन में 12 बज कर 35 मिनट पर राधिकापुर से बिराल (पूर्वी पाकिस्तान) के लिए रवाना हुई थी। राधिकापुर भारत की सीमा पर स्थित भन्तिम रेलवे स्टेशन है। इस गाड़ी को उसी दिन उसी कर्मीदल, गाड भीर इजन-डिड्बों के साथ 102 झाउन सवारी गाड़ी के हुए में बिराल से बार-सोई लौटना था। भभी तक यह गाड़ी भारत बापस नहीं साथी है।

पाकिस्तान में रोके गये भारतीय कर्म-चारियों को वापस कौटाने के लिए राजनियक इसर पर बातचीत गुरू की गयी है। भन्तर्ग-इन्नीय रेडकास सोसायटी से भी इस सम्बन्ध में सहायता देने का धनुरोध किया गया है।

Jari Units and Art Silk Factories in Surat

Shri Sham Lai Saraf:
*824. Shri Tridib Kumar
Chaudhuri:

·Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that due to inadequate supply of copper and non-availability of art silk yarn, more than 1,000 Jari units and 50 art silk factories have closed down and more are likely to be closed in Surat, Gujarat State;
- (b) whether this state of affairs has rendered thousands of workers unemployed and jeopardised the export drive for the end products of these two industries; and
- (c) if so, the steps being taken to restore normal conditions in the said two industries in Surat?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Commerce (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) and (b). Reports have been received about closure of some art silk factories due to several reasons resulting in unemployment of some workers, but this does not affect our exports. Regarding Jari industry which concerns the Deptt of Social Security the information may be sought from that Department.

(c) The Export Promotion Scheme for Art Silk has been revised from 1st September, 1965 as a result of which the supply position of yarn is expected to improve. Copper is also allowed to be imported under the Export Promotion Scheme for Jari goods.

Export Houses

*827. Shri P. C. Bofoosh:
Shri P. B. Chakrava-4:

Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

(a) whether the official working group on small-scale industries has suggested that export houses and packing units for forwarding services should be set up in each State on a co-operative basis:

- (b) if so, Government's reaction thereto; and
- (c) what other suggestions have been made by the working group?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shrl Bibudhendra Misra): (a) Yes. Sir.

- (b) The matter is under consideration of the Government.
- (c) The Report of the Working Group covers various aspects of small industry development and the Group has made a large number of recommendations. The Group's report is being printed and as soon as printed copies become available, they will be placed in the Parliament's Library.

Foreigners Employed in Durgapur Steel Plant

*828. Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of foreigners employed in the Durgapur Steel Plant;
- (b) whether the agreement for setting up the Durgapur Sicel Plant contains any provision regarding the employment of top technical personnel;
- (c) if not, how long and how many foreign personnel are proposed to be continued on the plant; and
- (d) the annual expenditure incurred on their salaries, allowances and essential amenities?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) 31.

- (b) No. Sir.
- (c) Efforts are being made to reduce the number of foreign technicians progressively but it is difficult to say precisely how long and how many technicians will be continued.

The number at the end of 1961, 1962, 1963 and 1964 was 81, 71, 57 and 43 respectively as compared to 31 at present.

(d) The expenditure incurred during 1963-64 was Rs. 3·35 million and during 1964-65 Rs. 2·58 million. Expenditure during 1965-66 was estimated at Rs. 1·9 million but is expected to be less as the number of foreign technicians actually employed is now likely to be even less than the number anticipated earlier.

Scarcity of Powdered Milk in Delhi

*829. Shri Bagri: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there is an acute scarcity of powdered milk for children in Delhi;
- (b) whether it is being sold in the black market; and
- (c) if so, the action being taken by Government in this regard?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bibudhendra Misra): (a) The availability of powdered milk for children in Delhi, as in the country generally, is not adequate to meet the increasing demand.

(b) and (c). Suitable action is taken on any specific complaint received regarding the sale of powdered milk above the fair selling prices fixed by the manufacturers. Apart from this, additional capacity for the production of 5000 tonnes per annum of milk foods has been licensed and it is expected that production in the year 1965 will be about 1000 tonnes higher than in the previous year.

Plant for Manufacturing Special Steel

*839. Shri Warlor: Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

(a) whether the proposal submitted by Tatas to set up a plant for manufacturing special steel in India has been approved by Government;

- (b) if so, the broad details thereof;
- (c) the estimated cost the eof;
- (d) whether any foreign firm has been asked to collaborate with the Tatas in setting up the proposed plant; and
- (e) if so, the name of the collaborating firm and the terms of collaboration?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy); (a) and (b). A letter of Intent has been issued to Tata Iron and Steel Co. on 25-8-1965 for the establishment of new industrial undertaking in Bihar for the manufacture of 50,000 tonnes of alloy and special steel per annum.

- (c) Rs. 21 crores.
- (d) and (e). Government have not asked any firm to collaborate with Tata Iron & Steel Co. in this project. No proposals have so far been received by Government in this regard from the party.

रायपुर स्टेशन (दक्षिण पूर्व रेखने) के निकट रेलगाड़ी और मोटर टुक की टक्कर

> श्रीहुकम चन्द्र कछवायः भी प्रकाशवीर शास्त्रीः भी गोकरन प्रसत्दः

भी बागड़ी :

श्रीमीर्थः

भी मत्वारेसः

- He-164

धीलहरी सिंहः भीललम् भवानीः

भी काझी राम गण्तः

भी वारियरः

श्री बटासिहः

*831. र्थीगुलकानः

श्रीवड़े:

भी हरि विष्यु कामतः

भी प्रिय गुप्तः

भी स॰ मो॰ बनर्जी:

श्री प० ला० बारूपाल : श्री प० ह० भील : श्री बी० चं० शर्मा : श्री सरजू पाण्डेय : श्री बाल्मीकी : श्री यात्रिक :

्रश्री राम हरस यादव :

क्या रेलवे मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) वया यह सच है कि 6 सितम्बर, 1965 को दक्षिण-पूर्व रेलबे के रायपुर-नागपुर सेक्शन पर रायपुर-टेशन के निकट एक मालगाड़ी की मोटर ट्रक मे टक्कर हो जाने के कारण ट्रक चूर-चूर हो गया;
- (ख) क्या दुर्घटना की जांच करने का भ्रादेश दिया गया है;
- (ग) यदि हुं तो उसका न्या परिणाम निकला ; ग्रोर
- (४) भविष्य में ऐसी दुर्धटनाएं न हों इसके लिए क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ध्रयवा करने का विचार है?

रेलवे मन्त्रालय में उपगन्त्री (श्री शाम नाम): (क) श्रीर (ख) श्री हा।

- (ग) समिति की रिपोर्ट के धनुसार दुर्घटना का कारण यह था कि मोटर ट्रक के ड्राइबर ने उस समय रेलवे लाइन पार करने की कोशिल की, जब सामले ने गाड़ी झा रही बी।
- (घ) सड़क बाहनों के हाइवरों के दुस्साहर के कारण होने वाली पर्यवनाकों को रोकने के लिए बार्श्याई करना मुख्यता राज्य सरकारों का काम है। फिर भी, सड़क का उपयोग करने वालों को लिखिन करने के लिये रिमों ने प्रवास धारदोलन भी हुस कर दिया है।

Export Promotion Schemes

*832. Shri P. C. Borooah: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have recently tightened the general rules governing export promotion schemes in order to prevent fraudulent practices of over-invoicing and underinvoicing of imports and exports; and
- (b) if so, the modifications made in the rules?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the Houre. [Placed in Library See No. LT-4981]65].

All-India Handicrafts Board

*833. Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there have been several complaints of irregularities in the working of the export promotion activities of the All-India Handicrafts Board, New Delhi;
- (b) whether it is a fact that geveral firms which have no export earnings have not been deregistered or that their deregistration has been inordinately delayed by the All-India Handicrafts Board, New Delhi in order to enable them to obtain import licences and import entitlements;
- (c) whether action has been taken to deregister any firms during the last year and whether the names of such deregistered firms have been sent to various authorities; and
- (d) if so, the names of such firms and the causes which delayed their deregistration?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Deregistration has to be done carefully after making necessary enquiries and giving the firms concerned an opportunity to explain their position. There is no case where deregistration or penal action was delayed at all. Prompt action is being taken in each case.

(c) and (d). Four firms were deregistered in 1964 and 186 firms were deregistered in 1965 up-to-date by the All India Handicrafts Board and their names were intimated by the All India Handicrafts Board to various authorities concerned. The deregistration was on account of several reasons that the firms were reported to have been responsible for complaints of bad quality, or wrong declaration or failing to fulfil legal export and other obligations or late receipts or default in receipts of export earnings or several other reasons sufficient to disqualify and deregister. The Chief Controller of Imports and Exports has also black-listed some of these firms. As final penal action can only be taken after due process of cautioning, show cause notice, hearing and issuing orders for black-listing etc., which is under procers, it will not be possible to give These firms have names. already been put on abeyance i.e., no assistance or imports are allowed to those firms on any account.

It may be stated here that as compared to thousands and thousands of good exporters (the total number of exporters participating in all exports of numerous products of the country being about 3 to 4 lakhs), the number of firms which are deregistered or black-listed is only a small number of defaulters and all defaulters on any account are dealt with and penalised most severely and promptly.

उत्तर रेलवे पर पूछतास्त्र व ग्रारक्षण क्लर्क

भी हुकम भाव कछवाय : भी प्रकाशभीर झाल्जी : श्री गोकरन प्रसाद : भी बागड़ी : *834. भी मीर्य : श्री घत्वारेस :
श्री सहरो सिंह :
श्री सहरो सिंह :
श्री सहारो राम गुप्त :
श्री वारियर :
श्री बूटा सिंह :
श्री बृदा सिंह :
श्री वृदा सिंह :
श्री वृदा सिंह :
श्री वृदा सिंह :
श्री वृद्ध सिंह :
श्री वृद्ध सुंच सुंच :
श्री प० ला० बाल्पाल :
श्री प० ला० बाल्पाल :
श्री प० ह० भील :
श्री वो० चं० हार्मा :
श्री सरजू पाण्डेय :
श्री बाल्मीकी :
श्री याहिक :

•या रेलबे मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा •ररेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि हाल में उत्तर रैलवे में पूछताछ व मारक्षण क्लर्जों की श्रेणी में पदोन्नति के लिये एक परीक्षा हुई थी;
- (ख) क्या यह भी सब है कि इस परीक्षा के फलस्वरूप वरिष्ठ कर्मचारियों के बजाये कनिष्ठ कर्मचारियों की पदोन्नति हो गई है;
- (ग) क्या यह भी सब है कि जिन कम-बारियों की वरिष्ठ होने पर भी पटोन्नति नहीं की गई है उनमें ऐसे भी कम-बारी हैं जिन्हें उनकी सराहनीय सेवा के लिये प्रमाण-पत्न दिये गये हैं;
- (ब) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं: भीर
- (ङ) सरकार ने इस मामले में क्या कार्यवाही की हैया करने का विचार है?
- रेलव मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह) : (क) वी हो ।

- (ख) जी हो । कुछ मामलों में कनिन्ठ कर्मचारियों का नाम पदोन्नति के लिए पेनल में रखा गया है । जिन वरिष्ठ कर्मचारियों कः नाम पेनल में नहीं है, वे चुनाव में घहता न प्राप्त कर सके थे।
 - (ग) जी नहीं।
 - (घ) भौर (ङ). सवाल नहीं उठता।

Loading of Paddy

2739. Shri A. K. Gopalan: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that peddy movements had to be stopped or slowed down due to wagon shortage in many Zones in India;
- (b) if so, the Zones which have been affected most;
- (c) the position of rice movement from Tadepalligudam to Kerala; and
- (d) whether there are enough wagons for movement of paddy?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Sham Nath):
(a) No.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) During the period from January, 1965 to 20th September 1965, a total of 1,856 broad gauge wagons of rice were loaded from Tadepalligudam to Kerala State leaving an outstanding of only 12 wagons which were registered with the Railways on or after 10th September, 1965.
 - (d) Yes.

Passenger and Parcel Traffic on S. E. Railway

2740. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to lay on the Table of the House a statement showing:

(a) the comparative figures for passenger and parcel traffic at Machada and Panchkura Railway Stations on the South Eastern Railway from 1947 to 1964 year-wise (both inward and outward); and

(b) the number of employees (category-wise) who were working there to deal with the traffic in 1947 and in 1964?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha. It is doubtful whether any statistics of as far a date backwards as 1947 would be available.

महाराष्ट्र के लिये टीन और सीमेंट का नियतन

्री भी दे० शि० पाटिल : 2741 रे् भी शिवाजीराव शं० देशमुख :

क्या उद्योग तथा सम्भरण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगें कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि महाराष्ट्र सरकार ने राज्य के टीन भीर सीमेंट के वर्तमान भ्रभ्यंश में वृद्धि किये जाने के सम्बन्ध में केन्द्रीय सरकार से प्रार्थना की है ; भीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उस पर क्या कार्यं-वाही की गई है ?

उद्योग तथा सम्भरम मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री विभूषेन्द्र मिश्र) : (क) ग्रीर (ख) जहां तक सीमेंट का सम्बन्ध है महा-राष्ट्र सरकार ने धपना कोटा बढ़ाने के लिए प्रार्थना की है। लेकिन सीमेंट की वर्तमान कमी को देखते हुए राज्य सरकार की प्रार्थना को स्वीकार करना सम्भव नहीं है। जैसा कि मैंने 3 सितम्बर, 1965 को प्रश्न संख्या 1475 का उत्तर देते समय बाताया या कि सीमेंट की वर्तमान अमता का धाधिक उपयोग करके तथा नए एककों की स्थापना में श्रीद्यता करके सीमेंट के सम्भरण को बढ़ाने के सभी प्रयत्न किए जा रहे हैं।

जहां तक टिन का सम्बन्ध्र है राज्य सरकार से कोई प्रार्थना प्राप्त नहीं हुई है।

दक्षिण-पूर्व रेलवे में महिला टीटी

- 2742. श्री किशन पटनायक : रेलवे मंत्री यह बतागें की कृपा करेंगे कि:
- (क) दक्षिण-पूर्व रेलव में महिला टीटियों की (डिवीजन-वार) संख्या कितनी है;
- (ख) क्या इस बारे में शिकायतें भाई हैं कि उनके लिये पृथक् विश्रामगृहों की व्यवस्था नहीं की गई है; भीर
- 🕞 '(ग) क्या इस बारे में भी शिकायतें घाई हैं कि खर्दा डिबोजन में इन महिला कर्मचारियों को समय पर वर्दियां नहीं दी जातीं ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (हा० राम सुभग सिंह): (क) कोई नहीं।

- (ख) भीर (ग) सवाल नहीं उठता। एकः एसः एमः वीः हाई स्कूल, झासनसील में हिन्दी ग्रम्यापक
- 2743. श्री मधुलिमये: क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि:
- (क) क्या उनका ध्यान रेलवें कमं-चारियों की इस शिकायत की मोर माकवित किया गया है कि पूर्व रेलवे पर एच० एस० एम० पी० हाई स्कूल, भासनसील में हिन्दी प्रध्यापकों के सभाव में हिन्दी भाषी विधा-थियों को कठिनाई का रामना करना पड़ रहा है प्रयात दो-तीन श्रेणियों के विद्याधियों को एक साथ पढ़ाया जाता है ;
- (खा) क्या इसी तरह की शिकायतें रेलवे के ग्रन्य रकलों में पढ़ने वाले छात्रों के भ्रमिभावकों से भी प्राप्त हुई हैं ; भीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो इस मामले में रेमब प्रशासन द्वारा क्या कार्यवाही की जा रही है ?

रेलचे मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (डा॰ राय सुभग सिंह) : (क) उच्चतर माध्य- मिक बहुदेशीय स्कृत, धासनमोल के हिन्दी मापी छात्रों के धिभावकों को धोर से रेलवे को 15,6.1962 का एक संयुक्त प्रतिवेदन मिला था जिसमें वर्तमान दो प्रध्यापकों, जिनमें से एक 250-470 रुपये के धौर दूसरा 170-380 रुपये के धीधकृत वेतन मान में हैं, के धलावा 170-380 रुपये के धिधकृत वेतन मान में दो प्रध्यापकों की व्यवस्था करने की मांग की गयी थी। हिन्दी पढ़ने वाले छात्रों की संख्या कम होने के कारण प्रतिरिक्त पदों का धीजिंद्य नहीं पाया गया।

- (खा) जीनहीं।
- (ग) सबाल नहीं उठता ।

Allotment of Non-ferrous Metals

2744. Shri Eswara Reddy: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) the broad details of the procedure laid down by the Central Government in the matter of allotment of non-ferrous metals to the Small-scale Industries;
- (b) whether the Directorates of Industries in the States have laid down their own procedure in this behalf and whether any Advisory Committees have been constituted for this purpose by them; and
- (c) the control which is exercised by the Controller of Non-ferrous Metals over the distribution and utilization thereof in order to secure uniformity?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply Misra): Bibudhendra (a) Bulk allocation of nonferrous metals. zinc. lead. copper. tin, aluminium and nickel for small scale sector is made by Ministry of Steel and Mines (Department of Mines and Metals) to the Development Commissioner, Smal! Scale Industries, New Delhi every half-year. After ascertaining the demands of each State and based on the past allocations and the availability of each metal, the Development Commissioner makes allotments of the metals to the States Directors of Industries, who make further distribution of the allotment among the small-scale units in their respective States.

- (b) Each State Government has its own procedure for the distribution of non-ferrous metals to the units in its territory. The Government of India are not aware of the details of these procedures. As far as the Government of India are aware, there are no advisory committees in the States for distribution of non-ferrous metals.
- (c) Till recently, i.e. till the issue of the Scarce Industrial Materials (Control) Order, 1965, the non-ferrous metals Controller exercised control only in respect of allotment of imported copper (unwrought). In issuing permits to small scale industrial units for acquisition of such copper, the non-ferrous Metals Controller was guided by the recommendations of the States Directors of Industries, keeping in mind the policy laid down from time to time by the Central Govern-ment in regard to the use of copper for industrial and non-industrial purposes, e.g. it was laid down that allotment of copper for utensil manufacturers should be restricted to those only who had received allotment before or in the period April-September, 1962 and even in respect of such units a cut of 30 per cent in allotment was to be made as compared to their allotment for April-September, 1962. In order to ensure proper utilisation, the States Directors of Industries obtained regular utilisation statements from the industrial units concerned and these are checked and verified by officers under them,

With the issue of the Scarce Industial Materials (Control) Order, 1965, the "Controller" under the Order, will exercise control over the copper, lead, tin and zinc (both imported and indigenous) as described in the Schedule to the Order. The entire 7373

procedure to be followed by the "Controller" is under review of the Governmen.

Allotment of Copper

2745. Shri Eswara Reddy: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any procedure has been worked out for allotting copper which in routine weighments is saved for want of piece weights; and
- (b) whether it is necessary to obtain a permit from the Controller of Non-ferrous Metals in order to get such copper?

The Minister of Supply and Technical Development in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Raghuramaish): (a) Yes. Copper saved in routine weighments is required to be reported to the Controller of Non-Ferrous Metals for allotment.

(b) Yes, it is necessary to obtain a permit from the Controller of Non-Ferrous Metals for sale/acquisition of such residual stocks of copper.

Pension Scheme for Railway Employees

2746. Shri Siddiah: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1617 on the 26th March, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the question of granting pension to the railway employees who retired on or before 1st April, 1957 is being reconsidered; and
- (b) if so, the decision taken thereon?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

Chamarajanagar-Sathyamangalam Railway Line

2747. Shri Siddiah: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government of Mysore have recommended that the Chamarajanagar - Sathyamangalam Railway line on the Southern Railway should be included in the Fourth Five Year Plan; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Sham Nath):
(a) Yes.

(b) The main justification originally for this line was as a link between the North and South metre gauge system. Subsequent examinations vealed that the purpose would be better served by the Salem- Bangalore metre gauge link and construction of this has already been taken This earlier justification, thereup fore, no more remains for the Chamarajanagar-Satyamungalam link. With the very limited funds and resources for new lines in the Fourth Plan it may not be possible to include this proposal therein.

Mineral Deposits in Bankura and Midnapore Districts in West Bengal

| Shri S. C. Samanta; | Shrimati Savitri Nigam: | Shri Subodh Hanada: | Dr. P. N. Khan: | Shri M. L. Dwivedi;

Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Indian Bureau of Mines has made an extensive survey for mineral deposits in the districts of Bankura and Midnapore in West Bengal;
 - (b) if so, the result of the survey;
- (c) whether any mineral is being exploited in those areas;
- (d) if so, which are the exploiting agencies; and

(e) the terms for this exploitation?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) and (b). The Indian Bureau of Mines is carrying out investigations on the tungsten bearing quartz veins in a few selected areas on the border of Bankura and Midnapore Districts in West Bengal. The investigations are at a preliminary stage.

- (c) Yes.
- (d) There are 69 private agencies and one Government of India Undertaking (viz. Hindustan Steel Ltd.) which are engaged in mining of different minerals in the above districts.
- (e) The exploiting agencies are lease/licence holders according to the terms and conditions prescribed under the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, in the case of major minerals and under the West Bengal Minor Minerals Rules, 1959, in the case of Minor minerals.

Besides these, there are leases which were granted by ex-intermediaries whose interests have vested in the State Government under the West Bengal Estates Acquisition Act, 1953. The terms and conditions of such leases are as laid down in the lease deeds. The lessees now pay royalty to the State Government.

पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश तथा उत्तर बिहार में कृविजन्य उद्योग

2749. ∫ श्री विभूति मिश्रः ्श्री क∘ ना∘ तिवारीः

क्या उच्चोगतचा सम्भरण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या सरकार ने पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश तथा उत्तर बिहार में कृषिजन्य उद्योग स्थापित करने की कोई योजना बनाई है; भीर (ख) यदि हां, तो उसकी मुख्य-मृख्यें बातें क्या हैं ?

उद्योग तथा सम्भरण मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (श्री विभूषेत्र मिश्च): (क) ग्रीर (ख). जहां तक उद्योग विभाग का सम्बन्ध है, उत्तर प्रदेश ग्रीर उत्तरी बिहार क्षेत्र में गन्ने की खोई पर प्राधारित लुग्दी का एक एकक स्थापित करने के एक प्रस्ताव पर विचार किया जा रहा है।

चम्पारन जिले (बिहार) में पाया गया पत्थर, चुना तथा कंकड़

> 2750. श्री विभूति मिन्न : श्री क० ना० तिवारी :

क्या इस्पात ग्रीर खान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि बिहार के चम्पारन जिले में चूना, पत्यर तथा कंकड़ प्रचुर माला में पाया जाता है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार ने वाणिज्यिक ब्राधार पर उनका समुचित उप-योग करने के लिये कोई योजना बनाई है; ग्रीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो उसका स्वरूप क्या है?

इस्पात और सान मंत्री (श्री संजीव रेड्डी): (क) से (ग), कंकड़ और येशिमय चूना पत्यर खनन योग्य माला में, जिलों में, विशेषकर लोरिया झरारत तचा न्यांच मिलता है। कालकतूफा के खण्ड तथा चूर्णमय चूना पत्थर गौनाह विकास खण्ड में सिवालिक पहाड़ियों से सम्बद्ध पाए जाते हैं। तथापि यह पर्याप्त मालाओं में प्राप्त नहीं होते जिससे कि एक बड़े पैमाने पर प्रीक्रोगिक उपक्रमों को सहारा मिल सके। वाणिज्य प्रयोग की कोई योजना बनाने का प्रश्न उत्पन्न नहीं होता। रेल की पटरियों का बोहरा बनाया जाना

श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी : भीमती सावित्री निगम : श्री स० चं० सामन्त : श्री सुबोच हंसदा :

क्या रेलवे मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) रेलवे के किन-किन मुख्य सैक्शनों पर रेल की पटरियों के दांहरा बनाये जाने का काम धारम्भ किया गया है, किस हद तक यह काम पूरा हो गया है धीर किस हद तक काम ध्रधूरा है तथा उन सैक्शनों के नाम क्या हैं, जहां यह काम पूरा नहीं हुआ है;
- (ख) रेल की पटरियों को दोहरा बनाने पर ग्रब तक कितना छचं हुमा है; ग्रीर
- (ग) चौथी पंचवर्षीय योजना में क्या लक्ष्य निर्धारित किये गये हैं ?

रेलवे मन्त्रालय में उपमन्त्री (बी शाम नाय): (क) पहली योजना के प्रन्त में भारतीय रेलों की बड़ी लाइन ग्रीर मीटर लाइन की मार्ग किलोमीटर संख्या कमण: 20929 ग्रीर 24566 किलोमीटर थी, जिसमों से 4758 ग्रीर 122 किलोमीटर में दोहरी लाइन थी। दूसरी ग्रीर तीसरी योजनाशों में जिन खण्डों पर दोहरी लाइन भीर बिछायी गयी, उनका ब्यौरा के सम्बन्ध में एक विवरण सदन के पटल पर रखा गया है। [पुस्तकालय में रक्षा गया — बेलिये संख्या एल टी- 4982/65]

- (ख) दूसरी भौर तीसरी योजनाओं में दोहरी लाइन बिछाने पर जो खर्च हो चुका है या जितना खर्च होने का भनुमान है, वह कमकः 115 भौर 245 करोड रुपये है।
- (ग) चौची योजना में जिन खण्डों पर दोहरी लाइन बिखायी जानी है. उनके ब्यौरे के सम्बन्ध में घमी प्रन्तिम निर्णय नहीं हुद्या है। चौची योजना में दोहरी लाइन बिखाने के लिए प्रस्थायों तौर पर लगभग 2740 -किलो-मीटर का लब्य रखा गया है। नेकिन निम्न-

लिखित खण्डो पर दोहरी लाइन बिछाने के बारे में प्रक्रिम कार्रवाई की जा रही है:---

- (i) पूना-दोंड (76 कि॰ मी॰)
- (ii) बिलोचपुरा-मधुरा (49 कि॰ मी॰)
- (iii) गृहर-गुम्मिडिपृष्ठि (90 कि॰ भी०)

Seniority of Clerks Grade II working in N.E. Railway

2752. Shrl Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state;

- (a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry have finalised the question of seniority of clerks grade II working in the North Eastern Railway;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that the claims of seniority of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes candidates according to the roster positions have been denied; and
 - (c) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh); (a) Yes.

- (b) No.
- (c) Does not arise.

Iron and Steel for U.P.

2753. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2130 on the 9th April, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the decision regarding the allotment of iron and steel quota to U.P. has been finalised; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The quantity of iron and steel allotted to Uttar Pradesh during

1965-66 is as follows:-

Prime Steel (Controlled categories) 19,290 tonnes.

Defectives (non-agri. quota), wagons.

Defectives (Agro. quota), 78 wagons.

Pig Iron (before de-control). 62,084 tonnes.(*)

M.S. Billets, 43,200 tonnes.

Indentors can place orders for decontrolled categories of iron and steel without restrictions.

*Includes 8,250 tonnes of imported Pig Iron and 16,640 tonnes of Ingot Moulds.

Geological Survey of U.P.

Nath Pandey: 2754. Shri Vishwa Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be plea ed to state:

- (a) whether the Geological Survey of India has surveyed the whole State of Uttar Pradesh:
- (b) if so, the mineral resources discovered;
- (c) the names of places where discovered: and
- (d) the nature of schemes formulated to exploit them?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). As a result of survey carried out by the Geological Survey of India deposits of limestone in Almora, Dehra Dun and Mirzapur, magnesite in Almora, gypsum in Garhwal, Glass sand in Allahabad and Banda, asbes os in Chamoli, pyrophyllite in Jhansi, steatite in Almora and Chamo!i coal and fire clays in Mirzapur have been recorded.

(d) The limestone deposits in Dehra Dun and Mirzapur District and glasssand in Allahabad and Banda are already being worked.

Schemes for the mining of magnesite deposits in Almora and mining of Mirzapur limestone deposits for fluxgrade limestone have been formulated. It is proposed to supp'y magnesi'e to a refractory plant while limestone from the Mirzapur area is being supplied to the cement factory at Churk and high quality blast furnace grade limestone will be supplied to the steel plants. These are being implemented by the State Government.

Allotment of Cement to U.P.

2755. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Industry Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) the requirement of Uttar Pradesh for cement during 1964-65 and 1965-66;
- (b) the quantity of cement plied to Uttar Pradesh during 1964-65;
- (c) the quantity likely to be supplied during 1965-66?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bihudhendra Misra): (a) to (c). The following figures indicate the requirement of cement indented by the U.P. Government, allotment made and supplies effected to be effected to them (under the state quota):-

Year	Requirement indented	Allotment	Supplies	
1964-65	17,09,137	6,57,450	4,96,367	
1965-66*	13,73,953 (April to	5,25,150 (April to	2,40,497 (April to	
	December, 1965)	December, 65)	August, 1965)	

the period January March, 1966 and supplies to be made from September to onwards will depend on the then prevailing demand and supply position.

*Quotas are allotted on a quantity basis and the quota to be allotted for

Dehradun-Dak Pathar Rail Line 2756. Shri Bagri: Shri Surendra Pal Singh:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the survey of the 30-mile railway line from Dehradun to the Yamuna Hydel Project at Dak Pathar has been completed; and
- (b) if so, when the construction of the railway line will be completed?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Sham Nath): (a) and (b). The U.P. State Government had requested for a railway line from Dehra Dun to Kalsi via Pathar mainly for the transport of construction materials for the Yamuna Hydel Project. They had also suggested that the area promised considerable potential for industrial development and, as such, the railway line should be built as a branch line. The engineering and traffic surveys were undertaken at the cost of the U.P. State Government making it clear to them that the line can only be considered as a Private Siding, to be built at the cost of the State Government, leaving it open for the Centre to take over the line later, if traffic developments in the area justify such a course. The engineering survey has been completed by the Northern Railway while the traffic survey is still in progress. The question of construction of the line arises only after the survey reports are examined by Railway Board and the cost of construction is accepted by the U.P. State Government. It is, therefore, premature to think of the completion date at this stage.

Textile Policy

2757 Shri P. C. Boroosh: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Indian Cotton Mills Federation at their Seventh Annual 1454(ai) LS-3. General Meeting demanded a re-orientation of the Government's textile policy;

- (b) if so, the specific modifications in the Government's policy which were demanded; and
 - (c) Government's reaction thereto?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Commerce (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) to (c). The Chairman of the Federation in his speech delivered at the 7th Annual General Meeting of the Federation held on 17th June, 1965 appealed to Government to "reorient their entire textile policy with a view to enabling India to reach a position of world leadership in exports of cotton textiles in the next 5 to 10 years."

The specific suggestions made in the speech were:—

- (a) Raising of the targets of cloth production for the mill industry instead of pegging mill cloth production and alloting the increased demand for cloth to the de-centralised sector of handlooms and powerlooms.
- (b) Removal of qualitative and quantitative restrictions on production of particular types of mill-cloth like printed fabrics.
- (c) Removal of the disparity in excise duty leviable on small sized powerloom factories vis-vis mills.
- (d) Removal of the partial statutory price-and-production control on mill cloth and extension of the rates of excise duty applicable to controlled categories to the entire cloth production by the mill industry.
- (e) Retention of the Managing Agency system of management for the Cotton Textile Industry.

(f) Inclusion of textile Industry along with certain new industries of national importance to which a rebate of 10 per cent in tax is available and also in the list of industries to which development rebate has been allowed at the rate of 25 per cent.

The suggestion have been taken note of by the Government. The textile policies of the Government have been evolved in best national interest after considering all these and other aspects continously from time to time.

Re-organisation of N.C.D.C.

2758. Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to re-organise the National Coal Development Corporation;
- (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and (c) the lines on which it will be reorganised?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) to (c). Some re-organisation of the administrative set up of the Corporation was made in July last. This was done in

order to effect better coordination in the formulation of plans and their implementation. The Area General Managers have been delegated wider powers in their respective spheres and they, in their turn, have sub-delegated adequate powers to senior sub-ordinate officers under them so that at the colliery level, decisions can be taken quickly and work executed smoothly and efficiently.

Export of Dried Chillies to Ceylon

2759. Shri Kolla Venkaiah: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) the quantities of dried chillies exported to Ceylon during the years 1963-64 and 1964-65;
- (b) the cost of exported chillies realised during the respective years; and
- (c) the reasons for the difference of costs realised, if any?

The Minister of Commerce (Sari Manubhai Shah); (a) and (b). The quantity and value of chillies exported to Ceylon during 1963-64 and 1964-65 are as follows:—

			Quantity (Kilograms)	Value (in Rupees)	
1963-64				11.9 million	Rs. 2.75 crores
1964-65				11.8 million	Rs. 2 · 48 crores

(c) From November, 1964 onwards the Cooperative Wholesale Establishment, an organisation owned by the Ceylon Government has assumed the role of monopoly importer displacing the individual importers in Ceylon; this Organisation did not purchase chillies from any country between January-April 1965 managing their requirements from the purchases made prior to December, 1964. Moreover, internal as well as export prices were generally lower in 1964-65 as compared with the previous year.

Manufacture of Machinery for Steel Plants

2760. Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) the portion of machinery for the Steel Plants being manufactured in India at present; and
- (b) the time by which India is likely to be self-sufficient in this respect?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bi-budhenda Misra): (a) About one-fourth to one-third of the total equipments at present needed for steel plants is available from indigenous sources.

(b) By the Fifth Plan period.

विल्ली मुख्य स्टेशन पर रेलगाड़ी के एक डिक्बे में एक बच्चे का शव पाया जाना

2761. श्री बागड़ी : क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि 27 जून, 1965 को दिल्ली मुख्य स्टेशन पर रेलगाड़ी के एक डिब्बे में एक बच्चे का शव पाया गया; फ्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, क्या भ्रपराधी पकड़ गये हैं भीर उनके विरुद्ध क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (डा० राम सुभगसिह): (क) जीहां,तीसरेदर्जे केएक डिब्बे केफ्रणंपर रस्सीसे बंधा एक बिस्तर पड़ाथाजिसमें सेएक बच्चे काशव बरामद हुन्ना।

(ख) दिल्ली की सरकारी रेलवे पुलिस ने भारतीय दंड संहिता की धारा 302 प्रधीन एक मामला दर्ज कर लिया है और उसकी जांच-पड़ताल हो रही है। धव तक कोई धपराधी नहीं पकड़ा गया है।

रेलगाड़ी के डिक्बों में शब

2762 भी बागड़ी : क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) 1 ध्रप्रैल, 1965 से ले कर 31 जुलाई, 1965 तक की ध्रवधि में देश भर में सब रेलवे में (डिजिजन-बार) रेलगाड़ियों के डिब्बों में कितने शव पाये गये;
- (ख) इन में से कितने शव पहचाने गये भीर कितने पहचाने नहीं गये;
- (ग) कितने भ्रपराधी पकड़े गये भीर उनके विरुद्ध क्या कार्यवाही की गई; भीर
- (घ) बाकी मामलों में सरकार ने क्या कार्यवाही की है ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (बार राम सुभग सिंह): (क) से (घ). एक बयान जिसमें प्रावश्यक मूचना दी गयी है सदन के पटल पर रखा गया है। [पुस्तकालय में रखा गया, वेखिये संख्या एल० टी०-4988/65]

Fruit Preservation Industry

Shri Surendra Paj Singh:
Shri Yashpai Singh:
Shri S. C. Samanta:
Shri Subodh Hansda:
Shri M. L. Dwivedi:

Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) the export targets of vegetable and fruit products in the Fourth Five Year Plan;
- (b) whether any recommendations have been made by the Central Fruit Products Advisory Committee to improve the conditions of fruit preservation industry and to introduce compulsory pre-shipment inspection for exportable fruit and vegetable products in order to enhance our export of these food articles; and
- (c) if so, whether any steps have been taken by Government to implement these recommendations?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) No export targets for vegetable and fruit products have been fixed by the Government in the Fourth Five Year Plan.

- (b) Yes, ,Sir. The Central Fruit Products Advisory Committee has recommended the introduction of compulsory preshipment inspection of fruit and vegetable products before export, within the framework of Fruit Products Order, 1955.
- (c) The Directorate of Marketing and Inspection under the Agricultural Marketing Adviser is preparing a scheme for this purpose on the basis of the above recommendation and the scheme will be finalised in consulta-

7387

tion with the Processed Foods Export Promotion Council.

Bokaro Coalfield

Shri S. C. Samanta: 2764. Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri M. L. Dwivedi:

Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Assessment of Resources Committee of the Coal Council has submitted its fourth report about the Bokaro coalfield; and
- (b) if so, the quantitative and qualitative pattern of resources of coal found in the coalfield?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Mysore Iron and Steel Works

2765. Maharajkumar Vijaya Ananda: Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the entire expansion programme of Mysore Iron and Steel Works has been completed;
 - (b) if not, the reasons therefor; and
- (c) the steps taken to keep pace with the expansion work?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) The expansion programme to increase the production of mild steel has been completed. The conversion to alloy steel making, which was a later development is still going on.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Copper Ore

2766. Shri P. C. Borocah: Shri P. R. Chakraverti:

Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state:

(a) whether prospecting for copper is on in different regions;

- (b) if so, the details of the prospecting programme; and
- (c) the estimated reserve of copper ore in different regions as assessed on the basis of the explorations done so far?

The Minister of Steel and Mines (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) About 43 investigations for prospecting reserves of copper ore are to be taken up by the Geological Survey of India during October, 1965 to September, 1966 while the Indian Bureau of Mines will concentrate its activities on exploration at the Kolihan and Akwali sections of the Khetri copper belt and the Bhagoni deposit of the Dariba belt in Rajasthan and at the Rakha, Roam-Sideshwar and Tamapahar blocks in Singhbhum (Bihar).
- (c) The reserves of copper ore estimated in the various regions are as under:—
 - (i) Madhan-Kudhan Section of Khetri belt in Rajasthan

belt in Rajasthan
(ii) Dariba Nala Block Rajasthan

(iii) Dariba Mine Blo-

ck (Rajasthan)
(iv) Roam Sideshwar
in Singhbhum
(Bihar)

(v) Tamapahar Singhbhum (Bihar)

(vi) Mamandur in South Arcot (Madras) 286.2 million tonnes with 0.8% to 1.2% copper.

o.2 million tonnes with 2.6% copper. o.36 million tonnes with 2.4% copper.

with 2.4% copper. 21 million tonnes with 1 to 2% copper.

3 million tonnes with above 1% copper. 0.9 million tonnes with 0.63% copper.

Export of Pepper

2767. Shri Heda: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state the reaction of Government to the main recommendations made by the National Council of Applied Economic Research in their report on the prospects of export of Pepper?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): The Government are examining the various suggestions contained in the Report in consultation with the authorities concerned.

Export of Iron Ore to Poland

- 2768. Shri Raghunath Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that Poland has proposed to buy 400,000 tons of Indian iron-ore; and
- (b) if so, the details of the proposal?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubai Shah): (a) Contract for supply of 400,000 tons of iron ore has already been concluded by the Minerals and Metals Trading Corporation.

(b) It will not be in the business interest of the M.M.T.C. to disclose the details.

Derailment of Wagons Containing Kerosene Oil near Barauni

2769.
Shri Kindar Lal:
Shri Vishwanath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that 7 tank wagons containing Kerosene Oil were derailed near village Bihat (Barauni) on the 10th July, 1965 resulting in damage to all the wagons and the leakage of oil contents therefrom;
 - (b) if so, the causes therefor; and
- (c) the action taken by Government in the matter?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Sham Nath): (a) Of the 7 derailed wagons 2 contained Kerosene Oil, 3 light diesel oil and 1 furnace oil. The 7th wagon was empty. The contents of 5 wagons leaked.

(b) The accident was caused by sinkage of track. (c) Increasingly greater stress is being laid on the maintenance of track

उत्तर रेलवे पर मालगाड़ी का पटरी से उत्तर जाना

2770. ्री किंग्बर लाल : भी विश्वनाथ पाण्डेय :

क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि 8 घगस्त, 1965 को उत्तर रेलवे की मेन लाइन के मुरादाबाद-नजीबाबाद सेक्शन पर स्योदारा और चकराजमल रेलवे स्टेशनों के बीच 571 घप माल गाड़ी का एक डिब्बॉ पटरी में उत्तर गया:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उसका क्या कारण या; ग्रीर
- (ग) इसके परिणामस्वरूप कितनी रेलवे सम्पत्ति की हानि हुई ?

रेलवे-मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (भी ताम नाव): (क) दुर्घटना 7 घगस्त, 1965 को हुई।

- (ख) दुर्घटनाके कारण कापनालगाया जारहाहै।
- (ग) रेल-सम्पत्ति को लगभग 4,595रुपये के नुकतान का धनुमान है

Import Substitution

2771. Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) what is our maintenance imports for different sectors during the last 5 years;
- (b) what positive efforts have been made for import substitution; and
- (c) whether Government are aware that many items which are manufactured or could easily be manufactured in India continue to be imported?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bibudhendra Misra); (a) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4984/85.]

- (b) Positive efforts for import substitution so far made broadly include (1) production of machinery and components from indigenous raw material, (2) Production of such raw materials indigenously, (3) production of components from imported raw materials wherever raw materials are not indigenously available, (4) production of basic chemicals from indigenous raw materials or wherever raw materials are not available from imports and (5) changes in production process or in manufacturing standards to make use of indigenous materials instead of imported raw materials, to the extent possible.
- (c) The import policy of the Government is designed to reduce imports of items to the barest minimum wherever such items are available indigenously in adequate quantity and of reasonable quality. If specific instances where imports can be stopped or curtailed are brought to Government's notice, these will be carefuly examined.

Small-Scale Units

2772. Shr! Raghunath Singh: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of industrial enterprises registered as small-scale units as on the 31st December, 1964 and the main categories of these units; and
- (b) whether mining units have also been registered as small-scale units?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bibudhendra Misra): (a) As on the 31st December, 1964, 91,052 industrial enterprises, pertaining to the main categories listed below, were regis-

tered as small-scale units by the State Directors of Industries:—

- 1. General Engineering Industries.
- 2. Electrical Engineering Industries
- Electronics such as ; radio components, bulbs, condensors etc.
- 4. Chemical Industries.
- 5. Plastics.
- Ceramics.
- 7. Food Processing Industries.
- 8. Leather based Industries.
- 9. Wood based Industries.
- 10. Rubber based Industries.
- Miscellaneous groups such as stationery, printing press, cardboards etc.
- (b) Mining units have not been registered as small-scale units.

ऊन का व्यापार-चिह्न

2773. श्री श्रोंकार लाल बेरवा: क्या वाणिज्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या सरकार ने हाल ही में ऊन का कोई नया व्यापार-चिक्क मंजूर किया है;
- (ख) धन तक मंजूर किये गये धन्य ब्यापार चिह्नों का ब्यौरा क्या है तथा क्रिक् स्थानों पर इन ब्यापार-चिह्नों वाली ऊन को पसन्द किया जाता है;
- (ग) क्या विदेशों में नये व्यापार-चिह्न वाली ऊन पसन्द की गई है; भौर
- (घ) यदि हां, तो उन देशों के नाम क्या हैं?

वाजिज्य मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री सें० वें० रामस्वामी): (क) ऊन के विषय में कोई नया प्रमाणीकरण व्यापार-चिह्न मंजूर नहीं किया गया है।

(ख) से (घ). किये गये ऊन के विषय में पंजीकृत व्यापार चिक्कों के अनुसार जानकारी तूरन्त उपलब्ध नहीं है। ऊन का निर्यात किस्म नियंत्रण ग्रौर लदान पूर्व निरीक्षण की एक प्रणाली के ग्रनसार किया जाता है। इसके भ्रन्तर्गत ऊन की किसी भी खेप को निर्यात करने की ग्रनुमति तब तक नहीं दी जाती जब तक कि उसका वर्गीकरण कराके उस पर एगमार्क का चिह्न नहीं लगवा श्रीर वर्गीकरण श्रधिकारियों दिया जाता द्वारा इस आशय का प्रमाणपत्र नहीं दे दिया जाता । ऊन का वर्गीकरण करने के महत्वपूर्ण केन्द्र ये हैं : ब्यावर, बीकानेर, जामनगर, केकड़ी, बम्बई, मद्रास भ्रौर राजकोट, सोवियत[.] रूस, संयक्त राज्य ध्रमरीका, ब्रिटेन, फांस, नीदरलैण्ड भीर पश्चिमी जर्मी म्रादि में वर्गीकृत भारतीय ऊन की बहुत मांग है।

ोरीबन्दर स्टेशन (मध्य रेलबे) पर महारानी विक्टोरिया की मूर्ति

2774. भी मधु लिमये : क्या रेलबें मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि मध्य रेलवे के महाप्रबंधक ने हाल ही में बोरीबन्दर स्टेशन पर महारानी विक्टोरिया की मूर्ति को ठकवा दिया है:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो मूर्ति उस स्थान से कब हटाई जायेगी:
- (ग) क्या उसके स्थान पर कोई ग्रन्थ मृति लगाने का विचार है; भीर
- (ष) सभी रेलवे खण्डों में विदेशियों की सब मृतियां कब तक हटादी जायेंगी?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (डा० राम सुभग सिंह) : (क) जी हां।

- (खा) भ्रभीतक इस मूर्तिको हटानेका कोई विचार नहीं है।
 - (ग) जी नहीं।

(घ) इस तरह का कोई सुझाव नहीं है। Passes for Crossing Railway Bridges at Balasore

2775. Shri Jena: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Passes are required to be shown to the Railway Protection Force at certain places particularly at Balasore town to cross Bridge Nos. 263 and 264 on the South Eastern Railway by the villagers who cross them several times a day for their daily transaction of business;
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor:
- (c) whether Government have received any request from these villagers for finding a permanent solution of the matter; and
- (d) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) to (d). No. Railway guarding Protection Force are not the Bridges. However, the correct position is that during Chinese aggression, State Police armed guards were mounted on bridge Nos. 263 and 264 and they did not allow the villagers to cross the bridges withauthority. Thereupon, villagers approached the District authorities when passes were issued to bona fide villagers. Similarly, in the present emergency, the State Police are Government Railway guarding Bridge No. 263 only. Similar practice, as adopted during Chinese aggression, is being followed now also. Passes are being issued on request to bona fide villagers by the District authorities of the State Government.

Double Platforms on S. E. Railway

2776. Shri Jena: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

 (a) whether there is a proposal to provide certain Railway stations with double platforms on the South Eastern Railway;

- 7395
- (b) if so, the names of those stations:
- (c) the number of stations on the said Railway which have got R.M.S. offices at present and the number of R.M.S. offices which are going to be constructed or are in the process of being constructed:
- (d) whether repeated requests have been made by the D.P.T. of Orissa to the Railway authorities for providing a building at the Bhadrak Railway Station, South Eastern Railway for opening a R.M.S. office; and
- (e) if so, the reaction of the Railway Authorities to such a request?
- The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) Yes, there are proposals for providing certain Railway stations with additional platform on S.E. Railway.
- (b) A statement is placed in the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4985/65].
- (c) 5 stations are already provided with R.M.S. building and 3 more stations are proposed to be provided with the same.
 - (d) Yes.
- (e) The request for providing a R.M.S. building at Bhadrak has already been accepted and necessary estimatehas been sanctioned. The work is however linked up with the remodelling of the Bhadrak station and will be taken as soon as possible.

Retrenchment of Staff under D.B.K. Railway Administration

- 2777, Shri S. M. Banerjee; Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the staff who were locally recruited under D.B.K. Railway Administration are being retrenched;
- (b) if so, the number of such staff and the reasons for their retrenchment; and
- (c) the steps taken to absorb them against permanent vacancies?

- The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh); (a) Yes, except staff who subsequently got selected by Railway Service Commission.
- (b) The total number of class III staff liable for retrenchment is 652. The reason for retrenchment is that they have not qualified through Railway Service Commission for appointment on the open line Railways as required under the extant rules.
- (c) All locally recruited staff have been told that they should apply to Railway Service Commissions in reference to advertisements and such of them who are selected will be absorbed against regular vacancies in due course in their turn.

Kalmassery Machine Tool Factory in Kerala

2778. Shri Warior: Shri Vasudevan Nair:

Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 836 on the 27th August, 1965 and state:

- (a) the nature of action being taken to expand the Kalmassery Machine Tool factory in Kerala State; and
- (b) by what date production will start as a result of such expansion?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bibudhendra Misra): (a) The cost estimates are under examination with particular reference to the foreign exchange required for import of capital equipment.

(b) About two years from the date the scheme is finally cleared and foreign exchange released.

House Rent Allowance to Railway Employees in Olavakkot Division, Southern Railway

2779. Shri Vasudevan Nair:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the

Railway employees in Olavakkot Division, Southern Railway are not getting House Rent Allowance;

- (b) if so, the reasons therefor;
- (c) whether Government have received any representation from the employees in this regard; and
 - (d) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) No. All Railway employees working within the qualified cities of Olavakkot Division, Southern Railway, are getting House Rent Allowance.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) A representation from certain staff working outside the municipal limits of the qualified city of Palghat has been received.
- (d) The matter is under the examination of the Railway Administration.

रतलाम में रेलवे ग्रस्पताल

2780. ्थी हुकम चन्द कक्ष्याय :

क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि रतनाम के रेलवे ग्रस्पताल में जाने वाले रोगियों से परिचय-पत्र मांगे जाते हैं:
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि उस स्टेशन पर काम करने वासे कर्मचारियों को कोई परिचय-पल नहीं दिये गये हैं;
- (ग) क्या उस प्रस्पताल में बाक्टरों की लापरवाही के कारण कुछ रोगियों के मरने के समाचार मिले हैं; ग्रौर
- (च) यदि हां, तो इस सम्बन्ध में क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (डा० राम सुभग सिंह) : (क) जी नहीं ।

- (ख) सवाल नहीं उठता ।
- (ग) जिन तीन मामलों में लापर-वाही के भारोप लगाये गये थे, उनकी आंच-पड़ताल करने से पता चला है किभारोप सही नहीं थे।
 - (घ) सवाल नहीं उठता।

रतलाम के रेलवे कर्मवारी

2781. **्री हुकम चन्द कछ्या**य : भी बढ़ें :

क्या रेलचे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि रतलाम के 70 प्रतिशत रेलवे कर्मचारी नगर में रहते हैं जहां से रेलवे घस्पताल लगभग दो मील की दूरी पर स्थित है;
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि इन कर्म-चारियों की चिकित्सा के लिये नगर में कोई रेलवे डिस्पेन्सरी नहीं है;
- (ग) यदि नहीं, तो क्या सरकार का विचार उनके लिये नगर में डिस्पेन्सरी खोलने का है; भौर
- (च) यदि नहीं, तो उसके क्या कारण हैं ?

रेलवे जंजालय में राज्य-जंजी (डा॰ राम पुनग सिंह): (क) जी नहीं। रतलाम में नियुक्त कुल 5500 रेल कर्म-जारियों में से लगभग 1900 के पास रेलवे क्वार्टर हैं। रेलवे बस्ती में चिकत्सा-सुविधाएं उपलब्ध हैं जो शहर से एक मीम के प्रन्दर है। रेलवे स्टेशन के पास एक प्रीवधालय है जो शहर के बाह्य प्रवास (Outskirts) से कुछ ही फलाँग की दूरी पर है।

- (खा) जी हां।
- (ग) जी नहीं।
- (घ) ऊपर माग (क) के उत्तर में जो कुछ कहा गया है, उसे देखते हुए शहर में श्रोषधालय खोलने का ग्रीचित्य नहीं है।

Expansion of Durgapur Steel Plant

2782. Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the Minister of Steel and Mines be pleased to state.

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Heavy Engineering Corporation offered to supply 25,000 tonnes of equipment required for the expansion of the Durgapur Steel Works but orders for only 14,500 tonnes were placed with them; and
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Steel and (Shri Sanjiva Reddy): (a) and (b). Certain discussions were held between representatives of the British Consortium which is going to assist the Government of India in the IV Plan expansion of the Durgapur Steel Plant and representatives of the Heavy Engineering Corporation, Ranchi, earlier this year regarding the quantity of equipment that Corporation could supply for the Durgapur expansion during the IV Plan. These discussions were of a preliminary nature and apparently the Corporation offered to supply a larger quantity of equipment than was thought possible by the British Consortium. No firm decision, however, has been taken yet about the actual tonnage which will be supplied by the equipment which can be supplied in any event, it will be ensured that any equipment which can be supp'led in time by the Heavy Engineering Corporation or other Indian manufacturers is not imported.

Enquiry into Affairs of a Mill in Kanpur

2783. Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the

Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government of Uttar Pradesh have requested the Union Government to institute an inquiry into the affairs of a mill in Kanpur and to run the Company by appointing a Controller; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Commerce (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy); (a) and (b). An Investigation Committee has been appointed on 7-9-1965 under the Industries (Development & Regulation) Act, 1951 for the purpose of making an enquiry into the affairs of the Muir Mills Co. Ltd., Kanpur, in consultation with the Government of Uttar Pradesh.

Production of Cinema Arc Carbon

2784. Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the National Physical Laboratory has been able to fabricate cinema arc carbon by a new method and with indigenous raw material; and
- (b) if so, the reasons for giving a licence to a foreign firm to supply almost the entire requirements of this article of the country instead of getting it manufactured by this new process through an Indian firm?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bibudhendra Misra): (a) and (b). The Council of Scientific & Industrial Research have, no doubt, developed a process on laboratory scale, for manufacturing cinema are carbons. Negotiations with Indian parties for the commercial utilisation of this process have not yet been finalised.

In the meantime considerable imports of this item have to be allowed to meet the country's requirements estimated at 12 million pairs requir-

ing a capacity of 18 million pairs by 1965-66. Six firms have been licensed for manufacturing cinema are carbons among whom only one firm i.e. M/s. Union Carbide India Ltd., who are licensed for a capacity of 6 million pairs have progressed to establish the unit. This amounts to 25 per cent of the estimated demand at the end of the 4th plan. Their scheme was approved at a time when C.S.I.R. had not established even pilot production. The other firms have been advised to manufacture the item with C.S.I.R. know-how.

Railway Co-operative Society at Bangalore

2785. Shri H. C. Linga Reddy: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) when the Railway Co-operative Society started functioning at Bangalore for the use of the railway employees; and
- (b) the financial assistance given to this society by Government?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) There are two Railway Employees' Consumers Cooperative Societies in Bangalore—one at Bangalore Cattonment. These two Societies started functioning from 17-10-1953 and 28-10-1964 respectively.

(b) Information is being collected.

Marshalling Yard of Baiappanahalli

.2786. Shri H. C. Linga Reddy: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount so far spent on the Marshalling Yard of Baiappanahalli on the Southern Railway;
- (b) the extent of damage caused to the said yard due to the recent heavy rains; and
- (c) the action taken to repair the said damage?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Sham Nath):
(a) An amount of Rs. 42.6 lakhs had been spent on the Marshalling Yard at Baiappanahalli up to end of June. 1965.

(b) and (c). No damage was caused to the Marshalling Yard at Baipppanahalli during the recent heavy rains. Some damage, however, was caused to the transhipment yard at this station during the 1964 monsoons. About Rs. 18,000 were spent in repairing these rain damages.

Manufacture of Heavy Plates and Vessels

2787. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik: Will the Minister of Industry and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to set up a Plant for the manufacture of Heavy Plates and Vessels at Wardha (Maharashtra); and
- (b) if so, the proposed out-lay of the project and the time-schedule thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Industry and Supply (Shri Bibudhendra Misra): (a) and (b). Government have a proposal to set up a plant for the manufacture of Heavy Plates and Vessels, but the details regarding the location of the project, its capital out-lay, etc. have not been finalised so far.

पूर्वोत्तर रेलवे में स्टेशन मास्टरों तथा सहायक स्टेशन मास्टरों का प्रशिक्तन

2788. भी गोकरन प्रसाद : न्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि पूर्वीसर रेलवे के प्रत्येक स्टेशन मास्टर तथा सहायक स्टेशन मास्टर के लिये नवीकर पाठ्यकम के लिये मूजफ्फरपुर स्थित प्रशिक्षण केन्द्र में जाना सावस्थक होता है, हालांकि जिला मुख्यालय में भी प्रशिक्षण की व्यवस्था होती है;
 - (ब) यदि हां, तो पास होने के लियें

कम से कम कितने प्रतिशत नम्बर लेना धा— वश्यक होता है ; भौर

7403

(ग) क्या इस विषय में रेलवे बोर्ड की धोर से भी कोई ब्रादेश जारी किये गये हैं?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (डा॰ राम सुनग सिंह) : (क) जी हां।स्टेशन मास्टरों/सहायक स्टेशन मास्टरों के पुनश्चर्या प्रशिक्षण के लिए जिला मुख्यालयों में कोई भ्यवस्था नहीं है।

- (खा) म्रन्तिम परीक्षा में पास होने के लिए हर पर्चें में कम से कम 60 प्रतिशत नम्बर पाना जरूरी है।
 - (ग) जी नहीं।

पविवास रेलवे के बिरार और सफाना स्टेशनों के बीच क्लंग स्टेशन

2789 श्री बसवन्तः क्या रेलवे मंत्रीयह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या पश्चिम रेलके के बिरार धौर सफाला स्टेशनों के बीच बैतरणा नामक फ्लैगस्टेशन के सम्बन्ध में घन्तिम निर्णय किया जा चुका है; धौर
 - (क) यदि हां, तो इसका व्यौरा क्या है

रेलवे मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (भी साम नाव): (क) धौर(वा). सुख सुविधा के धाधार पर यात्री यातायात के लिए विरार धौर सफाला स्टेशनों के बीच 'वेतरना स्लाक हट' खोसने का निश्चय किया गया है ।

पश्चिम रेलवे से कहा गया है कि वह इस मामले में घागे कार्रवाई करे ।

नमकागार (सास्ट पान्स)

2790. भी बसवन्तः स्या उद्योग तवा संभव्य मंत्री यह बताने की क्रुपा करेंगे कि :

(क) विभिन्न राज्यों में नमकागारो

(सारुट पान्स) के लिये पट्टे पर दी गई भूमि के लिये प्रति एकड़ किस दर पर किराया लिया जा रहा है ;

7404

- (ख) क्या नमक बनाने वाली सहकारी संस्थाओं को इस सम्बन्ध में उतनी ही रियायत दी जा रही है ;
- (ग) क्या यह सच है कि महाराष्ट्र में किराये की दरों में तिगुनी वृद्धि कर दी गई है ; ग्रीर
- (घ) यदि हां, तो इसके क्याकारण हैं?

उद्योग तथा संभरण मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (बी विभूषेण मिख): (क) केन्द्रीय सरकार की भूमिसे सम्बन्धित एक विवरण सभा— पटल पर रखा गया है। [पुस्तकालय में रखा गया, वेखिये संख्या एल० टी० 4986/65]

- (खा) जी हां, जैसा कि विवरण से स्पष्ट है ।
- (ग) जी नहीं, जहां तक केन्द्रीय सरकार
 की जमीनों का सम्बन्ध है।
 - (भ) प्रश्नहीं नहीं उठता।

D.B.K. Railway Line

Shri Chandak:
Shri Radhelal Vyas:
Shri Bhanu Prakash Singh:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the D.B.K. railway line has been constructed keeping in view the heavier load of carrying equipment for the steel plant at Bailadilla;
- (b) if so, whether this fact was brought to the notice of the Anglo-American Consortium; and
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Sham Nath): (a) to (c). The primary object of con-struction of the D.B.K. Railway line (Bailadilla-Kottavalasa) is the transport of ore from Bailadilla for export through Visakhapatnam. Main line standard has been adopted for this which is adequate for this heavy ore movement. The equipment for the Steel Plants at Bhilai and Rourkela. etc., were carried from the ports over the existing Main Lines and as such the Bailadilla-Kottavalasa line, built to the same standard should also be adequate for such movement of steel plant equipment, if required. Aff relevant data with regard to the Bailadilla-Kottavalasa new line had been furnished to the Anglo-American Consortium.

पाकिस्तान से मञ्जलियों का प्रायात

2792. श्री श्रॉकारलाल बेरबाः स्या बाजिज्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे किः

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि पाकिस्तान ने भारत को किये जाने वाले मछलियों के निर्यात पर प्रतिबन्ध लगा दिया है ; भौर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस मामले में क्या वैकल्पिक व्यवस्था की गई है ?

वाणिण्य मंत्री (भी मनुमाई शाह)
(क) ज्ञात हुमा है कि पाकिस्तान ने भारत
को किये जाने वाले सभी वस्तुमों के निर्वात
पर, जिसमें मछली भी सम्मिलित है, प्रति—
बन्ध लगा दिया है। मारत सरकार ने भी
10 सितम्बर, 1965 से पाकिस्तान के साथ
होने वाले व्यापार पर पूर्ण प्रतिबन्ध लगा
दिया है।

(ख) मछनी मंभरण की समस्या हल करने के लिये सरकारी क्षेत्र में एक सरस्य विषणन निगम की स्थापना की गयी है। राजस्थान में क्लोराइट का पाया जाना

^{2793.} ्रश्ची रतम लाल: श्री मुलेश्वर मीना:

क्या इस्पात भीर कान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि राजस्थान के डूंगरपुर जिले के माण्डव गांद में फुलोराईट की खोज की जा रही है भीर बहांपर इसके बढी माला में मिलने की संभावना है:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार इसे निकालने की लिये कोई योजना सना रही है ; भौर
 - (ग) इसका व्योग स्याहै ?

इस्पात तथा जान मंत्री (श्री संजीव रेड्डी): (क) सं (ग): मूचना एकल की जारही है भीर उसे सदन के कामने रखा जायगा।

उदयपुर-हिम्मतनगर रेलवे लाइन

2794. **्री श्री एतन लाल**ः भी मुलेश्वर मीनाः

क्या रेलचे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या उदयपुर-हिम्मतनगर रेलवे लाईन (पिष्यम रेलवे) का कार्य, जे: विस-म्बर, 1965 तक पूरा हो जाना चाहिये या, निष्यत समय में पूरा हो जायेगा; धीर
- (ख) यदि नहीं, तो इसके पूरा होने में भौर कितना समय लगने की संभावना है ?

रेल मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (भी शाय नाव): (क) जी हो।

(स) सबाल नहीं उठता ।

रतलाम-बुगोपुर रेसवे लाइन

7407

2795. श्री रतन लाल: श्री घुलेश्वर मीना:

क्या **रेलवे म**ंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) रतलाम दुर्गापुर रेलवे लाईन बरास्ता बांसवाड़ा (राजस्थान) का सर्वे-क्षण कार्य कब प्रारम्भ किया जायेगा :
- (ख) क्या सरकार ने यह रेलवे लाईन बनाने का निर्णय कर लिया है : ग्रीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो रेलवे लाईन बनाने का कार्य कब ग्रारम्भ होने की सम्भावना है?

रेल मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (श्री शाम नाष): (क) इस समय इस लाईन के लिये सर्वेक्षण करने का कोई विचार नहीं है।

(ख) ग्रीर (ग) सवाल नहीं उठता।

Report of Indian Trade Delegation on Basic Chemicals

2797. Shri Shree Narayan Das: Will the Minister of Commerce be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Indian Trade Delegation sponsored by the Basic Chemicals, Pharmaceuticals and Soaps Export Promotion Council has submitted any report after visiting some of the Eastern and South Eastern countries;
- (b) if so, the important suggestions and recommendations made therein;
- (c) whether the suggestion to establish show rooms in places like Tokyo and Osaka has been accepted?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A summary of the recommendations of the Delegation is given below:

SUMMARY

 Indian Exporters should utilise the presence of Indian overseas for the purpose of stepping up export to countries like Thailand, Hongkong, Japan, Malaysia, Australia & Ceylon

7408

- Facilities for long-term credit should be given to exporters.
- 3. Indian Exporters should pool their resources & appoint one sole agent for each of the areas visited by the Delegation.
- Indian exporters should ensure that the quality of their exports is good, the prices are reasonable and the delivery is according to schedule.
- Technical representatives should be appointed in foreign countries.
- Steps should be taken for quick remittance of commission to foreign importers.
- Joint ventures should be set up in Thailand, Malaysia, Australia & Ceylon.
- Hongkong should be considered as the principal target for our export-activities in view of its suitability as a storage & distribution centre, as also its being a free market.
- Possibilities of supplying goods with brand names of foreign importers should be considered.
- Necessary relaxations should be sought in Australian Tariff regulations, which are in some cases detrimental to the entry of Indian goods.
- Packaging of Indian export goods should be improved.
- Various media of publicity should be utilised.
- (c) The Delegation did not suggest the setting up of show-rooms in Tokyo and Osaka.

11.03 hrs.

RE: CALLING ATTENTION NOTICE (Query)

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Sir, I have a submission to make. Today is the last day. Therefore, I submit to you that many hon. Members, about fifty Members, belonging to the Ruling Party and the Opposition submitted a call attention notice and we wanted to know from the hon. Defence Minister why the captured tank which was kept in the LIC ground had been removed, Our suspicion is...

Mr. Speaker: I have read that. I have seen it and I will answer it.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It was done because of pressure

Mr. Speaker: I have read that notice; I will answer it. The allegation, or rather the assertion is that it was done on account of some pressure from the United States Embassy. I have read that and I will reply to that.

श्री मथु मिलये (मुंगेर) : ग्रध्यक्ष महो-दय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है ।

स्रश्यक्त महोदय : इस वक्त व्यवस्था का प्रश्न नहीं उठता है ।

श्री सबु लिनये ... प्रक्तों के सम्बन्ध में है। इसके बारे में मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि ये जो धनुपूरक प्रका बीच में लिये गए क्या उसके लिए कोई नियम बनाया गया है या जो सूची में प्रका होते हैं उनको ही कमशः लिया बाता है ?

स्रस्यस महोदय : सूची में जो रहते हैं, उनको लिया जाता है। बाज वक्त यह होता है कि सूची छप जाती है भीर एक तारीख में रखा हुमा कोई प्रश्न ट्रांसफर किया जाता है किसी दूसरे दिन को तो वहां उसको सूची में एड करना पड़ता है। सूची से बाहर कोई नहीं लिया जाता है।

भी बागड़ी (हिसार): ध्रध्यक्ष महोदय, एक घ्यानाकर्षण नोटिस फीरोजपूर में घटी घटना के सम्बन्ध में भ्रापको दिया गया है। फीरोजपुर में कल नी बजे भीर ग्यारह बजे दिन में राकेट चले हैं। पाकिस्तान की तरफ से वहां राकेट चलाये गये हैं। उन से एक फौजी जवान शहीद हुम्रा मौर तीन वहां पर जरूमी हुए। दूसरा राकेट शहरी झाबादी के ऊपर छोडा गया। यह नौ ग्रीर ग्यारह बजे की बात है भीर जंगबन्दी के बाद की बात है। ऐसे महत्वपूर्ण सबाल को लिया जाना चाहिये। यह काम जंगबन्दी के बाद पाकिस्तान ने किया है। इस सवाल को सेशन के भाखिरी दिन न उठाया जाए तो उचित नहीं होगा । हिन्द्-स्तान की जनता पर जो राकेट गिरे हैं इसका दुनिया को पतान चले, दुनिया को इसके बारे में साफ न बतायें तो ठीक नहीं है। मेरा निवेदन है कि उस ध्यानाकर्षण सदाल को मंजुर किया जाए। चार संसद सदस्य . .

श्रध्यक्ष महोदयः सुन लिया है ग्र**ब** ग्राप बैठ जायें।

भी बागड़ी: चार संसद सदस्य मौके पर थे।

चाध्यक्ष महोदय : मैंने भेजे थे, मुझे पता है ।

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): I submitted, not one but, two call attention notices on Chinese intrusion into our territory. But somehow or other you have withheld your permission. But if you say that since we are going to have a discussion today it is not necessary, I would say that the scope of the discussion does not embrace China. Secondly, if you say that the Prime Minister's statement was there. I would say that after the Prime Minister has made that statement, there were subsequent developments. This is the last day. China being intrans-

[Shri Hem Barua]

7411

igent all the time and using bellicose language, it would be meet and proper on your part to admit. May I still plead that you kindly reconsider your decision and allow it.

भी राम सेवक यावव : (वारावंकी) : जो संसदीय दल गया था, उसमें विवेदी जी भी थे, हम भी थे भौर दसरे मेम्बर भी थे। वहां नौ साढे नौ बजे एक ग्रीर ग्यारह बजे दूसरी घटना घटी, जैसे बताया गया है। गहर पर भी गोलाबारी हुई। यह सब तब हुआ जब यद्ध विराम हो गया था। इसलिए मैं निवेदन करूंगा कि प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री महोदय की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है, इसके बारे में उनका ब्यान लिया जाए ।

Shri Sivamurthi Swamy (Koppal): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have given two call attention notices. Taking into consideration the emergency workers and the labour union Khadi Board in the Regal building and also the workers of Hospet sugar factory run by our friend Mr. Morarka withdrew their movement. But after that their offices were illegally and criminally acquired by the management. Also a continuous fast is going on since the two months and over 15 labourers are taking part and two labourers are taking part and two have to bring these thing, before you.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): May I earnestly request you to make an effort to encourage Ministers to accept questions at short notice. Most ministers, I would not say all, are in the habit of refusing to accept questions at short notice on vital matters. For instance there was a report in all the papers, a front paged report, that a submarine-I presume it was Indonesian,-was seen off the coast of Kerala. I had sent the notice on Monday. They could have told us whether a submarine was seen off the coast at all. They have refused.

One more point, and that is about the special audit report on the Orissa affairs, the transactions of the Orissa Government with Orissa Kalinga Tubes and Kalinga Industries. It appeared in all the papers. also gave a Calling Attention Notice about it today, but it has not been admitted.

Shri A. P. Sharma (Buxar): I had given a Calling Attention Notice about the withdrawal of the Press Attache from Indonesia and requested the Minister of External Affairs to make a statement upon it. But nothing has been heard of it.

Shri Buta Singh (Moga): I want to make a submission. It is about the bombing of Ferozepur area after the declaration of cease-fire. It was witnessed by four Members of Parliament, but it did not find a place in the news bulletin relayed by All India Radio.

Mr. Speaker: These are not things which can be taken up now.

Shri Buta Singh: I request that this matter should be taken up.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Because it, was the last day, I allowed hon. Members to mention about their Calling Attention Notices that had been refused. But it is not every question, whatever might be its importance, that can be raised at this moment That was also a departure that I made because usually I do not like that. But because today is the last day, I allowed such a procedure.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is a welcome and salutary departure.

Mr. Speaker: Yes; it was a departure.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I said it is a salutary and welcome departure.

Shri Daji (Indore): Had we known this, we would also have given notice of the points which we wanted to raise. We did not know it. It is only good boys that always suffer.

Mr. Speaker: That should in itself be an adequate satisfaction that he is a good boy!

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Sir, you gave a ruling that on the last day of the session, hon. Members may speak about public grievances, about their constituencies and other problems and that half an hour or an hour could be allotted for that subject. It was your ruling.

Mr Speaker: That was not a ruling as such. I made that suggestion and the experiment was tried and I was disappointed that some of the Members did remark-though I felt that it was going on very well-that it was a waste of time; it was useless. My intention was that those who have very seldom the occasion to speak or who have very few opportunities to speak, might just voice their grievances. That was my objective, but at that time also, those who usually speak began to take that opportunity and they wanted to usurp all that time as well. Therefore, I discontinued that practice.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): Let us not discontinue it but only postpone it.

Mr. Speaker: So far as these motions are concerned, about which notice were given to me, the first thing is, all of them are very important, and therefore it is very difficult to take up anyone of them. Secondly, I will allow a discussion today which would be all-comprehensive, including the topic about the Patton tanks and the rest. They can be discussed today and the Members who get the chance might refer to them. I would not rule them out.

Shri Vasudevan Nair (Ambalapuzha): Even Members of Parliament could not see the Patton tanks; they wanted to see them; they were taken away.

1454(Ai) LS-4.

Mr. Speaker: I will arrange a team so that they can see them.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The audit report on the Orissa affairs and the facts about the submarine may also be touched upon.

Mr. Speaker: I had already a talk with the leaders and explained to them the difficulties. We should proceed with the discussion that is coming up and we have all the time for that discussion, which will be all-comprehensive, instead of having any other thing.

भी मधु मिलये : घष्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक विशेषाधिकार का प्रश्न है

Shri Ranga: Yesterday, my friend Shri Surendranath Dwivedy made a suggestion to the Prime Minister and to you also, and then we thought that the Prime Minister would be able to give us some details as to how he would keep himself in touch with the leaders of the various groups in this House. I hope that if not now, at least leter on, when he makes his reply to the debate he would be able to give some information about it. Otherwise, it will be difficult for us to hold ourselves in readiness all the time here in Delhi. We would like to have some notice so that we would make ourselves available in time.

The other point which I would like to mention is,—I hope this would not encroach or entrench upon the discussion we are going to have now,—but let us have some assurance that though there is cease-fire, we would not give room for the Pakistani forces to play the same kind of mischief that they played after the UN resolution.

Mr. Speaker: That he can say during the reply.

Shri Ranga: We should see that not only we are vigilant, but we are also ready to prevent any mischief that they would try to make.

मध्यक महोदय : म्रापका व्यवस्था का क्याप्रश्नया?

Re: Calling

भी मधु मिलवे : मेरा विशेषाधिकार का प्रश्न है। पिछले सप्ताह में कई लोगों ने, जिनमें मैं भी एक या, वित्त मंत्री श्री कृष्णमा-चारी के खिलाफ एक विशेषाधिकार का प्रश्न उठाया था

मध्यका महोदय : मैं उसे नामंजूर कर चुका हूं। फिर उसे कैसे म्राप उठा सकतें हैं।

भी मधु लिमये : मेरा निवेदन एक मिनट लिए सुन लें।

प्रप्यक्ष महोदय: उसको तो मैंने उसी दिन नामंजूर कर दिया था।

श्री मधु लिमये : ग्राज ग्राखिरी दिन है, इसलिए मेरी बात सुन लीजिए।

द्मध्यक्ष महोदय : भ्राप बैठ जा यह बातें इस वक्त सुनने की नहीं हैं।

श्री मधुलिमये: भ्राजग्राखिरी दिन ह ।

ध्राष्यका महोवय : अगर ऐसी ही जरूरत थी तो आप फिर नोटिस दे देते। बगैर इत्तला दिए घाप इस इस तरह नहीं उठा सकते ।

भीमधुेलिमये : मेरानाम था ।

ध्रम्यक्ष महोवय : वह नामंजूर हो चुका।

भी मधु लिमये : ग्रापने क्या फैसला दिया ?

घ्रष्यक्त महोदय : यह नोटिस सरदार कपूर सिंह भीर भापके नाम में या

भी मधु सिमये : मुझे इत्तिला नहीं मिली ।

मध्यक महोदय: ग्रब मिल जाती है, भ्राप बैठ जाइए। इस तरह से भ्राप नहीं कर सकते।

Attention

भी मषु लिमये : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरी एक बात एक मिनट के लिए सुन लीजिए ।

म्राप्यक्त महोदय : मैं ग्रापसे बार-बार कह रहा हूं कि भ्राप बैठ जाइए।

भी मधुलिमये : मेरी एक बात **ुन** लीजिए ।

प्राध्यक्ष महोदयः इस तरह इजाजत नहीं दी जा सकती। जो पहले नामजुर ह नुका उसको ग्रगर भ्राप फिर उठाना चाहते थे तो मुझें लिख कर देते कि मैं इमे उठाना चाहता हूं। बगैर किसी चीज के लिखे हुए

भी मधुलिमये : लिख चुका है ।

प्राप्यक्ष महोदयः वह नामंजुर हो चुका है जैसा कि भ्राप से कहा, कई दिन हए ।

भी मधु लिमयें : उसी के बारे में मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हूं, ग्राप पुनर्विचार कर सकते हैं।

प्राप्यक्ष महोदयः इस वक्त नहीं ।

श्री राम सेवक यादव : मैं श्रापसे मार्ग-दर्शन चाहूंगा इसी बारे में। ग्राज ग्रनाबार में उत्तर प्रदेण विधान सभा का एक मामला पढा । वहां एक मंत्री ने सदन में गलतबयानी की थी, भ्रसत्य भाषण किया था, उसको लेकर विशेषाधिकार का प्रश्न उठाया गया, उस पर उस मंत्री ने अफसोस जाहिर किया और एक तरह से क्षमा मांगी । लेकिन मेरा ध्रापसे निवेदन है कि इस सदन में जब एक मंत्री श्रपने परिवार, भपने लड़कों की सम्पत्ति, कारखानों के सम्बन्ध में अगर कोई बात कहता है सीर उस प्रश्न की जब कोई उटाना चाहता है सी नहीं उठा पाता । धगर ऐसी चीजें चलेंगी तो इससे बाहर बहत गलतफहमी फैलेगी . . .

म्राच्यक्त महोदयः बहत ग्रन्छा ।

11.17 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

KEROSENE SUPPLY IN DELHI

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir): I beg to lay on the Table a statement regarding supply of Kerosene in Delhi. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4966/65].

REPORT OF CENTRAL WAGE BOARD FOR COFFEE PLANTATION

The Minister of Labour and ployment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of Report of the Central Wage Board for coffee plantation industry. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4967/65].

DELIH MILK SCHEME

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): On behalf of Shri C. Subramaniam, I beg to lay on the Table a Note on the present working of the Delhi Milk Scheme. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4968/65].

ANNUAL REPORT AND ACCOUNTS OF NATIONAL CO-OPERATIVE DEVE-LOPMENT CORPORATION

The Minister of Community Development and Cooperation (Shri S. K. Dey): I beg to lay on the Table copy each of the following papers:-

- (i) Annual Report of the National Co-operative Development Corporation New Delhi, for the year 1964-65, under subsection (3) of section 14 of National Co-operative Corporation Development Act, 1962. [Placed in Lib-See No. LT-4969/65]. rary.
- (ii) Annual Accounts of the National Co-operative Development Corporation, New Delhi,

for the year 1963-64, together with the Audit Report thereon, under sub-section (4) of section 17 of the National Cooperative Development Corporation Act, 1962. in Library. See No. LT-4970/ 65].

ALL INDIA SERVICES RULES AND NOTIFI-CATION UNDER KERALA SERVICE COM-MISSION (CONSULTATION) REGULA-TIONS 1957

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra): On behalf of Shri Jai Sukh Lal Hathi I beg to lay on the Table-

- (1) a copy each of the following Rules under sub-section (2) of section 3 of the All India Services Act, 1951:-
 - (i) The All India Services (Provident Fund) Second (Amendment) Rules, 1965, published in Notification G. S. R. 1175 dated 21st August, 1965.
 - (ii) The All India Services (Death - cum - Retirement Benefits) Fifth Amendmirat) Rules, 1965, published in Notification No. G. S. R. 1176 dated the 21st August, 1965.
 - The All India Services (iii) (Death - cum - Retirement Benefits) Sixth Amendment Rules, 1965, published Notification No. G. S. R. 1306 dated the 11th September, 1965
- [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4971/651.
- (2) a copy of Notification No. G.O.(P) No. 565 published in Kerala Gazette dated the 17th August, 1965, making certain amendments to the Kerala Public Service Commission (Consultation) Regulations. 1957, under clause (5) of article 320 of the Constitution read with clause (c) (iv) of the proclamation dated the 24th March, 1965, issued by

[Shri L. N. Mishra]

the Vice-President discharging the functions of the President, in relation to the State of Kerala together with an explanatory memorandum thereon. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4972/65].

NOTIFICATION UNDER ESSENTIAL COM-MODITIES ACT, AND REPORT ON COTTON TEXTILES EXPORT PROMOTION COUNCIL

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Commerce (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): I bey to lay on the Table—

- (1) a copy each of the following Notifications under sub-section (6) of section 3 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955:—
 - S.O. 1039 dated the 18th March, 1965.
 - (ii) S.O. 1040 dated the 18th March, 1965.
 - (iii) The Cotton Textiles (Control) Second Amendment Order 1965, published in Notification No. S. O. 2712 detail the 4th September, 1965.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4973/65].

(2) a copy of Report on Cotton Textiles Export Promotion Council. [Placed in Library. Sec No. LT-4974/65].

LAW COMMISSION REPORT

The Minister of Law and Social Security (Shri A, K. Sen): On behalf of Shri Jaganath Rao I beg to lay on the Table a copy of Twenty-seventh Report of the Law Commission on the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. [Place! in Library. See No. LT-4975/65].

11.183 hrs.

REPLIES TO ESTIMATES COMMIT-TEE'S RECOMMENDATIONS

Shri Subodh Hansda (Jhargram): I beg to lay on the Table Two statements showing replies to the recommendations noted in Chapter V of he Fifty-fourth Report of the Estimates Committee on Neyveli Lignite Corporation Limited, Neyveli and Seventh Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings on National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Ranchi, which were not furnished by Government in time for inclusion in the Reports.

11.19 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEM-BERS' BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS MINUTES

Shri Krishnamoorthy Rao (Shimoga): I heg to lay on the Table the Minutes of Sittings (67th to 71st) of the Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions held during the current Session.

COMMITTEE ON ABSENCE OF MEMBERS

MINUTES

Shri Khadilkar (Khed): I beg to lay on the Table the Minutes of the Four-teenth Sitting of the Committee on Absence of Members from the Sittings of the House held during the current Session.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

MINUTES

Shri Siddananjappa (Hassan): I beg to lay on the Table the Minutes of the Twelfth and Thirteenth Sittings of the Committee on Government Assurances held during the current Session.

11.20 hrs.

MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:

'In accordance with the provisions of rule 127 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to inform the Lek Sabha that the Rajya Sabha, at its sitting held on the 22nd September, 1965, agreed without any amendment to the Payment of Bonus Bill, 1965, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 9th September, 1965.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): want a clarification, arising out of what has been laid by Mr. Siddananjappa on the Table. Yesterday when I raised this question, the Minister of Planning, Shri Bhagat, said that he would collect the information as what amount was spent on the tours abroad by the ministers, deputy-ministers and the officials during the summer. Actually we got that information for 1964. You, in your wisdom, Sir, asked the hon. Minister to furnish this information. I would like to know whether that information is available; if so, may I know whether it will be placed on the Table or what time it will take them to do so?

Mr. Speaker: Is it available now? I will enquire from the Minister.

भी मच् लिमसे (मुंगेर) : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, किरोसीन के बारे में श्री हुमायून् कविर वक्तव्य देने वाले से । Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let us proceed now.

7422

11.21 hrs.

PRIORITY OF NOTICE OF QUESTIONS

Mr. Speaker: I have to inform the Members that I have received a suggestion from Shri Madhu Limaye that equal opportunities should be given to Members who are residing in Delhi and those who are residing outside Delhi during inter-session periods to send their Notices of Questions after the summons for a Session have been issued, and that such Notices should begin to be received seven days afte: the date on which summons have been issued. Similar suggestions in different forms have been received by me from other Members in the past. I have considered these suggestions. According to the present practice, notices of questions are received in the Parliamentary Notice Office one day after the issue of Summons and Bulletin showing allotment of days for answering questions by Ministers, and their priority is arranged according to the date and time of receipt. With a view to remove the difficulty experienced by members who are not in Delhi at the time of issue of Summons, I have decided that Members may give notices of questions as at present, but all notices received between the date after the issue of Summons and the seventh day thereafter shall be deemed to have been received at the commencement of the seventh day. The inter se priority of such notices shall be determined by ballot as in the case of notices received on the same doy and time.

11.23 hrs.

RAILWAYS (EMPLOYMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES) BILL*

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Dr. D. S. Raju): Mr. Speaker, Sir, on behalf of Shri Y. B. Chavan, I beg to move for leave to

^{*}Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II. Section 2, dated 24-9-1965.

[Dr. D. S. Raju]

introduce a Bill to make certain provisions relating to the employment of members of the Armed Forces of the Union in the working and management of railways.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to make certain provisions relating to the employment of members of the Armet Forces of the Union in the working and management of railways."

The motion was adopted.

Dr. D. S. Raju: Sir, I introduce the Bill.

11.23 hrs.

COAL MINES LABOUR WELFARE FUND BILL*

The Minister of Labour (Shri D. Sanjivayya): Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to amend and consolidate the law relating to the financing of measures for promoting the welfare of labour employed in the coal-mining industry.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Ei?! to amend and consolidate the law relating to the financing of measures for promoting the welfare of labour employed in the coal-mining industry."

The motion was adopted.

Shri D. Sanjivayya: Sir, I introduce† the Bill. 11.24 hrs.

DISCUSSION ON U.N. SECURITY
COUNCIL RESOLUTION RE:
CEASE-FIRE BETWEEN IND:A
AND PAKISTAN AND RESOLUTION RE: INDIA QUITTING THE
COMMONWEALTH

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up the discussion under Rule 193.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad (Bhagalpur): Sir, I submit that the Resolution I have tabled has got a specific purpose. It was said yesterday that both this discussion and my resolution would be taken up together. I submit for your consideration, Sir, that 2½ hours may be kept separately and it may be taken over to the next session.

Shri K. D. Malaviya (Basti); Sir. I also made the suggestion yesterday, but it was curtly suppressed. I wish to submit again for your consideration and for the consideration of the Government that this discussion should be separately treated and not mixed up with all those matters which have sprung up today, because when the resolution was tabled it was a different situation and the Government accepted the second part of it ofter the statement of the Prime Minister was made. I, therefore, submit for your consideration that these should be separated and time should be allotted separately for the two.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Sir, this matter was rated yesterday and it was ultimately decided that when we discuss the cease-fire all those things also could be covered in that. Therefore, in the bulletin it was put down that the non-official business will not be taken up today.

Shri K. D. Malaviya: Nothing prevents this House from re-considering the matter.

^{*}Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II, Section 2, dated 24-9-1965.

Untroduced with the recommendation of the President.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I would only request, though it has been put down in the bulletin, that this resolution be at least moved so that we can have it first in the next session.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla (Manasamund): I heartily support the demand made by Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad and Shri K. D. Malaviya because the importance of this Private Member's Resolution would be completely lost if it is merged with the general discussion. In view of the situation in the country and the feelings here it is but appropriate that it should be discussed separately. You may not be able to give full two and a half hours for want of time but whatever time you may be able to offer it is necessary that it should be given separately for this Resolution.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): Yesterday I thought the Prime Minister also gave us to understand that there need be no special resolution in regard to that particular question because that question as well as other questions oetaining to the present situation can be discussed together.... (Interruptions).

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Cantral): I have to differ from my hon. friend, Professor Ranga. When a private Member gives notice of a Resolution by virtue of balloting it comes up and there is a right to discuss that matter. But the idea that we had yesterday was that only in view of the rush of time we perhaps would not be able to take it up. But you could easily find out a via media so that at least that Resolution could be moved today so that it can go over to the next session.

भी मच् लिमये (मुंगेर) : प्रश्यक्ष महो-दय, मैं प्रापसे इतना हो निवेदन करना चाहता हों कि प्राप कोई ऐसा रास्ता निकालिये जिससे भी मागवत का प्राजाद का जो राष्ट्र कुटम्ब सम्बन्धी प्रस्ताव है उसको ध्रगले सब में ले लिया जाये। Mr. Speaker: There is not much to be discussed.

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): The point is that we have got limited time.

Mr. Speaker: If hon. Members allow me to speak for a couple of minutes perhaps the problem will be solved. My suggestion is that we might allow Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad also to move his Resolution. Those who want to refer to it might do so. It would be considered as part of the discussion and it would be taken up next time also. Government need not reply to that part today. Government can have their time to reply to those points subsequently. So, they might refer to it at all today; only other points would be referred to.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: But what happens to other Resolutions? It is only the third one? There are two Resolutions preceding that.

Mr. Speaker: That would create another difficulty. Unless those Members who have got priority as first and second agree to it, how can I do it? That would be my difficulty. Whose Resolutions are these? I and the first one is by Shri B. K. Das and the other by Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar. Would they agree?

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar (Fatchpur): I may be allowed to move my Resolution.

Mr. Speaker: That would not be possible. Does Shri Das agree to the third resolution being taken up? He agrees to it. Shri Kakkar might also agree as that is the wish of the Members. So, now this Resolution would be allowed to be moved. Now Shri Alvares.

भी बनापाल लिह (कैराना): घष्यक महोदय, मैं एक बात कहना पाहना हूं कि जो प्रधान मंत्री की राय है कि वही सब कांग्रेसी मैम्बरान की राय है। इस लिये इस प्रस्ताव

[श्री वशपाल सिंह]

पर कांग्रेसी मेम्बरान को टाइम न दिया आये, सिर्फ मुखालिफ पार्टी के मेम्बरान को दिया जाये।

ध्यम्बर्भ बहोदय: क्या उन मेम्बर साह-बान का एलेक्शन भी प्रधान मंत्री ध्रपने नाम से करवा लेंगे। उन को ध्रपनी कांस्टि-टुएन्सी में भी तो जाना है।

श्री यशपाल सिंह: प्रधान मंत्री की राय में भौर कांग्रेसी मेम्बरान की राय में कोई डिफ-रेंस नहीं है।

Shri Alvares (Panjim): I beg to raise a discussion on the statement made by the Prime Minister in the House on the 22nd September, 1965 on the resolution passed by the U.N.; Security Council on the 20th September, 1965, calling for a cease-fire between India and Pakistan.

Mr. Speaker: He has to make his speech also. But before that, I want to fix the time limit. Hon. Members would appreciate that a large number of Members want to participate in this discussion. I would allow Shri Alvares 25 minutes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshan-gabad): Half an hour.

Mr. Speaker: All right, half an hour. Other members should not take more than 20 minutes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is the House sitting beyond 4 O'Clock?

Mr. Speaker: If the House so desires. I cannot say anything now.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We should sit up to 6 O'Clock.

Shri Shree Narayan Das (Darbhanga): Sir, I have an amendment.

Mr. Speaker: When it is placed before the House, I will allow him.

Shri Alvares: Mr. Speaker, Sir, if ever there was a conflict between two countries that was doomed to failure beforehand, it was this war that Pakistan unleashed upon India for the infamous purpose of disintegrating our nation and of upsetting the secular basis of our policy. Now that the cease-fire has become a matter fact, it is proper for us to join the deserved tribute to our armed forces who, with their signal courage, ferocious gallantry and unprecedented valour, have torn apart the foreign armour of the Pakistan armed forcesan armour that was loaned to them for an ideological war against Communism but one that ill-fitted the re!igious fanatics who leashed a religious war against India.

If there is one lesson that arises from this war that has now happily ended, it is that the will and the courage of the Indian people, the initiative of our aimed forces, the volour shown by our jawans and generalship have outwitted infinitely superior mechanical armour and, I hope, in the years to come this lesson will be taken to heart by our people to meet any contingency that may arise in the future.

As the cease-fire has now taken place the emphasis will shift from military moves to the chessboard of political manoeuvre. The cease-fire is only a preliminary step to ensure that the retaliation of a defensive measure that this country undertook in meeting the aggression by Pakistan will have served its purpose. If we lose sight of this cardinal fact, the many sacrifices that have been made by the people and the armed forces will have been in vain. If we do not keep in mind the lessons of past years, this war will have been fought in vain.

We undertook this war, in the words of the Prime Minister, as a war of attrition to weaken the defence potential of Pakistan so that the harassment

that she has been subjecting us to during the past 18 years shall never occur again. If we have to do this, we have to ensure that in all the manners that Pakistan has committed aggression against this country, either in the garb of infiltrators, by harassment, by other methods or by open armed aggression subsequently, will be made impossible. How this possibility has to be ensured is a matter that we have to work out. But, I am sure that none of us will rely upon mere verbal guarantees for the future. For the last many years have been accustomed to platitudes paid to India's secular democracy. Nobody has ever thought it necessary in order to guarantee us against this war of hate. Therefore if the cease-fire is to be consolidated into an enduring peace, it is necessary that countervailing measures must be undertaken to the satisfaction of our military experts.

As I said, verbal guarantees are of no use. It must be physically impossible in military terms for Pakistan ever to harass us in the manner she has done during the past 18 years and particularly since last month. Therefore after the cease-fire, when a disengagement will be taking place sooner or later, no such disengagement must take place or shall take place until militarily we are assured against this aggression from Pakistan.

Infiltrators have been sent and, though Pakistan did not admit its responsibility for them, nevertheless, the Secretary-General's report made it quite clear that these were armed Pakistani forces sent in a guise in order that they should gather in this country and in a concerned attempt, at a subsequent opportunity try to separate Kashmir from India for the purpose of physically annexing it. Therefore, as far as I am concerned, and as far as this House should be concerned, there shall be no withdrawal to the ceasefire line of the 5th August. Militarily, it should be put beyond all doubt whatsoever that Pakistan cannot use either her influence or her war potential in order to commit aggression on India, in order to annex Kashmir, once again.

In order to discuss the cease-fire agreement, it would be necessary to understand whether Pakistan really puts faith in cease-fire. The hurried telephone conversation between President Ayub Khan and the President Johnson during the past two days has confirmed that there are some serious move underground, on some assurance to Pakistan, if the dis-engagement between the two forces is to take place. The Foreign Minister of Pakistan has already stated that if the political solution of this problem is not found by the United Nations, Pakistan will leave the United Nations Organisation. this happens-I am not much concerned-the United Nations will one physical member and perhaps China will gain another. It is possible that Pakistan may join the new anti-Indian axis of Pakistan, China and Indonesia and may also set up a parallel United Nations organisation of the new emerging forces that Indonesia has been propagating for so long. But whatever it is, it is obvious that Pakistan has not taken the cease-fire agreement in the same spirit in which India has taken and, therefore, we must be wary, we must be cautious, in our political moves.

Sir, whatever the discussion that has invested the moves in the United Nations, while the Resolution was being adopted, gives currency to the doubt that all is not well in the United Nations or all is not well with the friends that India is supposed to have. The Prime Minister said yesterday that we have to make the assessment as to who our friends are. From the discussions of the United Nations, it is possible to make a brief assessment. We have the attitude of the United States on the issue of Kashmir. It is necessary to record that the United States has never supported India's position that the accession of Kashmir is final and irrevocable. Every time, there has been an attempt to raise this issue. During the present conflict, various threats have been sent out [Shri Alvares]

about the suspension of arms aid, etc. in order that our defence initiative may be crippled. I do not know what would have happened if that had materialised-certainly. I am not at all worried about it. But for any country, that is supposed to be friendly to us. to suggest that military and other aid will be supplieded is like a grown-up person trying to tell the children that toys with which thev are to playing are likely damage other each and that the will be withdrawn. Such a suggestion which has been made by a responsible opinion in America which is humiliat-V' should tell ing to the country. American opinion, at least those who are responsible for it, that, as India's Permanent Representative at United Nations has said, all the arms that we deployed in the present conflict are the arms paid for by the sweat of our brow and that the profits of the armament industry both in the United States and in the United Kingdom have swelled up.

Nobody has the right to tell us that we should use the arms or should not. The Defence Minister, in making a statement the other day in the House, said categorically that any arms given to us for defence against China would not be used against Pakistan. In spite of that sacred statement made by the Defence Minister, some American opinion still has the temerity to say that they would stop arms aid, etc., so that this war can come to an automatic stop by our defence being crippled.

Let us take the question of the attitude of U.K. It is a senior member of the Commonwealth: it is its Leader. Like the United States, the United Kingdom has always shown a partiality for Pakistan, It has, like the United States, never accepted India's position in regard to Kashmir's final and irrevocable accession to India. In every dispute it has raised this question. Therefore, in this present conflict it is surprising that it has shown its attitude of partiality towards Pakistan; not merely that, there was also a feeling which was growing freely that,

if the ultimatum of the Chinese grew in dimensions against India, that threat of China to India would automatically put a stop to the war and thus save Pakistan. This cynical attitude of wanting the Chinese threat against India to grow in dimensions in order to make India divert her armed forces from the Pakistani war is cynical, if I may say the least. Therefore, we have to find out whether the United Kingdom is a real friend of India and when it can really remain at least impartial in its attitude. As our representative, Chagla, said in the United Nations, there cannot be impartiality in accepting the guilt of an aggressor; a judge has taken sides to make a decision. In this war, the report of Gen. Nimmo and the report of the U.N. Secretary-General, Mr. U Thant, made it clear that Pakistan was an aggressor and Pakistan is the aggressor during the last eighteen years for the third time now. What has the United Kingdom, in whose Commonwealth Nations we are today supposed grow and flourish and provided opportunities for growth, if not peace, done to put an end to this conflict? United Kingdom could at least make impartial assessment and on the basis of the Secretary-General's report name Pakistan an aggressor. Therefore, with all this background of the United Kingdom and its relations with India, particularly in the context of Indo-Pakistan relations, the question that arises is: what is the advantage or the relavance of our membership of the Commonwealth. If it means something, it must be of advantage to us. If we are members, and it means our not having any advantage at the time of crisis, then it is relevant to question the continuance of our membership. In the alternative, we may pose this question; if Britain, because of its attitude of partiality in the last eighteen years and in other respects, is not in a position to maintain its leadership of the Commonwealth, why not the Asian and African nations be given the opportunity to ask Britain to step down from the hereditary leader-

of this Commonwealth and for ship any of the new nations of Asia and Africa, who are members of the Commonwealth, to take on the leadership of this great body. These two suggestions are for the consideration of the House. It is relevant to question to advantages of our continued .nembership in the Commonwealth; or in the alternative that Britain should be asked to step down because of her incompetence in dealing with important matters, and any other member from the Asian or African region should be asked to assume the leadership of the Commonwealth. I am sure that if this latter suggestion at least is accepted it will bring a new dimension and a new orientation to the spirit of Commonwealth. Therefore. hope that the Prime Minister and his Government will give this suggestion their utmost consideration.

There is also the attitude of Soviet Russia. It has been a painful surprise that the Soviet Government have shifted their attitude from one of unconditional support to India in the Kashmir dispute and have now cooperated with the other great Powers in de-freezing this issue at the United Nations level. The House remembers very well that on every single occasion when the issue of Kashmir's accession to India was raised Soviet Government or the Soviet representative vetoed all such resolutions on the ground that that accession was final and irrevocable. But, for some time now, and particularly during the discussion on the UN resolution calling for the cease-fire, the Russian Government have registered a distinct shift in their policy and agreed that on the issue of Kashmir, the political issue underlying present conflict shall be settled finally. Does it not mean that where once Russia vetoed all references to Kashmir, she has now de-freezed that issue and brought it up as a live problem for discussion?

Shri Sham Lal Saraf (Jammu and Kashmir): How can my hon, friend say that?

Shri Alvares: Mr. hon. friend will have his chance to reply. Let him not interrupt me now. Russia has now de-freezed that issue and made it live for solution in the context of the present conflict.

We are indebted to all the main Powers for their generosity and cooperation in this very international
context that we live in, but we cannot help feeling pain and surprise
that Russia whose friendship with
India has stood the test of time should
at this hour of crisis make a shift in
her policy and co-operate with the
great Powers in hedging this entire
resolution with a solution of the
Kashmir dispute on which we have
said to the world loudly and often
before that the accession of Kashmir
to India is final and irrevocable.

There are then, if not in the United Nations, the moves made by China in this context. We cannot and should not at any stage in the future make a wrong assessment of the danger of Chinese aggression on India. I have no doubt in my own mind that this danger is ever present. have no doubt that China at some stage, because of the compulsions of communist philosophy, will be led to commit aggression on India again. But in this present context, in the context of the Indo-Pakistan conflict China I, think, like the other European powers was mainly concerned with saving Pakistan, for who can deny that whatever the great Powers did, particularly the USA, the UK and Russia was similar to what Chinese did in their own particular way by giving an ultimatum to India, and thereby stampeding the UN the resolution on cease-fire before the date and time of that ultimatum expired? I think that it was the cleverest move of the whole episode where along with the USA, the UK and Russia, China also came forward to save Pakistan from an ignominious defeat.

We are grateful also to some of the countries of the Middle East who [Shri Alvares]

supported India and thereby proved that our friendship with them is nothing which is merely altruistic but one of a common endeavour in international relations. But at this stage, the House should convey its greetings the small and brave nation, Malaysia, which, in spite of being confronted by China and Indonesia, braved the entire eastern world and stood by India in this hour of trial. Malaysia is a small nation, but of the courage of its conviction, of its courage to stand by India in this hour of trial, there can be no question, I am sure the House will join me in emphasising that our relations with Malaysia, that our friendship with Malaysia, requires special consideration.

It is now time to ask one question: why are the great powers interested in saving Pakistan? Is there attempt to play the game of balance of power in Asia, as they had played it in Europe for the past many centuries? Are the US UK and Russia at the present moment interested in some new alignment or balance so that they can get Pakistan along with them, and in the process if they have to incur the ire of India it does not matter? All the moves made by the US, UK and Russia suggest that in their efforts to save Pakistan from defeat, they want to draw Pakistan into their own orbit, wean away Pakistan from China which is endangering their own interest in this area. As far as my assessment goes, it is curious that both the US and have now agreed to let Russia handle this dispute on their behalf. Having failed in the past 18 years to convince either India or Pakistan and bring about a settlement of the dispute, they have now agreed to let Russia take the initiative. That is the only meaning of the invitation of the Russian Prime Minister to the Governments of India and Pakistan to come to Tashkent to discuss this issue in Russian territory. I understand that the Prime Minister of our coun-

try has agreed. There is no harm in discussing issues to come to a final settlement. But let us repeat once again that in whatever discussion that takes place hereafter, as far as cease-fire is concerned as far as the question of subsequent withdrawal is concerned there shall not be any settlement of this issue, there must be no disengagement at all from our positions so long as our military experts are not satisfied that physically we have made it impossible for Pakistan to send its infiltrators and armed personnel for the nefarious purpose for which it did last time.

The question is often asked: not international guarantees sufficient? What has the United Nations, highest tribunal in this world, about international guarantees? Twice before, the UN had characterised Pakistan as the aggressor. When characterising Pakistan as the aggressor in 1949, did the UN make any move to make Pakistan vacate aggression in occupied Kashmir? Again, when the report of Gen. Nimmo and the Report of the UN Secretary-General, U Thant, once again characterised Pakistan as the aggressor this time, what did the UN do to get the aggression vacated except to equate the aggressor, and the aggressed (that is India) and to ask them to cease There is no further solution. Are international guarantees of any value in this context? Why has India not the right in this cease-fire agreement to demand military guarantees which are the only final sanction against intervention? Does not America invoke the Monroe Doctrine in the western hemisphere and say that no nation outside that hemisphere, shall intervene or interfere with the affairs of the Americas? Did not Russia intervene in Hungary on the ground of her security? If Russia and the US can go so far as to prevent the intervention or interference of nations in their hemisphere or sphere of influence in order to safeguard their own security, surely this country has the right to ask for military guarantees as far as the security and inviolability of its own frontiers are concerned.

Therefore, we have come to a position where the UN cannot give us any guarantee, where international guarantees are not of much relevance. Therefore, in this cease-fire, it is only military security that in the last analysis can give us that security for which our armed forces fought so well

Hence, I suggest that in all these issues there is need to be vigilant, vigilant not merely in military matters, but vigilant on the international front and on the home front. On military matters, as I said, the lesson has been learnt that unless we are self-sufficient, unless our indigenous production can give us all that we need, there is no final guarantee.

Secondly, as far as international relations are concerned, today they are in the melting pot. Our diplomatic initiative has been weak and faulty. It is necessary to apply the corrective. I do not know what the committee which was appointed by the Foreign Minister to go into the working of our foreign service has done or is going to do. But this is certain, that both at the UN and in diplomacy elsewhere, we have miserably failed both in presenting our case and in exposing the vile ambitions of Pakistan. At least, it could have been possible for us to present our case creditably. Why is it that prestigious papers like the New Statesman have misunderstood our decision not to take Lahore and Sialkot in order to save the civilian population. They characterise this decision as an indication of military weakness, seeking shelter on humanitarian grounds to cover up our military weakness. Cannot our diplomats have presented this case squarely to whoever needs to be informed about our objectives? Cannot our diplomats have, with the sense of fairness, with the sense of reasonableness of foreign observers, impressed on them 'hat our decision not to invest these cities with military rule was not due to our military weakness but was born out of our desire to save the civilian population from the ravages of war? We are not like Pakistan. In the grey hours between the acceptance of the cease-fire and actual implementation, the Pakistani armed forces had sneaked across the border and rained destruction upon our civilian population.

Shri P. K. Doo (Kalahandi): Even after that.

Shri Alvares: Such an act of inhumanness has never been witnessed anywhere in history. But our desire not to invest civilian populations with military rule has been misunderstood as a sign of military weakness. What have our diplomats done in the foreign capitals to convince those people about our ideas and attitudes? What have they done to convey these things to foreign observers?

Therefore, I want to ask the Prime Minister to pay special attention to this problem, so that at least on the international level our case does not go by default.

There remains the question of the home front. I will not take time on that. I have said in my introductory remarks that it is the indomitable will of this nation to preserve Kashmir that has finally acceded to India, and it is the secular basis of our policy that have impelled us to make the sacrifice. But this will not be sufficient if the Government is not aware of, and does not implement, the policy that people want. You cannot ask the people to make sacrifice for a war of defence and at the same time neglect the home front on the plea that the country's effort must be defence-oriented. On the food front, on the front of security, on the front of egalitarianism, it is a cataclysm like a war that must set about processes that bring about new changes in soclety, and if we have to win the peace as we have to win the war, the Govmust pay as much as ernment attention to military niatters

[Shri Alvares]

to internal matters, matters on the home and civilian front.

12 hrs.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Azad may also move his resolution.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: I beg to move:

"This House is of opinion that India should quit the Commonwealth of Nations."

I am fully conscious of the import of the resolution that I am introducing in the House, but before speaking on it, I would make some remarks on the discussion raised by Shri Alvares.

I congratulate the Prime Minister on calling the Pakistani bluff and deciding to meet the aggression. We are proud today that we can walk with our heads high and chest out, because of the glorious part that our jawans, army and airmen have played in the hostility with Pakistan. Though the guns have been silenced and the planes have returned to their bases, yet the rattling of the Pakistani politicians is still heard all round. Even this morning. Pakistan Radio, under the pseudo name of Azad Kashmir Radio, said that they would continue their fight, that their infiltrators would continue to invade Kashmir, India's part of Kashmir. Therefore, I feel that though the Security Council has asked us, and we have ceased fire, the other party, the aggressor, has not yet ceased fire; actually, they are still on with the fight. You have seen in this House, after the Question Hour, the anxiety of Members at Pakistan still making aggression on our They are firing rockets in Jodhpur, boming, after cease-fire. Amritsar, Ferozepur etc., and all through the border they are threatening us. Therefore, it is very clear that in this hour we must tell them Verv pointedly our stand: we should also remind the Security Council that the effort that it has made for the last 17 years has been only to imprison justice, to put the aggressor and the aggressed then and even now on the same footing. Though we have agreed to a cease-fire, I remind them will. The of the nation's Prime Minister conveyed to U Thant Delhi the two conditions, namely that Kashmir is an integral part of India and that there can be no question of our going back to the old cease-fire line in our own country.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): No cease-fire line.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Therefore, there is no question of our going back to 5th August or anything like that.

Secondly, I put emphasis on the date 5th August, Fifth August is the date when Pakistan started aggression, aggression with armed personnel, not in army dress but in plain dress. It was an aggression much worse than the aggression of an army because that can be seen by the naked eye by all the world. This aggression may for some time befool the world, but I wonder how long it can fool the USA; it will befool the UK for all time to come, I am sure.

Therefore, I say on that part of the discussion, that come what may, this nation, now aroused to defend sovereignty, dignity and honour, shall not be bound by the other part of the Security Council's resolution. only political decision that has to be made now is this, that Pakistan must vacate aggression in occupied Kashmir. Therefore, if there is any political question to be discussed in the Security Council, it is only this. The Security Council, if it has the guts or the strength must force Pakistan, the aggresser, to quit that part of Kashmir where it committed aggression eighteen years back. These are my comments on that part of the discussion.

Coming to my resolution, I am sorry that I have to move it. I

would be happy if some of my friends move a substitute resolution to say that the UK should be expelled from the Commonwealth. That will be the only thing that I shall accept.

Mr. Speaker: I have received one.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: That is fine. That will be the only thing that I can accept as an amendment to my resolution.

We remember with what aspirations, with what dreams, with what hopes, our late beloved Prime Minister, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, wanted a comity of nations, an ideal one in which countries could forget and forgive their past and sit together for the common good. I do not want to remind the House of all the exploitations, zulum, loot, plunder and what not that the British imperialists committed in this country. The late leader, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, and Mahatma Gandhi, the father of the nation, asked us to forget, and forgot them, we forgot all that zulum and plunder in our country. wanted to write a new chapter in history in our country after 1947, but what happened after 1947?

The Britishers went out of India, but they divided this country into Pakistan and Hindustan.

An hon. Member: We accepted it.

Shri · Bhagwat Jha Azad: Even for a moment they were not impelled by good motives, but let us presume that they were impelled by good motives, but what have we seen afterwards? We have seen afterwards that it was only a convenient stick that the then Labour Government made in the shape of Pakistan always to beat India, whenever the occasion came. I can show how in the last 18 years the British imperialists-whether it is the blue-eyed conservatives, whether it is Labour or whether it is Liberal-have alike been hostile to this country, supported Pakistan always against us. Both of us are Members of the Commonwealth, both of have aspirations about the Commonwealth, but why did the Britishers on all occasions try their best to beat us and help Pakistan? The reasons are very obvious. Therefore, for the present I do not go into the past and am only coming to what has happened just now.

It is known to the wide world that Pakistan started aggression on India on 5th August. Day in and day out that aggression continued, and India was forced to move in self-defence when on 1st September naked aggression was committed in the Chhamb-Jaurion part of our country. I ask Mr. Wilson and the Labour Government, the Conservatives and Liberals, I ask the British people, the British press, the so-called independent press, I ask the BBC, whether they did not know that naked aggression on India had been committed on 1st : ptember, and in a dubious form from 5th August. I ask Mr. Wilson: even though he is not a military man, did he not know that rockets were fired on Amritsar, that on 2nd September, 3rd, 4th and 5th September, the Pakistan army, coupled with the so called invincible Pattons, which have now proved their uselessness. entered our country? Why? to cut off Akhnur and Kashmir from the rest of India. Nothing happened. Mr. Wilson did not denounce the aggression. Mr. Wilson did not even say a word of caution, warning or advice to Pakistan. But when on 6th September, the Indian army in selfdefence moved, the paralysed conscience of Mr. Wilson and his tied tongue were suddenly roused and he said that India had committed naked aggression.

Who is Mr. Wilson, is he our boss to say this to India which has given [Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad]

prestige to the Commonwealth? But for India what was the Commonwealth? The late Jawaharial Nehru gave birth to this Commonwealth. the late Jawaharlal Nehru made this Commonwealth what it is, and this British nation of shopkeepers got all the prestige from us and used this prestige against us every time, beat us at the time of aggression. Therefore, I ask Mr. Wilson, question: would he kindly reply to this partner in the Commonwealth? He has no voice. He could not send a message to the Prime Minister congratulating him that he has done a good thing in agreeing to the ceasefire President Johnson did it, Mr. Kosygin did it, all the nations have done it, but Mr. Wilson had no voice. Mr. Speaker, I would say that Mr. Wilson did not stop there. Labour government did not stop there. They proceeded further and diately the next day they put an embargo on war materials which needed so badly for the defence of this land. Not only did the government put an embargo. Mr. Wilson forced the private British companies not to deliver the two squadrons of hunter hawks which they were under obligation to supply us according signed contracts. Is it national honour or national morality not to honour a contract? The pettiness and meanness of the British Government stand more exposed when it is found that a ship on the high seas, in mid-ocean carrying £2,000 worth of spare parts for the radios was stopped and was not allowed to proceed to India. I can quote more instances like that. have got an entire book here, which shows the history of the British Press and the Wilson government's policy; I can show what they talked and how they behaved during this war. aggressor Pakistan, was the blue eyed boy of the British imperialists while thev called India the aggressor. How petty and mean they are. They did not stop with these things. Leave aside the war materials. We know it now. No nation including Pakistan and China had played so foul towards our nation as Mr. Wilson and his labour Government. I can understand China Pakistan because thev enemies. I can face them. This British imperialist government of Mr. Wilson always tried to befriend India and when the time came, when this nation was engaged in repelling naked aggression they stabbed us in the back. Just as history will condemn aggression, history will condemn this naked betrayal, this naked stabbing of the Indian people in the back when they were in the thick of the war.

I would now come to the other part of the story. I have read much about Mr. Wilson. He has written articles and also made long speeches. He says that he wants to befriend the peoples of the ex-colonies. British empires. He says he is a democrat; he opposed to dictatorship religious bigotry and theocratic obscurantism. He has made speeches and I wasted my time on reading some of them. Did he mean what he said? If he really meant them, if he really opposed dictatorship and theocratic obscurantism, why did support Pakistan's theocratic dictatorship? Is it anything else? Is it not the anti-thesis of what Mr. Wilson has been trying to tell us all the time? Why should he stand up against India which stands for all that he stands for, for a democracy, democracy? Mr. Wilson leads socialist government in his country. I want to remind Mr. Wilson and his labour government what his teacher Prof. Laski has said:

"The French revolution ended an era of despotism and made the right to vote as essential for a very civilised society as air to breaths and food to eat."

He condemned all societies where such a right was denied as an outgrowth of decadent and moribund mediavalism. I want Mr. Wilson and his friends in his cabinet to reply Is there any right to vote today in Pakistan, as Prof. Laski, his guru had told him? No. Then why does he defend the despotism of Rawalpindi As if this was no: enough, he mobilised the Press and all the other media publication to support Pakistani aggression and describe Indian action as invasion. All the BBC broadcasts were invariably loaded against India. I have before me the opinions of the British press and I go on quoting their hostile behaviour towards India who is a victim of aggression and appreciation of Pakistan who is an aggressor. I will give only two or three, Mr. Speaker, and no more. The liberal paper Guardian in its editorial on 7th September, "India persists on the road to ruin; Indians have again put themselves in the wrong by crossing the international boundary" and compares the Pak aggression in Jammu and Kashmir to our liberation of Goa from Portugal tyranny. The Daily Telegraph pleads for the concept of restricted self-determination offering the Kashmiris independence from India under some form of international or Commonwealth safeguards.' This is what they really want. They want Kashmir to be an international jumping ground for those imperialists to attack India or the USSR our friends or others whomsoever they like, whenever they like. The British newspapers have revived the old plea of independent Kashmir, guaranteed by the United Nations. They advocate that Moslem Kashmir should go to Islamic Pakistan although the first preference is for an independent Kashmir. Now, would come to one more such British paper, that is the left wing liberal British paper known as the New Statesman of which the present British High Commissioner in India, Mr. Freeman was editor for a long time. I am sorry I cannot bring that book where they have pointed a

cartoon telling us about non-violence and all that. I wish I could have shown that cartoon showing naked children. But their idea is clear fromwhat they have written.

"Praising the might of Pakistan Victor Anand explained the reasons for its rise and wrote—first, the total support that President Ayub has been given by his armed forces, the morale of the ranks has risen consistently and if this is any criterion then the claims that one Pakistan soldier is worth three Indians is no exaggeration."

I ask the ex-editor, the present editor and Mr. Wilson to see what has been the result.

Shri Daji (Indore): Even one Patton tank is not equal to three soldiers.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: It goes on:

"From what I can find out here it is my belief that it is upto Britain and Americans to have a fresh look at the Kashmir dispute—not India or Pakistan. So far India has been given a fair innings, and, on the available evidence, has made a pretty poor job of it. Is it too much to ask that Pakistan be allowed a say?"

They have given us a long innings and we have done badly; This is what the New Statesman says, a liberal paper, of which the present fligh Commissioner was the editor and the great Prime Minister, Wilson. supporter. He wants America and Britain to have a fresh look at Kashmir. What would he say if we say this about Wales, Scotland, Ulster and North Ireland? I now come to the more sordid story of the government and the British Press. Not satisfied with this, they have systematically and methodically tried to minimise the Chinese danger on [Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad]

the Sino-Indian frontier. I would have liked to quote the kind of things the British people, their press had said. They say that the danger of China is not much. That is what they plead with the USA. It is just possible that China wants to straighten up its frontier near Sikkim. That is what they say. They suggest to America that this danger is not much. Maybe, there may be a minor skirmish! In small matters, small skirmishes, India will take care of itself. Now, this is what they say. Our Prime Minister has said very clearly, come what may. this nation shall fight for its honour. We are not holding and we cannot hold, a begging bowl for Washington or London or anywhere. Whatever we have asked, supersonics and other things, they never gave them free; we did not beg from them. Whatever we have got we have got after due payment. Thanks to our Gnats, thanks to our ordnance factories, thanks to our Jawans who have now shown how worthless the Patton tanks are, how worthless the Sabre Jets are, the imperialist countries must have known by now how these mightiest weapons in the imperialist war are so worthless and how our nation has fought,

Now, the British Foreign Secretary, Mr. Stewart, when asked by Pressmen, "how do you feel about the Chinese danger?" replied-I should rather quote him. He wanted Chinese to pressurise India or to put India on her knees to surrender its sovereignty to Pakistan, the blueboys of the British. In answer to a question, he said: "It is possible that the realisation of the danger in this development may make people more eager, more anxious, to get a settlement." This is the reply of the British Foreign Secretary, to a question, "how do you feel about the Chinese danger?"

It is not only Indians, but a Sinologist, Mr. Victor Zorsa, who said "that Britain's stlence and Mr. Rusk's off-the-cuffs remark have given Peking the impression that the

western powers do not want to get involved in the Indo-Pakistani conflict even in the event of Chinese intervention." That is how British Government and the British people have systematically tried to see that the Chinese invasion should be there and we should be forced on knees to surrender sovereignty to Pakistan, the aggressor. the behaviour of This is Commonwealth's senior partner, who is supposed to be the Head of the Commonwealth. Everytime I go there, they ask us to drink to the toast which is proposed, not to our President, but to their Head, the Head of the Commonweaith. Everytime, we go there that is what is happening; we are a junior partner; we are subject to them; we are under them; we are subordinate to them!

Secondly, I will say that this attitude of the British towards Pakistan is not only for Pakistan itself too. It is very well known to the world that Americans are anti-Chinese. It is known to the world that the British and the British Government are DIO-Chinese. Why? Because their eves are on the vast potentialities of trade in the big Chinese land. Nothing more can be expected from a nation of this sort, a nation of shopkeepers. I have just a word for my United States friends too. We have that they have tried to dissociate themselves from this. But I want to tell them. Let the two nations, India and the United States, have their own relations and independent relation on independent level. Why do you want to route your foreign relations with India through dubious and selfish foreign office of petty islanders? Therefore, try to have your own independent foreign relations with this country and not try to route them through the selfish islanders. Hence, I would say that in the Security Council itself, they tried to put such a nasty and bad draft. I hope Shri Chagla could speak that out-how the British tried to have a big draft, invoking article 39 and 40, and trying to gag us. But I should like to point out that the Soviet Union did not use the veto; it is true. it certainly said that they (Russians) shall not allow this distinction to be made, when the British and others wanted this distinction-"that the attack on West Punjab is an aggression from India and the attack from Pakistan on Kashmir is aggression". This was the not an distinction to be made in the Security Council. I hope that my hon, friends would realise how the Soviet Ilnion, at that critical juncture, did not allow that draft to go through, and therefore they are our friends. I thank the Prime Minister—this House agrees-for having accepted the good offices offered to us by Mr. Kosygin. Let Mr. Kosygin persuade this worst child of the United Nations, Pakistan, to go and sit round the table. We would be too willing to go there and do that.

I thank them. I should also thank the wholeheartedly country Malaysia, a nation that is small, but yet large in its heart. Malaysia is now facing the Indonesian paratroopers. Nine months before, I was in Malaysia, in Kuala Lumpur, and I knew how these Indonesian perialists were trying to destroy that beautiful nation. I thank Malaysia, which out of her own experience, has offered us support in our cause.

I now beg of our Prime Minister-I hope he knows the mind of the House, and this House, by clappings says that India should sever its connection with the Commonwealth. This House says it. Let the Prime Minister take courage, ask the friends in the Commonwealth, to expel this naughty member of the Commonwealth, Britain, from the Commonwealth of Nations. If he can do that, I am prepared withdraw my resolution, but let him promise that he would try to expel it. This is the time to do that. Of course, our agonies are there. We feel very much distressed by their manner.

As I said, I moved this resolution on the ground that circumstances have proved beyond doubt that this artificial link must go. The circumstances have proved beyond doubt that they are hostile to us, the British. The British divided India; divided Ireland; they tried to divide the United States of America in Lincoln's war; it encourages racialism in British Guiana and in South Africa and she tried to. impose white rule on South Rhodesia and tried racialism in its own country. It should now be decided to go out of the Commonwealth, and you should. say, "Thank you very much; nothing doing with you."

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shr! Bhagwat Jha Azad: I shall finish in two minutes. I want to remind this House of one thing. The British who have divided all these countries and have till now also played this racialism in its own country, have now done one thing: their number here has gone two and a half times more, but they have put a restriction on our entry there. It is, therefore, time that the Prime Minister courage like Nasser to nationalise all the British assets in this country. We should start with the nationalisation of the tea plantations, the jute plantations and Burmah-Shell. I ask the Prime Minister to "top that agency-Reuters -to whom the All India Radio is giving a big chunk, and have our own organisation-PTI and others-to have a free and impartial collection and dissemination of news and other matters. I ask the Prime Minister to take us out of the shameful association of an imperialist nation which has outraged us and is still all around us.

I therefore beg of the House to accept this resolution, with only one hope—if they want, let them uccept a suitable substitute motion—that the Britishers, the British people and the British Government should be expelled from the comity of nations of the Commonwealth.

Mr. Speaker: Resolution moved:

"This House is of opinion that India should quit the Commonwealth of Nations."

Shri Karni Singhji (Bikaner): Sir, I have my resolution, but it has not been circulated.

Mr. Speaker: He may move it in due course. The substitute motions may now be moved.

Shri Shree Narayan Das: I beg to move:

That for the original resolution, the following be substituted namely:-

"This House is of opinion that in view of the present international situation the Government should immediately review the desirability or otherwise of continuing to be a member of the Commonwealth of Nations." (1)

Shri Yashpal Singh: I beg to move:

That in the resolution,-

before "This House" insert-

"In view of Britain's attitude in the present Indo-Pak conflict,". (2)

Shri A. C. Guha (Barasat): I beg to move:

That for the original resolution, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House is of opinion that the Government should reconsider the question of the desirability and the utility of India continuing to be a member of the Commonwealth of Nations." (3).

Shri Bibhuti Mishra (Motihari): I beg to move:

That for the original resolution, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House is of opinion that as it is against the interests of

India to continue to be a member of the Commonwealth of Nations, she should quit the Commonwealth immediately." '(4).

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha (Barh): I beg to move:

That for the original resolution, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House deplores the attitude taken by the Government of U.K., in regard to Pakistan's aggression on India and considers that the time has come to review India's position in the Commonwealth and the advisability of continuing as a partner of this association of nations." (5)

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated-Anglo-Indians): I beg to move:

That for the original resolution, the following be substituted, namely:-

"This House is of the opinion that Government should reconsider India's membership of the Commonwealth when a calmer atmosphere prevails." (6).

Shri Karni Singhji: That for the original resolution, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House is of opinion that in view of Britain partisan attitude towards Pakistan in the present Indo-Pakistan conflict in the face of obvious facts, it is high time India considered the severance of her ties with the Commonwealth." (7)

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): I beg to move:

That in the resolution,-

add at the end-

"or alternatively recommends to the British Commonwealth to expel the U.K. Government from the Commonwealth".

Shri P. K. Deo: Mr. Speaker, Sir, we, the Members of the Swatantra Party, welcome the cessation of hostilities. I congratulate the Prime Minister on his unique determination and his statesmanship in accepting the proposals of the Security Council and for having agreed to a simple cease-fire. I congratulate the Prime Minister on his timely call to the various opposition parties for their support. way in which spontaneous support came from all sections of the people is really unprecedented. The entire nation stood as a man behind him, because we know our objective is very We have no territorial ambition. We have no axe to grind. We mean Pakistan all well. As pointed out by my leader our objective is limited. We do not want to conquer Pakistan. We want to teach Pakistan a very good lesson, how she should behave with her neighbours.

Mr. Speaker: This discussion will continue upto 4-30 p.m. when the Prime Minister will reply.

12.31 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair].

Shri P. K. Deo: Sir, I take this opportunity to congratulate Mr. Chagla for having put up India's case very ably and properly in the Security Council.

It is a good thing that 22 days of grim fight and full-scale war has come to an end. We have seen that most of the ground and air forces of both the countries went into full operation. Pakistan even used its navy to bombard our Saurashtra coast and the casualties were mostly civilian. saw many precious lives being lost. Civilians, peasants, children, women, sick and wounded have been very barbarously bombed by the Pakistani bombers. They used the Napalm bomb, which is most inhuman and barbaric. They did not stop bombing even after the cease-fire has been implemented. All these go to prove the sinister designs of Pakistan against India. I deem it a privilege to join with the entire nation to pay my tribute for the supreme sacrifice, devotion to duty, courage and determination and leadership, shown by our jawans. The bravery and supreme sacrifice of persons like Havildar Abdul Hamid, Lt. Col. H. L. Mehta and Lt. Col. Ajit Singh and others will be written in golden letters in the annals of this country.

The Swatantra Party has all along stood behind the Prime Minister. On more than one occasion, we have suggested that-and we still stand by itthat the right to defend is the right to retaliate, even if we may have to cross the frontier and face the enemy in his own land, whether it is Vietnam or Punjab. High hopes had been raised in this country that this Kashmir question will be solved once for all and the nation was prepared for the supreme sacrifice. Even though we did not achieve, that objective, it is a fact that our jawans have broken the teeth of the Pakistan army and more or less brought Pakistan to its knees. Today the Indian tricolour is flying near the Gate of Lahore and Sialkot. We have captured very very strategic posts in Kashmir. These are our significant achievements. Regarding the clash of tanks that took place, never in recent years has such a thing happened, except in El Alamein in the second World War. Our jawans have proved their mettle in Kasur. Pasrur and Burki by their significant victory over Pakistan.

In this regard, I would like to quote what my leader the tallest living Indian today—Rajaji—says:

"India's commitment to peace is unaltered and firm. But the cease-fire can be on a firm basis only if there is a frank and full admission on the part of Pakis'an of its offence besides restitution for damage done and a pledge of non-repetition of the tactics and activities that led to the present unfortunate open conflict."

[Shri P. K. Deo]

We very I stand by these words. well know the sinister designs of Pakistan. During the attack on Kutch, Pakistan used the Patton tanks. At that time, the United Kingdom used its good offices for a cease-fire. the ink had dried on that cease-fire agreement, there was this further infiltration of armed Pakistanis into our Kashmir border. I pay my tribute and I congratulate the patriotic Kashmiris who stood by the side of India. It is because of their timely help and cooperation that we were able to apprehend most of the infiltrators. goes to prove very well how Kashmiris feel that Kashmir is a part of India and how they will always fight and make sacrifices for the preservation of their motherland. What more evidence is required?

Had the United States given a proper warning to Pakistan when the Patton tanks were used in Kutch, probably the situation would not have aggravated. But they remained silent spectators to it. When Pakistan's bid to send infiltrators and create chaos in Kashmir was folled by our timely intervention, they escalated the war to the international border and they used a full division of 70 Patton tanks in Chhamb and Jaurian sectors. This compelled India to open a front in Lahore so that the pressure in Chhamb and Jaurian could be relieved. have no intention to capture Lahore. We could have captured Lahore, in a few hours, but we did not want to create problems. We just wanted to relieve the pressure in Chhamb and Jaurian sectors and that objective has been achieved.

We are very sorry that in spite of the assurances given by the successive Presidents of the United States that on no occasion the various arms supplied to Pakistan by them would be used against India, those arms have been used. I am sorry to say that there has not been a public denouncement up till now from any responsible quarter either in the State Department or in

the Pentagon of the use of American arms against India. Pakistan has been armed to the tune of 20 billion dollars of military hardware by America, because they thought that Pakistan is a bulwark of democracy and it could put a stop to the expansion of Chinese communism in the world.

But, Sir, in spite of the flirting by Pakistan with China, our American friends did not open their eyes. We are very sorry to say that they tried to equate both the aggressor and the aggressed till the last minute. However, at the same time, I congratulate our American friends, because when there was a threat from China they came out openly and said that they would come out openly with arms aid if there was any Chinese aggression on India.

So far as USSR is concerned, I beg to submit that USSR has been very consistent in her approach towards the Kashmir problem. But. Sir, lately we are surprised at the soft-pedalling of the Kashmir problem in the Security Council debate by USSR.

My hon, friend, Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad has made out a very strong case that India should come out from the Commonwealth of nations. In this regard, I would like to point out that it is not 'British Commonwealth of nations' today, it is only 'Commonwealth of nations'. Therefore, the character of the British Commonwealth of nations has completely changed. The present Commonwealth of nations, whose main architect is Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, is such that it is just a club where every member has got equal rights. It is not the sole property of the Britishers (Interruptions). Now the entire character of the Commonwealth has been changed by the emerging African and Asian free nations. So many countries in Africa and Asia have befree and they come equal partners in the Common-Therefore, I do not think that we should feel small or think of coming out of the Commonwealth of nations. Sir, to be isolated does not help anybody. Has Indonesia gained any point by coming out from the United Nations? I do not think, Now we should utilise this international forum to press our view point and try to convert other people to our side.

Sir, in this regard I would like to submit that this aspect should be discussed in a sober mood. The entire country has been agitated now. We are very unhappy at the unfriendly attitude of the United Kingdom. The attitude of the United Kingdom has given a very rude shock to the entire nation and we are not happy about it But the solution is not that we should go out from the Commonwealth of nations. There should be a dispassionate appraisal of the entire situation in a cooler atmosphere.

Coming to the question of cease fire, I make this earnest request that we should not repeat the folly of 1949. We should not accept a line which is utterly indefensible. This period has unfolded, the experience of 16 years has proved, that the line which considered to be a cease-fire line is actually an indefensible line. There have been constant violations of ceasefire. There have been infiltrations going on. We know how difficult it is to stop them. We know how many times attempts have been made from the Pakistan side to cut the vital lifeline to Ladakh in the Kargil border. We know very well that the cease-fire line can never function effectively if we accept the 1949 line. Therefore, in this regard, this is the unanimous demand of this House, I believe, that there should be no occasion of withdrawal from the position we have gained in the Kashmir front. categorical answer is demanded from the Prime Minister, I request the Prime Minister to make a categorical statement that under no circumstances our jawans will be asked to withdraw from these positions like Kishan-Ganga, Uri Poonch, Titwal, Haji Pir Pass and Kargil area.

I would like to submit, in this regard, that we should be realistic. The Prime Minister himself, in his statement on the 16th, in this House, has categorically stated "We could possibly revert to a situation in which we may find ourselves once again unable to prevent infiltrations or to deal effectively with those who had already come in." We have seen that in spite of the guarantee of the United Nations, in spite of the posting of the U.N. Observers, this infiltration has been going on and it could not be properly dealt with. Therefore, we will not be able to deal with it properly if we accept this line of 1949.

Coming to our foreign policy, I think it is high time now that we re-orient our foreign policy. The experience of this war has completely exploded the myth of non-alignment. I would like to ask, anybody who can convince me, where our friends of non-alignment have gone, where our friends of Afrosolidarity have gone. Our Asian friends have vanished into thin air. Not a single country, except Malaysia and Singapore—we are grateful them-has come up. In this regard, I would like to submit that when Malaysia was in trouble, when Malaysia was being confronted by the Indonesian expansionist designs, similar reciprocal gesture was not shown from this side. I would like to point out that our foreign policy should be in the closest proximity to USA and USSR, and we should fully take advantage of the anti-Chinese policy of USA and USSR. If an understanding could be reached, then I am sure there would be peace for at least a hundred years in this globe.

I want to take this opportunity to point out some of the lacunae in our publicity side. Even though we won in the battle field, Pakistan has stolen a march ahead of us so far as publicity in foreign countries is concerned. Sir, there has been this dual fight going on between the publicity section of the Defence Ministry and the Press Information Bureau which is a pamper-

[Shri P. K. Dea]

7459

ed child of the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting. There should better co-ordination. The way our Indian correspondents and the foreign correspondents were ill treated in the front lines is not proper. I beg to submit, Sir, that India has got a very good case. There should be a proper person who can project India's case properly in foreign countries and draw sympathy from them. It is most unfortunate that we try to commit the same blunder, we try to send such persons to represent our case who make a mess of it. We still send persons like Shri Krishna Menon who instead of creating friends creates more enemies to whichever country he goes. Sir, he was the man for whom we lost the Chinese battle, the hero of the Chinese debacle, who betrayed our army. Such people are being sent. It is our misfortune. In this regard I beg to submit that truth and righteousness are God is on on our side. righteouswhere there is truth and ness. I am reminded of a sloka from the Bhagwat Gita, in fact the last sloka which says:

'यत्र योगेश्वरः कृष्णो' यत्र पार्थो धनुर्धरः तत्र श्रीवित्रयो भृतिभ्वा नीर्तिमतिममं ॥

Where there is truth and where there is righteousness, there is God Himself. Otherwise, how could we believe that our hand grenades could destroy the Patton tanks or our Gnats could destroy the Sabre jets. It was possible only because of the grace of God. As God and truth are on our side, they must prevail and we must win with our brave fighting men. The result cannot be anything other than victory.

Lastly, regarding Kashmir I will say one sentence. Kashmir is not a debating point or a matter under litigation. It is India's domestic problem. If there are any differences they could be easily ironed out by the people of Kashmir and our Government. There is no question of any third party coming into the picture.

We should not be complacent because the war is over. The enemy is still bombing our civilian population. We should be vigilant on all fronts, both on food and other domestic fronts. In this regard I beg to submit that the entire nation is behind the Prime Minister. So, he should take the entire nation into confidence and try to build a more prosperous and stronger India.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, it was a delight a little while ago to listen to our ardent Congress colleague, Shri Bhagwat Azad, flagellating the historic hypocrisy of the British ruling class the present Labour Prime Mr. Wilson, though he puts on sheep's skin of British Socialism which is a shadow of something that never was, is still equally representative of his predecessors of the British ruling class. Shri Azad brought a whiff of fresh air into the discussion in House. But I was really a little perturbed when I heard my very friend, Shri Alvares, who made, I fear, a somewhat funereal opening, as far as this discussion was concerned.

Here is a discussion which we needed very badly. The Prime Minister has made a statement the other day, a dignified, restrained but powerful statement. But it needs to be spelt out so that the country's stand, the stand of Parliament behind the Prime Minister, can be properly stressed.

Sir, our people have been roused in unity, as never before, by latest perfidious aggression from Pakistan. Our heroic armed forces on land and air have compelled Pakistan, however unwillingly, to agree to President cessation of hostilities. Ayub still seems to be letting out bellicose yells but perhaps he too has learnt a lesson. If aggression again Pakistan, or from comes from ally China, or if there is an attack on our interests or on our integrity by Pakistan's wily patrons from the their CENTO, West with SEATO and other vile instruments of international banditry, this country, let there be no mistake about it, will meet their challenge and meet the situation squarely.

And we say this with confidence because we can recall with the combination which was arrayed against us till the other day-Pakistan, and of course China, and even the Western allies of Pakistan, all united in their objective of putting pressure on India in order that we may accept Pakistan's blackmailing conditions for peace. But Indian fighting man and his valour proved superior to the sophisticated machines from imperialist countries. Our jawans performed what can be called a literal massacre of the Patton tanks, the much-vaunted Patton tanks, on which Pakistan depended to give us a telling blow. This reminds me what a pity it is that the States, whose hands are soiled with Indian blood, could persuade our Government to withdraw from exhibition the Patton tanks Delhi citizens were thronging to see. I am told that the Defence Ministry spokesman had announced in the beginning that the Patton tanks would be exhibited not only in Delhi but in many other places in country. But, for some mysterious reason, the tank has been taken away and even the papers do not report the fact of a tank having been on exhibition for a short while and then withdrawn from public show.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: We want to see that Patton tank.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It may be laid on the Table.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: The reasons for such conduct can be guessed and discussed but I am leaving it to the Government to say something in this regard.

This will help to tell ourselves, to remind the country that on this occasion all communities have combined, joined hands together. In 1947-48

when Pakistan had committed gression against Kashmir the Indian martyr to get Param Vir Chakra was Brigadier Usman. And on this occasion Havildar Abdul Hamid Khan has been given the Param Vir Chakra, I am reminded of what heard sometime ago, and I quoted it the other day in this House and I am only repeating it, that the Muslim in India belongs to this country, and on behalf of a Muslim it was said once in my hearing and it stuck to memory that when a Hindu dies his body is burnt and the ashes thrown into the river to be carried God knows where but when a Muslim dies he wants 6'x3' of Indian earth. He belongs to this country in life well as death. The Muslim in India. the Muslim in Kashmir and the Muslim in other parts of the country have shown that they belong to this country in life as well as in death.

Our people have shown courage. One of our colleagues, whom I do not see here, had shown characteristically stoic courage. His only child was martyred during this war and he comes to Parliament and performs his duties like every other Member, Sori Hari Pada Chatterjee. These are deeds which will be written with a sun beam on the scrolls of Indian history and nothing will ever efface the memory of this kind of thing.

As far as Pakistan is concerned. she has committed what I am constrained to call cretain barbarous deeds. Bombing by Pakistan taken place even after the ccase-fire was in operation. Napalm bombs secured from the United States. source of all recent international abomination, have been used on Indian civilians by Pakistan. Attack on noncombatant passenger plane which was carrying Balwant Rai Mehtaji, that was mounted by Pakistan. Bombs on hospitals and places of worship have been rained with impunity by Pakistan. Apart from the treacherous uggression which the United Nations has not thought fit to single out to con[Shri H. N. Mukerjee].

demn, all these things have been done by Pakistan and we should tell the world about it. The world do not know many things, which is why perhaps many of our friends even not understand what we are about. We should make a special effort in order to be able to tell the world how Pakistan has been behaving politically, militarily, ethically, in every human sense, how the rulers of Pakistan have been behaving in this most egregious fashion and yet their patrons in the UK and the United States are standing by them in a way which is so very patent.

But there is another Pakistan, not merely the Pakistan which is represented by Ayubshahi. My hon friend, the Minister of Defence, made a very statesmanlike statement the other day refusing to extend the fighting to East Pakistan in spite of Pakistan having bombed Barrackpore near Calcutta and certain other places in West Bengal and Assam. That was a very statesmanlike statement.

13 hrs.

We have to remember also that the people in Pakistan, the overmajority of Muslims in whelming East Pakistan, also behaved wonderfully. President Ayub was shouting: "Islam is in danger". That was the historic war cry of jehad. Yet, in East Pakistan there was communal peace; and, even more, there was open disavowal of the war with India. On the other side, far to the West, Pakhtoonistan also the jehad trick did not work. There the movement is led by an indomitable hero of our freedom movement, united Ghaffar Khan. Let the Government of hand of extend our my country friendship to these elements in Pakistan. They would come to the surface; they are bound to come to the surface, for a military dictatorship like that of President Ayub can take anything but they can never take a military defeat. They are heading for disaster. This is only the beginning of the end of the kind of Ayubshahi which is searing in such ugly fashion the history of our sub-continent. To that end the energies of our Government in the proper diplomatic fashion has got to be directed.

Meanwhile of course, the image of Ayub-led Pakistan shines brightly in the eyes of its powerful and persistent patrons from the West, the UK and the United States. I have said this before in this House and I repeat it that the United Kingdom has never yet forgiven us our freedom. They did not mind Pakistan being nominally independent. India has many faults. Our Government has made many mistakes and has many weaknesses. But India, whoever sits on the Treasury Benches, unlike present-day Pakistan, will never consent to be put in anybody's pocket, be it the UK or the USA or anybody else. That why, knowing very well that India has a tradition to live up to, India has some principles in conformity with which her 5,000 years' life has so far been constructed that India never be in anybody's pocket, Indian freedom is a phenomenon which has never been forgiven in the West and that is why we find the UK behaving in the egregious way it has been.

Ever since 1947, ever since Imperialism extracted from us the incalculable price of partition before it transferred power, Kashmir has been sought to be exploited against us. Kashmir in our classical texts is bhooswarga, a "heaven on earth"; but that has been sought to be turned into hell. If you look up the history, which much too sordid, of the UK-US combine in regard to Kashmir ever since 1947-48-the role of Mountbatten, of General Auchinleck, of a United States Brigadier called Haight and others—it is a dirty and dismal story whose hangover persists. That is why today we have the problem in the shape that it faces us.

The UK has shown—my honfriend, Bhagwat Jha Azad showed in beautifully simple and lucid terms—egregious, shameless partisanship. Mr. Wilson has shown—he had the temerity, I want to say, to show—a shabby attitude towards our High Commissioner. He puts on the cloak of British socialism. That is why he behaves like this. If he has an idea, like the burra sahibs of old whose mantle he has inherited, that he can order India about, let our Parliament ten him that Mr. Wilson can put that in his pipe and smoke it.

The wonderful aid that comes from the UK and the USA, that wonderful aid they were going to stop; they had suspended that aid because we had committed a crime, because we were trying to defend ourselves against aggression. The UK and the USA behaved in this particular fashion. is the unstrung aid which they give us! We had begged the US for some F-104 fighter planes, but we were told that our pilots were not good enough for such sophisticated stuff. We begged for a submarine, but we were though we had a manytold that thousand mile coastline, we did not need a Navy at all. And, all the time Pakistan got those F-104 planes, Patton tanks, submarines and, God knows what other enormity. These countries, supposed to be our benefactors, were thought to be assisting us without needed the searing strings; but it experience of the last few weeks for us to learn that even their economic aid for whatever it is worth was not without strings, that in order to punish us for our effrontery in wishing to defend our honour and our integrity as an independent country, we were to be penalised most drastically. No wonder that there is today a powerful clamour in all patriotic circles that we should no longer remain a member of that mockery and make-believe, the Commonwealth of Nations. With men like Jomo Kenyatta and Julius Nyerere we can be genuinely friendly without having to go to St. James and do a lot of bowing and scraping princes and queens and performing all

the other ceremonial functions which are necessitated by these Commonwealth Conferences and that kind of thing. This is an issue which has been before the country for many years and after what has happened it becomes imperative that we quit the Commonwealth. I need not say more after what Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad has said.

I am glad also that Shri Azad referred to the role of the press in the United Kingdom and the United States and the role of the Broadcasting Corporation which talked about the Kashmir events as a "people's revolt" against India. We have noticed also the sanctimonious hypocrisy of papers like the London Times the Manchester Guardian and such professional progressive periodicals like the New Statesman and Nation We have seen also the American magazines like Time and Newsweek. I defy any Member of Parliament to go to our Library, a few paces away, look up the available issues of Time and Newsweek, and his blood would boil to see the kind of thing that is written there. Our jawans fighting at the front, some of them, read this kind of magazine-they look so slick and so good to the eye and that sort thing: they have all kinds of saucy things to present to the reader-but they have felt like tearing up these things and throwing them away. We also feel that way.

We are a very patient and a very polite people. These pressmen from the powerful western countries have the run of the land. They sit there and elsewhere as if they own country and send despatches that are lies, and are immoral. I wish something is done so that in the name of free reporting such enormities are not allowed to be perpetrated. I think, the Speaker of this House has certain rights in this regard and he can see to it that our galleries are clean of those people who report in the wrongest possible manner only because of certain ulterior motives.

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

The Security Council Resolution is before us. It has a number of defects which, I am sure, the Prime Minister will take steps to rectify. It suffers from the initial sin of not having done its duty of condemning Pakistan the aggressor in this case. Pakistan, the victim of aggression and the aggressor, have been almost equa-As far as the Resolution goes, infiltration is not a matter which is mentioned but we are in danger because of the pressure of infiltration which took place and which process our Defence Minister has not yet been able entirely to eliminate and wipe out from our land.

There is a reference to the 5th August line. The Prime Minister has said in his letter of September 14—I am quoting his words—

"....when consequent upon cease-fire becoming effective, further details are considered, we shall not agree to any disposition which will leave the door open for further infiltrations or prevent us from dealing with the infiltrations that have taken place."

This is something to which I wish to pin down the Prime Minister in a very friendly fashion so that he gives a renewed assurance that he stands by this categoric assurance. He has told us and the world that the Kashmir issue is not going to be allowed to be We are not going to have re-opened. a settlement with Pakistan over Kashmir with a number of busy-bodies about us from the United Nations of Nations the kind which the United We has supplied previously. have our own settlement and that is why the Prime Minister is ready to meet in Tashkent in order to We can a settlement with Pakistan. settle it ourselves. That is why we can any other meet in Tashkent or in place, even in Tinbuctoo, whatever the place might be-we are ready to meet Pakistan-and discuss the matter and come to a settlement. Pakistan has known that militarily it cannot get

Kashmir. Pakistan has got to have a settlement in a civilised manner. That settlement cannot come through the instrumentality of the United Nations as it is, at present, constituted, and that is why we shall have a settlement on our own as far as our two countries are concerned.

It is also necessary for us to intensify our diplomatic fence. We have to reach out to the people of Pakistan and explain the origin of India's crossing into the plains of West Punjab and our intentions as to the future. We have to explain to people in Pakistan and in other countries the solid secular democratic policy which have been trying to persue. Occasionally, there have been some viations. In West Bengal, I have heard of some indiscriminate arrests of Muslims and that sort of a thing. Suspicion is always a very uncertain quantity and I do hope that on account of mere suspicion Muslims are not made to feel as if they are unwanted. They have shown by their conduct, by and large, that they stand by the country as much as anybody else.

Shri Ranga: They are treated well by us,

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: We have also to tell the world about it and that is why, in spite of what my friend Mr. Deo said here. I am very glad Mr. Krishna Menon has been sent to Cairo in order to convey whatever points the Prime Minister wanted him to convey to President Nasser. We have also to tell the United Nations and other forces that it is not merely the legal case in regard to Kashmir which is important. The United Nations is not a legal body however much we present legal arguments in regard to our position about Kashmir. The total picture is more important. Our stand for decency versus dictatorship and all the concomitant evils which Ayubshahi represents has to be made clear.

Again we cannot spurn our friend like the Indian Government sometimes seems to do. There is the German Democratic Republic which was the first among the western countries in Europe to support us against China. But even today we treat the G.D.R. rather shabbily.

Then, again the final settlement between India and Pakistan which we want-the Prime Minister said the other day that ultimately there has got to be a friendly settlement between our two countries-has to be a solution acceptable to both and it is good from that point of view we have accepted the good offices of the Soviet Union. The role of the U.S.S.R. has something which, I think. we can all applaud, and the Prime Minister himself did so, but I am sorry that Shri Alvares made a rather graceless reference to this matter as he bracketed quite unwarrantedly, the Soviet titude along with the attitude other powers. certain. The Soviet Union have given us aid. They have promised us more. In times of crisis, they were the only whom we had among the great powers. They have a principled stand. That is why we can rely upon whatever help they can give. But let me also say at the same time that we cannot expect our chestnuts to be pulled out of the fire by some other States. The lesson we have to learn is the lesson of self-The Soviet Union is friend no doubt, but we shall rely on ourselves. We shall continue to be alert and always prepared. We should build and strengthen our defence industry. Our Bangalore-manufactured gnate have beaten the celebrated sabre jets and we should remember Jawaharlal Nehru, and why not?even Mr. Krishna Menon and Mr. K. D. Malaviya for the contribution they made forwards the setting up of the defence industry.

In the rear which is most important, there has to be a check on the black-guard elements which would come up: communalist chauvinism has got to be checked, and profiteers and hoarders who even in this critical time try to do some damage in regard

to our food situation have to be checked and the monopolists who gloat over it when war comes and shelve any attempt to give some satisfaction to their working people have also to be checked. Production has got to be kept at a very high level of efficiency. On the production front our fight should continue, while so long it was on the battle-front. The Indians who toil in fields and factories, the Indian workers, who are the salt of the Indian earth, must be first and foremost in our thoughts. re-forge our links with our own If we do that, if we satisfy people. the aspirations of our people, who are not asking for the moon, who only want a decent way of living, if we satisfy our own people and keep in check the blackguardly elements, communal and economic, who are disturbing the atmosphere, then and then alone shall we gather the strength and say, as the Prime Minister has said, "come the world against us, our people shall win".

Shri Ansar Harvani (Bisauli): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, at the very outset let me congratulate the Prime Minister for the bold and dynamic leadership that he gave to this country at this hour of crisis. This frail little man has proved the real successor and heir of the liberator of Jawaharlal this country, Pandit Nehru. When history will be written, the verdict of history will be that India was liberated by Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru but India's liberty was defended bravely and heroically by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri.

I have often pointed out on the floor of this House that in spite of 18 years' freedom, Pakistan has not achieved nationhood. Pakistan's nationalism, its leadership, its history, its culture, etc. is nothing but hatred for India. The retreating British imperialists by leaving this country divided have created a dagger on the heart of India. We have to meet the danger that we are facing from Pakistan. That danger is there and that danger will continue. We know it very well

[Shri Ansar Harvani]

that when India was partitioned against the will of the Indian people. we thought that when the two democratic and sovereign States have come to stay, they will live in peace like two good neighbours and will work for the freedom, for the peace and for the prosperity of the people. But in a year or so, the Pakistani hordes in the shape of the tribal raiders invaded the beautiful valley of Kashmir and our heroic army put them away. Then, the United Nations came in and the cease-fire was affected. We thought that that cease-fire will be honoured. But it was more dishonoured than honoured. From time to time, they kept on committing aggression. We often say, it is Pakistan's naked aggression against this country. I say that Pakistan has been continuing its aggression for the last 17 years—this aggression is not new.

It is often being reminded to us by Great Britan and the United States of America that we had promised plebiscite. We had promised it on the basis that the aggression on the socalled occupied Kashmir will be vacated. The United Nations has failed to get the aggression vacated. has been 17 years and within these 17 years we had three rounds of elections in the State of Jammu and Kashmir where they elected the Government which was for the merger with India. Today, Jammu and Kashmir is a part and parcel of India and no power on earth, whether it may be the entire United Nations, whether it may be the United States of America, whether it may be the United Kingdom, can take it away from us. That is what our Prime Minister has already declared. The Kashmir question is closed and it cannot be opened. This House, here and now, should strengthen the hands of the Minister by telling him that no talks can be held on Kashmir. Kashmir is as much part of India as Madras or Bombey or Maharashtra.

We had a long-drawn fight. In this fight, a number of our jawans and a number of our officers have laid down their lives. I pay my humble homage to them. Pakistan had completely miscalculated when they attacked this great country of ours. They calculated that the moment 5000 armed soldiers will reach the beautiful valley of Kashmir, the people of Kashmir will rise in their support. But what did they find? The Indian people living in Kashmir gave heroic fight to the infiltrators from Pakistan. The Government headed by Mr. Sadiq stood solidly like a rock, for the defence of this country and for the defence of the valley of Kashmir. As U. Thant mentioned in his report, Pakistan calculated that there will be communal troubles in both the countries. But what did we actually find?

The Hindus, the Muslims, the Sikhs, the Parsies and all the communities in this country stood like a rock for the defence of this country. Probably the mad rulers of Pakistan forgot that this country of ours is as much of Muslims as it is of Hindus. I say here and now that this country is as much of myself as it is of Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri or Shri or Shri Swaran Singh or Shri Frank Anthony. In fact, you will forgive me if I say that it is more of mine and Frank Anthony's for we will claim, three yards in this holy land of ours to consign our mortal remains. Therefore, if Pakistan thought that Muslims, the Christians and the other minorities would not stand by country they were falsified in their infil'rations.

This aggression of India has shaken our faith in the Commonwealth. I do not want to talk much on it: Mr. Azad has strongly pleaded the case of withdrawal of our membership from the Commonwealth; he has been supported very ably by Shri H. N. Mukerjee. Let us tell the United Kingdom that the days of Clives and Hastings are over and that these are the days of Nehru and Lal Bahadur Shastri. We-

are not going to be cowed down by those people who lived in the days of Curzon and Willingdon. We suffered enough humiliation in the last 300 years and we are not prepared to suffer any more humiliation from them. If they compel us, we will get out of the Commonwealth; we will not continue in that humiliating condition in which they want us to stay.

Militarily we have won against Pakistan. The cease-fire is there. Our heroic jawans are coming back their hearth and homes. Our factories are producing for our people. Now, a mightly diplomatic offensive has got to be launched. The Minister of External Affairs is here and I shall appeal to him that he should gear up his Ministry; he should gear up his diplomatic channels. Unfortunately, in the past, most of the diplomatic assignment were given to those people who were mostly file-pushers or district magistrates. This gigantic task cannot be carried on by those ex-district magistrates and file-pushers. People in public life should be taken into confidence and should be sent ot various countries. I congratuthe hon. Minister of External lato Affairs on his having sent Shri Krishna Menon to the United Arab Republic. There are other colleagues who can be sent to Asian and African countries to explain the Indian case to them. The Indian case is very sound; it only needs to be explained and it can be explained by those who have faith in India and by those who have faith in Indian policies and programmes. Therefore, the Minister of External Affairs will do well to take this fact into his notice.

For years together it has been brought to our notice that the publicity of the Ministry of External Affairs is very weak. This war has proved that. Often in defence it has been said: it is not what is publicised, but how the publicity is received that is important. I do not agree with that viewpoint. I believe it is the person who publicises who is more important. Therefore, persons with guts,

persons with idealism, should be sent abroad.

Once again before I conclude I want to warn the rulers of Pakistan and tell them that every Indian Muslim man, woman and child is prepared to lay down his or her life for the defence of this ancient country of ours.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): I associate myself with the sentiments expressed by the Movers of the two-Resolutions before us. But I would confine myself, on account of paucity of time, only to the U.N. Resolution which, it seems to me, is a source of great distress and disillusionment. The U.N. Resolution shows a complete lack of appreciation of the facts of the situation-either deliberate or unwitting. It shows a complete disregard of the compelling merits of the Indian case. The U.N. Resolution shows a rare indifference to the proved fact of aggression by Pakistan. It shows that our case over the years has not been adequately explained in spi'e of the very eloquent exposition recently by Mr. Chagla. What we are paying for is the accumulated indifference and neglect towards the projection and presentation of case in an adequate and worthy manner over the years. It seems that the projection of our case has been altogether ineffective and unimaginative. I have been told times without number by responsible legislators in different countries of the world 85 well as by diplomats representing various countries that they have not been told the facts of the case in an adequate and persuasive manner. Obviously we find that, in the whole range of the United Nations, we have no country other than Malaysia and Singapore outside the United Nations, to understand our point of view. While we cannot but deplore the situation, we must also do a bit of introspection in regard to our external publicity and our diplomacy. It seems that all the chanceries of the world, and the foreign offices have

SEPTEMBER 24, 1965

[Dr. L. M. Singhvi]

shown a complete lack of undersinding on this vital issue affecting Kashmir. While our jawans made decisive thrusts at grave risks to their lives during the Pakistani aggression, we were not able to project their heroism, their deeds of valour and their conspicuous successes to the world large. While our Army and Air Force vindicated the honour of our motherland and inflicted crushing strategic defeats on the war-machine of Pakistan, Pakistan gave out to the world at large that they were a small. embattled but brave country, being bullied by a larger country. This is an unfortunate state of affairs and I think those concerned with the state public opinion in the world must take a serious notice of this. we were really inflicting these defeats militarily on them they were giving the impression that it was Pakistanis who were chasing us around. Pakishas camouflaged its aggression against us by projecting an image of injured innocence. We have-it qui'e evident-a marvellous case on Kashmir, but that case has been not properly explained and publicized before the bar of world public opinion; that case has been lost in the grooves of prejudice; not only prejudice, it has also been little under-Stood because of our omission to publicise our case adequately.

I welcome the statement made by the hon. Prime Minister and supplemented by Mr. Chagla, that what we have accepted is a simple cease-fire. Evidently we have accepted to cease hostilities in the interest of peace, but we are not, and cannot be, in agreement with what the great powers might propose for us or the way in which they propose to dispose Kashmir. This is welcome as far as it goes, but there is deep anxiety for we are aggrieved and baffled by the U.N. Resolution which runs counter to the Indian position. Mr. Chairman, it seems to me that we must, first of all, analyse what the United Nations' Resolution seeks to do. Its objectionable features mainly are: that it does not take into account Lt. General Nimmo's Report and Report of the Secretary-General in which the fact of aggression by Pakistan is definitely noted; another objectionable feature is that it links a simple cessation of hostilities with a withdrawal of armed personnel to the positions occupied on the 5th of August; another objectionable feature is the omission—this is the most objectionable of all-to record finding that Pakistan has been guilty of aggression; the fourth objectionable feature is that it reiterates the proposition that political settlement would be sought to be devised by the United Nations. Mr. Chairman, would like to refer to Art. 39 of the Charter of the United Nations which enjoins upon the Security Council to give findings in matters where existof a breach of peace external aggression is alleged. Such the case in Kashmir. Why then that the United Nations and the Security Council have acted in clear disregard of article 39 of the United Nations Charter? Evidently, they have also not cared to respect article 2, which is the very foundation of the United Nations structure. In article 2 it is clearly laid down that "all Members shall settle their international disputes by peaceful means in such a manner that international peace and security, and justice, are not endangered; all members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations."

13,26 hrs.

[SHRI SONAVANE in the Chair]

The finding we would have expected the United Nations to give in the first instance was whether there was Pakistan. an act of aggression by This issue has been dodged deliberately by that august body. Again, it has not been made clear that the breach of peace arose from the acts of aggression by Pakistan. This, again, was a deliberate evasion of its responsibility by the Security Council. It appears therefore that this body operates as a political organ of a consensus which was arrived at among the great powers, without proper regard for the facts of the case, of justice and the merits of the case. This certainly, Sir, has been the case in the matter of our complaint before the Security Council.

Pakistan which is ruled by a dictatorship regards war as a continuation of its politics and its diplomacy. has never hesitated to use war as an instrument of national policy. have rightly desisted from waging a war on our own initiative. was, however, a situation in which we found ourselves, this time, when Pakistan attacked us first by intrusion and then by open, armed, massive aggression in the Chhamb-Jaurian The pattern was repeated region. once again for our country; aggression that was committed by Pakistan on Jammu and Kashmir in 1947-48 was duplicated. It is a pattern with which we are not unfamiliar, a pattern of an outcry of holy war and religious fanaticism, a pattern of bullying us into accepting what they want, a pattern of forcing upon us what they consider a politi-cal settlement of the problem in Kashmir, a pattern of defeating the very mainsprings, the fountain-head of the ideology of secularism on which our State is based.

I should like to invite the attention of this august House, and indeed of the world body which has committed this sin of omission to the fact that Sir Owen Dixon, one of the U.N. mediators, had observed—

"When the frontier of the State of Jammu and Kashmir was crossed on, I believe, 20 October 1947. by hostile elements, it was contrary to international !sw, and when in May 1948, as I believe, units of regular Pakistan forces moved into the territory of the State, that too was inconsistent with international law."

This was the finding of Sir Owen Dixon. However, that finding has been shelved and forgotten.

Once again, we find that Lieutenant-General Nimmo tendered a finding of aggression against Pakistan. But because it suited the great powers, that finding has, again, been shelved. This is something for which Indian public opinion and Indian intelligentsia can never forgive the United Nations. For, they have compromised the cause of justice, and the cause of peace itself.

I should also like to make a reference to two resolutions adopted by the United Nations Commission India and Pakistan. This Commission had proceeded to bring about an agreement between India and Pakistan on the terms for the settlement the Kashmir question, and of adopted a resolution on August 13, 1948. And in Part II of that resolution Pakistan had agreed to withdraw its troops from Kashmir. In deflance of that resolution Pakistan had continued to retain its troops on a part of Jammu and Kashmir.

Now, Sir, the operative paragraph of the United Nations resolution calls upon us, indeed it decides and gives us a peremptory order, to not only cease hostilities—which is what we had also desired-but also to withdraw to the positions occupied by us and Pakistan on the 5th of August, 1965. This is a clear case where the international body has unwittingly connived at aggression. It is a case about which we feel greatly embittered, because the United Nations has allowed itself to be used as an instrument of its nefarious diplomacy by Pakistan. First of all, they should have given a finding of aggression against Pakistan. What is more, before they can ask us to do anything, they must first of all secure the withdrawal of the Pakistani forces in terms of the resolution of August 13, 1948. After all, Kashmir is an integral part of the Indian Union and

[Dr. L. M. Singhvi]

we would not regard, we have never regarded at any time, the sovereignty of this country and the territorial integrity of this country to be negotiable in any way.

China today is working in close concert and collusion with Indonesia and Pakistan. There is a visible axis between these three countries. What we find is that the United Nations resolution is likely to promote this axis. If Pakistan is able to achieve certain advantage as a consequence of this intervention by the United Nations, Pakistan is likely to ascribe it to the credit of Chinese intervention or the threat of Chinese intervention. Obviously this is something that even the western powers are not able to see in the proper perspective.

It seems that the plan as embodied in the resolution is not likely to be a successful plan and an effective plan for securing peace and for securing the vacation of aggression, which is what we went to the United Nations for in the first instance, and now in the second instance.

We are unfortunately without friend today, and we have found that the United Nations operates, not in consonance with its principles or its Charter, but in consonance with the exigencies of political situations. We found that the Americans avaded the iusse. We found that the British definitely aligned themselves with the cause of Pakistan, and, indeed, indirectly with the cause of China Asia. We found that America is trying perhaps to follow our example on the basis that in the decade of 1950 to 1960 we were able to act as a bridge by our non-alignment and neutrality; they are trying to act as non-aligned and neutral today are trying to follow our example, at least to cite that example to us although they have always been critical of our non-alignment.

This is a part of the pressure politics which is the very basis, the very bedrock of an understanding of international politics today. We have been through the zchool of harsh realities in the last two years. suppose we have to steel ourselves against struggle and adversity. have to steel our psychology against despair, because we find that in the world today, by and large, nations do not appreciate and understand our standpoint. To put it at its most charitable, the British are today impelled by their anxiety to keep Pakistan in the Commonwealth, by their anxiety to prevent the present flirtation Pakistan with China developing into matrimony. It seems to me that this might be a worthy motive from their point of view. But they have already gone too far in their anxiety to prevent Pakistan from getting into the arms and embrace of China. seems to me that inexorably l'akistan is being drawn into the embrace of China and of Indonesia and that any efforts on the part of Great Britain are bound to prove to be asolutely erroneous, misconceived and w:thout any result. It seems to me that the British press has abetted the Pakistani aggression in a manner which is unworthy of the better traditions in Great Britain, and it seems to that today they are too aligned and But for the solitary too involved. sane voice of Lord Atrincham who wrote in the Manchester Guardian, and wrote eloquently, expounding the case of India, we found no understanding in the British newspapers of what is happening here. It has been said by some very responsible spokesmen among diplomats that this is partly our fault because we were not able to give the projection of what we were doing on the front, of what we were doing here, to the various foreign correspondents who happened to be here. I do not know what the facts are. My hon. friend Shri H. N. Mukerjee said that strict and strong action should be taken against some of these press correspondents. would like Government to examine

this closely and see whether it is because of their deliberate prejudice which envelopes them or it is also because of any lack of effort to project our activities to the world at large that this has been so. It seems to me that the British press has acted in a pre-meditated manner, the British press has acted in a prejudiced manner, and they had already made up their mind and there was hardly any hope for us to be able to persuade them.

I should like, nevertheless, to quote this solitary sane voice in Great Britain which said:

"Most of the comment during the last two or three days has sickened me. Politicians and other opinion-formers in the West are misjudging the issue on a truly Himalayan scale. At the best they are viewing the combatants as Tweedledum and Tweedledee; at worst they are siding with Pakistan. India is receiving virtually no encouragement in a struggle which will decide the fate of free institutions in Asia."

Mr. John Grigg, the author of this brief article goes on to say:

"People seem incapable of grasping the unique importance of Indian democracy, and even those who do realise what a miracle it is—and what a measureless disaster its destruction would be—cannot see the connection between India's freedom and the integrity of India's federal union. Yet the two are inseparable.

Let no one doubt that this is the issue in the present war—an issue, which as we now believe, justified Abraham Lincon in fighting one of the bloodiest wars in history....The North's victory preserved American democracy to be the salvation of the Western world. India's victory would have the same vital significance for the East.".

But this, as I said, is a solitary sane voice in Great Britain. The whole of that country, steeped though it is in freedom of speech and democratic traditions, has been involved in prejudice against India and hostility against India. This compels us to make a more or less unanimous demand in this country that the whole question of our continued membership of the Commonwealth should be reviewed, and should be reviewed with a view to consider the severance of our link with the Commonwealth.

We are a secular democratic nation We have an elected government. We are a country which can show the light to the rest of the world, certainly to the countries of Asia. We are the most populous democracy in this world. These are facts which we do not have to advertise, but these are facts which must be imprinted and projected on the minds of the West. They must be made to realise, in fact, not only the West but the entire world must be made to realise what valuable contribution India is making to the cause of freedom in the world and to the cause of developing the lot of the under-dog and the down-trodden in the world.

I would like to say that we should either mend the Commonweaith or we should begin the process which would indeed end it.

Regarding the role of France and the Soviet Union, I have little to add. The Sovjet Union has rendered us assistance at a time when it was most Unfortunately she did not needed. consider it appropriate to use her veto this time in vindicating and supporting the position which she had taken earlier for reasons best known to herself. Now, she has offered her good offices to mediate or her good offices to bring India and Pakistan closer. I only hope that an anxiety to mediate and an anxiety to reconcile the two points of view does not make them resile from the position that they have taken so far.

7483

[Dr. L. M. Singhvi]

need hardly point out that national interests in this world are always the guiding factor, and, therefore, we must be very vigilant about retaining the friendship of the Soviet Union. We must be careful and we must assiduously foster that friendship and we must try and see that the Western world realises the significance of India for them and the significance of its friendship. No longer should they be allowed to take us for granted. For too long has this It must be made clear happened. by the Prime Minister when he rises to reply to the debate that the lives of our Jawans were not laid down in vain, that our blood was not shed on the battle-field aimlessly and without cause. It should be made clear that in no case would military viability of our frontiers be sacrificed on the altar of international pressures and prejudices. It should be made clear that in no case would India resile from the positions that it has come to occupy as a consequence of Pakistani aggression, as a compulsion of strategy. That is all I have to submit in this momentous debate.

Shri A. C. Guha: Before I come to explain the amendment that I have moved to the resolution of Shri Azad, I have to say something about the Security Council's resolution.

The Security Council's resolution has been a great disappointment to India, primarily due to some lapses. The main lapse is that it has failed to indicate the aggressor and it has practically deliberately avoided task of indicating the aggressor. Ιt is one of the fundamental tasks of the Security Council to say who the aggressor is and which country is the aggressed. It does not redound to its credit to put the aggressor and the aggressed more or less on the same footing.

Pakistan claims have not been conceded on Kashmir; but there has been a mention in one paragraph in that resolution about the peaceful settlement of the outstanding differences between the two countries on Kashmir and other related matters. What is this dispute on the Kasamir? matter of Legally. Kashmir acceded to India, and that accession was legally valid according to the Act passed by the Parliament of Great Britain, and it was complete. After that, there have been three general elections, and the people there elected a popular government which approved the accession Kashmir to India, It was not simply the accession of the Maharaja, which, of course also was a legally valid procedure, but that accession of the Maharaja has been confirmed and approved by the elected representatives of the people of Kashmir, not merely on one occasion but on three occasions.

Then, what are the other disputes between India and Pakistan? If there is any dispute between India and Pakistan, it is between a secular State and a theocratic State, between a civilised nation and between a nation which may be said to belong to the age of the uncivilised period of the history of humanity.

profess The Western democracies their anxiety for democracy and civilised mode of life. Is it because of this anxiety that they have been supporting Pakistan in her military dictatorship and also in her theocratic method of government? these are not modern ideas. So, this profession and anxiety of the UK and the USA about democracy and civilisation and culture is only just a pretence; they have been saying these things, but they have been practising something else which has also been approved of by the UN.

One of the gravest charges against the UN has been that it is dominated by some big powers, particularly the USA. This resolution of the Security Council and the entire deliberation in the UN has more or less confirmed that suspicion and that charge. It is a sad commentary on the performance

of the UN, that they have avoided mentioning anything about the reports submitted by Gen. Nimmo who is the military observer, about the preservation of the cease-fire agreement of 1949; they have avoided any reference to the report of their own Secretary-General. These two reports have clearly mentioned that Pakistan was the aggressor, but there has been no mention of that in the resolution. That is the greatest failure of the UNO and this may lead to the ultimate failure of the UNO as an instrument of peace and civilisation. Just as the League of Nations in the earlier years failed to give support to Abyssinia when it was attacked by Italy and did not enforce its sanctions against Italy properly, similarly in this case also when India was attacked by Pakistan wantonly and without any previous notice or warning, the UNO could not give any protection to India, and could not even indicate that Pakistan was the aggressor and India was the aggressed country.

In connection with the deliberations in the UNO, the attitudes of some of the nations have to be particularly noticed. The attitude of Britain been most deplorable and blatantly partisan-in favour of Pakistan. spite of the bitter struggle we have had to wage against Britain for so many years, after the attainment of independence, India decided to remain within the Commonwealth of nations. Personally, in spite of the fact that I suffered under British rule, apart from other sufferings and privations, about a quarter of century of detention without trial-with not even the show of a trial-even then I supported this decision of India to remain within the Commonwealth. But the time has now come when we should reconsider this issue. not like to tie the hands of Government by the adoption of the Resolution of Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad. But the spirit of the country, the sentiments and feelings of the country will support that Resolution that we should immediately quit the Commonwealth of nations. I would like this House to repose sufficient confidence in its own Government. It should be left to Government to decide what is the appropriate step to be taken in the context of the happenings of these few weeks.

In the Commonwealth, apart from the UK, there are other nations also. I would particularly mention the nations of Africa and some nations of Asia. Before we terminate our connection with the Commonwealth of nations, we should have some consultation with these Afro-Asian nations as to what steps they will take about the future of the Commonwealth. England should realise the importance of India continuing or not continuing in the Commonwealth. was the corner-stone of the British Empire. As soon as India went out of the British Empire, the whole imperial fabric of Britain crumbled down like a house of cards.

13.52 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair.]

If India decides to quite the Commonwealth, I am sure the structure that is the Commonwealth will also down and disintegrate. So fall Britain should think seriously of the importance of India's continuing or not continuing to be in the Commonwealth of nations. I hope the Government will take the decision after consideration of all the circumstances consulting Afro-Asian also nations of the Commonwealth who have been helpful to us, who have been with us in all our conflicts and difficulties. Also we should take the decision after giving proper warning to the UK Government and also the US Government as to what the consequence of India quitting the Commonwealth would be.

I am glad there is no mention of Kashmir in the operative part of the Resolution of the Security Council. But there is mention of Kashmir in the preamble. This is the redeeming [Shri A. C. Guha]

feature, that this Resolution contains no mention of Kashmir or plebicite in its operative part. But there is mention of the 'political problem underlying the present conflict'. Will they solve the political conflict in Pakistan itself? If there is any political conflict which should be solved, it is in the very composition of Pakistan itself which is ripe for disintegration. The Indian Army has entered certain points of strategic importance as defensive measures. Indian Army has gone there not as an army of conquest but as a protective measure for the sake of our own defence; if necessary, it might have been an army of liberation for the people of Pakistan

But I should like to pose this problem before the House, before the United Nations and before the world: what is this Pakistan? It is a creation of Anglo-American combine. It is a geographical absurdity, just as another political structure, Indonesia, is a geographical absurdity, which has been stretched far and wide without any cohesion of either language OF race or anything else. Pakistan also divided into two parts thousand miles apart. I can say this for East Bengal that it is ripe for revolt, it is ripe for secession from the central government of Pakistan, if it is given the opportunity. When Pakistan is clamouring for a plebiscite in Kashmir, will it allow a plebscite in East Bengal? Will it allow a plebisin Pakhtoonistan including Baluchistan? Will it allow a plebiscite even in Sind? I know if that is done, these three portions of Pakistan will decide in favour of seceding from Pakistan and leaving Pakistan confined only to western Punjab which has been the dominating part, dominating over all the other sectors and reducing them to the status of colonial vassals of West Punjab.

So, if any political Settlement is to be effected, it should be on the basis of reconstituting Pakistan, leading to its distintegration and giving East Bengal and Pakhtoonistan the freedom to decide their own form of government, just as India has allowed Kashmir the freedom to decide its own system of Government, its own constitution and also its own elected government. Will Pakistan allow these things to East Bengal, to Pakhtoonistan or even to Sind? Will the United Nations insist on these things being forced on Pakistan?

Therefore, it is no use of talking in terms of effecting a peaceful settlement. An august body like the UN should not indulge in sanctimonious hypocricy. When they talk of settling the dispute between India and Pakistan in a peaceful manner, I say it is sanctimonious hypocricy They do not mean it. They in the United Nations, the nations that have been dominating the deliberations of the UN, have always been siding with Pakistan openly and shamelessly.

India is weak; surely, militarily it is a weak country. Somehow or other, we have not so far, all these years developed our military power. We have not developed our ordnance factories for many years. We have neglected the productive potential of the ordnance factories. In the last two or three years, some steps have been taken to bring our ordnance factories upto some standard. It has now been shown that our ordnance factories can produce armaments which can challenge the best armaments in the world.

Here I must pay my tribute to the Indian fighting forces. So much sacrifice has been made by them. then, are we to ask them to vacate those vantage points, those strategic points, making India again liable to further aggression? I do not think the Government will agree to such a proposal just now. We have accepted only a simple cease fire, nothing more, nothing less. The 5th August position is a debatable thing; the withdrawal of forces is a debatable thing, to be settled after proper discussion. But even after proper dispeace.

cussion, if it is decided that our forc-

es ought to withdraw from those strategic positions they have conquered and occupied, it should not be felt by them that the sacrifices made by them have gone in vain. They have saved the country from an invasion of barbarous hordes. An hon. Member compared it to an invasion by tribal I would like to say that our hordes. fighting forces, both the army and the air force, have saved the country from the ravages to which we would have been subjected if the invaders were allowed to enter the terrain of India. That is the greatest achievement. That is the military victory we have won. Lct us hope that we shall have victory also in peace. Victory in war is not the final word; victory in peace is the final word. We hope we will also have victory in

Last night, the Prime Minister who so magnificiently guided the nation in this crisis, in his broadcast last night referred to India's future. has said that ultimately we have to be self-reliant. Our only hope of becoming self-reliant is by developing the might of our nation. I hope we are now on the march, on the way to assert our might. We have shown to the world that India is becoming a power to be counted, to be reckoned with, which cannot be simply taken for granted or ignored.

Before I conclude, I would also like to pay my compliments to Shri Chagla for his performance in the United Nations. I hope it will be possible for him also to represent India in the General Assembly of the United Nations.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Before I commence, I also pay my tribute to Shri Chagla for the able manner in which he presented our case, but, unfortunately, he has been a Judge, an honest man, a straight-forward man, talking always in a straight language, he was no match for Bhutto. We have to learn the language of the perfidious

to defeat the perfidious, the hopeless people who are always telling lies that Meerut has fallen, that Connaught Circus is burning, that this House has been bombed and we have all run away. These are the people with whom we have to deal.

It is a wrong approach on our part of consider the United Nations a legalistic body in which a legalistic approach is possible. It is a dismal If we look into the achievestory. ments of the United Nations vis-a-vis India, from the time in 1947 when we approached them till today not once has the United Nations said that Pakistan was the aggressor, although This main Sir Owen Dixon did so. issue has been lost sight of sistent effort was made by Shri Chagla to ask the Security Council to come to this conclusion and pinpoint this issue, whether or not on this second occasion also Pakistan was an aggressor.

Unfortunately, our publicity in the world has failed, and failed in this sense that although we are on side of truth, although we have been invaded, our case has not come out in the true light before the world at large. What are the reasons? Perhaps the USA has acted in ignorance. but can we accept this proposition that the people in UK are ignorant of the true conditions in India? is the people of the UK who divided our country. Do they not know the meaning of the word "jehad"? Are they not aware of the fact that East Bengal genocide of Hindus has taken place? Do they not know that even today Ballistan and Gilgit are under the feet of Pakistan? Are the people of UK not aware of the perfidiy of Pakistan? Do they not know that five crores of Muslims are still living peacefully in India? they not know the history of India up to date? Do they believe, after having lived in India for so many years, that religion makes a nation? Parsis are Indians, Christians Indians, Buddhists are Indians. Hindus are Indians, Muslims are

[Shri U. M. Trivedi]

Indians. They know all these facts, and yet they believe Mr. Bhutto when he says that it is an ine nustent statement to say that an Indian can be a Muslim. What a perfidious man he is, and yet we allow him a long rope.

I have added this preamble to the speech which I now make on this point.

The new solution that has been placed before us has set us thinking that after all the sacrifices that our army has made, after all that the whole country has done, after all that we have felt, after all the promises that our Government has made, after all the efforts that our great men have made, are we going to fall back to the old so-called cease-fire line of 5th August? I say empnatically no. The country is not prepared for that, and no one shall dare talk of a position where we have to go back to that position of 5th August.

Kashmir is ours legally, Kashmir is ours morally, Kashmir is ours because of all that we have done for Kashmir, Kashmir is part and parcel of India under our Constitution and by the wish of the people of Kashmir expressed through the representatives elected to their legislative Assembly. If that is the position, the area which we have occupied in Occupied Kashmir belongs to us and we are not going back from that position. We shall remain where we have reached.

We have no territorial ambitions so far as Pakistan is concerned, but the main issue to be determined between Pakistan and India is: who is the aggressor. Instead of deciding who the aggressor is, the point for determination before the United Nations seems to be: what about Kashmir? I would like to know from them how many times the United Nations has applied its mind to Khurdistan, to the Pakhtonis an. What about Bali, what about the Shan States and the Kachin State what about Tibet? But they

want to apply their mind to this question alone. Is the Security Council meant only for this purpose, to decide this question alone? We went to the Council, made a complaint and asked for a decision on the main issue as to who the aggressor was. That issue has been sidetracked. Why? I do not want to recapitulate the mistakes that have been committed in the past, need not dwell upon them, that is not going to serve any purpose whatsoever today, but we have to realise India's standing as a nation. India now is a growing nation, India will tomorrow emerge as the fourth Power in the We cannot therefore accept this position that India will bow down before Pakistan.

It is an unfortunate fact that, going to the rescue of Pakistan, China threatened us. We used milder language. I am told by big military officers whom I had the good fortune of meeting very recently that they were not afraid of China, that we could have faced China as we faced Pakistan. The jawans and the officers whom I had the good fortune of meeting only yesterday were not diffident in the least about the outcome of this war with Pakistan. They were only waiting for an opportunity to give a complete thrashing to Pakistan. was not one among them who showed any diffidence. We were also not diffident at heart. The morale of the country was very high. But we wanted peace, we are people essentially of peace, we are the most tolerant people on the surface of the earth, and we have remained so so long. Unfortunately, because we have not been used to telling lies, because we have not made ourselves adopt in the art of telling lies, because we have stuck to truth, we have suffered in this world of falsehoods, and on account of that our country has been shown to have done something wrong

The other day I was reading an English paper, Yorkshire post, the most conservative paper. I was simply

ashamed to read the whole thing that was put down there is unabashed language about India being the invader. What country have we invaded, where, how did the invasion start, there was nothing about these things except running down India. If Yorkshire Post can talk in that language, I can well imagine what every Britisher must be thinking. Having lived in England for so many years, I have always felt that if you scratch the average English man, you will find that he is a conservative, he cannot be a liberal. This is an essential feature of the Englishman. In 1963 February, I had occasion to talk about this matter with our late Prime Minister Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru. I did enquire from him how it is that our publicity in England was not working. He was good enough to tell me that unfortunately the 14,000 and odd ICS and other pensioners who are there living in England, for no reason whatsoever, carry on a sort of propaganda against India. We have to meet that, and meet that we will. We have to exert ourselves; we cannot sit tight in our country and talk to our own satisfaction. It is not enough to be satisfied in our own mind that we are very good people but we should show to the people of the world that we are good. publicity must be geared to higher levels and must not be confined to the four corners of our country. It high time that we entered ie It is no into proper diplomacy. in the House sitting patting ourselves on the back, are very good.

There is the letter which our Prime Minister was pleased to write and will read that out:

"As already communicated to you in my letter of 15th September, 1965, I am willing to order a simple cease-fire."

I ask the House to pay attention to the words 'simple cease-fire'. It goes on-

"...and cessation of hostilities on being informed of Pakistan's agreement to do likewise from 7 AM GMT on Wednesday 22-9-1965 as provided in the Security Council Resolution."

On being informed of Pakistan's agreement to do likewise, means carry into effect such a cease-fire referred to in the antecedent sentence. The reference to the Security Council is only to the time with reference to 22nd September and nothing else. To put in words the mouth of the Prime Minister and to say that he did say something contrary to the wishes of the country, I say, Sir, is not the meaning of this letter. The plain and simple meaning that can be attached to this letter is this. We do have a ccase-fire from a particular time. There is absolutely no indication in this letter whatsoever that we go back to any other place. The most perfidious that happened in Ferozepur was that upto 9.30 A.M. firing across the line was going on and one of our officers and two of our jawans were hurt. I was still delivering a speech Ferozepur in a public meeting when shells fired from across Pakistan fell over Ferozepur. If we want excuses for not ordering a cease-fire we have sufficient excuses on that ground. Time and again we have been trying to meet their wishes but this is the perfidious manner in which Pakistan behaves so that we find it very difficult to come to proper arrangements with these people.

One thing that surprises me is the attitude of the United Kingdom in that particular instance. I have been noting since 1963 when China needed oil and petrol and aviation fuel and Russia made up its mind not to supply aviation fuel, the trading community of the UK increased its supply through Hong Kong. That is one reason why China does not want to take Hong Kong. Inspite of its expansionist policy, it keeps itself content so far as Hong Kong and Macao are concerned. Through Hong Kong the supply of oil from

[Shri U. M. Trivedi]

Commonwealth countries, particularly from the United Kingdom was raised to three times the previous level in 1963 when China was in need of aviation fuel. It was a disgraceful act on the part of United Kingdom to stab a brother in the back. Some of my friends have talked of getting out of the Commonwealth. I would suggest that the Commonwealth countries should come together and drive out England from the Commonwealth. Britain is not the master of the Commonwealth. It is a conception of Commonwealth of peoples who are placed in a particular manner with a form of judiciary, rule of law, etc. It is the coming together of all these people, not because recognise Britain as a symbol for us. So, efforts must be made to drive out That UK from the Commonwealth. would be the step for us next. the necessary corollary to teach lesson, we have to break friendship; but before that is done I would ask that this House studies the that I had put before it. Let it be considered by our Government,

We have talked about infiltrators. I do not know what category those who have transgressed into Kashmir will form, but they are all armed personnel. We should not wait drive them out. We must give ultimatum to Pakistan that seven days they order the infiltrators to surrender and if after seven days those people do not yield, they must all be shot in our country. shall not be any cease-fire on account. We cannot tolerate position, that their armed personnel are here and we are talking of ceasefire. This is an intolerable position and it must be made to them very clear.

This is also the opportune moment when we have to take stock of those in this world comity of nations and visualise who our friends are and who our foes are, who have acted in a friendly manner towards us and who will be prepared to act as friends. It is no use our acting in an illogical manner. we should think logically and come to logical clusions as to what should be our attitude towards those who have acted as our friends and who are likely to act like that. I want no certificate from the United Arab Republic, certificate from Turkey, or Iran Iraq. I want the certificate of our own country as to who has been good to us and kind to us and who was prepared to help us and then forth to them and extend your hand of friendship, and if they extend the hand of friendship shake it well and keep it well. Do not discard the extended hand of friendship of those who had extended it to us. I need not name any particular country. It is enough for me to say this and the people and the Government know

Our diplomacy miserably failed in this sense that it was not able to set out in a proper manner and make use of Taiwan. Why have we failed? We need not consider Taiwan is richer or not we have not gone there. The question for us to consider is whether the enemy of our enemy is not a good friend of ours It is not one country with which we are concerned. It is the whole lot of the countries in the various parts of the world with whom we could have extended our friendship. But we have miserably failed to do 80.

I will point out only one thing, and then close this chapter. On the 1st March, 1954, let us remember what the late Prime Minister said then. This is about military aid which has been the subject-matter of controversy in our country and to which we drew pointed attention of the United States of America and to no useful purpose. I will draw the attention of the House to that aspect. Why I want to draw the attention of the

House to that matter is particularly because of this: when the Patton tank seized from the enemy was brought to Delhi for the purposes of exhibition, the American Embassy objected to it and said it would set up people against America and we yielded to the wishes of America and we never wanted to create bad blood. But will this sentence open the eyes of the United States of America, this sentence which is not written by me and not written with my consent, but has been written by a man, the late Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, more than whom, a man of peace, perhaps the Americans never could imagine. The Prime Minister said on the 1st March, 1954, as follows:

"....the President of the United States has stated that if the aid given to Pakistan is misused and directed against another in aggression, he will undertake to thwart such aggression. I have doubt that the President is opposed to aggression. But we know from past experience that aggression takes place and nothing is done to thwart it. Aggression took place in Kashmir six and a half years ago with dire consequences. Nevertheless, United States have not thus far condemned it and we are asked not to press this point in the interests of peace. Aggression may take place again and be denied as the previous aggression denied till it could not be hidden. If conditions are created for such an aggression to take place it may well follow, in spite of the desire of the United States to prevent it. Later, long arguments will be carried on as to whether it was aggression or not. The military aid given by the United States to Pakistan is likely to create the conditions which facilitate and encourage aggression "

These words have come true. Let the Government open its eyes and let the United States of America open its eyes and see that this aggression is the direct result of the military aid that the United States of America gave to Pakistan. There is time for it to call a halt to this. I again relterate, come what may, this country is not prepared to go back to the 5th August line.

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagia): Mr. Deputy-Speaker. Sir, in my opinion, the resolution of the Security Council of the 20th September which we are discussing constitutes a major diplomatic reverse for Pakistan. I cannot say, and I would not be fair to this House if I said, that it is wholly favourable to India. But I can say this: I will put it nagativelyit is not unfavourable to India. If the drafting of this resolution was in our hands, we would certainly have drafted a better resolution, but the resolution was drafted by the Security Coun_ cil, not by our representatives there.

But I should analyse this resolution and satisfy this House that it constitutes, as I said, a serious diplomatic reverse for Pakistan. Let us look at the resolution:

"The Security Council, having considered the reports of the Secretary-General on his consultations with the Governments of India and Politistan,—

I am reading the preamble to the resolution-

"commending the Secretary-General for his unrelenting efforts in furtherance of the objectives of the Security Council's resolutions of 4th and 6th September,

Having heard the statements of the representatives of India and Pakistan,

Nothing the differing replica by the parties to an uppeal for a ceasefire as set out in the report of the Secretary-General, but noting further with concern that no ceasefire has yet come into being,

Then, this is a very important operative part—what we urged bef

[Shri M. C. Chagla]

the Security Council. Look at the difference between the attitude of India and Pakistan. Our Prime Minister by his letter of the 15th September, accepted an unconditional ceasefire. Contrast it with the reply President Ayub of the 17th and record the fact that wherea: India had accepted the cease-fire without conditions, Pakistan had not done so. The Security Council could not go to that length, but I ask the House to see that by implication this preamble makes clear the position which I have just stated, because it says: "...differing replies by the parties to an appeal for cease-fire as set out in the report of the Secretary-General." Anybody who looks at the report of the Secretary-General and looks at the letter of our Prime Minister of the 15th, and the letter of President Ayub of the 17th, will see the thing. I ask you to note the difference between the two English expressions. it is not "different" replies but it is "differing" replies, which means that one reply is quite different in quality and character from the other. Therefore, in this preamble, it is clear that the Security Council has accepted the different attitude taken up by India and Pakistan on the question of ceasefire.

Then, coming to the next paragraph:

"Convinced that an early cessation of hostilities is essential as a first step towards a peaceful settlement of the outstanding differences between the two countries on Kashmir and other related matters."

Frankly, I am not very happy at the expression in question and the word "Kashmir" in this part of the preamble. But may I point out to this House that this expression "Kashmir and other related matters" appears in the joint declaration of the than Prime Minister and President Ayub in 1962. May I also point out to this House that nowhere in this resolution is the word "plebiscite" used. Nowhere in this resolution are the old resolutions of the

Security Council referred to. When we talk of Kashmir, as I said, we must not merely think of Pakistan's claim for a plebiscite. Let us not forget that we were the complainants before the United Nations: that we went to the United Nations complaining of Pakistan's aggression. That aggression still continues, and we have every right to say that if there is a Kashmir dispute. the only dispute is about Pakistan's agression and continuing aggression. So, there is no reason why we should look upon this part of the preamble as prejudicial to us.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: What is meant by the "settlement of the political problem"?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I will come to that. I then come to the operative part:

"Demands that a cease-fire should take effect on Wednesday, 22nd September, 1965, and calls upon both Governments to issue orders for a cease-fire at that moment and a subsequent withdrawal of all armed personnel back to the po:itions held by them before 5th August, 1965;"

Now, our argument before the Security Council was that the only issue, as my hon. friend Shri U. M. Trivedi just now said was who committed aggression, and was satisfied that Pakistan had committed aggression, condemn Pakistan as an aggressor. As I said, the time has come when the Security Council should call a spade a spade. It should not hesitate to do so, I said, take the evidence; look at the record and be satisfied. If you are satisfied that Pakistan has committed aggression, that is the only issue and you decide that issue.

Security Council did not say so.

I will satisfy the House how in this operative part is implicit the condemnation of Pakistan, I also pointed

ou! that really we are concerned with cessation of hostilities and the resolution should be confined to the question of cessation of hostilities; and, all extraneous matters should not be brought in at this stage. The resolution says:

"...and calls upon both Governments to issue orders for a cesse-fire at that moment and a subsequent withdrawal of all armed personnel back to the positions held by them before 5th August, 1965."

The most crucial date in this resolution is the 5th August, 1965, because that is the date on which Pakistan committed aggression on our country. I do not merely say that infiltrators entered into our country, because I think this was a naked and unabashed invasion of India. The fact that they entered Kashmir makes no difference, because invasion of Kashmir is invasion of India. 5th August is the date which is to be found in the Secretary-General's report. This is what the Secretary-General says in his report:

"Gen. Nimmo has indicated to me that the series of violations that began on the 6th August were to a considerable extent in subsequent days in the form of armed men generally not in uniform crossing the cease-fire line from the Pakistan side for the purpose of armed action on the Indian side."

This is not our allegation, not our view of the situation, but the report of the most powerful most impartial civil servant in the international world today, the Secretary-General of the United Nations. This is his finding. If ever there was a clear explict and unequivocal finding about an aggression, here it is. His finding is Ъу that aggression was committed Pakistan on the 5th August, 1965. Therefore, when you look at this resolution and see the date 5th August,

as I said, implicit in that is the condemnation of Pakistan for this aggression because you cannot read the date devoid of the report of the Secretary-General. The date is taken from his report and we have to ask ourselves the question, what happen-ed on the 5th August? Why is that date mentioned in this resolution? The only answer is that on 5th August took place aggression by Pakistan upon our country, invasion by Pakistan of our country. So, although there is no explicit condemnation of Pakistan as an aggressor, which this country and this House would have liked, implicit in this operative part is the condemnation of Pakistan.

Shri P. K. Deo: The aggression is there since the last 18 years. It did not occur on the 5th August.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I hope my hon friend appreciates that in view of the fact that the Secretary-General's report mentions the date 5th August and says from that date a large number of armed people crossed over from Pakistan into Indian side, and this date having been mentioned in the resolution, there is implied condemnation of Pakistan.

Shr! P. K. Deo: This is the second aggression on Kashmir.

Shri M. C. Chagla: As I pointed out, Pakistan has invaded Ind'a on three occasions, First was in 1947-48 when she invaded Kashmir. Then there was the Kutch invasion. This is the third invasion which is very similar to the first one into Kashmir, when Pakistan sent armed raidera, first denied any responsibility and then Sir Mohammad Zafrullah Khan admitted that Pakistan was behind it. But I will not deal with that now. I am dealing with this resolution.

Let me deal with the question of the withdrawal of the armed forces. I have made the position perfectly clear before the Security Council 7**5**03

[Shri M. C. Chagla]

to what we mean by withdrawal of the armed forces back to the positions held by them before the 5th August. May I read out that passage? It is in the debate held in the Security Council on the 17th September—page 49. I do not know whether the official text has come yet. This is what I said before the Security Council on that day:

"This deals with the modality of the cease-fire. I do not want to deal with this in detail, but may I say this? All the invaders who have invaded Kashmir must leave. They must be withdrawn. They must be called back. As they were sent by Panistan, they must be called back by Pakistan. Secondly, it must be made impossible for such infiltration to take place again. Thirdly, Pakistan must own its responsibility for this infiltration."

Therefore, I made it clear that by withdrawal of the armed forces back to the positions held by them on 5th August, what I understood is firstly acknowledgment by Pakistan that she had sent these infiltrators, secondly withdrawal of these infiltrators and thirdly, a situation to be created when such recurrence in future would be made impossible I have not spelt out what the situation would be. But I presently point out how the Prime Minister has taken up the same position in the correspondence, namely, that we do not want to go on from one cease-fire to another. We want to be satisfied that such a situation will not arise in future. We do not want to be put back in a position where thousands of infiltrators enter our country and do what they have been, doing there, create havoc, devastation, practise brutalities and cruelties, everything which I thought belonged to the past or the Hitler regime and not to modern civilised times.

भी मधु लिमबे : सुरक्षा परिषद के प्रस्ताव में "डिमान्ड" शब्द का इस्तेमाल किया गया है 47 करोड़ वाले देश के बारे में । आप ने उस के बारे में अपना ऐतराज प्रकट किया है या नहीं।

श्री मु० क० चागला: मैं माननीय सदस्य की बात को समझा नहीं। मैं 5 धगस्त के बारे में डील कर रहा था।

That is the position with regard to the 5th August.

Then, the resolution says:

"Calls on all the States to refrain from any action which might aggravate the situation there."

Sir, this is a plea to all the States, and I take it that China is included although it is not a member of the United Nations, not to intervene and aggravate this conflict. Then comes this:

"Decides to consider as soon as operative paragraph, 1 of the Council's resolution 210 of 6 Septemper has been implemented, what steps could be taken to as ist towards a settlement of the political problem underlying the present conflict, and in the meantime calls on the two Governments to utilize all peaceful means, including those listed in Article 33 of the Charter, to this end:"

Now, you will notice here that the steps which the Security Council could take are many. But here again there is no reference to Kashmir, there is no reference to plebiscite, and what we are called upon to do is to utilize all peaceful means including those listed is Article 33 of the Charter to this end. This coun-.try has always believed in peaceful means. It has always believed in debate and discussion. We are prepared to talk with anyone including the devil if necessary (Interruptions). Well, I do not know in whose iavour the comparison is. But, Sir, you will

notice that no time limit is fixed. It is left to us. This is a purely recommendatory part of the resolution. We are asked to enter into discussions in order to bring about peaceful settlement, and there is mention of Article 33 of the Charter, Article 33 of the Charter, if you look at it, contains a large number of methods by which a peaceful settlement could be arrived at. Here also there is no prejudice as far as we are concerned.

Finally, it says:

"Requests the Secretary-General to exert every possible effort to give effect to this resolution, to seek a peaceful solution and to report to the Security Council thereon."

Now, the best way to judge how seriously Pakistan considered this to be a diplomatic defeat is the response that was given by the Pakistan representative of the Security Council. I twice challenged there to answer unequivocally whether he accepts a cease-fire unconditionally as our Prime Minister had done, and on both the occasions he refused to accept the challenge. This is what he says on the last day-this is very important. On the 20th September, when the resolution was about to be passed, this is the statement that he made. He said-this is on page 23-statement by Mr. Zafar, Law Minister of Pakistan:

"On the other hand, should the Security Council adopt this draft resolution, we feel bound to warn and to put it on th record that unless the basic cause of the present conflict is removeed another and wider conflagration is bound to ensue."

I told the Security Council that one aggression is not over, and here is a threat of a new and wider conflagration. You will notice the note of utter dissatisfaction in this particular statement, because you will remember what the four conditions were which President Ayub was insisting on. The four conditions were: (1)

cease-fire-on which we are agreed; (ii) withdrawal of all troops not only from the part of Kashmir of which they are in unlawful occupation but we should even withdraw from our own Kashmir where we are there now; (iii) induction of Afro-Asian force; and (iv) plebiscite within three months. In this statement Mr. Zafar said that as these conditions were not satisfied the resolution was unsatisfactory and another and wider conflagration was bound to ensue. will notice that even President Ayub when he accepted the cease-fire said the resolution was unsatisfactory and mentioned that unless the Kashmir problem was solved the continent will be submerged in a conflagration.

It is surprising that although this meeting, notwithstanding the challenge thrown out by me on two occasions, the Pakistan representative was not prepared to answer that Pakaccept was prepared to ccase-fire uncoditionally 8.5 Prime Minister had done. OUL was only after a lapse of two three days that President Ayub sent post haste Mr. Bhutto to the Security Council-poor Goldberg awakened at dead of night, I do not know why, only for the purpose of permitting Mr. Bhutto making speech to abuse our country. The acceptance could have been sent by a telegram, as we did, to the Secretary-General. But the Security Council was convened solely for the pupose of enabling Mr. Bhtto to appear and abuse our country. I have a shrewd suspicion-I may be wrongthat the reason for this delay, why the challenge was not accepted at the meeting of the Security Council and why some time lapsed before President Ayub accepted cease-fire is that during that interval, a crucial interval, a vital interval, Pakistan was satisfied that China was not coming to her assistance. If Pakistan had felt that China was going to press home her ultimatum and attack us or invade us, I have a feeling that the answer of Pakistan would have been very different. Because Pakistan felt that not

[Shri M. C. Chagla]

7507

only she was militarily defeated but she could not even count on the perfidious alliance of China, that is why she was driven, however much disliked it, to accept this cease-fire.

Now, Sir, I was telling you with regard to Kashmir. May I draw your attention to the fact that there also I took up an entirely unequivocal attitude. On the 18th September, Speaking to the Security Council, about Kashmir I said this:

"I come now to the question of Kashmir. I do not want to delve into history, 1 studied history at Oxford and I am very fond of history, but history must be reserved for a proper occasion. Therefore, all that I had to say about Kashmir I said at great length when I intervened in the debate last year. But I want to make my position clear about Kashmir. I do not want this Council to be under any misapprehension as to the attitude of my Government with regard to Kashmir, nor do I want the representative of Pakistan to misapprehension. any Kashmir is an integral part of India. Kashmir is a unit of the Indian Federation and we will not permit our Fedration to be broken up. The separation Kashmir from India means the break-up of our Federation India. It would mean as much a break-up as if any other part of India were separated from India. Therefore, as far as the position of Kashmir is concerned, it has been stated by the representative of the Government of India on more than one occasion and, as I said, I myself stated it clearly and categorically at our last meeting."

Some apprehension was felt some of the memehrs as to the effect of withdrawing our troops to the 5th At the very of August positions. last meeting when the resolution was passed, I made a statement on this

resolution and I made the position of the Government perfectly clear so that there will be no doubt as to what the position was. This is what I said:

and India Quitting

Commonwealth (Res.)

"As I read it, this resolution not directed against my country. We have already accepted the unconditional cease-fire and we certainly will carry it out if Pakistan will carry it out. To the extent this resolution deals with the cease-fire, it could only be directed against Pakistan. which has not accepted the unconditional cease-fire."

This is the important part.

"With regard to the rest of the resolution all that I am going to say now is that I adhere to everything that I said in the two statements I made in the Council on Friday and Sunday. Various matters are dealt with in this resolution and I have taken up those matters in those statements. My Government adheres to every one of those statements and my Government also adheres to the explanations given by the Prime Minister of India in his letter dated 14th September. which is included in the Secretary-General's preliminary report. Therefore, my position is perfectly clear and the position of my Government is also perfectly clear. We have come here before you to help you to stop the hostilities. We give you our full co-operation. To the extent this resolution deals with matters, I do not wish to comment on them because I have already done so in my two statements and the Prime Minister has commented on them in his letter of 14th September."

Now it is very necessary to see what the Prime Minister says in his letter of 14th September because it clinches the matter both on the question of the withdrawl to the 5th August position and the question of Kashmir. This is the letter which the Prime Minister wrote to the Secretary-General, dated 14th. I will read the relevant passage:

"In the light of our own experience during the last few months, we will have to insist that there must be no possibility of a recurrence of armed attacks on India open or disguised. Let me make it perfectly clear, Mr. Secretary-General, that consequent upon cease-fire becoming effective, further details are considered, we shall not agree to any disposition will leave the door upon for further infiltrations or prevent us from dealing with the infiltrations that have taken place".

The next is about Kashmir.

"I would also like to state categorically that no pressures or attacks will deflect us from our firm resolve to maintain the sovereignty and territorial integrity of our country, of which the State of Jammu and Kashmir is an integral part".

Nothing can be clearer, more unequivocal, more categoric than this statement of the Prime Minis'er.. I pointed out to the Security Council that this was the attitude of the Government and I had reiterated it.

So, the House need have no misundersanding as to what is the modality of the cease-fire agreement. We have agreed to the cease-fire. The modalities have to be worked out and in working out these modalities we will adhere to the position that we have taken. We will with President Ayub. discuss said. we are prepared to discuss with anybody. But in discussing with President Ayub we will remember what the Prime Minister has said, that Kashmir is a closed 1454 (ai LS-7.

chapter, as far as the territorial integrity of our country is concerned. I said this last year in the Security Council. We are not going to vacillate or wobble. I think our position should be absolutely clearly and emphatically stated to the world that we are not prepared to discuss under any circumstances the holding of plebiscite or....

Shri Maurya (Aligarh): I would like to have an interpretation of the term "political problem".

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. The Minister is not yielding.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I hope I have satisfied the House. The resolution that the Security Council has passed in not unfavourable to India, for our stand has been made perfectly clear and there is no doubt, no ambiguity, as to what we stand for and what we will stand for in the future.

Before I sit down may I make one or two general observations on what happened in the Security Council?

Shri Maurya: I would like to have your interpretation on "political problem".

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. Unless the Minister yields he should not interrupt.

Shri M. C. Chagia; I think the time has come when we should do some re-thinking on our foreign policy. The world is moving and there is a regrouping of forces, regrouping of powers and we cannot possibly take up a rigid attitude or stand where we stood some years ago. We have to move with the world I think we should give a serious thought to what the position is in the world today.

In this connection, I would be less than doing my duty if I do not express publicity on the floor of the House my deep appreciation for the stand taken up by Malaysia in the Security Council. As I said the other day, it was a speech which a member of the Indian delegation could have

[Shri M. C. Chagla]

delivered. And I must also express my appreciation of the great help we received from the USSR while the resolution was being drafted. If the House only knew that the resolution was passed at quarter to three in the morning and the meeting had been going on the whole of Sunday, every coma, every comi-colon, every sentence was considered and re-considered, it is only then that you realise how the assistance of a country like USSR in getting the resolution in this shape was invaluable.

And let me say this about USA. There was much more understanding of our position this year than I found last year. I am satisfied that on certain matters the world opinion is entirely in our favour, whatever some papers or some people may say. There is no doubt that the world is satisfied that Pakistan was the aggressor. There is no doubt that this myth of an uprising in Kashmir has been completely exploded.

I should also say with regret that I could not understand the position taken up by Jordan. We have stood by the Arab world. We have shown friendship to the Arab world ever since we became free. We were among those countries which stood with the Arab world during the Sucz trouble. As against Pakistan, we supported Jordan in the Jordan water issue. So, it came to me as a great disappointment that Jordan practically, if I may use a colloquial expression, toed the line of Pakistan.

An hon. Member: What about the British?

Shri M. C. Chagla: There is one lesson which I learnt and which I have been learning since I joined public life, and that is this, that what ultimately matters is power, what ultimately matters is the strength of your country. We may have all the idealism in the world, we may have all the justice on our side, but if we are weak nobody is going to listen.

If we want our influence to be felt in the councils of the world, we must be strong and must develop all the strength and power that we have. Then we would be listened to with respect.

15 hrs.

An hon. Member: Develop nuclear weapons.

Shri M. C. Chagla: There is one thing that I must say. I was proud when I was arguing India's case before the Security Council. I could hold my head up and felt proud of being an Indian and what India stands for. The first thing was the heroism displayed by our jawans. I could tell the Americans that notwithstanding your Patton tanks and all the modern equipment that you have given to Pakistan, our men are brave enough to fight them. Secondly, I was proud of the fact that not only Kashmir-Hindus, Muslims and Sikhs-had stood by Government and resisted the aggression, but the whole of India was united on this issue.

Please do not forget that Pakistan counted on one thing. The grand design was that when the infiltration took place Kashmir would give trouble. It was exactely like the story of the Bay of Pigs in Kennedy's regime. You remember Sir, the Bay of Pigs. President Kennedy's one great mistake soon after he assumed power was that he relied on his Intelligence. He was told that if he sent a few Cubans from America, the people of Cuba would rise and when the people landed in the Bay of Pigs, they did not rise. And President Ayub-I do not know who was his informant: hasp, Mr. Bhutto. Sent 4,000 trators to Kashmir thinking that the whole of Kashmir will rise and will fall in his mouth like a ripe plum. That did not happen. He said, send these people to Kashmir and there will be trouble in India Hindus, Muslims, Christians in India stood together solidly. And, there again he failed.

Sir, I have taken longer than I expected; but, in conclusion, I think, we did wisely in accepting the cease-fire because I assure you, the whole world realised that we were dedicated to peace and did not want bloodshed even for a moment if hostilities could be stopped. I think, on the whole we have secured a Resolution which is not unfavourable to India and, I think, we can look upon this whole incident with pride. We should be grateful to our Prime Minister for taking up this strong line.

भी मधु लिसवे : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं य' पृछ्ना : हिता हूं कि मुख्या पिषद के प्रस्ताव में जो 'विवाद'' कृष्ट का इस्तेमाल किया गया है, क्या हमारी घोर से उस पर एतराज किया गया है या नहीं।

डा० राम मनोहर लंहिया (फरुखा-बाद): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, चागला साहब ने एक बात सौ फ़ीसदी सही कही और वह यह कि सुरक्षा परिषद् का प्रस्ताव पाकिस्तान के लिए संतोषदायक नहीं था। इस हद तक यह सदन उन का-तथा भीर किसी का भी, जो इस के लिए जिम्मेदार है--शुक्रगुजार हो सकता है लेकिन जब उन्होंने यह बात साफ करने की कोशिश की कि मुरक्षा परिषद का प्रस्ताव भारत के लिए असंतोषकारक नहीं था. तब मेरे दिमाग्र में कई बातें बाई। पहली यह कि न्यूयार्कमें तो चागला साहब भारत के बकील बन कर बोल रहे थे भीर यहां इस सबन में सुरका परिषद् के वकील बन कर बोल रहे वे। इस से ऊर्चाट साहब को बहुत खुन होना चाहिए, लेकिन मैं ग्रभी साबित कर बुंगा कि उन की यह खुणी खत्म हो जायेगी, क्योंकि इस प्रस्ताव से सुरक्षा परिषद् भी खत्म हो जायेगी।

इसी के साथ जागला साहब हम लोगों पर बोड़ा सा रहम करते और अज की तरह बोलते, बकील की तरह नहीं। धपने मामले को साबित करने के लिए उन्होंने यहां पर धपने ही सावजों से कई उद्धरण दिये लेकिन उन्हें बताना चाहिये या कि वहां पर गोल्डबर्ग ने क्या कहा, रूस ने क्या कहा, सुरक्षा परिषद् के प्रस्ताव और टीकाकारों ने क्या कहा । चागला साहब ने खुद क्या कहा, इस से तो चीजें नहीं साबित हो जाया करतीं।

चागला साहब 31 मिनट तक तो बोले बकील की तरह भौर 4 मिनट बोले सिपाही की तरह। भगर उन के यही चार मिनट कभी 31 मिनट हो जायेंगे, तब तो भारत का मामला जीत कर रहेगा, लेकिन उन्होंने 31 मिनट तक जो कुछ कहा, उस पर भरोसा किया, तो हमारे लिए स्थित बहुत ख़तरनाक हो जायेगी। मैं यह सलाह सिर्फ उन को ही नहीं, नके सब सायियों को देना चाहता हूं कि धगर यह दुनिया सिर्फ वकील बनाते, तो बड़ा प्रथ्छा होता, लेकिन यह दुनिया बनती है, मैं मानता हूं, कुछ वकीलों के हाथों, कुछ सिपाहियों के हाथों, लेकिन ज्यादातर ऐसे हाथों, जो प्राधे वकील भीर साथे सिपाही होते हैं।

जिनीवा के राष्ट्र संघ के बारे में मेरा जो षोड़ा सा तजर्बा है, मैं ऊषांट साहब को भी भीर भ्रष्ती सरकार के साथियों को भी बताना चाहता हुं। उस वक्त जिनीवा में राष्ट्र संघ था, जैसे कि म्राज न्यूयार्क में संयुक्त राष्ट्र है। नाम बदल गए हैं। भागला साहब को पहले वाले का पता नहीं है मैं ने पहले वाले को श्रीखों से भी देखाभौर कानों से भी सुना। उस वक्त मैंने एक बात नोट की कि जब उस की सम्बी मम्बी तारीफ होती थीं, उस वक्त भी उस में एक रोगलगाहुमाया। और वही रोगर्म माज न्यूयार्क की सुरक्षा परिषद में भी देख रहा हं। भीर वह बिल्कुल साफ रोग है कि भ्रमरीका वियतनाम में क्या करता है, उस पर यह सुरक्षा परिषद् प्रस्ताव पास नहीं करती भीर न कर सकती है, रूस हंगरी में क्या करता है, उस पर यह सुरक्षा परिचद प्रस्ताव पास नहीं करती भीर न ही कर सकती है। बढ़ प्रस्ताव पास करती है, सिर्फ उन देशों के मामलों में, जो दुर्वल हैं, झसहाय हैं, कमतोर है,

[ा० राम मरोहर लोहिया] जिनकी पल्टनी ताकत इतनी नहीं है कि बे अपने मन-चाहे रास्ते पर जा सके।

ये बातें मैंने घपने विद्यार्थी जमाने में ही जेनेवा में ग्रपनी ग्रांखों से देखीं ग्रीर कानों से सुनी। इस से योडा सा सबक यह सरकार भी सीख से भीर ऊषांट साहब भी सीख सें, तो दुनिया के लिए घच्छा होगा, क्योंकि मैं नहीं चाहता कि यह सुरक्षा परिषद खत्म हो जाये। मेरी इच्छा है कि यह बनी रहे और दनिया का कुछ फ़ायदा करे। लेकिन यह तभी हो सकता है, जब कोई चमत्कार, यानी एक बुनियावी तब्दीली, इस में घाए। नहीं ती क्या होगा कि यह सुरक्षा परिषद दो देशों के मामलों को छोड़ कर-मीर यह साफ है कि वै दो देश कीन से हैं : रूस और अमरीका, क्योंकि याद रखें कि भगले तीस चालीस ब सीं में बाली यही दो देश हैं, कोई तीसरा देश नहीं है--जब कभी किसी देश के मामले को उठायेगी तो वह न्याय या नई दुनिया के बनाने के प्राधार पर भपने प्रस्ताव पास नहीं करेगी-वह करेगी समझौते भीर लेन-देन के भाधार पर। जो प्रस्ताव भ्रमी पास हक्षा है या समझौता हमा है वह लेनरेन वाला है भीर न्याय वाला नई दुनिया को बनाने वाला नहीं है। लेन देन का है। उस में क्या है नम्बर । पांच श्चगस्त तक की जगहीं पर वापिस जाग्नी। नम्बर दो कार्ग्मार पर बातचीत करो । प्रस्ताव में ये दो चीजों बिल्कुल साफ हैं। मैं सभी तो नहीं कहना चाहता कि ये दोनों र्च,जें लाजिमी तीर से मापके लिए खतरनाक होंगी। लेकिन ग्रगर ग्राप 3) मिनट वाले रहे तो खतरनाक होंगी खीर धगर चार मिनट वाले रहें गैतो जरूरी नहीं है भन्तिम रूप से खतरनाक सावित हों। लेकिन याद रखना ये हो चीजों जो इस प्रस्ताव में हैं हर हालत में खतरनाक होने वार्लः हैं। दूिया के लिए भी भीर भारत भीर पाहिस्तान के रिस्तों के लिए भी। क्यांकि जो ब्रापने काश्मीर पर बतची। करने की राह की उस प्रस्ताव में रखा है तो साफ बात हो। जाती है कि बातचीत करोगे। बातचीत मगर पाकिस्तान के हक में जाती है—जाए गि नहं क्यों कि मैं नहीं समझता हूं कि कोई सरकार हिन्दुस्तान में माहमहत्या करने के लिए तैयार हु.गों—वैसं बहुत से लंग होते हैं क्या पता है क्या ठिकाना है कि कब कोई क्या कर बैठे—लेकिन प्रगर वह बातचीत ऐसे ही रह जाती है लटकी हुई तो नतीजा क्या होगा? कोई मामला हक नहीं होता। मारत प्रीर पाकिस्तान के रिक्त बिगड़ने चले जाते हैं कड़ शहट बढ़ती चली जाती है। फिर वकालत कोई काम नहीं करेगी।

इस वास्ते मेहरवानी करके थांट साहब को मेरी तरफ संग्राप यह बात बता देना कि अगर सुरक्षा परिषद को बचाना चाहते हो तो पुराने जेनेवा के राष्ट्र संघ के रास्ते से उसको हटाम्रो । बर्ना उन दो बड़े देकों के मामलों में तो यह कुछ कर नहीं पाएगा भौर जब कभी वे दोनों देश फैसला कर लेंगे तो यह टकरा कर चकनाचूर हो जाएगा भीर बाकी जितने देश हैं उनके मामलों में दखल देता रहेगा न्याम के लिए नहीं बरिक सीदे-बाजी के लिए लेनदेन के लिए। यह बुनियादी बात है।

घव सवाल उठरा है कि अंग्रेजों ने क्या किया । वचपन से ही जो मेरे विचार हैं वे मैं भ्रापको बतलाना चाहता हूं । हो सकता है कि कुछ मुझ पर गांधो जी का ग्रसर पड़ा हों कुछ भाने जमाने का ग्रसर पड़ा हों लेकिन चागला साहब भ्राप उस में यांड़ा बहुत भछूते हैं इसलिए मैं बता देता हूं । मुझ जैसे भ्रादमी ने शायद ही दुनिया में किसी भी सरकार को उतना ज्यादा गन्दा समझा हो जितना भ्रांग्रेजी सरकार को समझा है । चाई जों भो सरकार हो चाई जिस पार्टी की हो भ्रांग्रेज सरकार से ज्यादा गन्दा सरकार भाष संसार में नहीं देखोंगे । इसके साथ साथ मैं यह भी कह देना चाहता हूं कि भ्रंग्रेज जनता से ज्यादा ग्रन्छी सियासी जनता भी मैंने दुनिया में हीन देखी है। सवाल है कुछ नतीजा निकालो । सरकारों से काम करना है। ग्रीर यह सरकार है कैसी? मुझे शक है कि इस सरकार ने जिल्ला साहब के साथ चाहे लिखा धीर चाहे बेलिखा एक समझौता किया । उसने कहा बच्चे जब कभी खतरा घाएगा तो हम रहेंगे। हम तुग्हें बनवाते हैं भीर तुग्हें बचायेंगे। इसकार्गे घापको एक सदत भी देदेना चाहता हं। नाम तो मैं नहीं बताऊंगा । लेकिन एक बहुत बड़े धफगान नेता ने जिल्ला साहब से बातचीत की थी उन दिनों जब वह पाकिस्तान बनवाने की कोशिश कर यह थे कि जिन्ना साहब माप हक मांग रहे हैं मात्मनिर्णय का तो थोडा सा पख्तुनों को भी धात्मनिर्णय का हक दोजिये। जिन्ना साहब ने कहा कि मांगो तुम भी । उन्होंने कहा कि हम मांगेंगे तो ध्रवेज लोगों के हथियार तो ध्रभी हैं सरहबों पर भीर हम पिट रहे हैं भीर भगर ये कहेंगे तो पीटपाट कर हमें किनारे कर देंगे। तब जिल्ला साहब ने जवाब दिया कि तुम समझते हो कि पाकिस्तान बन जाने के बाद धंरेजों के इषियार नहीं रहेंगे। वे हिषयार तो तब भी रहेंगे भीर तब भी रक्षा करेगे भीर तब भी तुम्हें पस्तुनिस्तान नहीं मिल पाएगा । यह बातचीत जिल्ला साहब के एक बहुत बड़े धफगान नेता के साथ हुई थी जिससे मुझको विश्वास होता है कि ग्रंग्रेजों का इन पाकिस्तानियों के साथ कोई लिखा या बेलिखा समझौता हुआ है। भापके साथ भी हुआ। या लेकिन उसका मैं जिक नहीं करूंगा। वह ग्रलग बात है हालांकि बहुत खतरनाक समझौते हुए हैं। लेकिन उसको ग्रभी ग्राप छोड दीजिये।

एक चीज का ग्रापको फैसला करना होगा । भभी तक जिस तरह से हिन्द्स्तान की विदेश नीति का दिमाग प्रगर दिमाग है तो भीर शरीर कियर रहे हैं। जीभ यानी वचन यह तो रूसी रही है पिछले भाठ दस बरसों में लेकिन शरीर रहा है भ्रंग्रेजी ।

व्यापार अप्रोजों के साथ मशीन अप्रोजों की जहाज पसटन सब ग्रंग्रेजों के समान सब मंग्रेजों के यहां से खरीदते रहे हैं भीर शरीर सब ग्रंपेजों के साथ रहा है । भीर दिमाग, म्रगर दिमाग है, तो वह साथ रहा है। यह चल नहीं पाएगा। कुछ थे.ड़ा का सामंजस्य लाम्रो । जिधर दिमागः खते हो उधर शरीर को भी योडा बहुत रखो। यह करेंगे तो मामला ठीक हो जाएगा।

श्री भागवत झा प्राजाद की बात को तो मैं भल ही गया। दह बहुत मच्छा बोले हैं। मुझे बहुत खुकी है कि वह भूल गए कि किस पार्टी में वह हैं। बहुत धन्छी बात उन्होंने कही **बादमी को भूल जाना चाहिए जब वह सच्बी** बात कहे सच्चाई के राश्ते पर चले । उस समय उसको तैयार रहना चाहिये कि धपनी पार्टीको ठकराकर अप्तम कर दे। मैं चाहता हं कि बंबेजों से रिश्ते को बोड़ा ढीला करी ग्रगर खत्म न कर पामो । मैं तो यही राव दंगा कि खरम करो लेकिन मनर खरम न कर पाम्रो तो यीडा ढीला करो।

उसी तरह से फांसीसी दिगाल साहब के बारे में जान लेना कि उनकी के शिक्ष क्या है जरूरी है। उनकी कोशिश यह है कि एक रसिक कौम को पलटनी कौम बना डालें। उस को शिश में वे छटपटा रहे हैं भीर ऐसी कार्रवाइयां कर रहे हैं कि जिसका नर्त जा कुछ यो हा बहुत तो शायद भाषने देखा होगा । ग्रागे जाकर भौर कुछ भगतना पडेगा । एक रसिक कीम को पलटनी कीम बनाने की बह कोशिश कर रहे हैं। रह गये धापके ब्रफ्रेशियाई दोस्त । घापकी विदेश नीति की धरिजयां उद्ग चकी हैं। एक मलेशिया कै द्याप जरूर मुक्तगुकार हैं । उसने द्यापकी मदद की भ्रीर यह भी किन्हीं कारणों से । जार्डन को प्राप समझ नहीं पाते हैं ।उसका सीधा सा सबब है । घरब राष्ट्रों की प्रापने दंढा । ध्राप देखें कि जार्डन को किस ने बनाया किस ने [डा॰ रात मनोहर लोहिया] रखा भीर कौन चला रहा है । अंग्रेज महा-प्रमुही तो चलाते हैं भीर चला न्हे हैं ।

एक बुनियादी बात मैं कहूंगा । श्रापने एक बहुत बढ़िया बात कही कि इस साल धापकातजुर्वाकुछ ग्रच्छारहा । पिछले साल उतना उतना मच्छ नहीं या । मालूम है क्या फर्क है ? इस साल कुछ परिवर्तन जो हुन्ना है। इस साल हिन्दुस्तान ने श्रपने सिपा-िश्यों को लड़ाई इधर उधर वढ़ करभी करने दी है। यह फर्क हुआ है इसीलिए मैं म्रापकी सरकार से निवेदन करूंगा कि म्राप <mark>प्रपनी त्रिशेष नीति को ब्</mark>नियादी तौर से बदलें। एक तरफ तो भ्राप ग्रपने पड़ीसियों की तरफ प्यान दें। अफगानिस्तान है, नेपाल है, मलेशिया है भीर ग्रगर कोई ग्रीर ऐसे देश मिल सकते हैं जो बिल्कुल पड़ीसी हों भीर वे भी दोस्त बन सकते हैं, थाई देश भी हो सकता है, उनको ब्राप दोस्त बनाने की कोशिश करें। थोड़ा रूस और ग्रमरीका भी हैं दोनों किसी हद तक—मैं शायद ज्यादा कह जाऊंगा,—मित्र रहे हैं। दोनों मित्र बनाये जा सकते हैं। जो कुछ कमियां भ्राप की नीति की रही हैं, उनको भ्राप दूर करने की कोशिश करें। ऐसे दायरे हैं। एक दायरा तो यही है भारत भीर पाकिस्तान का भौर दूसरा दायरा दुनिया की गरीबी का है। इन दो दायरों को ग्राप ग्रपनी विदेश नीति का मुख्य उद्देश्य बना कर कसीटी पर सिये। रूस भीर भ्रमरीका, एक या दोनों, जो हम को इन दोनों दायरों में मदद देता है वह हमारा बढ़िया दोस्त रहेगा। किसी तरह की सिद्धान्त हीनता वर्गरह क चक्कर में फंस कर, मंत्र वर्गरह के चक्कर में फंस कर ग्राप भ्रपनी नीतिको खरावन करें।

सवान उठता है कि मिला क्या इन सब की जों में ? एक की जा तो यह मिली कि पिछले धठारह बरस का चक्कर खत्म हुमा कि हम बहीं लड़ते थे जहां दुश्मन म्ना कर हम से लड़ता था। अब यह मुख्यात हो गई है कि
दुश्मन की ताकत को खाम करने के लिए
हम वहां भी जाएंगे जहां हम मजबूत पड़ेंगें
और उसको खत्म करने की कोशिश करेंगे।
यह बात मिली है। और यह बात मैं हिन्दुस्तान
की जनता को खास तौर पर बतलाना चाहता
हूं कि सरकार भी मजबूरी में इस बात को
पकड़े रहेगी, सरकार पर मुझे भरोसा नहीं,
मजबूरी पर भरोसा है, मजबूरी में पकड़
रक्खेगी, कि दुश्मन से सिफं उस जमीन पर
मत लड़ो जिस पर धाकर यह तुम जनता
है, बल्कि जाओ, उसकी जमीन पर भी
जाओ।

इसके साथ साथ शायद एक बात ग्रीर मिली है कि पाकिस्तान का पलटनी घमंड...

एक माननीय सबस्य : चूर हो गया है।

का० राम महिर लोहिया: चूर शब्द का इस्तैमाल तो नहीं कर सकता, हालांकि चाहता था कि कर सकता, लेकिन कम से कम उसे धक्का लगा है। नरम पड़ा है ऐसा लगता है। लेकिन किस हद तक नरम पड़ा है, नहीं जानता। धगर इस हद तक नरम पड़ा है कि पाकिस्तान के मौजूदा नेता लोग या तो धपने पुराने खयालों को बदलते हैं या फिर उनको हटा कर कोई दूसरा बड़िया नेतृत्व पाकिस्तान में धांये, तब उसका बड़ा धण्छा नतीजा होगा, और तब मुझ जैसे लोगों को बात यहां और वहां योड़ी बहुत सुनी जायेगी। यह दो चोखें तो मिलीं, और क्या नहीं मिला इस को भी याद रखना।

इस लड़ाई में एक ही वाक्य निकला जो याद रक्खा जा सकता है और याद रक्खा जायेगा, शायद एक वाक्य के हिसाब से, और वह है कि हिन्दुस्तान एक युद्धबन्दी से दूसरी युद्धबन्दी में हमेशा सफर नहीं करसा रहेगा। यह बढ़िया और ऊंचा वाक्य था। सेकिन मृझे प्रफक्षोस होता है कहते हुए कि यह लफ्फाजी निकला। हम एक युद्धबन्दी से दूसरी युद्धबन्दी तक सफर करते चले जा रहे हैं।

एक दूसरी चीज जो नहीं मिली, ऐसा सगता है मुक्क्षा परिषद् के प्रस्ताव को देखते हुए वह यह कि प्रधान मन्त्री साहब ने इस उड़ी पूंछ के झोल को खत्म करने के लिये बचन दे रक्क्षा है। खैर, इसके बारे में मैं कुछ नहीं कहता हूं। खाली इतना याद दिलाता हूं कि देखी, बचन है तुम्हारा। मेरा नहीं, मेरा स्रो उससे बहुत झांगे जाता है।

तीसरी चीज जो उनको नहीं मिली वह यह कि चाहे हजार वकालत करो कहीं भी, चाहे ताशकन्द में या कहीं भीर, पाकिस्तान के साथ बैठ कर बातचीत करनी पड़ेगी भीर यह बहुत खतरनाक होगा । मैं मान लेता हं कि वह बहुत चालाक रहेंगे, मीठे धादमी हैं, चालाक रहेगे, लेकिन बातचीत करने में बड़े 🕶 तरनाक नतीजे हुन्ना करते हैं, क्योंकि द्मगर पाकिस्तान ग्रसन्तुष्ट हुन्ना तो बिल्कुल साफ चात है। (Interruptions) मैं समझता हूं कि इस वक्त मैं कुछ इस इंग से बोल रहा हूं कि **का**यद सरकार के तिये भी फायदेमन्द वार्ते हों। तो नतीजा बड़ा खतरनाक हो सकता है। चाहे बातचीत किधर भी जाये, ऊंट किस करवट बैंडे, चाहे ऊंटहिन्दुस्तान के **करवट बँ**डे—हिन्दूस्तान नहीं, माफ कीजि-येगा-भारत के करवट बैठे या पाकिस्तान के **कर**वट बैंडे, दोनों हालतों में वात खतरनाक है । क्योंकि ग्रगर पाकिस्तान का नेतृत्व नहीं बदला, पाकिस्तान का पलटनी घमंड, जिसको मैं समझताहं कि धक्कालगाहै, चूर नहीं हुँगा, तो पाकिस्तान फिर से हमला करने की कोशिश करेगा। घीर यह बात कही जा चुकी है, भुट्टो साहब वहां फरमा चुके हैं---युद्धवन्दी नहीं हो पाई थी, जिम समय युद्ध बारी या, उन्होंने फतवा दे डाला । इस बात को ज्ञास्त्रीजी को याद रखना है जब वह

श्रपनी बात कहेंगे कि फिर से हमला होने की बातचीत चल पड़ी है।

इस सम्बन्ध में बहुत धीरज के साथ मुझ को जुनना। गुस्सा मत होना, प्राप लोगों के भले की बात कह रहा हूं, भीर वह यह है कि लाहीर धीर स्यालकोट के इलाकों को ज्यादा से ज्यादा चार, पांच या छः दिन में भारत को ले लेना चाहिये था। लेकिन वह ले नहीं पाया। इसके क्या कारण थे। हो सकता है कि पलटनी ध्रयोग्यता रही हो। इस पर मुझ इस वक्त कुछ नहीं कहना है।

श्री रघुनाय सिंह (बाराणसी) : नहीं, नहीं ।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : देखो, रघुनाय सिंह साहब, कुछ गनो तब बोलो । मैं "हो सकता है," कह दश हूं। इसिलये इस पर मुझे कुछ नहीं करना है। लेकिन यह भी हो सकता है कि िजय चौक के दिल जरानाजुक ये ग्रीर उन्हें इर लगा कि पहले घक्के में जब हम नहीं ले पाये तो ग्रगर दूसरा या तीसरा धक्का देंगे तो भादमी भीर सामान का इतना नुक्सान हो जायेगा कि फिर हम चान का मुकाबला नहीं कर पार्वेगे । ऐसा हो तो मैं इस सदन में बड़े जोर से कह देनः चाहता हूं कि कोई भी पलटनी या विदेश नीति इस तरह से नहीं चला करती है। जब एक मकनद बना लेते हो तो उस मकसद को हासित करने के लिये घपने ग्रामी ग्रीर ग्रपना सामान डाल दो भ्रौर उस को हासिल कर लो । मकसद होना चाहिये था लाहीर ग्रीर स्वालकोट वाला क्योंकि मगर माज लाहीर घीर स्थालकोट भारतीय पनटनीं के हावों में होते भीर फिर वहां से हटना पड़ता, मान लो युद्ध विराम के बाद हट कर माते, तब जरानाक कुछ अंनो होती। तब बात समझ में द्याती कि पाकिस्तान का पलटनी घमंड ट्टा है। चीन वाली बात भारत सरकार को हमेशा के लिये अपने दिनाय से

[डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया]

निकाल देनी चाहिये। प्रगर पाकिस्तान के मामले को हल करना है तो चीन बीच में टपक पड़ेगा, इस डर और संकोच को दिमाग है बिल्कुल निकाल देना चाहिये। चीन भाषेगा तब देखेंगे उससे भी निपट लेंगे।

जो लड़ाई हुई उस में दो शब्दों का बड़ा इस्तेमाल हुन्ना, भीर होना चाहिये । एक भ्रंप्रेजी शब्द ने हमारा बड़ा नुक्सान किया है भीर वह है सेकुलरिज्म । माफ करना, सेकुलरिण्म का मतलब बहुत कम लोग जानते हैं। धर्म निरपेक्ष जिसे कहते हैं वह तो उसका एक छोटा सा भ्रंग है । सेकुलरिज्म का मतलब है लोकबादी । जिस तपह से परलोक-दादी उसी तरह से लोक वादी। धगर वह करने जाते हो तो हिन्दू, मुसलमान के मामले में पहले साफ फैसला कर डालो । उस एकता को खरम करो जो पिछले 18 वर्षों से चली मा रही है कि दोनों घलग रहते हुए एक हो जायें। मैं चाहता हूं कि दोनों के घलगाव को घटाते हुए दोनों को एक करो, भौर उस रास्ते पर बलो तब जा कर पाकिस्तानी जनता धौर पाकिस्तानी पटलन में बगावत कर सकते हो ।

इस के साथ साथ मैं कहता हूं . . .

उपाध्यक्ष महोवय : भव माननीय सदस्य का समय समाप्त हो चुका ।

इं!० राम मनोहर लोहियाः मैं चार मिनट में श्रपनी बात खत्म किये देता हूं।

चुन्न माननीय सबस्य : बोलिये बोलिये ।

का०रःम मने हर लोहियाः ग्राज ग्राप लोगों के सामने मुझे बड़ी खुशी हो रही है कि कुछ तो पुराना जमाना याद ग्राया ।

तो इस तरह से एक भीज याद रखना कि चार करोड़ 99 लाख मुसलमानों को ग्रब पकड़ने की कोशिश करना ग्रीर 5 हजार खानदानी मुसलमानों को प्रपने से थोड़ा दूर रखना । यह सरकार की बड़ी भारी गलती रही है, न सिर्फ मुसलमानों के मामले में बिल्क हिन्दुयों के श्रीर सभी के मामले में भी, कि खानदानी लोगों को पकड़ कर दुनिया को बदलना चाहते हैं। ग्रब ज्यादातर जनता को पकड़ कर दुनिया को बदलने की कोणिश करो।

इसी तरह से पाकिस्तान के मामले में यह याद रखना कि खान अध्युल गपफार खां, सरहदी गांधी, जो पन्द्रह सोलह सालों से जेल में रहे, भीर खान ध्रब्युत्समद खां, बनूची गांधी, ये मामूली भ्रादमी नहीं हैं। मैं समकता हूं कि वह भ्रमने यहां की भ्राधी से ज्यादा जनता की नुमाइन्दगी करते हैं। वह भी जेल में रहे। किर मैं ने एक खबर सुनी है, शायद भ्राप लोगों को न मालूम हो कि खिजर हयात खां पंजाब के पिछले भ्राठ या दस दिनों से नजरबन्दी या जेल में हैं। जरा इन सब बीजों को भ्रमान में रखना। इसलिये भ्रमली दफे . . .

कुछ माननीय सबस्य : तिथाना ।

बा॰ राम मनोहर संहिया : हां, वही खिजर हयात खां तिवाना । जरा झपनी सरकार को यह सुना दिया करो । चागला साहेब तो यह बात याद रखना कि झगलो दफे जब पाकिस्तान हमला करने भाये तो मेरी जो यह राय कि पाकिस्तान छुते ही बह जायेगा इस बुनियाद पर है कि यह पठान, यह बल्बी, यह बंगाली, यह सारा भानुमती का पिटारा जोड़ कर वहां रखा गया है, बणर्ने कि भ्राप लोकशाही भीर लोकबाद इन बो चीजों को पकड़ कर चसें

संसार में दो बड़े रोग है ग्रौर हिन्दुस्तान में ये रोग सबसे ज्यादा है। ये रोग हैं गैर बराबरी श्रीर ग्रलगाव। ग्रीर छनको द् करने की एक दबाई तो है समता की भीर दूसरी दबाई है सामीप्य की । इन दोनों का सारी जनता के बीच इस्तैमाल होना चाहिए ।

तो मेरा फहना है कि एक तरफ तो पाकिस्तान और चीन के सम्बन्ध में भ्रपनी नीति सुधारो भीर दूसरी तरफ भन्न के सवाल को हल करो । लोगों को भ्रनाज चाहिए । भीर यह याद रखना कि जब मुन्ने जैसा भादमी भीड़ से कहता है कि देखो भूखों मत मरना, मरने के पहले मंत्रियों भीर भ्रफसरों के घरों में चले जाना और उन्हें उस वक्त तक मत खाने देना जब तक कि तुम न खा पाभो, तो इस को धमकी मत समझना । यह हिन्दुस्तान भीर दुनिया के स्वास्थ्य की भावाज है ।

इसी तरह से जनतंत्र के बारे में मैं बता दूं कि जनतंत्र की खाक है, यह लो उन्नरी वपड़ी है, केवल इसको धोड़ लेने से काम नहीं बसेता। इसके धन्दर जनतंत्र की धारमा होनी थाहिए।

धौर उपण्यस महोवय, मेरा घाप से एक नम्म निवेदन है कि इस दफा तो हुमा, लेकिन भगली दफा ऐसा नहीं होना चाहिए कि बीस तीस दिन से लड़ाई चलती रही लेकिन उस लड़ाई के बारे में देस की लोक सभा में चर्चा नहीं हुई । एकतंत्र की घारमा है घौर जनतंत्र की खाल है । इस चीज को कुछ बोड़ा सा उन मंत्रेजों से, जिनको मैं सरकारी पैमाने पर बुरा कहता हूं, हम को सीख लेना चाहिए ।

धौर रही मेरी धपनी बात, सो हम को तो सफर मैना हैं। हम ने पहाड़ तोड़ कर सड़क बना दी। लेकिन घब धाप हम को इस पर बलने भी नहीं देते, धौरों को पहले बतने देते हो। दुनिया इसको देख रही है धौर समझ रही है, धाप भी समझो धौर इस पर ध्यान दो।

धन्त में मैं फिर कहना चाहता हूं कि •इस बात पर घ्यान दो कि जनतंत्र धीर लोकवाद के इन दो सिद्धान्तों को पकड़ कर भारत को मजबूत बनाते चले आयो, थौर मुझे पक्का विश्वास है कि चाहे लड़ाई से हो या रजामन्दी से हो, हम प्रपने धौर पाकिस्तान के बीच में ऐसी स्थिति पैदा कर देंगे कि पांच, दस या दो बरस में पाकिस्तान खरम हो जायेगा धौर भारत भी खरम हो आयेमा भौर फिर से हिन्दुस्तान बनेगा।

Shrimati Vijay Lakshmi Pandit (Phulpur): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, today's debate arises from the Security Council's resolution calling for a cease-fire.

For the last few weeks we have passed through and faced the most serious threat since our Independence. The story of Pakistan's perfldy and deceit and the manner in which she aggressed for the third time on our country is a shameful one, but we are a proud people today, for we have given a reply in no uncertain terms. We have told those who sought to violate our territory and destroy the values on which our nation is built that they must keep their hands off, and not only keep their hands off, but keep their minds off certain concepts which belong to the mediaeval age and which they still choose to foster.

I would fike, before I go on, to pay a respectful tribute to the bold leadership of the Prime Minister in this crisis. To those who have laid down their lives to defend the values by which we live, all I can say is that we, the people of India, will not forget their sacrifice and that we will continue to carry forward the ideals and the noble traditions and pattern of life for which they died to defend.

I share with the House and this country the concern regarding the nature of the reply that our Government will send to the Security Council. But after what the hon. Minister has just told us, I feel that the case of India will be well guarded, and neither our military nor our psychological advantage will be

[Shrimati Vijay Lakshmi Pandit]

sacrificed today or at any other time. Shri M. C. Chagla has told us very clearly that the case for India been expressed with firmness and in very clear language. This is a good thing for us, and as far as it goes, it will be very helpful. But the ways of diplomacy are crooked, and I would merely like to say with great respect to Shri Chagla and to all those who will handle our case in the days to come that they must not only rely on the dictum Satyameva Jayate but also rely on the fact of the stand we have taken; the stand of strength and as he nimself has admitted, it is unfortunately strength tant counts. By arength, I do not only mean strength of aeroplanes and bonibs and the nuclear weapons about which there has been so much talk in the last few days, but also that much strength which our jawans and our young pilots had, who were able to destroy the much bigger and stronger weapons (such as the Patton tanks) than the weapons which they themselves possessed; that is the strength that we want to create first in the country, and together with that all the other forms of military strength that eviden'ly are needed in this day and age which in spite of the efforts of so many great statesmen and others seem to be falling back on the ways of the jungle.

There has been a very clear indication of the feelings of this House about our relationship with certain One thing that this war countries. with Pakistan has done is to remove the blinkers from our eyes, and we have suddenly seen clearly that we are not surrounded by the host of friends that we fondly imagine were standing behand to back us on every issue. Nor am I prepared to accept in its entirety the criticism that this is due to bad publicity. It is true, and I have had my share in criticising the Government for their lack of publicity, their weak publicity and sometimes no publicity at all. But in this case

I think we cannot say that this was the only fatcor involved.

and India Quitting

Commonwealth (Res.)

It would be good just to look back a little and see how it was that we became involved in this Commonwealth partnership. That was a moment in history when the world was full of tensions, and the war was ending, and when there was hatred and fear and suspicion men's hearts, and it was felt in our country by our leaders that no steps should be taken that would further disrupt a situation which aiready a threat to the peace and to the growing up of all those new nations that had just then emerged into freedom. It was with this idea in mind that the then Prime Minister looked for and found a formula which permitted of our joining the monwealth, and we joined it in the hope that with the ending of the Empire, we would bring a new life, and a new approach to this new association of free nations. It was a completely free association to be ended at the free will of the partners concerned. And we entered it, as one hon. Member told us, a little while ago, with great hopes for the future. We believed that India as a member of this association could lend support to many of the ideals and aims and objectives we had at heart, and could form a bridge betthe West and the newly emerging nations and could bring dignity to a new concept and through it work for the peace and progress of the world and the advancement of all those nations which were then newly released from the colonial yoke. But right from the very beginning, the ideals that we had r mained more or less theoretical. Britain as the senior partner somehow could not forget that you cannot run a commonwealth like you run an empire, there is a difference between the two, and she assumed on all occasions the role of the senior partner who does not discuss things or enter into consultations with others because their knowledge is less, is limited

and their experience is not so wide. Now, this is all right up to a certain extent. But I recall during all the period that I was in England on the many many occasions when there was need for consultation between the various High Commissions and Whitehall, that when we were summoned, it was always to be given a piece of paper announcing the decision already taken; and on our way back, we bought the evening paper in which we found this decision, so that the telegrams we sent to were late by several governments hours because the radio had already announced the decision of Whitehall on a certain commonwealth matter which should have been reported at least one day ahead by the members of the High Comissions concerned.

Then, Sir, there are so many other small matters on which one found the crystallising of a peculiar attitude. It was nothing that one could take any great objection to at the moment, but it was something that gradually paved the way for much that happened subsequently. For instance, even when there was need for some discussion, somehow the commonwealth fell into two divisions, the white and the black.

the question of Suez. admit that that was a very delicate business. It was not easy for whithall, for the Prime Minister of England perhaps to share his thoughts entirely with the Indian High Commissioner or with the Ceylon High Commissioner or the Ghanian High Commissioner and others who were quite obviously supporting President Nasser. But I would just like to refer to one instance which will show the manner in which Britain acted and the way in which we responded even when it meant the sacrificing of considerable interest of our own. When the Caral was nationalised, there was great heat and great feeling all over We were asked, as you will, no doubt remember, to intercede with President Nasser and request him to accept internationalimation of the Canal and certain

international guarantees. Now that would have suited us fine because the Canal meant a great deal to us, great deal of our shipping went through the Canal and the loss of the Canal would have been a very heavy loss indeed in financial terms to India. But our reply was absolutely straight, unhesitating and given immediately. We said we could not possibly do that; President Nasser, who had so recently thrown off the colonial yoke himself, was entitled to take any steps he liked in the interest of his country and we would not be parties to again making Egypt or rather the Canal a playground for international politics.

So, of course, that naturally meant that there was a little feeling of suspicion between the various members although even there I am happy to say that the division did not quite fall in those terms and there were countries of the commonwealth which tried very hard and with great tact and with great effort to bridge a situation which was threatening to get very ugly indeed.

One thing about England which I have always admired and will continue to admire is that there is a distinct difference, as Dr. Lohia just pointed out, between the Government and public opinion. I am sure you will remember that even in the darkest days of our struggle for freedom when we really felt as if we were friendless, there was always a small group of people in England who held aloft the to-ch of justice and gave us hope that they at least, to the limited extent possible, were fighting our battles for us. What has happened today, it seems to me, is something quite extraordinary, for this suddenly seems to have vanished into thin air.

First of all, the newspapers have taken a similarity of tone by which it is impossible to distinguish which political party they belong to. They have all become one and united in their partisan support for a Commonwealth country in a dispute between two Commonwealth countries.

[Shrimati Vijay Lakshmi Pandit]

We had always been told in the past, and I myself have had occasions many, many times to be given this lesson in and out of the United Nations, that Gt. Britain could not support us on certain issues because it meant voting against or showing disagreement with a fellow-member of the Commonwealth, This is what happened on the question of apartheid in South Africa for years and years and because the USA and Gt. Britain could not take a straight stand and join in the condemnation, the people of Africa had to go through a long period of travail and humiliation, and we had to go time and again to the United Nations to raise the same old plea that they were not doing anything about the aggression in Kashmir.

If things could be looked at without the background of a double standard. then life would be so much easier on the international plane. If it was wrong for a partisan attitude to be taken in the case of South Africa and everybody set on the fence how is it now that the UK and USA and various other nations have jumped into the with Pakistan and equated Pakistan with ourselves? I can only think that so far as the UK is concerned, this again is not due to the fact that they do not know what is going on. Which country knows us better, both Pakistan and ourselves, than the United Kingdom? They have all the background material, know how we have functioned since independence, they know all our motivations because so many of us are influenced by the British. Here am I speaking in the Parliament of my own country, a free country, un independent country, expressing avaelf in the language of the British.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : बहुत खराब कर रही हैं।

Shrimati Vijay Lakshmi Pandit: Therefore, the British know us very well, but I believe the reason why

they take this partisan attitude towa ds Pakistan is that they want to retain a special influence in Pakistan. because they have a nostalgic feeling for this part of the world where they cannot believe that their influence has gone for good and that their prestige cannot be restored. whatever manner of means, however crooked they may they seek to find a foothold in Pakistan. Of course, the other thing is that India is never going to be anybody's stooge, come what may. have never been and we never intend to be, and this has been a lesson which generations will not forget. So, we cannot be bribed by offers of any kind of assistance. We want assistance we have accepted it in the spirit in which it was offered. There is no need for friends to refuse assistance from one another, but we have now seen that though there were no outward conditions imposed, yet there were certain invisible ties by which we put us in difficulties and when it came to the moment of trial, and we wanted our friends to get up, to stand and be counted, there were no friends.

Here again, I would like to refer to my personal experience of the seven or eight years in which I had the honour to lead the Indian delegation to the United Nations, when everyday, many times in the day, I was told by the Western democracies: "Well, you have sided so many times with the Soviet Union and only so many times with the Western democracies because you said you were trying to judge all issues on their merits with a view to keep the cold war at bay, but when it comes to your own situation, then it is very different." Now, Sir, it does not hurt one when those one does not care about criticise or leave one in the lurch. But what is very hurtful is when those one has trusted stabb one on the back. I do not want to go into the long history; Mr. Azad has gone in great detail with quotations to show how this present government in Great Britain has fac-

ed a situation in which it was called upon not to take sides, not to apportion blame but to declare where justice lay. I would have thought that comparing India and Pakistan, Pakistan a theocratic State, practically going back to the middle ages, and India a modern secular democratic State which has taken so much of its constitution and its pattern of life and its rule of law from Great Britain and from other western democracies, it would be the easiest thing for these people to get up and say: well, there is something very wrong going here and we must apportion blame where it lies. But no such thing happened. Therefore, we have at this time to re-assess the situation. Human beings change their clothes according to the season. As we grow older we adjust our habits to our age. So, our country must adjust its policies the age and times in which it finds itself. There is nothing about a policy which is sacrosanct. If a policy serves you it is a good policy. The day it ceases to serve you it must be rejected in favour of a better policy. The guiding principle must be one's national interest and ability to make allowances that are useful to oneself to begin with and then, secondly, to the world. I would not like us ever to forget that we have been brought up in different way to many other countries. We must never forget the lesson, we learnt in our national movement and the lessons that followed during the early days of Independence Changing or trimming or modification of policies has to be done here and now or we shall find ourselves in very grave circumstances after which it will be difficult for us to go. We have to decide now who are the people who will stand us in good stead in peace and in war because, evidently, war is not ruled out. I would like to say a word of per-sonal explanation. I feel humiliated today as I stand here 'alking about war when I have been pledged to the principles of peace since, as a little girl of 18, I joined the women's league for peace and freedom. It goes against the grain

7533

for me to say war is a nece sity, but having seen what happened in my country I should say that we must so re-assess our foreign policy and our relationship with other nations as to get and derive the maximum benefit ourselves and then to help in creating a better state in the world. This is the moment to do i . I do not believe that this cease fire It might mean an uneasy peace. peace for a while only. Until the Security Council has fairly squarely Pakistan labelled the aggressor, until the world has cep'ed the facts of the last few weeks, until that time, it is no u e our relaxing or relying on outsiders. We must gear our efforts to speed up our defence production, our food production, our human production... (Interruptions.) I do not mean creating more human beings but better human beings. stronger human beings, men and women who will understand the need and respond to it as they did in face of the last calamity that took place. It will lie down if it is not kept up. I do plead with the Government not to relax the effort in anyway at all.

Regarding which countries we shall sever our connections with, I would like to sav, let us think of it when we are cooler, assess the situation and come to our decisions when we are able to take an objective view and only, first and last, always, in the interests of India.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri Muhammad Ismail. Order, order; those who wish to go out will please go silently.

Shri Muhammad Ismail (Manjeri): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, at the very outset, we have to pay our undying gratitude and tribu'e to the armed forces of the country, who have gerved and sacrificed splendidly for the honour and security of the country.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order There is too much of noise in the House.

Shri Muhammad Ismail: Our congratulations are also due to the Government for the brilliant success which has attended their war efforts in defence and honour of the country. We cannot also forget the solidarity of the whole population of India, including all sections people belonging to various creeds and communities, in the cause of the defence and integrity and the security honour of the country.

The subject-matter of the discussion is the resolution passed by the Security Council. Whatever may be said of the content and character of the resolution, the fact is there: it produced, so far as our country is concerned, only an uneasy truce. We find that the other side has not taken it in the same spirit in which we have taken it. Even up to the lat minute of the cease fire, there has been continuation of violence; apart from the bombings of men who were travelling in unarmed plane on business of the State and bombings on unarmed and innocent people, there have been bombings also on holy places of worship such as churches, gurdwaras and mosques. This is a matter which deserves condemnation not only by people in India but of every sensible man in other parts of the world.

In connection with this resolution. we are disappointed in one thing. In spite of our diplomats' claim to have argued and done well, the resolution does not proclaim the fact as to who is the aggressor. That goes to show the strength of our diplamtic front. Even though our armed front was up to 'he mark more than was expected of them, the achievement of our diplomatic front has not matched that of our soldiers. Once again the old questions are being raked up. To mention one, the old Kashmir question is not yet given a rest, as we expected it would be given. They speak of self determination and plebiscite Selfdetermination is a thing which must be claimed by a people. It is not a gift that is given to a people by another people. The people who want

self-determination and plobiscite must claim it themselves. That is what we by self determination. here in Kashmir the people decided long ago that they wanted to be with India and that decision has been made by the people of Kashmir.

and India Quitting Commonwealth (Res.)

16 hrs.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

Now what happened during the precrisis? Village after village caught hold of the infiltrators gave information to the police and other authorities. That shows their determination in keeping by the decision they have come to 18 years ago that they would continue with India. That is the decision so far as the people of Kashmir are concerned that has been made. Now, who is it who wants self determination for these people? Not there people themselves. It may be pointed out that there are certain people in Kashmir who are speaking about plebiscite and selfdetermination. Who are they? These are the very people who in the beginning led and guided the people to come to this decision of acceding to India. Now in national matters, in the formation of a country or settlement of a country's affairs, one cannot be changing his decision on such matters again and again as one would change his coat or cap. When a decision is made in such matters, it stands for ever. That is the practice al! over the world. Therefore, whatever may be said by certain propogandists, the position is this The Kashmiris themselves, for whom others want right of self-determination, have shown that Kashmir forms an integral part of this country. That cannot be changed. If anybody does anything against this established fact, that is really an aggression against the integrity and honour of the country. What has our diplomatic front done in this matter of making the world understand clearly this situation? Nothing. That is why I say our diplomatic front. our diplomacy, must still make headway and improve in the matter of conducting the affairs of the country in the international front.

Coming to the Security Council resolution, the first thing is it has not declared who is the aggressor. They could have done that very early. There were UN observers there. it is not their function to see where and by whom the aggression committed, I do not know what other functions they have got there as observers of the UN. The Secretary-General came here and spoke with the leader of the UN observers and the result of his finding was conveyed to the UN Security Council. In spite of all that, they could not come to a decision that Pakistan was the aggressor and that India was always for peace Unless such a decision is come to there is no end to the matter and we need not wonder why the truce, the peace that has been brought by the resolution is only an uneasy truce. is also no guarantee for the fu'ure. Things that are happening now and have happened until the last minute before the case fire do not encourage us to think guarantee would be forth-coming.

In this connection, I have to associate myself with what was said by some speakers with regards to our Our friends, whose number friends was fairly large in the past, were not with us during the crisis. But a small country, which is now fighting for its own existence, which has got to meet a confrontation from neighbour which is a big country, a country whose federation itself is being sought to be shattered-a part of it, Singapore, has already gone away from her-and who finds herself in a tight position, had the boldness to speak out the truth in the Security Council and to say that their government was in favour of our country.

Sir, if there is proper diplomatic endeavour and conduct on the part of our embassies, our position would not have been what it is today. Our country is a large country, a big country,

an ancient country, and a country which is carrying on its affairs in a democratic way. We, therefore, naturally, should find many friends the world to co-operate with us. The diplomacy and friendship of other nations, after all is said and done, is based upon a care of self interest on the part of the other nations. care for their interet. They ask the question n.c to how they benefited by joining a particular country. When they ask such questions, our country being a large country, a democratic country, will surely influence those people if only our diplomatic front worked in the right way about it. That is what has not been done, to my mind, by our diplomatic front.

Therefore, when we think of revising our foreign policy, we must givethought to our diplomatic front also. how to improve it to that our case might be put very clear'y before the world. Even though we have got strong case in this matter, the world has been kept in doubt about it. They have not understood what they ought to do not only in justice but also even in their own interest. Losing the friendship of India wil not be a gain to the other countries of the world, to the diplomatic front of the world. This has not been sufficiently impressed upon the world and our Government must take every step () Jee that this defect is rectified.

As I said, the truce has not brought real peace to us. Therefore, the country has to be prepared for any further eventuality. As has been said by Dr. Lohia, strength is the best of all arguments in such affairs. We must always pay our attention to the strength and in maintaining that Our readiness for any strength. eventuality is a thing that is required for maintaining our honour and integrity and security. Therefore, I want to tell you that in that attempt all sections of the people, the whole population of the country, is at the back of the Government. When the[Shri Muhammad Ismail]

7539

country was facing the crisis, which is not completely liquidated, people from every corner of the country, from one end of the country to the other, joined together and rallied behind the Government. They have shown their solidarity in the effort to secure the honour of the country. That same solidarity and same cooperation will be extended to the Government in making further efforts in securing and maintaining the honour of the country.

Shri J. B. Kripalani (Amroha): Mr. Speaker, Sir, for years our people have been suffering from many difficulties and inconveniences. But, in spite of that, when the country was in danger they rose as one man and did what they possibly could do in the circumstances. Our fighting forces gave us a splendid account of themselves. They performed deeds which were reminiscent of re_kless Rajput bravery. Their bravery was sometimes suicidal. I hope that we will be worthy of the great sacrifices that our fighting forces have made.

There are certain problems which we must re-think. It is not enough to say that we are wedded to the status quo ante. In this moving world there can be no status quo. The conditions under which we are living require radical and revolutionary policies if we are to get out of the troubles in which we find ourselves. We have, therefore, to re-think not only our foreign policy but also our home policy. I have no objection if even today the Government and Congressmen go on chanting hymns of nonalignment. Keep yourself as non-aligned as even China is. But, for God's sake, keep your diplomacy, your strategy, your tactics in a fluid condition. These must change with the time, place and circumstances. should have no permanent enemies, no permanent friends. We have seen that though our cause was just, though it was crystal clear that Pakis-

tan had committed aggression, though it was clear that it had crossed the line that was established by United Nations at the time of the cease-fire and although they crossed the international boundary, we found no friend except Malaysia.

An hon. Member: Singapore

Shri J. B. Kripalani: Singapore. We are thankful to them. But, remember, they sided with us because it coincided with their self-interest. No sides with another less their interests coincide. Unless we have some good understanding with people and unless we cultivate friendship, we will find ourselves in the same position as we found ourselves in when this war began.

It is not difficult at least for the democratic countries of the West to understand us as against Pakistan. The cry that Kashmir should go to Pakistan is based on communal lines. It should not be difficult for democratic countries to understand that if India is to be divided on communal lines, there can be no place for the 50 million Muslims in this country. This is such a simple thing that anybody can understand it, that is, those who want to understand it. But they do not want to understand it.

It is also a fact that we have not properly explained to them the position in India. Who will be responsible for these 50 millions of people? Will the UNO be responsible for hold that if we religion decides nationality? It is a good sign that the Muslims in India, and in Kashmir even, had shown that the" do not believe in this theory of two nations. We never accepted it. We repudiate it. Ours is a democratic set-up. We live by certain values which, if the democratic countries of the West do not understand, it means that they are prejudiced; it means that they have certain interests of their ewn which impel them to ge not with truth but with untruth. The question is crystal clear and if they do not want to understand it, as I said—I am glad that a member of the Government also said that—we must rethink our international policy. We should know where we have committed our mistakes and where we should correct ourselves. We must be friendly to those who are friendly to those who are not friendly to us.

7541

It is said that we should be friendly to our friends and we should do only justice to our opponents and enemies. Beyond that a nation cannot go. We cannot, maintaining an army, take shelter under the creed of nonviolence of Gandhiji. Even Gandhiji in his days did not say that India wil not keep an army and wil not use it. We have to keep an army and we have to use it. We have to make it as powerful as we can and, while doing we must rely mostly on our sources. But there should be no opposition to relying on our resources and also getting things from outside. It appears to me that some people think, or at least some time back some people used to think, that there is a dichotomy between helping ourselves and our getting help from our friends. We must remember that no country in the world can defend itself alone. We must have friends but these friends must be such who are bound to us and help us in all emergencies and are not friends of convenience who take a neutral attitude when a critical situation arises in India.

I agree with Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia when he said that the United Nations, the Security Council, is dominated by the big nations. It will be so dominated. It suffers from what is called power politics. It has passed a Resolution. We are glad that there is cessation of hostilities. But this cessation of hostilities took place 17 years ago also. What was the result?

The problem was Today also the Security Council has shown no way of the problem. There are points in the Resolution of the Security Council which are dangerous and ominous and I afraid that we may, in order to secure the goodwill of the world which has shown no goodwill towards us, succumb to the implications of those two clauses which say that we should move back to the old cease-fire line of 5th August and that this question is capable of political solution and there should be a political solution.

I think there is danger in Russia has said that the President Pakistan and the Prime Minister of India should meet on the sacred soil of Russia. I think there can be no meeting. there can be no talk there can be no conversation, between Pakistan and ourselves, considering what they have done even in the last hours. considering the fire brimstone they and that are breathing from day to day. considering what comes on radio and all that. There can be no talk unless they change their attitude. Unless they admit the proposition that nationality is not co-extensive with religion, unless these basic principles are settled first, there would be no use in meeting together. I say that this line of 5th August was a line drawn ad hoc, I am afraid, by people who did not know geography. If they had known geography, they would not have allowed Haji Pir Pass to be in the hands of the Pakistanis. The line has not been drawn scientifically and from the military point of view. It was drawn in a hurry in order to placate world opinion. I am afraid, today also what we have done, has been done in a hurry and to placate world opinion. World nion does not count and this United Nations Organisation is only a little better than its predecessor, the League of Nations. It is dominated by power politics.. It is dominated

[Shri J. B. Kripalani]

by a desire to keep balance of power. As long as this idea of balance of power continues, as long as there is power politics, truth can never have its way. It will only be compromised and that compromise will land us again and again into difficulties. If we fix a new line, then also we will be again in difficulties. So, we must make it clear to this Security Council which is afraid of saying what is right and what is wrong that when it cannot diagnose a disease, when it cannot give a remedy, when it is not prepared to say that this country is right and that country is wrong, its opinions are absolutely of no avail.

We referred the matter of of Pakistan aggression to them in 1948 and they brushed aside that question; they did not decide whether aggression had been committed or not. This time also they have refused to name Pakistan as the aggressor, which aggression was as clear as daylight. What do you expect from that Security Council? I say you cannot expect any justice from that Council, which is dominated by big nations and which is not prepared, even when there is a clear evidence of aggression, to say that there has been an aggression. Even if they had said that there had been an aggression but that we should have peace in the world because the world could not afford a war, one could have understood it, one could have even appreciated it. But to that question is very dangerous and I think we must not put our trust in Security Council, anxious however may be we to support it; in fact we have our anxiety to support this United Nations on all occasions. Let us not rely upon it; let us rely upon our own strength and if we have to rely upon our own strength, then we must reframe our foreign and internal policies.

We were relying upon the Arab world as our great friends; we were

supporting them in everything that they did; we went so far as it gives their League the status of an international government. What has been the result? The utmost that they have done is that some of them are neutral in this struggle. To have expected that we would be supported by any Islamic country was a midday dream. We irritated people who were anxious to be friendly to us, for instance, Israel, from which we could have got very much material help, from which we could have got technical help, whose agricultural problems are just like ours, who have been trying various experiments in cooperative farming; we could have learnt from that country, but we never allowed it to approach us even. Why? Because we wanted to placate the Arab countries and it has given us no dividends at all. I think in this matter also we must reconsider our foreign policy.

In our home policy, I say that, even though America is our friend, we must refuse to accept anything from them, be it money or economic aid or food. I assure you that, just as people have risen this time, so would they to produce more food. trust in them. You do not put your trust in them but prefer to get inferior food that comes here and produces sickness. You go on asking for more and more. I say that we must stand on our own legs What is our deficiency in foodgrains? If the Government's figures say that it is only five per cent, we can make up that five per cent. If the cultivator is given good seeds, is given manure, is given water at proper time and if there is no bureaucratic repression of the tenants, then I say with confidence that, even with our present methods, the production can be increased by 30 per cent.

We forgo many things and send them to foreign countries in order to earn foreign exchane. We do not cultivate the home market. We have an 7**5**45

immense home market for tea, for jute and for everything that we have, but we do not distribute money to the poor who can purchase. And how can we distribute money to the poor who can purchase unless we diversify our economy and unless we diversify our industry?

So, we must not rely on outside help. I have been a friend to America, but I say that we cannot rely even on America. We must progressively stop taking arms from her, taking economic aid from her and taking even food from her. We must rely upon our own resources. That was the lesson that was taught to us by Gandhiji, and this war should teach us very clearly this lesson that we can rely upon no nation and we have to rely upon ourselves.

I am glad that a Member of the Government has said that in this world power alone counts, and weakness is the greatest sin that a man or a What can commit. Gandhiji do when he came to India? He first put strength among the people, strength against the British Government of which we were afraid, against the Britisher strength before whom we trembled and we could not speak the truth strength against the police and against the magistracy so that every urchin in the street could stand up and say This is a satanic government and we want to destroy it'. That was th. strength that he infused, and I saw what he did in Champaran and I saw it again in Punjab.

This strength can be infused only if our leaders are strong, if our leaders are determined, if there is no jockeying for positions and for power, if there are no quarrels among ourselves, if there are no quarrels among the members of the ruling party. If

the ruling party is united, they will get the support of all the parties as they have got on this occasion. But I am afraid, and I hope I shall be proved wrong, that as soon as the danger is past, we shall again go to sleep; as we went to sleep when the danger from China was past. The people gave an excellent exhibition of their enthusiasm and their spirit of sacrifice. They gave without any stint, and they gave without knowing whether they were going to give to the proper parties or to the wrong parties; men, women and children their all. I am sorry say that up to now no proper accounts have been given of the money and of how it has been spent, I hope that this time at least we shall mobilise the enthusiasm of the country and the determination of the country to be free and independent. build our hopes upon Let us were What our people. Independence? We were before But in a few slave nation. years strength was infused in us, because Gandhiji believed in the common man. We refuse to trust the common man; we refuse to go to the common man and we think that governments can go on without the support of the common man. The present crisis will pare and may pass; it cannot pass until we have able to fix a new line of demarcation. The old line has been repudiated even by Gen. Nimmo who was said that the old line exists no more. Why should the Security Council talk of the old line of 5th August. when its own observer has repudiated it? We must have a line which is scientifically defensible, which is militarily defensible. If we are not careful we shall lose the battle that we have won and this great sacrifice of our young men and of our aviators will have gone in vain and with it the response that has been given by the people. It is not for the first time that we have neglected this response of the people. If we do it this time we may not get it again.

भी यहापाल सिहः प्रविध सहोदय, प्राज इस प्रवसर पर फौज में लड़ने वालों को या जिन के भाई, बेटे व भतीजे युद्ध-क्षेत्र में कटे हैं, देश की खातिर जिन्होंने प्रपनी जान कुर्बान की हैं उनके पितायों को मौक़ा मिलना चाहिए था। यहां न सरदार मजीटिया को मौक़ा मिला, न चौधरी लहरी सिह को मौक़ा मिला और न एच० पी० चटर्जी को बोलने का मौक़ा मिला।

धम्यक महोदय: जो धापने कहा वह बिलकुल ठीक कहा लेकिन मैं इस के लिए माफ़ी चाहता हूं। मैं इस वक्त ग्रीर किसी माननीय सदस्य को नहीं बुला सकता।

श्री यजप (ल सिंह : सबेरे से शाम तक यहां हाउस में बीलने के इंतजार में बैठे रहे लेकिन एक मिनट का समय भी बोलने के लिए नहीं मिला। जब जब यहां इंसाफ़ नहीं मिलेगा तो बाहर कहां मिलेगा ?

भी बाल्मीकी (खुर्जा) : प्रप्यक्ष महोदय, यदि उधर लड़ने वाले हैं, तो इधर भी लड़ने बाले हैं।

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Prime Minister.

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I must express my gratitude to all the hon. Members who have participated in the debate today. There have been several speakers, and they have expressed themselves in words of their choice. But I have heard from every side of the House only one voicethe voice of patriotism, of national will to defend the sovereignty and territorial integrity of India no matter who the invader may be. This is the voice of the people of India expressed in unmistakable terms through their chosen representatives in Parliament; this is the voice of the sovereign will of the people. Hon. Members would permit me to recall that, while speaking in this House in April last, I had appealed for the unity of heart amongst our people. That unity has been achieved in the fullest measure and has been demonstrated effectively in these critical days. In fact, it is this unity which has been the biggest source of strength to all of us in these testing times.

The cease-fire has already come about in spite of Pakistan's intransigence. It is likely that when we consider the subsequent step, further difficulties and complications might arise.. It is by no means going to be an easy task, specially in view of the threats given even after the acceptance of the cease-fire, by President Ayub Khan and his Foreign Minister. I have made India's position absolutely clear in my letter of 14th September, 1965 addressed to United Secretary-General of the Nations. Our understanding of the three resolutions of the Security Council is that they are applicable to both regular forces and the infiltrators from Pakistan. Pakistan must own and discharge the responsibility of withdrawing the infiltrators from our State of Jammu and Kashmir. However, they are continuing to disclaim all responsibility for the infiltration despite the report of the Secretary-General himself. If Pakistan persists in this attitude, India alone must deal with the infiltrators effectively and force them out. Moreover, we shall never allow any arrangement for the future in which there may be possibilities of further infiltrations.

About our State of Jammu and Kashmir, the House knows our stand which is firm and clear. This State is an integral part of India, a constituent unit of the federal union of India. There is hardly any case for exercise of self-determination again The people of Jammu and Kashmir have already exercised the right of self-determination through three General Elections held on the basis of universal adult franchise.

Commonwealth (Res.)

I feel grateful for and heartened by
the unanimity of support for the
policy which the Government has
followed in meeting the challenge of
recent aggression. However I would

policy which the Government has followed in meeting the challenge of recent aggression. However, I would like to say that dangers still lie ahead even after a cease-fire has become effective. These dangers are very real indeed. We should surely be prepared to meet them and our preparations will not be relaxed.

Shri Peter Alvares had expressed the opinion that the Soviet Union had apparently agreed to "de-freezing" the Kashmir question. It would not The Soviet be correct to say so. Union is today an ardent champion of peace. They have known the horrors of war and they do want, in a friendly spirit, to endeavour to bring about an improvement in the relationship between India and Pakistan. Their intentions are pure and we therefore, welcomed have. their initiative.

Discussion on the non-official resolution of Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad will be carried over to the next session. I would not, therefore, like to say anything just at present.

Some hon. Members have referred to the work of our Diplomatic Missions abroad. I can tell the House with complete sincerity that on the present occasion each one of our Missions has been alert and vigilant. They have done a good job in keeping the Government to which they are accredited fully informed of the developments and of the justness of our cause. The attitude which some Governments take is not in my view dependent upon or even affected by what our Ambassadors have to say. There are pre-conceived notions and prejudices which one has to contend with. It must, nevertheless, be our persistent effort to project our case in the best possible manner and to win friends for India in all parts of the world.

A few words are necessary, Mr. Speaker, about the home front. The momentum which the nation has gained will have to be kept up, our defence preparedness will have to be improved continuously, we will have to remain vigilant all along our frontiers. For strengthening our defences, a good deal of sacrifice will be needed on the part of the country as a whole. We may all have to accept privations and even our economic development may have to be slowed down somewhat in order that our defences are not weakened.

To the tasks that lie ahead, we shall address ourselves in a realistic manner and in full awareness of the fact that self-reliance must be our watchword. I am grateful to this august House for the magnificent support which it has given in these historic times.

Mr. Speaker, I would appeal to the House to authorise you to convey, through our Defence Minister, the admiration and gratitude of this House to our Armed Forces for the splendid job they have done.

I would also, with your permission like to suggest that the House should rise and observe a minute's silence to honour the memory of those soldiers, airmen, policemen and civilians who have become martyrs in the defence of their motherland.

Mr. Speaker: The House may stand in silence for a minute.

(The Members then stood in silence for a minute)

16.45 hrs.

PRESIDENT'S ASSENT TO BILLS

Secretary: Sir, I lay on the Table following four Bills passed by the Houses of Parliament during the Cur-

[Secretary]

rent Session and assented to by the President since a report was last made to the House on the 17th September. 1965-

Messages

- (1) The Press and Registration of Books (Amendment) Bill, 1965.
- (2) The Representation of the People (Second Amendment) Bill. 1965.
- (3) The Gold (Control) Bill. 1965.
- (4) The Warehousing Corporations (Supplementary) Bill. 1965.

16.451 hrs.

MESSAGES FROM RAJYA SABHA-contd.

Secretary: I have also to reort the following messages received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:-

- (i) "In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (6) of rule 186 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to return herewith the Appropriation (Railways) No. 3 Bill, 1965, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th September, 1965, and transmitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bill."
- (ii) "In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (6) of rule 186 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to return herewith the Appropriation (Railways) No. 4 Bill, 1965, which was

- passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th September, 1965, and transmitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bill."
- (iii) "In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (6) of rule 186 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Raiya Sabha, I am directed to return herewith the Kerala Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1965, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th September, 1965, and transmitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bill."
- (iv) "In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (6) of rule 186 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to return herewith the Kerala Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1965, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th September, 1965, and transmitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bill."
- (v) "In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (6) of rule 188 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to return herewith the Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1965, which was passed by the Lok Sabha

Š

7554

at its sitting held on the 16th September, 1965, and transmitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bill."

- (vi) "In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (6) of rule 186 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to return herewith the Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1965, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th September, 1965, and trans-mitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bill."
- (vii) "In accordance with the provisions of rule 127 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to inform the

Lok Sabha that the Rajya Sabha, at its sitting held on the 23rd September, 1965, agreed without any amendment to the Life Insurance Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 1965, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 15th September, 1965."

(viii) "In accordance with the provisions of rule 127 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to inform the Lok Sabha that the Rajya Sabha, at its sitting held on the 23rd September, 1965, agreed without any amendment to the Insurance (Amendment) Bil, 1965, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 10th September, 1965."

Mr. Speaker: The House stands adjourned sine die.

16.48 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned sine die.