LOK SABHA DEBATES

Third Series Volume XVIII, 1963/1885 (Saka)

[April 25 to May 7, 1963| Vaisakha 5 to 17, 1885 (Saka)]





FOURTH SESSION, 1963/1884-85 (Saka)

(Vol. XVIII contains Nos. 51 to 61)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

CONTENTS

Third Series, Vol. XVIII, April 25 to May 7, 1963/Vaisakha 5 to 17, 1885 (Saka).

	COLUMN
No. 51.—Thursday, April 25, 1963/Vaisakha 5, 1885 (Saka)	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
*Starred Questions Nos. 1027 to 1033 and 1036 to 1041 .	11799—841
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1034, 1035 and 1042 to 1046.	11841-45
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2311 to 2363	11845-82
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Reported rise in the price of rice in West Bengal	1188387
Papers laid on the Table	11887-88
Message from Rajya Sabha	11888
Iron Ore Mines Labour Welfare Cess (Amendment) Billlaid on the Table as passed by Rajya Sabha.	11889
Official Languages Bill—	
Motion to consider	11889-12017
Clause 2	11973-12017
Compulsory Deposit Scheme Bill—	
Clauses 2 to 4	12017—52
Daily Digest.	12053—58
No. 52.—Friday, April 26, 1963/Vaisakha 6, 1885 (Saka)	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
*Starred Questions Nos. 1047 to 1055, 1057 and 1061 to 1064	12059-12104
	1203)
Written Answers to Questions— Starred Questions Nos. 1056, 1058 to 1060, 1065 and 1066	1210408
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2364 to 2413	1210408
Papers laid on the Table	12140-41
Estimates Committee—	12140-41
Minutes relating to Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Reports.	12141
Message from Rajya Sabha	12142
Statement on price support for rice and wheat in 1963-64 season	12142-46
Business of the House	12146-47
Official Languages Bill—	
Clauses 2, 3 and 4	12147-92
Compusiory Deposit Scheme Bill—	
Clause 4	12193-320
Daily Digest	12321-24
No. 53—Saturday, April 27, 1963/Vaisakha 7, 1885 (Saka)	
Message from Rajya Sabha	12325
Statement by Member	12325-31
Point re. Vivan Bose Commission Report	12331-35

i

												COLUMNS
Official Languages	Bill-											
Clause 4												12335-470
Motion to pass .												12470 523
Compulsory Depos												
Clause 4												12523-33
Committee on Priva	ate Mer	nbers	' Bill	sand	Cess	lution	s-					
Twentieth Repor	t.									•		12533
Resolution re: Nati	onalisat	tion o	f Ban	k								12533-35
Resolution re: Defe	nce of	India	Act									1253(38
Daily Digest												12539-40
No. 54-Monday, Ap	ril 29,	1963	Vais	akha	9, 18	35 (Sa	ıka)					
Oral Answers to (Question	ns —										
 Starred Question 	ns Nos	. 10	67 o	107	72, 10	074 to	107	8, 10	78-A,	and	1079	1
and 1080 .												12511-77
Short Notice Qu	estion	No. 9	9									12577-78
Written Answers to	o Ques	tions-	_									
Starred Questions												12 577-78
Unstarred Questi	ons No	8. 241	4 to :	2418,	2420	to 24	54, 24	56 an	d 2458	to 2	480 .	12578-82
Correction to Unst	arred (Questi	ion N	ю. 1	57 da	ted 25	5-2-63				12	2582—1262 3
Re: Motion for a	djournn	nent										12623-26
Calling Attention to	Matte	r of l	Urger									
Water supply in I			•	•		•	•	•				12726-30
Re. Suspension of			•	•	•	•	•	•				12630 -40
Papers laid on the T				•	•					٠.		15610 -15
Statements by the M		Lion	aw.	•	•	•	•	•		,	2642	, 12643 -4 9
Estimates Committe	ee											
(1) Statements sh	-	_		ecom	mend	ations						12642-43
(2) Minutes .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			126 43
President's assent to	Bill		•	•								12643
Committee on Publ												
Eleventh Report	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•		12643
Bengal Finance (Sa	les Tax	(D:	lhi A	men	iment) Bill-	-					
Motion to con	sider		•		•						•	12649—57
Clauses I and 2												1165750
Motion to pass												1265750
Demands for Exces	s Gran	ts (G	eneral), 19	60-61							12650-37
Demands for Exces	s Gran	ts (Ra	ailway	73), I	960-6	ι.					12	688—12702
Appropriation (Rai	lways)	No. 3	Bill,	1963	—pas	sed						12703-05
Demands for Supp	lementa	ırv G	rants	(Rail	(wavs)	. 1963	-64			1270	s—08	, 12725—31
Appropriation (Rai		-		-						•		12731-33
Constitution (Fifte												
Motion to consider					Comm	ittee						12733—52
TATORIOR TO CONSIDER	, աս ւշբ	O'LLCO	~, ,	ATTLE (-OHIGH						•	/3334

													COLUMNS
Comp	ulsory Depc	sit Scl	neme	Bill		•2	. ·						12752-72
Claus At	se 4 . ttorney-Gen	eral (S			Daphi	tary)	· .	:	:	:	:	:	12753—72 12773—80
Daily 1	Digest			*								1	2781—12817
No. 55-	Tue:doy, A	hril 30	, 1963	/Vais	akha	10, 18	85 (S	aka)					
Cral A	nswers to Q	uestio	ns—										
•Sta	rred Questic	ons No	os. 10	87 to	1050,	1092	to IC	95 and	1097	10 10	9	•	12817—23
	n Answers to ed Question	-			c to 1	08							12823—66
Uns	tarred Ques	tions 1	Nos. 2	2481 to	255	1, 255	1-A a	nd 255	51-B			٠.	12866—69
Calling	Attention t	o Mat	ters o	f Urg	ent P	ublic l	Impo	rtance-	_				
	reatment of						•	• •	This	. Di	•	٠,	12869—75
			in Io	oa pro	duct	ion tai	gets	auring	1 bii	I Plan	perio	a.	12875—77
	laid on the ommittee or		rnme	nt As	·		•	. •	•	•	•	•	12877
Minu		•	•	•	•								12878
Estimat	es Committe	ce—											
Minu Toint C	ites . Committee or	•		D64	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	12879
•	Report .	·	es or	· ·									12879-80
Correct	tion of ans v	wer to	Starr	ed Qu	estion	No.	950						
	tes Committe												
	y-seventh R to Commit	•	•	•	•	•	•					•	12880
	ral Silk Boar												12881
Re: Sus	spension of	Memb	er.										12881—83
Approp	oiration (No.	. 3) Bi	ll—pa										12883—88
Compu	lsory Deposi	it Sche	me B	Щ									
	es 6 to 12 a	nd 1										128	888—12906
Motio	on to pass	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12906—15
Constitu	ution(Fiftee	nth A	mend	ment)	Bill-	-							
Motio	on to conside	er .										129	1713008
Half-an	-hour discu	ssion :	re: A	nendz	nent e	of Arti	ele 31	A of (Consti	tution			13009—20
Daily I	Digest .												13021-28

N	No. 56.—Wednesday, May 1, 1963/Vaisakha 11	, 1885(5	ika)					
	Oral Answers to Questions— *Starred Questions Nos. 1109 to 1111 and	1113 to 1	118, 1	1 2 0 to	1122			13029-65
	Written Answers to Questions Starred Questions Nos. 1112, 1119 and 112 Unstarred Questions Nos. 2552 to 2625	-		:	:	:		13065—72 072—13126
	Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public	Importa	nœ—					
	Reported drastic cut in pig iron quota supp	plied to fo	undrie	s in P	unjab			13126-30
	Ret Point of Privilege Papers laid on the Table Committee on Private Members' Bills and Re		: -	:			:	13130—33 13133–34
	Twenty-first Report	•	•	•	•	•	•	13135
	Motion re: Termination of suspension of Me	ember.	•	•	•	•	•	13135—39
	Constitution (Fifteenth Amendment) Bill Motion to consider, as reported by Joint C	ommittee	•					1314060
	Clauses 2 to 12 and 1	ommice				:	. 12	161—13298
	Motion to pass, as amended						-	298—13302
	Re: Business of the House				_			13302-03
	Re: Divisions	: :	•	:	:	:	:	13303-04
	Half-an-hour discussion re: food adulteration	n in Delhi						13304—18
	Daily Digest							13319—24
λ	No. 57—Thursday, May 2, 1963/Vaisakha 12, 1	-00# (Sal	a)			•		-33-7 -4
••		1005 (34%	4)					
	Oral Answers to Questions— *Starred Questions Nos. 1132 to 1141							*****
	Short Notice Question No. 10		:		:	:	:	13325—61 13361—66
		• •	•	•	•	•	•	-,,000
	Written Answers to Questions—							
	Starred Questions Nos. 1142 to 1151			٠.	•	•	•	1336672
	Unstarred Questions Nos. 2626 to 2667 and	d 2669 to	2673	•	•	•	133	172—13400
	Message from Rajya Sabha	• •	•	•	•	•	•	13 400 01
	First Report							13401
	Re: Termination of suspension of Member							13401-09
	Constitution (Sixteenth Amendment) Bill as r	-	y Joint	Com	mittee		•	-340. 09
	Motion to consider							13409—68
	Clauses 2 to 5 and 1							13468—76
	Motion to pass							13501-04
	Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Bill-	_						
	Motion to consider							13505-36
	Daily Digest							13537—40

	COLUM
No. 58.—Friday, May 3, 1963/Vaisakha 13, 1885 (Saka)	
Member sworn	13541
Oral Answers to Questions—	-55,
*Starred Questions Nos. 1152, 1154, 1155, 1157-1162 and 1164 to 1167	. 13541—83
Short Notice Questions Nos. 11 and 12	. 13584—90
Written Answers to Questions—	. 25504 90
Starred Questions Nos. 1153, 1156, 1163, and 1168 to 1176	
Unstarted Questions Nos. 2675 to 2707 and 2709 to 2712	*****
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public I nportance—	13598-13622
Jharkhand colliery accident	
Papers laid on the Table	13622-23
Presentation of petition	13623-24
Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Bill—	13624-25
Motion to consider	. 13625-31
Clauses 2 to 18 and 1.	13632—45
Motion to pass	
	. 1364 6— 50
Government of Union Territories Bill—	
Motion to consider, as reported by Joint Committee	. 13651—88
Committee on Private Members' Bill and Resolutions—	400
Twenty-first Report	13688—91
Bills introduced—	
I. Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Bill	13692
2. Salaries and Allowances of Ministers (Amendment) Bills; and	13692
3. Disclosure of Assets of Ministers Bill	13693-94
Insurance (Amendment) Bill (Amendment of sections 31A and 40C) by Shri Indrajit Gupta—	
Motion to consider	13694—13702
Constitution (Amendment) Bill (Amendment of articles 100 and 189) by Shri Dwivedi—	M. L.
Motion to consider	. 13702 3 8
Delhi Land Reforms (Amendment) Bill by Shri Naval Prabhakar-	
Motion to consider	. 13738—54
Delivery of books and Newspapers (Public Libraries) Amendment (Amendment of section 2) by Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya—	Bill
Motion to consider	. 137 54—5 6
Half-an-Hour discussion re: Parliamentary proceedings on A. I.R.	. 13756—68
Daily Digest	13769-74

No. 59.—Saturday, May 4, 1963/Vaishakha 14, 1885 (Saka)	
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance— (I) The reported kidnapping of some Santhal by the Bast Pakistan Police (2) Exodus of Hindu families from East Pakistan into Tripura. Re: Point to be raised by Shri Daji and Shri S. M. Banerjee Papers laid on the Table	13775—80 13780–81 13781–82 13782—84
Messages from Rajya Sabha Government of Union Territories Bill—	13785
Motion to consider	13785—908 13876—901 13901—08 13908—44 13945—49
No. 60.—Monday, May 6, 1963/Visakha 16, 1885 (Saka)	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
*Starred Questions Nos. 1177 to 1184. Short Notice Question No. 13 and 14. Written Answers to Questions—	139 49— 77 13977—81
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2713 to 2719	1398285
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance—	
(i) Alleged scarcity of sugar in Punjab	1398 589
(ii) Outcome of visit of the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries to Austria an West Germany	d 139 89
Papers laid on the Table	13989—91
Committee on Private Member's Bills and Resolutions— Minutes	13991
Committee on Petitions—	13771
Minutes	13991
President's Assent to Bill	13992
Committee on Absence of Members— Fifth Report	13992
Public Accounts Committee— Twelfth Report	13993
Correction of Answer to Starred Question No. 637	
Statement re: Part I of the Report of the Attorney-General and Shri A. V. Visvanatha Sastri	3993—96
	6-14000
Constitution (Seventeenth Amendment) Bill—introduced	00—14001
Companies	4112—30
Documents re: certain Companies and opinion of Additional Solicitor General laid on the Table	4108—12
	4131—36

12059

LOK SABHA

Friday, April 26, 1963|Vaisakha, 6, 1885 (SAKA).

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Camera Factory

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the detailed project report of camera factory to be established with collaboration of a Japanese firm has been received by the National Instruments Ltd.;
- (b) if so, whether it has been considered and accepted by Government;and
- (c) the amount spent for preparing the project report?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) The detailed project report has been prepared by the National Instruments Limited itself on the basis of the information furnished by the Japanese collaborators.

- (b) It is still under consideration.
- (c) Does not arise in view of reply to (a) above

Shri Subodh Hansda: May I know why Durgapur alone has been selected as a proper place for locating the project?

439 (Ai) LSD-1.

1206**0**

Shri Kanungo: Durgapur was chosen because there was a proposal for setting up an optical glass factory there and the overheads in connection with the preparation of land and townships will become economical if the two plants which are associated to each other are placed together.

Shri Subodh Hansda: When the National Instruments Limited itself has prepared the project report, may I know what is the reason for having collaboration of the Japanese firm?

Shri Kanungo: The Japanese collaboration is necessary because the know-how of camera manufacture which is very intricate is not available to this company.

Shri S. C. Samanta: The hon. Minister says that collaboration in this project survey has not been taken from the Japanese firm. May I know what sort of collaboration is contemplated for his project?

Shri Kanungo: Actually, the project report has been prepared in consultation with the proposed Japanese collaborators who have supplied the necessary drawings and process sheets. When the actual collaboration materialises, when the Government decides upon going into the manufacture programme, then the questions of technical fees, royalty, etc. will have to be discussed.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know, in view of the increasing demand of cameras, if Government is thinking of giving another licence for such a factory in the near future?

Shri Kanungo: There are many ifs and huts. I cannot say that now.

Shri Kapur Singh: Can we have some idea when this collaboration

scheme fructifies as to what is likely to be the proportion of the indigenous and the foreign components in the initial production?

Shri Kanungo: When the project is analysed, which is under consideration, then we will be able to give this information.

Ship Carrying Indian Cargo

*1048. Shri Maheshwar Naik: Will the Minister of Economic and Defence Coordination be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Greek freighter bound for India, with 4000 ton cargo of steel has been held up at Port Said following repatriation of American crew;
- (b) if so, the circumstances leading to the cargo being held up; and
- (c) the steps taken by the Government of India?

The Minister of Supply in the Ministry of Economic and Defence Coordination (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). No, Sir. Presumably the Hon'ble Member is referring to an American freighter, Bridge Hempton', which is carrying 3.888 tons of steel for the Indian Railways and has been held up at Port Said.

If so, the American crew went on strike for nonpayment of their back wages and they have since been repatriated by the U.S. Authorities.

(c) The vessel has not yet declared as abandoned. Our Embassy in Cairo has been advised to keep watch on the situation and to taknecessary action to protect Government interests.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: May know whether the Government of India is asking, due to this strike and the mismangament by the crew or by the owner of the ship, for any damages that are likely to be caused to our Government during this period?

Shri Harthi: We have asked our Embassay to Cario to take necessary action in this matter.

श्री यशपाल सिंह: क्या मैं जान सकता हं कि इससे हमें कितना नकसान हम्रा है ? कारगो के देरी से पहुंचने के कारण कितना नकसान हम्रा है ?

श्री हायी: श्रभी नकसान नहीं हुश्रा है। लेकिन जब कारगो को ग्रनलोड करना होगा श्रीर फिर भेजना होगा तो नकसान होगा।

हिन्दू घामिक धर्मस्व म्रायोग

*१०४६. श्री भक्त दर्शन : क्या विधि मन्त्री २३ नवम्बर, १६६२ के श्रतारांकित प्रश्न संख्या ७६१ के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) हिन्दू धार्मिक धर्मस्व श्रायोग में प्रतिवेदन पर, जो विभिन्न राज्य सरकारों को उनकी सम्मति जानने के लिए भेजा गया था. किस-किस राज्य सरकार ने भव तक धपनी सम्मति भेज दी है :
- (ख) शेष राज्य सरकारों से कब तक सम्मतियां प्राप्त हो जाने की ग्राशा की जाती है : ग्रीर
- (ग) केन्द्रीय सरकार उस प्रतिवेदन में की गई सिफारिशों पर क्या कार्यवाही कर रही है ?

The Minister of Law (Shri A. K. Sen): (a) The State Governments of Punjab, Gujarat, Mysore, Maharashtra and Madras and the Union territory Administrations of Delhi, Himachal Pradesh, Tripura, Andamans and Nicobar Islands and Laccadive, Minicoy and Amindivi Islands have so far communicated their opinions on the Report of Hindu Religious Endowments Commission,

- (b) The State Governments were requested to send their comments by 15th March, 1963; urgent reminders have been sent to the State Governments who have not yet sent their comments, to expedite the same.
- (c) The report is being examined in the light of the comments received.
- [(क) हिन्दू धर्मस्व आयोग के प्रति-बेदन पर अब तक पंजाब, गुजरात, मैसूर, महाराष्ट्र और मद्रास की राज्य सरकारों ने भौर दिल्ली हिमाचल प्रदेश, त्रिपुरा, अन्डमान और निकोबार द्वीपों तथा लक्का दीव, मिनि-काय और अमीनदीवी द्वीपों के संघ राज्य क्षेत्र प्रशासनों ने अपनी रायें भेज दी हैं।
- (ख) राज्य सरकारों से निवेदन किया गया था कि वे अपनी सम्पत्तियां १४ मार्च, १६६३ तक भेज दें। जिन राज्यों सरकारों ने भव तक अपनी सम्पत्तियां नहीं भेजी हैं उन्हें इस विषय में शीघ्र कार्यवाही करने के लिये अत्यावश्यक स्मरणपत्र भेजे गये हैं।
- (ग) प्राप्त हुई सम्पत्तियों ने ग्राधार पर प्रतिवेदन की पड़ताल की जा रही है।]

श्री भक्त दर्शन : श्रीमन्, जिन जिन राज्य सरकारों ने अभी तक अपनी सम्पत्तियां भेजी हैं, उनमें उन्होंने मोटी मोटी वार्ते क्या रखी हैं ? क्या उन्होंने इन सिफारिशों का समर्थन किया है या इनके विराध में कोई रायदी हैं ?

Shri A. K. Sen: The general rinciples have found acceptance. Only ne Madras Government have pointed ut, and there is a good deal of subtance in what they say, that customs nd usages differ from State to State nd from religion to religion and irrefore any legislation with the ime uniform pattern for the whole puntry may not be appropriate and

there should be room left for meeting different situations and circumstances in different States.

श्री भक्त वर्शन: श्रीमन्, श्रभी भी बहुत से राज्यों में इस सम्बन्ध में श्रपने श्रपने कानून बने हुए हैं जिनमें काफी श्रन्तर है। इस कमीशन में जो एक मुख्य सिफारिश की थी वह यह थी कि एक मौडल लैजिस्लेशन बना करके उसे प्रसारित किया जाए। क्या इस सम्बन्ध में केन्द्रीय सरकार ने विचार किया है या इस बारे में कोई कार्रवाई की है?

Shri A. K. Sen: As I said, only when all the comments are received from the different States shall we be able to give our final thought on the subject and arrive at a final decision as to whether there should be all-India legislation or a model legislation passed by the Centre to be adaptable by the different States, a procedure which we have followed in regard to some other matters, that we follow a pattern and pass a legislation here which is left to the State Governments to adopt or not to adopt or to adopt if they so desire with such modifications as they deem fit,

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if, ultimately, the thing was to be decided by the State Governments in the matter of legislation and other things pertaining to this Commission, what was the good of establishing this Commission on an all India basis? Was it not taken into account that this commission is going to be an all-India thing, the State Governments can have a say in the matter and they cannot stand in the way of all-India legislation to this effect?

Shri A. K. Sen: Certainly, the hon. Member does not want the Centre to legislate without ascertaining the views of the State Government I am sure that will be a procedure, particularly with regard to religious Endowments, which will not be supported by the country. We must consult the State Governments.

- Dr. Sarojini Mahishi: May I know the reaction of the Union Government to the opinion expressed by the Madras Government?
- Shri A. K. Sen: It is too premature. That is being examined.
- Shri P. R. Patel: May I know whether the Government has any proposal under consideration for such a Commission regarding the Muslim endowments, Parsi endowments, and Christian endowments?
- Mr. Speaker: We have this definite question now.
- Shri A. K. Sen: The whole Commission was with regard to Hindu religious endowments.
- Shri Kapur Singh: May I know whether the Government remains quite clear in their mind so far as Sikh Gurdwaras are concerned that they are not to come within the purview of this new legislation?
- Shri A. K. Sen: The terms of reference exclude Sikh Gurdwaras because they are governed by a separate law altogether.
- Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: May I know how much time the Government will take in finalising all these recommendations and giving it a specific shape?
- Shri A. K. Sen: In such a matter like this, the Government does not propose to proceed at all hastily without consulting all the State Governments or without consulting all the relevant institutions concerned.
- **Dr. Gaitonde:** May I know whether it is a fact that this report has not been sent to the Goa Administration at all?
- Shri A. K. Sen: Possibly not. I cannot say off hand; possibly not.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Just now, the hor. Minister has stated that the comments are under consideration and it will take some time. May I know what steps Government are taking to see that in the meanwhile the properties may not be misused?

Shri A. K. Sen: I do not think that that is one of the matters which is at all pertinent to the present question.

Public Sector Undertakings

- *1050. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 324 on the 8th March, 1963 and state:
- (a) which Ministry or other agency brings to the notice of public sector undertakings the economy and austerity measures adopted or followed by various Ministries;
- (b) which particular economy and austerity measures have so far been brought to their notice;
- (c) whether the public sector undertakings have taken similar steps; and
 - (d) if so, the nature thereof?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) to (d). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT

Any measure of economy and austerity to be adopted by the Public Sector Undertakings is brought to the notice of all the Ministries by the Ministry suggesting the same. On receipt of the suggestion each employing Ministry brings it to the notice for adoption of the suggestion.

At the instance of cabinet Secretariat and some of the Ministries the following measures of economy and austerity have been suggested to the Public Sector Undertakings under the charge of this Ministry:

1. Only the most essential activities should continue to be undertaken with minimum expenditure and all activities which do not directly assist the defence effort, howsoever desirable otherwise, may, for the time being, be deferred.

- 2. No expenditure should be incurred during 1963-64 on white-washing, repairing etc. Expenditure on such items including petty works, alterations, etc. during the current financial year (1962-63) also should be kept to the lowest level possible.
- 3. In view of the newsprint scarcity the undertakings have been advised to issue instructions to all concerned to reduce display advertisements, texts of classified advertisements and sponsored special supplements to the barest minimum.
- 4. In view of shortage of paper, the undertakings have been advised to review position regarding the publication of periodical reports and also the number of copies printed of such periodicals and journals so as to effect the utmost paper economy possible.

It is premature to ascertain the results as in many cases the instructions were issued only recently.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The statement laid on the Table refers in one paragraph to the Cabinet Secretariat and some of the Ministries, while the earlier paragraph of the statement refers to the fact that a particular Ministry would bring such measures to the notice of the other Ministries concerned, and then the public sector undertakings will be informed. May I know whether this delightfully vague statement about 'some other Ministries' is due to the fact that the hon. Minister is not in a position to tell the House whether any Ministry has at all undertaken any rigorous economy or austerity measures which are worthy of being conveyed to the public sector undertakings

Shri Kanungo: Apart from the insinuations.....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There are no insinuations. I am only referring to the hon. Minister's own statement. If it is full of innuendoes or insinuations, then he is responsible for it

Sari Kanungo: I shall correct myself. Apart from the adjectives.....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Adjectives? If my hon, friend knows English he will understand them.

Shri Kanungo: My knowledge of English is very poor.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am sorry for that.

Shri Kanungo: Not all Ministries are concerned with public sector undertakings. There are more or less about 45 undertakings spread over different Ministries. The main line along which economy and austerity have been effected is referred to in the first item, namely that nothing except essential activities should be undertaken. That is, the undertakings have been advised not to take on hand expansions, ancillary services and other services. At the same time. I might say also that every undertaking is governed by a board of directors who are autonomous. The Ministries cannot give them directions. All that they can do is to see their projects and all that and advise them.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have any attempts been made by the Ministry concerned or by the Cabinet Secretariat to bring to the notice of the public sector undertakings the efforts made here in the Ministries to cut down expenditure on water and electricity bills and on travelling allowances, the figures in respect of which were laid on the Table of the House some days ago, though those efforts here have not so far been attended with conspicious success? Have those efforts made here to effect economy on water and electricity bills, travelling allowances, telephone bills etc. been conveyed to them?

Shri Kanungo: The only part of the question which I can answer is this. All essential expenditure should be attended to and economy should be

effected in repairs and current expenditure and electricity and water bills etc. are involved in that.

Oral Answers

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It from the statement that no expenditure should be incurred during 1963-64 on white-washing; that means that there will be no white-washing in 1963-64. May I know whether this will be a regular feature or there will be white-washing every alternate year?

Shri Kanungo: For the present, this is the first direction, because we cannot have buildings not attended to for ever. It will be taken up depending upon the types of buildings.

Shri Tyagi: The hon. Minister has stated that these public sector units are all absolutely autonomous. wonder whether we should use the word 'absolutely', but they are autonomous and Government could not give them directions. May I know whether the directors on the managing bodies are officials in Government service, whether there is a financial adviser also attached to each one of them, and whether Government send any advice to the financial adviser?

issue Mr. Speaker: They cannot directions. Advice may be there. The hon. Member knows it, much better than others, that there are financial advisers.

Shri Tyagi: I wanted to ask whether the financial adviser is a government servant.

Mr. Speaker: Yes.

Shri Tyagi: Does he not carry out the instructions of Governments? Are they autonomous in the sense that they need not carry out instructions?

Wr. Speaker: When they make them autonomous, they should give them at least some amount of liberty to work there. The hon, Member would agree with that.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Apart from the list of trivial and sporadic economies to which we have been treated in this statement, would the Minister be able to tell us whether there is any improvement by way of organisation and methods for effecting economies in public sector undertakings?

Siri Kanungo: Most of the large undertakings have their internal arrangement for organisation methods, particularly in the processes.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: We would like to know what economies have been effected by way of organisation and methods. It is not enough to say that internal arrangements are there.

Shri Kanungo: The annual reports are placed on the Table. I cannot give a resume of the annual reports. In any case, since the emergency, annual reports have not been available. So I am not in a position to give the information.

Dr. K. L. Rao: Have instructions been issued to effect economies foreign exchange by entrusting Indian consulting engineers with preliminary project reports instead of giving them to foreigners?

Shri Kanungo: I have no information on that. It depends on the projects. As far as I know, in certain projects indigenous consultants not there.

Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: What instructions have been issued for effecting economy in the cost of production of the articles?

Shri Kanungo: That is not a matter connected with the emergency. That is a constant effort.

Shri Ramanathan Chettiar: May I know whether it is within the scope of the Special Reorganisation Unit or the Special Committee which has been appointed during the emergency to go into the working of the public sector undertakings? If so, have any of their recommendations been implemented in any of the public sector undertakings?

Shri Kanungo: There is no Special Committee as such for public undertakings. It is a Cabinet Committee which is mainly responsible for effecting economies in the working of Ministries. The Ministries also include the public sector undertakings which are attached to them.

Shri Jashvant Mehta: It is stated in the statement that it is premature to assess the results. Are Government going to constitute any agency to evaluate the amount of economy effected by these instructions?

Shri Kanungo: Yes, the Ministries will get their reports.

Japanese Team's Visit to India

Shri D. C. Sharma:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:
Shri Maheswar Naik:
Shri Onkarlal Berwa:
Shri Bade:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri R. S. Pandey:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a Japanese productivity team visited India in March, 1963 to explore possibilities of stepping up Japan's economic co-operation with this country; and
 - (b) if so, the outcome of their visit?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the House

STATEMENT

(a) Yes, Sir. Two productivity teams sponsored by the Japan Productivity Centre visited India during March 1963 in their itinerary.

- (b) These Teams visited a number of countries in South East Asia to study the general industrial situations and to explore the possibilities of mutual co-operation in the region. The teams will submit their reports to their sponsor the Japan Productivity Centre and the outcome of their visit could be assessed only after the publication of the Reports.
- Shri D. C. Sharma: Which are the centres of production which this team visited?

Shri Kanungo: Their intinerary was arranged by the National Productivity Council of India. I have not got the list of places with me. The National Productivity Council of India were their hosts as far as their intinerary in India was concerned. Their reports will be submitted to their Productivity Council in Japan.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Is the Japanese Productivity Council essentially the same as our Productivity Council or are there some vital differences between the two? What is the scope of reference given by the Japanese Productivity Council to this team?

Shri Kanungo: The objectives of the Japanese Productivity Council are more or less the same as those of the Indian Council. Both Councils are members of the Asian Productivity Organisation. This team was sent out by the Japanese Productivity Council and they are to report to them what observations they have made. What observations they were required to make is a matter between them and their parent Council.

Shri Bade: What are the salient points which were discussed with the Japanese productivity team? What was the actual discussion that took place and what are the special points which were discussed with them?

Shri Kanungo: There are no points of discussion. The teams came along. One team was here from 11th to 16th barely five days; another from 21st to 26th—five days. The host committee provided them with their itinerary. There was no discussion as such. At the plants and industries they visited, there must have been informal discussion.

Shri Bade: May I know what industries were discussed with them?

Shri Kanungo: They discussed with the factory people.

Shri Daji: I would like to know whether this team carried out a survey on their own or in conjunction with the Indian team of the particular industrial projects or factories they visited.

Shri Kanungo: They did not survey. They visited the industrial undertakings in India.

Shri Daji: Which ones did they visit?

Shri Kanungo: They were here for five days. I have not got the list of the undertakings they visited. They were mostly small undertakings.

श्री शिव नारायण : मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि इस टीम में कुल कितने मेम्बर श्राए थे, श्रीर क्या हिन्दुस्तान से भी कोई टीम वहां भेजी जाएगी एक्सपैरीमेंट करने के लिए ?

श्री कानूनगो : इसी तरह से नेशनल प्रोडिक्टिविटी काउंसिल यहां से टीम्स भेजती है श्रीर उनकी रिपोर्ट हाउस में पेश की जाती है । ये जो दो टीम्स श्रायी थीं उनकी रिपोर्ट जापान में पेश होगी ।

Mr. Speaker: Shri Azad.

Shri Bade: On a point of order, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: First Shri Azad.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: May I know whether it was a merry-goround team for sight-seeing, or whether the Productivity Council of India consulted the Government before advising or conducting this team, and whether any emphasis was laid on particular aspects of production in our country?

Shri Kanungo: The itinerary was prepared according to their own requirements. It was not a question of the Government of India doing it.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: So, Government had nothing to do with the team, and what they did in this country?

Shri Kanungo: They played host to them.

Shri Bade: Is it not incumbent on the Government to supply full information in the statement or the replies? The question is:

"(a) whether a Japanese productivity team visited India in March, 1963 to explore possibilities of stepping up Japan's economic co-operation with this country; and

(b) if so, the outcome of their visit?"

In the statement they have said nothing. It is a petty and minor statement, and it has not thrown any light on the industries they have visited or the discussions they had. Three questions were asked by Shri Daji, myself and others, but nothing has been given at all about this. Is it not their duty to supply full information regarding the places they visited and the discussions that took place?

Shri Kanungo: I am prepared to lay the programme of the two teams on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker: Whatever information the Government has might be placed on the Table of the House.

Shri Daji: Ministers must come prepared for the minimum supplementaries. It is not a far-fetched supplementary. We want to know the industries they visited. Even this information is not available.

Mr. Speaker: That is the utmost I can do. I shall ask him to place the whole thing on the Table of the House.

Shri Daji: You may also ask them to be better prepared next time. What are we here for?

Shri Kanungo: The question was about collaboration. There is no question of collaboration here.

Shri Bade: What places did they visit? You have not given any reply on this point.

Mr. Speaker: Dr. Singhvi.

Shri Bade: You have given no ruling on this point. Will it be taken up afterwards?

Mr. Speaker: The question has been answered.

Shri Bade: Nothing has come at all.

Mr. Speaker: That is what I have asked the Minister to give.

Export of Jewellery

- *1052. Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government have decided to give export incentives for export of real jari products and if so, the details thereof:
- (b) whether similar incentives are being extended to the export of jewellery; and
- (c) whether Government propose to encourage and facilitate the export of gold enamelled Kundan jewellery on finer gold in the same way?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) to (c). Schemes for providing incentives for promoting exports of real jari products, gold jewellery and enamelled Kundan jewellery, etc., are under consideration.

Scheme for export of non-gold jewellery containing diamonds, precious and semi-precious stones, pearls and gems etc. is already in force as given in Appendix 23 pages 479 to 481 of the Red Book of India for 1963-64 which I laid on the Table of the House last week.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May I know whether it is proposed to promote counter sales, that is sale on the counters and in the shops of this country of gold jewellery of over 14 carat gold in exchange for or against foreign exchange to be paid by foreigners and with registers to be maintained thereon?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Yes, that is the purpose. We will allow both the post parcels to go through the customs appraisal and the receipt of foreign exchange in cash or travellers cheques, not personal cheques, and against that the export incentives will be available.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: When is the scheme likely to come into force?

Shri Manubhai Shah: I expect within a week. As a matter of fact, we finalised the real jari scheme only yesterday, and in about a day or two the scheme will be out. The 14 carat scheme will be out within a week. The 22 carat scheme requires bonded warehouses which will have to be selected carefully by the Gold Board, and we are going to select 100 bonded warehouses throughout the country.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: My question remains unanswered; the question was whether gold jewellery of over 14 carats will be allowed to be sold on the counter in the shops here to visiting foreigners in this country?

Shri Manubhai Shah: That is what I said; but that is only a part of the export. 80 per cent of the exports move direct. We also are proposing to accept as exports the sales made against the counter by selected licensed jewellers for payment in foreign exchange either in cash or through travellers' cheques but not from personal cheques.

Shri Daji: Is the Government proposing to make arrangements for 22 carat gold to be sold at international market price to be manufactured into jewellery for export?

Shri Manubhai Shah: There is a provision for release of 12½ to 15 per cept of gold at international price against the export of gold jewellery.

Shri Kapur Singh: May I know whether the Government have considered the possibility that encouraging liberal export of high-class jewellery amounting to virtual denial of its enjoyment to Indian citizens, might lead to a gradual degeneration and atrophy of aesthetic sessibilities of our own people? If so, what are the Government's reactions in the matter?

Mr. Speaker: It is not asking for an information; it is an expression of opinion.

Shri Manubhai Shah: I do not understand it.

Shri Kapur Singh: May I know what is the reaction of Government? (Interruption). What is the answer, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: I have not allowed that question, because that question was not for asking an information. It was rather an expression of opinion.

Shri Kapur Singh: I asked what is their reaction to this. Have they considered this problem?

Mr. Speaker: He was expressing an opinion; not asking for a definite information. Shri Sham Lal Saraf.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know whether facilities have been provided for the manufacturers and cottage workers for getting the required quality of gold, whether it is of 14 carat or 22 carat gold? Are there any facilities to get it very easily?

Shri Manubhai Shah: The point at issue is as far as jewellery above 14 carat is concerned, it is totally banned in whatever form or shape. But for export, even higher than 14 carat will be permitted in bonded factories, in bonded display shops, to be sold either

through post-parcels or against the travellers' cheques, as Dr. Singhvi was mentioning. Regarding 14 carat and below, it would be allowed in a much wider scale both for internal use and for export.

Bokaro Steel Plant

Shri P. R. Chakraverti:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Harish Chandra

1053.
Mathur:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:
Dr. L. M. Singhvi:
Shrimati Savitri Nigam:

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether the expected report of the team of experts that had undertaken techno-economic survey of the Bokaro Steel Plant has been made available to Government; and

(b) if so, the main points highlighted in the Report?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir, but since the report was commissioned by the Agency for International Development of the United States Government, there was an understanding that its contents will not be revealed without consulting them. We are in touch with them and expect to release a summary of the report very shortly.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: May I know if it is a fact that the report of this team is going to be released in Washington next week and, if so, will it be simultaneously released here also?

Shri P. C. Sethi: As I said, we are in touch with them, and we would also publish a summary of the report very shortly, almost simultaneously.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Has the attention of the Government been

drawn to the statement of Prof. Galbraith that the practical course would be for an American firm to start it and run it on behalf of the Government for a short period and, if so, what is the reaction of the Government?

Shri P. C. Sethi: As I said, the contents of the report will be released very shortly, and it is only after that we will be able to tell about the merits of the case.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know whether it is a fact that there was a difference of opinion between a few U.S. leaders regarding this plant?

Shri P. C. Sethi: There was the Clay Committee report in the United States which has started the controversy, and that controversy has already appeared in the press.

श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसादः श्रीमन्, इस कार-खाने के बारे में अभी रिपोर्ट में ही काफी देर हो चूकी है। रिपोर्ट के बाद यह समय पर जल्दी से जल्दी चालू किया जा सके क्या इसके लिए सरकार ने पूरी तैयारी कर ली है।

श्री प्र० चं० सेठी: जी हां, हमारा तो प्रयत्न यही है कि इसको जल्दी से जल्दी चालू किया जा सके।

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I would like to know whether the Bokaro project report would be laid on the Table of the House, after the reports for the two proposed major public sector steel projects are available and a decision has been taken on those projects? Are the two linked in any way?

Shri P. C. Sethi: We intend to publish a summary of the report.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The Minister has stated in the House that with or without American aid, we shall go ahead with the Bokaro plant. May I know whether they stick to the same decision that if they do not get proper aid from U.S.A., still they would go ahead with it?

Shri P. C. Sethi: As far as the aid is concerned, unless anything positive comes out of the report, it is too early to presume anything.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My question

Mr. Speaker: It is very hypothetical, whether they would establish the plant even without American aid.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: There was an apprehension in the mind of the hon. Members and in order to allay that apprehension, the hon. Minister said, without American aid or with American aid, we shall go ahead with the Bokaro plant. I want to know whether the plant is at all coming up.

Shri P. C. Sethi: Our idea is to have 18 to 19 million tons of steel in the fourth Five Year Plan. Therefore, not only Bokaro, but we are positively going to have some more steel plants also.

श्री यशपाल सिंह : क्या मैं जान सकता हूं कि यू० एस० ए० के ग्रलावा ग्रौर किसी देश ने भी कोलैंबरेट करने के लिए ग्रौफ़र किया है ?

श्री प्र० चं० सेठी: भ्रमी बोकारो के के लिए बातचीत हो रही है ?

श्री यशपास सिंहः बोकारो के लिए यू० एस० ए० ने श्रीफर किया है, तो मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या किसी श्रीर मुल्क ने भी कोलैंबरेट करने के लिए श्रीफर किया है?

भी प्र॰ चं॰ सेठी : स्रभी और किसी देश से बात नहीं हो रही है।

Shri A. P. Jain: I very much welcome the announcement of the Minister that the Bokaro plant would be set up with American aid or without it if it is not forthcoming. May I know, whether before making this announcement, the Minister concerned had examined the alternatives as to how this plant would be set up if the American aid is not forthcoming? Have they any scheme....

Mr. Speaker: He is entering into argument and not seeking information.

श्री शिव नारायण: क्या यह सही है कि बहुत जल्द एक हफ्ते के ग्रन्दर यह रिपोर्ट भ्रमरीका भ्रौर इण्डिया दोनों जगह साथ साथ प्रकाशित होगी ?

श्री प्र॰ चं॰ सेठी: जी हां, समाचार पत्रों से मालुम हम्रा है कि वह रिपोर्ट वहां प्रकाशित हो रहा है। उनसे हमारा सम्पर्क बना हुआ है और वहां अगर प्रकाशित होती है तो हम उसे यहां भी प्रकाशित कर देंगे।

Expansion of Steel Plants

Shri H. C. Soy: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Maheswar Naik: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: •1054. Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: Shri K. C. Pant: Shri Onkarlal Berwa: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri Bhakt Darshan: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri G. Mohanty:

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have of late been examining the question of allowing privately-owned steel plants to expand; and
- (b) if so, the decision taken in this regard?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. Government have considered the question of expansion of the existing steel plants in the Fourth Five Year Plan as well and have accepted in principle that the two major private sector steel plants may be allowed to expand to their maximum economic capacity, subject to suitable technical and financial arrangements being made.

श्री ह० च० सौय: मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि यह जो स्टील प्लांट्स का ऐक्सपेंशन हो रहा है तो इन प्लांटों की कितनी ग्रार्थिक स्यित है और उसके लिए कितने फौरेन ऐक्स-

चेंज की जरूरत होगी?

श्री प्र॰ चं॰ सेठी : जब ऐक्सपेंशन की स्कीम पूट भ्रप करेंगे तब उन की ग्राधिक स्थिति का पता लगेगा। फिलहाल कोई तीन लाख टन इनगौट्स के ऐक्सपेंशन की इजाजत दी गई है।

श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद : क्या यह सही है कि कुछ प्राइवेट लोहे के कारखानों के सम्बन्ध में निर्णय ले लिया गया है यदि हांती किन किन कारखानों के बारे में निर्णय लिया गया है भ्रौर किस किस मात्रा में उन को बढ़ाया गया है ?

Shri P. C. Sethi: There are only two private sector plants, TISCO and IISCO. It has been decided that if they put up suitable proposals, they would be allowed to expand according to their economic capacity. The capacity by which they would be able to expand would be known only after the proposals are received.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: When the permission for expansion was granted, may I know whether any discussion was held as to what would be the amount of help they would require from the Government in the form of foreign exchange and other financial

Shri P. C. Sethi: These are matters of detail, which would be decided later on. Only this policy decision has been taken now.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: While permitting this expansion of privateowned steel factories, may I know if Government have taken into considration the proposed capacity of the Bokaro steel plant, whether it would have any adverse effect on the production in the public sector plants and also the Government's own capacity to give them financial guarantees?

Shri P. C. Sethi: No, Sir. expansion would not have any adverse effect on the expansion of public sector steel plants. On the contrary, we are planning to expand the Bhilai, Durgapur and Rourkela steel plants also. In the Fourth Five Year Plan we are contemplating an expansion of 3.25 million tons in Bhilai, 3 million tons in Durgapur and 2.5 million tons in Rourkela. Even if Bokaro comes, that would not adversely affect, because our requirements would be about 18 million to 19 million tons.

श्रो भक्त दर्शन: मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि जबकि श्रोद्योगिक नीति में यह निश्चय किया गया था कि इस्पात का उत्पादन केवल सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र में होगा तब प्राइवेट क्षेत्र में उसको बढ़ाने की क्या श्रावश्यकता पढ़ गयी है?

श्री प्र० चं० सेठो: सन् १६४६ का जो इंडस्ट्रियल पाले तो रेजो नेशन है उसमें इस बात को स्पष्ट कर दिया गया था कि वर्तमान प्राइवेट नी ग्रांड स्टील प्लांट्स को बढ़ाने की ग्रावश्यकता हुई तो उनको बढ़ाने की इजाजत दी जायेगी।

Shri Tyagi: Is it a fact that in the process of expansion of the private sector steel plants Government would grant heavy loans to them and would also guarantee repayment of loans which they incur from foreign countries; if so, may I know how much loan is the Government advancing, how much guarantee they are giving and what is the total liability that the Government is going to incur on that account?

Shri P. C. Sethi: As I said earlier, these are matters of details which will be finalised only after the proposals are received.

Shri Daji: Is it proposed to allow for only marginal increase to effect economy of production or is it proposed to also allow installation of additional capacity?

Shri P. C. Sethi: It would be expansion according to the economic capacity, and not establishment of new plants.

Shri Tyagi: Sir, I do not want to put a question, but I only want to say that the question that I put earlier is very important from the point of view of finances, because the Government is giving them heavy aid. May I know why that information is not available with the Minister?

Mr. Speaker: He says that these points would be decided sometime afterwards, it is yet premature and they have not gone into them still.

Shri Jashvant Mehta: May I know whether the Government is examining the issue; if so, at what stage the matter is pending?

Shri P. C. Sethi: As I said earlier, only a policy decision has been taken, and when they submit their proposals a final decision would be taken at that time considering all aspects.

Shri Ramanathan Chettiar: May I know to what extent TISCO and IISCO will be expanded?

Shri P. C. Sethi: It is not yet known.

Fuel Efficiency in Indian Industry

Shri Yashpal Singh:
| Shri P. C. Borooah:
| Shri Subodh Hansda:
| Shri S. C. Samanta:
| Shri Kapur Singh:
| Shri Narasimha Reddy:
| Shri R. Barua:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a two-man British team, on invitation from the Government of India, submitted a report on standard of fuel efficiency obtaining in Indian industry;
- (b) if so, when this report was submitted; and
- (c) the action taken by Government on the recommendations made by the team?

The Minister of Industry In the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT .

- (a) Yes, Sir.
- (b) In October, 1961.
- (c) The report of the experts was considered in consultation with the Ministry of Mines & Fuel, the Chief Mining Adviser and, in a preliminary way, with the Chairmen of the various Development Councils the industries which consume substantial tonnages of fuel. Subsequently, the report has been considered at two meetings held in the Planning Commission, and it has been decided that the National Productivity Council should work out details of a scheme for the establishment of a fuel efficiency organisation.

श्री यापाल सिंहः क्या मैं जान सकता हूं कि इस टीम ने कोल के क्लासिफिकेशन के बास्ते भी कोई रैंकमेंडेशन की है ?

Shri Kanungo: They did not attempt a classification of coal. They advised about the economy in fuel consumption, which is mainly for bringing in efficiency in the power production in different industrial undertakings.

श्री यश्रपाल सिंहः क्या मैं जान सकता हूं कि कोल के ग्रालटरनेटिव के लिए भी इसमें कोई सिफारिश की गई है ?

Shri Kanungo: It is not a question of having an alternative for coal, but in respect of coal what class of coal should be used in a particular type of furnace for bringing in economy. They have also said about oil, but mainly it is about coal and fuel in general.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, the statement laid on the Table shows what is by now a stereotyped pattern of procrastination. The report was submitted in October, 1961.

Mr. Speaker: That is what you call an insinuation.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is a factual statement. It is not an insinuation. It is a fact.

Mr. Speaker: To put the question it is unnecessary.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Unless I give the background how can anyone understand it. You can understand....

Mr. Speaker: Even without saying "procrastination" the question can be put. This is what I am telling the hon. Member (Interruption).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: statement shows that the report was submitted in October 1961 and, after various perambulations, to the Ministry of Mines and Fuel, to the Chief Mining Adviser and the Chairman of various Development Councils,-it has also been submitted to the Planning Commission and finally it has gone to the National Productivity Council. The report does not say when it was submitted to the National Productivity Council. May I know from the Minister whether the National Productivity Council made any progress at all in the consideration of the report and when exactly the decision is expected? May I also know whether any welltrained Indian fuel engineers had offered their services in this respect during the emergency and their services were refused by Government?

Shri Kanungo: The National Productivity Council have told us that their views will be available by the end of this month. Regarding the the other question of the hon. Member, whether any engineer offered his service and it was not accepted, I have no information.

Shri S. C. Samanta: Is it not a fact that the Indian Fuel Research Institute at Jealgora has carried out many experiments on fuel efficiency? May I know whether the Productivity Council will consult it before giving a decision?

Shri Kanungo: Yes. There is the Fuel Efficiency Committee which has discussed this matter with National Productivity Council, The visiting teams have told us that there can be a saving of 25 per cent in fuel consumption. So, our ultimate idea is to see how it can be done. The consuming interests are being consulted and the National Productivity Council will give their opinion. Ultimately, it will have to be implemented by the Fuel Implementation Committee.

Shri Bade: According to the statement, the report came in October 1961. It is also mentioned:

"and it has been decided that the National Productivity Counci' should work out details of a scheme for the establishment of a fuel efficiency organisation."

May I know why it has not been established till now, even though the report was made in October 1961?

Shri Kanungo: I have already said that the views of the National Productivity Council will be available by the end of this month.

Coal Transport Problems

*1057. Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: Will the Minister of Economic and Defence Coordination be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the problem of coal transport is being studied by his Ministry; and
- (b) if so, the measures suggested for improvement in this matter?

The Ministry of Supply in the Ministry of Economic and Defence Coordination (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes Sir, in consultation with other Ministries concerned.

(b) Faster turnround of wagons by moving them in block rakes and by quicker loading and unloading, facilitating movement by road, waterways and coastal shipping in addition to railways, retionalisation

of distribution agencies, setting up washeries in colliery areas in order to reduce the bulk of coal to be transported and putting up power stations near the collieries to reduce coal to be transported for power generation, are some of the steps suggested from time to time by various authorities.

श्री सिद्धेक्वर प्रसाद : जो कार्यक्रम ग्रभी माननीय मन्त्री जी ने बताया है, क्या उसको लागू करने के पहले इस बात का श्रध्ययन किया गया है कि देश के किस हिस्से में कोयले की ज्यादा कमी है श्रीर इस कार्यक्रम को लागू करने के बाद उसको कहां तक राहत मिली है?

श्री हायी: उसके बारे में जांच की गई पी ग्रीर जहां कोयले की कमी थी, वहां ज्यादा वैगन भेजने का इन्तजाम किया गया है।

Shri Ranga: May I know whether these suggestions are for implementation over a short period of within one year or over a long period?

Shri Hathi: Some of them are recent and some of them are old. For example, the suggestion to put power stations near the pit-heads is an older one, but the distribution and rationalization of transport of coal by rakes, is a new one; instead of sending two wagons, now what is happening is that the consumers would be supplied with a full rake so that there is no unloading or transhipment in between.

Shri Daji: There was a proposal of the World Bank team coming to help us to survey this problem. What has happened to that team? Is it coming? If so, when?

Shri Hathi: I think it has come. It is examining the question.

Dr. K. L. Rao: May I know whether any long-term measures, such as connecting river Damodar with Ganga by way of a canal will be considered?

Shri Hathi: The river transport is also one of the suggestions.

श्री शिव नारायण: क्या सरकार यह बताने की कृपा करेगी कि नदियों के द्वारा श्रीर मोटरों के द्वारा कोयले का ट्रांसपोर्ट करने के बारे में सरकार कुछ सोच रही है ?

श्री हाथी: जी हां, निदयों श्रीर मोटरों के द्वारा ट्रांसपोर्ट के बारे में भी सोचा जा रहा है। माननीय सदस्य, डा॰ राव, ने भी यह प्रक्न पुछा था।

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know whether the movement of wagons from production centres to consuming centres is also a part of the scheme so that the flow of these wagons is smooth either way?

Shri Hathi: Exactly so.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: How far, according to the assessment of this Ministry, have the measures suggested just now and very often repeated in the House, been able to improve the transport situation so as to meet the demand of coal for different parts of the country and how far are they still lagging behind the demand?

Shri Hathi: I think, so far as the latest figures are concerned, in February they have been able to move the coal required to various destinations.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know whether in the suggestions made by this team there was the suggestion that coal dumps should be created, and how far this has been implemented?

Shri Hathi: Some of them have been in Uttar Pradesh.

Shri Jashvant Mehta: What immediate steps have been taken to remove the bottleneck at Moghulsarai Junction for movement of coal?

Shri Hathi: As I just now mentioned, the main decision or suggestion implemented is that the whole rake is moved without any transhipment

wherever direct connections are possible.

Asian Economic Development Institute

*1061. Shri Maheswar Naik:
Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Asian Economic Development Institute will be set up under the auspice_S of the Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East;
- (b) the aims and objects of the Institute thus proposed; and
- (c) the financial implications involved in the proposal and how the outlay is proposed to be raised?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The main purpose of the Institute is to provide training to selected personnel of member and associate member countries within the geographical scope of the ECAFE in order to raise the technical competence of such personnel in the planning and implementation of national development programmes. The Asian Economic Development Institute will also undertake research and advisory services. A copy of the resolution passed unanimously at the 19th Session of the ECAFE (held at Manila in March, 1963), establishing the Asian Institute for Economic Development and Planning, in accordance with the provisions set out in Part-B thereof, is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1214 63].
- (c) The total expenditure on the project, over a period of five years (1964-68), excluding land and buildings, is estimated at \$3.3 million. The United Nations Special Fund has agreed to contribute two-thirds of the total cost (i.e. U.S. \$2.2 million)

and the balance of U.S. \$1.1 million will be contributed collectively by the member governments including the Government of India

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: What would be the share of contribution of our Governments towards the establishment of this Institute? Has that been conveyed to us?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Out of the \$1.7 million which the member countries have to give our share will be on an ad hoc basis that we may agree depending on population, geographical factors etc.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: What would be the number of people that would be trained here every year and what would be the ratio of Indians in that?

Shri Manubhai Shah: That is too early to say. Now the project is ready and they are going to take up several items of study. There is a provision for 100 students who will be drawn from all over the world, not necessarily based nation-wise but on the expertise available in and required by the Asian continent.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if any prototype of this Institute exists in Europe or America and, if so, whether some specialists will be invited from both those continents?

Shri Manubhai Shah: The European Community has such an institute when the original plan of Schumann started for the Steel Community and the European Payments Union. It follows a similar pattern here. But the requirements of Asia are distinct and quite different from those of the Continent and to that extent economic, agricultural and planned development will be given a higher emphasis as compared to the European Community.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May I know whether the ad hoc committee referred to in Article VII of the statement has already met, and finalised the plan of operation; if so, what are the details thereof?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Only the operational part has been approved in the Manila Conference. The adhoc committee has yet to be constituted and to meet and approve the project.

French Count System for Textile Industry

*1062. Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri Sham Lal Saraf: Shri Onkarlal Berwa:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Textile Industry in India has adopted the French Count system to express the fineness of cotton yarn;
 - (b) if so, since when;
- (c) whether products meant for export, both yarn and cloth, continue to be manufactured according to the specifications of the customers overseas; and
- (d) how far the adoption of the French Count system has been favoured by the Textile industry?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) Yes Sir.

- (b) From Ist April, 1963.
- (c) Yes, Sir.
- (d) The decision of the adoption of the French Metric Count System was taken on the unanimous recommendation made to this effect by a high level representative conference of the Textile Mill Industry, the Yarn Trade, Textile Research Associations, Handloom Industry, Powerloom Industry and the Technicians.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: What is the extent of variation resulting from the introduction of French Count System as compared with the existing system?

Shri Manubhai Shah: The present system is the ft. pound system of 840 yds. making one pound. The other system is 1000 meters making 1 kg. or 1|2kg. We have taken the mixed system called the French Count System.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: May I know whether the textile industry has taken the French Count System for international purpose and the existing system for indigenous trade?

Shri Manubhai Shah: Our purpose is to keep one system both for internal and external use. Of course, it will be a phased programme. Ultimately, the international convention, as agreed to, what is called the TEX system, which is at great variance with the metric or the French Count system, will be adopted. We have accepted as an international obligation that in due course we will go to the TEX system. But for the present the French Count System will prevail.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know what would be the performance of this? How will it compare with the system already followed in the country?

Shri Manubhai Shah: In the Continent, the French Count System is very popular. The United States have gone for a pure metric system. But now every country in the world, during the next decade, will go to the new system called the TEX system which is more complicated for the handloom weavers and small powerloom weavers. We are still adhering to the French Count System, from the 1st April, 1963.

Shri D. C. Sharma: It is a very unfortunate confession that has been made on the floor of the House that our people cannot understand the system which would come in vogue sometime in future. May I know what efforts are going to be made so that our country is in step with other countries so far as the system adopted is concerned?

Shri Manubhai Shah: That is exactly what I am saying. It is to fall in line with the pattern prevalent in many parts of the world that India decided to go in for metric system. The House is fully aware of the several steps taken in different directions in different industries to bring in metric system. This is in line with our policy.

Import of components for Automobiles

*1063. { Dr. L. M. Singhvi; Shri Kashi Ram Gupta:

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

- (a) the value of foreign exchange given for the import of components for manufacture of scooters, motorcycles and three-wheelers during 1961-62 and 1962-63;
- (b) the target for the production of scooters, motor-cycles and threewheelers by the end of Third Five Year Plan, and the present production; and
- (c) the steps Government contemplate to take to reach the production target fixed for scooters, motor-cycles and three-wheelers?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): A statement is laid on the Table of the Sabha.

STATEMENT

(a) The foreign exchange released for the import of components for the manufacture of scooters, motor-cycles and three-wheelers during 1961-62 and 1962-63 is indicated below:

Year

			hange
		rel	eased.
1961-62	Rs.	145.39	lakhs
1962-63	Rs.	192.62	lakhs

Value of Foreign

(b) The target of production for scooters, motor-cycles and three-wheelers by the end of Third Five Year Plan is 60,000 Nos. per annum. The production during 1962 was 25,002 Nos.

(c) The existing manuíacturers have been assisted with foreign exchange required for the import of capital goods to enable them to quicken the implementation of the phased manufacturing programmes. Meanwhile necessary foreign exchange is being made available to the industry for the import of components. In addition, a few firms have been licensed for manufacture of motor-cycles, scooters and mopeds during the last 2 years or so. It is expected that by the middle of 1964. When the existing units complete their manufacturing programmes and the new units go into production, production will substantially increase.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: What is the present total installed capacity in the field of manufacture of scooters, motorcycles and three-wheelers in the country, and may I know whether it falls short of the targets fixed for the Third Five Year Plan?

Shri P. C. Sethi: The total, and installed, capacity is 50,000 nos. The capacity of Mis Ideal (Jawa) Indo Ltd. Mysore is still to be assessed which would be assessed after they have gene into production for more than one year and 60,000 is the target for the Third Five Year Plan.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: What is the policy of the Government in respect of licensing new units for manufacturing scooters, motor-cycles and three-wheelers and may I know whether it is a fact that certain applications from Rajasthan were rejected and, if so, on what grounds?

Shri P. C. Sethi: The present policy is not to allow new entrants as far as scooters and three-wheelers are concerned. But we have given licences for mopeds manufacturing.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: The statement says that in addition to the existing manufacturers a few more arms—those are the words used—have

been licensed for the manufacture of motor-cycles, scooters, etc. May I know whether the Minister is in a position to tell the House the names of the firms which have been so licensed and, if not, whether any particular group of firms has been favoured in this respect? If possible, the names may be given.

Shri P. C. Sethi: No particular group has been favoured. The names of the firms who have been licensed are M/s Sound Zwerat Union India Private Ltd., New Delhi for the manufacture of mopeds—capacity 7,500; and M/s Mopeds India Ltd., Hyderabad for the manufacture of mopeds—capacity 7,500.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Only two firms?

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi: May I know whether licences were issued during the year 1962-63 for the manufacture of such of the components of the automobiles which were imported during 1961-62?

Shri P. C. Sethi: This question does not arise.

भी शिव नारायण : बुकिंग के लिए, एडवांस के तौर पर इन कम्पनियों ने कुल कितना रुपया कस्टुमजं से जमा कर रखा है?

Shri P. C. Sethi: This question also would not arise.

Shri Mohammad Elias: May I know when the target of producing cent per cent components in our country will be fulfilled, whether any expansion programme has been sanctioned by the Government for producing more component parts by the existing units in our country?

Shri P. C. Sethi: The present indigenous content is about 50 to 70 per cent. We hope that by 1964, the indigenous content would go up to 90 per cent. श्री काशी राम गुप्त : मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि सब पार्टस ग्रपने देश में कब तक बनने लग जायेंगे श्रीर इनकी प्राइसिस जो श्रव हैं, उससे कितनी नीचे श्रगले दो सालों में श्रा जायेंगी ?

Oral Answers

श्री प्र० च० सेठी: इस समय ५० से ७० परसेंट इंडीजिनस कम्पोनेंट यहां बन रहे हैं श्रीर १६६४ तक ६० परसेंट बनने लगेंगे। जहां तक कीमत का सवाल है, उसके बारे में कई बार उत्तर दिये जा चुके हैं।

Shri Daji: The hon. Minister has given us the capacity of the units. I would like to know what is the actual production compared with the capacity.

Shri P. C. Sethi: The production in 1962 was 25,000.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like to know whether any attempt has been made by the Government to have some negotiated settlement with the manufacturers of automobiles to reduce the present price of motor cars and scooters.

Mr. Speaker: Motor cars are not here. Only scooters may be answered.

Shri P. C. Sethi: At the moment, there is no question of reducing the price. Unless they reach the economic capacity, they would not be able to do so.

Shri Jashwant Metha: In 1962, the production was 25,000. In the Third Plan, the programme was 60,000. What is the assessment of the Government for the next year's production? What will be the effect of the production on prices?

Shri P. C. Sethi: As I said, we are giving foreign exchange for components; production is gradually rising. By granting of these licences for mopeds, the number would again increase. We hope that the rated capacity of 60,000, we would be able to reach by 1965-66.

Shri Iqbal Singh: May I know whether it is a fact that it takes two years

to have a new scooter after registering and whether the Government will consider the manufacturing capacity to be enlarged or whether the Government will consider the establishment of new units?

Shri P. C. Sethi: I have already said that our target for the Third Five Year Plan is 60,000. We have already licensed to the capacity of 50,000. Ms Ideal Jawa's capacity is to be still assessed. It would be approximately 15,000. It comes to about 60,000.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: While releasing foreign exchange for import of components from year to year, may I know whether the Government has put any condition on the beneficiaries to gradually increase the manufacture of components and if so, how far that is being carried out by the manufacturers?

Shri P. C. Sethi: The progress is satisfactory. We hope that by 1964, as I said earlier, they would reach 90 per cent.

श्री शिव नारायण : जैसे मोटर वर्गैरह का रुपया बैंकों में जमा होता है, वैसे ही स्कूटर वाल: रुपया बैंकों में जमा क्यों गहीं होता है, मालिकों के पास क्यों जमा होता है ? यह मैं एडवांस के रुपये की बात कर रहा हूं।

Shri P. C. Sethi: That matter is under consideration.

श्री शिव नारायण : अध्यक्ष महोदय, जवाब नहीं मिला है।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय: उन्होंने कहा है कि इस पर भी गौर कर रहे हैं।

श्री यशपाल सिंह: इन एमरजेंसी हालात का फायदा उठा कर जो पुजें की बीस बीस गुना कीमतें कर दी गई हैं, इसको रोकने के लिए सरकार क्या कर रही है ? श्री प्र० चं० सेठी : इस बात की मुझे जानकारी नहीं है।

निर्यात व्यापार संवर्द्धन

क्या **बारिएज्य तथा उद्योग** मंत्री यह वताने की कृपा करेंगे कि व्यापार बोर्ड ¹ की मार्च, १६६३ में हुई बैठकों में निर्यात व्यापार को बढ़ाने के लिए क्या निर्णय किए गए ?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shan): A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT

The meeting of the Board of Trade was held on March 30, 1963. At this meeting besides a general review of the export position during 1962-63, the following subjects were discussed:

- (1) Export Promotion assistance by the Reserve Bank.
- (2) Opening of foreign offices by Indian Exporters and release of foreign exchange for visits abroad.
- (3) Publicity effect in relation to exports.
- (4) Commercial arbitration.
- (5) Export of Handloom fabrics.

The import observations made and the decisions taken at the meeting are as follows:

> Increase in agricultural productivity was basic to Export Promotion; production of sugar, oilseeds and cotton needed to be stepped up in order to ensure that they make a larger contribution to the export effort.

- (2) There was need to undertake larger programmes of market research, commodity research an area surveys.
- (3) While the new budget contained several measures designed to assist exports, there was need to neutralise the growing burden of sales tax on export commodities. The Board accordingly recommended the appointment of a Committee to go into the question of incidence of sales tax and make appropriate recommendations for relief.
- (4) It would be advantageous to have relatively long term trade arrangements with the East European countries with a provision for periodical review of shopping lists.
- (5) The research studies undertaken by the Reserve Bank of India in foreign countries had been extremely useful and it would be desirable to extend this programme and undertake it on a continuing basis.
- (6) The facilites granted for enabling Indian exporters to open branch offices and subsidiaries abroad required to be augmented in order to facilitate development of contact corvassing of orders and establishment of aftersales service.
- (7) The present publicity effort for export was inadequate and a comprehensive integrated programme should be evolved for undertaking extensive publicity through various organisations for stimulating the sales of selected commodities in different markets.
- (8) The Board recommended the appointment of a committee to review the whole field of commercial arbitration and to suggest administrative and legal measures to be taken in the matter in the long-term interests of exports.

(9) The export performance of handlooms had been by and large satisfactory, besides production what was required was proper marketing and aggressive publicity,

[एक विवरण सभा पटल पर रखा जादा है]

विवरण

व्यापार बोर्ड की बैठक ३० मार्च १९६३ को हुई थी। इस बैठक में १९६२-६३ में निर्यात स्थिति का सामान्य पुनर्विलोकन करने के म्रतिरिक्त निम्नलिखित विषयों पर भी विचार किया गया था:—

- (१) रिजर्व बैंक द्वारा निर्यात संवर्द्धन में सहायता।
- (२) भारतीय निर्यातकों द्वारा विदेशों में कार्यालय खोलना तथा विदेशों की यात्रा के लिए विदेशी मुद्रा देना ।
- (३) निर्यात सम्बन्धी प्रचार के प्रयत्न।
- (४) वाणिज्यिक मध्यस्थता ।
- (५) हथकरघे के वस्त्रों का निर्यात ।

बैठक में व्यक्त किए गए महत्वपूर्ण विचार तथा किये गये निर्णय निम्न प्रकार हैं:—

- (१) खेती की उत्पादकता बढ़ाना निर्यात संबद्धन का मूल भूत श्राधार है चीनी, तेलहन तथा रुई का उत्पादन बढ़ाने की इस-लिये ग्रावश्यकता है कि वे निर्यात के प्रयत्न में श्रीर श्रीधक ग्रंशदान कर सकें।
- (२) बाजार गवेषणा, वस्तु सम्बन्धी गवेषणा तथा क्षेत्र सर्वेक्षण के लिए श्रधिक बड़े कार्यक्रम चलाये जाने की ग्रावश्यकता है।
- (३) यद्यपि नये श्राय-व्ययक में निर्यात के लिए सहायता करने के बारे

में म्रनेक उपाय दिये हुए हैं, फिर भी निर्मात वस्तुम्रों पर बढ़ते हुए बिकी कर के भार को निष्प्रभाव करने की म्रावश्यकता है। इसके म्रनुसार बोर्ड ने एक समिति नियुक्त करने की सिफारिश की है जो बिकी कर के प्रभाव की जांच करेगी तथा सहायता के लिए उपयुक्त सिफारिशें करेगी।

- (४) पूर्वी यूरोपीय देशों के साथ ऐसे अपेक्षाकृत दीर्घ-कालिक व्यापार प्रबन्ध करना लाभदायक होगा जिसमें विकय सूचियों का समय-समय पर पुर्निवलोकन करने की व्यवस्था हो।
- (५) रिजर्व वैंक स्राफ इण्डिया द्वारा विदेशों में किए गए गवेषणा सम्बन्धी स्रध्ययन बड़े लाभदायक सिद्ध हुए हैं। इस कारण इस कार्यक्रम को विस्तृत करके लगा-तार चलाते रहना वांछनीय होगा।
- (६) भारतीय निर्यातकों को विदेशों में शाखा कार्यालय तथा सहायक कार्यालय खोलने के लिए जो सुविधायें दी गयी हैं उन्हें और बढ़ाना इस दृष्टि से ग्रावश्यक हैं जिससे सम्पर्क बढ़ाने, ग्रार्डर लेने के लिए प्रचार करने तथा बिकी पश्चात् सेवा की स्थापना करने में सुविधा मिल सके।
- (७) निर्यात के लिए वर्तमान प्रचार प्रयत्न अपर्याप्त हैं इस कारण विभिन्न बाजारों में चुनी हुई वस्तुआ की विन्नी को प्रोत्साहन देने के उद्देश्य से भिन्न-भिन्न संगठनों ढारा विस्तृत प्रचार कार्यक्रम निर्धारित किया जाना चाहिए।

- (प) बोर्ड ने वाणिज्यिक मध्यस्थता के सम्पूर्ण क्षेत्र का पुर्नीवलोकन करने तथा निर्यात के दीर्घ-कालिक हित की दृष्टि से किए जाने वाले प्रशासनिक एवं विविध उपायों का सुझाव देने के लिए एक समिति नियुक्त करने की भी सिफारिश की।
- (६) हथकरघा के वस्त्रों के निर्यात का कार्य यदि पूर्ण रूप से देखा जाए तो सन्तोषजनक रहा है। उत्पादन के अतिरिक्त जिस अन्य उपाय की आवश्यकता है वह यथोचित विषयक तथा जोरदार प्रचार करना है।]

श्री सिद्धश्वर प्रसाद: विवरण से मालूम पड़ता है कि बैठक में इस बात का निर्णय लिया गया कि प्रचार के लिए भी कदम उठाये जायेंगे। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि श्रव तक प्रचार लिए विदेशों में क्या कदम उठाये गये हैं?

श्री मनुभाई शाह: प्रचार के लिए वैसे तो आज मिनिस्ट्री आफ एक्सटनंल एफेयबं में एक एक्सटनंल एफेयबं पिब्लिसटी डाय-रेक्टोरेट है और हमारी मिनिस्ट्री में भी एक डायरेक्टोरेट आफ कर्माशयल पिब्लिसटी है। लेकिन उनको और जरा स्ट्रेंगथन करने की जहरत है। इसलिए जो तीन करोड़ अस्सी लाख एक नया मार्किटिंग डिवेलेपमेंट फंड है, उस में से काफी रकम उस में अदा की जाएगी।

श्री सिद्धश्वर प्रसाद : इस सिलसिले में यह भी बतलाया गया है कि खेती चीजों के निर्यात को बढ़ाने के सम्बन्ध में कदम उठाये जा रहे हैं श्रीर, उस के सम्बन्ध में विचार किया जा रहा है। मैं जानना चाहता है कि इस के सम्बन्ध में क्या निर्णय लिया गया है?

श्री मनुभाई शाह: जो पि.ल्में बने इस सम्बन्ध में कि हिन्दुस्तान के ग्रन्दर क्या चीजें मिल सकती हैं, उन को पिंक्लिसटी के लिये बाहर भेजा जाय । जो एक्सपोर्ट प्रमोशन कौंसिल ग्राफ स्पाइसेज है उस ने ग्रभी ब्लैक पेपर पर एक बहुत बिंद्या फिल्म बनाई है, ऐसे ही हेंडलूम प्रोडक्ट्स के ऊपर भी बहुत बिंद्या फिल्म बनी है। इसके ग्रलावा कामशंल एंडवार्टाइजमेंट्स हैं, उन को भी दे रहे हैं जिस में कि हिन्दुस्तान की प्रोडक्ट्स बाहर मशहूर हों।

श्री प्रिय गुप्त: क्या मैं जान सकता हूं कि यह जो बोर्ड है उस के टम्सं श्राफ रिफरेंस में यह भी श्राता है कि जो स्टैन्डर्ड स्पेसिफिकेशन्स सामान के बाहर के देशों में भेजे जाने के लिये ऐक्सेप्ट किये जाते हैं, हमारे मर्चेन्ट्स ऐक्चुअली उन के खिलाफ इटेन्शली भेजते हैं श्रीर श्रपने देश को दूसरे देशों की नजरों में गिराते हैं श्रीर हमारा एक्स्पोर्ट विजिनेस खराब करते हैं? इस पर यह बोर्ड ध्यान दे रहा है या नहीं?

श्री मनुभाई शाह: उन्होंने इस के लिये सिफारिश की थी, श्रीर जैसा सदन को पता है इसी १ मई को वह बिल हमारे सामने श्रा रहा है जिस के द्वारा सरकार एक कारपोरेट बाडी स्थापित करने जा रही है। उस का नाम होगा एक्स्पोर्ट इन्स्पेक्शन कौंसिल। उस के मातहत हम ने १ जनवरी से ४६ प्रोडक्ट्स के क्वालिटी कंट्रोल को ला दिया है, श्रीर हमारा इरादा है कि तीन साल के इन्दर हिन्दुस्तान ७० या ८० फी सदी चीजें जो कि दुनिया के बाजारों में बिकती हैं उन कर प्रीशिपमेंट इन्स्पेक्शन भी हो धीर क्वालिटी कंट्रोल भी हो ।

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Transformer Factory at Ankamali

*1056. Shri Koya: Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1108 on the 25th January, 1963 and state:

(a) whether the power transformer factory at Ankamali has been registered; and (b) wether the agreement executed between the three parties has been approved?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The agreement executed between the three parties has not yet been finally approved, as certain modifications suggested by the Government are under consideration of the parties concerned.

Sewing Machine Components

- *1058. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a South Indian concern has applied for licence to manufacture sewing machine components in collaboration with the Singer Sewing Machine Co. of U.S.A.; and
- (b) if so, whether Government are considering the application?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The application is still under consideration.

Loan by Rehabilitation Industries Corporation

- •1059. Shri Dinen Bhattacharya: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) the amount still outstanding with different industrial concerns who were provided with loans by the Rehabilitation Industries Corporation; and
- (b) whether Government have undertaken any enquiry as to what extent the loanee companies provided jobs to displaced persons?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) Total outstanding loan as on 31st March 1963 is Rs. 69,15,802.89 nP. A statement showing the details is laid on the Table of

the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1215[63].

(b) No, Sir. The Corporation has suitable machinery for making periodical inspection of the accounts of the loances and makes enquiries regarding the number of displaced persons actually employed by the loances. The Government also keep a constant watch on the employment of d.ps. by obtaining periodic information from the Corporation.

Purchase of Fertilizer Plant Equipment

*1060. Shri N. R. Laskar: Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries. be pleased to state:

- (a) whether India is going to purchase Fertilizer Plant Equipment worth about \$24,300,000 from the Engineering Works of Japan;
- (b) whether the deal has been completed; and
 - (c) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) to (c). The Fertilizer Corporation of India who have been entrusted with the establishment of a fertilizer factory at Gorakhpur in Uttar Pradesh have issued a 'Letter of Intent' to a Consortium of Japanese manufacturers for the supply of ammonia and urea plants for the project. The terms of the final contract are under negotiation between the parties.

Special Steel Plant in Durgapur

Shri P. C. Borooah;
Shri Ulaka:
*1065. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena;
Shri Onkarlal Berwar;
Shri R. S. Pandey;

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether an order has been placed with the Japanese consulting institute to construct a special steel plant in Durgapur;

- (b) if so, the details of the scheme for establishing the second steel plant at Durgapur; and
- (c) the terms of the agreement with the Japanese institute?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Negotiations are being conducted with the Japanese Consortium and others. No order has been placed has yet.

Production of Machinery

Shri Maheswar Naik:
Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:
Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:
Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:
Shri Sarjoo Pandey:

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state;

- (a) whether it is a fact that actual annual production of machinery has fallen far short of production targets specifically in the manufacture of machinery for textile, paper and pulp, cement, sugar and heavy engineering industries;
- (b) if so, the extent of fall in each of the above categories and the reasons therefor;
- (c) whether any installed capacity in these sectors is lying idle; and
- (d) the steps that are being taken to bring about improvement?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): A statement is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1216/63].

Manufacture of Watches

2364. Shri P. C. Deo Bhanj:
Shri Krishna Deo Tripathi;

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state the total number of watches manufactured upto-date by the H.M.T. Factory?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Sri P. C. Sethi): 66,946 watches have been assembled by the Hindustan Machine Tools Ltd., upto the 23rd April, 1963.

Industrial Cooperatives Societies in Uttar Pradesh

2365. Shri Sarjoo Pandey: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Industrial Cooperatives Societies functioning at present in Uttar Pradesh, and
- (b) the kind of societies and their production capacity?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) The total number of industrial cooperative societies in Uttar Pradesh as on 30th June, 1962 was 4062.

(b) The industry-wise break-up and their production during the cooperative year 1961-62 are given below:

Industry										Production (in Rs. 000)
Handloom Sericulture	:	:	;	:	:	:	:	:	1,533	4,72,67
Khadi									35	
Village Industries									1,973	
Handicrafts			•						225	1,10,07
Small Scale Industries	and	others		*					229	

Houses for Handloom Weavers in Orissa

2366. Shri Ulaka: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal to construct houses for handloom weavers in Orissa during 1962-63 and 1963-64;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) the amount sanctioned or proposed to be sanctioned by Government for the purpose during 1962-63 and 1963-64?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) to (c). There is no proposal for constructing houses for Handloom Weavers in Orissa during 1962-63 and 1963-64. The construction work taken up prior to 1962-63 is being completed with Rs. 1,38,000 as loan and Rs. 52,000 as grant during 1962-63, and with Rs. 1,30,000 as loan and Rs. 1,05,000 as grant during 1963-64.

Cottage Industries in Orissa

2367. Shri Ulaka:
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any Cottage Industries have been organised for the benefit of the backward class people of Orissa during 1961-62 and 1962-63; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) Yes, Sir.

b) The following cottage industries have been organised mainly to benefit backward class people:—

Handloom

Sericulture

Powerloom

Cane and Bamboo

Bell Metal

Aluminium

Stone Works

Village Oil

Pottery

Leather

Soap

Hand-pounding

Targur.

Handicrafts Emporia in Orissa

2368. Shri Ulaka: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the total amount received out of the sale of handicrafts through Government handicraft emporia in Orissa during 1961-62 and 1962-63; and
- (b) the expenditure incurred for the running of these emporia during 1961-62 and 1962-63?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) and (b). The sales of handicrafts through Government Emporia in Orissa and the expenditure incurred thereon during the years 1961-62 and 1962-63 are as follow:

Year						Sales	Expenditure
						Rs.	Rs.
1961-62 1962-63	:	:	:	:	:	45,715 49,991	1 9,4 75 17,148

Small Scale Inventions Development Board

2369. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:
Shri Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any prizes were given by the Small Scale Inventions Development Board during 1962-63;and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A statement showing the names of prize winners for the year 1962-63 alongwith their inventions and amount awarded to each is laid on the Table of the House. [See No. LT-1217/63].

कारतूसों की कीमत

२३७०. श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : क्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि देश में कारतूसों की कीमत बहुत बढ़ गयी है;
- (ख) क्या यह भो सच है कि विदेशी कारतूसों को चोरबाजार में भी बेचा जा़ता है;
- (ग) कारतूस उचित दामों पर श्रीर श्रच्छी मात्रा में मिल सकें इस सम्बन्घ में सरकार क्या कुछ थ्यवस्था कर रही है; श्रीर
- (घ) यदि हां, तो इस पर कब तक स्रंतिम निर्णय कर लिया जायेगा?

वाणिज्य तया उद्योग मंत्रालय में मन्तर्राष्ट्रीय व्यापार मंत्री (श्री मनुभाई शाह): (क) ग्रीर (ख): जी, नहीं।

(ग) श्रौर (घ): युद्ध-सामग्री बनाने बाजे भारतीय कारखानों द्वारा निर्मित कारतुसों

की विकेताओं द्वारा निर्धारित मल्यों पर बेचने का प्रबन्ध किया जा चुका है। भ्रायात किये गये कारतुसों के मूल्यों पर कोई नियंत्रण नहीं है किन्तु अप्रैल, १६६२ से पूराने भायातकों द्वारा कारतूसों का श्रायात किये जाने पर रोक लगा दी गयी है । इण्डियन रायफल एसोसि-येशन, रायफल क्लब्स एण्ड सिटी रायफल एसोसियेशन्स की भावश्यकताभ्रों को पूरा करने के लिये राज्य व्यापार निगम को रुपये वाले क्षेत्रों से केवल उन्हीं कारतसों का म्रायात करने के लिए लाइसेंस दिये जाते हैं जो देश में नहीं बनते हैं। रायफल एसोसियेशनों भौर क्लबों को भी केवल उन्हीं किस्मों के कारतूस भ्रायात करने के लाइसेंस दिये जायेंगे जो देश में नहीं बनते तथा जो राज्य व्यापार निगम द्वारा भ्रायात किये गये स्टाक से नहीं मिल सकते हैं।

इस्पात की ट्यूबों का उत्पादन ग्रौर वितरण

्रश्री राम सेवक यादव : २३७१. ४ श्री रामेश्वरानन्द : श्री बागडी :

क्या **इस्पात झौर भारी उद्योग मंत्री यह** बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या सरकार ने देश के समस्त इस्पात के ट्यूब बनाने वालों को यह हिदायत जारी कर दी हैं कि वे ग्रंपने उत्पादकों का वितरण केवल मान्यता प्राप्त व्यापारी संघों श्रौर यह काम करने वाले ग्रन्य व्यापारियों के द्वारा ही करायें;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या उनके मंत्रालय को इस प्रकार की शिकायतें प्राप्त हुई हैं कि उपरोक्त हिदायतों का उल्लंघन किया जा रहा है; स्रौर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो किन संगठनों ने इन हिदायतों का उल्लंघन किया है और उनके विरुद्ध क्या कार्रवाई की गई है ?

इस्पात और भारो उद्योग मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री प्र० च० सेठी): (क). इस्पात के पाइपों श्रीर ट्यूबों के वितरण पर कोई नियंत्रण नहीं है और उत्पादकों को अपने उत्पादकों का वितरण व्यापारों संघों इत्यादि द्वारा करने के बारे में कोई हिदायतें जारो नहीं की गई हैं। फिर भो उन्हें परामशं दिया गयः है कि वे सुनिश्चित करें कि पाइप उचित दामों पर बेचे जाएं और वे अपने व्यापारियों को प्रादेश दें कि वे विभिन्न माप के पाइपों और ट्यूबों के मूल्य अच्छी तरह प्रदिशत करें।

(ख) भ्रौर (ग) प्रश्न नहीं उठते ।

चमडे के कारखाने

२३७२ श्री रामेश्वरानन्दः क्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) भारत में चर्म उद्योग के कितने कारखाने हैं;
- (ख) इन कारखानों में किन-किन जीवों, पशुस्रों ग्रादि के चर्म का प्रयोग होता है;
- (ग) वर्ष भर में किन-किन देशों की किन-किन पशुग्रों का चर्म बाहर भेजा जाता है श्रीर उनसे कितने रुपये की प्राप्ति होती है?

वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्रालय में उद्योग मंत्री (श्री कानूनगो): (क) इस उद्योग के १५ बड़े श्रीर ७५३ छोटे कारखाने हैं।

- (ख) भैंस, पशु, बकरियां, भेड़ें, छिप-कली, सांप, ग्रन्य रींगने वाले जन्तु तथा मगर ।
- (ग) उपर्युक्त भाग (ख) में उल्लिखित सभी पशुत्रों के चर्म और खालों का निर्यात मुख्यत: इंग्लैण्ड, ग्रमराका, पश्चिमी यूरोपोय देशों, सोवियत हस, चेकोस्लोवाकिया, यूगोस्लाविया, जापान और म्रास्ट्रेलिया को किया जाता है तथा इस निर्यात का मूल्य

प्रति वर्ष ३३ म्राँर ३४ करोड़ रु० के बोच रहता है।

Potential Industrial Hazards

2373. Dr. U. Misra: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there are at present no statutory regulations, standard specifications or codes of practice in India relating to deisgn, construction, testing and inspection. licensing and operation of fixed and portable pressure vessels other than those covered by the Indian Boilers Act, 1923, the Factories Act, 1948 and Indian Explosives Act, 1884; and
- (b) if so, whether Government propose to take steps to frame comprehensive legislation for the purpose?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) and (b). It is correct that there are some varieties of pressure vessels which are not subject to regulation under any of the existing Acts. As a first step, the Indian Standards Institution have undertaken the preparation of a comprehensive Pressure Vessels Code. After this is complete Government will consider whether further legislation is necessary.

Coir Goods

2374. Shri Vasudevan Nair: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state how much goods were purchased by the Ministries and Departments of Government of India in 1960-61 and 1961-62 as per request that certain percentage of their requirements should be that of coir?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): The value of purchases of Coir goods made by the Ministries and the Departments of the Government of India through the Director General of Supplies and Disposals and the Coir Board's show-rooms and Sales depots during the year 1960-61 and 1961-62 is as follows:—

Year	Value				
1960-61	Rs. 4,47,558				
1961-62	Rs. 8,63,482				

Industry Plant and Machinery

2375. Shri Subodh Hansda: Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have assessed the progress of manufacture of Industrial Plants and Machinery both in the private and public sector;
- (b) if so, what is Government's estimate of achieving the Third Five Year Plan target in view of the present rate of production;
- (c) whether there is shortfall in the present rate of production; and
 - (d) if so, what is the shortfall?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) to (d): The Third Plan targets have been fixed for achievement by the year 1965-66, which is the last year of the Third Plan. (Annual phasing of these targets for the intermediate years in the Third Plan period has not been done). The progress of manufacture of industrial plants and machinery in the private and public sector is reviewed periodically. It is hoped that the Third Plan targets will be substantially achieved in most of the industries. Production in most of the mrchinery manufacturing industries has, however has increased. There been fall in the production of some of the machine building industries in 1962 as compared with 1961. A statement showing production of some of the important industries in 1961 and 1962 is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1218 63].

Furnace Oil

- 2376. Shri Subodh Hansda: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a number of industries have switched over to furnace oil:
- (b) if so, the present consumption of this oil:
- (c) whether there is any reduction, of the cost in running the Industrial Units; and
 - (d) if so, what is the cost of reduction as compared to coal feeding units?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) For security reasons, it will not be appropriate to reveal this information.
- (c) and (d). It is estimated that, in switching over to furnace oil, the industry will have to incur extra expenditure which may be of the order of Rs. 10 to Rs. 15 per tonne of oil consumed, depending upon the type of industry. There will, however, be advantages such as—
 - (i) continuity of fuel supply,
 - (ii) easy handling,
 - (iii) standard fuel quality,
 - (iv) gain in efficiency in the working of boilers,
 - (v) average working life of boilers will increase and maintenance cost will be less.

Export of Manganese

- 2377. Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that 1700 workers in the Manganese mines in Gujarat have been rendered unemployed because of accumulation of stocks;

- (b) whether the State Government have requested the Union Government and the State Trading Corporation to extend help in exporting the accumulated stocks; and
- (c) the steps taken by the Union Government in the matter?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) We have no information.

- (b) No, Sir.
- (c) Some accumulation of stocks was reported to the State Trading Corporation by Messrs. Shivrarajpur Syndicate Limited who own manganese mines in Gujarat. State Trading Corporation was able to sell approximately 46,200 tons of their manganese ore against barters.

Small scale and Cottage Industries in Madras State

2378. Shri Elayaperumal: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any schemes for the development of small scale industries and Cottage Industries in Madras State during 1962-63 have been sanctioned:
- (b) if so, the amount proposed to be spent thereon; and
 - (c) the nature of the schemes?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Heavy Industries at Madras

2379. Shri Elayaperumal: Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

- (a) the names of the Heavy Industries established by the Central Government so far in Madras State; and
- (b) the names of Industries likely to be set up during the remaining period of the Third Five Year Plan?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) Nil.

(b) Heavy Pressure Boiler Plant, Tiruchirapalli.

Techno-Economic Survey

2380. Shri Rishang Keishing: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the National Council of Applied Economic Research has already completed surveys of some States and Union Territories;
- (b) if so, the names of the States and the Union Territories; and
- (c) whether the Techno-economic survey reports have already been made available to the States and Union Territories and are gainfully utilised by them?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) to (c). The National Council of Applied Economic Research have conducted Technoeconomic surveys of all the States, excepting Jammu and Kashmir. Surveys have also been conducted of the Union Territories of Manipur, Tripura and Himachal Pradesh, while Surveys of the Union Territories of Andaman and Nicobar and of Goa, Daman and Diu are in progress.

A list of techno-economic surveys completed and published is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1219/63].

Copies of the published reports havebeen supplied to the respective Governments.

Welfare of Backward Areas of Punjab

- 2381. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) the amount utilised by the Punjab Government out of Rs. 22:

crores granted by the Central Government for the Welfare of the backward hilly areas during the Second Plan period; and

(b) the amount utilised for the industrial development?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) and (b). Separate allocation for special development programme for Hill Area Schemes in the Punjab during the 2nd Plan was made only in 1960-61. A statement indicating the expenditure incurred on Hill Area Schemes in 1960-61 is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1220/63].

Hire-Purchase Scheme of Tea Machinery

2382. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of applications received by the Tea Board so far from the industry under the Hire-Purchase Scheme of tea machinery; and
 - (b) the action taken thereon?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) 316 applications for supply of machinery etc. valued at Rs. 4.50 crores.

(b) The Tea Board have accepted 137 and have under scrutiny 52 applications covering machinery and irrigation equipment worth Rs. 1.96 crores and Rs. 1.10 crores respectively. 127 applications for supply of machinery etc. to the value of Rs. 1.44 crores have either been withdrawn or turned down as these did not fulfil the conditions prescribed in the Scheme. Against the accepted applications, machinery worth Rs. 68 lakhs already been supplied. The rest is either ordered but yet to be received or expected to be ordered.

Kynite Mines in Bihar

2383. Shri H. C. Soy: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the bulk of Kynite mined in Bihar is exported though home consumption is increasing; and

(b) if so, whether Government propose to manufacture Kynite into its finished products locally to meet the increasing home demand for the same?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) and (b). Demand for kynite for home consumption is only a small percentage of total production and hence the bulk of kynite mined in Bihar is exported. Government has encouraged manufacture of refractories India for which kynite is one of the raw materials. Generally, however. manufactures have preferred use of Sillimanite to Kynite for refractory manufacture.

Alloy Steel Plant

Shri P. R. Chakraverti:
Shri D. C. Sharma:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Bishanchander Seth:

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

- (a) whether M/s Mahindra and Mahinda Ltd. were granted a licence for an Alloy Steel Plant on the specific undertaking that it will be set up in Madras State;
- (b) whether the Union Government have permitted them to shift the location of the plant to Bombay State;
- (c) if so, the reasons that have prompted the Union Government to sanction the change; and
- (d) whether the Madras Government have expressed their reaction?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shrl P. C. Sethi): (a) Yes, Sir. The firm were granted a licence for a Special Steel Plant to be set up in Madras State, as applied for by them.

(b) to (d). The Madras Government were not favourably inclined to the proposed shift which was however, allowed by the Government of India in the interest of the speedy implementation of the Scheme, which would otherwise have been delayed.

Violation of Import and Export Regulations

2385. Shri Maheswar Naik: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the number of cases of violation of import and export regulations which have come to the notice of Government during the current year so far and the manner in which these cases have been dealt with?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): So far 263 cases have come to notice since the beginning of 1963. In such cases, departmental enquiry is conducted and on establishing the offence on the part of accused party, the following action is taken:—

- (a) Suspension or debarring from the grant of licences for a specified period in terms of the provisions of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 and Exports (Control) Order, 1953.
- (b) Prosecution under Section 5 of the Imports and Exports (Control) Act, 1947.

18 cases have been closed as nothing incriminating could be established and in 10 cases the parties have been placed under suspension. In the remaining 235 cases, enquiries are being made.

Shortage of Clothing Materials

2386. Shri Balgovind Verma:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Economic and Defence Coordination be pleased to state:

 (a) whether it is a fact that clothing material for defence purposes is in short supply; and (b) if so, the steps taken to meet this shortage?

The Minister of Supply in the Ministry of Economic and Defence Coordination (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). After the Emergency, very large demands for clothing materials were placed by the Defence Services. for supplies to be completed by September 1963. Contracts for the bulk of the requirements have already been placed on the industry. Some time is needed to step up production, several cases ten to twenty-fold, enable industry to produce to special Defence requirements. The capacity that has now been built up matches substantially with the requirements. This has been possible by increasing the rate of supplies from existing suppliers as also bringing in new sources of supply.

Enquiry Committee on Muslim Laws

2387. Shri Ram Harkh Yadav: Shri Yashpal Singh:

Will the Minister of Law be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to make changes in the personal laws of the Muslims in India;
- (b) whether Government propose to appoint a Committee for the study of the existing personal laws; and
- (c) if so, whether the Committee will consist of non-Muslims also?

The Minister of Law (Shri A. K. Sen): (a) and (b). Government propose to set up a small committee to examine the changes made in the personal laws of Muslims in countries which are predominantly Muslim and make such recommendations as it thinks proper.

(c) The composition of the committee and its terms of reference etc. have not yet been finalised. It is therefore premature to say whether the committee would include non-Muslims also.

Water Meters

2388. Shrimati Renuka Barkataki: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the action taken by Government to reduce high prices of water meters;
- (b) the number and names of the firms in India where water meters are manufactured?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) The following action has been taken in this regard:

- (i) Production of large scale units
 in the country has been
 allowed to be expanded to
 1.71 lakhs Nos. per annum.
- (ii) Fifteen new units have been licensed in the large scale sector for an additional capacity of about Rs. 5·2 lakhs number per annum.
- (iii) To satisfy urgent demand, imports from the Rupee Payment Countries are also being allowed to actual users through the Director General of Supplies and Disposals.
- (b) Names of firms manufacturing water meters in the large scale sector:
 - Ms. Government Precision Instruments Factory, Lucknow.
 - 2. Ms. Radio & Electrical Manufacturing Co. Ltd., Bangalore.
 - M|s. Leeds Meter Manufacturing Co. Ltd., Bombay.

Names of firms manufacturing water meters in the small scale sector:

- M/s. N.B. Industries, Indore.
- 2. M|s. Allied Industries, Hyderabad.
- M|s. Kasula Industries, Hyderabad.

Weavers Service Centres

2389. Shri Reddiar: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of cities where Weavers Service Centres are functioning and whether weavers from villages are represented; and
- (b) whether any service centre paid Rs. 86,000 as T.A. to an official and a non-official in 1961-627

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and (Shri Manubhai Industry (a) The All India Handloom Board have organised nine Weavers Service Centres at Bombay, Calcutta, Madras, Varanasi Cantt, Kancheepuram, New Delhi, Surat, Indore and Bangalore. These are intended primarily as channels through which handloom units receive designs, technical advice and assistance for the pre-loom, and postloom production processes both urban and rural areas.

(b) No. Sir.

Balance of Trade

2390. Shri P. C. Borooah: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the balance of trade position during each of the quarters in 1962 and the first quarter of 1963?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah):

Rs. lakhs

				India's	Balance of	Balance of Trade		
			I	mports	Exports	Re-exports	Total exports	
January-March, 1962		<u> </u>		230,58	160,99	5 1,93	162,88	-67,70
April-June, 1962				247,93	146,9	3 3,18	150,11	—97 , 8 3
July-Sept., 1962				274,89	174,5	1 1,25	175,76	—99,1 3
OctDec., 1962				280,3	3 183,2	3 1,19	184,4	295.91
Jan-Peb. 1963†				163,38	117,28	1,01	118,29	
JanFeb., 1962*	•		•	161,49	102,76	1,17	103,93	—57 ,56

Figures are provisional and subject to revision.

*By subtracting April-December from April-February figures.

मैंगनोज घौर लौह प्रयस्क का निर्यात

्रश्नी घोंकार लाल बेरवा : २३६१. ेश्नी कछवाय : श्री यशपाल सिंह :

क्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि:

- (क) १६५७-५८ को तुलना में १६६२-६३ में मेंगनाज ग्रीर लोह ग्रयस्क कै निर्यात में कितना कमा रहा;
 - (ख) इस कमी के क्या कारण हैं; घीर
- (ग) मैंगन ज तथा लोह भ्रयस्क के निर्यात को बढ़ाने के लिए क्या उपाय किये गये हैं;

वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्रालय में अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय व्यापार मंत्री (श्री मनुभाई शाह) । (क) लीह श्रयस्क के निर्यात में कोई कर्मा बहीं हुई । दूसरा श्रोर १६६२—६३ में भारत का निर्यात (गोग्रा को खोड़कर) किसा भी पिछले वर्ष का नुलना में जिसमें १६५७—५६ भी शामिल है जब कि वह २२ लाख मांट्रिक टन (१२ करोड़ रु०) था, बढ़कर ३५ लाख मीट्रिक टन (१६ करोड़ रु०) का हो गया था।

मैंगनाज ग्रयस्क का निर्यात १६५७-५८ की तुलना में १६६२-६३ में घटकर लगभग ६ लाख माट्रिक टन रह गया जब कि उस वर्ष इसका १७ लाख मीट्रिक टन निर्यात हुग्रा था। ये श्रांकड़े ग्रसामान्य थे। किन्तु पिछले ५ वर्षी १६५८-५६ से १६६२-६३ में इसका निर्यात १० लाख माट्रिक टन के ग्रास पास रहा।

- (ख) मैंगनं।ज श्रयस्क के निर्यात में कमी के मुख्य कारण ये हैं:—
 - (१) समुद्रपार के देशों के खरीदारों द्वारा भपना नई खानों का इस्तेमाल किया जाना जिनका विकास उन्होंने हाल हैं। में उपभोक्ता केन्द्रों के भपेक्षाकृत

निकट स्थानों में कर लिया है तथा श्रतिरिक्त संभरण स्रोतों का उपयोग किया जाना ।

- (२) ऐसा प्रीद्योगिकाय प्रगति का हो जाना जिसके कारण इस्पात निर्माता मैंगनाज श्रयस्क कम निर्भर रहने लगे हैं।
- (३) समुद्रपार के देशों के इस्पात उद्योग में मंदा भ्रा जाना ।
- (ग) (१) वस्तुविनिमय तथा सम्बद्धा-करण के अधीन मैंगनीज श्रयस्क के निर्यात को प्रोत्साहित किया जाता है तथा कुछ वस्तुओं के बदले में मैंगन।ज श्रयस्क के सांदे भा किये गये हैं।
- (२) मेंगनाज श्रयस्क के निर्यातकों को निर्यात से होने वाल। श्राय के कुछ श्रंश को खान खोदने का मशानों का श्रायात करने में इस्तेमाल करने का श्रनुमति देदा गया है जिससे खनिज निकालने का लागत में कमा का जा सके श्रार कार्य-कुशलता बढ़ाई जा सके।

Cluster-type Institution Scheme for Rural Artisans

2392. Shri Jashvant Mehta: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the scheme for the establishment of cluster-type institutions for rural artisans has been launched; and
- (b) if so, in how many villages and in how many States the scheme has come into operation?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) and (b). The scheme of cluster type training centres has been accepted by all the States except Madras, Orissa and West Bengal. Information as regards the number of villages in which Cluster type Centres have been started is not available with the Central Government. It will be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Trade Delegations

2393. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of foreign trade delegations visited India and the number of Indian trade delegations which went abroad during the last three months; and
- (b) the names of the countries with whom trade agreements have been entered into in 1962-63?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) Two foreign trade delegations visited India and an Indian trade delegation went abroad.

(b) Mexico, Chile, UAR, France, Italy, Greece, Jordan, Iraq, Morocco, Burma, Iran, Ceylon, Alghanistan, Rumania, Yugoslavia, Poland and Bulgaria.

Small Scale Industries in Punjab

2394. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of small scale industries in Punjab getting assistance from the Small Scale Industries Service Institute (District-wise); and
- (b) the number of factories and the nature of assistance given?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) There are 70 industries (as per list placed in the Library, See No. LT-1221|63) getting assistance from the Small Industries Service Institute. District-wise in ormation is not available and the time and labour involved in its collection will not be commensurate with the results achieved.

(b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1221|63].

Tea Production in Punjab

2395. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the quantity and value of tea produced in the hilly areas of Punjab during 1961 and 1962;
- (b) the quantity of tea exported from Punjab during 1961 and 1962; and
- (c) the stocks still pending with the manufacturers?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) Production of tea in Kangra during each of the years 1961 and 1962 was of the order of 1.07 million kilograms. Information about the value of the tea produced is not readily available.

- (b) Precise statistics of teas exported from the Punjab alone are not readily available.
- (c) The exports in 1962 of green and black tea have been larger than in 1961. Besides, no sizeable quantities are reported to be lying unsold. Precise information, however, is not available.

बरेली में ग्रोजारों का कारखाना

२३६६. श्री त्रोंकार लाल बेरवा: क्या इस्पात ग्रीर भारी उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि बरेली में एक टूल्स फैक्टरी बनाई जा रही है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उसके कब तक तैयार हो जाने की संभावना है; श्रीर
- (ग) उसकी सालाना उत्पादन क्षमता नया होगी ?

इस्पात तथा भारी उद्योग मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री प्र० चं० सेठी): (क) जी, हो।

- (ख) १६६४ के मध्य तक।
- (ग) २५ लाख रुपये।

Import Licences issued in J. & K.

2397. Shri Buta Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the names of the firms and individuals in Jammu and State to whom import licences were issued in 1961-62 and 1962-63 how many of them were State subjects:
- (b) the commodity imported under each licence and its value; and
- (c) the amount of imported commodities actually used by the industries of the State?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) to (c). Brief summary of import licences issued every week is lished in the Weekly Bulletin of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports. Separate statistics of licences issued and imports effected under are not being maintained either on commodity-wise basis or on a State-wise basis. If, however, the hon. Member is interested to know the details of any particular licence or licences, I will be glad to supply the same.

खादी का निर्यात

२३६८. श्री ग्रोंकार लाल बेरवा: म्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह वताने की क्रपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत से खादी विदेशों को भेजी जाती है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो किन-किन देशों को:
- (ग) इस पंचवर्षीय योजना में लग-भग कितने रुपये की खादी भेजने की संभावना है; भीर

(घ) इस साल में ग्रब तक कितने मुल्य की खादी भेजी जा चकी है?

वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मत्रालय में उद्योग मंत्री (श्री कानुनगी): (क) जी, हां।

- (ख) ग्रमरीका तथा ब्रिटेन ।
- (ग) लगभग १ करोड ६०।
- (घ) ७७ हजार रु०।

विल्ली में लघु उद्योगों को ऋण

२३६६. श्री नवल प्रभाकर : क्या वाणिज्य तथा • उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) दिल्ली प्रशासन की स्रोर से लघ उद्योगों को १९६२-६३ में कितना ऋण दिया गया : ग्रीर
- (ख) इस में जुता उद्योग के लिये कितना दिया गया ?

वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्रालय में उद्योग मंत्री (श्री कानतगी): (क) १२ लाख रू०।

(ব্ব) so, ২০০ চ০ I

Tea Exports to Ireland

2400. Shri P. C. Borooah: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the comparative figures of Indian and Ceylonese tea exports to Ireland during 1959, 1960, 1961 and 1962; and
- (b) the main reasons for the downward trend of Indian tea exports to Ireland?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): and (b). Exports of Indian Ceylon tea to Ireland during the

years 1959 to 1962 had been as follows:

(Figures in Thousand kg.)

Year			India	Ceylon
1959	 		 7,463	1,353
960			6,241	1,940
1961			6,471	2,411
1962			7,243	1,972

It will be seen from the above figures that though exoprts had come down in 1960 on account of lower production in India, exports been again showing an upward trend. In 1962, it had practically regained the 1959 position.

Import of Steel for Industrial Purpose

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to allow for Industrial purposes the Import of Special Steel which is not produced in our country; and
- (b) if so, the details of the proposal?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) Yes, Sir. Such import is already allowed in accordance with the existing policy.

(b) The details are given in Public Notice on Import Licensing Policy for the period April-September 1963, a copy of which is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1222 [63].

Washery-cum-Sodium Sulphate Recovery Plant at Sambhar

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to put up a suitable Washery-cumSodium Sulphate Recovery Plant at Sambhar;

- (b) whether a detailed project report and quotations were received from a West German firm; and
- (c) the steps taken to exploit vast quantities of bitterns which go waste every year?

The Minister of Industry in Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) and (b). Yes,

(c) It has not been possible to obtain sufficient priority for the West German Scheme being implemented. Meanwhile, the possibility of procuring the plant and machinery other alternative sources to obv.ate the difficulties involved in arranging for the foreign exchange required for the scheme is being explored. Pending installation of the plant and machinery, Hindustan Salts Ltd. work the Sambhar Lake Salt Source have commenced manufacture. a small-scale, of burkeite (a mixture of sodium sulphate and sodium carbonate in the proportion of 70:30) recovered from the Sambhar bitterns.

Manufacture of Salt Tablets

2403. Shri A. V. Raghavan:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a comprehensive scheme for manufacture of Salt Tablets for saline injections as well as for oral use at Sambhar; and

(b) if so, whether the scheme has been finalised and when the factory will be commissioned?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Proposals received from two firms for the supply of necessary plant and equipment for the scheme are under consideration of Hindustan Salts Ltd., who work the Sambhar Lake Salt Source. The scheme will be finalised shortly.

Loans to Tea Gardens

2404. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount of loans taken by the Marginal Tea Gardens under the Central Government Scheme for the repairs and replacements of tea machinery in Cachar, Tripura, Kangra and Mandi separately for each area;
- (b) the interest at which it was given; and
- (c) the conditions for the advancement of the loan?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) A sum of Rs. 37,254— has been advanced by the Tea Board, under its scheme of financial assistance, to a marginal tea garden in Cachar for repairs, replacements, renovation of tea machinery as well as construction of tea factory. No loan has yet been taken by any of the marginal tea gardens in Tripura, Kangra and Mandi.

- (b) and (c). The broad details of the scheme are as follows:
 - (i) The scheme is applicable to marginal tea gardens upto 300 acres;
 - (ii) The amount of loan to individual gardens shall not exceed a maximum limit of Rs. 70,000|-:
 - (iii) The loan shall be covered by one surety or personal security of the approved borrower or an annual guarantee from banks or approved brokers or approved agents; and
 - (iv) The loan shall carry an interest of 4½% per annum and will be repayable in 10 equal instalments commencing from the 2nd anniversary of the date on which the repairs and or replacements of the machinery or construction of the factory as the case may be.

Gazetted Officers in Textile Commissioner's Office

2405. Shri Niranjan Lal: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to lay on the Table a statement showing the number of Gazetted Officers in the Office of the Textile Commissioner and the number of Gazetted Officers belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in each category and also the number of Scheduled Caste Officers confirmed so far?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah):

Designation &	Class	•	Total strength as on I-I-1963	Number of Scheduled Castes	Number of Scheduled Tribe	No. of Scheduled Caste Tribe confirmed.
I			2	3	4	5
Class I						
Textile Commissioner			1	Nil	Nil	Nil
Industrial Adviser			I	,,	,,	,,

1				2	3	4	5
Adviser (Cotton)				1	Nil	Nil	Nil
Director				7	,,	,,	,,
Inspecting Officer				1	,,	,,	• "
Deputy Director				20	1	,,	,,
Principal				2	Nil	,,	,,
Senior Lecturer in 7	Cextile	C'icr	nistry	1	**	,,	,,
Assistant Director (C	Grade I)		30	,,	,,	,,
Exhibition Officer				I	**	,,	,,
Class II							
Assistant Director (Grade			23	**	,,	,,
Research Officer				1	,,	,,	,,
Accounts Officer				1	••	,,	,,
Expert Designer				1	,,	,,	,,

पंजाब के लघु उद्योग

२४०६. श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद: म्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि ऊनी घागे की कमी के कारण पंजाब के छोटे उद्योगों को बड़ी कठिनाई का सामना करना पह रहा है ; और
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस कमी को दूर करने के लिये क्या कदम उठाये जा रहे हैं ?

वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मत्रालय में अन्तर्राष्ट्रोय ध्यापार मंत्री (श्री मनुभाई शाह) : (क) भौर (ख). इस समय ऊनी वस्त्र उद्योग प्रतिरक्षा संबंधी ऊनी वस्तुओं की आवश्यकताओं को पूरा करने में लगा दिया गया है भौर सरकार द्वारा विभिन्न कारखानों को दिये गये आडंरों के अनुसार ही उन्हें ऊनी भागे का संभरण किया जाता

है। पंजाब के शक्तिचालित छोटे करघों वाले जो कारखाने प्रतिरक्षा कार्यों के लिये स्वीकार किये जाने योग्य जो नमुने प्रस्तुत कर सके हैं उन्हें उस प्रकार का माल तैयार करने के लिये ४ लाख मीटर के भ्रार्डर दिये जा चुके हैं तथा कच्चा माल देने की व्यवस्था भी कर दी गई है। संभव है कि कुछ कारखानों को जितने ऊनी घागे की भ्रावश्यकता हो उतना धागा न मिल सका हा। यदि शक्तिचालित करवे वाले कारखाने ग्रन्य जिन किस्मों के माल की श्रावश्यकता होती है उसके स्वीकार किये जाने योग्य नमुने भी प्रस्तुत कर सर्केंगे तो उन्हें वह माल संभरण करने के लिये घाडर देने तथा उन घाडरों को कार्यान्वित करने के लिये धागा भ्रावंटित करने के महन पर भी विचार किया जा सकेगा।

Trade Delegation to Japan

2407. Shri P. C. Boreoah:
Shri Onkarlal Berwa:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) whether an official trade delegation is proposed to be sent to

12138

Japan to negotiate the terms of exports of iron ore to and import of Japanese steel from that country;

- (b) if so, when; and
- (c) the composition of the delegation?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The delegation is likely to be sent early next month.
- (c) The delegation is likely to consist of four senior official headed by Managing Director of State Trading Corporation and including the Iron and Steel Controller.

Import of Silk

2408. { Shri Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the total quantity and value of Silk imported into India during 4962-63; and
- (b) the total duty collected on it during the same period?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) and (b).

*Represents duty collected for 11 months from 1st April, 1962 to 28th February, 1963. Fugures for March, 1963 has not yet been received from the Custom Houses.

मैक्तिको का व्यापारिक प्रतिनिधिमडल

२४०६. श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद । क्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि हाल ही में मैक्सिको से कोई व्यापारिक प्रतिनिधि मंडल व्यापार संबंधी बातचीत के लिये भारत भ्राया था :
- (ख) यदि हां, तो वातचीत का क्या परिणाम निकला ; ग्रौर
- (ग) क्या दक्षिण ग्रमेरिका के श्रन्य देशों से भी इसी प्रकार व्यापार बढ़ाने का श्रयत्न किया जा रहा है ?

वाणिज्य तया उद्योग मंत्रालय में धन्तर्राष्ट्रीय व्यापार मन्नी (श्री मनुभाई धाह): (क) जी, हां।

- (ख) दोनों देशों के बीच व्यापार बढ़ाने की संभावनाओं का पता लगाया गया है। मैक्सिको के पसन्द की कुछ उन महत्वपूर्ण वस्तुओं की सूचियां बनाकर प्रतिनिधिमंडल को देदी गयी हैं जिन्हें भारत मैक्सिको को भेज सकता है।
- (ग) अन्य देशों के साथ अपना व्यापार बढ़ाने के लिये लगातार प्रयत्न किये जा रहे हैं इनमें दक्षिण अमरीका के देश भी शामिल हैं।

Machine Tool factory in Orissa

- 2410 Shri Ulaka: Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government have any proposal to set up a new machine tool factory near Chiplima Project in Orissa during the Third Plan period;
- (b) if so, the estimated cost of the project; and

(c) when the project is likely to be completed?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Industrial Estates

2411. Shri Yajnik: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of Industrial Estates promoted or aided by the Central Government for which lands have been acquired;
- (b) the number of such estates as have actually built buildings and installed machinery, but have not yet begun production; and
- (c) the number of such estates which have not yet begun any operations at all for want of finances or other reasons and for which import licences have been granted for securing materials?

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) to (c). The required information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

State Trading Corporation

2412. Shri E. Madhusudan Rao: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state whether there is any proposal to open a branch office of State Trading Corporation at Hyderabad?

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): No, Sir.

Waste of Foreign Exchange

2413. Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Will the Minister of Economic and Defence Co-ordination be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry have detected and identified

certain cases of undue waste of foreign exchange in some of the items of Government imports; and

(b) if so, whether statement indicating such cases and the measures suggested by his Ministry to overcome waste will be laid on the Table?

The Minister of Supply in the Ministry of Economic & Defence Co-Ordination (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). It is one of the functions of the Directorate General of Technical Development of the Ministry of Economic & Defence Coordination to bring to the attention of other Ministries cases in which foreign exchange can be saved by making use of indigenous production; and for this purpose working arrangements have been made for quick consultation between the Directorate General and indenting partments. It is neither practicable nor in the public interest to list such cases arising out of day-to-day consultation among the Ministries.

12 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER COFFEE ACT

The Minister of International Trade in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Manubhai Shah): I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Notifications under sub-section (3) of section 48 of the Coffee Act, 1942:—

- (i) The Coffee (Second Amendment) Rules, 1963, published in Notification No. GSR. 630 dated the 13th April 1963.
- (ii) The Coffee (Third Amendment) Rules, 1963, published in Notification No. GSR. 632 dated the 13th April, 1963. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-1209|63].

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER COMPANIES ACT

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri (Shri Kanungo): I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Notifications:—

(i) The Companies (Central Government's) General Rules and Forms (Second Amendment) Rules, 1963 published in Notification No. GSR, 628 dated the 13th April, 1963, under sub-section (3) of section 642 of the Companies Act, 1956.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-1210| 63].

(ii) Notification No. GSR. 629 dated the 13th April, 1963 making certain further alterations in Scheduled X to the Companies Act, 1956, under sub-section (3) of section 641 of the said Act. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1211[63].

12.01 hrs.

ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

MINUTES RELATING TO TWENTY-NINTH
AND THIRTIETH REPORTS

Shri Dasappa (Bangalore): I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the Minutes of sittings of the Estimates Committee relating to the following Reports:—

- (i) Twenty-ninth Report on the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Department of Communications and Civil Aviation)—Civil Aviation Department.
- (ii) Thirtieth Report on the Ministry of Irrigation and Power (Power)—Central Water and Power Commission (Power Wing); Central Electricity Authority; Central Electricity Board; Central Board of Irrigation and Power (Power)—Power Research Institute.

12.02 hrs

MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:—

"In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (6) of rule 162 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to return herewith the Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1963, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 19th April, 1963, and transmitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bill."

12.021 hrs.

STATEMENT ON PRICE SUPPORT FOR RICE AND WHEAT IN 1963-64 SEASON

Mr. Speaker: The hon, Minister of Food and Agriculture.

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri S. K. Patil): I beg to make the following statement.

The House is aware that Government has been following a policy of price support for various agricultural commodities, including the main cereals. The object of this policy is to provide a much-needed incentive to the farmer by assuring that the price of his produce will not be allowed to fall below a certain minimum level. Such an incentive will enable the farmer to undertake measures for creased production with full confidence that the higher level of production will not result in an undue fall in prices. We made a beginning with this policy for cereals last year when in March, 1962, a minimum support price Rs. 34.83 per quintal (or Rs. 13.00 per maund) was announced for white variety of wheat with suitable differentials for other varieties. Later, in

Price Support for Rice and Wheat in 1963-64 Season

December, 1962, price support was extended to rice through the procurement system which served the dual purpose of assuring the producer against an undue fall in prices and of helping Government to build reserve stocks from indigenous production.

The Government has now decided to continue this policy of support prices both for rice and wheat for the rest of the Third Plan period. The levels of prices have been reviewed and suitable upward adjustments been made. The price at which Government will be prepared to purchase wheat in selected markets in producing areas will now be Rs. 37.50 per quintal (or Rs. 14.00 per maund) that is, rise of one rupee for white variety. For rice, the procurement prices have been raised for most regions, such increases varying from 67 nP per quintal to Rs. 2.68 per guintal (or 25 nP to Re. 1 per maund) according to varieties, that is, generally one rupee more as in the case of wheat. With these adjustments, procurement prices will, for 1963-64 and subsequent crops of rice, vary from Rs. 16 which is the minimum, to Rs. 22.50 per maund according to varieties in Andhra Pradesh and Madras, Rs. 16 to Rs. 16.50 Mysore, Rs. 15.50 to Rs. 23.50 Madhya Pradesh, Rs. 17 to Rs. 29.25 in Punjab, Rs. 16 to Rs. 25.50 in Uttar Pradesh and Rs. 16.70 to Rs. 19.70 in Orissa.

Further details for giving effect to these decisions are being worked out. Necessary arrangements will be made to ensure that benefits of price support for rice are reflected in prices of paddy and that cooperative channels are utilised wherever feasible.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): Have Government simultaneously to relax the conditions subject to which banks are to advance credit to peasants and rice millowners, in view of the fact that in the last two years due to restrictions placed on the advance of credit by banks to all these interests concerned, whatever might

be the prices that might be fixed notionally by Government, actually those prices do not come to be given at all as the rice millowners have not been able to have necessary funds to purchase and store?

Shri S. K. Patil: That mechanism is adjustable. I have taken the hint from the hon. Member. We would do that

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): While thanking the hon. Minister for giving this support to the peasants, I would like to know what steps are being taken to see that the difference between the procurement price and the selling price in the market is not exploited by middlemen? ally the prices of rice are not increased in various States like West Bengal, · Marie

Shri S. K. Patil: That mechanism also has to be worked out because the prices have to be correlated and all the channels through which they have got to run, the profit and other things, have got to be adjusted, as we have done during the last four months. We are thinking of it.

श्री यशपाल सिंह (कैराना) : जिस किसान ने ग्राज तक २० ६० मन तक गहं ले कर बोया है श्रीर खाया है, श्रीर छ: महीने उस को खरीते खरीते हो गये हैं. भ्राज कल तैय पर होने पर उस के लिये प्रोक्योरमेंट स्कीम लाग करना क्या न्यायसंगत है ?

श्रो स० का० पाटिल : यह प्रोक्योरमेंट नहीं है, यह प्राइस सपोर्ट है।

Shri Muthu Gounder (Tiruppattur): What is the price of rice imported from Burma and America compared to the price of rice here?

Mr. Speaker: We are not concerned here with that just now.

Dr. P. S. Deshmukh (Amravati): While we must welcome this steps, although it has not sufficiently taken into account the rise in the prices of other commodities, are any steps in 1963-64 Seasons

[Dr. P. S. Deshmukh]

contemplated to see that this price support is effective, that is to say, Government will start purchasing as soon as the prices touch the minimum?

Shri S. K. Patil: A very large mechanism is being put into the field. Hitherto it used to be the rail-heads where they had to bring it, but sometimes it used to be difficult for the farmers to bring that commodity there. So we are arranging quite a large unmber of centres which it be bought. As soon as the prices touch that point, Government shall enter the market and buy the whole commodity.

Shri Deorao S. Patil (Yeotmal): Are Government considering measures to give price support for jowar?

Shri S. K. Patil: Very much so. Jooar will be the next item.

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): I would like to know whether a luxury variety like Dehra Dun Basumati rice will also be controlled at this price. It is already selling at a much higher price.

Mr. Speaker: Here we are concerned with price support.

Shri S. K. Patil: We may make exceptions for Basumati rice. As it is, it is Rs. 29 or Rs. 30 in my hon. friends State. That is the minimum. But I think that minimum is never touched.

Some Hon, Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: We cannot have more questions.

श्री शिव नारायण (बंसी) : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा बड़ा ग्रावश्यक सवाल है

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय : बिल्कुल है, लेकिन यह डिस्कशन तो महीं हैं । मैं ने यहां पर इतने सवालों की इजाजत दे दी है ।

Further clause by clause consideration of the Official Languages Bill.....

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I have a submission to make.

Mr. Speaker: Is it about this Bill?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: No. It is not about a call attention notice or anything of the sort. I have a request to make.

Mr. Speaker: My request to him would be the same as that I made to Shri Kamath the other day. Unless I know what he is going to raise, I cannot take it up just now.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It is about a busines.....

12.10 hrs.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker: The Bill is in hand. If he wants to raise something about it, certainly I will listen.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I wish to know whether the report on the Vivian Rose Commission of the Attorney-General will be available and whether we are going to discuss it.

श्री बागड़ी (हिसार) : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है । ङ

Mr. Speaker: That we are proceeding with, we are discussing it.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: What has happened? Shall we get the report?

Mr. Speaker: That is exactly how he always conducts himself. That is not fair on his part. I am requesting him to give me notice that he is going to raise something, so that I may also have some facts from the other party, and might be able to answer that.

श्री बागड़ी : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है ।

श्रध्यक्ष महोवय: श्रव इस वक्त व्यवस्था किस बात की है। एक काम खत्म हुन्ना है श्रीर दूसरा शुरू नहीं हुन्ना। इस दरम्यान में किस चीज की श्राप व्यवस्था चाहते हैं। श्री बागड़ी: में द्राप का निर्णय चाहता हूं, मेरी श्रजं सुन लें। श्राज से तीन दिन पहले पानी के संकट के बारे में में ने एक कार्लिंग श्रटेंशन नोटिस दिया था, श्रभी तक उससे के ऊपर कोई फैनला नहीं हुआ। में जानना चाहता हूं कि मिनिस्ट्री के जवाब का इन्तिजार फब तक किया जायेगा। यह पानी के संकतट का सवाल है, इस पर जल्द निर्णय होना चाहिए।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय: श्राप मेरे पास श्रा जाएं, में श्राप को बुलाऊंगा । श्रापने जो नोटिस दिया है उस को निकाल कर देखूंगा भीर श्राप को बतलाऊंगा ।

श्री बागड़ी: पानी का संकट एक बड़ा संकट है।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय: में ने समझ लिया। नेकिन उट को तो म्युनिशिपल कमेटी दूर करेगी, हम तो उन से डील नहीं कर शकते।

Shri Prabhat Kar (Hooghly): I am asking with your permission whether that particular point which was taken up yesterday at 5.30 has been decided now, regarding the Compulsory Deposit Scheme Bill for the calling of the Attorney-General.

Mr. Speaker: At this moment how can I say?

12.12 hrs

OFFICIAL LANGUAGES BILL-Contd.

CLAUSE 2 (contd.)

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up further clause by clause consideration of the Bill to provide for the languages which may be used for the official purposes of the Union, for transaction of business in Parliament, for Central and State Acts and for certain purposes in High Courts.

Clause 2 is under consideration.

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय : श्री वनर्जी ।

मेम्बर साहिवान से में कहूंगा कि प्रव बोल चुके हैं, इन लिए जो कुछ कहना हो बहुत मुख्तिसिर में प्याइट्स की शक्ल में कहें। नए सिरे से स्पीचेज नहीं होनी चाहिए।

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): You said that anybody who did not take part in the first reading will be given a chance. You will understand that I belong to no party.

Mr. Speaker: My difficulty is that he belongs to many parties, not only one.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I will take only five minutes.

श्री स० मो० बनर्जी: श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, में समझता हूं कि इस बिल के जितने भी संशोधन हैं वे सब नामंजुर होने वाले हैं। लेकिन में रिफं एक चीज कहना चाहता हूं कि श्रगर हमेशा के लिए श्रंग्रेजी जवान रहेगी तो हिन्दी या कोई दूगरी भारतीय भाषा, चाहे वह तामिल हो या बंगला हो या उरदू हो या श्रीर कोई भाषा हो, कभी तरक्की नहीं कर शकेगा। श्रभी इसी शदन में "मैं" श्रीर "धैल" को ले कर काफी झगड़ा हुआ श्रीर प्रधान मंत्री तथा गृहमंत्री के शमझाने के बाद भो लोगों का दिमाग साफ नहीं हुआ है।

श्राज कोई इस चीज का झनड़ा नहीं है कि श्रंप्रेजी हो या दिन्दी हो । लेकिन एक बात को हमारे देश के हर निश्रामी को मान लेना चाहिए कि जो जवान हमारे देश में रहे उन लोगों की जवान नहीं जिन्होंने इन देश को चूला है, इस देश को थांटा है और काटा है । मैं समझना हूं कि उन जवान को यहां रखना हमारी भूल होगी । मैं श्रंप्रेजी जवान के खिलाफ नहीं हूं । मैं जाना हूं कि उन्में बहुत श्रच्छी कियाएं श्रीर नाटक हैं भीर में उनकी तारीफ करता हूं । कुछ लोगों के दिमाग में यह शक है कि श्रंगर हिन्दी या भीर कोई भारतीय भाषा राज्यभाषा हो जाएगी तो उन लोगों को क्या होगा जो शंग्रेजी

[श्री स॰ मो॰ बनर्जी]

जानते हैं। मैं समझता हं कि उन लोगों को अपने दिमाग से यह शक निकाल देना चाहिए । यह शक गलत है । श्रगर श्रंग्रेजी के लिए दस बीस साल की अवधि रखी गयी होती जो मैं समझ सकता था कि उस के बाद इसको खत्म कर दिया जाएगा ग्रीर वह राज्यभाषा नहीं रहेगी। जो लोग उस को शौकिया पढना चाह पढेंगे । लेकिन यह तो नहीं होगा कि हमारे बच्चे ग्रंग्रेजी जबान सीखने के लिए मजबर हों। लेकिन अगर अंग्रजी को रखने की कोई मियाद नहीं रहेगी तो मैं श्रपने बच्चे को चाहं में उस को सरकारी कर्मचारी बनाना चाहं या अपनी तरह चनाव लड कर यहां आने के लायक बनाऊं. में उसको हिन्दी नहीं सिखाऊंगा ।

दूसरो बात यह है कि हम जनता के जज्दात को ग्रंग्रेजी की सहायता से नहीं उभार सकते। कल प्रधान मंत्री जीने भी कहा था कि ग्रान्दोलन में जनता तक पहंचने के लिए उनको हिन्दी का सहारा लेना पडा था। में कहना चाहता हूं कि भगत जिह , सुखदेव, राजगरू जिन तदानों को गाते गाते फांसी पर झले थंव ग्रंग्रेजी के गाने नहीं थे। जब बैस्रिपर कफन बांघ कर निकले थे तो उनका यही तराना था :

सर फरोशी की तमन्ता अब हमारे दिल में है।

जब चन्द्र शेखर फ्राजाद से कहा गया कि. यह जो कदम तुमने आगे बढ़ा दिया है इस को हटाना होगा तो उस ने कहां था:

में ने जंब वादिए ग्रबत पै कदम रखा था, दुर तक याद बतन द्यार्थी थी समझाने को। तो हमारे राष्ट्रीय ग्रान्दोलन में . .

म्राध्यक्ष महोदय: मैं ने यह जरूर कहा था कि जिन को पहले मौका नहीं मिला है उनको मौका दंगा लेकिन क्लाज पर बोलने की बजाए वह कुछ ग्रीर बोल रहे हैं। यह तो डेफीनीशन का क्लाज है। कुछ हो। ऐसा

बोलिए कि पढ़ने वाला यह समझे कि जो भी कुर्सी पर बैठा या वह कुछ तो देख रहा था कि क्या होना चाहिए । अंग्रेजी पर आपको कहना है तो क्लाज ३ पर कहें।

Languages Bill

श्री स० मो० बनर्जी: मेरा कहना सिर्फ यह है कि हिन्दी को सब ग्रासानी से सीख सकते हैं। कल कुछ लोगों ने वाक ग्राउट किया । इस पर मुझे दुःख हम्रा । वे लोग कहते हैं कि हम हिन्दी इम्पीरियलिज्म उन पर लादना चाहते हैं। लेकिन यह अर्जीब इम्पीरियलिज्म है कि जिससे देश की एकता को चोट पहुंच रही है। मुझे तो ऐसा लगता है कि इस बिल से देश में एकता बढ़ने के बजाये उसको ठेस लगी है। मेरा निवेदन है कि इस बिल को अभी न लाकर सन् १६६४ या १६६५ में लाया जा सकता था। आज तो इससे देश का एका नहीं बढ़ रहा है। मेरे ख्याल से इसका इस वक्त लाना गलत है। साथ ही मैं यह भी कहना चाहंगा कि जो लोग इसके विरोध में हवन कर रहे हैं या जो इसके विराध में वाक ग्राउट कर गये वह भी गलत है।

मैं इस बिल का विरोध करता हं। मेरा निवेंदन है कि ग्रब भी सोचा जाये ग्रौर सरकार लोगों की सलाह को मान ले श्रौर कह दे कि हिन्दी जबान इतने समय के बाद ग्राने बाली है। हम हिन्दुस्तानी हैं ग्रीर हमारी जबान हिन्दी ही हो सकती है या कोई भार-तीय भाषा हो सकती है, ग्रंग्रेजी नहीं । हम देखते हैं कि जब बच्चा पैदा होता है तो वह मम्मी डैंडी नहीं कहता वह मां कहता है।

Shri Bade (Khargone): I beg to move:

Page 1, after line 14, insert

'(c) "the authoritative texts" means the texts in Hindi language.' (81)

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय: मैंने कल भी इसके बारे में कहा था। इसमें यह उल्लेख नहीं है कि भ्राया-

रिटेटिव टक्स्ट कौन सा होगा । इसमें तो सिर्फ अनुवाद की बात लिखी है । इसलिये मैं चाहता हूं कि इसमें यह कर दिया जाय कि हिन्दी का टैक्स्ट आधारिटेटिव होगा । मैं यह नहीं कहता कि आप किस भाषा को राप्ट्र भाषा बनायें, आप चाहे तमिल को बनायें या मराठी को बनायें या किसी अन्य भारतीय भाषा को बनायें, लेकिन मंग्रेजी को कायम रखने की बात ठीक नहीं है।

ध्यक्ष महोदय: ग्राप तो इस पर कल भी बोल चुके हैं?

श्री बड़े: ग्राज फिर यह निकला तो मैं फिर इस पर बोलना चाहता हूं।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय : दूसरी दफा नहीं बोल सकते । मैंने श्रापको बुलाया यह मेरी गलती हुई ।

The hon. Minister.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hajarnavis): We are dealing with amendment No. 81, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: Only amendment No. 81 and the points made during the discussion.

Shri Hajarnavis: I have listened carefully to the speech of my hon. friend, Shri Banerjee. I did not see anything common between the amendment No. 81 and his speech.

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Bade made some points.

Shri Hajarnavis: To Mr. Bagde, I would say that the Act has got to be construed . . .

Some Hon. Members: His name is 'Bade'.

Mr. Speaker: It is Mr. Bade, I may be corrected, if I am wrong.

Shri Bade: There is different between Roman and Devanagari scripts. My name is 'ৰই" that is, a great man.

Mr. Speaker: Then, I would address him as 'great man'.

Shri Hajarnavis: That would be the English text of his name, Sir.

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): It is obvious that the Roman script is defective; it cannot represent Indian names properly.

Shri Hajarnavis: The Acts are to be construed either in the Supreme Court or in the High Court. They may also have to be referred to other Therefore, it would necessary that the English text should also continue to be provided. I may refer to article 348 which say: that until Parliament by law otherwise provides, all the authoritative texts shall be in English. What we are providing is that the Acts which are today in English shall be translated into Hindi, and when that translation is published in the Gazette, under the authority of the President that shall be deemed to be the authoritative text in Hindi.

There may be three authoritative texts, one in English one in Hindi and one in regional language, if it is so decided by the State Legislature. So, there would be three authoritative texts. There would be no question arising as to what exactly the law means; whoever wants to consult one of the three languages, the authoritative text would be available. Therefore, we cannot confine the word "authoritative" only to Hindi.

Shri Radhelal Vyas (Ujjain): He said the authoritative texts shall be in all the three languages.

Shri Hajarnavis: It may be in all the three languages.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: Suppose in translation, they do not agree and there is a difference of interpretation. Which will be the authorised to be recognised by the courts? There have been differences of interpretation when there are more than one text.

Shri Bade: In Madhya Pradesh, there was difficulty in the interpretation of the Hindi and English texts.

Shri Hajarnavis: I think it is to be construed in one language. One language text will be referred to. If there is any difference of opinion between the three texts, the interpretation is not the text, but the law which prevails.

Mr. Speaker: Suppose there are three texts and they are considered to be equal. Suppose when the Judge has to interpret that, he finds a different interpretation can be put . . .

Shri Hajarnavis: May I submit, law is something apart from the text.

Shri Tyagi: Translations cannot differ.

Shri Hajarnavis: The translations will not differ. The Judge will try to reconcile all the three. (Interruptions).

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar (Fatehpur): The Minister should reply whether the Hindi version is authentic or the English version is authentic.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I submit, Sir, you have been an eminent Judge. The Minister has made a statement that law is different from the text. Will you please enlighten the House?

Mr. Speaker: I am always getting compliments from my friends. I would request him not to use adjectives for me. Sometimes I might be criticised also. It may also be said that I am a bad judge.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I never said you have been a bad judge.

Mr. Speaker: He has always used good words for me. Some others have got the right to criticise me.

Sari Hari Vishnu Kamath: I look upon you as an eminent judge.

Mr. Speaker: Thank you for all that The question is:

Page 1,-

after line 14, insert-

'(c) "the authoritative texts" means the texts in Hindi language.' (81)

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Ciause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3—Continuance of English language for official purposes of the Union and for use in Parliament.

Mr. Speaker: What are the amendments that hon. Members would like to move to this clause?

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated—Anglo-Indians): Sir, I beg to move:

(i) Page 2,-

for clause 3, substitute-

- "3. Notwithstanding the expiration of the period of fifteen years from the commencement of the Constitution, English shall be the alternate language as from the appointed day and continue to be used.—
 - (a) for all the official purposes of the Union for which it was being used immediately before that day; and
 - (b) for the transaction of business in Parliament". (35)
- (ii) Page 2, line 3,-

for "may" substitute "shall". (36)

(iii) Page 2,-

for clause 3, substitute-

"3. Notwithstanding the expiration of the period of fifteen years from the commencement of the Constitution, English shall be the alternate language as from the appointed day and continue to be used.—

- (a) for all the official purposes of the Union for which it was being used immediately before that day; and
- (b) for the transaction of business in Parliament, until otherwise decided by the non-Hindi speaking Legislatures". (145)
- (iv) Page 2,-

for clause 3, Substitute-

"3. Notwithstanding the expiration of the period of fifteen years from the commencement of the Constitution, English shall be the alternate language as from the appointed day and continue to be used.—

- (a) for all the official purposes of the Union for which it was being used immediately before that day; and
- (b) for the transaction of business in Parliament, until otherwise decided by a majority consisting of threefourths of the members of the House of the People and Council of States respectively." (146)

Shri Bade: I beg to move:

Page 2, line 3,-

for "continue to be used, in addition to Hindi" substitute "be discontinued.". (58)

Shri Raddelal Vyas: Sir I beg to move:

Page 2, line 3,-

after "day" insert-

"without prejudice to the other provisions of the Constitution in this regard". (126)

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta (Alwar): Sir, I beg to move:

439 (Ai) LSD-4

Page 2, line 4,-

after "Hindi" insert "for a period of ten years". (60)

Shri Balmiki (Khurja): Sir, I beg to move:

(i) Page 2, line 3,-

after "used" insert "upto 1980 only". (147)

(ii) Page 2,-

after line 7, insert-

"(2) For the vigorous propagation, development and growth of Hindi in Hindi-speaking areas in general and non-Hindi speaking areas in particular a definite and well planned scheme shall be drawn out to replace English before 1980." (149)

भी बागड़ी (हिसार) : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा भी एक अर्मैंडमैंट है।

भ्रष्यक्ष महोदयः क्या ५२ नम्बर का भ्रमेंडर्मेट माननीय सदस्य का है?

श्री बागड़ो: जी नहीं। श्रर्मैंडर्मैंट तो श्री रामसेवक यादव के नाम पर है लेकिन उन्होंने मुझे चिट्ठी लिख कर उसे मूव करने के लिये ऐथोराइज कर दिया है।

श्रष्टपक्ष महोदय: चिट्ठी पर यह नहीं किया जा सकता है। किसी का ग्रर्मैडर्मेंट दूसरा ग्रादमी मूव नहीं कर सकता है।

श्री बागड़ी: ग्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, क्लाज ३ पर मेरा भी एक ग्रमैंडमैंट है। राष्ट्रपति जी की स्वीकृति की चिट्टी भी ग्रागयी है हालांकि मेरे पास ग्रभी उसकी इत्तिला नहीं ग्राई है।

ग्रष्यक्ष महोदय: क्या वह कोई दूसरा ग्रमैंडमैंट है ?

श्री बागड़ी: जी हां वह मेरे नाम से है। मैंने राष्ट्रपति जी को......

12158

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : बागड़ी साहब का कौन सा ग्रर्मेंडमेंट है ?

एक माननीय सबस्य : मभी वह तलाश करने बाहर चले गये हैं।

श्राच्यक्ष महोदय : १५२ नम्बर का श्रामैंडमैंट उनके नाम से है। श्रगर वह उसे मूब करना चाहें तो मुझे बतला दें।

Shri Radhelal Vyas: Sir may I make one submission? If you take clauses 3 and 4 together, it will facilitate the discussion.

Shri Frank Anthony: No, no.

Mr. Speaker: That would create confusion.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: There are precedents in this House.

Shri Hajarnavis: I do not agree. That will result in confusion.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): We do not agree.

Mr. Speaker: No one agrees.

भ्रष्यक्ष महोदय : बागड़ी साहब का कौन सा भ्रमैंडमैंट है ?

श्री बागड़ी: मेरा ग्रमैंडमैंट नम्बर १५२ है जोकि मैं मूव करता हूं।

स्रष्यक्ष महोदय : बहुत सच्छा, बागड़ी साहब का १५२ नम्बर का सर्मेडमेंट मूब्ड है ।

Shri Sivamurthi Swamy (Koppal): Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2, line 3,-

after "used" insert-

"for a further period of ten years." (127)

Shri Bagri: Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2,-

after line 7, insert-

"Provided that the original Bills, Ordinances and statements shall be in Hindi." (152).

Shri Vasudevan Nair (Ambalapuzha): Sir, I want to move my amendments Nos. 57 and 62

Mr. Speaker: No. 57 is the same as No. 36 and therefore, it is barred. No. 62 does not stand in his name and therefore he cannot move it.

Clause 3 and the amendments are before the House.

Shri Frank Anthony: Mr. Speaker, the four amendments of mine are, firstly, that "may" be substituted by "shall", secondly, that English shall be the alternate language, thirdly, that English shall be the alternate language until otherwise decided by a majority of the non-Hindi speaking States and, fourthly, that English shall be the alternate language until otherwise decided by a majority of three-fourths of the members of the House of the People and the Council of States respectively.

I was hoping that we would get some enlightenment on the Bill itself from the Prime Minister's speech. Unfortunately, it had very little to do with the Bill and was more a sort of philosophical dissertation on language and content, and the few remarks that were vouchsafed with regard to the Bill were in respect of certain interventions made by me. The only issue before this House in clause 3 is whether the Prime Minister's assurance that English shall be an associate and alternate language until otherwise decided by the non-Hindispeaking States is being translated unto the statute book. That is the only simple issue. The issue is not this that this assurance should be compromised. Every member of the Congress Party who has spoken has said that this Bill is an excellent compromise. I agree that it is a compromise with the assurance, that it is a dilution of the assurance in order to mollify the Hindi extremist section. My grievance with the Home Minister is this. Has he implemented the assurance?

I am glad to say that one thing has emerged very clearly from the Prime Minister's statement. He has reaffirmed his assurance. He said: "Yes, I mean this; I meant shall". He has re-affrmed that. I quote from a letter which the Prime Minister was pleased to write to me on the 18th of April, and I do not think the Prime Minister will mind my quoting it. written to me in reply to a representation sent by fifteen Members of this House. Obviously, it was not intended to be personal and, in fact, certain other persons to whom it was shown have published a summary. Among other things, this is what the Prime Minister has stated in his letter to me.

"I think that the use of the word "may" in clause 3 of the Bill is quite adequate for the purpose. It is well-known that in this context it means 'shall"

This is the Prime Minister's stand. He has sought to re-affirm that in the House. When I asked "does not 'may' mean 'may not'?" he said "it is absurd". Now with great respect to the Prime Minister, I am not going to teach him law, or the element of law, but, in the context of this Bill, 'may' can only mean 'may', and this was made abundantly clear by the Home Minister. So, obviously, on this issue, the Prime Minister and Home Minister are at loggerheads. The Prime Minister says that his assurance was not "may", his assurance was "shall". He goes further and says "by 'may' I meant 'shall' and that in that context it is "shall," Obviously, and I say this with great respect, the Prime Minister has been misled. The Prime Minister's assurance was "shall", he has intended It to be "shall" and he has argued, quite untenably of course, that it

means "shall". And now the Home Minister tells us that it is not 'shall', it is 'may'. It must be abvious to all that the counterpart of "may" will be "may not".

That is why we wanted to meet the hon. Prime Minister. He meant "shall" and he still believes "may" shall mean "shall". Who has misled him? The hon, Home Minister has said that it cannot be "shall" and look at the reasons that he gives. He says that if "shall" is used then it will require the simultaneous use, unnecessarily, of Hindi and English. Yes. But that is because advisedly the hon. Home Minister has used a language which will be an instrument for using this argument against the use of "shall". Why could not the hon. Home Minister or those who have advised him have said that the hon. Prime Minister has always meant "shall"? Why could they not merely have said that English shall be the alternate language? Then there was no argument that with the phraseology that has been used it would postulate their simultaneous Alternate language means used alternatively. That was the assurance of the hon. Prime Minister. He repeats it to me. He says:

"Your reference to what I said previously in the Lok Sabha that in my opinion English should be used as the associate language or the alternative language until otherwise decided by the non-Hindi-speaking people continues to represent my opinion."

Why could it not be a simple translation of this assurance? Then they would not have got the argument of the hon. Home Minister.

Another argument was that if they use "shall", they will have to prescribe the period. With great respect to the hon. Home Minister I say that he has not consulted the Law Department. I am not betraying any secrets. I went to the head of the Drafting Section and I said, "Convince me how

[Shri Frank Anthony]

12161

the use of 'shall' is repugnant to the Constitution. I will convince you that it is not" because article 343 gives uninhibited power to Parliament to extend its use for a specified period.

Shri Tyagi: May.

Shri Frank Anthony: But the power to extend is uninhibited. I say that under that clause Parliament may say that English shall be the principal official language for 100 years. He agreed.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): That is hardly possible.

Shri Frank Anthony: They said categorically to me-I do not want to name the gentlemen (Interrup-This is categorical..... (Interruption). I am making my proposition. They said categorically... (Interruption).

Shri Hajarnavis: On a point of order, Sir..... (Interruption).

Shri Maurya (Aligarh): Article 343 is quite clear ... (Interruption). As far as the Constitution is concerned (Interruption)that Hindi shall be the national language.

Mr. Speaker: Should he go on speaking without getting my permission?

Shri Hajarnavis: My hon, friend is an eminent lawyer and, I believe, he knows English much better than others. I will accept that he is entitled to consture the language and I will try to answer him. But why should he bring in officers of the Government who must remain outside the controversy. Why does he refer to the officers? I do not think it is quite fair for him to refer to any civil servant.

Shri Tyagi: Was it fair for the officers to go on giving interpretations outside?

Shri Frank Anthony: I know the word "chall" is not repugnant. Assuming for the sake of argument that what the hon. Home Minister has said was correct, namely, that the use of the word "shall" would require a prescription of the period, would not a clause like this, that is, "shall be used as the alternate language until otherwise decided"-by whom? The hon. Prime Minister said that the suggestion that it should be left to the non-Hindi-speaking States was absurd and fantastic except for lurid ipse dixit. I would say. with great respect to the hon. Prime Minister that it is ultra-absurd and ultra-fantastic to suggest that Parliament cannot legislate. I agree, it may be anomalous but certainly not illegal to say "until otherwise decided". It may be anomalous. But there never was the will. That is my quarrel.

So many formulae were available. One was "until otherwise decided by three-fourths of the State legislatures" by implication meaning that the decision would require the concurrence of the non-Hindi-speaking people, I have given an amendment to the effect "by a three-fourths majority of Members of each House respectively". What is wrong with it? The hon. Prime Minister says that it is absurd and fantastic. The hon. Prime Minister doe snot even remember the Constitution. What is there absurd, fantastic, illegal or unconstitutional with it? We have built-in provisions in the Constitution. You know, Sir, that article 368 prescribes certain preliminary majorities, two-thirds majority of the whole House. What was it?

As I said, they shied away from giving effect to what was intended by the Prime Minister, "shall be the alternate language".

Shri Hajarnavis: I think he is not quite fair to the Prime Minister. What the Prime Minister was trying to do was to meet the argument of the hon. Member who said that this matter should be decided by the votes of the Members of the non-Hindispeaking areas. The Prime Minister pointed out, that the decision on behalf of the Parliament cannot be taken by a section of the House. It will certainly be unconstitutional.

Shri Frank Anthony: But that is exactly what I am saying. I am only saying that. (Interruption)

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Frank Anthony: All that have stated is, obviously the Prime Minister has been misled. He meant 'shall", he intended 'shall', he tried to argue untenably that it was 'shall'. The Home Minister said, it was not 'shall', So, he has been misled. The Members of the other side have been misled. I am still pleading with the Home Minister. He says, I am imputing motives, questioning his bona fides. What is the difficulty? Simple, straight-forward there is my amendment, "shall be the alternate language until otherwise decided by a major of three-fourths on a parity with article 368 of the Constitution."

Shri Ranga (Chitoor): Mr. Speaker, Sir, this question has aroused deep emotions as well as antagonisms among different sections of our own House and also within the Congress, the ruling party and the ruling party has the satisfaction that they have reached a kind of compromise in the shape of this Bill as well as the two official amendments which are also But then we have to tabled here. look at it from the national point of view. I do sympathise and I do appreciate the standpoint of our Hindi friends. They happen to live in such a large part of our country that it appears to be almost the whole of the country to them and, therefore, such of us as do not belong to that area do not have the privilege claiming this Hindi language as our mother tongue and that they obliged to ask for all these special concessions, according to appears to be extremely unreasonable. They begin to wonder, "Here is Hindi which is our own language. Here is that English which was not our language to start with and which came to be introduced here under the aegis of the British and British regime and, therefore why should we now continue to hug this English which has been an alien language till now to us and why should these unreasonable people now create all the difficulties and impediments saying. 'No, no, we do not want Hindi language as the sole official language'." I cannot understand their attitude. I cannot agree with them. Those of us who come from the south look upon the Ganges, the Jamuna, Hardwar. Rishikesh and all these places as so shared, as so important in national life that everyone of us feels it his cultural and religious duty to try and go and visit them at least once during one's life time and take that earth and pay homage to that earth. But I do not know whether, similarly, strong feelings are entertained by as many as possible, universally and as continuously, who live in this areas in regard to similar sacred places in the south. (Interruptions)

Shri Sham Lal Saraf (Jammu and Kashmir): Right upto Rameswaram every place is sacred to us.

Shri Bade: Ramaswaram is sacred to us.. (Interruption)

Mr. Speaker: Order order.

Shri Ranga: I do not question anybody's religious sentiments. My only point is, here, our people are living in such a huge area, it is excusable for them—I am only pleading for those friends. It is excusable for them-it is understandable also-if they were to feel that this area alone is as much as the whole of India. Unfortunately, there are other areas also in this country and those areas also happen to be just as sacred to our mother India as the Gangetic valley, as well as Rishikesh right down to Calcutta and in those areas we have to speak other languages. And if at all anybody has got to be reconciled to Hindi it is the non-Hindi-speaking people. It is the special responsi-

[Shri Ranga].

12165

bility of Hindi-speaking people to try to reconcile with the non-Hindispeaking people with their own passion for Hindi and win over their allegiance, their affection and in that way help in the re-building, in the re-knitting and strengthening the bonds of unity within our country. Unfortunately, for their own good reasons some of our friends belonging to certain political parties as well as cultural groups have taken the other view and they have begun to feel that just as they feel about Hindi, other people also should begin to feel strongly and emotionally for Hindi and, therefore, they seem to be ready to get into temper and annoyance just because non-Hindi-speaking people wish to express their views in regard to Hindi a little differently than themselves. Therefore, I would like to appeal to them to have some patience, to display a sense of toleration and what is even more to be prepared to entertain that sense of comradeship with the rest of us so strongly as to give us the feeling that our interests will be as safe in their hands as they will be in our own hands and it is that assurance. fortunately, which the Prime Minister has been trying to give-his earlier assurance and also through his speech now-does not come forward from the Home Minister.

In this country the time has come when we people have to realise that the Prime Ministership and the Prime Minister stand for an institution and the Prime Ministership cannot separated from the personal views and personal proclities of the person, of the incumbent, who occupies that particular position and goes about as the Prime Minister. And our Prime Minister has been here for the last 17 years so much of our country has come to treat him as an institution. He has given an assurance. Why did he give the assurance. He gave that assurance in the light of the historical conditions in our country. So many things had happened in the past. So has been like so many of us

authors as well as victims of things that had happened as a result of the obdurate position that we have taken over the question of parliamentary democracy in our country. In 1963, the Congress was able to attain the majority in the general elections in quite a large number of States including the then United Provinces. It so happened that Muslim League not wish to join the Congress and stood by itself and, as was natural. the Muslim League happened to be in a minority. Then, they found to their disappointment that they were not being given any representation at all in the then Ministry in that State. Therefore, they asked representation in that Ministry. Then, unfortunately, because we were under the impression that a party which has a majority would alone be competent to and should form the Ministry, the Congres Party refused give any representation to the Muslim League. This happened in UP as well as in many other States also. We all thought that we were doing a right thing by refusing to give representation to the Muslim League because that was the way in which we had been brought up in regard to the conventions of parliamentary democracy. But, unfortunately for us, Indian conditions would not fit into the English conception of Parliamentary democracy. So much so, the Muslim League felt, according to them rightly, according to us wrongly, that they were being wronged by not being taken into that Ministry, by not being given representation. We know the result. We know the consequence of that terrible mistake that we made, a mistake made in a genuine fashion, thinking that we were not making a mistake at all. As a result of that blunder, this country is faced with this calamity of having been split up into two Governments, Pakistan Government and our Government. I do not want a similar calamity to overtake our country and our national life as a result of the passions that we give rise to....

Some Hon. Members: No, no. (Interruption).

Shri Ranga: . . . both of us according to our ownlights, both of us being under the impression that we are doing the right thing and we are pleading for the right thing and so on.

So far as the non-Hindi-Speaking people are concerned, it is clear now, they accepted it at the time of the passing of the Constitution, and I was one of them that in the right time, Hindi was accepted first of all as a national language and should later grow to be the official language. That is not questioned. There are some people even now, great people, good people, genuine people, statesman like people, experienced people who question, according to them rightly that Hindi should not be the official language. We cannot quarrel with That is their view (Interruptions). So many of us who were participators in of the Constituent the discussions Assembly, who had the privilege of signing the passing the Constitution, agreed that Hindi should be the principal national language, and also the official language. We accepted it and then we put our imprimatur on it. Nevertheless, even at that we were conscious of time, fact that English had to be our official language. So we made provision for that also. We gave ourselves sufficient time and in addition to that, we gave also freedom to Parliament to cogntinue the use of English as official language for a much longer period if found necessary. That is the reason why we are face to face with this particular problem as well as with this legislation. I do not see any reason why those friends who are fortunate enough to be born in the Hindi-speaking areas and who are able to claim Hindi as their mother tongue, should display so much of impatience with us who are not so fortunate, who are obliged to learn this language now, even though it be a part of our notional culture, who are obliged to go through all these disabilities which are attendant on those

people to whom it is not mother tongue, who are obliged to compete with these Hindi-speaking people to whom Hindi is mother tongue in regard to competitive examinations, in regard to services, in regard to the development of our social economy in our country. Wny should they be impatient with us just because we say this? As the Prime Minister put it, the link language-because the Link has come and it is very favourite with the ruling party and therefore he has called it link-fortunately that word is a very appropriate word in this connectionthe link language Hindi should come to serve as link some day. In the meanwhile, the Prime Minister himself has realised that for the time being the really effective link language can only be English. It happens to be English and it has got to be continued for some time longer. Time would come when it would have to be given up. When is that time, who has to decide? Is it the Hindi-speaking people? If they were to insist on it, I wish to warn them that the non. Hindi-speaking people would be justified in continuing to feel as they have been feeling very strongly and expressing themselves also with so much of emotion, that they are being imposed upon. If, on the other hand, the Government were to be good enough, to be loyal enough to stand by the assurance given by the Prime Minister-I am using the word loyal advisedly, towards the Prime Minister, its own Prime Minister, to stand by the assurance given by the Prime Minister, they should certainly agree to the amendment suggested by mv hon. friend Shri Frank Anthony and also by some of our friends on my right that instead of 'may', the word should be 'shall'. They would not agree, because (bell rings) I want a little more time.

Mr. Speaker: In amendments, of course....

Shri Ranga: It is not a question of amendment. I did not speak on the principal thing. I have got to have my say. I do not propose to take too long. It is not a pleasure for me to

[Shri Ranga].

take too long on an emotionally surcharged subjectlike this. I am speakink only because I must as a matter of duty. Otherwise, it is not a pleasure to me.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will you kindly extend the time for the second reading as you said?

Mr. Speaker: How much we have extended already, he knows.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You said, yesterday....

Mr. Speaker: If I can extend it more, then we may decide to sit to-morrow.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Tomorrow we are sitting.

Mr. Speaker: That is all right. If we are sitting tomorrow, I can extend.

Some Hon. Members: No. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Now, probably, hon. Members should agree even if they did not desire it earlier, now we shall have to sit tomorrow because we have been extending for this Bill. Therefore, even if we are put to some inconvenience, we should now agree to sit tomorrow so that enough time may be given to this Bill and the other thing.

Some Hon. Members: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: I would further propose that this discussion on the Officil language Bill might continue for the whole day and non-official business we might put up for tomorrow.

Some Hon. Members: Yes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Agreed. Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: It would not be appropriate to sit tomorrow We have our own engagements. Mr. Speaker: I am sorry. Even if it causes some inconvenience to a few Hon. Members, they should adjust themselves. Because, otherwise, it would not be possible to finish the work.

Shri Ranga: As it is so happened, the fires of this controversy were going on with the result that the Prime Minster thought it fit to accept the advice given by one of our national leader Shri K. M. Munshi that a National Integration conference should be called. He called it. The Prime Minister presided over it. They appointed a committee also. That met. commitee Soon after Chinese communists invaded country they met and in the light of the upsurge of national sense of unity, they said, national unity has come to be achieved and therefore, there is no need for their committee to function and they dispersed. It was soon after that or may be just about that time, the Prime Minister also was so happy over the display of

भी बागड़ी: भ्रष्ट्यक्ष महोदय, यहां प्राइम मिनिस्टर की बात का प्रश्न है या कांस्टिट्युशन की बात का ?

म्राच्यक्त महोदय : भ्राप स्वयं ही ध्यान से मुनें । भ्राप बड़ी अच्छी तरह से समझ रहे हैं, भ्राप को सारा पता है।

Shri Ranga:.....a national sense of unity. I am only mentioning in what circumstances the Prime Minister thought it fit to recterate the assurance. It was then at that time that the Prime Minister's assurance was again repeated and the Government took the trouble—I do not know under what department; I think it was Audie Visual Publicity—to publish in all the daily papers, in the language papers as well as in the English papers this particular portion, the relevant portion of the assurance

given by the Prime Minister to the non-Hindi-speaking people.

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: On a point of order,.....

Shri Ranga: This is the advertisement that has been published with the Prime Minister's photograph....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. A point of order has been raised. The hon. Member should listen to that.

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: I rise to a point of order. Every time, the assurance of the Prime Minister is being repeated in this House. May I know whether any assurance can vary the mandatory provisions of the Con-When it is given in the stitution? Constitution that Hindi shall be the official language, can the word 'shall' be added at all in view of the existing provision in the Constitution? I want your ruling on this point whether any sort of assurance which against the provisions of the Constitution, even stand or can ever be cited.

13 hrs.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya rose-

Mr. Speaker: There is nothing that requires further elucidation.

Shri Ranga: An assurance was given The Prime Minister says that he gave it. He has repeatedly said that he stand by that. Where is the question of the Constitution being abrogated there?

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: That is not my point....

Mr. Speaker: If some Members want to argue their case on that how can I stop them from doing so?

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: That is not my point....

Mr. Speaker: Can I say that this argument cannot be advanced?

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakar: No, that is not my point. . .

Mr. Speaker: That is exactly what he intendes to say.

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: Is it practical and feasible to just cite that assurance all the time?

Mr. Speaker: The feasibility is to be judged by the House when it votes.

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: As a matter of fact, the very demand that the word 'shall' be substituted would mean the changing of the Constitution, and that cannot be done now.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member shall have the liberty to vote as he likes at that time. I cannot restrict the hon. Member from arguing his case.

I do not think that this display is necesary, because the Prime Minister has said that he stands by that.

Shri Ranga: I am coming to that, because it is an assurance not only of the Prime Minister but it is an assurance also on behalf of Government.

And this advertisement was published at the cost of Government in all the papers, on the 7th of November, 1962 just when we were in the midst of that terrible situation in the midst of that national emotion of unity when our duty was to see that our Motherland was protected defended and her honour was sustained; it was at the height of that crisis that the Prime Minister again repeated this, and it was published by Government at their own cost by paying money. And how did It read the advertisement read? thus:

"English will continue as an associate language, and I would not take it away till I was asked to take it away by the non-Hindispeaking areas — Jawaharlal Nehru."

[Shri Ranga].

12173

The Prime Minister was also good enough to say day before yester-day:

"The assurance that I gave re presented not only my viewpoint but also the viewpoint of our Government. When the assurance was given, it was made with a large approval of this House. We stand by that assurance completely."

Now, I ask the Government and my hon, friend Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri whether they are really standing by the Prime Minister's assurance and the assurance of the Government, in the terms in which that assurance was given, in the spirit in which that assurance was given. I feel that the Home Minister is not being fair to himself, not to speak of his being fair to the Prime Minister and his Government. I do not know; something has gone wrong with this Government somewhere. Otherwise, we would not find a good man and a statesmanlike man like the Home Minister coming forward and saying, this word 'may' is advisedly used here, because otherwise, every time the official language is referred to ,it would be two languages, and it would be waste of money to use both languages, because then Bills have to be passed in both languages, statements have to be published in both languages and so on and so forth. On the other hand, the Prime Minister says that according to him, according to his understanding.....

Shri Bakar Ali Mirza (Warrangal): The word used in that advertisement is 'will' and not 'shall'.

Mr. Speaker: I think that if he is allowed to fiinish it, probably, that would be better.

Shri Ranga:...'may' means only 'shall'. Therefore, even there, there is this contradiction. I would like my hon, friend the Home Minister to try

to resolve this contradiction. But one thing is clear that they do not seem to be ready either to resolve this contradiction or to reassure the people that the assurance given by the Prime Minister is an assurance and it can be acted upon and can be relied upon and cannot be pooh-poohed or ridiculed or dismissed or whittled away in various ways under the stress of various forces that may be swirling round the Government.

So, I want Government to make up their mind. If they find that it is not in the interests of the country that they should scruplously and honestly and fully implement that assurance given by the Prime Minister, as he has put in, with the authority and with the concurrence of his Government, and with the general sense of this House at that time, then the only alternative left to them is to resign. If they are not prepared to resign, it is for the Prime Minister himself to resign. They cannot very well have it both ways; either they should implement this assurance or they should resign.

Now, I would like to make one appeal to my hon friends who come from the Hindi-speaking areas. I wish to assure them that of all the South Indian statesmen, it was Rajaji who took the initiative in 1922 to found the Dakshin Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha, and who provided the introduction to the book that they published in various languages, entitled Hindi Swabodhini. I learnt my little Hindi only from that book while I was jail. All of us used to our Hindi only from that Hindi Swabodhini. My hon, friend the leader of the Communist Party will also bear me out, because we wcre together in the same jails.

Rajaji was then such an enthusiast about Hindi, as good an enthusiast as any of my hon. friends including our Arya Samaj leaders, he was enthusiastic about it to such an extent that

he went to the extent of instisting that Hindi should be compulsorily aught in the schools.

श्री बागड़ी : हम ग्रायं समाजी नहीं हैं।

Shri Prakash Vir Shastri (Bijnor): On a point of order....

Shri Ranga: I have not said anything against my hon, friend.

When Rajaji did that, there was a satyagraha movement against him.

Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: On a point of order....

Shri Ranga: How can there be a point of order, when I praise him?

Mr. Speaker: There is a point of order being raised. If the hon. Member feels that there is a point of order and he rises and submits that there is a point of order, would he like me to proceed further without listening to it?

श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री: इनका मस्तिष्क ज्यादा गरम हो रहा है इसलिये मैं बड़ी नस्त्रता से आप से निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि रंगा साह्य इस सदन के बड़े जिन्मेदार और पुराने मेम्बर हैं.....

श्रष्टयक्ष महोदय: श्रापका प्वाइंट श्राफ श्राइंर है या श्राप केवल निवेदन करना चाहते हैं।

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : मेरा प्वाइंट स्नाफ[्]मार्डर है।

म्रव्यक्ष महोदय : तो उसे कहिये :

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री: उन्होंने श्रभी श्रायं समाज का नाम लिया । श्रायं समाज का उन्होंने इस हिन्दी के प्रश्न से किस प्रकार संबंध जोड़ा । ग्रगर एक सांस्कृतिक संगठन का यहां इस तरह नाम लिया जायेगा तो स्थिति विवादास्पद हो जायेगी । वे किसी सद स्य का उद्धरण करते श्रीर उस पर श्रपनी ववारधाराको यहां रखते तो ठीकथा।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदयः मगर यह किसी नियम का उल्लंघन नहीं है।

Shemati Yashoda Reddy (Kurnool). I think the hon. Member meant the lam Sangh and not the Arya Samaj.

Shri Raga: I am sorry I made a reference to Arya Samaj; I withdraw it, so far as the Arya Samaj is concerned.

Rajaji as Chief Minister—at that time, he was called the Prime Minister. . . .

Mr. Speaker: Now, he should try to conclude.

Shri Ranga: Yes, but let me complete my point.

Rajaji, when he was Chief Minister of Madras, took the trouble of having all the odium of sending,when satyagraha was offered aaginst the compulsory teaching of Hindithousands of people to jail, and he quarrelled with one of his best friends, the great Naicker, Periyar Ramaswami Naicker as he was called. They were all put in jail. Then, he learnt his lesson, his bitter lesson that it would not do to impose Hindi on any people when they did not want it and that too in a compulsory manner. It was as a result of that experience that ever since he has been say-ing, let us wait, and let us be patient with these non-Hindi-speaking people until they are ready to accept Hindi, in the meanwhile, let us propagate Hindi. That is where I agree with the criticism made yesterday by my hon. friend Shri Dasappa,-and he has been making it for years and years, and yet the Education Minister did not take heed,-that this Government of India have not done enough. That is where I do not agree with the Prime

[Shri Ranga].

12177

Minister when he quarrels with us when we say that the Government of India have not done enough. This Government of India has not done enough to propagate Hindi in the south and to see that Hindi is popularised in our schools and in our universities. I have been wondering also why it is that in the non-Hindi-speaking areas, at least in the universities and in the high schools. Hindi has not been taught, and special encouragement has not been given for the teaching of Hindi.

Therefore, I would submit that we are anxious to learn Hindi. But, for God's sake, let all these friends of ours who come from the Hindi-speaking areas have that much of statemanship and that much of forbearance and that much of patriotism as to have patience and a sense of understanding towards the non-Hindi-speaking people when they say that they are willing to learn Hindi, but English is there with them and they want to go on with English until they are in a position to accept Hindi as the official language. When are we to do it? And how are we to decide on that matter? There also, I join issue with my hon, friend the Home Minister. We are anxious, and we have always understood when the Prime Minister gave that assurance, that our State Legislatures would be asked to express their views as to when they are ready to give up English and accept only Hindi as the official language. Instead of that, he says, you are here anyhow, you are expected to look at all these probles only from a national point of view, and, therefore, you are the best and most competent people, you alone should be given the privilege and the liberty as well as the duty and the responsibility of deciding when Hindi alone should be accepted and English should be given up. That is a very unsatisfactory state of things. We are anxious that not only the State Governments but also State legislatures concerned in this

matter should be given an opportunity of saying either by two-thirds or three fourths or whatever majority they decide upon, when the time comes when English could be given up.

I want also to give an assurance to my friends in the north. I claim to know a part of the mind of the non-Hindi speaking people; no one claim to know the whole of the mind. They are just as keen as other friends not to depend up on English alone, as we are depending upon English. We do not want to give up English for very good reasons which were given by the Prime Minister. We cherish English and are very glad indeed that we have learnt English. At the same time, we would like to depend primarily on Hindi as official language in good time, as soon as we possibly can. How soon it would be is the question. It may not be in my life time. It may be after two generations of our students have been able to learn Hindi and begun to operate through Hindi. When they grow into the proper age for political and effective national work, that is, 40 years or so, when those young people have been able to operate through Hindi effectively, then it would be time for that. I am sure they would be only too glad to switch over to Hindi and embrace the other friends from the Hindi-speaking areas.

But, if on the other hand, these friends continue to be as emotionally surcharged as they seem to be and they go on irritating themselves and irritating us because of their impatience, the calamity that is likely to overtake our country is something that I do not wish to visualise, not to think of putting into words.

Shri Radheylal Vyas: As I was listening to Prof. Ranga, I was really surprised to hear some of the remarks made by him, especially the interpretation that he gave to the advertised statement of the Prime Minister. In that advertisement which he read out the word 'will' has been used, and not 'shall' He has been a prefessor in

a college or University. I would humbly tell him that 'will' denotes future tense, nothing else; 'shall' has a greater significance. The Prime Minister has not used the word 'shall' in that advertised statement.

Then he referred to the assurance that he will not take away English unless he asked to do so by the non-Hindi speaking people. What does it mean? It means English will not be removed altogether, but that does not mean that no restrictions can be put on the use of English has been provided for in the Constitution. The Prime Minister is a most responsible person. He knows his responsibility. He had been a party to the framing of the Constitution and he has taken place under it. So he cannot be expected to give an assurance contrary to the spirit and the letter of the Constitution.

regard to the words With and 'shall', I was really surprised to hear some of the speeches made yesterday and today also. The hon. Minister has amply clarified in his speech yesterday that the appropriate word would be 'may' and its substitution by 'shall' would create so many difficul-Should we not examine that? Is it not a fact that really there will be difficulties? Ir 'shall' is incorporated, we will be going against the Constitution in some respect. I will cite one or two instances. Suppose Government decide that some of their correspondence or the language of agreements or treaties entered into with foreign countries should be in Hindi. I do not think any of my friends in the non-Hindi speaking areas will have any objection to it. Is it not derogatory to us that even now after 15 years of independence we should use a foreign language and not our own language? When the Russian leaders, Khrushchev and Bulganin came here, came here, that visit opened our eyes. We were really ashamed to see that we are still using English and we cannot use our own language in our relations or correspondence and communications with foreign countries. So if Government were to decide that only Hindi will be used or any other national language will be used, does it mean that 'shall' should be there and along with our own language the English language should also be used? That is not the spirit of the Constitution. So I submit that 'shall' is not the appropriate word but 'may' is and it should be there.

Now I come to my amendment. have suggested that after the word 'day' on page 2, line 3, the without prejudice to the other provisions of the Constitution in this regard. should be inserted. It is an innocent and harmless amendment. I do not think the hon. Minister can have any objection to it except on the ground that if the Constitution is there, nobody is going to go against it. That can be the only argument. I submit the Constitution has been there, was there and is there. But may I ask humbly whether we have followed it up and whether we have abided by the obligations and duties implicit in the special provisions made with regard to the use of the official language? I think we have miserably failed in that respect. It is with this object that while passing this clause 3, we should bear in mind that clauses 3 and 4 do not override the provisions of the Constitution. Therefore, there is no harm in accepting this amendment to this clause.

As I submitted, the provisions of the Constitution have not been followed. I was really surprised yesterday to hear the speech of Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya, for whom I have very great regard and esteem. Before coming to that, let me congratulate my friends from the non-Hindi speaking areas on the great restraint they have shown and on the support they have given to this Bill, barring of course, a section behind me....

An Hon. Member: They are not here.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: They are not here, but their seat is there. As I said, there has been general support. Leaving a side the speakers from the [Shri Radhelal Vyas]

Official

Hindi-speaking areas, I am particularly grateful to friends from Bengal-Shri H. N. Mukerjee supported the use of Hindi as the official languagewho have supported the substitution of English by Hindi as the official language, the friends from Maharashtra-Dr. Aney vehemently supported the proposition that there should be one language for the country- our friends from Gujarat and so on. Unfortunately for us, those who come from the Hindi-speaking areas, language happens to be Hindi, but that does not mean that Hindi is a regional language. It is the language of the country. It is not spoken only by 40 per cent of the people, as stated, 40 per cent of the people live in the four northern States of UP, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan and Bihar. But there are people in Punjab, a majority of whom speak Hindi; there are people in Himachal Pradesh, in Kashmir in Delhi and other States who Hindi. That population has not been taken into account in this calculation. Take the whole of the States of Gujarat, Bengal, Maharashtra, Assam or Orissa. If you go even to the remotest corner or village, every person will be able to understand he may not be able to express himself well in Hindi.

Mr. Speaker: He should be brief.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: I shall try. I did not get an opportunity to speak on the motion for general consideration.

My name was on the list, and I personally approached yau through a let-

Mr. Speaker: Therefore, he must have been convineced that approaches do not have any effect.

13.20 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER in th Chair]

Shri Radhelal Vyas: So I was submitting that barring four States in the South, whose population is 11 crores, 40 percent people in the indi-Speaking areas plus 35 per cent people in the non-Hindi-speaking areas can very well understand Hindi. Even in the four southern States, there are sufficient number of people who can understand Hindi. As you know, in Travancore as far back as 1940 learning of Hindi was compulsory, even before independence. Shri Vesudevan Nair, who comes from Kerala, told me. In other States also, the learning of Hindi has been going on for years together in the South. So, a sufficient section of the people knows Hind; even in the South. So, it is because 80 per cent of the people know Hindi that it has been declared the official language, and not because the people from the Hindi-speaking areas have been espousing or propagating the cause. There should be no such misunderstanding. It is because the nation has decided it should be the official language. It is really derogatory on our part to continue to use English for ever.

So, I would submit that should not be accepted. The amendment that I have moved may be accepted by the Lon. Minister as there is no harm, as it does not go contrary to the spiri, or the declared policy of the Government.

भी काशी राम गप्त : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय एक कहावत है कि सोते हये को तो जगा दिया जाये, लेकिन जागते हुये को कोई नहीं जगा सकता । जागते हभीं को जगाने के लिये मैंने यह अमेंडमेंट रखा है कि पेज २, माइन ४ पर शब्द "हिन्दो" के बाद ये शब्द बढ़ा दिये जाये: "फार ए पीरियड ग्राफ टेन यीमर्ज". भीर इस प्रकार मंग्रेजी के प्रयोग की भवधि दस वर्ष निश्चित कर दी जाये । इन शब्दों को जोडने की जरूरत दो कारणों से है। पहला यह कि इस क्लाज का संबंध भगली क्लाज से है भीर भगर इन शब्दों की नहीं जोड़ा जायेगा, तो भगली क्लाज से इस

2183

का संबंध नहीं जुड़ेगा ग्रीर दूसरा यह कि इन शब्दों को जोड़े बगैर हमारा यह मंशा पूरा नहीं होगा कि सरकार पर यह दबाव डाला जाये कि वह इस संबंध में तेजी से काम करे।

मैंने बहत स्पष्ट तरीके से देखा है कि ही । एम । के । के साथियों का मंशा केवल यह है कि हिन्दी के मामले को लेकर एक फसाद पैदा किया जाये। वे तो ईमानदारी से यह नहीं चाहते हैं कि भारतवर्ष एक रहे श्रीर उनका मंशा यह है कि भारतवर्ष का विभाजन हो जाये। मैं ने बहत नजदीक से उनको देखा है और उनसे बातचीत की है। मैंने उन से सीधा प्रश्न किया कि अगर वे समझते हैं कि हिन्दी न रहे, तो कौन सी भाषा रहे । उनका कहना है कि ग्रंग्रेजी रहे । जब मैंने उनसे कहा कि अंग्रेजी सब की जबान नहीं है और वह एक फारेन लैंग्वेज है, तो उन्होंने कहा कि तब इस देश की चौदह पन्द्रह सब भाषायें रहें। इससे साफ मालम होता है कि उनका मंशा केवल यह है कि यह मसला किसी भी प्रकार से हल न हो और इस भाघार पर वे श्रपना राजनीतिक सीधा करते रहें। मुझे यह देख कर बहत मारचर्य हमा कि माननीय सदस्य, श्री फैंक एन्यनी, जो बहुत तजुर्बेकार हैं, इन दिनों उनके साथ बैठ कर बार बार यह चर्चा करते रहे कि ग्रंग्रेजी को कैसे ग्रागे बढ़ाया जाये। मैं समझ नहीं पाया कि क्या वह हिन्दुस्तान के विभाजन की नीति का भी समर्थन करते हैं भीर क्या उनका मंशा यह है कि उन के जरिये से मंत्रेजी हमेशा के लिये हम पर थोपी बाती रहे।

इस समय यह स्थिति बन गई है कि जैसे पहले जमाने में विभाजन से पहले कांग्रेस हिन्दुग्रों की संस्था कहलाती थी भौर मुस्लिम लीग मुसलमानों की, उसी तरह माज जब हिन्दी स्पीकिंग एरियाज का कोई भादमी यह कहे कि संविधान का पालन करो भीर यह शिकायत करे कि यह

सरकार संविधान का पालन करने में ग्रसमर्थ रही है, फेल हुई है, उत्तीर्ण नहीं हुई है, तो उसका मंशा यह लगाया जाता है कि हिन्दी स्पीकिंग एरियाज वाले श्रपनी बात को दूसरों पर थोपना चाहते हैं। इससे अधिक शोचनीय बात कोई नहीं हो सकती है। कोई हिन्दी-स्पीकिंग एरिया वाला हिन्दी को थोपने की बात कैसे कर सकता है ? भ्राज हिन्दी स्पीकिंग एरियाज के लोग केवल यह कर सकते हैं कि वे संविधान के पालन के लिये जी जान से जुट जायें और सरकार को मजबुर कर दें कि वह तेजी से संविधान की व्यवस्थाओं को श्रमल में लाये।

म्राज हम देखते हैं कि लोग बार बार हिन्दी हिन्दी स्पीकिंग एरियाज वालों को उपदेश देते हैं, गृह मंत्री भी हमें उपदेश देते हैं, जैसे हम ने कोई बहत बड़ा पाप किया है। ग्रगर किसी ने पाप किया है, तो संविधान बनाने वालों ने किया होगा, जिन्होंने हिंग्दी को संविधान में रखा। जिन्होंने संविधान को बनाया, उन्हीं की आज हकमत भी है भौर ग्रविकतर वही लोग ग्राज सरकार में बैठे हुये हैं। भ्रगर वे भ्रपने पाप को छिपाने के लिये नान-हिन्दी-स्पीकिंग एरियाज की ग्राड लेकर चलते हैं, तो इससे ग्रधिक शर्म की कोई बात नहीं हो सकती है।

मैं तो यह कहने के लिये तैयार हं कि धगर नान-हिन्दी-स्पीकिंग एरियाज में हिम्मत है, तो वे इकट्टे हो कर एक कांफ्रेंस करें ग्रौर यहतय करें कि वे किसी एक जुबान को स्वीकार करने के लिये तैयार हैं। हम संविधान में दो जबानें रखने के लिये तैयार हैं, इस देश में दो जुबानें चलाने के लिये तैयार हैं॥ नान-हिन्दी-स्पीकिंग एरियाज में जितने भी; प्रदेश हैं, उन के नेता इकट्टे होकर इस बारे में फैसला करें। लेकिन ग्रगर वे फैसला कर सकते, तो यह स्थिति पैदा न होती । संविधा न के बनाने के समय भी ये सब बातें सामने माई थीं।

[श्री काशी राम गुप्त]

डी ० एम ० के ० का तरीका तो दूसरा है, लेकिन बाकी साथी दबी जबान से यह कहते हैं कि हम हिन्दी चाहते हैं, किन्तू जब लिमिट, श्रवधि, बांधने का सवाल श्राता है. तो वे क्यों घबराते हैं ? बिना अविध बांधे काम नहीं चलेगा। भ्रगर सरकार पर भ्रवाध बांधने का डंडा नहीं रहेगा, तो जब प्रविध बांघने पर भी यह दशा हो गई, तो भ्रविध के वगैर तो दशा ग्रीर भी बिगडेगी। इस बारे में मैं इस समय ग्रधिक नहीं कहंगा, क्योंकि भ्रगली भ्रमेंडमेंट्स पर विस्तार से यह कहने का मौका मिलेगा कि किस प्रकार हिन्दी बढे श्रीर किस प्रकार से उसका काम हो । इस वक्त मैं केवल यह निवेदन करूंगा कि अगर दस साल की अवधि की बात इस क्लाज में न जोडी जायगी तो न वह अगली क्लाज से जड़ेगी भीर न हमारा यह मंशा परा होगा कि सरकार पर दवाब डाला जाये कि वह तेजी से काम करे।

इसलिये मेरा निवेदन है कि गृह मंत्री कृपा कर के इस बात पर ध्यान दें और हिन्दा स्पाकिंग एरियाज बालों को उपदेश न देकर नान-हिन्दो-स्पाकिंग एरियाज वालों के साथ अवधि के बारे में चर्चा करें कि वे कितनो अवधि रखने के लिये तैयार हैं, उनको क्या स्कावटें और कठिनाइयां हैं और उनको देखकर अवधि को व्यवस्था इस क्लाज में रखें।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri Prabhat Kar.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know whether today also the speakers are going to be called according to the list that is with the Speaker, whether only those who have moved amendments will be allowed to speak I want to speak on this amendment.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: But let those who have tabled amendments speak first. भी बागड़ी : मेरी भी एक ग्रमेंडमेंट हैं।

भी भक्त वर्शन (गढ़वाल): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि बहुत से सदस्य पहले नहीं बोल पाये हैं। इसिलये प्रगर उनकी कोई प्रमेंडमेंट्स नहीं भं हैं, तो विशेष परिस्थित में—क्योंकि यह बहुत महत्वपूर्ण कलौज है—उनको भी बोलने की इजाजत दी जाये।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We have taken nearly 16 hours on the general discussion.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It has been extended for tomorow, He has agreed to it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I would request the hon. Member to limit it to five minutes.

Shri A. C. Guha (Barasat): Will only those who have given amendments be allowed to speak on this clause?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I am giving chances to others also.

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakar: Those who are opposing this amendment should be given time.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Five minutes each.

Shri Prabhat Kar (Hooghly): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, so far as our contention is concerned, as a party, we made our position clear during the speeches made by the Deputy Leader of our Group, Shri H. N. Mukerjee and also by Shri Vasudevan Nair. We do not agree either with Shri Frank Anthony's contention or with the ocntention of the leader of the Swatantra party that English should continue for all time to come. We say that it should be replaced by an Indian language. and that language should be Hindi. Having agreed to that, we have also moved an amendment which is almost the same as amendment No. 36. My amendment is No. 57.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You are speaking on 62?

Shri Prabhat Kar: I am speaking on amendment No. 57 which is to the effect that "may" shall be substituted by "shall" in clause 3. It has been moved.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes; 57 is the same as the amendment of Shri Frank Anthony.

Shri Prabhat Kar: In spite of the step that we have taken, we have moved the amendment that "may" shall be substituted by "shall." During the last three days we have had discussion, and barring perhaps very few Members,-at least as fart as I know, all of us came to the conclusion, and there was almost a unanimous view, that the question of the continuance of English does not arise, and that Hindi should replace English as early as possible. In spite of that, we wanted that the word "may" should be changed into "shall". We have seen since the last three days how legal quibbles have taken place to the effect that "may" may mean "may not", "may" may mean "shall' and that "shall" may also mean "may." In view of this, and also due to the insistence on the part of the Government and also on the part of some other Members that "may" should not be changed into "shall", there is a genuine apprehension in the minds of the non-Hindi speaking people that today the Government wants to foist Hindi on them. We want that this apprehension should be removed. It should be made quite clear that the Government or the Parliament is not imposing Hindi against the will of the non-Hindi speaking people.

I would also draw your attention to the provisions of the Constitution Article 343 (1) says that the official language of the Union shall be Hindi in Devanagari script. Clause 343 (3) says as follows;

"Notwithstanding anything in this article, Parliament may by law provide for the use, after the said period of fifteen years,..." So, it is within the discretion of Parliament to extend the period; the power is vested in Parliament. We are extending the period now. We have seen that there was opposition to the introduction of the Bill by a section of the people. Not only that. Yesterday, there was some type of amendement moved by Shri Frank Anthony and Shri Ram Sewak Yadav who wanted that the Bill should not be introduced, and Shri Frank Anthony wants the continuance of English.

The main point is, what we are deciding now. We are saying that English language may continue. Who will decide again whether it will continue or not? Under these circumstances, when we are thinking in terms of passing statutes in Hindi, knowing fully well the difficulty of the Hindi language as it stands today, and the flexibility of the English language and the way the laws and the Constitution are interpreted - the lawyer Members will know how these things are or can be interpreted and misinterpreted—at this particular time. we still give scope for such misinterpretations. Not only that. This will create a feeling in the minds of the non-Hindi speaking people that we are today trying to foist Hindi against the will of the non-Hindi speaking population. I do not agree with Shri Frank Anthony that the Home Minister was speaking completely contrary to what the Prime Minister said. As I understand, both of them wanted that English should continue. I think that, from what the committee has done and also from other things, the status of English also as the official language will remain the same as it was. That was the assurance that was given and that is exactly what I understand from the speeches. If that is so, what is the harm in clearing the doubt and allaying the apprehension and fear in the minds of the non-Hindi speaking people, and making it categorical? That is what I want, without going into other questions. We want the integration of the country so that there could be no misunderstanding on the part of any one section.

[Shri Prabhat Kar].

12189

For exemple, I come from Bengal, a non-Hindi speakin garea. I can speak fluent Hindi. Our education has been in the foreign language all these years. Now we want that it should be in an Indian language and that it should be the Hindi language. But then the process should not be hurried through, and there should not be any feeling in the minds of the non-Hindi speaking people that they are being forced to learn Hindi. Let there be a natural flow; let the learning of Hindi by the non-Hindi speaking people continue and have a natural flow.

Further, it appears that there is an apprehension among certain sections that hence forward, unless a person knows Hindi perfectly, his chances of entering the all-India services will be affected. Are we not to clear up these apprehensions. Are we not to place before this country our stand that, as at present, English will continue in the same position as it was till again we discuss and finalise the thing? That is why, in spite of our having categorically made the statement, I commend this amendment. I would request the hon. Home Minister to realise that the refusal of the Government to accept this amendment will create further complications of the non-Hindi-speaking people to the effect that the Hindiknowing friends are not agreeing to accommodate on this particular point, and that they are trying to foist Hindi against the will of the non-Hindi speaking people. That is why I appeal to the hon. Home Minister to look at it from this angle and accept this amendment,

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri Balmiki. Shri Bade rose-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have called Shri Balmiki,

Shri Bade: I have got an amendment. Mr Deputy-Speaker: Shri Balmiki.

श्री बाल्मीकी : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरी दो अमेंडमेंटस हैं १४७ और १४६। हिन्दी या ग्रंग्रेजी के बारे में राज भाषा के रूप में श्रगर देश में व्यापक मत लिया जाये. तो मैं समझता हं कि देश का व्यापक मत हिन्दी के पक्ष में स्रायेगा। हिन्दी भाषी तो इसके पक्ष में मत देंगे ही लेकिन जो ग्रहिन्दो भाषी हैं, वे भी अधिकतर इसके पक्ष में मत देंगे। यहां सदन में ग्रनेक ग्रहिन्दं। भाषी सदस्यों ने हिन्दी के पक्ष का समर्थन किया है।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, संविधान निर्मात्री परिषद ने हिन्दी को तथा दूसरी जो प्रादेशिक भाषायें हैं, उनको राष्ट्र भाषा का जो स्थान प्रदान किया है, वह स्थान उनको प्राप्त नहीं हो रहा है, वह मैं समझता हूं कि एक प्रकार से संविधान की आत्मा का उल्लंघन है, आत्मा का हनन है। श्रभी जो विधेयक हमारे सामने है उसमें चूंकि किसो भी प्रकार की अवधि निर्धारित नहीं है, इसलिये उसका साधा सा श्रर्थ यह निकलता है कि श्रंग्रेजी को स्थायी स्थान दिया जा रहा है, उसको स्थायित्व प्रदान किया जा रहा है। यह कहा जाता है कि हिन्दी स्रौर संग्रेजी के बीच यह एक समझौता है ग्रीर दोनों को समान स्थान इस में प्राप्त होगा। इससे मैं सहमत नहीं हं। यदि जिस प्रकार का यह विधेयक है, इसको इसी। प्रकार से, इसी शक्ल में पारित किया जाता है ग्रीर ग्रंग्रेजी को बिना ग्रविध निर्धारित किये रखा जाता है तो अंग्रेजी को जो प्रमुख स्थान इस समय प्राप्त है, वह उसको श्रागे भी प्राप्त रहेगा ग्रौर प्रमुख स्थान हिन्दी को नहीं मिल सकेगा।

न्नाप देखें कि हमारे राष्ट्र में हिन्दी का क्या स्थान है। वह बहुमत का भाषा ही नहीं है बल्कि जिस तरह से दूसरी भाषायें बंगाली, मराठा स्रादि संस्कृत से निकली हैं, उसी तरह से हिन्दी भी संस्कृत से निकली है। हिन्दी का संस्कृत से निकली ग्रन्य भाषाग्री के साथ भगिनी का नाता है। जहां तक लिपि का संबंध है, हिन्दी श्रीर संस्कृत की लिपि

(देवनागरी) समान है श्रीर इस नाते दूसरी भाषात्रों में भी उसका समावेश हो जाता है। हिन्दी में संस्कृत गर्भित शब्द होने से ' उसको एक विशेष स्थान प्राप्त हो जाता है। लेकिन भ्राज यह कहना कि हमारे राष्ट्र हित में श्रौर भावात्मक एकता को रखने के लिये अंग्रेजी का एक विशेष स्थान हो सकता है, मैं इस विचार से सहमत नहीं हो सकता क्योंकि मैं जानता हूं कि किसी भी देश के अन्दर एकता को देखते हुये, देश की शक्ति को देखते हुये, देश के अन्दर समान विचार तथा स्रात्म गौरव को देखते हुये वही भाषा उपयुक्त हो सकती है जो ब्रिधिकतर लोगों के द्वारा बोली जाती है और समझी जाती है तथा वह स्थान ग्राज हिन्दी को ही प्राप्त हो सकता है। मश्किल यह है कि २ फी सदी श्रंग्रेजी बोलने वालों के हित की रक्षा की जाती है और ६८ फी सदी जो भारतीय भाषात्रों के बोलने वाले हैं ग्रौर जिन के द्वारा हिन्दी अधिकतर समझी जाती है उन के हितों की उपेक्षा की जाती है। मैं यह कहने के लिये तैयार हं कि प्रादेशिक भाषास्रों का अपना एक स्थान है, उनका एक अपना साहित्य है, उनकी एक उच्चता है लेकिन हिन्दी का भी अपना एक साहित्य है, उसकी एक उच्चता है। साथ हो मैं यह भी कहने के लिये तैयार हं कि प्रादेशिक भाषा तो एक प्रदेश के अन्दर सीमित रह जाती है, वह कुछ ही दूर तक जाती है, लेकिन जहां तक हिन्दी का संबंध है, वह सारे देश के अन्दर व्यापक रूप से समझी जाती है। इस प्रकार हिन्दी में हो राष्ट्र भाषा बनने की सारी योग्यतायें हैं।

प्रोफेसर रंगा जब बोल रहे थे उनकी एक बात सून कर मुझे बड़ा ताज्जुब हुन्ना। उन्होंने एक धर्मान्धता व एक संक्चित मनो-वृत्ति की बात यहां कही कि देश के अन्दर उत्तर भारत के लोग एक तरह से सोचते हैं श्रीर दक्षिण भारत के लोग ग्रन्य तरह से सोचते हैं। मैं तो कहने के लिये तैयार हं कि सारा देश आज एक है, वह आज एक इकाई के

रुप में है, सारे देश के अन्दर आज भावात्मक एकता है भ्रीर सारा देश एक तरह से सोचता है। जिस तरह से ग्राज सारा देश एक तरह से हिमालय के लिये सोचता है, उसो तरह से एक एक नदी के बारे में, चाहे वह गंगा हो या गोदावरी, जो कि दक्षिण की गंगा है, समान, प्यार, समान सद्भावना ग्राँर समान **ब्रादर सारे** देश के ब्रन्दर है। उत्तर भारत में श्रवतार अवतरित हुये हैं श्रीर दक्षिण भारत से श्राचार्य श्राये हैं, लेकिन श्राचार्यों श्रार श्रवतारों में एक प्रकार से श्रौर समान प्रेम है। भक्ति का भाव दक्षिण से भ्राया है। हमारे ग्रन्दर उन ग्राचार्यो उन महापूरुषों के लिये, विशेष रूप से जो शंकराचार्य हये हैं, उनके लिये पूरा भ्रादर है, जो हमारे दक्षिण के तीर्थ स्थान हैं, उनके लिये हमारे हृदय में विशेष ग्रादर है।

Shri Maurya: He established caste system.

श्री बाल्मीकी : अब उस कास्ट सिस्टम को भूलना हो चाहिये। किन्तु उसकी तरह से यह ग्रंग्रेजी मोलने का मोह भी कास्ट सिस्टम बन कर रह जाता है क्योंकि उसका एक ग्रलग एटिकेट ग्रीर लहजा है।

मेरे कहने का ग्रर्थ यह है कि एक ग्रवधि निर्धारित होनी चाहिये कि कब तक ग्रंग्रेजी श्रंग्रेजी चलेगी। जब तक श्राप वह श्रविध निर्धारित नहीं करते तब तक हिन्दी को उचित स्थान नहीं मिल सकता है। एक श्रविध निर्धारित कर देना है ग्रौर साथ में यह भी तय कर देना है कि इस ग्रवधि के ग्रन्दर, जिसको मैंने १६८० तक रक्खा है, अंग्रेजी को हटाना पड़ेगा। हिन्दी का जो विशेष स्थान है वह उस ग्रवधि के बाद उस को मिलना चाहिये। यदि उसको उचित स्थान नहीं दिया जाता तो वह हमारे हित में नहीं होगा । मैं यहां पर "मे" स्रौर "शेल" के झगड़े में नहीं पढ़ना चाहता। मैं यह जानता हूं कि "शेल" जो है वह जरूरी नहीं है, "मे" जरूरी

[श्री बाल्मीकी]

है, लेकिन हिन्दी के हित के लिये ग्रविध देना ग्रावश्यक है ।

जो मेरा दूसरा श्रमेंडमेंट है वह यह है कि सरकार की श्रोर से विशेषकर हिन्दी का जो अपना स्थान है, सार्वधानिक स्थान है, उसके प्रति उदासीनता रही है, उसमें ढील रही है श्रोर अब भी वह ढील चल रही है। मेरा ऐसा विश्वास है कि श्रागे वह ढील नहीं चलेगी। गृह मंत्री जी से मेरा श्राग्रह है, विशेष रूप से कि जो दूसरा मेरा संशोधन है, उस पर वे विचार करें श्रौर स्वीकार करें। उस संशोधन के संबंध में मैं एक मिनट में निवेदन करना चाहूंगा। वह संशोधन यह है:

"For the vigorous propagation, development and growth of Hindi in Hindi-speaking areas in general and non-Hindi-speaking areas in particular a definite and well-planned scheme shall be drawn out acted upon to replace English before 1980".

इस प्रकार से मेरी अर्ज यह है कि हिन्दी के प्रचार तथा प्रसार के लिये सरकार को विशेष- कर एक ऐसी व्यापक स्कीम बनानी चाहिये और इस विचार को पक्के ढंग से लेकर बनानी चाहिये कि इस अविध के अन्त तक अंग्रेंजी मिनिमाइज होती चली जायेगी, घटती चली जायेगी और हिन्दी को अपना उचित स्थान धीरे धीरे मिल जायेगा।

भैं तो समझता हूं कि हिन्दी ही नहीं, भारत की किसी भी भाषा को वह स्थान प्राप्त हो सकता है, लेकिन दूसरे देश की भाषा हमारे देश पर हमारी इच्छा के विरुद्ध नहीं लादी जा सकती। हमारी इच्छा है कि हमारे देश में हिन्दी को वह स्थान प्राप्त होना चाहिये और मुझे आशा है कि सरकार इस में अपना योगदान देगी।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं ग्राप की ग्राज्ञा से हो एक मुआब देना चाहता हूं। Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. The Hon. Member should resume his seat. Shri Bade.

श्री बास्मीकी: सरकारी क्षेत्रों में सरकारी काम काज में हिन्दी का अधिकाधिक प्रसार किया जाय लेकिन मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि जब तक आप प्रथम श्रेणी के कर्मचारियों और अधिकारियों को पूरे तरीके से बल लगा कर हिन्दी नहीं सिखायेंगे, तब तक उन के हृदय में हिन्दी के प्रति प्रेम पैदा नहीं करेंगे, तब तक हिन्दी का हित नहीं हो सकता है। केवल छोटे कर्मचारियों को ही हिन्दी सिखाने से कोई फायदा नहीं है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. He should finish now. I have called another Member.

श्री बाल्मीकी: एक मुझाव तो मैं यह देना चाहता हूं। दूसरा मुझाव यह देना चाहता हूं कि देश के गौरव तथा सम्मान को दृष्टि में रखते हुए हमारे राजदूत जो परिचय पत्र अपने साथ ले जाते हैं वे हिन्दी में जाने चाहियें, तीसरा मुझाव मेरा यह है कि जो हमारे व्यापारिक समझीते होते हैं, वे हिन्दी में होने चाहियें।

श्री बड़ें: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, प्रथम तो मैं श्राप से श्रौर हाउस से यह बतलाना चाहता हूं कि मेरी मातृ भाषा गराठी है। मैं मध्य प्रदेश में रहता हूं श्रौर खानदेश के बार्डर का रहने वाला हूं। मैंने कभी हिन्दी पढ़ी या सीखी नहीं हैं। लेकिन कोर्टम में जाने से हिन्दी सीख गया। वहां पर जो मेरे जैसी हिन्दी बोली जाती है वह मराठी श्रौर हिन्दी मिक्सड होती है। इस प्रकार से वहां के कोर्टस में होता है। लेकिन श्री फीक ऐन्थनी ने जो श्रमेंडमेंट रक्खा है "मे" श्रौर "शेल" के बारे में, मैं उस का विरोध करता हूं।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: काम बनाग्रो हिन्दी है।

श्री बड़े: उसका कारण यह है कि उन्होंने एक ग्रमेंडमेंट दिया है, ग्रौर रंगा साहब ने भी प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहब की तस्वीर के साथ ऐडवर्टाइजमेंट निकाला कि इंग्लिश कायम रहंगी । लेकिन मैं इस हाउस में कहना चाहता हं कि अगर प्राइम मिनिस्टर उाहब ने हाउस में कोई ऐक्योरेंस दिया है तो क्या वह हाउस के लिये बन्धनकारक होगा ? वह कोई मोगल बादशाहों का फरमान नहीं है या जिस तरह से पहले हमारे यहां एक श्री शंकर स्रार्डर निकलता था उस तरह का श्री शंकर आर्डर नहीं है, जिसकी मानना ही चाहिये कि अंग्रेजी रहनी चाहिये। श्री ऐन्थनी तो जबलपुर के रहने वाले हैं स्रौर कोर्ट में हिन्दी में काम करते हैं, कास एग्जामिनेशन हिन्दी में करते हैं स्रौर पक्षदार सारे जो स्राते हैं वे हिन्दी वाले ग्राते हैं। मेरी समझ में नहीं ग्राता कि क्यों उनका इस प्रकार का हठ है कि हिन्दी नहीं होनी चाहिये। मैं श्री एन्थनी साहब से कहना चाहता हं कि यदि प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहब ने अपना ब्राश्वासन छोड दिया तो जो ३४३ म्राटिकल है कॉस्टिट्युशन का कि :

"The official language of the Union shall be Hindi in Devanagari script."

भ्रौर जो युनैनिमसली पास हम्रा था, तथा उस पर श्री फैंक ऐन्थनी ने दस्तखत किया है. उसको वे कैसे भल गये। जव समय बदल जाता है, जब परिस्थित बदल जाती है, तब श्रादमी को भी बदलना पड़ता है। नीतियां जो हैं उन्में लिये ब्रादमी नहीं है, ब्रादिमयों भें लिये नीतियां हैं । खुद ऐन्थनी साहव कांस्टिट्युशन पर दस्तखत करने के १० या १५ साल बाद बदल जाते हैं स्रोर कहते हैं कि हिन्दू कम्युनलिज्म हो गया इस लिये वह ऐश्योरेंस बदल गया, मैं यह कहता हूं कि हिन्दू कम्युनलिज्म यह कैसे हो गया हिन्दी भाषा से ? यदि ऐन्थनी साहब यह कहते कि यहां जो उर्दू है वह होनी चाहिये तब भी मैं मान सकता

था कि वह भारतीय भाषा चाहते हैं। लेकिन वह उसको नहीं चाहते हैं। वह तो चाहते हैं कि यहां पर अंग्रेजी चले । मेरी समझ में यह नहीं स्नाता कि उनको संग्रेजी क्यों चाहिये। ध्रगर वह भारतीय भाषा कहते हैं तो उस को समझ सकता हं।

रंगासाहब के मन में बड़ा झगड़ाचल रहा है क्योंकि वे तो पार्टी को लेकर बैठे हुए हैं। स्वतंत्र पार्टी को हिन्दी एरिया में भी काम करना पडता है श्रीर दक्षिण भारत में भी काम करना पडता है, उनको डी० एम० क्षेः विरोध में खड़े होना पड़ता है, इस लिये उन्होंने कहा कि अंग्रेजी नहीं होनी चाहिये हिन्दी भाषा होनी चाहिये, लेकिन हिन्दी धीरे धीरे मागे वढनी चाहिये। पहले उन्होंने हिन्दी का काफी विरोध किया, लेकिन **प्राखी**र में कहाकि यहांपर हिन्दी को धीरे धीरे लाने की बात मानी जाय । उन्होंने एक कम्प्रोमाइज कर लिया। उनकी पार्टी में भी हो मत हैं। एक तो यशपाल सिंह जी बोले दूसरे एक मद्रासी सदस्य बोले उनके. शायद श्री रेड्डी साहब। दोनों बोले ग्रीर उनका आपस में झगड़ा हआ। अपनी ला मिनिस्टर साहब ने कहा कि "मे" के माने "शेल" भी हो सकता है भ्रीर "शेल" के माने "में" भी हो सकता है। तो क्या केवल शब्द वदल देने से माननीय सदस्यों का समाधान हो जायेगा? लेकिन उसके पीछे एक भावना है भ्रौर मैं उस भावना का विरोध करता हं। मैं चाहता हूं कि यहां पर राष्ट्र भाषा होनी चाहिये, ऐसी कोई बात रक्खी जाय । यहां की राष्ट्र भाषा हिन्दी होनी चाहिये। कोई साध कन्याकुमारी से काश्मीर तक चला जाये, ग्रगर वह हिन्दी जानता हो तो ग्रपना काम चला सकता है। जितने माननीय नदस्य हैं, चाहे वे बंगाल के हों, या मद्रास के हों, या गुजरात के हों, जब वे बाजार जाते हैं तो उनका सार व्यवहार हिन्दी में ही चलता है, श्रंग्रेजी में नहीं चलता। इसी लिये मैं चाहता हं कि हिन्दी ही राष्ट्र भाषा हो।

[श्रीबड़े]

एक सदस्य ने डर दिलाया कि अगर हिन्दी राष्ट भाषा हो जायेगी तो नार्थ श्रीर साउथ के दो हिस्से अलग अलग हो जायेंगे। देश का पार्टीशन हो जायेगा। मैं देश का पार्टी-शन नहीं चाहता । मैं इस संबंध में आपको एक उदाहरण देन। चाहता हं। एक बच्चे को दो स्त्रियां ग्रपना बच्चा बतलाती थीं श्रीर उसके लिये झगडती थीं । वे मजिस्टेट के सामने गयीं। तो मजिस्टेट ने कहा कि इस बच्चे के दोटुकड़े करके हर एक स्त्री को एक एक टुकड़ा देदो। इस पर जो झठी माता थी वह तो राजी हो गयी, पर जो सच्ची माता थी उसने म्रांखों में म्रांस भर कर कहा कि मेरा बच्चा जिन्दा रहे, मैं नहीं चाहती के इसके टकडे किये जायें। स्राप यह बच्चा उस दूसरी भौरत को ही दे दीजिये। वहीं जिन्दा रहे । तो यही मेरा उन लोगों से कहना है कि जो भाषा के प्रश्न पर देश का विभाजन करना चाहते हैं, वह झुढी माता के समान हैं। यदि वे चाहें तो किसी भी भारतीय भाषाको राष्ट्र भाषाबनालें, मैं उनके साथ हं, लेकिन शदं का विभाजन न करें। लेकिन विधान के मनसार हिन्दी ही राष्ट्र भाषा हो सकती है। इसीलिये मैंने कहा है कि इस देश में एक प्रधान, एक विधान, एक निशान और एक जवान रहेगी इसी लिये मेरा संशोधन है कि --

After 1965 it may be discontinued.

हमारे संविधान की धारा ३४३ में साफ लिखा है :

Official language of the Union shall be Hindi in Devnagri script.

लिकन मुझे यह देख कर ताज्जुब होता है कि दक्षिण के कुछ भाई अंग्रेजी को लाना चाहते हैं। मुझ को उनकी लाजिक समझ में नहीं आती। हम यह मान लें कि वे हिन्दी को नहीं चाहते, तो उसके स्थान पर कोई भारतीय भाषा लाने को कहें। वह बंगला, मराठी,

तमिल जो भी भाषा चाहें उसके लिये कहें तो समझ में भा सकता है। लेकिन यह कहना कि अंग्रेजी होनी चाहिये, इसमें कोई राष्ट्रीयता नहीं है। स्रोर सगर इस स्थिति में लोग उनकी देश भक्ति पर सन्देह करें तो उनको बरा न मानना चाहिये। श्री शंकराचार्य केरल के थे लेकिन हमने उनको माना ग्रीर उन्होंने चारों दिशास्रों में मठ स्थापित किये। नदियों के नाम हमारे सब संस्कृत में हैं। हमारे रंगा साहब कहते हैं कि हम रामेश्वरम को नहीं मामते। यह बात सही नहीं है। हम रामेश्वरम को उतना ही मानते हैं जैसा कि हरिद्वार को। इसी लिये मैंने कहा है कि सन् १६६५ के बाद श्रंग्रेजी को डिसकंटीन्य कर देना चाहिये । भ्रौर यह जो ''शैल'' भ्रौर "मे" का इतगड़ा है इसको भी समाप्त किया जाना चाहिये। हमारे संविधान में धारा ३४३ में साफ लिखा है।

Official language of the Union shall be Hindi in Devanagri script.

मैं प्रधान मंत्री जी से कहता हूं कि म्रगर वे मध्य प्रदेश में चुनाव लड़ने जायें तो उनको पता चले कि लोग हिन्दी को कितना पसन्द करते हैं। वह चाहते हैं कि हिन्दी जो कि एक बड़ी सरल भाषा है, हमारी राष्ट्र भाषा होनी चाहिये। इसलिये मैं चाहता हूं कि १६६४ के बाद से मंग्रेजी को समाप्त किया जाये।

हमारे ला मिनिस्टर साहब ने जो कहा है कि :

"shall" may be interpreted as "may" in this context and "may" can be interpreted as "shall".

मैं इसका विरोध करता हूं। "में" के स्थान पर "शैल" होना चाहिये और जैसा मैंने कहा है सन् १६६५ के बाद ग्रंग्रेजी को डिस्कंटीन्यू करना चाहिये, यही मेरी विनती है। श्रीं बागड़ी: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा स्रमंडमेंट नम्बर १४२ है। यह मेरा बदिकस्मती है कि इस समय न तो प्रधान मंत्री जी स्रीर न गृह मंत्री जी उपिन्थत है। स्राज में उन से दो चार वार्ते भाषा के बारे में सर्ज करना चाहता था।

सन् १९५० में जब हमारा विधान बना उसमें कुछ हैं। समय पहले गांधी जो देश से अन्तर्जान हुए थे। उस समय गांधी जो की आत्मा का कुछ असर था और कुछ गांधी जो के विचारों और प्रचार का असर था। इसलिए सन् १९५० में हमारे विधान में यह चीज रख दी गयो कि सन् १९६५ के बाद हिन्दुस्तान में अंग्रेजी राजभाषा नहीं रहेगी बल्क इस देश की राजभाषा हिन्दी होगी। अगर गांधी जी जिन्दा होते तो यह चाज उसी समय से लागू हो जाती। यही इसमें कमजोरी रही।

श्रीर १५ साल के असे में हमारो कांग्रेस सरकार ने क्या किया? मैं श्रापको मारफत अर्ज करता हूं कि अगर कोई गलूब सरकार होती, श्रपनी जबान को पाबन्द सरकार होती तो उसका यह धर्म और फर्ज था कि वह अपने बचन पर कायम रहती। लेकिन यह सरकार अपने बचन पर कायम नहीं रही; इसने हिन्दुस्तान की जनता को धोखा दिया और विधान के साथ विश्वासघात किया। किसी सरकार को नापने का यहां पैमाना होता है कि बह अपने बचन पर कायम रहे।

स्राज यह कहा जा रहा है सीर यह सालोचना हो रही है कि संग्रेजो रहे, संग्रेजी सागे बढ़े, हिन्दी से नहीं चलेगा, दो तरीके हो जायेंगे, तीन तरीके हो जायेंगे। इसका कारण क्या है? हमारी सरकार के नेता १५ साल तक राष्ट्रभाषा को बढ़ाने में नहीं रहे, वे १५ साल तक राष्ट्रभाषा को तोड़ने में रहे। स्त्रीर हिन्दी को हिन्दुस्तान की स्रन्य प्रान्तीय भाषाओं से लड़ाया और राष्ट्र भाषा को बदनाम किया और उस। का स्राज यह नतीजा है कि स्राज हमारे लोग हिन्दी के मुकाबले में एक विदेशा भाषा को हिमायत कर रहे हैं, वे लोग जिन्होंने देश के स्रन्दर स्रादर पाया है, जिन

लोगों ने देश की ग्राजादी की लड़ाई में हिस्सा लिया, जिन्होंने करबानियां कीं, जो लोग गांधी जी को सर्वोत्तम समझते थे और उनके वचनों को वेद वाक्य मानते थे. ग्राज वह लोग कहते हैं कि हमें हिन्दों, की परवाह नहीं, चाहे हिन्दी डब जाय लेकिन अंग्रेज़ं, न डबे । ऐसा लगता है जैसे कि चींचल बोल रहे हों और अंग्रेजों की नमायन्दगी कर रहे हों। इसका कारण क्या है? इसका कारण यह है कि पंजाब में हिन्दी और पंजाब, की लड़ाई चली. मराठी श्रौर गुजराती की लड़ाई चली। देश की अन्य भाषाओं से राष्ट भाषा को लडाया गया। जहां इस सरकार का यह फर्जथा कि राष्ट भाषा की तरक्की करती वहां इसने ऐसी परिस्थिति पैदा कर दं कि ब्राज उसका विरोध हो रहा है। इसके लिए सरकार मजरिम है। जहां झाज लोगों की यह मांग होनी चाहिए थी कि हिन्दी राष्ट्र भाषा हो वहां प्राज हिन्दो का विरोध हो रहा है भौर इस पवित्र सदन में खडे हो कर लोग कहते हैं कि भंग्रेज़ी रहे। यह कितनी लज्जा की बात है। ब्राज वह बंग्रेज जोकि सौ पचास साल इस देश में पूजा जा रहा है। ग्राज वे विदेशी लोग अपनी भाषा को यहां पूजवा रहे हैं। लेकिन हम लोग जो बापू का ब्रादर करते थे, हम ने यह नारा उठाया था कि हमको भ्रंग्रेजी को देश से हटाना है भी। उससे भी ज्यादा जरूरी यह है कि हम भंग्रेजी को देश से हटाएं। श्राज बाप चले गए। उनके नारे के लिए हमारे मासम बच्चों ने ग्रपने सीनों पर गोलियां खायीं, हमारी माताओं भीर बहिनों ने अपने मुहाग लटवाये भ्रोर उनका एक नारा पूरा हो गया, म्रंग्रेज यहां से चले गया । लेकिन जो दसरा नारा था कि भ्रंग्रेज से भी ज्यादा यह जरुरी है कि स्रंग्रेज़ी भाषा को हटाया जाए वह पूरा नहीं हम्रा । इसका सबसे बड़ा दोष इस सरकार को है जो कि बापू की नामलेवा सरकार है। इसके लिए मैं तो सब से ज्यादा प्रधान मंत्री को जिम्मेदार समझता हं, जो कभी दक्षिण में जाकर लोगों को एक वचन दे देते हैं, कभी उत्तर में कुछ वचन दे देते हैं। कभी

[श्री बागड़ी]

उरदू की बात करते हैं, कभी हिन्दी की बात करते हैं, कभी पंजाबों का बात करते हैं, कभी मराठों को बात करते हैं।हिन्दुस्तान को भाषाओं का सरकार की तरफ से तरकको नहीं को गयो। यह उनके साथ अन्याय हुआ। अन्य भाषा भाषियों को यह बताया कि तुम्हारी लड़ाई तो राष्ट्र भाषा से है। प्रान्तीय भाषाओं के दिलों में यह शक किसने पैदा किया? सरकार ने। इसके लिए सरकार जिम्मेवार है। सरकार का फर्ज था कि प्रान्तीय भाषाओं को उठाती और राष्ट्रभाषा को भी उठाती, तो प्रान्तीय भाषाओं में और राष्ट्रभाषा में संवर्ष न होता।

मेरा जो तरमांम है वह यह है कि अंग्रेजी ऐडोशनल भाषा होगा। राज भाषा हिन्दी रहेगो। मन् १६६४ से पूरे तौर से इस देश को राज भाषा हिन्दी हो। जायगो। लेकिन अगर कोई दक्षिण के भाई समझना चाहेंगे और अंग्रेजा में चाहेंगे तो सन् १६६४ के बाद उन दस्तावेजों का तर्जुमा अंग्रेजी में कर दिया जायेगा। वैसे इंडियन यूनयिन का पूरे तौर पर राज भाषा हिन्दा बन जायेगो।

14 hrs.

ग्राज ग्रभाग्यवश यह जो हिन्दा-ग्रंग्रेजों के सवाल परं उत्तर और दक्षिण का मसला खड़ा किया जाता है दरग्रसल यह चंज कुछ ग्रार है। दरग्रसल यह उत्तर और दक्षिण का झगड़ा नहीं हैं। दक्षिण के राजे, उत्तर के राजे और यह सब पूँजोपित लोग एक हो हैं ग्रीर उन सब को भाषा ग्रंग्रेजों है। हिन्दुस्तान के ग़रीब दिलत,दुखों किसान व मगदूर चाहे वह उत्तर में रहते हों या दक्षिण में रहते हों, वे ग्राज से नही बिल्क हजारों साल पहले से शोषित रहे हैं भौर शासक वर्ग द्वारा सदा उनका शोषण होता ग्राया है और ग्राज भी वहां किया जा रहा है। जनता की, शोषित वर्ग की भाषा, कभी भी

राज भाषा नहीं रही है स्रीर स्राज भी वही चीज देखने में आ रही है। जब इस देश पर हिन्दुओं का राज्य था तो संस्कृत यहां की राज-भाषा होती थी । यदि दलित व शोषित वर्ग वाले संस्कृत पढते थे तो उन के कानी में सीसा डाल दिया जाता था । जब इस देश में यवनों का राज्य ग्राया तो ग्ररबी व फारसी इस देश की राज भाषा बनी ग्रौर ग्राम जनना की भाषा उस गौरव से वंचित रही । जब धंग्रेजों का इस देश पर राज्य क़ायम हम्रा तो म्रंग्रेजी इस देश की राज भाषा बनी । जनता ग्रीर शोषित वर्ग की भाषा कभी राज भाषा नहीं वन पायी । हालांकि भ्रंग्रेज इस देश से चले गये. देश का शासन भारतवासियों के हाथ में ग्रा गयातो भी हम देखते हैं कि काले रंग वाले ग्रंग्रेजों के राज्य के ग्रन्दर ग्रभी भी ग्रंग्रेजी चल रही है। उससे शासक वर्ग चिपटे बैठा है। श्रंग्रेज़ी के मकाबले देश की जन भाषा श्राज भी नहीं बैठ पाती है।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, म्राज देश की जो दुर्दशा हो रही है उस से मझे तो मालम पडता है कि बापू ने जो शब्द कहें थे वे सच साबित हो सकते हैं। यह कांग्रेसी भाई, मझें तो ऐसा जान पड़ता है कि वह दिन नज़दीक़ लाने वाले हैं जिस दिन के लिए गांधी जी ने कहा था कि वह समय दूर नहीं जब इस देश की जनता इन गांधी टोपी पहनने वाले कांग्रेसियों को चन चन कर मारेगी । भ्राज शासक वर्ग भ्रीर जनता के बीच की खाई बजाय घटने के ग्रीर ग्रधिक गहरी होती जा रही है। भ्राज जहां देखिये भ्रंग्रेजी का बोलवाला दिखता है। श्रव प्रधान मंत्री जी क्यों न ग्रंग्रेजी की हिमायत करें। उनके दोहते ग्रंग्रेजी पढ रहे हैं ग्रीर उन की तालीम पर ५०० रुपये महीना खर्च होता है लेकिन गरीब हरिजनों के बच्चे कैसे भंग्रेजी पढ सकते हैं। राजा जी अंग्रेजी की वकालत करते नहीं थकते क्योंकि जिनकी ग्रौर से वे बोलते हैं, वे सब राजा महाराजा, नवाव श्रौर देश के पंजीपतियों के बच्चे अंग्रेजी ही तो पढ़ते हैं। इसी तरह श्री एन्थनी अंग्रेजी के लिए क्यों न कहें ? वह तो

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय: माननीय सदस्य का श्रमेंडमेंट श्रंग्रेजी में है।

श्री बागड़ी: मैं अपने अमेंडमैंट को हिन्दी में बतला रहा हूं और हिन्दी में ही भाषण दे रहा हूं.....

श्रीमती रेणुका राय (माल्दा): श्राप बंगला में बोलिये।

श्री बागड़ी: ठीक है धाप बंगला में बोलिये लेकिन धाप तो श्रंग्रेजी के लिए वसर कस कर बैठी हुई हैं।

श्रीमती रे**ए का राय**ः बंगाल में बंगला श्राफिशिएल लैंग्वेज हो गयी है

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय: माननीय सदस्य का समय समाप्त हो रहा है।

श्री बागड़ी: डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब, मैं केवल दो मिनट में श्रपनी बात समाप्त किये देता हूं।

में आप की मार्फत इस सदन की सेवा में निवेदन करना चाहता हं कि हिन्दुस्तान भ्राज घोर संकट के अन्दर है । हिन्द्स्तान की सरहदों पर खतरा है। इस भ्रवसर पर हिन्दुस्तान में एकता की बहत जरूरत है। हिन्दुस्तान को कोई एक नेहरू, एक लाल बहादुर शास्त्री या भ्रीर कोई एक व्यक्ति नहीं बचा सकता है। हिन्दस्तान को इस देश के ४४ करोड़ नर नारी ही बचा सकते हैं। वह तभी बचा सकते हैं जब भारत इकट्ठा हो । भारत इकट्ठा कब हो सकता है? जब भारत की कोई भ्रपनी एक भाषा हो। भाषा के बगैर भविष्य नहीं हो सकता। भ्राज हिन्दुस्तान की राष्ट्रभाषा के खिलाफ़ जो कहता है वह हिन्दुस्तान के भविष्य के खिलाफ़ जा रहा हैं भ्रीर हिन्द्स्तान की एकता के खिलाफ़ जा रहा है। माने वाला यग भौर ग्राने वाले गरीब लोग उनको नहीं बस्होंगे। चीन के मुकाबले में भारत की जो हार हई, उसके लिए जहां कई कारण हैं वहां एक कारण यह भी था कि अंग्रेजी पढे लिखे लोगों को

ऐसा कह कर भ्रपना कर्त्तब्य पूरा करते हैं। प्रधान मंत्री जी उनसे चाहते हैं वही वह कहते हैं । एन्थनी साहब पंडित नेहरू के बिल्कुल रूप हैं। उन्होंने उनको नौमिनेट किया है तो वह उसका बदला चका रहे हैं। दोनों एक ही रूप हैं। पंडित नेहरू जितना उनको कहते हैं वह यहां कहते हैं। ग्राज भाषा के नाम पर ही हिन्दस्तान की भोली भाली जनता को उकसाया जा रहा है श्रीर श्रापस में लडाया जा रहा है । आज अगर कोई देशवासी चाहे वह बंगाली हो. गजराती हो या अन्य प्रांत का, अगर वह अपनी प्रादेशिक भाषा के सवाल को उठाये तो मैं उसकी कद्र कर सकता हूं स्रीर मैं समझंगा कि वह श्रपने प्रांत का भक्त है श्रीर प्रांत की गरीब जनता का भक्त है। मैं पूछना चाहंगा कि म्राखिर दक्षिण में जो गरीव लोग बसते हैं. भीख मांगते फिरते हैं क्या वह श्रेशेजी जानते हैं ? कछ थोड़े से लोग ग्रपना स्वार्थ साधने के लिए शोषित लोगों को लटने वे लिए उन की कमाई पर डाका डालने के लिए अंग्रेज़ी का मवाल बलन्द करते हैं। जिस तरह अंग्रेज हिन्दुस्तान को गुलाम बनाये रखने के लिए श्रपनी भाषा का प्रचार करते थे उसी तरीके से हिन्दुस्तान के यह दो फीसदी लटेरे हिन्दुस्तान के लाखों, करोडों गरीबों की कमाई पर डाका डालने के लिए ग्रीर उनको हमेशा ग्रपने नीचे रखने के लिए श्रंग्रेजी का शासन चलाना चाहते हैं।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं अर्ज करूंगा कि अगर शासक वर्ग वाणी के बारे में भाषा के बारे में देश की नीति जानना चाहता है तो अभी उत्तर प्रदेश के अन्दर उपचुनाव हो रहे हैं, उनको भाषा के आधार पर लड़ कर देख लिया जाय कि जनता हिन्दी चाहती है या अंग्रेजी?

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya (Raiganj): That is what you want. You want to utilise it for the purpose of bye-elections in U.P. That is not the purpose.

श्री **बागड़ी**: यह विलायत में जाकर **बोलिये।**

[श्री बागडी]

जनरल और करनल बना कर भेजा हुआ था और वे लोग अंग्रेजी में गिटपिट करते थे और हमारे जवानों को ठीक तरह से उनके डाइरैक्शंस समझ में नहीं आते थे। वे बिल्कुल अंग्रेजियत में डूबे थे और कोट, पनलून और नैकटाई पहन कर छुरी, कांटे से खाते थे और फौजी जवानों से बिलकुल अलग अलग रहते थे, भाषा, खाना पीना और रहन-महन सब कुछ अलग था और अफ़सर और जवान में फंट पर जो एक बिलकुल नजदीक का सम्बन्ध और सहयोग होना चाहिए था उसका वहां सर्वथा अभाव था। इसलिए मैं चाहूंगा कि जो फौजी जवान ठीक हों, रेकाई अच्छा हो उनको भी जनरल और करनल बनाया जाये और यह तरककी सिर्फ अंग्रेजी दां लोगों के लिए ही न रहे।

म्राप इस मंग्रेज़ी को पीछे डालिये मौर हिन्दी जो कि इस देश की राष्ट्र भाषा और राज भाषा है उसको उसकी उपयक्त जगह पर बिठाइये । लेकिन बदकिस्मती की बात है कि माज ऐसा नहीं हो रहा है भौर भंग्रेजी से भभी तक चिपटे रहने की कोशिश हो रही है। अगर कोई व्यक्ति तेलग को राजभाषा बनाने के लिए बोले तो मैं उस को समझ सकता हं क्योंकि तेलग भारतवर्ष की एक प्रदेश की मातभाषा है लेकिन ऐसा न करके जब किन्हीं लोगों द्वारा अंग्रेजी के पक्ष की वकालत की जाती है तब मझे उन पर तरस ब्राता है। इस देश से बंबेज चले गये । भारत स्वाधीन हो गया तो यहां की भाषा भी कोई ग्रपनी देशी भाषा होनी चाहिए। विदेशी भाषा का क्या काम ? लेकिन पता नहीं क्या कारण है कि कुछ लोग पूराने संस्कारों के कारण श्रभी तक श्रंग्रेजों से प्यार श्रीर मोह करते हैं ?

अपनी राष्ट्रभाषा को अपनाने से इस देश का भविष्य बनेगा। आज इस देश के लाखों और करोड़ों गरीब लोग इस सरकार की ओर देख रहे हैं कि वह भाषा के सम्बन्ध में क्या नीति अपनाती है रिमुझे दुःख के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि प्रधान मंत्री बिना बात देश के

सामने उत्तर-दक्षिण की एकता टटने का हीव्या खडा कर रहे हैं। उनका तो यह तरीक़ा रहा है कि कभी एक को उक्सा दिया तो दूसरे को बिटा दिया । कभी उत्तर वालों को उक्सा दिया तो दक्षिण वालों को चप करा दिया तो कभी दक्षिण वालों को भड़का दिया श्रीर उत्तर वालों को दबा दिया। कभी स्वामी रामेञ्बरानन्द को उभार दिया तो कभी उनको दबा दिया । ग्राज स्वामी रामेश्वरानन्द या कोई भी व्यक्ति यदि इस देश में हिन्दी को राष्ट्रभाषा ग्रीर राज भाषा बनाने के लिए कहता है तो वह गलत नहीं कहता है। देश की एकता मजबत करने की बात कहता है भीर न्याय की ही बात कहता है। भगर हमारे प्रधान मंत्री भी राष्ट्रभाषा के खिलाफ़ कुछ कहते हैं तो वे देश के और राष्ट्र के खिलाफ कहते हैं भीर उसके साथ बेवफाई करते हैं। बस मैं एक चीज कह कर बैठ जाता हं :---

"हम तो समझे थे कि राहत से बसर हो जायगी,

क्या ख़बर बी कि वह हुकूमत्त दर्दे सिर हो जायगी।"

हमें क्या पता था कि यह प्रधान मंत्री जी भौर श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री हिन्दुस्तान की भाषा के लिए दर्दे सिर बन जामेंगे ?

Shri A. C. Guha: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, clause 3 is the main clause of the proposed Act. So, the scope of this clause covers practically the entire field of the B.H. Shri Frank Anthony has stated that this Bill is the result of some compromise, compromise between the assurance of the Prime Minister and the demand of Hindi enthusiasts. I do not think that is a correct appraisement of the Bill. Surely, it is the result of some compromise.

An Hon, Member: It is not a compromise. It is a concession,

Shri A. C. Guha: It is the result of some compromise between two contending sections of the Indian nation.

There is the Hindi element as also the non-Hindi element. There must be some compromise between the two elements, if India is to continue as a nation and if we are to maintain our integrity.

My hon, friend, Shri Ranga, recalled the case of the Muslim League demand and gave a sort of warning to us and to the Government. I do not like to go so far but, surely, we should take a lesson from what happened during those days. From that point of view, both sides should take this problem in a serious mood, in a mood of some compromise and accommodation.

If India had been a unilingual State, with only one language then, on the 16th August 1947 India would have taken to that language, discarding English language. There would have been much difficulty in finding proper auministrative words, there would have been great difficulties in putting down notes and other things by the officials, who had been trained in English who had been brought up in the English atmosphere and English administrative form but, all the same, in spite of all these difficulties, I am sure, India would have immediately taken to that one language, if India had been a one-language State.

But, fortunately or unfortunately, India is a multi-lingual State. That is why in the Constitution also compromise has been made. It had been admitted in the Constituent Assembly that no nation can, with any amount of self-respect, continue to use a foreign language as the official language. A nation must have a language of its own. What that language should be was the point for consideration and the consensus of opinion-I should say, the unanimous view-of the Constituent Assembly including that of Shri Frank Anthony was that Hindi in Devanagari script be the official language of India. But as a sort of a compromise and for the convenience of the administrative services as also for the convenience, and interest of the non-Hindi-speaking element it was provided in the Constitution that for 15 years English should continue to be the official language and continue to be used for all purposes for which it was used upto the 25th January, 1950.

According to clauses (1) and (2) of article 343 Hindi becomes effective official language from the 26th January, 1965. But there is another clause in article 343, namely, clause (3), which provides that Parliament may, be law, provide for the continuation of English for any specified purpose. This Bill is providing By this Bill the non-Hindispeaking element gots what is the most essential thing for it, that is, the administration of the country will be permitted to continue through the English language. The Hindi speaking element also, I think gets the essential thing for it, that is, according to the provisions of the Bill, Hindi will now become the first language.

In the proviso to clause (2) of article 343 it has been stated, namely,-

"Provided that the President may, during the said period, by order authorise the use of the Hundi language in addition to the English language".

But now that has been changed. Now, this Bill permits that—

"the English language may, as from the appointed day, continue to be used, in addition to Hindi".

Upto the 26th January 1965 English will occupy the first place for the purpose of the Union Government but from then on, at least technically, Hindi will occupy that position but English may be permitted to be used for any official purpose.

Much has been said about the terms "may" and "shall" during the last few days in this House. "May" and "shall" have been interpreted in so many ways that laymen like me would get confused about the proper

[Shri A. C. Guha].

use of these two words. I am not a lawyer, nor have I got any pretension of any education outside India. So, I cannot claim any good knowledge of English also. Still, I think, in this clause "may" may be more appropriate than "shall" which would require a time limit to be put.

Further, I do not know what we would gain. I belong to the non-Hindi-speaking element; that is why I say what we would gain by substituting "shall" for "may". This Bill should not be taken as an essay on English grammar. It should be taken as a political decument. The assurance given by the hon. Prime Minister and the assurance which is conveyed through this Bill is to be taken in the political background. If the Government or the Prime (Minister at any time decides to drop English. even "shall" may not prevent them from doing that.

This Bill is not a part of the Constitution. This is only a Bill. Shri Frank Anthony wants to provide in it that any change in the position of English should be made with threefourths majority of both the Houses, but even if that is provided in this Bill, this Act, after its enactment, can be modified by a simple majority of one man. By a simple one man majority this Act may be modified and all the provisions that Shri Frank Anthony wants to be incorporated can be changed. That would not require a three-fourths majority. "May" or "Shall" would not give him that security if the Government at any time makes up its mind to make a political decision that English should not be used. That is why my point is that this is a political document and I expect that no Government or no Prime Minister, in any foreseeable future, will have the foolhardiness to ignore the feelings of the non-Hindi-speaking section of the people because then that would not be the establishment of Hindi but the disintegration of India. The real spirit behind this Bill

is the real assurance of the hon. Prime Minister that Hindi can be introduced only with the willing consent of the non-Hindi-speaking element.

At the same time, coming from the non-Hindi-speaking element, I would not like nor would I feel it to be dignified for me or for any non-Hindispeaking person that he should have the power to veto the use of any Indian language as official language. Willing consent is one thing but to provide that three-fourths majority of all the legislatures or of the two Houses of Parliament would be necessary to change the position of English would be giving the power of veto to one-fourth of the whole nation or of the House. I think, that is against the spirit of the Constitution. The Constitution did not envisage any such provision that a Bill, except a Bill for amending the Constitution, can be passed only by a certain or only a three-fourths majority as in this case. I do not find there is any gain to be had by changing "may" into "shall", nor is there any justification.

But at the same time there is a genuine element of fear in the minds of the non-Hindi-speaking people. Though personally I do not feel that that is any gain to be achieved by us, if the Government may feel that to allay the fears of the non-Hindi-speaking element they may change the word "may" into "shall", I think, that will be a welcome step. My personal feeling, as I have said, is that we do not gain anything. This Bill can be changed any day by the Government if the Government makes a decision like that. But still considering the volume of feeling expressed in this House and at other places also, particularly when "may" and "shall" according to legal terminology do not make much difference in meaning, if the Government can do that, I would rather welcome that. Otherwise, I fully support the provision of clause 3 and, I think, it will be accepted. Government may only consider if they can accept "shall" in place of "may".

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, this clause which is one of the two pivotal clauses of the Bill has unfortunately attracted the hostility of two extreme sections of opinion in our country, sections whom I would not like to describe as fanatics, but may I describe them, with all respect, as linguistic totalitarians.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Enthusiasts.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I would prefer "linguistic totalitarians" or 'absolutists". I am sure the House would have agreed if the Government had deferred the introduction of this Bill till some time later in the year because at a time when China is busily, though secretly, preparing to mount another offensive on the Himalayan border and extending its offensive operations to the Bay of Bengal, it would have been much better if the phenomenal unity which was forged in the wake of the Chinese aggression . at the end of last year was maintained and was not ruptured even to the slightest extent. But the Government, for better or worse, have brought the Bill before us, and we have to consider it on its own merits. I am in the fortunate or curious position of having an eclectic approach to language. It may be called rational, but I would call it eclectic, not an emotional, approach to language. The circumstances in which my life has been cast have inculcated in me such an approach. My mother-tongue is Konkani. I learnt Kanada at school. Later on, I picked up some Tamil and Telugu when I was in Madras for three years at college. Then, a few years later, my life was cast in a bi-lingual province of C.P. and Berar where I picked up both Hindi and Marathi. So, I love all the languages. I do not hate any language. I do not dislike any language.

Shri Prabhat Kar: You did not learn Bengali?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I sorry. I did not mention it. I spent some time in Bengal for some

months or so and I picked up a very crude smattering of Bengali which might give umbrage to my Bengali friends if I try to speak it. That is why I do not dislike, nor do I hate any language. I love every language and I feel happier the more languages I know. Unfortunately, the limitations of time are such that I cannot learn more languages than the very which I have learnt already.

There are some sections in our country-they are small sections perhaps; they do not represent the mass of public opinion-who want either absolutely Hindi totally Hindi and others who perhaps want English equally with Hindi, or without. This, may I submit in all humility, with all respect is an emotional approach, not a national approach, not an eclectic approach. There is a section of opinion of people in our country who would burn the Official Language Bill just as there was-I do not think there is-a section of people, of our citizens in the south who at one time burnt, used to burn the map of India minus Tamil Nad.

Shrimati Vimla Devi (Eluru): Not South India, but Tamil Nad.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I said, a section of people in the south. I did not say, all the south. What is wanted today is not the burning of Bills, nor the burning of maps, but the burning, the extinguishment of narrowness in our hearts.

Unity, as my friend Shri Bagri has said, is the paramount need of the hour What is wanted today in India is 440 million minds but a single heart. That will beat as one. To this end we must bend all our energies and all our efforts and while considering this matter we have to consider it in its three aspects, the necessary, the desirable and the feasible. These three aspects....

Dr. P. S. Deshmukh And inevitable.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Inevitable is destiny. God only knows what is inevitable. And there are various barriers, psychological as well as practical barriers that come across our path in this particular matter. While we look at the fascinating multi-lingual mosaic of our history and cur culture, we cannot fail to be struck by the genius for assimilation and synthesis that our country has displayed through the millenia of her chequered history. Even today that genius for assimilation and synthesis is evident in every field of endeavour in our country. Even in Parliament our Hindi-speaking friends, whose mother-tongue is Hindi, have used Hindi with marvellous ability and skill. It shows the real genius for synthesis! I have got some very interesting examples of Hindi used in this very House and if that Hindi can be used in future, I would like to use that Hindi. But I am a purist in language. When I speak a language, I would like to do it as well as I can. That is why I wish to speak in English here though outside in public meetings I use Hindi. I would like to use in Parliament a language which I can wield with ability and precision. In public meetings precision is not necessary, but in Parliament precision of speech is very necessary. Here I have got two or three instances-I would not take too much time of the House. One question which was put some time ago was:

इस एमरजेंसी पीरियड में गवर्नमेंट की पालिसी बदलने वाली नहीं है क्या?

The second instance was:

इस कमेटी की रिपोर्ट ग्राने में कितना टाइम लगेगा?

Another one, recently, was:

माइनर इरिगेशन स्कीम्ज में जो स्टेट-वाइज कमी हुई हैं ---- श्री भक्त दर्शन : किस की हिन्दी है यह ?

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: यहां की है। आपकी नहीं है। आप बहुत अच्छी हिन्दी बोलते हैं। शास्त्री जी श्रीर आप बहुत सुन्दर श्रीर मधुर हिन्दी बोलते हैं। माइनर इरिगेशन स्कीम्ज में जो स्टेट-वाइज कमी की गई है, उसको फिर से रेस्टोर करने का इरादा है क्या?

Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: 24 carat Hindi.

श्री त्यागी: यह कौन सी जुबान में टाक रहे हैं श्राप ?

श्री हिर विष्णु कामत: यह वह जुबान है, जो यहां पर इस्तेमाल की गई है।

श्री बागड़ी: गांधी जी की जुबान में बोलिये।

Mr. Deputy Speaker: He is quoting from the proceedings of the House.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I have been admiring the skill and genius for synthesis that has been displayed, and it is perhaps in line with the article of the Constitution which aims at a composite Hindi language. May I, before I close, urge very strongly that the touchstone of National unity, the test of national unity....

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: You must have heard Mahatma Gandhi speaking Hindi at the very early stages. How did you like him?

Shri Tyagi: He liked it all right.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We are not discussing the Hindi spoken outside.

श्री भक्त दर्शन : श्राप श्रादर्श हिन्दी बोल रहे हैं या श्रपनी हिन्दी बोल रहे हैं?यह मैं समझ नहीं पाया हूं।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत : जोहोनी चाहिये। 'लेकिन श्राज कल जो इस्तेमाल की जा रही है इस सदन में उसकी मिसालें पैश की हैं मैं ने। Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Khichri.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not hate even this language. What is a language after all? It is a vehicle of thought, medium of communication. I do not wish to use the term as my hon. friend Prof. Mukerjee used. I would like to urge that the test of national unity and nationhood is not one national language. It is not a questin of राष्ट्र भाषा here, as my hon, friend Shri Bagri has referred to. All 14 languages are राष्ट्र भाषाचे । There is not one राष्ट्र भाषा । The question here is राज्य भाषा ।

May I submit in all humility and earnestness that the test of national unity is not one official language? The test of national unity is one heart. one goal, one objective for the nation, not one official language. That second. May I therefore, plead with my friends on the extreme sides-there are two extreme sides. May I plead with my friends want English to be continued indefinitely that that is not a correct position to take? They would do well to fall in line with the general national sentiment and accelerate the pace of development of Hindi as the official And may I with equal language. earnestness plead with the advocates, the absolutists, the totalitarians of the Hindi language: love all languages of India, cherish them, all for one supreme purpose and that is maintaing the development fostering of our national unity and our national progress at this juncture. In the end I would only say that when I take up this attitude it is not for any other purpose than the supdominating, over-mastering one, which I can very well put in a very few words: Not that I love Hindi less, but I love India and Indian unity more.

Shri Tyagi: I would not take much of the time of the House. My only

submission is that the alarm which has been caused outside among the Hindispeaking people is really very false and is misguiding Hindi. Some people are making capital out of it. There is no change that is effected. Factually speaking, India has agreed to it in the Constitution, and my friends from the non-Hindi speaking area have not disputed the fact that the Constitution lays down that the official language of the Union shall be Hindi in the Devanagari script. That is not being contradicted at all. It is only a question of convenience. After all, we are one. And we have to remain one now, particularly when all around we find that people are casting an evil eye on our country. We have to be one. For that purpose, how can we be one if we cannot express ourselves freely in a language which could be understood by one another? It is for this purpose that we are extending English further. And this is in the best spirit.

I am grateful that the non-Hindispeaking area representatives have really been very generous in accepting this Bill as it is and I am afraid my friend Mr. Anthony is unnecessarily alarmed. There is nothing which goes against what he wants. He surely cannot claim English to be perpetuated. A foreign language cannot be perpetuated in this country, and my friend Mr. Anthony also does not want it. He only wants the safety that so long as Hindi does not become a common language, the lingua franca of India, English may be resorted to, it may remain. That is all that he wants. He is a big lawyer. It is difficult for me to deal in legal phraseology, but even then mine has been a hobby. I suggest that this "may" and "shall" is a difficult job. I do not know how my friend is interpreting it. But suppose we were to have "shall" in this clause, then the other clauses will become redundant altogether. Suppose it is "shall" here. Then what about this Committee to be appointed under clause 4, about which it is said:

"It shall be the duty of the Committee to review the progress [Shri Tyagi].

made in the use of Hindi for the official purposes of the Union and submit a report to the President making recommendations thereon.

The President may, after consideration of the report referred to in sub-section (2), issue directions in accordance with the whole or any part of that report."

If the word is "shall", the President cannot make any changes unless there is a regular emendment Bill brought here in the House. So if we want to maintain this clause, then clause 3 must have the word "may".

The spirit is apparent, and the treasury benches have openly said that the spirit of the announcement of policy, which the Prime Minister has done long before, is still maintained. This word "may" has to be kept only just to see that the other clauses which follow and their recommendations may be accommodated. If the word is "shall", there is no accommodation. Therefore, "may" has to be kept. This is one point that I wanted to emphasise in the best spirit.

My friend Shri Ranga is not really alarmed, because I know that he understands these things much better than I do. He is a senior politician. He has been in this House for a pretty longer time than I have been, and he knows the phraseology of a Bill.

Then another difficulty comes. As a layman I may be wrong. I cannot argue with Mr. Anthony who is a seasoned lawyer. But in the Constitution, article 343(2) is like this:

"Notwithstanding anything in clause (1), for a period of fifteen years from the commencement of this Constitution, the English language shalt continue to be used for all the official purposes of the Union for which it was being used immediately before such commencement."

"Shall be used" is limited here. says: Notwithstanding that Hindi is the official language—which is not disputed even today, even after the introduction of this Bill. Hindi still remains the language of the Union, and the Hindi-speaking people need not be afraid at all, because their language still remains as the official language of the Union. So where is the danger to Hindi, I cannot understand. But in this case English was permitted with the word "shall", because notwithstanding anything in clause (1) it said that for a period of fifteen years English shall be used. So there is "shall".

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: If that "shall" in clause (1) is interpreted as "may", where will Hindi be?

Shri Tyagi: Not here. There it said that it shall be used for fifteen years, so that within fifteen years no change can be effected. Fifteen years was the period fixed. So, if we bring English now, it means that it goes beyond fifteen years also. Up to fifteen years it was permitted by the Constitution, which means that the Constitution did not envisage a permanent use of English and therefore it said fifteen years. But further on, the Constitution allowed this Parliament to make a law to extend that period. Extension does not mean perpetuation. If it were to be perpetuated with the word "shall" without any fixed limit, it will go against the Constitution. Because, the spirit of the Constitution is that English may be permitted for a limited period; it may further be extended, but it cannot be perpetuated for ever. And, therefore, the word "shall" was there. It cannot be used here.

Shri Frank Anthony: Why not support my amendment?

Shri Tyagi: If you want to say "shall be used for ten years" then it will be quite all right. Within that period of ten years no change would

be effected. After that Parliament may extend it. But if you bring the word "shall", to be consistent with the spirit of the Constitution you will have to fix a period, because in the original article a period has been fixed. We cannot do away with it and keep it permanently by saying "shall be used". It means for all time to come.

This is the only difficulty, technical. The spirit is the same as of Mr. Anthony or other friends.

Shri Frank Anthony: May I ask my hon. friend, Mr. Tyagi, why not "Until otherwise decided by a three-fourths majority"? They will decide.

Shri Tyagi: I am grateful to the Home Minister and his colleagues that they have accommodated by saying that they will lay the Report before this House. And the Committee will be composed of Members of this Parliament elected by the system of single transferable vote. Single transferable vote system means an exact replica of the Parliament, and representation according to the strength of the parties goes into the Committee. It is an exact replica of the Parliament as far as political parties are concerned. Therefore, it is a smaller Parliament that makes the recommendation.

Again, it is not left there. Because some friends have some doubts etc., therefore to accommodate them the Home Minister has agreed that it will be again before the House it be discussed, and it be referred to the State Governments as well. And these State ments will be at liberty to take the opinion of their respective ligislatures. That is again accommodated. Let us be generous in our interpretation. When the Prime Minister said Hindi will not be imposed without the consent of the non-Hindi speaking people, by "people"-surely the literal meaning is not to be takenreferendum. did not mean a "People" means that their representatives' opinions will be heard, because "people" did not mean every individual in that area. People meant that of course. So the State Governments are being consulted, and the majority of the people are represented in those Governments, and they too will be at liberty to take the opinion of their respective legislatures. I hope there is nothing to doubt about it.

In the end I wish to say, let this Bill go in the best spirit of accommodation. That is all my appeal.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Mr. Deputy-Speaker in moving my amendment No. 14.....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You have no amendment to clause 3.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I have an amendment.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is not moved. Clause 3A is a new clause. That will come after clause 3.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: There is an amendment to clause 3.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: It has not been moved. You can speak on the clause.

Dr L. M. Singhvi: At any rate, Sir, the purpose of my amendment which was notified to the House is to provide for a certain time limit, a certain definition of duration within the scheme of this Act. In doing so all I am seeking to do is to duplicate the time table scheme which finds acceptance in the Constitution itself.

The Constitution says:

"The official language of the Union shall be Hindi......

.....for a period of fifteen years.....the English language shall be continued to be used for all the official purposes of the Union...."

The Constitution also stipulates this time table schesme that after the first five years and then after 10

[Dr. L. M. Singhvil.

years, certain Commissions and Committees will review the situation. All I am seeking to suggest by means of my amendment is that there should be a time limit for the continuance of the English language for which purpose this Bill is brought and the time limit should be 12 vears so that, after 10 years, we may again review the situation and after 12 years we may bring about the actualisation of the original provision of the Constitution. In providing for a time limit, I think we would be acting much more in consonance with the Constitution. Because, as I have said on earlier occasions, an enactment which even injures the spirit of the Constitution, the underlying scheme of the Constitution cannot be countenanced in this House. I say this not because I hold any hostility against language. I English it is one of the most beautiful languages in the world today. There is no doubt that English has provided us with a very efficient instrumentality of expression. But, if I may quote Shri Frank Anthony's speech in the Constitutnent Assembly,-I have quoted him in another context yesterday; I quote him again because he has emerged as the most powerful proponent of the extreme point of view-he said that if we are merely interested in a national language, let us all suffer an abatement of respective vested interests. The first part which he had directed to the nation at large, it was a generous expression he used, it was an effective expression he had used. I hope House and he himself would respond to the very plea he had made for abatement of our vested interests.

I am in agreement with the underlying idea of this Bill which wishes that the period for continuance of the English language should be extended. There is no doubt that for all practical purposes, we will have to extend the time for the use of the English language for the official purposes of the Union. But, is it in consonance

with the Constitution? The Constitution itself in the parent article provides that Hindi shall be the official language and there is only one exception to it and that exception is that English shall continue for 15 years. It is not proper, therefore for resort to article 343(3) for saying that English could be continued for indefinite period of time or as Shri Frank Anthony was pleased to say, for even 100 years. I do not think Parliament could, possibly, consistent with the provisions of the Constitution, enact legislation providing for the continuance of the English language for 100 years without doing conscious injury to the provision, not only the spirit, but also the letter of the Constitution that we have given unto ourselves. It is quite clear that the Constitution requires its observance not only in letter but also in spirit. If we were to provide either by express provision or by implication for indefinite continuance of English language, we would be doing injury to the provisions of the Constitution.

The assurance of the Prime Minister has been repeatedly referred to till it has become an idolatrous attachment for some who wish the Government to stick by the assurance given. Both the assurance of the Prime Minister who is a great statesman and who had to say things in the context of our national sitution and this very Bill which we are considering at present have to operate within the scheme of the Constitution. Therefore, in the first place, I request that a certain time limit should be provided. Once you provide a time limit, it is even possible to say that 'may' shallbe substituted for 'shall'. shall go so far. Because, if you do not provide a time limit and say that English shall continue, the meaning is that English shall continue indefinitely. That would be disregarding the provisions of the Constitution. So that, if my friends who are propounding this position are anxious that 'may' should be substituted by 'shall', should agree to the

provision of a time limit in clause 3 of this Bill.

The amendment that have moved by Shri Frank Anthony, particularly amendments 145 and postulate three main concepts. of them is that English shall be described as an alternate language. Another is, 'may' shall be substituted by 'shall'. The third is—this is, with all respect, a pernicious concept in the frame work of our Constitution -that three-fourths of the legislationes ofthe States have to approve before Hindi could be brought. This Parliament camet abdicate or abrogate its functions. This is a provision which goes against the very scheme of Constitution. It is a provision which runs counter completely to the spirit and letter of the Constitutional mandate, that we are bound to observe. Therefore, I only make a plea that a provision for time should be made and that no reference to the States should be countenanced by this House.

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi (Dharwar North): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, I hope you will give me a few minutes more. I did not speak on the Bill yesterday.

मैं तो हिन्दी में बोलना चाहती हूं जिससे कि हिन्दी प्रान्त वाले मेरी बात को अच्छी तरह समझ सकें। अंग्रेजी को ज्यादा समय तक जारी रहने दिया जाए, इसके बारे में अंग्रेजी और हिन्दी दोनों के जानने वाले और अंग्रेजी और हिन्दी के अलावा इतर भाषाएं जानने वाले जो अभिप्राय देंगे उसका अधिक मूल्य हो सकता है। सिर्फ अंग्रेजी जानने वाले या हिन्दी जानने वाले जो अभिप्राय देंगे वह एक तरफा हो सकता है। इसिलए में यह कहना चाहती हूं कि हिन्दी और अंग्रेजी के अलावा अन्य भाषाओं के जानने वाले जो अभिप्राय इस सदन में और इस सदन के बाहर देंगे वह ज्यादा मृल्यवान होगा।

हिन्दुस्तान स्वायत्त घटकों का एक संघ है, एक फड़ेरेशन है, एक यानियन है भ्रीर अन्य प्रान्तों की भाषाओं को और उनकी संस्कृतियों को और उनके साहित्य को भ्रच्छी तरह समझने वाले लोग उनके बारे में ग्रभिप्राय दे सकते हैं श्रीर उसका ज्यादा असर भी होगा । मेरा तो यह कहना है कि जब से प्रान्तों का पनगंठन भाषास्रों के स्राधार पर हस्रा तब से हमें यह ध्यान रखना पड़ा कि हर एक प्रान्त की ग्रलग श्रलग भाषा है, उनकी श्रलग संस्कृति है। श्रीर उनका साहित्य भी ग्रलग है। इसी लिए हम हर एक भाषा को राष्ट्र भाषा के तौर पर मान्यता दे देते हैं। फिर भी हमको इस बात का ध्यान रखना होगा कि हिन्दों का स्थान क्या होगा भ्रौर इसलिए यह सोचना पड़ता है कि हिन्दी को ग्राँर ग्रंग्रेजं। को कब तक साथ साथ रखा जाये ।

क्योंकि भाषास्रों के स्नाधार पर प्रान्तों का पूनगंठन हुम्रा इसलिए हमको सबसे ज्यादा ध्यान उन भाषास्रों का स्रोर देना पडता है। हमको यह देखना चाहिए कि भ्रहिन्दी प्रान्त वालों का हिन्दं। के बारे में क्या ग्रभिप्राय है। ऐसः बात नहीं है कि वे हिन्दो को नहीं चाहते। वे हिन्दी को च।हते लेकिन कब चाहते हैं भ्रार कब तक चाहते हैं इस बारे में मतभेद हो सकता है। मतभेद तो ठांक है। माखन लाल चतुर्वेदा के शब्दों में मैं कहना चाहती हं कि मतभेद तो बाग के विभिन्न वक्षों के भिन्न भिन्न फलों के समान हैं जिनसे बाग मन्दर नजर ग्राता है। लेकिन ग्रगर मतभेद संघर्ष में परिवर्तित हो जाते हैं तो उनका ग्रसर बरा हो सकता है। इसलिए मेरा कहना है कि उत्तर भारत की भाषाएं संस्कृत जन्य हैं श्रीर दक्षिण को भाषाएं द्रविड भाषाएं हैं । संस्कृत से प्राकृत निकली, ग्रपभ्रंश निकला, पैशाची निकलं:, श्रौर ग जरात:, हिन्दो, बंगला, विहारी श्रौर छतीसगढा उससे निकला । इतना भाषाएं संस्कृत से पैदा होने पर श्रीर संस्कृतजन्य होने पर भा बंगाला लोगों की भाषा बंगाली हो सकती है। वह लोग ग्रहिन्दं वालों में ग्रपनी गिनतः कर सकते हैं। इस का म्रथं तो यहो

12225

होता है कि हिन्दो बोलने वालों की संख्या कितनो है और हिन्दी न बोलने वालों को संख्या कितनो है, या चूंकि अहिन्दों लोगों की संख्या कम है, इसलिए हिन्दों राष्ट्रभाषा होनो चाहिए या आफ्रीशयल लैंग्वेज होना चाहिए या नहीं, इस के बारे में ज्यादा कुछ कहने की आवश्यकता नहीं समझतों हूं क्योंकि जो संविधान की धारा ३४३ है उस में काफ्रो स्पष्ट रूप से बताया गया है:—

"The official language of the Union shall be Hindi in Devanagari script."

इसलिए स्राज उसको फिर से खोल कर सोचने की कोई जरुरत नहीं है। लेकिन यहां एक प्रश्न हमारे सामने है कि संग्रेजा को हिन्दा के साथ साथ कहां तक इधर स्थान मिलना चाहिए ? स्राज हमारे सामने प्रश्न यहां है कि उसका स्थान कहां तक होगा स्रीर किधर होगा स्रीर उस के साथ हिन्दी को बढ़ाने में, हिन्दी के कोष को बढ़ाने में स्रीर हिन्दी का प्रचार करने में सरकार कहां तक मदद कर रही है ?

मुझे मालूम है कि भारत में एकछत्रा-िषपत्य अंग्रेजों के बाने के पहले कभा नहीं था। सम्राट अशोक दक्षिण का ब्रोर आये फिर भी पूरे हिन्दुस्तान के ऊपर एक छत्राधिपत्य स्थापित नहीं कर सके। हर्षवर्धन के राज्य में भा यह नहीं रहा। पुलकेशी—दो सैकेंड ब्रौफ दो बालुक्या डाइनैस्टो, ने हर्षवर्धन को पराजित किया शिलालेखों में यह खुदा हुआ है:—

"येन चाकारि विगलितहर्षो हर्षः" हर्षवर्धन का सारा हर्ष निकल गया । हम सीचते हैं कि अंग्रेज़। का स्थान भा हिंदुस्तान के जीवन में कुछ है, हिन्दुस्तानियों के जावन में, उनको विचारधारा में आर राष्ट्रीय जीवन में, व्यक्तिगत जीवन में नहीं, लेकिन भारत के राष्ट्रीय जीवन में अंग्रेज़ी का स्थान भी है और उस को तुरन्त हटा नहीं सकते हैं । दक्षिण बालों को यह भी डर है कि हिन्दी भाषा भा

जाने से वे हिन्दं। भाषा लोगों के मकाबले में ऐग्जामिनेशंस और कंम्पटीशंस में स्ना नहीं सकेंगे भार वे पराजित हो सकते हैं। वे उनके मुकाबले कम्पटीटिव ऐग्जामिनेशंस में स्पर्धा नहीं कर सकते हैं इसलिए उनको हिन्दी लाग हो जाने से डर रहता है। लेकिन यह तो संविधान की इस घटना को स्वीकार करने के बाद मझे लगता है कि दोनों तरफ़ के लोगों में, हिन्दी का समर्थन करने वालों में ग्रौर हिन्दी का समर्थन न करने वालों में, इन दोनों में कुछ हद तक सहिष्णता होनी चाहिए । ब्रहिन्दी लोगों ने तो इस को स्वीकार कर लिया है लेकिन सवाल तो यह उठता है कि हिन्द। को कहां तक बढ़ाया जाय ? हमारे सामने बात यह है ग्रौर इसलिए इस के बारे में हमें ग्रौर ग्रापको सोचना चाहिए ।

संस्कृत को हिन्दुस्तान में मुगल बादशाहों ने भं। आश्रय दिया है । और नं चे जो दक्षिण भारत में लोग थे उन्होंने भा उसे आश्रय दिया है। इस भ्रवसर पर मुझे एक, दोहा याद भ्राता है जो कि राजकवि जगन्नाय पंडित ने शाहजहां बादशाह के दरबार में कहा था। उन्होंने यह इलोक कहा था:—

"दिल्लीक्ष्वरो वा गजदोस्वरो वा मनोरथान् पूरिययं समर्थो ।

भ्रन्यैः नृपालैः परिदीयमानं शाखाय वा स्यात् लवणाय वा स्यात् ॥

दो हां लोग हमारे मनोरथों को पूरा कर सकते हैं, दिल्लोइवर और जगदांश्वर । अन्य राजा नोग यदि चाहें तो हमें पैसा दे सकते हैं उससे काफ़ी नमक ख़रीद सकते हैं, सब्जा खरीद सकते हैं लेकिन इसके अलावा और कुछ नहीं हो सकता है । लेकिन वह बात अलग है । संस्कृत का एक स्थान था । दक्षिण वालों की कठिनाइयां यह हैं कि उनका द्राविड़ भाषा हैं और हिन्दी भाषा को वे अच्छी तरह से पढ़ नहीं सकते हैं और समझ नहीं सकते हैं । लेकिन

यह कहना गुलत होगा कि दक्षिण वालों को हिन्दी के प्रति द्वेष भाव है। मैं बतलाना चाहती हं कि जब हिन्दों को इस देश में राष्ट्रभाषा के रुप में स्वीकार नहीं किया गया था तब दक्षिण भारत हिन्दी प्रचार सभा ने दक्षिण में हिन्दी की सेवा के लिए बहत प्रयास किया और उसो का परिणाम रहा कि हजारों ग्रौर लाखों की संख्या में दक्षिण के विद्यार्थियों ने हिन्दी की परक्षाएं पास कीं। पहले हमारे समाज में एक ऐसा विचार चलता था कि जब तक लड़की अंग्रेज़ा नहीं पढ़ लेता थी तब तक उसका शादी नहीं हो सकतो है। लेकिन अब ऐसा समय भी श्रा जाना चाहिए कि जब तक लडकी हिन्दी न समझ ले तब तक उसका शादी नहीं हो सकती है। ग्राज इस तरह की भावना पैदा करने की ज रुरत है। ऐसा होने से इस का बहुत ग्रसर पडेगा ।

मुझे क्लाज ३ के ब.रे में एक बात कहनी है "as from the appointed day, continue to be used."

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member should try to conclude now.

Shri Tyagi: She is making a very sweet speach.

डा॰ सरोजिनी महिषी : "कंटीन्यु टु बी यूज्ड" इसका असर बहुत होता है । अब जैसा कि इस्तैमाल करते थे उसी तरह से होता रहेगा । '

'continue to be used in the same way; without any prejudice to the use of English, Hindi will be used." In addition to,

इसका अर्थ यही है कि कम्प्रोमाइज करने का प्रयास किया गया है। हालौंकि इस तरह किसी ने नहीं कहा लेकिन कम्प्रोमाइज इस के साथ है। १५ वर्ष के बाद

"Either in English or in Hiadi" for a period of 15 years from the commencement of the Constitution. That clause will be read as if the words for in English' are omitted. कौस्टीट्युशन में जो घारा है उसको फिर ग्रागे रखने के लिए ग्रीर उसको ग्रमेंड करने के वास्ते ग्रीर फिर हिन्दी को प्रधान रूप देने के लिए यह जो कम्प्रोमाइज करने के लिए उधर यह क्लाख रक्खा गया है उसे में इस तरह समझती हूं।

विल का शीर्षक 'ग्राफिशिएल लैंग्वेजेज बिल" है। यह ग्राफिशिएल लैंग्वेज बिल नहीं है। इसका ग्रयं यह भी हो सकता है कि अंग्रेजी हिन्दी दोनों को इस तरह एक जगह पर मिला ग गया है। शब्द "मे" की लीगल टर्मिनालिजी में "शैल" की स्प्रीट हो सकती है। इसलिए "मे" रखने से जो कठिनाइयाँ एडिनिनिस्ट्रेशन में ऋासकती हैं, गृह मन्त्री जी ने उसको बतलाने की कृपा की । चुंकि कठिनाइयाँ भ्रा सकती हैं इसलिए उनको हटाने के लिए यह उधर रक्खा गया है। मैं समझती हं कि प्रधान मन्त्री जी ने जो ग्राइवासन दिया है ग्रीर गह मन्त्री जी ने विवेचन किया है उसमें तो कोई संघर्ष नहीं है। कुछ माननीय सदस्यों ने इस बारे में बतलाने की कोशिश की कि

The Prime Minister and the Home Minister are at loggerheads. में समझती हूं कि उनका ऐसा विवेचन करना ठीक नहीं है। प्रधान मंत्री जी ने जो श्राश्वासन दिया या उसी का परिणाम यह बिल है। उस श्राश्वासन की पूर्ति की श्रोर यह बिल एक कदम है। गृह मन्त्री जी ने इस बिल के उद्देशों को बहुत श्रब्धी तरह से समझाया भी है। इस बारे में मुझे श्री नम्मट का यह संस्कृत श्लोक स्मरण हो ग्राता है:——

"वाक्यार्थवाधे तद्योगे रूढ़ितोऽय प्रयोजनःत्। स्रन्यार्थो लक्ष्यते यत् सा लक्षणारोपिता क्रिया।" [डा॰ सरोजिनी महिषी]

12220

जब किसी शब्द का धर्य ठीक ढंग से संदर्भ के मन्सार नहीं निकलता है तब दक्षिण वालों की भावनाग्रों को समझते हुए यह "मे" क्या होना च हिए यह श्राश्चर्य मालुम हो श्रीर ऐसी हालत में जब किसी शब्द का मर्थ ठीक ढंग से समझ में न ग्राये तो रूढि श्रीर प्रयोजन ग्रीर जो स्प्रिट है उसके साथ साथ हर एक शब्द का भ्रर्थ अलग भ्रलग हो सकता है। इसलिए "मे" का जो भ्रयं है, वह ग्रहिन्दी वालों की भावनाओं को देखते हुए, उसका श्रर्थ यही "शैल" होगा । इसलिए हिन्दी वालों को डर है कि वह ठीक नहीं है। ग्रब यह जो रक्खा गया है वह ऐडिमिनिस्ट्रेशन की सुविधा की दिष्ट से ग्रीर ग्रन्य कारणों से रक्खा गया है वह ठीक ही रक्खा गया है।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : माननीय सदस्या श्रव समाप्त कर दें।

डा॰ सरोजिनी महिषी : बस एक वाक्य कह कर मैं समाप्त किये देती हूं।

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री ने परसों हिन्दी श्रीर श्रंग्रेजी के स्थानों के बारे में बोलते हए एक बात कही। डरवन में गाँघी जी के जीवन में एक घटना हुई । उन्होंने कस्तूरबा को घर से बाहर हटा दिया। लेकिन कस्तूरबा ने गाँघी जी से पूछ लिया कि भारत में ग्रगर ग्राप मुझे अपने घर से हटाते तो मैं अपने रिक्तेदारों के यहाँ चली जाती लेकिन यहाँ दक्षिण श्रफीका में मुझे हटाते हैं तो मैं किधर जाऊं ? मैं समझती हूं कि भारत में भी कस्तूरबा को गौंघी जी हटाते थे कस्तूरवा कहीं भ्रन्यत्र नहीं जाती थीं । लेकिन उन्होंने इसका उदा-हरण देते हुए एक प्रश्न ५छा कि अंग्रेजी को भ्राप हटा देते हैं तो ग्रंग्रेजी इंग्लैण्ड में रह सकती है लेकिन अगर हिन्दी को आप हटा देते हैं तो यह हिन्दी किघर जायेगी ? लेकिन बह बात कि भ्रंग्रेजी किथर चलेगी भौर किथर

रहेगी इसके बारे में हम सीये रहे हैं, उसकी हम चिन्ता नहीं कर रहे हैं। हन यह नहीं पूछ रहे हैं कि अंग्रेजी इंग्लैण्ड में रहेगी या किघर रहेगी ? इसके बारे में हमारा ध्यान नहीं है। श्रलबत्ता श्रंग्रेजी कहाँ तक हमारे जीवन में उपयुक्त हो अकती है, कहाँ तक उस का हम फ़ायदा उठा सकते हैं, दूसरों के लिए श्रीर हुभारे वास्ते उसका उपयोग कहाँ तक होगा इसके बारे में हम सोच रहे हैं। श्रंग्रेजी का श्रसर वैज्ञानिक क्षेत्र में ग्रौर हमारे राष्ट्रीय जीवन में काफी हो चुका है ग्रौर इसको ग्रभी कुछ समय तक इधर रहना है।

मैं एक चीज श्रौर कहना चाहती हं। जब युनिवरिसटीज में इंगलिश श्रीर रीजनल लैंग्वेजेज का श्रीप्शन दिया गया, किसी भी माध्यम सं भ्राप पढ़ सकते हैं, एक इस तरह का ग्रौप्शन दिया गया तो सभी विद्यार्थियों ने ग्रंग्रेजी का चुनाव किया। ऐसी बात नहीं है कि हमारे प्रैडीसेसर ने अंग्रेजी में शिक्षा दी है इस्तिए श्रव किस तर, से प्रान्तीय भाषा में शिक्षा लें। इस तरह से नहीं सोचते हैं। ग्रलबत्तायह जरूर सोचते हैं कि इसका श्रमर कहाँ तक रहे ? सरकार की श्रोर से दक्षिण भारत में हिन्दी का प्रचार ग्रीर ब्यापक रूप से होना चाहिए । हिन्दी भाषा निश्चित रूप से तब बढेगी।

मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि शिक्षा मन्त्रालय में हिन्दी का प्रचार करने के लिए एक म्रलग हिन्दी विभाग बना दिया गया है। हिन्दी का प्रचार करने श्रीर उसे प्रोत्साहन देने के लिए एक ग्रलग समिति भी नियक्त हो चुकी है लेकिन दक्षिण भारत में इसके लिए जो कार्य किया गया है वह बहुत मल्प भीट

प्रपर्याप्त है। उत्तर भारत में बहुत ज्यादा
मदद दी गई है। इसके ग्रलावा यह जो समिति
है इस समिति में उत्तर भारत के लोग ही हैं।
ग्रब दक्षिण भारत में जिस हद तक मदद देनी
चाहिए, इसके बारे में सोचने के लिए वे कहते
तो हैं लेकिन मदद जितनी मिलनी
चाहिए पर्याप्त मात्रा में नहीं मिल सकती है।
इसलिए मेरा विचार है कि इसमें दक्षिण
भारत वालों को प्राथमिकता मिलनी चाहिए।
इसके लिए संविधान के ग्रार्टिकिल ३५१ में
यह लिखा हम्रा है:—

"It shall be the duty of the Union to promote the spread of the Hindi language, to develop it so that it may serve as a medium of expression for all the elements of the composite cultture of India."

यह उचित ही लिखा है श्रीर इसका तो समयंन ही होगा।

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: I rise to oppose the amendments which have been moved by Shri Frank Anthony, on constitutional and legal basis. He wants that the word 'shall' be substituted for 'may'. With your permission, I will read out clause 3:

"Notwithstanding the expiration of the period of fifteen years from the commencement of the Constitution, the English language may, as from the appointed day, continue to be used, in addition to Hindi"—

then follows another clause which is very pertinent—

"(a) for all the official purposes of the Union for which it was being used immediately before that day".

15 hrs.

As long as sub-clause (a) is there, it is crystal clear that English is

being allowed to be used in the same manner in which it has been used up to this time according to article 343. According to article 343:

"Notwithstanding anything in clause (1), for a period of fifteen years from the commencement of this Constitution, the English language shall continue to be used for all the official purposes of the Union for which it was being used immediately before such commencement".

I submit that by putting in sub-clause (a) above in the present Bill, the provision in article 343(2) has been reenforced. So there is no scope for any misapprehension that the use of English will be discontinued.

I must submit one thing. Shri Frank Anthony must know that a definite period was mentioned in the Constitution for the continuance of English namely 15 years. meant that English would not conti-nue after 15 years. But we are now extending the time. The assurance given by the Prime Minister as referred to and repeated in this House only goes to this extent that English will be continue in the same manner in which it was used; as long as the people residing in the non-Hindi State do not agree to a changeover to Hindi.

I submit that by attempting to substitute the word 'may' by 'shall' an attempt is being made to make English continue on an equal status with Hindi, that is, in addition to Hindi. If 'may' is retained it mean that English will get the same position and status it has been having in accordance with article 343(2). My interpretation is that there is an attempt to go ahead still more and secure for English a status more than that atually given according to the Constitution. Otherwise, there should be no apprehension and no doubt when after fixing a specific period, we are extending the period. Here of course I have to state my definite view

12233

[Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar].

about this provision of the Bill that as long as English is allowed to continue in any shape, be it associate language be it anything else, Hindi can never take the place of official language which it has been given in the Constitution. When Government have not been able to do a thing in 15 years, what is the guarantee that the same Government will do that thing in the next ten years to come or in the next two decades to come? So my view is that there is a very great danger, not from the English language as such, but of the environment of 'angrezism' that the English language is perpetuating in the country. Even now if there are some persons, so-called persons, decent sitting in a hotel, restaurant or in a first-class compartment, they like to talk with one another in English and if per chance anybody intervenes and talks in Hindi, he is considered to be lower in status in terms of modern decency or etiquette. This is the mentality which the English language has left behind. So to get rid of that, a period has got to be fixed.

If no definite period is fixed, I think it will be a very great advantage to people like Shri Anthony. I would congratulate them on their having succeeded in seeing that English gets along with Hindi for at least three or four or five decades to come. But that is a different matter. I sumbit that the substitution of 'may' by 'shall' goes against the provision Constitution and even against the particular Bill which is being discussed. The difficulty will be this. At present anybody can speak in English or Hindi; anybody can communicate with others in Hindi or use Hindi in correspondence. If 'shall' is introduced, no Hindi correspondence will be entertained unless English is there side by side. This will be giving to English a status much higher than what it has been enjoying up to this time. Hence this attempt is being made by the back door to bring English on an equal footing Hindi by the introduction of 'shail'.

There has been much sloganising on this issue. It is said that the Hindiknowing people should be tolerant. I submit there is no intolerance from this side. We are prepared to accept any other regional language be it Telugu, Tamil or any other, but to raise this slogan that English should be made the official language or there should be a change in the Constitution is something which is very reactionary.

In conclusion, I would submit by this Bill an attempt is being made to by-pass certain mandatory provisions of the Constitution. As a lawyer, I am of the view that unless article 343 is amended, there cannot be genuine attempt to extend the period of the English language or to make any such changes. A measure like this is definitely an attempt to bypass the constitutional provision. How far it will be constitutional or intra vires has got to be seen.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): I am a signatory to an amendment which has already been moved which seeks the substitution of 'may' by 'shall'. I am still pleading with Government that this ment be accepted. I will try and state my reasons very shortly.

The Home Minister has used expression that this Bill envisages a period of 'prolonged bi-lingualism'. As far as we are concerned, I hope we have sufficienctly explained point of view, and I expect we shall not be accused of any kind of antagonism towards Hindi or any of the other nationl languages of our country. But the fact of the matter is that the Home Minister himself has been constrained to say that he envisages a period of 'prolonged bilingualism. As far as I am concerned, I would like the length to be as short as possible. But he talked about 'prolonged bi-lingualism'

12236

The Prime Minister has also said in this House-no use harping on what he said much earlier-only day that the status quo was to continue indefinitely. Therefore, as far as Government is concerned, and its assurances are concerned, the country is being told that at least for the time being, for an indefinite period to ensue from today, English would continue to be used as an addition language. The difficulty comes when the word "may" is injected into the picture, and the difficulty arises because, as I tried to say during the first reading stage, of the habits of interpretation which we have inherited from British jurisprudence and that is why we have heard in this House what we cannot dismiss as so much nonsense. because it was not nonsense. It is a very serious matter that under the canons of interpretation of British statutes, "may" can mean "shall", and hall can mean "may". I know as a earner, though I have forgotten most of my law, that it is a fact that on occasions "may" may mean shall and "shall" on occasion may even be

Shri Hajarnavis: May I again repeat the difficulty pointed out by the Home Minister yesterday? I have very high regard for his knowledge of English, but supposing you use the word "shail" instead of "may", as the Home Minister pointed out yesterday, we will have to use both the languages simultaneously as a matter of interpretation. This was realised by Shri Anthony and he has come out with another draft where the use of word "shall" is unexceptionable.

construed as meaning "may".

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: As far as I am concerned, I was actually going to refer to what my hon. friend the Minister of State has just now said, namely that the Home Minister has brought in an argument to which he made reference just now. I will come to it a little while later.

In English jurisprudence we have got this position where "may" can be interpreted as "shall" and "shall" can be interpreted as "may". As a law-yer or a former lawyer, whatever you choose to describe me, I am quite prepared to say that the use of "may" as it has been made here does really appear to be capable of being construed as "shall", and that was the understanding of the Prime Minister, and that was the understanding which he conveyed in his letter to Shri Anthony.

I am envisaging a time as rapidly as possible when all our statutes shall be available in their official texts not in English but in Hindi and the other national languages. I am thinking of a time when our subjection to the laws of interpretation of statutes as expounded by Maxwell and that sort of people would no longer pass muster in our country, and we will have to interpret our own Hindi or Bengali or Tamil statutes in our own way. I told the Home Minister in my speech day before yesterday that if he had a translation of this into Hindi, he would be hard put to it to be able to say as he can say now, that "may" means "shall".

So, all over the country there is now a controversy over this, a controversy which can easily be allayed. If there was some insuperable difficulty as Shri Tyagi wanted to point out and as the Home Minister had also indicated, that is a different matter, but my submission would be that it is not by any means an insuperable difficulty. Therefore, I would say that "may" could be substituted by "shall". Shastriji said yesterday was an additional argument which he perhaps borrowed from Dr. Mahatab, who had also said it, that if "shall" is used, it would mean duplication of avoidable work. That was the one argument. The Hindi speaking States could communicate with the Centre only in Hindi, and they would not be under an obligation to give an English transtheir communication lation of "shall" is not there and "may" there. My submission is that we are discussing this matter from

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee].

national point of view. The Hindi States would be communicating from time to time not only with the Centre.

but also with the non-Hindi States. That is to say communications between States might very well continue for a certain length of time to be bilingual. When the Home Minister himself said that he envisages a prolonged period of bi-lingualism, he certainly does not mean that in so far as the Hindi speaking States are concerned, bi-lingualism would be dropped like a hot potato straightaway. No. The Hindi States also being part of India would be practising bi-lingualism, which, unfortunately for us, is more or less unavoidable in the present context,....

Shri Hajarnavis: Either, or, not both.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee:....by translatin of correspondence. That additional expenditure should not be an objection of an insuperable character.

Shri Tyagi brought up an argument of a more serious nature. Shri Tyagi pointed out that if we have "shall" in this Bill, then for all time to come we are precluded from getting rid of English as an additional language for official purposes. With great respect to Shri Tyagi I submit that that is not a correct interpretation of the matter. In the Constitution, as far as the present position is concerned, it is stated in article 343 that English shall continue to be used for all the official purposes of the Union during period from 1950 to 1965. In this period therefore, there is no question that English can be used, and in spite of the Swamiji or anybody else, English has a right, and whoever chooses to speak in English has a right to express himself or herself in this language. We have got this constitutional safeguard.

What are we envisaging in the period which is to follow? If status quo is continued, if prolonged bi-lingualism is the proclaimed ob-

jective of the Government, then surely we are permitting English to have the same kind of opportunity and privilege in official matters as it used to have between 1950 and 1965. But are we under any obligation because we pass an Official Languages Bill in 1970 or somewhere like that to continue English for ever and ever? We are not amending the Constitution. We are only having an ordinary Bill, which can be changed by ordinary methods of amendment. And what happens after ten years? According to this Bill, there is a parliamentary committee. That parliamentary committee reports. That report goes to the President, that report goes to the Sate Governments, that report is very conceivably and righthly discussed by the State legislatures because the State Governments would like to fortify their position by sounding the opinions of the legislatures, and after all this process has been gone through. it comes back to Parliament, Parliament decides what to do. Parliament on that occasion, let us hope, would decide that there is no further reason for continuing to give English the status which it has got today, and Parliament would come up with legislation of whatever kind is necessary, and we shall have the best solution conceivable at that point of time of our languages problem.

So, I feel that if in this legislation today we stick to "may", the prejudice already in the mind of the people in the non-Hindi areas would be accentuated, the suspicion already in the minds of the people in the non-Hindi speaking areas would be intensified, and you know very well how language is a particularly incendiary factor. If some people are given the opportunity of exploiting linguistic issues in that incendiary manner.

Therefore, when the country requires integration, when the country requires maximum possible unanimity on every single issue of importance,

our legislation should not be formulated in such a manner for legalistic or other things which can $onl_{\mathbf{y}}$ give room for more suspicion to accumulate, for animosities to grow, as between our linguistic groups. Therefore, I feel very strongly that if "may" is substituted by "shall" might mean some additional expenditure as far as this correspondence of the States with the Centre and among themselves is concerned, but that would not be particularly prohibitive, and there would be no problem as far as the Parliament and the country are concerned, after a lapse of ten years or so, to have a kind of legislation which would say good-bye to the status of English as far as official purposes is concerned.

I do, therefore, feel strongly and I earnestly ask the Home Minister not to consider this matter, not to look upon it as something obstinately put forward by the non-Hindi-speaking people. I am sure that many of the Hindi-speaking people will come to see the logic of what we are trying to point out. As I said before, we do want the transition as quickly as ever it is possible to our own languages, and personally speaking-I am speaking purely in my personal capacity-I am not so happy with the expression "prolonged bi-lingualism" which Shastriji uses. Why should it be so prolonged? Why should we not rather envisage that we should go ahead much quicker than we have done so far? Why should we not try to encourage Hindi and the other national languages to grow in such a manner that we can get out of the English swaddling clothes as early as possible? But we are not moving in that direction. If we do not want to pay the price for any change, we shall never have any change in this country. If we really had a thorough-going revolution, perhaps Hindi and the other national languages would have come into their own a long time ago, but because we did not have thorough-going revolution, because we inherited the entire apparatus of the British admiinstrative system, because

we wanted to go slow, because we believed in the inevitability of gradualness, because we do not want to bring about a sea change in the manner of life which our people are conducting in different areas of our country, we have chosen to proceed in a rather snail-like pace. That is why even in regard to our Plan we cannot go ahead. Let us try to follow a more imaginative policy; let us try to take that imaginative policy to the country and let us try to enthuse our people and then only we shall have emotional integration. Otherwise, all this talk about some legalistic methods of going ahead with lead us nowhere. That is why I feel that we should go ahead as quick as we can. But we should not take any hasty or precipitate step which would create a dangerous situation. Let us not intensify the suspicion, the doubt which is there merely lurking there, but which is overy much on the map. And there are people who positively are going to exploit that lurking suspicion and doubt in the country. That is why I am making a very humble submission to the Government to consider this amendment and accept it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Dr. P. S. Deshmukh.

Dr. Melkote (Hyderabad): I have been waiting to catch the eye of the Speaker for the past four days.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We have to conclude the debate. I will give five minute_S each. Dr. P. S. Deshmukh.

Shri P. S. Deshmukh: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I rise to oppose the amendments proposed by my hon. friend Shri Frank Anthony. The reasons have been partially covered already by my hon. friends Shri Tyagi and Dr. Singhvi. I firmly hold that it is not a mere question of duplicating the work or some little expenditure on going on with two languages. I personally feel that the use of the word "shall" will be against the Constitution. This point has also been.

[Dr. P. S. Deshmukh]

urged by quite a few hon. Members probably not so emphatically. I emphatically feel that to put the word "shall" in the place of "may" would be to give a complete go-by to the existing provisions in the Constitution especially article 343.

Official.

Secondly, the use of the word 'shall' will also be absolutely inconsistent with the Bill as we have it before us. The Bill has a specific purpose. It is not just to make provision for an alternative or an additional language, but it is for the purpose of providing certain language, but it is for the purpose of providing certain definite purposes, namely, to use it in Parliament and to use it as the language for official purposes. So, if we put the "shall" and accept also the amendments of Shri Frank Anthony, then, as has been pointed out, by one . or two hon. Members, it would seek to occupy more or less the same position as Hindi which can never be the case so long as the raticle, as it has been worded remains as a part of the Constitution.

The whole approach as between Hindi and English seems to me absolutely unrealistic and wholly wrong. Any two languages of India could be compared with each other. There might be rivalry between them, but any rivalry between English and Hindi, I cannot understand so long as English is a language which has been thrust upon us by the domination of a foreign power. Of course, we are anxious to take advantage of even this misfortune of ours and to that extent we are prepared to respect English. I for one love the English language. Nonetheless, I think it is wholly wrong to compare English with Hindi and to try to give English the same position as Hindi should occupy or Hindi occupies under the Constitution. After all, Hindi is an indigenous language; it is the language of the Indian people, but unfortunately we are too much embroiled in

political matters. We want to win the elections and want to sway public opinion by certain things which are, in my opinion, somewhat fantastic and utterly unnatural. Probably the fault lies with some of these Hindi sponsors trying to go fast and too far and not taking the trouble to really educate public opinion especially those people who do not speak Hindi. If we were to go to the common people and really ask them which language they would prefer, as between Hindi and English, I have not a shadow of doubt that the man who knows neither English nor Hindi is bound to prefer Hindi and not English. But unfortunately, all of us are raging for certain political achievements and certain successes. Thefore we leave the basic fact-aside and try to infuse fanaticism where there should be no room for it.

I was also amazed when Shri H. N. Mukerjee spoke in two voices. First of all, he pleaded that we should have a dynamic policy, a go-ahead policy. When it is a go-ahead policy, the natural policy is to accept the Constitution as it is and not have this compromise or concession which we are making by this Bill. This Bill is not a compromise; it is a concession to those people who feel that unless there is some time given for the changeover from English to Hindi, probably their interests will suffer. This is a concession; this is not a compromise. This is really extending the provisions and our intentions behind the Bill a little too far. On the other hand Prof. Mukerjee wants us to take every one with us, which means go slow.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi wanted 12 years. I do not know why he wanted 12 years. Already ten years are provided, and there is a provision that after ten years a review or examination will take place. The position will be determined how far English should continue. So, I feel that all the amendments of Shri Frank Anthony deserve to be opposed, and they are not really speaking covered by the Bill: It

12244.

would be extending the scope and destroy the very purpose of the Bill that is before us.

Shri G. N. Dixit (Etawah) Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I rise to oppose the amendment moved by Shri Frank Anthony. He has relied for his argument on the assurance given by the Prime Minister and his supporter, Shri Ranga, also relied on the assurance, I could understand Shri Ranga but I could not understand Shri Frank Anthony why he forgot the Constitution. He is not only a Constitutionmaker but also a constitutional lawyer. I would like to reply on both these grounds.

So far as the assurance of the Prime Minister is concerned, according to me, it is a political wish of a political leader. And whenever it is to be implemented in this House it has to implemented within the framework of the Constitution. No political leader worth the name can ever give an assurance that will transcend the Constitution. Not only this. Even when the assurance was given in respect of the other matter at the Colombo powers conference, it was definitely understood that the matter would come before this House and unless the approval is given by the House that assurance shall not be binding. My submission is this: that it was a poltical wish expressed in that assurance by the Prime Minister, and that political wish was subordinate to the Constitution.

My second point is this: we should all be obliged to Shri Ranga; he read out to us dramatically by giving us a register and showing the photos on that page that the wish or the assurance expressed by the Prime Minister did use the word 'will' and not 'shall' which is now being sought to be introduced by Shri Frank Anthony. My submission is this: so far as the Constitution is concerned, I very much doubt that even clause 3 as it stands, whenever it comes before the court after 1965, will hold water or will be declared to be void, much less

the word "shall". Because, after all, article 343(3) has to be kept in view. The present law or the present legislation that is being enacted is not on the basis of an assurance, but is within the framework of article 343(3). Article 343(3) says:

- "(3) Notwithstanding anything in this article, Parliament may by law provide for the use after the said period of fifteen years, of—
 - (a) the English language, or
 - (b) the Devanagari form of numerals,

for such purposes as may be specified in the law."

The limited scope, the restrictive purpose, has to be specified in the law, and so let us see what article 343(3) says. I will now read out article 343(2):

"(2) Notwithstanding anything in clause (1), for a period of fifteen years from the commencement of this Constitution, the English language shall continue to be used for all the official purposes of the Union—

please note, Mr. Deputy-Speaker, the words used here—

"for all the official purposes of the Union for which it was being used immediately before such commencement:"

But the word used in sub-clause (3) is "for such purposes as may be specified in the law". The sense of sub-clause (3) is restrictive. It cannot be equal to what is contained in sub-clause (2). Therefore, the word "shall" will make it entirely void, because any law repugnant to the Constitution shall be void, according to article 13. Therefore, to enact a law which is going to be void shall never be the right thing for this House to do.

The argument is advanced that "may" means "shall" and "shall" means "may". If that is so, what is

12245

the good of bringing the word "shall" in place of "may"? If "may" can mean "shall" and "shall" can mean "may", there is no point in changing the words. "may" and "shall". But the fact remains that "may" means "may" and "shall" means "shall" except in exceptional circumstances. Those exceptional circumstances shall be interpreted by the court of law and they shall be interpreted in view of the terminology of article 343. Whether you put "shall" or "may", it will have to be interpreted as "may" in view of the language laid down in artilce 343(1), because the official language of the Union shall be Hindi and not English. Therefore, by no law enacted in this House, you can place English on an equal status with Hindi, because the constitutional provision is there.

My humble submission is, when Mr. Anthony was making that argument about the Prime Minister's assurance my mind went back to all those who are harping on plebiscite in Kashmir. That demand is also based on an assurance given by the Prime Minister in 1947 or 1940. They also repeat times without number that an assurance was given by the Prime Minister. But the assurance given by the Prime Minister is to be implemented within the frame work of the Constitution. Therefore, that assurance is being fully implemented within the framework of the Constitution in terms of the law as it is before the House. Therefore, I support clause 3 as it stands and oppose the amendments of Mr. Anthony.

श्रीमती लक्ष्मीकान्तम्मा (खम्मम) ! छपाध्य महोदय, मैं इस क्लाज का पूरा पूरा समर्थन करना चाहती हूं । मैंने यहां श्राने के बाद हिन्दी सीखना प्रारम्भ किया । इसलिए मेरी भावना है कि मैं हिन्दी में ही बोलूं । हमारे सदस्य टांटय स्मिहिब ने मुझे दो किताबें टीं। वह चपचाप हिन्दी की सेवा कर रहे हैं ।

मैं ग्रपने हिन्दी भाषी भाइयों से ग्रौर सेठ गोविन्द दास जी से यह बोलना चाहती हूं कि इस क्लाज का उद्देश्य हिन्दी को सदा के लिए समाप्त करना नहीं है। यदि ऐसा हो तो मैं उसका अवश्य विरोध करूंगी । लेकिन उसका ऐसा उद्देश्य नहीं है । हिन्दी को व्याप्त होने तक स्रंग्रेजी को सह-भाषा बनाना स्रनिवार्य हो जाता है। जब हम श्राजादी के लिए लड रहे थे तो हिन्दी हमारे राष्ट्रीय आन्दोलन का प्रतीक थी । हिन्दी सीखना और उसका प्रचार देशभक्ति का प्रथम कर्तव्य माना जाता था। किन्तु स्राजादी के स्राते ही एक स्रोर हमने जहां हिन्दी को राष्ट्र भाषा के पद पर विठा दिया वहां दूसरी श्रोर उसके मार्ग में जाने अनजाने कई बाधाएं खडी करते रहे । इसलिए हिन्दी श्राज तक एक प्रान्तीय भाषा ही बनी रही है। उसका साहित्य भी कई बोलियों में बंटा हम्रा है। म्राधनिक खडी बोली रूप में हिन्दी का विकास उस मात्रा में नहीं हो पाया है जिस मात्रा में ग्रन्य भारतीय भाषाग्रों का हम्रा है। इसलिए हिन्दी को एक बारगी ग्रधिकारक भाषा बनाने में ग्रनेक कठिनाइयां पायी गयीं ।

इसके अलावा एक ऐसी भाषा को जिस का विकास अत्यन्त सीभित रहा, मारे देश के प्रशासन पर लागू करना और कठिनाइयों के बावजूद लागू करने का आग्रह करना मेरी सम्मति में बड़ी भूल थी। और जब यह आग्रह हिन्दी भाषा भाषी भाइयों की और से होने लगा तो तुरन्त उसे "हिन्दी इम्पीरिय-लिज्म" का स्वरूप प्राप्त हुआ। इसी का मुझे खेद है।

भाषा मानव समाज को सभ्य बनाने श्रौर भनुष्यों को एक दूसरे के पाम लाने का साधन मात्र है। इसके ग्रितिरक्त उसका कोई ग्रमा-धारण महत्व नहीं होना चाहिए।

मैं दक्षिण भारत से ग्राती हूं। ग्रान्ध्र के कौने कोने में जनता हिन्दी सीखना चाहती है। मैं यह सुझाना चाहती हूं कि ग्राज से हम हिन्दी का राष्ट्रभाषा के रूप में विकास करना शुरू करें ग्रीर ग्राज की परिस्थित में इसी का

12248

Official

भ्रनुमोदन करें। यदि रचनात्मक दृष्टि से हिन्दी के विकास में जुट जायेंगे तो बार बार इस बिल की पुनरावृत्ति नहीं करनी पड़ेगी भ्रीर भविष्य में इस प्रकार के बिल की भ्रावश्यकता नहीं होगी।

में भारत सरकार से यह अनुरोध करना चाहूंगी कि हिन्दी प्रान्तों में लिखी पुस्तकों और साहित्य को अहिन्दी प्रान्तों में सिखाने के बदले अहिन्दी प्रान्तों में ही हिन्दी साहित्य वहीं के जानकारों और साहितकों द्वाहा लिखवाने का परा पूरा प्रबन्ध किया जाये जिस दिन काश्मीर से लेकर केरल तक और कच्छ से लेकर कानरूप तक देश के कोने कोने में हिन्दी कवि और लेखक तैयार हो जायेंगे वही दिन सच्चे नानों में राष्ट्रभाषा की स्थापना का दिन होगा।

हिन्दी का विकास सारे राष्ट्र की जिम्मे-वारी होनी चाहिए । वह विकास बहुमुखी होगा ।

इतना ही कह कर मैं समाप्त करूंगी।

डा० मेलकोटे : डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब, आज इस सदन में जो लोग देशभिकत को प्रकट करना चाहते हैं उन सबके लिए हिन्दी में भाषण करना जरूरी है । में खास कर आनरेबिल मेम्बर एंथनी साहब को विनती करना चाहता हूं कि वह नामिनेटेड मेम्बर होने के कारण हम लोगों की दिक्कतों को महसूस नहीं करते।

देश में ६०, ७० या ८० फीसदी लोग जो अंग्रेजी नहीं जानने वाले हैं, उनके सामने जाकर अगर अंग्रेजी में अपना मन्तव्य प्रकट करेंगे तो डिमाकेसी कहां रहेगी, इसके बारे में उनको सोचना चाहिए । अगर उनको हमारी तरह इलेक्शन लड़ना पड़े तो उनको पता लगे कि जनता के साप किस भाषा में बात की जा सकती है।

दूसरो बात मैं यह कहना चाहता हं कि इस सदन में जो हम लोग ग्रंग्रेजी में बोलते हैं उसका कारण यह है कि हम जानते हैं ग्रगर हम अपना भाषात्रों में, कन्नड में, तमिल में. बंगला में. गजराता ग्रादि में बोलेंगे तो भ्रन्य लोग हमारा बात नहीं समझ सकेंगे, लेकिन अंग्रेजी में समझ सकते हैं। मेरी डिप्टो स्पोकर महोदय से विनती है कि वह ऐसा प्रबन्ध करें कि देश की १४ भाषात्रों का टांस्लेशन साथ साथ हो सके। ऐसा करने से हर एक सदस्य को ग्रपनी भाषा में बोलने की सुविधा हो सकती है। ग्राज तो स्थिति यह है कि अगर कोई अंग्रेजी या हिन्दी के सिवा हा ि मातभाषा में बोलना चाहे तो उसको श्रपनी स्पीच का लिखित अनुवाद देना पड़ता है। दिल की भावना मातुभाषा के सिवा दूसरी भाषा में कैसे व्यक्त कर सकते हैं। इसलिए मैं चाहता हं कि श्रब जब १४ भाषात्रों को राष्ट्र भाषा माना जाता है तो उन सबके लिए सदन में ऐसी व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए कि उनका साथ साथ ग्रनवाद हो सके जैसा कि ग्रन्त-र्राष्ट्रीय सभाग्रों में होता है।

तीसरो बात मैं यह रखना चाहता हं कि कई मर्तबा मुझे विदेशों को जाने का मौका मिला। वहाँ पर जब एक हिन्दुस्तानी दूसरे हिन्दुस्ताना से मिलता है तो अंग्रेजा में बात करता है। तो वहां के लोग पूछते हैं कि क्या श्रापकी श्रपनी कोई मातुभाषा नहीं है। ग्रगर एंथना साहब विलायत जाएं तो वे अपनी भाषा क्या वतलायेंगे, हिन्दी या श्रंग्रेजी । हमको यह देख कर दृ:ख होता है कि हमको भ्रापस में में ग्रंग्रेजी में बोलना पडता है, हम अपना मात-भाषा में नहीं बोल सकते हैं। मैं एक बात श्रापके सामने साफ साफ रखना चाहता हं। मैं दक्षिण भारत से ग्राता हं। मेरं। मातु-भाषा हिन्दी नहीं है। आप तमिल को नेशनल लैंग्वेज बनाएं, मैं ग्रापकी ताईद करने के लिए तैयार हं। लेकिन भ्रंग्रेजी को हमेशा के लिए रखने को तैयार नहीं हं।

[डा० मेलकोटे]

12249

लेकिन हमारे होम मिनिस्टर साहब और प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहव पोलिटिकल हालत को महेनजर रखते हए और उसे महसूस करते हए ग्रार हिस्टारिकल रोजन्स जो हैं उनको ध्यान में रखते हुए श्रग्रेजी को थोड़ा समय आगे ले जाने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं। वह तो किसी कदर ठाक है। लेकिन हिन्दों को प्रगति को दिशा में इन पिछले १५ वर्षों में कोई ठोस चोज ग्रथवा कार्य नहीं हम्रा है। यहां सदन में जो बजट ग्रादि पास हए हैं, उनको देखने से मालम होता है कि दक्षिण भारत में हिन्दी को बढ़ाने और उसका प्रचार करने के हेतु ग्रब तक एक पैसा नहीं दिया गया है। कोई रक्तम इसके लिए बजट में नहीं प्रोवाइड की गई है। यहां से कई मतंबे यह स्नावाज उठाई गई कि हिन्दी प्रान्तों में हिन्दी की प्रचार की ज हरत नहीं है, अहिन्दा प्रान्तों में हिन्दी के लिए सहायता दो जाय लेकिन उधर कोई ध्यान नहीं दिया गया । हम एक हिन्दी यनिवरसिटी बनाने के लिए काफा कोशिश कर रहे हैं। हिन्दों को ज्यादा प्रगति करने के लिये काफी पैसा भो हमने जमा किया है। तक़रीबन पिछले ४० साल से हम उधर लोगों को हिन्दी का ज्ञान कराने के लिए कोशिश कर रहे हैं। हम काफ़ा समय से हिन्दी को सेवा करते ग्राये हैं।

ग्रहिन्दी भाषो भाषा लोगों को ग्राज हिन्दी के प्रति जो एक डर है उसको ग़लत नहीं समझा जाना चाहिए । चीज यह है कि उधर के लोग चूंकि हिन्दों का उनको इतना ग्रच्छा ज्ञान नहीं हो पाता है इमलिए उनको डर रहता है कि दक्षिण के उम्मीदवार उत्तर भारत के उम्मीदवारों के मुकाबले में इम्तिहानों ग्रीर कम्पदांशन्स में ठहर नहीं पायेंगे । इसलिए ज़रूरत इस बात की है कि उन्हें हिन्दों सिखाने के लिए प्रोत्साहन दिया जाय । उधर हिन्दों की मूनिवरसिटीज स्थापित को जायें ग्रीर उनको उसके लिए ग्राधिक मदद ग्रीर रकम दी जाय । इसलिए दक्षिण भारत के लोगों में

ब्राज जो एक इर है उसको उत्तर भारत के लोगों को दूर करना देना चाहिए श्रार दक्षिण भारत में हिन्दी को बढ़ाने के लिए अधिका-धिक रक्तम प्रोवाइड की जाय ग्रीर वहां के लोगों को इसके लिए सभी सम्भव प्रोत्साहन दिये जायं। साथ ही मैं कहंगा कि हमारे दक्षिण भारत के लोग ग्रागे ग्रायें ग्रीर उन्हें तेजो के साथ हिन्दों का ज्ञान प्राप्त करना चाहिए । वहां पर हिन्दी यनिवरसिटीज होनी चाहिए और उसके लिए काफ़ी रकम मिलना चाहिए। इसी तरीके से उत्तर प्रदेश के जितने लोग हैं उनको चाहिए कि वे दक्षिण की कोई प्रादेशिक भाषा सीखें ग्रीर उनको कोई ग्रहिन्दी भाषा सीढ़ने के लिए ग्राँर समझने के लिए रक्षम मिलनी चाहिए ग्रीर सरकारी मदद व प्रोत्साहन मिलना चाहिए । इस तरह से लोग शिक्षण लें ग्रांर इस तरह से देश करे १४ भाषाओं को मदद मिले हो मैं समुझता हं कि वह दिन शीघ्र स्रायेगा जबकि सारे राज्यों स्नौर केन्द्र का सारा काम यह की प्रान्तीय भाषात्रों ग्रौर सेंटर का हिन्दों में होने लगेगा ।

माज देश में यह भावना मौजद है कि हमारे देश की राजभाषा इन १४ शदेशिक भाषात्रों में से कोई एक हो जाय लेकिन इस देश की राजभाषा इंश्लिश न हो । प्रैक्टिकल रीजन्स को बिना पर भ्रंग्रेजो कुछ दिन के लिए भले ही रह सकती है लेकिन हमेशा के लिए वह राजभाषा नहीं बनो रह सकतो है। १४ भाषात्रों में से कोई भी एक इस देश की राजभाषा हो जाय लेकिन वह इस देश की हो । जब तक हम इस चीज का समर्थन नहीं करेंगे हम देश के प्रति द्रोही माने जायेंगें। मैं इसलिए श्री एन्थनी से विनती करूंगा कि यह जो "मे" शब्द रक्खा गया है इसका समर्थन उत्तर प्रदेश श्रौर ग्रन्य हिन्दी भाषा भाषी प्रदेशों ग्रीर दक्षिण के लोगों ने भी किया है श्रौर "शैल" को उन्होंने उचित नहीं समझा है क्योंकि हम सब लोगों के सामने जो जाकर बात करना चाहते हैं वह बन्द हो जायगी । इसलिए मैं श्री एन्थर्ना से पुनः स्राग्रह करूंगा कि वे प्रपने स्रोमंडमेंट्स को वापिस ले लें । होम मिनिस्टर साहब ने जिस रूप में यह बिल पेश किया है उसका में समर्थन करता हूं।

Shri M. Muhammad Ismail (Man-Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir. I support the amendment that is before the House, the amendment moved by Shri Frank Anthony. In supporting that, I will have to say a good deal about the reasons that prompt me to support it. I have been trying for the last so many days to catch your eye and to get an opportunity of speaking in the general discussion of the Bill before us, but I was not given opportunity then. Therefore, I think you will show a little more latitude than what you promised a few minutes ago for me, so that I may put forward my views as to why I am compelled, in the interest of the country and in the interest of the nation support the amendment that has been moved by Shri Anthony.

There has been much discussion about the difference between words "may" and "shall". The Minister said that "may" has the force of "shall". The Prime Minister said that it should be "shall". Therefore, I think the Home Minister also may agree with the other two ministers, so far as Government is concerned, and agree to this word "shall". So far as I am able to understand the provision in the Constitution regarding matter, there would not be any difficulty because there also the "shall" has been used and there nothing to reclude the use of the word "shall" in this connection.

But the most important thing, in this connection, that we have to understand is why our friends are insisting upon the continuance of English as the official language—the emphasis is on "official language". Of course, language is not a mere question of expression conveying our ideas from one people to another. It affects 439 (Ai) LSD—7.

the whole life, and official language has got its own influence upon people. It affects the economic sphere of the people. The educational, social and other aspects of life being influenced by the language and the official language also. Supposing we make one of the national guages of the country, one of the 14 languages of the country, as the official language, what happens? of our hon. firends, even the previous speaker, said that they would not have much objection if our friends wanted one of the other 14 languages to be made the official language. I do not think they are very serious about the matter (Interruption). But the non-Hindi-speaking friends have not now demanded that one of mother tongues should be made official language in the place of Hindi. They are very reasonable. They have not made that demand.

Now, you take Hindi or any other language which is the mother tongue of a group of people in the country. What happens? In course of time that will become the language of the ruling party, that will bring existence a ruling party, and other people who do not have that particular language which is the official language as their mother tongue will surely be at a disadvantage. Their children and others who try to master that language cannot become so proficient as the people who have got that language as their mother tongue. This is the real difficulty. Therefore, the bitterness will grow amongst the people, there will conflicts whereby the unity of country will be affected, the integration of the nation will be affected.

Adoption of English is a thing that has been necessitated or brought into being by the peculiar conditions of our country. We cannot in every respect take the example of other countries. In our country there are languages, not only languages but there are blocks of people....

Shri Hajarnavis: This country was partitioned even though English was the common language.

Official

Shri S. M. Bancrjee: Partitioned because of Englishmen.

Shri M. Muhammad Ismail: The country has been reorganised according to the languages spoken by the people. Therefore, these languages will exist. Perhaps some people may cite the example of America and say that almost every day people speaklanguages different go America and they all take to one language. But here in our country states which are organised on basis of language. Therefore, we must take the peculiar condition of country. If we take any one of the mother tongues of the people of this country that will give rise to conflict. Then, people who speak one particular language will become the ruling class, and we would be creating ruling class and a ruled class. cannot be allowed in a democracy. surely. I want everyone to consider this very very calmly. Let them not bring passion into play in this matter. We have to take the circumstances of the case. Therefore, they must take this question into consideration decide what is it that they want, whether they want the ascendancy of one particular language, the pride of place to be given to one language, or they want the unity of the country and the integration of the country maintained over everything else this country. That is the choice before them (Interruptions) and that choice has to be made. So, in the interests of the unity of the country, the people of the non-Hindi-speaking areas implore you to come to a right decision on this matter.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, clause 3 of the Bill relates to two articles of the Constitution, namely, articles 343 and 120. Up till now, the entire attention of the House has ben rivetted only on article 343. I want to draw the attention of the House to the other article, to

which also clause 3 is related, namely, article 120. Sub-clause (b) of clause 3 relates to article 120. Since clause (1) of article 343 says that the official language of the Union shall Hindi in Devanagari script, a question hon. Members was raised by some whether any lease of life can granted to the English language long as the article remains as it is. I only suggest to those hon. Members to look at article 120. What does say? It says:

"Notwithstanding anything in Part XVII, but subject to the provisions of article 348, business in Parliament shall be transacted in Hindi or in English:"

There are two elements in this article. Of course, it is limited to fifteen years. That limitation is there. But there are two elements in article 120 which deserve notice. Firstly, it overrides article 343; the entire Chapter XVII, except article 348, is overridden by article 120. So, English not only may but shall continue to be used in the same status as Hindi in the business of Parliament. This is so far as Parliament is concerned.

There is another element in this article. The language used is "business in Parliament shall be transacted in Hindi or in English". So, Hindi and English are placed in the same status, and any one of these may be used alternatively. That is the position created by article 120. Up till now, the attention of the House has not been drawn to this, and that is why I thought I should crave your indulgence to draw attention of the House to this.

I shall make only another remark regarding the speech and the amendments of Shri Hiren Mukerjee, because his speech has been commend both by the Prime Minister and the Home Minister. The standpoint that Shri Mukerjee has taken up in his

speech, and in Amendment No. 61 that he in conjunction with Shri Gopalan has put in, is that he is prepared dispense with English only on some conditions, and the conditions are that all the languages in the Constitution should be allowed to be used in this Parliament equally and there should be arrangements for simultaneous translation to all the languages; further, there should be no requirement that advance copies, either in English or in Hindi, should be required to be submitted to the Speaker It is only subject to these three conditions that Shri Hiren Mukerjee is prepared to dispense with English. If these conditions are conceded by Government, I believe then all these questions that are being raised now about Telugu. Tamil, Bengali and Marathi will disappear at once. If every Member is permited to speak in Parliament in his own regional language, and if all the regional languages are given the same status, these difficulties will at once go away. I believe, after the commendation that Shri Mukerjee has received both from the Prime Minister and the Home Minister, this position will be considered by Government. I believe. I have correctly stated what Shri Mukerjee stated in his speech and in his amendment. That is all I have got to say.

Shri Hajarnavis: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir. after the clear and complete exposition of the policy which underlines this Bill, both bv Prime Minister and the Home Minister, I do not propose to deal with the criticism of those who do not agree with the policy and who would have us change it. I would only expound clause 3 from the legal point of view and try to convince you, Sir, that it carries out, both in spirit and in letter, our policy, as embodied in the assurance of the Prime Minister, and that there is no discrepancy whatsoever between the Prime Minister's policy statement and the exposition which the Home Minister made in this House yesterday.

15.56 hrs.

[Dr. Sarojini Mahashi in the Chair] I will again read clause 3, which says:

"Notwithstanding the expiration of the period of fifteen years from the commencement of the Constitution, the English language may, as from the appointed day, continue to be used, in addition to Hindi,—"

and here I may emphasise, Madam as you did, and I shall have to borrow, not for the first time, some of the very weighty observations that fell from you when you were speaking on this very Bill:

- "(a) foir all the official purposes of the Union for which it was being used immediately before that day; and
- (b) for the transaction of business in Parliament."

Now, it says two or three important things. First of all, it refers to the expiration of the period of fifteen years. Then, it permits the use English in addition to Hindi for purposes which are mentioned in clauses (a) and (b). I also owe a debt gratitude to the speaker who preceded me. He has pointed out, as I intended to point out, that clause 3 combines the provisions in two articles. So we have to consider effect of those two articles if we want to see the effect which the clause seeks to provide. As you rightly emphasized, Madam, in your speech, though the period of fifteen years has expired, the status quo in respect of these two matters continues the two provisions had to be combined in one statement in the interest of brevity and compactness. When in a Bill two similar ideas are to be combined, the at one place draftsman tries to put the similar ideas and also tries, if possible, to include all the law on subject, so that whoever has occasion to consult the law may find it at one place. This is his attempt, and this is what we must realise before we try to construe the law and examine as to

[Shri Hajarnavis] why it is cast in this form.

13257

Before I come to my submission, my exposition, as to why the draftsman has used a particular phrase, we must try to understand the difference bet. ween the clause and draft amendment No. 34, which has been moved by my hon, friend, Shri Frank Anthony, because I find there is practically no difference, so far as implementation is concerned. Though clause, as it is, is somewhat different, in actual practice, I think his apprehension is entirely misplaced. I am merely saying that even though draftsman has used the word "may", even then the use of English is unrestricted. If he wants the use English, he shall not be deprived his right to do so by another person, except by his own will. That is contained in clause 3 and that is reason why I oppose the amendment.

16 hrs.

Coming back to article 343, much emphasis has been laid on clause(1) of article 343. Of course, it enshrines Hindi as our official language which is also our national language. Clause (1) says:—

"The official language of the Union shall be Hindi in Devanagari script."

That is a part of the Constitution. But then it also provides further by clause (2), which is also a part of the Constitution, that English shall continue to be used, as it was being used, for 15 years. Clause (2) is as much a part of the Constitution as clause (1) and those who try to swear by the Constitution, who exalt the Constitution, must also remember that clause (2) is as much a part of the Constitution as clause (1).

Then, there follows clause (3) which, again I emphasise, is a part of the Constitution, under which we are legislating. A part of the Bill falls

under clause (3) of article 343. Therefore we are not in any way contravening the Constitution. We are not in any way going against the Constitution in bringing forward this Bill.

Clause (1) of article 343 is subject to clause 3) where it has been said:—

"Notwithstanding anything in this article"

which includes the period of 15 years mentioned in clause (2)

"Parliament may by law provide for the use, after the said period of fifteen years, of the English language".

I might point out that the words used throughout this article are "use of language". Therefore, if a certain continuity had to be maintained in law which derives from this part of Constitution, then, I think, the draftsman was quite right in borrowing or using the words which are used in the Constitution itself. So, he had to use the expression "use of the English language".

Then, there is the other article which is combined with it. It was read a short while ago by my hon, friend, Shri Chapala Kanta Bhattacharyya. There the word used is "shall". That form used is the same as has been used by my hon. friend, Shri Anthony, in his amendment. He uses the word "shall" but uses the word "or". Now the same idea can be used numerous ways. I will not say that his draft is better than mine or that my draft is better than his, but I will say that my draft is as good as his. It conveys the same meannig. Therefore I see no reason why I should change it and why I should apologise.

Shri Barrow (Nominated—Anglo-Indians): Why not be generous and interchange them?

Shri liajarnavis: Why? Article 120 uses the other phrase, namely--

"business in Parliament shall be transacted in Hindi or in English".

The draftsman and the Constitution could have said that in transacting the business in Parliament Hindi or English may be used There the word that would be used will not be "shall" but it will be "may" because that gives the discretion to the Today I can start speaking speaker. in English and I shall be in order. I may start speaking in Hindi and I will be in order. The word "may" gives the discretion. It gives the discretion to me. It does not give the discretion to anyone in the House to say, "You will not use Hindi but you will use English" On the other hand, if I am now speaking in English, no one can suggest that I am out of order in speaking in English. That is to say, a right has been given to the user of that language to use any of these two at his will Therefore you will see that the proviso to article 343(2) upon which we draw also uses the same language as has been reproduced in clause (3). Referring to 343(3), legislating upon power which is expressly given by article 343 (3), I do not think that the draftsman could do anything better than use the same languag as the article uses.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Article 343 (3) actually says that it should be confined (Interruption). It is not for you. I take serious exception to the gesture of asking you to ask me to sit down.

Mr. Chairman: He is not going to yield.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: When the hon. Minister makes that, it is unparliamentary and impolite. It is not for him to say that should sit down.. (Interruption). When he says that article 343(3) says so, I said that it is not permissible.

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member may ask a question afterwards. He should not interrupt him now.. (Interruption).

Shri Hajarnavis: Coming to clause (2), having said in article 343 (1) that

the official language of he Union shall be Hindi in Devanagrai script, it says:—

"Notwithstanding anything in clause (1), for a period of fifteen years from the commencement of this Constitution, the English language shall continue to be used".

As you pointed out some time back in your speech, the words that important, that give vitality to this Bill, that give substance to this Bill are "continue to be used". That is to say, we visualise what the status quo was. Then these words are apt describe the continuance of that status quo. Therefore we will have to see what the status quo was before the stage which is continued by this legislation. So, the words are "shall continue to be used for all official purposes of the Union for which was being used immediately before such commencement: Provide the President may-" I pause here. Here the word "may" occurs. Members who have doubt about the word "may" may refer to this "may". Then it says:-

"during the said period, by order authorise the use of the Hindi language in addition to the English language".

The phraseology, the expressions used are exactly the same as here in clause 3. During the 15 years were operating on the proviso, were working the proviso, during this time English was used for all purposes; but the President could make an authorisation, and by his order he opened out a certain area. Some areas have ben opened and what happened? English, of course, had to be used because that is the substantive part of the article. English could be used, but in addition Hindi may be used. That is to say, while dealing with the Hindi section, if I felt like noting in Hindi, I could do it. It is merely permissive.

Shri Frank Anthony: That is right.

Shri Hajarnavis: Similarly here, as the hon. Prime Minister pointed out, what has been done is that though article 343 comes into effect, after that English loses all status if we do not legislate under article 343(3)—English then loses all its right to being used....

Shri Frank Anthony: The issue is: you legislate either mandatorily or permissively. You remove the restriction. Why do you remove it permissively and not mandatorily? That is the simple issue.

Shri Hajarnavis: I will answer it; I am answering it.

16.08 hrs.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

First of all, start with the position which article 343(1) brings into effect, namely, after the expiry of 15 years Hindi becomes the official language. No other language has any status. No person has any right to use any other language so far as official purpose is concerned. Then what do we do? We make English as an additional language. Is there anything permissive about it? Is there anything to say that the status of English or the function that we are attributing to English is permissive?

Shri Frank Anthony: It is the use that is permissive.

Shri Hajarnavis: It is the use. But the use is by the user.

Shri Frank Anthony: He may not use it.

Shri Hajarnavis: We go on the supposition that a person at a time uses one languages. If I have got to talk to Shri Anthony, I shall speak to him either in Hindi or in English. What the word "may" says is that I might either speak to him in Hindi or in English.

Shri Frank Anthony: You may not speak in English. Shri Hajarnavis: Now the word, as Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri, who is a very eminent lawyer of vast experience, yesterday in his speech said, "may" does, of course mean "may not". But who may not? The question is, "who may not?"

Shri Frank Anthony: The Central Government,

Shri Hajarnavis: No, there is nothing like the Central Government. There is no person or entity called "the Central Government'. For this context we are all a collection of persons who form the Central Government. In the Central Government......

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: It is very strange.

Shri Hajarnavis: The hon. Member may learn many strange things. He has still time to learn. He need not despair.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I think, time is not lost even for him to learn.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi (Hamirpur): Everybody learns in life.

Shri Hajarnavis: I will always learn.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Who learns most?

Shri Hajarnavis: In the official function of the Central Government more than one person is engaged. He might use English; he might use Hindi. If tomorrow I were to write to my secretary in Hindi or in English and if he were to write in English, I will not be able to object if he wrote to me in English. clause 3, I will not be able to say, he has not used the official language. Suppose we proceed to transact the official business. Now, after 1965, if anyone writes to me and if I were to reply, I have got to write in Hindi. That is the injunction of article 343 of the Constitution. If anyone tried to reply to me, I would say, the official rep.~ must be in Hindi. What

does clause 3 say? He may, in addition to Hindi, use English. This is what the Prime Minister's assurance was. This is what he said. I asked a pointed question of Mr. Mukerjee whose knowledge of English is second to none—I hope Mr. Anthony will pardon me.

Shri Frank Anthony: I agree. That is why I agree with his arguments.

Shri Hajarnavis: So, I asked him how he met the point which was made by the Home Minister yesterday that if 'may' is substituted by 'shall', it will mean in every case, whoever writes in Hindi will also be compelled to write in English. Then, there shall have to be two communications. If I were to write in Hindi, I will have to use English. That is the import of the word 'shall'. This is the point which has been realised by Mr. Anthony. I know, so far as his legal knowledge is concerned, his integrity is very high and, therefore, he has cast it in another form. I say it can be done. It follows the pattern somewhat on the line of article 120. I am not finding fault with the draft. I am merely defending my own draft and I claim for it that in this it reproduces the language of the Constitution, of the very article of the Constitution on the basis of which or for the working of the scheme of which this clause is being used. I submit there are two things. One is giving a certain validity to the use of English. A certain status to the use of English that unambiguously, that indefinitely without the limitation of time, without there being any extraneous control excepting the will of the user right to use English in addition to Hindi is guaranteed under clause 3. There is no limitation of any kind. The Prime Minister said so. The Home Minister said so. Today I also say so. To my pointed question, as to how, 'shall' can be used in clause 3, Prof. Mukerjee, I am sorry to say, had no answer. I thought it was only lawyers, when they were pressed for an inconvenient answer, evaded it. I do not know it happens also in the case

of professors. I am not quite sure whether Mr. Anthony would use the word 'shall' here.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: On a point of order, Sir. He cannot address Mr. Anthony personally, or directly.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Hajarnavis: Therefore, I submit this clause, as it is framed, does two things. One is, though after the expiration of the 15 year period Hindi alone is the official language, after 15 years English shall be placed by its side. If any friend from south India would continue to use English, if anyone thinks that his knowledge of Hindi is inadequate and that he will have to rely upon the use of English for the purposes of (a) and (b) of clause 3, then he may rest assured that if he intends to use English for both these purposes, there extraneous control over him. There is no outside power which will prohibit him from using that right. The significance of the word is 'in addition'. In addition to Hindi, English can be used and may be used. As Dr. Mahishi pointed out in her very informed speech, very learned speech, the most important phrase in clause is 'continue to be used'. Have you anything to complain against the use of English during these 15 years in matters relating to (a) and (b)? If it has worked well, if you have not found any difficulty in using English during this time, then in the same manner you can continue to English. The emphasis is again on the word 'continue'. Therefore, any apprehensions that have been voiced in the House rest on no foundation whatsoever. I hope those of us who agree with the policy will agree that clause 3 does embody the Minister's assurance and our and it has the additional advantage that it reproduces the language of the Constitution.

I would not like to say anything against Shri Ranga. He referred to a very unhappy incident in the life of

[Shri Hajarnavis]

12265

this nation. He thinks that certain mistakes were committed. But, it almost sounded to me as if it was an incitement to disruption. On an occasion like this when we are trying to develop a common language.

Shri Ranga: I gave a warning. You turn it into an incitement. What mockery?

Shri Hajarnavis: It looked like that Shri Radhelal Vyas said that we should add to the clause without prejudice to the Constitution. Nothing that we do prejudices the Constitution, changes the Constitution unless the Constitution is amended in the manner provided by article 366. No draftsman will use the word, 'without prejudice to the Constitution'. We have all sworn to abide by the Constitution. We act within the Constitution. Whatever legislation we try to bring before the House, we must satisfy ourselves that it does not prejudice the Constitution.

Some Members have sought to move amendments which would restrict the duration of clause 3 to a definite period. As I have already said, it is a matter of policy. The Prime Minister has said that it will continue indefinitely. As regards consultation of non-Hindi States, as the Home Minister pointed out yesterday, that there has been a constant and intimate consultation with the Governments of the non-Hindi States. I believe we are right in treating their opinion on what should apply to their states a little more authoritative than Shri Frank Anthony's. With these words. I move.

Shri Prabhat Kar: On a point of clarification....

Mr. Speaker: We have had enough, I suppose.

Shri Prabhat Kar: This is a very important point. One clarification. The hon. Minister said just now that, so far as the word 'may' here is concerned, it is in addition to Hindi. That means, if any one likes to write or correspond in English, that is allowed. That is what he has said. That is where I wanted a clarification. Take. for instance, an official document sent to me which is in Hindi. Under this clause, I am not entitled to say, because I do not know Hindi, it must be in English. This is how the explanation is given. It is left to the person who is not debarred from writing in English. He may. In addition to Hindi: that means, if he writes in Hindi, he will not be asked to write in English. He may write in English. To me an official document is sent from the Central Government. It is in Hindi. In that case, according to this explanation that he has given, even though I may not understand Hindi, that official document I shall not claim that it should be written in English. Is that the clarification? That is exactly what he said. That is not the explanation given by the Home Minister.

Hajarnavis: Legally Shri either language can be used. Just as in Parliament, I can speak in Hindi or in English, similarly I can use either Hindi or English.

Shri Prabhat Kar: That is not the explanation of the Home Minister or the Prime Minister.

श्री बागड़ी: ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं एक बात नहीं समझ पाया श्रपने श्रमेंडमेंट के बारे में जो कि १५२ नम्बर का है। इसमें ग्रंग्रेजी जो होगी वह ऐडीशनल लैंग्वेज होगी और हिन्दी श्चाफिशल लैंग्वैज होगी । उसमें मेरी तरमीम यह थी कि जो स्रोरिजिनल बिल्स वगैरह होंगे वह ग्राफिशल लैंग्वेज हिन्दी में होंगे ग्रीर उनका ट्रांसलेशन जो ऐडीशनल लैंग्वेज इंग्लिश है उसमें किया जाय । इस के बारे में माननीय मन्त्री महोदय ने कुछ नहीं बतलाया।

Shri Hajarnavis: I oppose all the amendments.

Mr. Speaker: Now, I shall put the amendments to vote. Do hon. Members want to have division on any one amendment?

Shri Prabhat Kar: I want division on amendment No. 57.

Mr. Speaker: Amendment No. 57 is barred, because it is the same as amendment No. 36.

Shri Frank Anthony: Then, we can, divide on amendment No. 36.

Mr. Speaker: So, I suppose I can put all the other amendments together to vote.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: I would beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment No. 126.

The amendment (No. 126) was, by leave, withdrawn.

Shri Frank Anthony: I would like amendment No. 146 to be disposed of by voice vote.

Shri Bade: I would like amendment No. 53 to be disposed of by voice vote.

Mr. Speaker: So, I shall put the other amendments together to the vote of the House.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: I also beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment. But before doing so, I should like to say a word.

Mr. Speaker: But she never moved her amendment.

I shall now put amendments Nos. 35, 145, 60, 147, 149, 152 and 127 to vote.

The amendments (Nos. 35, 145, 60, 147, 149, 152 and 127) were put and negatived.

Speaker: I shall now put amendment No .58 to vote. Those in favour of this amendment may say 'Aye'.

Some Hon. Members: 'Aye'.

Mr. Speaker: Those against may say 'No'.

Several Hon Members: 'No'.

Mr. Speaker: The 'Noes' have it

Shri Bagri: 'A-y-e-s', 'A-y-e-s'.

Mr. Speaker: There ought to be some dignity and some decorum maintained at least.

श्री, बागड़ी : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, जो बात समझेंगे नहीं, उसमें डिग्निटी कैसे होगी ?

प्राध्यक्ष महोदय : मगर यह क्या है कि चाहे जिस वक्त जो मंह में ग्राया, वह कह दिया ?

श्री बागड़ी: जो मुंह में श्राया वाली बात नहीं है।

मध्यक्ष महोदय: ग्रीर क्या है ? हाउस में जो कुछ हो रहा है, उसका ख्याल किये बिना जब चाहे दखल दे दिया । माननीय सदस्य समझें कि क्या हो रहा है। यहां पर सब को हाउस के रूल्ज के मुताबिक चलना होगा।

श्री बागडी : इसीलिए तो मैं कहता हं कि श्रंग्रेजी को हटाग्रो।

श्रम्यक्ष महोदय : श्रंग्रेजी इस तरह से नहीं हटेगी, जिस तरह से कि म्राप कह रहे हैं।

श्री बागडी: जब ऐसे लोग आयेंगे. तभी श्रंग्रेजी हटेगी ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय । जब ऐसे लांग आयेंगे. तो शोर मच आयेगा । मैं माननीय सदस्य को कहना चाहता हूं कि वह बार-बार यं ही दखल न दिया करें।

श्री बागड़ी: बग़ैर शोर वाले तो पन्द्रह साल के बाद भी नहीं हटा पाए हैं।

Mr. Speaker: Order, order, now.

The amendment (No. 58) was put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put amendment No. 146 to vote.

The amendment (No. 146) was put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: Now, only amendment No. 36 remains.

The question is:

Page 2, line 3, for "may" substitute "shall". (36).

Lok Sabha divided:

Division No. 17]

12269

Anthony, Shri Frank Barrow, Shri Buta Singh, Shri Elias, Shri Mohammad Gopalan, Shri A.K. Ismail, Shri Muhammad Kapur Singh, Shri

AYES

Kar, Shri Prabhat Kunhan, Shri P. Laxmi Dass, Shri Mukerjee, Shri H.N. Nair, Shri Vasudevan Pottakkatt, Shri Raghavan, Shri A.V.

16.25 hrs.

Ranga, Shri Reddy Shri Eswara Singh, Shri J.B. Swamyı Shri M. N. Vimla Devi, Shrimati Warior, Shri

Naik Shri Maheswar

NOES

Akkamma Devi, Shrimati Alva, Shri Joachim Aney, Dr. M.S. Babunath Singh, Shri Bade, Shri Bagri, Shri Bajaj, Shri Kamalnayan Bakliwal, Shri Balkrishna Singh, Shri Balakrishnan, Shri Balmiki, Shri Basant Kunwari, Shrimati Basappa, Shri Besra, Shri Bhakt Darshan, Shri Bhargava, Shri M.B. Bhatkar, Shri Bhattacharyya, Shri C.K. Brahm Prakash, Shri Brajeshwar Prasad, Shri Prij Basi Lal, Shri Brij Raj Singh, Shri Chakraverti, Shr P.R. Chanda, Shrimati Jyotsna Chandra Shekhar, Shrimati Chavan, Shri D.R. Chettiar, Shri Ramanathan Dass, Shri G. Deo Bhanj, Shri P.G. Deshmukh, Shri B.D. Deshmukh, Shri Shivaji R ec. Dhuleshwar Meena, Shri Dwivedi, Shri M.L. Elayaperumal, Shri Ering Shri D. Gaitonde, Dr. Ganapati Ram, Shri Gandhi, Shri V. B. Ganga Devi, Shrimati Govind Das, Dr. Guha, Shri A.C. Gupta, Shri Kanshi Ram

Gupta, Shri Priya Gupta, Shri Shiv Charan Hajarnavis, Shri Hansda Shri Subodh Hanumanthaiya, Shri Iqbal Singh Shri Jain, Shri A.P. Jamir, Shri S.G. Jamunadevi, Shrimati Jedhe, Shri Jha Shri Yogendra Joshi, Shrimati Subhadra Jyotishi, Shri J.P. Kadadi, Shri Kamble, Shri Kedaria, Shri C.M. Keishing, Shri Rishang Khanna, Shri P. K. Kindar Lal, Shri Kisan Veer, Shri Kurcel, Shr B. N. Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimeti Lalis Sen, Shri Lonikar, Shri Mahadeo Prasad, Shri Mahtab, Shri Mahishi. Shrimati Sarojihi Maimoona Sultan, Shrimati Mahaen, Shri Mandal, Dr. P. Marandi, Shri Maurya, Shri Mehrotra Shri Braj Bihari Mehta, Shri J. R. Melkote, Dr. Menon, Shri Krishna Mirza, Shri Bakar Ali Mishra, Shri Bibhuti More. Shri K.L. Mukahe, Shri Murli Manohar, Shri

Naskar, Shri P.S. Nigam, Shrimati Savitri Pande, Shri K. N. Pandey, Shri Vishwa Nath Pant, Shri K. C. Paramasivan, Shri Patel, Shri Chhotubhal Pa c. Shri P. R. Patel, Shri Rajeshwar Patil, Shri D. S. Patil, Shri M. B. Pattabhi Raman, Shri C. R. Pratap Singh, Shri Puri, Shri D.D. Raghunath Singh Shri Ram, Shri T. Ram Sewak, Shri Ram Singh, Shri Ramakrishnan, Shri P. R. Ramaswamy, Shri V.K. Rampure, Shri M. Rane, Shri Ranga Rao, Shri Reddy, Shrimati Yashoda Sadhu Ram, Shri Saha. Dr. S.K. Sahu, Shri Rameshwar Samnani, Shri Sanji Rupji, Shri Satyabhama Devi, Shrimati Shashank Manjar, Shrimati Shashi Ranjan, Shri Shastri, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, Shri Ramanand Sheo Narain, Shri Siddiah, Shri Singh, Shri D.N. Singha, Shri Y.N. Sonavane, Shri Subbaraman, Shri Subramanyam, Shri T

Suma Prasad, Shri Surendra Pal Singh, Shri Swamy, Shri Sivamurthi Tahir, Shri Mohammad Thimmaiah, Shri Tiwary, Shri D. N. Tiwary, Shri K. N. Tiwary, Shri R.S.
Tripathi, Shri Krishna Deo
Uikey, Shri
Upadhyaya, Shri Shiva Dutt
Utiya, Shri
Varma, Shri M.L.
Venkataubbaiah, Shri P.

Verma, Shri Balgovind Vidyalankar, Shri A.N. Vishram Prasad, Shri Vyas, Shri Radhelal Wadiwa, Shri Yadav, Shri Ram Harkh Yashpal Singh, Shri

Mr. Speaker: The result of the division is: Ayes 20; Noes 145.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 3 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

New Clause 3A

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I beg to move:

Page 2,-after line 7, insert-

"3A. (1) The President shall appoint a special officer to be known as Commissioner for Hindi whose principal function shall be to pursue and promote the progressive use of Hindi for the official purposes of the Union.

(2) The President shall by notification to be published in Gazette Extraordinary prescribes the terms and conditions of service for the aforesaid officer". (171).

Shri Sivamurthi Swamy: I beg to move:

Page 2,-after line 7, insert-

"3A. For all official purposes of the States and Union, the respective regional language may be used for which it is being used in the State Legislatures and State offices concerned". (128).

Mr. Speaker: These amendments are before the House. We have discussed every aspect. Now hon. Members shall be brief.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I shall not refer to any matter not within the confines

of the proposed amendment for the insertion of which I have moved. I have proposed that the President shall appoint an officer to be known as Commissioner for Hindi.

"The President shall appoint a special officer to be known as Commissioner for Hindi whose principal function shall be to pursue and promote the progressive use of Hindi for the official purposes of the Union."

The second part relates to the modality and the mechanism for effectuating this particular affirmation.

I have been impelled to move this amendment for the insertion of this particular clause because I find that the advice which was tendered to the nation by Dr. Rajendra Prasad when he spoke from the Chair while presiding over the deliberations of the Constituent Assembly to give effect to these provisions in respect of Hindi have not really received much attention at the hands of the Government. I feel that this is a relatively noncontroversial proposal, because what I am moving for is only to ensure that the promises and the assurances enshrined in the Constitution reiterated by many responsible members of the Government may actually materialise.

In moving this amendment, I would also like to draw the attention of the House to the fact that a time schedule should have been drawn up by the Government in seeing to it that Hindi was developed for its progressive use as the language for official purposes. No time schedule was drawn up, no

[Dr. L. M. Singhvi]

targets were actually fixed. The Government, which believes so much in planning, has failed entirely in planning the progressive use of Hindi as the official language of the Union. It is in this context that I have moved this particular amendment.

Another matter to which I should like to draw the attention of the House is that we are considering this Bill without the advantage of having the findings of a second Commission as contemplated in article 344. No amount of legal logic or interpretation, the burden of which it appears the Minister of State carries very heavily on him, would be able to justify the fact that no such Commission as enjoined by article 344 was appointed before we were asked to discuss this matter.

It is in view of the fact that the Government has certainly failed,—as Dr. Aney pointed out, this Bill itself is a confession of the failure of the Government to effectuate the provisions in relation to Hindi—it is because of this failure, it is because of the fact that the Government has failed to plan for the targets and draw up a time schedule for the progressive use of Hindi, that I am impelled to move for the insertion of clause 3A.

श्रीं शिवमूर्ति स्वामी: श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैंने ३ (ए) इंसर्ट करने के लिए एमेंडमेंट पेश की है। जब हम श्रंग्रेजी को हटाने के पक्ष में हैं तो हमें यह भी सोचना होगा कि हमारे देश में बहुत से ऐसे राज्य हैं जिनकी भाषा हिन्दी नहीं है श्रीर जब हिन्दी श्राफिशल लेंगुएज बन जाएगी तो उन राज्यों में रहने वालों को दिक्कत होगी। हम इंग्लिश को इंडेफिनिट पीरियड के लिए बनाये रखने के बिल्कुल खिलाफ हैं। लेकिन उनके साथ साथ हम यह भी चाहते हैं कि उन राज्यों में जिनकी भाषा हिन्दी नहीं है जो कि हिन्दी को श्रमल

में नहीं ला सकते हैं. उनको स्नाजादी होनी चाहिये कि वे ग्रपनी ग्रपनी रिजनल लैंगुएजिज में, अपनी अपनी मातभाषाओं में केन्द्र के साथ पत्र व्यवहार कर सकें, खती-किताबत कर सकें। ग्रगर ऐसा किया जाता है तो किसी को हिन्दी से डर नहीं हो सकता है, रिजनल लैंगएजिज को डर नहीं हो सकता है ग्रीर उनका हिन्दी में या ग्रंग्रेजी में टांसलेशन भी हो सकता है। हमारे मेलकोटे साहब ने कहा है कि ग्रगर इसकी इजाजत हो जाती है यहां पर पालिमेंट में या केन्द्र के साथ पत्र-व्यवहार में ग्रपनी मातभाषात्रों का ग्राजादी के साथ इस्तैमाल कर सकते हैं,तो इसका उन भाषा भाषी लोगों पर बहुत श्रच्छा ग्रसर पडेगा । हमारे प्रधान मन्त्री जी तथा हमारी सरकार की तरफ से कहा जाता है कि जो चौदह लैंग्एजिज़ हैं वे सब हमारी नैशनल लैंगएजिज हैं, लेकिन जब तक जो हम चाहते हैं वह नहीं किया जाता है, कभी भी यह ममिकन नहीं हो सकता है कि वे नेशनल लैंगएजिज मानी जा सकें। उनको उचित उचित स्थान देना बडा जरूरी है । मैंने अपनी एमेंडमेंट में कहा है:

"for all official purposes of the States and Union, the respective regional language may be used for which it is being used in the State legislatures and the State offices concerned."

ग्रगर इसकी ग्राजादी दे दी जाए तो मैं सम-झता हूं कि जो डीं एम के के के के इशारे पर दक्षिण भारत में मूवमेंट चल रहीं है ग्रीर दक्षिण भारत में गलतफहमी पैदा की जा रही है कि हिन्दी को उन पर जबदंस्ती लादा जा रहा है, वह गलतफहमी पैदा नहीं होगी और वह मूवमेंट भी खत्म हो जाएगी । ग्रगर यह मान लिया जाए । कि तमिल को भी, कन्नड़, मराठी इत्यादि जितनी भी भाषायें हैं, उनको भी ग्राफिशल काम काज में यहां लाया जा सकता है स्रोर उनके जरिये भी पत्र-व्यवहार किया जा सकता है ग्रौर लोक-सभा में भी तमिल इत्यादि भाषात्रों का बिना इजाजत इस्तेमाल किया जा सकता है, तो बहुत सी जो गलतफहमी है, वह दूर हो सकती है। इनको यहां पर इस्तैमाल में लाने की जो इजाजत लेनी पड़ती है, उसकी जरूरत नहीं नहीं होनी चाहिये। ग्रगर कोई इन में से किसी भाषा में बोलता है तो मजबरी की वजह से से ही बोलता है। मेरा यह पक्का विश्वास है कि अगर मेरी इस अमेंडमेंट को मान लिया जाता है तो ग्रभी जो हिन्दी के बारे में वहां मवमेंट शरू हम्रा है भीर सौ दो सी म्रादमी पकडे गए हैं, वह खत्म हो सकता है ग्रीर भाषा के नाम पर लोगों को जो भड़काया जा रहा है श्रीर उनको एक्सप्लायट किया जा रहा है, वह बन्द हो सकता है। ग्रगर इंडेफिनिट पीरियड के लिए अंग्रेज़ी को रखा जाता है तो कहीं ऐसा न हो कि वह एटनेंल हो जाए, जो कि हम कभी नहीं चाहते हैं। रिजनल लैंगुएज डिवेलेप हो रही हैं, युनिवरसिटीज वगैरह में मीडियम ग्राफ इंस्ट्रक्शन बन रही हैं। इस दिष्ट से भी ग्रीर जो नई जैनरेशन ग्रा रही है उसके हित की दृष्टि से भी यह जरूरी है कि ग्रंग्रेजी की जगह हिन्दी ग्रीर रिजनल लैंगएजिज जल्दी आए । इंग्लिश बहुत कुछ कम हो गई है इसका पता पालिमेंटी कमेटी की जो रिपोर्ट है, उससे चलता है ग्रीर उससे यह भी पता चलता है कि इसका स्टैण्डर्ड गिरता जा रहा है।

चंकि समय नहीं है इसलिए मैं इतना ही कहना चाहता हं कि दक्षिण भारत में जो जो कुप्रज्ञार हो रहा है ग्रीर लोगों को एक्स-प्लायट किया जा रहा है, उसका अगर आप अन्त करना चाहाते हैं भ्रीर देश की एकता को बनाये रखना चहते हैं तो इस बात की इंजा-जत दें कि विवा इजाजत रिजनत लैगएजिज का इस्तैमाल हो सकता है ग्रीर इस दृष्टि से मैंने जो अमेंडमेंट पेश की है, उनकी माल लें।

Shri Hajarnavis: Sir, I regret I have to oppose this amendment though I am a great deal in sympathy with the spirit of the amendment. I can assure the hon. Member that we will take all the steps to discharge the responsibilities which the Constitution the Parliament have cast upon us. With this assurance I hope he will withdraw the amendment.

Mr Speaker: The question is:

Page 2, after line 7, insert-

"3A. For all official purposes of the States and Union, the resp. ctive regional language may be used for which it is being used in the State Legislatures State Offices concerned." (128).

Those for the amendment will please say Ave.

Some Hon. Members: Aye.

Mr. Speaker: Those against amendment will please say No.

Some Hon, Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: The Noes have it.

Shri Sivamurthi Swamy: The Ayes have it

Mr. Speaker: At that moment, when I called on them, he did not say anything. If he insists on a division, I will certainly— (Interruption).

Shri Sivamurthi Swamy: I do not press it.

Mr. Speaker: All right. I had already put it.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: What about Dr. Singhvi? Is that also to be put to the vote?

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: It should be put to the voice vote.

Mr. Speaker: All right.

The amendment (No. 171) was put and negatived.

Clause 4—(Committee on Official Language)

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up clause 4 of the Bill. The following amendments are moved:

Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: I beg to move:

(1) Page 2,-

for clause 4, substitute-

"4. After the expiration of ten years from the date on which section 3 comes into force, that section shall stand repealed, unless Lok Sabha by a resolution passed by it with the majority of votes of the total number of members representing the non-Hindi area constituencies, resolves that the section shall continue to be in force for any further period not exceeding five years." (64).

Shri Radhelal Vyas: I beg to move:

Page 2,-

for clause 4, substitute-

- "4. (1) As early as possible before the date on which section 3 comes into force, the President shall appoint a special officer, whose duty shall be, to review from year to year the measures adopted for the progressive use of the Hindi language for the official purposes of the Union, the actual progress made and the difficulties encountered and to make recommendations to the President with a view to overcome such difficulties and to promote the progressive use of the Hindi language for the official purposes of the Union and the President shall cause such report to be laid before each House of Parliament.
- (2) There shall be constituted a Committee consisting of thirty members of whom twenty shall be members of the House of the People and ten shall be members

of the Council of States to be elected respectively by the members of the House of People and the members of the Council of States in accordance with the system of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote. It shall be the duty of the Committee to examine the recommendations of the special officer appointed under sub-section (1) and to report to the President their opinion thereon.

(3) Notwithstanding anything contained in section 3, the President may after consideration of the report referred to in sub-section (2), issue directions in accordance with the whole or any part of that report." (129).

Shri Frank Anthony: I beg to move:

(i) Page 2,-

for lines 8 to 15, substitute-

"4. (1) After the expiration of twenty-five years from the date on which section 3 comes into force, there shall be constituted a Committee consisting of fifty members of whom thirty-five shall be members of the House of the People and fifteen shall be members of the Council of States to be elected respectively by the members of the House of the Prople and the members of the Council of States in accordance with the system of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote". (38).

(ii) Page 2,-

for lines 8 to 15, substitute-

"4. (1) After the expiration of ten years from the date on which section 3 comes into force, there may be constituted a Committee consisting of thirty members of whom twenty shall be members of the House of the People and ten shall be members of the Council of States to be elected respectively by the

members of the House of the People and ten shall be members of the Council of States to be elected respectively by the members of the House of the People and the members of the Council of States in accordance with the system of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote". (39).

Shri Yashpal Singh (Kairana): 1 beg to move:

Page 2,-

for lines 8 and 9, substitute,-

"4. (1) After the expiration of five years from the date on which section 3 comes into force, the President shall appoint a Committee". (20).

Mr. Speaker: Amendment No. 21—Shri Ram Sewak Yadav—absent. Amendment No. 150.

Shri Bagri: I beg to move:

Page 2, lines 8 and 9,-

for "After the expiration of ten years from the date on which section 3 comes into force" substitute—

"After the expiration of five years from the date on which the Act comes into force." (150).

Mr Speaker: Amendment No. 22-Shri Shankaraiya is absent Amendment No. 65 not moved. Amendment No. 41-Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri -absent. Amendment No. 68 not Amendment No. 24-Shri moved. Karuthiruman—absent. Amendment Siddiah-absent. 130-Shri Amendments Nos. 29 and 44-absent. Amendment Nos. 30 and 47-absent. Amendment No. 40-Shrimati Savitri Nigam-not moved. Amendment No. 27—Shri Narasimha Reddy—absent. Amendment No. 73—Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri-absent. Amendment No. 74 also-Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri-absent.

Shri Bade: I beg to move:

Page 2, line 8,-

for "ten years" substitute "five years" (66).

Shri Bade: I beg to move: Page 2,—

for lines 9 to 15, substitute-

"section 3 comes into force, the use of Hindi language shall be made for all official purposes of the Union and for the transaction of business in Parliament." (67).

Shri Hajarnavis: I beg to move:

Page 2, lines 9 and 10,-

for "the President may appoint a Committee consisting of thirty members", substitute—

"there shall be constituted a Committee on Official Language, on a resolution to that effect being moved in either House of Parliament with the previous sanction of the President and passed by both Houses.

(1A) The Committee shall consist of thirty members". (158).

Shri Frank Anthony: I beg to move:

"That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, printed as No. 158 in List No. 11 of amendments,—

(i) for "there shall be" substitute—

"there may be"

(ii) for "thirty members" substitute—

"fifty members". (168).

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I beg to move:

"That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, printed as No. 158 in List No. 11 of amendments,—

for "there shall be constituted" substitute—

"the President shall constitute". (172).

Shri Ranga: I move amendment No. 164 with a small change. It will be

[Shri Ranga]

12281

an amendment to clause 4(3) instead of to clause 4(1). I beg to move:

Page 2, line 19,-

after "President" insert-

"shall not take any decision to reduce the position of English in administrative. Legislative and judicial work without the concurrence of all the non-Hindi State Legislatures obtained by resolutions passed by three-fourth of the total strength of each Legislature and". (164).

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: I beg to move:

(i) Page 2, line 15,add at the end-

"The Committee shall go into the detailed question of progress of use of Hindi for the official purposes of the Union, and if in its Report it also mentions some sound grounds for further continuance of English, as additional language, the time-limit of such use, can be extended by the President upto a period of five years or for ten years by Parliament provided not less than threefourth of the Legislatures of Non-Hindi speaking States demand and recommend such an extension, by passing Resolutions to the effect on the strength of not less than two-third votes of the Members present on the days fixed for convening the meetings of the respective Legislatures. Thereafter, English shall also cease to be an additional Official Language."

Page 2,

omit the lines 16 to 21. (70).

Shri Frank Anthony: I beg to move:

(i) Page 2,-

for lines 16 to 18, substitute-

"(2) It may be the duty of the Committee to review the progress made in the use of Hindi for the official purposes of the Union and submit its report to Parliament which shall make its recommendations thereon." (42).

Languages Bill

(ii) Page 2, line 16.-

for "shall" substitute "may" (43).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I beg to move:

Page 2, line 18.-

"the for President" substitute "Parliament". (165).

Shri Hajarnavis: I beg to move:

Page 2,-

after line 18, insert-

"and the President shall cause the report to be laid before each House of Parliament, and sent to all the State Governments". (159).

श्रीं हरि विष्णु कामतः में प्रस्ताव करता हं।

(i) That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, printed as No. 159 in List No. 11 of amendments.-

after "State Governments" insert-"and State legislatures" (162).

(ii) That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, printed as No. 159 in List No. 11 of amendments.-

for "and the President shall cause the report to be laid before each House of Parliament" substitute-

"and the report shall be laid before each House of Parliament". (166).

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: I beg to move:

(i) That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, printed as No. 159 in List No. 11 of amendments,-

before "and the President" insert-

"The Committee shall also report on the restrictions (partial or full) needed in connection with further use and continuance of English as an additional official language and while so recommending the final time limit, if any, required for its continuance". (169).

(ii) That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, printed as No. 159 in List No. 11 of amendments.—

after "State Governments" insert-

"In the meanwhile the Government of India and the State Governments, shall from now onwards take such continuous effective steps (inclusive of non-official co-operation) in a planned and organised way, that introduction and use of Hindi needed in the respective spheres is possible to be done to such an extent as to minimise to the maximum possible extent all chances of continuance of further use of English as an additional official language, by the time the Committee on the subject is constituted and brought into being." (170).

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I beg to move:

That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, printed as No. 159 in List No. 11 of amendments,—

omit "and sent to all the State Governments". (173).

Shri Yashpal Singh: I beg to move:

Page 2,—

after line 18, insert-

"(2A) The President shall cause to be laid before both Houses of Parliament the report of the Committee." (28).

439 (ai) LSD-8.

Shri A. C. Guha: I beg to move:

Page 2,-

afer line 18, insert-

"(2A) The report of the Committee shall be placed before Parliament and also be circulated to all the States." (133).

Shri Frank Anthony: I beg to move:

(i) Page 2,-

for lines 19 to 21, substitute-

"(3) The President may, after consideration of the recommendations of Parliament and the opinions of the State Legislatures, issue directions in accordance with the whole or any part of those recommendations and opinions." (45).

(ii) Page 2,-

for lines 19 to 21, substitute-

"(3) The President may, after consideration of the recommendations of Parliament, issue directions in accordance with the whole or any part of those recommendations." (46).

Shri Prabhat Kar: Sir, I beg to move:

(i) Page 2,-

for lines 19 to 21, substitute-

"(3) The President shall thereafter, refer the report to the State Legislatures for their opinion. The President shall refer the report together with the opinion of the State Legislatures to Parliament for its recommendations before decision is taken." (75).

(ii) Page 2, line 19,-

for "report" substitute "Parliament's recommendation". (76).

Shri A. C. Guha: Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2, line 19,-

after "report" insert-

"and the views of Parliament and the States". (134).

Official Shri Hajarnavis: Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2, line 20,-

after "in sub-section (2),", insert-

"and the views, if any, expressed by the State Governments thereon,". (160).

Shr. Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, I beg to move:

(i) That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastrfi, printed as No. 160 in List No. 11 of amenuments,-

after "State Governments" insert-"and State Legislatures". (163).

(ii) That in the amendment proposed by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, printed as No. 160 in List No. 11 of amendments.-

after "expressed by" insert "Parliament and". (167).

(iii) Page 2, line 20,-

after "in sub-section (2)", insert-

ascertaining the "and afteropinion of Parliament and State Legislatures in regard thereto in such manner as he may deem necessary". (161).

Shri Frank Anthony: Mr. Speaker, Sir, my six amendments are briefly to the following effect. The first seeks that the committee will be appointed after a period of 25 years-the period is extended to 25 years-and the committee will consist of 50 and not 30 My second amendment members. keeps the period the same-after period of ten years-but the appointment will be permissive because there is the word "may". My next amendment leaves the duty as being permissive, to review and to submit to Parliament. My fourth amendment requires the President after considering the recommendations of Parliament and the opinions of State legislatures to issue his order. Then, my amendment No. 168 consists of amendments to the amendment given by the Home Minister by which instead of "shall" I put the word "may" and the number of 30 be raised to 50.

Now, Sir, I feel that my first amendment is not an un-reasonable one. It seeks to raise the period after which the committee will be appointed from 10 to 25 years. I feel there are many people who consider that this is a very reasonable and even a minimum period. For many reasons it is both reasonable and a minimum period. Without wanting to cast any kind of aspersion on Hindi, I would say that even the Language Committee that the language is a developing language lie the economy of the country and it will take at least another 25 years for it to be sufficiently strengthened to meet many of the official purposes of the Union.

Then, Sir, there is a feeling-that was expressed very eloquently by one of the lady Members-that 25 years is the minimum period because it will give the present generation time as they grow into adulthood, time to master the Hindi language and-perhaps here the Home Minister will not agree with me-it will qualify the resistance to Hindi. I know I have been singled out for all manner of abuses. I am old enough and I have grown old in this game. But many Members have paid me tribute, quoting from my speecies in the Constituent Assembly,-al' hostages to my bona fides-advocating that Hindi should not be the official language. but the national language how should be taught compulsorily from class 5 and how, as Dr. Singhvi just now said, we should all suffer abatement of our vested interest in order to promote Hindi. They are all hostages to my bona fides. I hate to disillusion the Home Minister, but I know in my capacity as the Chairman of the Education Boards the growing resistance to Hindi in the non-Hindi-speaking States. His information may be different. Let me give him an example, another hostage I gave to Hindi. The first school to

sion, with every form of vulgarity. Who can hate Hindi? One of my preoccupations every morning is to get the Subodhini to pick up Hindi because, as everyone else, I want my living and a commendable living. have lost very few murder cases in which I have cross-examined argued in Hindi. Nobody can talk about it. But I cannot understand this hatred which exudes from some of the Hindi imperialists. So, I that those of us who are interested in language for language's sake doing what we can to promote knowledge of Hindi, and an increasingly adequate knowledge of Hindi.

As Chairman of the old Cambridge Examination which has now been brought to India, I have seen that we have a higher standard in Hindi. We have upgraded it such an extent that they say that Hindi in our higher secondary schools equivalent to almost the intermediate or degree papers in the Hindi States. So, every effort is being made by us. And I say this. If we could get away from the sheer, unreasonable hatred and fanaticism which exudes from some of the Hindi protagonists, there are reasonable people who are not obscurantists, people who will promote it. So, why not have a reasonable period so that it will qualify itself for acceptance by others!

I have said about the use of the word "shall". I have asked for the increase of the number of members in the Committee to 50. I know, Shri Shastri very disarmingly said that the Committee is a microcosm of the House and there was a cross-section in the last Committee, 21 members from the non-Hindi-speaking were there. Sir, no one is jealous than I am about the privileges and the honour of the Members of the House. I know that Member is literally an hon. Member and is, in fact, an hon. Member. But, don't let us deliberately indulge in self-deception. There are things as party whip, and the larger the party, the more strident the whip and the louder it cracks.

subscribe to the three-language formula in the country was the Anglo-Indian school, long before any other school in the country. Under formula, I was responsible for making Hindi a compulsory second language. I wanted it. What happened? Immediately, Madras and Bengal said: you cannot teach Hindi as the second language. I said: all right; I made it a compulsory third language. Fairly recently, Madras said: you cannot teach it even as a third compulsory language; it must be absolutely optional. So I say to the Home Minister, whatever the wishful thinking of Government might be, because of the insistence of the Madras Government that Hindi cannot be even a third compulsory language but only an optional language, the study of Hindi in Madras has become in many of the schools nothing more than a joke. So far as West Bengal is concerned, they allow us to teach it as third language, but only for a period of two years. And if anybody thinks that one can get even a smattering of Hindi in two years, he must have a very poor opinion of Hindi. that is the position. There is a growing resistance to the efforts of people like myself to propagate the knowledge of Hindi. I feel that if period is extended, that resistance will be considerably less in the non-Hindi-speaking States.

Then, I just do not understand this attitude of hatred. I think I have enough education, and I have enough to do with education, but I cannot understand this attitude of hatred. I have argued about English being a foreign language. The Supreme Court has held it is not a foreign language, because it is my language and because it is a dominant language. That is the decision of the Supreme Court. But there is no point in arguing with obscurantists who will not see the obvious truth. But there is this thing. Who can hate a language? In my capacity, having a lot to do with hundreds of schools, what I hate today is the fact that Hindi has become identifled with intolerance, with aggres-

[Shri Frank Anthony]

What happened in the last mittee? I say this objectively. I wanted the Committee to function in the open. I said: here is a Committee dealing with issues of critical importance to everyone in India, let us have it in the open forum. I was over-ruled. Then argued and said: why not re-examine the Chief Ministers of Madras and West Bangai. Because, I knew that the Mari as Government had changed its from the time it had submitted memorandum to the Language Commission. Their latest stand was aimost permanent or prolonged bilingualism which was not the stand they took before. I knew that both the West Bengal legislatures had unanimously passed a resolution saying that on the day Hindi is brought in as the sole official language will insist that Bengali should given equal official status. So, I asked for both these. Once again I was overruled.

Then I said, "How are we going to function in this conspiratorial sub rosa manner?" I said, "Admit the press." Once again I was over-ruled. I do not know, Parliamentary Committees may function-I will not say in a sub rosa manner-behind closed doors. But this was not in a technical sense a Parliamentary Committee. It was a constitutional committee, a committee charged with wital duty to the whole country. said, "Let the press come in." press was excluded. Only now and then they doled out some official information.

Then what happened last time. Everything was done sub rosa. There was a tremendous cracking of the party whip. I am not betraying the confidence—I said it before when the recommendations were brought to the committee. I enumerated briefly my reasons for not being able to accept the report—Atulya Babu at least said this, "I agree with Shri Anthony's views, but as a layal Congressman, I am bound to sign the report". That is why I have asked why a commit-

tee functioning sub rosa, almost in a conspiratorial attitude, is going to decide the fate of the language pattern in this country.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: On a point of order, Sir. I have been trying to be very patient, but Shri Anthony is referring to the work of a Parliamentary Committee appointed by last Parliament on which I happened to have been one of those who tried to work very humbly. He is referring to that committee and what is supposed to have transpired in committee according to his version of things. He is characterising the work of that committee as having been conducted in a conspiratorial way, sub rosa and, God knows, what other kind of language which many of us do not even understand. Is it permissible in Parliament to refer to what happened inside the Parliamentary Committee and also to make the most derogatory observations Members also and those who formed the committee which had the Govind Ballabh Pant as its chairman? We had as distinguished a composition as this Parliament could think of at that time and as represented by different elements. Is it permissible to refer to hon. Members who said one thing inside the Committee voted one way inside the Committee and said something else to Shri Anthony or to X. Y or Z outside? Is it permissible in this Parliament to make references to a Parliamentary Committee in this manner and to judice the work which was seconded by the House after it was discussed openly?

Mr. Speaker: If the question were so far as the Parliamentary Committees are concerned, I am clear that no proceedings that took place inside the committee can be disclosed or discussed here. It is not proper to state here what had happened inside the committee which was a Parliamentary Committee. But here the difficulty was that this was not a Parliamentary Committee.

Shri Frank Anthony: It was a constitutional committee.

Mr. Speaker: Here it was not a Parliamentary Committee over which I had any control; it had not to make a report to Parliament at all.

Shri Frank Anthony: This is the position I took.

Mr. Speaker: The report also had to be made to the President and not to the Speaker or to Parliament. So, so far as the Rules that we have and we are governed with are concerned, they are about the Parliamentary Committees. According to them,

"Parliamentary Committee" means a Committee which is appointed or elected by the House or nominated by the Speaker and which works under the direction of the Speaker and presents its report to the House or to the Speaker and the Secretariat for which is provided by the Lok Sabha Secretariat:"

in that sense it was not a Parhamentary Committee at all and I had no control over it. Though according to normal practices and commonsense it ought to be rather just and fair to the Committee that we should not, even though it may not be a Parliamentary Committee, discuss those things that had happened there, I have no power to regulate that.

Shri Frank Anthony: As I said, I did not want to say that but I just wanted to show our difficulty.

Mr. Speaker: It did not appear dignified that what transpired inside should be disclosed.

17 hrs.

Shri Frank Anthony: We did not function in the open. The press were not admitted there. The party whip did crack loudly. That is my whole reason. What has Home Minister done? His amendment purports now to canvass the views of the State Legislatures. It does not improve the position. The amendment, I say with great respect, does not advance the position by one iota. I say, why short-

circuit Parliament? What was the assurance? It was that the non-Hindispeaking people will decide it. Now. their views are being taken all round. I am satisfied if the recommendations come from Parliament. The recommendations must be not from the Committee although the report will come. What happened last time? The Report came, but our debates never went to the President. The President's Order was in the precise terms of the Committee's Report. I do not want that I say, when the matter comes to the House, all the proceedings will be open; it will be open to the press. It is much easier in a small committee. I say this with all respect even for hon. Members to be directed and regimented. When it comes to the House, you get a much wider crosssection view and you are not able to regiment Parliament in that way. What is wrong if the matter comes to Parliament and the recommendations go from Parliament with the views of the States?

Mr. Speaker: Yes, Shri Prabhat Kar.

Shri Mari Vishnu Kamath: It is 5 O'clock Sir. Shall we not switch over to the other Bill?

Mr. Speaker: All right. This we will take up tomorrow at 11 O'clock. We will proceed to the other Bill—The Compulsory Deposit Scheme Bill.

17.63 hrs.

COMPULSORY DEPOSIT SCHEME BILL—contd.

Shri Prabhat Kar (Hooghly): Sir, in the morning I wrote to you about this. There was the point raised by Shri Jain yesterday and it was discussed—it was a constitutional point. Apart from the constitutional question, the point was raised that there was a difference of opinion as to whether it is ultra vires of the Constitution. Mr. Jain raised a point yesterday and a request was made that on this matter perore we proceed

further, it is necessary that the Attorney General be requestd to address the House and after hearing the views of the Attorney General the House may proceed in the matter. Mr. Jain also requested you that you were to give a certain ruling on this. Other Members also spoke on this matter. I would request you to decide this particular point before we go further into the Bill because it is a serious situation and there is a sharp division on this and the opinion has been divided on this particular matter. Before we proceed further, we should come to a certain decision

Shri A. P. Jain (Tumkur): Sir, I wanted to raise a point of order.

Shri Shivaji Rao S Deshmukh (Parbhani): Sir, I was in possession of the House when Mr. Jain raised a point of order.

Shri A. P. Jain: Sir, I wanted to raise a point of order and you were pleased to observe that I might make it the next day. I interrupted Deshmukh in his speech. Of course, his speech will continue. My point of order is this that according to a wellestablished parliamentary practice the Speaker has no eyes and no ears.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshanbad): Eyes at least he must have (Interruption).

Shri A. P. Jain: Have a little patience please. The Speaker's eyes are the eyes of the House and the Speaker's ears are the ears of the House. Now, that was a very healthy parliamentary practice established no less than 300 years ago when King Charles II came to the House of Commons with his soldiers to arrest two Members of Parliament. And when he asked the Speaker to surrender those Members,

the Speaker said'* "My eyes are the eyes of the House and my ears are the ears of the House." He did not surrender those Members. What means is this: that the Speaker is expected to respect the wishes of the House and to act according to them. Now, we have discussed this point yesterday. We have discussed it before. I am only referring to the presence of the Attorney-General: not the other points. Other points might be disputed on merits. My interpretation of article 31A might be different from the Law Minister's or Finance Minister's. I am only referring to one single point, that is the desire of the House to hear the Attorney-General. I feel that the overwhelming majority of the Members of this House are desirous to hear the Attorney-General and to enlighten their souls and ease their conscience before voting.

Deposit Ssheme

Bill

Now, we have only one way to express ourselves as a House and that is through you. Therefore it is my submission that you may observe that healthy tradition, that is, be the eyes of the House and be the ears of the House and because it is our desire that we want to invite the Attorney-General......

Mr. Speaker: Eyes and ears, he has mentioned. What about the tongue that I use?

Shri A. P. Jain: He should also be the tongue of the House. (Interruption). There is always scope for improvement. One great Speaker laid down two traditions of ears and eyes. You as another great Speaker may lay down the tradition of the tongue? It will go down in history.

^{*} In 1642, in the British House of Commons, Mr. Speaker Lenthall replied to King Charles I as follows :-

[&]quot;May it please your Majesty,

[&]quot;I have neither eyes to see, nor tongue to speak in this place, but as the House is pleased to direct me, whose servant I am here; and I humbly beg your Majesty's pardon, that I cannot give any other answer than this, to what Your Majesty is pleased to demand of me." [Vide Dasent's "The Speakers of the House of Commons from the Earliest Times to the Present Day" (1911), P. 193]-Ed. of Debates.

You have ascertained the wishes of the House. I want a ruling from you whether you are going to be the eyes and ears and tongue of the House, invite the Attorney-General and perform a function which honourably belongs to the Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: Would the hon. Minister like to say....

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): Should I speak only on the question.....

Mr. Speaker: On the question of this Attorney-General: because, he has also seen that widespread desire has been expressed on all sides. Yesterday's discussion showed that there was a desire from all sides that the Attorney-General be summoned to the House: even from the Congress side and others. Only on that question.

Shri Morarji Desai: I have the greatest respect for the hon. Members of the House, for the House and if it can be greater, for you. But, that does not mean that I should accept every desire even if it is unanimous. are some things where one has to do one's duty. I cannot be a party to having a wrong convention or a wrong tradition. The Attorney-General is an officer of the Government. It is only the Government who can call him. I do not think it would be for the House to call him. There is no provision n the Constitution whereby the House can call him. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order; let us hear him.

Shri Morarji Desai: I believe I have a right to put my point of view. Ultimately it is for the Chair to accept it or not to accept it. I cannot dispute it at any time. His ruling is final for anybody.

I think it is only on one or two occasions he was in this House. That was also at the instance of the Government. It was not at the instance of anybody. He is an Adviser to Gov-

ernment, not an Adviser to Parliament. The Government, when it wants him, certainly invites him and he has a right to speak in the House as it is given by the Constitution. If that right of speaking had not been given by that article then, the Government could not have invited him here also. Because the Government was to be enabled to do so, that right has been given and nothing else has been mentioned in that article. Therefore, I would urge that it is for the Government to do so.

I do not think that it would serve any purpose to invite the Attorney-General here to give an advice. Because, the Attorney-General also is an advocate. An advocate has one view. The court can have another view. That is final for us. But, advocates differ. My hon, friend is a very able advocate even though he says that he has gone rusty. I have better appreciation of his advocacy I found excellent yesterday though I do not agree with him. Two advocates differ from each other entirely. And both of them think that they are right in the matter of law point or interpretation of law. Ultimately, it is the judge that prevails. In fact, it is even the judge who does not prevail always. It is only the Supreme Court which is the final authority which prevails

An Hon Member: Why do you not refer it to the Supreme Court?

Shri Morarji Desai: Even judges differ and they are overruled. Therefore, even the Attorney-General's coming in here and saying that this matter may come in or may not come in would not decide the issue.

Therefore, with all my respect for the desires of the hon. Members, either from this side of the House or from that side of the House, I am very sorry that I cannot accept it.

Shri A. P. Jain: Is he being called for the first time? Has he not been called before? Has he not appeared here before?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I wish to challenge the statement made by the hon. Minister on two grounds. First, he said that Government only had the right to call him before the House. May I remind him of the observation or the ruling that you gave yesterday, when I put the question in all humility to you, 'Can he appear motu? I have just checked the records, because I returned it to the Editor of Debates, only about minutes back after seeing it and after correction. I put this question in clear terms: Can the Attorney-General appear suo motu in Parliament? And you said 'Yes, he can appear suo motu.' The hon. Minister's statement is in flat contradiction of the ruling that you gave yesterday or the observation that you made yesterday in your profound wisdom, and he has no business to question the ruling that you gave yesterday after due consideration.

Shri Morarji Desai: I had not this in mind at all.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That is one aspect of the matter.

Another flagrant faux pas that he has made is his reference to us when he said that the Attorney-General has no function of advising Parliament. Yesterday, I quoted two articles, namely article 76 and article 88. Article 76 is with reference to the duties and functions of the Attorney-General vis-a-vis Government. The chapter heading of that article is "The Executive', because in Part V dealing with "The Union', we find the following words:

"Chapter I.—The Executive."

There, article 76 deals with the Attorney-General's capacity or functions or dutiess vis-a vis Government or the executive.

Now, Sir, please be so good as to turn to article 88. That article 88 figures under another chapter of the same Part namely Part V which is entitled "The Union"; it figures in

Chapter II which bears the caption 'Parliament'. There again, the Attorney-General figures.

Therefore, it is too late in the day for my hon. friend the Finance Minister to argue that the Attorney-General has not got dual functions, one of advising the Government and the other of advising Parliament.

I distinctly remember that in Provisiona; Parliament, when the preventive detention law was on the anvil of the House, which was being piloted by Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel, the first Home Minister of the Union many Members of the House on the opposite side as well as on this expressed a desire to hear the Attorney-General on the issue, and I believe, in deference to the wishes expressed by both sides of the House, the then Minister, who was more responsive to the wishes of the House than the present Finance Minister is decided that the Attorney-General should be sommoned, should be invited and called to give his should be views.

Therefore, on this occasion also, when both sides feel inclined that way—I am sure that if there is no Congress party whip in this matter . .

Shri A. P. Jain: It is not a question of party whip.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Members of the House present here today, except the Finance Minister and his Deputy, and perhaps the Works, Housing and Rehabilitation Minister, except these two or three, all the Members of the House present here will support this demand for calling the Attorney-General to the House, to address the House-I appeal to you, as my hon, friend, Shri A. P. Jain has already appealed to you, as the eyes and ears of the House,-I do not know about the 'tongue'; at any rate, I would say, as the eyes and ears of the House,-with all earnestness to convey the near-unanimous desire of the House,-because of respect to the

Finance Minister, I say 'near-unanimous' and not 'completely unanimous',—to hear him on this subject, and we shall feel deeply grateful if you set up a very high precedent in this respect, in keeping with the highest traditions of Parliaments all over the world, and respect to wishes of Parliament, and be pleased to call the Attorney-General to the House tomorrow so that he can address the House on this matter.

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): I just want to add a word to what has already been said.

Shri Morarji Desal: My hon. friend, Shri Kamath, is not correct in saying that there is 'near' unanimity' in the House on this point. On this side of the House, only two or three Members have spoken. Out of three who have spoken, one has said 'no'. So it cannot be presumed that all the other Members on this side of the House also are agreeing with him.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I said near unanimity'. I did not say 'complete'. 'Near unanimity' means 60 per cent, 70 per cent, the majority.

Shri Morarji Desai: He is wrong in saying that. It is not a majority at all.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have the vote of the House. (Interruptions).

Shri Priya Gupta (Katihar): Even now the House is unanimous on that point. That is the point.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Should there not be some code of conduct? Or has everybody got the free right to say anything and I should allow a free-for-all thing here?

भी प्रिय गुप्त : जब भी मैं खड़ा होता हूं, तब श्राप इस तरह से कह देते हैं।

प्रध्यक्ष महोवय : तब कह रहा हूं जब कि ग्राप के लीडर खड़े हुए हैं ग्रौर बोल रहे हैं। Shri Priya Gupta: I seek your protection. As an M.P., I am equal to Shri Kamath. He cannot ask me not to speak.

Mr. Speaker: I had allowed him.
After he finished....

Shri Priya Gupta: .Why do you mention that he is my party leader? I have got every right to speak here.

Mr. Speaker: That liberty does not extend to this that he may speak at any time he likes.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): I think we are discussing a matter which might have very important parliamentary implications and that is why I make my submission in all humility.

The Attorney-General, irrespective of what the Finance Minister or any of his colleagues may think, has the right, under the Constitution, to address Parliament. He can do so on his own volition. He is an independent officer—I underline the word 'Independent'. Recently the country has prevented the Law Minister from gobbling up the office of the Attorney-General because that office is...

Mr. Speaker: Why go into that? We can confine ourselves to what is before us.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Here is the most important law officer in the country who has an independent status, who has been given by the Constitution, the right, of his own volition, if he wishes to do so, to address Members of Parliament.

Now, Parliament happens to feel, in regard to a particular measure, in something like a constitutional quandary, and whatever the whip that the Congress Party might indicate, there is no doubt about it that different Parties represented here feel rather strongly that a certain position has

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

arisen where, legally speaking, a decision has to be taken and things should not be done in a huff. are only suggesting that you, as representing Parliament, can very well send out a letter of request to the Attorney-General which, I am sure, he would consider in the manner When which is indicated. almost everyone in Parliament-I Shri A. P. Jain represents the Congress Party to a certain extent, apart from the whip-when all Parties in Parliament seem to be agreed that here is a matter with certain legalistic complications which have got to be unravelled before the Minister can go ahead with his proposal, certainly it stands to reason that the independent services of the Attorney-General, which are available under the Constitution to Parliament, should be requisitioned.

We do so with all deference and due respect to the office of the Attorney-General which we want to keep in a particular category and not to be confounded with the legal advice which might be purchased by the Government. That is why I feel that you should, representing Parliament, issue a letter of request to the Attorney-General to address us in regard to the legality of the measure which the Finance Minister has espoused.

Shri Sonavane (Pandharpur): He cannot say that Government is purchasing legal advice from him.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Morarji Desai: The Attorney-General is appointed under article 76. His duty is to advise the Government upon such legal matters, and to perform such other duties of a legal character as may be referred or assigned to him by the President. Therefore, there is no question of his being independent or advising me as he likes whenever he wants; it is only on matters which are referred to him that he can advise me.....

Shri Priya Gupta: On a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: Order order. Let him finish.

Shri Priya Gupta: When Parliament is not in session, the Government functions in the Cabinet. But when Parliament is in session, the Ministers are here and Government includes everybody here. Therefore, the Government should consult everybody in Parliament.

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Finance (Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha): Do not raise such points of order.

Shri Morarji Desai: Threfore, it is not a matter that the Attorney-General can come here of his accord if he wants to advise on something, unless the matter is referred to him by Government and he is briefed. Of course, when he is briefed or asked his legal advice, he gives his independent view. I will not say then he should give his view as suggested by Government. That would be wrong. That is not the position Government can take at any time. But in this particular matter, I have got to consider the Constitution itself. I have the greatest respect for the Constitution, and therefore I cannot agree.

Some hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: If every line is to be challenged, we cannot continue incessantly.

Shri Daji (Indore): No challenge, Sir. We want to explain our position.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): You give us two minutes each.

Mr. Speaker: If they allow me to say a few words.....

Shri Radhelal Vyas (Ujjain): The view of one side has been expressed. The Finance Minister has spoken on the other side. If you just allow me one minute, I will finish.

Mr. Speaker: Why should I allow him?

Shri Radhelal Vyas: I am representing the other side. One side of the picture has been represented to you, but the other side has not come to you.

Mr. Speaker: If he is the other side, I will allow him an opportunity.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: I will be very brief.

Mr. Speaker: I only asked him to resume his seat.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: I will, if you do not permit me. If you permit me, I will clarify it. I have also to say something with regard to the ears and eyes and all that. If you do not permit, I cannot.

Mr. Speaker: I am again and again telling him that I will permit him, but I am asking him to resume his seat. I will permit him, but he is persistent.

What does Shri Daji want?

Shri Radhelal Vyas: I am sorry.

Mr. Speaker: I will permit Shri Vyas because he may have to say something against what he says also.

Shri Daji: I am sorry the Finance Finance Minister read article 76(2) only half. I will do nothing more than read from where he left.

"It shall be the duty of the Attorney-General to give advice to the Government of India upon such legal matters, and to perform such other duties of a legal character, as may from time to time be referred or assigned to him by the President,....."

He stopped there. It continues:

"... and to discharge the functions conferred on him by or under this Constitution or any other law for the time being in force."

This portion the Finance Minister forgot to read.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It does not suit him.

Shri Daji: When you read the whole thing, the powers of the Attorney-General are to advise the Government on matters referred to him by the Government and to discharge the functions conferred on him by or under the Constitution.

Article 88 also has to be read—with this. Therefore, the true position in a nutshell is this. I do not say we can summon him, but I would respectfully disagree with the proposition laid down by the Finance Minister that only the Government can summon him.

Here is a new position before the House and before you, and the new position is this: can the House, which ultimately after all votes the money and sanctions the Budget, in view of articles 88 and 76(2), have the right to get the advice of the Attorney-General or not, if the Speaker and the House so desire? This is a novel constitutional point which has arisen. There is no precedent. There is no precedent to say that Government alone can summon him. It is for us and for you to lay down the precedent.

The point is: after all, what are we demanding? We are not expressing ourselves on merits. If the law ultimately is declared to be unconstitutional by the Supreme Court, to a certain extent the entire House is also held up to ridicule, because it would be said that something came up before the House on which strong views were expressed and we wanted legal opinion before we proceeded with it int we did not get it.

Mr. Specker: If the Attorney-General has given his opinion and then the same thing happens?

Shri Daji: We would have made our best efforts. To refuse to put in our best is not proper.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I have heard the hon. Finance Minister who said that after all the Altorney-General was also an advocate. The Finance Minister has said that the Attorney-General is, after all, an advocate. It reflection on the Attorney-General. When there was the question of merging these two posts, the only one argument which was advanced against it was that the merger of those two posts would take away the independent character of the Attorney-General, and the President will have nothing to consult. The Law Minister was going to pilot the Bill.

Mr. Speaker: Whether he is indedependent or not, or whether posts are combined or not, it cannot be denied that he is an advocate.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Is that an argument?

Mr. Speaker: There is no reflection whatever. After all he is also an advocate.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: You remember in this very House, when there was actually an argument advanced against a particular provision in regard to the law about land acquisition, what happened. No amendment was being accepted by this House and naturally a committee was formed and certain amendments were discussed.

Mr. Speaker: It is not relevant.

Shri S. M. Banerice: He could called by you. That is my point,

Shri Kapur Singh: I wish to make one simple submission by way of addition to what has already been stated on this subject. It has contended whether constitutionally the Attorney-General can be summoned to this House by Parliament or he can come here only with the consent of the Government. I leave aside.

Another point has been raised as to whether it is the general wish of this House that the Attorney-General should be sent for. You have also certified that such is the wish. It has been contended by the hon.

Finance Minister that if votes are taken, it will be found that this is not either a near-unanimous wish or a general wish. I leave that aspect of the matter also aside.

There is a third aspect of matter, another facet of this problem. It is this: a large number of Members in this House feel genuinely concerned that this is a matter on which they cannot exercise their judgment with independent and impartiality unless they have heard expert advice of the Attorney-General. They want, and they have prayed to you, that that expert advice should be made available to us. Now, if that advice constitutionally can be made available to us, if that advice is at all available, then, to try to prevent the availability of that advice to House by the Government, either through a fiat or even through a whip, I can only say, has grave and farreaching implications. It ominous penumbra encircling it. It emits an ill-odour which if properly expressed, ultimately amounts to preventing this House from discharging its duties with impartiality and with utmost care. That is my submission.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the point has been raised here in the form of a point of order. We are not to look to the propriety of the whether the Attorneyquestion General should appear here or not. but we have to look at it from the point of view whether, by way of a point of order, if there is a general wish of the House, he can be compelled or asked by you, as the Speaker of this House, to appear here or not.

Now, under article 76, as read out by the hon. Finance Minister, it has been clearly stated that "it shall be the duty of the Attorney-General to give advice to the Government of India upon such legal matters, and to perform such other duties of a legal character, as may from time to time be referred by assigned to him by the President, and to discharge the functions conferred on him by or under this Constitution or any other law for the time being in force." Now, which are the functions conferred on him by this Constitution? No hon. Member has referred to it. So, unless his function comes under this Constitution or under any other law, he is not supposed to tender advice to the Government or to discharge that function.

Article 88 also has to be referred to. What does it say? It says that the Attorney-General has got a right to appear in this House. It is his right. If he wants to exercise that right, it is open to him. This House cannot compel that he should appear It has been suggested that it is the general wish. Suppose it is the unanimous opinion of this House, then, I would submit to you that still, you cannot do it, because you are guided in the discharge of your duties by the rules of procedure and the Constitution. If the rules of procedure do not allow it, then even if the whole House agrees to one thing, I think you will never be a party to it and you will rule it out of order even if the whole House agrees. Here the point of raised is not according to the Constitution and even if all of us unanimously agree, we cannot ask or you cannot issue any direction to the Attorney-General or to the Government to ask the Attorney-General to be present and address this House.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: I was in possession of the House when Mr. Jain rose on the point of order, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: We have continued this for long, I think.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: I want to submit something in connection with the point of order.

Mr. Speaker: He has expressed himself on this point also.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: No, Sir; I was speaking when Mr. Jain raised the point of order.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): Before you give your ruling, may I submit...

Mr. Speaker: I have just stopped Mr. Deshmukh.....

Dr. M. S. Aney: It is a very important thing I want to submit. Sir.

Mr. Speaker: Then I will have to allow Mr. Deshmukh also.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the eye of the Speaker, the ear of the court and the hands of the law are supposed to be the three most elusive organs human ingenuity could invent. I think the eye of the Speaker is as much public property as this House itself. Therefore, every Member of this House and this House collectively has got full and unfettered demand on the eye of the Speaker. It is because of this that whatever the Speaker wants to say, he is the combined and collective wish of this House.

With deference to Mr. Radhelal Vyas who has just now made a submission, I think there cannot be a more blatant misinterpretation of the Constitution so far as article 88 is concerned, if Mr. Vyas is allowed to go with his view that article 88 merely confers the right of audience on the Attorney General. The subject matter of article 88 is not only conferring the right of audience, but is conferring the constitutional obligation on the Attorney General. The wording of article 88 is quite clear. It says:

"Every Minister and the Attorney-General of India shall have the right to speak in, and otherwise to take part in the proceedings of, either House, any joint sitting of the Houses, and any committee of Parliament of which he may be named a member, but shall not by virtue of this article be entitled to vote."

So, article 88 equates the position and authority of the Attorney General to that of a Minister of this House. A

[Shri Shivaji Rao Deshmukh]

12309

Minister who does not happen to be a Member of this House can ordinarily be summoned by an ordinary Member of this House by giving a calling attention notice "I call the attention of the Minister to the following matter of urgent public importance and request that he may make a statement thereon". Similarly, article 88 specifically equates the position of the Attorney General to that of a Minister. So. I think it is fully justified that the House can request, every Member can call the attention of the Attorney General to the debates in this House and request him not only to guide the House, but even to take part in the proceedings of the House. The material part of article 88 empowers him to take part in the proceedings. An authority who is empowered to take part in the proceedings can naturally be summoned by the authority of the House wherein he is supposed to take part.

The Finance Minister's contention, if accepted, would mean that article 88 is fettered by article 76 or, in other words, article 76 is the controlling provision of article 88. In interpretation of the articles of the Constitution, it is a settled law that every article has to be independently appreciated in its own right and no provision can have any controlling effect on another. In spite of this, when in the wording of article 76 the President has been expressed as the nominating authority of the Attorney-General, the Attorney-General does not and cannot become the officer of the Government. He is still an independent authority.

Mr. Speaker: I have heard him. He should conclude now.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: If it is the President's pleasure, the Attorney-General can enjoy his office.

Mr. Speaker: Dr. Aney.

Dr. M. S. Aney: Sir, I want to bring only one point to your notice. The position of the Attorney-General has

been clearly defined by many. The question is, how to secure his presence here, in case we want him to come and give any advice. come here and address the House also. But that he can do only if he is named a Member of this House. Who will do that function? Who can name him a Member? You cannot name him as a Member. Unless he is a Member he cannot have any audience here and nothing can be done. Therefore, the consent of the Government is The Government must necessary. agree to this position. (Interruptions).

An Hon. Member: Only for a Committee he has to be named.

Dr. M. S. Aney: The article says:

"Every Minister and the Attorney-General of India shall have the right to speak in, and otherwise to take part in the proceedings of, either House, any Joint sitting of the Houses, and any committee of Parliament of which he may be named a member....."

Yes, Sir, I agree with the hon. Member; it is only in the case of a Committee that he has to be named. I have nothing more to say.

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): In the Constituent Assembly days once the Attorney-General was called and he also addressed the House. It was on the advice of the Government.

Mr. Speaker: This question has been raised many a time throughout the discussion that we had on this Bill. I might be accused, rather, that I have been too indulgent in that and I continued the discussion. Though I had expressed it, not only once but twice, that is was not my job to call him or summon him, even then it has been pressed again and again because the Members felt so strongly on it. Therefore, I suffered that to be discussed so thoroughly as has been done here.

Though my eyes, ears and tongue have all been taken away, even then I can say—I agree, I do not contradict it—

Compulsory

12311

Shrimati Vimla Devi (Eluru): In return for those of the 499 here.

Mr. Speaker: That is quite all right. The Speaker has no independent opinions of his own. He cannot just go by what he has seen or heard and make his observations. He has to be guided by the will of the House. That is quite all right.

But, so far as this is concerned, article 76 has been read again and again. It lays down how he has to be appointed and what are his duties that he shall perform. In order to enable him to perform his duties, he has been given this right under article 88 that the Attorney-General of India shall have the right to speak and otherwise take part in the proceedings of either House. If this right had not been conferred on him he might not have been able to discharge his duties. Therefore, this right also has been given to him. It is not correct to say that it has been given just because the Minister also has that The Minister has got other functions also which the Attorney-General might not have got.

What the hon. Members want is that they should have the benefit of the advice of the Attorney-General. That is the only question that is before the House, and because the Government does not ask him or advise him to come over here I am being told that I should exercise this discretion, though so far no hon. Member has guided me in that respect that there has ever been a precedent where the Speaker has asked the Attorney-General to come and advise the House.

Shri Kapur Singh: Let this be the precedent.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: Let a new precedent be created.

Mr. Speaker: Whether we should proceed one way or the other, I am asking for the guidance of the House whether at any time the Speaker has done it and whether there is any authority to the Speaker which he can exercise in this matter.

12312

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I point out....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I want to know whether there is really any authority for the Speaker which he can exercise under the Constitution, or under any other provision, whereby he can summon the Attorney-General. I have been asking again and again this question, and no hon. Member has been able to give me any clarification.

The second question is the negative approach. I am being asked whether there is any bar against the Speaker doing it. I think it would be a difficult question for me to answer, though I have not found any bar anywhere against my asking him to come. I would like to exercise the powers that are given to me. I should not see whether I am precluded from acting and assume all the rest of the powers.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: Sir, it can be done under rule 389.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He had his say twice or thrice. He should not do it again.

So far as this matter is concerned, in all democracies, as far as I can see, though I do not claim that I have very wide knowledge about it, it has always been left to the House to decide it. If the Government is not responsive, then the House can throw out that Government.

Shri A. P. Jain: There is no question of throwing out the Government.

Mr. Speaker: That is the only thing. Here it has been stated that the House is unanimous. If the House is unanimous, or even a preponderating majority of the House desire that,

[Mr. Speaker]

Government has to respond to the wishes of the House. There are some words spoken by the hon. Finance Minister to which I take exception. He said that even if the House was unanimous, he would not succumb to it. That is rather not fair to the House.

Shri Morarji Desai: May I say a word? I am very sorry. I am either misunderstood, or I have expressed nyself wrongly.

Mr. Speaker: I may have understood him wrongly. That is also possible.

Shri Morarji Desai: I do not want to bring in that kind of thing. I would like to say that I have only said that I cannot fall in with that desire myself, because I consider that it would be wrong for me to do so under the Constitution. That is what I said.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: That is the same thing.

Mr. Speaker: Even if the House was unanimous?

Shri Morarji Desai: Even if the House is unanimous, if it is outside the Constitution, it is my right and duty to say that I do not agree with it

Mr. Speaker: If theoretically it has to be so construed, then I would just put it up again to the Finance Minister that if it is a responsible government, and this government is responsible to this House, then it should not be said that even if the House is unanimous, the Government would not do it. (Cheers) But there ought not to be so many cheers, because what follows might not be pleasant to those who cheered me. (Interruptions).

We are seriously discussiong these things because we have to lay down certain conventions. I am very clear in my mind that I cannot take upon myself the responsibility to make a

request to the Attorney-General to address the House. It is for the House to express its opinion. After the House has expressed its desire, it is for the Government to respond to it. If the Government thinks that there is no necessity, and the majority of Members feel that there is no difficulty in calling him and he should be called, then it would be for the Members to exercise their vote as they like. There is no opportunity for me just to call or ask the Attorney-General to come over here, and I am not going to exercise those new powers that I do not think are vested in me.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, on a point of clarification on the ruling which you have given, for future guidance. I hope you would not endorse the Finance Minister's view that only the Government can call him to Parliament to express his views. I hope, Sir, you still stand by the ruling or observation you made yesterday that he can appear before Parliament suo motu.

Mr. Speaker: That is under article 88. Under that article, a right is given to him, just as the Ministers, to come and speak here. Yesterday I was speaking on that article. He has the right to come and take part in the proceedings.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In clarification of that, if tomorrow the the Attorney-General expresses his wish that he wants to appear before the Parliament and address the Members, can the Government prevent him from appearing?

Mr. Speaker: That is hypothetical. (Interruption). Order, order, we will proceed with the debate now.

Shri A. P. Jain: I want to make a motion (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: There ought to be some end to it. I will not allow any further discussion. (Interruption).

An Hon Member: I want to make a motion.

Shri A. P. Jain: I want to make a motion.

Shri Priya Gupta: On a point of order, Sir. The hon, Finance Minister says that the Attorney-General will advise the Government if the Government requires his advice. Then the Government may take his advice outside the House. When the power is there for him to appear before the House, whom shall he advise? Will he advise the Members or the Government? That is my point.

Mr. Speaker: Where is the point of order in it. What shall I answer?

Shri Daji: I want a clarification.... (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: There ought to be some end to it. We should not ceaselessly go on. There ought to be some limit to it. We have discussed it for three days.

Shri Daji: We want to seek your permission to move a formal motion saying that the House is of the opinion that the Attorney-General be called to give his opinion to the House. I seek your permission for moving a formal motion.

Shri Tyagi: As it is an important matter, I would request you to give Government a chance to consider over the problem and then decide. We should not take a hasty decision. I propose that this may not be put through. Let the Government consider and let them themselves make...(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: That is for the Government to consider.

श्री शिव नारायरण (बंसी) : मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि लीडर ग्राफ दी हाउस को भी कंसल्ट करना चाहिये।

We should consult the Leader also. He has the supreme power of Government.

439 (Ai) LSD-9.

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय: यह कहने की हमें क्या जरूरत है ? जो मिनिस्टर इनचार्ज हैं उनका काम है कि वे ऐसा करें।

Shri Shoe Narain: This House is supreme.

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय: हाउस सुप्रीम है इसका क्या मतलब है ? कोई यहां काम कर रहा हो उससे कहा जाये कि लीडर को कसंल्ट करके ग्राये ? यह क्या बात है ?

Now, we should proceed.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): Shri Jain wanted to move a motion. Therefore I was persuading our hon. friend here not to go ahead with his own proposal or motion.

Shri A. P. Jain: You have been pleased to observe, Sir, that you are not going to exercise that power by yourself, but that if you are vested with those powers you will request the Attorney-General to come and express his opinion. I make a formal motion. Sir, I move:

"This House desires that the Attorney-General be heard on the point..... (Interruption).

Shri Morarji Desai: May I know, Sir, under what rule it is being moved?.... (Interruption).

Some Hon. Members: Please do not interrupt.

Shri A. P. Jain: "Whether the whole or any part of the Compulsory Deposit Bill is intra vires of the Constitution."

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I support it. Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: I support the motion.

Shri Kapur Singh: I support it. Shri Tyagi: I suggest that the Government .. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Is that the manner in which it has to be conducted . . . (Interruption). Order, order. I cannot conduct the proceedings in this

[Mr. Speaker] manner. If every hon. Member from every side stands up, shoots up something... (Interruption). Order, order. This is the motion that has been made by Shri A. P. Jain.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: On a point of order. Sir.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Finance Minister has asked me as to under what rule this motion is being moved. Can Shri Jain help me in answering that?

Shri A. P. Jain: A Member has always a right to move a motion on a matter under discussion. This matter has been under discussion. You were pleased to observe that you are not going to exercise these powers unless you are vested with the authority. I have moved this motion in order to vest you with that authority.

Shri Tyagi: As the whole House is not acquainted with this motion and as it has not been on the agenda, my submission is that it cannot be decided today. Other hon. Members who are not here must also get a chance.

Shri Ranga: May I make a suggestion? Why not the House be adjourned now and the hon. Finance Minister as well as all the hon. Members may have time enough to think about it . . . (Interruption) and decide tomorrow in a calmer manner.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Probably that is the best escape for me at this moment. (Interruption).

Shri Radelal Vyas: Unless you suspend the rules of procedure, this motion cannot be raised so long as one business is not over. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Would the hon. Minister like to say anything?

Shri Morarji Desai: If I am allowed to make my submission, I would certainly like to do so. May I say that if I say anything it should not be presumed or should not be argued or inferred that my respect for this honourable House and its powers is anything less than that of any other Member of the House. The moment that is said, it means that I am not allowed to function as a Member of this House within my rights. there be a motion about this House doing something which is not within its powers? In the Constituthere is nowhere stated, the tion House can say anything that it likes and that it will be done. After all, I am told today that I cannot pass. I cannot enact this law because it is not within the Constitution. It is argued (Interruption).

Shri Ranga: How can he use such words, "I cannot pass"? Who is he to pass it? The whole House has to pass it. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Morarji Desai: Let my hon. friends....(Interruption).

Shri Ranga: He takes it too literally in a personal manner with the result we are faced with this trouble.

Shri Morarji Desai: I am not doing it. I am neither doing it personally. If I have erred in making the use of language, I am sorry for it. Let my hon. friends have some commonsense about it and not attribute unnecessarily any motives.. (Interruptions).

Shri Ranga: There should be commonsense from their side. You cannot pass a law. I take exception to this. (Interruption). He cannot pass anything. He can only get it passed. Why did he talk of commensense? (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member may kindly resume his seat. I would ask both sides to exercise greater restraint.

Shri Ranga: No, no. Where is the question?

Shri Morarji Desai: It is not possible to bear me down like this by raising arguments on something which I do not mean. I can never say that I can pass any law here. How can I? Neither I nor any member can pass a law. It is only the House which can pass anything. If any Member supposes I am capable of saving that, then I am very sorry. That is all I can say. (Interruptions). Even if I have made a mistake, it would be a mistake. It would be nothing else. All hon. Members make mistakes like that for which you cannot hold them to ransom. (Interruption).

Shri Ranga: You find it difficult to correct yourself.

Shri Morarji Desai: I am very sorry to say that heat is being generated in this manner. I am not going to be involved in that. I am only saying, this was what I was told that I cannot move it, that this law cannot be enacted. That is what I was told. That is how it has been argued on which I have still to argue. But this is a matter on which it is agued like that. Now, why can this House not pass a law if this motion can be made?

How is this within the competence of the House to call the Attorney General? If it is not within the competence of this House to call the Attorney General who is a limb of the Executive Government, I do not see how a motion can be allowed. (Interruption).

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: The hon, Minister presupposes . . .

Mr. Speaker: Now, the thing is, the hon. Minister has said that because he feels that it is not within the competence of the House to summon the Attorney General, such a motion is not admissible at this moment. But at this time, I have only to consider whether really such a motion that has been made before me is admissible and I can allow it. I am not prepared to answer that just now. Therefore, I adjourn the House to meet again tomorrow.

17.55 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Saturday, April 27, 1963 Vaisakha 7, 1885 (Saka).

[Friday, April 26, 1963/Vaisakha 6, 1885(Saka)]

· -	Column	***	UESTIONS—contd.	Columns
	ANSWERS TO QUES-	~ ~		
S.Q.	ONS 12059—1210 Subject	14 S.Q. No	Subject o.	
No.	C F 12050 - 6	. 2270	Price of cartridges .	12111-12
	Camera Factory . 12059—6	_	_	12111-12
•	Ship carrying Indian cargo 12061-6	2	Production and distribu- tion of steel tubes	12112-13
1049	Hindu Religious Endow-		Leather factories	12113-14
	ments Commission . 12002—0	2373	Potential Industrial	
1050	Public Sector Under-		Hazards .	12114
	takings 12066—7	-514	Coir goods	12114-15
1051	Japanese team's visit to India 12071—7		Industrial plants and machinery	
			Furnace oil .	12115
	- a	-3,-	_	12116
			Export of manganese .	12116-17
	Expansion of steel plants . 12081—8.	4 2378	Small Scale and cottage industries in Madras	
1055	Fuel efficiency in Indian industry 4 12084—8		State	12117
1057	Coal transport problems . 12087-9	2379	Heavy industries at	
1061	Asian Economic Develop-	0-	Madras	12117-18
	ment Institute 12090-9	-	Techno-Economic Survey	12118
1062	French Count System for		Welfare of backward areas of Punjab	*****
	textile industry . 12092—9			12118-19
1063	Import of components for automobiles . 12094—9	9	Hire-purchase scheme of tea machinery	12119
1064	Promotion of export trade 12099-		Kynite Mines in Bihar .	12119-20
	1210		Alloy Steel Plant	12120-21
	TEN ANSWERS TO ESTIONS 12104—14	o 2385	Violation of import and export regulations	12121
S.Q.		2386	Shortage of clothing	
No.			materials	12121-22
1056	Transformer factory at		Enquiry Committee on	
	Ankamali 12104-0		Muslim Laws	12122
1058	Sewing machine components		Water meters	12123-24
	•	4309	Weavers service centres .	12124
1059	Loan by Rehabilitation Industries Corporation 12105-0	6 2390	Balance of trade	12124
1060	Purchase of fertilizer plant	2391	Export of manganese and	
1000	equipment . 1210	6 2202	iron ores Cluster-type Institution	12125-26
1065	Special steel plant in	-392	Scheme for rural artisans	12126
	Durgapur 12106-0	7 2393	Trade delegations .	12127
1066	Production of machinery . 12107-0	0	Small Scale Industries	/
U.S.	n		in Punjab	12127
No No		2395	Tea production in Punjab	12128
		2396	Tools factory at Bareilly .	12128-29
	Manufacture of watches 1210	8 2397	Import licences issued in	,
2 365	Industrial Co-operative Societies in Uttar Pradesh 1210	Q	J. &. K.	12129
		2398	Khadi exports	12129-30
2366	Houses for handloom weavers in Orissa . 1210	9 2399	Loan to small scale indus- tries in Delhi	
2367	Cottage industries in	2400	Tea exports to Ireland .	12130
	Orissa 12109-1		Import of steel for indus-	12130-32
2368	Handicrafts emporia in	-	trial purpose	12131
	Orissa 1211	2402	Washery-cum-Sodium	
2369	Small Scale Inventions Development Board . 1211	ı	Sulphate Recovery Plant at Sambhar	****
	Development Dones . 1211	-	a. Jamouai	12131-32

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS—contd.	Columns	PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE—contd.
S.Q. Subject No.	Collomina	Committee relating to the following Reports:—
2403 Manufacture of salt tablets	12132-33) Twenty-ninth Report on
2404 Loans to tea gardens .	12133-34	the Ministry of Transport
2405 Gazetted Officers in Textile Commissioner's Office	12134—36	and Communications (De- partment of Communica- tions and Civil Aviation— Civil Aviation Department
2.106 Small Scale Industries in Punjab	12135-36	(ii) Thirtieth Report on the Ministry of Irrigation and
2407 Trade delegation to Japan	12135-37	Power (Power)—Central
2408 Import of silk .	12138	Water and Power Com- mission (Power Wing);
2409 Trade delegation from Mexico	12137-38	Central Electricity Authority; Central Electricity
2410 Machine Tool Factory in Orissa	12138-39	Board ; Central Board of Irrigation and Power
2411 Industrial Estates	12139	(Power)—Power Research
2412 State Trading Corpora-		Institute
tion . 2413 Waste of foreign ex-	12139	MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA 12142
change	12139-40	Secretary reported a message
PAPERS LAID ON THE		from Rajya Sabha that Rajya Sabha had no recommenda-
TABLE	1214 41	tions to make to Lok Sabha
(1) A copy each of the follow- ing Notifications under		in regard to the Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1963, passed by
sub-section (3) of section		Lok Sabha on the 19th April
48 of the Coffee Act, 1942:		1963.
(i) The Coffee (Second		STATEMENT BY MINISTER 12142-46
Amendment) Rules,		e Minister of Food and
fication No. G.S.R. 630		Agriculture (Shri S. K. Patil) made a statement on price
dated the 13th April, 1963		Esupport for rice and wheat
ii) The Coffee (Third		in 1963-64. Season
Amendment) Rules, 1963 published in Noti-		BILLS UNDER CONSIDERA- TION 12147—320
fication No. G.S.R. 632		TION 12147—320 (i) The clause-by-clause consi-
dated the 13th April,		deration of the Official
(2) A copy each of the follow-		Languages Bill was resu-
ing Notifications :-		med. Clauses 2 and 3 were adopted. Clause 4 was
(i) The Companies (Central		also taken up but the consi-
Government's) General		deration was not concluded
Rules and Forms (Second Amendment) Rules, 1963		(ii) Further consideration of clause 4 of the Compul-
published in Notification		sory Deposit Scheme
No. G.S.R. 628 dated the		Bill was resumed but not concluded
13th April, 1963, under sub-section (3) of section		
642 of the Companies Act, 1956		GENDA FOR SATURDAY APRIL 27, 1963/VAISAK-
(ii) Notification No. G.S.R.		HA 7. 1885 (SAKA)
629 dated the 13th April.		Further clause-by-clause consi- deration of (i) Official Lang-
1963 making certain further alterations in Schedule		uages Bill and (ii) Compul-
X to the Companies Act.		sory Deposit Scheme Bill, and passing thereof; also
(3) of section 641 of the		consideration and passing
said Act		of the Bengal Finance (Sales
(3) A copy each of the Minutes		Tax) (Delhi Amendment) Bill, and consideration of
of sittings of the Estimates		Private Member's Resolutions.