Tuesday, April 23, 1963/ Vaisakha 3, 1885 (Saka)

## LOK SABHA DEBATES

# Third Series Volume XVII, 1963/1885 (Saka)

[April 13 to 24, 1963| Chaitra 23 to Vaisakha 4, 1885 (Saka)]





## FOURTH SESSION 1963/1884-85 (Saka)

(Vol. XVII contains Nos. 41 to 50)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

## CONTENTS

## Third Series, Vol. XVII—April 13 to 24, 1963/Chaitra 23 to Vaisakha 4, 1884 (Saka)

	COLUMNS
No. 41.—Saturday, April 13, 1963/Chaitra 23, 1885 (Saka) Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 839 to 844, 846 to 851 and 853 to 857	· 9343—86
Written Answers to Questions—	. 9545 55
Starred Questions Nos. 546 and 845	. 9386-87
Unstarred Questions Nos. 1794 to 1853	9387—9420
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	<b>75</b> -7 <b>71</b>
Firing by Naga hostiles on a Military Special Train on 11-4-63 near Naojan	
Station	. 9420-28
Papers laid on the Table	. 9428-29
Estimates Committee—	
Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh Reports	. 9429-30
Business of the House	. 9430-40
Official Languages Bill—introduced	. 9440-71
Demands for Grants—	
Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries	9471-9519
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions-	
Nineteenth Report	9519-20
Resolution re: Regional Disparity	• 9520-66
Resolution re: Nationalisation of Banks	. 9566—92
Daily Digest	· 9593—98
No. 42.—Monday, April 15, 1963/Chaitra 25, 1885 (Saka)—	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
*Starred Questions Nos. 858 to 868, 871 & 873	9599—9639
Written Answers to Questions—	9399—9039
Starred Questions Nos. 870, 872, 874, 875 and 877	9639—43
Unstarred Questions Nos. 1844 to 1895 and 1897 to 1903	9543 <del></del> 79
Papers laid on the Table	9679-80
Government of Union Territories Bill—	90/9-00
Report of Joint Committee	• 9681
Business of the House	9681—87
Demands for Grants	9687—9832
Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries	9687—9764
Ministry of Economic and Defence Co-ordination .	9765—9832
Daily Digest	• 9833 <del>-3</del> 6
	• 9033—30
No. 43.—Tuesday, April 16, 1963/Chaitra 26, 1885 (Saka)	
Oral Answers to Questions —	
Starred Questions Nos. 878 to 885, 887 to 889 and 891 to 893	. 9837—72
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 890, 894 to 898	· 9872—76
Unstarred Questions Nos. 904 to 1977.	9876—9923
Surrender by Member to custody	. 9923
Estimates Committee—	
Thirty-sixth Report .	- 9923-24
396 (Ai) LSD—10.	

Demands for Grants

Daily Digest

Daily Digest

Oral Answers to Questions-

Written Answers to Questions-

Papers laid on the Table

Statement by Member

Daily Digest .

Motion to consider .

Finance Bill-

Estimates Committee—
Thirty-first Report

Starred Questions Nos. 920 to 934

Short Notice Question No. 5 .

Starred Questions Nos. 935 to 940

Unstarred Questions Nos. 2038 to 2076

Appropriation (No. 2) Bill—introduced .

Ministry of Finance .

Oral Answers to Questions-

Ministry of Economic and Defence Co-ordination .

Department of Parliamentary Affairs . . .

No. 44.-Wednesday, April 17, 1963/Chaitra 27, 1885 (Saka)

Motion re: association of Rajya Sabha with Public Accounts

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance— Sighting of unidentified ships off the Andhra Coast; and

Defence Mission to U.S.A. and U.K. . . .

No. 45.—Thursday, April 18, 1963/Chaitra 28, 1885 (Saka)

Starred Questions Nos. 899 to 901, 903 to 909 and 912 to 914

COLUMNS

9924-10108

9924-10024

10071-10108

10024-71

10109-12

10113-50

10338

10339-44

10345-85

10385-91

10391-94

10418-22

10422-24

10424-25

10426-32

10433-10572

10573-78

10432-33

10425

10395-10418

Written Answers to Questions—			
Starred Questions Nos. 902, 911 and 915 to 919 .			10151-54
Unstarred Questions Nos. 1978 to 2028 and 2030 to 20	37		1015488
Demands for Grants—			
Ministry of Finance			10188-10319
Short notice Question No. 4			10219-22
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance	_		
Reported kidnapping of Indian nationals by armed Pak	istanis		10323-27
Papers laid on the Table			10327
Estimates Committee—			
Eighteenth Report			10327-28
Statement re: sugar situation and measures to deal with it	t.		10328-35
Correction of answer to Starred Question No. 694 .			10335
Re: Statement by Member			10335
Election to Committees—			
I. Committee on Estimates			10335-36
2. Committee on Public Accounts			10336-38

COLUMNS

	***										COLUMNS
No. 46.—Friday, April	19, 19	63/ <i>Ch</i> a	zitra 2	9, 188	35 (Sa	ıka)					
Oral Answers to Que Starred Question Short Notice Ques	s Nos.	941 to	951 a	nd 95	3 to 9	57					10579—10622 10622—29
Written Answers to C Starred Questions	•		ıd 958	to 96	5 -						10629—35
Unstarred Questi	ons No	s. 207	8 to 2	102, 2	104 a	nd 2:	106 to	2122			1063561
Calling Attention to	Matter	of Urg	gent P	ublic	Impo	rtance	-				
Accidents at Mitha	ni and	Sripu	r Colli	ieries							10661—63
Paper laid on the Tab	ole										10663
Appropriation (No. 2	) Bill, 1	963—	passe	đ							10664
Finance Bill, 1963-											
Motion to conside Bills introduced—	er .			•	:						10665—10741
(i) Criminal Law	Amend	ment l	Bill by	Shri	mati I	Lakshi	mikan	tham	na.		10741
(ii) Constitution (A Vishnu Ka	mendn math	nent) ]	Bill (A	1me <b>n</b> d	ment (	-	cle 36	B) by	Shri :	Hari	10742
Constitution (Ame and amendment of Motion to cons	of article	t) Bill e 167)	—with by Sh	hdraw hri Ti	n (In ka Ra	s <i>ertion</i> im Pa	n of ne liwal-	ew art —	icle I	55-A	10742—61
Mines (Amendment) 70, 72C and 73) b Motion to cons	y Shri S ider	S. C. S	Sharm.	a—- ·							10761—84
Insurance (Amendm Inderjit Gupta—	ent) Bi	Ш (An	iendm	ent of	section	s 31.	A and	40C)	by Si	hri	
Motion to cons	ider										10784—10800
Daily Digest .											10801-04
No. 47.—Saturday, A	pril 20,	1963/	Chait	ra 30,	1885	(Saka	1)				
Papers laid on the Ta											10805
Demands for Excess Statement re: attack		-	etiles		: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::						10806
Business of the Hous		ga 110	suics (	on a r	. anwa	y pas	erige.	uain.	011 9-	4 <del>-</del> 03	10806—07 10807—21
Finance Bill, 1963-64						•	•	•		·	
Motion to conside											10821—10924
Clauses 2 to 31 and	d r										10925—77
Motion to pass		• ,		•		•					10925-77
Super Profits Tax Bi Motion to conside											1097911000
Business Advisory (	Commit	tee—									
Sixteenth Reprt											11000
Daily Digest .	• .	•		٠		٠	٠	٠	٠		1100102

## COLUMNS

Starred Questions Nos. 966 to 968, 970 to 973, 975 to	97	and 9	79 to	982	11003-43
Short Notice Question Nos. 7 and 8					11043-48
Written Answers to Questions—					- 13
Starred Questions Nos. 969, 978 and 983 to 985 .					11048-57
Unstarred Questions Nos. 2123 to 2128, 2130 to 2158 a	ind:	2160 to	2169		11051-79
Papers laid on the Table					11030, 11183
Re: Point of Privilege					11080
Demands for Supplementary Grants (Railways), 1963-64					11081
President's assent to Bill					11081
Estimates Committee—					
Sixteenth Report	٠.				11081

11082-85

Statement by the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs . Correction of Answers to Starred Question No. 654. Election to Committee— Central Advisory Committee for National Cadet Corps . Business Advisory Committee—

Sixteenth Report . Super Profits Tax Bill, 1963-

Motion to consider . Clauses 2 to 25 and I Motion to pass, as amended

No. 48.—Monday, April 22, 1963/Vaisakha 2, 1885 (Saka)

Oral Answers to Questions-

Suspension of proviso to Rule 66 . Compulsory Deposit Scheme Bill, 1963— Motion to consider . .

Business of the House . Daily Digest No. 49.—Tuesday, April 23, 1963/Vaisakha 3, 1885 (Saka) Member Sworn . .

Oral Answers to Questions-Starred Questions Nos. 986 to 990, 992 to 997, 999, 1002 to 1003 and 1006 11271—11310 Written Answers to Questions-Starred Questions Nos. 991, 998, 1000—1001, 1005 and 1007

Unstarred Questions Nos. 2170 to 2173, 2175 and 2177 to 2234 

Correction of Answers to Starred Questions Nos. 586 & 587 Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance-

(i) Industrial Licensing Policy . . . . . .

Official Languages Bill-Motion to consider

Election to Committee-Animal Welfare Board

(ii) Proposed merger of UAR, Iraq and Syria into one Federal State and reaction of Government of India thereto . . . .

Christian Marriage and Matrimonial Causes Bill-

Point re: an hon. Member's correspondence with the Minister of Finance

Extension of time for presentation of Report of Joint Committee

11356-58

. . 11362-11492

11358-59 11359 11359-62

11351-56

11310-13

11313-50

11350

11351

11085-86

11086-87

11087-98

11142-83

11142-83

11183-87

11263-64

11265-70

11271

11187—11263

11098-11141

11271

#### LOK SABHA

Tuesday, April 23, 1963/Vaisakha 3, 1885 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

#### MEMBER SWORN

Mr. Speaker: Secretary may call out the name of the Member who has come to make and subscribe the oath or affirmation under the Constitution.

Secretary: Shri Parasottamdas Haribhai Bhil.

Mr. Speaker: The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs may introduce the Member to the House.

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs (Shri Satya Narayan Sinha): Sir, I have great pleasure in introducing to you, and through you to the House, Shri Parasottamdas Haribhai Bhil, who has been returned to Lok Sabha from Dohad constituency of Gujarat in the vacancy caused by the death of Shri Hirabhai Kunverbhai Baria.

Shri Parasottamdas Haribhai Bhil (Dohad).

11.01 hrs.

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Freight Rates of Ships

\*986. Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri B. K. Das: Shri M. L. Dwivedi:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state: 378 (Ai) LSD—1.

11272

- (a) whether it is a fact that freight rates of Indian Ships are higher than those of foreign ships;
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and
- (c) whether the Shipping Companies are facing any difficulty on this account?

The Minister of Shipping in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Shri Subodh Hansda: In reply to part (a) of the question, the hon. Minister has stated "No, Sir." If it is so, may I know why Burma and other countries have refused to charter Indian ships?

Mr. Speaker: It is for them to decide. They know the rates.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether the freight rates of Indian ships that are plying on the coastal areas have been increased?

Shri Raj Bahadur: That was increased as a result of the recommendations of the committee that went into this question some time ago.

#### Concrete Sleepers for Railways

\*987. { Shri S. C. Samanta: \*987. { Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri P. C. Borooah:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have appointed an Expert Committee to go into the proposal of using reinforced cement concrete sleepers as well as the pre-stressed concrete sleepers for Railways;

(b) if so, whether the Committee has expressed any opinion in this regard; and

Oral Answers

(c) the decision taken in the matter?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) A Director on Special Duty has been appointed to examine the proposal in detail, select a suitable design (or designs) for concreté sleepers, and prepare a project report for their mass production in the country.

- (b) Not yet, Sir.
- (c) Does not arise.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know why this committee has been set up to ascertain whether concrete sleepers may be used when we have all along been using wooden sleepers?

Wooden Shahnawaz Khan: Shri of hard sleepers, particularly those wood, are in short supply.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I whether the life and cost of concrete sleepers have been examined?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Yes, This question has been continuously under consideration. The life of this pre-stressed concrete sleepers is from 40 to 50 years. The life of wooden sleepers is about 10 to 15 years.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I know whether it is a fact that tenders have been submitted? If so, how many tenders have been submitted and what are the prices offered by the tenderers for the approved varieties and the foreign exchange involved including royalties, if any?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: The tenders were opened very recently. leading countries in Europe who are using concrete sleepers have submitted their tenders. The whole question is under examination.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The second part of the question has not been answered. I wanted to know the foreign exchange involved and who offered varieties.

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: There will be practically no foreign exchange involved because the sleepers will be manufactured in this country.

श्री यशपाल सिंह : क्या मैं जान सकता हं कि हमारे देश में प्रोजेक्टस के लिए कहा जाता है तो सीमेंट की कमी बतलायी जाती है, तो इन सीमेंट कांकीट स्लिपर्स के लिए क्या सीमेंट का इन्तिजाम कर लिया गया है ?

श्री शाहनवाज खां: जो काम बहत जरूरी होता है उस को तरजीह दी जाती है। वयोंकि इस काम को बहुत ऊंची प्रायरिटी है, इसलिए इस के लिए सीमेंट की कोई कमी नहीं होगी।

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know if opportunities have been provided to the States within the country to augment their supply of these hard wood sleepers and, if so, what attempts have been made?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: We made every endeavour to secure the cooperation of State Governments in the supply of hard wood sleepers. The Railway Ministry is grateful for that. We are getting a good response, but in spite of that good response we still need more sleepers.

Dr. K. L. Rao: May I know ther the Government have obtained the report regarding the cost and performance of the concrete sleepers in the foreign countries?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: That question has been studied in great detail and we have taken steps after examination.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: The life of sleepers has been put at 40 to 50 years as the hon. Minister stated. We would like to know whether there idea by now available with the Ministry regarding the comparable cost of the sleepers with the present ones?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Yes, Sir. We have got full details regarding the cost. There are there or four different types of sleepers in use by the Indian Railways. About steel ers, the life is approximately 35 years and the cost varies between Rs. for metre gauge and Rs. 44 for broad gauge; about cast iron sleepers, life is 40 years and price is Rs. 27 for metre gauge and Rs. 46 or Rs. 47 for broad gauge; about wooden sleepers, the life is 15 years and the cost is Rs. 25 for broad gauge and about Rs. 13 25 nP for metre gauge; about soft wood, the cost is Rs. 281 for broad gauge and Rs. 14-1|2 for metre gauge; about the concrete sleepers, the prices vary from Rs. 37 to Rs. 69 whether it is broad gauge or metre gauge.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know if any assessment has been made regarding the requirements of sleepers and if any attempt has been made to see that wooden and other sleepers are not utilised so that steel and wood are saved for other purposes?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Our requirements of sleepers on the Indian Railways are to the extent of about 70 lakhs to 75 lakhs per year. We are getting about 25 lakhs or so of wooden sleepers. We are trying to get cast iron sleepers and yet we want more sleepers.

Shri A. P. Sharma: The hon. Minister has said that an officer on special duty has been appointed to examine the use sleepers. May I know whether any time-limit has been fixed for submitting the report?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Yes, Sir. The project report is very nearly complete and I hope in the next few days it will be out.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: May I know which countries have given tenders and which is the lowest one?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: As I said, all the advanced countries in Europe which are using these types of sleepers, like, England, France, Belgium and Germany, have submitted tenders.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I also wanted to know which is the lowest tender.

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: We are still examining them. It is not known.

#### Minor Irrigation Schemes

\*988. { Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: Shri Bibhuti Mishra:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount of allocations made to various States for minor irrigation schemes in respect of 1962-63 and 1963-64; and
- (b) whether Government have formulated any scheme so that the money earmarked for minor irrigation purposes is fully utilised and the farmers make the best use of the same?

खाद्य तथा कृषि मंत्री के सभा-सिवव (श्री झिन्दे): (क) पूछी गई सूचना का विवरण सभा पटल पर रख दिया गया है। [पुस्तकालय में रखा गया देखिये संख्या एल० टी० ११८८।६३]

(ख) राज्य सरकारों से प्रायंना की गई है कि वे यह सुनिश्चित करें कि लघु सिचाई के लिए की हुई सामान्य व्यवस्था और 'कृषि कार्यकम' शीर्षक के श्रन्तगंत होने वाली बचत का उपयोग लघु सिचाई (जिस में भूमि संरक्षण भी सम्मिलित है) के लिये हो और किसी हालत में भी इन को विकास के श्रन्य क्षेत्रों के लिए परिवर्तित न किया जाये।

राज्य सरकारों को यह भी सलाह दी
गई है कि वे ६ स'ताह का समय निश्चित
करें थ्रौर यह सुनिश्चित करें कि कृषकों के
ऋण सम्बन्धी श्रावेदन-पत्रों को शीघ्र
निपटाया जाये थ्रौर उन को शीघ्र ऋण दे

11277

## दिया जाये ताकि ग्रावश्यक ऋण न मिलने के कारण कुओं की खदाई अथवा अन्य लघ् सिचाई की योजनाओं में बाधा न पड जाये।

(a) A statement giving the required information is laid on the Table of the Sabha. [Placed in the Library. see No. LT-1188/631.

Governments have (b) The State been requested to ensure that the normal provisions for minor irrigation as well as savings under the head Agricultural Programmes, if any, are utilised for minor irrigation (including soil conservation) and in any case not diverted to other heads of development.

The State Governments have also been advised to fix a time limit of six weeks and ensure quick disposal of loan applications and disbursement of loans to agriculturists so that for lack of necessary credit sinking of wells or other minor irrigation schemes do not get get held.]

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: May .I know, to ensure speedy financial assistance for taking up minor irrigation works, whether the Government propose to associate non-officials also with the State Governments to see that the allotment that is being given is expended quickly and expeditiously?

Shri Shinde: As far as allocations are concerned, that is speedily done. Even then, the Government of India have made a suggestion to the States that M.P.s and other local representatives may be associated with the distributing agencies.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: May I know, out of the additional outlay that has been given to Andhra Pradesh. how much of this has been allotted for minor irrigation?

Mr. Speaker: That would be going into the details for each State.

P. Venkatasubbaiah: One hundred lakhs of rupees have been allotted.

Mr. Speaker: I only wanted to say that if we just go into the details for each State, that would take a long

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: I would ask this way. What is the percentage allotted to minor irrigation, out of this additional outlay that has been given to each State?

Shri Shinde: A statement which has been laid on the Table of the House gives all the details. In fact, Andhra, Rs. 296 lakhs was the original outlay. Additional outlay due to the emergency has been Rs. 174 lakhs. In all, there has been a provision of Rs. 317 lakhs for Andhra for minor irrigation.

Shri P Venkatasubbaiah: What is the percentage?

Mr. Speaker: Shri R. S. Pandey.

Shri R. S. Pandey: Some time back, the hon. Minister Dr. Ram Subhag Singh went to Bhopal and discussed something about minor irrigation. At that time, he handed over the kalas and the Kalas ceremony was performed, because Madhya Pradesh has produced more.

Mr. Speaker: What has the kalas ceremony to do? He might come to minor irrigation.

Shri R. S. Pandey: He has discussed something about minor irrigation. I wanted to know what is the result.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): While at Bhopal and recently at Budni and Hoshangabad, I discussed details of minor irrigation, soil conservation as well as Chambal reclamation work programme. The State Government is finalising a is finalising scheme and the Minister for Agriculture is likely to visit Delhi in that connection on the 30th of April, this month.

Shri Mansinh P. Patel: In view of the reply of the hon. Parliamentary

Secretary suggesting transferring of savings of soil conservation to minor irrigation, is it a fact that the States ignore and slacken the programme of soil conservation?

Oral Answers

Shri Shinde: Different allocations under the same head are allowed to be transferred. Because soil conservation also comes under minor irrigation, the funds are transferrable. As far as possible, the States utilise the funds for the purpose for which they have been allotted.

shri P. R. Patel: Where ordinary wells are not possible and the agriculturists resort to tube wells, may I know whether there is any scheme with the Government to subsidise tube wells or given loans for tubewells?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): There is a scheme under the Exploratory Tube well organisation which undertakes the digging of tube wells. If they are productive, they would be handed over to the various States.

Shri P. R. Patel: That is not my question. My question is this: whether there is any scheme with the Government to subsidise the agriculturists for the sinking of tubewells or to give loans for them.

Shri A. M. Thomas: There is a scheme for surface wells: 75 per cent loan and 25 per cent subsidy. Renovation of tanks: 75 per cent loan and 25 per cent subsidy; khuls: 75 per cent loan and 25 per cent subsidy. This is the pattern of assistance.

Mr. Speaker: Dr. P. S. Deshmukh-

Shri P. R. Patel: I wanted to know regarding tubewells: not ordinary wells.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order; one question.

Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: Does the provision of electric pumps to agriculturists form part of this scheme and what is the proportion of the additional assistance that is being given for this purpose?

Shri Shinde: Giving electric pumps, diesel pumps, etc., to agriculturists does come under the scheme. Naturally the States can provide pumping sets, etc., to agriculturists out of this allocation.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have any reports reached the Government that a part of the allocation for minor irrigation works has been diverted by one of the States, Mysore, I believe, to the erection of a zoo, and if so, what action has the Government taken in the matter by way of issuing a warning?

Mr. Speaker: This question was put earlier.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There was no answer to that question.

Shri A. M. Thomas: The unfortunate aspect is that there is no sectorwise allocation. The State Government is free to do.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There is a press report. I will pass it on, if necessary. Let them examine it.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister might get that information. Otherwise, this question would be repeated after two or three days.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Until it is finally answered.

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar: Is it a fact that a huge amount of allocation is still remaining undisbursed, because of there being no agency for actual execution, and in U.P. especially, this work has been taken over from co-operatives, and a huge amount is still unspent?

Shri A. M. Thomas: U.P. has done well in the matter of minor irrigation. They have spent about Rs. 634 lakhs in 1962-63, and they have provided

11282

House, and all these details have been mentioned in the statement, and the hon. Member may kindly look into the statement.

for Rs. 665 lakhs in 1963-64. Another Rs. 100 lakhs is also being allowed to be spent additionally.

श्री बड : मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि माइनर इरींगेशन स्कीम्स में जो स्टेटस-वाइज कमी की गई है क्या उस को फिर से रैस्टोर करने का शासन का विचार है ?

Shri Shinde: The actual allocations have been increased or raised. In all the States, practically the targets which were fixed have been raised by as much as 50 per cent or 40 per cent or 30 per cent.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I know whether it is a fact that the recommendations submitted by the Sivaraman Committee for the eastern districts of U.P. about minor irrigation have not at all been implemented, and if so, the steps taken to introduce minor irrigation schemes in the eastern districts of U.P.?

Shri A. M. Thomas: That is not correct. All those recommendations are being implemented, and there is satisfactory progress on the minor irrigation front.

श्री यशपाल सिंह : जैसे कि अभी दो हफ्ते पहले सिचाई मंत्री जी ने बहस के दौरान कहा था कि माइनर इरींगेशन के मातहत धगर लिफर्टिंग का इंतजाम कर दिया जाय तो ४० फ़ीसदी प्राबलम हल हो सकती है तो उस ४० फ़ीसदी को सौल्व करने के लिए क्या किया जा रहा है;

Shri Shinde: The hon, Member may be asked to repeat his question.

Mr. Speaker: Shri D. C. Sharma.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know on what criteria the grants or subsidies for these minor irrigation works are given? May I know also the State which has got the maximum and also the State which has got the least amount?

Shri Shinde: The statement has already been laid on the Table of the

Shri D. C. Sharma: The criteria are not given.

Shri A. M. Thomas: The criterion is the feasibility of the scheme.

Shri J. P. Jyotishi: May I know whether it is a fact that in certain areas due to the lack of knowledge on the part of the engineers, the schemes taken up under this programme of minor irrigation have failed, and hence there is a lot of resentment amongst the people against this?

Shri A. M. Thomas: We are not aware of this. If any specific instance is brought by the hon. Member to the notice of the Ministry, that would be enquired into and suitable steps taken.

#### Austerity Diet in Delhi Zoo

Shri Onkarlal Berwa: Dr. L. M. Singhvi: \*989. 7 Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Delhi Zoo proposes to introduce austerity diet for its inmates in order to effect economy; and
- (b) if so, in what manner and to what extent?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) and (b). No such proposal is under consideration. Some saving has, however, been effected with the introduction of a new diet schedule from November, 1962 substituting buffalo meat for mutton in the case of large carnivores such as lions, tigers, panthers and pumas.

श्री श्रोंकारलाल बेरवा : मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि भ्रगर इस पर विचार किया जायगा तो कब तक किया जायगा श्रीर इस कटौती के करने से कितनी बचत होगी?

खाद्य तथा कृषि मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह) : कटौती करने का विचार नहीं है। हम लोग चाहते हैं कि जो जानवर जू में हैं उन का स्वास्थ्य अच्छे से अच्छा रहे लेकिन यह जो मैंस का मांस देना शुरू किया गया है उस को क़ीमत कम है, इसलिए खर्चे में प्रतिमास ढाई हजार रुपये की कमी आ गई है।

श्री म्रोंकार लाल बेरवा: जो खुराक में कटौती की गई है तो उस खुराक की कटौती करने से उन के स्वास्थ्य पर क्या ग्रसर पड़ेगा?

डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह: स्वास्थ्य पर कोई असर नहीं पड़ा है और न हम लोग उस पर असर डालना चाहते हैं। उन का स्वास्थ्य अच्छे से अच्छा बनाये रखेंगे और उस के लिए अगर कुछ ज्यादा पैसे भी खचं करने की जरूरत होगी तो वह भी हम करेंगे। लेकिन यह बकरे और भेड़ों के मांस की जगह भैंसों का मांस जो देना शुरू किया गया है यह इसलिए कि उस मांस की अपेक्षा भैंस का मांस सस्ता और हैल्थ भी ज्यों की त्यों रहती है। उस पर कोई ख़राब असर नहीं पड़ता है।

Shri Kapur Singh: May I know whether the luxurious diet-regimen prescribed for the recently acquired Renu, the Gibbon, may ultimately become the standard for food menus of other captive inmates of the zoo?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: The animal Renu came here recently. All the animals have different diet. So one diet cannot be a standard for all of them. But we shall give the best diet to all the animals.

Shri Fatehsinhrao Gaekwad: I believe there is a possibility of the zoo acquiring a pair of rare white tigers from Rewa. Will they be given preferential treatment or will they be subjected to the same diet?

Mr. Speaker: Let them come first in the zoo.

Shri Tyagi: I am anxious to know whether this change of diet has been effected with a view to effect economy in expenditure or it is done from the point of view of the health of the animals. How are these animals taking to the new diet? Otherwise, it is the unkindest cut against these animals.

Mr. Speaker: It has been answered already.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: I would welcome my hon. colleague, Shri Tyagi, to the zoo. He will be happy to see. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Tyagi: I protest. I am a human being. I am not animal. How does he ask me to go to the zoo?

Mr. Speaker: Only as a casual visitor, not to be kept there.

श्री शिव नारायण: क्या यह सत्य है कि दिल्ली के जू में इन्तजाम बहुत अच्छा होने के कारण और जानवरों ने दरस्वास्त दी है कि उन को भी वहां पर एडमिट कर लिया जाये?

हा० राम सुभग सिंह: वहां इन्तजाम तो बहुत ग्रच्छा है। हम को जितने भी नये नये ढंग के जानवर मिलेंगे, उन सब का हम लोग उपयोग करेंगे ग्रीर वहां रखने की व्यवस्था करेंगे।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If I heard the Minister aright, he said it was in November that this change of diet was made. Is that right?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Yes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That means, after the emergency was declared on October 26. If so, while feeding these inmates on this new diet, on this emergency diet, are

attempts also being made by signs and gestures, if not through the spoken word, to instil into the minds of these inmates a sense of national emergency created by the Chinese aggression . . . . . (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member offers that service.

श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद: श्रीमन्, मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि चिडियाघरों में जानवरों को जो भोजन दिया जाता है, क्या उस के लिए कोई कमेटी वर्गैरह बनाई गई है या काई एक्सपर्ट वगैरह हैं. जिन की राय के मताबिक उन को भोजन दिया जाता है या चंकि इमर्जेंसी आ गई है, इसलिए यह सारा परिवर्तन किया जा रहा है।

डा० राम सभग सिंह: चिडियाघर के जो ग्रधिकारी हैं, वे लोग बराबर इस की देख-रेख करते हैं भ्रौर एक न शनल कमेटी भी है. जिस के माननीय प्रश्नकर्ता महोदय भी सदस्य हैं । हम लोग मौके मौके पर संसद-सदस्यों को भी वहां पर ले जाते हैं। बाहर के डाक्टर भी वहां देखरेख करने के लिए हैं।

फलों घौर पशुश्रों के फार्म

\*हह० े श्री भक्त दर्शन: श्री भागवत झा श्राजाद:

क्या खाद्य तथा कृषि मंत्री २८ ग्रगस्त. १६६२ के तारांकित प्रश्न संख्या ६५३ के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की किया करेंगे कि ऊंचे स्थानों पर फलों तथा पशस्रों के फार्म स्थापित करने के बारे में इस बीच **क्या प्रगति हुई है**?

बाद्य तथा कृषि मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह): जहां तक हिमाचल प्रदेश के मण्डी जिले के कटौला स्थित जरसी ब्रीडिंग फार्म का सम्बन्ध है, वह फार्म संतोध-जनक कार्य कर रहा है। इस फार्म के लगभग १० जरसी बैलों को पंजाब. हिमाचल प्रदेश, जम्मू श्रीर काश्मीर, उत्तर प्रदेश भीर स्रासाम के राज्यों में बांट दिया गया है

ताकि पहाडी पश विकास योजना के ग्रन्तगैत कृत्रिम गर्भाघान के तकनीक द्वारा पहाडी पशग्रों का विकास किया जा सके। फलों के फार्मों के सम्बन्ध में तो वही स्थिति है जो २८ ग्रगस्त, १९६२ के तारांकित प्रक्न संख्या ६५३ के उत्तर में बताई गई थी।

श्री भक्त दर्शन : श्रीमन, पिछले प्रश्न का उत्तर देते हुए माननीय मन्त्री जी ने बताया था कि उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ने ग्रपने पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों के लिए एक योजना प्रस्तत की है। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि उसके बारे में क्या निर्णय किया गया है।

डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह : हम लोगों ने कोशिश की थी कि उत्तर प्रदेश पर्वतीय क्षेत्र में कलसी नामक स्थान पर जो पशग्रों का फ़ार्म है, उसको भारत सरकार ले ले ग्रीर वहां पर जरसी जानवरों के लिए एक केन्द्र खोला जाये । लेकिन उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ने सोचा कि वही उसको चलाती रहे । इसलिए हिमा-चल प्रदेश में कटौला में ग्रौर मैसर में बंगलौर के पास स्थित हजरघाटा में जरसी जानवरों के दो केन्द्र स्थापित किये गए हैं। लेकिन अगर उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार चाहेगी, तो हम कलसी फार्म को भी मदद देंगे।

भी भक्त दर्शन : श्रीमन, ग्रभी हाल ही में शिमला में पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों के विकास के सम्बन्ध में जो गोष्टी हुई थी. उसमें फलों भौर पशुश्रों के केन्द्र स्थापित करने के बारे में कुछ महत्वपूर्ण सुझाव रखे गए थे। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि उन सुझावों पर क्या ग्रमल किया गया है भीर क्या उन के कारण इस कार्यक्रम में भीर प्रगति की जा रही है ?

डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह : हमारी एक फील्ड कैटल डेवेलपमेंट स्कीम है, जिस के अन्तर्गत धासाम, हिमाचल प्रदेश, उत्तर प्रदेश, जम्मू-काश्मीर भ्रौर पंजाब के पहाडी हल्कों में मदद देने का विचार है श्रीर इसके केन्द्र भी स्थापित किये गए हैं। पंजाब में पालमपुर में श्रीर श्रासाम में गोहाटी के पास, श्रीर दूसरी जगहों में भी, ऐसे केन्द्र स्थापित करने पर विचार किया जायगा । हिमाचल प्रदेश के किनौर जिले में याक, जरसी ग्रीर दूसरे कैटल के कासग्रीड्सज तैयार करने के लिए दो केन्द्र खोले जायेंगे।

Oral Answers

श्री भागवत झा ग्राजाव: क्या मैं जान सकता हूं कि इन पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों में पशुश्रों ग्रीर फलों के फार्मों में जो उत्पादन हुग्रा है, वह उन पर व्यय की जाने वाली धनराशि के ग्रनुरूप है, या ग्रभी तक खर्च ग्रधिक हो रहा है ग्रीर पशुग्रों का विकास तथा फलों का उत्पादन कम हो रहा है ?

बा॰ राम सुभग सिंह : ध्रगर अकेले हिमाचल प्रदेश को लिया जाये, तो पहले वहां पर एक लाख मन प्रतिवर्ष के करीब सेब होते थे, जबिक अब उनकी मात्रा करीब ढाई लाख मन प्रतिवर्ष हो गई है । इसी प्रकार उत्तर प्रदेश और कुल्लू वैली में भी फलों का उत्पादन बढ़ा है और हम लोग जानवरों के सम्बन्ध में भी प्रगति करने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं।

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know up to what altitude these experiments are taking place? Is it only up to the snow line or beyond? If it is beyond the snow line, I want to know the names of the places.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: It is usually at an altitude up to 8,000 feet for fersey and yak it is between 8,000 and 10,000 feet, and for fruits also up to about 10,000 feet. For vegetables and animals there is one farm at Murtse near Leh, which has an elevation of about 11,500 feet.

Shrimati Akkamma Devi: In view of the fact that there are large quantities of different kinds of fruits in the hilly areas only during particular seasons of the year, may I know whether Government will set up more factories in these hilly areas for preservation of these fruits?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: It is included in our programme, and we shall

do our best to set up fruit judice extraction factories at suitable centres, and mostly near the fruit producing centres.

Shri K. C. Pant: The hon. Minister said that jersey bulls were being distributed to a large number of hill areas. May I know the criterion on which they are distributed, and in how many years this will have a palpable impact on the cattle breeds of these areas?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Actually this scheme was announced only last year and 50 jersey cattle were sent to Kataula in Himachal Pradesh and 50 to Bangalore, and the number of bulls will increase now because as it was started only last year, only 10 have been distributed, and of these ten bulls, three have been sent to Assam, two to Punjab, one to Himachal Pradesh, two to U.P. and one to Jammu and Kashmir.

श्रीमती सावित्री निगम : क्या मैं जान सकती हूं कि पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों में जो सरकारी रूप से फ़ार्म बनाने और पशु-पालन की व्यवस्था की गई है, उसके अतिरिक्ति व्यक्तिगत रूप से फलों के फ़ार्म और पशु-पालन के फ़ार्म बनाने वालों तथा फूट-प्रिजर्वेशन के केन्द्र खोलने वालों को क्या सरकारी अनुदान, सहायता और सुविधायें मिलती हैं ?

डा० राम सुभग सिंह : पवंतीय इलाकों में ज्यादातर विकास किसानों के व्यक्तिगत बाहु- बल से अभी तक हुआ है। जो किसान फलों का बाग लगाना चाहते हैं, उनको पंजाब के ग़ैर-सरकारी इलाकों से २०० परसेंट ज्यादा सिचाई की सुविघा दी जाती है। और फिरोजपुर जिले में इसकी बदौलत तीस हजार एकड़ में माल्टा के बाग लग गए हैं और अंगूरों के भी लग रहे हैं। पहाड़ी इलाकों में नकद रुपये दिये जाते हैं पांच सौ प्रति एकड़ के हिसाब से। इस तरह से हिमाचल प्रदेश में अलग रेट है, उत्तर प्रदेश में और जम्मू काश्मीर में भी

ग्रलग रेट हैं। इस चीज के जिए हम लोग श्रौर ज्यादा कोशिश करेंगे कि बढे।

#### Home Science Colleges in Agricultural Universities

∫ Shri Yashpal Singh: ∫ Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: \*992. ∫ Shri Ram Harkh Yadav: ∣ Shri Rameshwar Tantia:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Union Government have accepted the recommendations of Indo-American Joint Team on Agricultural Education about the Home Science Colleges;
- (b) if so, whether the State Governments have been asked to establish Home Science Colleges; and
- (c) the reaction of the State Governments thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) to (c). A statement giving the information required is laid on the Table of the Sabha.

#### STATEMENT

- (a) and (b). The Second Joint Indo-American Team made a recommendation that a Home Science College should be a Constituent College of Agricultural Universities. The Government of India have accepted this recommendation and mended it to the State Governments with the remarks that Home Science Colleges should also be established in the States where Agricultural Universities were not likely to be established in the near future in close proximity of Colleges of Agriculture and assistance and encouragement should also be given to introduce agriculture as an elective in the Home Science Curricula.
- (c) The reaction of the State Governments to this recommendation is not yet available. There are however, Home Science Colleges in many

of the States. As regards establishment of Home Science Colleges as constituents of Agricultural Universities, necessary action is being taken by the States where these Universities have been established or are being established.

श्री यशपाल सिंह : मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि इस साल में यानी १९६३ में ग्राप कितने ग्रीर कालेज शुरू करने जा रहे हैं ?

डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह : ऐसे कालेज २२ हैं अपने देश के विभिन्न स्थानों में।

श्री यशपाल सिंह: कृषि के ग्रलावा श्रीर जनरल यूनिवरसिटीज में इस कोर्स को शुरू करने में क्या दिवकत है?

डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह : दिक्कत कुछ नहीं है। जो पांच सबर्जंक्ट चुने गए हैं कि कृषि शिक्षा के सम्बन्ध के हों कृषि विश्वविद्यालय स्थापित करने के लिए, उन पांच में एक होम साइंस है।

श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद : जो विवरण सभा पटल पर रखा गया है, उससे स्पष्ट नहीं होता है कि एग्रीकल्चर कालेजिज में होम साइंस को इतना महत्व क्यों दिया जा रहा है । क्या माननीय मन्त्री जी इस पर प्रकाश डालने की कपा करेंगे ?

डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह: होम साइंस यानी
गृह विज्ञान कृषि के लिए बड़े महत्व की चीज
है क्योंकि जो भी कृषि का काम
होता है उसका बहुत कुछ हिस्सा
घर से प्रभावित होता है। ग्रगर दूध घर में
रहे तो घी वगैरह बनाने का सारा काम
महिलाओं का होता है। इसी तरह से अनाज
के प्रोसेसिंग का भी काम है। बगैर इसके काम
चलेगा नहीं।

Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: How many agricultural universities have started functioning and what is the assistance the Central Government has given and how many more universities are likely to be started in the course of the Third Plan?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: The first university under this scheme was set up at Pantnagar. Last year there were set up, one at Ludhiana, another at Bhubaneswar and a third at Udaipur. Three more States of Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh and Mysore are enacting laws for establishing agricultural universities.

Oral Answers

Shri Kapur Singh: May I know whether the Government have now finally decided to integrate home science with agricultural education?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Yes; that is what I said. Of the five subjects, home science is one.

#### Iron and Steel for Agricultural Purposes

\*993. Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a review was recently made by working group in regard to supply of iron, steel, cement and coal for agricultural purposes;
  - (b) if so, its recommendations; and
- (c) the action Government have taken to implement them?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) and (c). A statement containing a summary of the recommendations made by the Working Group and the action taken to implement them is laid on the Table of the Sabha. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-1189/63].
- Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: May I know whether, in order to ensure speedy distribution of agricultural implements, the Government proposes, to start a central marketing corporation for supply of agricultural implements throughout the country?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: We are going to call a meeting of all the

fabricators and persons who are dealing with agricultural implements. In the light of their suggestions, we shall set up a suitable agency, but agency does not mean that it will be independent of the Government.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: In the statement there is reference to the short supply of cement. May I know whether any definite quota will be given to the farmer for cement for agricultural purposes?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: For cement, coal and iron we have requested the suitable authorities and Ministers also to see that sufficient quota is released for agricultural purposes.

Shri Mansinh P. Patel: In view of the fact that these things are needed only in particular seasons for agricultural purposes, may I ask whether the quota may be retained in Government godowns or co-operative godowns for specified purposes, such as irrigation, wells, etc.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: That is a good suggestion. But our difficulty is that we are not getting the quota as desired. There is no question of maintaining them in godowns.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know if the Government is aware that the steel allotted for the production of implements is being misused and, if the answer is in the affirmative, may I know what steps have the Central Government taken to see that it is utilised for the purpose for which it is allotted?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Last year-we appointed a liaison officer to keep active liaison with the State Governments as well as the Controller of Iron and Steel. We are unable to get the quota and so there is no question of mis-utilisation.

Shri P. R. Patel: I want to know whether cases of diversion of iron and steel and cement meant for agricultural purposes to other non-agricultural purposes have been brought to the notice of the Government and whether any action has been taken by the Government in that regard?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Cement is allotted to the States and all purposes are taken as one: each particular State gets so much of cement which is allotted. We have written to the State Governments to see that agricultural work is not ignored and the quota is properly given.

Shri P. R. Patel: What about iron and steel? He referred to cement only.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: When I was in Calcutta I called the Deputy Controller of Iron and Steel and enquired into the difficulties that exist there, in that office, in seeing that the steel quotal is sent in time to the State Governments.

Shri P. R. Patel: My question was different. My question was in respect of cases of diversion of steel and iron meant for agricultural purposes to other purposes, what action has been taken by the Government.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: The question of diversion will come only after getting the quota. We are not getting the quota.

Shri P. R. Patel: Diversion of the quota.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. His attention also should not be diverted! Shri D. N. Tiwary.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: At present, some quota of iron and steel and coal is given for agricultural purposes, and there is a complaint that it is misused and is being sent to the black market. May I know whether there is any organisation to see that the quotas already allotted are utilised for the purpose for which they have been allotted?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Yes, Sir. That will be our constant concern and last year, in the year 1962-63, the State Governments got a quota only to the quantum of 25,530 tons. In Bihar also on our intervention they got 500 tons and they submitted a report that they utilised it for agricultural purposes. If there is any knowledge or information with the hon. Member, I shall welcome that and see that the thing is properly investigated.

Shri Jashvant Mehta: In pursuance of the question of Shri P. R. Patel—not the question of diversion but the other aspect—may I know whether officially the Government is releasing the agricultural quota in free sale when there is a shortage of quota or shortage of the goods?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: The whole quota is given to particular States. A letter is sent saying that they should give some higher priority for agricultural purposes. If in any State or district that has not been done, then kindly let me know and I will bring it to the notice of the State Government.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I know whether it has been brought to the notice of the hon. Minister that a good portion of the quota allotted for the purpose of agriculture, to the State Governments,—which should actually go to the agriculturists—is being sold in the black market through big industrialists and the full quota does not actually go to the agriculturists, and, if so, what action has been taken in that regard?

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: If there is any case like that, then I would request the hon. Member to acquaint us with it.

श्री तुलशीवास जाषव : स्टील सिमेंट वगैरह डिस्ट्रीब्यूशन के लिए जो इंडिनिजुम्नल मर्चेट्स को दिया जाता है, इसके बजाय इसको कोम्रोप्रेटिव सोसाइटीज को क्यों नहीं दिया जाता है ? डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह : कोब्रोप्रेटिव सोसाइटीज को भी हम लोगों ने लिया है और कहा है कि ब्रायरन स्टील इनको दीजिये । पांच कोब्रोप्रेटिव सोसाइटीज को लोगों ने देना शुरू भी किया है । लेकिन सारी स्टेट्स में ब्रलग ब्रलग तरीका रहता है । इसलिए इम लोग धीरे घीरे इसको उस पथ पर लावेंगे।

11295

श्री भागवत झा ग्राजाद : किसानों की सबसे बड़ी मांग और ग्रावश्यकता ग्रभी पुराने श्रौजारों के स्थान पर नये श्रौजारों के पाने की है। क्या सरकार निश्चयात्मक रूप से यह कह सकती है कि वह इस स्थिति में है कि किसानों को नये श्रौजार दिला सके या दे सके?

डा॰ राम सुभग सिंह: हम किसानों को नये श्रीजार दे सकेंगे। इसीलिये हर एक स्टेट में, खास कर पैकेज वाले जिलों में, एक एक वर्कशाप बन रही है, श्रीर हर एक स्टेट को लिखा भी गया है कि ग्रमुख ग्रमुख नये श्रीजार उपलब्ध हैं। श्रगर उनको जरूरत हो तो जांच करके उनमें से जिस श्रीजार को वे चाहें वह उनके यहां भेजा जा सकता है।

#### I.A.C. Fares

+

\*994. | Shri A. V. Raghavan: Shri Pottekkatt; | Shri D. C. Sharma: | Shri Indrajit Gupta:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal to raise fares by the Indian Airlines Corporation;
- (b) the reasons for enhancing the fares; and
- (c) the details of the increase proposed?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Mohiuddin): (a) to (c). Arising out of certain additional levies on

aviation fuel and other items recently announced, the Corporation are considering an increase in their fares and freight rates with a view to meet the additional tax burden.

11296

Shri A. V. Raghavan: How does the existing rate compare with the per passenger cost?

Shri Mohiuddin: This rate has not yet been raised; it is under consideration.

Shri A. V. Raghavan: My question was, how does the existing rate compare with the per passenger cost?

Shri Mohiuddin: Which rate, Sir, I do not understand.

**Shri A. V. Raghavan:** The existing rate. How does it compare with the per passenger cost?

Shri Mohiuddin: If the hon. Member is referring to the cost per passenger or cost per ton mile of the Indian Air Lines Corporation, the analyses of cost per ton-mile are given in the annual report.

Shri A. V. Raghavan: May I know the number of occasions on which the rates were increased by the IAC since 1953, and when was it revised last?

Shri Mohiuddin: The rates have been increased from time to time with the sanction of the Government according to the need. I think it was raised for more than two or three times.

Shri Buta Singh: May I know whether the Government propose to tie up the IAC fares with the free travel passes given to Members of Parliament?

Mr. Speaker: That is a different thing.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Does the Government fear that the IAC will be running at a loss as a result of the new taxes, and is it because of that that they are considering this increase?

Shri Mohiuddin: That is exactly the point. The estimated loss at the

present rate of fare will be about Rs. 75 lakhs this year.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know what is the percentage of additional tax burden that the Ministry is anticipating, and what will be the proportion of this percentage to the increase in the fares which are being contemplated?

Shri Mohiuddin: The additional cost on account of the tax recently levied is estimated to be—of course, it is only an estimate—about Rs. 145 lakhs, and calculations are being made as to how to meet this additional cost and by what percentage of increase in rates. That calculation has not yet been completed.

### लंका को चीनी का निर्यात

## \*६६४. ेश्री श्रोंकारलाल बेरवाः

क्या स्ताद्य तथा कृषि मंत्री यह बताने की क्रपा करेंग कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत लंका को इस महीने चीनी का निर्यात कर रहा है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो कितनी चीनी का निर्यात करने का विचार है; ग्रीर
- (ग) यह चीनी किस भाव पर निर्यात की जायगी ?

साद्य ग्रौर कृषि मंत्री के सभा सचिव (भी ज्ञिन्दे): (क) जी हां।

- (ख) ४,००० मीट्रिक टन।
- (ग) ६१ पौंड प्रति मीट्रिक टन नौतल पर्यन्त निःशुल्क ग्रौर भारतीय बन्दरगाहों पर नौ माडे सहित।
  - (a) Yes, Sir.
  - (b) 4,000 metric tons.
- (c) £.61 per metric ton f.o.b. and stowed Indian ports.

श्री भ्रोंकारलाल बेरवा : यह जो चीनी लंका भेजी जायगी वह किस भाव से बेची जायेगी ? उसमें कितना नुक्सान या फायदा होगा ।

Shri Shinde: As I have already submitted, the rate would be £61 per ton.

श्री ग्रोंकार लाल बेरवा: ग्रगर नुक्सान हुग्रा तो क्या चीनी मिल मालिकों को कुछ सन्सिडी देने का भी विचार है?

Shri Shinde: The export, Sir, would be coming under the general export scheme. There is a general arrangement with the State Trading Corporation as well as the Export Division of the Indian Sugar Mills Association This sugar which is to be exported is relatively a very small quantity and that is being exported on a special request by the Government of Ceylon.

Shri P. C. Borooah: May I know how much of the same has already been exported, through which agency and by what manner we are going to get the price realised?

Shri A. M. Thomas: This would be exported from Calcutta and Bombay 1,000 tons from Calcutta and 3,000 tons from Bombay. Bombay sugar has already been shipped and from Calcutta it will be shipped as soon as the freight is arranged.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: In view of the fact that sugar production is less and the price of sugar is soaring in the country may I know whether Government is likely to revise its export policy and not try to boost the export at the cost of internal consumption?

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri S. K. Patil): This is not done to boost the export. It is a neighbouring country in need of sugar and it wanted only 2,000 tons and later on, 4,000 tons, which is a very small quantity. Therefore, it had been done. We do not want to export any more until next year's crop is known.

श्री बड़े: क्या यह बात सच है कि जो शुगर लंका भेजी जाती है उस के लिये वहां से पैसा मिलता है ? या कि उसके बदले में रबर मिलता है श्रीर वार्टर से चीनी भेजी जाती है ?

11299

Shri Shinde: It is an ordinary commercial transaction. It would be a part of the trade agreement with Ceylon.

Shri Bade: Sir, my question was whether we will get cash or something else in exchange.

Mr. Speaker: He said that it is done according to an ordinary commercial transaction.

#### Payment of Road Tax in Delhi

\*996. Shrimati Ramdulari Sinha: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether his attention has been drawn to the inconvenience being caused to persons in Delhi and New Delhi who have to waste considerable time to pay the road tax for their vehicles for 1963-64;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that collection of tax has been started late this year than usual; and
- (c) whether Government intend to open more tax collection centres?

The Minister of Shipping in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) Yes.

- (b) No.
- (c) Yes.

Import of Electric Locomotives

+
997. Shri D. C. Sharma:
Shri Onkarlal Berwa:
Shri R. S. Pandey:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

(a) whether an agreement has been signed with Hitachi Engineering Company of Japan for import of 45 electric locomotives;

(b) if so, the terms of the agreement;

11300

(c) the details of the locomotives?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) to (c). A statement is placed on the table of the House.

#### STATEMENT

(a) and (b). A formal order has been placed on 30-3-63 on a group of three Japanese firms led by M|s. Hitachi Ltd. The contract will be signed as soon as approval of the Government of Japan for financing it from the Second Yen credit is received. Broad details of the contract are as follows:

Cost: The total C&F value of the order is Rs. 487 lakhs, which includes rupee content of Rs. 10.31 lakhs towards charges of technical staff and agent's commission in India. Each electric locomotive (Without spares etc.) will cost Rs. 10.11 lakhs, exworks.

#### Terms of payment:

- (i) 30 per cent of the total FOB cost as soon as the import licence is issued and on furnishing the necessary bank guarantee.
- (ii) Balance payment on production of necessary shipping documents and subject to furnishing a bank guarantee for 10 per cent of FOB cost.
- (iii) Cost of ocean freight incurred has to be paid in yens on production of shipping documents.

#### Delivery Schedule:

The first two locomotives are to be shipped by January' 64 for prototype trials and the remaining 43 from Sept." 64 to Jan.' 65.

#### Indigenous content:

The firm has agreed to utilise components partly or whoily, to the extent available from indigenous sources such as air blast circuit breakers, tap chargers, pantographs, centre buffer couplers, compressors, vacuum exhausters, brake equipment, cattle guard and side buffers. The value of all the above components forms about 13 per cent of the cost of locomotives, but the exact amount of credit to be given in the contract value cannot be precisely assessed at present.

(c) These locomotives are 25 kv AC BG Freight type developing 3280 Horse Power and weighing about 85 metric tons. They will be equipped with silicon rectifiers and dynamic braking.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know how long it will take for Government to be self-sufficient, so far as the supply of electric locomotives is concerned?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: The assessment made for the Third Plan is 353 AC locomotives, of which we have already obtained 110. 138 locomotives will be made at the Chittaranjan Locomotive Works. Out of the balance of 85, we are now ordering 45 from Japan and 30 from the Group countries. As regards self-sufficiency, the demand is growing. So, probably in the Fourth Plan we shall be able to be self-sufficient in the matter of electric locomotives.

shri D. C. Sharma: May I know why our Government has collaborated with Japan for the supply of electric locomotives? Was it after inviting tenders? Were other countries also consulted before the agreement was made with Japan?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: It is not a collaboration. We have only placed an order for 45 electric locomotives with Japan. As regards collaboration, we are having it with the Group for manufacture in conjunction with the Bhopal Heavy Electricals of electrical equipment.

Shrimati Renuka Ray: How far does the price of imported electrical locomotive compare with the price of the electrical locomotives of Chittaranian? Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: We are yet to manufacture A.C. locomotives at Chittaranjan. So far as price is concerned, the latest order with Japan will cost us Rs. 10.11 lakhs f.o.b. per locomotive. It is expected that the Chittaranjan locomotives would be costing about Rs. 11 lakhs.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: How does the price of the locomotives likely to be imported from Japan compare with the price of locomotives for which an agreement has been signed with the United States?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: We have not signed any agreement with USA for electrical locomotives; that is for diesel locomotives.

#### Protection of Railway Line in Assam and Nagaland

\*999. Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) the steps taken to protect the Railway lines in Assam especially adjoining the border areas and Nagaland from sabotage; and
- (b) how many attempts of firing and sabotage have been made on the railways in Assam adjoining the Nagaland during the last three years?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the Sabha.

#### STATEMENT

- (a) The following steps have been taken to protect the Railway lines in Assam especially adjoining the border areas and Nagaland from sabotage:—
  - (i) patrolling has been intensified and more Outposts have been set up to protect vulnerable spots;
  - (ii) every possible protection is given to running as well as station staff working in the disturbed areas;

- (iii) armed escorts are provided on trains running over the vulnerable sections;
- (iv) armed police is also provided at stations to protect railway personnel and property;
- (v) forest growth on either side of the track in the vulnerable areas is being cleared 'o increase visibility:
- (vi) engines working trains in the disturbed areas have been fitted with steel plates and sand bags have been provided in the brake vans to provide protection to the running staff against stray bullets; and
- (vii) a Searchlight Special is run ahead of important passenger trains running on vulnerable sections at night.
- (b) 10 cases of firing and 6 cases of attempts to sabotage were reported during the period.

Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: In view of the statement made by the hon. Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs that all the adjoining areas of the railway lines are not covered by patrolling, may I know from the Government whether they propose to rehabilitate landless people of the State in those areas for mere security.

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: That is a decision which has to be taken by the State Government.

Sheimati Tyotsin Chanda: Do the Government propose to communicate this proposes to the State Government?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: I think, the State Government is fully aware of this.

Shri P. C. Borooah: In view of the fact that the present railway line passing through Nagaland is the only railway line in the South Bank of Assam which is transporting all the defence requirements to the eastern 378 (Ai)LSD—2.

front has the Government any proposal to have an alternative rail link joining Nowgong and Jorhat and so on?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: None at present.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if the Government is thinking of posting some police constables members οî the armed forces these trains so that the saboteurs are prevented from doing anything illegal?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: All these trains are escorted by armed men.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: From the statement it appears that ten cases of firing and six cases of attempt to sabotage were reported during the period. I want to know whether any person or persons have been arrested in this connection and whether the hon. Minister suspects any foreign hand in it.

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: We have not been able to arrest any of these saboteurs but in the encounters that we had with the hostiles we believe that we have inflicted some casualties on them. About the second part of the question I cannot say anything.

#### Cost of Integral Coaches Manufactured at Perambur

- \*1002. Shri Subodh Hansda: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the cost of manufacture and furnishing of a coach at the Integral Coach Factory has come down;
- (b) if so, how does it compare with other countries in the world; and
- (c) whether it can compete with foreign made coaches in quality and price?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shanawaz Khan): (a) Yes, Sir—progressively.

- (b) It compares quite favourably with other countries of the World.
- (c) Yes, ICF-built coaches can compete well with similar foreign made coaches both in quality and in price.

Shri Subdoh Hansda: What is the overall reduction in the cost of manufacture of complete coaches built at the integral Coach Factory and how does it compare with the cost of the coaches that have been made at the initial stage

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: The cost has been coming down progressively. When we started the cost was Rs. 3.69 lakhs; the present cost is Rs. 1.38 lakhs.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether there is any proposal to expand this factory for producing locomotives for export from here?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: The Perambur factory does not manufacture any locomotives; it is for coaches.

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi: May I know the reasons for the reduction in cost; whether it is due to the indigenous components or due to large-scale production?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: It is due to all these things put tagether.

श्री प्रचल सिंह: क्या इस फैक्टरी से देश की जरूरत पूरी हो जाती है ?

श्री शाहनवाज खां : जितनी हमारे देश की जरूरियात हैं उनको आई० सी० एफ० और एच० ए० एल० मिल कर पूरी कर देते हैं।

Shri K. C. Pant: In view of the fact that the cost of these coaches compares favourably with foreign made coaches, has any attempt been made to export these coaches; if so, with what success?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Yes, Sir; we have quoted against global tenders which were put by Argentine and Pakistan.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: What is the life expectancy of these coaches and how do they compare with the coaches that we used to get from abroad?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Under normal wear and tear the life of an ICF coach is about 40 years. It compares very well with those coaches which we used to import.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: It has been stated in part (b) of the answer to the question that it compares quite favourably. How does the price of our coach compare with that of the cheapest one in the world market?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: The last coaches that we imported was in the year 1954; since then we have not imported any. Therefore we cannot say definitely what the price of other countries would be. But from the global tenders that were put out and against which we quoted, we find that ours was the second lowest in the case of Argentine and the lowest in the case of Pakistan.

## विलासपुर सड़क

\*१००३. श्री श्रॉकारलाल बेरवा : क्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि विलासपुर सड़क का दो हजार फुट हिस्सा नीचे बैठ गया है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं;
- (ग) इससे सरकार को कितना नुक्सान हुआ ; श्रोर
- (घ) इसकी मरम्मत कब तक हो जायेगी?

परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्रासय में नौवहन मंत्री (श्री राज बहादुर): (क) से (घ) एक विवरण सभा पटल पर रखा जात है।

11307

#### विवरण

विज्ञासपुर-कीरतपुर सड़क में १३ और १४ मील के बीच २००० फुट का टुकड़ा उस से ऊपर के पड़ाड़ सहित पहली अप्रैल, १६६३ को नीवे बैठ गया था। पहाड़ी ढलानों के नीचे बैठने के कारणों को मालूम करने के लिये विस्तृत जांच करावानी है।

इस टुकड़े के फिसलने के कारणों की खोज के बाद ही यह जात हो सकता है कि सरकार को इससे कितनी हानि हुई है, क्योंकि इसकी मरम्मत के लिये किस प्रकार के और कितने निर्माण कार्य की आवश्यकता होगी यह क्षति के कारण पर ही आश्रित होगा।

इसी स्थान पर एक श्रस्थायी सड़क बनाकर सड़क को गाड़ियों के यातायात के लिये पुन: चालू कर दिया है।

श्री भ्रोंकारलाल बेरवा : यह जो दो हजार फुट सड़क बैट गयी है, क्या यह सही है कि इस सड़क के बैट जाने से भाखरा बांघ को कुछ क्षति पहुंची है ?

श्री राज बहादुर : ऐसी कोई सूचना नहीं है। मेरे ख्याल में इसकी कोई भी संभावना नहीं है।

श्री श्रोंकारलाल बेरवा : मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि यह जो मरम्मत का काम चालू हो रहा है यह डिपार्टमेंट की तरफ से किया जा रहा है या किसी ठेकेंद्रार के जरिये किया जा रहा है ?

श्री राज बहाबुर: अभी तो यह किया
गया है कि एक सड़क आरजी तौर पर बना
दी गयी है ताकि ट्रैफिक चालू हो जाय। जब
पूरी जांच हो जायगी और यह मालूम हो
जायगा कि इस सड़क के बैठ जाने का कारण
क्या है, तो इसको दोबारा बनाने के प्रश्न पर
बिचार किया जायगा।

Dr. K. L. Rao: May I know whether recently any observation wells have been put in between the reservoir and the site to know the extent of the seepag if any, from the reservoir to the site?

11308

Shri Raj Bahadur: As I have said in the statement, the investigation is going on. I do not exactly know what processes have been undertaken with a view to make those investigations A<sub>3</sub> and when the report is submitte<sup>4</sup> we will know the details.

श्री बड़े : इसकी रिपेयर्स का कितन खर्चा होगा और क्या विलासपुर से ग्रापके पास यह रिपोर्ट श्रायी है कि भाखरा बांघ की वजह से यह सडक सिक हो गयी है ?

श्री राज बहादुर : यह तो श्रमी नहीं मालूम हुग्रा है कि भाखरा बांघ के कारण यह हुग्रा है । विभिन्न अनुमान लगाये गयें हैं कारणों के बारे में । लेकिन मेरा ख्याल है कि जब तक, विशेषजों की रिपोर्ट इसके बारे में न ग्रा जाये तब तक कोई नतीजा निकालना लगाना ठीक नहीं होगा ।

**श्रीबड़े**: रिपेयर्स पर क्या खर्चा लगेगा?

श्री राज बहादुर: उसके बारे में श्रभी कुछ नहीं कहा जा सकता। क्योंकि यह भालूम नहीं है कि इसका कारण क्या है।

श्रष्टियक्ष महोदय: स्टेटमेंट में लिखा है कि जब तक कारण न पता चल जाय तब तक इस बात का ग्रन्दाजा नहीं लगाया जा सकता कि उसको किस तरह दूर करना है।

श्रीबड़े: रिपेयर्स तो स्टार्ट हो गये हैं।

श्री राज बहादुर: श्राखिर में इस सड़क को हटाना पड़ेगा या वहीं रखा जायगा यह उस समा तक निश्चित नहीं किया जा सकता जब तक कि रिपोर्ट न मिल जाये। रिपोर्ट के श्राधार पर ही नई सड़क बनायी जायेगी।

Mr. Speaker: Next question Shri D. C. Sharma:

Shri D. C. Sharma: 1004.

11309

Mr. Speaker: The Minister of Tranport and Communications. Question 1006.

Shri D. C. Sharma: 1004.

Mr. Speaker: Is there nο hon. Minister to answer it? Question No.

The Minister of Shipping in Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur): Question No. 1004 or 1006?

Shri D. C. Sharma: 1004.

Shri Raj Bahadur: 1004, not 1006.

Mr. Speaker: Question No. 1004 has been transferred for answer on the 1st May. Hon, Members who gave notice of Question No. 1005 are not present. Hence, now it is the trun for Question No. 1006.

Shri Raj Bahadur: He called out Question No. 1004

Shri D. C. Sharma: He should be more vigilant than I.

Shri Raj Bahadur: Unless and until the number of the question is called, how can I answer it? We are all equal here and he too should be equally vigilant.

U.N. Assistance for Transport Problem

\*1006. Shri D. C. Sharma; Shri N. R. Laskar:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether India has sought U.N. assistance to solve the transport problems in the country; and
- (b) if so, the nature of the assistance sought and the action taken by the U.N. in the matter?

The Minister of Shipping in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) and (b). The information required is

being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha course.

Mr. Speaker: Next question. Shri Ulaka.

Shri D. C. Sharma: What is the amount of ....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He did not rise in time. Now I have passed on to the next question. Shri Ulaka ... Absent. Is there any hon. Member who was not present when his question was called and who might have come since then?....None. The Question Hour is over.

#### WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

#### World Food Congress

\*991. Shri Inder J. Malhotra: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether India is going to participate in the World Food Congress to be held in U.S.A. in June, 1963;
- (b) if so, the agenda of this Conference; and
- (c) the composition of the Indian Delegation?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) Yes.

(b) and (c). Statements are laid on the Table of the Sabha. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1190[63.]

#### Agricultural Cess

\*998. { Shri Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) the money collected by Indian Council of Agricultural Research during 1962-63 by way of agricultural cess;
- (b) the money spent out of this on the staff at the headquarters; and
- (c) the money spent on research and other schemes?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) to (c). Astatement giving the information required is placed on the Table of the Sabha.

#### STATEMENT

Rece pts		Exp	Expend ture			
(i) Rece s from Agricultural Produce Cess	1962-63 Rs. (i)	Expenditure on adminis- trative staff at the Head-	1962-63 Rs.			
Froduce Cess	03,04,700	quarters	10,52,700			
(ii) Other income	17,11,400 (i	i) Expenditure on Research and other schemes includ- ing technical staff	46,81,9∞			
Total	80,16,100	Total	57,34,600			

### वातानुक्लित गाड़ियां/डिब्बे

\*१०००. श्री मोहन स्वरूप: क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि वातानुकूनित गाड़ियां / डिब्बे घाटे में चल रहे हैं तथा उनकी लोकप्रियता घटती जा रही है ;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ; ग्रौर
- (ग) ऐसी बातानुकूलित गाड़ि में/ डिब्बों को चलाने पर कितना धन व्यय होता है ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री सें० वें० रामस्वामी): (क)से (ग) हर गाड़ी या िब्बे का अलग अलग हिसाव नहीं रखा जाता बातानुकूल गाड़ियों/डिब्बों की लोकप्रियता थट नहीं रही है।

#### I.A.C. Inaugural Flight to Goa

\*1001. Shri D. D. Mantri:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that liquors were served on the I.A.C. Inaugural flight to Goa on the 23rd March, 1963;
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether liquor is served on other flights also?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Comunications (Shri Mohiuddin): (a) No, Sir. However, guests who were invited for lunch were offered alcoholic drinks on ground at Goa.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) The Corporation provide bar service facilities on some of their international services only viz. Bombay-Karachi, Delhi-Karachi and Madras-Colombo. On such services along with liquor other bonded articles like cigarettes, perfumes, etc., are available to the passengers on payment Liquor is not served free but passengers can get it on payment.

#### Best Village

\*1005. Shri Ulaka:
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Community Development and Cooperation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there was any nationwide competition for adjudging India's best village during 1962-63;
- (b if so the name and location of the best village so adjudged;
- (c) the special features of the said village which led to this result; and

(d) what prize has been given?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Community Development and Cooperation (Shri B. S. Murthy):
(a) and (b). Yes, Sir. The selection of the best village at the National level for 1962-63 will be made after the results of the Competition at the State levels are received.

(c) and (d). Do not arise at this stage.

#### Railway Accidents Enquiry Committee

\*1007. Shri Ulaka: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 830 on the 17th May, 1962 and state:

- (a) whether Government have since considered the question of reconstituting or appointing more members on the Railway Accidents Enquiry Committee; and
- (b) if so, the decision of Government in this regard?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) and (b). It is not proposed to reconstitute or add to the membership of the Railway Accidents Committee, 1962.

#### Pepper Industries

2170. Shri Rama Chandra Mallick: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) the total amount sanctioned to the State of Orissa for the development of Pepper Industries during the Third Plan period; and
- (b) the amount given or proposed to be givn during th first and scond years of the Third Plan period?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) and (b).

No scheme for the development of Pepper is being financed in Orissa during the Third Five Year Plan period.

### Minor Irrigation Projects in Orissa

2171, Shri Ulaka: Will the Minister Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Central Government have given any amount by way of grants and loans to Orissa Government for minor irrigation projects in the Statepduring 1962-63; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture A. M. Thomas): (a) and (b). Under the revised procedure for rendering financial assistance State Governments, introduced from the year 1958-59, Central assistance admissible to various State Govern $ment_S$ is sanctioned in bulk schemes under the head "Agricul-Production" which includes tural Minor Irrigation and Land Development. As such it is not possible to indicate the amount of Central assistance given to the Government of Orissa for their Minor Irrigation Projects during 1962-63.

It may, however, be mentioned that the State Government in its Minor Irrigation Programme during the Third Plan has provided for the following schemes:

- 1. Minor Irrigation
- 2. Tubewells
- Lift Irrigation including Rusi Kulya Basin.

Information with regard to grants and loans sanctioned to the Government of Orissa during 1962-63 for their Agricultural Production Schemes, including Minor Irrigation and Land Development is given below:

Year Grant . . Loan 1962-63 Rs. 26 29 lakhs Rs. 68.0 lakhs.

#### Railway Accident near Dhanbad

2172. Shri Ram Harkh Yadav: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state

- (a) whether it is a fact that 11 wagons and the engine of a goods train were derailed when a trolley loaded with Railway material collided with it near Kodarma about 50 miles from Dhanbad on the 29th March, 1963; and
- (b) if so, the details of the accident and the loss caused to the Railway?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) Yes. The accident occurred between Baskatwa and Yadugram Block Huts.

(b) At about 14.45 hours while Electric Crack No. 203 Up Goods train was proceeding from Baskatwa towards Yadugram Block Hut, it collided with a material trolley loaded with rails. As a result, the train engine and 11 wagons next to it derailed blocking both Up and Down lines. The aproximate cost of damages to railway property was Rs 10,900.

#### Agricultural University at Bhubaneswar

2173. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2088 on the 31st August, 1962 and state:

- (a) whether any Central grant has so far been given to the Agricultura! University at Bhubaneswar (Orissa);
   and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Agricultural University in Rajasthan

2175. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any Central grant has been given to Agricultural University in Rajasthan during 1962-63; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Over-Bridge at Jajpur-Keonjhar Road

- 2177. Shri Rama Chandra Mallick: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) whether any proposal has been made recently to construct one overbridge at Jajpur-Keonjhar Road on South Eastern Railway towards east side of the said station;
- (b) if so, the amount sanctioned for the said over-bridge; and
- (c) when the work is likely to be started?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) There has been no proposal from the Government of Orissa for over-junder-bridge in place of the existing level crossing at Jajpur-Keonjhar Road Station, during the Third Five Year Plan period.

(b) and (c). Does not arise.

#### Wild Life Sanctuary in Andamans

2178. Shri Subodh Hansda:
Shri S. C. Samanta:
Shri M. L. Dwivedi:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the proposal to establish a wild life sanctuary at Ross Island in Andamans during 1962-63 has been completed;

- (b) if so, the kinds of wild life to be preserved; and
- (c) whether the species will consist of local birds or will be imported from outside Andamans for preservation in the sanctuary?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Foed and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) A beginning has been made in 1962-63 with the introduction of pea-cocks, partridges, jungle fowls and spot bill ducks in the sanctuary. Further execution of the scheme has, however, been deferred for the time being due to national emergency.

(b) and (c). Following animals and birds are proposed to be preserved in the sanctuary:—

#### Wild Life to be introduced from outside Andamans

Parah, (hogdeer), Kakar, ghurral, antelopes, barking dear, Mounse deer, peacocks, partridges, jungle fowl, whistling teals, spot bill and comb bill

Wild Life to be found locally.

Pied Imperial pigeon, Green Imperial pigeon, Nicobar megapode, Andaman white headed myna, Nicobar white headed myna and Andaman glossy star.

#### दिल्ली में टेलीफोन की विशेष सेवायें

्रिश्री म० ला० द्विवेदी: २१७६. श्रीमती सावित्री दिगम: श्री स० चं० स∷ार:

क्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा ारेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या दिल्ली टेकीफोन विभाग की विकोष रोबाओं यथा विकासतों (१८८) सहायता (१६६) का स्तर यत वर्ष में बहुत गिर गया है; और
- (ख) इन विशेष सेवाओं के कार्य की सुधारने के लिए क्या अदम उठावे गये हैं ?

## परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्रालय में उप मंत्री (श्री भगवती) : (क) जी नहीं ।

- (ख) दिल्ली में विशेष सेवाओं की कार्य-कुशलता में आम सुधार करने दे लिए निम्नलिखित कदम उठाये गये हैं:—
- (१) परियात के जमाव को हलका करने के लिए श्रतिरिक्त उपस्कर लगाने की योजना बनाई गई है।
- (२) ब्रावक कालों पर उत्तर देने के समैय का अनुपात बनाये रखने के लिए ' जहां कहीं भी संभव हो सका है कालों को कम से रखना प्रारम्भ कर दिया गया है ।
- (३) बोर्ड पर स्थितियां उपलब्ध होने पर अतिरिक्त कमंचारी नियुक्त कर दिये गये हैं।
- (४) प्रचालक कर्मवारियों की कार्यकुशलता और उनके कार्य की मात्रा में सुवार करने के लिए उनके लिए पुनश्चर्या-प्रक्षिक्षण प्रारम्भ कर दिया एया है।
- (प्र) निगरानी में कड़ाई बरती जाने लगी है।
- (६) मौजूदा सेवाओं के स्थान पर नये तौर-तरीक़े और/या सुविधाएं प्रारम्भ की गई हैं।

#### Help to Copra Growers.

2180. Shrimati Savitri Nigam:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Copra is the principal crop in Andaman and Nicobar Islands;
- (b) the steps taken by Government to help Copra growers by providing technical know-how and giving seedlings; and .

(c) the steps taken to protect the growers from exploiters who purchase Copra from the growers at very cheap rates?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subbag Singh): (a) Coconut is the major cop of the Nicobar Group of Islands and not of Andaman Islands where paddy is the principal crop.

- (b) The following steps have been taken:—
  - (i) Undertaking propaganda among coconut growers in the Islands regarding layout of coconut plantations, method of planting, inter-cultivation and manuring, control of pests and diseases of the coconut palms and processing of coconut products.
  - (ii) Running of demonstration plots showing improved methods of cultivation.
  - (iii) Establishment of nurseries for the production and distribution of quality seedlings.
  - (iv) Deputing of selected persons from Nicobar Islands for a study tour of the Coconut plantations on the West Coast, on the main-land.
- (c) In pursuance of the assurance given by the Home Minister in reply to the Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 350 answered on the 21st December 1960, an expert of the State Trading Corporation went to the Islands in February 1961 to make a preliminary survey. Thereafter a team of experts was again deputed in November 1962 to study the entire matter in detail. Their Report is under examination of the Government of India.

#### Lighthouse in Mangalore

2131. Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to refer to the reply

- given to Unstarred Question No. 932 on the 22nd January, 1963 and state:
- (a) whether the proposal to construct a Lighthouse in Mangaiere has since been considered by the Government; and
- (b) if so, the details of the proposal and steps taken in this regard?

The Minister of Shipping in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur). (a) and (b). The proposal to construct a new lighthouse in Mangalore is st.il under consideration of the Government.

#### कोलायत स्टेशन

## २१६२. ेश्री ला० बारूपालः अप्रे बाल्मीकीः

क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि बीकानेर डिवीजन में कोलायत स्टेशन के समस्त यार्ड रेत के डिब्बों से घिर गये हैं;
- (ख) क्या यह सच है कि उस रेत को दूर करने के लिए पास में कोई ऐसा स्थान नहीं है, जिस जगह यह रेत डाली जा सके;
- (ग) क्या यह भी सच है कि जो रेत आसपास के क्षेत्रों में रखी गयी थी उससे वहां के मकान भर गये हैं, जिस की वजह से उन के निवासी अपने मकान छोड़ कर चले गये हैं; और
- (घ) क्या इस रेत को दूर कहीं डालने की व्यवस्था करने का विचार है, श्रीर यदि हां, तो कब ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री सें० वें० रामस्वामी): (क) से (घ) कोलायत स्टेशन का रेलवे यार्ड रेत के टीलों के पास स्थित है। तेज हवा के कारण रेत रेलवे लाइन पर गिरती है और समय-समय पर इसे रेलवे लाइन से हटाया जाता है। ग्रव इसे दूर ले जाने की व्यवस्था की जा रही है। मास-पास के इलाकों में किसी मकान के रेत से ढक जाने की रिपोर्ट नहीं मिली हैं।

### राजस्थान में टेलीफोन कनेक्यन

श्री प० ला० बारूपालः २१८३ श्री नवल प्रभाकरः श्री हेम राजः

क्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि राजस्थान के बीकानेर और अजमेर डिवीजनों में अनेक ब्यक्तियों ने टेलीकान लगाने के लिये प्रार्थना-पत्र दे रखे हैं;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या यह भी सच है कि इन लोगों में से अनेक व्यक्तियों ने टेलीफोन कनेक्शन के लिए नियमानुसार रुपये भी जमा करा दिये हैं; और
- (ग) इस समय कितने स्थानों पर कने-शन दिये जाने हैं ?

परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्रालय में उप मंत्री (श्री भगवती) : (क) जी, हां ।

- (ख) कुछ ग्रावेदकों ने रुपया जमा कर दिया है ।
- (ग) इस समय कनेक्शन देने सम्बन्धी को ब्रावेदन-पत्र पड़े हैं उनकी कुल संख्या इस प्रकार है—

भ्रजमेर डिविजन २८८ बीकानेर डिवीजन . . ६४४

Dust in Passenger Compartments

2184. Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any research is being conducted for preventing dust in passenger compartments; and
- (b) if so, at what stage the research is and whether the efforts have met with any success so far?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan):
(a) Yes.

(b) Experiments are in the early stage of development.

#### Agricultural Indebtedness

2185. Shri Maheswar Naik: Will the Minister of Community Development and Co-operation be pleased to state:

- (a) the latest estimate of agricultural indebtedness in the country:
- (b) whether any improvement has been effected on this question compared to the positions obtaining at the beginning and the end of the Second Plan; and
- (c) if so, the State-wise figures of improvement?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Community Development and Cooperation (Shri Shyam Dhar Misra): (a) to (c). No precise estimates are available. The Reserve Bank of India undertook an all India Rural Debt and Investment Survey in 1961-62 with the main object of obtaining reliable estimates regarding debt, borrowings, repayments and other important aspects of the rural economy. The field investigations have been completed and the data collected is being processed. The four Rural Credit Follow-up Surveys conducted by the Reserve Band annually during the period 1957 —60 in a few selected districts indicate certain very broad trends. Of the 27 districts surveyed the debt in 14 districks upto a given month (May June) as also the borrowings during the one year preceding the given month were lower than in 1951-52. In 19 districts the debtper cultivating family was also lower than in 1951-52.

#### Telephone Revenue

2186 Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

(a) the total telephone revenue outstanding in Uttar Pradesh at present; (b) the steps taken or being taken to recover the same?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) A sum of Rs. 9,13 lakhs was outstanding on 1.2.1963 in respect of bills issued upto 31-7-1962.

(b) The outstanding constitutes 3.6 per cent of the annual revenue: the steps prescribed in the departmental rules and procedures are taken for the realisation of the dues.

Rural Credit to Cooperative Agencies 2187. Shri Ramanathan Chettiar: Will the Minister of Community Development and Co-operation be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount of ruarl credit provided through the co-operative agencies in 1962-63 in various States; and
- (b) how far it met the needs of the rural economy?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Community Development and Copperation (Shri Shyam Dhar Mishra):
(a) Data for the year 1962-63 will be compiled after the close of the copperative year in June, 1963. The provisional figures for 1961-62 show that about Rs. 226 crores were advanced by the primary agricultural credit societies during that year.

(b) On the basis of the limited data collected in the Rural Credit Follow-up Surveys conducted by the Reserve Bank during the four years 1957—60 in some selected districts it can be generally stated that for the country as a whole the proportion of borrowings from cooperatives to total borrowings of cultivators might have increased from 3 per cent in 1951-52 as estimated in Rural Credit Survey Report to about 17 to 21 per cent in 1959-60.

#### T.A. of Railway Officers

2188. Shri Ramanathan Chettiar: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state the extent to which the Travelling Allowances in respect of

Officers were reduced on various Railways in the context of the present emergency during 1962-63 as compared to the expenditure incurred in the previous year?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawax Khan): Instructions have been issued in the context of Emergency that all tours, except those which are essential for transacting government business, should be avoided. But there has been no decrease in the amount of Travelling allowance paid due to an increase in the strength of officers commensurate with our growing requirements and an increase in the rates of Travelling allowance in 1962-63 as compared with 1961-62.

#### भागलपुर के डाकघर से डाक का गायब होना

२१८६. श्री श्रोंकारलाल बेरवा : क्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि भागलपुर सिटी पोस्ट आफिस पी० २० का डाक का यैला फाड़कर कई रिजिस्ट्रियां तथा बहुमूल्य पत्र गायब कर दिये गये:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उस में से कितने का माल गढ़ किया गया: ग्रीर
- (ग) इस में कितने व्यक्ति गिरफ्तार किये गये ?

परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्रालय में उप मंत्री (श्री भगवती): (क) जी, हां। १३ मार्च, १६६३ को भागलपुर सिटी पोस्ट ब्राफिस में प्राप्त दो डाक के बैलों से कुल मिलाकर चार रजिस्ट्री पत्र गायत्र पाये गये थे।

- (ख) खोई वस्तुग्रों के मूल्य का तो पता नहीं, मगर ये खोई वस्तुएं सामान्य रिजस्ट्री पत्र होने के कारण कुछ ख़ास मह्य के नहीं जान पड़ते ।
  - (ग) दो।

## रेल के डिब्बों का निर्यात

२१६०. श्री कछवाय : क्या रेलव मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (ङ) क्या इन्टेग्नल कोच फैक्टरी, मद्रास ,द्रारा निर्मित रेल के डिक्यों का निर्यात करने की कोई योजना है; ग्रौर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो प्रति वर्ष डिब्बों के प्रस्तावित निर्यात से ितनी विदेशी मुद्रा प्राप्त होने का अनुमान है ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री सं० वें० रामस्वामी): (कं) सवारी-डिब्बा कारखाने में बनाये गये सवारी-डिब्बों का निर्यात करने की कोश्विश जारी है लेकिन अभी तक इस में सफलता नहीं मिली है।

(ख) सवाल नहीं उठता ।

#### Agricultural Colleges of Punjab

2191. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state the amount of grant given by Central Government during 1962-63 to various agricultural Colleges in Punjab, College-wise?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): No grant has been given to any of the agricultural colleges in Punjab directly by the Central Government. Certain Grants are, however, given to the State Governmants under various Heads of Development. The Agricultural Colleges come under the Head of Development "Agricultural Production (including Minor Irrigation and Land Development)". An amount of Rs. 107.90 lakhs as loan and Rs. 73.30 lakhs as grant was sanctioned to the Government of Punjab under the above Head during 1962-63

विदेश से श्राये पारसल में पत्थर

## २१६२. र्थी ग्रोंकारसाल बेरवा:

क्या **रेलबे** मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि विदेश से एक पारसल इन्दौर आग या, उसनें साभान की जगह पत्थर और भुसा निकला;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो सरकार ने इसका कोई पता लगाया है कि कहां यह शरारत की गयी ;
- (ग) यदि हां, तो उसमें क्या सामान था ब्रौर कितने रुपये का :
- (घ) क्या इस सिलसिले में कोई गिरफ्तारों की गई है ; क्रीर
- (ङ) यदि हां, तो क्या गिरपतार व्यक्ति रेलवें कर्मचारा हैं ?

रेसवे मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री शाहनवाज सां) ::(क) जी, नहीं।

(ख) से (ङ). सवाल नहीं उठता ।
Famine Areas

# 2193, Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there has been an increase of area perpetual famine zones in the country; and
- (b) if so, the steps being taken to prevent the spreading of famine?

The Deputy Minister in the Minisstry of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) and (b). There are no areas in the country which can be defined as perpetual famine zones. Scarcity conditions do recur in certain areas from time to time, either on account of lack of adequate rain or of floods. Various projects of irrigation

(including minor irrigation), soil conservation and flood control, undertaken as part of the Development Plans, are intended to reduce the incidence of such scarcity conditions.

#### Permanent Way Inspectors

- 2194. Shri Eswara Reddy: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) the total number of Assistant Permanent Way Inspectors and Permanent Way Inspectors on the Western Railway;
  - (b) the number actually required;
- (c) the main reasons for the shortage; and
- (d) when the shortage is likely to be made up?

## The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan):

(a) Assistant Permanent Way Inspectors: 208

Permanent Way Inspectors . . 105

- (b) Assistant Permanent Way Inspectors including 16 for execution of works: 226
  - Permanent Way Inspectors . . 105
- (c) Non-availability of trained candidates to fill up the vacancies in the category of Assistant Permanent Way Inspectors.
- (d) Candidates at present undergoing training will be available for posting as under:—

28 in January-February 1964. Seven in 1964-65.

47 in 1965-66.

#### Emergency Posts in Railways

- 2195. Shri Sonavane: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of gazetted and other cadre posts created in all the Rail-

ways as a result of the emergency;

(b) the total amount so far spent on the salaries, dearness and travelling allowances of the so expanded posts and staff?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the House in due course.

#### Railway High School Clerks

2196. Shri Ran Sewak Yadav: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the clerks serving in the Railway High Schools are treated at par with clerks in other Departments of the Railways; and
  - (b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan):
(a) Yes.

(b) Does not arise.

डाक तथा तार विभाग के भवन २१६७. ्रश्ची भक्त दर्शन: श्री भागवत झा ब्राजाद:

क्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) १९६२-६३ में उत्तर प्रदेश के पर्वतीय जिलों—तथा देहरादून, उत्तर काशी, टिहरी, गढवाल, चमोली, पौड़ी गढवाल, पिथौरागढ़, ग्रत्मोड़ा श्रौर नैनीताल में से प्रत्येक में डाक व तार विभाग के भवनों के निर्माण में क्या प्रगति हुई है; ग्रौर
- (ख) १९६३-६४ के लिये उन जिलों में से प्रत्येक के लिये क्या कार्यक्रम तैयार किया गया है ?

परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री भगवती) : (क) और (ख). एक विवरण सभा-पटल पर रखा जाता है। [पुस्तकालय में रखा गया। देखि में संस्था LT-1191/63.]

#### Railway Line in U.P.

## 2198. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Balgovind Verma:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to lay a new Railway line in the border area of Uttar Pradesh to facilitate the means of transport and communication in order to meet the emergency:
- (b) if so, the places selected for the purpose; and
- (c) when the work is likely to be started?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy):
(a) No. Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

#### P. & T. Facilities in Backward Hilly Areas

2199. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of new post offices opened and up-graded under the special facilities allowed by Government for the very backward hilly areas of Punjab during 1962 and 1963 so far;
- (b) the number of applications for such facilities rejected and the grounds thereof; and
- (c) the number of applications still pending?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) The enhanced permissible limit of losses in areas declared as 'very backward' for extension of postal facilities are applicable only for opening of post offices and not for upgrading of post offices. 99 post offices have been opened and 18 post offices upgraded during 1961-62 and 1962-63 in the 'very backward' areas of Punjab State.

- (b) Nil.
- (c) 39.

#### Bridges on National Highways in Punjab

2200. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of bridges en National Elighways in Punjab State which have been sanctioned for construction by the Central Government during the Third Plan period; and
- (b) the places where these bridges will be constructed?

The Minister of Shipping in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) There is a plan provision for Rs. 130:00 lakhs for a bridge over river Beas and for Rs. 100:00 lakhs for a bridge over river Sutlej on N.H. 1 in the Punjab. But so far neither of the bridge works has been sanctioned. The estimates for the bridges are however under preparation.

(b) These bridges would be constructed at suitable sites near the existing bridges which are considered too weak for the present-day traffic.

#### Production of Sugar in Punjab

2201. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state the average production of sugar in the 1962-63 season in the sugar factories in Punjab State?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): The production of sugar by factories in Punjab State totalled 0.62 lakh metric tons during 1962-63 season.

#### Income from Sarhind-Nangal Section

2202. Shri Da'jit Singh: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

(a) The total income of the Railway Department on Sarhind-Nangal

Section including income from goods traffic during 1962-63; and

(b) the corresponding income which accrued during 1961-62?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) Total earnings collected at stations on Sarhind-Nangal Section (excluding Sarhind) during eleven-months from April, 1962 to February, 1963 were Rs. 144.6 lakhs. Earnings for the month of March, 1963 have not yet been compiled.

(b) Total earnings collected during the year 1961-62 were Rs. 125.2 lakhs.

#### Japanese Method of Paddy Cultivation

2203. Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether his attention has been drawn to a report in the Amrita Bazar Patrika (Calcutta) of 31st March, 1963 about the disappointing results of Japanese method of paddy cultivation experimented in a Demonstration Farm run by the State;
- (b) whether it is proposed to make an enquiry into the causes of such results; and
- (c) whether any scientific study has been made of the comparative results of Japanese method and indigenous method of paddy cultivation?

# The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) Yes.

- (b) It has already been looked into by the Government of West Bengal. A copy of the letter by the Director of Publicity, West Bengal clarifying the position, which was published by the Amrita Bazar Patrika in their issue dated the 9th April, 1963, is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1192|63].
- (c) A comparative study will be made over a period of three years.

## डी॰ बी॰ के॰ रेलवे परियोजना

२२०४. श्री सलम् भवानी : क्या रेसवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) डी० बी० के० रेखवे परियोजना के ग्रन्तगंत बस्तर जिले के कुल कितने लोगों की भूमि दिसम्बर, १६६२ तक ग्रजित की गयी थी; ग्रीर
- (ख) कितने व्यक्तियों को मुग्रावजें दियें जा चुके हैं ग्रीर कितनों को देना बाकी हैतया किन कारणों से बाकी है?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री सें० वें० रामस्वामी) : (क) ४६६।

(ख) सभी को मुद्धावजा दिया गया था।

#### Bukhtiarpur-Rajgir Branch Line

2205. Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there is no provision of T.T.E. on Bukhtiarpur-Rajgir Branch Line;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that there is no provision for light, water and waiting rooms on its stations;
- (c) whether the trains are also often suspended on this line; and
  - (d) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) One Travelling Ticket Examiner has been deputed to travel on each of the trains running on the Section.

- (b) No. Necessary amenities have been provided.
  - (c) No.
  - (d) Does not arise.

### Drilling of Tube-wells in Andhra Pradesh

2206. Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah; Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of tube-wells being drilled by the Central Tube-well Organisation in the Rayalaseema District of Andhra Pradesh;
- (b) how many tube-wells are proposed to be drilled during 1963-64;
- (c) the number of productivity wells that are in operation?

The Deputy Miinster in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) and (b). No exploratory tube-wells have been drilled so far in the Rayalaseema District of Andhra Pradesh or proposed to be drilled during 1963-64.

(c) Does not arise.

### Jersey Bulls

2207. Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah; Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a number of Jersey Bulls recently imported from abroad have died;
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and
- (c) whether any preventive steps have been taken by Government in this matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subling Singh): (a) Five Jersey bull calves imported from U.S.A. recently died at Hessarghatta Farm, near Bangalore.

- (b) Deaths were mainly due to complications arising from the resusctation of piroplasma parasites following rinderpest vaccination.
- (c) Yes. Suitable steps have been taken.

### Warehousing Corporations

2208. Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah; Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there is no proper co-ordination between the Central Warehousing Corporation and the State Warehousing Corporation; and
- (b) if so, the steps Government propose to take to have an integrated approach by the two bodies for enlarging Warehousing facilities to the producers?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

### Signalling on Central Railway

- 2209. Shri Eswara Reddy: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of stations on the Central Railway which will be provided with multiple aspect upper quadrant signalling during 1953-64; and
- (b) the amount provided for the same?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) 16 stations.

(b) Rs. 8,89,000 during 1963-64.

### Time Tables

- 2210. Shri Eswara Reddy: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) whether arrangements were made to supply working time tables in force from 1st Aoril, 1963 before 31st March, 1963 to all stations on the Indian Railways and specially on Secunderabad Division; and
- (b) if not, the reasons for the delay?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

## D. K. Railway Track

2211. Shrj Eswara Reddy: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) whether all track materials for doubling from Dornakal to Khammameth have been collected;
- (b) if so, when the work of laying the track will be undertaken; and
- (c) when the line is likely to be opened for goods and passenger traffic respectively?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) Yes.

- (b) The track linking has since been completed.
- (c) The second line has been opened to goods traffic on 31-3-1963 and is expected to be ready for passenger traffic by Deecmber, 1963.

## दिल्ली में टेलीफोन कनेब्शन

२२१२. श्री कछवाय : क्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) जोरबाग क्षेत्र, दिल्ली में टेलीफोन कनेक्शन के लिये जमानत मुक्त वर्ग में ग्राने वाले कितने प्रार्थना-पत्र विचाराधीन हैं ;
- (ख) इस वर्ग के अधीन टेलीफोन कनेक्शन दैने के लिये क्या नियम हैं ; और
- (ग) कौन सी तारीख तक टेलीफोन कनेक्शन भिन्न भिन्न वर्गों के ग्रन्तर्गत दिये जा चुके हैं?

परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्रालय में उप मंत्री (श्री भगवती): (क) ऐसा कोई वर्ग नहीं है जिससे जमानत ली जाती हो। फिर भी सामान्य और मुक्त वर्ग की प्रतीक्षा-मूची में ग्रावेदकों की संख्या ३४८६ है। इनमें से मुक्त वर्ग में ४१४ ग्रावेदक हैं। 378 (Ai) LSD—3.

- (ख) 'ग्रपना टेलीफोन योजना' वाले दिल्ली जैसे स्थानों पर श्रावेदकों को ७० प्रतिशत कनेक्शन 'ग्रपना टेलीफोन योजना' के ग्रन्तगंत दिये जाते हैं श्रौर ३० प्रतिशत कनेक्शन मुक्त वर्गों के ग्रावेदकों को जिनमें डाक्टर, प्रेस, सार्वजनिक कार्यकर्त्ता, सार्वजनिक संस्थायें ग्रौर छोटे उद्योग शामिल हैं। मुक्त वर्गों के ग्रन्तगंत टेलीफोन कनेक्शन देने के लिये 'टेलीफोन सलाहकार समिति' से सलाह ली जाती है। जब कभी 'ग्रपना टेलीफोन योजना' ग्रौर मुक्त वर्गों की मांगें पूरी करने पर बोर्ड में गुंजायश रह जाती हैं तो सामान्य प्रतीक्षा-सूची के ग्रावेदकों को भी कनेक्शन दिये जाते हैं।
- (ग) जोरबाग क्षेत्र में जिन तारीखों तक के ग्रावेदन-पत्रों पर कनेक्शन दिये जाचुके हैं, वे इस प्रकार हैं —
  - (१) 'ग्रपना टेलीफोन योजना ' के ग्रन्तर्गत----३१-१२-६२
  - (२) 'ग्रपनेतर टेलीफोन योजना' के ग्रन्तर्गत—३१-१२-५५

# उत्तम नस्त के खच्चर

२२१३ श्री भक्त दर्शन : क्या खाद्य तथा कृषि मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि उत्तर प्रदेश के पर्वतीय तथा सीमांत जिलों में उत्तम तस्ल के खच्चर पैदा करने की एक योजना तैयार की गई है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या योजना की रूपरेखा पर प्रकाश डालने वाला एक विवरण सभा-पटल पर रखा जायेगा ; ग्रौर
- (ग) उस योजना के क्रम में म्रबतक क्या प्रगति हुई है ?

स्ताद्य तथा कृषि मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री द्या मान थामस) : (क) से (ग) जी नहीं। परन्तु तीसरी पंचवर्षीय योजना में एक भ्रश्व प्रजनन फार्म के स्थापना की एक योजना शामिल कर ली गई है। इस फार्म में पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों के लिये उपयुक्त घोड़ों तथा खच्चरों के प्रजनन का कार्य शुरू किया जायेगा। इस फार्म से सम्बद्ध पहाड़ी क्षेत्रों में कुछ स्टड केन्द्र भी स्थापित किये जायेंगे जहां पर कि ग्रामीण घोड़ियों के प्रजनन के लिये बीजाश्व तथा गद्धे पाले जायेंगे। ग्रभी हक फार्म की स्थापना के लिये स्थान नहीं बुना गया है।

### Hotel Industry

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are considering the suggestion to establish a Hotel Finance Corporation to help the expansion of hotel industry; and
- (b) the steps taken to remove the grievances of foreign tourists who feel unhappy to find the basic amenities to which they are used to in their own countries denied, including restrictions on food and drinks?

The Minister of Shipping in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) Loaning facilities for the construction of hotels are available through the Industrial Finance Corporations. These facilities were made available to the Hotel Industry in the last three years and the effects of these loaning facilities are being studied by Government before it is decided to consider the usefulness of Hotel Finance Lopporation to help expansion of the Hotel Industry.

(b) Hotels and Restaurants which are borne on the approved list of the Department of Tourism and are generally patronised by foreign tourists, are given necessary assistance for the import of food-stuffs and drinks which have no indigenous equivalents.

Besides, for the development of other basic amenities, various projects such as the construction of Tourist Bungalows and Rest Houses were included in the Second and Third Five Year Plans, Several of these Rest Houses Tourist Bungalows in out of the way places have been built and already functioning. matter of Road transportation, in the year 1959-60, 60 large cars were allowed to be assembled in India for allotment to transport operators in a few selected places of Tourist interest Also, 14 Air-Conditioning Units have been imported to be fitted in Tourist Coaches to be run by the State Govt. Transport Undertakings on routes popular with foreign tourists.

# रोहतक रोड, दिल्ली पर रेलवे का फाटक

२२१५. श्री नवल प्रभाकर: क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने को कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि रोहतक रोड, दिल्लो पर रेलवे फाटक बनाने का कार्य दिल्लो नगर निगम को सौंप दिया गया है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इसका क्या कारण है: ग्रीर
- (ग) कार्य कब तक ब्रारम्भ होने वाला है ?

रेलवे मंत्रालयं में उपमंत्री (श्री सें० वें० रामस्वामी): (क) जी नहीं।

- (ख) सवाल नहीं उठता ।
- (ग) स्रभी यह बताना संभव नहीं है क्योंकि इस काम पर जो लागत स्रायेगो, उसकी देनगो के सम्बन्ध में दिल्लो नगर निगम ने स्रभो तक स्रपनी स्वांकृति नहीं दो है।

# पटेल रोड रेलवे फाटक, दिल्ली

२२१६. श्री नवल प्रभाकरः क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार ने पटेल रोड पर रेलवे क्रांसिंग पर पुल बनाने का काम दिल्ला प्रशासन को सींप दिया है; और
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इसका निर्माण कार्यं कब श्रारम्भ होगा ?

रेसवे मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री स॰ वें॰ रामस्वामी): (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) प्रभो यह बताना संभव नहीं है क्यों कि पटेल रोड पर मौजूदा विशेष श्रेणां समपार (sp.cial class level-crossing) की जगह ऊपरा सड़क-पुल बनाने का फैसला इसो साल फरवरों में किया गया है। तकनंकिं और वित्तंत्य दोनों दृष्टिकोणों से (जिसमें लागत का अनुभाजन भी शामिल है) योजना की जांच की जा रही है।

# नांगलोई ग्रौर घेवरे के बीच फ्लेग स्टेशन

२२१७. श्री नवल प्रभाकर : क्या रेसवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि देहलों में नांगलोई ग्रौर घेवरे के मध्य एक फ्लेंग स्टेशन के लिए जो स्वंकृति दंगई था, वह ग्रभा तक नहीं बना है; ग्रौर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण . हैं ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री सें० वें० रामस्वामी): (क) ग्रीर (ख). नांगलोई ग्रीर घेवरा स्टेशनों के बंख एक गाड़ी हाल्ट खोलने की मंजूरी दी गयी है। इसका प्रबन्ध ठेकेदार ढारा किया जायेगा। इसके लिए उपयुक्त स्थान चुन लिया गया है। ग्राशा है, निर्माण-कार्य जल्दी शुरू कर दिया जायेगा।

# पंचायतों का चुनाव

२२१ म निकल प्रभाकर : क्या सामुदायिक विकास तथा सहकार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) दिल्लं में पंचायतों के **चुनाव कव** तक हो रहे हैं ; श्रोर
- (ख) क्या उनके लिए प्रारम्भिक कार्य-वाही कर ली गई है?

सामुदायिक विकास तथा सहकार मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री ब० सू० मूर्ति): (क) दिल्लो में पंचायतों के चुनावों के लिए श्रभी तक कोई तिथि निश्चित नहीं की गई है।

(ख) जो हां। मतदाता सूचियों को संशोधित कर दिया गया है भौर उन्हें भ्राज तक पूरा कर दिया गया है।

# नजफगढ़ में टेलीफोन फनेक्शन

२२१६. श्री नवल प्रभाकर : क्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) नजफ़गढ़ में श्रभी तक वितने टेलें।फोन कनेक्शनों के प्रार्थना-पत्र श्राये हैं; श्रौर
- (ख) इस सम्बन्ध में नांगलोई कां कितना आवश्यकता है ?

परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (श्री भगवती): (क) ३४।

(ख) दो टेलं फोन कनेक्शन कें। मांग शेष है।

Terminal Building at Airports in Assam

2220. Shri R. Barua: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

 (a) whether Government propose to provide new terminal buildings at various airports of Assam; and (b) if so, the names of the airports in which such new buildings will come up and when?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Mohiuddin): (a) New terminal buildings are provided at airports if justified by the volume of traffic and the availability of funds.

(b) Construction of new terminal buildings is nearing completion at Kumbhigram, Mohanbari and North Lakhimpur (Lilabari).

### Air Traffic

2221. Shri B. Barua: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the volume of air traffic at present in Assam and NEFA;
- (b) whether there is any perceptible increase in 1960, 1961 and 1962;and
- (c) if so, whether Government propose to open a regional office in Assam?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Mohiuddin): (a) and (b). The volume of air traffic in the Assam Region during the years 1959 to 1962 has been as follows:—

1959		33,211,740	
1960		50,694,657	+52.6%
1961		59,265,557	+16.9%
1962		52,473,819	-11.5%

It will be seen from the above that there has been decrease in traffic only in the year 1962 compared to the previous year, but there has been progressive increase since 1959.

(c) The question is under consideration.

### Harbour Expert for Kerala

2222. Shri A. V. Raghavan: Shri Pottekkatt:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Central Government have agreed to assign a Harbour Expert to Kerala;
- (b) whether the plans for the construction of the fishing harbours at Ponnani and Kozhikode have been held up because of his non-arrival; and
- (c) when the Harbour Expert is expected to take up his assignment?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) to (c). Yes. A Harbour Expert is being assigned to Kerala to advise the State Government on the project for the development of the Vizhingam harbour. This expert will not be directly concerned with the plans of the Kerala Government for developing Ponnani and Kozhikode as fishing harbours. The date of his arrival is not yet known.

### Wharf at Beypore

2223. Shri Pottekkatt:
Shri A. V. Raghavan:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether detailed plans on the proposed wharf at Beypore and Baliapattam in Kerala are still pending with the F.A.O. Harbour Expert;
- (b) when the plans are likely to be finalised; and
- (c) the reasons for the delay in finalising the plans?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) The final report of the F.A.O. Expert, containing plans for the fishing habours at Baypore and Baliapattam, has just been received and is being examined.

(b) and (c). The State Government is making necessary investigations and preparing plans and estimates which will be finalised shortly on the basis of the F.A.O. Expert's report.

## मालगाड़ी में म्राग

२२२४. श्री भ्रोंकार लाल बेरवा : क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि ६ अप्रैल, १६६३ को जब एक मालगाड़ी गोरखपुर रेलवे स्टेशन पर रुकी तो उसके ब्रेकवान में, जिसमें रेलवे कर्मचारी बैठते हैं, ग्राग लग गई;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो कितने डिब्बों में ग्राग लगीं थीं; ग्रौर
  - (ग) इससे कितना नुकसान हुआ ?

रेलवे मंत्रालय में उप मंत्री (श्री सें० वें० रामस्वामी): (क) ५-४-१९६३ को एक मालगाड़ के कूबान में श्राग लग गर्या।

- (ख) कूवान ग्रौर लदे हुए ग्रन्य दो माल डिब्बों में, जो साथ वालो लाइन पर खड़े थे।
- (ग) चल-स्टाक ग्रीर उनमें लदे हुए माल को लगभग १५,०००/- रुपये ग्रीर २,००० रुपये का नुकसान पहुंचा ।

### Bhavnagar Airport

2225. Shri Jashvant Mehta: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) when the plan for re-construction of Bhavnagar air-port (Gujarat State) was sanctioned;
  - (b) what was the amount spent;
- (c) when the work was completed;and
- (d) the reasons for not utilizing the new air-port?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications

(Shri Mohiuddin): (a) to (d) aerodrome is being progressively developed to meet the operational needs ever since it was taken over by the Civil Aviation Department in 1950. An estimate amounting to Rs. 2,40,000 was sanctioned in April, 1956 for the construction of a terminal building at the aerodrome. The work on this building is almost complete except for the Control Tower which could not be completed earlier for want of structural steel and stoppage of work by the Contractor. The Contractor has since restarted the work. new building has not yet been put to use because of the outstanding work on the Control Tower and also as the approach road has yet to be construct-Tenders for the construction of the road have been called for and the work is expected to be commenced shortly.

### Transport Cooperative Societies

Shri Gulshan:

Shri Buta Singh:
Shri Kapur Sjngh:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of transport cooperative societies formed in the country during the last three years;
- (b) how many out of these belongto Scheduled Castes; and
- (c) how many have been given route permits and how many are without permits?

The Minister of Shipping in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) to (c). The information required is being collected from the State Governments and Administrations of Union Territories and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha as soon as it is available.

11346

## Agricultural Service Cooperative Societies

Written Answers

Shri Gulshan: 2227. { Shri Buta Singh: | Shri Kapur Singh:

Will the Minister of Community Development and Co-operation be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of agricultural services cooperative societies formed during the last three years;
- (b) the number of societies run by Scheduled Caste and other backward classes; and
- (c) the details of their lands and other assets?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Community Development and Co-operation (Shri Shyam Dhar Misra): (a) According to information available about 36,030 new service co-operatives were organised and about 70,450 existing primary agricultural credit societies were taken up for reorganisation and revitalisation|conversion as service co-operatives during the three cooperative years 1959-60 to 1961-62 ending June, 1962.

(b) and (c). The bye-laws of service cooperatives provide for open membership irrespective of the class or caste to which the agriculturists belong. Separate information in this regard is not being compiled.

## Post Offices in Andhra Pradesh

2228. Shri D. B. Raju: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state the number of post offices opened in Andhra Pradesh during 1962-63?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Bhagavati): 513.

## Thefts in G. T. Express

2229. Shri D. B. Raju: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

- (a) the number and nature of thefts in G.T. Express reported to Railway Police from 1st January upto date;
   and
- (b) the measures taken by Government to prevent such thefts?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) Four cases of pick-pocketing and one case of removal of handbag (five in all) have been reported.

- (b) Although the maintenance of 'law and order' and the prevention of crime in passenger trains is the responsibility of the State Governments concerned, necessary assistance is also given by Railway Protection Force personnel as and when required. The following precautionary measures have been and are being taken by the Railway Administrations for prevention of such crimes on the Railways:
  - (i) safety devices have been provided in carriages so that they cannot be reached from outside the compartments, such as iron bars across windows, internal door latches and bolts, internal catches on window shutters;
  - (ii) ladies compartments are marshalled as far as possible in the middle of the train;
  - (iii) Conductor Guards and T.T.Es have instructions to pay special attention to lady passengers particularly when travelling alone and to ensure that safety devices in the compartments are in working order;
  - (iv) Passengers have instructions to see that the latches of doors and windows are secured to prevent entry of unauthorised persons;
  - (v) Announcements are made through loud speakers and through notices warning the passengers to be alert and beware of pick-pockets and other anti-social elements;

- (vi) Section 108 of Indian Railways Act has been amended enhancing the punishment for unauthorised pulling of alarm chain to Rs. 250 and to an imprisonment of either description which may extend to 3 months; and
- (vii) The Intelligence Branch of the Railway Protection Force, in conjunction with the police keeps close surveillance on the criminals operating on Railways and passes on any intelligence collected, to the Distt. Police or the Government Railway Police concerned.

### New Aerodromes

# 2230. Shri A. V. Raghavan:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the places where new aerodromes have been established after the reorganisation of States;
- (b) the names of places where new aerodromes are proposed to be established during 1963-64; and
- (c) whether there is any proposal to acquire any private airstrips and to develop them into full fledged aerodromes?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Mohiuddin): (a) Since 1956, new aerodromes have been constructed by the Civil Aviation Department at Kandla, Phoolbagh, Tulihal, Udaipur, Malda and Behala.

The aerodromes at Kulu, Port Blair, Panna, Satna and Muzaffarpur were taken over by the Civil Aviation Department from State Governments| Local Administration, after 1956.

(b) Construction of an aerodrome at Khajuraho is expected to be taken up during 1963-64. The aerodromes at Raxaul and Jogbani are under construction.

(c) No, Sir The Civil Aviation Department has no such proposal.

### Japanese Type of Cultivation

# 2231. Shri Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) the total acreage of land brought under Japanese type of cultivation during 1962-63:
- (b) the increase in the output of foodgrains as a result of such cultivation:
- (c) whether annual prize has been fixed for best Japanese type of cultivation in the country; and
- (d) if so, the village which stood first and was awarded prize during 1961-62?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) and (b). The required information will be available with the States only after the close of the agricultural year 1962-63 i.e. by the end of June, 1963 and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha as soon as it is received from them.

- (c) No.
- (d) Does not arise.

### Development of Cotton in Maharashtra

- 2232. Shri D. S. Patil: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:
- (a) whether any scheme has been submitted by Government of Maharashtra for the development of improved variety of cotton (long staple) for 1963-64; and
- (b) if so, the nature of the assistance to be given by the Centre therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) The Government of Maharashtra have submitted two schemes to the Central Government,

11349

one on long stapled Deviraj Cotton and the other on medium stapled Gaorani 46 cotton.

- (b) The following Central assistance will be admissible when the schemes are sanctioned:
  - (i) In regard to improved seeds, subsidy given by the State Governments will be shared in equal proportion by the Central Government.
  - (ii) 25 per cent subsidy on pesticides, dusters, sprayers, etc. and it will be left to the State Government to give any amount of additional subsidy.
  - (iii) 12½ per cent subsidy on phosphatic fertilizers.
  - (iv) 25 per cent subsidy on cost of Agricultural implements.
  - for purchase (v) Short-term loans and distribution of fertilizers and cotton-seeds.

### Telephone System in Maharashtra

2233. Shri D. S. Patil: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state the names of places in Maharashtra where telephone system is going to be introduced in the Third Plan period?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Bhagavati): It is proposed to open exchanges and public call offices at the places given in the statement a copy of which is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1193/63]. The progress of work will depend upon the availability of stores, etc.

### Development of Fruit Production

2234. Shri D. S. Patil: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any scheme has been submitted by the Government of Maharashtra for the development of fruit production for 1963-64; and
- (b) if so, the nature of the assistance to be given by the Centre therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): (a) and (b). No specific scheme has been submitted so far by the Government of Maharashtra for the development of fruit production during 1963-64. However, there is a scheme on fruit production already in operation in that State from the Second Plan period. Under this scheme, a provision of Rs. 1:89 lakhs has been included by the State Government in their Budget for 1963-64. Generally, long-term loans at the rate of Rs. 300 per acre in the plains and Rs. 500 per acre in the hilly areas are sanctioned by the State Government for planting of new orchards.

### 12 hrs.

Mr. Speaker: Calling-attention Notice.....The hon. Prime Minister would be coming shortly; meanwhile we might proceed with papers to be laid on the Table. Shri Mohiuddin.

### PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Mohiuddin): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the summary of the recommendations of the Committee appointed by the Government to examine the existing system grant of subsidy and subventions the Flying and Gliding Clubs and other allied matters. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-1197 63].

CORRECTION OF ANSWERS TO STARRED QUESTIONS NO. 586 AND 587

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): While answering supplementaries on Starred Question Nos. 586 and 587 in the Lok Sabha on 26th March, 1963. I had given certain replies which did not indicate the correct position in regard to the information sought for in the supplementaries. A statement indicating answers given by me in the supplementaries and the correct position in regard to these supplementaries is laid on the Table of the Sabha. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1194 63].

12.01 hrs.

### CALLING ATTENTION TO MAT-TERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

INDUSTRIAL LICENSING POLICY

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I call the attention of the Prime Minister to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a satement thereon:—

"Industrial licensing policy of the Government in view of the press report that two concerned Union Ministers have disagreed on the present procedure of issuing such licences."

The Prime Minister and Minister of Minister External Affairs and Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): Sir. no change has been made in the basic Industrial Licensing Policy of the Government. The press reports that have appeared give certain distorted versions of some correspondence that has taken place between some of my colleagues and me. The letters addressed to me by them were confidential and I regret greatly that confidential communications should be published in this way, removed from their contexts to give a wholly incorrect idea. The correspondence dealt with the need for maintaining and accelerating the rate of industrial growth in the country and a certain dissatisfaction was expressed at the slow rate of growth. It is not correct, however, that any disagreement exists among them in regard to the present procedure of issuing industrial licences.

The resolution governing the Industrial Policy of Government was laid by me on the table of this House on the 30th April, 1956. Licensing of industries is being done under the Industrial (Development and Regulation) Act of 1951 in accordance with this policy. In addition, the priorities as laid down in the successive Five Year Plans are being followed in industrial licensing. As the House is aware, industrial licences are issued on the recommendations made by a licensing committee constituted in accordance with the rules framed under this Act. The committee is composed of representatives of the various Central Government Ministries concerned and the Planning Commission. In the meetings of the committee, representatives of State Governments also take part.

Before the applications for industrial licences are considered by the committee, they are examined in consultation with the Department of Technical Development (formerly the Development Wing), the Ministries concerned, such as the Ministry of Mines and Fuel, Steel and Heavy Industries, Railways, Finance (Department of Economic Affairs), Department of Company Law ministration and also Planning Commission and the State Governments. In making its recommendations, committee bears in mind the targets fixed by the Planning Commission and gives full weight to such factors regional distribution, possibilities exports, avoidance of monopoly concentration of capacity, etc., apart from the possibility or otherwise of the scheme leading to savings foreign exchange. Where an industry is not reserved for the public sector

## [Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

11353

and where the more difficult capitalintensive industries are concerned, which call acquisition for the foreign collaboration facilities, foreign exchange from private or semi-public lending agencies abroad and the provision of experienced managerial talent, naturally the applications from the larger industrial groups in the country have to be considered, if the Plan targets have to be expeditiouly achieved. Otherwise, the policy is to prefer new entrepreneurs wherever possible.

There is also a Sub-committee the Central Advisory Council of Industries which function under Rule 18 of the Licensing of Industrial Undertakings Rules. This sub-committee suo moto or on representations received from applicants, reviews all licences issued. refused. varied. amended or revoked from time to time and it is open to it to advise Government on the general principles to be followed on the issue of licences for new undertakings. On this sub-committee, there have been general some Members of Parliament also. There is thus also a non-official agency to scrutinise the implementation of Government's licensing policy.

On the 11th April, 1963, a statement was laid on the table of the House giving an analysis of the licences issued to certain leading industrial houses during the calendar years 1960 and 1961. I have had figures collected for 1962 also. During these three years, out of the total number of 4.211 industrial licences issued. number of licences that have gone to ten leading industrial houses were 182. These figures include not only new industrial undertakings, but also profor substantial expansion of existing undertakings in order to ensure economies of size.

In considering Industrial Policy, we should not confine ourselves to the sector that is governed by the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act. There is outside this, a large and growing sector of small scale indus-

tries which collectively are of considerable economic significance. The number of such small scale units which have been registered up to date run to over 52,000. There are, in addition, a very large number of such units which function without being registered. It is Government's policy to give to this sector all the help that is possible to make it expand, though I must admit that difficulties of foreign exchange tend to affect this sector as badly as the large scale industries.

Government's industrial policy is clear and the machinery for its implementation by way of licensing appears to be adequate. As the House is aware, we have appointed a committee with Prof. Mahalanobis as Chairman, to study. amongst other things, the extent to which the operation of the econmic system has resulted concentration of wealth and means of production. When the report of that committee is available, there will no doubt be further opportunity to consider whether any changes are called for in the policies or procedures relating to Industrial licensing.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: According to the hon. Prime Minister, the report is somewhat distorted. I want to know whether there is any truth in the report that both the Ministers have accused each other for showing favours to big business houses, for creating unhealthy trend in the licensing policy. I wish to know whether in view of this difference among the Ministers working in the same Ministry, the Prime Minister would like to have a thorough probe into the licences issued since 1957, that is, after the Resolution on Industrial policy was adopted, and if not, the reasons for not having a tnorough probe into the working of this Ministry as far as licensing is concerned.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: The hon. Member  $i_S$  suggesting that I should have a probe into some.....

Shri S. M. Banerjee: From 1957. If something is correct in both the letters

Mr. Speaker: According to the press reports, there have been differences between the two Ministers and there have ben allegations made, one against the other that there have been favouritism or something shown.....

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Unuhealthy trends in licensing.....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order; ... in giving licences. Therefore he suggests that a probe might be had into the assue of licences that have been issued during the last 4 or 5 years.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I do not know what the hon. Member is basing his information on.

Mr. Speaker: Press reports.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Press reports, as I have said, give a distorted view. In fact, there are two press reports that I have seen which differ from each other. I do not think one can go by that. I regret, it is highly objectionable for these confidential letters between Ministers to be published.

Shri Tyagi: How did they leak out?

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Somebody must have given out.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I am sorry, I cannot say how they leaked out. Certainly they could only leak out presumably from that Ministry or my office. I am sure they did not leak out from my office.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There should be a probe into the matter.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: This is the second time that Shri Manubhai Shan has got into this kind of controversy.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: It is unfortunate that there should sometimes be difference of opinion between two Ministers in the same Ministry. On the other hand, there is one healthy aspect of it that any mistakes committed are found out.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: That is why we want a probe to find out the mistake.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Too many leaks are bad.

### 1240 hrs.

PROPOSED MERGER OF UAR, IRAQ AND SYRIA INTO ONE FEDERAL STATE AND REACTION OF GOVERNMENT OF INDIA THERETO.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti (Dhanbad): I call the attention of the Prime Minister to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a satement thereon:—

"Proposed merger of UAR, Iraq and Syria into one Federal State and the reaction of the Government of India thereto.".

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): Negotiations which had been going on for some time between the Governments of the U.A.R., Iraq and Syria towards the formation of a Federal State have resulted in final agreement on the merger of the three States. A new Federal State called the United Arab Republic with its capital in Cairo has been agreed upon. Aii citizens of the Federal State will enjoy Arab nationality, Islam will be the State religion and Arabic the State language. There will also be common flag based on the present flag 61 the U.A.R. with three stars instead of two. Provision has also been made for the merger of other States into the Federation.

From information received, the proposed Federal State envisages the election of the President of the Republic and three Vice-Presidents, one for each region. The bi-cameral National Assembly will comprise the Lower House based on the population ratio of the constituent States and the Upper

### [Shri Dinesh Singh]

House, comprising equal representation from each of the three regions, 50 per cent. of seats in the two Houses will be earmarked for workers and peasants. The Federal Government will be responsible for defence, external affairs, finance and economic planning.

A referendum on the Constitution and election of the President is proposed to be held before. September next, whereafter the Federal State of the United Arab Republic will come into being. Certain interim arrangements have been made for the transitional period to enable the Federation to start functioning pending the holding of referendum.

It is clear that the merger of the U.A.R., Iraq, and Syria into one Federal State is a great historic achievement. We have welcomed it as a significant development towards the realisation of its cherished goals by the Arab people. It portends to bring peace and stability in an area which had for long been subjected to strife. Peace and progress of the Arab people with whom we have had historical and cultural ties for centuries of great interest to us and we have, therefore, welcomed the formation of the new United Arab Republic.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Is it not a fact that the recent developments in Jordan indicate a growing combination of resurgent forces of Arab nationalism favouring political federation and if so, what are the views of the Government with respect to the remarks made by Mr. Ben Gurion of Israel that Israel will not be indifferent to the influence of President Nasser spreading into Jordan?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): I have not completely heard understood it. I heard something about Jordan or the reactions developments in Jordan, that Israel is not liking something happening What am I to say there. about Israel's likes or dislikes? I do not understand.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: White welcoming the resurgence of Arab nationalism, we have to communicate our views to Mr. Ben Gurion of Israel who states that he can't remain indifferent to the growth of the influence of President Nasser and how we favour it or we disfavour it. We have to communicate our views.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: We have not to communicate anything to anybody, except in so far as our views are broadcast. But, anyhow, we have no direct diplomatic contact with the Israel Government.

Mr. Speaker: Now, next item.

Shri Hanumanthaiya (Bangalore City): May I just ask one question?

Mr. Speaker: I am sorry. I have gone to the next item.

12.14 hrs.

ELECTION TO COMMITTEE
ANIMAL WELFARE BOARD

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri S. K. Patil): I beg to move:

"That in pursuance of sub-section (1)(i) of section 5 of the prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960, the Members of Lok Sabha do proceed to elect, in such manner as the Speaker may direct, one Member from among themselves to serve as a member of the Animal Welfare Board, subject to the other provisions of the said Act, vice Shrimati Sangam Laxmi Bai resigned from the Board."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That in pursuance of sub-section (1)(i) of section 5 of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals

An hon. Member's 11360 Correspondence with Finance Minister

Act, 1960, the Members of Lok Sabha do proceed to elect, in such manner as the Speaker may direct, one Member from among themselves to serve as a Member of the Animal Welfare Board, subject to the other provisions of the said Act, vice Shrimati Sangam Laxmi Bai resigned from the Board."

The motion was adopted.

### CHRISTIAN MARRIAGE AND MATRIMONIAL CAUSES BILL

EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PRESENTATION OF REPORT OF JOINT COMMITTEE

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): I beg to move:

"That the time appointed for the presentation of the Report of the Joint Committee on the Bill to amend the codify the Law relating to marriage and matrimonial causes among Christians, be further extended up to the last day of the next Session."

## Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the time appointed for the presentation of the Report of the Joint Committee on the Bill to amend and codify the Law relating to marriage and matrimonial causes among Christians, be further extended up to the last day of the next Session."

The motion was adopted.

12:15 hrs.

POINT RE: AN HON. MEMBER'S CORRESPONDENCE WITH FIN-ANCE MINISTER

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): By your leave, I would ask you to permit me to seek your guidance on an important matter. The Finance Minister laid on the Table of the House on Saturday a letter addressed to him by an hon. Member. While I have no desire to dilate upon the rather awkward visage of secular progressivism that it has revealed....

Mr. Speaker: There is one difficulty I must point out to the hon. Member. Unless he gives me notice, how can I foresee what he is going to say and how can I be prepared for anything that he might say at that moment or any point he may raise at that time?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not want your ruling just now.

Mr. Speaker: For that also, he ought to inform me. Unless there is something that crops up here, the normal business should be followed. If he has in mind something which he has to bring to the notice of the House, he must give me notice beforehand so that I might be ready about the facts and about the provisions of law. He cannot expect me to be always ready; he must have studied his point whereas I will be blank there.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I want your guidance. I do not want your ruling.

Mr. Speaker: That applies for guidance also. The procedure he is adopting is not fair to me because I am not prepared for anything that he might say. I would therefore request him to inform me first and then raise it in the House so that I can answer it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: On a point of clarification. I want to know whether this applies to everyday and everything, because there are many matters of importance which come up suddenly. We do not want your ruling or a final decision, but only your guidance. You can give your ruling tomorrow.

11361 Point re: an hon. Member's APRIL 23, 1963 Official Languages Bill 11362 Correspondence with

Finance Minister

[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath]

My only point is whether it is open to a Member of the House to write to a Minister so far as to request him to withdraw a case that is pending investigation and inquiry.

Mr. Speaker: That is exactly what I wanted to bring to the notice of the hon. Member—that it should not be open to the Member and he should not just resort to this procedure of standing up any time, any moment and making observations on any subject that he likes. I must have advance notice of that. Now he has put me an abstract question. Can I reply to it?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not want your ruling.

Mr. Speaker: The reply is not there. But the question has been put.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not want the reply today.

Mr. Speaker: That is not fair. That is exactly what I am objecting to. If he has to put a question, I should have notice so that I might be prepared to answer. Now the question is there, but not the answer.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not want the answer today. You can give it tomorrow or the day after. I am not in a hurry.

Mr. Speaker: This is the procedure to bring up a matter in the House—1 am quoting rule 377.

"A member who wishes to bring to the notice of the House any matter which is not a point of order shall give notice to the Secretary in writing stating briefly the point which he wishes to raise in the House together with reasons for wishing to raise it, and he shall be permitted to raise it only after the Speaker has given his consent and at such time and date as the Speaker may fix".

This was not a question that cropped up suddenly because of the discussions we were having. Therefore, I will request him just now to observe this rule in future

12.19 hrs.

### OFFICIAL LANGUAGES BILL

Mr. Speaker: Bills for consideration and passing.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri (Berhampur): On a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: I have received notice from the hon. Member that he wants to raise a point of order on this question, that the motion cannot be moved. Therefore, I shall hear him first.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): Before we go to that, I to point out one thing. just want We had originally decided that we were not going to sit after 5 P.M. since yesterday. But Late in the evening yesterday, when most of us were engaged in various sub-committees and Committees of the House, this matter was raised and passed by the House at 6 O'clock with hardly a few Members in the House to deal with it. I would request you not to permit such a question to be put so late in the evening, because we can now take it up in the normal course between 12 and 5,-and if we tried to sit extra hours we can even sit an extra day on the 8th. Otherwise, a decision is taken when the House is very empty; now most of us will have to sit upto 6 or 6.30 for two days.

Mr. Speaker: I will be more careful in future.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Briefly, my point of order is as follows, that the requirements of the special providers laid down for

moving a Bill of this nature in either House of Parliament which have been clearly laid down in article 349 have not been fulfilled, and that the sanction which the President is purported to have given to the introduction and consideration of the Bill, about which we have been informed by the Secretary in the copy of the Bill circulated to us, is defective under the Constitution because the conditions precedent as laid down in the Constitution have not been fulfilled. As the provisions of the Constitution stand, the President has no power to give sanction to the moving or consideration of a Bill of this nature in the form in which it is being moved.

Official

We have been informed that the President has recommended introduction and consideration of this Bill under two articles of the Constitution—under articles and 349 So far as recommendation under article 117 (3) is concerned, that does not concern me here, but I am concerned with the sanction that the President is purported to have given under article 349.

Article 349 reads like this:

"During the period of fifteen years from the commencement of this Constitution no Bill or amendment making provision for the language to be used for any of the purposes mentioned in clause (1) of article 348 shall be introduced or moved in either House of Parliament without the previous sanction of the President . . . . . . , "

This sanction we are informed the President has accorded, but the article proceeds further, because the President is not a free agent in the matter, and it states:

"...the President shall not give his sanction to the introduction of any such Bill or the moving of any such amendment except after he has taken into considerecommendations of ration the the Commission constituted under clause (1) of article 344 and the report of the Committee constituted under clause (4) of that article."

This brings us to article 344 which reads like this:

"The President shall, at the expiration of five years from the commencement of this Constitution and thereafter at the expiration of ten years from such commencement, by order constitute a Commission which shall consist of ..."

After this Commission has done its work and reported and made recommendations, a Committee of Parliament would be constituted. That is under clause (4) of article 344.

Now, so far as article 344 is concerned, two Commissions are contemplated one after the expiry of five years and one after the expiry of ten years. After five years a was appointed. My Commission contention is that if any Bill makes any provision or seeks to make provision for the subjects mentioned in clause (1) of article 348 within those five years, the recommendations of the first Commission which has to be appointed meantime and those of the Parliamentary Committee to be thereafter to scrutinise constituted the recommendations of the Commission, have to be taken into consi-deration by the President. If after a laps of ten years any Bill seeks to make provision touching upon the subjects mentioned in article 348(1). the President cannot give his sanction unless he has in the meantime appointed a second Commission and that Commission has been followed by the appointment of a second Parliamentary Committee, and the recommendations of the Commission and Committee have been taken into consideration by the President. It is binding on the President, and I do maintain that in view of these provisions of the Constitution which I have read out and which obligatory upon the President, the President is not a free agent, and so[Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri]

11365

he cannot give any sanction unless that Commission and Committee have been constituted to a Bill of this nature.

Mr. Speaker: He concedes that one Commission was appointed after five years and a Committee was thereafter appointed by the Parliament and it has made its report. Therefore, the President had only the report of the first Commission and the first Committee when he considered that and gave the sanction. The only objection is that he must have considered the reports of both the commissions and both committees before giving his sanction

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: It is there in the Constitution, Sir, that he must appoint, after the lapse of ten years, a second commission and a second committee must be appointed by Parliament.

Mr Speaker: Therefore, the question arises whether he could give sanction for the motion, for the introof a Bill, before another duction Commission had been appointed and its report has been received considered by the Parliamentary Committee. Is that his point?

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: After the expiry of ten years from the commencement of the Constitution, before a Bill is introduced....

Mr Speaker: That is right. A Bill can be introduced when ten years have elapsed. But it is not said that it is necessary to appoint a Commission immediately after five years or ten years. After ten years may mean 12 or 13 years.

Kumar Chaudhuri: Tridib Unless that is considered by the President, the Bill cannot be introduced or considered.

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): Sir, I have also a point of order, almost of the same type that the House is now seized of. It can be disposed of with the same. In raising a point of order, Shri Chaudhuri seems to agree that the sanction from the President has been obtained. I think that there is no the President. There differences in the language used in the two articles 117 and 349. Articl 117 merely uses the word dation'

Mr. Speaker: Is it the point of order that the sanction under article 349 is not regular?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: It is not there at all. I shall point out why I say so.

Mr. Speaker: I will allow him time to raise the point of order that he is going to raise. But I may read for the information of the House what it says here:

"The President, having been informed of the subject matter of the Bill providing for the languages which may be used for the official purposes of the Union for transaction of business in Parliament, for Central and State Acts and for certain purposes in High Courts, given his previous sanction to the introduction of the Bill under article 349 of the Constitution and also recommends the consideration of the Bill under article 117(3)."

So, this previous sanction was given though on the Bill it is put down only as recommendation.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: The Bill does not say so.

Mr. Speaker: That is why I wanted to read this.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Can it be extraneous document, apart from the Bill? I quite see that the Government might try to wriggle out of the position. There is no question of abridged version appearing on the Bill.

Mr. Speaker: We have to see actually the sanction that I have received from the President and not the endorsement that is made.

Official

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Am I not my right to bring it to your notice that this information is not supplied to us, that the information here misleads us. This is the document circulated to us from time to time over which have wasted our time, studying position. We should know whether proper sanction has been accorded or not. This is like what happened in the Supreme Court one day. One day, a gentleman rose and said, "Here, I have got the remand order in my pocket." The remand order may be in his pocket, but what is required in law is that it must be put up legally, as is provided in the law, according to the provisions of the law. So, it must be before the House. You being the custodian of the privileges of the House . . .

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): What does the Law Minister do?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Apart from the legality—I may not find fault with the law Minister—my submission is that when this Bill is being placed before the house, when it is being published, and published over the signature of Mr. M. N. Kaul, Secretary, Lok Sabha, it is most essential that the President's sanction must be reproduced in it in the same language.

Mr. Speaker: So far as that is concerned, I agree readily with the objection of the hon, Member that this sanction should have been reproduced there in the same form in which it is required by law. I will direct the Government that it should be done in future. But the hon, Member might argue whether it does affect for the present the validity of the Bill or the introduction of it.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Could I intervene? Some of us who gave notice

of certain amendments which require the President's recommendation have received a communication early this morning that we should get the President's consent by this evening. Well, conceivably on the telephone I could get the President's recommendation. But would that sort of thing be permitted? It could not be permitted, because it has to be done in a proper way. It has to be done in a manner which is not only legal on the face of it, but it must be expressed in manner which shows the complete legality of the entire proceeding. This Government has a large apparatus, a expensive apparatus number of Ministries which do not do their job properly. Only yesterday all sorts of questions arose, which show how faulty is the practice several Ministries—(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. This sanction has not been received on the telephone or today. It is dated 6th April, 1963.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: That does not explain. You have been a judge and as Shri U. M. Trivedi has pointed out, the sanction must be put in black and white (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. The sanction is there. I have agreed with the objection that it ought to have been reproduced on the back of it, on the Bill itself. Therefore, I do not know what further Shri Mukerjee wants.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Three years ago, on a Constitution amendment matter, a wrong date was given, and a hell of a lot of hullabaloo took place in this House, and the thing was corrected. If this sort of thing goes on for ever and ever, where are we and where is Parliamentary practice going to?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let Shri U. M. Trivedi, who was on his legs first, continue his point.

Shri U. M. Trivedi; In the Statement of Objects and Reasons, it has been mentioned as follows:

Official

"The Committee constituted under clause (4) of article 344 of the Constitution to examine the recommendations of the Commission constituted under clause (1) thereof expressed the opinion that complete change-over to Hindi by the 26th January, 1965 was not practicable and that provision should be made in pursuance of clause (3) of article 343 of the Constitution for the continued use of English even after 1965...."

Mr. Speaker: Does he also mean to say that if his point is upheld, then this Bill cannot be taken up for consideration, and the motion cannot be made?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Yes; that is the point. The motion cannot be made, because there is an inherent lacuna in bringing this motion before the House for consideration, in as much as the provisions of article 344 are there; it is a condition precedent.

Mr. Speaker: He is supporting Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: But in a different language.

Shri Bade: From a different angle.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I understand the meaning of the word that I use. Article 344(1) has got a mandatory provision, both for five years and for 10 years. It says:

"... at the expiration of five years from the commencement of this Constitution and thereafter at the expiration of ten years from such commencement, ..."

The words that have been used are, "ten years" after the commencement of the Constitution.

Then, when we read 344(2), there the duties that have been east upon

the Commission are given. Here, "the Commission" means the two Commissions that are to be appointed and not one single Commission. It says:

"It shall be the duty of the Commission to make recommendations to the President as to—

(a) the progressive use of the Hindi language . . ."

When both these are read together, then what is required is that there shall be constituted a Committee consisting of 30 members of whom 20 shall be members of the House of the People and 10 shall be members of the Council of States. After that appointment has been made, only then the provision in 349—the penultimate provision—comes into play where it is said:

".....without the previous sanction of the President, and the President shall not give his sanction to the introduction of any such Bill..."

The restriction is upon the President on his using his powers to give the sanction. It is not a provision for the Government. It is a provision which relates to the personal powers of the President which is not exercisable in any manner by the Government of the Union. It is a power vested entirely in the President, and a personal power which is vested in him has got a restrictive provision in it that he shall not exercise that power of sanction unless and until this condition has been fulfilled.

I will, therefore, say, Sir, that the ten year period having passed in 1960 since the commencement of our Constitution in 1950—on 26th January 1950 our Constitution came in on 26th January 1955 there could be one Commission and the other Commission could be appointed in 1960—and three years have passed after that and up-to-date no Commission has been appointed and the recommendation that could be made by the constituted committee

and these two commissions not having been there, it is not possible for this House to discuss it.

Some hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: Shri Hanumanthaiya wants to say something?

Shri Hanumanthaiya (Bangalore City): No, Sir; it related to the point previously made.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Mr. Speaker, may I invite your attention to rule 65 and rule 68? Before I proceed, Sir, to read those rules. I submit, that even in cases where may agree with the principle of Bill, it is essential that in order to promote the highest parliamentary traditions in our country, where parliamentary democracy is in its infancy, we must conform our procedure to the constitutional requirements the requirements of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business Lok Sabha

Here is rule 65. I am aware that sub rule (1) refers to a Private Member's Bill. But I submit, in all humility and with all earnestness at my command, that what applies to a Private Member's Bill, in so far as the President's sanction is concerned—because that is a very important constitutional requirement—must apply a fortiori to a Government Bill also. What does sub-rule (2) say? It reads as follows:

"(2) If the Bill is a Bill which under the Constitution cannot be introduced without the previous sanction or recommendation of the President, the member shall annex to the notice such sanction or recommendation conveyed through a Minister, and ...." (please note the last bit of it)... "the notice shall not be valid until this requirement is complied with."

Now, Sir, as you have observed, and rightly so, the President's sanction has not been conveyed in the manner required by this rule. And, as my hon. collegue has already said, the constitutional requirement also has not been complied with

I would submit, Sir, therefore, that the requirements of the Constitution as well as the rules have been flagrantly violated in this respect, and that the motion for consideration will not be in order.

The Minister of Yaw (3hr: A K. Sen): Mr. Speaker, Sir. you will recollect that when your predecessor was presiding over this House, similar point was raised, not directly concerned with the introduction of a Bill under article 349, but on question of appointing a Commission. And the point then raised was that since ten years have expired, we should have appointed Second Commission under article 344, because the word used in article 344 is "shall". The Government did appoint the Second Commission reasons then explained by the late Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant, who was the then Home Minister, because the First Commission's recommendations and the report of the Parliamentary Committee were still under consideration and we were taking steps for implementation of that Commission's recommendations and the report of the Parliamentary Committee. When the matter came up here, I personally took part in the discussions and said that our view was that the word "shall" must be construed "may".

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur): How can you arrogate that authority to you (Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: Order order.

Shri A. K. Sen: That is the view which the Government took, and I said then that unless we were corrected by the court that our interpretation was wrong, we propose to adhere to our own interpretation, for the simple reason that there were various other provisions in the Constitution itself where the language used is

### [Shri A. K. Sen]

11373

"shall" and yet the Supreme Court and other courts have ruled that the word "shall" should be construed as "may". It is not such a matter on which we can dispose of the question merely by laughing, in my humble submission

In the Government of India Act. there was a section section 205, which used the word "shall" and the Privy Council, in a well-known decision . .

Shri Tridib Eumar Chaudhuri: May I know the context in which it was used?

Shri Nath Pai: Let us have the whole text.

Shri A. K. Sen: If the hon. Member is prepared to listen, I will give all the facts because I am here to give all the facts.

In that section of the Government of India act, the word used was that the High Court "shall" give leave or special certificate for appeal to the Privy Council on certain matters, if certain things happen. The Privy Council, deciding on that question. held in a decision reported in 67 Indian Appeals that where were cast upon high dignitaries indicating something to be done by the person concerned, the use of the word "shall" should not ordinarily be interpreted as mandatory. Similar interwas put in interpreting pretation article 320, concerning consultation with the Public Service Commission. The language of article 320 is as follows:

> "(1) It shall be the duty of the Union and the State Public Service Commission to conduct examinations for appointments...."

Then, sub-clause (3) says:

"The Union Public Service Commission or the State Public Service Commission, as the case may be, shall be consulted-

- (a) on all matters relating to methods of recruitment to civil services and for civil posts:
- (b) on the principles to be followed in making appointments to civil services....
- (c) on all disciplinary matters affecting a person serving under the Government of India or the Government of a State...."

The point arose whether the Government either in the States or in the Centre, could take disciplinary pro-ceedings without consulting the State Public Service Commission or the Union Public Service Commission, as the case may be, because article 320 uses the word "shall". In one of the earliest decisions of the Calcutta High Court, in which I argued the matter for the Government of West Bengal, I argued that the word "shall" must be construed as "may", and that argument was accepted by the Calcutta High Court, after an elaborate examination of all the authorities. Later on, when the matter came up in a different case to the Supreme Court, the same interpretation was accepted and the word "shall" was construed as "may". And the reasons are not far to seek. Those who will read the decision will see that the reasons are quite plausible and should not possibly cause laughter outside the House.

Therefore, the word "shall" is not conclusive, as I argued on that occasion when the late Pandit Govind Ballabh Pant was speaking on question of implementing the recommendations of the Commission the Committee and the objection was raised why a Second Commission was not appointed, though so required under article 344 of the Constitution,

and I then said that if the previous Commission's recommendations if the previous Committee's report were still to be implemented, appointment of a Second Commission will be futile, and since we are still considering how best to implement the steps proposed by the Commission and the Parliamentary Committee, to appoint a second one when the first one has not yet been discussed or given effect to would be futile and, therefore, on a parity of reasoning and without going into the details of the argument I said that the Government's view was that the word "shall" must be construed as "may", having regard to the very nature of the case, because the previous Commission's recommendations and previous Committee's report may still be in the process of being fulfilled, or may still be in the process of acted upon or decided upon and to say that notwithstanding the President, this, must appoint a Second Committee simply because the word "shall" has been used would not be giving a proper and reasonable construction to the Constitutional provision. And that is matter which is now nearly three years old, and we are taking the view that the Parliament. Government at the Centre and States have still been concerned with the implementation of the steps and the measures recommended by First Commission and the Parliamentary Committee and also what happened in Parliament on those reports and we are, therefore now at the stage of passing a measure proposed by Government, based on those recommendations of the Commission, that report of the Committee and on the discussions which have followed in the State Legislatures, in the Lok Sabha, in the Rajya Sabha and in other places. Therefore, the question of our not appointing the Second Commission, as required under article 344, is, in my opinion, well disposed of.

Now the next question is whether there is any bar, as argued by Shri

Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri and Trivedi, whether the bar is implied or not under article 349, because there was no Second Commission. According to the argument which has been put forward, the reasoning appears to be as follows Article 344 requires that there must be a Second Commission and since article mentions that the President will give his sanction after considering report of the Parliamentary Committee and the recommendations of the Commission, as mentioned in clause (4) of article 344, it must necessarily attract both the Commission's recommendations and the Parliamentary Committee's report, and since there was no second Commission or second Committee the President was incompetent to give sanction for the introduction of this Bill. This, in my opinion, again follows from a fallacy of thinking that it is obligatory for the President to appoint a Second Commission irrespective of whether the First Commission's recommendation has yet been implemented or not, and secondly from the fallacy of reading a bar or reading a limitation which is not there, and trying to weave out a limitation by inference or by argument. In my submission, a proper reading of article 349, apart from the question whether it is obligatory to appoint a Second Commission or not, is this that the President shall not give sanction to the introduction of any such Bill except after he has taken into consideration the recommendations of the Commission constituted under clause article 344 and the report Committee. That means, whatever report there is whatever recommendations there are, he must after considering that give the sanction. there is nothing else, there question of his considering Suppose, there was a committee but it made no report; or, there was commission but it made no report.... (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order; we should now discuss calmly.

Shri A. K. Sen: difficult These be answered questions cannot mere vigour in my submission. They have to be answered logically if they can and they have to be determined logically. The method of deciding other points, I am afraid, is wholly inappropriate for deciding such questions. Therefore, in my submission, reading this section as others. President will not only have to take into consideration whatever report there is-if there is no report there is no question of considering it. It is a well known principle of law and you will bear me out that when something has to be considered interpretation is always annexed to it or written into it that this thing is to be considered if it exists or if it is possible. If it does not exist, the question of consideration does not does not arise at all. Therefore in my submission the question of considering non-existent recommendation is out of the question and the objection raised has to fail.

Shri Nath Pai: The hon. Law Minister has tried to not exactly quibble but make much play with the words 'shall' and 'may'. He is certainly aware that it is possible within the next 15 minutes to get an umpteen number of decisions of the Judicial Committee of the Supreme Court as also of the Privy Council showing that wherever the word 'shall' been used, it has been used in a mandatory sense, whereas there are cases-he is quite right-when the word 'shall' may become 'may'. That cannot be a conclusive argument by itself. That should be taken into consideration.

Secondly, his saying that I had pointed out to the House that we may construe it to mean 'may' is also not very acceptable to us because we do not remember the House having given this power to the Law Minister of India to interpret.....(Interruption).

Shri A. K. Sen: I said, it is the Government's point of view I never claimed the power for the House.

Shri Nath Pai: I am very happy. But that was the impression I got because, maybe, a wrong language has been used. But a very substantial point that I want to submit this. It says that if you are seeking to move any Bill or to enact any legislation during the period of 15 years, all these requirements must be fulfilled. If that was the interpretation the Government proposed have then rather than arrogate claim to Government it was proper and it would have been the thing for the hon. Law Minister advise the President to make a reference to the Supreme Court. There is a provision that in all such matters where such dubity exists it is open to the Government to make a reference to the Supreme Court. Such a reference was not made. It is a little too late in the day to say that this was the meaning.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: want to point out only one thing regard to the interpretation of word 'shall'. Of course, it has been stated in standard legal lexicons as also in any number of judicial decisions in this country and elsewhere, whether 'shall' is mandatory or directory depends upon the context. Here the context is the entire context Part XVII dealing with the official language. If you kindly look to the duties of the Commission and scheme of Part IV, it is a fact Hindi was declared to be the official language by the Constitution, practical circumstances forced us to use English for a certain number of years for the official purposes of the Union and the intention, as I interpret the Constitution of the whole Constitution was that there should be a progressive extension of the use of Hindi. If you look to clause (2) of article 344, that is, the duties....

Mr Speaker: That is all right: I have followed him.

Official.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: There is just one question which I would like to put to the hon. Minister through you. He has taken an entirely legalistic view.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: will finish in one sentence. The point is that in this case the appointment of successive commissions by President in the scheme that is envisaged by the Constitution is mandatory. If the Government different view, either the whole thing should be referred to the Court, or Government might agree to drop certain provisions which affect article 344(1).

Shri U. M. Trivedi: The hon. Law Minister, Shri Sen, stated before this House that very recently on question of interpretation in which he himself figured as an advocate, the interpretation of 'shall' was equated with 'may'. I will request him to cite a single instance in the history of legal interpretation in the world where in a restrictive clause 'shall' has ever been construed as 'may' if the restriction is put in the clause itself. There is not a single instance in the history of the world where 'shall' has been interpreted as 'may'.

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated-Anglo-Indians): May I say a word?

Mr. Speaker: I have heard enough.

Shri Frank Anthony: I feel that it is not necessary at all to go along with the hon Law Minister 'shall' is not mandatory. It is not necessary. If you look at article 349, it is very clear. It is a maxim of interpretation that a provision is mever interpreted so as to stultify that provision. I say, assuming that 'shall' is mandatory and the President had appointed another commission in 1960, the Hindi friends would have made a grievance of it as to

why should you wait till 1965. The words are not 'appointment commission' but 'the recommendations of the Commission'. So, if it five years for the recommendations of that Commission and the Parliamentary Committee, the first vance that will be made by the Hindi people is that you are doing nothing to accelerate the progress. Assuming that a second commission had been appointed after 1960, it would take two years for them to make their recommendations and a year for the Parliamentary Committee to function. In that interregnum certainly can say that it was never intended that this should be stultified and the President may certainly act in terms of the recommendations of the first commission and the first committee, assuming that 'shall' is mandatory.

Shri Maurya (Aligarh) rose-

Mr. Speaker: I am clear about it.

Shri Maurya: Just one sentence.

Mr. Speaker: I do not think there is anything more that I require for elucidation. One point raised was by Shri Kamath about rule 65. As himself admitted, that applied There is non-official Members. distinction made. When an hon. Minister is bringing forward a Bill, is not required to append that sancthe Bill that tion along with brings forward. It does not apply and there is a distinction made.

### 13 hrs.

The second question is that article 344 is mandatory. It says:-

"The President shall, at the exyears from the piration of five commencement of this Constitution and thereafter at the expiration of ten years from such commencement, by order constitute a Commission which shall consist of Chairman...."

Official

I need not go into the controversy whether 'shall' means 'may' in this context or not because it is not essential for giving my decision at this moment, though I might make a casual reference to it. To me it appears both commissions are necessary in the context of things. But so far as the present question is concerned, that can be disposed of without going into the exact meaning of 'shall' or 'may'. It is mandatory, of course, as I have said, so far as I can see reasonably, that the President shall appoint two commissions and then there shall be two committeees-one after five years and another after another five years. Now, one commission was appointed and the committee was also appointed after that. The President has considered the report of the commission as well as of that committee. That Mr. Trivedi admitted, conceded, when I put him that question. So, one process has been undergone. It was intended to watch the growth of Hindi by stages—one commission is first to be appointed, then the commission has to make a report and then a committee is to be appointed by Parliament and that report also has to go to the President and the President has then to see both the report of the commissioon and the committee and see whether any progress has been made. And at that time, if after considering that, the Government wants that there ought to be some Bill brought forward in order to introduce in certain sphere Hindi or to retain English in others, then certainly it would be justified in view of the reports of one commission and one committee to introduce one Bill. When the second commission is appointed and sufficient advancement has been made-and the report another committee also has been received and the President can consider the second one also-then a second Bill also can be brought forward by the Government.

So far as the words here in article 349 that are mentioned are concerned, though it might be said that under the General Clauses Act singular means plural, in this particular context it is only the commission and the committee that are mentioned, not both the commissions. If it was intended, after considering the report of both the commissions and both the committees, then that would been put very clearly. So, in my opinion it is enough when one stage has been passed-one commission has been appointed and the report of the committee also has been received and the President has considered both them-he can give consent to the introduction of one Bill.

So far as the appointment of the second commission is concerned and that the Government has failed in that, that would be quite a different thing. If the Government has failed, that might be a matter of censure against the Government. I am not concerned with that just at this moment, to say anything about it whether the Government has performed its duties or not. That is not before us now. But so far as this Bill is concerned, there is no bar under article 349 to its introduction.

श्री बागड़ी (हिसार): अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रक्त है। संविधान के जरिये यह गारण्टी दी गई है कि पन्द्रह साल के बाद अंग्रेजी नहीं रहेगी श्रीर देश की राष्ट्र भाषा हिन्दी श्रीर उसकी लिपि देव-गगरी होगी। इस लिए जो विधेयक श्रा रहा है, वह संविधान के विरुद्ध जाता है। किसी ऐसे बिल को, जो संविधान के विरुद्ध जाता हो, बगैर संशोधन किये पेश नहीं किया जा सकता है श्रीर उस पर चर्चा नहीं की जा सकती है।। इस लिए मेरा आपसे निवेदन है कि चूंकि यह बिल संविधान के विरुद्ध है, इस लिए इस पर बहुस की इजाजत न दी जाये।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : हम इस बात का फैसला कर चुके हैं । जब इस बिल का इन्द्रोडक्शन हम्राया, तो उस वक्त भी यह सवाल उठाया गया था। उसके बारे में हम यह फैसला कर चुके हैं कि यह बिल भा सकता है भौर इन्ट्रोड्यूस हो सकता है।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): On a point of clarification, Sir. While we have to bow to your ruling the House is entitled to have an explanation from the Minister concerned as to why the President's certificate of sanction was not conveyed in the proper form and manner and as to who was remiss who failed in his duty. The Minister should explain which Minister was reponsible for this—Law Minister, Home Minister... (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I have agreed with that objection. But that is a separate matter. That should not preclude us from considering this here. That should not debar us from going on with the motion here. That would be a separate matter as to how that escaped their attention or who was responsible for it. It have given a direction that in future any sanction given should be reproduced in those words in the Bill as well.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, vould you not be pleased to ask the Maister as to who was responsible?

Mr. Speaker: Just now it cannot be done.

Shri Hanumanthaiya: It is that to clarify. point that I wanted The publication, along with Bill, of the notification of that is not necessary. President far as the legal interpretation every act done by a constituted authority is presumed to be legal unless proved otherwise. If the Secretary of Parliament publishes something for the consideration of the House, it is presumed that he has followed every legal formality required, unless it is proved that he has suppressed that or there is anything.. (Interruption)

Mr. Speaker: The hon Member would realise that the words of the 378 (Ai) LSD-5.

article were 'previous sanction' and not recommendation.

Shri Hanumanthaiya: Even if the previous sanction is obtained, it is not necessary.

Mr. Speaker: Recommendation is not previous sanction. The Minister for Home Affairs.

Shri Nath Pai: Why was the House not told? Why was no reference made? You yourself are inclined to give an interpretation. He has another. He knows that the matter was running into a kind of double interpretation. Was it not proper, therefore, to have sought the advice of the Supreme Court?

Mr. Speaker: On what point?

Shri Nath Pai: On the point whethere the word shall' means 'may' and whether there was the necessity.... (Interpuption.)

Mr. Speaker: I said, that is not needed here.

श्री बागड़ी : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह एक बड़ा कानूनी श्रीर श्रहम नुक्ता है । इस लिए इसके बारे में सुप्रीम कोर्ट से राय ले लेनी चाहिए । इनके भरोसें तो कल यह बिल श्रदालत में फेल हो जायगा ।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : माननीय सदस्य जानते हैं कि जहां पर यह सवाल हो कि कोई विल ग्रल्ट्रा-वायजं हो सकता है, तो उसक बारे में हम फैसला नहीं दे सकते । यह बात ग्राम तौर पर कोर्ट्स के ऊपर ही छोड़ दी जाती है कि वे किसी विल के बारे में ग्रपना फैसला दें।

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): Sir, I rise to support the point which has been made by Mr. Nath Pai. It is a very important question and we would have liked to hear the Law Minister, who was just left the House, as to why in an important case like this

## [Shri Kapur Singh]

he did not think it proper to refer the question to the Supreme Court as to whether 'shall' here can mean 'may'.

Official

Mr. Speaker: That is a different thing altogether. I have not given my decision on that point at all. (Interruption.)

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri navis): Sir, if I might say....

Mr. Speaker: Where is the necessity now?

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri.

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): Sir, I beg to move : . .

श्री बागडी : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक निवेदन है।

ष्मध्यक्ष महोदय : मैंने प्रापको इतनी दफ़ा वक्त दिया है भीर जो फुछ भ्रापने कहा, वह मैंने सून लिया है। ग्रब ग्राप बैठ जायें।

श्री बागड़ी: ग्राप मेरा एक निवेदन सुन लें, तो मैं बैठ जाता हूं।

मैं यह निवेदन करूंगा कि यह भाषा श्रीर भविष्य का प्रश्न है श्रीर बहत जरूरी प्रश्न है। इस लिए इसको पास करने से पहले सरकार देश में रिफ्रेंडम या राय-शमारी करा लें। (Interruption).

म्राध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्रव माननीय सदस्य बैठ जायें।

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri; Sir, I beg to move:\*

"That the Bill to provide for the languages which may be used for the official purposes of the Union, for transaction of business Parliament, for Central and State Acts and for certain purposes in High Courts, be taken into consideration."

Since I introduced this Bill, I have had occasion to meet a large number of people both outside as well as here in Parliament-quite a few Members of this House as well as af the other House. I think that I am in a position to gauge the situation in so far as this Bill is concerned correctly. I know very well that strong views are held on this question and I do not want to minimise the matter. Yet. with the talks I had and the impression I have gathered, I can say with confidence that there is a general spirit of accommodation amongst the different views held. Those who come from the Hindi-speaking areas and others who come from the non-Hindi-speaking areas have agreed to one thing that ultimately Hindi would be the official language of the Union.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Shri S. Kandappan: (Tiruchengode): No. We strongly object to it.

Mr. Speaker: We are inside Parliament where we have pledged ourselves to proceed in a manner.

Shri Rajaram (Krishnagiri): It is against the Prime Minister's assurance.

Mr. Speaker: Assurance would come afterwards.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: I never said that there is no one in this country or no one in this Parliament who does not hold a different view. But, I have merely said that by and large there is a feeling in this House as well as, I can say, in the country, that a spirit of accommodation should be shown in the matter, in the sense

<sup>\*</sup>Moved with the recommendation and previous sanction of the President.

that Hindi, as provided in the Constitution in article 343(1) should be accepted as the official language of the Union.

Official

Shri Rajaram: Please amend Constitution.

Shri Muthu Gounder (Tiruppattur): Delete the clause.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: According to the same article....

Shri S. Kandappan: Accommodation not at the cost of our culture and language

Mr. Speaker: Are we going to take decisions by this demonstration now? It would be very regrettable if resort to such methods. Here, we have to persuade each other and go by arguments. only wordy would be fought and not physical ones, I suppose.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: I also going to add that in the same article 343, clause 3 provides that during this period of 15 years, as was just now said, a Commisison will be set up and a Parliamentary committee will also be set up which will go into the various aspects of this problem. It is provided in the same article that Parliament has the power to legislate so that if Hindi could not be used for all purposes, English will continue or can continue. It is in accordance with that provision that we have come before this House and want the authority of House to pass the Bill so that English might continue along with Hindi.

I would like to tell the House that the main purpose of this Bill is to fulfil, as I said, the general wishes of the Members of this House, because, the Members of this House had considered the report of the Parliamentary committee which was appointed. As far as I know, the House had given its general approval to the recommendations made by the Parliamentary Committee.

Shri Frank Anthony: No. We gave no approval. That was not put to the vote. We were not allowed to move amendments.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: Voting might not have taken place.

Shri Frank Anthony: We were not allowed to move amendments. must not make wrong statements.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: Anyhow. it shows that there was a very big, considerable majority in this House which agreed with the recommendation of the Parliamentary Committee. I have no doubt about that.

Shri Rajaram (Krishnagiri): By majority, do you mean that you can kill any language as you like?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. should have patience to hear.

Shri Ram Sewak Yadav (Bara Banki): These people do not this Bill. That is why it should be dropped.

Mr. Speaker: Both the extremes do not want. It is only the House....

भी बागडी: प्रधान मंत्री जी वचन देकर इनको उकसा रहे हैं।

Mr. Speaker: I would just request hon. Members that they should be careful today. We are proceeding with a very delicate matter so that they must exercise great discretion. We should not offend each other in this manner. There may not be scenes. I will restrict in this matter that every Member shall address the Chair alone and not talk to each other. Even interruptions would be directed at the Chair.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: In so far as the problem is concerned, as is obvious, extreme views are held. It is suggested that Hindi is almost being given up and there is the other point

[Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri]

11389

of view that by some kind of manoeuvring we are trying to see that English is not used in future. These extreme views....

Shri S. Kandappan: On a point of clarification, he says extreme views. One is offensive and another is defensive. He ought to differentiate ween these two.

Mr. Speaker: He might be allowed to have his say. Clarification come afterwards.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: far as the use of Hindi is concerned, I am prepared to admit that there could have been faster progress made. But, it cannot be denied that the progress during the last few years Hindi has been something remarkable. It is true that there have been nonofficial efforts made. Also they have received considerable assistance from the Government. There is the literary side of Hindi. In so far as the literary side is concerned, as I said just now, non-official organisations have helped a good deal and made considerable progress. Government also have produced glossaries, huge volumes many important documents. In this way, action has been taken. Official and non-official efforts have been made.

In regard to speaking Hindi or writing Hindi, I can say that not only in the Hindi speaking States, but in the other non-Hindi speaking areas, considerable progress has been made learning Hindi. Large number of people who have never spoken in Hindi may not be doing so very well, yet, they can now speak in Hindi. I do not want to take the time of the House. But, I was greatly impressed when only a few months back, I went to Madras and I had to address a convocation of the Dakshina Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha. Whenever I went to Madras, I had always spoken in English. Therefore, I enquired in that function whether I had to say a few

words in English or in Hindi. There were about 3000 boys and girls sitting there who were to take their degree. They all said that in this pandal, no word of English has to be used and you have to address us in Hindi. This is an example of how Hindi is being learnt in areas which are not Hindispeaking.

Languages Bill

I also feel that we could have been much more liberal, as has often been said by my colleague and friend Shri Dasappa, in giving assistance and help to voluntary organisations in the south. I have no doubt taht if greater assistance is given to them, financial and other help, they can carry on the work with much greater speed and with greater effectiveness.

In these circumstances, I want to appeal to the House, I know it will raise controversies, but I would like to appeal to the House that this matter should be considered with a broader angle, and with a broader approach. It is not in any way a party question. I think that it is a national issue, a national problem, and I hope that this House will be good enough to consider this matter in that context. It would be unfortunate if, on account of our discussions, we create bitterness entween languages and languages. It has also to be realised that if this House will give a proper lead, I have no doubt, the whole country will accept it.

It has often been said that this Bill does not fulfil what the Prime Minister had said before. I do not want to go into that matter, because the Prime Minister will himself be addressing this House and speaking on this Bill and he will certainly celar up the position. But there is no doubt, and as far as I can judge. I can say, that in so far as the continuance of English is concerned, that was the basic idea that after the expiration of this fifteen-year period, English will continue, and this Bill clearly provides it in clause 3 that English will continue,

of course, as has been provided therein, in addition to Hindi.

It has also been said that the committee which will go into this matter, as has been provided in clause 4 of the Bill is a committee of Members of Parliament. A doubt has been expressed that this committee might not be fully representattive, that it might not represent all the States, or the Members of different States who hold different views on this matter. I have no doubt that there can be no better committee than a committee of Members of Parliament, because the Members of Parliament are not in a sense merely an individual or a few being placed on a odd individuals committee. The Members of Parliament represent millions of people of their States, and in the circumstances, I personally think that there can be no better committee to consider over this matter than a parliamentary committee. Of course, the Members from various States speaking different languages will definitely be represented on that committee.

It might interest hon, Members to know that the last parliamentary committee which was appointed had about 21 members representing the non-Hindi-speaking areas in a total composition of 30 Members. Out of 30, at least 20 definitely-I think that it was about 21-were members from the non-Hindi-speaking areas. So the House will thus see that the next committee naturally, when it is appointed, will have more or less the same proportion. I have on doubt that the report of that committee also, as a similar report was discussed last time in Parliament, will be discussed in Parliament again, and the views expressed in Parilament will be communicated to the President. Also, I have no hesitation in telling the House that the report of the committee will be circulated to all State Governments and will be sent to all State Governments.

Generally, it is our rule that important recommendations which concern the States, which have wider implications and which affect the States in any way-such important documents are sent to the State Governments for their consideration and for obtaining their views. In this matter also, the report of the committee will be sent to the State Governments, and before the President makes any final recommendation. So, the President will have full material before him to consider before he passes any final orders, namely the report of the parliamentary committee, the discussions and views expressed by the Members of Parliament as well as the views expressed by all the State Governments.

In these circumstances, I think that it will be agreed to that enough precautions have been taken to dispel the mis-apprehensions which have often been mentioned and talked about during the last few days.

As regards clause 3, I might further add that it will enable the use of the English language for all official purposes of the Union. It makes it clear that there will be no disability attaching to English, nor will there be any restrictions on its use for purposes of either the official work of the Union. inter-State communication and communication between the State and the Centre, or for transaction of business in Parliament.

What is envisaged here is, to borrow a phrase from the memorandum of the Madras Government, which was submitted to the commission which was appointed by the President, namely the Official Language Commission, 'a prolonged bilinguism'. This means that while Hindi may be used progressively, there should be no restriction on the use of English as such.

I might add that in so far as the use of Hindi in the States is concerned, we do not want to impose any restrictions; we cannot. In almost all the Hindi-speaking States, Hindi, and in other States also the regional language, have been made the official 11393

## [Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri]

languages, and they have passed their laws. In the circumstances, to suggest that there is any restriction imposed on them would be quite wrong. I have heard or rather not only heard but several Members have spoken to me as if the Centre wants to impose some new restrictions or does not encourage them in the use of the regional language or Hindi as the official That is far language of the State. from the truth. If the Hindi-speaking States do want to use Hindi for all purposes, they might do so; in fact, they will then help in the development and in the growth of Hindi, especially in the official work, and it might help the whole country because there will then be a basis on which we can proceed; and in case Hindi is adopted by any other State, they will get the necessary help in the language, the words and every other thing which is necessary.

It has also been suggested that this period of ten years for the setting up of another committee is rather short. I have seen in the papers various suggestions made in this regard, and I found that it was difficult really to fix a period which would be acceptable to all. It is true that there is some arbitrariness in so far as periods are fixed in these matters. The suggestions made by various people in the papers are, 15 years, 20 years, 25, years, 30 years, 40 years; and there is another suggestion that it should be fixed at 50 years. Hon. Members can easily visualise how far we can accommodate these suggestions. It is really difficult to do so. This ten year period is, to my mind, a reasonable period. What do we do after ten years? After ten years, it is a parliamentary committee or Members of Parliament who consider the progress made. They review the position and then consider other aspects of the problem and make their recommendations. It is nothing more than that. Therefore, on the one hand, it will help us, I mean Government, to remain

vigilant, to be careful, to see that they are able to produce some results during this period so far as Hindi is concerned and on the other. Parliament will have the opportunity to see whether considerable or satisfactory progress has been made or not, if any change was possible or not, if the period has to be extended or notthese are matters which the committee will be fully entitled to consider. In the circumstances. I think that the period of ten years should generally be accepted.

As regards clauses 5, 6 and 7, I do not want to say much. But some objections have been raised to the effect that the regional language or Hindi should not be used in law courts for purposes of decree, judgment etc. I might say that the provisions of clauses 5, 6 and 7 are strictly in accordance with the President's directions. English will continue to be used in the Supreme Court and the High Courts and for Acts, Bills etc. It has been provided only that there will be an authoritative text in Hindi of central statutes. Scope has thus been provided for the progressive use of Hindi and the official languages of States in the field of law without disturbing the position held at present by English in this sphere.

Some objections have been raised in regard to the use of the word 'translation'. It has been suggested that words like 'version' or 'text' might be better alternatives. Personally speaking. I could have no special objection in accepting these words. But in the article of the Constitution itself, the word 'translation' has been used. In this Bill also, we have used this word in more than one place. In these circumstances, after having given thought to it, I felt it might perhaps be advisable not to make any change.

I do not want to take any more time, but before I conclude, may I say that although not connected with the Bill in any way-I mean the services, the facilities to be given to them; the

services may not be put in any disadvantageous position in any way in regard to the use of Hindi or English-still I know there is a lurking fear in the minds of people of those areas and States where Hindi is not spoken. It is felt that Hindi-knowing boys and girls might be in a better position to compete for services to get more employment and they might receive certain other benefits or facilities also. I would like to make it quite clear that in so far as the services are concerned, whether in the matter of recruitment or promotion, we do not envisage that a boy or girl will suffer only because he or she does not know Hindi. Clause 3 of the Bill clearly provides for the continuation of English side by side with Hindi. now, there are clear directions. Of course, we try to train or teach government servants; not 'try', but in fact do so. But for the last 8, 9 or 10 months, there has been some difficulty experienced because of the talk about this Bill which has created some doubt in the minds of some of the government employees. Otherwise, before that, the government employees were taking great interest in learning Hindi. We had arranged for classes after the office was over, sometimes during intervals also, lunch intervals etc. They were attending in large numbers. Not only here in Delhi, but in all other places where we have our Central Government offices, they have been learning Hindi and they had picked up a good deal of Hindi. It is a separate matter. There is no compulsion about it. done willingly, with their consent, and as I said, some good result has already been produced.

Shri Tyagi informs me that the words used in the Bill, 'the President may appoint a Committee' will create some confusion. In clause 4, it is said that after the expiration of ten years from the date on which section 3 comes into force, the 'President may appoint a Committee consisting of thirty members....'. Later it is said that the Committee will be elected respectively by the members of the House of the People and the members of the Council of States in accordance with the system of proportional representation etc. I have consulted the Law Ministry and the law experts. Technically, they do not find any mistake in it. Yet I did suggest that if it is clarified, it would be better. In that sense, I am prepared to agree with Tyagiji and at the appropriate time, I would like to move an amendment which will put the position right.

As I said, I do not want to take more time of the House at this stage. But once again I would like to say that we are in a position in which serious criticisms could be made in regard to this Bill, but to my Hindi friends or those who speak in Hindi, I would like to say in all frankness that if I had found that this measure was damaging to Hindi, I would not come up with this legislation at all. To those who do not speak Hindi, may I say that if there was any ulterior motive behind this Bill, I would not have presented it much before January, 1965. The growth of a language and its acceptance as official language has to be achieved through an evolutionary process. In this vast country we have to move in this regard carefully and cautiously. It is a great thing that in this vast country with so many languages, the non-Hindi speaking people as a whole have, as I said in the beginning accepted Hindi as the ultimate objective of being the only official language of the nation.

## An Hon. Member: No.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: I said as whole. In the circumstances may I say that the Hindi speaking friends should take advantage of this admirable attitude of the non-Hindi speaking people? Any hurry or precipitate action would, I strongly believe, never be right.

I think that in this background generally what is provided in the Constitution is acceptable that Hindi should be learnt and it should find a place of honour or whatever we might like to call it, that it should find an

[Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri] important place in the country, but it can find that rightful place only if we try to achieve it through goodwill, and especially the goodwill

of those who do not know Hindi or who do not speak Hindi.

Sir, I move ..

Speaker: Motion moved:

"That the Bill to provide for the languages which may be used for the official purposes of the Union, for transaction of business in Parliament, for Central and State Acts and for certain purposes in High Courts, be taken consideration."

Dr. Govind Das (Jabalpur): I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 31st July, 1963." (1)

Shri Yashpal Singh (Kairana): I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 26th October, 1963." (2)

Dr. L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 26th January, 1964." (3)

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the first day of the Budget Session, 1964." (4).

Mr. Speaker: Amendment No. 5 by Shri Manoharan is barred because an amendment has already been moved for eliciting opinion by 31st July, and this says end of July, which is the same.

Shri Ram Sewak Yaday (Barabanki): I beg to move:

Languages Bill

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the last day of the first week of January, 1965." • (6)

Shri Frank Anthony: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the first day of the next session." (31)

Mr. Speaker: Amendment No. 32 by Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri is just the same as No. 1. Therefore, it is barred.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of 10 members, namely Dr. M. S. Aney, Shri S. M. Banerjee, Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri, Shri A. K. Gopalan, Shri Hem Barua, Sardar Kapur Singh, Shri Krishnapal Singh, Dr. L. M. Singhvi, Shri Indulal Kanaiyalal Yajnik, and the Mover with instructions to make a report by the last day of the first week of the next Session." (33)

I would only like to say that I would be happy if the Home Minister joins this Committee, because it would then be a sporting team of eleven. It is only ten now.

Syed Badrudduja (Murshidabad): I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 31st December, 1963." (49).

Shri Bade (Khargone): I beg to move:

'That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting epinion thereon by the last day of the last. week of the Budget Session of 1964." (50)

Shri Sezhiyan (Perambalur): beg to move:

"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of nine members, namely, Shri Frank Anthony, Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri, Sardar Kapur Singh, Shri C. H. Mohammad Koya, Shri Krishnan Manoharan, Shri A. V. Raghavan, Shri C. L. Narasimha Reddy, Shri G. G. Swell; and the Mover with instruction to make a report by the last day of the first week of the next session." (51)

Mr. Speaker: The original motion and the amendments are before the House.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What about the time allocation?

Mr. Speaker: Normally, the time should be 15 minutes, but in certain cases where a particular point of view has to be represented, certainly I will extend the time to half an hour.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am grateful to you, but I wanted to know the allocation of 15 hours as between the two readings.

Mr. Speaker: It is for the House to decide. May we have 10 and 5?

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: How much time would be taken by the Minister? Does this include the reply of the Minister?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I would only make a request. The Home Minister said the Prime Minister will also intervene. The Prime Minister's name has been dragged into it because of the assurance he has given, and he should not be cribbed and confined within the time limit, and that time should not be debited to this account.

Mr. Speaker: Then it is agreed that we have 10 and 5 hours.

Some Hon Members: Yes.

An Hon. Member: Eleven and four.

Shri Radhe Lal Vyas (Ujjain): Five hours are not enough for the clauses. You may extend it by one hour.

Mr. Speaker: The allocation of time between the two stages is 10 and 5 hours.

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): May I request the Home Minister to clarify the suggestion of Shri Tyagi which he has accepted, so that it may become clear?

Mr. Speaker: He has said whatever he wanted to say on that, and now there would be speeches.

Shri Mukerjee.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: My hon. friend the Home Minister has very rightly put it that we are discussing a matter of national significance, and it is very important that we reach as nearly as possible a national agreement on the matter of this Bill, and I am hoping that perhaps with a few slight alterations this Bill could be made so that it would be acceptable to almost everybody concerned.

### 13.47 hrs.

(Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair)

If there was in this Bill a clearer assurance—and my hon, friend the Home Minister has already tried to give something of that assurance—if a clearer assurance was incorporated in this Bill and not merely the assurance verbally given by the Minister, it would have been better. If the apprehensions of the non-Hindi speaking areas regarding discrimination against them can be laid at rest, then surely there ought to be no difficulty in securing almost unanimous agreement on this Bill. As far as I am concerned, on behalf of our group I support this Bill, and we are only making certain suggestions so that it **I** 1401

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee] might be made really acceptable for the people of this country.

It goes without saying that for the advance of our people, for the efflorescence of our people's culture, for the social, political and economic development of our county, our own languages in the different regions of our country should have their rightful status. Hindi has already been the country's choice as the official language, but I wish to say here that Hindi as well as our other national languages have to be assisted by Government agencies as well as by nonofficial effort to come into their own as quickly as possible, and it is only in so far as that objective is assisted that this Bill is going to be helpful to India.

I hope there will be no disagreement with regard to the basic principle, namely that we cannot and must not perpetuate the present position of English. We cannot also, unless there is clear patriotic justification, prolong indefinitely the transition from English to Hindi and the other national languages of our country. I know there are some people who wish English to continue for as long as we can foresee in the future. We cannot agree with that point of view. English cannot perpetually have its present position, but we must not then be goaded by fear and dislike of what is described sometimes as Hindi fanatiscism into supporting the point of view of some of our friends here who want English to continue permanently in this country.

Indefinite continuance of English as official language is now a move which is being made by certain people in our country. But the categorical answer of Parliament to that move should be "No". We cannot have the indefinite continuance of English in this country. Of course as the Home Minister has said, we do not try or we do not wish to hustle things overmuch and that is where the Minister's assurance comes into the picture. We are all happy that the Prime Minister will later intervene in the debate. But in 1959 the Prime Minister in several pronouncement had made clear that he would like English to continue as an associate additional language and he would like it to continue as long as people required it. He had also added that he would leave the decision not to the Hindi knowing people but to the non Hindi knowing people. I do believe that the Prime Minister made a very statesmanlike statement on that occasion and I am sure he is going to stand by it. But I do hope also that he is going to make it clear that we are not going to have anything in our statute which would make it impossible for Parliament to have a law in regard to our national languages, Hindi as well as the other national languages. Only a minority, 'a' vocal and vociferous minority should not have a veto in regard to whatever democratic decision we arrive at. Our basic principle is clear. We have to have as quickly as we can our own languages. Hindi and the other national languages into the picture. English cannot continue permanently. But English has to continue for a certain length of time which we cannot specify at the present moment. In the meantime every effort must be made to see that Hindi and the other national languages really and truly come into their own. All together, therefore, we have to decide what to do Hindi and non Hindi areas together. I do not want a bifurcation between Hindi and be said that only non Hindi areas would decide or Hindi areas would decide. All together we should decide this question of national importance.

This question of English is being brought up sometimes very effectively, because of a certain kind of fixation we have developed about this Official

language. It has played such an overpowering part in the shaping of our lives in this country. But I do wish to say a few words about this language as far as our official employment of it is concerned. There is no denying that English is a magnificent language. But there is no denying at the same time that it is foreign to us. There could be no question that our roots touch different soil. We shall certainly utilise English, but within necessary limits. We shall certainly never banish English from our academies or from our libraries. We shall certainly, as quickly as we can, remove it from its position of predominance, a predominance which it enjoys at the cost of our own languages and our own effort to rise to the full stature of our being. There has been in this country a sort of a thraldom English. Not a mere political or emotional matter is involved in this. It is not a matter of sentiment being posited against sense. But this predominance of English has been a brake on our creative work and creative development through which alone we can justify ourselves as a people. We learn our own languages at mother's knee; we imbibe our own languages just as we imbibe mother's milk There may be a microscopic minority of Indians who speak English at home. They may imagine that English is their mother languge. I am not referring to the Anglo-Indian community to whom English is, as a matter of fact, the mother language. But there are many Indians, a very few people who perhaps speak English at home for God knows what reason. But they are a marginal set of people who are inconsequential to India. They may have been the top dogs in the angrezi ara but they cannot continue to be so any longer. It is only through our own languages that we can think and write and act creatively and naturally and effectively and that is why there should be no difficulty about accepting the principle that we have to have a change over to our own national lasguages, Hindi and the other national languages as quickly as it is possible.

There has been in this country such an utter disproportion between the energy we spend in learning an incluctably foreign language which we cannot perhaps learn properly and what we have achieved in creative spheres and this disproportion if we come to think of it makes our hearts sick. Gandhiji once regretted that Mohan Roy had not written in Hindi. Some people thought at that time that it was perversity on the part of Gandhiji to suggest that Ram Mohan Roy should have written in Hindi. But when we think of our own history, who are really the creative springs in our people's life even today? Not the English-knowing among our own greatmen; they were indubitably great men; there is no doubt about it. But our own poets and saints and mystics have had the real influence over our lives: Tulsidas in the north Thiruvalluvar in the South, the Saiva and Vaishnava saints of the south the grand line of Maratha mystics from Gyaneswar to Tukaram, that grand sequence of great men like Kabir, Dadu, Nanak, Chaitanya, Ravidas, Hazrat Nizamuddin and Moinudin Chisti-such a wonderful galaxy of people of whom we can never be sufficiently proud. It is to them that we have to look. I do not wish to say that the recent period of Indian recovery has to be forgotten. No, not at all. The English knowing element among our greatmen have made a very large contribution but as far as the deepest springs of our people's activity are concerned, they are linked with what contribution has been made by our great men who operated through our own languages, through our own media of communication and that is why in this country we have found these poets, saints and mystics who are the heroes of Indian history. So, it is these which I wish this House to remember because we are discussing something of very profound importance to the present as well as to the future.

In biblical lore we read about David who laid aside the armour of soul and

## [Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

who collected pebbles from his own native brook. We can only be invincible when we have our own resources, our own spiritual and material resources to depend upon.

Official

Let us not compliment ourselves too easily of our proficiency in English. Perhaps we are somewhat proficient—an achievement at a very heavy cost to ourselves. This achievement is secondary and derivative and almost always uncreative. Neither Toru Dutt nor Sarojini Naidu is remembered today even as a second rate poet in the English language. With novelists like R. K. Narayan writing English fiction, we have no place except in the margins of English writing. We are just nowhere as far English sensibility is concerned. Let us not imagine that we can produce a kind of Indian English which would be part of the legacy of English literature. That kind of illusion should be nurtured not in Parliament but in a kind of place which I need not specify.

I know that it is the great provocation which comes from Hindi chauvinists which make us forget these things. Provocation comes all the time. The provocation appears to give a certain kind of justification to this kind of thought which makes us cling to English as long as we ever can. But that great provocation notwithstanding, we should not rebound into the snare of the protagonists of English, who want English to have a permanent settlement of this country.

# "स्वधर्मे निधनं त्रेयः परधर्मी भयावहः"

We should remeber that. We should not from one extreme be pushed into another, a snare which we should avoid.

Must we truckle down, because English is more developed than our own languages? Where does this argument lead to? How can our languages ever go ahead if English superiority pins them down, as it has pinned us down, for so very long? Rabindranath Tagore once said, how can you expect a mint to go on producing coin if the

coin is not legal tender? We have not got a literature of knowledge sufficiently to carry on so much of our work in the country, it is only because we have not plunged into the water and tried to swim because we have left our languages in the shape, because we have thought we have nurtured to ourselves, hugged to our bosoms, the illusion that English is a language through which we shall express ourselves our personality, our creativity or the best that is in us. That is why this fixation about English has got to go, and that is why one day I said in reference to those Members of this House who occasionally create a great deal of rather undersirable disturbance,-I said about them-that they have a passion for our own Indian languages which has developed in them as a kind of fixation; God bless them for that passion, because that is a passion which is the exact contrary of that rather unworthy passion for an ineluctably alien language like English which has taken so much of our creativity. Now that we are trying to stand on our own, let us try to depend on our own resources and then and then alone shall we be able to make a contribution to the world which is worth-while.

### 14 hrs.

Vested interests are busy delaying the process. But we know we have to defeat this; for this purpose, I repeat -over and over again, every time I say Hindi, I say, at the same time, Hindi and our other national languages-they all have to be given encouragement to go ahead. The Home Minister said that in the different States they are making a move. I know they are making a move, but how tardily, how ineffectively, how unenthusiastically? Even in West Bengal, which is supposed to be so very proud about the Bengali language, they have only recently announced that on the anniversary of the birthday of Rabindranath Tagore on the 8th of May, they are going to make Bengali the official language of

State. It has taken them such a very long time. Take Tamil Nad for instance. I know that they are using Tamil language for their official purposes to a large extent in Tamil Nad. But I do expect that these particular regions of our country which are specially proud about their own cultural heritage go ahead much faster than they have done.

Take the Hindi-speaking Even in those areas, when I was a Member of the Parliamentary Committee whose report is before us at the present moment, we got so many reports that even in Hindi-speaking areas real progress in regard to making Hindi the official langauge for State purposes is not being made. Even now, I would like my hon. friend the Home Minister to read the report of the Parliamentary Committee dated sometime in 1958. It had made certain tangible suggestions what what could be done not only for Hindi but also for the other national languages, for instance in regard to recruitment to the services through the Union Public Service Commission and similar agencies of for recruitment to institutes like the military training institute near Poona and other places. This Committee had made a definite recommendation that some expert body should be set up to examine how far it is possible to have a moderating system so that not only Hindi but all the other national languages can be used as the medium of examination for the recruitment through these agencies. As far as I know—I shall stand corrected very gladly if I am wrong -ne expert examination has been made in regard to how, for purposes of recruitment through the Union Public Service Commission or for purposes of recruitment to national institutes for training of various sorts, we are going to employ our own languages. Hindi as well as other languages. No expert examination has been made and the Committee had said at that time that the quota system was possibly one alternative so that different regions might be represented in the services, but the quota

system was not very desirable because it went against the whole principle of recruitment on an all-India basis, and that therefore there should be an effort to have a system of moderation so that all the regional languages could come into the picture. This recommendation was made 1958 and nothing was done. Sometimes, from the non-Hindi areas the complaint is made that this Government is doing it too much and too fast for Hindi. But even for Hindi it is not doing it, let alone other languages. There is some kind of lack of care. a lack of real emotional desire to do something worth-while in as quick a time as possible. There is a lack of seriousness and earnestness in the official hierarchy, particularly because our administration is cluttered with people who because they have been brought up on English have naturally a vested interest in the continuation of English for as long as we care to foresee in the future. Sufficient unto the day is the evil thereof. If we can carry on as long as we are alive with English which we have learnt, it is all for the better; our children also are growing up on English; I am told so many children now go to the English medium schools. Sometimes I feel a sense of shame when some of these English-speaking stalwarts come and tell us that so many of our enthusiasts even for Hindi and other Indian languages send their children to English-medium schools. If that process continues, the idea of having an elite, a selected class of people with special qualifications which are supposed to be superior would get into the picture and even cut across the whole idea of a socialistic, secular and democratic State, and would cut across the whole idea of the possibility of changing over from English to our own Indian languages without which we can never be able to go ahead. I do not mind if there are English medium schools here there. I do not mind; but as far as our primary school system is concerned there has to be a particular important provision that everybody in the primary stage, if he or she has to

# [Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

11409

go to a publicly-sponsored school he or she has to have the education through our own Indian languages and not through a language which is not ours. If there have to be English medium schools, they must be for a very marginal section of our life, which must be there more or less as show-pieces. and for those like the Anglo-Indians to whom the English language is their own mother-tongue. But the change-over to our languages is not being done, and even in the non-Hindi areas the shift from English to our own languages is not being done in the way in which it ought to be done

I feel also that we have to look ahead, and the Prime Minister is here; he has called upon us to look further into the future. We have here the Home Minister also. He should examine the idea, how far it is now necessary to incorporate such languages as Mundari and Sindhi in the schedule of languages because Constitution, very necessary that we try to get into the picture those people who speak in these languages so that they might have a sense that they are sharing in the task of building a new India of the future.

That is why I believe that once we accept the principle that we have to shift to our own languages as quickly as we can, once we agree that for the time being, of course for some special difficulties, we are going to allow continue for a certain English to period of time which we cannot quite define at the present moment, perhaps there could be almost unanimous agreement over this Bill.

Coming to the actual provisions of the Bill, I would suggest that in clause 3, "may" is changed into "shall". I say so particularly because only earlier this morning we had a very intriguing discussion how "shall" might "may" and how "may" might "shall" and so on and so forth. I am not being unparliamentary, but Shakespeare himself has told us that the

law is an ass But the law is an ass particularly when the English variety of jurisprudence is concerned so much that Shakespeare's Jack Cade once said "let us go and kill all the lawyers". I do not understand. I did study some law once upon a time, but I have forgotten most of it. But I am quite prepared to concede, as I recollect some little law which I had once tried to imbibe, and I would say that in clause 3, the word "may", as used, might conceivably be interpreted as "shall". But I am not going to take any risks; when I find jurists like our law Minister getting up and saying thingsobiter, which they throw about-and referring to unspecified judgments of Supreme Court or the Privy Council, we all get rather befuddled. It is rather better that istead of "may", we put "shall". Also, we are going to have translations of all these things into our own languages. This 🕏 a provision which is overdue; a long time ago it should have been done. Now I would ask my hon. friend, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri to try to translate it from "shall" to "may". If you keep "may", very probably a difficulty would arise. Then you cannot say that according to Maxwell, in his famous interpretation of statute, and what he has said therein, "may" means "shall" or "shall" means "may". In our Hindi version of this law, we cannot have that kind of playing about with words which might be a wonderterminological exercise which gives great satisfaction to jurisprudenc. But we have been tied too long a time to that kind of things and it is better we say things straight. Let us say what we mean, and let us clause 3 put "shall" instead of "may".

In clause 4 my friend the Minister has given certain explanations, certain assurances also, in regard to how the report of this committe would be discussed in Parliament and how it would be circulated to the State Governments. But here, in regard to this clause, I would suggest that not only should the report of the committee to be appointed ten years later be discussed in Parliament but this report should also be circulated to the State legislatures so that apart from the governments concerned the State legislatures also may have an opportunity of discusing that report, and if the President is in possession of the discussion of the report in the different State legislatures and also in Parliament he would be in a better position to make up his mind in regard to what special steps ought to be taken about this matter.

Clauses 5 to 7 are more or less acceptable, but I would just add a caveat that perhaps the expenses in the translation into these Indian languageswe are having the translation into Hindi, of course; there is an English version and in Bengal or Tamilnad there would be Bills or Acts which are accepted in Bengali or in Tamil and they would be translated into Hindi and there would be an English version also-are going to be heavy. This process of translation would take place all over the country is a process which is going to be rather expensive, and it would need a certain kind of organisation. I would suggest that this task is taken over by the Centre. All these different States also have a kind of feeling that they have only the obligation to produce their stuff in Bengali or Tamil or Gujarati or Punjabi, whatever language it is, and they can do the English translation-they do not have to do the translation; they even now think in English as far as statutes are concerned,-that these two jobs can be done very quickly. If there is going to be Hindi translation-it is very important and I support that ideaperhaps it is better, for the time being, to make a provision that these translation undertakings are organised and financed by the Centre so that the different States will have an idea that in regard to the interpretation these statutes in our own Indian languages the Centre itself is taking its share.

I would suggest, also, that in regard to the recruitment to the services the recommendations of the Parliamentary Committee are examined-I do not say that all the recommendations can be accepted in toto; many other problems are cropping up and many other grievances may be brought up especially of the non-Hindi speaking areas-and there should be a definite assurance that recruitment to the services even at the all-India level would be by an examination where the medium of examination would be Hindi as well as the other Indian national languages. There is no difficulty, of course, about having Hindi as a compulsory paper. There would be no difficutly about some of having kind after one gets into the services, and in the case of people who come non-Hindi-speaking areas the test might be made not a particularly hard one so that one need not be a Hindi scholar in order to continue in the service and to receive all chances of promotion. Thefore, as far as recruitment to services is concerned, I would suggest ways and means are found for incorporation in the present piece of legislation, if that is possible a certain provision which would give kind of definite assurance to the non-Hindi-speaking areas that they have nothing to fear, nothing to worry about, that all the apprehension of discrimination is unnecessary.

I would suggest also that, as a tentative measure, in Parliament might try to have a system of simultaneous translation. From time to time this question has come up, and the former Speaker, Shri Ananthasaya-nam Ayyangar had even suggested that he might begin that system of translation into two or three languages. We cannot at one go have simultaneous translation in all the 14 languages scheduled in the Constitution, but we can at least start by making an effort so that there may be simultaneous translation of speeches which are made in Parliament. I say this because on so many occasions our colleagues come and they are not able to speak in

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

any but their own languages. Only the other day one of the Members of our Group, a Santhal Member from West Bengal, spoke and whatever he spoke was not intelligible to whoever did not understand Bengali-it was the language in which he could express himself. Therefore, I would suggest at lesat tentatively, something is done to see that in Parliament there is provision of simultaneous translation of our speeches into at least some of the leading Indian languages.

I say therefore what I said in the beginning, that consistently with our needs and our situation we have to advance the pace of Hindi. But we have also, at the same time, to advance the pace of development of our own national languages. This has to be done simultaneously and hand in hand. Let the States effectively begin to employ the regional languages as official languages and the medium as instruction at every stage delay. Till the balance is happily struck, English will have to remain, but not for ever, not indefinitely. not virtually as a permanent settlement.

"In my father's house there are many mansions" that is what the Bible says. In our emerald country there are many demarcated regions. But we are a country where on the way to Kedarnath you see lotuses with a thousand petals, a country where arathi is performed before the deity not with one lamp but with five lamps held together in a lovely bracket, where the age-long quest has been for the one in the many, for fundamental unity in diversity. But today we live in a restless nd changing world and our response has been a plan, a plan for socialism where unity in diversity will be respected and raised to higher levels of living. Let all of us, whether we live in Tamilnad or Punjab or in Assam or in Kerala, join together in the task of serving our country and our people. And, we can do that best in our own way by the use of our own language as the key to the people's heart. Let the Hindi-speaking areas behave so that all suspicion and fear is eliminated, and then we can all embark our common endeavour achieve the success which is overdue.

With these words, Sir, I support this Bill, but I suggest that it be altered so that the non-Hindi-speaking areas can be definitely and concretely reassured that no discrimination is going to be practised against them.

डा० गोविन्द दास : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्रभी ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय ने जाते हुए एक बात कही थी कि यह मामला बड़ा नाजुक मामला है। मैं उन से

Shri S. Kandappan (Tiruchengode): Sir, I want to make one request,

डा० गोविन्द दास : जी नहीं, मैं ग्रपनी भाषा में बोलंा।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. He is not yielding.

डा० गोविन्द दास : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय. मैं वर्षों तक यहां पर . . . . .

Shri S. Kandappan: Sir, we are discussing a very important Bill on which point I think even Dr. Govind Das will agree. We have to make one request to him. We will not be able to follow his speech here. Even if he prefers to deliver his speech in Hindi, at least he can give us a translation of it in English so that we are able to follow what he says.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is left to Dr. Govind Das, Both Hindi and English can be used here for speeches.

Shri Thirumala Rao (Kakinada): Sir, may I make one appeal to you. Dr. Govind Das is going to advance arguments on behalf of Hindi, they are mainly addressed to the non-Hindi-speaking areas. He is one of the leaders of the movement. I think all Members in this House including the Members from the South are to fully understand the weight of his arguments. I would, therefore request him to speak in English (Interruption

Official

डः • गोविन्द दास : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मझे बडा दृ:ख है कि मैं बीसों वर्षों तक यहां पर स्रंग्रेजी में बोलता रहा हूं, स्रौर उसके बाद मैं ने प्रतिज्ञा की कि मैं भ्रपने देश में अपनी भाषा में बोलुंगा श्रीर विदेशों में श्रंग्रेजी में बोलुंगा। इसलिये श्रपनी प्रतिज्ञा का पालन करना मेरा धर्म है। जो बातें मैं यहां कह रहा हं यदि सदस्यों की इच्छा हई तो अन्त में उनको अंग्रेजी में भी कह दंगा।

मैं कह रहा था, ग्राप से, कि ग्रध्यक्ष जी एक बात कह कर गये हैं कि यह मामला बड़ा नाजुक है । इसमें कोई सन्देह नहीं है । मेरे लिये यह इसलिये स्त्रीर भी नाजुक हो जाता है कि जिन पंडित जवाहरलाल जी के नेतृत्व में मैंने पिछले ४३ वर्ष तक काम किया, सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र में. जिन श्री लाल बहादर जी के साथ-साथ मैं न जाने कितने वर्षों तक काम करता रहा हं, उनके मत के विरोध में शायद यहां मझे कुछ कहना पड़े।

शास्त्री जी ने अपने भाषण में कहा कि इस मामले में सदस्यों की स्ट्रांग व्यज हैं। बिल्कुल ठीक है। इस सम्बन्ध में बड़ा बनियादी मतभेद है, श्रीर मैं उन में से हं जिन्होंने ५० वर्ष तक, अपनी सारी जिन्दगी में, एक काम किया है । उसके विरुद्ध जाना मेरे लिये जीवन के इस सन्ध्या काल में संभव नहीं है। लेकिन मैं इतना पंडित जी स्त्रीर शास्त्री जी को ग्राक्वासन दिलाना चाहता हं कि उनके इस विधेयक का विरोध करते हुए भी, उनके मतों का विरोध करते हुए भी, उनके प्रति मेरी वैसी ही श्रद्धा है, वैसा ही विश्वास है जैसा कि अब तक रहा है, और भविष्य में वैसा ही रहने वाला है। मैं जीवन भर कांग्रेस वादी रहा हं, श्रौर चाहे इस विधेयक के ग्राज मैं विरुद्ध होंऊं, लेकिन इसके बाद भी

मैं कांग्रेस में ही रहने वाला हूं, किसी दूसरी संस्था में जाने वाला नहीं हं।

सभी यहां कुछ लोगों ने कहा कि हिन्दी कभी केन्द्र में स्नाने वाली नहीं है। जो लोग ऐसा कहते हैं वे तो हिन्दी के ही विरोधी नहीं हैं, मैं तो कहंगा कि वे भारत का विभाजन चाहते हैं और स्वयं ग्रपनी भाषा के विरुद्ध हैं। कुछ उनमें से ऐसे भी हैं जो इस देश में निवास करते हैं श्रीर उनकी दृष्टि श्रभी भी इंगलैंड की तरफ है।

शास्त्री जी ने उदारता की बात कही। मैं उनसे बिल्कूल सहमत हं । एक लम्बा भाषण मैं देने वाला हुं क्योंकि यह मेरा विषय रहा है, लेकिन मैं उनको इस बात का ग्राश्वासन दिलाता हं कि आरम्भ से अन्त तक वे उसमें कट्ता का एक शब्द कहीं नहीं पायेंगे।

मानव समाज में जब कभी भी कोई बड़ी बात हुई है तो उसके लिए वातावरण तैयार किया गया है। हमारे देश की स्राधुनिक स्वतन्त्रता का भी यही इतिहास है। हमारे देश का वर्तमान वायमंडल तैयार किया था राष्ट्र पिता ने, जिन्होंने इस नये भारत का निर्माण किया है, श्रीर उन्होंने इस सम्बन्ध में क्या कहा था यदि मैं यहां उसे पढ़ दंतो कोई अनुचित बात नहीं होगी। इसी के साथ में एक बात ग्रीर कहना चाहता हूं। ग्राज जो कुछ मैं कहंगावह ग्रपनी ग्रोर से बहत कम होगा जो कुछ मैं यहां पर कहुंगा वह यहां के महापुरुषों की स्रोर से ही कहंगा। गांधी जी ने सन् १६१८ में कहा था जब कि देश का नेतत्व उनके हाथ में आ रहा था:

> "यहभाषाकाविषय बड़ाभारी ग्रौर बड़ा ही महत्वपुर्ण है । यदि सब नेता सब काम छोड़ कर केवल इसी विषय पर लगे रह तो बस है। यदि हम लोग भाषा के प्रश्न को गौण समझ या उधर से मन हटा लगे,

[डा० गीविन्द दास]

तो इस समय लोगों में जो प्रवृत्ति चल रही है, लोगों के हृदयों में जो भाव उत्पन्न हो रहा है वह निष्फल हो जाएगा । भाषा माता के समान है। माता पर जो प्रेम होना चाहिए वह हम लोगों में नहीं है। ....हम ग्रंग्रेजी के मोह में फंसे हैं। हमारी प्रजा ग्रज्ञान में डुबी है, ....हमें ऐसा उद्योग करना कि एक वर्ष में राजकीय सभाग्रों में, कांग्रेस में, प्रान्तीय सभाग्रों में श्रीर ग्रन्य सभा समाज श्रीर सम्मेलनों में श्रंग्रेजी का एक भी शब्द सुनाई न पडे। हम ग्रंग्रेजी का व्यहार बिल्कल त्याग ਛੇਂ।"

यह हमारे राष्ट्र पिता ने सन् १६१८ में कहा था । मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि यदि भारत को सच्चा भारत रहना है तो वह भारतीय भाषात्रों के बिना नहीं रह सकता। यह प्रश्न हिन्दी का नहीं है। यह बहत गलत कहा जाता है कि हिन्दी वाले यह चाहते हैं भ्रौर हिन्दी वाले वह चाहते हैं। यह प्रश्न भारतीय भाषास्रों का है। एक स्रोर हिन्दी श्रोर अन्य भारतीय भाषाय हैं श्रोर दूसरी स्रोर स्रंग्रेजी है। स्रंग्रेजी केवल भाषा के रूप में यहां नहीं है । अंग्रेजी के साथ सारी अंग्रे-जियत बंधी हुई है। उसके साथ अंग्रेजी संस्कार बंधे हुए हैं, ग्रंग्रेजी संस्कृति बंधी हुई है। स्रौर स्रंग्रेजी सम्यता बंबी हई है। भारतीय भाषात्रों के साथ भारतीयता है। इसलिए यदि हमें इस देश को सच्चा भारत बनाना है तो वह हम ग्रंग्रेजी द्वारा नहीं कर सकते । हिन्दी ग्रीर ग्रन्य भारतीय भाषात्रों में कोई संघर्ष नहीं है। मेरी समझ में नहीं स्राता जब हिन्दी स्रौर स्रन्य प्रान्तीय आमाषात्रों के संघर्ष की बात कही जाती है। हिन्दी ग्रौर ग्रन्य भारतीय भाषाग्रों की एक ही संस्कृति है । वे सब एक ही संस्कृति से

निकली हैं। शब्द भंडार भी उन सब का एक है। उत्तर की भाषाय तो संस्कृत से निकली हैं, दक्षिण की भाषाग्रों में प्रचुर परिमाण में संस्कृत की शब्दावली है।

Languages Bill

एक माननीय सदस्य : वर्णमाला भी एक है।

डा० गोविन्द दास : फिर एक भाष। बढ़ती है तो सारी ग्रन्य भाषाय भी बढ़ती हैं। मैं स्रापको एक दृष्टांत दूंगा । केन्द्रीय सरकार इस समय शब्दावली बना रही है। उस शब्दावली को थोड़े से हेर फेर के साथ सब राज्यों ने स्वीकार कर लिया है। तो इस प्रकार एक भाषा बढ़ती है तो ग्रन्य भाषायें भी बढ़ती हैं

फिर भाषा प्रयोगशाला में नहीं बढती। भाषा बढ़ती है जब वह व्यवहार के क्षेत्र में ब्राती है। प्रयोगशालाओं में कभी दुनिया में भाषा नहीं बढी । मैं इतिहास का एक छोटा सा विद्यार्थी रहा हं। मैंने भाषात्रों का इतिहास पढ़ा है, स्रौर क्योंकि यह मेरा विषय रहा है, मैं स्रापसे कहना चाहता हूं कि कोई भी भाषा प्रयोगशाला में नहीं बढी, भाषा बढी है व्यवहार में।

फिर प्रान्तीय भाषात्रों की बढ़ती भी केन्द्र में हिन्दी की बढ़ती से होगी। ग्रगर केन्द्र में अंग्रेजी में सब कुछ चला तो अन्य भारतीय भाषायें नहीं बढ़ सकतीं । प्रान्तीय भाषात्रों का भी विकास नहीं हो सकता। फिर तो सारे प्रान्त अंग्रेजी की स्रोर देखेंगे। इस सम्बन्ध में भी राष्ट्र पिता का ही एक कथन सुनिए।

> "ग्रंग्रेजी को प्रान्तीय भाषात्रों का या हिन्दी का स्थान नहीं देना चाहिए। ग्रगर ग्रंग्रेजी ने यहां के लोगों की भाषात्रों को निकाल न दिया होता तो प्रान्तीय भाषायें ब्राज ब्राश्चर्यजनक रूप में समृद्ध होतीं। अगर इंगर्लंड फैंच भाषा

Official

को ग्रपने राष्ट्रीय काम काज की भाषा मान लेता. तो स्राज हमें ग्रंग्रेजी का साहित्य इतना समद्भ न मिलता । नार्मन विजय के बाद वहां फैंच भाषा का ही जोर था, लेकिन उसके बाद लोक प्रवाह विशुद्ध स्रंग्रेजी के पक्ष में हो गया । ग्रंग्रेजी साहित्य को ग्राज हम जिस महान रूप में देखते हैं. वह उसी का फल है।"

संविधान सभा में जब भाषा विषयक विवाद चल रहा था उस समय एक प्रश्न उठा कि ब्राठवें शिड्युल में हम ब्रंग्रेजी को भी स्थान दें। उस समय जो कुछ हमारे प्रधान मंत्री जी ने कहा था उसको भी ग्राप सून लीजिये। हमारे प्रधान मंत्री जी ने स्पष्ट रूप से कहाथा:

"There is an insidious move on the part of some to include English as one of the languages of the Eigth Schedule. This is obviously a wrong thing to do, as English is not an Indian language, though it is acquired and owned as mother tongue by some Indians like the Anglo-Indian community. should be enough if we recognise the ned of learning English, or a modern European language. It would be absurd, therefore, and unwarranted too, to include English as an Indian language in the Schedule. In this move to include English is to by-pass the basic principles of the replacement of English by India's national language. It will be wholly in contravention of the spirit and contents of the Constitution and modern history of our people during the last half a century".

यह हमारे प्रधान मंत्री जी का कथन है ग्रीर किसी का कथन नहीं है।

सबसे महत्वपूर्ण बात हमारे लिये स्वतन्त्रता है, लेकिन स्वतन्त्रता की हम रक्षा कब कर सकते हैं ? स्वतन्त्रता की रक्षा ग्रंग्रेजी के दौर दौरे से होने वाली नहीं है। स्वतन्त्रता की रक्षा तब होगी जब उस स्वतन्त्रता को. उस स्वराज्य को यहां के लोग ग्रपनी स्वतन्त्रता ग्रौर स्वराज्य समझेंगे, मानेंगे। इस सम्बन्ध में भी ग्राप राष्ट्र पिता का कथन मृनिए । उन्ह्येंने कहा था :

> **"ग्रगर** स्वराज्य श्रंग्रेजी बोलने वाले भारतीयों का और उन्हीं के लिए होने वाला हो तो निस्संदेह **अंग्रेजी** ही राष्ट्र भाषा होगी । लेकिन ग्रगर स्वराज्य करोडों भूखे मरने वालों, करोड़ों निरक्षरों. निरक्षर बहनों ग्रौर दलितों ग्रौर ग्रन्त्यजों का हो ग्रौर इन सब के लिए होने वाला हो तो हिन्दी ही एवः मात्र राष्ट्र भाषा हो सकती है।"

स्वतन्त्रता के साथ चार प्रमुख बातें हैं जो हमें देखनी हैं। पहली बात है भारतीय एकता, दूसरी बात है भारत में समाजवादी समाज की रचना, तीसरी बात है भारत में प्रजातन्त्र की सफलता ग्रीर चौथी बात है भारत की स्नार्थिक उन्नति । हम एक एक बात को ले लें। क्या भारतीय एकता **ग्रंग्रे**जी से रह सकती है ? बार-बार यह कहा जाता है कि यह विधेयक इसलिए लाया जा रहा है कि भारत की एकता बनी रहे। यह कैसी एकता है ? ग्राप देखें कि श्रंग्रेजी के द्वारा कैसे एकता रहेगी। श्रंग्रेजी भाषा ने यहां के पढ़े लिखे लोगों में ग्रौर यहां की जनता के बीच में एक बहुत बड़ी खाई खीद दी है, एक बड़ी दीवार खड़ी कर दी है। जब तक हम उस खाई को पाट नहीं देंगे ग्रौर जब तक हम उस दीवार को ढहा नहीं देंगे तब तक भारतीय एकता कायम नहीं रह सकती। ग्राज क्या हाल है, ग्राप देखें कि जितना काम हो रहा है, जितना

11421

भारत की एकता का प्रयत्न हो रहा है वह सब का सब प्रयत्न एक छोटे से तबके की ब्रोर से, एक छोटे से तबके द्वारा हो रहा है जो कि अंग्रेजी जानता है। इसमें बहुत कम हिस्सा यहां की ब्राम जनता का है। देश को एकता के सूत्र में बांघने के लिये कोई भी विदेशी भाषा काम नहीं दे सकती। इसीलिये हमने संविधान में हिन्दी को राज भाषा स्वीकार किया था क्योंकि वह यहां के ४२ प्रतिशत लोगों की मातृ भाषा है, और देश के कुछ छोटे छोटे क्षेत्रों को छोड़ कर बाकी समचे देश में समझी जाती है।

इस सम्बन्ध में भी महात्मा गांधी का ही एक कथन सुनिये:—

> "यह बात नहीं कि मैं भाषा के पीछे दीवाना हो गया हूं।..... फिर भी मैं भाषा पर इतना जोर इसलिए देता हूं कि राष्ट्रीय एकता हासिल करने का यह एक बहुत जबरदस्त साधन है। और जितना दृढ़ इसका आधार होगा, उतनी ही प्रशस्त हमारी एकता होगी।"

ग्राज यह खेद का विषय है कि गांधी जी की इन बातों को हम भूल गये जब एकता नहीं लाई जा सकती तो फिर समाजवाद इससे कैसे लाया जा सकता है ? जिस ग्रंग्रेजी भाषा को लोग समझते नहीं हैं समाज-वाद की स्थापना ग्रंग्रेजी के द्वारा कैसे होगी यह समझ में नहीं स्राता। फिर जो प्रजातन्त्र के चलने की बात है यह भी अंग्रेजी द्वारा चलना संभव नहीं है क्योंकि इस देश के ६ म फी सदी लोग अंग्रेजी नहीं जानते हैं। अगर हमको प्रजातन्त्र को चलाना है, अगर हमारे यहां बालिंग मताधिकार है तो उस भाषा में प्रजातन्त्र चल सकता है जो कि इस देश की भाषा हो या इस देश की मातुभाषायें हों। स्रंग्रेजी के द्वारा इस देश में प्रजातन्त्र नहीं चल सकता। इसीलिए आप देखते हैं कि हमारे केन्द्रीय सरकार के कामों में, हमारी पंजवर्षीय योजनाओं में और हमारी अन्य दूसरी बातों में यहां की जनता को कोई दिलचस्पी नहीं है।

अब चौथी बात रही आर्थिक उन्नति की। आर्थिक उन्नति बिना विज्ञान की उन्नति के नहीं हो सकती। और विज्ञान की उन्नति के लिए हमें वैज्ञानिक चाहिएं। अभी शिक्षा मंत्रालय के अनुदानों पर बोलते समय हुए मैंने एक निवेदन किया था कि हमारे एक प्रसिद्ध वैज्ञानिक डा० कोठारी इस सम्बन्ध में क्या कहते हैं। इस अवसर पर मैं डा० कोठारी ने जो कहा था उसको उद्धत नहीं करना चाहता क्योंकि मैं थोड़े ही दिन पहले यह कर चुका हूं। हमारे वैज्ञानिक तीन्न गति से तभी तैयार हो सकते हैं जब हमारी वैज्ञानिक शिक्षा हिन्दी और हमारी अन्य भारतीय भाषाओं के द्वारा मिले।

इस सम्बन्ध में भी महातमा गांधी का मत सुनिये:---

"यह कभी नहीं हो सकता कि हजारों लोग अंग्रेजी भाषा को अपना मध्यम बनाएं, और यह अगर मुमकिन हो तो भी चाहने लायक तो कर्तई नहीं। इस की सीधी-सादी बजह यह है कि अंग्रेजी के जरिए मिलने बाला उच्च और पारिभाषिक ज्ञान आम लोगों तक नहीं पहुंच सकता। यह तो तभी हो सकता है जब इस जान का प्रसार अपर के दर्जे बालों में भी किसी देशी भाषा के द्वारा हो।"

जब संविधान में हिन्दी को राजभाषा स्वीकार किया गया और शेष चौदहों भाषाओं को राष्ट्र भाषा स्वीकार किया गया तब हिन्दी के प्रति और हमारी अन्य भारतीय भाषाओं के प्रति लोगों के मन में कितने उत्साह और जागति की लहर उठी थी । लोग हिन्दी श्रीर भारतीय भाषात्रों की ब्रोर झके थे लेकिन जब से यह चर्चा चलने लगी कि फिर से ग्रंग्रेजी यहां हमेशा के लिए चलने वाली है तब से यह जोश जो हमारी जनता में हिन्दी के राज भाषा ग्रौर शेष १३ भारतीय भाषाग्रों के राष्ट्रभाषा हाने पर हम्रा था वह जोश ठंडा हो कर खत्म हो रहा है। फिर से लोग श्रंग्रेजी की श्रोर मड रहे हैं। यह स्वाभाविक । लोग ग्रपने यञ्चों को ग्रग्रेजी इसलिए पढाते हैं कि इस गरीब देश में सरकारी नौकरियां अंग्रेजी पढे लिखे लोगों को ही मिलती है। जब छोटी से छोटी नौकरी भी बिना अंग्रेजी के ज्ञान के नहीं मिल सकती तब ग्रगर लोग ग्रंग्रेजी के द्वारा शिक्षा प्राप्त करने की कोशिश करते हैं तो उसमें लोगों का दोष नहीं है बल्कि यह हमारा दोष है।

यह कहना गलत है कि अर्केले अहिन्दी भाषा भाषी लोग हिन्दी का विरोध कर रहे हैं। हिन्दी का प्रचलन, हिन्दी को केन्द्र में चलाया जाय इसका विरोध केवल ग्रहिन्दी भाषा भाषी लोग ही नहीं बरन हिन्दी भाषा भाषी भी कर रहे हैं स्त्रीर यह विरोध कौन लोग कर रहे हैं? यह विरोधी वही दो प्रतिशत लोग जिनके कि हाथ में सारी राज उत्ता है जिनके कि हाथ में सारे का सारा देश का कामकाज है, जो आज भी ग्रपना ग्राधिपत्य इस ग्रंग्रेजी के द्वारा इस देश में बनाये रखना चाहते हैं, ने हिन्दी ग्रौर अन्य भारतीय भाषात्रों का प्रचलन नहीं होने देना चाहते ग्रौर उनका स्वार्थ ग्रंग्रेजी से सधता है। इसलिए यह कहना कि केवल ग्रहिन्दी भाषा भाषी विरोध करते हैं यह बात सही नहीं है। श्रहिन्दी भाषा भाषी भी कर रहे है और हिन्दी भाषा भाषी भी कर रहे हैं. जैसा मैंने अभी कहा वे लोग इसका विरोध कर रहे हैं जिनका स्वार्थ श्रंग्रेजी से सघता है। फिर यह बात भी गलत है कि सारे अहिन्दी भाषा भाषी राज्य हिन्दी के विरोधी हैं। दक्षिण में मद्रास को छोड़ कर केरल, मैसूर और आन्ध्र हिन्दी के विरुद्ध नहीं हैं। पूर्व में बंगाल को छोड़ कर असम और उड़ीसा हिन्दी के विरुद्ध नहीं हैं। पिट्चम में गुजरात और महाराष्ट्र हिन्दी के विरुद्ध नहीं हैं। केवल वंगाल और तिमलनाड तक ही यह विरोध केन्द्रित है। पहले मैं बंगाल को लेता हूं। यह आवाज पहले बंगाल से उठी थी कि भारतवर्ष में एक भाषा की जरूरत है और वह भाषा हिन्दी ही हो सकती । इस बारे मैं केवल बंगला भाषा के ही नहीं वरन हमारे भारत के एक बड़े भारी साहित्यकार श्री बंकिमचन्द्र चट्टोपाष्ट्याय ने अपने एक भाषण मैं यह कहा था:—

"ग्रंग्रेजी के विषय में लोगों की जो कुछ भावना हो, पर मैं यह दावें के साथ कह सकता हं कि हिन्दी के बिना हमारा कार्य नहीं चल सकता । हिन्दी की पुस्तकें लिख कर श्रौर हिन्दी बोल कर भारत के श्रिधकांश भाग को निश्चय ही लाभ हो सकता है। यदि हम देश में वंगला ग्रीर ग्रंग्रेजी जानने वालों की संख्या का पता चलायें तो हमें साफ प्रकट हो जायेगा कि वह कितनी न्यून है। जो सज्जन हिन्दी भाषा द्वारा भारत में एकता पैदा करना चाहते हैं, वे निश्चय ही भारत बन्ध हैं। हम सब को संगटित होकर इस ध्येय की प्राप्ति के लिए प्रयास करना चाहिये।"

न्यायमूर्ति श्री शारदा चरण मित्र तो देवनागरी लिपि के इतने प्रेमी थे कि उन्होंने "देवनागर" नामक पत्र निकाला, जिस में समस्त भारतीय भाषात्रों का साहित्य देवनागरी लिपि में छपता था। सारें भारत की भाषात्रों का साहित्य देवनागरी लिपि में निकालने का प्रयत्न किया था। श्रव फिर से वह त्रैमासिक एत्र संसदीय हिन्दी परिषद द्वारा निकला है। उन्होंने उस समय हिन्दी भाषा के सम्बन्ध में यह कहा था:—

डा० गोविन्द दास] "हिन्दी समस्त ग्रार्यवर्त की भाषा है।

25

कलकत्ते की "एक लिपि विस्तार-परिषद" समस्त भारतवर्ष में एक नागरी लिपि का प्रचार करने में तन मन से लगी हुई है। यद्यपि मैं बंगाली हूं तथापि मेरे दक्तर की भाषा हिन्दी है। इस वृद्धा-वस्था मै मेरे लिये वह गौरव का दिन होगा जिस दिन मैं हिन्दी में स्वच्छन्दता के साथ बोलने लगुंगा ग्रौर प्लेटफार्म के ऊपर खड़ा होकर हिन्दी मैं वक्तता दंगा। उसी दिन मेरा जीवन सफल होगा जिस दिन मैं सारे भारत-वासियों के साथ साथ हिन्दी मैं वार्तालाप करूंगा।"

कहा था उसे भी सुन लीजिये :— "सबसे पहले मैं एक ग़लतफहमी दूर कर

नेताजी सुभाषचन्द्र बोस ने इस बारे में

देना चाहता हूं, कितने ही सज्जनों का ख्याल है कि बंगाली लोग या तो हिन्दी के विरोधी होते है या उसके प्रति उपेक्षा करते है। यह बात भ्रमपूर्ण है भौर इसका खंडन करना में ग्रपना कर्तव्य समझता हं। मैं व्यर्थ ग्रभिमान नहीं करना चाहता, पर इतना तो म्रवश्य

कहंगा कि हिन्दी साहित्य के लिये जितना कार्य बंगालियों ने किया है, उतना हिन्दी भाषा प्रान्त छोड कर और किसी प्रान्त के निवा-सियों ने शायद ही किया हो।... मैं इस बात को मानता हूं कि बंगाली लोग अपनी मातुभाषा से म्रत्यन्त प्रेम करते है म्रौर यह कोई ग्रपराध नहीं है। शायद हम में से कुछ ऐसे ग्रादमी भी हैं जिन्हें इस बात का डर है कि हिन्दी वाले हमारी मातृभाषा बंगला को छड़ा

वाना चाहते हैं, यह भ्रम भी निराधार है। हिन्दी प्रचार का उद्देश्य केवल यही है कि जो काम म्राज मंग्रेजी से लिया जाता है, वह ग्रागे चल कर हिन्दी से जिया जाय।"...

कर उसके स्थान पर हिन्दी रख-

"प्रान्तीय ई र्या-द्वेष को दूर करने में जितनी सहायता इस हिन्दी प्रचार से मिलेगी, उतनी किसी दूसरी चीज से नहीं मिल सकती । अपनी अभनी प्रान्तीय भाषाओं की भरपूर उन्नति कीजिये, उसमें कोई बाधा नहीं डालना चाहतां श्रौर न हम किसी की वाधा को सहन ही कर सकते है, पर सार प्रान्तों की सार्वजनिक भाषा का पद हिन्दी को ही मिला है।... यदि हम लोगों ने तन मन धन से प्रयत्न न किया, तो वह दिन दूर नहीं है, जब भारत स्वाधीन होगा श्रौर उसकी राष्ट्रभाषा होगी हिन्दी ।"

यह हमारे नेता जी का कहना था। यह भी कहा जाता है कि तामिलनाड इसके खिलाफ है। इसके लिए में बतलाना चाहता हूं कि सन १६५८ में तामिलनाड में "दि लैंग्वेज कन्वेन्शन" नाम से एक परिषद हुई थी। उस परिषद के स्वागताध्यक्ष श्री के० भाष्यम ग्रौर उस परिषद के ग्रध्यक्ष भ्तपूर्व विन्ध्य प्रदेश के राज्यपाल श्री के० सन्तानम थे जो कि ग्राजकल हमारी राज्य सभा के सदस्य हैं। श्री भाष्यम ने अपने भाषण मैं क्या कहा था वह सुनिये :---

"The danger is pointed out that imposition of Hindi will lead to disruption of the country. Is this corect? On the other hand, Hindi is progressively introduced in the Union administration and

communication between the States is also in Hindi it is possible to express in Hindi mass feeling of the inhabitants of one region to the inhabitants in another region in a much more effective way than English."

ग्रीर श्रीसन्तानम ने ग्रपने भाषन में कहाथावहभीसुन लीजिये:——

"Hindi has functioned for the past many decades as the lingua franca of India at the mass level. Even the British Government recognised this fact by making it the lingua franca of the Indian military forces. Under Mahatma Gandhi's leadership, intense propaganda for Hindi has been carried on for the past 40 years and thousands of boys and girls in non-Hindi States have been educated in Hindi to a level similar to the S.S.L.C."

Shri Ramanatham Chettiar (Karur): Gandhiji wanted Hindustani, not Hindi.

Dr. Govind Das: "The Hindi taught in the school will be continually nourished by the Hindi spoken in the bazar and the Hindi heard in the Cinema, the radio and other places. On the other hand, no English will be heard anywhere except in select gatherings of professors and scholars."

इसके बाद ग्राप शेष ग्रहिन्दी भाषा-भाषी राज्यों के सम्बन्ध में कुछ बातें लीजिए । कर्नाटक में ग्रभी थोड़े दिन पहले ही—कर्नाटक दक्षिण में हैं —एक ग्राल कर्नाटक हिन्दी कन्त्वेन्शन हुई, जिसके ग्रध्यक्ष ये बंगलौर के एक्स-मेयर, श्री ग्रार० ग्रनन्त रामन, बी० एस० सी, एल० एल० बी० ग्रौर जिसका उदघाटन किया मैसूर लैजिस्लेटिव कौंसिल के वेयरमन, श्री जी० बी० हल्लीकेरी ने । वहां पर जो प्रस्ताव पास हुग्ना, उसको सुनिये:—

"Although Hindi has been the declared official language of the

Indian Union, provision has been made for the continued use of English in the form of an official language until 1965. But now there is a move to amend Constitution so as to retain Official language, it is said, as a result of extraordinary pressure a section of the Non-Hindi speaking public. The Karnatak Hindi Convention views this with concern. The Convention is of the opinion that to give place in the Constitution an official status to a foreign language is below the self-respect of any nation and a hindrance to the healthy growth of the official language as well as the regional languages.

Therefore, this Convention earnestly urges upon the Government to give up their efforts to make English an associate official language for an unspecified period."

इसी प्रकार कटक में एक सम्मेलन हुआ, जिसके अध्यक्ष ये पद्मश्री श्री आर्त्तवल्लभ महान्ति, एम० ए० । उस सम्मेलन में यह प्रस्ताव पास किया गया :

> "यह सम्मेलन श्रंग्रेजी भाषा शिक्षा का विरोध न करते हुए भी श्रंग्रेजी भाषा को श्रनिर्दिष्ट काल के लिए हिन्दी के साथ सहयोगी या श्रति-रिक्त भाषा के रूप में ग्रहण करने का एकान्त विरोधी है । यदि श्रंग्रेजी को श्रतिरिक्त भाषा के रूप में ग्रहण करने का प्रयोजन हो तो इसे केवल १९६५ से श्रीर पाँच वर्ष श्रयात् १६७० ई० तक ही रखा जा सकता है।"

इस विषय में स्वामी विचित्रानन्द दास, एडवोकेट ने प्रपना यह संशोधन पेश किया या कि पौच वर्ष के स्थान पर दस वष रखा जांके, किन्तु उपस्थित साहित्यिकों में से िसी ने भी उनका समर्थन नहीं किया।

11430

# [डा० गोविन्द दास]

11429

हाल ही में बिहार राष्ट्रभाषा परिषद का एक अधिवेशन हम्रा जिसके सभापति श्रनन्तशयनम श्रय्यंगार थे जो श्रांघ्र के रहने वाले हैं तेलुगु-भाषा भाषी हैं। वह बहुत दिनों तक हमारे स्पीकर थे। उन्होंने ग्रपने ग्रभि-भाषण में कहा:

> "हिन्दी भाषा की समृद्धि से श्रप्रत्यक्ष रूप में उत्तर भारत की सभी भ्रन्य भगिनी-भाषात्रों की समद्धि होगी श्रीर दक्षिणी भाषाश्रों की भी इस से समृद्धि होगी क्योंकि उनके काम-काज की भाषा हिन्दी ही होगी।"

# म्रागे चल कर उन्होंने कहा ।

"हिन्दी के प्रति भारत के किसी कोने में वास्तविक घृणा नहीं है स्रौर सामान्य रूप से सभी मानते हैं कि इसका प्रसार ग्रवश्य होना चाहिए एवं यह पूर्ग रूप से राष्ट्र के काम-काज की भाषा बने । ऊपर से जो विरोध कुछ स्रोर से देखने में ग्राता है उसका कारण यह है कि हिन्दी-साहित्य ग्रधिकाधिक समृद्ध करने के पूव ही अंग्रेजी से हिन्दी पर उतर ग्राने का हठ ग्रयवा खींचा-तानी हो रही है।"

श्रभी दक्षिण के एक विद्वान् श्रौर मैसूर विश्वविद्यालय के अवकाश-प्राप्त प्रोफेसर श्री चन्द्रहासन दिल्ली पघारे थे । उन्होंने एक वक्तव्य में कहा :

> "ग्रगर ग्रंग्रेजी को जबरदर्स्ता लादा जा सकता है तो क्या कारण है कि हिन्दी को नहीं लादा जा सकता जबिक बात ऐसी नहीं है । हिन्दी तो भारत की भाषा है भारत की ग्रधिक जनसंख्य द्वारा समझी

श्रीर बोली जाने वाली भाषा है। संविधान में जब १६६५ के बाद हिन्दी को राजभाषा के रूप में प्रयोग करने की व्यवस्था कर दी गई तो अब ऐसा क्यों किया जा रहा ह कि हिन्दी को राजभाषा नहीं बनने दिया जायगा ।... द्रविड् मुन्नेत्र कड्गम हिन्दी का विरोध करता है पर यह तो भारत की ग्रखण्डता का भी विरोधी है। वह तो ग्रलग द्रविडस्तान चाहता है। उसे देश की एकता में विश्वास नहीं है ।"

गाडगिल साहब महाराष्ट्रियन हैं हिन्दी भाषा-भाषी नहीं हैं । वह बहत समय तक इस सदन के सदस्य थे और पंजाब के राज्यपाल भी रहे हैं। उन्होंने अपने एक भाषण में कहा है :

> "हिन्दी एक संगठित करने वाली शक्ति है। जन-साधारण को एक विशाल जीवन की तरफ़ ले जाने का मार्ग है।...वह भ्रब किसी एक प्रदेश की न होने की वजह से सारे देश की होगी और सारे देश का बौद्धिक श्रीर व्यवहारी जीवन समृद्ध करेगी। हिन्दी का प्रचार-कार्य एक वाङयज्ञ है।"

श्राध्यक्ष महोदय : श्रव माननीय सदस्य ग्रपना भाषण समाप्त करने का प्रयतन कर।

डा० गोविन्द दास : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा जो दृष्टिकोण है उसको कोई ग्रापके सामने नहीं रखेगा ग्रीर कम से कम काँग्रेस वाले तो नहीं रखेंगे । इसलिए मुझे श्रपनी बात कहने के लिए कुछ समय और दिया जाये।

गुजरात विद्यापीठ के उपकुलपति देसाई ने ग्रपने एक लेख में कहा है:

> "दख तो यह है कि यह भी कहा जाता है कि हिन्दी तैयार नहीं है या पूरी

तरह विकसित नहीं है। स्वभाषा का ऐसा अपमान करते हुए शम आनी चाहिए और कर्मा कोई भाषा विना उसकी उपयोग किये कहीं विकसित हुई देखी है? इस लिए सरकार को प्रामाणिकता से हिन्दी के उपयोग के लिए पूरा मौका देना चाहिए। अभी तक कानून से ऐसा नहीं किया गया है। इस बाधा को दूर करने की जरूरत है। इसके बजाये हिन्दी कभी आ ही न सके ऐसा कानूनी कदम, उठाया जा रहा है।"

# वह आगे कहते हैं:

"ऊपर की विचारणा से उल्टा यह दिखाई देता है कि ग्रंग्रेजी को सहभाषा के रूप में ग्रहण करना, यह किसी तरह का उपाय ही नहीं है। इससे तो भाषाकीय श्रंधेर ग्रौर कारबार में ग्रराज-कता ही पैदा होगी, क्योंकि श्रम्रेजी भाषा वैसे भी देश की जनसंख्या के एक प्रतिशत तक पहंची है स्रौर वह भी उसे टीक तरह से नहीं श्राती । श्रीर प्रजागति देखते हये इसके बारे में हर साल शिथिलता ही बढेगी। उसके चिहन सर्वत्र नजर स्राते हैं। इस वजह से शिक्षा ग्रौर राज-व्यवस्था दोनों में सुधार नहीं हो रहा है। प्रजा चीखती रहती है, मगर कोई सुनता ही नहीं । स्वराज्य की नौकरशाही स्रग्रेजीशाही बन चुकी है। यह भी निभ नहीं सकेगी, क्योंकि माध्यम के रूप में उपयोग करने के लिये ब्रंग्रेजी ही उसे (नीकरसाही को) कम स्राती जायगी।"

मेरे पास और भी बहत से उद्धरण हैं, लेकिन चंकि ग्राप कहते हैं कि वक्त नहीं है, इसलिये मैं इतना ही कहना चाहता हूं कि बम्बई और पुना तथा श्रन्य श्रहिन्दी भाषा क्षेत्रों के अनेक लोगों ने हिन्दी को शीघ्र ही केन्द्र की राजभाषा के स्थान पर प्रस्थापित करने का ग्रनुरोध किया है। उन में से कुछ नाम ये हैं : श्री विमलशकर ना० शास्त्री. श्री कांतिलाल एम० जानी, श्री रतिलाल र० जोशी, श्री मधमुदन एम. देसाई, कोकिला र० पटेल, श्री नन्द किशोर ग्रोझा, इन्दिरा र० पारेख, श्री विभिनचन्द्र वादवे, श्री किशोरीलाल विशष्ट ग्रादि । ऐसे कितने ही लोग हैं, जिन्होंने यह कहा है कि ग्रंग्रेजी सहभाषा के रूप में हमेशा के लिये, ग्रनिश्चित काल के लिये मुकर्रर न की जाये।

मैं अत्र इन उद्धरणों को पढ़ना समाप्त करता हूं और अन्त में अग्रेजी के केवल दो उद्धरण पढ़ कर मुनाना चाहता हूं।

ग्रायरलंड के विख्यात कवि, यामस डेविस, कहते हैं :

"A nation without a mother tongue cannot be called a nation. The defence of one's mother tongue is more essential than the defence of the boundaries of one's motherland, because the mother tongue is a more powerful barrier against the intrusion of foreigners than even the natural barriers of rivers and mountains."

यह कहा जाता है कि हमारी भाषायें सघम नहीं हैं। लेकिन अंग्रेजी के एक प्रमुख विद्वान श्री कस्ट कहते हैं:

"Indian vernaculars are magnificent vehicles of speech and capable of expressing any human conception and being the vehicle of the highest scientific education."

11433

ग्रन्त में मैं श्राप से यह कहंगा कि मेरी समझ में नहीं भ्राता कि इस संकट-कालीन परिस्थिति में इस विश्वेयक को क्यों लाया जा रहा है। स्रभी १६६५ तक बराबर ग्रंग्रेजी चल सकती थी। इसलिये मैं इसका इस वक्त लाया जाना किसी प्रकार से भी उपचत नहीं समझता । मैं यह नहीं कहना कि सरकार ने इस संबंध में कोई काम नहीं किया है। उस ने कुछ काम किया है, लेकिन यदि गत बारह वर्षों के एक यग में उसने संविधान की भावना के ग्रनसार उचित काम किया होता, तो ग्राज इस विधेयक की ग्रावश्यकता न होती। देश के प्रचंड बहमत के विरोध में सरकार यह विधेयक ला रही है। इस देश के ६८ फीसदी लोग अंग्रेजी नहीं जानते हैं और दो फीसदी श्रंग्रेजी जानने वालों के लिये ६८ फीसदी लोगों के ऊपर ग्रंग्रेजी लादी जा रही है।

जैसा कि मैंने ग्रभी कहा है, इससे स्वतंत्रता की रक्षा नहीं हो सकेगी, इस से एकता की स्थापना नहीं हो सकेगी, इस से समाजवाद की रचना नहीं होगी, इससे हमारी ब्रार्थिक उन्नति नहीं होगी, इस से इस प्रकार के कोई भी महत्वपूर्ण काम हो जायें, यह संभव नहीं है। ग्रौर प्रजातंत्र तो इसके द्वारा चल ही नहीं सकता। इस विधेयक के द्वारा अनिश्चित काल के लिये अंग्रेजी मादी जा रही है। मझे भय है कि गत बारह वर्षों में हिन्दी को चलाने के लिये जिस प्रकार कोई मोभ्य कार्य नहीं हम्रा, यदि यह विधेयक इसी तरह से स्वीकृत हुन्ना, इसमें कोई समय न रखा गया--दस वर्ष, पांच वर्ष या कोई भी ग्रवधि निर्धारित न की गई--तो उसी प्रकार इस विधेयक के स्वीकृत होने के बाद भी कोई व्यावहारिक कार्य नहीं हो सकेगा। जो कुछ पिछले बारह क्यों में हुन्ना है, वही मिवष्य में होने वाला है श्रीर पन्द्रह बीस वर्षों के बाद हमारे सामने वही परिस्थित आयगी, जो कि ब्राज हमारे सार्

हिन्दी को चलाने के विषय में सरकार ने कभी कोई स्रायोजना नहीं बनाई है। जो स्रायोग मुकर्रर हस्रा, वह भी कोई ग्रायोजना नहीं बना सका ग्रौर इसी प्रकार संसद की कमेटी भी कोई स्रायोजना नहीं वता सकी । हमारी पंचवर्षीय योजनास्रों में भी हिन्दी चलाने की कोई ब्रायोजना नहीं बनी । बिना किसी निर्धारित ग्रवधि के ग्रौर बिना कोई ग्रायोजना बनाये इस प्रकार के विधेयक को मैं स्वीकार नहीं कर सकता। मैं तो यह मानता हं कि स्राज भी सारा काम काज हिन्दी में चल सकता है। मैं यह भी मानता हं कि हम ने गलती की कि जिस दिन से संविधान लाग किया, उसी दिन से सब काम हिन्दी में क्यों नहीं चलाया। ग्रायरलैंड में उसके स्वतंत्र होने के बाद दूसरे दिन से ही गैलिक में जब काम चल सकता है, इजराईल में उसके स्वतंत्र होने के बाद सब काम ही में चल सकता है, जोकि दोनों मत भाषायें थीं, तो हिन्दी श्रौर ग्रन्य भारतीय भाषायें जोकि मत भाषायें नहीं हैं, इनके द्वारा उस दिन से सारा काम चल सकता था भ्रौर भ्राज भी मैं समझता हं कि चल सकता है।

जैसा मैंने ध्रारम्भ में निवेदन किया है, मुझे दुख है कि जिनके चरणों में बैठ कर, जिनके नेतृत्व में, पंडित जा के, मैंने ध्राज तक अपना सारा जांवन व्यतीत किया है, शास्त्र। जां मेरे सायं: रहे हैं, उनके द्वारा लाये गये विधेयक का मुझे विरोध करना पड़ रहा है। तोन बार उनके मतों के विरुद्ध मुझे अपना मत देना पड़ा है। एक बार उस वक्त जबकि संविधान सभा में धंकों का प्रश्न ध्राया था, दूसरो बार उस यक्त जबिक मंदे विधेयक का सरकार ने विरोध किया था और तोसरो बार यह है। लेकिन यह मेरी अन्तरातमा का प्रश्न है, यह वह प्रश्न है जिस को गुलझाते मुलझाते धौर जिस के लिये काम करते करते पचास वर्ष का ध्रपना सारा

जीवन मैंने व्यतीत किया है और जिस प्रश्न को स्वराज्य के बाद मैं सब से महत्वपूर्ण प्रश्न समझता हं। इसलिये अपनी अन्तरात्मा के अनुसार काम करने के लिये, इस जें।वन के संध्याकाल में, मैं बाध्य हं। मेरा निगाहों में पंडित जो इतने उदार हैं, शास्त्री जी इतने उदार हैं कि वे मझे गलत नहीं समझेंगे और मेरा जो इस संबंध में मत है, उसका स्रादर करेंगे।

Official

मुझे बड़े दुःख के साथ इस विधेयक का विरोध करना पड रहा है।

श्री उ० म ० त्रिवेदी : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं भं ग्राज यह सोच कर ग्राया था कि ग्राज हिन्दों में हो बोलुंगा। लेकिन मुझे मेरे कतिपय दक्षिण के मित्रों ने कहा कि ग्राप हमें समझाने को जब कोशिश कर रहे हैं तो इस वजह से ग्राप ग्रंग्रेजी में जरुर बोलें। उनके इस अन-रोध का स्रादर करते हये मैं संग्रेजी में ही ग्रपना भाषण दंगा ।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker Sir, it is unfortunate that this Bill has brought before the House in a manner unbecoming of the great party which is now ruling our country. The Constitution provides that we must proceed . . .

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): I thought Hindi will be more polite.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: We would like to proceed and abide by the Constitution. When we entered office as Members of this House, each one of us took an oath that we will abide by the Constitution and uphold the Constitution. The Constitution provides that under article 87, the President is enjoined to summon Parliament by issuing proper summons to Members of Parliament. It provides that the commencement of first the Session, the President shall address both Houses of Parliament assembled together and inform the Parliament

of the causes of its summons. address was presented to both Houses of Parliament and this address had made absolutely no reference whatsoever to the present Bill which has been put before the House. As to why this particular method was followed in contravention of the specific provision of law, specific provision of our Constitution, passes my comprehension. In England there is merely a convention that the address of the Monarch must state the reasons Parliament has been called. Here, we have got a positive provision of law that the causes of the summons must be stated in the address. And in the address although specifically all the various Bills which are to be passed in the House were mentioned, this particular Bill not mentioned.

The other legal difficulty about which a point of order was raised this morning and which was very obvious was that the Government has thought it fit to circumvent the provisions and to twist the language of the clear provisions of article 349 read with article 344 of the Constitution. I have not yet understood and I will fail to understand the arguments of the hon. Law Minister that it was sufficient to appoint one commission after five years and then not to care for the other commission which was to be appointed after another five years. The purpose for which the commission was to be appointed very clearly indicated in the article Both the commissions to study the growth of the Hindi language in India because the commission was asked to make recommendations about the progressive use of the Hindi language for the official purposes of the Union in five years. If it had not progressed, it was for the second commission to indicate what would have been the progress after the end of ten years.

The second question was abo... the restriction on the use of English language for all or any of the official [Shri U. M. Trivedi].

11437

purposes of the Union. As to how the other State Governments were acting was also a matter to be studied by this commission and also the language to be used for all or any of the purposes mentioned in article 348 of the Constitution. Article 348 clearly provided:

- "(1) Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing provisions of this Part, until Parliament bv otherwise provides-
  - (a) all proceedings in the Supreme Court and in every High Court,
  - (b) the authoritative texts-
    - (i) of all Bills to be introduced or amendments thereto to be moved in either House of Parliament or in the House or either House Legislature of a State,
    - (ii) of all Acts passed by Parliament or the legislature of a State and of all Ordinances promulgated by the President or the Governor of a State, and
    - (iii) of all orders, rules, regugulations and bye-laws issued under this Constitution or under any law made by Parliament or the Legislature of a State.

shall be in the English language. Further, it provided:

"(2) Notwithstanding anything in sub-clause (a) of clause (1), the Governor of a State may with the previous consent of the President, authorise the use of the Hindi language, or any other language used for any official purposes of the State, in proceedings in the High Court having its principal seat in that State ...."

Now, this ought to have been studied by the commission. In Madhya Bharat, as long as Madhya was in existence, immediately an order was made by the Rajpramukh that the State language shall be Hindi for all practical purposes. Even in the High Courts, you could argue the case in Hindi.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: A Bill was. passed.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: A Bill passed. The same was the state of affairs in Rajasthan. This was not studied at all. I do not know what happened in Bihar and what was the position in Uttar Pradesh.

Shri K. C. Sharma: (Sardhanria): About Uttar Pradesh, Hindi.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: If all these conditions were to be studied they could have been studied only after lapse of ten years. When you have not studied all those things and not taken that particular step, and have come to the conclusion that the recommendations of the committee that was formed at the end of five years was a sufficient justification for you to bring forward this Bill, I most emphatically and humbly submit that it was not a correct thing that the Government has done. It is quite true that under the provision of article 255, it will not be possible for anybody to challenge this Act before the Supreme Court because what is lacking in this is merely a sanction or a recommendation. But we cannot fight for these words. The spirit behind the whole provision was there. It is the meticulous care with which now the Government comes forward and suggests that the plural may not include the singular and at the same time it comes round and says that where the word 'shall' has been used, we may interpret it as 'may'these are not very good things in the spirit of the language, in the spirit in which the framers had framed the Constitution. The debates of the Constituent Assembly leave absolutely no doubt in the mind of one who

wants to read them, at that time, the whole Constituent Assembly was one on this point, although there were some murmurs. There were objections. All those objections were rightly waived by one and all from the south and from the north that the language of our country shall be Hindi. It was a unanimous decision. That decision was made very patent when the discussion on the question of numerals to be used were discussed. On that point, the Hindi lovers had to give way, to allow the numerals as written in the Roman language to be used. It is not that there are not sufficient fanatics all over. There are. I am not pleading here as a fanatic. What is to be pleaded, fanaticism apart, and the question that has to be considered is that if each one of us agrees on this principle that if there is any language in this country which can at any time become the lingua franca of India, the one and only language is Hindi. I am a Gujarati. My mother tongue is not Hindi. can speak Hindi no doubt. after having travelled over most parts of India and foreign countries, where there are many Indians settled, I come to one single conclusion and it is this that the only language which can be the means of communication between the various people of States of our country is Hindi. It was not difficult in Rangoon for a man coming from the south, Tamilian, Telugu, Malayali to start talking

15 hrs.

we have not

Whose fault is it?

The directive Principles were given that every effort shall be made for 10 years for the compulsory education of children. If this compulsory education of children had been there, if provision had been made to give some sort of knowledge of

in Hindustani within a week of arri-

val. If that could be achieved there,

I can see no reason whatever why

the same thing within '15 years.

been able to achieve

Hindi to the youngsters in the various parts of our country, today, the opposition which appears coming from various sources would have been absent. We have failed in our duty, to carry out the directive principles which were laid before us by the Constitution makers.

There is a sort of opposition coming from the south and I come across some very strong protagonists of Hindi also veering round to the view, having gone out from the political parties to which they belonged, and they think that Hindi must not be imposed upon the people. Nobody wants to impose Hindi. I will certainly oppose any imposition by mere force of law. That will not be democratic. At the same time, those who want to obstruct the imposition of Hindi or the use of Hindi for the whole of India, must also consider, are they not trying to impose English upon those who do not want it.

Shri S. Kandappan: English is not their language.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Are they not doing a harmful thing?....

Shri S. Kandappan: It is equally foreign to us.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I am coming to that very point. If it is equally foreign to somebody, then, is it meet and proper for you, is it the principle of democracy that English must be imposed upon the rest of the 20 crores of people simply because one crore or 2 crores or 3 crores do not like it?

Shri K. Rajaram: Don't you feel that Hindi is a foreign language to us in the south?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: With very great respect, I must tell my friends, Hindi is not a foreign language.

Shri K. Rajaram: It is a foreign language for us.

11442

Shri Mutthu Gounder (Tiruppattur): It is as much foreign as English.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: It is a language which you do not understand. It is not a foreign language. The moment you accept the unity of India, that India is one, the moment you accept Schedule VIII of the Constitution, you will find that neither Tamil, nor Telugu, not Hindi, nor Urdu, Sanskrit, nor Gujarati, none of these languages are foreign to our country. The only language which is foreign is English. It finds no place whatever in the Schedule. It is an irony of fate that in our own country today, after the lapse of 13 years or 14 years of the making of the Constitution, I should say,-the Constitution was made and came into existence, if I remember aright, on 26th November. 1949-it was already made by us-14 years after the making of the Constitution, and after having agreed to the principle that Hindi shall be the language of India, we are bringing a Bill which creates a doubt in the mind of the people.

Some are not satisfied with what is being put there, that English will still Hindi-lovers are feeling be there. that for all time to come, we are losing the one single thing that we have achieved of having unity in our country with all the diversities that exist in our country. This Bill, therefore, is not welcomed by the lovers of Hindi. It is also not welcome as I find from those who do not want it. They think that the Government has not gone the whole hog with them. We feel that some injustice is being done to the country at large. I do not want to recapitulate the various resolutions passed all over the country on this question of Hindi. Those of us who have travelled in the South have come across people who have exerted themselves in learning Hindi, very chaste Hindi. I was an teacher of the Dakshin honorary Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha and much older men than I were my pupils. With the assiduity and industry with which they learnt the language and the rapid progress that they made, within 15 days, they were able to write letters. It has given hope that if Hindi is being taught, if efforts were made without showing fanaticism whatsoever of any kind, and given proper direction with love and regard, Hindi could have been made easily the language of the whole country.

It is true, because we cannot forget, that due to English we have advanced our knowledge. But, then, was naturally due to the fact the language of the British who ruled us was English. If the language of those who ruled us was French as it was in Pondicherry, we would have got the knowledge through French. If the language of those who ruled us was Hindi, we would have got our knowledge through Hindi. Nothing would have prevented us from getting the knowledge that we have got today. Every language enumerated in the Schedule is sufficiently strong in vocabulary to impart the knowledge to each one of us for all the studies that we want. Take the language of the Danes-it is a small country. They have got scientists. English is not the lauguage of the British isles with a total population of 4 crores or 5 crores. The language of 20 crores of people could not have science is an unbelievable thing. The most unfortunate thing is that the development that ought to have been made has not been made. But, it is progressing. We were handicapped. We were slaves all these days. have broken slaves all these days. We have broken those shackles. Today, we want to progress in that direction. Should we now put some shackles over us by having language which is foreign to us? It is true, we are indebted, most of us are indebted certainly to English for the knowledge that we have gatherthrough English. But that is merely an incident or an accident in life. Accidentally, we were in that position and, therefore, we had to do it, But that cannot be a justification for keeping English for our children to learn. How shameful it becomes when we go to a foreign land, and we are asked 'What is the language in which all your work in your country is carried out?' We have to say 'In English'.

Official

We are not what I might call varnasankaras born of the British. We have a distinct culture of own, and having a culture of our own, we are people who are a distinct race from the British, with a distinct history and a distinct stamp and a particular pride that we possess. It is militating against that very pride of ours if we accept the proposition that English shall continue to be the language of our country.

It is quite true that those of us, people like me, who have been practising at the Bar, have developed this habit of talking in English. Having always been mustering our thoughts in English, we find it easy to express ourselves in English. But that cannot be the excuse for continuing English as the language of our country. We are a passing generation. We cannot leave a legacy upon those who are coming behind us by putting upon them the same shackles and continue the slavery which language has brought upon us. If we have to go with our heads high, and if we have to make ourselves felt as a nation in this world, we must have a language. And what can be that language? Let us think dispassionately. Let us think in terms only of the unity of our country. Let us think in terms of the great nation which we have built up after the Constitution has been given to us. If we think in those terms we shall come to one conclusion and one conalone, namely that there clusion cannot be any other language but Hindi for this country. And what is the difficulty in studying Hindi? have not yet come across any. Perhaps with the exception of Malayalam and Tamil, all the alphabets in each one of the languages are the same; Bengali, Gujarati, Oriya, Marathi, Kanarese, Telugu and all other languages have the same alphabets, namely ka, kha, ga, gha, etc. There is no change at all. Even in Burmese, even in Ceylonese, and even in Siamese, the alphabets are those derived from Sanskrit.

Shri S. Kandappan: That is not so in Tamil.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I have already said so. I know a little smattering of Tamil, and I have already said that that is not so in Tamil. Tamil is a superior language in this sense that it has the least number of alphabets in this world. The difficulty about Tamil is only this much, namely:

मचयो : ग्रभेदा : तथयो : ग्रभेदा :

That means, what you talk as sa we would talk as cha, and what you talk as ta we would talk as tha. That is all. At the same time, I do not want to decry the language. Tamil is a rich language. Tamil is a language of rich poets. Tamil has got its own literature. Tamil has enriched culture of India. But that does not mean that Tamil should be the language for the whole country. Nobody says that Tamil should not be declared as the language of the State in which it is spoken; it should be used. And it must be enriched. But at the same time, I pray, and each one of us us prays, that the Tamilian friends should realise that if this great country has to rise and the old dissensions must be forgotten it can only be done by having one single language in our country for the sake of this country, for the growth of this country and for the greatness of this country.

With these words, I oppose this Bill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Now, Shri M. L. Dwivedi, Shri M. L. Dwivedi. The hon. Member is not here. Now, Shri S. N. Chaturvedi.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi (Hamirpur): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir ....

**4**1445

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I am sorry. I called the hon. Member twice but he did not get up. I have called Shri S. N. Chaturyedi now.

Shri S. N. Chaturvedi (Firozabad): I have heard with attention the debate that has gone on on the Official Languages Bill. This is a subject which has aroused controversy, but just as the previous speakers have appealed, I also join them in urging for a calm consideration of this subject.

There are so many important considerations involved in this matter, such as the unity of the country, the growth of our culture, the development of our intellectual heritage etc. I, therefore, appeal that we should not be carried away by slogans. We should not doubt or suspect each other's motives. We should not be suspicious, and should not base our judgments on what the fanatics either side may say. There is a very large element both in the Hindispeaking and in the non-Hindispeaking areas, which thinks sanely and calmly on this subject, and if they sit together and discuss, they can arrive at a proper and reasonable solution.

The first point is that the use of a foreign language as our medium of communication is certainly very derogatory to our self-respect. It hurts our pride and lowers us in the estimation of others. Even our culture is belittled by this. Others from a very poor opinion of a culture which has not been able to give even an adequate vehicle of thought. Probably, we are the only people in the world who have been disparaging their own language. Nobody else, I think, in any country has talked about the inadequacy of its own language as a vehicle of expression. What is the reason for this? As my hon, friend Shri H. N. Mukerjee has pointed out quoting Dr. Rabindranath Tagore, how can a mint go on turning out coins when they are not legal tender? If we put the seed in cold storage, how can it sprout? That is what has happened. When a language goes out of use, how can it develop? So, English to which we have given our adherence, and sometimes fanatical adherence, come to be used as the language this country. It was used because a nation came here, conquered this country and ruled over us, and, therefore, their language was learnt by a certain number of people and that language then became the official language. But in spite of two hundred years of usage it has not become the language of more than two per cent, of the people. Even this 2 per cent. does not use it with any amount of proficiency. I am on the management of a postgraduate college. and I have seen that even the lecturers in those colleges cannot probably write a whole letter correctly in English ....

An Hon. Member: Which university is that?

Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: There are mistakes in those letters....

An Hon. Member: U.P. University.

Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: I think that this applies to most of the universities.

Shrimati Yashoda Reddy (Kurnool): Certainly not.

Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: Our performance in English has been of a pretty low order. How many original and contributions have been creative made by our people in English? I think that whatever contributions have been made by our people are mostly imitations. We cram up some phrases and we just throw them about. That is all that we have done. Our actual contribution to creative literature and science has been most nil. There may be one or two Rabindranath Tagores or J. C. Boses. But I do not know how many Miltons, how many Rabindranath Tagores, how many J. C. Boses have remained mute or inglorious because of a foreign medium.

Official

Shri S. Kandappan: Do not make such sweeping remarks. There are Miltons in all languages.

Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: I am saying about our contribution through the English language here. The great reservoir of intelligence and initiative that we have in this country, 98 per cent, non-English knowing people, has not been tapped. (Interruptions). I am talking about facts as they exist. We are confining ourselves to the 2 per cent. of the people who are considered educated in this country. They consider themselves separate from the common man. They are a little anglicised in their approach. They are losing their roots in the soil of this country. That is what has happened. They cannot communicate even with their own countrymen. What they learn is not passed on to the other 98 per cent. of the people. There is thus a tremendous loss of talent in this country and the country is suffering due to that. That is what to which I am drawing the attention of the House. From very early stage, all our attention is diverted to cramming these English words. We do not know the spellings. The spelling is peculiar. Everything is peculiar. Because competitive examinations are held in the English medium, they have to learn the language. That is why their initiative, their intelligence and their creative effort are all crippled. That is my point. We have almost consigned the 98 per cent. of our people to oblivion. They cannot progress without acquiring knowledge of the English language, howsoever eminent they may be in their own language.

These are the two things I am saying. There is the question of our national pride. There is the question of dwarfing and blighting all the talent in the country. These have to be considered.

378 (Ai) LSD.-7.

15.24 hrs.

[SHRI KHADILKAR in the Chair]

I have learnt the English language. I know that it has a very rich literature. I have no animus against the English language. Nor is it intended that English will go away from this country at any time. We have no such idea. The point is that it should not be the medium of intruction, it should not be the medium of communication, it should not be the medium of administration. That is all we say. Let us give English all the respect it deserves. We shall take knowledge from any country, from anywhere, from any language. Other countries have also done it. They have not banned English; they have not banned German. Even now, when these 2 per cent of our English knowing people go out to other countries, for scientific education, they have also to learn German; some people have to learn Russian and others other languages. That is what we want to dο

We want to give that knowledge through the medium of our own language, not only Hindi but other languages too, every regional language. The regional language should be the medium of instruction in the State in which it is spoken. I am sure if this happens, all languages will develop. They will develop side by side. But until English ceases to be the medium of instruction, none of these 14 languages will develop to its utmost, as it should. It is only when they become the media of instruction in their own different States that they will progress.

But the question remains of a common language for the whole country. There are misapprehensions on this score. But I can say about myself and I think about a very large section of the Hindi-speaking people, that they do not want to take any unfair advantage through making Hindi the common language of this country. It was because it was decided by the Constituent Assembly that

#### [Shri S. N Chaturvedi.]

11449

Hindi should be the common language that it has been so accepted. If this question is reopened, probably another controversy will arise. Also, Hindi happens to be the language not only of the largest number of people in the country but it is understood throughout the country. And it is in order that it should become the common language of this country and should be lost widely and easily understood, this language should be put into use at the earliest stage. The sooner it is put into use, the earlier will it develop and grow. Then the apprehensions on the score of its inadequacy will disappear.

I can understand the apprehensions of my non-Hindi speaking friends. So far as we are concerned, we certainly want that the Hindi language should become the official language of this country but only with their goodwill. It should become the bond of unity and not an agency of disintegration. We do want their cooperation and we certainly appeal to their goodwill and to their good sense. After all, there has to be a common language for this country and that common language has to be one of the 14 languages recognised by the Constitution.

Shrimati Yashoda Reddy: It is because of our co-operation that today we are discussing it

Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: I am grateful for that. Only the other day my hon. friend remarked, 'You must be feeling happy that this Bill has come'. I replied I can only feel happy if you are happy, not otherwise'. I have no desire to be happy at others' expense; there is no sense of exultation about it. This is a question for all of us to solve and we should put our heads together and come to some decision by agreement. If that happens, this Parliament will be remembered in history for having given this country a common language, not if it divides the country because of this language

controversy. That is why I make this appeal.

Again I say that we do not want to take any unfair advantage. At least that is not the feeling of sensible persons. In the beginning, you will certainly feel a sense of disadvantage. That is because they have not made up their minds to learn this language. If they could go to England and compete in the ICS competitive examination with English-speaking people and beat even Englishmen in their own language there, I am sure they can compete very well with their own countrymen even if the examinations are held through the medium of Hindi. If there is a desire-although the Language Commission and Committee have not countenanced this proposal—that their interest should be safeguarded during the transitional period by a quota system in the examinations given to the 14 languages, to set at rest all misapprehensions, we can agree to that. But, if as the Committee suggested, there should be a moderation committee and some uniform standard should be evolved, that might be a better course. I say this only as an earnest of our goodwill, although the proposal of the quota system has been discountenanced by the committee.

I think we should not consider this Bill in terms of putting the Hindispeaking people at an advantage and the non-Hindi speaking people at a disadvantage. Let us not judge each other by what the extremists on either side say. Let us remember that there is a very large section of our people who can think calmly and coolly over this matter and come to a decision with honour and respect and with glory to all of us, to this country and to this Parliament.

Shri A. S. Alva (Mangalore): I beg to support the motion. We are unnecessarily troubling ourselves with the question whether Hindi is opposed to any of the other languages in the country. We have accepted Hindi as the official language and that stands. There is no dispute that

Official

Article 343 contemplates that within fifteen years, it may not be possible to switch over to English, and therefore clause (3) of that article says;

Hindi must be the official language.

"Notwithstanding anything in this article, Parliament may by law provide for the use, after the said period of fifteen years, of—

(a) the English language, or .. "

Further, article 344 envisages commissions to be appointed to find out how far Hindi can be used. It even envisages that Hindi could be used before 15 years, because clause (6) of the article says:

"Notwithstanding anything in article 343, the President may, after consideration of the report referred to in clause (5), issue directions in accordance with the whole or any part of that report."

Therefore, it is clear that the Constitution itself contemplates that English must continue for 15 years, and if Hindi has sufficiently progressed, it can be used even before 15 years. But for that a committee was appointed. They went into the whole matter, considered all the aspects and came to the conclusion that it may not be possible immediately after 15 years to change over.

With your permission, Sir, I would refer to page 13, paragraph 22 of the Report which says:

"The process of changeover from English to Hindi as the Union official language has to be so developed and regulated that it may be effected without causing any dislocation and with the minimum of inconvenience, and in determining the pace at which changes should be brought about, consideration has to be given,

among other things, to the progress of Hindi in non-Hindi areas, and the linguistic capacty of existing government servants. The process of changeover should also not have the effect of placing any linguistic groups at a disadvantage in the matter of recruitment to the public services."

Then it proceeds:

"In the first phase, Hindi has to be used in addition to English. and preparatory measures taken which will set the position for the next phase when the discontinuance of English for different official purposes may be brought about progressively. The for the final changeover from English to Hindi will mark the point of culmination in this process rather than a new stage. It cannot, therefore, be regarded as a deadline, and the approach to this question has to be flexible and practical. The Committee considers that until 1965, English should be the principal official language of the Union and Hindi the subsidiary official language; and from 1965 when Hindi becomes the principal official lan-guage, English should be used as a subsidiary official language for purposes to be specified Parliament by jaw in due course for as long as may be necessary."

This makes it clear that, as I submitted in the beginning, it is not necessary to wait for the deadline till 1965. The President, after appointing a commission, could switch over to Hindi even before that period. But in this report they came to the conclusion that it would not be possible to switch over from English before 1965 or even immediately after that. It is on account of the precautions which the Committee has mentioned that this Bill has been brought forward.

There need not be any hostility as far as English is concerned. My hon.

[Shri A. S. Alva.]

11453

friends have submitted that English will be necessary for scientific and technological studies, especially when people have to go to outside places. The Committee has gone into this also carefully, and at page 11 they sav:

"The gradual replacement English in official work by Hindi and the regional languages does not mean severance of our ties with English.

"The special place which it must continue to have in the intellectual and scientific fields, and as a means of international contacts is not conditional upon the perpetuation of the existing arrangement. We live in an age of rapid scientific advancement and technological change. development of science and technology may take place at an even faster pace in future, and these subjects will play an increasingly dominant part in our national life."

So, they say that English should not be wiped out. My submission is that if in 1965 Hindi is introduced as the official language and English is replaced, practically it will mean that all the Members of Parliament who do not know Hindi will be absolutely useless and will not be able to discharge their functions after that period. We are only pleading for some more time. During these years Hindi has made very good progress in the South, and we should be able to switch over to Hindi within period of ten years from the deadline.

The Law Minister said that the word "may" was enough, but in all humility I submit that we should not wrangle over it. As commonly understood. "shall" means a different thing, and it is necessary to change "may into "shall". I am sure Government will see its way to accepting amendment.

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): This Languages Bill has unfortunately kicked up clouds of heat and froth, conflict and controversy, not only in the Lobbies of Parliament, but also in the country as a whole.

There are two sets of views, each an extreme view clashing with the other in an atmosphere of anger and banter. But to me this is all meaningless froth and bubble, in frenzied atmosphere of which real perspective, the national perspective, is either lost or distorted. You cannot bring the actual perspective into focus if you put the teles-cope under your blind eye. This is what is happening unfortunately in the country today.

There are two schools of thought, contending schools, and they are either blind of one eye, or they suffer from a myopic vision in both their eyes. And a man with jaundiced eyes sees nothing but jaundices everywhere.

The views, for instance, which Dr. Govind Das is reputed to represent on the one hand, and the views of Shri Frank Anthony which are very well known, are diametrically opposed other. In their mental approaches and in their psychological attitudes, Dr. Govind Das and Shri Frank Anthony are as apart from each other as the astronauts are from the moon today, and both of them come from Jabalpur!

To me this Bill is an honest attempt by an honest man at effecting a compromise between these two contending schools of thought, these two opposing views. and these two opposing principles.

Shri D. C. Sharma: And an honest man is speaking on the Bill.

Shri Hem Barua: Thank you.

An Hon. Member: At least now you realise it.

Shri Hem Barua: May I say this is a synthesis, a compromise, between Shri Nehru, whose weakness for the English language is proverbial,— it is said that he even dreams in English—who is a "queer mixture of the East and the West," and Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri whose infatuation for the Hindi language is now an open secret. I would say that this is an amalgam of Harrow and Cambridge with Kashi Vidyapith.

True it is that India needs official language which breathes of the aroma of her soil a language through which the people can pulsate and hear the throb of our national Why is it that we want an life. official language of this sort? Is it merely because it gives us national prestige? No. That would mean sentimental appraisal of national compulsions. True it is that we do not want to be a crow in peacock's feather. But that is not the reason why we want an official language, want an indigenous language as our official language. Has not Israel, whose emergence into Statehood was made possible by the political financial help that the English-speaking Jews of United Kingdom United States offered, discarded the English language and made Hebrew the janguage of Israel?

We have adopted democracy as a keystone of our national life. We must not forget that no country in the world can call itself democratic and free and at the same time conduct its affairs in a language that is foreign to its genius. How can democracy work? How can the massive resurgence of our democratic fulfil itself if the people are denied an opportunity to communicate their urges and impulses in a language that they can comprehend, in a language that is nearer to their own heart? Our Constitution has given adult franchise and certain Fundamental Rights. How can the mechanism of it work if our people are isolated from the broad stream of our national life because of the barrier imposed by a language which they do not understand. This is not how democracy works. It is perhaps because of this idea in his mind that Gandhiji said thus in 1947: "Without a national language, Indian independence has no meaning."

Hindi is accorded the status of an official language in our Constitution. I do not want to reopen that question. Hindi is accorded the status official language in an because Hindi Constitution not better developed than anv regional language, nor because it is rich and copious in its literary wealth, nor because Hindi is an effective weapon of diplomacy, science and technology but because of the that this is an Indian language spoken by a solid block of majority and is fairly understood all over the country except in a few parts. Modern Hindi which, I would say, is in the initial stages of development from fourteen dialectical variations is not even 100 years old and from the literary point of view, compared to Tamil or Bengali for instance, Hindi may not be as developed. But we do not accord official status to a language as award for its literary wealth or merit. What has the man in the street to do with this aspect things?

Now, this Bill which I described as an honest attempt by our Home Minister, seeks to give some sort of status to the English language. But then, unfortunately, instead of diminishing the apprehensions in the minds of our people, it has intensified them.

#### 15.43 hrs.

## [Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

I will cite them, one by one. The first apprehension is this. Hindi might swamp and swallow the regional languages and retard their dynamic growth. Just as the composite fragrance of a lotus lies in the

# [Shri Hem Barua.]

11457

fragrance of the individual petals, the composite strength of India depends on the strength and beauty that the regional languages come to acquire. Therefore, I would say that on no account there should be an attempt made either to devitalise or dissipate the rigonal languages. I would like very much when the Prime Minister intervenes if he gives an assurance that this will never be done.

The second apprehension is this, English imposes an even and equitable disadvantage on all while Hindi reposes cent per cent advantage on 40 per cent of our population and imposes hundred per cent disadvantage on the rest. It is likely to get reflected in our employment pattern. In spite of our Plans, the unemployment figures in the country are growing. The apprehension is that Hindi as the official language, unemployment figures in the Hindispeaking areas would register a sharp decline-it is a most welcome thing and on the other hand the unemployment figures in non Hindi speaking areas would shoot up into incredible proportions. This problem of language cannot be isolated from the economic problems that are bound flow out of this piece of legislation. Therefore, I say that the Government must give us an assurance here and now that on no account an imbalance in the employment pattern of our country will be allowed to occur. On no account should an individual be allowed to reap the advantage because of tthe fact that he is born into a particular language group.

On the top of that, there is a third apprehension the lurking suspicion that there is a concealed plan in the Bill to banish English ultimately from the position that it is allowed to enjoy at present. Personally speaking, I am not worried about that because I do not think that ten years would be sufficient to oust English from our country. English was our official language for full 100 years and the British powers spared no pains to spread and propagate that language throughout the length and breadth of the country. What was the result? It did not go beyond two per cent. What the English language, itself a language of great charm and power, in spite οf the British rulers, could not achieve, Hindi, I am afraid, would not be able to do in the course of another years or so and that too when the responsibility for propagating Hindi is shifted by the Government to hands of a few zealots who create more problems than solve any.

On the question of English as one of our official languages, I would say that nobody can be happy over the fact that India has to choose or adopt language o foreign as the official language. We who have spearheaded nationalist struggles dream of it. But then what are the facts behind these things? can be happy if English remains as the official language. It would very much happier if Hindi could have been the only official language of our country. May I point out what Sir David Eccles, who was at one time the British Secretary for Education, said recently in Rome? He said that the Indian Prime Minister, in accepting English as an associate official language has accepted defeat at the hands of Britain. whatever it be defeat or no defeat, what is the way out? This precious unity of our country, this inheritance of rich possessions, cannot be allowed to be destroyed on the altar of division and desertion, because that would mean death and disaster for the nation. (Interruption). Though it is utterly unfortunate to think so, may I say that this might lead to further vivi-section of our country in defiance of the Anti-secession Law which we do not want. We want to preserve the unity of tis country.

Can we afford to do it? Would it not be utter folly and stupidity on our part if we create conditions that might lead to such a tragic situation in this Should we forget that country? throughout the long corridors of history, India was never a nation? When the Sikhs fought for an empire, they fought for the defence of a Sikh empire. When the Mahrattas fought an empire, they fought a Mahratta empire. the defence of Historically, this is a fact. (Interruption). You read Panikkar's book. Historically speaking, India is a nation This is sub-nationalities. authentic image of India from the view-point of history. Our Minister is a student of history and he also understands that this is the authentic image from the view-point of history.

Official

What have we inherited from the past? If we have inherited anything from the past we have inherited only cultural unity and nothing beyond that. What is the basic essence of this cultural unity? The basic essence of this cultural unity is an inherent respect for multiplicity and diversity. We forget this fact only at our peril. I would say that diversity is India's beauty. Diversity is India's destiny also, and we cannot forget these facts. What have we inherited from the British? If we have inherited anything from the British, we have inherited the administrative unity of our country. They have achieved administrative unity of this country by a uniform pattern of doministration, by a uniform system of education, by common currency, by common means of transport and communication.

What have we inherited from Gandhiji in our national struggle? We have inherited political unity. This is the perspective of the inheritance that is before us. Even at the risk of unpopularity, I would say that this political unity of our country cannot be taken for granted. We have canalise all our effort and energies to strengthen the political unity of this country so that this political unity can become the beaconlight for all of us.

An Hon. Member: For fighting the Congress also!

Shri Hem Barua: Any negligence on the part of our people or on the part of our Government in this aspect would mean disaster for this country. I would make an appeal to the proponents of Hindi, and I would say, at the same time, that we very much want Hindi as the sole official language of this country, but, I would apeal to the proponents of Hindi and say, let us, because of this compulsion of history thrown on us by destiny, examine the problem in a very sober manner and come to decision. decision that is propounded in piece of legislation, I think, is the right approach to this language pro-

I say that it would have been better if it could have been one laguage, but, can you ever think of writing off South India, or could you ever think of writing off the non-Hindi speaking area from the Indian map? If we ever think of that, that would be the way to death and not the way to life.

Let us not forget certain things. Let us not forget that there are instances of countries that have more than one national language. We can take the example of some Commonwealth contries. Canada, for instance, has two official languages: one English and the other French. Though the French people are in a minority in Canada the French language enjoys equa! status with English there. But let me not be misunderstood. This is a compulsion of history forced on us. What about Ireland? Ireland has two official languages. When the Irish people were enagaged in the fight for freedom as we were engaged in the fight for freedom, they announced that only Gaelic should be the official language of Ireland. But after Ireland achieved freedom they gave equal status to the English language with the Gaelic language. I may quote article 4 of the Irish Constitution which says:

"The national language of the Irish Free State is the Irish lan[Shri Hem Barua.]

11461

guage, but the English language shall be equaly recognized as an Official language."

Here, may I point out that it is "shall" and not "may", and there no time-limit fixed for the revision and to examine the position of English in that Constitution. I think all genuinely patriotic and right-thinking people would welcome a move on the line adopted by the Irish people in their Constitution over this language issue. I think this Bill seks to serve this purpose.

I would say that Hindi, if it has to strike deep roots in the country, a thing which we very much desire, must live in a more liberal atmosphere and in a more liberal air. It has to open the doors and windows of its mind. At the same time, it must chisel off some of the psychological edges of allofness and pedanticism. It is by shedding off these psychologi-cal edges that the English language has now become what it is today. I would say that all that seeks to retard the process of dynamic growth so far as Hindi language is concerned must be discarded because I have always thought that a living dynamic language cannot grow and develop in purdah. I do not think that Hindi wants to be a static and sterlie language.

Hindi must have a comon pool of words. Primarily, it might be Sanskrit, as it was Latin and Greek for the English language. But ultimately, it must go beyond that as the English language has gone beyond that. I can cite examples from the English language of words which have been borrowed from different parts of the I would say that Hindi must borrow not only from Sanskrit must borrow words and expressions from different regional languages of our country including Urdu. About Urdu, I think it is only a regional language. It is not the language of a community. It is no use trying to

load a language with archaic words and expressions or with abstruse words and expressions, because that smacks of artificiality, and artificiality is bad.

I remember a story that I read when I was a young man about an English girl. What happened was this. This girl had been to school in a mofussil town. During the holidays she came back home. The next morning she picked up an egg from the breakfast table, held it before her grandmother and said: "Grandmother, take an egg. Make an aperture on the apex and then a corresponding one at the base. Then, if you inhale forcibly or breathe forcibly into it, putting your kissing apparatus on the aperture at the apex, the shell is shattered of its contents." This is what the girl told her grandmother. The grandmother in amazement exclaimed:

"What's the world coming to. Well, when I was gal, I broke the head and sucked." Here is an example of two different styles: one is pedantic and artificial and the other one is simple and exact. I will say the result is the same. Now, I will say, Sir, that any language that modulates its style, as the English girl in her adolescence has done-and you will agree with me when I say that all girls in their adolescence.....

#### 16 hrs.

Mr. Speaker: I won't agree with him in a hurry.

Shri Hem Barua: I am just finishing, Sir, I will say, Sir, that any language that modulates its style, as the English girl in her adolescence has done-and you will agree with me when I say that all girls in their adolescence are vibrant emblems of mental immaturity-then it is bound to be static, staccato and wooden.

I would say that Hindi must outlive this process that throws a bridge between the English girl in adolescence and her grandmother and acquire some of the dynamism and vitality, the qualities that make any language readily acceptable by the people at large.

I would say, Sir, this is a historic occasion for our country, and let us hope and trust that we shall be able to establish the new resurgence of a new life for the nation driving away or dispelling all shadows of discord and disharmony that haunt the land today.

श्री द्वा० ना० तिवारी : (गोपालगंज) : मध्यक महोदय, मैं इस लेंग्वेज बिल का स्वागत तो करता हं लेकिन साथ हो लोगों के मन में कुछ ब्राशंकायें हैं जिनके कारण कुछ डर लगता है। मैं उन बातों को यहां कह देना मुनासिब समझता हूं।

**अक्सर कहा जाता है कि हिन्दी फैनेटिक्स** इस देश में हिन्दी का नाश कर रहे हैं। मैं नहीं जानता कि हिन्दी फैनेटिक किसको कहते हैं, कौन हिन्दी फैनेटिक हैं। हां मैं एक लेंग्वेज फैनेटिक को जानता हूं जो ग्रंग्रेजी लेंग्वेज का फैनेटिक है ग्रीर वह मिस्टर एंथनी हैं। मैं उनको लेंग्वेज फैनेटिक समझता हं। वह मुझे ऐसा कहने के लिये माफ करेंगे, लेकिन ऐसा कहने के लिये मेरे पास प्रमाण हैं कि उनको फैनेटिक कहा जा सकता है ।

जो हिन्दी को राजभाया के रूप में देखना चाहते हैं वे तो वही बात कहते हैं जिसको संविधान में रखा गया है। उनको फैनेटिक क्यों कहा जाता है। वह तो वही बात कहते हैं जिसको हम संविधान के द्वारा देश को देना चाहते हैं। यदि मैं कहं कि देश में सोशल जस्टिस होनी चाहिये तो क्या यह उसको लादना समझा जायेगा । यह तो हमारे संविधान में है। हम तो संविधान की चीजों को मान्यता देना चाहते हैं। चुंकि हिन्दी १५ वर्ष के बाद राजभाषा होने वाली थी इस वास्ते हमने अक्सर इस स्रोर आपका ध्यान मार्काषत किया । यह दूसरी बात हैं कि गवर्नमेंट ने अपने काम में फेल किया, गवर्नमेंट ने अपना काम ठोक से नहीं िया। ग्रौर इसी कारण ग्राज यह भाषा का विवाद फिर आ गया है । अगर गवर्नमेंट चाहती कि १५ वर्षों में हिन्दों ग्रिधिक से ग्रिधिक फैले तो वह ऐसा कर सकती थी लेकिन गवर्नमेंट ने किया नहीं।

जिस समय संविधान में हिन्दी राज्य भाषा रखी गयी उस वक्त किसी पर कोई दवाब नहीं था, इसको न भी माना जा सकता था, या ग्रंग्रेजी या किसी दूसरी भाषा को राज्य भाषा रखा जा सेकता था। लेकिन लोगों ने संविधान बनाते समय समझा कि श्रगर हम को हिन्द्स्तान की पालियामेंट भ्रौर हिन्द्स्तान की सेरकार बनानी है, विदेशी पालियामेंट ग्रौर विदेशी सरकार नहीं बनानी है, तो हमको हिन्द्स्तान की किसी भाषा को राजभाषा रखना जरुरी है ग्रौर सब बातों को सोच कर ही हिन्दी को राज-भाषा का स्थान दिया गया। ग्रब चुंकि सर-कार चक गयी और उसने हिन्दी का काम ठीक से नहीं किया, इसलिये यह विवाद फिर शुरु हो गया।

यह विवाद किस तरफ से स्राता है। तीन तरह के लोगों की तरफ से यह विवाद **ग्राता है। पहले तो वे लोग हैं जो यह चाहते हैं** कि इस देश में अंग्रेजी लदी रहे जैसे मिस्टर फ्रेंक एंथनी ग्रौर उनके दो चार साथी बे लोग समझते हैं कि श्रंग्रेजी ही यहां की मातुभाषा हो सकती है या मातु भाषा होनी। चाहिये। ये लोग नहीं चाहते कि म्रंग्रेजी के सिवा देश में किसी ग्रौर भाषा को चलाया जाये ।

लोग साउथ को दोष देते हैं। मैं नहीं समझता कि साउथ में कहीं इस बात का विरोध है कि हिन्दी राजभाषा न हो, लेकिन उनकी बात गलत तरह से कही जाती है । हां बंगाल की लैजिसलेटिव असेम्बली के लिए मुझे अफसोस है। बंगला और हिन्दी तो [श्री द्वा० ना० तिवारी]

11465

मिली जुली भाषाए हैं, उनकी वर्णमाला में बड़ी समानता है। बंगाल का विरोध मेरी समझ में नहीं ग्राता । ग्राप देखेंगे कि दक्षिण के चार राज्यों को छोड़ कर शेष राज्यों जैसे महाराष्ट्र, गुजरात, पंजाब, या वंगाल की भाषात्रों का स्त्रिप्ट करीब करीब हिन्दी से मिलता जुलता है, थोड़ा सा इधर उधर फर्क है। ग्रीर ग्रागर ग्राप इन प्रान्तों में से किसी में दस दिन भी रहें तो ग्राप वहां की बोलचाल को समझ सकते हैं। केवल दक्षिण के चार राज्यों के लिए यह नहीं कहा जा सकता। दक्षिण की भी चार भाषाश्रों में से दो भाषाएं संस्कृत से निकली हैं । उन भाषा वालों को भी हिन्दी की वजह से कोई ग्रधिक कठिनाई नहीं हो सकती।

जो लोग हिन्दी को नहीं चाहते वे तरह तरह की बातें करने लगते हैं। पालियामेंट की श्राफिशियल लेंग्वेज कमेटी की जो रिपोर्ट हैं उसमें मैं ने फ्रेंक एंथनी साहब का नोट ग्राफ डिस्सेंट पढ़ा है। मुझे ताज्जुब होता है कि श्री एंथनी जैसे विद्वान ग्रादमी का दिमाग इस प्रकार की गलत बातों की स्रोर कैसे चला गया । उन्होंने गलत बातें रखीं । उन्होंने कहा कि हिन्द्स्तान में हिन्दी जानने वाले श्राधा परसेंट हैं ग्रौर ग्रंग्रेजी जानने वालों से हिन्दी जानने वाले कम हैं। मुझे यह देख कर ताज्जुब हुआ कि अपने आरम्यूमेंट को आगे बढ़ाने के लिए किस तरह भ्रादमी का दिमाग कलर्ड हो जाता है। उन्होंने कहा कि दिल्ली म्युनिसि-पैलिटी ने यह प्रस्ताव पास किया कि उर्द् को न रखा जाए ग्रौर हिन्दी को रखा जाए ग्रौर इस सम्बन्ध में उन्होंने लिखा हैं:

"Hindi imperialism is making it increasingly impossible for linguistic minorities to live with selfrespect in the Hindi speaking States. The latest expression of this hatred against Urdu is a recent decision of the Delhi Cor-Committee poration Language

that the Hindi official vocabulary must ensure that ultimately no Urdu word is used."

यह एकदम गलत बात हैं। ऐसा कोई निर्णय नहीं किया गया लेकिन अपने आरग्युमेंट को पुष्ट करने के लिए उन्होंने यह बात लिख दी यदि श्राप उनका समुचा नोट श्राफ डिस्सेंट पढें तो स्रापको पता चलेगा कि किस प्रकार उन्होंने हिन्दीं ग्रौर नान-हिन्दी वालों को लड़ाने के लिए तर्क दिए हैं। मेरे पास समय नहीं है कि मैं वह सारा नोट पढ़ कर सुना सक् लेकिन ग्राप पढ़ें तो देखेंगे कि यह देश के लोगों को लड़ाने के लिए लिखा गया है। एक तो इस प्रकार के लोग भी हैं जो कि हिन्दी को नहीं चाहते ।

दूसरे लोग जो हिन्दी नहीं चाहते वे वे लोग हैं जो कि समझते हैं कि ग्राज हिन्दो को लाना प्रेक्टिकल नहीं है । मैं उनकी इज्जत करता हु। मैं उनकी बात मानता हूं। मैं समझता हूं कि जब हिन्दी को हम लोग राज भाषा हिन्दी प्रांतों में नहीं बना सके, वहां उन लोगों को डिफकल्टीज हुईँ ग्रीर सब काम वहां हिन्दी में नहीं कर सकते हैं तो उन प्रांतों में जहां कि हिन्दी मातुभाषा नहीं है उन में तो श्रौर दिक्कत होंगी । इसलिए जो प्रांत हिन्दी नहीं जानते हैं ग्रौर जो ग्रग्नेजी में बराबर काम करते ग्राये हैं उन लोगों के लिए छट होनी चाहिए। इसलिए संविधान ने १५ साल का समय दिया था। १५ साल का समय इसीलिए दिया गया था कि यदि ५ वर्ष का भी लड़का हो स्रौर वह हिन्दी पढना शुरू करे तो वह भी १५ वर्ष के भीतर हिन्दी अच्छी तरह से पढ़ सकता है और वह सरकारी काम काज हिन्दी में भली प्रकार कर सकता है । १५ वर्ष का समय जो संविधान में रक्खा गया था वह फिजूल में ही नहीं रक्खा गया था। जो लड़के ग्रभी पाठ्यक्रम शुरू करते हैं, जो ग्रभी हिन्दी की क, ख, ग, घ शुरू करेंगे, उनको हिन्दी पढाई जाय तो वह १५ वर्ष में हिन्दी का समुचित ज्ञान हासिल कर सकते हैं

Languages Bill 11468

जिससे कि हिन्दी में वे ग्रपना काम काज कर सकें। हिन्दी के शिक्षण और प्रसार के लिए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने ग्रवहेलना बर्ती ग्रीर राज्य सरकारों ने भी उस की ग्रवहेलना की ग्रीर इस तरफ ग्रधिक ध्यान नहीं दिया । इसलिए मैं उन की दिक्कत को समझता हं और ग्राज वस्तुस्थिति यह है कि सभी कुछ दिन सौर ग्रंग्रेजी को हमें चलाना चाहिए।

Official

हिन्दी का विरोध वे लोग भी करते हैं जो कि नौकरियों में बैठे हए हैं या जिनको कि नौकरियों की फिक है। राजा जी ने तो साफ़ कहा था कि हिन्दी के ग्राजाने से दक्षिण के लोगों की नौकरियों में कम जगह मिलेगी। मैं मानता हं कि उन की ब्राशंका किसी हद तक सही हो सकती है। गवनेंमेंट ग्राफ इंडिया की सर्विसेज को उठा कर देखें तो मालम होगा कि दक्षिण के लोग उस में बहुत ग्रधिक हैं। वे इसलिए ग्रधिक हैं कि वे हम लोगों से ग्रंग्रेजी ज्यादा जानते हैं। चंकि कलकत्ते, मद्रास वगरह में अग्रेज पहले आये, उन का पहले श्रंग्रेजों से टच हग्रा, कुछ श्रंग्रेजी ज्यादा सीख गये। इसलिए जब अग्रेज़ी में परीक्षा होती है तो नेचुरली उनको ज्यादा जगह मिलती है। हम को कोई ग्रज नहीं कि उनको ज्यादा जगहें क्यों मिलती हैं। लेकिन जो महजू नौकरियों की बिना पर हिन्दीं को ग्रपोज करते हैं वे देश के साथ घात करते हैं। यदि यह मनोवत्ति है तो म्राप कोटा सिस्टम कर दीजिये कि इतनी जगहें ग्रहिन्दी भाषा भाषी लोगों के लिए सुरक्षित रहेंगी । हिन्दी का पेपर कम्पलसरी हो, अंग्रेजी भी हो लेकिन जो ग्रहिन्दी भाषा भाषी लोग हैं उन की नौकरियां इस कदर सुरक्षित रहेंगी। ग्राप भले ही वर्तमान ग्रांकडों पर यह व्यवस्था कर दीजिये, मुझे कोई उग्र नहीं होगा लेकिन मैं चाहुंगा कि इस तरह जो कि नौकरियों के कारण हिन्दी का विरोध करना चाहते हैं, उनका विरोध इस तरह से ही खत्म ो जाय ।

हिन्दी का विरोध वह लोग भी करते हैं जो कि समझते हैं कि श्रंग्रेज़ी हट जाने से हमारी मिलिकियत छिन जायेगी । जो डौिमनेशन उन्होंने लोगों पर किया हुआ है वह हट जायेगा श्रीर उनकी मिलिकियत छिन जायेगी इस तरह के ही लोग उसका विरोध करते हैं। ग्रब िकया क्या जाय ? विल ठीक है लेकिन विल में एग ग़लत बात यह है कि उस में टाइम लिमिट किक्स नहीं किया जा रहा है। मेरा तो कहना है कि ग्राज जो लडके जन्म लेते हैं उनको यदि म्राप हिन्दी पढ़ाने की कोशिश कीजिये तो २० वर्ष के बाद सब लोग ग्रच्छे तरीके से हिन्दी पढ सकेंगे। इसलिए हिन्दी के लिए २० वर्ष की लिमिट कर दी जाय तो भी ठीक रहेगा। स्राप इस को ग्रनिश्चित काल के लिए छोड़ देते हैं यह ठीक नहीं है। मैं नहीं कहता कि जो लोग हिन्दी नहीं जानते हैं उन पर यह लादी जाय लेकिन यदि मन हो तो वे इसे श्रासानी से सीख सकते हैं। यदि उन के मन में हो कि हिन्दी राज भाषा हो तो ग्राप इसके लिए कोइ टाइम लिमिट २० वर्ष ग्रादि की रख सकते हैं। इस तरह की व्यवस्था रहने से माज जो जन्म लेने वाला बालक है वह भी २० वर्ष बाद हिन्दी पढ जायेगा और तब हिन्दी लाग कर दी जाय। इसलिए मेरा विचार है कि हिन्दी लाग करने के लिए एक टाइम लिमिट फिक्स करना जरूरी होता है।

मैं एक दूसरा सुझाव देना चाहता हूं। हमारी १४ राष्ट्रभाषाओं में १२, १३ राष्ट्र-भाषाएं ऐसी हैं जो कि संस्कृत से निकली हुई हैं ग्रौर जिनकी कि स्क्रिप्ट को छोड़ कर फोनोटिक्स ग्रौर ऐलफबेट एक है। उन की एक स्क्रिप्ट बना दीजिये। एक स्क्रिप्ट सारे देश के लिए बना दीजिये तो सब लोग सब लैंग्वेजेज पढ लेंगे .....

एक माननीय सदस्य : रोमन स्क्रिप्ट कर दीजिये।

श्री द्वा० ना० तिवारी: ग्राप देश के बाहर की चीज को ही कोंकि राष्ट्रीय भावना

11470

[श्री द्वा॰ ना॰ तिवारी]

ग्राप में पनपी नहीं है इसीलिए ग्रापको रोमन स्क्रिप्ट करने को बात सुझती है। जो चीज हन्द्रस्तान की नहीं है उसको लेने की बात ग्राप करते हैं।

में तो कहंगा कि यह जितनी भी संस्कृत से निकली हुई भाषाएं हैं उन की एक स्क्रिप्ट तयार कर दीजिये। मैं इस के लिए यह भी मान लंगा कि हिन्दी में जरा इसके लिए इधर उधर चेंज करना होतो वह भी करना मान लिया जाय। सब की एक स्त्रिप्ट कर देने से ही सब भाषात्रों की समस्या किसी हद तक हल हो जायेगी और इस तरह लोग सभी भाषाएं पढ़ सकते हैं।

जैसा मैं ने कहा नौकरियों में एक परसेंटेज रख दिया जाय नहीं तो यह काम चलने वाला नहीं है। ग्राज जितने माननीय सदस्यों ने इस डिबेट में हिस्सा लिया है सबों की इस बारे में एक राय है। कि हमारी एक राज भाषा होनी चाहिए। इस बारे में एक राय है। ग्रभी श्री एन्यौनी नहीं बोले हैं संभंव है उनकी दूसरी राय हो . . . .

म्रध्यक्ष महोदय: मैं ग्रभी श्री एन्योनी को युलाने वाला हं।

श्री दा० ना० तिवारी: श्रभी तक जिन लोगों ने हिस्सा लिया उन सभी लोगों ने यह कहा है कि एक राज भाषा होनी चाहिए स्रौर वह भाषा हिन्दी ही हो सकती है । मैं तो यहाँ तक ग्रागे जाने वाला हं कि ग्रगचें हिन्दी से ग्राप लोगों को कोई नफ़रत हो तो उसके स्थान पर कोई दूसरी राजभवा बना दीजिये लेकिन कोई भारतवर्ष की ही मातुभाषा राजभाषा बनाइये । किसी भी सूरत में इस देश की राज भाषा श्रंग्रेजी नहीं होनी चाहिए।

दुत्तरे डी॰ एम॰ के॰ पार्टी के लोगों को हिन्दी से चिद्ध है। ग्रब उनको भारतवर्ष से ही चिढ है भ्रौर वे हिन्दुस्तान से भ्रलग होना चाहते है इसलिए उनकी बात मैं नहीं फहना चाहता ।

इसलिए उनके विरोध को मैं कोई विरोध नहीं समझरा लेकिन जो लोग हिन्दस्तान की राष्ट्रीयता में विश्वास करते हैं हिन्दुस्तान की एकता में विश्वास करते हैं उन के लिए मैं कहंगा कि ग्राप सब मिल कर बैठिये और भाषा की समस्या पर शाँतिपूर्वक विचार विमर्श कीजिये। ग्रगर यहाँ की राज भाषा हिन्दी नहीं होनी है तो उसके स्थान पर कोई दूसरी देशी मातभाषा राज भाषा बना दीजिये लेकिन म्रंग्रेजी को इस देश में राज भाषा नहीं रहना चाहिए ।

Shri Frank Anthony: Mr. Speaker, Sir, a good deal has been said which, I feel, has little relevance to the Bill as such and I would not have digressed at all but for one or two specific references to me. The hon. Speaker who preceded me referred to me as some kind of a fanatic and to some kind of wrong figures that I had given in my minute of dissent to the Parlia-Committee's mentary Language Report. May I pause just for a minute to answer that?

I do not know what my hon, friend's background is, but I can officially point to certain hostages to my bona fides in this matter. My hon, friend, Shri Bhattacharyya, reminded "You were yesterday saying, only person who proposed that Hindi should be the national language of India in the Constituent Assembly". I went further. I said, "It should be taught to everybody as the medium of instruction from the 5th class". Why did I do it? Was it because I was an English fanatic? No. It was because I believed then that a language must, by an evolutionary process, commend itself to the country. But during the years what has been my bitter personal experience? I had asked for Hindustani. I went to the hon. Prime Minister. I said, "You invoke Gandhiji's name when it suits you, but when it does not suit you, you repudiate him. Why do you not put Hindustan?" He did not think much about it.... (Interruption).

Shri D. N. Tiwary: Can he let me know the difference between Hindi and Hindustani?

Shri Frank Anthony: He asked, "What is the difference between Hindi and Hindustani?" I said, "You will see the difference." Gandhiji with his unerring instinct knew that it would be a neutral language. Hindi will become the vehicle of communalism which will be seized upon by Hindu communalists and it will become a symbol of oppression of the minorities.

An Hon. Member: Totally wrong.

Shri Frank Anthony: It would become a symbol of linguistic genocide for the minority communities.... (Interruption). And what has happened? I am only answering why I am bitter. Of course, I am bitter. I have had to go to the courts and spend thousands and thousands in order to prevent.... (Interruption).

Shri R. S. Pandey (Guna): Hindi has been accepted.

Shri Frank Anthony: I am answering this charge of fanaticism and why I have become a fanatic.....(Interruption).

An Hon. Member: On a point of order, Sir.

Shri Thirumala Rao (Kakinada): May I understand the meaning of the word 'genocide'? It has got a very bad odour all over the world. In what sense is he using that word?

Shri Kapur Singh: Is there a point of order if he does not know the meaning?

Shri R. S. Pandey: On a point of order, Sir. I do not mind if the hon. Member wants to criticize Hindi. He has got every right to do so; he has the privilege to criticize Hindi. But

giving this impression that those people who are talking in favour of Hindi are pro-Hindu or that they are suffering from any complex of Hindi or communalism is absolutely wrong because it has been accepted in the Constitution which he says....(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Now, probably he thought, he apprehended, and probably rightly, that he might not get the opportunity. Therefore, he wanted to speak. Otherwise, where is the point of order?

Shri Frank Anthony: I would not have started on this note. But one of my misguided Hindi friends accused me of being a fanatic. I was only answering that....(Interruption)

Shri D. N. Tiwary: I object to this. He says, misguided Hindi friend.... (Interruption). I have never used that (Interruption). You are a misguided. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Frank Anthony: You were not in the Chair, Sir. I had to put up with this pre-concerted heckling from the Hindi fanatics... (Interruptions).

Some Hon. Members: No, Sir. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Even if certain things are not liked by us, we have to hear them and with patience. Let us show that toleration.

Shri A. P. Sharma: That Hindi represents communalism is wrong. He said that in the official language report also....(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He says, he had represented it to the Prime Minister. He is trying to explain it. He says, he is being called fanatic. But I will ask him to exercise greater restraint.

Shri Frank Anthony: I am enly answering this.

11473

Shri J. P. Jyotishi (Sagar): The framers of the Constitution were not fanatics.

Shri Frank Anthony: I do not want to canvass further our bona fides. will go straightway to this Bill.

Mr. Speaker: That will be better.

Shri Frank Anthony: I have asked for the circulation of the Bill for several reasons. In the first place, whatever Government's claims may be-claim by the Home Minister or even by the Prime Minister-I feel that in this matter, in the framing of this Bill they have definitely functioned in an atmosphere of unreality. Psychologically, and even physically, they have been under pressure from the Hindi chauvinists. Delhi is a stronghold of Hindi chauvinists. I say this. One of my friends talked about the resolution from the Delhi Corporation. I say, I saw that not in one but in several papers-let that go. But on this issue which for the non-Hindi speaking people who represent 80 per cent of the population.... (Interruption).

Shri Raghunath Singh (Varanasi): Question not 80 per cent.

Shri Frank Anthony: May I just say this in one line. The greatest political canard that has been perpetrated in the Republican India is that 40 per the people are cent of knowing-the greatest political canard. The last 1951 census was deliberately Not only whole dialects which have nothing to do with Hindi. (Interruption). Let me argue Whole dialects which have nothing to do with Hindi have been included in Hindi census and even languages, Urdu, Punjabi and, as my friend Dr. Singhvi said, Rajasthani have all been included, filched to make Hindi into 42 per cent. Dr. Suniti Kumar Chatterjee who is a great indologist and who has written many better books in Hindi than many Hindi protagonist friends has said, "What is the Hindi today?" He said, "As far as I can see it, what they are trying to erect into. The language of India is merely a dialect which came into existence only recently since about 1850". -but let that go. As I was saying, Government had no rapport in a matter which has been emotional significance for the majority of the people of India, with the non-Hindi speaking people. As I said, there have been unremitting psychological and even physical pressures from Hindi chauvinists because they have been functioning from Delhi. You, Sir, were the victim of what happened the other day here. What was it?

An Hon. Member: You were treated well.

Shri Frank Anthony: What was it? Even at the introduction of the Bill there was an orchestrated .... (Interruption). May I say it was orchestrated with the typical expressions of Hindi chauvinism today. The exhibition to my mind was not only unspeakably disgraceful, it was an exhibition of crudity and vulgarism which anybody who attempts to stand up to the dictat of Hindi chauvinism has to put up with-sheer vulgarity and crudity that was unspeakably disgraceful to the House. (Interruption).

Who has had the opportunity among the Governments-I want an answer from the Home Minister-of the non-Hindi States to study it? I have a lot of friends among even Hindi-speaking Congress people, many close friends. One of them told me this. He said, he asked Kamaraj Nadar, what is your opinion about the Bill and he said, how can I give an opinion about the Bill, I have vague idea about it. Another Bengali friend came to me. I asked, what is P. C. Sen doing? He said he had not the foggiest notion about it. I get all kinds of telegrams and letters from numerous legislators from Bengal and Madras asking me to send them copies. They said, we are in completest ignorance of this Bill, what is it all about.

Shri Hanumanthaiya: It was published in the Hindu paper.

Official

Shri Raghunath Singh: In the Gazette also it was published. He should not say that.

Shri Frank Anthony: How many hours had they to study it? That is my point. Why am I asking for circulation? I would have thought that at least ordinary courtesy, democra-tic decency would have required that a Bill of far-reaching implications, a Bill which affects the whole country, which affects intimately the Hindi States, would have been referred to the legislatures. Allow them to study it. Why this desperate hurry to dush it through? That why I have asked for circulation. say, this is a measure of the contempt of the Hindi chauvinists for the non-Hindi-speaking people. Time not given to their Governments, much less to their legislatures to study a measure which affects them and intimately.

What is the plea? I say, the plea will be taken, the plea has been taken that postponement will mean a concession to Hindi agitation. May I say with great respect that this is a palpably disingenious plea. I know what the Hindi chauvinists They want to put it off completely indefinitely until there is the demission not only of Nehru, but also of my good friend Lal Bahadur Shastri. Because, they feel that with the demission of Jawaharlal Nehru. will be able, by a Cabinet dominated by Hindi protagonists, to wreak their Hindi chauvinistic will on the non-Hindi-speaking people. They want to postpone it indefinitely.

Some Hon. Members No. (Interruption).

Shri Farnk Anthony: All these protestations only prove what I am saying. But, I have not asked for postponement indefinitely. I have only

said, postpone it till the next session, the Monsoon session or at the till the winter session. Am I asking too much? The Government appears to be absolutely adamant. In spite of all the professions about consulting non-Hindi people, they do not want to give them any time to study this Bill. They have not given them time.

I say that it is necessary for some of the Members who were not here to know something of the background from which this Bill has emerged. Some Members may recall the debate in August 1959 on my Resolution that English should be included the VIII Schedule. What happened? Today, there is not so much heckling. But at that time, there was concerted, pre-concerted heckling. The atmosphere was foul, befouled: it was hatefilled. Fortunately, the Prime Minister was there. He realised that it was potential for complete disaster to the country-this hate-filled atmosphere. He said, I am going to intervene. On the 7th of August, he intervened. I say this, I said it then as a tribute to the Prime Minister, fortunately he had the vision to 900 through that cloud of bitterness. of hatred, of recrimination. He saw through it. He made what I hailed as a statesmanlike memorable assurance. I say it was an assurance, it was acclaimed as an assurance, as a solemn assurance to the non-Hindispeaking people. It was an ance which brought a balm, a healing touch to a problem which could very well have destroyed this country. It was hailed by us as a categorical assurance. This was what he said. I am only reading the concluding sentence of the Prime Minister's assurance.

"So, I would have it as an alternate language as long as people require it land the decision for that, I would leave not to Hindi-knowing people but to the non-Hindi knowing people."

[Shri Frank Anthony]

In September 1959, about a month after, the then Home Minister Pantji, whom I regarded with great respect and even affection, placed the report of the Parliamentary Committee on the Table of the House. What did I do? I do not know whether you were there in the House at that time or you were in the Chair I sought merely to move an amendment to the consideration. Did you see what happened? The whole Hindi phalanx shouted me down Why? Because, I was merely seeking an amendment to concretise the Prime Minister's assurance. I know they do not like some of my expressions. They need not accept them if they do not fit them. I knew the Hindi magalomaniacs never had the remotest intention of honouring the Prime Minister's surance, that the megalomaniacs side the party never had the remotest intention of honouring the Prime Minister's assurance. I know that they not only hated Jawaharlal Nehru...

Several Hon Members That wrong. He should withdraw it.

Shri Frank Anthony: All right; they did not hate Jawaharlal Nehru, but what they hated was Jawaharlal Nehru's formula. (Interruptions).

Shri Radhelal Vyas: Mr. Speaker, Sir. I request you to kindly ask him to withdraw these words These are remarks made against the Members These are false and malicious statements made by him.

Shri Frank Anthony: They hated Jawaharlal Nehru's formula; they loved him; they loved Jawaharlal Nehru. I concede that. But they hated the Jawaharlal Nehru fomula, one of them had the courage to say 'Boo' to Jawaharlal Nehru to face. All their efforts have been concentrated behind the scenes, not only to dilute this assurance but deliberately . to sabotage it.

I shall give you something of the inside story, because I know what the inside story is My hon, friend Govind Das is not here. He asw among the principal hecklers, and I got a little angry at that time. Today, I am not angry, but I am only a little heated. What did I say? Govind Das was heckling me. I said 'I withdraw my minute of dissent repudiating Hindi, in the specific terms of the assurance given by specific Jawaharlal Nehru.' And I told him, 'Get up, and say that you endorse Jawaharlal Nehru's assurance, and I shall sit down'. He said 'We do'. much for his profession at that time. I do not know whether he endorsed the assurance today. But those were his exact words. Dr. Govind Das said 'We said so'.

When the Home Minister introduced this report and made a speech, him, I I charged charghim with the deliberate omis. ed sion to make any reference to the Jawaharlal Nehru assurance. And then what happened? The Prime Minister, appreciating the genuine revival of fears among the non-Hindispeaking people-once again intervened. That was on the 4th September. And this was what he said:

"Whatever I said then...." -that was on my resolution-

"....of course, I hold by it completely.".

In spite of Jawaharlal Nehru's repeated assurances, the Hindi protagonists have never accepted that assurance. What happened then? You may remember that the introduction of this Bill was promised some time ago. There was repeated postponement of that promised introduction. What happened during the incubation period? A senior member of the Congress Party, a very well-meaning member of the Congress Party came to me and said 'the Hindi people are agitated; will you cept some kind of a compromise on the Prime Minister's formula?'. I said; 'Who am I? It is the Prime Minister's formula given as a sacred assurance to the non-Hindi-speaking people. Who am I to accept a compromise?'. From that time onwards, for three or four months, there was this unremitting canvassing and feverish pressure brought to bear on the Home Minister the whole time. And what was proposed to me?

They said that they were against the words 'alternate' and 'associate', because they were a little too-far-reaching. And they said 'Accept 'secondary' or 'additional'.' that was one suggestion. What was the other sugestion? They did not like the indefinite period in the Jawaharlal Nehru formula. And they said 'Would you not accept five years or ten years?'. Then, worst of all, they said 'We do not like the assurance that the matter should be left to the non-Hindi-speaking people.' I do not know what happened.

Shastriji was under no obligation to consult me. I thought that he might. though I am not a member of 'his party. But he did not see fit even to discuss the matter with me. But then, the Bill was suddenly produced before the House. And I say this that when I read it, I was utterly horrified. Everything in it gave me an idea of the measure of the irresistible political dominance of the Hindi phalaini in the Congress Party. Every thing that they wanted they got in the Bill. Everything that was proposed to me by way of a compromise they got. And Shastriji, the good man that he is, necessarily has his predilections. because Hindi is his mother-tongue.

Shri Raghunath Singh: As English is my hon, friend's mother-tongue.

Shri Frank Anthony: Therefore, this pretence of objectivity is of no use I cannot be objective with regard to English. Shastriji cannot be objective with regard to his mother-tongue Hindi. None of us can be objective with regard to our mother-tongues. 378 (Ai) LSD—8.

We are all products of a matrix, and the most powerful ingredient of that matrix is the month-tongue with which we have been nurtured. So, he cannot be so, I am saying, the good man that he is.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: On a point of order.

Shri Frank Anthony: I hope, Sir, that you would not take away all this time from the time allotted to me.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla (Mahasamund): My point of order is this. The hon. Member just now sought to give the impression to the House that a Member on behalf of the Congress Party approached him for some sort of formula or some agreement. Will the hon. Member make it clear whether the Member came to him from the Congress Party with full authority of his party or it was an approach to him by an individual member? I say this because this is a wrong impression that he has conveyed to the House.

Shri Frank Anthony:  $H_e$  was a well-meaning Member with  $n_0$  pretence of coming on behalf of the Party. He merely said, 'I am trying to get a compromise. This is what the Hindi people want. They say if you meet them halfway, we will have a compromise'.

I have said that everything they were seeking was ultimately embodied in the Bill. The tragedy has been this. They have got everything they wanted. But I say this: Hindi imperialism's—like all neo-imperialisms'—appetite grows with feeding. The appetite of Hindi imperialism grows with feeding. ... (Interruptions). One man cannot impose a language. If English is to be accepted people will accept it because they realise when they compare it with Hindi, that the study of Hindi is a sheer waste of time... (Interruptions). That is the only reason for it. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Frank Anthony: May I continue with my arguments? Look at the disingenuous, tortuous, arguments that are being sought to hoodwink the submissive, guilible, non-Hindi people,

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma (Khammam): On a point of information.

Shrj Frank Anthony: I submit my time should not be curtailed owing to these interruptions.

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: I would like to know from the hon. Member whether he is hailing from any non-Hindi area so much so that he is championing the cause of the non-Hindi speaking people.

Shri Frank Authony: It is hardly a point of order.

Shri Joachim Alva (Kanara): I have a point of order. As you know, I rarely get up on points of order.

Let us have some kind of parliamentary language. The hon. Member has said in regard to the Home Minister the words 'pretence of objectivity.' Now, this is a very dishonest way of making a statement. Can one hon. Member cast a reflection on another by saying 'pretence of objectivity'. I want your ruling on the matter.

Shri Frank Anthony: May I make it clear? I have said that about myself also.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. This is how I understood it—he said that we cannot have any objective assessment. He mentioned himself also in that.

Shri Frank Anthony: Yes. He did not understand it.

Shri Joachim Alva: No.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order

Shri A. N. Vidyalankar (Hoshiarpur): Can a Member abuse himself and then abuse another? Shri Joachim Alva: It is very dishonest to say 'pretence of objectivity' about another hon. Member. It is a reflection on that hon. Member. Every Member has equal right in this House.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. If he uttered that for himself also, I cannot take exception to it.

Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: Could a Member abuse himself and then abuse others?

Mr. Speaker: I do not think I can interfere at this stage with anything that he has said. He may continue.

श्री राम सेवक यादव: श्रष्यक्ष महोदय अगर कोई विरोधी सदस्य अपने को गाली दे तो क्या उसको यह अधिकार मिल जाता है कि वह दसरों को भी गाली दे ?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Frank Anthony: Ten minutes have gone in interruptions.

**Shri Tyagi:** The satires and pungency of the speech of my hon. friend should not be misunderstood. He is after all at heart a good gentleman.

Shri Frank Anthony: Ah. Thank you.

I was indicating some of the pleas by the Hindi protagonists against this formula. One plea is that this was not an assurance, that those of us who understood it specifically either did not understand English or did not understand the Prime Minister, that even the newspapers which highlighted it as a categorical assurance apparently were benighted newspapers. This is how even the Times of India, which is rather anti-English, reported in its issue of 5-9-1959: "Associate Status for English—P. M. Reiterates Stand".

And then one other ground is that the Prime Minister himself was rather confused, he probably did not know what he meant then; they even suggested that he might not know even now what he meant then. I feel that is very unfair to the Prime Minister, because in a letter written to Shri Sampath and released in the papers on 4-8-1960—we may be a little ambivalent speaking in this House; by nature politicians are inclined to be a little tortuous and ambiguous, but when they write they are not so ambivalent—this is what the Prime Minister said, and it is in inverted commas:

"There has been no occasion at any time for our Government to go back in any way on the assurance I gave in the Lok Sabha with regard to the language question."

It means that he gave an assurance, and that it was an assurance. This rather disingenuous plea that it was not an assurance has been met by the Prime Minister.

Another argument is this. Apparently it may apply to Hindi phraseology that an assurance is not mandatory: in Hindi "may" may mean "may not". I do not know. But they say that by this assurance to the non-Hindi speaking people the Prime Minister meant "may", he even meant "may not". I say it is a travesty of the English language.

Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: The hon. Member has been attributing motives, making scurrilous attacks, trying to sow the seeds of discord, disunion and all this. He has been holding this Member said this, that Member said that, against those who cannot refute it. What is this type of speech that is going on?

Mr. Speaker: That is all right.

Shri Frank Anthony: As I say, it will probably be argued that "may" in the context means "shall". I would say this, whether it is scurrility or anything else, for anyone to contend that "may" in the context of article 343 from which the Bill emerges, in the specific context of the language of this Bill, means "shall" is the ultimate

in political chicanery. When I deal with clause 3 I shall show. . . .

Shri Tyagi: Chicanery?

Shri Frank Anthony: Political chicanery, sheer political chicanery for anyone to suggest that.

Shri Tyagi: It is a rather hard word.

Shri Frank Anthony: Yes, sheer political chicanery.

I say in fact the Bill should have been a simple one line measure if it was intended straightforwardiy. honestly, to implement the Prime Minister's assurance. It could have been in this form: "English shall be the associate alternative language". We need not have put a time limit to it. Parliament can always revoke it. I am giving notices of amendments. If they wanted to implement the Prime Minister's assurance that it should have been left to the non-Hindi speaking States, what was the difficulty in saving that it shall be the alternate language until otherwise decided the non-Hindi speaking legislatures? That was the assurance. Or, if they are not prepared to trust the Hindi speaking legislatures, it could be until otherwise decided by threefourths of the Members of each House respectively, ensuring that the Hindi protagonists would not be able overrun the non-Hindi speaking people by a simple majority. If there was a will-but there never was any will-to implement the Prime Minister's assurance, it could have been a simple two line Bill. Instead, opportunity has been taken by forms insidious methods to make this Bill in fact an instrument for blanket imposition of Hindi.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: On a point of order, Sir. Again, a charge is made against the Home Minister.

Shri Frank Anthony: I am not charging anyone. I am making a statement of fact. That is the difference. English is susceptible of such nuances of meaning.

Mr. Speaker: That is my difficulty also, because I cannot understand the whole significance of those adjectives that he has been using.

Shri Frank Anthony: May I say this? They may be a little fierce but they are the choicest of parliamentary invective.

Mr. Speaker: Even if they be parliamentary and permissible, even then it should be dignified....(Interruptions.)

**Shri Kapur Singh:** If a word is parliamentary, there should be no further restriction, whether it is dignified or not.

Mr. Speaker: It is contained in our rules. Besides being parliamentary they should not be indecent or undignified. It is in our own rules. . . . (Interruptions.)

**Shri Badrujjuja:** Sir, on a point of order. Can a parliamentary expression be undignified?

Mr. Speaker: When we take parliamentary words, we often borrow them from the English House of Commons. But here there are circumstances where the same words might not look dignified. Therefore, we have to develop our own conventions.

Shri Frank Anthony: I am a little sorry.

Mr. Speaker: He has been using too strong additionals.

Shri Frank Anthony: I beg to submit that though it may partake of the character of invective, if it is refined, it can never be undignified. Perhaps it is lack of understanding on the part of some people (Interruptions.)

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I do not see any harm if Mr. Anthony claims that he has better knowledge of English. I at least admit that I have lack of understanding so far as the adjectives that he has used. . (Interruptions.) Order, order. I cannot

very well appreciate the many adjectives of his. Therefore, if I confess there was lack of understanding on my part, there would be no harm. Even the others should not take so much objection to that.

Shri Tyagi: He has only displayed how comparatively bitter the English language is. That is all. ... (Interruptions.)

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shri Frank Anthony: Sir, I will need ten minutes more. All through I have been interrupted. I have spoken for about twenty minutes.

Mr. Speaker: My difficulty is that when a Member chooses to use such a language which is interrupted so much, then interruptions also should form part of the speech.

Shri Frank Anthony: It is a little unfortunate. I do not want to impute motives but I anticipated these interruptions.

Mr. Speaker: He may take five more minutes.

Shri Frank Anthony: Look at the blanket imposition.

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: Sir. on a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. There can be no point of order now.

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: If the hon. Member presumes that our understanding is much less, then he should all the more be careful to speak in a language which is more understandable and clear.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I have every regard for the hon. lady Member. But she frequently resorts to these points of order.

Shri Frank Anthony: Look at the blanket imposition, Sir. English may

11487

or may not be an additional language. The expression used is 'in addition to Hindi'. I wonder if my non-Hindi speaking friends have understood this. Here is an instrument in this expression for the blanket imposition of Hindi from 1965. The expression 'in addition to Hindi' postulates essentially that English may be used only in addition for official purposes. So that from 1965 for all official purposes, Hindi shall be used. That is what the expression in addition to means. That is the simple meaning. I shall show you how the Parliamentary Language Committee functioned behind closed doors: how it was regimented. I shall have something rather bitter to say about how it functioned. Even that Parliamentary Committee, regimented though it was, did go so far. They said categorically that after 1965 even Hindi may not be sufficiently developed and it would not be sufficiently developed for all the official purposes. But this expression, "in addition to" will be used-(Interruption), I know my hon, friend is a good friend; I trust him. Shri Jawaharla! Nehru is a better person, but they will be demitting the scene in ten years. What is the good of their assurances? Some body will go to and the then Cabinet, the courts, weighted by my hon. friends like Shri Satya Narayan Sinha with his natural predilection for Hindi, will say that "in addition to" means Hindi be used for all the official purposes of the Union. Why does clause 4 come in? Clause 4 is a deliberate snare.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Satya Narayan Sinha has all along been silent. Why should be drawn into this? (Interruption).

Shri Frank Anthony: Clause 4 is a deliberate snare. My hon, friend the Home Minister may say that clause 4 provides for the progressive use Hindi. I say that it is otiose. Clause 3 provides for the blanket use Hindi. Clause 4 is otiose. But clause 4 is a disguised instrument for replacement of English after 10 years. It is not meant for the progressive use of Hindi. Clause 3 provides for the blanket imposition of Hindi from 1965. Clause 4 is just a snare.

Clauses 6 and 7 refer to the State legislatures—the imposition of translations. As I said, ordinary elementary courtesy required a reference at least to the State legislatures beore it was imposed on them. I would still ask the Home Minister: what will happen if the matter is deferred even till the winter session?

श्री यशपाल सिंह अध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझे बिल्कूल शिकायत नहीं है कि हमारे एंथनी साहव हिन्दी के खिलाफ हैं। बह नामिनटेड मेम्बर हैं और इसलिए उन के आइडियाज भी नामिनेटेड हैं। मैं इतनी दरखास्त जरूर करूंगा कि भाषा एक ब्रहम विषय है स्रौर इस पर कम से कम मुझे स्राधा घंटा दिया जाये । यह हिन्दुस्तान के ४४ करोड इन्सानों की जिन्दगी ग्रौर मौत का सवाल है ।

ां भ्रपने माननीय गृह मंत्री जी को इस बात के लिए बिल्कूल मुबारकबाद नहीं दे सकता कि वह इस वक्त इस विल को लाए। अप्रगर माननीय गृह मंत्री जी चाहते तो १५ साल की अवधि में देश का एक एक बच्चा हिन्दी सीख सकता था। मैं दावे के साथ कह सकता हं कि मझ जैसे मंद बृद्धि आदमी को भ्रगर माननीय गृह मंत्री जी गुरु बन कर लैटिन, फोंच, अरबी, फारसी आदि किसी भी भाषा की शिक्षा दें तो मैं ६ महीने में उस जवान को सीख सकता है। श्रीर श्रगर ऐसान कर अकंतो मझे यहाँ से निकाल बाहर जर दिया जावें। तो जब मेरे जैसा मंद विद्व सीख चकता है तो क्या गह मंत्री जी के आई० सी० एस०, आई० ए० एस० ग्रौर पी० सी० एस० ग्रफसर हिन्दी नहीं सीख सकते थे। यह गवर्नमेंट का कूसर है iक उस ने ऐसा नहीं किया, भ्रौर जब बजाय ग्रपने कुसुर के लिए पश्चात्ताप करने के वह यह बिल लाये हैं जिस को कि इस समय

# [श्री यशपाल सिंह]

11189

पर लाना विल्कुल नामुनासिव है। इस को ब्राज सिर्फ इसलिए लाया गया है कि जनता सरकार से यह न पूछे कि डिफेंस में क्या हो रहा है और उधर से ग्रानी दिष्ट हटा कर भाषा के प्रश्न की ग्रोर लगा ले। ग्रौर इस प्रकार भाषा के मसले में उलझ जाय। यह देश की आजादी का सवाल है। मझे इस सम्बन्ध में ग्रायरलेंड के नेता श्री डिवेलरा का एक कथन याद ग्राता है। उन्हों ने कहा था कि अप्रार तराजु के एक पलड़े में मेरे देश ग्रायरलैंड की ग्राजादी हो ग्रीर दूसरे पलड़े में मेरी मातुभाषा हो तो मैं उस पलड़े की ब्रोर झुकूंगा जिस में नेरी मातृभाषा है न कि उस पलड़े की तरफ जिस में कि मेरे देश की आजादी है, क्यों कि मेरी मात-भाषा कायम रहेगी तो देश की आजादी भी वापस आ जायगी, अगर मेरी मातुभाषा कायम नहीं रही तो देश की आजादी भी कायम नहीं रह सकेगी।

इन १४ सालों का इतिहास कांग्रेस सरकार की पराजय का इतिहास रहा है। वह देश के सामने कोई ग्राइडियल नहीं रख सकी। ग्रीर यह केवल भाषा का ही मलला नहीं है जिस में सरकार की यह स्थिति, रही हो, हर मसले में यही स्थिति रही है, चाहे वह काश्मीर का मसला हो, चाहे इवेक्यूयी प्रापर्टी का मसला हो या कोई ग्रीर मसला हो कांग्रेस सरकार का इतिहास पराजय का इतिहास रहा है। यह केवल भाषा का ही-सवाल नहीं है। इस सम्बन्ध में मुझे मिर्जा गालिव का एक शेर याद ग्राता है जोकि इस प्रकार है:

बूए गुल नालाए दिल दूदे चिरागे मः फिल जो तेरी बज्म से निकला वो परीशां निकला ।

मेरा दावा है कि अगर हमारे माननीय गृह-मंत्री और सरकार बाहती तो इन १५ सालों में देश का एक एक नागरिक हिन्दी सीख सकता था लेकिन सरकार ने चाहा नहीं। श्राज सरकार श्रुपनी नाकामयाबी पर परदा डालना चाहती है ग्रीर यह नहीं कहती कि हमारी इनएफीशेंसी रही है, और हम से एक वड़ी भारी भूल हो गयी है, बल्कि उस चीज को एक नए रूप में ला कर देश पर अंग्रेजी लादना चाहती है। लेकिन जनता ग्राज इतनी भोली नहीं रह गयी है कि इन वातों में आ जाय । मैं आज यहां आप से हिन्दी की रक्षा की भीख नहीं मांगता। हिन्दी की रक्षा करने के लिए हमारे बाहुग्रों में बल है, ग्रपने संवि-धान की रक्षा करने का हमारे जज्बात में बल है। लेकिन सरकार को नेक रास्ता दिखलाना हनारा कर्तव्य है। ग्रगर ग्राज यह बिल न लावा जाता तो हिन्दुस्तान की जनता में जो एकता की गंगा वह रही थी, जनता में प्रेम का जा दरिया उमड़ रहाथा, उस को धक्का न लगता। स्राज उस एकता ग्रीर प्रेम को खंडित करने के लिए यह जिल लाया गया है। यह ४४ करोड़ इन्हानों की जुदान का सवाल है। जिस संविवान को पूज करने के लिये हम ने तीन तीन बार अपय उठाई है. उसी को आज हम पूरा नहीं कर रहे हैं। क्या संसार में कोई ऐसा अभागा देश है कि जिल को स्वतन्त्र हुए १५-१६ साल हो गए हों और वहां का काम दूजरे मुख्य की जजान में चल रहा हो। ऐसा कोई भी वदिकस्मत मल्क दुनिया में न होगा।

हम से कहा जाता है कि अंग्रेजी नहीं रहेगी तो टैकनालाजी कहां में आपगी, अगर अंग्रेजी नहीं रहेगी तो गव विज्ञान कहां से आयेगा। मैं पूछता हूं कि रूस के एक बेटे ने भी अंग्रेजी को नहीं पढ़ा, लेकिन उन्हों ने स्पूतिक को ला कर जमीन पर कैंते खड़ा कर दिया। रूस के एक बेटे ने भी गुलामी की भागा को गहीं पढ़ा। लेकिन उन्हों ने जमीन पर राकेट को ला कर रख दिया। वे लोग चांद तक पहुंच गए। अगर हमारी सरकार चाहती तो १४ सालों में हिन्दी का समुद्र उंडेल सकती थी, सरकार चाहती तो इस

ग्रविध में हिन्दी के लाखों ग्रन्थ लिखे जा सकते थे। ग्रौर उस को ग्राज यह बिल लाने की जरूरत न होती।

मेरी दरखास्त है कि इस मामले में सरकार ने भ्रपने कर्त्तव्य का पालन नहीं किया है। मैं भ्राज सरकार से हिन्दी की रक्षा की भीख नहीं मांगता । हम उस की रक्षा करने में समर्थ हैं। हम वैल की जोड़ी के प्रताप से यहां नहीं आए हैं। लाखों इन्सानों के दिल और दिमाग ने हम को बोट दिया है। वे लाखों इन्सान जानना चाहते हैं कि राष्ट्रभाषा के मामले में सरकार ने क्या किया है। मैं पुछना चाहता ह कि ग्रगर ग्राज श्री पुरुषोत्तम दास टंडन यहां होते तो क्या सरकार इस विल को ला कर सकती थी, ,श्रगर आज महात्मा गांधी जीवित होते तो क्या सरकार इस बिल को ला सकती थी ? ग्रगर सरदार पटेल श्राज होते तो क्या सरकार इस विल को ला सकती थी ? अगर राजेन्द्र वाबु आज होते तो क्या सरकार इस बिल को ला सकती थी। प्राज सुना देख कर सरकार जनता के हकक पर स्राक्रमण कर रही है। मैं सरकार से हिन्दी की भीख नहीं मांगता । कोटि कोटि देश की जनता इस की रक्षा करेगी, मेरे जैसे सिपाही हिन्दी की रक्षा करेंगे , लेकिन सरकार को नेक रास्ता दिखाना हमारा काम है। माननीय गह मंत्री जी एक टीचर हैं जिन के चरणों में बैठ कर हजारों नौजवानों ने शिक्षा पायी है। इसलिए मेरा कर्तव्य है कि मैं उन से कहं :

स कि सखा सथुन शास्ति यो थिपम्, हितान्न यः संश्रुणुते स कि प्रभुः।

मैं अपना कर्तव्य समझता हूं कि उन को नेक रा'ता दिखलाऊं। उन को देश की भाषा हिन्दी का विरोध करना शोभा नहीं देता। मैं तो कहना हूं कि मुझे देश की किसी भी भाषा से विरोध नहीं है, चाहे वह बंगला हो, चाहे वह गुजराती हो, चाहे उरहू हो चाहे गुरुमुखी हो। इस देश की जो भाषायें हैं उन से मुझे कोई ऐतराज नहीं है। मैं उन सब भाषाओं की इज्जत करता हूं और उन के लिये अपने प्राण दे सकता हूं। साथ ही साथ मैं बंगाल के मुख्य मंत्री जी की इस बात के लिए मुबारकबाद देता हूं कि उन्होंने बंगाल से अंग्रेजी भाषा को बाहर कर दिया और ६ मई से बंगाल का सारा काम काज बंगला भाषा में होगा।

देश की कोई भी भाषा हो मुझे उसके प्रति ग्रादर है। लेकिन ग्राज के जमाने में जबकि दनिया प्रकाश की स्रोर बढ रही है. ग्राज जबिक स्रादमी चन्द्रमा पर जाने का प्रयत्न कर रहा है, उस जमाने में एक बोसीदा जुबान कों हमारे ऊपर लादा जा रहा है, जिस जवान को जरमनी ने निकाल बाहर किया, जिस को ग्रायरलैंड ने निकाल बाहर कर दिया, जिस को चीन ने निकाल बाहर कर दिया, जिस को रूस ने निकाल बाहर कर दिया । वही हमारे ऊपर लादी जा रही है हमें हिन्दी के लिए ग्रभिमान है। हम ने हिन्दी को संविधान में यह बात सोच कर ही रखाथा। अपगर आरज देश में हमारी भाषा होती और अंग्रेजी न होती तो चीन की इतनी हिम्मत न होती कि वह हम पर हमलाग्रावर होता ।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्रव ग्राप ग्रागे कल जारी रखें।

17 hrs.

# COMPULSORY DEPOSIT SCHEME BILL—Contd.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up further consideration of the following motion moved by Shri Morarji Desai on the 22nd April, 1963, namely:—

"That the Bill to provide in the interest of national economic development for compulsory deposit and for the framing of a scheme in relation thereto, be taken into consideration."

Shri Heda (Nizamabad): Mr. Speaker, the Finance Minister deserves the congratulations of this House because he has made some improvements in the compulsory deposit scheme. However, there are a few points on which still the country expects some improvements and, therefore, it would be better if the Finance Minister gives thought to them, because it does not seem that it is going to be a temporary affair; it may go on year after year.

If we look at the nature of insurance and the nature of this scheme, we find that there is enough of common ground, but insurance has always evoked better response from the popular mind than compulsory savings. Here I am not referring to this particular Bill alone even before, all the efforts made for savings, various savings like small savings and national savings could not achieve that success which we expected, and that was because people were not susceptible to the idea of these savings. But, so far as insurance is concerned, there is a very good ground prepared and people think insurance is a better way of saving. From the point of view of the Government also, insurance should be preferred to savings. In the scheme of saving, Government has to pay it back after five years. In the scheme of insurance, on an average Government has to pay after twenty years. Therefore, Government is benefited by preferring insurance. Also, in the scheme of savings, Government pays 42 per cent interest, whereas in the scheme of insurance Government hardly pays 21 per cent interest. Therefore, I still wonder that intelligent as our Finance Minister is, why he did not think of compulsory insurance rather than compulsory savings. Had he thought of compulsory insurance, there would have been practically very little or no agitation against the scheme and Government also would have received quite a substantial amount

The Finance Minister has given some concession to those who have already insured; that is to say, their premium can be adjusted towards the compulsory deposit. Even then, the whole scheme is not very clear to me. I would again say, whatever one pays towards provident fund and insurance premium should be permitted to be deducted from one's contribution under the compulsory savings scheme.

Having said this, I will now come to the lot of the farmers. The Finance Minister has put them in a quandary by saying that this proposal has been accepted by the Chief Ministers and Finance Ministers of the States. So. he has thrown the ball in the other court by saying that if there is to be any agitation made in this regard, it should be in the legislatures of the various States. But the State Legislatures will hardly get any chance to discuss this scheme. Therefore, they would not be able to voice their opinion. At the same time, since affects the rural areas, popular opinion on this measure has to be ascertaintd. So, it is very necessary that we have to make our point here, and the Finance Minister should take note of them.

It is a good thing that he has given an exemption limit of  $R_{\rm S}$ , 5. But, suppose, somebody pays a land revenue of  $R_{\rm S}$ , 6. He will be asked to pay Rs. 3 as compulsory saving.

I can imagine what is going to happen because I represent the rural area, I can see what things will come. Somebody will have to purchase National Savings Certificate worth Rs 3 and show it to the Patwari in order to show that he has purchased the National Savings Certificate and hence he need not give any contribution towards compulsory savings and then, will sell that certificate for Re. 1 or

Rs 1.50 in the next 10 or 15 minutes and in this way it will go on. As the people are not very well informed, this compulsory savings so far as the rural sector and particularly the poorer rural sector is concerned will take the shape of taxation and not only the shape of savings that it is. Therefore I still feel that we should have one iinsurance scheme without any medical examination or anything for Rs. 500 like the Janata insurance scheme which was given up later on. Something of that type might have been thought of so far as the small revenuepaying peasantry was concerned. Had that been done, I think, it would have been very good.

So far as the propertied classes are concerned. I have not much to say. Already the hon Finance Minister has announced certain concessions, but I think still there is one class which may find it a little hard, that is, the class which owns only one house and uses it for himself. Aiready they pay municipal and other taxes and, I think, according to the present scheme if they will have to make a compulsory saving, it might be a little hard on them. I request the hon, Finance Minister to consider that aspect also.

With these words I support the Bill.

Shri Haruthiruman (Gobichettipalayam): Mr. Speaker, Sir, while supporting the Compulsory Deposit Scheme Bill I would just like to say a few words. So far as the farmers are concerned I would request the hon. Finance Minister to exempt them completely from making compulsory saving. Compulsory saving is quite good but in the present circumstances the farmers of our country are not in a position to save. They do not have the capacity to save because the cost of cultivation has increased so much that it is impossible for the middleclass people to save anything.

The hon Minister is kind enough to exempt from it farmers who are paying Rs. 5 per annum as land re-

venue and those Government ployees whose salary is Rs. 1,500 a year. Am I to understand that farmers who are paying Rs. 10 as land revenue are getting an income of Rs. 1500 a year? I can prove middle-class people are not able to get Rs. 1.500 a year in the rural parts unless one has a very good farm of 10 acres of irrigated wet land. It is quite impossible to get an income of Rs. 1.500 per annum. If that is the case, what is the sin that the farmers have committed due to which a person who is paying more than Rs. 5 as land revenue is compelled to save at least 50 per cent of the revenue kist?. That is a very important thing.

Whenever a farmer gets a fair price for rice. Government fixes the price. The hon, Planning Minister and the hon Food and Agriculture Minister have contributed much for the farmers by fixing floor price which means just the maintenance of cost of cultivation. When that is the case, do they have the capacity to save and deposit? Have they enquired that? I request that the entire community of farmers, the real farmers should be exampted. Or, if they want in view of the emergency that compulsory saving should be made then people who pay more than Rs. 250 revenue as kist may be included in the scheme of compulsory savings. It will be a very hard and harsh thing to ask a farmer who is paying less than Rs. 250 land revenue as kist to make a compulsory deposit.

In Madras State there is a Debt Relief Act for agriculturists. Agriculturists are so much indebted that there is an Act there for the relief of agriculturists. Such being the case, compulsory deposit will mean that they will have to borrow from somebody else and deposit it to get a lower rate of interest. If they have to borrow and deposit, it would mean that we are not helping the farmers. As a matter of fact, we are just doing the opposite.

[Shri Karuthiruman]

Compulsory

In an agricultural community whatever little they save they invest in the improvement of their land. Government thinks that the farmers are well-off. From the five-year plans only the urban people have got an advantage. If we go to a city, we find it is growing one to ten times and the people growing richer and richer in urbans areas, whereas in rural areas if we go, we do not see even a single good house they have constructed. Even if they have constructed a good house, they would have borrowed it because there is an agricultural tradition that whatever they borrow they never tell it out. do not know what the indebtedness of the farmer community is. After ten years or 15 years, we can see that all the money that he had borrowed will be equivalent to their capacity or the value of the land he possesses. Any farmer's family holding the land did not last for even one generation because it got divided and sub-divided to sons and grand sons, it all went to the creditors. So, I would request the hon. Minister to see to that. During the emergency the food production is a very important thing. If they want more food production, if they want the rural people to get the benefit, instead of asking them to dein the compulsory savings scheme they should help them in increasing their food production. is one of the main things because 70 per cent of the people constitute our agricultural community and the urban people, who are there only 30 per cent of the population, enjoy maximum benefit. I can say by way of a suggestion, if they revise the compulsory savings scheme for the entire urban people they can easily get even Rs 100 crores instead of Rs. 40 crores or 50 crores. The Finance Minister says, he is himself a farmer and he knows ins and outs of the farming, all those things. But I say it is impossible for a real farmer to save. If he is a real farmer, he cannot save anything. For the farmers who entirely depend on farming, it is

impossible to save. But there certain farmers who are engaged in some other business, in some other trade. It is possible for them to deposit something. I would request the hon. Finance Minister that the farmer community should be exempted completely from this

There is a great saying of saint Thiruvalluvar:

Suzhanrum Per Uinnatu Ulakam Uzahnrum Uzhave Thalai.

That is everything is dependent on farming. It is a great saying. The entire world depends on agriculture. Only the agriculturists are feeding the entire world. Though the farmer is responsible for feeling the community, if we take this budget, not even the stick which he is using in ploughing is his balance. That is the condition of our farmers, not only here but everywhere. Therefore, 1 request the hon. Finance Minister exempt the entire farmer class from this who get less than Rs. 250 a year.

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta (Gonda): Mr Speaker Sir, this compulsory deposit scheme is just like choon choon ka murabha having in consistencies from top to bottom

An. Hon. Member: Hotch-potch.

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: You know it well.

The first point that I want to make is about the taxation on the basis of land revenue. In the countryside it is a well-known fact that even a labourer gets about Rs. 2 a day and a kisan does not get more than that. Now, the hon. Finance Minister has exempted kisans only upto a limit of Rs. 5 Suppose a kisan is paying a revenue of Rs. 6. He has to deposit Rs. 3. I have calculated that a kisan paying a revenue of Rs. 25 does not earn with his hard labour more than Rs. 500 a

year. On the one side, the hon, Finance Minister says that persons who have got an earning of Rs. 125 a month are liable to save something. It is quite ununderstandable how a kisan earning Rs. 500 a year can save. In his General Budget speech, he laid stress that this is a measure based on saving. Actually speaking this measure is not at all based on saving. When a kisan earning even Rs. 500 per year has to pay something, landless labourer earning the same amount will not have to pay. This inconsistency between a kisan and a labourer of the same income-group is there.

Then comes the question of shop-keepers who have an annual sale of Rs. 15,000. I do not understand how he has based the exemption on this category. Perhaps he has taken only from the sales tax department. Otherwise, this person, whose average gross earnings is 5 per cent on his sales, hardly gets Rs. 750 a year. Only those people whose sales are about Rs. 30,000 can get Rs. 1,500 a year. All these inconsistencies are there.

Then comes the question of salaried people who get Rs. 1,500. As already stated by Shri S. M. Banerjee and other friends, these people are generally in debt. In Delhi, I would request the Prime Minister to have a survey made of the government servants in the secretariat. He will be able to know that most of them, 90 per cent. of them, are in debt. Even those who are getting Rs. 200 a month or 150 are in debt. The kisans are in debt. Salaried people are in debt.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The Government is also in debt.

Shri Kashi Rem Gupta: Vesterday, it had been given out that this is not a measure which is based on the emergency. At the same time, they say this is a measure based on social welfare of society. I am unable to understand how social welfare of

society can be affected in this way. The total savings may amount to Rs. 60 crores a year. This is giving trouble to the people. There is a penalty clause. A kisan trouble to the peoclause. A kisan who fails will have to pay an equal amount penalty. The penalty is there. How can you say this is a social welfare activity at all. I have never heard that a social welfare activity has got a penalty clause with it. This penalty clause itself shows that it is not a social welfare activity. It is not at all a social benefit to the people. But, it is rather a sort of whim of the Government and they want to utilise this emergency for propagating this whim resulting in hardship to people. I will request the hon. Finance Minister to see that the kisans at least are totally exempted and exception limit of salaries people is raised to at least Rs. 1,800 a year.

At the same time, the shopkeepers and all these people who pay taxes on houses, have got a special difficulty. Small people pay very taxes on houses and they cannot save anything out of house rent incomes. All these things should be scientifically dealt with. If it be seen whether they have based their calculations on something scientific or it only totally un-scientific one will come to the conclusion that only the incometax paying people can have savings and they can make compulsory deposits. Or those people who have got large assets, they can make compulsory deposits from the assets. those people who have got a large amount of capital with them. Otherwise, this scheme will result in only harassing people and not benefiting them in any way.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): Sir, my reasons for intervening in this debate at this late hour are two. One that the scheme of deposits or whatever the Minister might like to call it is not for this year or that

#### [Dr. M. S. Aney]

year. It seems to be a regular feature. Because, in the statement by the Finance Minister, he has made this statement.

"The changes I have proposed will mean, as I said earlier, a loss in the revenue of-so manycrores.....The House appreciate that it is not easv to estimate the yield from a new tax of this type with degree of precision and I myself had said last month that the amount could well be Rs. 30 or Rs. 35 crores. I have tried make a further review of the calculations on the basis of the best available data and after taking into account the effect of the changes I have just indicated, I would place the revised figure for the net vield from the superprofits tax at Rs. 20 crores."

He has made a similar statement in regard to this matter also. I am not able to get at it just present, but in his statement he has admitted that this Bill is bound to be a burden to the people. As to how will work nobody can say today. That what he himself was saying. Others also cannot say it. We shall have to wait for some time. In regard to the super-profits tax, he has said that if he finds that at the end of a certain period, it is really prejudicial to the development of the industry or is not beneficial to industrial growth, he himself will come forward and move the super-profits tax, statement indicates that the scheme that he has in his mind is to be worked out for some years to come.

This being the case, I feel that it is necessary for me and for anybody who feels for those who are going to be affected by this Bill, or on whom this tax is going to be imposed, to urge a plea before the Finance Minister that the , burden

which is to be imposed upon the people should be as light as possible.

Now, in regard to the compulsory deposits scheme, he has made a distinction between urban people rural people. I shall only take up the cause of the farmers. So far as the urban people are concerned, they are strong enough to take care themselves. So far as the farmers are concerned, I do not know how he has found out that the farmer who pays a land revenue of Rs. 5 is a proper person to be taxed, because in that case there is one difficulty. A land on which a land revenue of Rs. 5 is paid may not yield the same profit everywhere. In one part of the country, a land on which a land revenue of Rs. 5 is paid may mean a profit of a very little amount only, while in the case of another part of the country, it may mean more profit. So, this definitely amounts to choosing a criterion which will be of a discriminatory nature between people in one part of the country and people another part of the country. I do not understand how he has chosen it. But. anyhow, my point is that he must try to find out a better criterion.

So far as income-tax is concerned, it has been thought necessary to fix some minimum income, and if a man earns below that, he is considered to be unfit to do anything more than maintain himself, and he cannot pay the tax at all. There is a minimum irreducible income which has taken as the basis of taxation under the Income-tax Act. The present Bill is an encroachment upon that principle which has been recognised so far as income-tax and other taxes are concerned. In fact, under this Bill, the Finance Minister wants to touch those very persons who have been hitherto considered as being beyond the scope of taxation. Of course, he may say "What is the use of saying 'I am taxing these people"' I am not

taxing them at all. I am only taking the money for a certain number of years, and at the end of five years, I am going to return it". Of course, that is true. But my point is this. He must very carefully see that the basis of taxation, namely Rs. 5 as the land revenue paid in a year should be revised; on the other hand, he should fix a certain minimum income which can be had from the land, and those who have income above that alone should be considered as the people from whom he can expect compulsory deposits. If he fixes a criterion of that kind, then it would be more reasonable. I only hope that he would think on these lines, because the criterion that is fixed now is of a discriminatory nature and a nature, of which the exact meaning cannot be had by aybody. Otherwise. I have got nothing much to say-so far as this Bill is concerned, because as I said, this Bill has come to stay now. I spoke on the point of order and other objections that were there earlier, but those things are gone now. So, I only look to the sense of justice that the Finance Minister has that instead of waiting for some years, he should see as early as possible whether this really affects more adversely the people living in one part of the country than it affects people living in another part of the country. If he finds something like that, he should be ready to come forwar? with another Bill, immediately or as soon as possible, so to change it altogether

श्री प्र० प्र० कार्मा (वक्सर) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं इस विल का समर्थन करने के लिए खड़ा हुधा हूं। समर्थन करने हुए मैं वित्त मंत्री महोदय तथा वित्त मंत्रालय को मुवारक-बाद देना चाहना हूं खास तौर से हिन्दुस्तान के इस तबके के लोगों की तरफ से जिन को इंडस्ट्रियल वर्क के कहा जाता है या जो देश के भिन्न भिन्न उद्योगों में काम करने वाले मजदूर हैं। माननीय बनर्जी जब कल इस बिल के सम्बन्ध में बोल रहे थे तो उस वक्त भी मैं ने कहा था कि मैं उन का विरोध करना चाहूंगा भीर भ्राज भी कर रहा हूं।

श्रीं स**्मो**० बनर्जीः वह तो पता हीया।

**एक माननीय सदस्य** : कल कैसे कह दिया था ?

श्रीम•प्र•शर्माः कल कहा थास्रौर स्राप से भी कहता हं . . . .

श्रद्यक्ष महोदय : समय गुजरता जा रहा है । श्राप उस तरफ झगड़े में न पड़ जायें ।

श्री प्र० प्र० शर्मा: मैं यह कह रहा या कि जिस समय हमारे देश में एमरजेंसी डिक्ले-यर हुई उस वझ्त हिन्दुस्तान की स्राम जनता की तरफ से इस वात का आक्वासन दिया गया था श्रीर हिन्दुस्तान के मजदूर वर्ग की तरफ से ठाफी जोश भी दिखलाया गया था ि वे सभी सुरक्षा कोष में पैसा देने के लिये तैयार हैं भीर उन्हों ने दिया भी। मैं तो ऐसा समझता हं कि यह जो कम्पलसरी सेविंग स्कीम की बात है, इस का श्राम तौर से वे लोग विरोध कर रहे हैं जो पैसे वाले हैं या फिर वे लोग विरोध कर रहे हैं जिन्हों ने पहले तो मजदूरों की तरफ से इंडस्ट्रियल ट्स रोजोल्युशन पास किया था और उस के जरिए से सब लोगों ने तय किया था, यह आश्वासन दिया था कि हिन्दुस्तान पर जो चीनी ग्राकमण हम्रा है, उस के खिलाफ हिन्दुस्तान के सारे मजदूर इकट्ठे हो कर हर तरह से देश के साथ हैं, सरकार के साथ हाथ बंटाने के लिये तैयार हैं,उस के सुरक्षा प्रयत्नों में सहायता प्रदान करने के लिये तैयार हैं, लेकिन जब काम करने का मौका स्राया तो बहुत सी जगहों

#### [श्रीग्र०प्र० शर्मा]

पर इस से बिल्कुल उलटा काम किया। हमारी कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी की जो मनोवृत्ति रही है, उस के यह चीज बिल्कुल अनकल है। दो तरह के लोग हैं जो इस का विरोध कर रहे हैं, एक तो पैसे वाले लोग हैं ग्रीर दूसरे कुछ कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी के भाई है जो कि छिप छिप कर इस तरह का विरोध करते थे। सरकारी महकमों में बड़े यह अफसर जो हैं. जिन को काफ़ी पैसा देना पडेगा। अगर सारे के सारे मजदूर पैसे देते हैं, उन्होंने भी यह स्कीम सफलोभत न हो, इसकी काफी चेष्टा की।

मैं कहना चाहता हं कि जो स्कीम सदन के सामने है, खास तौर से मजदूर इससे काफी खुश है। हमारे राष्ट्रीय मजदूर कांग्रेस ने इसका समर्थन किया है। इसमें विवाद का कोई सवाल नहीं है। यह विल्कूल साफ बात है कि मजदूर हर मौके पर कर्ज लेते हैं. इसलिये अगर यह कम्पलसरी सेविंग स्कीम लागुकी जाती है भीर लोगों का कुछ पैसा बचता है जो पैसा कि उनको कुछ दिनों के बाद मिल भी जायेगा तो मैं समझता हं कि इसते अच्छी वात मजदूरों के लिये स्रौर कोई नहीं हो सकतो है....

श्रीं स० मो० बनर्जी (कानपुर) : कर्ज लेकर उनका गुजारा चलता है ग्रीर इसके लिये भी उनको कर्ज लेना पड़ेगा।

श्री ग्र० प्र० शर्मा: कर्ज लेने का सवाल नहीं है। कल बनर्जी साहब ने कहा था कि एक परसेंट तो हम लोग दे रहे हैं। जब एक परसेंट लोग दे रहे हैं और कहीं कहीं एक दिन की तनस्वाह भी दे रहे हैं भ्रीर कहीं कहीं उससे भी अधिक देरहे हैं, तो उस सूरत मैं कर्ज लेने का कोई सवाल ही पैदा नहीं होता है।

एक माननीय सदस्य ने कल कहा था कि मजदूरों का जहां तक सवाल है उनका वेतन

उनकी तनख्वाह, उनकी सेलेरी इतनी ग्रशिक नहीं है कि उनका जो रोज का खर्चा है, वह भी चल सके और किस तरह से वे पैसे आज बचाकर देंगे। मैं समझता हं कि जो लोग इस तरह की बात करते हैं, शायद मजदूरों की मनोवत्ति का उन्हें ग्राज कोई ज्ञान नहीं है। मैं सिर्फ एक बात और कहना चाहता हं। कल श्री अजित प्रसाद जैन न एक काननी बहस के दौरान इस सदन में काफी वाद-विवाद उठाया । ला मिनिस्टर के स्पप्टीकरण के बाद भी जो उन्होंने एक तरह की बहस की उससे मुझे बहुत ग्राश्चर्य हुन्ना, खास तौर से जब यह बतलाया गया कि इस विल ना मतलब कोई टेक्सेशन लंगों पर लगाना नहीं है, बल्कि इसका मतलब यह है कि लोग जो कुछ भी कमाते हैं उसमें से कहां तक वह अपने हित के लिये खर्च करें ग्रांश कहा तक देश के निर्माण के लिये खर्च करें। सभी लोगों की भ्रामदनी के एक हिस्से को देश के विकास के काम में खर्च करने की बात उसमें है। उस में कोई टेक्सेशन की बात नहीं है। भरे एक साथी ने, जिन्होंने ग्रभी इंटरस्ट किया था. के जमाने में जिजया टैक्स जो लगाया गया था उससे इसकी तुलनाकी। लेकिन इस तरह की बातें करने का मतलब तो यह है कि भ्रगर देश के भ्रन्दर कोई भी विकास ना काम होता है तो कुछ लोग उसका भी विरोध करते हैं।

श्री बडें : (खारगीन) : ग्राप की किसानों की स्थिति मालून नहीं है।

श्री ग्र**ं प्र० शर्मा**: कि नानों की स्थिति के संबंध में मुझे भ्राप से ज्यादा तो मालुम नहीं है लेकिन किसानों की स्थिति के संबंध में जो कुछ भी योडा बहुत मझे मालम है उस के मुताबिक मैं कह सकता है कि जे। मौजूदा बिल सदन के सामने है उत्तस कम से कम ६० फी सदी किसान इस स्कोम से तो बरी ही जायेंगे। मुझे स्राशा है कि बहस के बाद जब हमारे वित्त मंत्री जी इसका जवाब देंगे तो वे इस बात के ऊपर भी विचार करेंगे कि भ्रगर थोड़े से कि ज़ान भ्रौर इस से बरी हो जायें, ६० के बदले ७० प्रतिशत किसान बरी हो जायें, तो बहुत भ्रच्छा होगा।

श्री योगेन्द्र झा (मधबनी) : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय. भ्रनिवार्य बचत योजना को मैं प्रजातांत्रिक भावना के विरूद्ध ग्रौर प्रजातंत्र के विरूद्ध मानता हुं। यह व्यक्तिगत स्वतंत्रता पर घोर ग्राघात है। मैं ग्रंपनी बचत का पैसा किस तरह से लगाऊं, इस संबंध में अगर मेरे ऊपर कोई मजबरी हो तो मैं इस व्यक्तिगत स्वतंत्रता केखिलाफ़ मानताहं। ग्रगर ग्रावर्षक कार्यक्रम के द्वारा ग्रावर्षक शतौ के द्वारा हुए लोगों में बचत की भारता आतो है भीर हम जहां तक संभव हो बचायें, यह ग्रपने लिये भी ग्रीर देश के लिये भी ग्रच्छा है। इस ख्याल से किसी को भी विरोध नहीं हो सकता। सरकार को पैसे की जरूरत है. उसकी पूर्ति होनी चाहिये, लेकिन यह पैसा कहां से ग्राये, इसके ऊपर भी विचार किया जाना चाहिये।

इस सदन में २१-३-६३ को हमारे खाद्य और अधि मंत्री ने यह ग्रास्वासन दिया था कि देश के अन्दर अब से सरकारी नीति फार्मर स्रोरिएन्टेड होगी, लेकिन मैं कहंगा कि यह फार्मर स्रोरिएन्टेड है स्रोर स्रधिक उपज के विरूद्ध ग्रोरिएन्टेड है। इसका उचित नाम ग्रनिवार्य उपज कम करो योजना होना चाहिये । इसलिये कि जिस पैसे को किसान उन्नत बीज, उन्नत ग्रीजार ग्रीर सिचाई के काम में लगाता, खाद में लगाता, उस पैसे को बचा कर किसानों को मजबरन भ्रनिवार्य बचत के ग्रन्दर जमा करना पडेगा, जिसका भ्रनिवार्य परिणाम उपज में कमी होगी। यह देश के लिये बड़ा खतरा होगा। मैं कहना चाहता हं कि ग्राज देहात में रहने वाले ५० प्रतिशत किसानों की ग्रामदनी १,००० रु० से कम है। ग्रगर उसको पांच व्यक्तियों के परिवार पर बांटा जाये तो

प्रति व्यक्ति को प्रति माह १६ रु० ६६ नये पैसे की आय है। यह इस सदन के एक सदस्य के दैनिक भन्ते से भी कम है। मंत्रियों के बंगलों की कल्पना तो पागलपन होगी, लेकिन हमारे साधारण सदस्यों के फ्लैटस में जो फर्नीचर है उसके मासिक किराये से भी कम है और मंत्रियों के पानी और दिजली के ऊपर जो मासिक खर्च आता है उसके तीसवें हिस्से भी कम हैं।

मैं माननीय वित्त मंत्री जी से दरखस्ति करूंगा कि जिस तरह से उन्हों ने देश के लिये बजट बनवाया है उसी तरह से जब कभी वे देश का बजट तैयार करें तो भिन्न भिन्न ग्रामदनी वालों के लिए एक एक पारि-वारिक वजट भी दें। ऐसा पारिवारिक वजट दें कि किस ग्रामदनी के लोगों को किस किस तरह से खर्च करना चाहिये ग्रीर वह कितना बचा सकते हैं। इस तरह से जो बचा हम्रा पैसा हो वह बचत में ले लिया जाय । ग्रगर भ्राप ऐसा कर दें तो हम लोगों के लिए भी सुविधा होगी । हम लोग जनता को सभझा सकेंगे कि तुम्हारा हिसाब इतना भ्राता है। जिस तरह से इंक्योरस वाला भ्रा कर कहता है कि तुम्हारी इतनी उम्र है और तम यह पालिसी ले सकते हो उसी तरह से हम लोग भी उस की आय दिखला कर कह सकेंगे कि तुम्हारा इतना खर्च है और तुम इतना बचा कर सरकार को दे दो। इस लिए मंत्री जी भिन्न भिन्न ग्रामदनी वालों के लिये पारिवारिक बजट देने की कपा करें।

मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि क्रनिवार्य बचत के रूप में लगान का पचास प्रतिशत ले. कर राज्य सरकारों के लिये पैसे की व्यवस्था की जा रही है। हमारे वित्त मंत्री जी राज्य सरकारों को सलाह दें कि वे क्रपने राज्यों में भूमि कर में परिवर्तन करें क्योंकि ग्राज जो भूमि कर प्रणाली है वह घोर ग्रवैज्ञानिक ग्रौर प्रतिगामी है। व्यक्ति की ग्रामदनी ग्रौर जमीन की उपज से कोई सरोकार नहीं है। मैं व्यक्ति-

### [श्रीयोगेन्द्र झा]

£1509

गत जानकारी के श्राधार पर श्रधिकारपूर्वक कह सकता हूं कि जिन के पास श्रधिक जमीन है अच्छी जमीन है वे लगान कम देते हैं। उन को लगान प्रति एकड़ कम लगता है। अगर कभी मौका भिला तो मैं इसे स्पष्ट रूप से प्रमाणित कर दूंगा क्योंकि मैं यह व्यक्तिगत जानकारी के श्राधार पर कह रहा हूं। श्राज इस वात की ज़रूरत है कि जिस तरह से श्राय कर के क्षेत्र में श्रामदनी बढ़ने पर कर भी बढ़ता है उसी तरह भूमि कर के सम्बन्ध में भी होना चाहिये। श्राज दुनिया ने इस प्रगतिश्वील कर मिद्धान्त को मान लिया है लेकिन भूमि कर के क्षेत्र में इसे लागू नहीं किया गया है।

इस देश में ७० प्रतिशत किसान ऐस हैं जिन के पास पांच एकड़ से कम जमीन है जोिक कुल जोत का १७ प्रतिशत होता है। सिर्फ १ प्रतिशत किसान हैं जिस के पास ५० एकड से अधिक भिन है जोकि कुल जोत का १७.५ प्रतिशत होता है। यानी ७० प्रतिशत व्यक्तियों से ग्रधिक जमीन १ प्रतिशत लोगों के अधिकार में है। लेकिन मालगुजारी की दर में लगान की दर में कोई फर्क नहीं है । यदि यहाँ प्रोग्नेसिव टक्सेशन, प्रगतिशील कर सिद्धान्त को लाग किया जाय तो जितनी रकम बचत के रूप में ग्राप लेना चाहते हैं उतनी भिम के रूप में ली जा सकती है। मैं बिहार का उदाहरण देना चाहता हं कि वहाँ पर ग्राज किसानों की क्या स्थिति है। एक भागलपूर प्रमंडल में ही 💵 करोड किसानों के जिस्से कर और कर्ज का रुपया बकाया है चालुवर्ष में । चालुवर्ष की जो लगान होगी वह उस के ऊपर होगी । मैं ऐसा मानता हं कि अगर वचत का रूपया उन से वसूल किया गया तो लगान की वसूली का प्रतिशत जो ब्राज है वह स्पष्ट रूप से गिरने वाला है क्योंकि लोग बचत का पैसा नहीं दे सकेंगे ग्रौर विनाबचत का पैसा दिये इए लगान लिया नहीं जायगा । लगान की बसुली का प्रतिशत गिरने वाला है। यह एक बड़ा भारी खतरा है। इसलिए मैं वित्त मंत्री जी से अपील करूंगा कि वे इस बात के ऊपर विचार करें कि किसानों के ऊपर किस हद तक इस बचत को लागू किया जाय। मैं ने संशोधन दिया है कि कम से कम ५० ६० मालगुजारी देने वाले व्यक्तियों को या जिस परिवार की सालाना आमतनी १५०० रु० से कम है ऐसे परिवारों को इस से मुक्त किया जाय।

दूसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहता हं कि इस बिल में कोई छट की व्यवस्था नहीं है। प्राकृतिक विपत्तियों में पडने वाले व्यक्तियों के लिये किसी छट की व्यवस्था नहीं है। हर साल ग्रसम बिहार ग्रांर उत्तर प्रदेश ग्रादि में बहुत ग्राती है सूखा का सामना करना पडता है लेकिन उस में किसी के लिए किसी छट की गुंजाइश नहीं है। मैं प्रार्थना करूंगा वित्त मंत्री जी से कि ऐसे व्यक्तियों के लिये छूट की गुंजाइश रक्खी जाय । जवानों के लिए जो खुन लिया जाता था उस में कम खुन वालों से तथा रोगियों से खन नहीं लिया जाता था कारण कि जिन के शरीर में स्वयं खन नहीं वह खन क्या देगा ? क्या दे सकेंगे ऐसे लोग जो **अ**काल पीडित हों बाढ पीडित हों अगिन-कांड पीड़ित हों या दूसरे दैवी या प्राकृतिक प्रकोपों संपीडित हों ? ऐसे लोगों को इस से मक्त किया जाय । इस सम्बन्ध में भी मैं ने संशोधन दिया है । मैं ग्राशा करता हं कि उस को वित्त मंत्री महोदय ग्रवश्य मान लेंगे ग्रीर उसको मानकर उदारता का परिचय देंगे।

श्री राम सेवक यादय (बाराबकी): अध्यक्ष महांदय, जो अनिवार्य बचत योजना विथेयक प्रस्तुत है जहां तक उसका सवाल है, अच्छा है अगर बचत हो और लोग दें। लेकिन बित्त मन्त्री ने इस विधेयक को प्रस्तुत करने के पहले उन सभी पहलुओं पर नजर डालनी थी कि इस बकत योजना में वही लोग दें जिनको कुछ यचत हो। अगर बचता नहीं तो फिर बे

कहां से देंगे ? वित्त मन्त्री ने एक बड़ी विशाल-हृदयता का परिचय दिया कि ४ ६० तक की लगान वाले किसानों को छट दे दी । लेकिन जो लोग ६, ७, ६ १०, २० या २४ ६० सालाना लगान देते हैं उनकी हालत क्या ऐसी है कि वे अपनी लगान का ४० प्रतिशत बचत कर दे सकें ? मैं आपके सामने उत्तर प्रदेश का एक उदाहरण रखना चाहता हुं। उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार ने ६० में चवत्री तो पहले ही बढ़ा दिया या और अठत्री यहां की सरकार बढ़ाने जा रही है किसानों पर । इस तरह से १२ आना हो जायेगा । दूनी रकम होने में चवत्री बचती है, सिर्फ इसकी कमर वाकी है ।

एक माननीय सदस्य : ग्रन्तरिम जिला परिषद् उसे ले ले ।

श्री राम सेवक यादव : इसकी उदारता भी शायद सरकार कर दे। जब जमींदारी खत्म हो रही थी उत्तर प्रदेश में तो उस समय एक र्चाज पर विचार हो रहा था कि जो लगान की पद्धति है उसमें कुछ परिवर्तन हो । स्राय कर की तरह से लगान का तरीका बनाया जाय, यह तो नहीं था, लेकिन यह जरूर विचाराधीन था कि कौन से किसान ऐसे हैं जो लाभकर जोत वाले हैं ग्रौर कौन से किसान ऐसे हैं जो ग्रलाभकर जोत वाले हैं। समिति ने ग्रपने प्रतिवेदन में साफ साफ कह दिया कि ६।।। या ७ करोड़ किसान ऐसे हैं जो कि ग्रलाभकर जोत में आते हैं। उनके पास बीज, खाद, लगान, मालगुजारी तथा ग्रन्य खर्च निकालने के बाद कुछ बचत नहीं हो सकती मेहनत मजदुरी का हिसाव लगा कर। इसलिए वे सभी खाते जो कि पौने सात एकड था सात एकड के हैं वे सब ग्रलाभकर जोत के हैं । सन ४६, ४७-४८ में वह प्रतिवेदन स्राया या तब से न जाने दुनिया कहां पहच चुकी है, कितनी ज्यादा महगाई वढ चुकी है, कितनी ज्यादा सिचाई की दरें बढ़ चुकी हैं, खाद ग्रादि ग्रावश्यक वस्तुम्रों के मुल्य बढ़ चुके हैं ग्रौर मेहनत मजदूरी बढ़ चुकी है, इसलिए ग्रगर 378 (Ai) LSD-9.

इस दृष्टि से देखें तो उसमें शायद परिवर्तन करना पड़े। लेकिन हो क्या रहा है? एक तरफ तो चार आने वहां बढ़ गये और हमारे विक्त मन्त्री एक आठ आने का टैक्स किसानों पर और लगा रहे हैं। उसमें भी समान रूप से लगा रहे हैं। जो ६ रुपये का किसान है वह भी ५० प्रतिशतः दे और जो १५० या २०० रुपये का मालगुजार है वह भी ५० प्रतिशत दे। यह अधेरगर्दी देख कर तो वह प्रसिद्ध कहावत वरवम याद आ जाती है:—

"ब्रंधेर नगरी, चौपट राजा, टके सेर खाजा. टके सेर भाजी।"

सब को एक लाठी से पाटा गया है। हइसके लिए मैंने एक संशोधन दिया है और मैं कहूगा कि वित्त मन्त्री महोदय उस पर सहानुभूति-पूर्वक विचार करें। विन मन्त्री महोदय उस दल से सम्बन्धित हैं जिसने कि कभी आजादी से पहले कहा था कि सब का लगान आधा होगा। मैं सबका लगान आधा करने की बात नहीं करता लेकिन उनको आजादी के पहले के कथन का वास्ता दिलाते हुए कहूंगा कि कम से कम इस कर में ६ करोड़ या ६ एकड़ या ७ एकड़ वालों को बक्शा जाय। उन पर यह कर नहीं बढ़ना चाहिए!

इसी तरह से मकानों के बाबत मैं यह कहना चाहंगा कि मकानों के ऊपर **ग्रनिवार्य** बचत योजना के अन्तर्गत ३ प्रतिशतः का जो कर लगा दिया गया है उससे भी बहत से लोगों को दिक्कत का अनभव होगा। मान लीजिये कि किसी के पास एक मकान है ग्रौर उससे प्राप्त होने वाला किराया ही उसकी स्नामदनी का एकमात्र साधन है, ५० या १०० रुपया उसका किराया स्राता है स्रव इस महंगाई के यग में जिस व्यक्ति की श्रामदनी १००, १५० या २०० रुपया मासिक है उसका जीवन निर्वाह दूभर हो रहा है । दवाइयों के दाम बढ रहे हैं, जीवनोपयोगी वस्तुग्रों के दाम बढ रहे हैं और ऐसे कम ग्रामदनी वाले लोगों पर ग्रांख बन्द करके ग्रनिवार्य बचत योजना के ग्रन्तर्गत कर लगाना उचित व न्यायसगत नहीं होगा ।

# [श्री राम सेवक यादव]

ऐसे लोगों को अवश्य राहत दी जानी चाहिए।
ऐसे लोग जिनकी कि कोई बचत नहीं है, जैसे
कि एक मकान बाला है, खुद उसमें रहता हो,
किराये पर उसने न भी चढ़ाया हो लेकिन
उसका कोई दूसरा ख़ास आम दनी का
खरिया न हो तो उस पर भी कर
बांघ दिया गया है। अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैंन
एक सशोघन दिया है और मैं वित्त मन्त्री जी
से निवेदन करूगा कि ऐसे मकान मालिक
जिनकी कि आमदनी १०० रुपये महीने से
ज्यादा न हो और कोई अन्य आमदनी का
खरिया उनके पास न हो उनको इससे खूट दी
जाय।

इसी तरीक़े से इसमें एक व्यवस्था यह है कि जिसका बकाया रह जायगा उसके ऊपर उसे उतना ही देना पड़ेगा ग्रर्थात फाईन होगा । ऐसा भी हो सकता है कि जो बड़े लोग हैं ग्रौर देना नहीं चाहते ग्रौर जिनकी कि ग्रामदनी २००० या ३००० रुपये है लेकिन वे इसके ग्रन्तर्गत टैक्स नहीं देना चाहते तो इस तरह की जर्माने की व्यवस्था उनके लिए समझ में ग्रा सकती है लेकिन जो ६ रुपये या १० रुपये का किसान हो, ग्रव्वल तो उसको लगान देना इभर होता है, ग्रपनी जिन्दगी चलाना उसे दूभर है और ग्रगर देना भी चाहता है ग्रीर वह नहीं दे पाता है तो उस हालत में वह १० भीर दे, यानी उतना ही श्रीर उसके ऊपर जुर्माने की शक्ल में लाद दिया जाय तो उसकी क्या हालत होगी ? अगर न दे पाये तो कुर्की के अन्दर उसका लोटा, थाली और वैल नीलाम हो जायगा । इसलिए इस बारे में कोई प्रतिबन्ध ग्रवस्य लगाया जाय कि १०० रुपये, २०० रुपये या ५०० रुपये बकाया वाले हैं तो उन पर यह पैनालटी नहीं लगेगी । इस बारे में वित्त मन्त्री महोदय को ध्यानपूर्वक सोचना चाहिए भ्रौर कोई न कोई ऐसी व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए ताकि जो दुखी लोग हैं, गरीब लोग हैं, जिनके कि पास कोई ग्रामदनी व बचत नहीं है, उन पर यह बोझ न पड़े भीर वे इस कानन का शिकार न हों। इन शब्दों के साथ मैं वित्त मन्त्री महोदय से पुनः निवेदन करता हूं कि वे इस पर अवश्य सहानुभूतिपूर्वक विचार करें।

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): Mr. Speaker: Sir, I have been very carefully listening and attending to the debate on this Bill. As I said before, this is a new method for savings, and it has no precedents here. I do not know whether it has any precedent elsewhere.

An Hon. Member: No.

Shri Morarji Desai: But this is not a new idea. This has been considered before by many people, but the idea did not gather strength until considered all the possible objections now. I gave much thought to it and to it.

After hearing all my hon. friends who spoke against some part or the other or against the whole Bill, I have not been able to accept their contention that this Bill is not one which will do good to this country or that it will be harmful to the interests of the people who are covered by the provisions of this Bill.

As regards the legal objection that was raised, I had said what I had to say to show that in my view the Bill is perfectly within the Constitution and it is not ultra vires of the Constitution at all. Those who argue that it means an acquisition without compensation forget that Government is not appropriating this to itself, it is only a deposit or loan which will be returned with interest. Therefore, there is no deprivation of the person concerned. And, article 31 of the Constitution was amended, sub-clause 2A was added to the article which says:

"Where a law does not provide for the transfer of the ownership or right to possession of any property of the State or to a corporation owned or controlled by the State, it shall not be deemed to provide for the compulsory acquisition or requisitioning of property, notwithstanding that it deprives any person of his property."

It does mean a temporary deprivation if it may be said so—deprivation from spending it but not taking away the right of it. The right remains with the person and the benefits by it because he gets good interest for it. It is quite secure. I need not, therefore, speak more on this subject of legality. I, personally, and the advisers of Government are convinced that it is perfectly constitutional to take this step and that it is intravires.

As regards the merits. I am very thankful to all those who have supported the Bill fully considering it a measure which will benefit the country. There are those who opposed it in all the major parts. There are some who opposed it, particularly as regards the agriculturists, or suggested that agriculturists should dropped out. I am conscious and very much conscious that we are living in a very poor country, if we are going to consider standards of life which are good for human society and say that only when those standards are reached we should take a tax from a person, we must give up all hopes of raising the standards of this country. Because. in a very poor country where 98 per cent of the people or even more are poor if the standards of the people are to be raised, how are those standards to be raised without more investment into various channels' which raise further resources? It is, therefore, necessary to take money from all of them so that they are benefited and, more than that, the

future generations are benefited. Whatever hardship we suffer today will give us dividends for the future. After all, we are not living for ourselves alone today, we are living for very happy, healthy prosperous India. And I consider it to be our good fortune that we have got to work for such a consumation. But we cannot work unless we are prepared to pass through hardship. What is wealth? Wealth is not what is earned. Wealth is what is saved, and that wealth alone is useful which can be utilised. Otherwise, that wealth also becomes a curse. Therefore, there must be saving before there is wealth, and unless there is saving, there cannot be any investment.

Shri Bade (Khargone): There is nothing to save.

Shri Morarji Desai: Even if there is nothing to save, one has got to save if one wants to better himself. After all,—prudence lies in spending within one's capacity and not in spending beyond one's capacity.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah (Adoni): What about uneconomic holdings?

Shri Morarji Desai: If uneconomic holdings are exempted, it will ruin the agriculture of this country, because there will be absolutely impetus to produce anything in those lands. The land revenue in this country is in accordance with best traditions, with the practice in this country and the philosophy of this country. Therefore, I do not subscribe to the argument which my hon. friend subscribes to. There can be difference of oponion. I do not say that there cannot be any difference of opinion. But if I do not accept their argument, they need not think I am cussed in this just as I do not say they are cussed.

It was true, as my hon. friend, Shri Ram Sewak Yadhav, has stated, that the Congress once said that 50 per cent of the land revenue should be reduced. That was in those days when there was no prospect of our

[Shri Morarji Desai]

11517

administering the Government of this country. Also, then it was not shought out as well as it should have been thought out. I never subscribed to it. When I went to elections first in 1937 in my constituecy, which is a peasants' constituency, I told them that they should not expect the land revenue to be reduced and that, if at all, they should expect it to be increased.

Shri P Venkatasubbaiah: After independence, we went with the slogan in Andhra that 10 per cent land revenue will be abolished.

Shri Morarji Desai: If my hon. friends went like that, they are to Shri Morarji Desai: be blamed. Nobody had asked them to go with that slogan. One should not try to be popular with the peasants by saying things like that. It is wrong. After all, we cannot go on in that way. We are spending crores and crores of rupees on land today. which goes to the benefit of agriculture, because we want to see that it is enriched. I do not think we have spent less than Rs. 2,000 crores to 3,000 crores on agriculture. From where has all that money come? Not from the agriculturist in any case. The land revenue that is paid in my district does not cover even the expense of education in that district which is given to everybody.

Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: But the agriculturist pays some other taxes also.

Shri Morarji Desai: I am coming to that. Why should my hon. friend be impatient? I know that is his temperament. But impatience always leads to lessening of understandig. Therefore, one must not be impatient in any matter.

I do concede that they may be paying other taxes also. I did not say that they are not paying other taxes. But how much do they pay? There are other expenses which are incurred, and they are paid differently. When I was there in Bombay State, after working there for about

7 to 8 years, we took out statistics and we found that before we took charge the form of taxation was that we took 66 per cent from the rural side and 33 per cent from the urban side. After working for 7 to 8 years, we found that the whole pattern has changed and that we were taking 66 per cent from the urban side and 33 per cent from the rural side.

'Shri P. R. Patel (Patan): But the income-tax and sales-tax paid in the urban areas really come from the rural areas.

Shri Morarji Desai: If you take it that way ultimately, everything is paid by the consumer; that goes without saying. We cannot go on arguing like that. There are advocates only for the rural side, there are advocates only for the urban side there are advocates only for the sich or only for the poor, but I represent all. I do not represent only one section. I want to look into the interests of all, but not at the cost of somebody else. Also, I do not want anybody to thrive on somebody else. That is the philosophy in which we believe. But it takes time.

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: There is some imbalance at least.

Shri Morarji Desai: There is imbalance. Where have I said that there is no imbalance? But if we have to remove the imbalance, we need time. We cannot remove it in a day. We are removing it, as I said. It has changed. 66 per cent is now taken from the urban areas whereas previously they were paying 33 per cent. Previously 66 per cent of the revenue was spent on the urban areas and 33 per cent on the rural areas. Now that also has changed and 66 per cent is spent on the rural areas and 33 per cent on the rural areas and 33 per cent on the rural areas.

Shri Prabhat Kar (Hooghly): The total amount is not less now. The percentage may be less.

,

Shri Morarji Desai: The total amount cannot be less because we are increasing our revenues more and more. The revenue was Rs. 300 crores previously and now it is Rs. 1.500 crores. How can the total amount be less? The total amount is bound to be the same. If the total amount is the same, they are not paying anything more than what they were paying before. But they are getting more benefits. Who is paying for that. that? Others are paying That is the philosophy which ought to be understood. I have no less sympathy for all of them. I do feel and I do want that their condition should be bettered. It is only to better their condition that this step has got to be taken.

It is true that some agriculturists. or several of them, may find it difficult, as they say, to pay Rs. 10 as land revenue. Still, they pay it. Does that mean that those who are not able to pay Rs. 10 should be excused from the payment of Rs. 10?

**Shri Bade:** They incur debts and then they pay.

Shri Morarji Desai: That is not true. My hon. friend, Shri Bade, said that he knew that people pay their lawyers by incurring debts. He is a lawyer and therefore he must be knowing it. He should not have taken money from the peasants by making them borrow. But he does not hesitate in taking it. He only wants Government not to do that.

Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: That does not mean that you should also tax.

Dr. M. S. Aney: One should take a better example to follow.

Shri Prabhat Kar: What he was saying was that in practice it was so.

Shri Morarji Desai: The practice cannot be known unless one indulges in it.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): Everone knows it. Shri Morarji Desai: That is known. But why does my hon, friend try to be an advocate here without fees? He is able to take care of himself.

Shri Bade: That is a fallacious argument.

Shri Morarji Desai: Every argument is like that. When somebody else makes an argument and it goes home, it is like that.

Shri Bade: Because I do something you should also do is fallacious.

Shri Morarji Desai: But if a person is not to be educated into thrift, I do not think the conditions of this country will improve. I wish, I could reach every person in this country in this matter. But it is not physically possible to do so. The arrangement will become too costly. Even if I have to take Rs. -/8/-, I think it will be better if I am able to do that from every person because that will be a good process for strengthening every individual. That is why this is brought in,

It is said that it will be very difficult to recover it. That is not so. We are touching only people who are covered by the present arrangements of administration. Land revenue is recovered by a staff. The same staff is going to take this at the same time. I am suggesting to the States also that in order that they have not to come and take it, this may be credited to their future land revenue which they have to pay so that they have not got to pay it.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: You have not provided for cases when there is remission in land revenue.

Shri Morarji Desai: It is provided that when there is remission in land revenue or suspension it shall not be recovered. That has been taken care of. There is no question of putting any hardship that can be avoided. I do not want to do that. That has

#### [Shri Morarji Deasai]

been provided for by that amendment. Therefore that is taken care of

When have also raised the limit to Rs. 5 because that gives relief to half the number of agriculturists. Therefore that has been given. If more is done then the measure may as well be taken away. It is said that a person who draws Rs. 1,500 a year is not able to do this. What happens to the man who draws only Rs. 1,000 -? He does maintain his family. Every man will benefit himself to some extent if he does some thrift. But if we teach him to spend more than that, he will not improve his position at all. Therefore this is done to do this particular educative work. That is why this is done.

In the matter of provident fund, it was argued by my hon. friend Shri Banerjee, "Why don't you increase the provident fund?" Now, does not that take away his spending money? That also deprives him of spending money. But he wants that because the employer will contribute a similar sum. The employer contributes a sum equal to the sum which is deducted from the salary. But that sum which is deducted from the salary he cannot spend himself. If he can do that, why cannot he do this?

#### 18 hrs.

Shiri S. M. Banerjee: He is already doing it. You are taxing more.

Shri Morarji Desai: If he shows a saving upto 11 per cent, then we will not include him in that. That is what I have said already.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: He is already contributing 6 per cent or so.

Shri Morarji Desai: Let him contribute up to 5 per cent more.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: In what form?

Shri Morarji Desai: That is what the people are doing. Unless it is done,

it is not possible to better their conditions at all in future. Otherwise, it will go on like that. I have seen people earning Rs. 100 who have earned afterwards Rs. 500, Rs. 600, Rs. 700, Rs. 800 and still they remain in the same position because they go on spending more and more, more than what they earn. That is why their conditions do not improve. But if people live within their means, then this does not happen. It is, therefore, with this view that the compulsory savings scheme has been introduced.

Shri Prabhat Kar: When you came to the conclusion of 11 per cent, you must have had some idea about it—so much provident fund plus insurance and all that. As to how you arrived at that conclusion, we shall be glad to know.

Shri Morarji Desai: I find that in Madras State they have made it 11 per cent compulsory saving from Government servants. They have made there 11 per cent. It is not my fruitful brain which has brought 11 per cent. But I say, it exists there and the people are paying. They have agreed to this. I was told by the Chief Minister or by the other Minister who told me about this that they had taken an agreement from their servants that they would do it. They all had agreed to it. Therefore, they have done that 11 per cent.

Shri Prabhat Kar: I want to know only one point more. The provident fund cannot be increased even if the employees want to contribute 11 per cen. How can that be done? In Madras Government they have accepted it. But take, for instance, the Central Government. You are not going to accept that.

Shri Morarji Desai: There is no idea of giving any contribution in this matter. Interest will be given. That is what is being done here. Those who are saving upto 11 per cent, either in provident fund or by way of insurance or in the cumulative deposit scheme, if all that totals up to 11 per

cent, will not have to pay in the compulsory deposit scheme. That is what has been provided for. It is only that upto that limit they might try to save. That is all that is meant by this Bill and, therefore, I consider that this is a measure which is going to strengthen the people who are covered by it. Therefore, it is beneficial for society in my view and in the view of the Government.

Mr. Speaker: There is an amendment of Mr. Banerjee which has already been moved by him. The question is.

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the first day of the next session."

Those who are in favour of the amendment may say 'Aye'.

Some Hon Members: 'Aye'.

Mr. Speaker: Those who are against it may say 'No'.

Several Hon Members: 'No'

Mr. Speaker: The 'Noes' have it.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The 'Ayes' have it.

Mr. Speaker: Allright. Let the lobbies be cleared.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Sir, the divivision can take place tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker: We can have it only at 5 p.m. tomorrow.

Shri S. M. Baneriee: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: There is a demand that we might have the division at 5 p.m. tomorrow.

Some Hon. Members: Yes.

Shri Yashpal Singh (Kairana): We may sit one hour more.

Mr. Speaker: Others do not agree.

Shri Yashpal Singh: Work is worship. We must do it.

Mr. Speaker: I would agree with him. But there are others who do not agree. We will have the division tomorrow at 5 p.m.

#### 18.05 hrs

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Wednesday, April 24, 1963|Vaisakha 4, 1885 (Saka). [Tuesday, April 23, 1963/Vaisakha 2, 1885 (Saka)]

	COLUMNS	WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS—contd.	)
MEMBER SWORN	11271	U.S.Q. Subject	Columns
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUE	S- 1271—11310	No.	COLUMNS
S.Q. Subject No.		2175 Agricultural Universi in Rajasthan	ity . 11316
986. Freight rates of ships	. 11271-72	2177 Over-bridge at Jaipu Keonjhar road .	ir- . 11316
987. Concrete sleepers for Railways	11272–76	2178 Wild life sanctuary Andamans	in . 11316-17
988. Minor Irrigation Scheme		2179 Special services of Do	el- . 11317–18
	. 11282—85	2180 Help to copra growers	
990. Fruit and cattle farms		2181 Lighthouse in Mangalo	ore 11319-20
in Agricultural Universi		2182 Kolayat Station .	11320-21
993. Iron and Steel for Agri cultural purposes	i-	2183 Telephone connection in Rajasthan	is . 11321
994. I.A.C. Fares .	. 11295—97	2184 Dust in passenger cor partments	m- . II321–22
995. Export of sugar to Cey lon	. 11297—99	2185 Agricultural indebtedne	ess 11322
996. Payment of road tax is		2186 Telephone revenue	. 11322-23
Delhi	. 11299	2187 Rural credit to C operative agencies	. 11323
	1299—11302	2188 T.A. to Railway Officer	
999. Protection of Railway lin in Assam and Nagaland		2189 Loss of mail at Bhage pur P.O.	al- . 11324
1002. Integral Coach Factory		2190 Export of Railway co	
1003. Bilaspur Road 1006. U.N. assistance for tran	. 11306—09	2191 Agricultural colleges	. 11325 of
port problems	. 11309-10	Punjab	. 11325
WRITTEN ANSWERS T	o	2192 Stones in a parcel fro abroad	. 11326
S.Q.		2193 Famine areas . 2194 Permanent Way Inspe	. 11326–27
No.		2194 Permanent Way Inspector	. 11327
991. World Food Congress 998. Agricultural cess .	. 11310 . 11310-11	2195 Emergency posts Railways	in . 11327–28
1000. Air conditioned train		2196 Railway High Sch	
coaches	. 11311	Clerks	. 11328 11328
to Goa	nt . 11312	2198 Railway line in U.P.	_
1005. Best village	. 11312-13	2199. P.&T. facilities in bac ward hilly areas	
quiry Committee . U.S.Q.	. 11313	2200. Bridges on Natio Highways in Punjab	. 11330
No.		Punjab	. II330
2170 Pepper industries . 2171 Minor irrigation projec	. 11313-14 cts	2202. Income from Sarhir Nangal section .	nd- . 11330–31
in Orissa 2172 Railway accident no	. 11314	2203. Japanese method paddy cultivation	of . 11331
Dhanbad	. 11315	2204. D.B.K. Railway project	
2173 Agricultural Univers at Bhubaneswar .	. 11315	2205. Bukhtiarpur-Rajgir anch line	Br- 11 <b>33</b> 2

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO		•	COLUMNS
QUESTIONS—contd.	_	PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE	11350
U.S.Q. Subject No.	Columns	A copy of the summary of the recommendations of the	11350
2206. Drilling of tube-wells in Andhra Pradesh.	11333	Committee appointed by the Government to ex-	
2207. Jersy bulls		amine the existing system	
	11333	of grant of subsidy and	
2208. Warchousing Corporations	11334	subventions to the Flying and Gliding Clubs and	
2209. Signalling on Central Rai	l-	other allied matters, was laid on the Table.	
ways	11334		
	11334-35	STATEMENT BY MINISTER	11351
2211. D.K. Railway track .	11335	The Minister of States in the	
Delhi	11335-36	Ministry of Food and Agri-	
2213. Breeding of mules .		culture (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh) made a statement cor-	
		recting the replies given on	
2214. Hotel industry	. 11337–38	the 26th March, 1963 to	
2215. Level crossing at Roh tak Road, Delhi .	11338	supplementaries on Starred Question Nos. 586 and 587.	
2216. Patel Road Railway Cros sing, Delhi	. 11339	CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF UR- GENT PUBLIC IMPORT-	
2217. Flag Station between Nangloi and Gheore	n . 11339	ANCE.	11351-51
2218. Elections to Panchayats	. 11340	(i) Shri S.M. Banerjee called the attention of the Prime	
2219. Telephone connection in Najafgarh .	. 11340	Minister to the industrial licencing policy of the Government in view of the	
Almost to Assess	it . 11340–41	Press report that two concer- ned Union Ministers have	
2221. Air traffic	. 11341	disagreed on the present pro- cedure of issuing such	
2222. Harbour expert for Kera la	i- . 11342	The Prime Minister (Shri Jawa-	
2223. Wharf at Beypore .	. 11342-43	harlal Nehru) made a statement in regard thereto.	
·	. 11343	(ii) Shri P.R. Chakraverti called the attention of the Prime	
2225. Bhavnagar Airport	. 11343-44	Minister to proposed merger	
2226. Transport Cooperativ		of U.A.R., Iraq and Syria into one Federal State and	
Societies 2227. Agricultural Service Co	. 11344	the reaction of the Govern- ment of India thereto	
operative Societies	. 11345	•	
2228. Post Offices in Andho Pradesh	ra . 11345–47	The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh) made a	
2229. Thefts in G.T. Express	s. 11347	statement in regard thereto.	
2230. New aerodromes .	. 11347-48	ELECTION TO COMMIT-	11358-59
2231. Japanese type of cult vation	i- . 11348	The Minister of Food and Agri- culture (Shri S. K. Patil) moves that Lok Sabha elect	
2232. Development of cotto in Maharashtra .	on . 11348-49	one Member from among themselves to serve as a mem-	
2233. Telephone system Maharashtra	. 11349	ber of the Animal Welfare Board vice Shrimati San- gam Laxmi Bai resigned from	1
2234. Development of fru production	. 11349-50	the Board. The motion was adopted.	

COLUMNS

COLUMNS

EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PRESENTATION OF RE-PORT OF JOINT COMMIT-

11359

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty moved the following motion:

Time for presentation of Report of the Joint Committee on the Bill to amend and codify the Law relating to marriage and matrimonial causes among Christians was extended upto the last day of the next sess-ion. The motion was adopted.

### BILLS UNDER CONSIDER-

ATION I (i) The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri) moved for consider-11362-523 BILLS UNDER CONSIDERA-TION-Contd.

> ation of the Official Languages Bill, 1963. The discussion was not concluded

(ii) Further discussion on the motion for consideration of the Bill and the amendment for circulation of the Compulsory Deposit Scheme
Bill, 1963, for the purpose of
eliciting opinion thereon,
moved on the 22nd April,
1963, continued. The discussion was not concluded.

AGENDA FOR WEDNES-DAY, APRIL 24, 1963/VAISA-KHA, 4, 1885 (Saka).

Further consideration and passing of the Official Languages Bill and the Compulsory Deposit Scheme Bill.