

Volume I

No. 1 - 37



Par. S.I.I.1.52

Monday

19th May, 1952

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE

OFFICIAL REPORT Gazettes & Debates Se Parliament Library Bulldi

Room No. FB-025 Hock 'G' Part I—Questions and Answers

Acc 10 ... 25000 Dated....18-11-2014

CONTENTS

Member Sworn [Col. 1]. Oral Answers to Questions [Cols. 1-26]. Written Answers to Questions [Cols. 26-36].

PARLIAMENT SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price four Annas (Inland) Price One Shilling (Foreign)

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF MEMBERS

A

Abdullabhai, Mulla Taherali Mulla (Chanda).

Abdus Sattar, Shri (Kalna-Katwa). Achal Singh, Seth [Agra Distt. (West)].

Achalu, Shri Sunkam (Nalgonda— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Achint Ram, Lala (Hissar).

Achuthan, Shri K. T. (Crangannur).

Agam Dasji, Guru Gosai (Bilaspur-Durg-Raipur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Agarwal, Acharya Shriman Narayan (Wardha).

Agarawal, Shri Hoti Lal [Jalaun Distt. cum Etawah Distt.—(West) cum Jhansi Dist.—(North)]

Agrawal, Shri Mukund Lal [Pilibhit Distt. cum Bareilly Distt.—(East)].

Ahmed Mohiuddin, Shri (Hyderabad City).

Ajit Singh, Shri (Kapurthala-Bhatinda—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Ajit Singhji, General (Sirohi-Pali).

Akarpuri, Sardar Teja Singh (Gurdaspur).

Alagesan, Shri O. V. (Chingleput). Altekar, Shri Ganesh Sadashiv (North Satara).

Alva, Shri Joachim (Kanara).

Amin, Dr. Indubhai B. (Baroda West).

Amjad Ali, Jonab (Goalpara-Garo Hills).

Amrit Kaur, Rajkmuari (Mandi-Mahasu).

Anandchand, Shri (Bilaspur).

Ansari, Dr. Shaukatullah Shah (Bidar).

Anthony, Shri Frank (Nominated—Anglo-Indians).

Asthana, Shri Sita Rama (Azamgarh Distt.—West).

Ayyangar, Shri M. Ananthasayanam (Tirupati).

Azad, Maulana Abul Kalam (Rampur Dist. cum Bareilly Distt.— West).

Azad, Shri Bhagwat Jha (Purnea cum Santal Parganas).

35 P. S.

В

Babunath Singh, Shri (Surguja-Raigarh—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Badan Singh, Chowdhary (Budaun Distt.—West).

Bahadur Singh, Shri (Ferozepore-Ludhiana—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Balakrishnan, Shri S. C. (Erode—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Balasubramaniam, Shri S. (Madurai).

Baldev Singh, Sardar (Nawan Shahr).
Balmiki, Shri Kanhaiya Lal (Bulandshahr Dist.—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Banerjee, Shri Durga Charan (Midnapore-Jhargram).

Bansal, Shri Ghamandi Lal (Jhajjar-Rewari).

Barman, Shri Upendranath (North Bengal—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Barrow, Shri A. E. T. (Nominated—Anglo-Indians).

Barupal, Shri Panna Lall (Ganganagar-Jhunjhunu — Reserved — Sch. Castes).

Basappa, Shri C. R. (Tumkur).

Basu, Shri A. K. (North Bengal).

Basu, Shri Kamal Kumar (Diamond Harbour).

Bhagat, Shri B. R. (Patna cum Shahabad).

Bhakta Darshan, Shri [Garhwal Distt.— (East) cum Moradabad Distt.— (North East)].

Bhandari, Shri Daulat Mal (Jaipur).

Bharati, Shri Goswamiraja Sahdeo (Yeotmal).

Bhartiya, Shri Shaligram Ramchandra (West Khandesh).

Bhargava, Pandit Mukat Behari Lat (Ajmer South).

Bhargava, Pandit Thakur Das (Gurgaon).

Bhatkar, Shri Laxman Shrawan (Buldana-Akola — Reserved — Sch. Castes).

Bhatt, Shri Chandrashanker (Broach). Bhawani Singh, Shri (Barmer-Jalore). Bheekha Bhai, Shri (Banswara-

Dungarpur—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

B-contd.

Bhoi, Shri Giridhari (Kalahandi-Bolangir—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Bhonsle, Major-General Jagannathrao Krishnarao (Ratnagiri North).

Bidari, Shri Ramappa Balappa (Bijapur South).

Birbal Singh, Shri [Jaunpur Distt.— (East)].

Bogawat, Shri U. R. (Ahmednagar South).

Boovaraghasamy, Shri V. (Perambalur).

Borrooah, Shri Dev Kanta (Nowgong). Bose, Shri P. C. (Manbhum North).

Brajeshwar Prasad, Shri (Gaya—East).

Brohmo-Chaudhury, Shri Sitanath (Goalpara-Garo Hills—Reserved— Sch. Tribes).

Buchhikotaiah, Shri Sanaka (Masulipatnam).

Buragohain, Shri S. N. (Sibsagar—North Lakhimpur).

C

Chacko, Shri P. T. (Meenachil).

and Kashmir).

Chakravartty, Shrimati Renu (Basirhat).

Chanda, Shri Anil Kumar (Birbhum). Chandak, Shri B. L. (Betul).

Chandrasekhar, Shrimati M. (Tiruvallur—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

lur—Reserved—Sch. Castes). Charak, Shri Lakshman Singh (Jammu

Chatterjea, Shri Tushar (Serampore). Chatterjee, Dr. Susilranjan (West Dinajpur).

Chatterjee, Shri N. C. (Hooghly).

Chattopadhyaya, Shri Harindranath (Vijayavada).

Chaturvedi, Shri Rohanlal [Etah Distt.—(Central)].

Chaudhary Shri Ganeshi Lal [Shahjahanpur Distt.—(North) cum Kheri —(East)—Reserved—Sch. Castes].

Choudhri, Shri Muhammed Shaffee (Jammu and Kashmir).

Chaudhuri, Shri Ranbir Singh (Rohtak).

Chaudhuri, Shri Rohini Kumar (Gauhati).

Chaudhuri, Shri Tridib Kumar (Berhampore).

Chavda, Shri Akbar (Banaskantha).

Chettiar, Shri T. S. Avinashilingam (Tiruppur).

Chettiar, Shri V. VR. N. AR. Nagappa (Ramanathapuram).

Chinaria, Shri Hira Singh (Mohindergarh).

Chowdary, Shri C. R. (Narasaraopet). Chowdhury, Shri Nikunja Behari (Ghatal).

D

Dabhi, Shri Fulsinhji B. (Kaira North).

Daga, Shri Sheodass (Mahasamund).

Damar, Shri Amar Singh Sabji
(Jhabua—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Damodaran, Shri G. R. (Pollachi).

Damodaran, Shri Nettur P. (Tellichery).

Das, Shri B. (Jajpur-Keonjhar).

Das, Shri Basanta Kumar (Contai).

Das, Shri Beli Ram (Barpeta).

Das, Shri Bijoy Chandra (Ganjam South).

Das, Shri Kamal Krishna (Birbhum—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Das, Dr. Mono Mohon (Burdwan-Reserved-Sch. Castes).

Das, Shri Nayan Tara (Monghyr Sadr cum Jamui—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Das, Shri Shree Narayan (Darbhanga Central).

Das, Shri Ramananda (Barrackpore).

Das, Shri Ram Dhani (Gaya East—
Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Das, Shri Sarangadhar (Dhenkanal—West Cuttack).

Datar, Shri Balwant Nagesh (Belgaum North).

Deb, Shri Dasaratha (Tripura East). Deb, Shri Suresh Chandra (Cachar-Lushai Hills).

Deo, H. H. Maharaja Rajendra Narayan Singh (Kalahandi-Bolangir).

Deo, Shri Chandikeshwar Sharan Singh Ju. (Surguja-Raigarh).

Deogam, Shri Kanhu Ram (Chaibassa —Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Desai, Shri Kanayalal Nanabhai (Surat).

Deshmukh, Dr. Panjabrao S. (Amravati East).

Deshmukh, Shri Chintaman Dwarka-

nath (Kolaba).

Deshmukh, Shri K. G. (Amravati West).

Deshpande, Shri Govind Hari (Nasik—Central).

Deshpande, Shri Vishnu Ghanashyam (Guna).

D-contd.

Dholakia, Shri Gulab Shankar Amritlal (Kutch East).

Dhulekar, Shri R. V. [Jhansi Distt.— (South)].

Dhusiya, Shri Sohan Lal [Basti Distt.—(Central East) cum Gorakhpur Distt.— (West)— Reserved— Sch. Castes].

Digambar Singh, Shri [Etah Distt.— (West) cum Mainpuri Distt.—(West) cum Mathura Distt.—(East)].

Digvijaya Narain Singh, Shri (Muzaffarpur—North-East).

Dube, Shri Mulchand [Farrukhabad Distt. (North)].

Dube, Shri Udai Shankar [Basti Distt. (North)].

Dubey, Shri Rajaram Giridharlal (Bijapur North).

Dutt, Shri Asim Krishna (Calcutta South-West).

Dutt, Shri Biren (Tripura West).

Dutta, Shri Santosh Kumar (Howrah).

Dwivedi, Shri Dashrath Prasad
(Gorakhpur Distt.—Central).

Dwivedi, Shri M. L. (Hamirpur Distt.).

E

Ebenezer, Dr. S. A. (Vikarabad).

Elayaperumal, Shri L. (Cuddalore— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

r

Fotedar, Pandit Sheo Narayan (Jammu and Kashmir).

G

Gadgil, Shri Narhar Vishnu (Poona Central).

Ganapati Ram, Shri [Jaumpur Distt. (East)—Reserved—Sch. Castes].

Gandhi, Shri Feroze [Pratapgarh Distt. (West) cum Rae Bareli Distt. (East)].

Gandhi, Shri Maneklal Maganlal (Panch Mahals cum Baroda East).

Gandhi, Shri V. B. (Bombay City—North).

Ganga Devi, Shrimati (Lucknow Distt. cum Bara Banki Distt.—Reserved— Sch. Castes).

Garg, Shri Ram Pratap (Patiala).

Gautam, Shri C. D. (Balaghat).

Ghose, Shri Surendra Mohan (Malda). Ghosh, Shri Atulya (Burdwan).

Ghulam Qader, Kh. (Jammu and Kashmir).

Giri, Shri V. V. (Pathapatnam).

Girraj Saran Singh, Shri (Bharatpur-Sawai Madhopur).

Gohain, Shri Chowkhamoon (Nominated—Assam Tribal Areas).

Gopalan, Shri A. K. (Cannanore).

Gopi Ram, Shri (Mandi-Mahasu—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Gounder, Shri K. Periaswami (Erode). Gounder, Shri K. Sakthivadiyel (Periyakulam).

Govind Das, Seth (Mandla-Jabalpur South).

Gowda, Shri T. Madiah (Bangalore—South).

Guha, Shri Arun Chandra (Santipur).
Gupta, Shri Badshah (Mainpuri Distt.
—East).

Gurupadaswamy, Shri M. S. (Mysore).

H

Har Prasad Singh, Shri (Ghazipur Distt.—West).

Hari Mohan Dr. (Manbhum North—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Hari Shankar Prasad, Shri (Gorakhpur Distt.—North).

Hazarika, Shri Jogendra Nath (Dibrugarh).

Heda, Shri H. C. (Nizamabad).

Hembrom, Shri Lal (Santal Parganas cum Hazaribagh — Reserved — Sch. Tribes).

Hem Raj, Shri (Kangra).

Hifzur Rahaman, Shri M. (Moradabad Distt.—Central).

Hukam Singh, Shri (Kapurthala-Bhatinda).

Hyder Husein, Chaudhri (Gonda Distt.
—North).

I

Ibrahim, Shri A. (Ranchi North-East).

Iyyani, Shri Eacharan (Ponnani— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Iyyunni, Shri C. R. (Trichur).

J

Jagjivan Ram, Shri (Shahabad South —Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Jain, Shri Ajit Prasad (Saharanpur Distt.—West cum Muzaffarnagar Distt.—Nortb).

Jain, Shri Nemi Saran (Bijnor Distt.
—South).

Jaipal Singh, Shri (Ranchi West-Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Jaisoorya, Dr. N. M. (Medak).

I-contd.

Jajware, Shri Ramraj (Santal Parganas cum Hazaribagh).

Jangde, Shri Resham Lal (Bilaspur— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Jasani, Shri Chaturbhuj V. (Bhandara).

Jatavvir, Dr. Manik Chand (Bharatpur-Sawai Madhopur—Reserved— Sch. Castes).

Jayaraman, Shri A. (Tindivanam— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Jena, Shri Kanhu Charan (Balasore—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Jena, Shri Lakshmidhar (Jajpur-Keonjhar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Jena, Shri Niranjan (Dhenkanal— West Cuttack—Reserved— Sch. Castes).

Jethan, Shri Kherwar (Palamau cum Hazaribagh cum Ranchi—Reserved —Sch. Tribes).

Jhunjhunwala, Shri Banarsi Prashad (Bhagalpur Central).

Jogendra Singh, Sardar (Bahraich Distt.—West).

Joshi, Shri Jethalal Harikrishna (Madhya Saurashtra).

Josui, Shri Krishnacharya (Yadgir).

Joshi, Shri Liladhar (Shajapur-Rajgarh).

Joshi, Shri Moreshwar Dinkar (Ratnagiri South).

Joshi Shri Nandlal (Indore).

Joshi, Shrimati Subhadra (Karnal).
Jwala Prashad, Shri (Ajmer North).

K

Kachiroyar, Shri N. D. Govindaswami (Cuddalore).

Kajrolkar, Shri Narayan Sadoba (Bombay City-North-Reserved-Sch. Castes).

Kakkan, Shri P. (Madurai—Reserved —Sch. Castes).

Kale, Shrimati Anasuyabai (Nagpur). Kamal Singh, Shri (Shahabad—North-West).

Kamaraj, Shri K. (Srivilliputhur).

Kamble, Shri Devroa Namdevroa Pathrikar (Nanded—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Kandasamy, Shri S. K. (Tiruchengode). Kanungo, Shri Nityanand (Kendrapara).

Karmarkar, Shri D. P. (Dharwar-

Karni Singhji, His Highness Maharaja Sri Bahadur of Bikaner (Bikaner-Churu).

Kasliwal, Shri Nemi Chandra (Kotak-Jhalawar).

Katham, Shri Birendranath (North Bengal—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Katju, Dr. Kailas Nath (Mandsaur).

Kazmi, Shri Syed Mohammad Ahmad (Sultanpur Distt.—North cum Faizabad Distt.—South West).

Kelappan, Shri K. (Ponnani).

Kaushik, Shri Pannalal R. (Tonk).

Keshavaiengar, Shri N. (Bangalore—North).

Keskar, Dr. B. V. (Sultanpur Distt.—South).

Khan, Shri Sadath Ali (Ibrahim-patnam).

Khan, Shri Shahnawaz (Meerut Distt.
—North East).

Khardekar, Shri B. H. (Kolhapur cum Satara).

Khedkar, Shri Gopalrao Bajirao (Buldana-Akola).

Khimji, Shri Bhawanji A. (Kutch West).

Khongmen, Shrimati B. (Autonomous Distts.—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Kidwai, Shri Rafi Ahmad (Bahraich Distt.—East).

Kirolikar, Shri Wasudeo Shridhar (Durg).

Kołay, Shri Jagannath (Bankura).

Kosa, Shri Muchaki (Bastar—Reserved —Sch. Tribes).

Kripalani, Shrimati Sucheta (New Delhi).

Krishna, Shri M. R. (Karimnagar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Krishna Chandra, Shri (Mathura Distt.—West).

Krishnamachari, Shri T. T. (Madras). Krishanappa, Shri M. V. (Kolar).

Krishnaswami, Dr. A. (Kancheepuram).

Kureel, Shri Baij Nath (Pratapgarh Distt.—West cum Rae Bareli Distt.—East—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

L

Lakshmayya, Shri Paidi (Anantapur).
Lallanji, Shri (Faizabad Distt.—North West).

Lal Singh, Sardar (Ferozepur-Ludhiana).

Lanka Sundaram, Dr. (Visakhapatnam).

L-contd.

Laskar, Prof. Nibaran Chandra (Cachar-Lushai Hills-Reserved-Sch. Castes).

Laisram Jogeswar Singh, Shri (Inner Manipur).

Lotan Ram, Shri (Jalaun Distt. cum Etawah Distt.—West cum Jhansi Distt. — North — Reserved — Sch. Castes).

M

Mahapatra, Shri Sibnarayan Singh (Sundargarh - Reserved -- Sch. Tribes).

Mahata. Shri Bhajahari (Manbhum South cum Dhalbhum).

Mahendra Nath Singh, Shri (Saran Central).

Mahodaya, Shri Vaijanath (Nimar).

Mahtab, Shri Harekrushna (Cuttack). Pandit Maitra. Lakshmi Kanta

(Nabadwip). Majhi. ajhi, Shri Chaitan (Manbhum— South *cum* Dhalbhum—Reserved— Sch. Tribes).

Majhi, Shri Ram Chandra (M bhanj—Reserved—Sch. Tribes). (Mayur-

Majithia, Sardar Surjit Singh (Tarn Taran).

Malliah, Shri Srinivasa U. (South Kanara-North).

Malludora, Shri Gam (Visakhapatnam —Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Malvia, Shri Bhagu-Nandu (Shajapur-Rajgarh—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Malviya, Shri Motilal (Chhatarpur-Datia-Tikamgarh — Reserved — Sch. Castes).

Malviya, **Pandit** Chatur Narain (Raisen).

Malaviya, Shri Keshava Deva (Gonda Distt.—East cumBasti Distt.— West).

Dr. Pashupati Mandal. (Bankura-Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Mangalagiri, Shri Nanadas (Ongole— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Mascarene, Kumari Annie (Trivandrum).

Masuriya Din, Shri (Allahabad Distt.—East cum Jaunpur Distt.—West— Reserved-Sch. Castes).

Mathew, Prof. C. P. (Kottayam).

Mathuram, Dr. Edward Paul (Tiruchirapalli).

Matthen, Shri C. P. (Thiruvellah).

Mavalankar, Shri G. V. (Ahmedabad).

Maydeo, Shrimati Indira A. (Poona-South).

Mehta, Shri Anup Lal (Bhagalpur cum Purnea).

Mehta, Shri Balvantray Gopaljee (Gohilwad).

Mehta, Shri Balwant Sinha (Udaipur). Menon, Shri K. A. Damodara (Kozhikode).

Mishra, **Pandit** Suresh Chandra (Monghyr-North-East).

Mishra, Shri Bibhuti (Saran cum Champaran).

Mishra Shri Lalit Narayan (Darbhanga cum Bhagalpur).

Mishra, Shri Lokenath (Puri).

Mishra, Shri Mathura Prasad (Monghyr-North-West).

Mishra, Shri Raghubar Dayal (Bulandshahr Distt).

Mishra, Shri Sarju Prasad (Deoria Distt.-South).

Mishra, Shri Shyam Nandan (Darbhanga-North).

Misra, Pandit Lingaraj (Khurda).

Misra, Shri Bhupendra Nath (Bilaspur-Durg-Raipur).

Missir, Shri Vijineshwar (Gaya— North).

Mohammad Saeed Masudi, Maulana (Jammu and Kashmir).

Mookerjee, Dr. Syama Prasad (Calcutta-South-East).

Morarka, Shri Radheshyam Ramkumar (Ganganagar-Jhunjhunu).

ore, Shri K. L. (Kolhapur Satara—Reserved—Sch. Castes). More, Shri

Shri More, Shankar Shantaram (Sholapur).

Mudaliar, Shri C. Ramaswamy (Kumbakonam).

Muhammad Islammuddin, Shri (Purnea North-East).

Muhammed Khuda Bukhsh, Shri (Murshidabad).

Mukerjee, Shri Hirendra Nath (Calcutta-North-East).

Murli Manohar, Shri (Ballia Distt.-East).

Murthy, Shri B. S. (Eluru).

Musafir, Shri Gurmukh Singh (Amritsar).

(Bhagalpur Mushar, Shri Kirai cumPurnea—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Muthukrishnan, Shri M. (Vellore-Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Naidu, Shri Nalla Reddi mundry). Nair, Shri C. Krishnan (Outer Delhi).

N-contd.

Nair, Shri N. Sreekantan (Quilon cum Mavelikkara).

Nambiar, Shri K. Ananda (Mayuram).

Namdhari, Shri Atma Singh (Fazilka-Sirsa).

Nanda, Shri Gulzarilal (Sabarkantha). Nandkar, Shri Anant Savalaram

(Thana—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Narasimham, Shri S. V. L. (Guntur).

Narasimhan, Shri C. R. (Krishnagiri).

Naskar, Shri Purnendu Sekhar (Diamond Harbour—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Natawadkar, Shri Jayantrao Ganpat— (West Khandesh—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Natesan, Shri P. (Tiruvallur).

Nathani, Shri Hari Ram (Bhilwara).

Nathwani, Shri Narendra P. (Sorath).

Wayar, Shrimati Shakuntala (Gonda Distt.—West).

Nayar, Shri V. P. (Chirayinkil).

Nehru, Shri Jawaharlal (Allahabad Distt.—East cum Jaunpur Distt.— West).

Nehru, Shrimati Uma (Sitapur Distt. cum Kheri Distt.—West).

Nesamony, Shri A. (Nagercoil).

Neswi, Shri T. R. (Dharwar-South).

Nevatia, Shri R. P. (Shahjahanpur Distt.—North cum Kheri—East).

Nijalinagappa, Shri S. (Chitaldrug).

P

Pande, Shri C. D. (Naini Tal Distt. cum Almora Distt.—South West cum Bareilly Distt.—North).

Pandey, Dr. Natabar (Sambalpur).

Pandit, Shrimati Vijaya Lakshmi (Lucknow Distt.—Central).

Panna Lal, Shri (Faizabad Distt.— North-West — Reserved — Sch. Castes).

Pant, Shri Devi Datt (Almora Distt.— North East).

Paragi Lal, Chaudhari (Sitapur Distt. cum Kheri Distt.—West—Reserved —Sch. Castes).

Paranjpe, Shri R. G. (Bhir).

Parikh, Shri Rasiklal U. (Zalawad).

Parikh, Shri Shantilal Girdharlal (Mehsana East).

Parmar, Shri Rupaji Bhavji (Panch Mahals cum Baroda East—Reserved —Sch. Tribes).

Pataskar, Shri Hari Vinayak (Jalgaon) Patel. Shri Bahadurbhai Kunthabhai (Surat—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Patel, Shrimati Maniben Vallabhbhai (Kaira South).

Patel, Shri Rajeshwar (Muzaffarpur cum Darbhanga).

Pateria, Shri Sushil Kumar (Jabalpur North).

Patil, Bhau Saheb Kanavade (Ahmednagar North).

Patil, Shri S. K. (Bombay City-South).

Patil, Shri Shankargauda Veera-

nagauda (Belgaum South). Patnaik, Shri Uma Charan

(Ghumsur). Pawar, Shri Vyankatrao Pirajirao

(South Satara).

Pillai, Shri P. T. Thanu (Tirunelveli).

Pocker Saheb, Janab B. (Malappuram).

Prabhakar, Shri Naval (Outer Delhi —Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Punnoose, Shri P. T. (Alleppey).

R

Rachiah, Shri N. (Mysore—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Radha Raman, Shri (Delhi City).

Raghavachari Shri K. S. (Penukonda). Raghaviah, Shri Pisupati Venkata (Ongole).

Raghubir Singh, Choudhary (Agra Distt.—East).

Raghunath Singh, Shri (Banaras Distt. Central).

Raghuramaiah, Shri Kotha (Tenali).

Raiji, Shrimati Jayashri (Bombay—Suburban).

Rajabhoj, Shri P. N. (Sholapur— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Ramachander, Shri Doraswamy Pillai (Vellore). Ramaseshaiah, Shri N. (Parvathi-

puram).

Ramaswamy, Shri P. (Mahbubnagar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Ramaswamy, Shri S. V. (Salem).

Ram Dass, Shri (Hoshiarpur—Reserved —Sch. Castes).

Ram Nagina Singh, Shri (Ghazipur Distt.—East cum Ballia Distt.— South West).

Ram Narayan Singh, Babu (Hazaribagh West).

Ram Saran, Prof. (Moradabad Distt.-

Ram Shankar Lal, Shri (Basti Distt.— Central East cum Gorakhpur Distt.—West).

R-conid.

- Ram Subhag Singh, Dr. (Shahabad South).
- Randaman Singh, Shri (Shahdol-Sidhi—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).
- Rane, Shri Shivram Rango (Bhusaval).
- Ranjit Singh, Shri (Sangrur).
- Rao, Diwan Raghavendra (Osmanabad).
- Rao, Dr. Ch. V. Rama (Kakinada).
- Rao, Shri B. Rajagopala (Srikakulam).
- Rao, Shri B. Shiva (South Kanara—South).
- Rao, Shri Kadyala Gopala (Gudivada).
- Rao, Shri Kanety Mohana (Rajahmundry—Reserved—Sch. Castes).
- Rao, Shri Kondru Subba (Eluru— Reserved—Sch. Castes).
- Rao, Shri Pendyal Raghava (Warangal).
- Rao, Shri P. Subba (Nowrangpur).
- Rao, Shri Rayasam Seshagiri (Nandyal).
- Rao, Shri T. B. Vittal (Khammam).
- Raut, Shri Bhola (Saran cum Champaran Reserved Sch. Castes).
- Razmi, Shri Said Ullah Khan (Sehore).
- Reddi, Shri B. Ramachandra (Nellore).
- Reddi, Shri Baddam Yella (Karimnagar).
- Reddi, Shri C. Madhao (Adilabad).
- Reddi, Shri Y. Eswara (Cuddapah).
- Reddy, Shri Halaharvi Sitarama (Kurnool).
- Reddy, Shri K. Janardhan (Mahbubnagar).
- Reddy, Shri Ravi Narayan (Nalgonda).
- Reddy, Shri T. N. Vishwanatha (Chittoor).
- Richardson, Bishop John (Nominated —Andaman and Nicobar Islands).
- Rishang Keishing, Shri (Outer Manipur—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).
- Roy, Dr. Satyaban (Uluberia).
- Roy, Shri Biswa Nath (Deoria Distt. —West).
- Roy, Shri Patiram (Basirhat—Reserved —Sch. Castes).
- Rup Narain. Shri (Mirzapur Distt. cum Banaras Distt.—West—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

- Saha, Shri Meghnad (Calcutta—North-West).
- Sahai, Shri Raghubir (Etah Distt.— North East cum Budaun Distt.— East).
- Sahaya, Shri Syamnandan (Muzaffarpur Central).
- Sahu, Shri Bhagabat (Balasore).
- Sahu, Shri Rameshwar (Muzaffarpur cum Darbhanga—Reserved—Sch. Castes).
- Saigal, Sardar Amar Singh (Bilaspur).
- Sakhare, Shri T. C. (Bhandara—Reserved—Sch. Castes).
- Saksena, Shri Mohanlal (Lucknow Distt. cum Bara Banki Distt.).
- Samanta, Shri Satis Chandra (Tamluk).
- Sanganna, Shri T. (Rayagada-Phulbani—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).
- Sankarapandian, Shri M. (Sankaranayinarkovil).
- Sarmah, Shri Debeswar (Golaghat—Jorhat).
- Sathianathan, Shri N. (Dharmapuri).
- Satish Chandra, Shri (Bareilly Distt. —South).
- Satyawadi, Dr. Virendra Kumar (Karnal—Reserved—Sch. Castes).
 Sen. Shrimati Sushama (Bhagalpur
- Sen, Shrimati Sushama (Bhagalpur South). Sen, Shri Phani Gopal (Purnea
- Central). Sen, Shri Raj Chandra (Kotah
- Sen, Shri Raj Chandra (Kotan Bundi).
- Sewal, Shri A. R. (Chamba-Sirmur).
- Shah, Her Highness Rajmata Kamlendu Mati (Garhwal Distt.— West cum Tehri Garhwal Distt. cum Bijnor Distt.—North).
- Shah, Shri Chimanlal Chakubhai (Gohilwad-Sorath).
- Shah, Shri Raichand Bhai (Chhindwara).
- Sharma, Pandit Balkrishna (Kanpur Distt.—South cum Etawah Distt.—
- Sharma, Pandit Krishna Chandra (Meerut Distt.—South):
- Sharma, Prof. Diwan Chand (Hoshiarpur).
- Sharma, Shri Khushi Ram (Meerut Distt.—West).
- Sharma, Shri Nand Lal (Sikar).
- Sharma, Shri Radha Charan (Morena-Bhind).

Shashtri, Pandit Algu Rai (Azamgarh Distt.—East cum Ballia Distt.— West).

Shashtri, Shri Bhagwandutt (Shahdol-Sindhi).

Shashtri, Swami Ramanand (Unnao Distt. cum Rae Bareli Distt.—West cum Hardoi Distt.—South East— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Shastri, Shri Harihar Nath (Kanpur Distt.—Central).

Shivananjappa, Shri M. K. (Mandya). Shobha Ram, Shri (Alwar).

Shukla, Pandit Bhagwaticharan (Durg-Bastar).

Siddananjappa, Shri H. (Hassan-Chikmagalur).

Singhal, Shri Shri Chand (Aligarh

Sinha, Dr. Satyanarain (Saran—East).
Sinha, Shri Anirudha (Darbhanga—East).

Sinha, Shri Awadheshwar Prasad (Muzaffarpur—East).

Sinha, Shri Banarsi Prasad (Monghyr Sadr cum Jamui).

Sinha, Shri Chandreshwar Narain Prasad (Muzaffarpur — North-West).

Sinha, Shri Gajendra Prasad (Palamau cum Hazaribagh cum Ranchi).

Sinha, Shri Jhulan (Saran—North).

Sinha, Shri Kailash Pati (Patna— Central).

Sinha, Shri Nageshwar Prasad (Hazaribagh—East).

Sinha, Shri S. (Pataliputra).

Sinha, Shri Satya Narayan (Samastipur—East).

Sinha, Shri Satyendra Narayan (Gaya—West).

Sinha, Shrimati Tarakeshwari (Patna —East).

Sinhasan Singh, Shri (Gorakhpur Distt.—South).

Siva, Dr. M. V. Gangadhara (Chittoor—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Snatak, Shri Nardeo (Aligarh Distt.— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Sodhia, Shri Khub Chand (Sagar).

Sofi, Shri Mohd, Akber (Jammu and Kashmir).

Somana, Shri N. (Coorg).

Somani, Shri G. D. (Nagaur-Pali).

Soren, Shri Paul Jujhar (Purnea cum Santal Paraganas—Reserved—Sch. Tribes). Subrahmanyam, Shri Kandala (Vizianagaram).

Subrahmanyam, Shri Tekur (Bellary)-Sunder Lall, Shri (Saharanpur Distt.

-West cum Muzaffarnagar Disti.North-Reserved-Sch. Castes).

Suresh Chandra, Dr. (Aurangabad).

Suriya Prashad, Shri (Morena-Bhind —Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Swaminadhan, Shrimati Ammu. (Dindigul).

Swami, Shri Sivamurthi (Kushtagi).

Swamy, Shri N. R. M. (Wandiwash).

Syed Ahmed, Shri (Hoshangabad).
Syed Mahmud, Dr. (Champaran—

Syed Mahmud, Dr. (Champaran— East).

T

Talib, Shri Piare Lal Kureel (Bandæ Distt. cum Fatehpur Distt.— Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Tandon, Shri Purushottamdas [Allaha-bad Distt. (West)].

Tek Chand, Shri (Ambala-Simla).

Telkikar, Shri Shankar Rao (Nanded).

Tewari, Sardar Raj Bhanu Singh (Rewa).

Thimmaiah, Shri Dodda (Kolar—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Thirani, Shri G. D. (Bargarh).

Thirukuralar, Shri V. Muniswamy Avl. (Tindivanam).

Thomas, Shri A. M. (Ernakulam).

Thomas, Shri A. V. (Srivaikuntam).

Tirtha, Swami Ramananda (Gulberga).

Tivary, Shri Venkatesh Narayan (Kanpur Distt.—North cum Farrukhabad Distt.—South).

Tiwari, Pandit B. L. (Nimar).

Tiwari, Shri Ram Sahai (Chhatarpur-Datia-Tikamgarh).

Tiwary, Pandit Dwarka Nath (Saran South). Tribhuan Narayan Singh, Shri

Tribhuan Narayan Singh, Shri (Banaras Distt.—East). Tripathi, Shri Hira Vallabh (Muzaffar-

Tripathi, Shri Hira Vallabh (Muzalialnagar Distt.—South).

Tripathi, Shri Kamakhya Prasad (Darrang).

Tripathi, Shri Vishwambhar Dayah (Unnao Distt. cum Rae Bareli Distt. —West cum Hardoi Distt.—South East).

Trivedi, Shri Umashanker Muljibhat (Chittoor).

Tudu, Shri Bharat Lal (Midnapore-Jhargram—Reserved—Sch. Tribes). Tulsidas Kilachand, Shri (Mehsana—West).

Tyagi, Shri Mahavir (Dehra Dun Distt. cum Bijnor Distt.—North West .cum Saharanpur Distt.— West).

U

Uikey, Shri M. G. (Mandla-Jabalpur— South—Reserved—Sch. Tribes).

Upadhyay, Pandit Munishwar Dutt (Pratapgarh Distt.—East).

(Pratapgarh Distt.—East). '
Upadhyaya, Shri Siva Datt (Satna).

Upadhyay, Shri Shiva Dayal (Banda Distt. cum Fatehpur Distt.).

v

Vaishnav, Shri Hanamantrao Ganeshrao (Ambad).

Vaishya, Shri Muldas Bhudardas (Ahmedabad — Reserved — Sch. Castes).

Vallatharas, Shri K. M. (Pudukottai).
Varma, Shri B. B. (Champaran North).

Varma, Shri Bulaqi Ram (Hardoi Distt.—North-West cum Farrukhabad Distt.—East cum Shahjahanpur Distt — South — Reserved — Sch. Castes). Vartak, Shri Govindrao Dharmaji (Thana).

Veeraswamy, Shri V. (Mayuram—Reserved—Sch. Castes).

Velayudhan, Shri R. (Quilon cum Marvelikkara — Reserved — Sch. Castes).

Venkataraman, Shri R. (Tanjore).

Verma, Shri Ramji (Deoria Distt.— East).

Vidyalankar, Shri Amarnath (Jullundur).

Vishwanath Prasad, Shri (Azamgarh Distt. — West — Reserved — Sch. Castes).

Vyas, Shri Radhelal (Ujjain).

w

Waghmare, Shri Narayan Rao (Parbhani).

Wilson, Shri J. N. (Mirzapur Distt. cum Banaras Distt.—West).

Wodeyar, Shri K. G. (Shimoga).

\mathbf{z}

Zaidi, Col. B. H. (Hardoi Distt.— North-West cum Farrukhabad Distt.— East cum Shahjahanpur Distt.— South).

-

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE

The Speaker

Shri G. V. MAVALANKAR.

The Deputy-Speaker

Shri M. Ananthasayanam Ayyangar

Panel of Chairmen

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava. Shrimati Ammu Swaminadhan. Shri Hari Vinayak Pataskar. Shri N. C. Chatterjee. Shrimati Renu Chakravartty.

Assistants of the Secretary

Shri M. N. Kaul, Barrister-at-law Secretary

Shri A. J. M. Atkinson. Shri S. L. Shakdher.

Shri N. C. NANDI.

Shri D. N. MAJUMDAR.

Shri C. V. NARAYANA RAO.

Committee on Petitions

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava, Shrimati Renu Chakravartty, Shri Asim Krishna Dutt, Shri Govindrao Dharmaji Vartar, Prof. C. P. Mathew.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Members of the Cabinet

Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs-Shri Jawaharlal Nehru. Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Research—Maulana Abul Kalam Azad.

Minister of Communications-Shri Jagjivan Ram.

Minister of Health-Rajkumari Amrit Kaur.

Minister of Defence—Shri N. Gopalaswami Ayyangar.

Minister of Finance-Shri C. D. Deshmukh.

Minister of Planning and River Valley Schemes-Shri Gulzarilal Nanda

Minister of Home Affairs and States-Shri K. N. Katju.

Minister of Food and Agriculture-Shri Rafi Ahmed Kidwai.

Minister of Commerce and Industry-Shri T. T. Krishnamachari

Minister of Law and Minority Affairs-Shri C. C. Biswas. X & S

Minister of Railways and Transport—Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri X R S

Minister of Works, Housing and Supply-Sardar Swaran Singh

Minister of Labour-Shri V. V. Giri.

Minister of Production-Shri K. C. Reddy.

Ministers of Cabinet Rank (but not Members of the Cabinet)

Minister of Parliamentary Affairs-Shri Satva Narayan Sinha.

Minister of Rehabilitation-Shri Ajit Prasad Jain.

Minister of State for Finance-Shri Mahavir Tyagi.

Minister of Information and Broadcasting-Dr. B. V. Keskar.

Deputy Ministers

Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry-Shri D. P. Karmarkar. Deputy Minister of Works, Housing and Supply-Shri S. N. Buragohain

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

(Part I—Questions and Answers) OFFICIAL REPORT

Vol. I Fourth Day of the First Session of First Parliament of India

No. 1

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE

Monday, 19th May, 1952.

The House met at a Quarter to Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker (Shri G. V. Mavalankar) in the Chqir]

MEMBER SWORN

Syed Mohammad Ahmad Kazmi [Sultanpur District North cum Faizabad District South-West].

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Mr. Speaker: Questions.

Shri Raghavaiah: Mr. Speaker, may I know whether any arrangements have been made for the translation of speeches given by such hon. Members who cannot speak either in Hindustani or in English? If such arrangements have not been made I think it is high time that they are made before the debate on the Presidential Address is begun. Article 120 of the Constitution lays down.......

Mr. Speaker: If the hon, Member takes time to argue a question now less number of questions will be covered. Will the hon, Member resume his seat? Now, for the benefit of new Members, I might again say that no two Members should stand at the same time; and when the Speaker stands everybody else should take his seat. It is a small thing but a useful and important matter for carrying on the proceedings in an orderly manner. And incidentally, I may also state one rule of decorum that when the Speaker is on his legs no person, not only should stand but should also leave the House. It is considered disrespectful to the Chair which means disrespect to the House.

Now, his point is about the Hindi translation of speeches? I could not catch his point completely.

35 P.S.D.

Shri Raghavaiah: Translation of speeches delivered in the mother tongue into Hindustani or English.

An Hon. Member: If somebody speakers in Tamil or Telugu, the hon. Member wants that the House should have the benefit of a Hindustani or English translation of that speech.

Mr. Speaker: Let us not raise imaginary difficulties. Of course there will be some who perhaps may not be able to speak either in Hindi or in English. There may be genuine cases like that. In those cases the practice will be that the Member who wishes to speak will give his own version and we shall also have to see and get it verified from some good source conversant with that languages. But it will be difficult to give such versions of speeches immediately, there and then, in the House; they will go in the proceedings. But I am sure a Member when he speaks ordinarily,—of course, when he is bent on some other object, it is a different matter—but ordinarily he will try to speak in a manner which is intelligible to other Members of the House and therefore he will resort either to English or to Hindi. But still there may be cases of genuine difficulties. I do not think that difficulty will arise and we need not go into those points which are problematical at this stage. If the question arises we shall see how to deal with it, and I am sure, with the good will of all, such a question is not likely to arise often.

. Shri Raghavaiah: The hon. Speaker already knows that oath or affirmation has been taken in the mother tongues of Members......

Mr. Speaker: Now it is entering into an argument. Article 120 of the Constitution says:

"Notwithstanding anything in Part XVII, but subject to the provisions of article 348, business in Parliament shall be transacted in Hindi or in English: [Mr. Speaker]

Provided that the Chairman of the Council of States or Speaker of the House of the People, or person acting as such, as the case may be, may permit any Member who cannot adequately express himself in Hindi or in English to address the House in his mother tongue."

So, it is a question of the Speaker's discretion, and if permission is granted then only the hon. Member can speak in any other language. That is the position. Taking the oath in any particular language is not necessarily related to it. I myself took the oath in Hindi—that does not mean I do not understand English. So, let us not go into these questions. If there is any difficulty and if an hon. Member is unable to express himself and he asks for permission, I will consider whether it is a fit case for grant of permission.

We will now proceed with the questions. Before doing so there is one more point to which I may just invite the attention of the hon. Members. I am thinking of allotting particular blocks to particular sets of Members, but that will necessarily require some time. I must have with me, lists of persons who wish to be grouped together for purposes of sitting. Till then Members cannot be allotted particular seats for the simple reason that the total number of seats actually provided is less than the total number of Members. Therefore, till the blocks are allotted I would request each hon. Member to stick to the seat which he is occupying today.

is occupying today.

This implies the necessary corollary that other hon. Members should not try to sit on that seat or occupy it at any time, much less usurp it. The simple reason is this. As I called Dr. R. S. Singh just now. it came to my mind that it is not possible to mark out a particular Member among the large number of 500 unless his seat is constant. and f-om my experience. I may say that the association of the Chair is usually with the place where a Member is sitting. If I have called Dr. R. S. Singh by seeing him stand from the place he is occupying now, then next day or next time, if he is sitting somewhere here or there. I shall presume that he is absent and shall go ahead. It is therefore in the interest of Members themselves to continue in their present seats, till blocks are allotted.

PERMIT SYSTEM

*1. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the Pakistan Government propose to introduce per-

mit system for travel between East Pakistan and West Bengal?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): The hon. Member's attention is invited to the Press Note issued on the 4th May, 1952, in regard to the introduction of a passport system between Pakistan and India.

Mr. Speaker: I would like to mention another convention also. The convention that I have been following is that the hon. Member who has tabled a question is presumed to know something more about it, that he has thought about that matter, and therefore, he should be given an opportunity to put his supplementaries first, and thereafter I shall see if there is time and then allow other Members to put questions.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: In view of the fact that the Prime Ministers' Agreement of 1950 stipulated that travel between East India would be free. Pakistan and India would be free. Pakistan and India would be free. Pakistan and India whether the present attempts of Pakistan to introduce this passport system do not amount to a violation of that Agreement?

Dr. Keskar: The Government of India did draw the attention of the Pakistan Government to the Indo-Pakistan Agreement and also to the fact that in our view this was not in consonance with that Agreement, but as the hon Member will see from the Press Note, the Pakistan Government differed from us and in their opinion this is not a violation of the Indo-Pakistan Agreement.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: May I know the number of migrants from East Pakistan who are still in India?

Dr. Keskar: I would require notice for that.

Jonab Amiad Ali: Is the Government prepared to take this House into confidence and give us the result of the talks that are going on between our representatives and those of Pakistan at Karachi?

Dr. Keskar: No, Sir. It is not possible for Government to give an idea of the confidential talks that may be going on or have already taken place.

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawahar-lai Nehru): May I add to that answer. Sir? As a matter of fact, the talks are still going on. No conclusion has been arrived at at all. They go on from day to day and there is nothing more to be said about it. The talks, after disposing of the basic question of having passports or not, relate to the facilities etc. to be given, and it is the Government of India's desire that even

after the introduction of the passport system or any other kind of regula-tion of travel, this should be very liberally interpreted so far as Eastern Pakistan, West Bengal, Assam and Tripura are concerned.

Oral Answers

Shri A. C. Guha: Are we to under-stand that the Pakistan Government initiated this proposal without con-sulting the Government of India and in spite of the Government of India's reluctance to accept any such proposal?

Dr. Keskar: Again, I would draw the attention of my hon, friend to the Press Note in which we have clarified the whole position. The Pakistan Government took the initiative in in-forming us that they proposed to introduce the passport system, considering the present permit system to be inadequate and unsatisfactory.

Dr. S. P. Mookerjee: Has the attention of Government been drawn to the Press reports in the last few days that a large number of people have already started moving out from East Bengal to Assam and West Bengal as a result of the announcement of the passport system proposed to be introduced by Pakistan?

Dr. Keskar: Government have seen Press reports on the reported move of large numbers of people from East Pakistan to Assam but they cannot say whether this is due to the coming in of the passport system. Presumably, it is so.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: May I add that apart from vague Press reports the actual figures are otherwise.

Mr. Speaker: We will proceed to the next Question.

BOTTLING OF PENICILLEN

- *2. Shri Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Works, Production and Supply be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Penicillin Bottling Plant at Bombay has been working to its full capacity since it started work;
- (b) whether any public requirements were also met after satisfying Government demands; and
- (c) when the Poona Penicillin factory is likely to be constructed?

The Deputy Minister of Works Housing and Supply (Shri Buragohain) (a) Yes.

(b) No sales have been made to the public direct but supplies have been made to municipalities and public institutions.

(c) The construction of the Factory is expected to be completed by about March 1953.

Shri Hukam Singh: Do the Departments of Government get all their requirements from this bottling factory, or do they also import from foreign countries?

Shri Buragohain: The position is that we import penicillin in bulk and it is bottled in this factory and distri-buted to the various Departments under the Central and State Governments

Shri Hukam Singh: My question is this. Do all Government requirements get met by this bottling factory, or are the Government requirements supplemented by imports from foreign countries also?

Shri Buragohain: So far, after meeting Government requirements and the needs of other institutions of a public nature, there is still left some quantity of penicillin and Government are now considering the appointment of agents for the distribution of this surplus to the public.

Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: Will Government make available the latest report on the working of these factories?

Shri Buragohain: I am not aware of the receipt of any formal report on this factory, but if and when that is received the question will be considered.

Shri Velayudhan: May I know whether there is only bottling of penicillin taking place in this factory, or whether there is any real manufacture of penicillin also?

Shri Buragohain: I have already explained the position. We are importing penicillin in bulk and we are bottling it in this factory. The idea is to cut down the expenditure and the higher cost involved in the imported material.

Shri B. S. Murthy: May .I know what is the total annual import of penicillin at present, and how the Government proposes to reduce it in coming years?

Buragohain: I should like to Shri have notice.

Shri Hukam Singh: Do Government of India perform their part of the agreement which was entered into with the W.H.O. or the U.N. Children's Emergency Fund for providing land for the construction of factories and other buildings?

Shri Buragohain: I have already stated that. This related to part (c) of the question. I have said that the construction of the factory is expected to be completed by March 1953. Already the work has been begun and it is expected that it will be completed some time next year in order to enable the machinery that will arrive then to be installed.

Houses for Displaced Persons from Kashmir

- *3. Shri Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government of India have opened a Home for old and infirm displaced persons from Kashmir;
- (b) what is the number of displaced persons admitted to this Home?

The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri A. P. Jain): (a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

Shri Hukam Singh: Do Government propose to open such a Home?

Shri A. P. Jain: No.

Shri Hukam Singh: Is the Government prepared to extend any relief to such weak, old and infirm persons?

Shri A. P. Jain: They are already receiving relief, but they are living in the camp.

Shri Hukam Singh: What about those outside the camps?

Shri A. P. Jain: There is none.

NIGHT SHIFTS IN TEXTILE MILLS

- *4. Shri B. R. Bhagat: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether a number of textile mills in the country have decided to cut down production by closing their night shifts:
 - (b) if so, the reasons for the same;
- (c) the number of workers who will be affected thereby; and
- (d) the steps taken by Government to meet this situation?
- The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
 (a) One mill has actually closed down and four mills have closed down partially.
 - (b) Accumulation of stocks.

- (c) 5,058 to the best of our information.
- (d) The following steps have been taken to meet the situation:
- (1) Mills have been permitted to sell to buyers of their own choice the entire production of fine and superfine varieties of cloth and yarn of all counts made out of foreign cotton.
- (2) Mills have been permitted to sell 80 per cent. of the production of coarse and medium cloth to buyers of their own choice. Similarly they have been allowed to sell yarn made out of Indian cotton if it is once rejected by the State nominees.
- (3) Export of fine and superfine cloth is allowed freely for shipment till the 30th September, 1952.
- (4) Movement of cloth and yarn by postal parcels within the country has been made free.
- (5) Production control has been relaxed by permitting mills to utilise 40 per cent. of wide looms for the production of dhoties and sarees, instead of 50 per cent. which was the maximum limit laid down.
- (6) State Governments have been asked to license freely wholesale and retail dealers in cloth and they have already proceeded to do so.
- Shri B. R. Bhagat: In view of the reported statements by almost all the labour organisation leaders that the cut in production is resorted to by mill-owners for increasing their profit and increasing prices of cloth, has Government examined the real position regarding the cost of production and other relevant data in regard to production?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: Government is not aware of any validity behind this complaint, because, I think, April more or less recorded the highest output in regard to production during recent months. The matter is being very carefully watched and as I said only one mill has actually closed down. If the situation is such that Government action is required, they are empowered under sections 15, 16 and 17 of the Industries (Control and Regulation) Act to take the necessary action.
- Shri B. R. Bhagat: May I know whether Government views this aspect of the matter as a temporary crisis or as a permanent feature coming in the textile production of the country?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: Government is not in a position to make up

its mind whether it is temporary or whether it is going to stay put.

Shri H. N. Shastri: How did the stock position in textile mills prior to the slump period compare with the present stock position?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: Government have only details of stocks with the mills and they are now in a position to say that the stock position with the mills has not varied considerably during the last four months. At any rate, one need not take a very alarming view of the position, as things are today. It may be that dealers have got larger stocks and the slump is accentuated because of that. The information that we possess is with regard to stocks with mills.

Shri H. N. Shastri: In view of the fact that there is still acute shortage of cloth in the country, what is this slump due to, in the opinion of Government?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: Well, I do not think, Sir, I shall hazard an opinion.

Seth Govind Das: Besides facilities which the hon. Minister just mentioned, are there any other...

Some Hon. Members: Hindi, Hindi.

Seth Govind Das: The hon. Minister does not understand Hindi: hence I am putting the question in English.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Seth Govind Das: Besides facilities which the hon. Minister just mentioned, are there any other facilities which the mill-owners demand from the Government and which Government are thinking of giving them?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: Sir, it is a matter of a continuous process of demands being made and demands being met partially or refused in toto. Government is in constant touch with the mill-owners and the Textile Commissioner makes his report every now and then. I am not in a position to say if all the demands made by the mill-owners are met. Unless the situation develops for the worse I do not think Government need do anything now.

Shri G. D. Somani: Are the Government satisfied that the measures taken so far are adequate to meet the situation?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He is asking for opinion. He can ask for information, not for opinion.

Shri N. S. Nair: Are Government aware of the fact that this is a concerted snare on the part of the employers to get more concessions from Government as well as to get more facilities for black marketing?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I shalt take that information from the hon. Member.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Is it a fact, Sir, that the closure of the mills was being resorted to by mill-owners with a view to compelling the Government to abolish control prices on production and distribution?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member is making insinuations—let us go to the next question.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF ART IN INDUSTRY

*5. Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

(a) the achievements of the Indian Institute of Art in Industry;

(b) the functions of the Institute;

(c) the personnel constituting it;

(d) the estimate of annual expenditure on its establishment?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Karmarkar): (a) to (d). A note giving information on all these points is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix I, annexure No. 2.]

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: May I know, Sir, whether this Institute is wholly and solely financed by Government, or some founder members or patron members have contributed towards the Institute?

Shri Karmarkar: The hon. Member will find that information in the note circulated. It is a full seven page note and contains all the relevant information.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: I have got the statement with me, but it does not contain the information.

Shri Karmarkar: On that point we have no information at the moment.

Shri B. Das: Has not there been a tendency recently on the part of industrialists who used to co-operate with Government, not to co-operate with them with the result that the work of this institution is not being properly done?

Shri Karmarkar: We have no information on that point Sir.

Shri B, Das: The hon. Minister's secretary is a Member of the Institute's Directorate.

Shri Velayudhan: Have Government contributed anything for this scheme of rural development?

HOUSES FOR DISPLACED PERSONS

- *7. Giani G. S. Musafir: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state:
- (a) the total number of displaced families registered in Delhi;
- (b) the number of families so far provided with housing accommodation:
- (c) the number of the rest of the families who are still without shelter; and
- (d) how much time Government are likely to take to provide accommodation to these shelterless families?

The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri A. P. Jain): (a) 73,500.

- (b) About 64,000 have been provided with accommodation in built-up houses, evacuee houses, or houses constructed on plots provided by Government. Accommodation for another 4,000/5,000 families is ready and is being allotted.
- (c) and (d). If the problem was confined to registered families, it would have very nearly been soived by now. But there has been a continuous infiltration of Displaced Persons in Delhi after June 1948 when registration was stopped. According to a recent Survey, the number of families squatting by the road-side or on open land or living in Dharamshaias etc. is 23.000. This number includes a fairly large percentage of 'ineligibles' and also some families of non-displaced persons, to whom Government is under no obligation to supply alternative accommodation. It is hoped that the major portion of the problem of 'eligibles' will be solved during 'he year 1952-53.

گیاتی جی - ایس - مسافر : جو ریفوجیز گورنیفت بلدنگش سے نکالے جا رہے هیں کیا ان سب کو القرنیٹو ایکوموتیدن پرروائد کی جا رهی ہے -

[Glani G. S. Musafir: Is any alternative accommodation being provided to the refugees who are evicted from Government buildings?]

ब्दी, ए० पो० बंत : जा लोग गवर्न-मेन्ट बिल्डिंग्ड से निकाले जा रहे हैं और जो एक तारीख से पहले वहां बैठे वे और अपना किराया देते रहे हैं उन को अस्टने-टिक ऐकोसोडेशन मिल रही हैं।

[Shri A. P. Jain: Such of the refugees as are being evicted but who had been paying rents regularly for the accommodation occupied by them till the first of this month, are being provided with alternative accommodation.]

نیاری جی - ایس - مدافر : کیا سرکار هند کا کوئی ایسا اعلان هے که جو لوگ اینلست دهای میں رجستات هو چکے هیں ان سب کو ایکوموڈیت کیا جائے گا -

[Giani G. S. Musafir: Have the Government of India made any announcement to the effect that all persons registered in Delhi will be accommodated?]

श्री ए० पी० जैन: उन से ज्यादा को तो देचुके।

[Shri A. P. Jain: More than that number have already been accommodated.]

گیآئی جی- ایس مسافر: جناب والا کی وساطت سے میں ایک بات پوچھنا جائتا ھیں - میرا سوال یہ ھے کہ جو لوگ اس تاریخ مقررہ کے بعد گور منت بلڈنگس میں آکر بیٹھے ھیں ان میں سے اگر کوئی سلم ۱۹۳۷ ع کے رجسٹر ھوئے ھیں تو ان کے لئے بھی آلڈرنیٹو ایکوموڈیشن پرووائڈ کی جائے گی یا نہیں -

[Giani G. S. Musafir: Sir, my question is whether alternative accommodation will be provided also to those persons who have occupied these Government buildings after the fixed

date in question but who were registered in 1947?]

आर्थिए पी० जैनः वह जवाब तो मैं देचुका हूं।

[Shri A. P. Jain: I have already replied to that question.]

Shri Vallatharas: What is the total expenditure so far incurred by the Government in providing housing accommodation?

Shri A. P. Jain: In Delhi I think we must have so far spent about twelve crores of rupees.

Shri Vallatharas: What is the expenditure expected to be incurred in the future for the provision of housing accommodation to other families?

Shri A. P. Jain: This year we propose to spend nearly Rs. 5 crores, and that will provide residential accommodation to. I think, eight to ten thousand families.

Shri Gurupadaswamy: May I know what measures Government have taken to enable these people to live in Delhi—have they 'provided any means of employment to them?

Shri A. P. Jain: Sir, the question relates to housing. If you want I can answer this question, but I do not know whether I should be called upon to answer a question relating to means of livelihood when the main question is purely confined to housing.

Mr. Speaker: I think some concession may be made now!

Shri A. P. Jain: All right, Sir. Delhi is a big place where there is quite ample capacity for economic absorption. But unfortunately on account of the recent infiltration even that ample capacity for absorption is getting exhausted. A wise thing for the refugees therefore would be not to come to Delhi. So far as persons who have already come to Delhi are concerned, I have no doubt that a very vast percentage—may be more than 90 Ler cent—are already engaged in one or another manner in earning their livelihood.

Shri N. R. Naidu: Are there adequate facilities and sanitary conditions so far as these houses are concerned?

Shri A. P. Jain: Yes, Sir.

ALLOTMENT OF LAND TO DISPLACED PERSONS

*8. Giani G. S. Musafir: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state when the allotment of land to the displaced persons on quasi permanent basis is expected to be made permanent?

The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri A. P. Jain): No decision has yet been taken.

Shri Hukam Singh: Is the Government aware that the Pakistan Government has considered this question and come to a decision, giving the refugees some disposing power over the evacuee property?

Shri A. P. Jain: We have no official information. Some sort of newspaper reports have been appearing.

Shri Hukam Singh: Are our Government also considering this so that those who are in urgent need of money may be able to give away their lands temporarily for a short period?

Shri A. P. Jain: The question is a rather complicated one. It involves the question of the title of the evacuees in all these properties. If any decision has been taken by Pakistan perhaps a corresponding decision may be taken here. But Government have not come to a conclusion in this matter.

Shri Hukam Singh: Are Government aware that there are un-economic holdings, holdings under one acre, lying waste because the people cannot make use of them unless they have some disposing power over those holdings?

Shri A. P. Jain: So far as statistics go. I think the average holding allotted to a displaced person is much bigger than the holding possessed by an average local. The average area of a holding allotted to a displaced person is six acres whereas the average holding in India is only 2½ to 3 acres.

Shri Hukam Singh: I did not complain about the smallness of the holding. I only said it was lying waste because unless the disposing power is given it cannot be utilised.

Mr. Speaker: That will be arguing the matter further.

16

UNLIFTED CLOTH QUOTAS

- *9. Shri B. K. Das: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) in which States the nominees of States had failed to lift their cloth and yarn quotas before the system of control was modified.
- (b) for what periods each of those States had been defaulters and to what extent;
- (c) what explanations were offered for such defaults; and
- (d) what were the value and quantities (expressed in tons) of the total accumulation of cloth and yarn in the mills when the modification order was first made?
- The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamacharl):
 (a) The nominees of all the States excepting a few rejected substantial quantities of cloth and yarn. The principal States which failed to lift cloth are Bihar, Madras, Bombay Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, Orissa, Punjab, Mysore, Hyderabad, Delhi and Madhya Bharat and those which failed to lift yarn are Rajasthan, Bombay, Punjab, Hyderabad, Saurashtra, Bihar, West Bengal, U.P., Orissa, Travancore-Cochin and Madras.
- (b) The nominees of the States failed to lift substantial quantities of cloth in the period February-March 1952 and of yarn in the period January-March 1952. The details of rejections by various States are given in the Statement placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix I, annexure No. 3.]
- (c) The following were the reasons for failure to lift cloth:
- (1) The general slump in the cloth trade had affected cloth prices and the States nominees were reluctant to purchase cloth at ex-mill rates through controlled channels when mills were selling their free sale portion of cloth at less than the stamped prices.
- (2) Most of the dealers had stocks of goods purchased at prices higher than those prevailing in the market and were therefore shy of making further purchases for fear of loss.
- '3) Consumers' demand showed a decine in expectation of further fall in prices.
- (4) Difficult credit conditions developed towards the end of February 1952.

- The failure to lift yarn was for the following reasons:—
- (1) General slump in the handloom cloth market due to easier availability of mill cloth.
- (2) Difficulties in procuring necessary finance.
- (3) General decline in the demand for yarn from consumers particularly handloom weavers.
- (4) High price of yarn manufactured from foreign cotton.
- (5) Anticipated reduction in orices of yarn manufactured from Indian cotton and consequent reluctance of the nominees to purchase yarn of higher price.
- (d) The stock of cloth with mills was 62,887 tons valued at Rs. 4,226 lakhs and that of yarn was 14,393 tons at the end of March.
- Shri B. K. Das: What is the modified system of distribution that has been introduced now? What is that system?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The modification really is that mills are now permitted to sell more freely. That is the only modification that I am aware of. We have permitted them to sell freely all classes of cloth inclusive of coarse and medium, subject to their rejection by State nominees.
- Shri B. K. Das: From the statement of the hon. Minister it appears that some States were able to lift their quotas while others failed to do so. I want to know whether the modification has been introduced in all the States uniformly.
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I do not understand what the hon. Member means. It is true that there are a few States which have lifted the stocks, but they are just a few. It is more or less a general feature. With regard to the question of modification in distribution I do not think I have made any statement with regard to that except in regard to modification of controls.
- Shri T. N. Singh: Is it a fact that some of the mills have been insisting on the wholesalers lifting a certain proportion of superfine and fine coth when they take their quotas of coarse and medium cloth, and has that been a deterrent to the lifting of the stocks?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: If the hon. Member will put down a question separately I shall give the answer.

- Dr. S. P. Mookerjee: Will the hon. Minister state why it is that so many Provinces refused to lift their quotas although there was scarcity in those areas—what were the reasons given?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: If the hon. Member wants me to delve into the realms of conjecture I can do so, but having occupied this position two years back I think he is better able to do it than myself.
- Dr. Lanka Sundaram: In view of the persistent complaints that the nominee system has given occasion to, will Government consider its total abolition and revert to distribution of cloth through normal trade channels?
- Mr. Speaker: I am afraid this is a suggestion for action.
- Shri Radhelal Vyas: May I know how far the import of foreign cloth affected the present position of cloth and whether Government propose to stop the import of foreign cloth?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I am not aware of the position envisaged by premise of the question and therefore, I am not able to answer it.
- Pandit L. K. Maitra: May I ask from the hon. Minister if he is aware that one of those important factors for non-lifting of the cloth by. State nominees is that the Government of India issued an order three months back which compelled them to buy their whole cotton at a very high price and the recent price has fallen to such an extent that the previous stock cannot be sold in the market?
- Mr. Speaker: What is the information that he wants?
- Pandit L. K. Maitra: I want to know whether the Government is aware that this disparity in the prices is responsible for the non-lifting of cloth?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I am sorry, I am not in a position to answer that.

EVACUEE PROPERTIES (VERIFICATION)

- *13. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state when the verification of claims in respect of evacuee property will be completed?
- The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri A. P. Jain): Nearly 7 lakhs out of a total of 9 lakhs property sheets relating to urban and rural houses and plots have been verified since the Claims Organization started verification in December, 1950. Apart from this, there are 1½ lakhs property

- sheets relating to agricultural lands which are being processed simultaneously. The exact time required for finishing the balance work cannot be indicated. This will depend upon the readiness of the claimants to produce evidence.
- **Dr. Ram Subhag Singh:** May I know when the compensation is expected to be paid in respect of verified claims?
- Shri A. P. Jain: We are trying to work out a scheme. It is difficult for me to fix any date.
- Jonab Amjad Ali: May I ask if the hon. Minister can give this information State-wise?
- Shri A. F. Jain: It cannot be given State-wise. The claims have been lumped together and that information is not available.
- Lala Achint Ram: Do Government propose to increase the number of claims officers in order to complete the work as soon as possible?
- Shri A. P. Jain: Any addition of more claims officers will be a waste of money and now some complex questions are left over and in fact some of the claims officers have not enough of work to do.
- Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: May I know what is the valuation of the claims so far verified?
- Shri A. P. Jain: It is not in the public interest to disclose that information.
- Shri Hukam Singh: May I know if the verification of Muslim properties is also proceeding simultaneously?
 - Shri A. P. Jain: Yes.
- Shri Hukam Singh: How far we have progressed in that respect?
- Shri A. P. Jain: I think out of about 2.83,000 families' properties nearly one lakh families' properties have been valued.
- Shri A. C. Guha: May I know if the Government has got any idea whether the Pakistan Government would agree to make good for any of the property left by the refugees there?
- Shri A. P. Jain: That point can be elicited from the Pakistan Government and not from me.
- Mr. Speaker: What he means is whether the Government has any idea about it.
- Shri A. P. Jain: I have no idea of what Pakistan Government is going to do.

Mr. Speaker: We had better go to the next question.

CLOTH PURCHASE SCHEME

- *14. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Willthe Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Government is having any purchase scheme in regard to Indian Cotton; and
- (b) if so, whether that scheme ras been put into operation?
- The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
 (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.
- Shri Velayudhan: May I know whether the Government is aware that a large quantity of cotton that had arrived in Bombay was found in a damaged condition and whether the Government are involved in the matter of that purchase with the merchants?
- Mr. Speaker: How does it arise out of this?
- **Shri Velayudhan:** The hon. Minister said in reply to the question that the answer is in the affirmative.
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The question reads thus: "Whether the Government is scheme in regard to Indian cotton" and the cotton that my hon. friend has in mind is in regard to American cotton and if this question has to be answered, I can answer the question.
- Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: What are the centres in which the scheme has started operation and what is the date on which it has begun to function?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: 18 buying agents have been appointed recently and no specific areas were allotted and the sellers are free to choose any of these buying agents.
- Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: Does the Government propose to revise the floor rates of cotton for which there has been a tremendous demand from all over India?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The position is that the present prices that are ruling even in the area in which the hon. Member is interested is much higher than the floor rate and perhaps well above the cost of production or somewhere near thereof. There seems to be no immediate provocation for revising it. The matter is being constantly kept under review.
- Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: Is it not a fact that whereas the ceiling prices of

- cotton had been revised the floor prices have been left where they were and this is working very unjustly to the cotton growers all over India?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The hon. Member will forgive me if I say that this floor and ceiling in regard to cotton is not the floor and ceiling of this House. They relate to two different sets of circumstances altogether.

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT OF WEST BENGAL

- *15. Shiri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Government of India have approved of the Community Development Project of West Bengal;
- (b) if so, what are the details of the Project;
- (c) the machinery set up to implement the Scheme;
- (d) what is the estimated cost of the Project and who will bear the expense; and
- (e) when the Project will begin to work and how long it will take to complete it?
- The Minister of Planning and River Valley Schemes (Shri Nanda): (a) and (b). The Planning Commission have recommended the location of 8 Development Blocks (equivalent to 3 Community Projects, composite type) in West Bengal. The sites chosen are in the districts of Birbhum, Burdwan Nadia, Midnapore and 24 Parganas. Detailed plans are to be worked out by the State Government more or less on the pattern indicated in the pamphlet "Community Projects—A Draft Outline".
- (c) The projects are to be implemented by the State Government, who will have a suitable organisational set-up for the Projects in the State. This will include a Project Executive Officer, or an Assistant Project Officer, in charge of each Development Block.
- (d) The estimated cost of a Community Project, composite type is Rs. 111 lakhs for three years. It is intended that the dollar expenditure, about Rs. 15-45 lakhs, would be met out of Fund A under the Indo-U.S. Technical Co-operation Agreement, 1952, and that the rupee expenditure be shared between the Government of India and the Government of West Bengal.
- (e) Work on the Project is to begin this year and be completed by 1955.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know who will be the executive head of these projects referred to by the hon. Minister?

Shri Nanda: The West Bengal Government will execute them. There will be a Development Commissioner there.

Shri S. C. Samanta: The executive Head will be the Central Government.

Shri Nanda: There is an administrator there. The central work is in charge of an administrator who has already been appointed.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether the community projects in West Bengal will undergo any change due to the revision of the Colombo Plan, discussed at Karachi?

Shri Nanda: This has nothing to do with the Colombo Plan.

Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: Sir, we understand that the community development projects have been undertaken under the terms of the Technical Cooperation Agreement with the U.S.A. Is there any specific term of agreement that the machinery and other things required in connection with the community development projects, will be purchased from the U.S.A. alone?

Shri Nanda: Whatever is suitable for our purposes will be purchased from whichever source we prefer.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: What is the extent and character of American association with the community project scheme?

Shri Nanda: The extent of the association depends on the size and the progress of work. The character of the association is that it is intended to promote the objects of the Agreement.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Is there any suggestion of subservience to American control in regard to the operation of these community projects?

Shri Nanda: No, Sir.

Shri Punnoose: Are American experts working the community projects? Is it a fact that the community projects are run under the supervision of American experts?

Shri Nanda: They have not yet been started.

Shri Punnoose: May I know, Sir, if Mr. Dey, the Commissioner for these projects, is not an American?

Shri Nanda: I need not answer the question.

Mr. Speaker: Let us be clear. I could not hear the answer. What was the answer?

Shri Nanda: I feel it is a question which does not need an answer. Dey, the name, the hon. Member knows is an Indian name.

Dr. S. P. Mookerjee: The name Mr. Dey shows that he is a Bengali.

Mr. Speaker: Whatever it may be, the hon. Minister has said that. I am going to the next question.

Shri A. C. Guha: He comes from Assam.

CHANDMARI CAMP

*16. Shri A. C. Guha: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to refer to his reply to my Starred Question No. 86, dated the 14th February, 1952 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that sometime in the middle of the last year 975 families of displaced persons of the Chandmari camp were allotted rehabilitation plots in a nearby village Kanput and the Camp was declared to be closed down with the stoppage of their relief dole;

(b) whether it is a fact that because of an injunction on Government that land was not available for rehabilitation:

(c) whether it is a fact that displaced persons are still physically in the old camp area though the Camp is shown to have been closed down and in official papers the displaced persons are shown not to be there; and

(d) whether any relief is still being given to those displaced persons?

The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri A. P. Jain): The administration of the camp is the concern of the West Bengal Government who have been requested to furnish detailed information on the subject. The information when received, will be laid on the Table of the House.

Shri A. C. Guha: May I know whether the Government of India has got any idea about the suggestions made in this question?

Shri A. P. Jain: I hope the hon. Member is aware that with regard to all the subjects on the Concurrent List, the execution is the responsibility of the State Government. I am doubtful whether a question of this nature could

come up before this House. In fact, I am taking that up with the hon. Speaker.

CLOTH DISTRIBUTION IN CHANDMARI CAMP

- *17. Shri A. C. Guha: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the displaced persons of the Chandmari Camp were given one piece of dhoti or sares some time in May, 1951 per head for those not below the age of eighteen and one half pant or frock per head for those not above the age of twelve;
- (b) whether it is a fact that nothing was given to the displaced persons between twelve to eighteen years agegroup:
- (c) whether it is a fact that only in March, 1952, one piece of dhoti or saree has been given per family; and
- (d) if so, whether this is according to the Government scale of clothes to be supplied to the displaced persons in the Camp?
- The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri A. P. Jain): The administration of the camp is the concern of the West Bengal Government who have been requested to furnish detailed information on the subject. The information when received, will be laid on the Table of the House.
- Shri A. C. Guha: May I know by what time we can expect the information?
- Shri A. P. Jain: As soon as it arrives.

JUTE GOODS

- *18. Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: (a) Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state whether it is a fact that certain amount of foreign orders for jute goods were diverted to European countries during recent months?
- (b) What were the grounds for such diversion and have steps been taken to prevent it?
- (c) What is the amount of loss that India suffered on this account?
- (d) How far is our export duty responsible for this diversion?
- The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
 (a) Yes.
- (b) and (d). The Continental manufacturers were able to quote below

- Calcutta prices. The recent readjustment of export duties is expected to place Indian goods in a competitive position.
- (c) It is not possible to calculate the loss as the total quantity for which orders have been placed on Continental countries is not known.
- Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: May I know whether the duty has been reduced and what the effect of the reduction is?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: With effect from 7th May. 1952, the export duty on hessian was reduced from Rs. 750 to Rs. 275 per ton. It is a very substantial reduction. I may also mention to the hon. Member that the price differential operating in favour of continental hessian during the period preceding the reduction of duty is somewhere about 160 to 170 rupees. We therefore anticipate that there will be no future diversion if the present conditions continue.
- Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: May I know to what European countries the orders were diverted?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: An estimate is being made about the total amount of diversion, because the bulk of the orders have been placed by the U.S.A. Corps of Engineers who accepted the bids for certain quantities of Burlaps, but I cannot give the information country-wise.
- Shri B. K. Das: Arising out of part (b) of the question, may I know whether the quality of the jute goods supplied by India is one of the reasons for this diversion?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: Not that I am aware of.

JUTE SUPPLIES BY PAKISTAN

- *19. Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Pakistan has completed her entire commitment in respect of supply of jute under the Indo-Pakistan trade agreement;
- (b) what is the amount so far received in India; and
 - (c) what about the balance?
- The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): (a) Not yet. The period of Agreement is up to 30th June 1952.
- (b) and (c). 21.05 lakh bales had been received up to 7th May 1952, but

26

it is difficult to forecast how much will arrive by 30th June 1952.

Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: What is the balance? Will the hon. Minister give that information?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The balance is somewhere in the region of 12 lakh bales, I believe. The quota released is 25 lakh bales for 3 four-monthly quotas. The balance can therefore be calculated.

Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: Was there any correspondence between our Government and the Government of Pakistan? What is the explanation they have given for the delay?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: If the hon. Member will put down a question, I shall attempt to answer it.

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: No. 20. May I also request, Sir, that since 15 minutes were taken up by other matters, those 15 minutes may be given now for questions?

Mr. Speaker: The Question-hour cannot be extended like that.

Shri Velayudhan: At least this question may be put.

Mr. Speaker: That has already been put.

INDIANS IN CEYLON

- *20. Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: (a) Will the Prime Minister be pleased to make a statement on the position of the Indians settled in Ceylon with respect to their franchise?
- (b) What steps have the Government of India taken in the matter so far, and with what result?
- (c) Are there any steps that are proposed to be taken in the immediate future?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): (a) to (c). In this connection the attention of the hon. Member is invited to the two Press releases of 24th April and 3rd May 1952. The Government are watching the developments carefully and will take whatever steps they think appropriate in the changing conditions in Ceylon

Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: Would the Government be pleased to state if there is any improvement in the situation or whether the situation has worsened?

Dr. Keskar: I do not think there is any change either way.

Shri P. T. Chacko: May I know whether the Government of India intervened in this matter before the dissolution of the Ceylon Parliament?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Gurupadaswamy: May I know whether the Government is thinking of taking this to the United Nations?

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): No. Sir.

Shri Gurupadaswamy: May I know whether Government is thinking of applying diplomatic sanctions?

Mr. Speaker: The Question-hour is over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

COTTON

*10. Shri R. K. Chaudhury: (a) Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state whether the cotton contracted to be supplied to India by foreign countries are likely to reach this country within the stipulated time viz., by the end of the year 1952?

(b) Is there any likelihood of complete decontrol of cotton and cotton cloth in the near future and if 'so, when?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):

- (a) Yes, Sir.
- (b) No, Sir.

BRASS

*11. Shri R. K. Chaudhury: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state whether it is a fact that manufacturers of brass utensils throughout India and particularly in the States of Assam are experiencing great difficulty in getting supplies of brass ingots?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Karmarkar): There have been some complaints from various parts of the country. The quantity of brass available during the last year was very much less than that in the previous two years. The main difficulty is in getting copper and zinc in sufficient quantities. Government are, however, doing everything possible to secure as much as possible of these metals.

FOREIGN TOILET ARTICLES

*12. Shri R. K. Chaudhury: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state whether any special facilities have been granted by

- the Government of India for the manufacture in India of the following articles of general use of foreign make:
 - (i) Pear's Soap, made in England;
 - (ii) Forhans Tooth Paste, made in U.S.A.;
 - (iii) Cuticura Talcum Powder, made in U.S.A.; and
 - (iv) Remington Typewriters?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Karmarkar): Permission has been given for the manufacture of Pears Soap, Forhan's Tooth Paste and Remington typewriters. But no special facilities have been given except permission to pay royalty in the case of Forhan's Tooth Paste,

MOTOR VEHICLES (IMPORT)

- *21. Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: (a) Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the number of motor vehicles imported into India during the year 1950-51 and the first quarter of 1952 with their make, horse power and the country of origin?
- (b) Have the Indian manufacturers of motors made any complaints with respect to the import policy of Government?
- (c) If so, what was it and what was the decision of Government?
- The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Karmarkar): (a). A statement is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix I, annexure No. 4.]
- (b) and (c). The automobile manufacturers have represented that there is a glut in the market owing to large imports of motor cars last year. The Government are reviewing the position.

MOTOR MANUFACTURING FACTORIES

- *22. Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether any Indian motor manufacturing factories have reduced the shifts or have completely ceased production:
 - (b) if so, which and since when; and
- (c) what were the causes leading to this in each case?
- The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Karmarkar): (a) Yes, Sir.
- (b) As far as Government are aware, only M/s Hindustan Motors

- Ltd., Calcutta, have closed their factory from the 5th April 1952.
- (c) According to the Company, the reason for closure is the accumulation of large stocks.

INDIANS IN MALAYA

- *23. Shri P. T. Chacko: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to press reports that Indians in Malaya are often removed by force to resettlement camps by the security services; and
- (b) whether Government have taken any steps in the matter?

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) and (b). I take it that the hon. Member is referring to a report published in the "Mail", Madras, dated the 17th March, 1952. The Government have made enquiries regarding this, but find that the resettlement scheme launched by the Malayan authorities affects mostly Chinese and only a few Indians are involved. Our Representative in Malaya reports that he has received no complaints from Indians on this score.

INDIANS IN SOUTH AFRICA

- *24. Shri P. T. Chacko: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the Government of India have refused to nominate a representative on the three-Member Commission suggested by the U.N. General Assembly to help negotiations between the parties on the question of treatment of Indians in South Africa; and
- (b) if so, the circumstances that led Government to take such a decision?
- The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) and (b). The position regarding the implementation of the resolution adopted by the General Assembly on the 12th January, 1952 is as follows:

Within 60 days of the date of the resolution viz., by the 11th March, 1952, the Governments of South Africa India and Pakistan were required to nominate members on the proposed three-member commission. In February, the Government of India made an approach to the Government of Pakistan about the nomination of a joint representative of the two Governments. On the 23rd February, however, they received a copy of a telegram addressed by the Government of South Africa to the

Secretary General of the United Nations to the effect that the Government of South Africa were unable to accept the said resolution as providing a basis for the resumption of negotiations with the Governments of India and Pakistan, since the terms of the resolution constitute intervention in a matter which is essentially within the Union's domestic jurisdiction. In view of this reply, the Government of India informed the U.N. Secretary General that the nomination of a joint representative by the Governments of India and Pakistan on the proposed commission could serve no useful purpose.

DISPUTED BORDER AREAS

*25. Giani G. S. Musafir: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) the total disputed area on the eastern and western borders of India; and
- (b) how much of such area is in the possession of the Government of India and how much in the possession of Pakistan Government?

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) and (b). Information has been called for from the State Governments. It will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as it becomes available.

FOREIGN EXPERTS IN INDIA

- *26. Prof. Agarwal: (a) Will the Minister of Flanning be pleased to state what is the number of foreign experts, nationalitywise, who are at present working in India under the various development plans of the Central and State Governments?
- (b) What are their salaries and periods of stipulated service?
- (c) How many American technicians are due to arrive in India under the recent Indo-American Technical Cooperation Scheme?
- (d) Is the assistance of American experts considered to be essential even for the proposed rural Community Projects?

The Minister of Planning and River Valley Schemes (Shri Nanda): (a) A statement is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House. A statement is laid on the Table of the House relating to the number of experts received under the various Technical Assistance Schemes. [See Appendix I, annexure No. 5.]

(b) The salaries of the experts obtained under the Technical Assis-

tance Schemes are payable by the donor Governments and Agencies and are not known to this Government. Information on the period of stipulated service of these experts and on both salaries as well as periods of stipulated service of other foreign experts, who have not been obtained under any of the Technical Assistance Schemes, is being collected and a statement will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

- (c) The number of American technicians needed for different aspects of the Technical Co-operation Programme is yet under consideration.
- (d) The assistance of American experts in agricultural extension, soil science, deep well construction and certain other fields is likely to be of value in the implementation of community projects. The details are under discussion with State Governments.

संसद् पर व्यय

*२७. श्री आर॰ ऐस्ड॰ तिवारी: क्या प्रवान मंत्रो यह बतलाने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) वई संसद के सदस्यों की संख्या में वृद्धि हो जाने के कारण क्याउन के भत्ते कम कर दिए जायेंगे;
- (स) क्या दैनिक भन्ने इस्ती प्रकार दिये जाते रहेंगे अथवा मासिक भन्ना देने की प्रथा चालू की जायेगी तथा;
- (ग) क्या राज्य-परिषद् तथा लोक-सभा के सदस्यों के भत्तों में कोई अन्तर होगा?

EXPENDITURE ON PARLIAMENT

[*27. Shri R. S. Tiwari: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether on account of the increase in the number of Members of the new Parliament, there will be a cut in their allowances;
- (b) whether the daily allowance will continue to be paid or whether a system of monthly allowance will be introduced; and
- (c) whether there will be any difference between the allowances of Members of the Council of States and of those of the House of the People?]

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharla) Nehru): (a) to (c). The question of

allowances to be paid to Members of Parliament is under consideration. Till any change is made the present allowances will continue. In any event there will be no difference between the allowances of Members of Council of States and the House of the People.

भारत में विदेशी राजइत

*२८. श्री आर प्रेंस वितश्री: क्या प्रधान मंत्री भारत में विदेशी राजद्तों की संख्या बतलाने की कृषा करेंगे ?

FOREIGN AMBASSADORS IN INDIA

[*28. Shri R. S. Tiwari: Will the **Prime Minister** be pleased to state the number of foreign ambassadors in India?]

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): There are diplomatic representatives of forty-two countries in India, of which twenty-two hold charge of Embassies.

DENIAL OF PASSPORTS TO U.S.S.R. AND CHINA

*29. Shri H. N. Mukerjee: (a) Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state what is the number of Indian nationals who applied for passports, on invitation from cultural, trade union and other organisations in the U.S.S.R. and the People's Republic of China in 1951-52, and the number who were refused such passports?

(b) What are the reasons which led Government to deny passports to such applicants?

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) As far as the Government of India are aware, 115 persons applied for passport facilities on invitations received from cultural, trade union and other organizations in the U.S.R. and the People's Republic of China during 1951-52. Twenty-four of these were refused passports.

(b) Passports were refused to these 24 persons as Government did not think that the grant of passports to them would have been in the public interest.

REFUSAL OF VISAS TO DELEGATES FROM CHINA AND U.S.S.R.

the Prime Minister be pleased to state whether it is a fact that delegates from the U.S.S.R. and from the People's Republic of China to the All-India Cultural Conference and Festival for Peace held in Calcutta in the first week

of April 1952, were refused visas by the Government of India?

(b) If the answer to part (a) above be in the affirmative, what were the reasons for such action?

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) and (b). The Government of India decided that no visas should be issued to any foreigners to attend the conference. This rule was applied to all foreigners who applied for such visas. It might be added that in this case, as sometimes in others also, applications for visas are rade at the very last moment when it becomes difficult to deal with them in the normal way.

COMMUNITY PROJECTS

*31. Shri Bansal: (a) Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state what are the criteria for selecting places for Community Projects?

- .(b) Is it a fact that availability of frrigation facilities, good soil and climatic conditions are some of the important criteria?
- (c) If the reply to part (b) above be in the affirmative, what steps are being taken to make their benefits available to areas which are agriculturally backward on account of lack of irrigation facilities?
- (d) Are there any other steps which are being contemplated by Government to bring succour to these regions?

The Minister of Planning and River Valley Schemes (Shri Nanda): (a) to (c). Selection of sites for Community Projects was made on the basis of proposals received from State Governments who were requested to consider the suitability of the area, chiefly for increased agricultural production, availability of the necessary organisation and personnel of the right quality, the ability of the State Government to meet its share of expenditure, and the character of the development needs and programmes of a State. Due regard has also been given to the needs of backward areas, scheduled tribes, as well as, in States like West Bengal and Punjab, the resettlement of displaced persons.

(d) These projects are to be viewed as the first step in a programme of intensive development which is expectied over a period of years to cover the entire country. The needs of other areas will therefore be met, to the extent possible, by further allotment of projects in future years.

COMPENSATION FOR EVICTIONS FROM RAJPURA SITE

Written Answers

- I. Giani G. S. Musafir: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that some the evicted farmers from the site of Rajpura (PEPSU) new township, built by the Government of India, have not so far been compensated;
- (b) if so, what are the reasons therefor: and
- (c) what is the date of eviction of such farmers?

The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri A. P. Jain): (a) Yes.

(b) and (c). Information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House in due course.

JARILA Kapas

- 2. Dr. P. S. Deshmukh: (a) Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the rates at which Jarila kapas was sold in Berar in the room the of November and December. months of November and December, 1951 and January, 1952 and what was the rate at which it was sold from 21st February, 1952?
- (b) How many cotton markets in Berar were closed down and from what date to what date?
- (c) How many representations and deputations did Government receive from cotton growers?
- (d) What was the relief Government gave to cotton growers?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
(a) A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix I, annexure No. 6.]

- (b) According to reports all markets remained closed from 7th March, 1952 to 21st April, 1952.
- (c) Some representations and deputations were received by the hon. Minister.
- (d) A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix I, ammexure No. 7.]

COTTON TEXTILES (EXPORT)

- 3. Shri R. K. Chaudhury: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the total quantity Cotton textiles exported from I since March 1952: of from India
 - (a) to Pakistan; and 35 & 29 PSD.

- (b) to other foreign countries?
- The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Karmarkar): The information in respect of Bombay, Calcutta and Madras ports is as under:

Written Answers

- (a) 11,858,822 yards.
- (b) 52,355,600 yards.

The figures at (a) and (b) above include exports from Calcutta port up to the 30th April, 1952 only as figures for 1st to 15th May are not yet available from that port. The above figures do not include export of handloom cloth.

USED CARS (IMPORT)

- 4. Shri R. K. Chaudhury: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) the total number of used cars of foreign make imported into India in the years 1950-51 and 1951-52;
- (b) the rate of tariff duties payable for such cars; and
- (c) whether there is any proposal to increase it for the year 1952-53?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Karmarkar): (a) Statistics for the period January to March, 1952, only are available at present. During this period 564 used cars were imported into India as personal baggage.

- (b) A statement is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix I, annexure No. 8.]
- (c) I cannot obviously answer this question.

PAPER MANUFACTURE

- 5. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) the names and locations of paper mills in India;
- (b) the names of raw materials used for the manufacture of paper in India;
- (c) which of the raw materials are abundantly found in India;
- (d) the qualities of paper that are not as yet manufactured in India but are imported; and
- (e) whether the Dehra Dun Forest Research Institute is conducting any research work on the manufacture of paper and if so, with what result?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Karmarkar): (a) A

statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix I, annexure No. 9.]

19 MAY 1952

- basic *Important* materials:—Bamboo, grass, rags, waste paper, wood pulp, hemp and old hemp rope cuttings, jute and hessian cuttings.
- auxiliary Important materials:—Caustic soda, Soda ash, Salt cake, Sulphur, Lime, Chlorine and Bleaching powder, China clay, Alum, resin, Dyes and colouring materials.
- (c) Wood pulp, Sulphur, dyes and colouring materials are not made in India and are imported. Waste paper, caustic soda and soda ash are available locally in limited quantities imports are made to supplement local supplies. All other raw materials are available in sufficient quantities in India.
- (d) Currency note paper, parchment paper, grease proof paper and some special varieties of kraft paper and coated paper.
- (e) Yes, Sir. Suitability of bamboo as a raw material for production of paper has been established. Other species like castor plant, papita and broussonotia have also been experi-

mented upon and found to be more or less suitable.

NAGA TRIBAL AREAS

- 6. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to lay a statement on the Table of the House showing:
- (a) the steps taken so far to maintain peace in general and to check head-hunting and similar other acti-vities in particular in the Naga Tribal Areas; and
- (b) the detailed scheme of work undertaken and implemented in connection with Food and Agriculture, Health and Education and the means of communications in these areas?

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharla) Nehru): (a) and (b). Government are of opinion that the most effective way to maintain peace in these areas and to promote their advancement is by way of developing them through communications, encouragement of education, health services etc. Information on this subject is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

Certain new administrative centres have been opened in the area.

THE

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES.

20.11.2014

(Part II—Proceedings other than Questions and Answers) OFFICIAL REPORT

73

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE

Monday, 19th May, 1952

The House met at a Quarter to Eleven of the Clock

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
(See Part I)

11-45 A.M.

MESSAGES OF GOODWILL TO PARLIAMENT

Mr. Speaker: I have pleasure to communicate to the House, the messages of goodwill to Parliament of India received from the Speakers of Upper and Lower Houses of the Swedish Parliament, from the Presidents of Landsting and Folketing, Upper and Lower Chambers of Danish Parliament and from the President of Norwegian Parliament respectively.

The message from the Swedish Parliament runs as follows:—

"On behalf of the Swedish Riksdag we beg to offer our best congratulations on the opening day of the Indian Parliament."

and following is the message from the Danish Parliament:—

"On behalf of the Danish Parliament we send the Parliament of India our warmest congratulations and good wishes for the future of the people of India."

and the message from the Norwegian Parliament is as follows:—
26 P.S.D.

"On occasion of the opening of the first Constitutional Parliament of India the Norwegian Parliament conveys its respectful greetings and hearty wishes for the future of the people of India."

I am sure the House will join with me in conveying our thanks to the Swedish, Danish and Norwegian Parliaments.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

NOTIFICATIONS ISSUED BY THE MINISTRY OF WORKS, PRODUCTION AND SUPPLY

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh): I beg to lay on the Table, under subsection (2) of section 17 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952, a copy of each of the following notifications issued by the Ministry of Works, Production and Supply, namely:—

- (i) Notification No. 2208-WII/52, dated the 14th March, 1952.
- (ii) Notification No. 3696-WII/52, dated the 9th May, 1952.
- (iii) Notification No. 3697-WII/52, dated the 9th May, 1952.

[Placed in Library. See No. P-7/52].

CALCUTTA PORT (AMENDMENT) BILL

The Minister of Railways and Transport (Shri L. B. Shastri): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Calcutta Port Act, 18:0.

Mr. Speaker: The questnon is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Calcutta Port Act, 1890."

The motion was adopted.

Shri L. B. Shastri: I introduce the Bilb.

74

MOTION ON ADDRESS BY THE PRESIDENT

Mr. Speaker: Before I call upon Shri Anil Kumar Chanda to move his motion of Thanks to the President I have to announce that under Rule 19, I fix that the time-limit for speeches will ordinarily be not more than fifteen minutes except the Prime Minister for whom thirty minutes or more will be allowed if necessary for replying to the debate on behalf of the Government.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram (Visakhapatnam): With regard to the allotment of time to the Opposition parties, I gather that yesterday certain discussions took place. But I stand here only to bring to your notice the position of unattached Members, of whom a considerable number is here. I hope that anything done outside this Chamber yesterday would not put us in a straight jacket. I would be glad to know what has been your decision regarding unattached Members.

Mr. Speaker: The allotment of time is rather a ticklish question and there is no solution to it, unless hon. Members are prepared to adjust among themselves. I have received communications from certain groups, not official groups but sets of Members who go together, that they have come to some arrangement and I think it is better that people come to some arrangement among themselves. As the House is constituted today, I am afraid, unless some adjustments or arrangements are made by Members among themselves, it is not possible either to have all points of view represented in a debate or even to do bare justice to some sections of the House.

Apart from groups, I may assure non. Members that those who are unattached to any group will not be left out of consideration. They will be given some time; but my personal difficulty has been that I really do not know who and how many Members are either attached or unattached. If an hon. Member stands, I might perhaps mistake him to be an unattached Member and he might turn out to be a party Member. That is my difficulty: however, I will do what is possible. It would be better if the unattached Members who wish to have their say give me a list of their names. I will see what is possible but I cannot promise anything. It all depends upon the number of Members on the list and the time available.

Shri A. K. Chanda (Birbhum): I

That an address be presented to the President in the following terms:

"That the Members of the House of the People assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the President for the address which he has been pleased to deliver to both the Houses of Parliament assembled together on the 16th May, 1952."

I understand that it is a parliamentary convention that the Leader of the House chooses a junior Member, a new Member to move a Vote of Thanks on the Address given by the President I understand that it is also a convention—may I be permitted to say, a humane convention—that when a new Member goes through the tribulations of a maiden speech, utmost kindness and consideration is shown to him by other Members of the House. I hope I shall be able to draw in a generous measure on that kindness and consideration from my fellow Members.

I am not merely new to this House: I am altogether a new entrant into the parliamentary arena. It is indeed a far-cry from the sylvan serenity of Rabindranath Tagore's Shantineketan Ashram to the imperial grandeur of these halls and if I feel sometimes lost, I hope I shall have your kindly hands stretched out to me.

I assure you that the motion that I have placed before the House has come from the bottom of my heart without any mental reservations at all. Along with millions of my countrymen, as a citizen of this great country, I am really grateful for and proud of the achievements of our Government during the past five years after India's Independence and I also live expectantly for the good and great life that has been envisaged by the plan and programme laid before us by our respected and well-beloved President. I believe the vast millions of our countrymen also feel likewise along with me, other-wise the Government of the President would not have been given a fresh lease of life in such an overwhelming measure by 170 million voters of this country, who have been recently enfranchised by the most generous and liberal of constitutions. This is the first time that this first Parliament of New India is meeting and I hope you will permit me to express my appreciation and thanks, and I believe in this I am voicing the sentiments of the whole House, to the Members of provisional Parliament who fashioned and designed our Constitution as also functioned as the Parliament of India before the elections

could be organised. They have done their work in a most magnificent manner and they deserve well of the

It is very heartening for us new Members of this House to see here today many of the veterans of old. And I hope that we the new Members will have the privilege of their guidance and advice from time to time as needed.

I have already expressed my great appreciation of the work that has already been done by our Government during the first five years of our independence. As a student of history I know of no country which had the advantage of such wise leader-ship during the formative years of its life. For the consolidation of the State we needed no gallows nor guillotine, nor even concentration camps or mass liquidation. The attitude of the Government to its opponents has been very generous. The attitude of our Government, it may be interpreted, has even been lenient to some of the citizens of this country who to me it seems owe their mental and spiritual allegiance to some other land. Our Government has been sober because it has been strong, it has been tolerant because it has been wise, it has been progressive, it has been dynamic, and when occasion so needed it also has been firm.

Shri Raghavaiah (Ongole): May I rise on a point of order, Sir? Is it proper for the proposer of the motion to attribute motives and say that some owe allegiance to other countries?

Mr.: Speaker: Order, order. The hon. Member need not be so hasty as that. Let Mr. Chanda proceed.

Shri A. K. Chanda: It is true, and it is a sad fact that we have not been able to bring a measure of happiness to our people. It is indeed a sad fact as expressed by the President himself that our life is bare, it is bereft of joy and any significance. Freedom by itself to the vast majority of our people would mean very little if it does not mean a richer and a fuller life for them. But Government has no Aladdin's lamp to transform everything overnight. Let us think of the legacy that we have inherited from the Britishers after 200 years of their rule in this country. An average life expectancy of 27 years, a percentage of literacy of 15 a per capita annual income of Rs. 301.—obviously these are factors which will not ensure a rich and full life for any people. It is therefore. Sir. that our President in

his address has asked us to labour, to labour assiduously and consistently for building the future of this country. It is needless to stress that a nation's prosperity cannot be built by words. It is built upon a foundation of labour and labour alone and I hope in this great task of re-building and refashioning the future of our country we on the Government side will have every co-operation from the friends sitting on the opposite benches for I know, they too love the country even as we do. If we sink for a while our politics and if we join our hands to-gether and march hand in hand to the goal which is common both for them and for us, that is a free, prosperous and progressive India, the goal will not be very far off. I believe, it was a great son of Bengal who once asserted that a subject nation has no Perhaps it is a greater truth politics. to say that an impoverished country and a famished people have no politics |

by the President

These are days of rationing and you also, Sir, have rationed our orations in this House. It is perhaps good that it should be. Brevity is not merely the soul of wit-it should be the soul of Parliamentary orations also. I wish therefore to divide the time devoted to me and my hon. friend, the seconder of the motion, by dividing the work between us. Whereas I wish to restrict myself generally to the international aspect of the address, my friend the seconder who comes from the South where famine looms large in the horizon will be referring to the home aspect of the Addres.

12 Noon.

Talking about the international political situation, our Government has given us a standing and a status. has added considerably to the stature of India as a nation among the family of nations. But the conditions in the world at large are not such as to make us feel very happy. We had staked so much of our hope and faith in the United Nations Organisation but it seems that the ghost of Geneva is haunting Lake Success. That ghost has to be exorcised if peace and prosperity is to be ensured to the vast millions in this world. We have been and we are extremely distressed at the treatment we have had from the U.N.O. with regard to Kashmir. The United Nations, ostrich like, refuses to recognise the existence of Red China. By this partisan outlook alone it has lost the allegiance of a quarter of the world's population. South Africa defies, and defies quite successfully its mandate. The U.N.O. has developed

[Shri A. K. Chanda]

79

a most unrealistic attitude on Korea which has become a veritable festering But it is with regard to the Tunisian question that our faith has grossly been shaken. We have invariably seen that wherever the interests of the smaller nations are involved the Security Council of the United Nations turns a deaf ear to their arguments. With regard to Tunisia the French Government was there and argued that according to article 2, section 7 of the Charter, no domestic concern of any State could be brought under the discussion of the Council. It was the very argument that we advanced in 1948 when the Hyderabad question was before that Council. But our arguments were of no avail possibly because the interests of no great power were involved. We feel that the U.N.O. has relegated itself to the level of a debating society albeit very expensive. But it is the albeit very expensive. only organisation which today we have in this world for peace, universal peace, and for the advancement of freedom of the people, and if the United Nations Organisation fails, perhaps another generation will have to be sacrificed at the merciless altar of the war gods.

Nearer home we are happy that our relations with our neighbours go on improving every day. Nepal which we look upon in many ways as our younger brother is marching with sure and steadfast steps on the path of progress. In this our Government has given every possible help, material and moral, to Nepal. It is needless to say that our help has been given without any motive or consideration whatsoever. We hope a great future will be in store for this small country nestled on the lap of the mighty Himalayas. Our Government has also given all possible help to Burma. that much harassed land of Burma. We have not borne them any rancour for the rather distressing manner in which after getting freedom she turned out our nationals serving under that Government and also our people living there. The most heartening thing is the friendly relations which we have been able to develop between new China and ourselves. We have new China and ourselves. nothing to do with the complexion of their Government—it is entirely their own concern—but right from recorded history China and India have been friends, not in diplomacy but as kindred souls born of the same spirit. Under the enlightened leadership of our Prime Minister, it is good that we have been able to form a fresh and new bond of friendship with that country

It is now nearly a month that we have terminated state of war with Japan and it is with genuine enthusiasm that we welcome Japan into the family of nations. We do not forget that towards the beginning of this century it was Japan's victory over Russia that first infused us with a spirit of hope. It was Japan who, first among the Asiatic nations, had the courage and the competence to speak on terms of equality with the mighty and proud nations of Europe and America. We shall hope that Japan has learnt the lesson and she will never again deviate from the path of Buddha and take to the path of aggression.

People are not wanting who very often censure our Government that it lacks a coherent and consistent foreign policy. Perhaps, it is good that we have no policy at all. The word policy is rather repugnant to my taste. It is redolent of the market-place. It is all right if a small trader has a policy. For a great nation like ours, we have a principle and it is buts, we have a principle and it is the principle which was enunciated by the Father of the Nation and so scrupulously followed by his spiri-tual heir, the Prime Minister of the country. The principle is 'Friendship towards all; aggression towards none. That principle is based on truth and justice and I am sure India will never deviate from that principle—not at least so long as our present Prime Minister continues to be where he is.

With these words, I place the motion before my fellow-Members after expressing to them my sincere gratitude for their patient hearing to my maiden effort.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

That an Address be presented to the President in the following terms:

"That the Members of the House of the People assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the President for the address which he has been pleased to deliver to both the Houses of Parliament assembled together on the 16th May, 1952."

Shri Venktaraman (Tanjore): I have great pleasure in seconding the motion so ably moved by my hon, friend from West Bengal. His speech did not contain the touch of a maiden speech in any manner. He spoke so well that ar old Member like me is beginning to feel somewhat doubtful whether would be able to equal him in the debates that will take place hereafter.

My hon, friend has dealt with the portions of the Presidential Address relating to foreign affairs so well that

81

if I should attempt to traverse the same ground, possibly I will mar the good effect he had created in this House However, I feel that I should draw the attention of the House to one aspect, namely, the question of Indians in Ceylon, only to impress through the debates in this House on the better minds of the peoples of Ceylon that they should try their utmost to influence their Government and see that the Indians who have helped so much to build up the civilisation of that country, to build up the economy of that country, are not now left in the lurch and dropped like hot potatoes, once their services are no longer required. It is up to the people of Ceylon to see that their Government pursues a policy of friendship and cooperation with our great nation, so that a brotherhood of Asiatic nations may be established.

I shall now refer to some aspects of the Presidential Address relating to home affairs. The Address takes consolation at the tendency for recession ir. price levels and for the decline that has been going on since the middle of last year. We have suffered under a spiral of increasing prices for the last several years and the middle and lower middle classes and more particularly the working classes have suffered incalculable harm during the course of this great rise in prices that followed as an aftermath of the war. Always, the middle classes have been attempting to catch up with the ever increasing prices and their attempt has ended just in the same way as a dog chases its own tail. We are very happy now that this process has been arrested, and that the process of a decline in prices is coming over not only in this country but all over the world, and that the benefits resulting from a fall in prices would accrue to those classes which very badly deserve as well as want it.

But the fall in prices is not without some serious repercussions on the community. We have noticed that the mills want to close down the third shift in textiles. We find that the automobile assembly is closing down in Calcutta. The tea interests are clamouring that the Minimum Wages Act which has been passed and implemented, and the Plantation Code which tries to serve the cause of labour. should be delayed in their enforcement. These are very serious consequences and unless Government takes note of the repercussions created by the fall in prices, it will be very difficult for the classes that sadly require a lower price level to go on leading a normal tife.

We are happy to find that at page 7 of the Address the President has said that the Government proposes to ensure that production and employment will not be affected by reason of the fall in prices. Unemployment is a scourge. It is indeed very unhappy that an able-bodied man, a man who is ready, able and willing to work, should be denied work and unless we are able to combat the mass retrenchment and unemployment that are consequent upon the fall in prices and the fall in production, the very thing which we welcome as a measure that is intended to benefit the lower classes will prove to be a measure of great harm to those classes.

Then, I will deal with production and planning. The Government have come forward to start a new Department, namely, Ministry of Production. We welcome, and I am sure the country outside would welcome too, the creation of such a separate Ministry. You have very large Government, State-owned industrial enterprizes and it is necessary that they should be placed in charge of one unified control, so that this particular Department may be responsible for the production, maintenance as well as the consequences of the several steps taken by this particular Government, or any other successor Government, or any other successor Government.

In this connection, I would urge that an Economic Service may be created and that it may be drawn from people in the commercial houses and people serving in the several industrial and commercial establishments, who can look forward to progress inside the look forward to progress inside the Department or Ministry of Production, so that starting from lower grades they may work up their way to be the Managers and the Managing Directors of these State-owned enterprises, because I understand that by constant shifting of the executive heads of industrial concerns, the continuity in policy is lost and very often there is a conflict between the past and the present way of conducting the work. Therefore, it would be a good augury if along with the creation of a separate Ministry we are able to create a separate Service which will man all our industrial and economic units of production.

Then I shall refer to that sore point which has been agitating all of us, more particularly those in the South, namely, the food situation. The Presidential Address does not pretend that that situation has been entirely controlled or that it has eased. We find that the stock of foodgrains is comfortable, but it is no comfort to the

[Shri Venktaraman]

people to say that there is a large stock of foodstuffs so long as they are not able to get it and so long as they are not able to eat it. It is no consolation to a bank clerk to be told that the bank has large balances; nor is it a comfort to the treasury clerk to be told that the treasury balances are big. It is only to those who can eat out of the large stocks which we purport to have that the benefit will accrue. Therefore, I find that a large section of the population in the State from which I come are faced with this situation, that while there is inadequate food and inadequate supply, they have not also got adequate pur-chasing power to buy even the little supply which is available there.

The famine conditions in Rayalaseema-which are now spreading to other parts in the South-have got to be combated. The President's address says that famine will be fought regardless of cost. We are happy that Gov-ernment has taken such a strong decision in this matter. The famine in the South is not merely a food famine. but it now threatens to be a famine in water. Madras is now in the grips of a very severe water scarcity and the life of the city threatens to break in the course of the next few weeks. The water-supply in the southern region has got to be attended. I would in this connection venture to say that the Krishna-Pennar project for which we in this House have been agitating for quite a long time should be given a very high priority, so that the water which is now going waste to the sea may go to quench the thirst of the people of Madras.

I shall now briefly touch on the question of legislation that is pending before the House. I personally very warmly welcome the Hindu Code Bill. Members of the last House know very well how some of us were very anxious that the Hindu Code Bill should be passed. We notice that Government decided—notwithstanding have filibustering, notwithstanding the dilatoriness of some—that they will go forward with this measure of great reform. The Hindu Code Bill cannot be passed at one stretch, because it covers a very wide area. It is, therefore, necessary—as Government have decided—to split it into parts. Ever British jurisprudence into this country, Hindu law has not progressed along with the times. It became fosilised by the interpretation of precedents, with the result that what is today accepted as canons of good society like monogamy, divorce,

right to inheritance to all people, are denied under our old existing law. It is necessary that if we want to keep our face in this progressive world, if we want to march onward with the rest of the society, we should immediately take on hand this measure of reform of the Hindu Law and I am sure the House will give its utmost cooperation regardless of the party to which Members may belong.

Lastly I am very glad that Government intend to appoint a Press Commission which will go into the question of the organisation of the Press monopolies, if any, existing in it, the conditions of working journalists who sustain the entire organisation and all aspects of the Press, so that freedom of thought and expression which we have embodied in the Constitution will become a reality. Freedom of thought and expression cannot obtain, unless the press is a strong one, an independent one and is not controlled by merchants, traders and speculators who may use the press for their own purposes. It is a welcome sign that Government have understood the need for an independent Press in a country like ours and have come forward to ap-point a Commission. I am sure when the Commission comes to be appointed, some representatives of the working journalists, some representatives of the public as well as of the judiciary will be appointed on it, so that they may objectively examine whole position.

I have great pleasure, Sir, in seconding the motion.

Shri A. K. Gopalan (Cannanore): I have first of all to point out that the time of twenty minutes allotted to me is too meagre for me to deal with all the points which have been raised in the President's Address. Hon Members of this House know that I and my friends are new to parliamentary procedure and practice, with the result that we do not know whether we will be able to put our views clearly Whatever might be the before you. Whatever might be the language in which we speak, whether our English may be good or not. you will hear from us the voice of the people.....

Shri Jhulan Sinha (Saran North): On a point of order, Sir, may I know if the hon. Member has moved his amendment? He is beginning with a speech

Mr. Speaker: He can do so at the end also. It is not necessary for him to move it in the beginning. He has tabled the amendment.

I find that there are two hundred amendments covering ninety different subjects. There is a large number of amendments on one and the same subject. Redistribution of States on a linguistic basis is one; food, famine, etc. is another. The third subject is scheduled castes, backward classes and scheduled tribes.

Obviously, it is not possible for this House to debate each and every one of these amendments during the short space of three days. I would therefore suggest—if it is acceptable to hon. Members-that they may select the amendments for discussion and limit the discussion to a certain number of specific amendments, instead of taking the whole mass of amendments. If that is attempted to be done, practically there will be no discusison anyone and all the three days really intended for discussing the various important subjects at issue will be

Moreover, hon. Members will have an opportunity of discussing all and sundry subjects, when we take up the general discussion on the Budget. is, therefore, better,—more for the opposition—to select the amendments. The other alternative for me would be-in case they do not agree to thisto go by the order in which the amendments have been received, with the result that only half a dozen of them will be discussed during the course of three days and the others will be left

Dr. S. P. Mookerjee (Calcutta South-East): May I suggest—as we did on the previous occasion—that you may take all the amendments to have been moved and the opposition, I suppose, will decide which of them would be pressed at the time of voting.

Mr. Speaker: The point is this. Undoubtedly last time this course adopted, though, personally. I had expressed myself against that course. Such a procedure may satisfy desire of a larger number of Members to speak, but our object is to have a debate, to make out certain specific points and whether we agree or disagree from the Government, the chief idea is to place before them different points of view which will be helpful in shaping their policies. So, vague and general speeches really help none. The effect of the debate is lost practically.

Therefore, now when there is a definite nucleus of an opposition, I would like that matters are specifically discussed rather than some general observations are made. I would not encourage the procedure of taking all am-endments together. I would certainly press the selection of amendments. Obviously you cannot muddle all subjects together. But let us focus attention on certain specific points first and then go according to the priority of importance. That is my own idea about it and I shall be very unwilling -I do not say, I shall over-rule-to submit to a general desultory discussion by having all the amendments slon by having an the amendments taken together. That is my point. I am saying it in the best interests of the debate. That depends on the House, however. If they do not want this kind of thing, I do not think I shall go the length of insisting upon that. But so far as possible I do insist upon it.

by the President

Shri A. K. Gopalan: I agree with what Dr. Mookerjee has said, because if only certain amendments are taken and the Opposition is not allowed to talk on other points-and there are many points in the Address which need the attention of the Opposition and the House—that will place us in a difficulty. You may kindly deem every amendment to have been moved, and on the last day the Opposition will join together and see which are the amendments to be formally put to vote. We hope, Sir. you will give us the opportunity. Because, as I submitted in the beginning, as far as we are concerned we are new to the House, and if opportunity is not afforded to us the time-limit is so short that we may not be able to speak on all the points-and there are several points which we want to stress. It therefore be better if you lay down that all the amendments are deemed to be placed before the House, and on the last day we will put some agreed amendments so that they may be put to vote.

An Hon. Member rose-

Mr. Speaker: I have understood the point of the Opposition. I am suggesting a course in the interest of all concerned, and more to the interest of the Opposition-not that I am more partial to them and against Govern-ment, nothing of that kind.

The hon. Member seemed to confound the debate on the President's Address with a general debate or discussion. They are going to have an opportunity at the time of the Budget—a general discussion on the Budget when every item of Government policy will come under discussion. President in his Address makes reference to certain specific questions of

[Mr. Speaker]

Government policy, and instead, therefore, of trying to have this as an occasion for a general debate, to my mind, it is better if attention is devoted to those questions. In fact the object of the President's Address is to bring before the House the main sum and substance, that is to say, certain specific matters. Therefore, if you keep the distinction in mind that this is not a general debate on the entire general administration, it would be better. And there will be the opportunity of a general debate also soon after—not that, it is going to come next year. The Budget is shortly coming in for discussion. Then there will be three days when the hon. Members can make out all those points which are left out here. If the attempt is to cover all points on both occasions I am afraid. they will not be able to cover effectively and pointedly as I would like them to cover and speak upon. It is, how-ever, a matter entirely—I should not say for the Opposition—but entirely for the House to decide as to how it will proceed. And if at all I am showing an inclination of being a little-I should not say lenient,-but a little soft, it is only on account of the consideration that a large number of Members are new. But for this fact, would not have even gone the length of saving these things. It is for them to decide as to how they will debate and on what points they will debate.

Dr. S. P. Mookerjee: If I may say so, the point of view which you have stressed has already been considered by quite a large section of the Opposition, and I do not think it is being intended by the speakers that will just have a rambling general discussion. Stress will be laid on quite a number of points by individual speakers. Therefore, if you allow the debate to continue, allowing the amendments to have been moved, I sup-. pose there will be no difficulty.

Shri M. A. Ayyangar (Tirupati): May I make a submission? As you just said article 87 of the Constitution which refers to the President's Address definitely restricts the discussion on the address only to those points which have been referred to in the Presihave been referred to in dent's Address.

Dr. S. P. Mookerjee: Or amendments thereon.

Shri M. A. Ayyangar: No. The amendments cannot exceed those points. I would refer you to clause (2) article

Mr. Speaker: I may make the position clear. I am not standing on any technicality at all—even though the point made out by Shri Ananthasayanam Ayyangar has force. Because, though it is said that the discussion should be on the points contained in the Address, still it is always a point for debate—and a moot point—as to what is covered and what is not covered. In a sense the Address takes a general view, because it gives an indication of what Government is going to do. Therefore, even granting the technicality that the amendments should be with reference to the matters contained in the Address, so far practical results go it will throw open the entire administration for discussion, and it will be a very difficult and delicate thing to decide whether a particular point is within the contents of the Address or beyond. So I do not propose to go into that. It is said that the Opposition have considered point; yes, they are entitled to consider and hold also that their view is the most correct view and the differing view ought not to prevail. I have no quarrel with that. But I would like to make it clear that I am relaxing the practice—and it should be my business in this Chair to see that all debates or practices are moulded in a proper manner—and this should not be taken as a precedent next year again that "Well, last year you allowed this, therefore this year also you must allow it". I think I should give at least one year's notice now, that next time I shall strictly stick to my ideas about the scope and the limitations of this debate. I find that there is a confusion about two debates and to that extent the House is not proceeding correctly in my opinion—I have no authority to over-rule the views of any other people, they may hold them -but so far as the effective conclusion is concerned, I think, so long as I am here my view should prevail.

by the President

If, therefore, the Opposition wants this procedure, I have no objection; I am not even referring the matter to the Government. I am sure they will agree to any type of debate, whether general or specific in respect of certain amendments. The Prime Minister nods in assent. So there is no question.

There is one more point and that is about the amendments and the notice to amendments. Considering the fact that a large number of Members are new, I was myself thinking of relaxing the notice period. I had a mind and I expressed it, I believe, informally that amendments may be tabled on the lines of legislation. But that is only

an exception for this year for the considerations which I mentioned. My previous ruling had been that there shall be no amendment tabled when once the motion is moved, because it cannot be expected that from day to day amendments should go on being tabled, making the debate more and more rambling. Today some amendments have come. I am waiving the notice in respect of them. But no further amendments will be received hereafter, and the discussion will go on as the hon. Members desire.

89

Then, as regards putting to the vote of the amendments, as speeches are going to touch all amendments, only the amendments in the names of Mem-bers who have moved them can be taken, with the result that the num-ber of amendments for the end—I am not talking for the general speeches now-will automatically be restricted to those of the hon. Members who will be called upon to speak. That is the position. I believe I am clear.

Shri A. K. Gopalan: I hope the time that has now been spent will not be taken away from me, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: No. It will not be debited to his account.

Shri A. K. Gopalan: The address read as a whole in my opinion is a declaration of war on the people of India. I say this because millions of people in of people in this country had waited to see that the workers were not thrown out of factories; the clerks and others who are facing retrenchment as well as other people who have no food, who were not able to go to the ration shops and draw their rations,—they were waiting to see what is the policy of the Government, their whether their problems could be solved immediately and whether there is any plan by which the problems can be solved. Those people who were looking to the address for some immediate relief, were certainly disappointed. There is one thing to be said. Something has been said about Rayalaseema but not in one place the word 'famine' is found in the address either accidentally or by the pressure of the people of Rayalaseema; indeed there is no mention of Sundarbans and there is no mention of other places where every day in the newspapers we see that there is a famine. The men there are dying; the cattle are dying and the famine is continuing in this country. In the address there is no mention of famine and other things. If that is intended to show that the people are happy, that there is only a small distress in the country, certainly the address disappoints all people, and all the other people outside the Parliament House who are waiting to see what would be done to them by this House.

In the third paragraph of the address it is said: "India has after a long period of subjection, gained her freedom and independence, but freedom by itself is not enough—it must also bring a measure of happiness to our people and a lessening of the burdens they suffer from." When we exa-mine the freedom and the independence that we have got for the last five years, what happiness have the people got and how far have the burdens of the people been lessened, we will certainly say that the conditions to-day show that we have not gained freedom or independence. Every day we are getting worse. There is victi-mization of our railway workers, ordi-nary workers, textile workers, handloom workers and we hear every day that the handlooms are closed, the textile mills are closed and that lakhs of workers are thrown out of employment. Even in Delhi since the subsidy was stopped 3,88,000 people were not able to draw their rations from the ration shops. When the refugees in this country complain that their small rations are not enough, that they have been driven from their shops, they have no shelter, they have no home and they are on the road-side, certainly we say that the freedom and the independence that we have got today is not really the freedom of the poor man and the textile worker. Lakhs of handloom workers have been thrown out of employment according to the reports that we have received. In Malabar itself 26,000 workers are lying idle. In Travancore and Cochin where the main industry is the coir industry, all the coir factories are closed and half a lakh of people are today starving. The same is the case with mica workers in Bihar and workers in Tamil Nad. During the last five months or one year a number of workers had been thrown out of employment. Every day you see a number of people being thrown outside and a number of factories being closed. Even during the question hour an answer was given that the factories are being closed and some people are turned out.

As far as the condition of food is concerned, for the last five years we had been trying to do something but today the position is that there is famine in the country. Beginning in Ralayaseema it has spread to the whole of India and the address does not give any indication to show that there will

[Shri A. K. Gopalan]

9:

be immediate relief for the famine stricken people of this country. As for the subsidy, people outside thought that in the address there will be a mention about the restoration of the subsidy and that there will be some declaration by which the next day they will be able to go to the ration shop and buy even the meagre rations they are getting today. The food problem is not solved and cannot be solved unless Government understands what really is the basic reason for the food situation today. As long as the whole problem is kept in the hands of landlords and the wholesale dealers and also the officers who go to the villagers and procure food from the poor peasants and not from the landlords and as long as the land is not given to the tiller of the soil, there is not going We to be any improvement. tried to remedy the situation for the last five years. There is the five year plan and there is the community plan. We will not be able to do anything by these plans. The basic reasons why we are not able to improve production is because the tiller of the soil is not the owner of the land, does not understand that the more he produces the more he will be able to enjoy and if he produces more, there will be nobody coming forward to evict him. Further Government is not able to spend money on improvements. have had debates, we have had adjournment motions during the past five years and I am sure that nobody on the opposite side will say that we had been able to solve the question of food and it is therefore necessary that some drastic steps should be taken. If we say to the people that under the community project after 5 or 6 years we will be able to produce something more, certainly they who have great hopes that something will be done will be disappointed, because they are al-ready starving. The most important ready starving. The most important problems today in the country are food and land and these two problems have not been solved.

Then I come to foreign policy. In the address it is said: "We have consistently pursued a policy of friendship with all the countries of the world and that policy, though sometimes misunderstood has been progressively appreciated by others and is yielding fruit." I want to show to the House a few instances and I want to know whether the relations with all the other countries are the same. When passports had been denied to our own people wishing to go to Russia and China and when some cultural and other missions from there are not allowed to come to this country while anybody

from America with his bag and baggage-not one or two persons but anybody in the name of anything-can come to our country and anybody can go to America, I ask,—is there no par-tiality? Does it show that the policy that is followed is the same? Today the villages in Malabar, Travancore and Cochin and every important town in India is full of American spies and they have got the opportunity to enter into our social life, to enter into our economic life and everywhere they are coming with money bags; are unemployed people in the country and they want to corrupt them and when we say that our policy is a policy of friendship with all nations, I do not understand the meaning. There cannot be a policy where you can be a friend of the aggressor and also a friend of the aggressed.

Pandit Balkrishna Sharma (Kan-pur Distt. South cum Etawah Distt. East): You were a friend of Hitler.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order; let the hon. Member continue his speech.

Shri A. K. Gopalan: There cannot be a policy where you can be a friend of Mr. Churchil and also a friend of the people, the patriots in Malaya who are fighting for the freedom of Malaya. In the Address it is stated that we are trying to see that some-thing is done to Tunisia. You cannot be a friend of the French Government as well as the people of Tunisia who are fighting for their freedom. Either you can be the friend of the people who deny the freedom or you can be friend of the people who fight for their own liberation and for the freedom of their own country. This policy should be made clear now. Under the cover of neutrality or an independent foreign policy we say to-day that we are the friends of all. We are the friends of those who continue the germ warfare in Korea and we are the friends of those who for last two years are continuing the germ warfare by which infectious diseases are spread all over the country. We cannot say that we are the friends of the Korean people as well as those who throw bombs in Korea. There are only two things. Either you must be friend of those who fight for the freedom of their country or you must be the friend of those who deny treedom to those people. There is no men-tion of Viet Nam. Indonesia, Malaya or other countries.

As far as Ceylon and South Africa are concerned, the Address says that we are very much concerned about Ceylon and South Africa. When we are remaining in the Commonwealth, not today, but for the past so many

years, when the Commonwealth countries had been treating our own brothers in South Africa with contempt and treating them like untouchables, we say that we are sorry for our brethren in South Africa; I do not know what the meaning of this is. Today, in Ceylon, they are offering satyagraha for the right of vote. They are fighting against that Government. Our Agent was there in Ceylon for the last so many years. What was the agent doing? Did the Agent not know that they did not want to see that the Indians, who have gone there and worked for the last so many years, are not entitled to voting rights? Today, to say that we are sorry about Ceylon, that we are sorry about South Africa, and we will do something, has no meaning. It is time that we take a strong line of policy and tell the Members of the Commonwealth that we will not be in the Commonwealth because we have self-respect, because we love our country and because we love our friends wherever they are, in South Africa or Ceylon. They are working there. We must keep up the dignity and prestige of our nation. We will have to inform the Commonwealth that we are out of the Commonwealth because our brethren in Ceylon and South Africa are treated in such a way as to dishonour our Nation. We must keep up the prestige of our country. It will not do to say that we sympathise with our people in Ceylon and with our people in South Africa. We have been sympathising. At the same time we have been telling those Governments; we have been requesting them to do justice. What is the result of all our requests? And yet they are Members of the Commonwealth; they do not care at all.

Today, it is time that our foreign policy should be so clear that we can only be the friends of those who are fighting for the liberation of their country or the friends of those who deny that freedom. Something has been said about Korea; but no men-tion has been made about the germ warfare; we are very anxious about it. The cruelties that are perpetrated in Korea are so bad that no human being could sit quiet, seeing the killing of people by spreading infectious diseases, diseases which will spread to the whole of the country. If the Government does not believe that it is correct. let the Government send a Parliamentary mission to Korea to see whether it is correct or not and then let them say that we are against those people who are carrying on a germ warfare and that we do not support them.

There is no mention of linguistic provinces in this Address. Not only that; there is mention of communalism, provincialism, and casteism That is, if anybody says, I want a linguistic state, that man will be branded as a communalist, a provincialist or a man who has got casteism. I have also the pleasure to say that I was a Congressman from 1930. We have passed resolutions that we were fighting against the British Imperialists. that we want to unite all sections of the people, first let us drive away the foreign enemy and when we have driven him away, each one of us will have a linguistic State and we will be able to develop our language and culture, our industry, etc. Why is it today that there is no mention of linguistic provinces? Swami Sitaram a congressman is starving and is offer-ing satyagraha. There is a very strong agitation for a separate Andhra province. There is no difference between a communist or a congressman or a socialist; they all are united in their demand for a Visal Andhra; they are for an Aikya Kerala. But, no mention is made of this. Today, if you demand a linguistic province, you become a provincialist, you become a communa-list. I say linguistic states are not given because if linguistic states are given, today, there will be no Congress Government in Madras, there will be no Congress Government in Travancore-Cochin. Today, the people who lead the Government will be others.
Congress party, but will be others.
These are the facts of today.
see to it that we keep our promises linguistic. states will be established. In spite of the 30 years' struggle for an Andhra province, and in spite of the keen struggle for the last six months for an Andhra State, there is no mention of linguistic States.

One minute, Sir, and I shall stop. The only thing that has been stated in the Address is that Government watching with attention all the things that are happening in this country. Government will watch with attention and has watched with attention what is going on in the country for last five years. We have h last five years. We have of what you have watched. have heard of the jeep scandal; We have heard of the grenade scandal, and so many other scandals. Are we to think that the Government have watched with attention all these scandals for the last five years? Government say that they are watching with attention what is happening. You have watched Rayalaseema; you are watching Hissar and Sunderbans, Government say that they are watching [Shri A. K. Gopalan]

95

with attention. You have textile mill workers being thrown out; you have watched coir factory workers being thrown out; you have watched mica workers being thrown out; you will watch all the workers being thrown out. You will be saying that there is the Five Year Plan and that the community projects will bring you happiness, in five or six years. have to tell the Government that they will be continuing to watch things, when the people will become their own masters. Till that time you will be watching things. I have to re-quest that the writing on the wall must be read. If the Government are not taking a definite and strong policy to see that the economic crisis that is deepening in the country is solved, then, certainly they will be responsible for creating chaos in the country and Government will have to take the whole responsibility for that.

With these words, Sir, I move the amendment.

Mr. Speaker: Which particular one? Shri A. K. Gopalan: I beg to move:

That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"but regret that the address takes no real note of the serious famine situation developing in various parts of the country, proposes no measures for the amelioration of the conditions of the people, suggests intensification of repression through preventive detention and other measures, refuses, the formation of linguistic provinces, ignores the basic needs of industrial planning and fundamental agrarian reconstruction, accepts the humiliation of India's mem-bership of the British Common-wealth and Empire and strengthens our present bonds of subservience to imperialist forces."

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved: That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"but regret that the address takes no real note of the serious famine situation developing in various parts of the country, proposes no measures for the amelio-ration of the conditions of the people. suggests intensification of repression through preventive de-tention and other measures, refuses the formation of linguistic provinces, ignores the basic needs of industrial planning and fundamental agrarian reconstruction.

accepts the humiliation of India's membership of the British Commonwealth and Empire and strengthens our present bonds of subservience to imperialist forces."

by the President

There is now very little time left at the disposal of the House. I think, in view of the aliotment of time proposed and agreed to, I should now call upon Mr. Srikantan Nair.

Shri S. N. Nair (Quilon cum Mave-likkara): Under the cimcumstances, I think, that instead of moving my amendments, I may support the motion moved by others as well as by myself.

Am I to move my amendments?

Mr. Speaker: It is taken as moved. Let him give the number of the amendment.

Shri N. S. Nair: The Presidential Address has been a clever piece of rhetoric written in a very beautiful language. It takes you by surprise; it takes you on the wings of poesy and fantasy and suddenly drops you into nothingness. What I have to say on this Address, I shall confine to the subject of the common man and common woman of India of today, and leave the more serious national and international problems to be dealt with by more capable and competent hands.

[SHRI M. A., AYYANGAR in the Chair]

Coming to the question of the common people. I am sorry to find that there is not a single note of sympathy anywhere in the Presidential Address regarding the lot of the toiling millions of India. Even regarding Rayalaseema, we find only one statement that the grave need of the hour is water: water for the soil. The human beings there are left to shift human beings there are left to shift for themselves. What about poor men, women and children, who are the very flesh of our flesh and blood of our blood, who have lived a living death every hour and minute of their existence and lost their lives due to the famine conditions there. What of those men and women who have left this country and sought their fortunes elsewhere, instead of remaining in their own homes and Those that have remained there are only the sick, the old and the infirm and perhaps they are not more valuable in the eyes of the Government of India than the soil of Rayalaseema.

Another extraordinary aspect the Address is that the Government considers the rise in the price of foodgrains to be a matter of credit. The argument advanced is that the states demanded a lesser quota because of higher prices, this argument naturally reminds us of the famous satire of Jonathan Swift. When the people of Ireland complained of continuous recurrence of famine Swift wrote that it would be a very good scheme to chop up all the infants of Ireland and send them packed as meat for their English masters

Another matter which I would like to bring to the notice of the Members in this House is that several causes have been given for the fall in prices of the various articles in general but no specific mention has been made of the fall in price of copra and cocoanut oil, which is stupendous and extraordinary. There is a special reason for this. The Government of India have recently reduced the import duty on copra and coconut oil. What for? It is only to help the Birlas and the Tatas to run their soap-manufacturing industries with greater profit that they have cut down the import duty. The result is that 12.5 million people suffer from this deliberate cut in import duty. Of course the Birlas and the Tatas run the Government. It is a capita-lists' Government and the Govern-ment has to take dictates from the capitalists. The middle-class people of Kerala, depend to a very of Kerala, depend to a very great extent on coconut and coconut products to supplement their income and they are suffering acutely from this reduction of duty. We demand with a single voice irrespective of party affiliations that the import duty on copra and coconut oil be raised once again, so that the Ceylonese who are now trampling our brethren under their feet may not profit by this reduction in the import duty.

Another matter of importance to which I would draw the attention of the House is the corr industry. Mr. Gopalan dealt with the manufacturing side of it but I want to touch on the cottage industry side of it. The Congress Ministry claims to be following the example of Gandhiji in their policy to develop cottage industries. The coir industry supports one million people of the South West coast of India. This cottage industry has received a severe setback, because the British Government and the other European Governments have adopted stringent measures against the coir and other products of India. We should include these products in the trade agreements we

conclude with them and see that something is done to the industry. Up till now nothing has been done for coir industry. If we could do one-tenth of what has been done for the Jute industry we will be able to provide one million families with work and food in the West coast of India.

Another matter of importance which I want to bring to the notice of the House is the restriction imposed on monazite by the Government of India. The House may know that in Travancore-Cochin we are fortunate to a very great extent in our mineral wealth. But the Government of India curtails the production of monazite which costs per ton. Four small bags of it will is not fetch £250. Since monazite allowed to be manufactured what is done is that along with other waste it is thrown into the sea. Otherwise we could get millions of rupees, if only the Government of India decided to allow monazite to be sold to any foreign country irrespective of political considerations. I do know political considerations are involved in the selling of monazite, from which thorium for atom bombs is produced. The Anglo-Americans and the Russians have ample thorium to make hydrogen and atom bombs. If there is any shortage of monazite it is only for in-dustrial purposes. There is no reason why we should not sell our monazite to any purchasing country which is able to pay a reasonable price, so that in turn our country might get capital and other industrial goods for the development of our own industries.

On the other hand, what is done now is that there is a definite specification that there should not be even one thousandth part of Monazite in final ilmanite and if there is more it would not be shipped. Raising the specification of monazite even to 5 per cent. will be harmless, as it will not prove profitable to extract this monazite by any foreign country. In the interest of the workers in Chavara and Manavalakurichi in Travancore-Cochin State. I submit to the Government that they should reconsider the question regarding the specification of monazite and also in the interests of the country and its industrialisation. We should consider the question of shipping monazite from this country to any other country abroad.

I want now to draw the attention of the House to the anti-labour policy of the Government. I want to refer to the recent shooting in Gorakhpur. where workers only demanded that they should not be discharged and also [Shri N. S. Nair]

to the shooting in Pesumalai Estate in Travancore-Cochin, where the INTUC led the workers. (Interruption) If you say that your unions are controlled by Communists, it means that you are inefficient or you are yourselves Communists. The poor workers only wanted their share of rice to be given to them and instead of that they were showered with bullets. The final showered with bullets. The final casualty is not known for it is hedged in on all sides by forests.

Then in the matter of compulsory adjudication the usual policy is that whatever is favourable to the management is enforced and the awards of the industrial tribunals are invariably on the side of the capitalists and if there is an award in favour of the workers it is not enforced. It is my humble submission that instead of enforcing adjudication all matters be settled by arbitration and conciliation. The Address of the President shows that the policy of the Government is a dead horse—dead, both morally and intellectually.

Mr. Chairman: What is the amendment number which the hon. Member is supposed to have moved?

Shri N. S. Nair: No. 15.

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved:

That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"but regret that the address contains no assurance of the dis-continuance of the present anti-labour policy of the Government, although five years have nearly passed since transfer of Power."

Housethen adjourned for Lunch till Half Past two of the Clock.

The House re-assembled after Lunch at Half Past Two of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chuir]

Shri Sarangadhar Das (Dhenkanal-West Cuttack): I have several amendments here, but I wish to speak on three of them, No. 66, No. 67 and No. 71

As regards foreign relations, it has often been said that the prestige of our country has been very much enhanced in the family of nations. I for one refute that statement because if we had any prestige at all, the Kashmir question and several other matters like South Africa—treatment of Indians in South Africa and now

treatment of Indians in Ceylon-would not be possible, and no other country would stand the situation that has existed in Kashmir for four years and yet the mat.er is not yet settled. The fact of the matter is, although our country is neutral, it is passive neutrality, there is nothing active in it. I therefore suggest that if the Prime Minister had continued the process, that he had started in the beginning. of holding the Asian Conference in 1948 with such a fanfare that it aroused hopes in many hearts all over Asia, if he had continued that process of mobilising the forces of peace in the Asian countries, there would be a third force which would neither be in this bloc nor in the other but stand for peace and peace alone, because these countries in Asia can never have the armaments that the modern democracies like Great Britain and America have, or in the other bloc Russia has accumulated. It is therefore that the only way to avoid war is for the small Asian countries and also the South American countries to get together in the cause of peace and mobilise the people so that the popular will may prevail and the Atom Bombs of either bloc will not have the effect that people think they will have.

by the President

In this connection I wish to remina the House that only in the recent past, five or six years ago. Mahatma Gandhi's "soul force" which took its roots in the heart of every Indian. was able to drive out of here the great imperialist power Great Britain. With all its cannon, ammunition and all that, it could not stay here in the long run. So, the example of a force for peace which depends and relies on the will of the people is there before us, and I should say that judging from the success of that movement.—
it is also spreading in certain sections of people in the democratic countries-I would say that that is the only way of preserving peace, avoiding war and bringing peace and prosperity all over the world and not only in Asia.

I was already saying that the statement that we have enhanced our prestige in the family of nations is not at all tenable because of the Kashmir situation and South Africa. come to the Commonwealth. Then In the Commonwealth we still remain, but if in the Commonwealth, countries like South Africa segregate the Asian people. Indian people. disenfranchises them—Ceylon does the same thing and with our neutrality, with our love of negotiations we remain in Commonwealth, it seems to me that the other countries are taking advantage of our passive neutrality and riding rough shod over the rights and privileges of cur people, resident not only in the Commonwealth countries, but elsewhere.

Then, I come to the food situation-Amendment No. 67 is about food. With regard to food, this has been the burning problem ever since this Go-vernment took charge of the country and every now and then we have had plans, "food drive", "production drive on a war basis", "intensive cultivation" off them have failed. The Prime Minister had said about two years ago that the country would be self-sufficient in food by the 31st of March 1952, but long before that date, the Planning Commission gave out in its report that it may be that for five years India could not be self-sufficient and India would have to import foodgrains from outside. It is very unfortunate that the power at the Centre as well as in the Provinces do not wish to tackle this problem at the root. The very first thing is that the people, the cultivators do not have the incentive to produce more. That is because most of the land in this country—about 80 per cent of it—is in the hands of about 10 per cent. of the people who own thousands of acres, sometimes even one lakh acres. These lands are let out on crop sharing or on high rent and the tenants are evicted almost every year. The piece of land is taken out from one and given to another, and in that way there is no incentive in the cultivators to improve the lands and the yield on the land is going down from year to year. Besides that, since the beginyear. Besides that, since the tegin-ning of this Government's regime in 1947 there have been so many evic-tions—in spite of the laws passed by the Provincial Governments that there will not be any eviction there have been so many evictions—that the Planning Commission itself says that within five years about a crore of acres of land have remained fallow. You will therefore see that the crux of the food problem is, taking the land ou; of the hands of people who do not cultivate and giving it to those who cultivate, so that when a tenant knows that the land is his perpetually, that he will enjoy it and his sons and grandsons will enjoy it, he will im-prove the yield as small cultivators have done in any other parts of the world.

Again, I must say that the statistics that the Government gives us are not correct. I have seen myself in many parts of Orissa that there are thous-

ands of acres of uplands which are not fit for paddy cultivation, for water cultivation, but in which rabi crops are grown sometimes. Those lands are being eroded—there is no grass on them. They can be brought under cultivation only by Government by starting a land army, not the Bhoomi Sena that the Government is having now but a regular land army of people who work on land; Government should maintain them, give them their food and clothing like the army. They alone are the people who can bring that land under cultivation. I say that this tractor cultivation, that is this mechanised cultivation which does not accomplish the results that are expected, will never do. It is the manpower of the country that has brought so many crores of acres of land within generations, under cultivation, when the tractor was never heard of. Therefore, it is they who can bring the land under cultivation.

There is not much time, so I will say a little about amendment No. 71 with regard to the welfare state that this Government always talks about. I have recently seen that the Adibasis, the tribal people and the Harijans, in their bastis do not even have a surface well to have drinking water. drink all kinds of rotten water, bathe in that water, get skin diseases and stomach troubles and they lose their vitality to such an extent that if there is a case of cholera in the village the whole village is wiped out. Now, Adibasis and Harijans have in the Constitution been given as a first charge to the Government and it has been laid down that Government will spend special amounts of money and have a backward classes commission to see that the work is properly done. Five years have elapsed and I say there are dozens of villages where neither in the Adibasi bastis nor in the Harijan bastis is there a well for drinking water not to speak of tube-wells which we in the cities want nowadays, there is not even a surface well in these villages. Under these circumstances I do not see how we can say that this Government is bent on building this country as a welfare state. I particularly point this out because about one-third of our popu-lation consists of Harijans and Adibasis. If one-third of our manpower is wasted in this manner I do not see how we can advance. This is a thing which not only the Government but the people, the Indian society has neglected. These people have been neglected for so long and it is only during the last ten or fifteen years that Mahatma Gandhi had brought the problem to our attention so that we

[Shri Sarangadhar Das]

must advance them to our stage of development, so that we can pull together to build the welfare state. I therefore refute that statement of a welfare state. The fact of the matter is that this Government has only pious words and nothing else, no action. It is just like the sloka in the Upanishads which in our prayers we repeat daily:—

सर्वे सुखिन: भवन्तु, सब सन्तु निरामया। सर्वे भद्राणि पश्यन्तु, मा कश्चिद् दुःख भाग्भवेत्॥

[May everyone be happy, may everyone be free of disease. May everyone see the good and may none be subject to sorrow.]

But do we act up to these noble sentiments? Our forefathers have repeated this for generations. I say that these Harijans and Adibasis have remained in this condition for generations for centuries past, but now that we are conscious of their condition if one-third of our people are left in this state we cannot make any progress. Is it not essential that their welfare should be the first thing that the Central or Provincial Governments should take up? I do not want to bring in provincial matters here, I know very well that is not a subject to be discussed here, but I bring it to the attention of the Government for this reason that the Government does contribute some money, whether a large amount or small, for the development of these people, and therefore it is the duty of the Union Government to see that the rudiments of a healthy existence are provided for them at the start.

I beg to move:

(i) That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret that no mention has been made—

- (a) of the developing of a Third Force in conjunction with the independent small nations, which alone will be able to ensure peace and prosperity in the world;
- (b) of the intention of the Government with regard to foreign possessions in India; and

- (c) of the Government's policy with regard to the treatment meted out to citizens of Indian origin in foreign countries."
- (ii) That at the end of the motions the following be added:

"but regret that no mention has been made—

- (a) of the extreme suffering and hardship caused to the people by the withdrawal of food subsidies and the ways and means to mitigate them; and
- (b) of the serious famine situation prevailing in many parts of the country."
- (iii) That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret the absence of any mention of what Government have done and plan to do in the future. with a view to advance the scheduled tribes and scheduled castes, educationally, socially and economically, so that these two backward communities may come upon the standard of the more advanced people of the country, before 1961."

Mr. Speaker: Amendments moved:

(i) That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret that no mention has been mad?

- (a) of the developing of a Third Force in conjunction with the independent small nations, which alone will be able to ensure peace and prosperity in the world;
- (b) of the intention of the Government with regard to foreign possessions in India; and
- (c) of the Government's policy with regard to the treatment meted out to citizens of Indian origin in foreign countries."
- (ii) That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret that no mention has been made—

(a) of the extreme suffering and hardship caused to the people by the withdrawal of fo 105

subsidies and the ways and means to mitigate them; and

- (b) of the serious famine situation prevailing in many parts of the country."
- (ii) That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret the absence of any mention of what Government have done and plan to do in the future, with a view to advance the scheduled tribes and scheduled castes, educationally, socially and economically, so that these two backward communities may come up to the standard of the more advanced people of the country, before 1961."

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: Twenty-one years ago two stripling students came out to India in search of a grand adventure. One of them has moved the Motion of Thanks to the President for the address given to us a few days ago, the other is standing here this afternoon, Mr. Speaker, to oppose the Motion. This I consider to be a curious twist of destiny that two seekers of truth, two young people fully imbued with the desire to serve the country have after twenty-one years of sojourn turned out to be I feel occupants of opposite camps. I feel that this one single incident would bring into focus the present discontent in our midst today.

In the address of the President reference was made to the teachings of the Father of the Nation. I regret to say and I say this without fear of contradiction that the teachings of Bapu have become a very precious commodity intended only for export purposes. I feel that after five years of Government in this country in the name of the people, the teachings of Gandhiji have been completely for-gotten. I recall the Prime Minister's ringing exhortation to the country years ago, before he assumed office, that he would hang the blackmarketeer from the nearest lamp post. if I do not misread or misinterpret the conditions in the country it occurs to me that the Government of the land has been sold out to reaction, has be-come a cat's paw of vested interests, has become the hand maid of the rich peasant, with the result that the concept of Daridranarayana which Bapu has familiarised through a quarter of a century of his earthly ministrations to us, has been completely forgotten. My hon, friend the mover of the motion 26 P.S.D.

of thanks used a very rich phraseology which I have noted down. He spoke transition from the sylvan surroundings of Shanti Niketan to the imperial grandeur of this city. If I do not do him an injustice, Government in this country today has been so thoroughly obsessed with imperial grandeur, that the people, especially the party in power, who have for half a century been the trusted servants of the nation have today become a ruling class. To the extent a ruling class prevails in this country, there is a complete distrust of the Government and more than everything else a destruction of the ethics which the Father of the Nation taught us.

by the President

have spent three years now continuously in the field. I have made a bonfire of the hundreds of books I have read and the dozens of books that I have written on questions of high policy. I sought to become an Indian, and I am prepared to say with all the conviction at my command that the Government of the land today is completely subject to the tyranny of the local tycoons of the ruling party. There is interference everywhere. There is corruption, nepotism; in fact, these have become catch words and they have lost their meaning. It occurs to me that nothing has been done in this land to set right the lopsided administration which is cracking

When one dispassionately views the manner in which we as a people who have obtained freedom are conducting our affairs, we would come to the inevitable conclusion that we are developing a sky-scraper mentality. We are trying to streamline our economy. We are trying to do too many things in a hurry and at a tremendous cost. I have noted down here the tragedy of India in terms of figures. We have India in terms of figures. We have spent Rs. 735 crores within five years on the import of black foreign food. We are spending or are planning to spend Rs. 650 crores on gigantic multipurpose projects. As one who has spent more than ten years in almost every continent in the world, I am not here to say that I do not want modernisation or industrialisation in a big way. But at a time when the ravages of partition are still staring us in the face, when there are scarcities everywhere, a stitch here and a stitch there would have helped us to increase production. On the other hand, we are throwing away hundreds of crores of rupees on multi-purpose projects and other undertakings involving huge expenditure and taking, in Government's own estimate, a long number of

[Dr. Lanka Sundaram]

107

years to fructify. To my mind, if one third of this money or even less is distributed more or less on a proportionate basis to every district in the country, with a little improvement here and a little improvement there, a minor water works here and a minor rivulet there, we would have increased our production immediately. country cannot afford to wait for these multi-purpose projects to fructify if we are to stave off starvation, hunger the consequent demoralisation which, if I do not misread contemporary history, will lead to rebellion. must say without a sense of shame that our people are in a sullen and rebellious mood. I am not wedded to any Ism. I am an Indian first and nothing more. I think I will be expressing the sentiments of most people here if I say that people have today voted no-confidence in the Government, and the gentlemen opposite are returned here on a minority vote, and we have a minority Government. Things would have been different if there had only been a certain amount of collaboration on the part of those who apposed the present ruling party. History would have been written in a different way. It occurs to me that if we only go back to the people, even as Bapu said that we must make peace with the people, things would turn out differently. This is not what the Government is doing at the moment. It is completely isolated. Members of the Government are living in their ivory towers. They feel that exercise of authority would lead them safely and would permit them to carry on the Government for five years. In all probability, they will do it. China has done it for longer years. I do sincerely trust that we will not go the China way. Again, if I do not misread contemporary history, we are on the brink of disaster.

One more word and I have done. The President has made a reference in his address to the policy of peace pursued by India in the sphere of international relations. I am a student of international affairs in a small way. I have seen the League of Nations at work 20 years ago. I have also seen the United Nations in action. It occurs to me that it will not be a correct picture to say that we are at peace with other countries in the On the basis of documents that I have studied, I sincerely believe that there is not a single friend for us in the world today. We have assumed the position of a 'Solomon come to judgment'. We have been accustomed to declaring from the house

tops that we have a foreign policy which is correct. I do not think any Foreign Minister of any country in the world in recorded history has declared from the house tops that his foreign policy was correct and that the foreign policy of the rest of the world was wrong. It occurs to me that if we look more internally than exterwe look more internally than externally, if we develop sanctions, if we create concord with the people, and prepare them to march with the Government step by step, then there will be meaning to our words. Neutrality must be defended. We are not prepared enough to defend acutrality because we have not prepared. neutrality, because we have not made an effort to do so. The people as I said earlier are strangers to the I am pleading on this Government. solemn occasion for peace between the Government and the people, and if what I have said this afternoon makes an impression upon the Government that we must again go back to the people, then I would have done my duty towards the country and towards my constituents. I beg to move:

by the President

That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret that the 'policy of friendship' pursued by the Govern-ment of India has today left us without any sincere friends in the world."

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.

That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret that the policy of friendship' pursued by the Govern-ment of India has today left us without any sincere friends in the world."

Shri T. S. A. Chettiar (Tiruppur): Let me thank you for giving me this first opportunity after coming to this House to say a few words on the President's Address. Before I proceed, let me congratulate the friends who made maiden speeches. One friend was Shri Chanda and another was Shri Gopalan. Shri Gopalan was obsessed, I am sorry to say, by an ideology of and a loyalty to a country which is not our own. Otherwise, I cannot understand his reference to germ warfare etc. and also the way in which he referred to the Americans here. Not that I want to defend anybody, but I want to say definitely that our loyalty should be to this great country of ours and to none else. First that. and afterwards we may owe our loyalty to humanity and to the world. I would request him and those with

him to face the problems of this country with that idea in mind, so that we shall be able to contribute our best during the discussions in this House.

Within the short time available to me, I shall deal with only one or two important problems. First, I want to say a few words about the village community projects. Much been talked about village improvement and about rural development. I have heard about Brayene's Rural work in Gurgoan. There are great books on the subject too. When I happened to be in this House under the leadership of my late revered friend Shri Bhulabhai Desai, one crore of rupees was allotted for such rural welfare; a cartoon was then published by Shri Shankar who still continues to delight us with his cartoons. There was a big tank and a lot of water in it. Pipes were laid out to bring the water to the bottom, i.e. the people, but at certain ends big taps exhausted the water—salaries of Rs. 5000, salaries of Rs. 3000, salaries of Rs. 2000 etc.—and when it reached the villager, it reached him in drops and even those small drops evaporated in the summer. So, nothing was left. I would suggest that the same thing should not be repeated. I have had should not be repeated. the pleasure of going through the pamphlet which has been circulated about this community projects administration. Page 32 gives an The total cost of the projects has been estimated at Rs. 65 crores, but the expenditure on supervising per-sonnel which is supposed to be 125 is Rs. 5.74.000. On other personnel it is proposed to spend 3 lakhs; on field workers Rs. 3 lakhs. Equipment for personnel comes to Rs. 1.85,000. The total cost is Rs. 13.45,000, which is certainly more than 20 per cent. of the amount allotted.

3 P.M.

I would in this connection like to sound a note of warning to persons in charge of this administration. If we are really to uplift the villages, money should not be given to administrators, to civilians, to people who have nothing in common with the villagers. except to administer laws to them. And may I also add that care should be taken to see that people who work these should be people filled with village ideologies,—not people who advise others to live in villages, while they themselves roll in luxury

in towns. I hope a good selection of officers will be made and this money will really reach the villages, so that they may develop in prosperity to the extent that is possible.

One other warning which I would like to give in this connection is this. People are raised not by grants from above, but by their own self-efforts. The best thing that we can give, the great contribution that we can make, is to inspire them to work, so that is to inspire them to work, so that they may work for themselves. No country in the world, no people in the world have been raised by external help alone. External help may be of assistance, but each has to be raised by himself. The habit of spoon-feeding is a vital mistake. I would, therefore, like to recommend to the people in charge of this administration—I have had some talk with them—to study what is being done under the rural welfare scheme in my own province—Madras. For schemes costing Rs. 5,000 we give a grant of Rs. 500, so that the people themselves may come forward to make up the rest. It is they who have to help themselves. Unless we inspire people to depend upon themselves, make them manly, make them strong, make them feel that they can solve their own problems, no grant in the world can help them.

While on this subject, you will excuse me if I were to say a few words about this agreement that has been entered into under the Point Four Programme between the United States of America and ourselves. About one or two matters in the agreement, I am not very happy. Article 1 deals with preliminaries; article 2 appoints two representatives, one for the United States of America and another for India; under article 4 Rs. 25 crores are to be contributed by the United States of America and Rs. 25 crores by India. Perhaps one of the clauses in the agreement came into it without proper thought. This village project administration is part of the Government of India. It is not a private organisation; it is not a missionary organisation; it is not a missionary organisation—it is a department of the Government of India—and I am surprised to see this clause in it:

"Pursuant to the recommendations of the Committee, specific project agreements shall be excuted by an officer of the designated Ministry on behalf of the Government of India and by the Director on behalf of the Government of the United States of America. Each project agree-

[Shri T. S. A. Chettiar]

ment shall define the scope and the specific character of the project to be undertaken, the method by which it is to be carried out, the period within which and the agency or agencies through which it shall be executed and all other matters required for its effective implementation.

With respect to each project the Committee shall render to the Government of India a quarterly report of operations and progress of the project, the precise form and coverage of such report to be determined in consultation with the Director at the time of the execution of the relevant project agreement."

The Director who is referred to is the representative of the United States of America. I should really States of America. I should really have thought that in the working of the Government of India no external agency should have any place. think that in the sovereignty of this country, nobody however great, however well intentioned, however good, however friendly, should have a voice. I think we would have been wiser to give this fund to an independent body, in which the Government of India may have a good voice. Fifty crores are by no means a large sum which private bodies cannot manage. In that body the United States of America—the Government which con-tributes—can well have a voice. The Government of India can well have a place. (An Hon. Member: Money is important.) Yes, money is important; important.) Yes, money is important; but more than money is the in-dependence of this country. I want all that money to come, if we could get it. But that money should be utilised not through a Department of the Gov-ernment of India, with a foreign power having a voice in the administration of that Department, but by an idependent body. I do feel that the proper thing would have been to make a separate organisation of it and not make it a Department of the Government of India in which another power has a right to interfere and give its opinions.

I have read the reply which the American Ambassador. Mr. Chester Bowles,—I understand he is a noble man of a noble country—gave to Dr. Kumarappa. I believe their intentions are good. I do believe that it is their intention to develop this country. But I believe that this work should have been done by a separate organisation, and not through a department in which another Government has a voice.

Another matter on which I would like to say a few words is Ceylon. Ceylon is very near to us. I do not know whether you know that only a strait of 22 miles separates the mainland of India from Ceylon. On either side we have the Tamils—on this side we have the Tamils of India; on the other we have the Tamils belonging to Ceylon. The problem of Ceylon is not usually understood or appreciated in Northern India. It is very simple, Sir.

Till 1947 there were no problems at all. Till 1947 all Indians had suffrage. Let me make one point clear. It is not that the Indian settlers want a new privilege. No. They had this new privilege. No. They had this privilege from 1932. From 1932 there is adult suffrage in that island and all the Indians who went there, subject to a minimum residential qualification, had the privilege of voting. But what happened? In 1947 they made a small difference—but that became worse in and Pakistan 1949—The Indian Residents' Citizenship Act was enacted under which they had to register themselves to keep their suffrage. At first the Ceylon Indian Congress decided that Indians should not register themselves, but later on they agreed to it. About 2.37,000 applications. representing approximately 8 lakhs of Indians were made for citizenship. The tragedy is that although two years have passed since April 1950, the period originally fixed by the Ceylon Government for the receipt and scrutiny of applications, only a small number, about 7,000, of applications were reported to have been disposed of, representing approximately 24,000 people, and only 9,000 persons were granted citizenship. It is not a new right that is being claimed. In the previous voting list there were 1.65.000 Indians registered as voters. The Government of India wanted that they at least should be given citizenship. Even that was refused. The population of Ceylon is 65 lakhs—approximately the population of two districts of this country.

The Home Minister of that State proclaimed and said: Look here, unless you go under, unless you dub yourselves as slaves, unless you say you have no citizenship in this country. unless you are prepared to accept this low status. I will not give you protection. He said in other words: I will set rowdies and thieves on you, you will be attacked, every such thing will be done, and no protection will be

given to you. No Minister of a State worth the name could have made a speech like that. The matter was taken up by the Government of India, I know. But with what results? We know till now there are no results. In this matter we are not dealing with a country like South Africa, an en-tirely different nation, with an entirely different culture, an entirely different civilization. No. The whole population of Ceylon is composed of three kinds or really three waves of people that went from India; first during the time of Asoka, and Sangamitra, then in Pandyan's time in 1.000 A.D., and then about two or three hundred years back when labourers were wanted to make the New Ceylon and hard-working Indians, men and women, went there who laboured and died and who established their rights because of the work that they did. And to these people they have given this treatment. It is something which affects not only our hearts and minds but something much more than that: it affects our status and the dignity of this great country. I would like the Government to take up this matter seriously. I do want to suggest and it is not proper for me to suggest what we should do, or what measures should be adopted. I would leave it to the Prime Minister. But I would say that this is a matter which should be faced squarely and strongly so that justice may be done to our people in that country.

I do not want to say anything more. A reference has been made to the conditions in Rayalaseema in the address. Let me tell you that Rayalaseema is suffering in the grip of a great famine. My hon, friend Mr. Sitarama Reddy will. I am sure, deal with this matter in greater detail when he speaks. I must congratulate the Army for the great work they have done there. But there are other districts like Coimbatore which are suffering from famine which must be attended to.

I do not like to take much more of your time except to say that we have done well, as well, as could be expected from any Government, and in the future we hope we will do much better so that prosperity may be brought to the people.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani (New Delhi): At the inauguration of the Indian Republic we were looking forward with hope and expectation to the Presidential address. We thought that at this historic occasion he would enunciate some new policy which would extend some benefits to the people; we thought

that he would propose some immediate and concrete measures to lighten the heavy burden on our people; we thought there would be something in it to enthuse and call the people to rally round the National Government to participate in the great task of building the nation. I am afraid we have been very greatly disappointed. What was in that speech? It was the usual, formal speech meant for a ceremonial occasion, full of platitudes, beautiful words and high sounding and empty phrases. There were phrases of "national and social justice" and "pledging ourselves to the service of the nation". But the whole speech was marked by a tone of placid complacency. We missed the sense of urgency that should have been there to grapple with the serious problems that face the country, the serious problems corroding the very life of the nation.

Today India is suffering from the most vital problem of food. There is food shortage, high price of food, famine and near-famine conditions prevailing in more than one part of the country. How did the President tackle these problems in his speech? This super-priority problem has of course been taken notice of by him. He has devoted two paragraphs to them, for which we are thankful. But in what language are those two para-graphs couched? He does not want to commit himself one way or the other. He mentions Rayalaseema but forgets the word 'famine'. What is the phrase used? We are told that "scarcity conditions" prevail in Rayalaseema. He remembers Rayalaseema but does not remember other parts of South India, Bihar, Madhya Bharat or Hissar and Sunderbans (Bengal). Then he mentions that there is "distress and discontent" because of the rise in the price of food. Does that give you a correct picture of the condition of the country? Is it merely "distrust and discontent"?-He forgets that satyagraha has been launched in Bombay. Poona and other places over this question and that there is strong public agitation in most of the towns and even in Delhi where Parliament is in session. address give us any idea of the intensity of the problem? Do we get any idea of the misery in which the people are steeped in the famine areas? do not see anything. That is why we are greatly disappointed. We wonder whether the Government is fully apprised of the situation. More than that, there is nothing in the speech to show that any concrete and immediate steps are being taken to meet this challenge in the present food situation

[Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani]

We are told that natural calamities have brought this about. I have been a Member of this Parliament before. Before me Food Ministers have come and gone, but the food situation has remained the same and the plea for inability to cope with the problem has been the same—natural calamity, drought, failure of rain. How long is this Government going to make this plea? Can any modern Government afford to make this plea for ever? I understand-I do not know whether the information is correct—that in India we now have a twentyfive years cycle of bad period. In the Indian monsoon there are good and bad periods and I am told that now we are in the midst of the bad period. We have had five years of rain shortage and we may have another twenty years of rain shortage. I do not know whether Government has any report about this. If that is so, are we going to make the plea of natural calamity and sit tight for twenty years and wait for better days? Government must step in and do something.

That brings me to the other ques-tion. Let us see how far this food situation is created by nature and how far it is a man-made famine-I am deliberately using this phrase. time at my disposal is very short. I therefore cannot go into it at great length. I will just take a few glaring things. It is well known—or I should use the word notorious-that the vacillating policy of the Government with regard to the abolition of zamindari has led to large-scale eviction of tenants. During the five years of Congress rule 10 million acres of land have gone out of cultivation. This is admitted in the Five Year Plan. The reason given in the Five Year Plan is that landlords were afraid that "adverse rights would accrue to the tenants if they remained on the land." I know that some of the land has since then come back under cultivation, but the main damage remains. So, 10 million acres going out of cultivation means 2 million tons of food being lost to us annually. What did the Government do to stop these evictions, I want to know. I have a little experience myself of this. When I was a Member of the U.P. Legislature I myself went on behalf of the kisans to Pandit Govind Vallabh Pant over this matter. Nothing was done. I can quote several instances. Time and again tenants have raised this cry, but nothing has been done and thousands have been evicted.

Let us take the Grow More Food campaign. Crores have been spent on

it. Much growing of food has been done on files and papers, we know.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I must point out to the Members that they must not carry on their talks in a manner so as to disturb the speech. Hon. Members may differ from the speaker, but they are bound to hear the speaker very attentively. We must maintain silence and allow others to hear. This continuous talk disables me from catching what the speaker has been saying. This is happening at all times, not only with this speaker but with many other speakers. It does not redound to the credit of the House that Members should be indifferently talking and cracking jokes or making some remarks, when very important problems are being discussed from different points of view.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: I would submit out of the crores that were spent on the grow more food if some of it had been diverted to the raising of a land army in three years we might have put under cultivation 10 million acres. If that had been done, we would have had another 2 million tons of food grains every year. In England in 1942, during the war year, mind you, the women's land army brought 5 million acres of land under cultivation. If that could be done in England, why can that be not done here? Had this land army been raised, not only would our food grains have increased but something more could have been done. That disciplined army could have changed the face of our countryside. We could have started model villages and co-operative farming. A disciplined national life would have come into existence, but nothing was done. Let us take another important Without irrigaproblem—irrigation. tion Indian agriculture cannot improve. The Government has undertaken very big river valley projects. They are good projects and no doubt they will yield good results but let us see how much of the problem these projects will tackle. We have 26 crore acres of land needing irrigation. Under the British regime 4½ crores acres were under irrigation and these river valley projects will bring another 11 crores. Some people say that the project will fructify in 6 years' time and others in about 10 years. At the completion of the projects 6 crore acres of land will come under irrigation and it will give us an additional yield of 3 million tons but, within the 10 years, the population also will increase and whatever little foodgrain we will gain will be counterbalanced by the increase in the population, and the cry for food

will remain. If we have to tackle this problem, we have to think of the remaining 20 crore acres of land. What are we going to do about that? We have not got the money to start very big projects to irrigate 20 crore acres of land and so we have to think of wide spread minor irrigation projects. By voluntary labour of the people in the states, we shall have to make small canals, Tanks and wells. How can this be done? Voluntary labour is there in this country, but we have to enthuse them and bring them forward. If they are enthused, they will come forward. What can create that enthusiasm? It is not created on conditions of frustration and hunger. If we want the people to be enthused, if we want the people to come forward and build the nation, we have to give them something and what is that something that our land hungry people want? They want land. A re-division of land is a pre-condition for the creation of enthusiasm among the people. But what has been done about the land re-division? In the five years of the Congress Government, nothing has been done. In the five year plan there is a sentence which says that they are afraid that this would un-leash "organized forces of disruption". Does it mean that the stalmate should continue? It appears to me that this Government is not aware of the acuteness of the problem and not conscious of the urgency. If the Government is not conscious of the urgency, there is someone in India sitting in a corner at Wardha, a thin frail man who is conscious of that urgency and, how has he taken up the problem? In this hot weather, when we are sitting, in air conditioned rooms this naked man is walking miles and miles of this hot country begging for land, that is how he is trying to tackle the problem of Having despaired of land division the Governments intention or ability to tackle the problem he has started his own programme. This man who be-lieves in peoples' economy has started this on his own and what was said by the expert of the Government. Mr. R. K. Patil? He scoffed at the scheme and said this was unscientific and will lead to further fragmentation. To this Vinobha ji has rightly retorted "I am only trying to give half a bread where there is none and I cannot wait in-definitely for sweets and delicacies". So we want peoples' economies and we want practical people to take charge of things and help build country. I say that voluntary labour is there: they would come forward provided we know how to harness it. I had the experience of seeing a bit of this when I was in Noakhali with

Mahatama Gandhi. What did I see? I saw people from the highest casts to the lowest, rich and poor alike. they came out with their spades, they built the road for Mahatma Gandhi when he was on his walking tour. I know it can be done if enthusiasm is created. In 3 years the minor irriga-tion projects would have given an additional yield of food grain to the tune of 4 million tons. If the Government had followed a correct policy. if the eviction had been stopped, if the land army had been created, if these minor irrigation projects had been taken up, I am sure that 8 million tons more of food grains would have been available every year. Therefore I say, this is not a nature-made famine but this is a man-made famine. I pose this question to the House: Who are responsible for this man-made famine? It is not for me to answer, but it is for you to do so. If the Government cannot take up these projects immediately, I know there is plenty of cultivable uncultivated land lying fallow. Why cannot the Government take this land over and give it to the people to cultivate? Even if this can be done, that would yield a good deal more of food grains. But unfortunately in some places where these projects are started, even these lands are going to private vested in-terests. The result is that chronic under-nourishment, death and famine have become a common feature in the country. I understand that 6 men out of ten, and 8 women out of 10 are under-nourished and I should think that 9 children out of 10 are undernourished. I have the misfortune to work among the masses and I have seen with my own eyes how the health of the children have dwindled down. I am sorry to observe that there is no mention about the refugees in the President's address; that is perhaps to give an impression, that the Government have solved the problem. When the refugees came from West Pakistan in 1947 they came after suffering great hardship and travail but they were healthy. In these five years they have become pale skeletons. When I address a public meeting, I deliberately look around to see a healthy face. I do not see a healthy face. There is acute malnutrition in country and no nation can build itself up on this basis. Our nation is going down. I ask the Government: Can the Government build a stable state out of hungry people? The hunger of the people does not allow them to be patient for long. Let the Government take the warning. Hungry mothers cannot see their children dying before them. How long will Indian woman-

[Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani]

hood and motherhood tolerate this? Women make very good material for satyagraha. A time will come when hungry men and women will not tolerate this degradation. Therefore, I hope that the new Government will not show us something new, will hold forth to us some new hope. Let me end whatever little I have to say with a phrase of our Prime Minister. If you go into the Secretariat in each room of the building, you will find this message of Shri Jawaharlaljee: "I am not interested in excuses for delay. I am interested only in a thing done." We also are interested in a thing done and we want to see the people of our country well fed and happy.

Shri H. S. Reddy (Kurnool): While supporting the motion of thanks to the President for his address, I should say that I was surprised to hear the mover of the amendment to the motion say that the address was a declaration of war against the people. I do wish that he had substantiated his statement. It is easy to make a statement like that. I watched carefully and attentively to see whether he was going to substantiate the statement that he made. No; he did not do it. I ask him to read the address carefully. Paragraph 16 refers to the increased production in certain sectors of industry and partly also in food-grains: not very much. I see production in jute has gone up by about 50 per cent. and similarly in respect of some other products. Is this mention by the President of this fact a war on the people? No, Sir. Can we mention that the Government propose to start community projects which are going to help the people to a better way of life, be called a war on the people?

No. Sir. That is why I say that it is mere verbiage unsubstantiated by facts. I would have very much liked the hon. Member who moved the amendment to substantiate what he said.

Then, again, he made a statement that there was large-scale unemployment, that people were thrown out and that so many factories were closed. I do wish that he had quoted a few figures. I hope at least some of the Members of his party when they speak next would give a few figures, because, that would give the Government a chance to know where the unemployment is, what are the factories that closed and which is the field in which there is uncemployment. It is true there is under-employment. It is true there is under-employment. In a poor country that cannot be helped. But, every effort has been made to

see that as far as possible underemployment or unemployment is alleviated. Merely to say that certain
things have happened, without substantiating the statement by facts and
figures does not carry us any farther.
One hon. Member on the opposition
side said that the speech made by
the President was full of platitudes
and verbiage. But, I do wish that the
hon. Members who spoke from the
opposition had stuck to some facts,
and brought out some facts instead of
indulging in high-flown and familiar
verbiage.

Now, much has been said about the non-mention of scarcity or famine areas, about non-using of the word famine in the President's address. I may say to the hon. Members, as one having held the port-folio of Revenue in the Government of Madras till a few days ago, and knowing what has been done by the Government both at the Centre and the State to relieve the famine conditions in my State of Madras, that that criticism is unfair and not correct. When the Government of Madras.-I was at that time in charge of Famine Relief-approached the Government at the Centre for a loan, it was expeditiously sanctioned. I know for a fact that the hon. President was anxious to visit the areas affected by famine in Madras. I know the anxiety that the hon. President felt. I have personally known the hon. President from a long long time ago and I know his heart throbs in sympathy for the poor. To say that the hon. President has tried in his address to discriminate or not mention or particularly avoid using a particular word, does not fit in with the character, reputation and antecedents of our noble President.

Now, the problem of Rayalaseema has been mentioned. I am glad that it has been mentioned. It is something that I wish this House should take up, because I come from that area, I have lived in that area, I have tried to do something for that area and I know something about it. It has been rightly stated by the hon. President that the problem there is of water; more than food, it is water. It has been accentuated by five years of drought. It may be news to several Members of this House to hear that in that area water is a luxury, even in ordinary days. That has been there for centuries. The previous Covernments that ruled this land never took any steps to supply that primary need of all human beings, good drinking water. It would surprise hon. Members if I say that there are places

121

Now, I should congratulate the Government on the formation of a separate Ministry of Production. That is essential for the welfare and progress of this country. Particularly, in South India, I should like the Government of India to take up actively and pursue the question of the utilisation of Lignite in South Arcot district. That is a material, which, if fully exploited and used, is going to solve the economic problem to a very great extent in South India so far as fuel supply is concerned. It is going to revolutionise the whole economy of South India. and incidentally also have a very good effect on the economy of North India also. Today, in South India for all steam engines, whether it is Railways or other heavy industries, coal is being imported from North India. If we are able to take up the utilisation of lignite. I am sure that the problem of coal supply for the industries and the railways would have been solved.

cannot be allowed to go without this

primary need, good drinking water.

The next thing that I would like to stress is the utilisation of the great mineral resources in the south. are rich mineral resources which have not, so far, been assessed or tapped. I should like this new Ministry of Production to take up this question very actively because that is a problem that deserves to be tackled with urgency. In the south, a large-scale utilisation of fire-wood means destruction of even some part of the forests

where trees are available in the forests. If we are able to utilise this lignite, we can save our forests and incidentally, saving of the forests would mean better rainfall, and better living conditions in the south. I should like to stress both these aspects, namely, the utilisation of lignite and exploring the mineral resources of South India.

An hon. Member referred to several An non. Member reterred to several other areas in Madras State which have been affected by the famine. With the aid of the loan promised by the Government of India and the assistance they have promised by way of grant, the Madras Government have been tackling the problem of relief of been tackling the problem of relief of distress in various districts. I am sure if the attitude displayed in the matter of those areas is forthcoming in regard to other areas also there will be no distress in the whole of this country.

The question was raised that lots of money were being spent on multi-purpose projects and that adequate attention is not being paid to other projects. So far as I know about my own State and I take it that it is the experience of other States also, the Gov-ernments there have not merely been paying special attention only to big projects but in Madras we have a programme of tank restoration, improving the existing tanks and a scheme of minor irrigation spread over five years which will cost Rs. 10 crores. Some hon. Members have been laying very great stress on these minor irrigation schemes. Thousands of minor irrigation tanks have been restored, repaired and but for the unfortunate failure of rain continuously for a period of years in a large area of Madras, the conditions in that State and incidentally in other parts of the country too could not have been as bad as they are today. It is not as though the States or the Central Government have not been paying any attention to small irrigation schemes. They have not merely been thinking about them but executing them.

But we do want these large scale multi-purpose projects for we are deficit in food and unless this problem is tackled through long range schemes it cannot be solved satisfactorily. We must have a proper balance between large scale and small scale schemes of irrigation and that is the policy pursued, so far as I know, in the State from which I come. I am sure in other States also the problem must have been tackled in the same way. Ultimately it all depends, as has been correctly said in the address by the President, on the cooperation of all

[Shri H. S. Reddy]

citizens. We welcome criticism: it is necessary. Wherever there are difficulties it must be pointed out, otherwise we cannot correct ourselves. But at the same time, in offering criticism, there should be no exaggeration. What is to be done should be pointed out to the Government, but at the same time those that point out the defects should voluntarily cooperate with the Government, because ultimately unless the people of a State cooperate with one another for the common welfare of the people, we cannot function effectively and I hope that the appeal made by the President for the cooperation of every citizen to improve the lot of the poor will be responded to by every Member, whether he sits on this or that side.

Shri R. N. Deo (Kalahandi-Bolangir): I rise today to move the amendments standing in my name: This is a solemn occasion. For the first time in the history of this great country popularly elected representatives from Amarnath to Kumarika and from Kamakshya to Dwarka have gathered in this august House today, after the general election which has just been concluded through adult fran-cinise. And on this historic occasion, in the first session we expected that the President would have made some mention of the special problems of the Indian States. Great and revolu-tionary changes have taken place during the last five years in the life of the people of the States. It has of the people of the States. It has been said that it was a bloodless revolution. Though I have no wish to minimise the significance of that expression I am not prepared to admit that it was a bloodless change. For on the very first day, when the integration started, on the 1st January 1948, it was inaugurated in a blood bath, a massacre of hundreds of of the people of the States. bath, a massacre of hundreds of Adibasis in Kharswan. We cannot forget that chapter of our history. It is a black chapter in the history of this country, that puts to shame the Jallianwalla Bagh massacre or any other massacre in any other country. But I do not wish to raise this issue or remind the House of those unfortunate happenings which are of the past. Many wrongs have been committed. Some of them are irremediable. We cannot bring back to life those who have gone but we expected that the Government would pay some attention to those who are still living.

Many wrongs have been committed and 'are still continuing but I will mention the most important from

our point of view, from the point of view of the people of Orissa State, whom I have the honour to re-present here today. For centuries Seraikilla and Kharswan have been known as the Orissa State. The people speak Oriya. They were grouped together in one administration with the other states in Orissa. They were always known as the Orissa State and were integrated with Orissa. But subsequently there was dispute between Bihar and Orissa and in deciding that dispute all the principles of democracy which our leaders used to shout from housetops, were thrown overboard and I am sorry to say that principles became the first victim to the whims of persons at the helm. An inconsistent policy was followed in regard to these States and an inconsistent decision was arrived at.

I wish to refer to the state of Junagadh, a state in Kathiawar. Some of the smaller states of Saurashtra like Babriawad, Mangral, Manavdir, Surdagarh and Bantwa were unknown and were probably a few acres in area some with a population of only a few heads and yet when the question arose as to whether those states should be integrated or not or merged in the Saurashtra Union, they were conceded their democratic right. There was a referendum, there were resolutions by duly elected people of the states and subsequently they were merged in the Saurashtra Union.

There was difference of opinion about the accession of Kashmir. But it is of course a different problem. But the inconsistency in the Government policy makes the whole situation very anomalous. In regard to Hyderabad this right has been conceded. Our Government recog-nises that the Constituent Assembly of Hyderabad will decide on the constitution of Hyderabad and it may either confirm or not the accession of Hyderabad to India. Then there is provision as regards Bharatpur and Dholpur. When the question arose of splitting up the Matsya Union merging Bharatpur and Dholpur with U.P. and the whole of the Matsya Union in Rajasthan there was difference of opinion and then our Government appointed a committee under the chairmanship of Mr. Shanker Rao Deo. They tried to ascertain public opinion public meetings and as they could not give their clear opinion. They not give their clear opinion. They suggested that the whole of Matsya should be merged in Rajasthan, but

125

at a later stage opinion in Dholpur and Bharatpur should be obtained and Bharatpur should be obtained through a plebiscite or other means. In article 5 of the Covenant there is provision that at a subsequent date the Government of India will take steps to ascertain the wishes of the people as to whether those two states should be taken out of Rajasthan and merged in U.P. or should remain in Rajasthan, and this covenant was confirmed by the Government of India.

But this policy has not been consistently followed. In the case of Seraikella the question of the people's Seraikella the question of the people's wishes did not come into the picture at all. There also the wishes of the people as one of the factors had been conceded. An enquiry committee, the Bavdekar Commission had been set up to ascertain the wishes of the people but for some reason best known to our Government, suddenly they changed the ment suddenly they changed the whole thing and without ascertaining the wishes of the people a decision was taken over the heads of the people. The people have been transferred from one state to another like chattels. The excuse made was that Seraikilla and Kharswan were islands in Singhbhum-with contiguity with Orissa and there-fore they were transferred to the Government which had the administration of Singbhum district under Its jurisdiction.

The situation has subsequently changed. On the 1st January 1949 Mayurbhanj was merged with Orissa. Hence Siraikella and Kharswan are no longer islands cut off from Orissa but are contiguous with Orissa through Mayurbhanj and therefore the reason for their transfer to Bihar no longer holds good.

When the right of self-determina-tion and ascertaining of the wishes of the people has been conceded in of the people has been conceded in other cases why should the people of these two States be denied that right? There cannot and must not be different yardsticks to measure the democratic rights of people of different States. different States. For whatever reason those states were integrated with Bihar at that time it is clear those conditions have changed. In the last general election the election was fought on the issue of their restora-tion to Orissa and the people have clearly given their verdict by an overwhelming majority of votes by allociting a capacitate from our party electing a candidate from our party. Our party contested the elections on this issue alone and our opponents forfeited their deposits. Therefore now that the verdict of the people is clear the reason for the integration with Bihar is gone. This is a question of the integration of an Indian state with a particular province and did not depend on the appointment of a boundary commission. Therefore the question need not wait for any boundary commission.

Therefore, Sir, this question need not wait for ascertaining public opinion or anything else for what clearer verdict could be desired than the one at the last general election. Now, this verdict is clear and if our Government really means what it says, in its professions of democracy, if it is not mearly a platitude or lip sympathy or, rather lip loyalty, then Sir, our Government is now on trial. They have to prove their sincerity by implementing the verdict of the people. The time is short. There were a number of things that I wanted to raise, especially with regard to the people of the Indian States, how they have been crushed under an increasing burden of taxa-tion and how their lives have been completely upset, but there would be occasions to speak on those problems at a later stage. Therefore I think it is not right to take more time and encroach upon other mem-bers' rights to speak today. Now Sir. I want to move my Amendment No. 96. I beg to move:

That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret that no mention was made in the address about the readjustment of boundaries of States on linguistic and cul-tural basis and about the immediate restoration of Seraikella and Kharswan to Orissa."

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved:

"but regret that no mention was made in the address about the readjustment of boundaries of States on linguistic and of States on linguistic and cultural basis and about the immediate restoration of Seraikella and Kharswan to Orissa"

Prof. Agarwal (Wardha): As a new Member of this House and as one not coming from the sylvan surroundings of Shantiniketan but from the rugged surroundings of Wardha, I have very great pleasure in seconding and supporting the motion that has already been moved.

The President in the course of his address, has referred to the high

[Prof. Agarwal]

destiny of this nation, and I have always felt that India is a land of destiny and that our distinguished President and Prime Minister are the great men of destiny. And what is the message that the world expects of us, from this land of Gandhi? the President remarked, it is the message of peace, and non-violence. And I can say, that more than any-body else, our Prime Minister has upheld these ideals of peace and nonviolence in the sphere of inter-national politics. Some Members on the Opposition have criticised that the foreign policy of the Government of India is tilting towards the Anglo-American bloc; but those who critic-ise the foreign policy of the Government on this account, do not know our Prime Minister. He has and I am sure, will stand like a rock against the onslaughts of Imperialism and imperialist designs whether they come from the Anglo-American bloc or from the Russian bloc, and I have no doubt that the policy of our Government so far as foreign affairs are concerned, has been eminently successful.

The President, in his address, has also referred to the need for defending the newly-won freedom. I think I voice the feelings of all of us that it is necessary to defend freedom more so, because it is newly won. But it is rather a difficult task to reconcile the ideals of non-violence with the maintenance of a big army costing about 50% of our national revenues. I am sure the Government would pay attention to this aspect of our problem, and will see to it that our expenditure, real expenditure on the Army, goes down gradually. course, nobody would suggest that the army should be disbanded outright. But much can be done if the army is harnessed to peaceful purposes for constructive activities, as a matter of routine. It is a matter of great satisfaction to all of us that the army is doing a very good job in Rayalaseema. But I only wish, that such activities should become a normal feature of our Military, and if this is done. I am sure the heavy burden of defence would be very much reduced in reality.

The President, in his address referred to the question of stoppage of subsidy on food. I can assure Members of the Opposition that we the Members of the Congress Party are no less concerned about the distress and the agitation that is taking place all over the country. But, as the President observed in the course, of his address. Government took this step in order that the States that demand food from the country and the states that demand food from the country and the states that demand food from the country and the states that demand food from the country and the states that demand food from the country and the states that demand food from the country and the states that demand food from the country and the states that demand food from the country and the states that demand food from the country. Centre may be more realistic, and I think it will have a definite advantage in time to come. But I also hope that as the President observed. the Government would do all in their power to reduce this misery and this power to reduce this misery and this difficulty that the public encounters. This can be done by opening fair price shops for population below a minimum level of income, say, Rs. 300. Below that people should get the advantage of subsidy, above that they need not.

I may also refer to the Five Year Plan. I admit the plan is a valuable document and that it has been prepared after great endeavours and labour, but I do hope that when the plan emerges in its final shape, it would present to us a picture for which the people will have real enthusiasm. That picture should make the people feel the glow of freedom for which we have always been yearning. And this picture can really evolve if we keep before us, as every planner does, the ideal of full employment. In the present plan it is maximum employment that is visualised. Our ideal should be full employment, and what is more, the solving of the question of wedge the solving of the question of under-em-ployment, and this can be done by spreading on a large-scale the smallscale indutsries throughout the countryside through decentralised country side through decentralised by opening minor works throughout the country and in every village if possible. If that is done and if every citizen is guaranteed the right to work which is the fundamental right under the Constitution. I am sure the Five Year Plan would be a much better plan which would arouse the enthusiasm of the masses.

4 P.M.

Lastly, I would only express hope that Government under the able guidance of our Prime Minister, and every one of us will feel a sense of urgency about doing things. We might have very nice plans and schemes, but there is no time to waste. Time is of the essence and five years is a long period; we have to do things within a year or two. Time passes on and the people feel more and more dissatisfied because they cannot afford to wait longer. I am confident that the Government would realise this,

so that the country may march from progress to progress.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarty (Basirhat): I rise to move my amendments Nos. 192 and 193. I beg to move:

That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret-

129

- (a) that the complacency and under-estimation of the widespread food crisis in country at a time when the price of food has gone far beyond the purchasing power of the people and in large areas of Bengal and elsewhere people are living in actual conditions of famine;
- (b) the failure to mention the abolition of Zamindari system and the distribution of land to the peasants free, without which the grow more food compaign and solution of food crisis can never be achieved:
- (c) that there is no mention of measures to reduce prices of food grains which have been constantly raised due to the food policy of the Govern-ment and has now gone completely out of reach of the buying power of the people; and
- (d) that there is no mention for special subsidies for state governments where famine conditions exist, in order to enable them to open cheap grain shops and give free doles."

That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret that there is no categorical declaration about women granting basic rights to with a view to placing them on an equal social status with men.

The first speech made by the President of the first Republic of India was thought to be one in which we would see some promise of alleviating the distress, the terrible distress from which our people are suffering today. Today I will only dilate on one very special point, a point which is disturbing the minds of the people most, and that is the food situation.

This speech has shown utter concern over the tragedy and heart-rending condition of those people. There is neither an appreciation of the depth of the crisis, its widespread nature, nor what it means in terms of human suffering. Having been elected from a constituency, Basirhat in West Bengal, where over three lakhs of people are starving, are living on grass roots, are living on tamarind seed powdered down, living on one meal every three or four days, to me it has been surprising to see that the President has shown utter unconcern and complacency over a situation which has within itself the most dangerous potentialities of mass destitution. I have seen thousands of women and children crowding around, some hardly able to stand up, babies who have swooned, women half-nacked and emaciated. And here again we have seen that the West Bengal Government have sought to show that there is really no such great distress as we on this side of the House make out to be. The same idea is conveyed again in the Presidential address. We find that the same type of attitude was taken up by the British Govern-ment in 1943 when they did not want to declare the Bengal famine a famine and yet we found that we paid with the precious lives of 3½ million of our people. The same thing we find today in Rayalaseema, the same thing we find today again in the Sunderban areas where the Government refuses to declare these distress areas as famine areas. Neither has the President thought it fit to say anything about the food policy, that policy which has brought such untold sufferings, which has brought destitution and starvation to the millions, nor is there any promise of a change of that policy. We find first and foremost that the prices have shot up, that food subsidies have been reduced, and we are told that this is for the good of the country because it will make State Governments sit up and say that they Governments sit up and say that they really do not want so much, and therefore imports will fall and all will be well for the country. Then again, nothing has been said of the import policy. The import policy has shown a marked partiality for the U.S.A. This has been shown in the way the Dracident has given expression of President has given expression of thanks only for the U.S.A. without mentioning such countries as China and Russia who have come to our aid and Russia who have come to our aid in times of distress. Yet this U.S. grain has been expensive: it has cost our exchequer a sum of Rs. 25 crores because it has to be undersold—the people are unable to buy it at such a high rate at which it had been bought. Nor has the Rs. 90 crores

[Shrimati Renu Chakravartty]

loan given for buying the wheat been an entirely philanthropit deal. We had to pay 2½ per cent. interest on that and that has cost us quite an amount

[PANDIT THAKUR DAS BHARGAVA in the Chair]

Then there is the whole policy of procurement whereby it is the poor peasantry, even sharecroppers, who have been looted of their grain whereas the zamindars who have surplus stocks have gone scotfree. We have known cases in our constituencies where procurement has been obtained at the point of the gun. Then there is the policy whereby paddy-growing areas are converted into jute growing areas; it is the definite policy of Government to increase such things as jute cultivation. Then there have been irrigation projects; big irrigation projects have been undertaken but smaller ones, minor scale ones which would have helped our agriculture within a very short space of time have not been undertaken. Again, we have seen in our constituencies that embankments kept up by the zamindars have been cut and salt water allowed in and huge areas of paddy fields turned into fisheries. In these ways, instead of building up our food reserves we have on the other hand seen a steady decline in the yield per acre. We see that in 1938-39 Madras produced about 8½ million tons of grain; now we have about 5,875,000 tons of grain from that Province. Then again, we about Rs. 500 million for the grow-more-food campaign and in return what have we got? We have got nothing more than 2,040,000 manned of ing more than 2,040,000 maunos of grain even at Government estimation. Yet the President wants us to be satisfied. He tells us that we have food reserves. If we have food reserves, with these high prices of grains beyond the buying capacity grains beyond the buying capacity
of ordinary persons, why then do we
see ration quantum reduced to a
level which denies the nation a
healthy quantum of food? Why do
we see the blackmarket? Why do we see the sorry spectacle of starved peasantry? I can see that the President has not gone to the root of the evil. He has not shown that without abolition of the zamindari system. without giving land to the peasants free.—I repeat free, without that there can be no solution of the food crisis.—there can be no increase in yield per acre, there can be no increase

in the buying power of the peasant. Therefore, Mr. Chairman, without promising that nothing else can really satisfy the people's demand for food.

I would just like to make a reference to one other thing and that is to the question of what people had wanted to see in the address. People had wanted to see in the speech of the President references to many things: they had demanded cheap food, they wanted restoration of the food subsi-dies, they wanted restoration of the quantum of rations, they wanted opening of cheap grain shops, they wanted the grant of relief doles, they wanted the abolition of the zamindari system. None of these were promised; not even a change in policy was advocated in that speech. That is why people have been disappointed, Government fails, to act, the people have learnt by their experience to win their inherent right to live by the strength of their move-ment. Already there are big move-ments afoot in the country, and even the outer walls of this House have echoed with the slogans of the hungry. The people's right to live cannot be overruled by any speeches here. I would contend, that the people's strength is invincible. They will not allow a re-enactment of the Bengal famine of 1943.

Mr. Chairman: Amendments moved:

That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret-

- (a) that the complacency and under-estimation of the widespread food crisis in the country at a time when the price of food has gone far beyond the purchasing power of the people and in large areas of Bengal and else-where people are living in actual conditions of famine;
- (b) the failure to mention the abolition of Zamindari system and the distribution of land to the peasants free, without which the grow more food campaigns and solution of food crisis can never be achieved:
- (c) that there is no mention of measures to reduce prices of

food grains which have been constantly raised due to the food policy of the Government and has now gone completely out of reach of the buying power of the people; and

(d) that there is no mention for special subsidies for state Governments where famine conditions exist, in order to enable them to open cheap grain shops and give free doles."

That at the end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret that there is no categorical declaration about granting basic rights to women with a view to placing them on an equal social status with men."

श्री हरिहर नाथ शास्त्री : अभी सुबह से ले कर अब तक कई तक़रीरें हुईं। पिछले चन्द घण्टों में कई तक़रीरें में ने सुनीं और खास कर आखरी स्पीच (speech) जो कि हमारे मोअज्जिज दोस्त ने दी, जो शायद बंगाल से आई हुई हैं, उस को सुना। इस के लिये में ख़ास तौर से उन को मुबारकबाद दे देता हं कि उन्होंने बड़े पूरजोर शब्दों में बड़े अच्छे स्यालात का इजहार किया है। में यह सोचता हं कि शायद वह ग़लत जगह में बैठ गई हैं और उन के लिये मुनासिब और माकुल जगह वह होती जहां कि हम लोग बैठे हुये हैं । उन्होंने ठीक कहा है कि मुल्क में इस वक्त गल्ले की हालत खराब है, देश की इकानामिक सीचुएशन (Economic situation) खराब है। पिछले चार साल के अन्दर इस मुल्क की हुकूमत ने और हमारे नेताओं ने जो सब से बडा काम किया वह यह कि इस मुल्क के अन्दर उन्होंने आजादी की जड़ों को मजबूत किया। एक ओर फ़िरक़ेवाराना, जमाअतों की तरफ़ से और दूसरी ओर उस जमाअत की तरफ़ से जिस की नुमाइन्दगी करने के लिये हमारे मुखालिफ़ बैंचों के ऊपर कुछ लोग बैठे

हुये हैं, आजादी की जड़ों को कमजोर करनें के लिये पूरी कोशिश में लगे थे। ऐसी हालत में हमारे मोहतरिम लीडर और इस हुकूमत ने एक शानदार काम किया जो कि तवारीख में हमेशा सुनहरे हरफ़ों में लिखा जायेगा।

यह ठीक है कि हमारे देश की इकानामिक सीच्एशन खराब है और पिछले चार साल के.अन्दर जो कुछ भी हुआ है वह बहुत ही कम हुआ है। अभी प्लानिंग कमीशन(Planning Commission) की एक योजना सामने है और सिर्फ़ यह उम्मीद की जा सकती है कि वह प्लान (plan) कामयाब होगा और उस के ज़रिये से जो मल्क के सवालात हैं वह हल किये जा सकेंगे। इस चुनाव के बाद पिछले तीन चार महीने में मुझे खुद इस मुल्क के बहुत से हिस्सों में दौरा करने का मौक़ा मिला और इसमें शक़ नहीं कि मझे यह देख कर परेशानी हुई कि इस मुल्क के अन्दर ऐसे फ़िरक़े मौजूद हैं जिन की वजह से कि अड़चनें और दिक्क़तें बढ़ती चली जा रही हैं। गोपालन साहब ने भी अपनी स्पीच में ठीक कहा कि मजदूरों के ऊपर तरह तरह की परेशानियां हैं और इस मुल्क के अन्दर सरमायेदारों का कम से कम एक ऐसा तबक़ा जरूर मौजूद है जो इस बात में दिलचस्पी रखता है कि जम्हरियत की जिन जडों को हम इस मुल्क के अन्दर मजबूत करना चाहते हैं वह कमजोर हो और सर-मायेदाराना हुकुमत इस देश में क़ायम हो। लेकिन मैं यह समझता हूं कि हम अपने लीडर की रहनुमाई में रहते हुये जो यह टैंडेंसीज (tendencies) हैं उन का मुक़ाबला कर सकेंगे और बहादुरी के साथ मुक़ाबला कर सकेंगे और कामयाबी के साथ मुक़ाबला कर सकेंगे।

अपोजीशन (opposition) की तरफ़ से हमारे एक दोस्त ने, जिन का नाम मुझे

[श्री हरिहर नाथ शास्त्री] मालूम नहीं है, शायद वह किसी स्टेट से आये हुये हैं, इंटीग्रेशन (Integration) की जो गवर्नमेंट की पालिसी है उस को क्रिटिसाइज (criticise) करते हुये यह सलाह गवर्नमेंट को दी है कि अवाम की तरफ़ जो गवर्नमेंट का फ़र्ज है उस को अदा करना चाहिये। मैं उन से यह कहना चाहता हूं कि शायद आज भी वह सन् १९४६ के पहले के जमाने में रह रहे हैं जब कि इस मुल्क की पुरानी हुकुमत ने, ब्रिटिश हुकुमत ने, अपनी ताक़त को मजबूत रखने के लिये इस मुल्क में प्रिसली आर्डर (princely order) क़ायम कर रखा था। मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि पिछले पांच साल के अन्दर इस मुल्क की हुकूमत ने चाहे और कोई काम न किया हो लेकिन अगर एक काम उस ने किया जिस की वजह से कि अवाम की भलाई हुई और जिस की वजह से मुल्क में आजादी की जड़ें मजबूत हुई तो वह काम यह था कि उसने प्रिंसली आडंर जो इस मुल्क में था उस को खत्म कर के इस मुल्क में एक पोलीटिकल यूनिटी (political unity) बुनियाद डाली ।

हमारे दोस्त गोपालन साहब ने एक बात कही जिसको सुन कर मुझे हंसी आई। उन्होंने कहा कि हम इस मुल्क से मुहब्बत करते हैं। बड़ी अच्छी बात उन्होंने कही और में सोचता यही हूं कि वह बात सच्ची हो लेकिन मुश्किल यह है कि उन की पार्टी में और हम में जो एक खास तफ़रक़ा है, जो खास मतभेद है, वह यहीं है कि वह अपने मुल्क से मुहब्बत नहीं करते हैं। में बड़े अदब के साथ कहना चाहता हूं, जो दावा उन का है वह दावा ग़लत है, । खुदा ने हालांकि उन को शक्ल हिन्दुस्तानी की दी है, लेकिन जहां तक जहनियत का सवाल हैं वह शुरू से ले कर आखिर तक उन के अन्दर आज भी रूसी जहनियत भरी हुई है और यही उन के अन्दर खराबो है। उन्होंने जो हमारी फ़ारेन पालिसी (Foreign pol cy) है, उस को किटिसाइज (criticise) किया। यह वही पार्टी है जिस ने कि सन् १९४७ में, जब कि इस मुल्क के अन्दर पहले पहल एक डिमार्कसी (democracy) की बुनियाद क़ायम हुई और पण्डित जवाहरलाल नेहरू के हाथ में हुकुमत की बागडोर आई, तो यही पार्टी थी कि जिस ने डंके की चोट से इस बात का ऐलान किया था कि यह पीपूल्स गवर्नमेंट (people's government) पीपुल्स गवर्नमेंट वह उस वक्त जरूर थी, क्यों कि उन को उम्मीद थी कि जिस तरह ईस्टर्न यूरोप (Eastern Europe) की जो पावसं (powers) हैं वह रूस की (Satellites) बनी हुई **ै**टेलाइट्स है, उसी तरह से जो हमारा मुल्क है वह भी रूस का एक सैटेलाइट बन कर रहेगा। आगे चल कर उन को इस मामले में ना-जम्मीदी हुई और इसलिये उन्होंने हमारी फ़ारेन पालिसी को ऋटिसाइज करना शुरू किया और उन की निगाह में आज फ़ारैन पालिसी बुरी है। लेकिन इस में तो कोई ताज्जुब की बात नहीं है, क्योंकि जो लोग इस मुल्क के अन्दर कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी से वाकिफ़ हैं वह इस को अच्छी तरह समझते हैं। मुझे उन लोगों में होने का दावा है जो पिछले २६ और २७ साल से कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी की जो पालिसी है, जो उस की नीति है, उस को बहुत ग़ौर से और बारीकी के साथ देखते रहे हैं। वह लोग इस बात को जानते हैं, महसूस करते हैं और समझते हैं कि इस पार्टी की पालिसी कैसी रही है। पहले पहल मुझे कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी के सम्पर्क में आने का मौका उस वक्त मिला जब कि

37

सन् १९२७ ई० में इस मुल्क के अन्दर पहली बार कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी एक आरगेनाइज्ड (organised) शक्ल में हिन्दुस्तान के ट्रेड युनियन मुबमेंट (Trade union movement)में आई । जब से मैं इस की पालिसी को देख रहा हं। हमारे दोस्त ने कहा कि किसी जमाने में वह कांग्रेस**मैन** (Congressman) थे। हम सब जानते हैं कि सन् १९२८ के पहले इस मुल्क के अन्दर आम तौर पर जो कम्युनिस्ट हैं वह कांग्रेसमैन थे क्योंकि कम्यनिस्ट इंटरनैशनल (Communist international) की यह पालिसी थी कि जो नैशनलिस्ट मूवमेंट मुस्त्लिफ़ कोलोनियल कंट्रीज (Colonial countries) में हैं उनका अंग हो कर कम्युनिस्ट चलें। लेकिन जब सिक्स्थ वर्ल्ड कांग्रेस (sixth world congress) हुई तो कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी ने अपनी पालिसी तबदील की और कहा कि जो नैशनल मव-मेंट्स मुख्तलिफ़ देशों में है उन की मुखालि-फ़त करो। तो यह पार्टी है जिस ने कि राष्ट्रीय झंडे को जलाना शुरू किया और इस पालिसी की मुखालिफ़त की। और उस के वाद हम जानते हैं कि किस तरीक़े से सन १९३४ में सातवीं वर्ल्ड कान्फरेन्स (world conference) में अपनी पालिसी को बदला। किस तरह से सन् १९३९ में अपनी पालिसी को बदलते हुये रूसी-जर्मन पैक्ट (pact) किया और किस तरह से मुत-वातिर अपनी पालिसी को बदलते रहे। तो मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि इस मुल्क के अन्दर अपनी फ़ारेन पालिसी के सिलसिले में उन्होंने जो राय दी है, जो सलाह दी है, इस सिलसिले में मैं यह कहना चाहता हं और जैसा और भी चन्द मौक़ों पर कह चुका हूं कि हमारे मुल्क की जो डोमेस्टिक पालिसी (domestic policy) है उस में ग़ल्तियां हैं, कई ऋटिसिज्म्स जो अपोजीशन 26 P.S.D.

की तरफ़ से आये हैं उन को मैं मंजूर करता हुं और मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि इस मुल्क के अन्दर कई स्टेट्स हैं, कई सूवे हैं जहां की सरकारों की पालिसी जो है वह उस पालिसी से, जो हमारे प्राइम मिनिस्टर फ़ालो करना चाहते हैं, बिल्कुल इन ट्युन (in tune) नहीं है। हमारे प्राइम मिनिस्टर का विजन (vision) कुछ और है, इमेजिनेशन (imagination) कुछ और है, लेकिन कुछ स्टेट्स (states) का तौर तरीका कुछ और है। और अगर इस मुल्क के अन्दर डिमाकेसी की जड़ों को मजबूती से जमाना है तो उस के लिये जरूरत है कि इस चीज को देखा जाय कि जो हमारे प्राइम मिनिस्टर की पालिसी है, जो मुस्तलिफ़ स्टेट्स की पालिसीज हैं उन पालिसीज के अन्दर हार-मोनी (harmony) हो, तभी वह आगे बढ़ सकती हैं। लेकिन इस सब के बावजद में कहना चाहता हूं कि जहां तक हमारी फ़ारेन पालिसी का ताल्लुक है, अगर कोई चीज इस मुल्क के अन्दर है जिस ने इस मुल्क की इज्जात को दूनियां के अन्दर ऊंचा उठाया है और आगे बढ़ाया है तो वह हमारी फ़ारेन पालिसी है। और मैं यह समझता हं कि जो यह फ़ारेन पालिसी है उस के अन्दर किसी तरीक़े की तरमीम या तब्दीली की गुंजाइश नहीं है।

में यही चन्द अल्फाज आप के सामने अर्ज करना चाहता हूं, लेकिन अपनी तक़≁ रीर को खत्म करने के पहले में एक मर्तबा फ्रिर से इस बात की तरफ़ जोर दे कर कहना चाहता हूं कि जो हमारे देश की आज की जो एकानामिक सिचुएशन है वह बहुत खराब है। सरमायेदारों की तरफ़ से इस मुल्क की जो मजदूर जमात है उस के ऊपर बहत जुल्म और सस्तियां हो रही हैं। मैं इस देश के बहुमत मज़दूर आन्दोलन का प्रतिनिधि 19 MAY 1952

[श्री हरिहर नाथ शास्त्री]

हूं जिसने ४ साल पहले तय किया था कि शान्तिमय और समझौता तथा पंचायत के तरीक़े से काम करेगी। लेकिन पिछले चार साल के तजुर्बे से मैं इस नतीजे पर पहुंचा हूं कि जब तक कैपिटलिस्ट क्लास (capi-· talist class) में पुराना नजरिया क़ायम है और जब तक वह हालत नहीं बदलती तब तक जो हमारी पुरानी पालिसी थी उस पालिसी का कायम रखना नामुमकिन होता जा रहा है और मैं समझता हूं कि वह वक्त आ रहा है जब कि गवर्नमेंट को यह तय करना पडेगा क्या रास्ते अस्त्यार किये जायें जिन से आज जो मजालिम और जो अत्याचार मजदूर जमात पर हो रहे हैं उन को सख्ती के साथ रोका जा सके वर्ना सिवा इस के कोई चारा नहीं रहेगा कि हम उस तरीक़े को, जो हम आज तक करते रहे हैं, उस को छोड कर डाइरेक्ट ऐक्शन (direct action) की पालिसी को अपनाते हुए काम करें और मजदूरों की जमात को जिन को हम रिप्रेजेन्ट (represent) करते हैं, सवालों को पूरा करने की कोशिश करें।

> (English translation of the above speech)

Shri H. N. Shastri (Kanpur District—Central): Sir, a number of speeches have been delivered since this morning and of the many speeches that I have heard so far during the last few hours I would continue the last few hours I would be the many speeches that I have heard a mention during the last 1ew nours 1 would particularly like to make a mention of the last one delivered by my hon. Lady Member who probably hails from Bengal. May I congratulate her for expressing some very good ideas in very powerful words. I wonder if she has not taken the wrong place, the most appropriate and betting the most appropriate and belitting place for her is on this side of the House. She has rightly maintained that the food and economic situation in the country is worse at the moment. The greatest thing that has been achieved by the Government and leaders of this country during the last four years is that the foundations of independence have been streng-On the other hand, some belonging to certain communalist groups and the party which is represented here by some hon. Members occupying the opposition benches, went on trying to cut at the very root of our freedom. Under such odd conditions the achievements of our hon. leader and Government deserve to be written in letters of gold in our history.

No doubt, our economic situation is unsatisfactory and whatever little has been achieved during the last four years or so is less than sufficient. The Planning Commission forward with a comprehensive plan and we can only hope that it would meet with success and that it would help us solve the complicated pro-blems facing the country. After the elections, I had an opportunity of touring many parts of this country and there is no getting away from the fact that I felt rather concerned to find the existence of such parties to find the existence of such parties as are simply causing these obstacles and difficulties to multiply. Shri Gopalan was quite right when he observed that the grievances of labour were many and that there existed at least a section of capitalists in this country that wanted to see the democracy, which we so keenly desire to develop in this country, disrupted and establish a capitalist order instead. But I feel that under the guidance of our great leader we would be able not only to face but face bravely and with success such destructive tendencies.

A friend from the opposition benches, whose name I do not know, probably he hails from some former Indian State-criticising the Government's policy with respect to the integration of States advised the Government that they should discharge properly their duties towards the people. May I humbly submit to him that he is still dreaming of pre-1946 period when the former British Government of India had maintained the princely order in the country in order to deepen its own roots. What I would like to say in this connection is that howsoever little might have been done in other fields by the Government of this country during the last five years, it is, however, certain that at least one thing that they did is very remark-able. It also helped in doing good to people and strengthening newly-won freedom. It was that they abolished the princely order and laid the foundation of a new political unity.

141

I was simply amused to hear Shri Gopalan's claim that he too loved this country. I wish it could be true. But the fact remains that the main difference between the principles of his party and our party is that while they do not love their country, we do.
May I humbly submit that his claim
is wrong. It is a sheer matter of
chance that they are born Indians,
otherwise their entire ideology from A to Z draws inspiration from Russia and that is the greatest drawbuck in their policy. They have criticized our foreign policy. It would be recollected in this connection that it is the same party which in 1947, when democracy was introduced in this country for the first time and the reins of the Government were taken over by Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, announced from house tops that it was the people's Government. They called it people's Government because probably they thought that our country would become a satellite of Russia in the manner in which certain countries of Eastern Europe had become satellites of Russia. But later when their hopes were falsified, they took to criticising our foreign policy which, according to their point of view is defective. One need not be surprised at this, for those who are well acquainted with the Communist Party quite understand all these tactics of theirs. I claim to be one of those persons who have been of those persons who have been keenly and minutely watching the policy of the Communist Party for the last 26 or 27 years. Such persons know quite well what the policy of the Communist Party has been. It was in the year 1927 when the Communist Party took part in the Trade Union's Movement in the form of an organised party, that I came in contact with it for the first time. Since then I have been watching their activities with great interest. My friend said that at a time they too were Congressmen. We all know that before the year 1928 the Communists were generally Congressmen and then it was the policy of the Communist International that the Communists should act as a part and parcel of the nationalist parties in the various colonial countries. But when the Sixth World Congress met, the Communist Party changed their policy and decided to oppose the national movements in the various countries. Then they began burning the national flag and opposed the policy of the nationalist party. We all know how further changes were made in their policy in the Seventh World Conference, how a pact was concluded between Russia and Germany and

how frequently changes have been made in their policy. Now with regard to the suggestions made by them with respect to the foreign policy of our country. I would like to say—as I have also said on pre-vious occasions—that I do admit the criticism of the opposition inasmuch as there are some drawbacks in the democratic policy of our country and I agree that in some States the policy of the State Governments is not in tune with the one our Prime Minister wants to follow. The policy of certain State Governments does not conform to the vision and imagination of our Prime Minister. If the roots of democracy are to be firmly established in this country, it is imperative that there should be a sort of harmony between the policy of the Prime Minister and those of the State Governments. Only then can things be improved. Nevertheless, I may submit that if anything has enabled our country to hold its head high in pride it is our forsign policy and pride it is our foreign policy and foreign policy alone. I do not see any scope whatsoever for amending our foreign policy.

by the President

These are the things that I want to submit, but, before I close, I would like to repeat the fact once again that the economic situation of our country is none too satisfactory, and the capitalist class is committing heinous atrocities on the labour class. I represent a labour movement, which has got the support of a majority of the labourers of this country and which had decided some four years back that for achieving their aims they would resort to peaceful and constitutional means. But the experience gained by me during the last three or four years had led me to conclude that unless the capitalists amend their old approach and conditions altogether change, it would become ever more impossible to continue with the old policy. It is high time that the Government considered as to what steps they should take in order that the atrocities now being committed on the labour are dealt with with an iron hand. If the Government fail to do so there would be no way out for us but to give up the old policy that we have been following so far and take to that of direct action in order to have the grievances of the labour class, which we represent, redressed.

Dr. Krishnaswami (Kancheepuram): I have been listening to the speeches

[Dr. Krishnaswami]

delivered on the other side. I have been wondering on what conditions and on what terms we are to congratulate the Government on the address that has been delivered by the President. The address covers very many commonplace topics. It does not refer to any questions of policy and leaves questions of policy delightfully vague.

My learned friend and a former Minister of Madras, who preceded me sometime ago, wanted the Oppo-sition to come out with facts and figures—to deal with facts as they are and not with facts as they ought to be-I take up the challenge.

I start straightaway with the ques-tion of food subsidy on which there has been so much controversy and I shall make only one observation on this matter. In order to understand the abolition of the food subsidy, we have to go back to the Finance Minister's speech delivered some two and a half months ago in which he justified the abolition of the food subsidy. I do not know whether any of those and many other complicated factors were taken into account by the Government when they abolished the food subsidy. But this much I maintain that although the food subsidy was abolished and it affected only thirty-two towns, it had a cataly-tic effect on the economy of our country. For the rise in prices and the urge for increased wages in thickly populated urban areas, affected the countryside and has led to a worsening of the economic situation. It is not a case of agitators feeling that the abolition of the food subsidy is wrong and leading hunger marches; even resposible Ministers—responsible Congress Ministers—have come over to Delhi and made representa-tions to those in authority here that they ought to restore the food subsidy, that conditions have deteriorated in many of the towns and that unless this problem is handled satisfactorily. very serious consequences would emerge. I think, that it ought to be clear to all who have given thought that the abolition of the subsidy was premature. There are many criteria that have to be taken into account and should I obtain an opportunity during the Finance Bill discussion to advert to this particular topic I shall the total and the state of the shall the state of the st try to elaborate the factors, the economic trends and consequences which ought to be taken into account. But this much will be admitted and

it ought to be admitted in fairness that the moment you abolished the food subsidy, prices shot up and once prices shot up the demand for enhancement of wages on the part of labour increased; and this at once tended to increase the inflationary spurt in the country. I can visualise the plight in which Ministers of State Governments and themselves State Governments find themselves and I understand as indeed the Government of India must have understood, the force behind the plaintive appeals made by Ministers.

I am surprised to find in the President's address, Mr. Chairman one remark which is rather of a peculiar nature and which seems to cast reflection on various States Governments. Let me quote:-

"The contraction of the food subsidy has contributed in some measure to those high prices, and has caused some distress and discontent in rationed areas... The limitation of food subsidies has induced Governments in various States to make a more realistic appraisal of their need for import of foodgrains, and this has led to a reduction of the demand from various States for food grains, with its consequent result on their import."

What is the inference that we draw from this last sentence? The in-ference that we draw is that the States Governments have in the past exaggerated their needs, that they have put forward exaggerated claims for assistance to the Union Ministry and that on every occasion, when they came here they came forward only to extract more and more concessions extract more and more concessions from the Union Government. Speaking with some knowledge of the States Governments and speaking with some knowledge of the Ministry in my state, I can tell you, Mr. Chairman, without any fear of contradiction, that invariably on every occasion the State Ministry particularly in Madras, was most anxious not to approach the Union Administration for any help, but on the other hand was eager to whittle the other hand was eager to whittle down our demands, underestimate our down our demands, underestimate our needs and claim minimum aid and assistance. In fact, I would go so far as to affirm that if Congress has suffered reverses in the State of Madras in the recent elections. it is because of the subservient attitude to the Centre adopted by the Ministers of our State. Therefore, if today the State Ministry has restricted its demand for foodgrains, it is not because they had put forward pre-

viously exaggerated claims to obtain the benefits of a subsidy but bacause the exchequer of impoverised States do not give scope for incurring large expenditure inevitable in view of the recent rise in prices. They have had to make a virtue of necessity and minimise their demand for foodgrains. What then follows? The people are left to fend for themselves in many of these areas. As a result of your having abolished the food subsidy you have left the people in the lurch, the people who looked up to you for wise leadership, enlightened statesmanship and guidance in the evolution of a welfare state. I would not be surprised if many strikes and other untoward consequences occur in our urban areas. Therefore, I was looking forward with eagerness to find out whether some constructive lead would be given in the President's address. But I must confess that I was bitterly disappointed, because beyond indulg-ing in one or two strong remarks relating to the abolition of food subsidy and suggesting that Government intended attending to alleviation of the distress, no positive measure has been conneciated. In fact, the ambiguous reference to the food problem in the President's address reminds me -I do not wish to indulge in any reflection on another profession—reminds me of the practice of some of my friends in the journalistic pro-fession who, when they find that they are in two minds content themselves with examining the pros and cons leaving it to the imagination of the reader to draw any inference.

Let me analyse the Government's policy a step further. It has been said in the very President's addressand I am going according to the facts as given in the President's address— "My Government is giving the most rareful attention to these matters. It has to balance immediate with future advantages". Now, I ask what are the implications of the last sentence, and what are its implications in terms of policy? Because, after all, when we are examining the President's address we have to analyse the policies that emerge and not the expressions of noble and lofty sentiments that may be found in profusion. To understand the significance of this sentence, you have to realise that in the previous paragraph it has been stated that in Rayalaseema and in many other areas we have had five successive years of drought. It is in "immediate with future advantages". Is this the time to enunciate such a policy? I should have thought that any Government which took its responsibilities seriously would phasise immediate advantages and not the future advantages in the present context of famine and scarcity.

Many things have been said about While acknowledging Rayalaseema. with gratitude the assistance furnished by the army, I must point out that such aid is not sufficient and that it only touches the fringe of the problem. We have, no doubt, opened a few gruel centres—a policy of doubt-ful value—in Rayalaseema, but those of us who have travelled in those areas, those of us who have had cantacts with numerous people in that region, realize it is in the grip of famine and that it ought to be treated as first priority. Therefore, I think there is a great deal of force in the observation made by us of Opposition that it ought to be declared a famine area"; because when Governments declare certain areas to be "famine areas" they are forced by the compulsive nature of their declaration to undertake emergency measures. Besides, when once you have declared an area to be a famine area, more spot-light is directed on that area.

A great deal has been said about A great deal has been said about community projects. I have not the time to go into the merits of community projects. But it seems to be agreed that community projects can be worked only with the co-operation of people. Here are famine areas where people are ready to co-operate with your projected down the co-operate. where people are ready to co-operate with you, provided you put those areas on a "priority basis" and provided you make the necessary genuine effort and have the willingness to implement schemes of reconstruction. There are many schemes already awaiting implementation in Rayala-seema which have not been put through as a result of neglect and indifference displayed by the Government of India. I would, therefore, request my friends on the other side to pay some attention to this problem and to push through some of these measures quickly so that it might be possible for Rayalaseema to get over the present crisis so that her misfor-tunes may be a thing of the past. For over 120 years that area has been subject to misfortune and successive Governments have been only tinkering with the problem. The time has arrived when we should consider whether it is not advisable to spend large and to spend with speed so that famine may be a thing of the past and so that this desert may be transformed into a garden. That is what ought to be done and that can be done provided there is sufficient

[Dr. Krishnaswami]

help given on a large-scale and provided all these areas are brought under what I would call the emergency protection clause which is what should happen particularly when we have famine areas in consideration.

There are in the President's address copious references to foreign affairs. but strangely enough perfunctory mention is made of the Government's foreign policy. I cannot deal with foreign policy at length on occasion. When we consider foreign policy we cannot afford to be captious critics: we have to take into account the far reaching implications of the suggestions we are making although the Government might have treated us, of the Opposition properly, we have nevertheless to exercise a restraint and consider problems from an angle different from that from which we consider domestic issues. I say that in foreign policy, unless and until you frequent consultations have different sections of our people, un-less and until you take us into your confidence, there is not that possibility of our understanding and appreciating your policy. If consulted we may probably prevent certain errors being committed. I say this with a full sense of responsibility. You might feel that your equipment is of the best, but in a democracy, however eminent you are, unless and until there is frequent consultation with other sections of opinion it is not possible for you to build up a sound foreign policy in any sense of the term. That what ought to be done, that is what is being done in other democracies. Because, unless and until there is that consciousness of consent or of participation, it would not be possible for you to imbue our State with that feeling that the people and the Government are one.

A reference has been made to the treatment of South Indians in Ceylon. Now, Sir, it is very deplorable that during the past two or three years we seem to be treated badly in almost every part of the world. I do not know how it is, whether it is by accident or design that we are today being harassed in different parts of the world. I want the Government to introspect: to turn the searchlight inwards and to examine the course of the said decline in our prestige. May be, perhaps, in the words of Cromwell "they are mistaken". I have a feeling that many aspects of our foreign policy require to be changed.

Let me incidentally refer to Kashmir. It is a very delicate matter and I do

not wish to say anything which might complicate the whole issue. Having said this, let me point out that some weeks ago a very distinguished man, the Chief Minister of Kashmir in the course of a speech stated that he did not feel that he had any confidence in our sense of fairness and justice and that he had confidence only in one particular individual the Prime Minister of India. We must be thankful to him for atleast having confidence in the Prime Minister of India. But such a statement portends serious consequences, consequences which we cannot afford to ignore. After all, we have been very liberal in the matter of aid and grants being given to Kashmir, and we should certainly wish to bring together all our neighbours together so that we might have an opportunity of building up a common fabric based on community of purpose and unity of vision. But I should like the Government to re-examine its foreign policy to determine what exactly we should do that such speeches are not delivered so that we may have a feeling that, after all, what has been done the people of India do not count for anything. So far as Kashmir is concerned, it has been decided, according to an authoritative statement. to accede in respect of three subjects. namely Defence. Foreign Affairs and Communications. Even if the other States had been allowed according to the old Mountbatten plan to accede in respect of these three subjects, I maintain without any fear of contradiction that Kashmir would have been more advantageously situated than other State. For, in the present cir-cumstances and taking into account the present political context, it is India that has to foot the bill for defence and communications as any one who has read a good portion of the Planning Commission's Report will realize.

I would therefore, tell my friends that in all matters where there has been friction between good neighbours. where our expectations of association have not materialised, there has necessarily resulted a misunderstanding our strength and purpose and a feeling that if our foreign policy is to be determined on the sole initiative of the Government without taking into account the various other shades opinion, then unless and until the Government has its attention trated on a particular matter, it would not be necessary to respect the Indian view point. It is from this point of view that I suggest in all humility that we should have a revision of our

foreign policy. We need also a revision of many of the other policies relating to food subsidies and inter-related matters. I hope and trust that in spite of the fact of the President's address being devoid—I say with the greatest respect—of constructive ideas, we yet may have a period of useful work and association in this Parliament and that the Government may yet introduce useful legislation in which it can obtain even from the Opposition a large measure of desirable co-operation.

Shri R. N. Reddy (Nalgonda): I was very much disappointed not to read in the Presidential address a declaration of general amnesty. Today in India in different jails in different provinces persons belonging to different parties and especially of the Communist Party are still rotting in jail. I come from the Hyderabad State and I also belong to Telangana where the people have been fighting for the last 4 or 5 years for land and liberty. Still in spite of the fact that for the last 7 months peace has prevailed in Telangana 1,000 political prisoners belonging to the Communist Party are still in the jails of Hyderabad State. There are about 250 detenus still in jail and about 250 convicts and about 500 under trials, in spite of the fact that the people have given a clear verdict against the policy of the Congress Government which has been followed in Telangana for the last four years, especially after the Police Action. There are still 500 underground comrades of the Communist party and their sympathisers who are still forced to live in jungles, in mountains and are constantly being hunted by the police camps that still exist in the villages. Much has been said about Telangana. Much slander has been spread against Telangana. For the last 3 or 4 years it is said that we of the Communist Party in Telangana were nothing but robbers and dacoits, nothing but murderers and what not. I am sure the Telangana people have proved the falsehood of this slander by returning 35 out of 40 that we have contested. To the State Assembly from Telangana area 40 seats were contested out of which 35 were returned to the State Assembly and 7 Members of the Parliament have been returned from Telangana It is said that this election has area. been fair and free. (An Hon. Member: It is said so. It is propagated

Dr. P. S. Deshmukh (Amravati East): Was that the method by which my hon. friends have been returned here?

Shri R. N. Reddy: I also say 'No' because when the nominations were

taking place, when the elections were being held in Hyderabad State about 2,000 of our comrades, the best peoples' leaders were put in jail. The Communist Party was declared illegal and this party and the Andhra Mahasabha, the peoples' organizations of Hyderabad State—the entire leadership which was conducting this struggle for the last 4 or 5 years was forced to live either in forests or in jungles. If there have been really free and fair elections, if the ban on the Communist Party and Andhra Mahasabha had been removed, if our comrades were allowed to contest the elections freely, probably the things in Hyderabad State would have been something different from what they are and Shri Ramakrishna Rao would not have been able to form the Government in Hyderabad State, because at the time of nominations, there was terror in the villages. Police camps still existed. People did not come forward even to stand as candidates on behalf of the Peoples Democratic Front. I was in jail. Even we were not released at the time of nominations The conditions were such that we could not even get candidates to come forward to contest the elections. One candidate had to be put for 4 constituencies, that is my friend, Shri P. Raghaba Rao who contested 4 constituencies and succeeded in 3. Dr. Jasorriah had to contest 2 seats and won in both the constituencies and many other persons there. So, if the elections had been held in a free and fair manner, the things in Hyderabad would have been different and as I told you we could only contest 40 seats in Telangana and were successful in 35 seats and there were 95 seats in Telangana and if we were in a position to contest all the 95 seats, then probably we would have been in a position to form the Government in Hyderabad. Even today the opposition is 82 and the Peoples' Demo-cratic Front of which the Communist Party is also a constituent contains 42 members elected to the Assembly.

Only one point, and I will finish my speech. It is said that after the Police Action, the Communist party has done a mistake in continuing the struggle. I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Members to certain facts. Before the Police Action, we fought the Nizam and the Razakar Lakhs of acres of land were hordes. distributed. In fact, we showed the way out of this agrarian problem in India in Telangana. We expected that the Congress armies would come and help the people to destroy the feudalism that existed in the shape of the Nizam and the feudal landlords in

[Shri R. N. Reddy]

151

Hyderabad. Instead of that, the Congress armies entered the Hyderabad State and began to hunt the communist party and its leadership. It was a plan to destroy the entire peoples movement that was built up after an eilort of 20 years or so. The communist party and the Andhra Mahasabha in the Hyderabad State have toiled and shed their blood even during the Nizam's period to construct the peoples' movement and they dis-tributed the land and destroyed the Nizam's rule in 2000 villages and the people had also elected their repre-The Congress armies sentatives. came and wanted to destroy the peoples' movement and also the communist party physically. This I say from the facts. Even eminent Congress leaders who visited the This I Hyderabad State after the police action declared openly that they are there to destroy the communist party physically. Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel himself in a speech in Hyderabad held at Fateh Maidan said that he would kill every communist in Hyderabad These are the facts. Under such circumstances, what can we do? No breathing space was given to us, no opportunity was given to us to rethink the entire problem. armies were set on villages to commit arson, loot and kill. Under these circumstances, the communist party had to take a decision to fight, and I feel that we did it that we did. correctly. Now to say that the com-munist party did a mistake is absolutely incorrect. I feel that the time has come now when the peoples' virdict should be respected and a general amnesty declared immediately. I beg to move:

That at 'he end of the motion the following be added:

"but regret to note that even while recognising the fact the distress has been caused by raising of the prices of the food grains consequent on the withdrawal of food subsidy no assurance has been given for reducing the prices."

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved:

"but regret to note that even while recognising the fact the distress has been caused by raising of the prices of the food grains consequent on the withdrawal of food subsidy no assurance has been given for reducing the prices."

Shri G. D. Somani (Nagaur-Pali): I just want to say a few words from the industries point of view. I am glad that there is a reference in the President's address that the sharp fall in prices has brought difficulties to trade and industry, especially to the textile industry with which I am connected. Therefore, I should like to take this opportunity to say a few words before this House about the difficulties under which this industry is suffering.

The slump or price recession in February and March disorganised the conditions in such a way that the Government had to take a series of measures by way of relaxation control over distribution and to a certain extent those measures helped the inqustry and due to some improvement from April onwards, threatened closure of certain units in certain sections of the industry has been fortunately avoided. Suggestions have been made both inside and outside the House that this enforced closure of certain units had something to do with the bolstering up of the prices of textile goods, and to increase the profits to the industry. I can say without any fear of contradiction that these suggestions will not stand a moment's scrutiny. Even if the mill-owners wanted to deliberately close down certain units, so far as the textile industry is concerned, I think it is absolutely impracticable. Unlike the jute industry, the textile industry is scattered throughout the length and breadth of the country. I am aware of the efforts that were made in the pre-war period when the industry wanted to curtail production or take some concerted move in the matter. Those efforts were not successfu!. Will anybody seriously believe these units who will be forced to close down, who will themselves be making great sacrifices by closing down, will make that sacrifice for the benefit of the other units which will be working? To a man of commonsense it will be quite clear that a few mills in Bombay and Ahmedabad will not try to make sacrifices for other workingunits, who will take the benefit of the closure. If the market is stabilised by the closing of these units, certain units that wil! close down will make the sacrifices and the units that will work will take the benefit. From the practical point of view, therefore this suggestion is untenable. The charge that any section of the industry or any responsible Association in the country is thinking of any deliberate move to bolster up prices by closure is absolutely unjustified and cannot

be substantiated by any logical argument.

5 P.M.

I am thankful to the Government for what they have done so far to relieve the situation. But, the fact remains that with a slack season ahead, there is a genuine fear of a certain surplus production against forcing the mills to curtail their production, or certain undesirable conditions again appearing in the industry. tions again appearing in the industry.

I am glad that the Government have announced the other day about the free export of coarse and medium cloth. This is good so far as it goes. But, with all respect, I submit that with a heavy 25 per cent. duty on the export of coarse and medium goods, it is heardly kiledy that this goods, it is hardly likely that this would mean any substantial relief. Even from the figures given in the Government communique itself, it is clear that while the Government had allocated 100 million yards of export of coarse and medium cloth during January to June, and there were 75 million yards carry-over from the last year, out of this permissible quota of 175 million yards, only 45 million of coarse and medium cloth could be exported in the four months from January to April. Now I respectfully submit that if the export duty had been reduced from 25 per cent. to 10 per cent. in the beginning of the year, perhaps, this export could have been doubled and while our national exchequer would not have suffered in the least by export of double the quantity that we have now exported, that would have helped our foreign exchange position and would also have eased the glut which at one time seriously threatened the industry. Even today, if the purpose of the Government is to encourage exports and to see that the free concessions that have been allowed to the industry materialise, it is necessary that this duty should be re-adjusted. After all, so far as the export markets are concerned, it is not the Indian textiles alone that are in the picture. It is an international question. the House is aware, the conditions in the international textile industry are even more difficult than what they are in India. It is true that because of the cheap Indian cotton, the industry is in a position to compete so far as the coarse and medium goods are concerned in the export market. But, that advantage is more than neutralised by the heavy export duty, and if the Indian industry is to compete in those export markets, it is highly essential that the question of export duty should be seriously examined by our Finance Minister.

Then, there is another important matter. There has been relaxation over distribution control. At present, the mills are at liberty to sell all their fine and superfine goods to any merchant of their choice. Similarly 80 per cent. of the coarse and medium goods are free. In other words, it means that about 10 per cent. of the production only is under distribution control. But, what is the position, about the control over production? Even today mills are not allowed to manufacture what they like, what they by their long experience feel would be marketable in the country and what would be required by the different tastes in the different States. There are restrictions about quality, about printing, about dyeing and about so many other things into the details of which I need not enter now. the Government nominees do not want to lift the stocks, when the Govern-ment have given the liberty to the mills to sell 90 per cent. of their production to the nominees of their choice, there is no reason why these restrictions on production should continue, and why the Government should not allow the mills, who, by their own experience have built up a trade, to manufacture the qualities which they think will be saleable in the country. This is really a very important aspect about production which should be seriously looked into by the Ministry concerned at an early date. The position is the same with re-gard to the excise duties. The export and excise duties may have been justifled in abnormal circumstances but when the Government themselves admit that there has been a sharp fall and Government may have to take measures to bring about stability in prices, it naturally follows that these duties have now no place in the present economic condition of the country. Superfine goods are not at present saleable 20 to 25 per cent. below the ex-mill price. This means that the 20 per cent. duty on superfine goods is absolutely unjustified. As has been said in the statement, if production and employment are not to be adversely affected, industry has to be given assistance in the form of adjustment of export and excise duties and in the shape of relaxation of production control, so that industry may keep up its production. This mis-apprehension must be cleared that there is any intention anywhere deliberately to curtail production. Even if some people want to do it it is not a practical proposition. Therefore I submit with all earnestness at my

12 2 ".

[Shri G. D. Soreani]

. 44

command that so far as maintaining production is concerned both labour and industry are in absolute agreement, as also Government. Therefore it is only a question of finding ways and means by which production can be maintained. Here I submit that in economic matters one has to intelligently pre-assess the forces of coming events both in the national and international fields. I can say from experience that in the ten years of control under which the industry has been functioning there have been many instances when Government action was too late and when injury had already been done. If any action or measures are to be effective, then it is necessary that they should be taken well in time on an intelligent pre-assessment of the coming factors. With the slack season ahead

and the present international situa-tion—in the textile industry, it is tion—in the textile industry, it is highly essential that Government should apply their mind to find out whether the industry is going to be faced with serious difficulties in the coming months for the disposal of goods in the circumstances in which the industry is functioning today. If they are satisfied on an impartial they are satisfied on an impartial review, they should take some further measures which will allow the industry to keep up its production, which is in the country's best interests.

With these few words I put before the Government certain constructive suggestions which I hope they will take in the spirit in which I have offered them.

The House then adjourned till a Quarter to Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, the 20th May, 1952.