Monday, March 15, 1965 Phalguna 24, 1886 (Saka)

Vol. Third Series. XXXVIII-No. 19

# LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Eleventh Sessions)



(Vol. XXXVIII contains Nos. 1—10)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price: Re 1:00

#### CONTENTS

No. 19—Monday, March 15, 1965/Phalguna 24 1886 (Saka) COL	UMNS.		
Oral Answers to Questions— *Starred Questions Nos. 425 to 427 and 430 to 435 4161	r—98		
Written Answers to Questions-			
Starred Questions Nos. 428 and 436 to 451 4199-	-4211		
Unstarred Questions Nos. 1123 to 1187 421	1—53		
Re : Point of Order	3—55		
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—			
Reported criticism of U.S. bombings of North Vietnam in the			
	5— <u>5</u> 8		
Papers laid on the Table	8—59		
Opinion on Bill	4259		
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—			
Fifty-ninth Report	4259		
Business Advisory Committee			
Thirty-fifth Report	4 <b>25</b> 9		
Appropriation (Railways) No. 2 Bill, 1965-introduced and			
passed	62		
Appropriation (Railways) Bill, 1965—Passed 4260	<b>—6</b> 1		
Motion of No-Confidence in the Council of Ministers	4262		
Shri Surendrantah Dwivedy 4266	93		
Shri M. C. Chagla 4293—	4320		
Shri P. K. Deo	<b>—29</b>		
Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad 4329	40		
Shri Indrajit Gupta	<del></del> 56		
Shri Morarka 4356	<b>—71</b>		
Shri U. M. Trivedi	86		
	95		
Shri N. Sreekantan Nair			

<sup>\*</sup>The sign+marked above the name of a Member indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

4161

#### LOK SABHA

Monday, March 15, 1965|Phalguna 24, 1886 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

International Film Festival

Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya: Shri Bade: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Indraiit Gunta:

**\*425**.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Indrajit Gupta: Shri Daji: Shrimati Akkamma Devi: Shrimati Jyotma Chanda: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether her attention has been drawn to the fact that very few tickets for the International Film Festival were available at booking counters of the Cinema Houses where the pictures were screened causing great inconvenience to the cinema-going public; and
- (b) if so, whether any enquiry is proposed to be instituted in the matter?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting (Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. There was criticism of the difficulty experienced in securing tickets for film shows of the III International Film Festival. It is felt that no useful purpose is likely to be 2438 (Ai) LSD—1.

served by instituting an enquiry into the matter.

श्री यज्ञपाल सिंह : क्या यह सच है कि एक तरफ ब्लैंक मार्केटिंग चल रही की ग्रीर दूसरी तरफ तिनेमाघरों में सीटें खाली पड़ी हुई वीं ।

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्री (श्रीचती इंदिरा गांभी): कुछ सीटें खाली थीं और वे इस कारण खाली थीं कि वे बाहर से आए हुए हमारे ध्रतिथियों के लिए रिजर्क की गई थीं और हम को ठीक से मासूम नहीं था कि कौन लोग किस को में जा सकेंगे।

श्री यशपाल सिंह : क्या मैं जान सकता हूं कि सरकारी कर्मचारियों को कितने पास दिये गए ये ग्रीर पब्लिक के लिए कितनी सीटें रह गई थीं ?

Shri C. E. Pattabhi Raman: There was a total capacity of 4,110 seats and 650 seats had been reserved for the press, film critics, Indian and foreign delegates, members of the jury, foreign missions. That left about 3,460 seats for the public.

Shri Hem Barua: May I know if the attention of Government is drawn to a press article wherein it is said that some Members of Parliament applied for free passes, as many as 21 in number, for their family members; if so, while issuing the passes whether Government took into account the possibility of a Member of Parliament having a battalion of 20 children; if not, why not?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: We are not aware of it. We only invited Members to come with their families.

<u>.</u>...

ij."

Shri Hem Barua: There was an article like that in the Illustrated Weekly of India by a member of the Advisory Board of the International Film Festival.

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : क्या यह सही है कि जो टिकट ब्लैक मार्केटिंग में बेचे गए थे, उन के दाम माठ गुना भौर दस गुना ज्यादा लिए गए थे ? क्या सरकार ने इस की एन्क्वायरी की है भौर ऐसे कोई केस पकड़े हैं ? माननीय मंत्री जी ने बताया कि जो विदेशी मेहमान भाए थे, उन के लिए सीटें रखी गई थीं । क्या यह सच है कि वे सीटें अन्तिम समय तक खाली रहीं और वे मन्तिम समय तक नहीं आ पाए ?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: The only consolation seems to be that it has not happened only in our country; it is happening elsewhere also. But, so long as the cinema trade or business is there, there can only be a limit to which we can restrict the selling of tickets.

श्रीमती देविरा गांधी: मैं इस के साथ यह जोड़ना चाहती हूं कि जैसे ही हमें यह खबर मिली कि सिनेमा के टिकटों में चोर बाजारी हो रही है, हम ने दिल्ली के प्रिष्ठकारियों को यह बात बताई, क्योंकि सिनेमा के उत्तर हमारा कोई प्रधिकार नहीं है और वे जिस को चाहें टिकट बेच सकते हैं। हम ने उन्हें से यह जरूर कहा था कि जहां तक हो सके, एक व्यक्ति को चार टिकटों से ज्यादा न बेची जायें।

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Though on the whole the arrangements were quite good, I would like to know why sometimes very wrong information was given to the people with the result that they had to come and go back again disappointed.

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: I do not know about many of these bits of information. This was the first

International Film Festival of a competitive nature.

 श्री हुकम चन्द कछुवाय: अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरे सवाल के दूसरे भाग का उत्तर नहीं मिला।

भी घोंकार लाल बेरवा: क्या सरकार को ऐसी खबर मिली है कि टिकटों की ब्लैक मार्केटिंग करने वालों को 800 टिकटें पहले ही दे दी गई थीं; यदि हां, तो क्या पुलिस ने इस के बारे में कितने भादमी पकड़े हैं ?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: I have already answered that question. There is no question of the arrest of any person. As the hon, Minister pointed out we drew the attention of the cinema-holders to it and tried to restrict it to four tickets to one person.

Shri Kapur Singh: Are Government aware that when the complimentary tickets were given to Members of Parliament all the available films were screened to see that films of a sophisticated character and of an adult category are kept away from the view of Members of Parliament; if so, what were the reasons for it?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: Only three or four films which came later on were not included; otherwise, it was not as if all the sophisticated films, as the hon. Member referred to, were kept back from Members of Parliament. But they saw most of the films except those which came a little late.

Shrimati Akkamma Devi: Is it not a fact that many of the passholders not only entered the hall with great difficulty but they had also to stand till the end of the show? May I know why Government, knowing the seating capacity of the hall, issued such a large number of passes causing inconvenience to the public?

Shri C. B. Pattabhi Raman: I am not aware of any such inconvenience. It is true that it was crowded but it cannot be said that there was such an inconvenience.

Shri Thirumala Rao: Has the attention of the Government been drawn to the fact that there has been a general dissatisfaction about how the whole thing had been managed not only here but in Madras and other places, and may I know whether Government has instituted an inquiry as to how the tickets passed into the hands of persons who could sell them in the black market?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): I have already stated that this is not Government's business. If we had cinema halls of our own, then this would be in our hands. But these were private cinema halls. Government cannot instituted any inquiry. But I would like to point out that there was some dissatisfaction. Some, of course, was avoidable we shall take care that this sort of thing does not happen again. But much of it was unavoidable.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like to know whether it is a fact that in Delhi practically 50 per cent of the tickets were given to Embassiessome people managed to get them Embassiesthe name of and, if so, whether they passed into the hands of persons who could sell them in the black market.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: We did give tickets to Cultural Attaches and Press Attaches and, of course, the Ambassadors themselves.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: May I know whether the Government is aware that some passes were issued to Members of Parliament and when they went there, they had to keep standing for a long time as seats were not available-they were Shri Surendranath Dwivedy, Shri Jagjivan Ram and others-and with great difficulty they could be pushed through in the hall?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I must apologise for any inconvenience caused to hon. Members. But one of the reasons is that we do not always get the replies.

Mr. Speaker: That is our headache.

#### चलवारी कागज की कमी

भी हकम चन्द कछ्वाय : भी भोंकार लाल बेरवा: भी यशपाल सिंह: श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री: श्री प०ह०भीलः भी उ०मू० त्रिवेदीः भी रामचन्द्र उलाकाः भी भूलेश्वर मीनाः भी महेश्वर नायकः श्री श्यामलाल सर्राफ: भी सोलंकी: भी शिंकरे: भी विभाग प्रसादः भी युद्धवीर सिंहः भी हु च सोय श्री स० चं० सामन्त : भी सुबोध हंसदा: श्री दी० चं० शर्माः भी बुजराज सिंह: भी यु० व० सिंह: डा० मा० भी० झणे: थी गोकरन प्रसाद: डा० चन्द्रभाग सिंह : भी गोरी शंकर कक्कड़ : भी बडे: श्री नारायण दांडेकर: भीमती मैमूना सुस्तान : भी रामेश्वर टांटिया: श्री विभूति मिश्राः

\*426.

क्या सूचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि

भी क० ना० तिवारी:

(क) ग्रखबारी कागज की वःमी को दूर करने में क्या प्रगति हुई है :

भी जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती : भी हरि विष्णुकामतः भीमती जोहराबेन चावडा : डा० लक्ष्मीमल्ल सिंघवी :

4168

(ख) क्या पत्रकार एसोसियेशनों से श्रखवारी कागज का श्रधिक मात्रा में श्रायात करने के लिये श्रधिक विदेशी मुद्रा उपलब्ध करने के बारे में कोई श्रभ्यावेदन प्राप्त हुए हैं, श्रीर

(ग) यदि हां, तो इस मामले में क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ?

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्री (श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी): (क) से (ग). इस समय अखवारी कागज प्रधिकतर बाहर से प्राता है। इस कमी की वर्तमान कठिन स्थिति का सुधार तब तक नहीं हो सकता जब तक देश में इतना प्रधिक कागज ना बनने नगे जिस से प्रख्वारों की प्रावश्यकताएं पूरी हो सकें।

सरकार को समाचार पत्न संगठनों से भ्रखबारी कागज का भ्रामात बढ़ाने के बारे में भ्रभ्यावेदन मिले हैं। खाद्य भीर रक्षा सामग्री के भायात की भावश्यकता तना श्रन्य उद्योगों की सामग्री के श्रायात में भारी कमी करने के बावजूद, ग्रखबारी कागज का भायात स्थिर रखा गया है। विदेशी मुद्रा की वर्तमान कठिनाई में सरकार के लिए श्रखबारी कागज का म्रायात बढ़ाने के लिए म्रतिरिक्त विदेशी मुद्रा की व्यवस्था करना संभव नहीं। फिर भी, इसके बावजूद भी कि सरकार को भगले साल भखबारी कागज का दाम बढ़ने के कारण श्रतिरिक्त विदेशी मुद्रा की व्यवस्था करनी पडेगी, 1965-66 में भवनारी कागज के प्रायात में पिछले दो वर्षों के मुकाबले 2 प्रतिशत की प्रल्प विद्ध की जाएगी।

देशी उत्पादन इस समय 30,000 मीटरी टन है जिसमें से ग्रखनारों के लिए केवल 25,000 मी० टन ही उपलब्ध है। देश उत्पादन बढ़ाने के लिए कदम उठाए जा रहे हैं । जब तक यह नहीं बढ़ेगा वर्तमान कठिन स्थिति बनी रहने की संभावना है ।

श्री हुकम चन्द कछ्वाय : हमारे यहां प्रख्वारी कागज की जो कमी दिखाई देती है, उस को दूर करने के लिए सरकार ने कौन कौन से कदम उठाए हैं और वह इस कमी को कब तक पूरा कर पायेगी? क्या सरकार ने इस बात की खोज की है कि कुछ समाचार पत्नों को जो क्वोटा मिलता है, वह ब्लैक मार्केटिंग में बेचा जाता है?

श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी: जो देशी कागज हैं इसके लिए दो स्कीम्ज प्राइवेट सैक्टर में एप्रूव हो गई हैं जिन में 65,000 सालाना उत्पादन होगा और इसके अलावा एक यूनिट पब्लिक सैक्टर में बनने बाला है। इसकी अभी रिपोर्ट नहीं आई है और अप्रैल-मई में उसके आने की संभावना है।

दूसरी बात उन्होंने बलैकमार्किट का पूछी है। जब भार ऐसी कोई खबर हमारे पास भाती है तो हम स्टेट गवनंमेट्स को फौरन लिख कर उसकी जांच करने की कोशिश करते हैं।

श्री हुकम बन्द कछवाय : बहुत से साप्ताहिक समाचार-पत्र ऐसे हैं जो बहुत ही ग्रहलोल बातें छापते हैं। क्या सरकार उन पर प्रतिबन्ध लगाने जा रहा है ! बे जितना न्यूजप्रिंट चाहते हैं बलैक मार्किट से प्राप्त कर सकते हैं, क्या सरकार को इस बात की भी सूचना भिता है !

श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी: इन श्रखवारों के बारे में सूचना मिली है श्रीर मैंने खुद देखें भं हैं। हम उसके ऊपर विचार कर रहे हैं कि मोजूदा कानून के नीचे इसके बारे में क्या हो सकता है श्रीर श्रगर नहीं हो सकता है तो नए कानून की श्रावद्यवता है या नहीं है। इन सब बातों पर विचार हो रहा है।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Considering that independent and widely circulating newspapers, in their role as an effective liaison between Parliament and people, are the sine qua non of a virile parliamentary democracy, do Government propose to institute through a parliamentary committee or commission an inquiry into the current newsprint shortage verging on a crisis, and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: The Press Council Bill is there on which the Joint Committee has already reported. The Press Council will be advising us. In addition, there is a newprint advisory committee, composed of editors and newspaper interests, and they will be meeting actually this afternoon.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: My question was specific. I have put this question because of the seriousness of the situation which the hon. Minister herself had admitted in the main answer. Do Government consider it adequate if their own press advisory council inquires into the adequacy or otherwise of newsprint, or does the crisis call for an inquiry by a parliamentary committee or commission into this matter?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: I do not think that a parliamentary committee is necessary for this purpose, if I may say so with respect.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why not?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: We are all the time keeping our fingers on the pulse. Whereas in the case of every other sector, the import has been reduced, in this case it has not only not been reduced, but we have actually been able to get something more.

Shri Ansar Harvani: Are Government aware that about three or four years ago, a big newspaper of Calcutta was hauled up for blackmarketing in newsprint, and in spite of the request of the Government of India, is it a fact that the Government of West Bengal have not taken any action so far? What further steps are the Government of India taking in this regard?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Momber refers to what happened about three or four years ago. We are now concerned with the present shortage.

श्री बड़े: क्या यह सच है कि नेपा क न्यजप्रिट का जो वितरण किया जाता है, उसके पीछे कोई भी काइटीरियन नहीं है भीर जो है वह सक्य्लिशन जितना होता है उस पर नहीं दिया जाता है ? क्या सरकार उसको रिवाइज करने वाली है ?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: It cannot be pretended that the NEPA paper is excellent, but there is doubt that it is serving its purpose; out of 30,000 tonnes, we are getting nearly 25,000 tonnes from that factory.

श्री शिव नारायण : एक ग्रखबार जो दिल्ली से निकलता है . . .

भ्रष्यक्ष महोदय : एक एक भ्रखवार को कैसे लिया जा सकता है। इसकी मैं इजाजत नहीं देसकता हं।

भी शिव नारायण : सरकार की नाक के तले, यहीं से वह निकलता है। वह भान्जर्वर है। मैं जानना चाहता हुं कि क्या उसके खिलाफ कोई एक्शन सरकार लेने जारही है।

श्री हकम चन्द कल्लवाय : ग्राग्जर्वर बहुत गम्दा पेपर है।

ग्राप्यक्ष महोदय: एक एक ग्राह्मवार के बारे में पूछने की मैं इजाजत नहीं दे सकता हुं।

श्री यद्यपाल सिंह : क्या सरकार के व्यान में यह बात बाई है कि लेंगुएज पेपर्ज के लिए

4171

ग्रखवारी कागज की कमी इस वजह से चल रही है कि बड़े बड़े ग्रंग्रेजी के जो ग्रखबार हैं वं विज्ञापनों का कलेवर बढा देते हैं। श्रगर उनके एडवर्टिजमेंटस के स्पेस को कम कर दिया जाए तो लैंगएज पेपर्ज के लिए अखबारी कागज की कमी को दूर किया जा सकता है, क्या यह सही है ?

Mr. Speaker: It is a suggestion for action.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: May I know whether it has been brought to the notice of Government that most of the mushroom papers which through political pressure receive a certain amount of quota enter into the blackmarket and sell away the quota allotted to them, and if so, what steps have been taken by Government to stop this practice?

Mr. Speaker: He has answered that just now.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I have heard it.

श्री क० ना० तिबारी : ग्रभी माननीय मंत्री जी ने जवाब दिया है कि न्युजिप्रट की कमी है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि कितने न्युजप्रिट की कमी है ग्रीर ग्रभी प्राइवेट सैक्टर भ्रौर पब्लिक सैक्टर में जो कागज तैयार होने वाला है वह कब तक तैयार होने लग जाएगा भीर क्या बजह है कि उस काम में जल्दी नहीं हो रही है ?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: It is no doubt true that there is a shortage. But not only is the circulation increasing.....

Mr. Speaker: Is it likely that this shortage would be made up?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: In the Fourth Plan, as the hon. has said, we expect NEPA expansion to go upto 45.000 tons, the Gopal Mills, Punjab, will produce about 60,000 tons and the Birla Mills in Gwalior will produce about 30,000 tons. Even then, there is likely to be some shor-

श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती : क्या भारत सरकार ने नेपाल सरकार से कुछ ब तचीत की है कि नेपा मिल्ज के कागज की बढोतरी करने के लिए नेपाल के जंगलों से लकड़ी प्राप्त की जाए ताकि उसकी लगदी बना कर कागज बनाया जा सके ?

श्रीम्ती इंदिरा गांची: नेपाल से तो कोई इसका सम्बन्ध नहीं है । नेपा मिल्ज तो मध्य प्रदेश में है . . .

श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती : नेपा मिल्ज के लिए नेपाल सरकार से लकडी प्राप्त करने के लिए।

श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी : कामसं मिनिस्ट्री का ध्यान हम इस तरफ दिला सकते हैं।

भी गुलशन: प्रादेशिक ग्रखबारों के लिए कागज का बहुत तोड़ा है, क्या यह सही नहीं है ? पंजाब में जो प्रादेशिक ग्रखबार है. उन से यह साफ पता चलता है। उनकी कागज की कमी को दूर करने के लिए सरकार ने क्या उपाय सोचा है ?

भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय: सारी कमी जो है वह पूरी होगी तो प्रादेशिक श्रखबारों को जो कमी अनुभव हो रही है, वह भी पूरी हो जाएगी ।

Shri Daji: Is it a fact that the total requirement of foreign exhcange to meet the demand is only Rs. 4 crores annually? If so, in the interest of the newspaper industry and independent newspapers being very important for democracy, can we not spare this amount for it?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: I have already indicated the list of priorities, in which newspapers are pretty high. But we will have to make an adjustment, taking all the requirements of the country into consideration.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: In pursuance of the policy of Government to develop regional languages, do Government propose to revise this policy of giving more quota to the English papers and see that that is diverted to the language papers?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: Actually, it is not so. It is not as if only English papers are given additional quota. There is a committee sitting for small newspapers under the chairmanship of Shri Diwakar. They are making recommendations on this very point.

Shrimati Maimoona Sultan: In view of the fact that our newspapers have to buy 30 per cent of their requirements from NEPA Mills and the price they have to pay for that is 50 per cent higher than the imported newsprint, and taking into consideration the fact that this is proving a burden on the small newspapers, what steps are Government taking to see that the price of NEPA newsprint comes down?

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: It is no doubt true that NEPA newsprint about costs 40 per cent more than the ruling world price. But we have to keep in view the interest of the indigenous industry also. We are hoping that in course of time the price will come down.

Shri Shinkre: One of the reasons for the shortage of newsprint is the fact that most of the so-called big national newspapers use more 70 per cent of space for advertisingto that extent they are advertising bulletins-and only 30 per cent for giving news and views. May I know from the Minister whether Government contemplate any steps to impose a ceiling on these newspapers for advertising space each newspaper can devote, with a specific provision that if they exceed that ceiling, no newsprint will be allotted to it?

Shri C. B. Pattabhi Raman: It is no doubt true that, by and large, 60 per cent of the space is used for advertisement and 40 per cent for news and views, but not in all papers. We are

keeping that aspect in mind. The Registrar of Newspapers looks into that matter also

#### Manufacture of Tanks at Avadi

Shri S. M. Banerjee:
| Shri Yashpal Singh:
| Shri M. R. Krishna:
\*427. Shri Himatsingka:
| Shri Rameshwar Tantia:
| Shri Murli Manohar:
| Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the up-to-date progress made regarding the manufacture of Tanks in Avadi (Madras); and
- (b) whether this project is likely to go into production during the current year?

The Minister of Defence Production in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) About 80% of the plant and equipment has been received at site and installed.

(b) Yes, Sir. The first tank is expected to be produced before the end of 1965.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: What will be the capacity of this project and what will be its employment potential?

Shri A. M. Thomas: When in full production, the capacity would be 100 tanks annually. As regards employment potential, on a single-shift basis when in full production, 3,000 persons would be employed.

Mr. Speaker: Hundred tanks during what period?

Shri A. M. Thomas: Annual production.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Apart from this factory at Avadi, may I know whether some of the parts of tanks will be manufactured in other ordnance factories and assembled at Avadi, or will everything be manufactured at Avadi?

4176

Shri A. M. Thomas: We have established the production of guns in one of the ordnance factories, and that will produce according to schedule as the tanks are available. With regard to the other things, the engines and self-changing gears will be manufactured at Avadi itself, but with regard to the electronic equipment, it will be manufactured in BEL, Bangalore.

श्री यक्षपाल सिंह : क्या सरकार यह बतला सकती है कि बाहर से मंगाये हुए टैन्कस की कीमत कम होगी या जो हमारे यहां तैयार हो रहे हैं उन की कीमत कम होगी ।

Shri A. M. Thomas: It cannot be said like that. Even with regard to the production, at the first stage many of the components would have to be imported. Our idea is to produce from tank No. 41. Forty tanks will be imported from the collaborators, Messrs. Vickers Armstrong, and from No. 41 we would be producing at Avadi. In the first stage, from No. 41 28 per cent would be manufactured in India, and the rest would be imported. Gradually, the indigenous component would be increased.

Shri M. R. Krishna: The Jigs and buildings etc. were completed in 1963. Why was there this delay in manufacturing tanks when the buildings, training and other things were completed in 1963?

Shri A. M. Thomas: The project is proceeding according to schedule. Even according to the original schedule, the first tank should have been produced only by the end of this year, 1965. We still think that it would be possible. Perhaps, the date may be advanced a bit.

Shri Himatsingka: When is the balance 20 per cent of the equipment expected to arrive?

Shri A. M. Thomas: They are in the course of being received.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: May I know whether the special type of armour

plate required for tanks can be manufactured in this country, or whether we will be depending entirely on foreign supplies?

Shri A. M. Thomas: We are trying to install indigenous capacity for the manufacture of this special type of armour plates, but we have not been able to do so. We think we will be able, but perhaps in the first stage we may have to import some armour plates.

श्री घर्ण सिंग् सहगल: हमें जितने टैंक्स की जरूरत है क्या जो ग्रावडी की प्रोजेक्ट है उस से उस की पूर्ति हो जायगी। यदि नहीं हो सकेगी तो इस के लिए सरकार कौन सी व्यवस्था करने जा रही है?

Shri A. M. Thomas: We have got both an import programme and a programme for indigenous manufacture. As I have already indicated, the first 40 tanks would be imported from the collaborators. We have made some arrangements for import of some light tanks from the Soviet Union after the visit of the defence team there. So, we are keeping our requirements in view.

Dr. Melkote: Is it a fact that some of the ordnance factories may, be shifted from Madras to other places, and if so, may I know if the workers in these factories who desire to opt for the tank factory would be taken?

Shri A. M. Thomas: No ordnance factory is being shifted from Madras to any other area. In Avadi there is a clothing factory. It is not proposed to shift that factory at all. In certain ordnance factories we may have to dispense with casual labour, and we attempt to accommodate them in other factories.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: What is the expected production of tanks during 1966?

Shri A. M. Thomas: We cannot now definitely say. I have said that

from tank No. 41 it would be produced here.

Shri Kapur Singh: Is any evidence available with the Government to substantive the impression that the Chinese are manufacturing tanks fitted with nuclear artillery, and if so, are the tanks which we are going to construct capable of meeting such a situation?

Shri A. M. Thomas: We have no information that the tanks manufactured there are fitted with nuclear artillery.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Always ill-informed.

#### Manufacture of Jets with U.A.R. Collaboration

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri D. N. Tiwary: Shri R. S. Pandey: Maharajkumar Vijaya Ananda:

\*430. Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Indrajit Gupta: Shri Daji: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri P. K. Deo: Shri Narasimha Reddy: Shri R. Barua: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri L. N. Bhanja Deo:

Will the Minister of Defence pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that some teams of experts from the Arab Republic visited this country in December, 1964 with a view to examining the feasibility of linking India's H.F. 24 project with supersonic aircraft engine now being developed in their own country; and
- (b) if so, the result of the talks held between the U.A.R. Teams and the Government of India in regard?

The Minister of Defence Production in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) Yes, Sir. A team consisting of engineer officers from UAR were in India for a fortnight during December-January last to study the characteristics and performance of H.F.-24 aircraft.

(b) The studies have not yet been completed. Discussions with UAR authorities will be taken up after the technical studies have been completed

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: Once the terms of collaboration had been finalised between India and the UAR, may I know if these engines would manufactured in India or in UAR?

Shri A. M. Thomas: All these things have still to be finalised. Technical studies are going on.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: Apart from this proposal of collaboration with the UAR, have any efforts been made to procure engines from some other country or countries?

Shri A. M. Thomas: Only after the disposal of this proposal with the UAR we can consider other things. Now, the UAR proposal is under consideration.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Has Government worked out the total financial investment that is likely to be involved in the joint venture?

Shri A. M. Thomas: That is still to be worked out.

भी द्वा० ना० तिवारी : न्या मैं जान सकता हं कि जो टीम विजिट कर के गई है उस ने स्थान का चुनाव भी कर लिया है जहां पर यह फैक्ट्री लगेगी या केवल टेकनिकल कोभापरेशन की बात उस से हुई है।

Shri A. M. Thomas: There is no question of selection of any site. We are already having the HF 24 project. in Bangalore.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know when this survey is going to be

finalised and whether all the other aspects of this project had been discussed and finalised?

Shri A. M. Thomas: The UAR fact finding delegation came to India in the first half of last January and they asked some questions and we have answered them. We have sent the report. It is for the UAR Government to proceed further in the matter.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: Is it not a fact that this collaboration attempt with the UAR has been necessiated by the fact that the original scheme which was to equip HF 24 with Orpheus B II English supersonic engine broke down and may I know what were the reasons for the breakdown of these negotiations with the British manufacturers?

Shri A. M. Thomas: There have been two other proposals, one from the Rolls Royce and the other, the Siddelays. The proposal under consideration is only the UAR proposal...

Shri Indrajit Gupta: My question is not answered, he is avoiding a reply to my question. Originally this House was told that the HF 24 has to be powered with supersonic engine, Orpheus B 12 turbo jet. Why have those negotiations broken down?

Shri A. M. Thomas: The Rolls Royce was considered, we thought that the Rolls Royce would not be suitable to our HF 24 air frame. There was also a proposal to fit RD 9 Engine of the Soviet Union and that was also found not suitable to Mach II speed, and so that was also dropped.

Shri R. S. Pandey: Apart from UAR collaboration what are the other countries with whom we are negotiating for supersonic engines?

Shri A. M. Thomas: We are not negotiating with any other countries.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: Is it not a fact that the air frame was designed and constructed almost seven years ago and in all these seven years, Government has not been able

to determine what engine should be fitted in it?

Shri A. M. Thomas: With regard to the manufacture of HF 24, Mach I, it is proceeding; that would be a suitable ground aircraft fighter. With regard to Mach II speed, we have to develop the engine.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: What is the answer?

Mr. Speaker: The Minister is so near her that she can know it afterwards.

भी भोंकार लाल बेरबा: मैं यह जानना चाहूंगा कि यह जो बातचीत हुई है, क्या इसमें कोई शर्त रखी गई है ?

Shri A. M. Thomas: There is no question of any condition. We have entered into an agreement with Egypt which has among other things proved that we would be interested in their engines and that they would be interested in our airframe so that it would be more or less a reciprocal arrangement. We have to go further in pursuance of that agreement.

#### Prevention of Dissemination of Nuclear Weapons

Shrimati Renu
Chakravartty:
Shri J. B. Singh:
Shri P. R. Chakraverti:
Shri K. N. Tiwary:
\*431.
Shri Hukam Chand
Kachhavaiya:
Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:
Shri Himatsingka:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether India has taken any initiative in the United Nations or outside to prevent the dissemination of nuclear weapons;
  - (b) if so, the nature thereof; and

(c) the reaction of other Governments thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. At the Eighteen-Nation Disarmament Conference in Geneva India had proposed that the nuclear powers should enter into negotiations for the conclusion of an agreement on the non-dissemination of nuclear pons. At India's request an item on non-proliferation of nuclear weapons was inscribed on the agenda of the 19th Session of the United Nations General Assembly. This item did not come up for consideration as the General Assembly did not conduct any normal business before it adjourned.

(c) These suggestions have generally welcomed though no concrete progress has been made in the recent past in reaching agreement on non-dissemination of weapons.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: view of the fact that already knowledge of nuclear weapons has been disseminated to other nations, may I know whether the Government proposes to take up seriously the question of furthering the test-ban treaty achieved at Moscow complete ban on the manufacture and stockpiling of nuclear weapons?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: matter is also under consideration.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: It is not a question of consideration only. As the matter has become serious now, I would like to know whether we are proposing to take any steps to discuss this matter outside the lobbies of the United Nations-in the Afro-Asian Conference-as well as in the United Nations itself.

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: The Govis constantly through diplomatic channels and by means of informal discussions, to further this idea of banning the

testing of nuclear weapons as well as their proliferation.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: With a view to preventing the dissemination of these nuclear weapons, what progress has been achieved in creating a nuclear-free zone as has been suggested for the last five years from different quarters of the world?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: Could the hon. Member repeat the last portion of his question?

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: What progress has been achieved in the creation of a nuclear-free zone as a preludge to the prevention of its dissemination?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: Nothing much has been achieved with regard to the establishment of a nuclear-free zone.

श्री क० ना० तिवारी : चाइना युनाइटेड नेशनन्स का सदस्य नहीं है, तो प्रगर कोई निणंय यनाइटेड नेशन्स म्रारगेनाइजशन लेगा तो उसका चीन पर क्या ग्रसर पडेगा: ग्रीर किस तरह से प्रण शस्त्र विहीन जोन बन सकेगा ?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: Since China is not a member of the United Nations, it is not bound by and decision that the United Nations may take.

Shri K. N. Tiwary: My question. has not been answered.

Mr. Speaker: He is right in putting that question; the answer is contained in what the hon. Minister has replied.

श्री हक्स चन्द कल्लवाय: मैं जानना चाहता हं कि भण हिंचयारों की रोकने के लिए हमने जो बातचीत की है उस में किन कित देशों ने हमारा समर्थन किया है, भौर क्या इन समर्थनों के कारण चीन के भ्रणबम बनाने में कुछ ढिलाई होने की सम्भावना है, क्या इस कारण से वह उसे खत्म कर देगा?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: There is general agreemnt that something effective should be done to put a stop to both testing and proliferation of nuclear weapons because it becomes more and more difficult if more people possess these nuclear weapons. Therefore, as pointed out in the original answer, both at the Disammament Conference and the United Nations and ouside, in every forum that we were able to put forward this idea, it has been put forward; there is general agreement that these should be done. But unless a resolution is drafted, we would not know exactly the kind of support that we can get from other members.

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि किन-किन देशों ने इसमें हमारा समर्थन किया है ?

श्रम्यक्ष महोदय : बाकी सब देश इसका समर्थन करते हैं, पर चीन पर इसका कोई ग्रसर नहीं पडता ?

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Sir, it was a specified question to which the hon. Minister has not given a reply.

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: I have said, unless we put a resolution before the United Nations we would not know which country is specifically willing to support that particular idea. At the moment, as I have pointed out, from the discussion we find that there is general approval of our idea with regard to this.

श्री झॉकार लाल बेरवा: मैं यह जानना बाहूंगा कि जो अणुशक्ति विजलीघर करोड़ों रुपया खर्च करके बनाए जा रहे हैं, उनमें ऐसे कौन से शस्त्र बनाए जायेंगे जो अणु बम का मुकाबला कर सकें?

बैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री (श्री स्वर्ग सिंह) : इस सवाल का इससे कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं है।

Shri Hem Barua: Since China is not a member of the U.N.O. and it

is difficult to stop her, as admitted by the hon. Minister, and, possibly, it would be difficult to stop some other powers also within the orbit of Chinese influence, may I know how Government propose to have a U.N. decision, if any, implemented with these countries jointly disobeying the decision of the U.N.O., and whether this attempt on the part of the Government to go to the U.N.O. is only an escapist attempt?

The Minister of External (Shri Swaran Singh): There appears to be a presumption in the mind of the hon. Member that those countries who are members of the United Nations, the principal nuclear powers themselves, have entered into agreement of the type which is basis of the main question, namely or benning preventing proliferation the development or testing of unclear devices. Far from that. Only there is the Moscow partial test ban treaty which does not even cover the underground tests and secondly, there is no agreement yet that the main nudesist from powers will developing or manufacturing nuclear weapons. That is the basic thing which is being pursued in the disarmament conference. So far as China is concerned, China is not member of the United Nations. China has not even signed the Moscow test ban treaty-China and also France. Both of them are going ahead with their development programmes.

Shri Hem Barua: Sir, my question has not been answered. I wanted to know specifically, that since some powers are not signatories of the test ban treaty and some powers are not going to abide by the decision of the U.N., why is it that India is pinning so much of faith on the U.N. decision when it is not going to be implemented by some powers?

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, that is the only hope if the world is to survive. Therefore, efforts for disarmament have to continue. Difficulties are there on the side of China and also on the side of other powers and other main nuclear powers. But the question of disarmament is something to which we should continue, and the world should continue, to pay attention notwithstanding the types of difficulties that are mentioned by the hon. Member.

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi: In view of the fact that mutual suspicion and fear continue to prevail even among the member countries of the U.N.O., may I know whether Government seriously hope that the test ban treaty can be implemented and whether any concrete steps can be taken in this direction?

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir. the test ban treaty, to the extent to which it went, has been honoured. So, that is some hope that international agreements, if they are entered upon, will be honoured. It is true that further efforts to enlarge the scope of the test ban treaty to cover under-ground tests and also to make a further move towards the banning of manufacture or even to create an atmosphere where the main nuclear powers might destroy their weapons have not made much progress. If there were no suspicion and fear of the type just mentioned by the hon. Member, probably the question would be a simple one. It is complicated because of the lack of confidence and the existence of mutual suspicion.

#### Dalai Lama

\*432. Shri Hari Vishnu
Kamath:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:
Shri Himatsingka:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Dalai Lama has asked for permission to visit some foreign countries;
- (b) if so, the names of those countries; and

(c) whether his request has been acceded to?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon): (a) Intention of the Dalai Lama to go abroad was conveyed to the Ministry of External Affairs in March last year.

- (b) The Dalai Lama intended visiting some countries in Asia like Ceylon, Burma, Cambodia, Japan, Thailand, Malaysia and the Phillipines.
- (c) It was indicated that the Government of India would have no objection.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is it not a fact that the Dailai Lama, either personally or through his accredited representatives, expressed a desire to visit certain European and American countries, besides some Asian countries mentioned here, and, if so, is it a fact that the Government refused permission to him to visit European and American countries and, if so what is the reason therefor?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: The Dalai Lama did not express any desire to visit European or American countries. As far as we know, the countries that are mentioned in the answer are the ones that he had suggested.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Considering that one of the main charges contained in the Home Minister's White Paper against Left Communists in this country is their perverse, anti-national attitude towards the liberation of Tibet, does the Government propose to revise its own policy towards the liberation of Tibet by extending active sympathy and support to the Dalai Lama and the Tibetan nationalists?

Shrimati Lakshmi Monon: That does not arise out of this question. This deals with the travel plans of the Dalai Lama.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We could not hear the answer. Let her speak a little louder.

Mr. Speaker: This question relates to the Dalai Lama's travels whereas he is referring to support and sympathy for Tibetans.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: May I submit that the Dalai Lama's travels are not just a joy ride? It is to enlist world sympathy for his cause.

Mr. Speaker: I uphold the objection of the Minister that this is not relevant.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, you uphold that objection?

Mr. Speaker: Yes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That is rather unfortunate.

Shri Shinkre: Sir, is it permissible for a member to cast aspersions by using words like "it is unfortunate" when the Speaker says that he has upheld the objection?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I bow to the ruling. But I say it is unfortunate,—unfortunate for me.

Mr. Speaker: Whenever I feel so intensely, I will certainly pull up the member.

Shri Bade: It is reported in the press that the Dalai Lama expressed a desire to go to the Western countries. Now the Minister says that he has not asked for any such permission. In that case, if the Dalai Lama asks in the future for permission to visit the European or American countries, will Government give him permission?

Mr. Speaker: It is a hypothetical question which need not be answered.

Shri R. S. Pandey: May I know whether passport and permission are given to important people to go abroad

Mr. Speaker: "Important people" are not relevant here. This question relates to the Dalai Lama.

Shri R. S. Pandey: I want to know precisely whether any condition is imposed before permission and passport are given to people to go abroad in the context of the statements that are being issued every day by Sheik Abdullah. J want to know.

Mr. Speaker: He is not asking about the Dalai Lama. Next question.

#### Contribution to U.N. Funds

Shri R. G. Dubey:

Dr. L. M. Singhvi:
Shrimati Savitri Nigam:
Shri P. B. Chakraverti:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri K. N. Tiwary:
\*433. Shri D. C. Sharma:
Shri Sidheshwar Prasad;
Shri Bibhuti Mishra:
Shri Dinen Bhattacharya:

Dr. Ranen Sen: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have taken any specific stand in respect of the arrears of contributions of member nations to the United Nations, and the applicability of Article 99 of the United Nations Charter in cases of non-payment of such arrears;
  - (b) if so, the nature thereof; and
- (c) whether the Government of India are engaged in any effort to bridge the gulf between the two conflicting points of view in the United Nations on this subject, and if so, the result thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon): (a) and (b). While we have supported the principle of collective responsibility for U.N. peace-keeping operations and recommended this principle for acceptance by all Member States, we do not believe that any State can be compelled against its wishes to contribute funds or troops to such operations.

As to the applicability of Article 19 (and not Article 99) in case of nonpayment towards U.N. peace-keeping operations, we have repeatedly urged that the problem of arrears was of a political, rather than financial, nature and that a solution must be found by negotiations. Any attempt to apply pressures or invoke penalties would complicate the problem and create further difficulties in finding a solution.

(c) Along with other Member States, particularly the Asian and African States, India has endeavoured to help achieve a settlement. endeavours have not been in In the first place, Article 19 was not invoked in the General Assembly: secondly, the Assembly decided adjourn its Session until September 1, 1965, pending a comprehensive review of the question of U.N. peace-keeping operations, in all their aspects, by a Special Committee which has been set up for the purpose. As member of this Special Committee, India will continue its efforts to help find a reasonable and constructive solution.

Shri R. G. Dubey: In view of the fact that the United Nations is practically facing a near crisis condition, may I know whether the Government of India have undertaken any fresh and specific effort to solve this prob-

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: original answer I have already mentioned the specific efforts undertaken by the Government of India to solve this problem.

Shri R. G. Dubey: May I know whether the member-nations which are in arrears have common reasons or are there separate reasons for withholding payment; in the latter case what are the reasons of France, Russia etc.?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: The reason why some of these big countries are not agreeing to pay the cost of these peace-keeping operations is that they feel that the Security Council has been by-passed and the General Assembly has taken a decision which they are not bound.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: of the fact that many decisions of an important nature are not taken in a formal meeting or place, what informal persuasive efforts are being made by our Government to convince the nations who have not paid arrears to agree to make the payment?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: already mentioned that a committee has been appointed consisting of 33 countries which will go into the whole question of expenditure involved in peace-keeping operations.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: May know whether any attempts have been made to go into the causes accounting for these accumulated arrears of subscription and whether it is due to the dissatisfaction of the working and also of the present ill-balanced composition of the UNO?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: As I pointed out, it is really a political question because some of the countries who have not paid feel that the peaci-keeping operations are really a part of the regular duty of the United Nations and that the decision should have been taken by the Security Council which really, cording to the Charter is in charge of the peace-keeping operations. the decision was taken under the uniting-for-peace resolution they think they are justified.

श्री कः नाः तिवारी : ग्रफीका ग्रीर एशिया के कितने देश हैं जिनको कि स्रौबर्जंब-शन है भौर इसके बारे में क्या हमारे प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने कोई इन्नीशिएटिव भी लिया है ?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: are 16 countries which have not paid or which have been in arrears up to the extent of two years' contribution. Out of these, three have already paid and the other countries have not.

श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद: जैसा कि घभी बनाया गया चन्दा न देने का कारण ग्राधिक नहीं राजनैतिक है लेकिन चन्दा न देने की वजह से भी संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ का कामकाज बन्द होने की सम्भावना हो सकती है तो ऐसी स्थिति में इस राजनैतिक कारण को दूर करने के लिए भारत सरकार ने क्या प्रयत्न किया \$ ?

Oral Answers

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: It is not the regular contributions that they have not paid; it is the special contributions needed for the peace-keeping operations. As far as the regular contributions are concerned, if arrears are to the extent of two years' contribution, naturally, action will be taken and there is no complaint about that.

Shri Kapur Singh: Are Government aware that competent observers adjudge the UNO as about to go the way of its predecessor, the League of Nations; if so, for how long do we propose to keep it alive through artificial respiration?

Mr. Speaker: What has non-payment of funds to do with this?

Shri Kapur Singh: The hon. Minister was about to answer it.

Mr. Speaker: The question that he has asked has no relation to the nonpayment of funds.

Shri Shinkre: In view of the fact that several countries have not paid their contribution to the UN funds and also in view of the fact that this country is on a whole-time short of foreign exchange, will Government take any steps to persuade United Nations Organisation either to accept our contribution only in non-convertible rupees or to give us a long time-limit so as to enable only to pay as and when we are rong in foreign exchange?

Mr. Speaker: A good suggestion.

#### Increase in Pay of Army Officers

Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri D. N. Tiwary; Shri Daljit Singh: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Murli Manohar: Shri Ram Harkh Yaday: \*434. | Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Indrajit Gupta:

Shri P. K. Deo: Shri Narasimha Reddy: Shri A. V. Raghavan:

Shri Koya: Shri M. Rampure:

Will the Minister of Defence pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have decided to increase the pay of Army Officers:
- (b) if so, the extent thereof (category-wise); and
- (c) the factors taken into consideration for deciding the pay rise?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Dr. D. S. Raju): (a) The pay of Army Offiers (upto the rank of Brigadier) was last revised in 1962 with effect from April, 1960. Subsequently, there has been no proposal to revise the pay of Army Officers in general.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know what was the recommendation of the Pay Commission in this regard and whether that has been taken into account?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): This matter was not a part of the recommendation of the Pay Commission. But certainly a Committee was appointed in the Ministry itself in 1959 and the Committee was headed by Mr. Raghuramaiah, the then Deputy Defence Minister. These recommendations were accepted then. After that, when the

78 4194

general question of even the civil services was considered, certain increments were approved in order to give similar effect to these recommendations. Again, further increments were sanctioned for the army officers. This was done in 1962 and the effect was given retrospectively, that is, from April, 1960.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know how, after the increase of pay of army officers, it compares with that of officers of the same ranks in other countries?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: We cannot compare that. Comparisons are very unreal in this matter.

Shri Birendra Bahadur Singh: May I know whether this covers the officers from the Indian Defence Academy and also whether the Officers of Junior Commission, the JCOs, are also covered?

Shri Y. B. Shavan: The JCOs and other people, when they reach that cadre, will also be considered.

श्री द्वा० ना० तिवारी: 1959 की रिपोर्ट के ग्राधार पर 1962 में वृद्धि की गई श्री। 1963-64 में जो कमर-तोड़ महंगाई द्वुई है, क्या उस के बाद उन लोगों की तरफ से कोई डिमाण्ड की गई है श्रीर क्या कोई कमेटी बना कर उस डिमांड की जांच की गई है?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: There is no question of any demand as such. But it is not proposed to raise the discussion again.

श्री बलजीत सिंह : मैं जानना चाहता हूं हूं कि फ़ौजी ग्रफ़सरों ग्रीर फ़ौजी जवानों के बतन में जो इतना ग्रन्तर है, क्या उसको कम करने के प्रश्न पर विचार किया जा रहा है।

श्री यद्मवन्त राव चव्हाण : नहीं, कम करने का यिचार नहीं है। भी प्र॰ प्र॰ शर्मा: महंगाई भत्ते के बारे में दास कमीशन की रीकमेंडेशन्ख धार्मी में किस हद तक लागू की गई हैं?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: This question raised is only about officers.

Shri A. P. Sharma: There are army officers between the range of Rs. 600 t<sub>0</sub> Rs. 1,200. I would like to know whether this recommendation has been implemented in their case.

Dr. D. S. Raju: This applies to the army officers upto the rank of Brigadiers, including Brigadiers.

श्री यशपाल सिंह : क्या सरकार ने कभी इस बात पर गौर किया है कि लहाख की चोटियों पर जो हमारा जवान खड़ा है, उसकी तनख्वाह कप्तान पुलिस के घोड़े के भत्ते से कम है?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: It is not true, It think.

श्री यशपाल सिंह: कप्तान पुलिस के घोड़े का भत्ता 85 रुपये है, जबकि जवान की तनख्वाह 65 रुपये है।

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: Is the Government aware that the armed forces officers suffer not only because of inflationary prices but they also suffer the inadequacy of housing facilities and lack of school facilities, etc? Would the Government at least consider rectifying this inadequacy if they cannot put the pay scales right?

Mr. Speaker: That must be considered. Shri Siddhanti.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: May I rise on a point of order?

Each time you help the Minister. This is a matter . . .

Mr. Speaker: The hon. lady Member suggested: Would the Government consider such and such a thing? What should I do?

2438 (Ai) LSD-2.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: When will the Government consider?

Mr. Speaker: That was not the question. She should not change it now.

भी जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती: वर्तमान महंगाई की भवस्या को देखते हुए क्या सर-कार सभी प्रकार के सैनिकों की पैन्शनों में भीवृद्धि करने का विचार करती है या नहीं?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Very recently this question was considered and certain increments were given.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: There seems to be a general policy of the Government not to go in for an immediate general rise in the pay and emoluments of officers. May I know what special considerations have led the Government recently to increase the pay and allowances of the top-most officers, that is, the Army Commanders, the Chief of the Air Staff, the Chief of the Naval Staff, etc. etc? What effect is it likely to have on others?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I must say that only in two cases where the I.C.Os became for the first time. Army Commanders, their cases were considered for the purpose of only allowances. For the first time, the I.C.Os. became Army Commanders and naturally this question had to be taken into account.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: Besides regular pay, what other allowances are permissible to the officers, and how do they compare with the allowances permissible to the jawans?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: There are certainly some other allowances, and those allowances are mostly allowed to the jawans also.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Whenever the dearness allowance of the other Central Government employees, especially the civilians in defence has been raised, there has been a proportionate

rise of dearness allowance for the army jawans including persons who are getting pay up to Rs. 600. May I know whether the recent increase will have any bearing on that, and whether the dearness allowance will also be increased for persons drawing pay up to Rs. 600?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: As far as the jawans are concerned, at present I have not got that information with me. But very recently this question was taken into account as far as the jawans were concerned, and along with other civilian employees, certain increments were given to them.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My question was about the dearness allowance.

श्री प्र० सिं० सहगल : श्री रघुरामैया ने जो रिपोर्ट 1959 में दी थी, उस के आधार पर 1962 में इन्क्रीमैंट दिया गया है। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या उस रिपोर्ट की सारी रीकमेंडेशन्ज को इम्प्लीमेंट किया गया है या नहीं।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: As 1 have already explained in my first answer, the Raghuramaiah Report was given effect to in 1961. But later on, when the general pay scales were revised in the case of the other civilian officers, it was considered necessary to give similar effect in the case of Army officers. So a further increment was given effect to in 1962, with retrospective effect from April, 1960.

Air Space Violations by Pakistan

\*435. Shri Daljit Singh:
Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) the number of air space violations in India committed by the Pakistani planes since 15th January, 1965; and

(b) the action taken by Government in this regard?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Dr. D. S. Raju):
(a) According to information available to Government up-to-date, there has been no violation of Indian air space by Pakistan aircraft since 15th January, 1965, except that on the 27th January, 1965 a helicopter, believed to be belonging to Pakistan, landed twice in Tripura State. On both the occasions, the pilot took off immediately on understanding from the local people that he was in Indian territory.

(b) The circumstances of the case indicate that the helicopter flew into Indian air space near the Tripura State border with Pakistan through navigational error, and the violation of Indian air space was inadvertent. It has, therefore, been decided not to lodge a protest with the Pakistan Government.

Shri Daljit Singh: May I know what measures are being taken or shall be taken against such air space violations by Pakistan in the future?

Dr. D. S. Raju: When an areoplane intrudes into our territory we have first got to make sure whether it is our own plane or an enemy's plane. When it comes from an enemy's territory, if it is a military plane, of course, we give an order to it to land, and if the plane disobeys, we have got a right to shoot it down. But, generally, there are certain international conventions which are generally followed, and we have got adequate precautions in that respect.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is it a fact that Chinese technicians and experts are actively helping the Pakistan Government to build air-bases on the Indo-Pakistan border in the east, and are there Intelligence reports to the effect that China and Pakistan are jointly conspiring to strike at India sometime after August this year?

Mr. Speaker: The main question is about violations of air space.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I want to know whether air-bases are being built on the border.....

Mr. Speaker: Shri Kamath will realise that that is different from the main question.

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi: Have there been any occasions when the Pakistan Government have also so liberally interpreted any violation if at all it has been made by India and considered it with so much concession?

Mr. Speaker: Their interpretation or construction is not relevant.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: With a view to discouraging all these violations of air spaces may I know whether Government have issued definite instructions to our jawans on the frontier to shoot well and shoot direct?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): As the Deputy Minister has mentioned, there are certainly some standing instructions in these matters. But, naturally we have also to take into account, whenever any aircraft is in our territory by way of violation of air space, whether it is a civil aircraft or a military aircraft. Then, they have to be given some sort of notice to land down, and if they refuse to do so, in the case of a military aircraft, there are certainly instructions to shoot them down. But the House must have noticed that during the last year or so, these air violations have become less and less, and I think possibly this is so because of certain adequate steps taken by us.

REGARDING S.Q. No. 447

श्री यशपाल सिंह : वर्व श्वन 447 बहुत इम्पाटेंट है । बहुत देर से हम ग्राम लगाये बैठे हैं । इसको ले लिया जाए ।

**ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय ः** मैं कुछ नहीं कर सकता हं।

#### WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

#### Air Space Violations by China

\*428. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of air-space violations by the Chinese aircraft, if any, since the 24th December, 1964;
- (b) the broad outlines of such intrusions; and
  - (c) Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) According to the information available to Government to-date, there has been no violation of Indian air-space by Chinese air-craft since 24th December, 1964.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

#### Indians in Kenya

\*436. Shri Himatsingka:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:
Shri Kolla Venkaiah:
Shri M. N. Swamy:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware that some people of Indian origin in Kenya employed under the Kenya Government have been rendered jobless because of the Africanisation of Government jobs;
- (b) if so, the number of such people rendered jobless; and
- (c) the steps taken by Government to safeguard their interests?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): (a) and (b). About 50.

(c) Liberal customs facilities have been extended to those who wish to return to India for permanent settlement. Government are also offering some facilities of employment to the persons so affected.

4200

#### Coalfields Recruiting Organisation

Shri Prabhat Kar:

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have since taken up the question of abolition of Coal-fields Recruiting Organisation system with the Government of Uttar Pradesh as per the decision of the 9th Session of Industrial Committee on Coal Mining; and
  - (b) if so, the result thereof?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) and (b). The decision of the 9th Session of the Industrial Committee on Coal Mining has been communicated to the Government of Uttar Pradesh. A proposal to treat the Gorakhpuri Labour Depot as an integral part of the National Employment Service, is under consideration. As soon as this is finalised, the question will be decided in consultation with the Government of Uttar Pradesh.

#### Anti-Indian Advertisements in Pak Papers

\*438. Shri Kapur Singh:
Shri P. K. Deo:
Shri Narasimha Reddy:
Shri P. C. Borooah:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the anti-Indian advertisements published in a leading Karachi Daily in December, 1964; and

(b) if so, the action taken by Government in this regard?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon): (a) Yes, Sir. Government have seen these objectionable advertisements.

(b) Attention of the Pakistan Government has been drawn to these advertisements, by the Indian High Commission in Karachi. The Indian High Commission formally protested to the Foreign Office in Karachi on the 17th and 23rd November, 1964, 30th December, 1964 and 20th February, 1965, on the hate-India campaign let loose in Pakistan in the context of the election there.

#### Minimum Wages Act

- \*439. Shri A. P. Sharma: Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:
- (a) when the minimum wages were fixed last in the various scheduled industries in different States under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948;
- (b) whether Government propose to review the same in view of the current steep rise in prices of essential commodities; and
  - (c) if so, when?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) Information regarding minimum wages fixed from time to time by State Governments as 'appropriate Governments' under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 in various scheduled employments is given in the Reports on the Working of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 published by the Director, Labour Bureau, Simla, the latest report being for the year 1961, copies of which are available in the Parliament Secretariat Library.

The Central Government fixed minimum wages for various categories of workers in the scheduled

employments which fall within the Central sphere of action by a series of notifications issued during the years 1951, 1952, 1954, 1959, 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963 and 1964.

- (b) and (c). The State Governments as 'appropriate Governments' for scheduled employments falling within the State sphere under the Act undertake review and revision, if necessary, of minimum wages fixed by them earlier. Information regarding minimum wages revised by State Governments as appropriate Governments in various scheduled employments are collected and published by the Director Labour Bureau annually, the latest report being of 1961.
- 2. The Central Government reviewed and revised the minimum wages for various categories of workers in the scheduled employments which fall within the Central sphere of action in 1955, 1959, 1960 and 1964.
- The review and revision of minimum wages fixed by the Central and State Governments are a continuous process.

# Damage to P. and T. Property in Madras

\*440. Shrimati Renuka Barkataki:
Shri Yashpal Singh:

Will the Minster of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any estimate of the damage to Postal property caused during the recent language disturbances in Madras has been made; and
- (b) if so, the extent of the damage caused?

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shr! Bhagavati): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) On the basis of information available so far the loss comes to Rs. 3.31,431.00.

#### Naga Hostiles

Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Surendra Pal Singh:
Shri P. R. Chakraverti:
Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:
Shri Gokulananda Mohanty

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the reports that about 1,700 Naga hostiles, who had crossed into Pakistan in October last to get arms and military training, are marching back into Nagaland;
- (b) if so, the steps taken to prevent them from entering Nagaland and smuggling arms;
- (c) whether Pakistan Government have been requested to help prevent this smuggling of arms; and
  - (d) if so, their response thereto?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Yes, Sir. According to information available with the Government, a group of about 1,500 Naga hostiles which entered Pakistan on or about 13-12-1964 is on its way back from East Pakistan.

- (b) The Security Forces are taking suitable steps to prevent armed Naga hostiles from entering Nagaland.
- (c) A protest was lodged with the Pakistan High Commission in India in May, 1964, against Pakistan Government's unfriendly action in providing the Naga hostiles with training and arms.
- (d) In their reply of August, 1964, Pakistan Government denied the facts stated in our Protest Note and described them as 'baseless fabrications'.

काहिरा में शेख धन्दुल्ला का स्वागत

भी बड़े: श्री हुकम चन्द कछ्वाय : श्री झोंकार लाल बेरवा : \*442 श्री मृ० द० सिंह : श्री जसवन्त मेहता :
श्री द्वा० ना० तिवारी :
श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री :
श्री द्वा० शं० प्रास्त्री :
श्री बाल कृष्ण वासनिक :
श्री शिव नारायण :
श्री तुलशीदास जाधव :
श्री कांबले :
श्री फल्बारेस :
श्री ह० प० चटर्जी :

क्या वैदेशिक-कार्यं मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि काहिरा में भारतीय राजदूतावास ने शेख ग्रब्दुल्ला का सरकारी रूप से स्वागत किया;
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि उपर्युक्त राजदूतावास को ऐसे ग्रादेश भारत सरकार ने दिये थे ; ग्रीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो गैर-सरकारी व्यक्ति का इस प्रकार सरकारी रूप से स्वागत करवे के क्या कारण हैं?

बंदेशिक-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (श्रीमती लक्ष्मी मेनन): (क) से (ग). हमारे राजदूतावास ने शेख प्रब्दुल्ला के काहिरा पहुंचने पर सरकारी तौर से कोई स्वागत नहीं किया। लेकिन यह सही है कि जब शेख प्रब्दुल्ला काहिरा हवाई प्रब्दे पर पहुंचे, तब भारतीय राजदूतावास का एक प्रधिकारी वहां मौजूद था। विदेश-स्थित भारतीय पिशनों का यह व्यवहार है कि वे भारतीय राष्ट्रकों का मुनासिब सत्कार करें भौर उन्हें सहायता दें, खास तौर से उन लोगों का—जो पहले बड़े-बड़े पदों पर रहे हों।

## विषव मुस्लिम सम्मेलन

\*443. श्री झोंकार लाल बेरवा : श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : श्री बड़े : श्री यु० द० सिंह :

नया वैदेशिक-कार्यमन्त्री यह बताचे की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार ने बाण्डुंग में 6 मार्च को हुए विश्व मुस्लिम सम्मेलन में भाग लेने के लिये अपना प्रति-निधि भेजा था; श्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उसके क्या कारण अपे?

वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री दिशे सिंह): (क) भारत सरकार ने स्रको-एशियाई इस्लामी सम्मेलन में जो 6-3-1965 को बांडुग में शुरू हुम्ना, भाग लेने के लिए स्रपना कोई सरकारी प्रतिनिधि नहीं भेजा है। संगठन समिति के निमन्त्रण पर, जो नई दिल्ली स्थित इण्डोनेशिया राज-दूत द्वारा मिला था, भारत की कुछ प्रमुख मुस्लिम संस्थाओं ने स्रपने प्रतिनिधि भेजे हैं। शिष्टमण्डल के सदस्यों के नाम हैं:

- 1. मुफ़ती ग्रतीकुरंहमान ।
- 2. मौलाना सईद ग्रहमद ग्रकबराबादी।
- 3. श्री मोहम्मद शफी कुरेशी।
- 4. मौलाना भ्रब्दल वाहब बुखारी; श्रौर
- श्रीमती क्मर ग्रहमद।
- (ख) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

# 🗆 .सेंड में युद्धविराम

श्री मधुलिनयं :
 श्री ध्रोंकार नाल बेरवा :
 श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय:
 श्री बढ़े :
 श्री प्र० वं० बरुवा :

क्या बैबेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने कं

कृपाकरेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या नागालैंड में विद्रोहो नागा-श्रों तथा सरकार के बच विद्यमान युद्ध-विराम का स्थिति 15 श्रप्रैल 1965 तक बढ़ा दागई हैं; भीर
  - (ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं?

बैवेशिक-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (भीमती लक्ष्मी मेनन): (क) ग्रौर (ख) गांति मिशन की प्रार्थना पर, युद्ध-विराम की श्रवधि 15 श्रप्रैल 1965 तक बढ़ा दी गई हैं। छिपे हुए नागाओं के प्रतिनिधिमडल के साथ बातचीत चल रही हैं ग्रौर गांतिपूर्ण समझौत होने की ग्राशा में गांति मिशन की प्रार्थना को स्वीकार कर लिया गया है।

#### Fair Price Shops for Industrial Workers

\*445. Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri P. R. Chakraverti:
Shri K. N. Tiwary:
Shri S. M. Banerjee:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shrimati Maimoona Sultan:

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) the progress made in setting up of fair price shops for workers in industrial undertakings;
- (b) whether some of the undertakings have been rather slow in the matter; and
  - (c) the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) 2193 consumers' co-operative stores and fair price shops (1717 co-operative stores and 476 fair price shops) are now functioning in 3576 industrial establishments in the country employing 300 or more workers.

- (b) Yes.
- (c) Difficulties about accommodation, finance, and procuring commodities have been mentioned by some employers. Adequate priority for setting up these shops does not appear

to have been given by the remaining establishments for whom legislation is under consideration.

#### Television

Shri Harl Vishnu Kamath: Shri Naval Prabhakar: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri R. S. Tiwary: Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhanti: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri Surendra Pal Singh: Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri Bade:

Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya:

\*446. ∠ Dr. P. Srinivasan: Shrt Paramasivan: Shri Mohan Swarup: Shri M. R. Krishna: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shrimati Malmoona Sultan Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Ramakrishna Reddy. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the plan to introduce television in the country has been worked out in detail;
- (b) whether it will be a phased programme, if so, the broad outlines thereof and the total capital outlay involved;
- (c) whether a pilot or experimental project is in the offing; and
- (d) whether the pernicious influences of television on adolescents and

children, as testified to by experences in America and Europe, have carefully considered launching on television in India?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shrimati Gandhi): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. draft plan for introduction of television on a nation-wide scale is under consideration. It envisages the setting up of nearly 250 transmitters of high power to provide one television programme per region for the entire country. Such a scheme when in full operation would require a period of approximately twenty years for implementation and would involve a capital outlay of Rs. 80-100 crores.

- (c) Television service on a very limited scale has been in operation in Delhi since 1959. Decision to start a full-fledged Television station in New Delhi has been taken and arrangements are being made to start a service, within a short time.
- (d) The experiences of countries in the field of television are being kept in mind and our approach to Television if it is decided to implement the Plan will be guided by the basic needs and the larger interests of the viewers in the context of our national policy.

## Republic Day Parade Shri Kapur Singh:

Shri Gulshan: Shri Narasimha Reddy: \*447. ₹ Shri Narendra Singh Mahida: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that in this year's Republic Day Parade, the Sikh soldiers were excluded from the pageant of the period-soldiers of historical India; and
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) The uniform of Sikh

soldiers was not included in the display of mulitary costumes of a few periods of Indian history, which was arranged as part of this year's Republic Day Parade in Delhi.

(b) The selection could not be exhaustive and it was not possible to include all periods of Indian history or all warrior classes in the first display. It is proposed to include some other warrior classes including Sikh soyiders in the next year's Republic Day Parade.

### पाकिस्तानियों द्वारा भारतवासियों का धपहरण

भी हुकम चन्द कछवाय :
भी युद्धबीर सिंह :
भी युद्धबीर सिंह :
भी बड़े :
भी विभाग प्रसाद :
भी यु० व० सिंह :
भी नरेन्द्र सिंह महीड़ा :
भी जगवेब सिंह सिद्धान्ती :
भी विश्वनाथ पाण्डेय :
भीनती मैमूना सुल्तान :

क्या प्रतिरका मत्नी यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि 23 फरवरी, 1965 को समस्त्र पाकिस्तानी जम्मू से लगभग 50 मील दूर मनवर के पास 2 भारतीयों का भ्रपहरण करके ले गये थे ; ग्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो सरकार द्वारा इस सम्बन्ध में क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ?

रक्षा मंत्री (श्री यशवन्त राव चव्हाण) : (क) तथा (ख)ः इस घटना के सम्बंध में सरकार को कोई रिपोर्ट प्राप्त नहीं हुई। राज्य सरकार मे पृष्ठ छ की जा रही है।

#### Indian Aircraft's Alleged Intrusions into China

Shri P. C. Borooah;
Shri D. C. Sharma;
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri;
Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhauti;
Shri Bade;
Shri Vishram Prasad;

\*443. 

Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:

Shri Narendra Singh Mahida:

Shri Solanki:

Shri Narasimha Keddy:

Shri Hem Barua:

Shrimati Maimoona Sultan:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government had received a protest from China towards the end of December, 1964 against alleged intrusions by Indian aircraft into Chinese airspace; and
- (b) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon): (a) Yes, Sir. On the 28th December, 1964 a protest note was received from the Chinese Government alleging intrusions into Chinese airspace by Indian aircraft.

(b) The Government of Indis rejected the Chinese protest note as the Chinese allegations were completely baseless.

हिल्ली छावनी व्हिकल डिपो

श्री हुकम चन्य कछ्वाय : श्री झोंकार लाल बेरवा : श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री : श्री जगवेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती : श्री यु० द० सिंह : डा० लक्ष्मीम्हल सिघवी : श्री बूटा सिंह : श्री स० मो० बनर्जी : श्री नरेन्द्र सिंह महीड़ा : श्री नाषपाई :

क्या प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की

**इ**पा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि दिल्ल : छावन । के व्हिक्ल डिपो से गत वर्ष से 350 ट्रक नापता हैं : ग्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या इस मामले की कोई जाच पड़ताल की गई थी ग्रौर उसका क्या परिणाम निकला ?

## प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (शी यशवन्तराव चक्द्राण) : (क) जी नहीं ।

(ग) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

#### Invitation to Foreign Minister from South-East Asian Countries

\*451. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that he has recently been invited by the Governments of several South-East Asian countries to visit those countries;
- (b) if so, from which countries invitations have been received;
- (c) whether they have been accepted; and
- (d) if so, when he proposes to visit them?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): (a) to (d). The Foreign Minister has been proposing to visit Malaysia, Indonesia, Laos, Cambodia, Thailand and the Philippines. The Governments concerned have welcomed his visit to their countries. However, it has not yet been finally decided when the Foreign Minister will be able to visit these countries

#### Assam Rifles Funds

1123. Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whe'her it is a fact that a Havildar Accountant of a Battalion of the Assam Rifles drew funds on fictitious bills:

- (b) whether the Board of Enquiry which went into the question held the Commandants guilty of gross negligence;
- (c) whether no action has so far been taken against those found guilty; and
  - (d) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) Yes on presumption.
- (c) and (d). Havildar Accountant is untraceable so far.

#### Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi

1124. Shrimati Ramdulari Sinha: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of volumes of Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi published so far; and
- (b) the main topics of each volume with their prices?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) Thirteen Volumes of the Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi in English and eleven in Hindi, have so far been published.

(b) It is difficult to enumerate the topics dealt with in each Volume which contains chronological order and not topic-wise, all available writings, speeches and letters of Gandhiji. Thus, each Volume is related to a period of his life and deals with diverse topics. Broadly, however, the material published in the first twelve Volumes deals with the disabilities of Indians in South Africa. The thirteenth Volume, however, is the first one relating to the commencement of his activities in India, such as the setting up of the Sabarmati Ashram and the Champaran Satyagraha campaign.

Under the English series, there are two editions of each Volume: one Popular priced at Rs 9 each and the other Standard priced at Rs. 15 each, The Volumes of Hindi version have got only one edition, priced at Rs. 9 each.

#### Death of Indian Sculptor in Wales

Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:
Shri Murli Manohar:
Shri Bibhuti Mishra;
Shri K. N. Tiwary:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a young successful sculptor Shri Kamelendu Roy of Calcutta, serving in the Swan-sea College of Arts in Wales in the United Kingdom hanged himself to death on the 18th February, 1965; and
  - (b) if so, the details of the incident?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes. His body was found hanging in a Swansea-London Train on the 13th February, 1965.

(b) The deceased was employed as a lecturer at the Swansea College of Arts. After the retrenchment of the post at this college he was travelling by train to London, where he was, it is understood, going prior to taking up a similar employment in Coventry When the train arrived at the terminus at Paddington Railway Station in London the body was discovered in a toilet and taken by Railway Police to St. Mary's hospital. The Coroner in his report said that the death was probably due to unemployment and frustration and recorded the verdict of suicide.

#### Educated Unemployed in Orissa

1126. Shri Rama Chandra Mallick: Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of educated unemployed in Orissa as on the 31st December, 1964; and
- (b) the number of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes among them?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) 10,171 applicants who had passed Matriculation or a higher examination were on the Live Register of the Employment Exchanges.

(b) Scheduled Castes
Scheduled Tribes

ibes **311** 

256

#### Bolpur Post Office

1127. Dr. Saradish Roy: Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether land for construction of Bolapur-Post Office (West-Bengal Circle) has been acquired;
  - (b) if so, when; and
- (c) the reason for the delay, if any, in the construction of the buildings?

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) No. The P.O. building is under construction on departmental land available in Telephone Exchange compound.

(b) and (c). Do not arise. However, the post office building is nearing completion.

#### Use of Urdu in A.I.R. Programmes

# 1128 Shri Ram Harkh Yadav: Shri Murli Manohar:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Urdu language is being neglected in the A.I.R. programmes in some States in the country;
- (b) if so, the States wherein this is being neglected; and
- (c) whether Government are considering the feasibility of introducing more programmes in Urdu through the regional stations in Urdu speaking areas?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) No, Sir.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) The present output of Urdu programmes from the regional stations of A.I.R. in Urdu speaking areas is as large as it can be having regard to the numerous and varied demands on these stations.

#### Nehru Exhibition in Moscow

- 1129. Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that Nehru Exhibition was held in Moscow in February, 1965;
- (b) if so, whether any assistance in organising this exhibition was given by India; and
- (c) the main purposes of this exhibition?

# The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes.

- (b) The exhibition was organised by the Government of India and the Ministry of External Affairs had the overall responsibility.
- (c) The main theme of this exhibither was: "Nehru—the Architect of Indo-Soviet friendship".

#### Request for Navy Ship

# 1130. Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad:

Will the Minister of **Defence** be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Ministry of Railways have approached the Indian Navy to spare a ship to run a ferry service between Mandapam and Pamban to transport personnel and supplies; and
- (b) if so, the action taken in this regard?

# The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) After discussion between the Resident Naval Officer Madras, Officials of the Madras Government and Southern Railway authorities, it was agreed that due to insufficient depth and absence of a jetty at Pamban, even small naval vessels would have to anchor at least 400 to 500 yards away and would not therefore be suitable. It was decided that the Railway authorities should use the shallow draught local craft available in the area for the purpose.

## ब्रिटिश गायना में भारतीय उद्भव के व्यक्ति

भी हुकम चन्द कछवाय : भी प्र० रं० चक्रवर्ती : श्रीमती सावित्री निगम :

क्या बैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि ब्रिटिश गायन: में मूलक भारतवासियों ने वापस भारत ग्राने की मांग की है ;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या भारत तथा ब्रिटिश गायना की सरकारों के बीच इस सम्बंध में कोई बातचीत हुई है; ग्रीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो उसका ब्योरा क्याः है ?

## वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री (श्री स्वर्ण सिंह)::

- (क) सरकार ने श्रखबारों में इस धाशय की रिपोर्ट देखी है कि बिटिश गियाना में रहने वाले कुछ भारतमूलक लोगों ने प्रदर्शन किया और यह मांग की कि उन्हें भारत वापस भेज दिया जाए। बहरहाल, इस दिशा में कोई गम्भीर प्रयत्न नहीं हुआ है।
  - (ख) भीर (ग). प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

#### **Defence Production**

- 1132. Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:
- (a) the total achievement in India's drive for self-sufficiency in armament production from 1962 to 1964; and

(b) whether India has still to purchase arms and ammunition from foreign countries?

The Minister of Defence Production in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) During this period, the production of armaments has been substantially increased and manufacture of several new items has been established outstanding examples of which are Ishapore semi-automatic rifle, heavy mortars, mountain gun and connected ammunition.

Production of most of the armament stores required by the Armed Forces is now undertaken in our factories. In order, however, to increase the capacity of these factories for simultaneous production of a large variety of armament stores required by the Services, a number of new factories have been sanctioned. Of these, the Ordnance Factory, Varangaon was commissioned in October 1964, and the Ordnance Factory, Bhandara in December, 1964.

(b) To a very limited extent only.

#### Firing by Pakistanis

# Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Pakistani troops started unprovoked firing on several Indian posts after violating the cease-fire line in Chhamb Sector on the 7th January, 1965;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that in one case they also fired at United Nations Observers; and
- (c) if so, the action taken by Government in this regard?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Dr. D. S. Raju): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A U.N. Observer was also fired at by Pakistani troops from across the mease-fire line.

(c) Firing by Pakistani troops was effectively dealt with by our Security Forces. Besides, cease-fire violation complaints were lodged with the U.N. Military Observers and protests were lodged with the Government of Pakistan in regard to these incidents.

#### Michael Scott's meeting with Prime Minister

Shri S. M. Banerjee:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Uikey:
Shri R. S. Pandey:
1134. Shri Vidya Charan Shukla:
Shri Radhelal Vyas:
Shri Himatsingka:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:
Dr. Chandrabhan Singh:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Rev. Michael Scott met him in December, 1964; and
- (b) if so, the nature of the discussion that took place regarding Nagaland?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The discussions were of a general nature.

#### Landless Labour

Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shrimati Ramdulari Sinha:
Shri Himatsingka:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the Resolution adopted at the 15th Session of the INTUC held at Hyderabad urging upon Government to improve the standard of living of landless agricultural labour;
- (b) whether the Congress demanded the setting up of a suitable machinery for the implementation of Minimum Wages Act, 1948 and also to

provide opportunities to such labour to supplement their earnings; and

(c) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya):
(a) and (b). Yes.

(c) Enforcement machinery for the implementation of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 in agriculture have been already set up by the Central and the State Governments. Necessary action to strengthen the enforcement machinery has also been taken and is proposed to be taken by the Central Government as well as by the State Governments wherever necessary and is feasible.

The question of providing additional employment opportunities to agricultural workers is receiving attention of the Government of India. The Rural Works Programmes started towards the close of 1960-61 by the Ministry of Community Development and Co-operation provide additional employment opportunities to agricultural workers during the slack season, especially in areas exposed to high degree of unemployment and under employment and general economic backwardness.

The expenditure and employment under the programme since inception has been as follows:—

Year	Expendi- ture	Employ- ment
	(Rs. lakhs)(Lakhs mandays)	
1960-61 . 1961-62 1962-63	4·18 32·17 110·88	76 · 12
1963-64 .	413.50	173.00
1964-65 . (Anticipated)	748.77	350.00

Under the programme, labourers are

paid in cash at the prevailing slack season rate. Evaluation studies conducted by the Programme Evaluation Organisation and employment studies made by the Directorate General of Employment and Training show that the benefit under the programme has been mostly availed of by landless labourers belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes etc.

#### Posts in Ladakh linked by Roads

1136. Shri Surendra Pal Singh: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Chinesehave constructed a net work of roads in the area bordering Ladakh territory of India, and have linked by feeder roads all the seven military posts which they established in the occupied area of Ladakh; and
- (b) if so, whether the said seven Chinese Military posts were linked with feeder roads even before India announced her intention to negotiate with China on the basis of evacuation by China of these posts, or afterwards?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Chinese Government have set up six "civilian check posts" in the 20 Km. demilitarized zone Ladakh. (The seventh post is situated north of Karakoram Pass in Sinkiang). The feeder roads linking these posts were built prior to the suggestion regarding the withdrawal of Chinese posts from the demilitarized zone. In various notes exchanged with the Chinese Government between February 1962 and September 1962, Government of India had protested against the illegal activities of the Chinese in the occupied areas of forces Ladakh including the clearing construction of roads.

#### Malaysia's inclusion in Security Council

# 1137. Shri Prabhat Kar: Shri Indrajit Gupta:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there was a process of pulse-taking at the U.N. in order to decide the question of Malaysia's inclusion in the Security Council as a non-permanent member;
- (b) if so, whether India's opinion was sought for in this connection; and
- (c) the stand taken by India on the question?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) The U.N. General Assembly, at its current session, had decided to take up only such of its business as could be transacted without formal voting. In accordance with this decision, consultations were held in order to fill vacancies in the Security Council. As a result of these consultations. President declared, and the General Assembly agreed without objection, that Malaysia had become a Member of the Security Council to serve for a period of one year from January, 1965.

- (b) India also participated in the above arrangements by which Malaysia became a member of the Security Council
- (c) India supported the candidature of Malaysia.

#### Rehabilitation of Tibetan Refugees

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri P. R. Chakraverti:

Shri K. N. Tiwary:
Shri D. C. Sharma:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Abdul Ghani Goni: | Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri M. Rampure:

Will the Minister of External Affairs

be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Tibetan refugees. who have not so far been rehabilitated;
- (b) the present programme of their rehabilitation?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Approximately 30,000. Out of whom nearly 15,000 are gainfully employed temporarily on road works. 2,000 monks and 1,500 are aged and infirm. Besides, there are batches of small groups of differnt sects who are trying to be self-supporting on their own partly at times with the help of the Government.

(b) It is proposed to set up two more agricultural settlements these refugees in addition to the five already in existence.

The Government of India are also actively examining the possibilities of establishing a few small scale industries to further the cause of Tibetan settlement and the State Governments have also been approached in this behalf.

#### Nuclear Terror

Shri D. C. Sharma: 113v. Shri Himatsingka: Shri Rameshwar Tantia:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the call given by the Prime Minister for a global move to put and end to nuclear terror yielded any result; and
- (b) if so, the broad outlines thereof?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh); (a) and (b). The Prime Minister in a message broadcast on December 31, 1964, on the eve of the International Cooperation Year called for "the united effort of all to rid the world of the 4223

formus.

ancient ills of hunger, ignorance and disease and of the new terror of nuclear holocaust." The Prime Minister's appeal was a general one and was not intended to be the basis of a special initiative on the question of nuclear disarmament. Government's efforts to secure agreement on the question of general and complete disarmament

#### **Expansion of Security Council**

are being pursued in all appropriate

1140. Shri R. G. Dubey: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether an amendment to the U.N. Charter providing the expansion of the Security Council to 15 has been ratified by the U.S.S.R.;
- (b) whether amendment also provides for an increase in the membership of Economic' and Social Council from 18 to 27 members; and
- (c) whether the necessary twothirds majority of the members have ratified the amendment to make it effective?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.

(c) No, Sir.

#### Chinese Intrusions

Shri D. C. Sharma:
Shri J. B. S. Bist:
Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:
Shri U. M. Trivedi:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any fresh intrusions were reported into the Indian territory by the Chinese since 1st November, 1964;
  - (b) if so, when and where; and
- (c) the action taken by Government in that regard?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Dr. D. S. Raju): (a) and (b). Since 1st November, 1964, the Chinese have intruded into Sikkim on three occasions, on the 25th and 26th December, 1964 near Nathu La and on the 19th January, 1965 near Kongrala.

(c) All necessary precautionary steps have been taken. Besides, strong protests have been lodged with the Chinese Government in regard to these intrusions.

#### Tripura-East Pakistan Border

1142. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the new steps taken to demarcate the area on the Tripura-East Pakistan border, especially on the upper reaches of the Fenny river where Pakistan had raised a dispute; and
- (b) the up-to-date progress made in this regard?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Efforts to persuade D.L.R. East Pakistan to proceed with demarcation on the Fenny river sector of the Tripura-East Pakistan border have not been successful so far.

(b) The present position is that out of the total length of 550 miles of the Tripura-East Pakistan border, a length of 184 miles has been demarcated in Tripura-Noakhali/Comilla Sub-Seator, by the placement of pillars.

#### Naval Base in Andamans

Maharajkumar Vijaya
Ananda:
Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of **Defence** be pleased to state:

(a) the particulars of the scheme for the establishment of a Naval Base in Andamans; and

(b) the manner in which the programme of execution of work would be taken up and completed within the scheduled time?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). A Naval Base is being developed in the Andamans and Nicobar Islands. Full details of the scheme are still under the consideration of Government.

#### Synthetic Drugs Project, Hyderabad 1144. Maharajkumar Vijaya Ananda: Labour Will the Minister of Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the National Tribunal's Award on the enhancement of pay and other working conditions in respect of employees of Synthetic Drugs Project Hyderabad has been received;
  - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) the extent to which the Award is being implemented?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) No.

(b) and (c). Do not arise in view of (a) above.

## भारतीय राजवूतावासों में प्रनुसूचित ज दियों के कर्मचारी

1145. श्री नवल प्रभाकर : क्या बैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) विदेशों में भारतीय मिशनों/ राजदूतावासों में भ्रनसूचित जातियों के कितने कर्मचारी कार्य कर रहे हैं ; ग्रीर
- (स्त्र) प्रत्येक राजदूतावास में वे किन किन पदों पर कार्य कर रहे हैं ?

बंदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री (भी स्वर्ण सिंह)ः (क) स्रौर (ख) विदेशों में भारतीय मिशनों/केन्द्रों से जो उत्तर मिले हैं, उनके सनसार विदेश-स्थित भारताय मिशनों/ केन्द्रों में ब्रनुपूचित जातियों के ४६ कर्मचारी कार्य कर रहे हैं। उनके पदों का व्योरा सभा पटल पर रखे गए विवरण में दिया गया है। [पुस्तकालय में रखा गया, देशिये संख्या 2438(Ai) LS L.S.D.-3.

## LT-3994/65 ]

15 मिशनों से उत्तर भाने बाकी हैं। कोई भी सूचना, मिलने पर, जहां तक हो सकेगा जल्दी ही, सदन की मेख पर रख दी जाएगी।

#### Growth of Indian Economy

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shrimati Renuka Barkataki. Shri V. V. Thevar:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Central Statistical Organisation has made any quick estimate of the growth rate of India's economy in the current year;
- (b) if so, the estimate of the growth of national income in 1964-65; and
- (c) the expected rate for agriculture and industry?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): (a) and (b). The Statistical Organisation has not yet prepared any quick estimate of growth rate of India's economy the current year, 1964-65, as most of the material needed for the purpose is not available at this stage. Such estimate is usually prepared in September following the year to which relates.

(c) No precise indication of the expected growth rate for agriculture in 1964-65 is possible at this stage. Even for overall crop production, firm estimates are not expected to available before the end of the agricultural year in June, 1965. ever, on the basis of weather rain fall data and preliminary ports regarding area sown and conditions, tentative indications that the overall index of agricultural production may show an increase of 5 to 7 per cent during 1964-65. For the organised manufacturing industry including electricity, the increase in output during the first eight months of 1964-65 i.e. April, 1964 to November, 1964, over the corresponding period of the previous year is estimated to be of the order of 7 per cent, on the basis of the latest available data.

## विशेष मुहर

# ्रश्री बड़े : 1147. श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय :

क्या **संचार** मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) स्या यह सच है कि डाक तथा तार विभाग ने देश में दुष्ट पर्यटक केन्द्रों पर विदेशी पर्यटकों द्वारा भेजी गई डाक पर मुहर लगाने के लिये 1 जनवरी, 1965 से विशेष सचित्र महर चलाई है; ग्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस योजना की मुख्य बातें क्या हैं ग्रीर वे डाकघर कहां कहां है जहां इस समय इसे लाग किया गया है ?

संचार विभाग में उपमंत्री (श्री भगवती):
(क) ग्रीर (ख). जी हां। विशेष सचित्र
तारीख मुहर जिसमें सम्बन्धित स्मारकों
के रेखा चित्र बने हैं साची, खुजराहो तथा
महावलीपुरम स्थित डाकघरों में 1 जनवरी,
1965 से ग्रीर ग्रजनता श्रीर एलोरा में 2
जनवरी, 1965 से प्रयोग में लाये जा रहे हैं।
इन विशेष मुहरों को इन डाकघरों क्षारा
भेजी जाने वाली डाक ग्रीर बाहर जाने वाली
छोटी छोटी पार्सलों पर लगी डाक-टिक्टों
को रह् करने के लिए प्रयोग में लाया जाएगा।
यह सुविधा प्रत्येक व्यक्ति के लिए उपलब्ध
है, केवल विदेशी पर्यटकों के लिए ही नहीं।

#### Cantonment Fund Employees

1148. Shri A. N. Vidalankar: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the minimum rates of wages in respect of Cantonment Fund Employees were fixed in 1952 and since then there has been no revision;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that revision of the minimum rates of wages is required to be done at intervals not exceeding 5 years;

- (c) whether Government propose to review the minimum rates of wages; and
  - (d) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) The minimum rates of wages in respect of majority of the Cantonment Fund employees were fixed in the year 1952 and in respect of the remaining employees in 1954. The pay scales and other allowances were revised with effect from 1-4-1959 under the Award given by the National Industrial Tribunal, Bombay.

- (b) Under Section 3(i)(b) of Minimum Wages Act, 1948, the appropriate Government shall review such intervals as it may think such intervals not exceeding years, the minimum rates of wages so fixed and revise the minimum Rates, if necessary, However under section 2A under section 3 of Act ibid, during the period of pendency of the proceedings before Tribunal or National Tribunal operation of an Award where it relates to the rates of wages payable to all the employees in the scheduled employment, no minimum rates οf wages are required to be fixed revised.
- (c) and (c). The matter is under examination.

#### Cantonment Board Employees in.. Punjab

1149. Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Cantonment Board employees of Ambala, Ferozepur and Jullundur raised certain demands through their Trade Unions more than a year ago and that Conciliation proceedings have failed;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that their demands have not so far been referred for adjudication as required under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;
- (c) whether Government propose to appoint a National Tribunal or refer the demands for adjudication; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Labour and ployment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) and (b). The Cantonment Board Employees Associations, Ambala. Ferozepur and Juliundur and the Cantonment Board Safai Karamchari Union. Ferozepur, raised, in all, 50 demands. Of these, 16 were settled during conciliation and 24 have on examination been found not fit for reference adjudication. Ten are still under consideration with the Ministry of Defence and action is being taken to expedite a decision on them. Replies in respect of the demands not found fit for reference to adjudication already been sent to the Unions concerned.

(c) and (d). The matter will be conaidered after receiving the comments of the Defence Ministry.

## Misuse of Cantonment Funds

1150. Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Trade Unions of Employees of Cantonment Boards of Ambala, Ferozepur and Faizabad submitted memoranda containing charges of misuse of cantonment Funds by the Executive Officers to the Ministry of Defence and demanded enquiries to be made in this respect;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that such demands were submitted about two to three years back but no action has been taken so far;
- (c) whether Government contemplate to enquire into the charges; and
  - (d) if so, when?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) to (d). The position is as under:

#### **Ambala**

In September 1961, the Cantonment Fund Employees Association, Ambala Cantonment complained of wastage of Cantonment Fund by grant of higher scales of pay to Head Master, Second Master and certain other teachers of Cantonment Board High School and Primary Schools than what they were according to the Association, entitled to. It was also alleged that certain duty|supervisory allowances were being given to certain teachers, which were not due to them. These allegations were inquired into and it has been reported that no irregularity had been committed.

#### Faizabad

In the year 1962, the Secretary, Faizabad Zila Safai Mazdoor Union, made certain allegations against the Cantonment Executive Officer. These allegations have been enquired into and it has been reported that except in one case where there was error of judgment the allegations are not substantiated.

## Ferozepore

No such memorandum was received.

# नेफा में जीप दुर्घटना

क्या प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि जनवरी, 1963 में नेफा क्षेत्र में सड़क बनाने वालों की एक जीप को एक हाथी ने खड़ में गिरा दिया था :
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उसके परिणामस्वरूप किंतने व्यक्ति मरे ग्रीर किंतने वायल हुए ;
- (ग) क्या सरकार मृत व्यक्तियों के परिवारों को प्रतिकर दे चुकी है ; भीर
- (घ) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण है ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (भी यशयन्त राव बच्हान): (क) जी हां। **423**I

- (ख) चालक सहित गाड़ी के 23 व्यक्ति अन्तर्गस्त थे। नौ की मृत्यु हो गई, भ्रौर चौदह को हल्की चोटें भ्राई थीं।
- (ग) वर्कमेन्ज कम्पेन्सेशन एक्ट के अन्तर्गत, निधन-प्राप्त 9 व्यक्तियों के निकट कुटम्बियों के लिए. मभ्रावजे की स्वीकृति देदी गई है, और मुझावजा वर्कमेन्ज कम्पेन्सेशन कमिशनर के पास जमा करा दिया गया है।

# (घ) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

# Unemployed Persons in Punjab

# 1152. Shri Daljit Singh: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Matriculates, Graduates and Post Graduates on the live register of the Employment Exchange in Punjab as on the 31st December, 1964; and
- (b) the number of persons provided with employment during 1964?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya):
(a) and (b).

Number on live register as on 31-12-1964	Number placed in employ- ment during 1964
2	3
28,722	26,912
2,215	3 <b>,35</b> 7
	on live register 83 on 31-12-1964

# Postal Bags

# 1153. Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri S. C. Samanta: . .

Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that in the recent years instances of postal bags being lost has increased;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that a bag with Rs. 2,500 on its way from Gua Sub-Post Office to Chaibasa in Bihar is missing since the 4th January, 1965; and
- (c) whether any enquiry has been made in this case and if so, the result thereof.

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) No. Sir.

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) Departmental and Police investigations are in progress and their findings are awaited.

# Technical Hands in Army

1154. Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there is a great shortage of technical hands in the Indian Army;
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and
- (c) the steps taken by Government to meet the Defence requirements in this respect?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). There is a shortage of technical officers in the Army due to considerable expansion after the Emergency and general shortage in the country of suitably qualified technical personnel.

In regard to technical personnel other than officers, there has been no serious difficulty in meeting the demand for raw recruits for these categories. It, however, takes some time

- to so train them and as such it is not possible to make up the deficiencies straightaway.
- (c) To meet the Defence requirements in the officers cadres, the following steps have been taken:—

# Engineers:

- (i) Grant of commission during the period of pre-commission training to selected graduate engineers and to selected final year engineering students during the period of study also, under the 'University Entry Scheme'.
- (ii) Two years' ante-date on successful completion of precommission training.
- (iii) An additional ante-date not exceeding two years to candidates who are in employment of Central or State Governments or of Public Sector Undertakings.
  - (iv) Reservation of 50 per cent permanent vacancies occurring during the Emergency in the Engineering Services under the Central and State Governments for those who join the Army temporarily and are later released.
  - (v) Grant of commission to a prorortion of officers recruited to the Engineering Services under the Central and State Governments by getting them on deputation to the Army under the four year 'Compulsory Service Liability Scheme', recently sanctioned by the Government.

# Army Medical Corps Officers:

- (i) Besides recruitment through open market the scheme of secondment of State Government doctors to Army Medical Corps is continuing.
- (ii) To step up recruitment, decentralised Selection Boards are functioning in various States.

- (iii) Final year M.B.B.S. students are also being granted SSRC (on probation). After passing their final M.B.B.S. Examination, they are taken in as Short Service Regular Commissioned officers on production of Provisional Medical Registration Certificate.
- (iv) Reservation of 50 per cent vacancies occurring during the Emergency in the Medical Services under the Central and State Governments for those who join the Army Medical Corps temporarily and are later released.

# Military Nursing Service Officers:

- (i) Two more Probationer
  Nurses' Training Schools for
  coaching for B.Sc. Degree of
  nursing of Poona University
  have been started during the
  period of the emergency.
- (ii) Recruitment of trained civilian Nurses is continuing.
- (iii) A new scheme has been introduced under which civilian Nurses both permanent and temporary of the Central State Medical Services are being deputed to the Military Nursing Service for a period of three years.
- (iv) To encourage recruitment, one of the important conditions of eligibility viz., the qualification of Midwifery has been relaxed.
- (v) Considerable publicity has been done for recruitment into Military Nursing Service through pictorial pamphlets, posters, display and classified advertisements and cinema slides.

#### Pak Firing on U.N. Observers

- 1155. Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that Pakistani troops fired on a United Nations' Observed from Moel village in

4235

Chhamb Sector in Jammu on the 18th January, 1965:

- (b) if so, the particulars thereof; and
- (c) the action taken by Government on this incident?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Pakistan troops fired at a U.N. Observer in the Chhamb area on the 14th (not 18th) January, 1965.

- (b) The incident occurred Pakistan troops, from across the Cease-Fire Line, fired at one of our posts in the Chhamb area and at some civilians who were working in vicinity. The U.N. Observer who was in this post at that time was also fired at for approximately 80 minutes. The firing ceased only after the Observer was able to contact his opposite number of Pakistan.
- (c) The U.N. Observer lodged cease-fire violation complaint with the Chief Military Observer, on his own, for having been fired at. It therefore, not considered necesary to report the matter to the U.N. Military Observer. The Chief Military Observer who was made aware of the posifign is presumed to have taken up the matter with Pakistan authorities.

# North Korean Consulate Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a number of representations received from the North Korean Consulate in New Delhi for renewal of the trade pact with North Korea which has just expired, have been rejected; and
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of External Affairs Shri Swaran Singh): (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Pensions to Commissioned Officers 1157. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Miniter of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Army

serving personnel who have risen from the ranks to the Commissioned ranks are not eligible to higher rates of pension admissible to a Lt. Colonel;

(b) if so, the reasons for not counting the service in the ranks for the grant of higher rate of pension?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) No, Sir. A Lt.-Col., irrespective of whether he was promoted from the ranks or was directly commissioned, is entitled to pension (subject to the prescribed conditions) at the rate applicable for the period of qualifying service rendered by him. In the case of an officer promoted from the ranks, all qualifying service as Junior Commissioned Officer one half of the qualifying service as Other Rank qualifies for pension

(b) Does not arise.

## Tank Manufacturing Unit

Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Defence pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 521 on 14th September, 1964 and state:

- (a) whether the proposal submitted by the French Delegation visited Delhi for talks on the question of setting up a tank manufacturing unit in India has since been considered; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Defence Production in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The selection of a light tank for manufacture in India is still under consideration.

#### Nissan Jeeps

Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Defence De pleased to state:

(a) whether the proposal for increasing the manufacture of Nissan Jeeps and Nissan one-ton trucks has since been considered by Government; and

(b) if so, the broad features of the scheme?

The Minister of Defence Production in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) It is proposed to set up a selfcontained unit at Jabalpur for the production of 500 Shaktiman Trucks and 600 Nissan Vehicles per month.

# Artillery Centre and Training School

# 1160. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 523 on the 14th September, 1964, and state:

- (a) whether the proposal for opening of Artillery Centre and a Clerks Training School has since been considered by Government; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Dr. D. S. Raju): (a) and (b). The proposal for opening an Artillery Centre has been dropped. The one for the Clerks Training School has been revised, and the revised proposal is now under consideration.

# Industrial Disputes Act

1161. Shri U. M. Trivedi: Shri A. P. Sharma: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, applies to the Railways in full or only certain Sections thereof; and
- (b) the provisions in this Act which are not applicable to the Railways?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) and (b). All provisions of the Indus-

trial Disputes Act. 1947, except Chapter IIA regarding notice of change, are applicable to the Railways

भाकाशवाणी में संसद सदस्यों के प्रोप्राम

1162. **्रश्नी प० ला० बारूपाल** : ्रश्नी सूर्य प्रसाद :

क्या सूचना और प्रसारण मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) 1 जनवरी, 1962 से 1 जनवरी, 1965 तक कितने संसद् सदस्यों को झाकाश-वाणी के विभिन्न केन्द्रों में प्रसारण प्रोग्राम दिये गये ;
- (ख) इन प्रोग्रामों का विषय क्या था ग्रीर वे किस ग्राधार पर दिये गये थे; ग्रीर
- (ग) उपर्युक्त स्रवधि में प्रत्येक सदस्य को प्रति प्रोग्राम कितनी धनराणि दी गई?

सूचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्री (भीमती इन्दिरा गांघी) : (क) श्रीर (ग). सूचना एकत्रित की जा रही है श्रीर यथासमय सदन की मेज पर रख दी जायेगी।

(ख) संसद् सदस्यों को राष्ट्रीय संकट, भ्रष्टाचार उन्मूलन, राष्ट्रीय एकता भीर भन्य सांस्कृतिक एवं साहित्यिक विषयों पर भाषण, परिसंवाद भीर भेंट प्रसारित करने के लिए बुलाया जाता है। उनको रेडियो पर उनकी ज्ञात रुचि के विषयों पर बोलने के लिये बुलाया जाता है।

## P&T Employees in Orissa

Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:
Shri Rama Chandra Mallick:

Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Posts and Telegraphs employees in the Orissa State

who have been provided with Government residential accommodation till the 31st December, 1964; and

(b) whether there is any proposal to build staff quarters for the P and T staff in Orissa State during 1965-66?

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the Lok Sabha as early as possible.

# S.C. and S.T. Employees of A.I.R.

# 1164. \ Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state the number of staff artists and employees of the New Delhi Station of the All India Radio belonging to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes as on the 31st January, 1965?

# The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shrimati Indira Gandhi):

	No. of persons belonging to		
		Scheduled Schedu	
Staff Artists .		4	ī
Other employees		39	t
Other employees		39	

#### Post Offices in Orissa

1165. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:
Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:
Shri Rama Chandra Mallick:

Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Branch Post Offices, Sub-Post Offices and Public Call Offices in Orissa as on the 31st December, 1964; and
- (b) the number of such offices proposed to be opened in Orissa during 1965-66 ?

# The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shri Bhagavati):

(a) (i) Branch Post Offices	4,084
(ii) Sub-Poxt Offices.	381.
(iii) Public Call Offices	219
(b)(i) Branch Post Offices	215
(ii) Sub-Post Offices .	7
(iii) Public Call Offices	11.

# Telephone Connections in Orissa.

1166. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Rama Chandra Mallick:

Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of applications for grant of telephone connections pending in various telephone exchanges in Orissa as on the 31st December, 1964; and
- (b) the steps taken to expedite the matter?

# The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) 1784.

(b) For giving telephone connections to the pending applicants, continuous efforts are being made to set up new telephone exchanges and to expand the existing telephone exchanges to the maximum possible extent consistent with the available resources.

#### Telephone Exchanges in Orissa

# 1167. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Cmmunications be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of telephone-exchanges in Orissa as on the 31st December, 1964;
- (b) whether there is any proposal to increase them during 1965-66; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications. (Shri Bhagavati): (a) Forty Seven.

- (b) Yes.
- (c) Telephone exchanges proposed to be installed during 1965-66 are as follows:
  - 1. Deogarh
  - 2. Rengali
  - 3. Tirunitrapur
  - 4. Chandrapara
  - 5. Soro
  - 6. Chandbali
  - 7. Joda.

# Post Offices in Orlega

| Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: | Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: | Shri Rama Chandra Mallick:

Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal to convert a few sub-Post Offices into Head Post Offices and Branch Post Offices into sub-Post Offices in Orissa during 1965-66; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) Yes,

(b) A statement is laid on the table of the Lok Sabha. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-3995/65].

# Withdrawal of Kenya Asians from Business

1169. \int Shri Ram Sewak: \int Shri P. G. Sen:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a call has been given by Shri S. G. Amin, President of the Kenya Indian Congress for a phased withdrawal of

Kenya Asians from business and commerce; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). Shri S. G. Amin made a statement in November, 1964 suggesting the following: "a phased withdrawal of non-Africans, with fair compensation,. from commerce and industry with a corresponding replacement Africans." Simultaneously other evenues of fruitful employment for the talent and ability of those affected should also be provided. Such re-adjustment could be phased over a period of 5 to 10 years, and "the Kenya Government could finance the operation by providing initial funds to the Africans."

## Trade Union Recognition in Bihar

1170. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Shri Daji: Dr. L. M. Singhvi:

Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Mr. J. R. D. Tata has complained to the Central Government about the politically motivated interference by the Bihar Government in the matter of trade union recognition in that State; and
- (b) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) No, Sir.

(b) The matter falls in the state sphere.

## रात्रिकालीन डाकघर

1171. भी भौंकार लाल बेरवा : क्या संचार मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत में रात्रिकालीन डाकघर खीले गये हैं;

4244

- (ख) यदि हां, तो उनको संक्वा कितनो
- (ग) िछले दो वर्षों में कितने डाकघर ·खोले गए तथा कहां कहां खोले गए ?

# संचार विभाग में उपमंत्री (श्री भगवती): .(क) जी हां।

- (ख) चालीस (40)।
- (ग) 1963 में -एक-भोपाल

# 1964 में-तेरह

विजयवाडा प्रधान डाकघर. कलाकार स्ट्रीट उप डाकघर (कलकत्ता), हावड़ा प्रधान डाकघर, पूना रेलवे उप डाक-घर, नागपूर सिटी उप डाकघर; करोल बाग उप डाकघर (दिल्ली), लाईगंज उप डाकघर (जबलपुर), नवरंगपुरा उप डाक-घर (ग्रहमदाबाद), बड़ौदा प्रधान डाकघर, चिकपेट उप डाकघर (बंगलौर) जालन्धर प्रधान डाकघर, लुधियाना प्रधान डाकघर तथा श्रीनगर प्रधान डाकघर (मौसमी-1 ग्रप्रैल से 30 श्रक्तबर तह)।

#### **Candidates** Registered in Employment Exchanges

Shri Mohammad Elias: Shri Warior:
Shri Maheswar Naik: Shri Rama Chandra Mallick:

Will the Minister of Labour Employment be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of unemployed persons registered in different Employment Exchanges throughout country during 1964; and
- (b) the number of unemployed persons who got employment through Employment Exchanges throughout the country during 1984?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a) 38,31,904.

(b) 5,44,818.

# Automatic Telephone Exchange in U.P.

1173, Shri Vishwa Nath Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the names of cities and towns in Uttar Pradesh where automatic telephone exchanges have been installed;
- (b) whether there is any proposal to instal automatic telephone exchanges in that State during 1965-66:

#### (c) if so, their locations?

The Deputy Minister in the Departof 'Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) The number of autotelephone exchange working as on 15-2-65 in the State of U.P. was 60 as per list attached herewith.

- (b) Yes.
- (c) Automatic telephone exchanges are to be installed during 1965-66 at 88 stations as per details given in the statement laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in library, see no. LT-3996/65].

# Candidates Registered in Employment Exchanges in U.P.

1174. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Labour and Employment be pleased be state:

- (a) the number of Matriculates. Graduates, and Post-Graduates on the live registers of the Employment Exchanges in Uttar Pradesh as on the 31st December, 1964;
- (b) the number of technical personnel provided with employment during 1964; and
- (c) the number of technical personnel remaining on the live registers as on the 31st December, 1964?

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): (a)

Number of applicants on the live register at the end of December, 1964

Matriculates and Higher Secondary passed (including Intermediates) . 1,10,064

Graduates (including Post-Graduates) . .

9,551

- (b) 24,397.
- (c) 31,954.

# प्रश्नों की सुचनायें डाक द्वारा भेजना

1175. श्री विभूति मिश्रः क्या संचार मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि सदस्य छपे फार्मों पर संसदीय प्रण्नों की सूचनायें दुक-पोस्ट से भेज सकते हैं:
- (खं) यदि हां, ता क्या यह भी सच है कि कुछ डाकखाने उन्हें बुक पैकेट की दरों पर स्वीकार करने में श्रापत्ति करते हैं जिससे संसद्-सदस्यों का परेशानी होती है ; श्रौर
- (ग) यदि हां, ता इस सम्बन्ध में क्या कार्यवाही करने का विचार है ?

संचार विभाग में उपमंत्री (श्री भगवती): (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) ग्रौर (ग), प्रश्न ही नहीं उठते ।

Compulsory Life Insurance of Jawans

1176. Shri Inder J. Malhotra: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any scheme under operation or consideration by

which automatic compulsory life insurance is ensured of the Jawans of Army, Air Force and Navy, who are on 'active duty'; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Sainik School in J&K

1177. Shri Inder J. Malhotra: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Central Government have been approached to open a 'Sainik School' at Jammu; and
- (b) if so, the action taken in the matter?

The Minister of Defence Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). No, Sir. The Director of Education, Srinagar, had requested for the particulars of the Sainik schools functioning in for prospectuses of India and also some of the Sainik Schools, Recently of J&K the Education Department Government had asked for a copy of the syllabus followed in the Sainik Schools. All necessary material required for considering a proposal to open a Sainik School has been furnished to the State Government.

# Map in National Geographic Magazine

1178. Shri Inder J. Malhotra: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware of the fact that the May, 1963, issue of the National Geographic Magazine of U.S.A., published a map of India in which the State of Jammu and Kashmir is shown as 'disputed State' between India and Pakistan; and
- (b) if so, the action taken in the matter?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The matter was taken up with the publishers who expressed regret. additing that no slight to India was intended. A copy of the map which shows the frontiers of India correctly has been furnished to the publishers at their request. The matter is still under correspondence.

# Heavy Water Plant

1179 Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Gvernment have decided to set up a heavy water plant at Korba in Madhya Pradesh;
- (b) if so, when the plant is likely to be set up; and
- (c) the estimated expenditure to be involved therein?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): (a) No.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

# बस्तुओं को ग्रणुप्रक्रियाद्वाराठंडा करके सुरक्षित रस्त्रना

1180. श्री बसवन्तः क्या प्रधान मंत्री
यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि क्या ग्रणु प्रक्रिया
से मछली, मांस तथा फलों को ग्रधिक समय
तक ठीक रख सकने के बारे में ग्रणु शक्ति
प्रयोगशाला, ट्राम्बे में कोई प्रयोग किया
गया है ?

प्रधान मंत्री तथा घणु शक्ति मंत्री (श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री) : जी हां, समुद्री पानी तथा ताजे पानी में पैदा हुई बहुत सी किस्मों की मछलियों को ग्रधिक समय तक किरणीयन की सहायता से ठीक रख सकने के बारे में परम णु ऊर्जा संस्थान, ट्राम्वे में प्रयोग किये गये हैं। फलों तथा सब्जियों, जैसे— संगतरे का रस, श्राम, चीकू, शालू, मटर प्याज को विकिरणीयन की सहायता से परि-श्वित क ने तथा उनका रोगाणुनाशक करने के बारे में संस्थान में प्रयोग किये जाते रहे हैं। इन प्रयोगों में से कुछ के बारे में विस्तृत विवरण "न्यू क्लियर इण्डिया" के अक्तूबर, 1964 के अंक में, जिसकी प्रतियां संसद् सदस्यों को भेजी गई थी, तथा सदन के पुस्तका-लय में भी उपलब्ध हैं, प्रकाशित किया गया था।

## P.O. Life Insurance Fund

1181. Shri M. S. Murti: Will the Minister of Communications bepleased to state:

- (a) the rate of interest allowed to the Post Office Insurance Fund;
- (b) whether there is any proposal to revise the rate of interest; and
- (c) if so, the date from which it is likely to be given effect to?

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a)  $3\frac{1}{2}$  per cent per annum.

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) Our proposal has not yet been: agreed to. It is being pressed and the date of effect will be fixed only when it is agreed to.

## I.N.S. Varakkal

# 1182. Shri A. V. Raghavan: Shri Pottekkait:

Will the Minister of **Defence** bepleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1 on the 7th September, 1964 and state:

- (a) whether any final decision has been taken in the matter of locating I.N.S. Varakkal' permanently at Kozhikode; and
  - (b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) No, Sir.

(b) The permanent location of the Petty Officers' Leadership School is under consideration and a proposal to shift it from Kozhikode to Coimbatore is being examined.

# Suicide by R.M.S. Employee

# 1183, Shri A. V. Raghavan Shri Pottekkatt:

Will the Minister of Communications be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 18 on the 16th November, 1964 regarding the suicide of a R.M.S. employee of Ernakulam and state:

- (a) whether Government have since examined the enquiry report;
- (b) if so, the findings of the inquiry and the action taken against any officials; and
- (c) the financial assistance proposed to be given to the family of the deceased?

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Communications (Shri Bhagavati): (a) and (b). On examination of the preliminary report it was considered advisable to have further and fuller enquiries made by a senior officer whose report is expected shortly.

(c) In addition to the amounts already mentioned as paid to the widow of the deceased vide reply to Unstarred Question No. 18 answered on 16th November, 1964 in the Lok Sabha, a Death-cum-Retirement gratuity of Rs. 1,650 has been paid to the widow. She has also been sanctioned a family pension of Rs. 49-50 per month from 22th September, 1964. Orders have also been issued for employment of the widow as a clerk in the Post Office in relaxation of the normal recruitment rules.

#### I.A.F. Plane Crash

1184. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether an I.A.F. plane caught fire in the air on the 26th February, 1965 near Kheri village in Muzaffarnagar district and was completely wrecked; and

(b) if so, the details of the incident and the action taken in the matter?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Dr. D. S. Raju): (a) An I.A.F. aircraft, while on a training flight, crashed near Khatauli Railway Station in Muzaffarnagar District on 26th February, 1965. The pilot, who was the sole occupant. baled out safely.

(b) A Court of Inquiry has been appointed to investigate the accident. Full details will be known when the report of the Court of Inquiry is received.

# Disabled Ex-Servicemen

1185. Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have any proposal for employment or re-employment of disabled Ex-servicemen in the Defence services; and
- (b) if so, the salient features thereof?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Yes, Sir. A proposal to reserve a small quota for disabled ex-servicemen in civil posts in Defence establishments, if they are otherwise qualified, is under consideration of the Government.

- The broad details of the proposal are as follows:-
  - (i) the reservation will be in Class III and Class IV posts to the extent of 5 per cent of the total number of civilians in large units and 10 per cent in small units which employ 20 or less civilians.
  - (ii) Vacancies which can be made available for disabled exservicemen will be notified by Army Headquarters to the Central Employment change who will, in consultation with the employing

4252

authorities, nominate suitable disabled ex-servicemen for employment in those vacancies.

Written Answers

- (iii) The normal medical standards will not be applied in such cases.
- (iv) The disabled ex-servicemen so employed will be exempted from Field Service Liability.

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री :

# लोक सहायक सेना

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय :
श्री गोरी शंकर कक्कड़ :
श्री उ० मू० त्रिवेबी :
श्री बड़े :
श्री मार्गे :
श्री सिहासन सिह :
श्री स० मी० बनर्जो :
श्री श्रव नारायण :
श्री श्रव नारायण :
श्री श्रव नारायण :
श्री श्रव का बास मंत्री :
श्री वे० शि० पाटिल :
श्री प० ह० भील :
श्री प० ह० भील :
श्री जगदेव सिह सिद्धान्ती :

क्या प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंने कि:

भी यु॰ द॰ सिंहः -भ्रा. सहरी सिंहः

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि लोक सहायक सेना में भर्ती करते समय सभी जवानों से पांच वर्ष तक सेवा करने के बांड भरवाये गये थे;
- (ख) यदि हां. तो ऐसे कितने लोग हैं जिन्हें ग्रव तक पांच वर्ष की सेवा की भविध पूरी होने से पहले सेवा से भलग कर दिया गया है।
- (ग) कितने लोगों को दस वर्ष तक सेवा कें रखा स्वा : श्रीर

(घ) उनमें से ऐसे कितने लोग हैं जो पेंशनर नहीं हैं?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (डा॰ द॰ स॰ राजू): (क) लोक सहायक सेना योजना के प्रधीन, प्रशिक्षित असैनिकों के सेवा की कोई देयता न थी। लोक सहायक सेना के प्रशिक्षक कर्मचारीगण-दलों के लिए, भूतपूर्व सैनिक 5 वर्षों के लिए पुनः भर्ती किये गये थे, यदि उनकी सेवाएं इतने तक ब्रावश्यक हों। न तो श्रसैनिकों को, और न ही प्रशिक्षक कर्मचारिगण को किसी प्रकार का कोई वैधपन्न भरना श्रावश्यक था।

- (ख) ग्रीर (ग) प्रशिक्षक कर्म-चारिगण के सम्बन्ध में सूचना सहज प्राप्त नहीं है, ग्रीर इसे इकट्ठा करना, प्राप्त होने वाले फल के ग्रनुरूप नहोगा।
- (घ) प्रशिक्षक कर्मचारिगण का कोई भी व्यक्ति लोक सहायक सेना दलों में 10 वर्ष तक नहीं रखा गया।

# बिल्ली के काम विलाऊ वफ्तर में वर्ज कलाकार

भी घोंकार लाल बेरवा :
भी हुकम चन्द कछवाय :
भी प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री :
भी जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती :
शो जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती :
भी बूटा सिंह :
भी बूटा सिंह :
भी गु॰ व॰ सिंह :
भी नरेन्द्र सिंह महीड़ा :
भी स॰ मो॰ बमजीं :

क्या श्रम ग्रीर रोजगार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि 19 : ध्में कुछ उच्चें कोटि के कलाकारों ने ३ म. विलाऊ वपत्तर, दिल्ली में नाम दर्ज कराये बे ;

- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि उनमें के किसी को भी वाम दिलाऊ दपतर द्वारा भ्रभी तक काम नहीं दिलाया गया है; भीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं?

श्रम और रोजगार मंत्री (श्री दा॰ संजीवस्या) : (क) से (ग), दिल्ली के रोजगार कार्यालय के चालू रजिस्टर पर कोई भी उच्च कोटि का कलाकार ऐसा नहीं है जिसने श्रपना नाम 1958 में दिल्ली रोजगार कार्यालय में दर्ज कराया हो।

#### 12.00 hrs.

## RE: POINT OF ORDER

Mr. Speaker: Call Attention Notice. Shri Kapur Singh.

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): Before you proceed to the Call Attention Notice, I rise to a point of order under rule 376. It relates to the implementation of certain rules amongst which are rules 36, 44 and 50 in regard to the listment and reframing of questions which are brought before this House during Question hour.

Mr. Speaker: Kindly let me have a minute.

This is not the proper way to proceed in this matter. I would request the hon. Member, Shri Kapur Singh, not to do it in this way. He had written to me. I would like to read his letter. He wrote to me:

"Sir, today after the Question hour is over, I intend to make a short submission on the implementation of certain provisions of Chapter VII of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business."

I got it in the morning. Now, it was only written that under Chapter VII he wants to raise certain questions and wants to make his submissions.

Shri Kapur Singh: It relates to that chapter.

Mr. Speaker: Chapter VII contains so many things, questions, short notice questions etc. I should be given some notice, intimation as to what he wants to raise, so that I might be prepared. I cannot be taken unawares. So most respectfully I wrote to him:

"The hon. Member is requested first to discuss with me what he wants to raise in the House. It it is convenient to Lim, he may see me at 3.30 p.m. today".

The answer I got was:

"Sir, I cannot submit to precensorship in this matter as it affects my fundamental privileges".

Shri Kapur Singh: This is what I have to submit.....

Mr. Speaker: In the first instance, I must be given notice. I must be ready for it.

Shri Kapur Singh: This raises the entire question of my fundamental rights as a Member and I cannot submit to any curtailment thereof. I cannot submit to any situation which would even bear the semblance of a curtailment of my fundamental rights as a Member of Parliament. You say that unless I have had a discussion with you on this particular matter, I cannot raise it here. You know it already that I am not averse to discussing things with you. But in this particular question, I cannot submit to any such regulation; I feel strongly on this matter. If I am prevented from making my submissions on this. matter at this time, it would be curtaling my rights and I would be failing in my duty that devolves on me by virtue of being a Member of this House. I have a right to submit what I want to, unhindered and unrestricted.

Mr. Speaker: No. If he was making a speech in some context in certain discussions, I have never asked that he should disclose to me all that he was going to say. He has perfect freedom to do that. But if he goes out of the list of business and wants to say something or raise a point here, he must give me prior notice as to what he wants to raise.

Shri Kapur Singh: Rule 376 is quite clear and cannot be employed to silence Members from making their submissions. That is my submission.

Mr. Speaker: The difficulty for the Member is that my construction has to prevail. Therefore, he will abide by that.

Shri Kapur Singh: Your construction is virtually tantamount to silencing a Member on any point on which he may wish to make a submission.

Mr. Speaker: He may call attention to the matter on the agenda now.

Shri H. P. Chatterjee (Nabadwip): May I submit......

Mr. Speaker: No, no discussion on that .

Shri H. P. Chatterjee: I am silent here. I have been silent always. The difficulty is that in your chambers, there is no press represented. The public cannot know what we talk with you. Therefore, we have a fundamental right to make our submissions here in the House.

Mr. Speaker: No.

Call attention notice. Shri Kapur Singh.

12.05 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MAT-TER OF URGENT PUBLIC IM-PORTANCE

REPORTED CRITICISM OF THE RECENT U.S.
BOMBINGS OF NORTH VIETNAM IN THE
MAJORITY REPORT OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONTROL COMMISSION SIGNED
BY INDIA

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): I call the attention of the Minister of External Affairs to the following matter of urgent public importance, and I request that he may make a statement thereon:

The reported sharp criticism of the recent U.S. bombings of North Vietnam made in the majority report of the International Control Commission signed by India.

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): The International Control Commission sent a Special Message to the Co-Chairman on 13th February 1965 on the incidents which took place in Vietnam in the second week of February. I place a copy of the Special Message which has been published by the Government of the U.K., one of the Co-Chairmen of the Geneva Conference of 1954, on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-3989/65].

the special Message, was adopted on India-Poland majority, the Commission has listed the various documents issued by parties concerned and has stated that the Message is being submitted for the earnest and serious attention of the Co-Chairmen in view of the gravity of the situation. The International Control Commission after stating that the Documents point to the seriousness of the situation and violations of the Geneva Agreement, has requested the Co-Chairman to consider the desirability of issuing an immediate appeal to all concerned with a view to reducing tension and preserving peace in Vietnam and taking whatever measures that are necessary in order to stem the deteriorating situation.

The Canadian Representative appended a minority statement to Special Message in which he has stated that the Canadian Delegation, deems it necessary to set these events "in their proper perspective". In this statement the Canadian Representative has quoted from the so-called "conclusions" reached by the mission's Legal Committee. (Shri M. R. Masani: Why so-called? They are genuine conclusions). Since the quotations in question had neither presented to, nor had the sanction of, the Commission or any of its committees, the Indian representative has clarified the position in his comment on he Canadian statement. The Polish Representative also recorded a statement on the statement of the Canadian Delegation.

By sending this Message, the Commission has mainly sought to inform the Co-Chairmen about certain serious events which have taken place in Vietnam and which have caused great concern. The Commission has assured the Co-Chairmen that it is examining and investigating these and connected complaints concerning these events and grave developments, and will transmit a final report to the Co-Chairman as soon as possible.

India, as Chairman of the International Commission, has always end eavoured to effectively supervise the implementation of the Geneva Agreeparties concerned, and has consistently taken an objective and unbiased view of the facts and complaints which which have come up before the Commission. In the performance of its duties it has never hesitated to name the parties whenever as a result of the Commission's investigations violations of the provisions of the Agreement are established.

Shri Kapur Singh: Since India has thought it fit not to join the very sensible stand taken by Canada, have we any suggestions in mind or on paper to teach Hanoi, except through the retaliatory bombing of USA, that it does not pay in the modern world and according to international law, to take aggressive measures?

Shri Swaran Singh: This question relates to the functions of the International Control Commission, and the Internatinoal Control Commission functions under the provisions of the Geneva Agreement. Anything that comes within the mischief of what has been agreed upon in the Geneva Agreement comes before the Commission on report from one or the other party. and based upon that, they have to make their recommendations on the merits of the case. Shri Kapur Singh has raised a bigger question of what should be the attitude in case a particular attitude is take by one Power or the other. That is outside the functions of the International Control Commission.

#### 12.09 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE
CONCLUSIONS OF THE TWENTY-SECOND
SESSION OF THE STANDING LABOUR
COMMISSION, ETC.

The Minister of Labour and Employment (Shri D. Sanjivayya): I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following papers:—

- (i) Main Conclusions of the Twenty-second Session of the Standing Labour Committee held at New Delhi on the 9th and 10th December, 1964. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-3990/65].
- (ii) Main Conclusions of the Third Session (First Meeting) of the Industrial Committe on Jute held at New Delhi on the 19th December, 1964. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-3991/65].
- (iii) Main Conclusions of the First Session of the Industrial Committee on Iron and Steel held at Jamshedpur on the 15th January, 1965. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-3992/ 65].

4259 Appropriation (Railways) MARCH 15, 1965 Appropriation (Railways) 4260 No. 2 Bill Bill

[Shri D. Sanjivayya]

(iv) Main Conclusions of the Third Session (Second Meeting) of the Industrial Committee on Jute held at Calcutta on the 25th January, 1965. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-3993/65].

12.09 hrs.

OPINION ON BILL

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma (Khammam): I beg to lay on the Table Paper No. VI to the Bill further to amend the Indian Penal Code and the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, which was circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the direction of the House on the 13th September, 1963.

12.10 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS
FIFTY-NINTH REPORT

Shri Krishnamoorthy Rao (Shimoga): Sir, I present the Fifty-ninth Report of the Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions.

12.10 hrs.

BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
THIRTY-FIFTH REPORT

Shri Rane (Buldana): Sir, I present the Thirty-fifth Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

12,101 hrs.

APPROPRIATION (RAILWAYS) No. 2 BILL\*, 1965.

The Minister of Railways (Shri S. K. Patil): Sir, I move for leave to introduce a Bill to authorise payment

and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1964-65 for the purposes of Railways.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1964-65 for the purposes of Railways".

The motion was adopted.

Shri S. K. Patil: I introduce† the Bill.

12.11 hrs.

APPROPRIATION (RAILWAYS) BILL, 1965

The Minister of Railways (Shri. S. K. Patil): Sir, I beg to move†:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1965-66 for the purposes of Railways be taken into consideration".

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1965-66 for the purposes of Railways, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted

<sup>\*</sup>Published in the Gazette of India extraordinary, Part II, Section 2, dated March 15, 1965.

<sup>†</sup>Introduced/moved with the re commendation of the President.

4261 Appropriation PHALGUNA 24, 1886 (SAKA) Motion of No-(Railways) No. 2 Bill Confidence

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clauses 1, 2, 3, the Schedule, the Title and the Enacting Formula stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

Clauses 1, 2, 3, the Schedule, the Title and the Enacting Formula were added to the Bill.

Shri S. K. Patil: I move:

"That the Bill be passed".

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed".

The motion was adopted.

12.12 hrs.

APPROPRIATION (RAILWAYS) No. 2 BILL, 1965

The Minister of Railways (Shri S. K. Patil): Sir, I beg to move\*:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1964-65 for the purposes of Railways be taken into consideration."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consoliated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1964-65 for the purposes of Railways, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That Clause 1, 2, 3, the Schedule, the Title and the Enact-

ing Formula stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 1, 2, 3, the Schedule, the Title and the Enacting Formula were added to the Bill.

Shri S. K. Patil: Sir, I move:

"That the Bill be passed."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

12.13 hrs.

MOTION OF NO-CONFIDENCE IN THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS—contd.

Mr. Speaker: We shall now take up the motion of no-confidence. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Sir, before my hon. colleague opens the debate, will you be so good as to tell the House; first, how many and if so, which Ministers will participate in the debate and secondly, whether the discussion on the debate will definitely end to-morrow?

Mr. Speaker: I can answer the second part in the affirmative: certainly it will end tomorrow, not beyond that. About the first part, as the debate proceeds, then alone we can know about it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: They have not informed you yet?

Mr. Speaker: Not yet.

Shri S. S. More (Poona): Sir, if you permit me, I wish to raise a point of order.

<sup>\*</sup>Moved with the recommendation of the President.

Mr. Speaker: Even before he moves that? There is just now, nothing before the House. Therefore, no point of order can be raised in a vacuum. Previous business has finished. The next has not yet been taken up. Therefore, there is no question of any point of order.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): May I say one word? . . . (Interruptions.) It is about your ruling. You have already moved the no-confidence motion.

Mr. Speaker: I cannot move it. Should I move a no-confidence motion . . . (Interruptions). There is this limitation of time. I would like to know the reactions of the House. Ordinarily, the time limit would be fifteen minutes.

But the Leaders of Groups may go up to 30 minutes. Would that be all right?

Several hon. Members: Yes.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move:

"That this House expresses its want of confidence in the Council of Ministers."

I sincerely feel.....(Interruption).

Shri S. S. More rose-

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. There is one thing which I would like to say. Let the motion be placed before the House and then the hon. Member can just raise his point of order. I will give him an opportunity as soon as it is placed before the House.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh (Parbhani): Sir, the point of order has been sought to be raised, and I think it is right it should be raised now when the motion has been made now.

Mr. Speaker: If it is that this motion cannot be moved at this moment, I am prepared to listen to it.

Shri Raghunath Singh (Varanasi): Yes; that is the point of order. (Inter-ruption).

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Let the issue come before the House and . then we can see.

Mr. Speaker: He should leave it to me. Shri More.

Shri S. S. More: I want to submit that the present motion, this no-confidence motion, will come into conflict with the decision of the court. I am told on reliable authority that Shri Biju Patnaik and Shri Biren Mitra have gone to the Calcutta High Court raising this very issue, and the resut will be....(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let me hear him and then decide.

Shri S. S. More: The result will be, we will be heading towards a conflict again between the Judiciary and the legislature. That is why I say, that no matter which is sub judice can be discussed in the House. I may be permitted to refer to rule 58 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of the Lok Sabha which deals with the right to move the adjustment of the House.

An hon. Member: This is not for the adjournment of the House.

Shri S. S. More: I know. The rule say:

"That right to move the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance shall be subject to the following restrictions, namely:—

......(vii) the motion shall not deal with any matter which is under adjudication by a court of law having jurisdiction in any part of India;"

Now, it will be admitted by all that the Calcutta High Court is a court

4266

having jurisdiction in this matter and the matter is already in the hands of the Calcutta High Court. Any decision by the Calcutta High Court is likely to come into conflict with the decision of this House and such a thing should have to be avoided. So, a rule has been framed that any matter which is sub judice should nt be discussed simultaneously in the House. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I have followed the point of order. I know it.

Shri S. S. More: There is another rule which has a bearing on this issue. That is rule 59. It says:

"No motion which seeks raise discussion on a matter pending before any statutory tribunal or statutory authority performing any judicial or quasi-judicial functions or any commission or court of enquiry....

I believe that the Calcutta High Court is a court of enquiry

"appointed to enquire into or investigate, any matter shall ordinarily be permitted to be moved:

Provided that the Speaker may in his discretion allow such matter being raised in the House as is concerned with the procedure or subject or stage of enquiry if the Speaker is satisfied that it is not likely to prejudice the consideration of such matter by the statutory tribunal, statutory authority, commission court of enquiry."

So, my submission to you, Mr. Speaker, is that the decision here which is likely to prejudice the decision of the Calcutta High Court would result is a conflicated that should be avoided as much as possible.

Mr. Speaker: That is right. I agree there. But this is not an ordinary

motion; it is not governed by these rules only. This is a separate motion, distinct from others, for with a provision has been made. That is the first thing. Secondly, the motion of no-confidence has been admitted by me. It is not known what would come afterwards; it has to be awaited; only this much has been put, namely, that they express a lack of confidence. I cannot rule it out on that account; as to what comes afterwards, if it is brought to my notice, if something is brought here which might prejudice any proceedings, I will consider that at that moment, and not at this moment. Therefore, there is no tion of such a point of order arising now. There is no point of order. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Sir, I sincerely feel, in the best interests of our country and democracy, the present Government should lay down office. They have forfeited all moral authority to stick to this position. India is the hope of Asia so far as democracy is concerned, and fails here or we, Members of Parliament, who represent millions of our countrymen, do not show courage and foresight and arrest disturbing trends and tendencies in our country, then posterity would accuse us for bet-rayal of faith.

I am not making this motion only to secure a point here or there or to make political capital out of the weaknesses of this Government. I also do not want to belittle the Congress Party as such nor do I want to vilify any individual or minister. I am country. concerned about my own Sir, I have fought for the freedom of the country. I value certain traditions of this country and I want to preserve them. But, to my surprise, I find today that those values and traditions are at stake.

The Congress Party is ruling country for the last 17 years. They have unchallengeable support and power of a majority behind them. I [Shri Surendranath Dwivedy]
know what will be the fate of my
motion. The vast majority sitting
Opposite will (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The vast majority sitting opposite, I am sure, will push the button like automatons, although, I know, individually and privately many of them sincerely feel like me.

Several hon. Members: No, no.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: They sincerely feel, like me, that things have utterly gone wrong and need a radical cure.

The one remedy before us, and the best remedy in a democracy, is to overthrow the Government. If the Government do not go voluntarily they should be pushed out. If the Parliament fails to do that, there is the people who have elected this Parliament and who will see that this Government does not remain in office.

Sir, Pandit Nehru was the Prime Minister of this country from the very beginning. He was here for 15 to 16 years. But there was no no-confidence motion.

An hon. Member: There was.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Listen to me. Towards the fag end of his career, towards the fag end of his ministry, there was only one noconfidence motion. But you should ask yourself why...

Mr. Speaker: I will ask myself. The hon, Member will address me.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I am addressing the Government—you will excuse me, Sir—through you. They should ask themselves—I am putting this to them, Sir, through you—why hardly after the assumption of office of this Government within nine months there are two no-confidence motions.

Some hon. Members: Shame, shame.

An hon. Member: Political propaganda.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: It is because of the dismal failure of this Government to tackle any of the problems of national importance. That is the only reason. Only September last we had a no-confidence motion when we brought to the notice of the House and the country the worsening economic situation. The food position is humiliating (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I would request hon. Members on all sides to remain quiet. We should have a regular debate and not these running sommentaries, interruptions and all that. There ought to be patience on the part of hon. Members.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I may tell my hon, friends that we had a meeting with the Prime Minister only the other day. The Prime Minister was telling us that at least when Members or Ministers are speaking there should be no interruptions. Probably, his words are followed by his followers like this. What I want to say is that the economic situation has deteriorated, the food position is worsening, there is a steep rise in prices and, what is more, in spite of the bumper crops surprisingly there food shortage. The real condition of the poor and middle class people has become hopeless. They are distressed. Therefore, we find today that even doctors and teachers resort to strike because of this situation. People belonging to no section or community feel safe or happy today. The disparity in income and wealth is growing to a disgusting proportion.

More than the failures on the economic front, the most disheartening aspect of the developments during the last few months is the inability of the leadership to maintain the integrity and unity of this country. This becomes all the more important in view

of the dangers we are facing on our borders. The events in Madras have proved that there are forces in this country tendencies in this country which, when opportunity comes, might do grave injury to the nation. Apart from that, what do they further demonstrate? They demonstrate the inability of this Government which has no imagination. They cannot and they are not in a position to face situations when they emerge. If today there is a demand that we must have statutory guarantee to make English as the associate language. which demand was not there when Pandit Nehru was alive, what is it due to? If in spite of the fact that Prime Minister repeats that we shall stick to the assurance of Pandit Nehru, still the demand for statutory guarantee is continued what is it due to? It is because the people at large, in fact even his own followers, do not believe that this leadership is able to implement what it says.

The State of emergency in this country has become a mockery. In the name of emergency they arrested people who were openly working for the enemy in our country. But what was the result? People voted for the same people; they made them heroes and elected them to our legislatures. Is there a greater condemnation than this so far as this Ministry is concerned?

Then I will take the law and order situation. Open day light political murders are going on in this country. Take the case of Sanyal or Pratap Singh Kairon. But what is this Government doing? The Government says that it is helpless or it is a State subject.

After the death of Pandit Nehru one would have expected that things would improve. Shri Shastri, who refused to follow the beaten track of Pandit Nehru, and rightly so, can proudly claim today that, so far as he is concerned, he has established a record for drift and indecision. He has failed to convince his own colleagues in the Cabinet about the soundness of Government policy and, therefore, they resigned. The Prime Minister is the keystone of the Cabinet. May I read out to you what professor Laski has said:

"He is central to its formation, central to its life and central to its death. No Cabinet can fail to take its complexion from what he is and does in its direction....He has very considerable personal powers; but the condition of their effective exercise is overwhelmingly that he should be able to persuade and not to coerce:"

#### Mark these words:

"and the condition of success in that persuasion is pretty effectively dependent upon the success of his government."

If this criterion is adopted, what do we find? We find that the men at the helm of affairs have no will, no determination, no mind of their own. Ministers speak in different voices. They air their differences publicly. It is going on in this country and the Prime Minister has not been able to function even within the four corners the Constitution. The Cabinet lacks courage, ability, sincerity, determination and foresight. The only capacity and dynamism these people have shown—a political strategy in,-"Parkalam"—wait and see; let matters drift and take their own course. Delay has become the quintessence of political wisdom.

The most objectionable aspect these developments, which you must have observed, is the indifference of the Cabinet to Parliament. Parliament is given a raw deal. Important mat ters are being concealed deliberately from Parliament. Even the prestige and authority of Parliament is being undermined. What is even more serious is that they think that they are more important than Parliament and the nation. Decisions are taken at the back of Parliament and the Government.

# [Shri Surendranath Dwivedy]

Who are taking the decision? The decisions are being taken by what is known as, the syndicate or the coterie which is jockeying for power and which is manoeuvring for power Instead of a socialist rule today we have the syndicate rule. Even the Congress Party has become helpless to break this hegemony. The result is complete frustration.

Undermining the authority of Parliament is the greatest offence against our people. I want to warn this Government that if the authority of Parliament is undermined, then orderly Government also goes. There are already forces and, combinations which work in this country for that eventuality and the consequences would be disastrous.

l would have ignored all these things provided this Government was able to give us a clean administration. The Prime Minister is a man of integrity. The Home Minister announced from housetops that within two years he was going to eradicate corruption (Some Hon. Members: Sadachar). Unless there is a clean and efficient administration there cannot be any orderly progress let alone democracy and socialism which is a far cry. The Government has failed even in this matter. Why? Because of shortage of foreign exchange? What really was the difficulty in their way? There is no will; there is no mind; there is no imagination.

I do not think that corruption is a party matter.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is a party matter, a Congress party matter.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Corruption is not a party matter. We are all ready to support the Government in erdicating corruption. We thought that this country would make a national effort in this respect. I may remind you, Sir, that when I

raised this question on the 21st February, 1963 in this House first and Pandit Nehru wanted from me some details about the matters that I referred to. I wrote to him like this:

"Let me assure you that it is not on account of the fact that I am in opposition that I have brought this matter to your notice. Our public life and administration are open to scandalous charges often and if things like this are not clarified and steps are not taken to remove doubts from the minds of people, it will leave a very bad legacy for the future generation."

This is our approach.

But what is the Government's approach? Whenever there is any allegation made public, they keep mum. When it is first made they scoff at it. They think that Their party is more important than the nation. If any example is needed the Minister, Shri Shastri's statement in this House on the 22nd February is a clear example in this regard. shows that he and his Government are ready to protect even crimes committed if they affect their own partymen in authority. (Some Hon. Memberss Shame, shame). They have refused to follow even the minimum, normal standards.

If you see his statement in which he refers to the cases of Orissa, Mysore and Bihar, you will find that about Mysore and Bihar he says that there is nothing. He says: "There was no ground for the Central Government....."

Shri S. S. More: If any reference is to be made to the Orissa matter which is directly under litigation . . .

Some hon. Members: No, no.

Shri S. S. More:...he will be speaking on the basis of assumption and if we are to come to a proper conclusion.....(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member can realise that I cannot shut out the name of Orissa. Nothing has come yet. Let the debate proceed. Then, if he feels that something is said which is under judicial inquiry, he may just remind me.

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur): Sir, the ghost again and again being dug out by Shri More can be laid at rest once and for all if you draw a line between the Orissa Government and some indivisuals. The litigation that is going on in the Calcutta High Court is at the instance of individuals. I do not know of any litigation that is being instituted by the Orissa Government. So, how is this House concerned with that?

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya (Raiganj): The litigation has been instituted on these very charges that are going to be discussed here.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: What are the charges? I am not hurling charges as yet. Your Cabinet Subcommittee has made the charges..... (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order; every-body should not stand up and speak.

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): There is a combination.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: These are unnecessary interruptions; otherwise, I will complete my speech as early as possible.

The Prime Minister has referred to Orissa, Mysore and Bihar and about Bihar and Mysore he just finishes in one sentence. What is that? He says that there is no ground for the Central Government to take any further action. This is strange. I have whole thing before me, both about Mysore and Bihar. How did he dispose of it? The same Cabinet Subcommittte, which has been thoroughly exposed for its partisanship in the Orissa affair, was entrusted with the task. No statement was called for;

no enquiry was made. The accused persons were asked to make statements and on the basis of those the Prime Minister makes this announcement. Can there be a more facile announcement than this? How long can we tolerate this kind of political chicanery?

The Prime Minister's statement is full of half-truths when it refers to Orissa. Either he has been misled by his own colleagues and advisers or he has made a deliberate attempt to shield his partymen and soft-pedal the charges against these guilty men of Orissa and, therefore, he made a statement which has no relation to facts. I will show that presently. One mistake is followed by several other mistakes.

In his opening statement he refers to the allegations made before the President. I would ask him to tell me whether his Cabinet colleagues, who were examining this matter, told him that there is a voluminous document which contained many serious charges and which they have not been able to look into or have they deliberathely kept him in the dark. There is no reply other than this.

So far as this House is concerned, we are concerned about the allegations regarding Kalinga Industries Orissa agents. On November 15, 1963 I referred to this matter in this House and also wrote a letter to Prime Minister Nehru asking him to have a judicial inquiry and Nehru told me and this House that so far as this particular matter was concerned, the Public Accounts Committee of Orissa would go into it. We were told that a special audit was appointed by the Auditor-General. 18 months have passed. Where is the report? Chief Minister of Orissa says that the report has not been received by them. Where has it gone then? Unless it comes before the Assembly and the Public Accounts Committee, cannot take any decision about it. I

[Shri Surendranath Dwivedy] want to know whether the Government when they decided this matter also took into account that report and that inquiry.

Motion of

When all these delays occurred, we submitted a memorandum. All that we wanted was a commission of inquiry. That was the proper course to follow. But what did these people do? The guilty men of Orissa felt that if a commission of inquiry was appointed, they would be thoroughly exposed. They came forward and in a bravado announcement said, "Let the CBI be sent for an inquiry". This has been admitted by Shri Nanda himself that the CBI had been sent to Orissa with the consent of the present leaders of Orissa. Having received the report of the CBI, the right course for this Government was to appoint a commission of inquiry. It will be seen from the report-I will quote-that actually the CBI and the records which are with them proved beyond doubt that there was a criminal conspiracy to defraud public money, take gratification, not only by Shri Bijou Patnaik and Shri Biren Mitra but by Shri Sadasiv Tripathy and Shri Nilamoni Routray and others.

Shri S. S. More: Sir, on a point of

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: There is no case.....

Mr. Speaker: Let me hear the point of order first.

Would the hon. Members kindly restrain themselves so that the proceedings could be followed?

Shri S. S. More: He is believing that the allegations made are true allegations. And the C.B.I. Report does not refer to any allegations. If he makes any statement regarding the report, that statement made on the floor of the House is likely to influence the High Court Judges. If these allegations influence the High Court Judges, their inquiry will be prejudiced.

Shri J. B Kripalani (Amroha): May I submit that the Cabinet Sub-Committee have themselves given an opinion? Will not that affect the High Court?

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: rise on a point of order. The learned Acharya referred to the Cabinet Sub-Committee's report and made a claim that the Cabinet Sub-Committee have themselves violated and discussed the matter which is sub judice. (Interruption). All that boils down to this. The Cabinet Sub-Committee's report has been laid by a private Member on the floor of the House. The Cabinet Sub-Committee's proceedings from part of the Cabinet proceedings. What goes on there can have no bearing on this matter because whatever goes out of the Cabinet is not meant for public cation. As long as there is no publication of that, there is no interference in the judicial process.

Shri J. B. Kripalani: Everybody has got the report.

Mr. Speaker: That question not arise so far as those proceedings and courts are concerned I learnt there were certain charges made outside by certain citizens and some of the Members also made certain allegations here on the floor of the House. Some suits have been filed in courts that those allegations are Now, that is thing which is separate from this one that is being argued that there is some document here—I cannot say whether it is C.B.I. report or Cabinet Sub-Committee's report .... (Interruption.) is not yet known. It is not my job. I cannot compel them. I have already ruled. This is not my fault. I cannot interfere. I do not know what it is, what value it has got, what credence shall be given to it. That would be seen after the debate when the whole thing is discussed. But so far as this is concerned, that a Member gets up and says that he has a

document which he calls as the C.B.I. Report and asserts it and also testifies to it, I have allowed him to quote it and I have allowed him to put it on the Table of the House after a copy has been made over to the Government. It is for the Government to say, whether it admits or denies-it has not done either-and 1 cannot compel them.

Shri Nath Pai: They admit it by their silence.

Mr. Speaker: Silence I expect from all sides. There is no admission denial. I want silence. When I am speaking, I expect that other Members would remain silent. Therefore, this is neither admission nor denial.

Now, so far as this is concerned, that he is quoting from some papers which he alleges are the Report or the copy of the report, that is, of the C.B.I. or of the Cabinet, I cannot vouchsafe, and still they are not certifled to be so. Only the Member has certified it and I take it on his credence. He has a right to argue that some decisions were arrived at by the C.B.I. We do not say that they were right or wrong. Their conclusions might be quite wrong. That is also just possible. What he wants to argue is that Government got a report from its own agency and then the recommendation that was made was not according to the report that was submitted to them. That is all what I can understand. Therefore, whatever it is, I am not concerned with it for the present.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I was pointing out that there was sufficient evidence on record to go to the law court. It has been published in the Hindustan Times, a national that the Home Minister himself proposed that a judicial commission of and it inquiry should be appointed has been stated that the Prime Minister appreciated the propriety of the proposal. It has not been contradicted.

As you know, Sir, in this House. when the late Prime Minister, Mr. Nehru, took up the case of Mr. Malaviya, he referred this matter to the Attorney General for his opinion and then sent it to the Supreme Court Judge for his opinion. It was, of course, a private enquiry and we protested as to why it should not be a public one. But the then Minister, Mr. Nehru said:

"My acceptance of that (Malaviya's) resignation was certainly partly conditioned by Justice Das's report obviously. Although that report was in the nature of a decision-his decision was a prima facie decision and not a final decision—I thought that was enough. It might have been perhaps more desirable if a full judicial enquiry too place. That is a method. I might have made a mistake."

That was Pandit Nehru's experience and these people have followed the most objectionable method of referring this matter to the Cabinet Sub-Committee which is nothing better than a Committee of the Congress Party. Why? It is because of political pressure. When I said that this House in December last, loudly Mr. Nanda protested and repudiated. But I want to prove how it has happened. I am sorry that the Prime Minister ultimately fell a victim to the nefarious campaign.

On November 15, this report was submitted and then the "little A. I. C. C." met at Ranchi under the leadership of a Member of this House. And what did they say? They protested gainst this and also said that all these matters should be discussed internally by the Party. May I read out to you what has appeared in the press on the 22nd of this month, a letter from Mr. Atulya Ghosh to Mr. Biren Mitra? It reads:

"....I may tell you that are the first victim of character assassination which has not only [Shri Surendranath Dwivedy] injured the administration Orissa but has also impaired the prestige of the Congress organisation due to no fault of yours...."

Motion of

-and more significantly, he says-

"....I know you for so many years and I have unflinching faith and confidence in your integrity, loyalty and character".

What a certificate! (Interruptions) Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Surendarnath Dwivedy: What has happened? I do not want to dwell much on this letter. . . .

Mr. Speaker: Every person has a right to hold any opinion.

Shri Daji (Indore): He has the right to criticise that opinion.

Mr. Speaker: I have not said that.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: This is not directed against me. It is directed against Mr. Shastri and Mr. Nanda who have assassinated this noble character.

All this started, as I said, after the submission of the C. B. I. Report. Now, I ask Mr. Nanda, the President of the Bharat Sadhu Samaj to tell me: Is he still unwilling to look at the C. B. I. Report? What a tragedy! I have given a copy, as you mentioned, of this fuller report which is with me and presently I am going to quote from that report. I charge him with having made a false statement that the C. B. I. was sent there just for collecting information, and that there was no formal inquiry or investigation. He said on the 4th March in the other House that:

"There was no case registered and there was no investigation in that sense."

I want to say that this is a false statement. He wants to wriggle out of this position by making a subtle distinction between enquiry and investigation. I can only quote what the Statesman has written about this. It says:

"Mr. Gulzarilal Nanda, for instance, may not have been technically in contempt of the Rajya Sabha on February 26 but both his statement then and his haviour on Thursday seem have fallen far below the standard expected of a responsible Minister."

In order to defend the most indefensible stand, he said in the other House:

"I am not having a look at it. I do not know what it is. If a thing is secret, it remains a secret. That is my stand.

I can only reply from a cartoon published in the Times of India by Laxman which says:

"No Sir, there has been no leak of the secret report. We investigated what the public already knew and made the report a secret."

In order to keep a bold face, what Shri Nanda has said is this:

"Even if something were there, some piece somewhere and it is brought there and I am asked to identify, I will have nothing to do with it."

What my hon, friend Shri Kamath had placed on the Table of this House was only a shock-treatment, because it was only a summary prepared by the CBI to be put before the Cabinet Sub-committee out of their report, comments, Shri Patnaik's statements etc. etc. to enable them to come to a finding, and I must say that they have done a very commendable job to repeat the hon. Minister's own words, because as I read them and as I compare their report with the Das Commismon's Report, they have almost

based it on the lines which Mr. Justice S. R. Das had adopted in the case of Mr. Pratap Singh Kairon. The report which was placed on the Table of the House was only a summary. And when this question was raised the other day, I warned Shri Nanda that the fuller report was there, and if the hon. Minister himself did not place it on the Table of the House, that would come. I have sent fuller report now. I ask him to tell me in clear conscience, if he has any left with him, whether these documents which I have in my handhere is the forwarding letter for the report, and here is the report, and here is the statement accompanying the report; I have not brought the folders and other things, and I hope my hon, friend himself will come forward with them-are true or not. Is he is a position to deny them? Is he in a position to deny this letter which has been written by Shri D. P. Kohli, Director, CBI, on the 15th November, 1964, addressed to the the Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, Mr. L. P. Singh, the number of the letter being UO. No. O-665/CBI 64, dated the 15th November, 1964? Is he in a position to deny this?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member has already taken half an hour.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Please excuse me. I shall take some more time. I shall conclude in another 20 minutes.

Mr. Speaker: He may take ten minutes more and conclude.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I shall try.

1 think Shri Nanda will apologise to the House for making a false statement in Parliament and bringing into ridicule the whole Parliamentary procedure. He has said that there was no inquiry. But if you read the report, the very first sentence in it and see the heading, you will find that it is entitled:

No-Confidence

"Report of preliminary inquiry into allegations against some Ministers of Orissa Government.".

And yet my hon, friend Shri Nanda says that there was no inquiry. He has also said that no complaint was registered. But here the cat comes out of the bag. In this report, it has been stated:

"The Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs desired the Central Bureau of Investigation to make a preliminary inquiry into these allegations and accordingly three separate P. Es. in respect of allegations against (i) Shri B. Patnaik and Shri Biren Mitra, (ii) Shri Neelamani Rout Roy, and (iii) Shri Sadasiva Tripathy, were registered on 10-9-64 in the SPE."

My hon, friend Shri M. C. Chagla may corroborate me if he has this report.

"....Two other P. Es. concerning entries in the books of Mohd. Sarajuddin & Co. showing cerain payments to Shri Neelamani Rout Roy and Shri Sadasiva Tripathy. Ministers. Orissa Government, were also registered in the preliminary inquiry".

If you look at page 3, you will find that it has been stated there that they were limited by certain cumstances. They have stated there:

"It may be stated at the outset that this preliminary inquiry has been held under certain limitations, as it was desired that no oral enquiries may be made nor should we ask for or secure the records from private parties or the firms concerned. There difficulty. In the also been scrutiny of proper some of the official records as some files [Shri Surendranath Dwivedy] made over by the State Government have been found to be incomplete, in some cases without note-sheets, and in others, some pages seem to be missing."

And yet Shri Nanda says that there was no tampering with the records.

And what is the punishment to be given? Of course, the Sadhu Samaj may pass a vote of no-confidence against him saying that he is not fit to be its president. But I am not concerned with that just now. I am concerned with this question as to what punishment should be given to Government for this. What is the punishment for one who deliberately misleads the House and wilfully suppresses a document and dishonestly tries to keep away from the public crimes that have been committed? I think the Prime Minister has not been told the truth and he has been kept in the dark.

Mr. Kohli, the Director of the CBI has said this at page 1 of the report. The allegations are not what have been given out by the Cabinet Subcommittee. Mr. Kohli says:

"From the memorial, memoranda, petitions and complaints received by the CBI, 58 allegations emerged. Out of these, 20 were not considered suitable for quiry by the CBI either because they were of trivial nature or because they involved political matters. In 23 allegations inquiry is held up for want of records or because they require open inquiries or for other reasons. leaves 15 allegations into which preliminary inquiry has been conducted. In 3 of these, the inquiry could not be completed for want of some of the relevant records and it is not possible, on material available, to come to any definite conclusion about though there are some suspicious features.".

In the face of this, I fail to understand how an ex-Chief Justice of a High Court could go into these things and give this facile judgement.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): Because he is a Minister.

An hon. Member: Because he is a member of the ruling party.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Then it has been stated:

"On the basis of the scrutiny of the records, it is not possible to say that all the allegations are unfounded, unsubstantiated or untrue. There are quite a few, and some of them rather serious, which require further inquiries to come to definite and clear conclusions. On a number of points, doubts remain."

In the face of this, it is clear that they have not inquired into all the allegations, and they have recommended an open inquiry to find out the real facts.

I do not give any importance to this self-styled jury, namely the Cabinet Sub-committee. I want to ask them to say it on oath whether what has been presented in this House as the Cabinet Sub-committee's report has not been written by them or whether they have signed it or not? Let them say that, if they have the courage to say that. Of course, they may hide it from somebody, but as a matter of fact, it is already there.

I do not give any credence to their recommendations, because in one breath they say that the conduct of these persons is very suspicious, and unbecoming of men in authority, but then they proceed further—and how perverse it is and contradict themselves and say that there is nothing, and no personal gain has been made out of it.

I know that the argument is advanced that the CBI report is a police report and it is not a judicial report. But I want to ask one question. Is the Cabinet Sub-committee a jury or a court of law? What is this Cabinet sub-committee? What would Shri M. C. Chagla have done if he were the Chief Justice of a High Court and the appeal had gone to him?

We, the memorialists, appealed to the Cabinet Sub-committe, saying 'You have heard Mr. Patnaik'-they have said that in their report-You have listened to him; you have got some records. Would you permit us also to substantiate those charges and forward some more papers which we had with us? But nothing was done, and no hearing was given to us, and they come forward with a judgment to show their face and say that the court of law has already met, and no commission of inquiry is necessary. I fail to understand this. The memorialists would have produced papers, but then the Cabinet committee was more interested in shielding the truth than in finding it out.

I am further surprised that the Prime Minister in his statement has gone beyond the recommendations of the CBI and the Cabinet Sub-committee. He says:

"Biren Mitra also did not make any pecuniary benefit out of it."

although the Cabinet Sub-committee has nowhere stated that. I would only quote for the edification of the Prime Minister what Mr. Kohli has written to Mr. L. P. Singh at page 2 of his letter:

"From the records which could be scrutinised it would appear that Orissa Agents is the sole proprietary concern of smt. Easwaramma Mitra. wife of Biren Mitra, Cheif Shri Orissa. She Minister, claims to have started this concern with taking her own money and by

without an loans from friends. open enquiry it is difficult to say how far this describes the real position and whether any funds have been invested in this concern by Shri Biren Mitra also. It is quite obvious however, Shri Biren Mitra had a direct interest in this concern as it belonged to his wife. He was also taking interest in its manegement and business activities. This is clear from certain letters exchanged between Messers. Bengal Potteries of Calcutta and Orissa Agents and between Allied Distributors of Calcutta and Orissa Agents. Scrutiny of records of Orissa Agents and open enquiries are likely to reveal further evidence of Shri Mitra's personal interest in the affairs of this concern.".

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member should try to conclude now.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I would take ten minutes more

Interruptions took more than 10 minutes.

Mr. Speaker: But they were also part of the speech. The hon. Member should try to conclude within the next five minutes.

13 hrs.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I cannot I cannot do justice to the whole subject if I do not have sufficient time at my disposal, I have in mind the interruptions also which took some time. I am not going into the details of the transactions. I am only stating some general things.

Mr. Speaker: He may take another five minutes.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: If you see page 4 para 4, there also it is clearly stated that there has been tampering.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): It is an impeachment of the Cabinet; he must have sufficient time to make out his case.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I have no doubt in my mind, with the evidence before us, that these people nave misbehaved. When the CBI Report came, the right course should have been to refer the matter to a court of law. Probably the ex-Solicitor-General gave that advice. But that was not heeded, and the decision was taken to appoint this so-called high-power committee.

Shri Kdhli again says, "at least this much is clear that they could not inquire into all these allegations." I do not want to quote further as the time with me is short. About Shri Biren Mitra's personal interest these concerns, here are papers with me. If the Cabinet Sub-Committee had wanted I would have given these to them. I have got photostat copies of these which prove clearly even as Minister he was managing the whole affairs-which has been brought out in the CBI Report, in some letters which have been produced. About Shri Patnaik, I will not say anything, because he stands self-condemned. I would only say something about the low shaft furnace episode.

Shri J. B. Kripalani: Kalinga Airlines.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I am really surprised that a committee in which Shri Chagla was a member could come to this finding that in the sale of the low furnace, he made no pecuniary gain out of it. This is beyond my comprehension.

Shri Ranga: He has now become a Minister.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I will only quote a sentence from Shri Kohli's report, because other things are there already. I charge Shri Nanda, that he was indirectly or di-

rectly committed to the sale. Therefore, in order to conceal his own weakness, he did it. This report has stated like that; I will prove it by reading two documents with your permission. One is what Shri Kohli himself has stated in page 4. He says:

"In the purchase of the low blast furnace.. and independent, careful and thorough investigation of the plant of its profitability and of its being an economic unit about its value does not appear to have been conducted".

Lastly he says:

"The liabilities which were transferred to the Orissa Industrial Development Corporation along with the Barabil plant although the terms and conditions were there....the interests which received particular consideration were not those of the Orissa Industrial Development Corporation but of somebody else's".

Then about Shri Nanda, Shri Patnaik came. What did he do? I will not recount all those things. Pages 38 to 56 are full of this. But the history in short is this. In 1954 it was set up; in 1959, it started production. Within five months, he found it uneconomic. He appealed to the Prime Minister, wrote a letter to Prime Minister Nehru. They refused to touch it, did not do anything. As soon as he became Chief Minister, he created an Industrial Corporation. That corporation immediately passed a resolution' That is a very profitable unit, you take it over.' He ran himself first to the Steel Minister. The Steel Minister, without considering profitability or anything, give permission to change the licence from the shaft furnace to the Industrial Development Corporation. This is what he did.

Then he approached Shri Tarlok Singh, Member, Planning Commission on 5th March 1963. There was a **428**9

meeting of a committee to be held on 8th March. Shri Nanda will corroborate it. Immediately Shri Tarlok Singh sent his letter to Shri T. Singh, who was Member, Industries. He wrote:

"It is rather difficult to form a view about the proposal without getting more details than stated in Patnaik's letter".

Shri Nanda, Deputy Chairman, also okays it. He says:

"All the relevant information to be obtained from the Ministry Adviser (I & M) will please study material in the first instance."

This happened on the 5th March. On the 6th, Shri Patnaik meets Nanda. He hands over to him a document in manuscript. The CBI report says he makes all sorts of claims about it, its profit being Rs. 20 lakhs and so on. Till today, they do not know what is the profit because it is mixed up with Kalinga Industries. Of course, now the Development Corporation is a separate unit altogether.

Shri Nanda wanted on the 5th a thorough investigation. On the basis of this letter of 6th, he says that Shri Patnaik says it is virtually a gift to the Government of Orissa. For a capital cost of Rs. 30 lakhs the factory was started; it was handed over as an uneconomic unit for Rs. 90 lakhs. Some crores of rupees were paid from the Government of Orissa. It is significant here to note that under the agreement Shri Patnaik has with the German combine, he will be continuously getting royalty from 10 blast furnaces if started in Orissa in course of ten years either by Government or by anybody else. The agreement is also there. Then Shri Nanda, "We may after hearing it, writes: now raise no objection". And Shri T. N. Singh says:

"Since the Dy. Chairman has already taken a view, I have nothing to say".

2438 (Ai) LSD-5.

On this, how can Shri Nanda, a member of the Cabinet sub-committee come forward and say that Shri Patnaik has made no pecuniary gain out of it?

There are further disclosures which have been completely concealed from us. That is regarding the present Chief Minister and the Deputy Chief Minister. The Prime Minister in his statement, says 'I have nothing to say'. I have no time to go into details I will only draw again attention to some passages. This is the reason why they do not want to appoint a Commission of Inquiry, because the whole house, the whole pattern Congress administration in the country will be exposed to the eye.

Shri Kohli writes about this complaint:

"A scruting of files shows that applications from Mohd. Sirajuddin or his concerns and files relating thereto were dealt with by Shri Sadasib Tripathy during the years 1952-56 when he was Minister in charge of Revenue"-

The Prime Minister has been told he was not the Minister when this was done-

"It appears further that Shri Sadasib Tripathi took personal interest in dealing with these cases and also that some tayours were shown to Mohd. Sirajuddin in the grant of lease or permission for mining or prospecting. Shri Sadasib Tripathi has denied all the entries. However, he says that Mohd. Sirajuddin did arrange for an optician at his request but that the bill for the spectacle for Rs. 214 was paid by him on 1st March, 1963 and he is in possession of the receipts. In some other cases, such entries in the books of Sirajuddin have been found to be correct. Further open inquiries are necessary to come to definite conclusions about them".

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member wanted 50 minutes and he has taken 50.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedi: The CBI has come forward and says this about Sirajuddin's books:

"There are other entries against Mr. Tripathi right from 1955 to 1963."

But one which is in the Sirajuddin books themselves written by one Rehman reads:

"जनाब अय्यूब साहब से 12,000 र 0 सदाशिव विपाठी की एक फ़िएट गाड़ी खरीदने के लिए दिया जा रहा है। चचा साहब — i.e. Sirajuddin— से बान करने के बाद यह राया देंने का फैसला किया गंशा।"

This is in the Report. It was said that Shri Neelamani Rout Roy, Deputy Chief Minister, has nothing to do with it. Shri Kohli's letter—I am not quoting it—contains observations which show what the Prime Minister said that this man had nothing to do with it when this was going on is not a fact.

Tc conclude, I want to make only one demand. All that we want, in view of this evidence, is this. Let a commission of inquiry be appointed to go into the whole question. I also want to record in this Parliament the patriotism, the boldness, the courage shown by the CBI in unearthing this matter. Government may be cerned about the leakage, but I want to tell the Prime Minister this. them tell us what the Cabinet Sub-Committee said in their report when they came to a conslusion on basis of which the Prime Minister made this announcement, what the facts are. Are these not the facts which are here in this House, and which were given here in the discussion? These point were mentioned.

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): Since that report is referred to, will it be laid on the Table of the House? If that is done, we will have an opportunity of saying something.

Mr. Speaker: Already a summary is there. I have allowed that.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: If it is placed on the Table of the House, Members would be able to say what they feel about it.

Mr. Speaker: Now he might conclude.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I want to make it clear that what we want is that a commission of inquiry should be appointed for the sake of the country, not for the sake of anybody. This is highly necessary, and I repeat what I stated in the beginning, namely that I am not approaching this question from the personal point of view. I hope that this debate would help us in establishing certain terms, certain standards for the better conduct of our business.

In conclusion, I only want to say this much. I am prepared to withdraw this no confidence motion...

The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri Tyagi): Thank you.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: .... provided Shri Tyagi will come forward and persuade his Cabinet agree to these conditions: (1) a White Taper containing all materials with Government regarding Orissa affairs is placed on the Table of the House; (2) an open judicial enquiry under the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952, is appointed to go into the whole affair regarding Orissa; (3) since prima facie evidence is available, the present Ministry in Orissa is dismissed; and (4) as a permanent measure, statutorily an institution with autonomous powers like the Supreme Court, the Public Commission and the Election Commission is created in this country to deal with this matter of corruption.

These are not controversial matters, and I hope Government will accept these things.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That this House expresses its want of confidence in the Council of Ministers."

Since Shri Chagla's name has been mentioned so many times, I will request him to speak.

Shri Nath Pai: I am very eager to listen to Shri Chagla, but on the point of order that was raised earlier may I seek your guidance in view of your earlier direction that a document which has been authenticated by the Member quoting from it, a copy which has been already supplied to you, may be placed on the Table of the House? Shri Dwivedi has referred to quite a few interesting, rather explosive, documents. We should be enabled to reach our judgement before we vote, and I therefore beg to persuade you that you be pleased direct that the documents be laid on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker: I will consider.

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): A famous English politician once said that it was the duty of the Opposition to oppose. I concede that right to the Opposition. I think it is the duty of the Opposition to be critical, to be vigilant, to keep the Governments on its toes, to see that there is proper administration of this country. I concede all these rights of the Opposition, but may I say this, that the Opposition must also have a sense of responsibility?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: To the country, to the nation. (Interruptions).

Shri M. C. Chagla: Unless I deserve interruption, I hope I will be permitted to proceed.

Government is responsible to Parliament and to the country. So is the Opposition. I do not think parliamentary institutions can func-

tion without a responsible Opposition. Speaking for myself, I am very happy when I am criticised about something that I do I always feel that I cannot see the whole of truth. Truth has many facets. I can only see a few of them. If my hon. friends can show me some more facets, I am prepared to look at them.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We have shown

Shri M. C. Chagfa: I also feel that no one has a right to claim infallibility. Therefore, we are liable to make mistakes, and if our mistakes are pointed out, it is our duty to rectify them.

Shri Nath Pai Orissa wan a mistake.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I will come to Orissa. The hon. Member may have a little patience.

I entirely agree with Shri Dwivedy that we should try and maintain the highest standards of administration. I am conscious of the fact that corruption is growing in this country. There is corruption everywhere. I have seen that there is corruption in the United States and in the United Kingdom.

Shri Hem Barua: Why should he draw inspiration from these countries for justifying corruption?

Mr. Speaker: It may or may not be a justification. He has a right to be heard, and I will request the hon. Members to be patient with him. They may not agree with him, but he has a right to say whatever he likes.

Shri M. C. Chagla: But I will confess that there is an alarming sign in this country. I talk to young men and young women, I talk to grown up people, and what troubles me, what alarms me, is the fact that our people are almost accepting corruption as a fact of life. They are treating cor-

[Shri M. C. Chagla]

ruption as an ordinary thing, and this is something which we have to fight.

Shri P. K. Deo (Kalahandi): Impossible under your Government.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Recently when I was in Paris leading the delegation to UNESCO I had a talk with Mr. Malraux, who is Minister of Culture in France, a very Frenchman, a great lover of India, a great admirer of Indian civilisation, and I vividly remember what he said: "Mr. Chagla. me. He you want scientific education, technieducation, you want to develop cal technological. I understand You will become like any other coun-But there is something special about India, which India alone to the contribute world. heaven's sake, don't lose that." And I feel that in fighting corruption, we are fighting for the soul of the nation. Therefore, I am entirely with Opposition in any suggestion they should make for maintaining the highest standards of administration.

Shri Ranga: Have a proper enquiry.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I also feel that those of us who are privileged to a super high position in this country should have the highest integrity, that our hands should be clean. We cannot appeal to the people unless we ourselves have the highest standards of integrity, and as I shall point out, regretably in this case he Opposition has not shown the highest standard which they want the Government to show.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: We ask for a commission of inquiry.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I shall deal with every point raised by my hon. friend. I am not going to run away from him. I am here to answer the very strong indictment that has been made by my hon. friend, and I shall

not run away from any point he has made.

'Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Did he not conduct the Mundhra enquiry?

Shri M. C. Chagla: Let us look at the history of this so-called affair. A representation was made to the President on the 28th July, 1964. The representation was signed various Members of the Assembly, and I believe, by some Members of this Parliament, charges were level! against Shri Patnaik and Shri Biren Mitra. President referred the matter to the Prime Minister. It was the duty, the constitutional duty, of the Minister to advise the President. The Prime Minister could have done two things. After satisfying three himself about those charges, he could have persuaded the Chief Minister to vacate his office. If the Chief Minister refused to do so, he could have advised the President to take necessary constitutional measures to remove him from office. And I am opinion, after careful consideration, that our Constitution has given sufficient power for the Prime Minister to advise the President to remove Chief Minister from office if it is found that he is corrupt, unfit to hold office, or guilty of impropriety. Therefore, this is the background. I want this House to remember-a representation referred by the President to the Prime Minister; and Prime Minister's constitutional duty to advise the President. The Prime Minister could have advised President without consulting colleagues. But the Prime Minister is a busy man. I suppose we will all admit that. If he has a mass of material to go through, it is open to him to ask some of his colleagues to him to come to a decision. When this sub-committee was set up it was for a limited purpose. I want to emphasise that. I was in committee. And our only function was to advise the Prime Minister, as to whether there was a prima facie,

4298

case against the Chief Minister of Orissa and Mr. Patnaik on strength of which he could take action or advise the President to take ac-Now, this committee was not investigating into the findings; this committee was not writing a judgment....

Shri Nath Pai: We know what it was doing; it was exonerating them.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I have said what the Committee was doing. The committee was merely concerned to see whether there was a prima facie case, not for the purpose of prosecution...

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: .. but to conceal the truth.

Shri M. C. Chagla: There was no other purpose except to advise the Prime Minister; that was the limited, restricted ambit of this committee... (Interruptions.)

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is to shield the guilty: that was the subcommittee's function.

Shri M. C. Chagla. The sub-committee advised the Prime Minister the Prime Minister was good enough to accept the advice of the committee. It was open to him not to accept it but he thought At to accept it and, what is important, he acted on the advice of the sub-committee. I really fail to understand what all this uproar is about . . . (Interruptions) The subcommittee advised the Prime Minister that Mr. Biren Mittra and Mr. Patnaik who had been Chief Ministers were guilty of impropriety and fit. The Chief that they were not Minister was not fit to hold his high The Prime Minister accepted the advice and acted on it and requested the Chief Minister vacate his office. Mr. Biren Mittra is so longer the Chief Minister.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That is not enough

An Hon. Member: Why did you not proceed further?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I do not understand what my friend Mr. Dwivedy told me. How else do you enforce high standards of administration?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Prosecute them. Look at the Santhanam Committee's recommendations.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I will come to prosecution. As I said, we were not dealing with prosecution; the subcommittee was not called upon to do so..... (Interruptions)

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: You could have recommended for it.

Shri Ranga: Could they not recommend a judicial enquiry? When the memorialists presented their memorial to the President, they wanted a judicial enquiry?

Mr. Speaker: He must be heard patiently. A good section of the Members may not agree with him: yet he has to be heard patiently.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I would like to deal with these two reports which charges had been made that we have not placed them Parliament. I heard Mr. D Dwivedv saying "we should do nothing to undermine the authority of Parliament"....I entirely agreed with it. I think India should be proud of its parliamentary institutions. We are the largest and the most populus democracy in the world and I think we have set an example of democratice institutions for all parts of the world.... (Interruptions.) I only wish sometimes that those sitting behind them uphold the authority of Parliament and the traditions of Parliament.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: That applies to both sides.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Let us look at these two documents. The sub-committee's report was part of the cabinet proceedings.

Shri P. K. Deo: These two documents had been accepted as correct. He has been quoting from these two documents.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I am trying to point out why Government has, rightly, decided to refuse to put this document on the Table of the House. The sub-committee's report was part of the Cabinet proceedings. The Prime Minister could have talked to his colleagues in the Cabinet. He could have talked to a few of them. Is it suggested that the proceedings of the cabinet should be placed on the Table of the House.

Shri J. B. Kripalani: Was it the Cabinet?

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: We are not concerned with papers of Cabinet being placed here. I would request Mr. Chagla to yield for a moment. I want a simple information: whether the facts which had been stated here, whether the Cabinet sub-committee report was signed by him or not? Is it their report or not?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I refuse to disclose it.

Mr. Speaker: If the hon. Minister does not yield, then I would not allow any Member to interrupt him. If the hon. Minister yields and sits down I will allow hon. Members to put questions.....(Interruption.) I am not objecting to Mr. Dwivedy's question just now. I would also request all Members on all sides to patiently hear him. If this game is resorted to, there would not be any eincere debate which we want.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I refuse to disclose anything that happened at the cabinet meeting. I took the oath of secrecy at the time of entering into office and I want to be loyal to that and no Member of the Opposition is going to inveigle me into disclosing secrets which I am bound on oath to keep secret.

Let us come to the CBI report. My friend Mr. Kamath gets hold of what he calls a copy of the CBI report.

Shri Hari Vishuu Kamath: He passed it on to me!

Shri M. C. Chagla: What should a man who believes in high standards of administration have done? He knew perfectly well that this was a stolen document, that the man who was handing over that document to him was committing an offence. If I were in his place, if I believed in high standards of administration, I would have handed him over to the police. (Interruptions.) What does Mr. Kamath do?

An hon, Member: You want only a police raj?

श्री बागडी (हिसार): ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यवस्थाका प्रकृत है। मैं स्राप की व्यवस्था चागला साहब की इस बात पर चाहता हंजो उन्होंने कहा है कि चोरी कर के यदि कोई व्यक्ति किन्हीं कागजात को किसी को देता है ग्रीर पाने वाले शख्स को यह पता चल जाय कि यह इसने चोरी कर के कागजात मुझे दिये हैं तो उसे चोरी कर के लाने वाले व्यक्ति को पुलिस के हवाले कर देना चाहिये या उन कागजात के भ्रन्दर यदि कोई भ्रष्टाचार किसी का छिपा हम्रा हो ग्रीर वह किसी भी तरीके से लाकर उस भ्रष्टाचार का भंडाफोड़ करने के लिए किसी के हवाले कर दे तो इस छिपे हए भ्रष्टाचार ग्रौर चोरी के बारे में जान-कारी देने वाले को इनाम मिलना चाहिये।

स्राध्यक्ष महोदय : चोरी चोरी है, चाहे वह सोने की हो या जहर की हो । इस बात पर कौन सा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठता है? उन को जो कहना है, कहने दीजिये । उन का जो ख़याल है, उस को सुनिये, चाहे स्राप उससे इतिफ़ाक न करते हों। जब स्राप की बारी स्रायेगी, तब स्राप जो कुछ भी कहना चाहें कहिये ।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, on a point of order. I take my stand on

the historic and momentous ruling which you gave on the 26th February, 1965, and as the world is well aware, you have upheld the highest traditions of parliamentary democracy. Long live Parliament, and parliamentary democracy in India.

Mr. Speaker: What is your point?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am coming to that. Point No. (6) of your ruling, is very clear and explicit. The Minister has no business—(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. What is the point?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I will read it out. Your ruling says:

"It is a fact that a document, which is treated by the Government as secret or confidential, can be obtained....".

please mark the words—"can be obtained"—(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let him read it: I know what I have said.

#### Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It says:

"....can be obtained through leakage or stealth or in an irregular manner, but the Chair would not compel the Member to disclose the source from which copies have been obtained by the Member."

So, when the Chair has ruled that the Member would not be compelled—(Interruption). Will you kindly call them to order, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I have followed what he says; what is his point?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: When the Chair has held that the Member is not compelled, is not obliged to disclose the source from which he obtained it, how can the Government, how can the Minister say that the person should be handed over to the police. It is they who are guilty; let them quite the Treasury Benches. (Interruption).

No-Confidence

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I have said definitely that it can be obtained by any of these improper methods and the word "stealth" also I have put in there; that is, theft. I included that. I said that I would not compel him to disclose the source; I would not. But what the hon. Minister says is that it is the duty of the Member; that is what he is referring to. (Interruption).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: They cannot teach us. We are here to obey your directive. The Government has no business to teach us. It is a shameless and disgraceful conduct of the Government. How can they teach us? (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. We cannot continue the proceedings in this manner.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): My submission is that the very concept of orderliness on the part of Members of this House is contingent upon, in the last analysis, your interpretation of what is orderly and what is not orderly. In this case, as in the case of journalists scoops and not telling even the courts of law the ource of their information, this is a convention appropriately accepted by society and, in relato Parliament, your ruling tion amounts to this: that Members of Parliament, if they discover documents which Government is trying to secrete but which have a relevance to though public interest, even have to beg, borrow or steal, would do so; they would do so.

Shri A. P. Sharma (Buxar): That is according to his philosophy. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. There is nothing to be argued further. I de not follow what is being discussed. I

[Mr. Speaker]

have already made it clear that I am not compelling any Member nor can any other Member compel the Member in whose hands some document might be, that he should disclose the source. Who said that this might be disclosed? (Interruption).

Shri S. M. Banerjee: He said; the Minister said.

Mr. Speaker: No, no. According to him, it was the moral duty of the Member to hand over the person to the police. That is what he was referring to.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In your ruling, Sir, you have referred to the proceedings of the House, and the speech made by Shri Feroze Gandhi. Did the Government have the conscience, the guts, to ask Shri Feroze Gandhi to hand that man to the police? They did not because Shri Feroze Gandhi belonged to their party. Was he asked to hand over that person to the police? Now, they have come up with this remark. It is most disgraceful, (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. My ruling is very clear. We are proceeding according to the procedures prescribed. Shri Chagla will continue.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let the Prime Minister answer the question. Shri Feroze Gandhi referred to certain documents in the House. Was he asked to hand over that person to the police? This is a very vital question.

Shri Bade (Khargone): On a point of order. With reference to the remarks made by Shri Chagla—

Mr. Speaker: What is the rule? He may kindly quote the rule.

Shri Bade: On a point of order, Sir, (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Is this orderliness?

Shri Bade: Shri Chagla said that Shri Kamath must have stolen it and that it was his duty to hand over the person to the police. My objection is this: In the House of Commons it has been said-and I have shown it to you, Sir-that the House is not a House of traitors but of patriots. Government is not giving any document, then it is the duty of the Opposition to bring out the document and put it in the House. There is no difference between a Minister and a Member. There may be differences in duty, but there is no difference in status. Therefore, it is the duty of the Opposition to bring that document which is hidden by the person. It is necessary to do so. (Interruption).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Was Shri Feroze Gandhi directed to hand over the person to the police? He was not, because he was the son-in-law of the then Prime Minister.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Shri Chagla.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Mr. Speaker. Sir, may I make it perfectly clear in what I am going to say about document that I did not in the least intend any reflection on your ruling I have personally the greatest respect, and as you know the whole House has the greatest respect you and your rulings and we loyally obey and abide by any ruling, give. But I repeat that it is a matter of conscience. I ask my friend Shri Dwivedy who has lectured to us on standards of public administration, is it right for a man, a Member of Parliament, who keeps secret....

Shri Nath Pai: It is right.

Shri Hem Barua: It is our right; As Members of Parliament we have got a perfect right. (Interruption).

Several hon. Members rose--

Mr. Speaker: Order order. All shall sit down. Is it not possible to differ on any particular point? He thinks it was his duty; others think that it was not their duty.

Shri Hem Barua: We have got hundreds of secret documents like that.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: We will do it. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Will he sit down? (Interruption).

The Minister of Communications and Parliamentary Affairs (Shri Satya Narayan Sinha): They are interrupting in this manner; they are interrupting when you are speaking; it is a game at which both of us can play.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: What is this standard? You want to make a mockery of this Parliament? (Interruption).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sit down.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Let him not threaten the Opposition like this.

भी रामसेवक यादव (बाराबंकी) : मछली बाजार बना दिया है।

एक माननीय सदस्य : ग्राप ने बना ] दिया है ।

Mr. Speaker: Acharya Kripalani.

Several hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: I have called Shri Kripalani. Everybody else should sit down. (Interruption). If I am not obeyed, I cannot keep order. The proceedings cannot continue. If every Member stands up and in spite of my asking them to sit down, if they do not sit down, and they continue in this manner, what could be done? Only those whom I identify could speak and not others. Shri Kripalani.

Shri J. B. Kripalani: May I humbly suggest that in history there have been occasions when the Government of a nation has betrayed the nation, and supposing at that time, the Government take refuge on this, that it is a secret, and if we can find a secret document which proves that the Government has betrayed the country, will we be justified or not, I ask.

Mr. Speaker; I have said enough in that ruling, and there is no doubt left. (Interruption). Has not the Government spokesman the right to put his point of view?

An hon, Member: He cannot.

Mr. Speaker: He may not agree, but the Minister has ever right to put his own point of view and that must be heard. (Interruption). Order, order. We cannot conduct our proceedings in this maner. Is this House to be wound up in this manner?

श्री रामसेवक यादव : ग्राघ्यक्ष महोदय, ग्राप ने जो यह कहा कि चोरी छोटी हो या बड़ी, वह चोरी ही है, मैं समझता हूं कि ....

श्राच्यक्त महोवय : यह उस दिन कहा गया था । मानन .य सदस्य मेरी रूलिंग को देखें।

Shri Ranga: Mr. Speaker, Sir I think you have heard what fell from the lips of my hon. friend, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs just now. Next to the Leader of the House, from that side, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs is expected, even if he cannot set an example, at least to follow the example set by the leaders, the present leader and the former leaders of the House under whom he had the honour of being the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs. I do not wish to go more into the impropriety of what he has said. I would request him, through you, to withdraw the remarks that he has made.

Some hon. Members: No, no.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: Sir, 1 will say.....

Mr. Speaker: He need not say anything. In this excitement if something is said, even though at that time it may not be so offensive, really at that time it is liable to be taken amiss also. What I understood him to say was. . . . .

Shri Ranga: What he said is the most important thing.

Mr. Speaker: He only said that if a Member is speaking from this side and he is interrupted and not allowed to proceed with his speech. That is a game where both can play order, terruption). Order. is it that I am not allowed to say what I want to say? It is very strange. Really, I am surprised this House wants the prowhether ceedings to continue or not. he wanted to convey was that we have to listen patiently when on one side some hon. Member is making a speech. He should be heard with patience, with restraint, everything that he has to say, though the other side might differ from what he says (Interruption). Similarly, he wanted that when Shri Chagla is now making his speech he should be heard with patience. That was all that he wanted to convey. There should not be any other meaning in that. That is what I heard and what I have understood.

श्री हुकम चन्द्र कछवाय (देवास ) । उन्होंने एक चैलेंज दिया है जब यह कहा है . . . .

श्राध्यक्ष महोवय : कछवाय साहब को कोई चैलेंज नहीं देसकता है।

श्री रामेश्वरानन्व (करनाल) : मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि यह जो बात कही गई है बहुत श्रच्छी कही गई है कि जिस ने फाइल चोरी की है उसको पुलिस को सौंप देना चाहिये। चोरी करना श्रापके नियम के श्रनुसार चोरी है। लेकिन जब वे संत्री पुलिस को नहीं सौंपे जाते हैं तो बेचारे फाइल देने वाले को क्यों सौंपा जाना चाहिये।

Shri Ranga: You are saying, Sir, what you felt, what is your conception of what he must have meant and you say that it would not be so offensive and therefore it need not be taken serious notice. of. But he knows what he said. He ought to know what he has said is wrong and that he has set a bad example to....

Some hon. Members: No, no.

Shri Ranga....the huge mass of Members who are behind the Prime Minister and the ruling party. Therefore, once again, in all decency,—he stands for decency I hope, and also the Prime Minister—I would say, he would be doing himself credit, and not discredit, if he has the decency and decorum to get up and apologise to this House for having said those words.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: I wish only to say, Sir, that you have been good enough to show a certain kind of indulgence in the case of the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, possibly because he hardly ever opens his mouth in this House and yet when he speaks he creates trouble. We expect that, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, if he does speak, intervenes positively in order to help solution of a situation. We expect that the Leader of the House or the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, if they intervene in the proceedings at all, when there is an exhibition of temper in the atmosphere try to assuage the feelings in the House....(Interruption).

Dr. M. S. Aney: House alone?

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: What has happened is that the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs...(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: I was therefore, only expecting, from decency and out of a sense of propriety the

the Minister to apologise to the House and withdraw the words which he has used.

Mr. Speaker: I would appeal to hon. Members that some minimum standards at least must be maintained. I am sorry to note that we are going lower every day. At this moment. this is not the attitude that should be exhibited. We ought to be conscious of the fact that we are being watched not only by those who are present in the galleries but by the whole nation or by the world itself. I said the same words the other day. The should be mindful of its own reputation that it has so far maintained. I would again request most earnestly the Members that they should be careful in that respect.

Shri Ranga: Sir, I would have appreciated very well what you have said just now if you had been good enough to ask the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs whether he would good enough at least to say that he is sorry for having used those words and that he withdraws those words. (Interruption).

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: Sir, I rise to a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: I have just heard the hon. Member.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There is another point. In your own ruling, your historic ruling, you have quoted:

"In February 1958 Shri Feroze Gandhi, in the course of his speech referred to certain notes of the Finance Minister to the Principal Finance Secretary. He also quoted from them in his speech. On an objection being raised as to how the hon. Member had got access to these documents, Shri Feroze Gandhi stated, 'If I were to reveal all the sources of my information this enquiry would never have been held. I cannot'."

Then the Speaker gave the ruling and observed:

"It is not necessary to divulge the source of information. It has been repeatedly held in courts of law that even if a document is obtained by stealth, so long as it is genuine it is admissible in evidence."

The Member then placed the document on the Table of the House. At that time, Sir, none of the Ministers who then adjourned the Treasury Ben. ches had the guts to advise Shri Feroze Gandhi as they are advising me today. Was it because he was closely related to the former Prime Minister.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Let Shri Kamath keep his secret to his soul but let the Hon'ble Minister be allowed to proceed....(Interruption).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The whole country knows it.

Mr. Speaker: Is that a justification now, or does it preclude the Government now from saying that he must have done this or that, simply because at that time they did not say that?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: They are adopting double standards.

Mr. Speaker: This is no ground.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamth: That was because he was Feroze Gandhi.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I am not asking my hon, friend to divulge the source of information. He can hug to his bosom. All that I am saying is—after all, our ideas differ and our standards differ—what I would have done under the circumstances.

Sir, it is a very serious matter. Orissa, to my mind, is a small company matter. Here is my hon, friend the Defence Minister. There are military secrets. All the time the Opposition is talking of Pakistan spy ring. What is going to happen to our administration.....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He is challenging your ruling again.

Shri M. C. Chagla: What is going to happen to our administration if people can be tempted to give our secrets? What is going to happen to our defence?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He is challenging your ruling.

Mr Speaker: Ordar, ordar, Should I stop him from speaking?

Shri M. C. Chagla: Sir, appeal to this House, particularly to the members of the opposition, to realise the consequences of what they have done. I think I have said enough on this point.

Let us come to the CBI report itse!f? The reason why we did not place it on the Table of the House, our refusal to do so, is because as part of the report of the Sub-Committee, it is part of the Cabinet proceedings. And I will tell you exactly what this report is and why it is that we say that it is not in public interest to lay on the table. It is a secret document and is a part of the Cabinet proceedings which cannot be laid on the Table of the House.

This is not an investigation; this is an inquiry....(laughter). Please do not laugh. The other day, my hon. friend, Shri Bhupesh Gupta said in the other House that he has looked into the Oxford Dictionary and found there was no difference between inquiry and investigation. I also consult the Oxford Dictionary sometimes and, I am sure my hon. friend, Shri Mukerjee, the well-known Hiren professor of English, also But the book that he should have consulted was not the Oxford Dictionary but the Code of Criminal Procedure. To any one who has an elementary knowledge of law it is clear that what was done by the CBI was not a criminal investigation within not a criminal investigation within the meaning of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Now, let me explain clearly what happened.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: What dose the name CBI mean?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I will explain everything. It is open to the Cabinet to employ any agency together information. They could have sent Cabinet Secretary, they could sent a Joint Secretary of the Home Ministry or any other officer.

Shri Ranga: They could have sent some thieves also.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Instead of that they availed themselves of the services of the agency of the CBI. But what I want to emphasize is that while CBI were making this inquiry, they were not performing any statutory functions statutory no functions performed bv them either under the Code of Criminal Procedure or under the Delhi Police Act. And I will give you the reasons. What did the CBI do? All that they did was, as they had access to the official documents of the Government of Orissa...

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: case was registered by them.

Shri M. C. Chagla: They did not examine a single witness orally. They did not look at the books of the firms

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: They were not available.

Shri M. C. Chagla: ...either Orissa Agents Kalinga Industries or Kalinga Tubes. The only documents they examined were the documents which were made available to them by the Government of Orissa. They did not ask the Ministers for any explanation. My hon, friend knows that when the police investigates they ask for an explanation from the accused person. Nothing of that nature was done, except analysing what the books of the Orissa Government disclosed. Is it suggested that this is a report of a criminal investigation? My hon, friend, the Home Minister. has been using the word 'inquiry'. I

have got the answers he has given both here and in the other House. He has made a distinction between inquiry and a formal investigation. With great respect, he is perfectly right. All that this body was doing was nothing but an inquiry, to give certain facts to the Sub-Committee; it was not an investigation as is understood in law.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, I rise on a point of order. May I invite your attention to rule 370? The hon. Minister has referred to the CBI and stated what advice they gave and what inquiry they made. Rule 370 explicitly states:

"If, in answer to a question or during debate, a Minister discloses the advice or opinion given to him by any officer of the Government by any other person or authorty. "—and CBI is an agency of Government, as you have held rightly in your ruling—"he shall".

Note the word "shall"; it is mandatory.

"he shall ordinarily lay the relevant document...

An hon. Member: The word "ordinarily" is there.....(Interruptions).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, why do they shout? Let the Speaker decide it. But why this cacophony? The rule says:

"he....he shall ordinarily lay the relevant document or parts of document containing that opinion or advice or a summary thereof on the Table."

Now, coming to the word "ordinarily" what does it mean? Sir, since you are holding the highest position, you know that it should mean that a particular thing should be done if it is not contrary to the national interest. So, I would implore you to direct the Gov-

ernment to lay it on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Kamath will realise that this is a provision on how the papers are to be laid on the Table of the House. Suppose a Minister has to lay a paper on the Table this rule prescribes the procedure, the manner in which it should be done.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Therefore, I I was saying that this particular report which my learned friend flourishes is, at best a one-sided ex-parte statement.....

Shri Ranga: Question.

Shri M. C. Chagla: .....prepared by this agency after investigating the books of the Orissa Government. I am glad that Shri Dwivedy paid a compliment to the CBI.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: So, he agrees with me.

Mr. Speaker: Now we must listen to what the Minister has to say.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I was a Judge once and I say this with all the confidence that I possess that I would not hank a dog on the basis of an exparte statement like this. My hon. friend wanted the Sub-Committee to come to the conclusion that the Chief Minister and Shri Patnaik were guilty of misappropriation and all sorts of unmentionable offences on what? On the strength of an exparte statement? But the matter does not end there. My hon, friend does not know the whole history.

Shri J. B. Kripalani: We want a commission of inquiry....(Interruptions).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What about the Mundhra scandal inquiry?

Shri M. C. Chagia: But the matter does not end there. The Sub-Committee did not have merely this report with it. Shri J. B. Kripalani: We are not trying to hang any dog.

Shri M. C. Chagla: You are trying to hang a human being.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: We want a commission of inquiry.

Shri M. C. Chagla: But the matter does not end there.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: It is wrong.

Mr. Speaker: I would request the hon. Minister not to mind the interruption and continue his speech.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Sir, I am obliged to you.

This was not the only material that the Sub-Committee had. After this, the Sub-Committee examined Shri Patnaik, the Chief Secretary of the Orissa Government and other officers concerned, more documents were produced and their explanations given for the allegations made in this report. And the Opposition has based its whole case solely on this report, without knowing what happened afterwards.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We know all that

Shri M. C. Chagla: How do they know all that?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let it be laid on the Table of the House if you have the guts.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I have those papers with me. If Shri Chagla wants to have a look at them, I am prepared to lay it on the Table of the House.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I refuse to look at those documents...(Interruptions)

Shri Hem Barua: The cat is out of the bag.... (Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: So long as I am all attention, the Minister need not mind the interruptions.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I will not touch this contaminated document with a bargepole.

The question is, why we did not order an inquiry, why did we not appoint a commission of inquiry. That is the point that has been made. When the Sub-Committee had no difficulty in arriving at a conclusion on the material placed before it when the Sub-Committee was satisfied that prima facie a case has been made out against Shri Patnaik and Shri Biren Mitra, where was the necessity for our recommending a commission inquiry? For what purpose? If we had any doubt on any point, then we would have advise the Prime Minister to do so.

Now, let us distinguish between the Commissions that were appointed in the case of Shri Kaïron and in the case of Shri Bakshi.

## 14.00 hrs.

In the case of Shri Kairon the late Prime Minister felt-I was not a member of the Government; understand that the late Prime Minister felt that on the material before him there was no prima facie case holding Shri Kairon guilty of corruption or impropriety. wanted an investigation and he had investigation. In the case of Shri Malaviya, again the late Prime Minister thought that on the material before him he should either consult the Attorney General or an ex-judge of the Supreme Court. In the case of Shri Bakshi, it is the State of Jammu and Kashmir that has appointed the commission. Ιn case we have no doubt in our mind.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: You had no facts.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I say this with all the strength I possess that we had no doubt that the Chief Minister of Orissa and Shri Patnaik had behaved in a manner unworthy of a Chief Minister. We have said so. what do you want a commission of inquiry for?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Mundhra case.

Shri Nath Pai: May I ask him a question?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Command performance.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Ιf my hon. friends feel from the documents that they have that the law has been transgressed if offences have been committed, everybody is equal before the law and I can assure them that people who are guilty will be proceeded against according to the law.

Shri J. B. Kripalani: When?

Shri M. C. Chagla: But why a commission of inquiry?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why not?

Shri Daji: To find out the extent of the loot.

Shri Nath Pai: Mr. Speaker, Shri Chagla has been repeatedly flinging in our face the question: Why a commission of inquiry? Apart from quoting the worthy precedents he set in holding commissions of inquiry, may I draw his attention to the commitment made by the present Prime Minister, Shri Shastri, when he was the Home Minister, and reiterated in this House by Shri Nanda his follower in that office, that they accept in toto the recommendations of the Santhanam Commission and the most important of them is the once there is prima facie case aganist a minister, Government shall compel him to resign and immediately institute a public inquiry, not a Cabinet inquiry? Has he read that? he accepted that? Is he speaking on behalf of the same Government?

Shri M. C. Chagla: No. Sir; as I understand the position, this question might arise if the Chief Minister refused to accept the decision of the Cabinet Sub-Committee and Government.....(Interruption) are my hon. friends so impatient? There are two important litigations pending a defamation suit filed by Shri Patnaik in the Patna High Court and a suit filed by Shri Biren Mitra in the Cuttack Court where these very allegations will be gone into.

Shri J. B. Kripalani: He is again referring to them in spite of your ruling.

Shri M C Chagla: Therefore the whole of this matter can be thrashed out in these courts.

Mr. Speaker: If there are any certified copies of those suits or prosecutions that have been filed in those courts, it would be better for me to regulate the debate if I get those copies because then I would be able to see whether some limits are being transgressed and whether any inquiry or those proceedings are being prejudiced.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Certainly; I shall immediately see that you get the certified copy of the plaint in both the suits. As I understand, Shri Patnaik has filed a suit of defamation against the Indian Express for repeating the same allegations which are now being relied upon by the Opposition.

Some hon, Members: No, no.

Shri Raghunath Singh: On the same issue.

Shri M. C. Chagla: Sir, I refuse to go and discuss the merits of the socalled report to which reference has been made by my hon, friend, Shri Dwivedy, beacuse if I have to do so, it would really come to this that they would compel us to admit the genuineness and the validity of the document.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You do not want to do it.

Shri M. C. Chagla: That is the position in which I would not want to put myself.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The big cat is out; a very big cat at that.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I must repel one charge which to my mind is a very serious charge, speaking for myself. The language that Shri Dwivedy used was that there was political pressure on this Sub-Committee.

An hon. Member: That is a fact.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I have lived long enough and I do not understand what political pressure means.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You are not a politician.

Shri M. C. Chagla: I have not suffered from it. I was not a politician, I was a member of the Sub-committee; I have signed the Sir, I have suffered from report. many maladies but I have suffered from this particular malady which I do not understand and which I cannot diagnose, the malady of poli-<sup>7</sup>tical pressures. I do not think that any political pressure can prevent me from giving honest advice to my Prime Minister. I am not concerned with what X said about the report and what Y said about the character of a Chief Minister. The report of the Cabinet Sub-Committee is there; the Prime Minster's statement is there.

Shri Nath Pai: That means, it is genuine.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: According to Government it is not here; where is it?

Shri M. C. Chagla: May I ask this question: If we were submitting ourselves to poitical pressure, why

did we not give a clean bill to Shri Patnaik and Shri Biren Mitra? Why did we say that they are guilty of impropriety?

Shri Daji: You dare not; it was so open.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, on a point of ordar. He says that the report is there. Where is the report, I want to know.

#### Some hon. Members: Question.

Shri P. K. Deo: Mr. Speaker, Sir, at the outset I congratulate you on your momentous and historic ruling on the 26th of last month on my quoting from the CBI report which has not only enhanced your stature but has enhanced the stature and prestige of this House.

### 14.09 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

Sir, I was not here that day. I returned on the 9th of this month and on that very day I placed a copy of the document with the usual certificate of authenticity. I will be utilising that for quoting in my speech.

Sir, last September when a Noconfidence Motion was tabled against the three-month old Shastri Government, we the Members of the Swatantra Party, as responsible Members of the Opposition, desisted from supporting that due to obvious reasons, because the Ministry deserved a trial. The Ministry deserved a chance to acquit themselves well if they could and they had the blessing of the tallest living Indian today, that is, Rajaji. Subsequent events have unfolded a different story and it is the compulsion of conscience and the compulsion of duty that has constrained us to support this motion so ably moved by my hon, friend, Shri Surendranath Dwivedy.

When the Government suppresses facts from the people and Parliament and deliberately misguides the country by stating wrong facts, throws its mantle of protection to give shelter to corrupt partymen, we have no other go but to support this motion.

Sir, I want to quote from the writing of Mahatma Gandhi, the Father of the Nation, in the *Harijan* of the 13th July, 1947. He has said:—

"One cannot reach truth by untruthfulness, truthful conduct alone can reach truth".

Sir, to err is human, but it is only the bold who admit their mistake and correct their stand.

Sir, I remember that day in 1962 when I pointed out, through you, to the then Prime Minister that he had committed a mistake in his statement and how the gentle colossus, the late lamanted Jawaharlal Nehru, came to the House with all the dignity and respect he commanded, how he bowed, before you with all the humility, and he not only corrected the statement but begged apology of the Speaker. the House and the Member for the mistake he committed in his statement. This is the example. It enhanced his stature. But today those who swear by the name of Gandhiji and Pandit Nehru, instead of realising the mistake and trying to correct their stand by appointing a commission of inquiry to find out the truth, fume and fret over official secrets being got at by the Opposition M.Ps. After the cat being out of the bag, it is too late 2438 (Ai) LSD-6.

in the day for Shri Nanda or Mr. Chagla to abandon the formal pretence of the unawareness of the authority of the document. Mr. Dalton resigned for the inadvertent leakage of a report. Mr. Profumo resigned not only from the Ministry but from the House of Commons for having misguided the House on a very trival matter.

Sir, today I will quote from a document—it is not secret; you need not fear—which says:

"This inquiry would have served no purpose whatever if no lessons could be derived from it. I think, if I may say so without presumption, that the following principles seem to be established...

(5) In a Palriamentary form of Government, Parliament must be taken into confidence by the Ministers at the earliest stage and all relevant facts and materials must be placed before it. This would avoid difficulties and embarrassment being caused at a later stage when Parliament gets the necessary information from other sources."

(Sd.) M. C. Chagla, 10-2-1958, that was Chagla the Judge on the enquiry on L.I.C., and today he is Chagla the Minister. Has he got the guts to say that he will send his policeman to arrest Chagla the Judge now? We all knew that the intention had been to shelter the truth. The later inclusion of a legal luminary like Mr. Chagla in the Cabinet Sub-Committee created doubts in our mind if the intention was mala fide, the intention was to hoodwink the people. It has created a genuine doubt in our mind that they will present the country with a very bitter pill to swallow and that bitter pill has come.

Nandaji's broadcast to the nation on Sadachar of 7th May, 1964 and his [Shri P. K. Deo]

pledge to the nation that he will resign if he fails to stop corruption within two years prompted us and we submitted a memorial. The Orissa case is the acid test of his sincerity and of his Sadachar. We sust asked for a commission of inquiry. never wanted that they will sit over and give the judgment. There was abundance of evidence and no lack of precedents and there was the compulsion of the public interest which compelled us to submit a memorial to the President for setting up a commision of inquiry. Many impediments were raised on the way. As has been pointed out by Mr. S. S. More, as soon as this thing leaked out, Shri Biju Patnaik filed some case in the Calcutta High Court for damages worth a crore of rupees. He will not dare to file such a case in any other Court because there he would have to pay an advalerum court fee worth about lakhs of rupees. He selected the Calcutta High Court because by paying only Rs. 20 he could file a suit for damages worth a crore of rupees a special feature of Calcutta High Court. That he did to put an impediment and to take the plea that the matter is sub judice and cannot be discussed and no commission of enquiry can be held. matter was then referred to Mr. Sanyal, the Solicitor General, for his legal opinion and he gave an opinion that a commission of inquiry could be instituted. He was murdered two days after.

Shri Ranga: We do not know who did it.

Shri P. K. Deo: Instead of setting up a commission of inquiry, why the executive arrogated the power of a judge and sat in judgment over the doings of their partymen—that is the explanation we need. These self-styled judges could not be insulated against the party pressure and would not free themselves from the corrupt influence of the syndicate. Now, a bogy has been raised over the investigation. My friend Mr. Dwivedy has quoted the date of the order on which

the case was instituted and the order was given to start investigation those very cases. In the beginning. Mr. Biju Patnaik suggested that the C.B.I. may be sent to Orissa to give their comments. But in the course of investigation, when they knew how the wind was blowing, they started another bogy and another pressure, that is, the little A.I.C.C. at Ranchi, saying that the State Ministers not Central Government employees and so the C.B.I. has nothing to do with the State Ministers. If we go by the Santhanam Committee Report, the Santhanam Committee categorically stated that the State Ministers have no better status than the public men. After all, they are paid by the public and they have to bind themselves to a certain code and to a certain discipline. If it would have been in the U.S.A., it would have been a Faderal concern and it would have been investigated by the F.B.I.

Sub-Committee Here, the Cabinet started exonerating all those Ministers against whom there were charges. How the independence of an eminent judge like Mr. Chagla got bogged in the morass of Party interest could easily be seen from his speech, from his performance, that he gave just before me. The complainants are not given a chance even to substantiate their charges. When we found there was conflict of public duty and party embarrassment, the narrow vision of immediate party interest always dominated in those who sat over the judgment. I congratulate the C.B.I. for their devotion to duty. Though the C.B.I. was not permitted to make a full investigation and the files were not made available to them, still the C.B.I. has revealed that it is not just a deal here and there but it is a gystematic pattern of operation in which public funds were recklessly diverted to serve the party ends by tampering with official files, fraud, cheating, abuse of authority for personal gains, favouritism and causing colossal loss to the public exchequer of Orissa to the tune of nearly a crore of rupees.

Sir, if you will go through all those papers, you will see that the entire conspiracy was hatched on the 17th November, 1961-that is the memorable day. You will find that on that day, the circular of the coalition Ministry which said that whatever purchase will be made could only be made through the good offices of the D.G.S. & D. was scrapped and a new circular was issued by the Patnaik Ministry that the Orissa Agents should be patronised. We knew from the papers how the Orissa Agents supplied tubes to the Orissa Government at a much higher price than the rate quoted even in their price-list or even at the rate at which they supplied to the Central Government or to other private parties. On the same day, that is, 17th November, 1961, the Chief Secretary, Mr. Sivaraman, at the instance of Mr. Biju Patnaik, writes to the Neyveli Corporation to release Mr. Srinivasan against whom some cases were pending with the Special Police Establishment at Madras. There was no advertisement for the post. The appointment was never processed through the Public Service Commission. This man was appointed on a fantastic pay and just to show favours to Mr. Biju Patnaik, he was appointed as Chief Engineer in Paradip Port and within five days of his appointment he placed an order for Rs. 16 lakhs worth of tubulur structures of which immediately Rs. 14 lakhs were paid as advance, and uptill now the tubulur structure are lying in the premises of the Kalinga Industries, because later on it was found that tubulur structures will not be usable in the saline climate, that is, in the port area. A paper in the meantime has been circulated, a cyclostyled, unnamed paper, as a means of defence to defend the various sordid transactions that they had indulged in, and there it is mentioned that all these advances used to be paid during the period of the Coalition Ministry. is all false. If anybody brought to

light this sordid state of affairs, was the Coalition Ministry, and was Shri Raj Ballab Mishra, the Ganatantra Parishad Minister for Tribal and Rural Welfare Department, who pointed out this mistake and immediately stopped it, and that was how the quarrel started between the two partners in the Coalition Ministry. And Shri Biju Patnaik who then claimed to be the architect of the Coalition plunged headlong in ousting the Coalition Ministry and in purchasing MLA's and in trying to have a group of his own, and ultimately he was successful in his game.

The Cabinet Sub-Committee had recorded its profound concern at the picture emerging as a whole from the series of such individual transactions in many fields of activity of the State Government, of improper use of authority by the leaders of the Government, but to our surprise, they came to the conclusion that:

"n all farmess, their examination of the material available did not reveal that in various transactions in which Mr. Patnaik was concerned, he had personally derived any pecuniary benefits.".

They are all honourable men. Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri went a step further and while summing the conclusion of the Cabinet Sub-Committee offered a similar clean chit to Shri Biren Mitra also. Let him explain to the country the basis for this finding of his.

There has been a unanimous demand from this side that nothing short of a commission of inquiry is going to satisfy us. That is the demand made by the leading papers of our country too. In this regard, I would like to quote from the leader of the Hindustan Times. It says:

"Was this conclusion arrived at in good faith? Could any reasonable group of men acting with high attachment to standards of [Shri P. K. Deo]

integrity in public life have reached a similar conclusion? On the material which is now fortunately available to a wider panel of judgment than the Cabinet Subcommittee constituted, the answer must be 'No', must be unqualified 'No'".

Then, The Statesman has written, the same thing. It wants to know "whether the CBI's findings are accurate, and if so, why the Cabinet Sub-Committee so lightly dismissed the charges as mere improprieties and asks what Government and the ruling party propose to do now." It further observes:

"If big men can shelter behind technicalities, so can lesser men.".

Not a single newspaper in this country has supported the action of Government. We still feel that to support these blueeyed boys of the Congress, all along an attempt has been made to shelter them by throwing the mantle of protection or by quoting some technicalities or things like that.

Right from the beginning, when the question of Army goods meant for dropping in the NEFA area being sold in blackmarket in Calcutta was raised in this House, ever since the question of amassment of huge assets in the foreign banks contravening foreign exchange regulations was raised in this House, and lastly 1960 when Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri was the Minister of Commerce and Industry, I had brought to light several charges of mala fide action of Shri B. Patnaik & Co., the managing agent of Orissa Textile Mills, prejudicial to public interest and to the shareholders' interest, and demanded an investigation into the affairs of the Orissa Textile Mills under section 15 of the Industries Development and Regulation Act, and suggested that the management should be taken over under section 18A of the same Act, as in the case of the British India Corporation. Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri threw his usual mantle of protection, and so, that support or that protection has started right from the beginning. Even on that occasion, the Members of Parliament from my State, headed by no less a person than Shri Bishwanath Das, the present Governor of U.P., went on a deputation to Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri and asked him to take steps against Shri B. Patnaik, but nothing happened.

The CBI has given to the country the worst picture of chicanery and sordidness in public life in the country in all the years since Indepen-We have been demanding a dence commission of inquiry. Shri B. Patnaik and his friends are also not satisfied with the decision of the Cabinet Sub-Committee and the Prime Minister's decision. A persistent demand has been made in the Orissa Legislative Assembly that there should be a commission of inquiry. Even Congress Members like Shri Pabitra Pradhan and Shri Surendra Patnaik, and other Congress MLA's have demanded commission of inquiry. I cannot un-derstand how in these circumstances our Government are fighting shy of a commission of inquiry. If still there is time and if Government's intention is to salvage their reputation, if their intention is to retrieve the reputation, then instead of defending the action obstinately, I suggest that they should retrace their steps and revive the proposal for setting up a commission of inquiry under the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952.

In this regard, I would like to point out the sorry state of affairs in Bihar, and in your State Sir, namely Mysore as well. In Mysore, even though 30 MLA's and two MP's have sent a memorial, and this has been further supported by 11 Congress MLA's, up till now, no action has been taken. In this case also, an inquiry was conducted in secret, and the Prime Minister gave a clean chit. In the case of Bihar also, the same thing has been

repeated, I do not know, with what motive.

So, in conclusion, I beg to submit, that if truth has to prevail in this country, if the motto which has been written so boldly in the coat-of-arms in this country, namely 'Satyameva Jayate' is to survive, then a commission of inquiry is the only imperative; otherwise, I suggest that the motto may be changed from 'Satyameva Jayate' to 'Arthameva Jayate'.

भी भागवत झा प्राजाद (भागलपुर): महोदय , विरोधी भविश्वास का प्रस्ताव पेश करते हुए यह कहा कि प्रजातंत्र के ऊंचे ग्रादर्शग्रीर सिद्धान्त गिरते नजर भ्रा रहे हैं, खाद्य स्थिति बहुत खराब हो गई है, मध्य-वर्गीय जनता को बहुत कष्ट है, शासन में ऊंचे श्रादर्श नहीं रहे हैं,- उन्होंने मद्रास की स्थिति का भी वर्णन किया-, संकट-कालीन स्थिति का कोई श्रर्थ नहीं है, सरकार की नीतियों में एक बहाव, जिस को ड्रिफ्ट कहते हैं, नजर म्रा रहा है भीर मंत्रि-मंडल में साहस, उत्साह ग्रीर एकता की कमी है। वास्तव में इन तमाम बातों को कहने के पीछे उन के दिमाग़ में सिर्फ एक ही बात-उडीसा की बात-है । उन्होंने यह नहीं बताया कि समाजवाद भीर प्रजातंत्र के ऊंचे भादर्श किस प्रकार गिर रहे हैं। न ही उन्होंने यह बताया कि उन्होंने जो सात, ग्राठ चार्जिज मिनिस्टी के ख़िलाफ लगाये हैं, वे किस प्रकार सिद्ध हुए। जैसा कि मैंने कहा है, उनके दिमाग में सिर्फ एक ही बात है---उड़ीसा की बात । इस मोशन को चलाने वाके सिर्फ़ उड़ीसा के वह राजा साहब हैं जो जा रहे हैं, भौर दूसरे भी श्री सुरेन्द्रनाच ब्रिवेदी हैं। जैसा कि स्वभावतः हर जगह होता है, उड़ीसा में उन का भपना पुराना बैर है भीर जब वहां कुछ नहीं हो सका, तो वे संसद् में भागए। लेकिन मैं चाहता

हूं कि जो संक्षिप्त समय मुझे दिया गया है, उस में मैं दो तीन बातों पर प्रकाश डालं।

पहली बात तो इन लोगों ने यह कही है कि कमीशन क्यों नहीं बहाल किया जाता है। दूसरी बात यह कही है कि कैबिनेट सब-कमेटी ने जो श्रपनी रिपोर्ट दी है बह रिपोर्ट बहुत ही कम है और जो चार्जिज पटनायक ग्रीर बिरेन मित्र के खिलाफ थे उन पर झांक कर के इन्होंने ली। पोती की है। इन वातों को लेकर उन्होंने भ्रविश्वास के प्रस्ताव को यहां रखा है। मैं कहना चाहता हं कि भ्राज तक दुनिया के किसी भाग में भी इस तरह की बातों को लेकर सरकार के खिलाफ ग्रविश्वास का प्रस्ताव पेश नहीं हुन्रा है। यह सब कैमोफ्लाज है। बात सिर्फ एक ही थी। इनका कहना है कि जितनी भी डीलींग्स हुई उनमें, सबमें, पैसे बनाये गये हैं। उपाष्ट्रयक्ष महोदय, मुझे दु:ख है कि भ्राप मुझे उतना वक्त नहीं देंगे जितना वक्त कि भ्रापने भौरों को दिया है वर्ना मैं एकएक चार्ज का जबाब देता। जो मुख्य मुख्य बातें कही गई हैं, वे हैं कालिगा ट्यूब्ज के बारे में, ट्यूबलर स्ट्रक्चर के बारे में भीर 17 नवम्बर को जो सक्येंलर जारी हुम्रा है, उसके बारे में ।

जिस सी० बी० ग्राई० रिपोर्ट का, जिस पुलिस रिपोर्ट का जिक किया जा रहा है वह पुलिस इनके लिए श्रव तक घूणा के काबिल थी लेकिन ग्राज वही पुलिस इनके लिए एक बाइवल बन गई है। उस रिपोट के ग्राझार पर यह सिद्ध किया जा सकता है कि ये तमाम चार्जिज गलत हैं।

कालिंगा ट्यूब्ज की ये बातें करते हैं। इस सम्बंध में मैं दो तीन बातों पर प्रकाण डालूंगा। मैं यह सिद्ध करने का प्रयत्न कस्गा कि किस प्रकार यह सारी बात गलत है

# [श्री भागवत झा म्राजाद]

100 कूएं खोदने थे । 36 फर्मों से टेंडर मंगाये गये । 36 फर्मों में दस फर्मों ने टेंडर दिये। दुर्भाग्य से या सौभाग्य से बिरेन मित्र के या वहां की जनता के, उनकी पत्नी का जिस फर्म में शेयर था उसका सब से नीचा टेंडर निकला, उड़ीसा एजेंट्स का सबसे लोएस्ट टेंडर निकला । मैं चाहता हूं कि सदन इस बात पर गौर कर के भ्रगर इस टेंडर को छोड़कर ऊपर वाले को टेंडर दिया जाता तो क्या यह सही नहीं है कि उड़ीसा सरकार को म्राठ लाख रुपये का घाटा होता ? तब कीन सी ऐसी बात की गई जो गलत की गई । सरकार यह भी बताये कि क्या इनके डिपार्टमेंट में एक मामली एग्जैक्टिव इंजीनियर पांच लाख तक का कांट्रेक्ट सिर्फ चार कोटेशंज मंगा कर के नहीं दे सकता है। सरकार यह भी बतलाने की कृपा करे कि इनका चीफ़ इंजीनियर भ्रपने विभाग के लिए पचास लाख का कांट्रेक्ट सिर्फ चार टंडर ग्रामंत्रित करके नहीं दे सकता है। ग्रब ग्रगर यह सही है तो अगर उडीसा सरकार ने 36 टेंडर मंगाये श्रौर उनमें से लोएस्ट कोटेशन जिसकी थी, उसको कांटेक्ट दिया तो उसने क्या कोई बेजाब्तगी की ? उड़ीसा सरकार ने क्या ऐसा करके लाखों रुपया नहीं कमाया ? क्या यह सही नहीं है कि उड़ीसा सरकार ने सरकार को कोई घाटा नहीं होने दिया ? लेकिन चिक कबिनेट सब-कमेटी ने यह कहा है कि इस में इम्प्रोप्राइटी हुई है और वह इम्प्रोप्राइटी यह हुई कि दुर्भाग्य से यह वही फर्म निकली जिसमें उड़ीसा के मुख्य मंत्री श्री बिरेन मित्र की पत्नी का शेयर था, इस वास्ते उनको इस्सीफा दे देना चाहिये और उन्होंने इस्तीफा दे दिया। ग्रगर किसी मंत्री की पत्नी भीखा मांगे तो यह भी खराब ग्रीर ग्रगर किसी मंत्री की पत्नी भ्रच्छा काम करे, ईमानदारी से सरकार को लाभ पहुंचाये तो हमारै भपोजीशन वालों की नजरों में यह भी खराब।

Shri Ravindra Varma (Thiruvella): Caesar's wife. श्री भागवत झा ग्राखाद: मुसीवत यही है कि तब यही प्रश्न उठता है कि सेजर्ज वाइफ मस्ट वी एवव ससपिशन ।

इसलिए जब इस फर्म ने सरकार को फायदा कराया, कम पैसे में सामान दिया तो इस में क्या गुनाह किया ? लेकिन चूंकि इसमें मुख्य मंत्री की पत्नी थी, इसलिए कैबिनेट ने कहा कि यह एडिमिनिस्ट्रेशन इम्प्रोप्राइटी है, इसलिए उन्होंने इस्तीफा दे दिया । इसलिए, उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, कांग्रेस पार्टी यह कह सकती है कि ऐसी एडिमिनिस्ट्रेटिव इम्प्रोप्राइटी पर उसने अपने एक प्रदेश के मुख्य मंत्री से यह कहा कि तुम इस्तीफा दे दो, तुम हट जाभ्रो । ऐसा कह कर कांग्रेस पार्टी ने ग्रौर खुद उस मुख्य मंत्री ने क्या म्रादर्श उपस्थित नहीं किया। यह कह कर कि यद्यपि सरकार को कोई घाटा नहीं हुआ है, यद्यपि इस में उड़ीसा ने रुपया कमाया है, यद्यपि मुख्य मंत्री ने कोई रुपया इस में नहीं कमाया है, यद्यपि इस फर्म ने कम से कम कीमत पर सामान सरकार को दिया है, तो भी जब कहा गया कि इसमें इम्प्रोप्राइटी हुई है, तो क्या उच्च भ्रादर्श उपस्थित नहीं किया गया है ?

ग्राप विचार करें कि कलक्ता में इसी
फर्म के ये ट्यूब्ज हाट केक्स की तरह से बिकते
हैं, हिन्दुस्तान स्टील को ग्रौर दूसरी स्टील
प्राजेक्ट्स को इनको दुगने दामों पर दिया
जा रहा था लेकिन यही ट्यूब्ज, यही मैटीरियल
इस फर्म ने उड़ीसा को सब से कम दाम पर
दिया तो क्या कोई बहुत बड़ा जुल्म किया।
चूंकि इस फर्म को चलाने वालों में एक मुख्य
मंत्री की पत्नी थी जिनका सौ में दस शेयर था,
जिसका दस परसेंट शेयर था, तो क्या इसमें
मुख्य मंत्री का कोई कसूर हो गया? श्रगर
ये विरोधी इस बात को साबित कर देते कि
इस फर्म को यह देकर उड़ीसा सरकार को

षाटा हुन्ना, ग्रगर ये यह साबित कर देते कि इन्होंने एक गलत रूप में टेंडर दिया, लोएस्ट किसी ग्रौर का था लेकिन उसको नहीं दिया गया ग्रौर उपर वाले को दे दिया गया, तब तो कोई बात थी लेकिनस वाल हो राजनीति का है। चूंकि इसको उठाने वाले मेम्बर पटनायक के हाथों बार बार मात खाते रहे हैं, इसलिए वे यही यहां कहते रहते हैं कि इसमें बड़ी गलती हुई है ग्रौर ये बड़े गलत ग्रादमी हैं।

इन्होंने दूसरी बात पारादीप पोर्ट के सम्बंध में कही है जहां पर कि टयुबलर ट्रसिस पटनायक की उस फर्म ने दी जिस फर्म में कि उनके कुछ हिस्से थे । यह कांट्रेक्ट किस ने किया ? 1959-60 में श्री ब्रार० एन० सिंह देव ने दिया जो उस समय वहां पर वित्त मंत्री थे, उद्योग मंत्री थे श्रौर उन्होंने सम्पूर्ण देश की सारी कोटेशंज देख कर के यह दिया, सब कुछ देखने के बाद वह इस नतीजे पर पहुंचे कि हम इस फर्म को भ्रगर कांट्रेक्ट दें तो हमें फायदा होगा । वह कोई कांग्रेसी नहीं थे । वे आपके ही एक मेम्बर थे । भ्रौर कोई नहीं थे । उस समय मेहताव साहब वहां के मुख्य मंत्री थे। लेकिन कांट्रेक्ट श्री ग्रार॰ एन॰ सिंह देव ने जो उस समय वित्त मंत्री थे, सप्लाई मंत्री थे, दिया । यह इसलिए दिया कि उससे उड़ीसा गवर्नमेंट को फायदा होता था। लेकिन हमारे प्रपोजीशन वाल तो सिर्फ इसी बात को देखते हैं कि उस फर्म से पटनायक साहब का सम्बन्ध था। वे यह भूल जाते हैं कि इस फर्म ने उड़ीसा की सरकार को फायदा पहुंचाया । यद्यपि उस फर्म को यह कांट्रेक्ट दिया गया जिस फर्म के दाम सबसे कम थे, यद्यपि उस फर्म को यह दिया गया जिसने उड़ीसा को फायदा पहुंचाया लेकिन फिर भी चूंकि इस में एक मिनिस्टर का थोड़ा सा शेयर था इसलिए एडिमिनिस्टेटिव इम्प्रोप्रायटी कह कर उनको हटा दिया गया । यद्यपि इस में कोई फाइनेंसल लास नहीं हुन्ना, कोई बंगलिंग नहीं हुई बल्कि उल्टे उडीसा गवर्नमेंट को फाइनेंशल गेन

हुमा लेकिन इसके बावजूद भी केबिनेट सब-कमेटी ने कहा कि चूंकि तुम्हारा इस में पांच परसेंट शेयर था, इसलिए तुमने एड-मिनिस्ट्रेटिव इम्प्रोप्राइटी की इसलिए तुम हट जामो । ऐसा करके कांग्रेस सरकार ने भौर कांग्रेस पार्टों ने वहां के मुख्य मंत्री को हटा कर क्या प्रजातंत्र का एक उच्च म्रादर्भ उपस्थित नहीं किया देश के सामने । ये जो उधर बैठे हुए हैं क्या ये ऐसा ऊंचा म्रादर्भ उपस्थित कर पाते ? ये स्थापित नहीं कर पाते ।

एक बात उन्होंने पारादीप पोर्ट के काम के सम्बन्ध में कही है। मैं यह कहना चाहता हं कि विश्व बैंक ने, वर्ल्ड बैंक ने ग्रीर प्लानिंग कमिशन के वाइस चेयरमैन ने स्पष्ट शब्दों में कहा कि जो काम किया गया है वह काम सम्पूर्ण हिन्दुस्तान की प्राजैक्ट्स में ग्रनोखा इसलिए है कि बहुत कम समय में ग्रौर ग्रच्छे दामों में किया गया है। मैं किस की बात मानुं ? इनकी बातों को मानुं या विदव बैंक ब्रीर वाइस चेयरमैन जो प्लानिंग कमिशन के हैं, उनकी बात को मानुं। स्वतंत्र पार्टी तो विद्य बैंक को पूजती है उस पर ो इसकी बड़ी म्रास्था है । क्या उसकी बात को न माना जाए भीर इनकी बात को माना जाए ? उसने कहा है कि बहुत भ्रच्छा काम हमा है। इसमें राजनीति का प्रश्न है जिस पर मैं भ्रन्त में भ्राऊंगा।

तीसरी बात उन्होंने उड़ीसा टैक्स-टाइल्ज के सम्बन्ध में कही है। मैं चाहता हूं कि माननीय सदस्य इस पर भा विचार करें। उड़ीसा टैक्सटाइल्ज के एक मेनेजिंग डायरेक्टर पटनायक थे। इसके विहाफ पर जमीन मांगी गई धौर सरकार ने जमीन एक्वायर की। इस में व्यक्तिगत जमीन भी थी धौर सरकारी जमीन भी थी। निजी जमीन के लिए एक सौ रुपया प्रति एक्ड़ के हिसाब से कम्पेंसेशन दिया गया। जनता को एक सौ रुपया प्रति एक्ड़ के हिसाब से मुझावजा दिया गया। जब सरकारी जमीन का प्रक्न

# [श्री भागवत झा स्राजाद]

4335

श्राया तो सरकार ने कहा कि हम चार सौ रुपया प्रति एकड़ के हिसाब से लेंगे। पूछना चाहता हूं इन्हीं माननीय सदस्यों से कि क्या यह न्याय की बात थी कि सरकारी जमीन के लिए तो चार सौ रुपया दिया जाए भ्रौर जनता से जो जमीन ली गई थी उसके लिए एक सौ रुपये दिया जाए ? भ्रगर श्री पटनायक ने मुख्य मंत्री होने के नाते यह कहा कि भ्रगर जनता को एक सौ रुपया प्रति एक्ड मिले तो मैं सरकार की जमीन के लिए चार सौ रुपया प्रति एकड़ कैसे दे सकता हं तो यह क्या कोई बुरें बात हुई या एक म्रादर्श स्थापित किया गया ? प्रश्न स्पष्ट है। उन्होंने यह कह कर िक अपर जनता को एक सौ रुपया प्रति एक्ड मिले हैं तो सरकार की जमीन का भी एक सौ रुपया प्रति एक्ड लिया जाना चाहिये। एक श्रादर्श रखा है। जो न्यायोचित बात है, वह भी इनके सामने कोई मुल्य नहीं रखती है। सतरह वर्षों में ये सरकार तो बना नही पाये हैं। इनकी लालसा रही है दैजरी बैंचों पर बैठने की । कोई भी लकड़ी इनको मिल जाए लगते हैं धुक, धुक करने । ये धुक धुक करते रहते हैं । एनी स्टिक इन देश्रर हैंड इज गुड एनफ । इनको कुछ भी मिल जाना चाहिये । स्नगर एक प्रदेश के मुख्य मंत्री ने इतना बड़ा श्रादर्श उपस्थित किया तो यह श्रादर्श भी इनके लिए गलत हो गया ।

चौथी और सब से इम्पार्टेंट बात इन्होंने सर्कुलर के सम्बन्ध में कहीं है । इस पर इतना हल्ला देश में क्यों मचाया गया है ? हल्ला इसलिये हुआ है कि आज तक उड़ीसा में वहां के जो लोकल डीलर्ज हैं उनको छः परसेंट ज्यादा सेल्स टैक्स देना पड़ता है । उड़ीसा एक पिछड़ा हुआ प्रदेश है । उसका सारा सामान उसके उद्योगों के लिए सारी चीज कलकता से आती हैं। आज तक कलकता के बड़े बड़े पूंजीपतियों ने बड़े बड़े मिल आलिकों ने उड़ीसा का शोवण किया है।

जब पटनायक मुख्य मंत्री हुए, तब उन्होंने इस सम्बन्ध में जो श्री पी० सी० सेन का पत्र प्रधान मंत्री को भेजा कि यह जो हमारे सामने पंचायत की परिभाषा है, जो रूरल इंडस्ट्रिय-लाइजेशन प्रयात् देहातों का श्रीद्योगीकरण, है, इन प्रश्नों को सामने रख कर जो डिस्क्रि-मिनेशन उड़ीसा के डीलर्स के साक्ष होता है कलकत्ता के बड़े बड़े पूंजीपतियों श्रौर उद्योगपतियों के मुकाबले में उस को कम करना चाहिये। इसी डिस्क्रिमिनेशन को कम करने के लिये पटनायक ने यह सकुलर निकाला भीर कहा कि उड़ीसा गवर्नमेंट इस डिसऐंड-वान्टेज को हटा देगी । यह सर्कुलर है जिस पर भ्राज इतना हल्ला हो रहा है। कहा गया कि इस सर्कुलर का ग्रर्थ यह था कि जो ऐडवान्टेज है वह बहुत दूर तक मिलेगा, उस की कोई सीमा नहीं थी। ध्रगर यह इस का इंटरप्रेटेशन था तो उड़ीसा सरकार ने पांच महीनों के श्रन्दर उस को वापस ले लिया। ग्रसल बात यह है कि इस देश की कहलाने वाली जो चौथी स्टेट है वह एक प्रेजुडिस्ड स्टेट है। उन के बड़े बड़े प्रखबार, स्टेट्समैन, इंडियन एक्स्प्रेस हिन्दुस्तान टाइम्स ग्रादि ग्राज सारे के सारे पूंजीपतियों के द्वारा चलाये जाते हैं। जिस बात में कंसेशन उड़ीसा के मुख्य मंत्री ने दिया उत के कारण कलकत्ते में उस वक्त बड़ा हल्ला हुआ। इन सारे भ्रखबारों ने बड़े जोर शोर से लिखना शुरू कर दिया। (Interruption).

श्री उ० मू० त्रिवेदी : 98 लाख रुपया मिला कांग्रेस को बिड़ला से, टाटा से, गोयनका से ।

भी भागवत झा भाषाव: प्रसन्नता की बात यह है कि त्रिवेदी कहते हैं कि उन्हें भी मिले।

श्री उ० मू० त्रिवेदी : मैं कहता हूं कि 98 लाख रुपये कांग्रेस वालों को मिले पैसे वालों से।

भी भागवत सा प्राजाद : बड़ी कृपा की जो यह बात कही। सारे प्रखबार जो पंजी-पतियों के हैं, हिन्दुस्तान टाइम्स देखिये, इंडियन एक्स्प्रेस ,देखिये, श्रौर श्राज जो स्टेट्समैन भी दादा के हाथ में है, सारे अंग्रेजी के अखबारों ने एक तरफ से हल्ला करना मुरू कर दिया । श्रीमान, सिंह देव ने कहा कि देश के सारे प्रखबारों ने खिलाफ लिखा । ग्रगर ग्रखबारों की भोपीनियन को मान लिया जाये तो कांगेस पार्टी दूसरे एलेक्शन में हार गई होती। भगर उन की स्रोपीनियन सही होती तो हमारे महामान्य नेता पंडित जवाहरलाल नेहरू, इस देश में श्राजादी को लाने वाले. इस देश में समाजवाद की नींव को स्थिर करने वाले, इस देश को एक नई राह दिखाने वाले पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू पैसे वालों की नजर में, श्रखबारों की नजर में रहते ही नहीं। एक बार इसी सम्बन्ध में हमारे भूतपूर्व प्रधान मंत्री स्वर्गीय जवाहरलाल नेहरू ने कहा था कि भ्रगर इन ग्रखबारवालों की बात मान ली जाये तो हम कब के एलेक्शन में हार गये होते श्रीर कभी भी इस देश में हमारी सरकार न बनती। इन श्रखबारों का हवाला हम क्या दें । चूंकि उन की इंडस्ट्रीज पर प्रभाव पड़ता था इस लिये उन्होंने बम्बई, कलकत्ता श्रीर मद्रास में हल्ला किया ।

इस प्रकार से चार प्वाइट्स मैं ने घ्रापकी सेवर में रक्खे । कार्लिगा ट्यूब्ज, उस के बाद उड़ीसा एजेन्ट्स धौर उनका सर्कुलर धौर उस के बाद कार्लिगा टक्स्टाइल्स । घ्राज सदन में बतलाया जाये कि इन बातों में सरकार की फैक्चुब्रल मिस्टेक कहां है, इस में गलती कहां है, घ्रगर कैबिनेट सब कमेटी ने इन तमाम चीजों को देखा । हमारे इन माननीय वन्धुग्रों को घ्राज सी०पी० ध्राई० पर बड़ा भरोसा हो गया है । लेकिन वे हीशियार रहें । ग्रगर किसी दिन सी० बी० घाई० रिपोर्ट इन लोगों के खिलाफ गई तो उस समय वह कहेंगे कि यह पुलिस रिपोर्ट है । यह लोग जिस पुलिस की शिकायत करते ध्रवाते नहीं

हैं उसी पुलिस की रिपोर्ट के बल पर कहते (हैं कि एक प्रदेश के मुख्य मंत्री को कंडम किया जाये । लेकिन फिर भी कांग्रेस पार्टी ने, कैबिनट सब कमेटी ने, इस देश में एक ऊंचा आदर्श रक्खा कि यद्यपि इन तमाम चीओं में कहीं कोई वित्तीय गबन नहीं था और कोई बेईमानी की बात नहीं थी, कोई डिफालके-शन नहीं थे, लेकिन किसी न किसी रूप में चूंकि हमारे भूतपूर्व मुख्य मंत्री पटनायक ग्रौर उस समय के मंत्री श्री बीरेन मित्र सम्बन्धित थे इसलिये उन्होंने कहा कि हालांकि तुम ने कोई बेईमानी नहीं की, तुम ने सरकार का फायदा करवाया, लेकिन तुम स्वयं उस में थे इस लिये ऐडिमिनिस्ट्रेटिव इम्प्रोप्रायटी हुई श्रीर तुम स्थान छोड़ दो। हम गौरव के साथ कह सकते हैं कि कांग्रेस पार्टी ने इस देश में एक नया आदर्श रक्खा।

मैं बतलाना चाहता हूं कि इस में घा खिर बात क्या हुई है। सारा झगड़ा राजनीति का है, उड़ीसा की राजनीति का। उड़ीसा में श्राजादी श्राने के बाद कभी कांग्रेस सरकार नहीं बनी । वहां पटनायक ने एक भ्राम चनौती दी चुनाव के पहले कि मैं उड़ीसा श्रसेम्बली में 80 सीटें लुंगा । भीर पटनायक ने कोई 84 सीटें जीतकर कांग्रेस की प्रथम सरकार उड़ीसा में बनाई । यह माननीय सदस्य लोग फेल कर गये। पहली बार म्रार०एन० सिंह देव भौर वहां के राजा लोगों की पार्टी मावलि-वियन में चली गई। सुनते हैं कि भ्राज पटनायक फिर कहते हैं कि हम ग्रगली बार 100 सीटें लेंगे, बल्कि 111 सीटें लेंगे। इन माननीय सदस्यों ने जो झगडा उठाया है वह उड़ीसा की राजनीति का है। वहां यह डट नहीं सके । धगर पटनायक ने कोई डिफालकेशन किया है तो इंडियन एक्स्प्रेस में लेख निकला है कि उन्होंने कलकत्ता हाई कोर्ट में डैमेज सूट फाइल किया है। मुझे बड़ी प्रसन्नता होगी अगर अपोजीशन मेम्बर्स सी० बी० माई० की रिपोर्ट मपने डिफेन्स के लिये पेश करें । मैं उन के सामने नतमस्तक

[श्री भागवत झा ग्राजाद]

हो जाऊंगा जो लोग यह कह रहे थे कि कैबिनेट सब कमेटी ने गलत फैसला दिया।

इसलिये मैं कहुंगा कि यह जो ग्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव म्राया है वह छोटी छोटी व्यक्तिगत दलबन्दी के कारण ग्राया है। जिस समय श्री सुरेन्द्रनाथ द्विवेदी जी श्रपना प्रस्ताव मुब कर रहेथे उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा कि हम किस प्रकार ऊंचे श्रादशं से श्रागे बढे, उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा कि खाद्य स्थिति इतनी भयंकर थी, ग्रौर मैं नहीं कहता कि वह ग्रब श्रासान हो गई है, लेकिन पहले से सूधरी हुई है । उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा कि किस प्रकार से शासन व्यवस्था में सुधार होना चाहिये। उन्होंने सिर्फ एक बात कही कि कैट इज झाउट ग्राफ दिबेंग । वैग भी उनका, कैट भी उनकी । उड़ीसा की राजनीति वाली कैट उन के वैग में घुसी हुई थी, भ्रौर भ्रब वह निकल कर भाग गई। मैं कहना चाहता हुं कि यह ग्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव बिल्कुल निराधार, गलत भीर अनुत्तरदायित्वपूर्ण है। उस में कोई उत्तरदायित्व नहीं है, भ्रौर इस ग्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव को हम मान नहीं सकते । उन्होंने जो प्रस्ताव रक्खा है उस से स्पष्ट मालुम होता है, कि हिन्दुस्तान में विरोधी पार्टिया आदर्श में विश्वास नहीं रखतीं। सिर्फ छोटी छोटी बातों के ऊपर सरकार पर प्रहार करना ही उन का पेशा है। वह यह जानती हैं कि भ्रगली बार फिर भ्राने वाले चुनावों में उन को कांग्रेस से मुंह की खानी पड़ेगी लेकिन वह सोचती है कि कुछ न कुछ हल्ला ग्स्ला छोटे बच्चों की तरह जरूर करना ही चाहिये । इसीलिये वह ऐसा करती हैं लेकिन उन के प्रस्ताव के पीछे कोई ग्राधार नहीं है। ग्रस्तु, मेरा विश्वास है कि यह प्रस्ताव बिल्कुल गलत है, श्राधार रहित है, तथ्यहीन है, अनुत्तरदायित्वपूर्ण है, इस में कोई उत्तर-दायित्व नहीं है श्रीर इसलिए हम बहुत

मजबत शब्दों में इस की भर्त्सना करते हैं ग्रीर इसी प्रकार से वोटिंग के समय बटन दबा कर हम इस को रिजेक्ट भी करेंग ।

## श्री रामेश्वरानन्द : \* \*

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. This will not be recorded.

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Calcutta South West): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, it was really painful for some of us to listen to Shri Chagla, for whom I have the very highest regard. While listening to him, we felt that was a man who had fallen into bad company. It was so obvious that his conscience was locked in against his loyalty to his Prime Minister which is naturally restricted within the bounds of the oath he has taken.

My hon. friend, Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad, who was supposed to be speaking on behalf of the ruling party, from wath I could understand of his speech, virtually contradicted the findings of the Cabinet sub-committee......

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: \_ am not contradict it.....

Shri Indrajit Gupta: I am not yielding.

It was said that a prima facie case has been made out. What he said was that after the establishment of a prima facie case and the resignation of the persons concerned, there was no ground for a further court of inquiry. But my hon friend, Shri Azad, argues that there is no prima facie case at all. In fact, listening to him I was wondering whether he is not really charging the Cabinet with having taken steps to get rid of somebody, a person who—according to Shri Azad,—should be really lauded

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not recorded.

4342

as the greatest patriot, the greatest servitor of this country's interests.

I do not wish to go into the details of this CBI report-the document which has been placed on Table of the House by Shri Kamath sub-committee's findings -and the thereon, which the Government benches are understandably either to own up or to deny, but I will say that I had expected an eminent former Judge and an eminent jurist like Shri Chagla to explain to us this glaring contradiction which pears in the sub-committee's report itself in two successive paragraphs. They may not be able to refer to this document because they are turning a blind eye to it, but he has said himself that he has signed this report, and he has not said that this is not a genuine report. On page 7 of this document as it is given to us, laid the Table of the House, it says, if I may read:

"The sub-committee feel that it is necessary to record in all fairness that their examination of the materials available did not reveal that in the various transactions in which Shri Patnaik was concerned, he had personally derived any pecuniary benefit."

This is one paragraph. The next paragraph says:

"However, the sub-committee felt in the course of its examination of the material, that the manner in which Shri Patnaik and Shri Biren Mitra, directly or otherwise, conducted Government transactions in which were also involved the interests of private concerns owned or controlled by them or by their relations, was definitely not in keeping with the normal standards of public conduct."

To my mind—I am not an eminent jurist like the Law Minister or the former Chief Justice,.....

The Minister of Law (Shri A. K. Sen): I am not one.

Shri Indrajit Gupta:....but to any lay man, an ordinary citizen c' this country, this seems to be a remarkable exercise in verbal pyrotechnics and nothing else. Here it is said that no pecuniary benefit was personally derived by Shri Patnaik. The next paragraph refers to the way that he and Shri Mitra had dealt with transactions which involved their personal interests and the interests of private concerns owned or controlled by them or by their relations-and it is on this ground that they have definitely been found to be guilty of what the Cabinet Sub-committee tactfully calls administrative impropriety. I suggest a very dangerous precedent is being set up here.

A very respected and distinguished parliamentarian who was entrusted by this Government with heading the committee on corruption which was set up, Shri Santhanam, has written an article which was published in one of the leading dailies, published before Shri Kamath had appeared with these documents. In that article, Shri Santhanam says referring to the allegations which were very widespread and talked about all round:

".... these proceedings (meaning the proceedings of Shri Mitra and Shri Patnaik) were not merely improper but amounted to gross misuse of power to benefit concerns in which the Chief Minister was directly interested."

He continues:

"I am unable to imagine why the Cabinet Committee should hesitate to call it 'corruption' and try to lessen its gravity by inventing the new name of 'administrative impropriety'. I do not think there can be any midway between bona fide error of judgment which generally ought to be excusable and mala fide misuse of power which can never be condoned."

We are not concerned whether Shri Patnaik has earned good money for

[Shri Indrajit Gupta] That is buside the State of Orissa. the point. We are concerned with situation which the very peculiar arose. I would just ask you to conjure up the picture once more before your mind. Shri Patnaik is the Chief referring to that Minister. I am period when he was the Chief Minister of the State. There is his wife, an eminent lady, no doubt, I nothing against her personally, I did not have the pleasure of her acquaintance, Shrimati Gyan Patnaik. is the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Kalinga Tubes. She, of course, being the Chief Minister's wife, at the same time is producing galvanized iron pipes, tubes, steel furniture, trusses, fluorescent lamps and all sorts of things. And all these products Kalinga Tubes are purchased in large quantities by the same State Govern-ment which is presided over by her husband, Shri Patnaik.

At the other end there is another lady, Shrimati Iswaramma Mitra. Her husband is the Deputy Chief Minister at the same time. Shrimati Iswaramma Mitra is the sole proprietress of the Orissa Agents. Orissa Agents becomes the sole agent of Kalinga Tubes of course, they also be-Later on, come the agents for Kalinga Industries, Jenson & Nicho son, Phillips (India) Limited, Turner Hoare—all the gentlemen against whom Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad was waxing very eloquent a little while ago; all the big capitalist interests of Calcutta are there, and the sole agent is Shrimati Iswaramma Mitra-Bengal Potteries, Delhi Cloth Mills, Dunlops some places Caltex. And they become the sole agents.

I would say that all economists who have studied the development of capitalism know about interlocking and But here is concentration. form of interlocking and concentration which is transferred from the normal sphere of man and woman or husband and wife, to another sphere, where venal politicians, corrupt Ministers and

their own most immediate and nearest relatives are so mixed up and locked up with monopoly business which they have converted into monopolies. Although it is being argued and has been argued, I believe, by Shri Patnaik, that the CBI had business and has no business to tervene in matters concerning people who are not direct employees of the Central Government, I would say that this specific factor of interlocking and concentration which has been displayed by Mr. and Mrs. Mitra and Mr. and Mrs. Patnaik in Orissa, certainly lays them open to the charge, which, I am glad to say, one of our leading daily newspapers has pointed out, namely that in the USA such an action would have been held guilty on the charge of illegal restraint of inter-State commerce which invites certainly the intervention of the Central Government. This is what was done.

I would, incidentally, like to know whether, in the course of these allegations and enquiries, any reply has been received from Shri Sadashiva Tripathy, who now presides over the destinies of that State, who was the Revenue Minister at the time when many of these things were done, and who himself in his capacity as Revenue Minister, sanctioned many of these improper things which the then Chief Minister and Deputy Chief Minister did. Has any reply been received from him; if so, at what stage is that enquiry, at what stage are the proceedings against him, because only lately he has been promoted to the Chief Ministership of that I do not wish to go into this State? further detail because matter in enough has been said on this question.

Our Party has also pressed for this, that a proper commission of enquiry under the Commissions of Inquiry Act must be set up. The point is this Government has pledged itself, in principle at least, to accept the main findings of the Santhanam Committee's Re port, and I would say that the hard

core of this report, which deals with question of how allegations against Ministers, whether of the Central Government or of the State Government, ought to be dealt with, the hard core of that report contains the recommendation that if a prima facie case is established against Ministers. there are only two courses open to Government, if any proper principles are to be observed. One is that a regular case has to be registered for investigation with a view to prosecute the Minister concerned, the other is that a commission of inquiry under the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952, should be appointed. Which of these two courses is being followed? they going to be allowed to get away with the loot?

Shri Chagla of all people, an eminent ex-Chief Justice, asked us here: "Why do you want a commission of inquiry? The man has resigned, that is enough." I say he is a thief of public property, and any thief of public property has to be brought to book and must answer for his actions. That is why we want a commission of inquiry. A commission of inquiry needed for this very reason. Mere resignation is not enough, because have not yet set up such standards of public life that a person who resigns once, or loses his jub once, cannot come back again by the backdoor and get even a cushier, more lucrative Have you established principle or standard? It has not been established. Therefore, there is a case for a commission of inquiry, and I believe that all the parties on this side of the House, and I am sure a number of Members on that ide of the House too, individual Mcmbers, are convinced about this that a commission of inquiry should be set up.

An hon. Member: They will not accept it.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: I would like to point out one thing.

15 hrs.

An attempt is being made in certain quarters to limit the whole context and the scope of this motion of noconfidence to what Mr. Azad referred to as this miserable little Orissa affair. Fut I would suggest to you, En, that this matter since it has come up in this form, should be viewed in its proper context. It is not a question of individual's private morals; it is not a crisis of morals. If we try to put it on that plane, we will never be able to root out corruption in this country. It is not a question of anybody's private or personal morals. It is the all-pervasive corruption which we see at the fountain head, at higher echelons of administration and of the Government. This corruption is only the by product of the growth of monopoly capitalism which Government is fostering in this country. Where else do you find interlocking of venal politicians and their immediate relatives, certain officials of the Government and linking with big businessmen and moneyed people? It is that which is producing this phenomenon. it may be the case of Mr. Patnaik. It will be somebody else tomorrow. How can we forget the typical example of Mr. Ram Rattan Gupta, the big industrialist of Kanpur and a member of this House of the ruling party who took a loan of Rs. 13 lakhs from the Life Insurance Corporation and who had not bothered to repay it although repayment was long overdue and proceedings had been launched Till now he has been against him. evading repayment. This gentlemen was nominated again by the Congress Party in 1962 elections and on an election petition, the tribunal found that the election had been rigged and votes had been tampered with in the interest of the ruling party, by the returning officer. He was unseated. I want to know whether that returning officer, Mr. Nigam who did this tampering of votes, did not do so on some quid pro quo. Certainly he must have done it not on his own volition. there

4347

must have been a quid pro quo. What was that quid pro quo? Maybe. Mr. Ram Rattan Gupta promised something because he is a man of means and financial resources or it might have been, as is seen from subsequent developments, immediately after the election that returning officer who had already been superseded earlier by the U.P. Government was promoted to be the commissioner of a division. What is this phenomenon?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: I am asking this Government.

Shri Daji: Sir, He is speaking as our Leader.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I thought Mr. Mukerjee was the leader.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): He is our first speaker he should get all the time that the other first speakers got.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: It is in the highest public interest to unravel all the tortuous ties which are behind this phenomenon of corruption. Other wise, we will never be able to get at the root of it. So many things had been talked about and they had never been denied in this country nor are they being investigated and they concern people who are at the very top. Do you expect that the common man in this country, the smaller official, the humble peon or the railticket collector or somebody like that, will mend his ways so long as these things are allowed upper echelons? I want to know whether any investigation or enquiry or examination or probee—choose any word you like, I do not mird, whichever word would smell sweet to you will be instituted on the basis of the serious allegations which have been circulated around this country. They had not been authoritatively denied. For exmple, when Mr. TTK was the Minister of Commerce and Industry, is it a fact or not that in that period, TTK & Sons acquired sole selling agencies of a huge lot of things? I have got a long list, I have no time to read them, there are 17 or 18 imported consumer products some of which certainly do not require any selling agency; they are so well known such as Max Factor's cosmetics or Bournvita. These things should be enquired into so that a high standard could be maintained. Is it a fact or not? Similarly, Mr. Morarji Desai's son is reported to have become one of these get-rich-quick people at a period when Mr. Desai was Finance Minister, If his son paid his taxes as all honest citizens should. we should like to know how within such a short period of time he is reported to have acquired so much wealth. I have here with me a copy of a statement issued by the leader of my group in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council. It has been issued in public and I see that he has been carrying on correspondence with the Andhra Government and there are some accusations about the allotments of houses by the State Housing Board for middle income groups.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We are not concerned with the Andhra Government; the Andhra Government is not represented here. (Interruptions.) They must have some relevance to the motion.

Shri Indrajit Gupta:: How can you understand the relevance unless you let me continue? I assure you that there is relevance. Since I do not like you to pull me up again, let me begin by saying what the relevance is, It concerns the present Union Steel Minister, Mr. Sanjiva Reddy. That is the relevance; there is no other relevance. The housing board has made allotments of its houses on.

the 29th of May 1964 to six persons of whom four names I shall mention. One is Mrs. Nagarathinamma, wife of the Union Steel Minister; that is. Mrs. Sanjiva Reddy. The second is the wife of the present Chief Minister Shri Brahmananda Reddy. The third is closely related to another Minister and the fourth is the Secretary of the Andhra Pradesh Congress Committee I am not concerned with these last two for the moment. It is charged publicly that no public notification was made though that was the procedure and no lottery was taken, though that was also the procedure. It is found that allotments are made like this. Here again the beneficiary happens to be the wife of one our Union Ministers. Will an enquiry be held?

Shri Daji: It is a paying proposition to be the wife of a Minister.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: It is my contention that what has been brought to light by the CBI report and the subcommittee's note thereon is something is meant to subserve interests, not of the ruling party as a whole but of a narrow powerful group within the ruling party. I want my friends on those benches to consider this matter dispassionately. There is a group. I know somebody says it should not be called syndicate. I do not mind if by any other name this stink can be made less stinky. But what is popularly known as the syndicate is a party, behind the scenes, without a shadow of doubt. Otherwise we cannot explain Mr. Atulya Ghosh's defence of Mr. Patnaik . . .

An hon. Member: It is the communist way of purging persons from the party.

Shri Indrajit Gupta:..... and his fulminations against the CBI. It is not a question of political pressure being exercised in a way that Mr. Chagla put it: it is political pressure not from outside. It may be that it was within the ranks of the sub-committee itself because I find for several weeks or months, there are two distinct schools of thought being propounded by different sets of congressmen about

the CBI. There is one school which holds that this CBI should mean "Cat in the bag indefinitely," now, when we are talking about cats in the bag today. There are some people who want it to be relegated to the status of "Cat in the bag indefinitely." There are some others perhaps who felt that CBI should mean Biju's indiscretions or improprieties." There was a tussel in the first round: it was the latter who won; some sort enquiry was instituted.  $\mathbf{of}$ findings came before the Cabinet subcommittee, and then, it seems that pressures forces were felt, which had been at work long before.

Reference has already been made by other friends on his side about a little AICC at Rancni, I need not go that again. But Mr. Atulya into Ghosh, who is a very important political figure in this country. recently made a statement-for which he got himself into trouble later on -that he refused to accept donations for the Congress from people who were criticising the taxation policy of the ruling party. This led to some embarrassment, because there some donars perhaps who do not entirely like the taxation policy as it is at present, and would like it to be liberalised. When he was asked why circulars were sent by him to different donations. business houses for Mr. Atulya Ghosh hastened to add that he did not mean "general criticism", "I only meant those people who criticised the Government in my presence." (Interruption). This is the root of the matter. It is at Mr. Atulya Ghosh's instance that the Chief Minister of my State, Shri P. C. Sen-he had no to do so otherwise-went business out of his way to make a public stateand later he wrote a letter, defending his friend Shri Biren Mitra, which was very rightly resented many Congressmen in Orissa as unwarranted interference. The point is that there is a narrow group, call it as syndicate or what you will, which is operating behind the scenes. It is in the interests of this group that this thing has been done.

### [Shri Indrajit Gupta]

I would demand of the Government that they should also carry on some or investigation—or 1ittle enquiry whatever they would like to call itinto the allegations about the way that money has been spent by Mr. Atulya Ghosh in Bengal The moneys I am referring to are public money-the money of the Assam Relief Committee, the money of the Bengal Flood Relief Fund-and I am confident that an enquiry held will show that accounts are available of a large portion of these funds. But Mr. Atulya Ghosh has built himself a big countryhouse for which a special road has constructed at Government expense, for which at the back of the house, a plot of land has been officially notified by the Government as a forest, so that 4. to 50 forest guards be brought there to do the personal work of Mr. Atulya Ghosh. I would like to know whether this has any bearing with the fact that the shares of Mr. Ghosh's newspaper, Jansewak which he produces Calcutta,—the majority of shares that Jansewak are held by Shanti Prasad Jain & Co.,—and that Shanti Prasad Jain, a notorious gentleman, has been hauled up in the courts for defalcation of Rs. 30 lakhs from Bennett Coleman and the Times of India. The Special Police Establishment., I believe, has carried out an enquiry into that affair, but we hear no more of it. It is put in cold storage; shelved. We would like to know about it, because I am trying here to point out the interlocking that prevails; we would like to know how far this interlocking and interlinking have gone and until we are able to get at the root of this, until the Government is prepared not only to tackle personal, individual cases corruption but also to live up to its old pledge which is embodied in the directive principles of the Constitution, it will never be possible to get at the roots of corruption. Here is article 39 of the Constitution-directive Principles of State Policy-wherein said that economic concentration of power and formation of monopoly

combines will not be permitted. Unless this Government has the honesty and the courage to live up to those directive principles, it will never be able to get at the roots of this corruption. One main reason for my party for supporting this motion of noconfidence is that we have no confidence whatsoever that this Council of Ministers is capable of performing that task.

I will probably have only a few minutes left. I shall just refer to one or two other matters. I have already referred to the directive principles. I charge this Government with subvertand violating these directive principles. They are very quick-and they are very easy-at preparing charges of subversion against others, but I say a document, a statement, could be prepared, showing corrupt and subversive and anticonstitutional activities of this Council of Ministers.

Shri Daji: Hear, hear. A White Paper.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: Why should it not be prepared? Here is article 39 of the Constitution which, in clauses (b) and (c), says:

"The State shall, in particular, direct its policy towards securing—

- (b) that the ownership and control of the material resources of the community are so distributed as best to subserve the common good;"
- (c) that the operation of the economic system does not result in the concentration of wealth and means of production to the common detriment;"

I submit that these directive principles are being sabotaged and subverted by this Government, and that is why it deserves the no-confidence of the people of this country.

I will say again, as I had occasion to mention the other day, that they are subverting article 191 of the Constitution by their behaviour in

Kerala, Article 191(1) lays down all the possible grounds on which a person can be disqualified in this country from being chosen as, and for being, a member of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State. There are five grounds given; these are exclusive. There are no other grounds on which it is possible to disqualify anybody. I say that this Government not only did not disqualify certain people in Kerala from being chosen, from being elected, from standing for the election but in fact, the Home Minister boasted: "We want them to stand so that the people may disown them." When once they are elected, once they are chosen, in terms of this article, now, it is sought to prevent them from functioning or from being members of the Legislative Assembly and discharging their functions as members. How is it possible? I am sure Shri Asoke Sen and Shri Chagla can get out of it by some sort of legal jugglery or legal terms, but in all moral conscience, is this not a subversion of this article? I could understand it-though I would never friends as mу hon said--my right had to that if you had banned that party and "We will not allow the members to stand for election" one can at least understand the consistency of it, but when you have allowed certain citizens of this country to stand for election, to appear before the elecwhat tors to be chosen by them, right have the Government got, after they are chosen, to prevent them from functioning as members of the State legislature? I therefore charge the Government with subverting the Constitution itself.

Not only that. They are subverting the faith of the people in parliamentary democracy. Who will vote now? And why should they vote? Why should a voter exercise his vote? What is the use if I am allowed to choose a candidate of my own choice and then that candidate is not allowed to function as my representative inside the Assembly? Is this not a 2438 (Ai) LSD-7.

subversion of my constitutional right? And is this the way you hope strengthen the foundations of parliamentary democracy? You are preparing the path which leads inevitably, has led inevitably, to an Ayub Khan or another dictator. You are the people who are subverting it.

I would also say this, as has been mentioned in our motion of no-confidence. The hon Prime Minister is not present, but I would recall to you the first broadcast that he made to the nation after assuming office, pledge which he gave a that top priority would be given to the question of holding the price-line. We have not forgotten it. I charge this Government with breaking faith with the people and allowing things to come to such a pass what today gigantic middle-class revolt is visible on every side in this country, people who never took to the path of Today, agitation before. you see them agitating-the school teachers, university and college professors, doctors, house surgeons and Class I LIC officers. Do you disown them? Are you going to reply by that we are the people who have been guilty of inciting and instigating them? You are driving them to a pitch of desperation, of frustration, which is compelling them to come out on the streets in this manner. I would say this is the way in which pledge, solemnly given soon after the demise of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, has been broken and faith been kept with the people.

Therefore, Sir, these are some of the grounds on which we wish to indict this Government. I will say, in the end, that since most of the debate is likely to be restricted to the issue of corruption and the issue of firm Orissa Government it is our belief that when many petty individuals have been known to millions in a few years during last 17 years of independence—some of my hon, friends on those benches

#### [Shri Indrajit Gupta]

tell me in private conversation that when a country develops you cannot help an odd bit of corruption here and there-when it becomes obvious that there are millionaires country and with the help of the State and the public treasury those millionaires are helped to grow bigger and bigger to the detriment of the common good, then it becomes inevitable that other people are tempted to fall into the path of temptation, to climb ino that class. They want themselves also to become millionaires, at least small ones if not big ones, and their own sons and relations also get embroiled in this unhealthy ambition. Therefore, if you help to breed monopoly capitalism you will breed its byproduct also and you will get drawn into that net whether you like it or

Therefore, we are indicting this Government on this ground, first and foremost, that the root cause of corruption, which is the growth of monopoly capitalism with all its concomitants-black money, blackmarketing, illegal gratification and so on-has not been removed. Unless it is fought against there is no hope for the future of this country, and this Government has proved itself to be incompetent, incapable and unwilling to take that step because it is itself serving the interest of big capital

I hope that certain Members on the Congress benches will search own hearts, because simply because a motion of no-confidence has been brought against the Council of Ministers it does not mean that we are necessarily indicting the whole ruling party. I am convinced, in this particular case which is before us for our immediate attention, that this was a matter where the interests have been dictated by one narrow group inside the ruling party. I hope that Members on that side who have still got some honesty, some conscience left, will have the courage to speak and to support this motion of noconfidence, which at best can only

mean that the present Council of Ministers will have to be reshuffled. Everybody knows that this elephantine leviathan will remain for the time being, for a little while and they will survive this vote. We know it. But let at least the Council of Ministers be censured and pilloried, let it be changed, let it be re-shuffled and let some honest men be brought in, courageous men whose conscience is above everything else. Then only this country can prosper.

Shri Morarka (Jhunjhunu): during the period of less than six months this is the second motion for a vote of no-confidence which this Ministry is facing. The provocation for this vote of no-confidence is the finding of the CBI which has been placed on the Table of the House by one of the Members. In fact, the main purpose of this motion of noconfidence is only to discuss that CBI report. I personnally believe that if the Opposition had any other means available to them to discuss that report, perhaps this motion for a vote of no-confidence would not have come at all.

Sir, hon. Members, who have brought this motion for a vote of noconfidence know the fate of this motion, that it is going to be defeated. This motion will not serve any other purpose except discussing some of the findings of the CBI.

An hon. Member: It is not a find-ing; it is a report.

Shri Morarka: Now, Sir, before I go further, I would like to read the reasons given in support of the vote of no-confidence. The main motion is:

"That this House expresses its want of confidence in the Council of Ministers."

The reasons given are:

"(1) That they have failed to ensure the highest standards of

public conduct by deliberate suppression of abuse of powers."

I do not know what it means. If this Government suppresses the abuse of power, how is it a cause for grievance on the part of the Opposition. I think the mover wanted to say something else but he made a mistake here. Apart from that, it says:

- ". deliberate suppression of abuse of powers by a person in authority in the State of Orissa as well as in several other States;
- (2) That they have brought the whole concept of constitutional government into contempt by (a) putting party interests obove national interests; (b) attempting to seize and exonerate those who are guilty of such abuse of power; and (c) refusal to institute an open judicial enquiry into the said abuse of power and corruption."

Sir, I seriously ask hon. Members, particularly the mover—who is not here, after hearing the speech of the hon. Minister of Education this morning, could they seriously say that this Government is interested in shielding anybody much less a corrupt person?

Leave that aside. Let me give you briefly what this Government has done. Before I do that, I think it is necessary to make one point clear. We are not here considering the guilt or innocence of any individual. This debate is not to impeach Shri Patnaik or Shri Mitra. We are only considering a charge that has been levelled against the Government with respect to the attitude of the Government towards such persons or such things.

First of all, I wish to begin by saying that this Government instituted an investigation in the case of the Serajuddin affair knowing fully well that it involved a Cabinet Minister. When the report came the Cabinet Minister was asked to resign. At that time what the late Prime Minister said is worth quoting here. He said:

"Even though I am not personally convinced that Shri Malaviya has done anything which cast a reflection on his impartiality and integrity, yet I accept his resignation and in doing so I have followed and must follow thoese high principles of parliamentary government by which the office of a minister is governed and I have discharged my duty accordingly."

Then, take the case of Shri Pratap Singh Kairon. Whatever others may think, he was a very strong party man, he was an able administrator and a powerful Chief Minister. Even then, when the charges were made an enquiry was instituted. The report came an as a result of that report he was asked to go and he did go.

Take the present case of the two ex-Chief Ministers of Orissa. What happened? Complaints were made. An enquiry was instituted and as a result of that enquiry a Cabinet Sub-Committee consisting of eminent jurists, able administrators, expert financiers and men of public standing came to a decision that even though there was no pecuniary benefit to these people still there was impropriety. As a result of that finding those gentlemen were asked to go and they did go. I would say a little more about the Orissa affair and about the CBI report and also about the Cabinet Sub-Committee a little later

Take the case of Kerala. When the Communist friends were in charge of Kerala administration, because they were not following or observing the outhodox standards of public administration, this Government did not hesitate even to relieve them of their strange responsibility that they were shouldering.

#### 15.29 hhs.

[ SHRI THIRUMALA RAO in the Chair]

That is not all. At the instance of the public an enquiry was insti-

#### [Shri Morarka]

tuted into the affairs of the Bakshi regime in Kashmir after his leaving the office. I think everybody would admit that the political situation in Kashmir is a little more delicate Notwithstanding that, without caring for the repercussions and all those things, an enquiry was instituted into the affairs of the Bakshi regime.

Motion of

Then complaints were made about the conduct of the Chief Minister of Mysore and the Chief Minister There also this Sub-Committee was asked to look into them. They did look into them and gave their verdict.

Then again, when there were some whispers, some press reports, Deputy Minister of this Government went to the Prime Minister and said "Sir, there are some charges against me, some whispers against me and therefore I should not be included in the Government now. "Wait till those charges are cleared." said that Deputy Minister. This is the standard of public conduct that our people have set up and this is the standard of life that our Government is following. Now, does it lie in the mouth of these people, in the mouth of the mover, to say that for not keeping a high standard of public life and public administration this Government should be censured? would like to know from the hon. mover whether he knows of any instance where police officers have been sent to investigate into the affairs of Chief Ministers while they are office. I would like to know from him whether he knows of any case where a Cabinet Minister is interrogated by the police officers while he is still a Minister. I would like to know from him whether there is any instance in his mind where another Minister of the Council of Ministers asked questions by the repeatedly police department

There can be more than one view and I dare say there are different views-about the propriety or desirability of sending police officers to look into or investigate into the affairs of Chief Mnsters whle they are n office Because, it is embarrassing for both. In the first place, a police officer may not get all the records. In the second place, even if the allegations proved unfounded, the Chief Ministers would still be under suspicion because people would say when Chief Ministers are in office, who dare find anything against them. the larger public interests I think it is not a good policy to depute police officers to investigate into the affairs of Chief Ministers while they are in office. If they are removed from office and then this investigation is conducted, it is a different matter.

## Shri J. B. Kripalani But who initiated this policy?

What do all these Shri Morarka: instances which I have mentioned indicate? Do they indicate that this puts party Government interests above national interests? Do they indicate that this Government is not interested in maintaining the highest standard of public conduct? Do they indicate that they are interested in shielding or exonerating any guilty men? I will say in all humility "No". The answer to all these questions is an emphatic "No".

The only charge that is levelled or made time and again is that we do not institute a public inquiry or a judicial inquiry. Judicial inquiries and public inquiries are also not unknown to this Government. They do them whenever they are appoint necessary. But they cannot be appointed merely to oblige a member of the opposition who has got political differences in his own State. In this particular case which we are discussing, and on which I will say something more later, if the findings prove that there is a case for a public inquiry, I think this Government will not and should not hesitate to have a public

after all, everyinquiry. Because, body is interested in the purity of administration. Then, the persons found guilty, they themselves feel aggrieved at the conclusions of the CBI as well as of the Cabinet Sub-Committee. Similarly, the members of the Opposition are also dissatisfied. If they feel that the case should be finally and fully tried before the public and this can be done only by instituting a public inquiry, I do not think this Government will hesitate to institute a public inquiry. But I repeat that a public inquiry, or a judicial enquiry cannot be and should not be instituted merely to oblige an individual here or there.

I propose to demonstrate two things. Firstly, I will show that the findings of the CBI are incomplete and imperfect. I also propose to show that the findings of the Cabinet Sub-Committee are fair and just.

An hon. Member: What?

Shri Morarka: I repeat that, I propose to show that the findings of the Cabinet Sub-Committee are fair and just.

The hon. Mover of the motion from mentioned amongst all the examples of the charges only one charge, namely, the iron plant—low shaft furnace. Please give me some time first to deal with this point.

Mr. Chairman: I may inform the hon. Member that his time  $i_S$  nearly over.

Shri D N. Tiwary: Let him continue. His time can be deducted from the time allotted to the Congress Party.

Shri Morarka: Mr. Chairman, all that I want is that you should give ae a little more time to put all the facts before the House and put them in proper perspective; let the House then judge it. It is no use being impatient and trying to be too technical about the time factor. I do hope

to convince the House, at least on tha two matters which I have examined before you, that the CBI report was incomplete and imperfect. I will also prove how the findings of the Sub-Committee are fair and just.

Shri J. B. Kripalani: The Congress Party can be convinced because it is in a majority.

Shri Morarka: Why should Dada make an interruption now? When he gets his chance let him say what he wants.

Coming to the low shaft furnace, the pig iron plant was established by Mr. Patnaik in Orissa. It went into production in September 1959. These are admitted facts. Then he found that his plant was not running aconomically and it must be expanded. He approached the Government for permission to expand the plant. Then, sometime later, on the 1st April 1963, plant was transferred to the Orissa Development Corporation for a price which was fixed by two auditors. These are admitted facts. The CBI, which investigated this affair, had stated that they could not find out whether the price fixed was correct or not, in its report.

An hon. Member: So-called report.

Shri Morarka: It may be so-called or it may be the CBI report itself. I do not want to be technical. Let me quote from the document which has been laid on the Table of the House.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Let him place it on the Table if he is referring to it.

Mr. Chairman: Let him not be interrupted.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: How did he get it? Was it also stolen?

Shri Morarka: The hon, Member wants to know how I have got it. Some generous member has distributed it to all the members. It was thrown at my door and I got it.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Similarly, Shri Kamath also got it from you.

Shri Morarka: The other point is, the CBI said that they could not ascertain the profitability of this concern, whether the concern was working at a profit or not. The third point is about the 2½ per cent royalty which this concern will have to pay for all times to come to some institution. These are the three main points. I will not deal with the smaller points

In the board of directors of this company there were two representatives of the State Government-the Director of Industries and the Secretary, Industries Department. CBI did not consider it proper or necessary to talk to these people to ascertain even the basic facts. About profitability, every year the accounts of this particular plant are audited by the Accountant-General of Orissa, because the Orissa Government has invested Rs. 3 lakhs in this concern. So, the Accountant-General of Orissa knows whether this concern is making a profit or loss. But the CBI did not go and ask him any questions to ascertain the position. So far as the royalty or commission of 2½ per cent is concerned, the hon, mover of the motion, Shri Dwivedy, while making his speech tried to create the impression in this House that this 22 per cent perpetual commission on the expanded capacity of this project would go to Shri Patnaik.

I think, Shri Dwivedy was less than fair to Shri Patnaik, to himself or to the CBI because it is clearly stated. . .

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I will only quote from the CBI Report.

Shri Morarka: You may quote from the CBI Report. I have got a copy of it; unless you have got another edition......(Interruption).

Shrimati Renu Chakravarity: Stolen property is with them also, the members of the ruling party.

Shri Nath Pai: Which is that copy?

Shri Morarka: Sir, I am not yielding.

Shri Nath Pai: Mr. Chairman, on a point of order.

Mr. Chairman: They are all copies.
There is no original.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Stolen property of this side.

Shri R. S. Pandey (Guna): Shri Nath Pai has been medically advised not to speak or excite himself. For the sake of preservation of his health he should keep quiet.

Shri Morarka: This 2½ per cent cent commission under the agreement a copy of which was available to the CBI is earmarked for a technical institute of engineering and design to be established and registered under the Societies Act and there will be three trustees—one of them would be the State or Government nominee, another would be the Corporation's nominee and one person would be the nominee of Kalinga Industries.

Mr. Chairman: I would suggest to the hon. Member to conclude.

Shri Morarka: There are two points

Mr. Chairman: If he is taking all the time in explaining one point, there would not not be much time for the other.

Shri Morarka: Then you must give me some more time.

My short point is that if the CBI wanted to come to a proper and rational conclusion on all these facts, the CBI had enough powers and resources to go to the Government officers, to the AG, to see the copy of this agreement and then make comments about that. Instead of doing that what they have said is that this transaction is very suspicious and we must find out whether the method of fixing the price was proper or not etc. I, therefore, say in all humility that the method adopted by the CBI

in the inquiry or investigation, whatever you mak like to call, was not exhaustive and perfect. Therefore the CBI report left much to be desired.

short point is that there is an allega-Another point which is again a very tion that Rs. 97,000 by way of stamp duty which were payable or which should have been payable by Patnaik were not paid by him but were paid by the State Government. Everybody here without going the details will feel that Shri Patnaik cheated the Government of But what are the facts? Rs. 97,000. The facts of the case are that in 1956 Shri Patnaik applied for a loan from the Industrial Finance Corporation of Rs. 97 lakhs. The loan was sanctioned to him. The condition was over and above the personal guarantee of Shri and Shrimati Patnaik the loan would also be guaranteed by the State Government. State Government while teeing the loan said, "We will charge you a commission of 11 per cent". The 11 per cent commission means about Rs. 1,50,000 every year. At that the State Government thought that no stamp duty would be payable on this document but in the agreement they provided that if duty was payable the same would be paid by the State Government. Why? Because the State Government was going to make a profit of Rs. 1,50,000 every year and already the State Government has made a profit of more than Rs. 8 lakhs on this contract. The CBI did not see this agreement. The CBI did not try to find out who the person was when this agreement was signed. You would be surprised to know. Sir, that the person who signed this agreement is no other man than Shri R. N. Singh Deo, the signatory to this memorandum who complained. Most of the irregular things have been complained of here which have been investigated into by the CBI were done during the time Shri R. N. Singh Deo was the Industry and Finance Minister, Shri Mahtab was the Chief Minister or when

Shri Hare Krushna Chaudhuri Chief Minister.

Shri Ranga: That is why he got rid of the Ministry.

Shri Morarka: You have already warned me about my time; so, I would point out only one more thing. And that is concerning the further probe to be undertaken. Much has been said about this further inquiry. I was myself surprised to find the history of this complaint, the memorial and this inquiry. On the 3rd of July, 1963, the then Chief Minister of Orissa. Patnaik, requested the leader of the Opposition there in the Assembly to examine the case of the Orissa Agents-not only as the leader of the Opposition but also as the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee. This offer of Mr. Patnaik was accepted on the same day by Mr. R. N. Singh Deo. Then, after about 10 days, he sought a clarification as to whether it will be limited to the examination of only this thing or that other things also could be gone into. The clarification was given to the effect, "You can examine whatever you like." Chief Secretary was instructed to make available all the papers that Mr. R. N. Singh Deo wanted. The business concerns were also informed that they may please give all the help and documents and papers that Mr. R. N. Singh Deo or his other colleagues Nothing happened. article appears in the newspaper soon after 13th and that unnerved Mr. R. N. Singh Deo there and he said, "My honesty, my integrity, is all questioned. I do not want to do this thing. I will not go anywhere near these files." (Interruptions).

Shri Ranga: You are the Chairman of the P.A.C. and you behave in this manner.

Mr. Chairman: Order, order.

Shri Ranga: Why does he find fault with the Chairman of the P.A.C. for having refused to play the role of a judge?

4368

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Ranga, you must allow him to proceed. He is only giving the facts and not his comments.

Shri P. Venkatasubbalah (Adoni): He is conscious of the fact that the Chairman of the P.A.C. is incompetent by himself.

Shri Ranga: What is the use of asking the Chairman of the P.A.C.? (Interruptions). He found that he would be getting into this unnecessarily and, therefore, liberated himself from this

Mr. Chairman: There is no point in Mr. Ranga offering an explanation to every argument advanced by the third party here.

Shri Ranga: He is the Chairman of the P.A.C. here.

Shri Morarka: If I say in the course of my speech one single word which is not true, I will stand . . . (Interruptions).

Sir, when the motion of No-Confidence is against my Government, is it not my duty to put before the House the correct facts? Is Mr. Ranga going to bamboozle all the people like this? Why is he so afraid of the truth coming out? (Interruptions).

Shri Ranga: He uses the word bemboozle'. Do you consider it to be right and proper?

Mr. Chairman: Probably, he does not convey what he wanted to convey.

Shri Ranga: Is he not capable of saying that he withdraws that word? It comes very ill-indeed from the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee. I am very sorry for that.

Shri K. N. Tiwary (Bagaha): On a point of order, Sir. They are disturbing and interrupting like anything everytime when any Member speaks from this side. If they behave like that, we will not allow anybody to

speak from that side. (Interruptions).

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member may please conclude his speech.

Morarka: Shri Thereafter. the Chief Minister requested the Speaker to direct the Public Accounts Committee to examine these charges. Speaker then directs the Public Accounts Committee to examine these things. In the meantime, a difficulty is brought to light namely that unless the accounts are audited by the Comptroller and Auditor-General they could not go into those things. So, the Chief Minister then writes to the Auditor-General 'Please audit these accounts and give the audited report to these people to examine these things'. The Auditor-General first raised some constitutional difficulty, but then ultimately he also agreed, and that special audit is now going on. It was going on, and the so-called CBI report or Shri Kamath's report has liberally drawn from the comments of the notes of special audit.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Has my hon, friend seen that special audit report? How does he say that it has liberally drawn on the special audit report?

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member is not bound to reply to Shri Surendranath Dwivedy.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Hemay not be bound to reply, but I can interrupt him for this purpose. I would like to know whether he has seen the special audit report. Otherwise, how can he say that the CBI report has drawn from it?

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member should have some patience and listen to the Member who is speaking.

Shri Morarka: I am very sorry. These quotations are in Shri Kamath's report. For, the CBI says that they had taken this information from the

notes on special audit report. I may not have seen the special audit report but this document which my hon. friend's party has placed on the Table of the House does give us all this information.

My point is this. After the special audit report is made, and after the Public Accounts Committee has examined these things, if there is a case for a judicial probe or a judicial inquiry, or even without it, if there is necessity for that, this point can be considered at the appropriate time, and I am sure the Government is not at all interested in hiding anything or in shielding anybody who is corrupt or who is not fit to remain as a Chief Minister or who is not to hold any public office.

Before concluding, I would like to say that the Cabinet Sub-committee's findings . . .

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member should conclude now. I cannot allow him more time.

Shri Morarka: I have taken 22 minutes so far.

Mr. Chairman: I would not say now how much time he has taken, but after he resumes his seat, I shall let him know the time he has taken.

Shri Morarka: I am obliged to you for your indulgence.

The Cabinet Sub-committee has found . . .

Shri J. B. Kripalani: Shri M. C. Chagla has said that it is a Cabinet secret.

Shri Morarka: The Cabinet Subcommittee has said:

"However, the Sub-committee felt, in the course of its examination of the material that the manner in which Shri Patnaik and Shri Mitra, directly or otherwise, conducted Government transactions in which were also involved the interests of private concerns owned or controlled by them or by their relations, was definitely not in keeping with the normal

standards of public conduct. The Sub-committee desire to record their profound concern at the picture emerging as a whole . . .

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): My hon. friend is reading from a document. He may place it on the Table of the House.

Shri Morarka: I do not understand this observation of my hon, friend Shri U. M. Trivedi.

Mr. Chairman: The Speaker's ruling was that if a Member had any document in his possession, he could utilise it, and with his permission, if the Member himself wants to place it on the Table of the House, he can do so. At this moment, therefore, the hon. Member cannot demand it from Shri Morarka, but he has to have it done through the Speaker.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I can request you, Sir, to have it placed on the Table of the House.

Shri Morarka: I am quoting from the document of Shri Kamath. I am not quoting from any other document.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: My hon. friend said that it was the Cabinet Subcommittee's report; earlier he had said that it was Shri Kamath's report: Surely, Shri Kamath is not the Cabinet Sub-committee.

Shri A. K. Sen: Shri Morarka is not being allowed to speak. He is being interrupted every second.

Shri Morarka: The whole difficulty is that whenever any point is made, the Opposition becomes so nervous and feels so jittery; whenever I reply to a point they feel that their case has been demolished and therefore, they start interrupting in this manner.

श्री हुकम चन्द्र कल्लवाय : माननीय सदस्य तो कांप रहे हैं।

श्री उ० मू० विवेदी : ग्रलती तो माननीय सदस्य कर रहे हैं भीर नरवस हम को कह रहे हैं। 437£

Shri Morarka: Then, the Cabinet Sub-committee says:

"The Sub-committee desire record their profound concern at the picture, emerging as a whole from the series of such individual transactions in many fields of activity of the State Government, of improper use of authority by leaders of the Government."

Now the Sub-Committee has made these observations on the basis of the material placed before it. It does not say it is exhaustive, it does not say it is conclusive, it does not say that there is no other material which can come before it.

I only want to say this. After all, what is propriety? Propriety, according to me, is correctness of behaviour or morals and also conformity with conventions. It is not necessary that a person guilty of impropriety should benefit; it is also not necessary that the State should suffer a loss. In fact, there can be impropriety even in transactions where the State has actually gained. It is a question of the behaviour of an individual; it is question of his observing a minimum standard, norms, standards of public conduct etc.

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member should conclude now. I cannot allow him to go on any more. He will resume his seat.

Shri Morarka: In conclusion, would only say that the findings of the Cabinet Sub-Committee were fair and just on the basis of the material placed before it, and the findings of the so-called CBI were imperfect and Incomplete.

भी उ० मू० त्रिवेदी : उपसभापित हमारे बुद्धिमान, जब ज्ञानवान, विद्वान, वयोवृद्ध और प्रामाणिक शिक्षा मंत्री जवाब देने खड़े हुए, तब ऐसा मालूम पड़ता वा कि वह कुछ जमा कर बहुत ग्रच्छी बात कहेंगे । लेकिन ग्राखिरकार तो वह प्रमाणिक थे। झुठी बात कब तक कह सकते थे ? उन के मुंह से एक सही बात निकल गई। (Interruptions). उन्होंने एक बाक्य कहा कि वह मिनिस्टर रहने के लायक नहीं थे । उन्होंने एक बात श्रीर कही कि हम सब लोगों को, चाहे हम विरोधी दल के हों श्रीर चाहे सरकारी पक्ष के हों, एक स्टैंडर्ड रखना चाहिए। जिस को हम सब मानें श्रीर बहुत ऊंचा स्टैंडर्ड हमारा होना चाहिए । मैं उन के साथ बिल्कुल सहमत हूं।। ग्रमर हमारा यही स्टैंडर्ड रहता , तो हम रिप्रेजोंन्टेशन आफ़ दि पीपल्ज एक्ट की दफ़ा 7(ग) को अभी न बदलते। चतुर्भुज जसानी का केस हुन्ना । उस वक्त कानुन यह था कि ग्रगर किसी भाग्रादमी का गवर्न मेंट के साथ किसी तरह से, किसी प्रकार का, डायरेक्ट या इनडायरेक्ट इन्ट्रेस्ट हो, तो सरकार के साथ सम्बन्ध होने से वह विधान सभा या लोक सभा का मेम्बर नहीं रह सकता है। इस कानून को हम ने तब्दील किया--हम ने श्रपनी बेईमानी का परिचय दिया, क्योंकि हम जानते थे कि हमारे पास ऐसे ऐसे ब्रादमी यार्येंगे, जो सरकार में दस्ल देंगे, सरकार में घसेंगे, उस से फ़ायदा उठायेंगे, भीर हम उनको नुक्सान नहीं पहुंचाना चाहते । जब सितम्बर, 1963 में उड़ीसा एजेन्ट्स के मालिक --मैं उसे मालिक कहता हं -- बीरेन मिल्रा के खिलाफ़ . . .

(Dar-Shri Shree Narayan Das lyhanga): On a point of order. The lion. Member just referred to an Act passed by this Parliament and said that it had been dishonestly done.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: What is the point of order?

कौन सा रूल है पायंट भाफ़ आर्डर

श्री हक्य चन्द कछवाय : माननीय सदस्य कौन से रूल में पायंट ग्राफ़ ग्रार्डर कर रहे हैं ?

Mr. Chairman: I cannot allow thri Kachhavaiya to interrupt the proceedings in this way. He should not do it (Interruptions). I am pulling him up also. I would appeal to all Members not to interrupt the hon. Member who is on his large intess there is something substantial to warrant it.

Shri Shree Narayan Das: My point of order is this. The hon. Member just referred to an Act passed by this Parliament and said that it was dishonestly passed by us. This is not permissible.

16 hrs.

श्री उ० मू० त्रिवेदी: यह एक ऐतिहा-सिक बात है। रिप्रेजेंटेशन आफ पीपल एक्ट की दफा 7 में तरमीम की है। यह एक ऐतिहासिक सत्य है। इससे क्यों इन्कार किया जाता है। क्यों यह बात आपको चुभती है? इसलिए चुभती है कि आपकी बदनामी होती है ? अगर ऐसी बात है तो आप फिर से तरमीम कर दीजिये।

Shri Shree Narayan Das: He has referred to a section which has been passed, and he says it has been dishonestly done.

The Deputy Minister in the Ministery of Community Development and Co-operation (Shri B. S. Murthy): Beyimani must be withdrawn.

Mr. Chairman: Your complaint is that he said that the Representation of the People Act has been passed by a section of the House. You say the whole House passed it.

Shri Shree Narayan Das: He said that that Act was amended dishonestly by us.

Mr. Chairman: Your point is that it was dihonestly passed? Did you use that word?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: What word did I use?

Mr. Chairman: Have you used the word "dishonestly" in Hindi?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I have not said that that Act is dishonest. I said we had dishonest intentions, the Congress Party had dishonest intentions, the Government had dishonest intentions.

Mr. Chairman: I would request you to avoid such words.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I cannot avoid it because it is a fact. It is a statement of fact. How can I avoid it? It is my opinion about a particular action which has been done. I am within my rights in using that language.

Mr. Chairman: You can exercise your right if you are within your rights.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I will not transgress the limits.

Mr. Chairman: I request you to avoid the use of such words. It creates a furore on one side or the other. It is an appeal to every Member of the House to desist from using such words. If it is used by them, you take objection. If you use it, they take objection. Therefore, I request you to avoid the use of such words.

श्री शिव नारायण (बांसी) : बेईमानी शब्द को यह विदड़ा करें।

Mr. Chairman: I do not want any interruptions.

Shri Shree Narayan Das: Will you please look into the proceedings as to what he has said?

Shri Bade rose-

Mr. Chairman: I do not allow any interference. He is capable of taking care of himself, and I am here to deal with him. When I ask for your opinion, you can stand up.

को शिव नारायण : यह अनपालिमैंटरी इस्ट है, इस को इन्हें विदड़ा करना चाहिये। 4375

Mr. Chairman: Please pass over to your next point.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Yes, Sir.

चगर द्याप शान्ति से सुनेंगे तो ऐसी गलत बात नहीं निकलेगी । इन्होंने बहुत सी बातें सुनाई हैं। अब आप मेरी बात भी सून लें।

यह दरस्वास्त 13 सितम्बर, 1963 को बी गई। इस में साफ लिखा हम्रा था कि श्री विरेन मिल्ल ने एक व्यापार उडीसा एजेंट्स के नाम से किया और इस नाम से जो कांट्रेक्ट किये वे उड़ीसा गवर्नमेंट के साव किये। कालिंगा ट्युब्ज का वह एक एजेंट् बना। एक छोटे से छोटा इनकम टैक्स ग्रफसर जो है उस के पास भी यह चीज जाती तो दो मिनट में ही वह इस नतीजे पर पहुंच जाता है कि उड़ीसा एजेन्ट्स का मालिक सिर्फ बिरेन मित्र है । लेकिन इस नतीजे पर पहुंचने के लिए इलैक्शन कमिशन के पास जब गवर्नर ने मामला भेजा तो उसको काफी समय लग गया । उसने रिपोर्ट दी कि जो दिखता है उसे ही हम देखते हैं। उसमें कुछ नुक्ताचीनी नहीं कर सकते हैं। हमारे पास ज्यादा पावर्ज होनी चाहिये । इस तरह से गोलमाल बात करके उन्होंने उसको वहीं छोड दिया ।

भी प्र० प्र० शर्मा: इलैकशन पैटीशन में इसका फैसला हुआ।

भी उ० मु० त्रिवेदी : किसी ने इसका फैसला नहीं किया। दफा 191 में रिपोर्ट स्राती है, फैसला नहीं हुआ करता है। दफा 191 की जब रिपोर्ट गई तो उस में क्या चीज थी यह भी मैं ग्रापको बतलाता हूं। ग्राप जो थोड़ा बहुत कानून जानते हैं उनको पता होगा कि इंडियन पीनल कोड में दफा 302 है । उस दका 302 के ग्रनसार उसका टेली-फोन नम्बर भी 302 था, उड़ीसा एजेन्ट्स का। किस कम्पनी का यह टैलीफोन नम्बर था? यह उसी कम्पनी का धा

जिस में डिप्टी चीफ़ मिनिस्टर श्री विरेन मित्र थे। मेरे पास कटक की डायरेक्टी है उस में भी दिया हुआ है । उड़ीसा एजेट्स के तमाम जितने कागजात थे, जितने लेटर हैड्ज थे, उन में भी 302 टेलीफोन नम्बर दिया हुम्रा है। दस्तखत किस के हुम्रा करते थे सभा कागजात पर? दस्तखत प्राइवेट सैकेटरा टूर्शा बोरेन मित्र श्री किफायत उल्ला के हुआ करत थे। विजिनेस रन करने वाला कौन था ? श्री मित्र थे । त्रिरेन मित्र का वयान है कि मेरी औरत के पास रुपया नहीं था। सिर्फ 2076 रुपये में मैंने त्रिजिनेस शुरू किया। उस पर पटनायक साहब क्या कहने लगे, यह मैं ग्रापको पढ़ कर सुनाता हूं:

"I can only say that this is disgusting. I put him, (Shri Biren Mitra) into business in 1959. In four years the firm's gross profits were over Rs. 15 lakhs (for the period ending 31st March, 1963)".

यह वह कमाता है। कौन कमाता है? श्री बीरेन मित्र कमाता है। फिर श्राप कहते हैं कि श्री बिरेन मिल डिसक्वालिफ़ाई नहीं हए । ग्रापको शर्म ग्रानी चाहिये । उनको मख्य मंत्री पद से ही नहीं हटाया जाना चाहिये था बल्कि उनको तो असैम्बली का मैम्बर भी नहीं हम रख सकते हैं, वह ग्रस्मैं म्बली के मेम्बर भी नहीं रह सकते हैं। लेकिन अभी तक आप उनको मेम्बर रखे हए हैं। सिर्फ उन्होंने चीफ़ मिनिस्टर्-शिप से इस्तीफ़ा दिया है . .

श्री रामसेवक यादव : यह पंचवर्षीय योजनात्रों की करामात है।

श्री उ० मृ० त्रिवेदी: मेरे पास विरेन मिव का एफिडे बिट है। उस में बह कहते हैं . . . . .

भी राम सेवक यादव : पंचवर्षीय योजनायें फल दे रही हैं।

भी उ० मु० त्रिवेंदी: वह कहने लगे कि मेरे ऊपर यह इल्जाम लगाया जाता है कि

मैंने ऐसा किया। ग्रागे जा कर वह खुद अपने मह से कहते हैं कि यह मेरा कारोबार था ग्रौर वह कारोबार मैंने किया। कलिंगा टयब्ज केसाथ में एजेंट था। इसके बाद जब उन से ब्यान लिया गया तो उन्होंने कहा कि यह रिपोर्टर या स्टैनो की गलती है। मैंने तो इनको यह लिखाया था कि मेरी श्रौरत है श्रौर इन्होंने ऐसा लिख दिया। आप देखिये कि ऐसा कहने वाले आदमी की बात मानी जाती है।

Motion of

**ऋाप सब ईमानदार हैं ग्रौर हम सब** गिरं हुए हैं ऐसी बात नहीं है। ग्रापको सब को सोचना होगा कि क्या ऐसे ब्रादमी को बरदाण्त किया जा सकता है ? क्या यही म्टैंडर्ड हम लोगों के सामने रखना चाहते हैं ? क्या इसी स्टैंडर्ड के ऊपर हमारे छागला साहब कहते हैं कि ऊंचा स्टंडर्ड हमको बनाना है ? यह तो ऊंचे स्टैंडर्ड का रूप नहीं है।

भाइयो, मैं ब्रांज यह नहीं कहना चाहता.. (इंटरप्शंज) । सभापति महोदय, अगर मुझ से गलती हो गई है तो क्षमा मैं ब्राप से चाहता हं।

श्री सरेन्द्रनाथ दिवेदी : हिन्दी की वजह से यह गलती हुई है।

Mr. Chairman: If you speak in English, you will not commit such mistakes.

श्री उ० मृ० त्रिवेदी: मैं श्रापको दूसरी वात बताता हूं । यह चीज सिफं उड़ीसा में हुई हो, ऐसी बात नहीं है । बिहार के मामले में सब-कमेटी की रिपोर्ट मेरे पास मौजद है। आप जिसे सब-कमेटी कहते हैं, उसकी रिपोर्ट मेरे पास मौजुद

श्री राम सेवक यादव: यह मैमोरेंडम भी टेबल पर रख दीजिये।

श्री उ० मू० त्रिवेबी: यह मैमोरेंडम भी है श्रीर यह कबिनेट सब-कमेटी की रिपोर्टभी है। ग्रगर ग्राप चाहें तो मैं टेबल पर रखा दं।

एक माननीय सदस्य : नहीं ।

श्री उ० मं० त्रिवेदी: इसको पढ कर तो मैं सूनाउंगा जरूर क्योंकि मैं जानता हं कि यह रिपोर्ट सब-कमेटी की है . . . .

श्री हरूर चन्द फछवाय ः मैं निवेदन करता हं कि इस को टेबल पर रखने की इजाजत दी जाए । यह बहुत महत्व की चीज़ है।

Shri Ranga: May I request the hon. Member to place it on the Table.

Mr. Chairman: There is no hurry for it. It can be considered.

श्री उ० मू० त्रिवेदी: यह कहा जाता है कि जो इनक्वायरी मि० सहाय के खिलाफ़ की गई है वह उनके खिलाफ नहीं जाती है। इंववायरी रिपोर्ट साफ बताती है कि किस प्रकार से हमारा नैतिक पटन हो गया है। नैतिक पतन इस स्तर तक गिर गया है कि वह एक दिन हम को ले डबेगा, ले बैठेगा । यह हमें दबादेगा। शर्म से हमारा सिर नीचे झक रहा है, हमें नीचा देखना पड़ रहा है। यह सिर्फ एक ग्रादमी के लिए शर्म की बात नहीं है, कांग्रेस पक्ष के वास्ते शर्म की बात नहीं है, हम जो भी विधायक बन कर स्राते हैं. लोक सभा के सदस्य बन कर आहे हैं हम सब के लिए यह शर्म की बात है। लोग हमारी ग्रोर ऊंची नजर से देखते हैं श्रौर चाहते हैं कि उच्च श्रादर्श हम उनके रखें । लेकिन हमारा स्रादर्श जब गिर जाता है जब हम गिर जाते हैं तो हमें शर्म से नीचा देखना पड़ता है ग्रीर हमारे देश की बदनामी दूर दूर तक होती है। इसलिये मैं बड़ी नम्रता से श्रापसे निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि हमारे मंत्रीगण इस पर ध्यान दें ग्रीर यह मोचें कि

# [श्रें उ० मू० त्रिवेद ]

क्या . कम से कम हमारे लिये यह उचित नहीं होगा कि हम एक दफ़ा तो निकल जायें। इन को नहीं रहना चाहिये। यह क्यों रह रहे हैं। यह इन की गद्दी या जागीर थोड़े ही बनी हुई है। वे इसको छोड़ दें बिहार की बात कहते कहते मुझे याद ग्रा गया पंजाब का स्टैण्डर्ड । पंजाब का स्टैण्डइं भाप सब जानते हैं। पूरी की पूरी रिपोर्ट छपी है। श्रीर उस में की बातों से कौन इन्कार कर सकता है। हमारे देश के एक चीफ अस्टिस ने बहुत धर्से के बाद इस रिपोर्ट को दिया। उस का नतीजा भी हम सब जानते हैं। नतीजा यह निकला कि श्री कैरों को इस्तीफा देकर निकलना पडा । यह चीज ग्राखिर न्या प्रमाणित करती है। हमारे सामने बह प्रमाणित करती है कि जिन ग्रादिमयों के हाब में हम ने सत्ता दी, जिन हाथों में सत्ता गई, उन लोगों ने उस का दुरुपयोग किया। जिन्होंने सत्ता का दुरुपयोग किया, जिन्होंने कानुनं गुनाह किये उन को भ्रगर हम सजा नहीं देंगे उन के भ्रपराधों को छिपाते चले जायेंगे, तो हम देश को बरबाद कर देंगे। इसलिये इमारे वास्ते यह भावश्यक है कि हम ऐसे मादिमयों को सजा दें। हम इस बात से न घबरायें कि वे ऊंचे चढ़े हुए हैं। ग्राप घबरा रहे हैं। मैं जानता हूं कि कोई ईमानदार भादमी इस बात को नहीं कहेगा कि भाप घबरा नहीं रहे हैं। श्री मोरे जैसे ग्रादमी भी खड़े हो कर ग्रापत्ति करते हैं। श्रीर वह भी एक बार नहीं, दो बार नहीं, तीन बार नहीं, बार बार। यह घबराहट ही थी। हमें मालुम है कि वह घबराहट ही थी। हमारे चागला साहब जैसे विद्वान भी बहस नहीं कर सके। हां श्री मोरारका बहस जरूर कर सके क्योंकि इस में उन को दर्द नहीं होता। बह भी उसी कोटि के है जिस कोटि की वह रक्षा करना चाहते हैं।

Shri K. C. Sharma (Sardhana): This is highly objectionable.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Everything is objectionable!

मैं सरकार की भ्रकेली इस नीति पर नहीं कहता हूं। भ्राज हम देखते हैं कि क्या हो रहा है हमारे यहां पर । यह सरकार क्यों हमें दुखी कर रही है भीर क्यों खुद दुखी हो रही है। इस का एकमान्न कारण है हमारी दब्बू नीति । हमारा दब्बूपन । यह दब्बूपन चला जाये तो बहुत सी बातें हल हो सकती हैं। डरपोकपना इस बात पर है कि जो ग्रादमी ऊंची सत्ता पर बैठे हुए हैं उन के खिलाफ हम कठोर कदम नहीं ले सकते। हम ऐसे दब्बू बने हुए हैं कि हम म्राज नागालैंड का सवाल, तीन लाख भादमियों का सवाल भी हल नहीं कर सकते हैं। हमारे सी०ग्रार०पी० के जवान मारे जाते हैं। ग्राज हमारे सी० भार० पी० के जवानों को जेल के सीखचों के पीछे रक्खाहमाहै। क्यों रक्खाहुमाहै। इसलिये कि उस के जवानों ने उन लोगों पर गोली चलाई थी जो कि नागालैंड में चोरी करने के वास्ते स्राये थे। हमारी सरकार इर गई भौर सोचने लगी कि नागालैंड नाराज हो जाएगा इसलिये हमारे जवानों को जेल में घसेड दिया । वहां हमारे साथ ऐसा व्यवहार होता है जैसे हम कोई जापानी या चीनी श्रा गए हैं। श्रीर इसे हम बर्दाश्त कर रहे हैं, इसलिये कि हम दब्बू हैं। हमारी 13 हजार एकड जमीन को कच्छ में पाकिस्तान ने दबा कर रक्खा हुम्रा है, गुजरात के उत्तर में दबा ली है। यह मुझे इस लिये मालूम पड़ा कि मैं सी० म्रार० पी० के हैडक्वार्टर्स में ही रहता हूं। वहां चालीस जीपें दबाने के लिये भेजी गयीं लेकिन हुक्म नहीं हुम्रा कि उन लोगों को खदेइ कर निकाल दें। पाकिस्तान हमारी 13 हजार एकड़ जमीन दबा कर बैठा हुन्ना है, क्योंकि उन को कोई हिला नहीं सकता। श्राखिर हम दब्बू नहीं हैं तो क्या हैं। हमारे दब्बपन का यह परिणाम हो रहा है कि चाहे जहां देखिये, सब जगह हमारा ह्वास हो रहा है भौर हमें नीचा देखना पड़ रहा है। 34 हजार वर्ग मील भूमि पहले से दबी हुई है पाकिस्तान के पास । 18 हजार वर्ग मील लद्दाख में शतु ने दबा कर रक्खा हुआ है और हम उस को खाली नहीं करवा सकते हैं। मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि आखिर हम कब खाली करायेंगे। हम ने कसम खाई थी, हम ने और सारे सदन ने उठ कर कहा था कि हम तब तक शान्त नहीं होंगे जब तक एक एक इंच जमीन को खाली नहीं करा लेंगे। कहां चले गये वह बोल, कहां चले गये वह बोल, कहां चले गये हमारे वचन। किस को हम ने यह वचन सुनाये थे। आज हम चुप बैठे हुए हैं लेकिन जब हम जनता में जाते हैं तो वह हम से पूछती है कि क्या आप एसी ही बकवाद करेंगे। हमारी यह दब्बूपन की नीति कब तक रहेगी, यह मेरी समझ में नहीं आता।

मैं श्रापको मिसाल देता हूं इस सरकार की इनएफिशिएंसी की भीर लापरवाही की। मभी डेढ़ या दो साल भी नहीं हुए, हमारे यहां जो गोपनीय हथियार डिफेन्स के वास्ते उपयोग में प्राने वाले हैं उनके लिये कौन लोग नियुक्त हए हैं। 11 श्रादिमयों की लिमिटेड कंसर्न है, कौन हैं। पाकिस्तान के रहने वाले मुसलमान, जिनका पाकिस्तान से सम्बन्ध है। उस में सिर्फ एक मि० भट्ट हैं जो बारहवें हिस्सेदार हैं भौर हिन्दुस्तान के हैं। वही कंसर्न पाकिस्तान को सप्लाई करती है श्रीर वही हमें करती है, फिर भी हम इसके ऊपर च्प बैठे हैं। कब तक हमारे साथ ऐसा होगा । हम क्यों इसके सम्बन्ध में घांखों मूदे हुए हैं। मैं कहना बाहता हूं कि यह कौंसिल धाफ मिनिस्टसं हमारे ऊपर राज करने लायक नहीं है।

दाउदी बोहरा प्रगति मंडल है। मुझे उन्होंने पत्र लिखे हैं। मेरे पास फोटो मेजे हैं, ग्राप चाहें तो मैं सारी फोटो दे दूं। उन्होंने यह बात लिखी है कि वहां मुल्ला जी हैं बड़े भारी, वह जिन्नाह के गीत गाते हैं, लोग उनका सत्कार करते हैं, उनका स्वागत करते हैं, लेकिन लाखों ग्रीर करोड़ों रुपये ब्लैक मनी

के रूप में हिन्दुस्तान से चले जा रहे हैं। मगर सिर्फ इस वास्ते उनको पकड़ा नहीं जाता, उनका चालान नहीं किया जाता, उन पर मुकदमा नहीं चलाया जाता, उनकी तलाशी नहीं ली जाती कि बमौका यानि चुनाव के वक्त एक लाखा, दो लाखा, दस लाखा रु० का ट्कड़ा कांग्रेस के सामने फेंक देते हैं। शमं की बात है कि इस चार, पांच या दस लाख रुपयों के वास्ते हम भ्रपने देण की इज्जत बेचने के लिये तैयार हैं। ग्रपने देश की स्वतंत्रता बेचने के लिये तैयार हैं भौर उस भादमी पर ऐक्शन लेने के लिये तैयार नहीं होते हैं। इसी तरह से म्राज मेरे पास तार म्राया है, भौर एक पत्न भी लिखा है कि नेशनल डिसि-प्लन स्कीम के मातहत यह तय किया गया है कि सुबह जिस वक्त बच्चे ग्रायें सब से पहले "बन्दे मातरम" गीत गाया जाये । सब भादमी खड़े होते हैं भौर बढ़ी इज्जत के साथ खड़े होते हैं, लेकिन श्रंजुमने इस्लाम नाम का एक स्कूल है गोधरा में। उसमें से एक टीचर को इसलिये निकाल दिया गया कि उसने भापित की कि जो मुसलिम लीगी हैं वह खड़े नहीं होते हैं। मैं नहीं कहता कि सारे मुसलमान खराब होते हैं । मुसलमान ग्रच्छे भी होते हैं। लेकिन जो मुसलिम लीगी होते हैं वह बैठे रहते हैं, बाकी लोग खड़े रहते हैं। भ्रगर किसी टीचर ने भ्रापत्ति की तो उसको नौकरी से डिसमिस कर दिया गया । कब तक हम इस तरह से माखें मुदे रहेंगे जब कि जहरीले सांप हमारी भासतीनों में घुसे हुए हैं। हम इसे बर्दास्त नहीं कर सकते कि सरकार इस तरह से ग्रांख मूद कर बैठी रहे। यह बर्दाश्त करने वाली चीज ही नहीं है। मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि ग्रव ग्रांख खोलिये।

ग्रभी मेरे पास तार झाया है। बदिकस्मती से केरल में जो चुनाव हुए उन में किसी तरह में कांग्रेस सफल नहीं हो पाई। भव चूंकि वह सफल नहीं हो पाई इसलिये इधर उधर चुशामद करती है। वह मुसलिम लीग से कहती है कि हमारे साथ मिल जाग्रो। कांग्रेस

# [श्री उ॰ मू॰ त्रिवेदी]

दूसरों को सम्प्रदायवादी कहती है लेकिन उसके लिये मुसलिम लीग सम्प्रदायवादी नहीं है। मैं कहता हूं कि जो मुसलमान कांग्रेस में हैं उनको ग्रपने पास रखो, उनको गले से लगाग्रो लेकिन जो लोग मुसलिम लीग में हैं, जो देश से लड़ने के लिये तैयार हैं, जो देश का विभाजन करने के लिये तैयार हैं, पाकिस्तान को मदद देने के लिये तैयार हैं, पाकिस्तान को रुपये भेजने के लिये तैयार हैं, उनके साथ तुम बात क्यों करते हो।

"Triumphant Moslem League Processionist Attack Hindu Residential Area Tanur Calicut Stop Goondas Raid Jansangh Workers Houses in Presence of Police Stop Police Fire our Workers on Wounding Two Stop Indiscriminate Arrests Terrorism Prevails Urgent Representation Needed Stop-Pudaeswaran".

बह तार मेरे पास भाया है भाज । कालीकट से तार ग्राया है ग्रीर ग्राप ग्रांखें मुंद कर बैठे हैं। यह दब्ब नीति ग्राखिर हम कब तक बर्दाश्त करते रहेंगे । एक वल्लभभाई पटेल के नाते एक दिन में सारे गोधरा में जहां 56 परसेंट मुसलिम रहते हैं, सबों की श्रक्ल ठिकाने लगा दी गई थी, लेकिन ग्राज हम ऐसा मौका ला २ है हैं कि सब लोग हमें दबाते चले जा रहे हैं। चीन हम को दबाता है। चुम्बी घाटी में हमला होता है। ग्रादमी भ्रा कर जमा होते हैं। एक हमारे यहां के पार्लियामेंट के पुराने मेम्बर डा॰ सत्य नारायण सिंह बेचारे रिपोर्ट करने जाते हैं तो उनको जेल में बन्द कर दिया जाता है भौर कंडैम्ड प्रिजनर्स सैल में रखा जाता है। वह तो भला हो पंडित जवाहरलाल नेहरु का उन्होंने उनकी मदद की। किसी तरह से फेंच में श्री सत्यनारायण सिंह ने एक पत्न लिखा तो पंडित जी को पता लगा भीर उन्होंने उनको वहां से छुड़वाया । यह तो हमारी हालत है। जहां देखिये इन्फिलट्रेशन वाम पन्थियों का, जो चीन के दोस्त है, हो रहा है। ग्राप इसे भी रोक नहीं सकते। ग्राबिर यह कब तक चलेगा।

मेरे पास एक चीज नहीं आप के ऊपर इल्जाम लगाने के लिये बहुत सी चीजें हैं। मैं आप के सामने इस वजह से यह प्रश्न रखना चाहता हूं।

मैं यह ग्रन्छी तरह से जानता हं कि हम भ्राप को हिला नहीं सकेंगे, श्राप की मजबूत नींव गड़ी हुई है, घाप हिलेंगे नहीं। लेकिन धक्का देने की कोशिश तो मैं कर ही रहा हं, मुमिकन है कि भ्राप गिर जाभ्रो, श्रीर श्रगर गिरो न तो सम्भव है कम से कम भाप की समझ में भा जाए । मैं भाप को भप्रमाणिक नहीं कहता । हो सकता है कि माप की समझ में भी मा जाए कि यह सच कहता है, हिम्मत करके यह कह तो रहा है, म्राप को तो माज कोई कहने वाला नहीं है। श्री चागला साहब ने कहा कि डिमोक्रेसी के वास्ते स्ट्रांग विरोधी दल का होना भ्रावश्यक है, भीर विरोधी दल भी सच्चा हो तभी वह देश की सेवाकर सकता है। मैं ने इसी भाशय से भाज भाष के सत्मते इन सारी बातों को पेश किया है।

मैंने पहले भी म्राप को पत्न लिखा था मौर प्रमान मंत्री साहब को भी पत्न लिखा था मौर प्रस्ताव भी पास किया था कि शेख म्रम्दुल्ला को बाहर न जाने दिया जाए । हमने उसे हज जाने के लिए इजाजत दी, उसके लिए पासपोर्ट दिया । नतीजा यह है कि म्राज वह काहिरा में हमारे खिलाफ बातें करता है। भौर बाहर जाने के लिए वह पैसा कहां से लाया ? वही हमारा पैसा है। जेल में रखने के बाद हम उसको दो दो भौर ढाई ढाई हजार रुपया महीना एलाउंस देते रहे, वह पैसा खर्च तो होता नहीं था, वह बचता रहा । इसलिए उसके पास पैसे की कोई कमी नहीं है। इम उसे बाहर जाने की इजाजत दे रहे हैं भौर वह हमारे खिलाफ प्रचार कर रहा है।

प्रमरीका प्रमरीका हम चिल्लाते रहे पर प्रमरीका से दोस्ती का हाथ नहीं बढ़ाया । प्रगर कोई प्राया तो उससे शेक हैंड कर लिया, वह प्रपने रास्ते गया धौर हम भ्रपने रास्ते । ऐसा व्यवहार हमने किया । उसका नतीजा ग्राज यह हो रहा है कि ग्राज पाकिस्तान का राष्ट्रपति हाथ मिलाने के लिए पीकिंग जा रहा है । इस तरह ग्राज हमारे दो दुश्क्लन हो गए । पहले एक ही था । भ्रब एक ग्रीर एक मिल कर वे ग्यारह हो गए । वे हमको नुक्सान पहुंचाने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं ग्रीर हम कुछ करने लायक नहीं हैं ।

एटम बम का सवाल उठा कि एटम बम बनाया जाए। हम चिल्ला रहे हैं। हम मनुष्य हैं। श्रगर हमारे कोई चांटा मारेगा तो हम उसकी तरफ दूसरा गाल भी नहीं कर देंगे कि एक श्रौर मार ले। हम मनुष्य हैं, श्रगर हम को कोई चांटा मारेगा तो हम को भी कोध श्रावेगा शौर हम श्रपनी पूरी ताकत से उसे चांटा मारेंगे।

हमने देखा कि चीन ने एटम बम बनाया, किस के वास्ते ? हमको नुक्सान पहुंचाने के वास्ते क्योंकि दुश्मनी तो हम से है, उसने इमारे ऊपर हमला किया है। और हम शांति से बैठ हुए हैं। और अब वह दूसरा एटम बम बनाने की तैयारी कर रहा है और पीकिंग जा कर अमूब साहब उससे बात कर रहे हैं, और हम अपने घर में बैठे हुए हैं और जैसी कि अंग्रेजी में कहावत है, हमने अपना सिर अंधेरे में दवा लिया है और समझते हैं कि खतरा दूर हो गया। यह कब तक बरदाश्त किया जाएगा। मैं इस तरफ आप का ध्यान खींचना चाहता हूं।

सभापति महोदय, मैं भ्रपना भाषण समाप्त करता हूं। मेरा यह निवेदन है कि भगर हम को भ्रपने देश की इज्जत कायम रखनी है तो हमको एक दफा यह चोला दस्बू नीति का उतार कर फेंकना पड़ेगा, भौर अगर हमने इस दस्बू नीति के चोले को उतार कर फेंक दिया तो हम प्रमाणिक भी हो जाएंगे, भौर प्रमाणिक होने के नाते भगर कोई भप्रमाणिक होगा, चाहे वह चीफ मिनिस्टर हो या मामूली मिनिस्टर हो या डिप्टी मिनिस्टर हो या पालियामेंटरी सेकेटरी हो, भगर वह बेईमान भादमी है भौर हमारे शिकजे में भा जाता है और हमें सबूत मिल जाता है कि वह बेईमान भादमी है, तो उसको हमें वही सजा देने के लिए कदम उठाना होगा जो कि उस काम के लिए किसी मामूली भ्रादमी को मिलती।

इन शब्दों के साथ मैं श्री द्विवेदी के प्रस्ताव का श्रनुमोदन करता हूं।

Shri K. C. Pant (Naini Tal): Mr. Chairman, earlier today we heard a speech from Shri Chagla, one of the members of the Cabinet Sub-Committee, which has made the task of those of us who want to oppose this motion very much easier. I shall confine myself to what Shri Chagla referred to as the so-called Orissa affairs. Now, in dealing with this affair, I shall try, as far as possible, to deal with the issues rather than with the personalities involved.

The specific charges we have to consider are two; firstly, whether the Government of India has failed to ensure the highest standard of public conduct by deliberate suppression of the fact of abuse of power by persons in authority in Orissa; and secondly, whether the Government has attempted to shield and exonerate the guilty persons for the sake of party interest and to the detriment of the national interest. These are the two main issues and we have to see how far the facts support these charges.

What are the facts? My hon, friend, Shri Morarka, has already referred to one of them, namely, that on the 3rd July, 1963 Shri Patnaik, then Chief Minister of Orissa, offered to have the charges against him investigated by no less a person than the Leader of the Opposition who also happened to

2438 (Ai) LSD-8.

#### [Shri K. C. Pant]

be the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee in the Orissa Assembly. Some objection was taken to this procedure. I am not so much interested in the procedure as in the fact that a Chief Minister being charged of misuse of power and influence offered to have himself judged by the Leader of the Opposition. If this is not in conformity with the highest standards of public conduct, I would like to know what is. This hard fact has got to be accepted by all of us.

Then, objection was taken by Shri Ranga that the Leader of the Opposition was being deliberately dragged into the morass by this offer. But the point is that he accepted this task and only later withdrew from it when certain articles appeared doubting his integrity.

#### Shri P. K. Deo: Question.

Shri K. C. Pant: I can understand his getting hurt and upset by doubtless malicious articles; but the fact that he backed out in panic does not reflect the kind of moral courage and confidence that one would have expected to find in the first signatory to the charges. That is all I would say.

If it was not personal consideration but party consideration that led him to do this, then all I can say is that this is a clear case of putting party interest above public duty. It is a matter of gratification for us, ofcourse, that he has greater confidence in the Congress Government at the Centre to do justice in the matter of this investigation than in himself. But he did not perhaps appreciate that in referring the charges to the Centre he had done avoidable injury to the concept of State autonomy in our federal structure.

As Shri Morarka has said earlier, when the Leader of the Opposition backed out, the Chief Minister took another step. He spoke to the Speaker and the Speaker authorised the PAC to go into these transactions. At the

same time, the Chief Minister insisted that a special and detailed audit should be conducted by the Comptroller and Auditor-General in Delhi into all the transactions that were objected to. The Comptroller and Auditor-General's report is awaited.

Shri Dwivedy made the point that almost 18 months have passed and the report has not yet come in. But he also mentioned, I think, that the papers were so voluminous that he was surprised how the Cabinet Subcommittee got through them so quickly. Obviously, if the papers are voluminuous, the Comptroller and Auditor-General's Office will take time. Now the report is to come before the Orissa Assembly in the course of the next couple of months. This is the factual position.

Whatever else one might say on this, one thing that emerges  $i_{\rm S}$  that far from a deliberate suppression of facts there is a deliberate insistence on bringing out all the facts.

Now we come to the next chapter, that is, when a memorial containing the allegations against Shri Patnaik and Shri Mitra was submitted to the President on the 13th August, 1964. The President sent it to the Prime Minister and the Prime Minister had to face this problem of how to dispose of it because the object the Prime Minister had before him was to form an opinion on whether or not there was substance in the allegations. What did he do? He sought the advice of the Cabinet Sub-committee and some officers of the CBI were sent to ascertain the facts in Orissa.

As to the propriety of sending these officers to Orissa there are two opinions among constitutional experts. I will come back to this point later. In this particular case it seems the Orissa Government agreed to receive these officers and, therefore, the inquiry was conducted and so I shall say nothing further on this. The C.B.I. sent in its report. But this is a point that I want

all our friends to consider. This report was obviously not the last word. The findings had to be verified and the C.B.I. enquiry was not made with a view to supply material to a court in which the defence puts up the other side of the case. It was not so. The Cabinet Sub-Committee therefore, not only to examine this but to take into consideration the other side of the case as presented by Mr. Patnaik and Mr. Mitra and also the Orissa Government which was the third party in these transactions. That the Cabinet Sub-Committee did and then it made its recommendations to the Prime Minister who eventually made a statement on the floor of the House on 22nd February, 1965 in the course of which he enumerated his conclusions, namely, (1) that Shri Patnaik or Shri Mitra had not personally derived any pecuniary benefit from the said transactions; (2) that in several instances, however, improprieties were definitely involved for which Shri Patnaik and Shri Mitra were responsible and (3) that in the light of these conclusions, Shri Mitra had stepped down from the high office of Chief Minister of Orissa and Shri Patnaik had resigned from the Chairmanship of the Planning Board.

These are the broad facts that we have before us. Before I pass on to analyse these facts in the light of the charges that have been made, I should just like to say one word about the documents on the Table, alleged to be reports of the C.B.I. and the Cabinet Sub-Committee. I am afraid the Government has slipped up very badly in the matter of the leakage of these reports and I for one feel that they should now take very firm action to fix the responsibility for this leakage and to tighten their security arrangements for the future. Normally, such a demand would come from the Opposition but in this case I can understand their reluctance to put forward this demand

Now, all of us have a duty in this matter. I am sure that when the din

and dust of this debate is over, even the hon. Members—and I believe they are nonourable—who spirited the reports will on reflection come to the conclusion that though the publication of these secret documents may have seemed to be in their party's passing interest—I do not speak personal publicity-in the ultimate analysis it is a sorry business which does not bring them out in a very good light.

No-Confidence

16.33 hrs.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

Shri Daji: Why?

Shri K. C. Pant: I will tell you why. I am not challenging anybody's right to use the document. But there is no getting away from the fact that these documents are the fruits of crime.

An hon. Member: It is a gift from Biju Patnaik. (Interruption).

Shri K. C. Pant: I am not yielding.

Let us now proceed to examine the Opposition's charges in the light of the facts that I have enumerated. As I was saying, the Prime Minister's verdict was that Shri Patnaik and Shri Mitra were responsible for certain improprieties and this verdict led the two gentlemen to vacate their official positions. Now, some friends on the opposite are not satisfied with these They insist that the conclusions. degree of abuse of power has been underplayed. That is the crux of the matter. Ultimately, that is, after all, a matter of judgment and everyone will not be satisfied with the conclusions. But there is obviously no surpression of the fact of abuse of power That is the point I want to make. The Prime Minister has gone out of his way to ascertain all the facts involved. Nobody has yet said that he has not done so. In a few months the whole country, as I said earlier, will have the chance to see the report of the Comptroller and Auditor General on

### [Shri K. C. Pant]

the transactions concerned. They can come to their own conclusions. There is absolutely no substance in the charge that the facts have been suppressed.

The second charge was that the Government wants to shield and exonerate the guilty and, therefore, refuses to institute a judicial inquiry. The point in this connection is: who is to decide whether a judicial inquiry should be set up? Is it the friends opposite or is it the Government-in this case represented by the Cabinet Sub-Committee? Friends who have only read the alleged C.B.I. and the Cabinet Sub-Committee's report may not fully agree with the conclusions arrived at by the Cabinet Sub-Committee. But they will also concede that no man should be condemned on mere suspicion and without being heard. It would not be right to base one's conclusions only on an ex-parte police report, no matter how many virtues they see in an ex-parte police report today.

An hon. Member: That is why we want a commission of inquiry.

Shri K. C. Pant: The Sub-committee had the benefit of having all sides of the case put before it and it alone was in a position to come to a considered judgment on merits. The Sub-committee in its wisdom has not thought it fit to recommend a judicial inquiry.

Now, the other point is this. Nobody has cast any doubt on the credentials of the Cabinet Sub-committee. Tributes have been paid to Shri Chagla's judicial background and so on. And I would like to give you the names of the Cabinet Sub-committee members. They are: Shri Nanda, Shri T. T. Krishnamachari, Shri A. K. Sen, Shri Swaran Singh, Shri Y. B. Chavan and Shri M. C. Chagla.

Shri P. K. Dee: Shri Chagla was later included in the list, and with a motive.

Shri K. C. Pant: Nobody has cast any doubt on the proven administrative and judicial experience of these gentlemen. Nobody has done that.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Now that my hon. friend has said so, they will do it hereafter.

Shri K. C. Pant: In his statement the Prime Minister laid emphasis on the fact that the Cabinet Sub-committee had spent a lot of time in making a very careful examination of the allegations.

## श्री बागड़ी: उसको बचाने के लिए

Shri K. C. Pant: And this has to be noted that the findings of the Subcommittee are unanimous, and there is no minute of dissent. Therefore, everybody is a party to it. This Subcommittee did not feel called upon to recommend a judicial inquiry. In my opinion that should be decisive.

It is no doubt Government's duty to punish the erring, where error has been established, but the severity of the punishment must be related to the magnitude of the crime. It would be unforgivable for the Government to allow extraneous considerations political pressures to influence its judgment either way, and I repeat, either way, particularly while dealing with allegations against persons in high office. In this case, unless new facts are thrown up, I fail to see how Government can now agree to demand for judicial inquiry and can go back on its considered judgment. At any rate, whether one agrees with this decision or not, the decision of the Central Government does not prevent anyone who feels aggrieved from going to court. The law of the land is there. And in any case, the Central Government is neither a substitute for a court, nor a court of law itself, and it cannot launch a prosecution in this case. That has to be remembered, and in fact Shri B. Patnaik has already gone to court, as has been mentioned earlier, against a

newspaper which has published the allegations against him.

Now, I come to the charge that Government has put party interests above national interests. My hon, friend Shri Morarka has mentioned various cases in which the party has taken action even against the tallest of its members when a prima facie case had been established. So, I shall not repeat the defence against the general charge.

Shri Nath Pai: Shri M. C. Chagla has said that a prima facie case has been established in this case.

Shri K. C. Pant: He has said that a prima facie case has been established and to that extent action has been taken. Shri M. C. Chagla was a party to that conclusion and decision.

As regards the Orissa affair, doubts have been raised regarding the constitutional propriety of making an inquiry against the then Chief Minister through the CBI. These doubts are not without substance, though tend to get blurred because of the fact that the same party is ruling in the Centre as well as in the State. But suppose for a moment that were different the parties the State Government refused to co-operate. Can the Centre still insist on sending the CBI to the State? That is one point that we have to consider.

There is also another constitutional question. What action can the Prime Minister take against an erring Chief Minister? The Constitution empowers the Centre to take action in certain contingencies such as the breakdown of the Constitution etc. But suppose, as in this case, that only improprieties are involved, and the above constitutional provisions cannot become operative, can the Prime Minister advise the Chief Minister to step down, and even if he does that, what is the sanction behind that advice, so long as the Chief Minister enjoys the confidence of the majority in his State legislature? That is the question that we have to consider. In the present case, the Prime Minister has gone out

of his way to be painstakingly correct in instituting an official inquiry into the allegations. In fact, he has strayed into a region of the constitution involving State-Centre relationship which is not very clear. But when the findings of the inquiry revealed only improprieties, he did not take shelter behind the niceties of constitutional propriety but used his position as party leader to advise the Chief Minister to step down.

So far from putting party interests above national interests, the Prime Minister used party discipline to enforce strict moral standards in a situation where the constitution gave him no authority to do so.

श्री बागड़ी: जैसे लूट की जायदाद जब्द भरनी हो।

Shri K. C. Pant: This is possible today. Tomorrow other parties may form governments in the states. So there is really a pressing need for thrashing out the constitutional issues and evolving conventions to deal with such cases in future.

Before I conclude, may I say that I was somewhat surprised to hear Shri Kamath admit that he had access to the explanations offered by Shri Patnaik and Shri Mitra against the allegations made in the CBI Report? Now, friends opposite have given very wide publicity to the CBI Report. If their sole concern in this case was justice and not politics, one would have expected them at least to be fair enough to give equal publicity to the explanations of these two gentlemen and let the country judge for itself who was right and who was wrong. I am not speaking on the merits of the case at all, but only on the intentions (Interruptions).

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Shri Nanda would not co-operate.

Shri K. C. Pant: You have taken the initiative in one case; you could have taken the initiative in the other slso.

### [Shri K. C. Pant]

In conclusion, may I say that we on this side of the House are as concerned as our friends opposite to uphold the highest standards in the public life of the country?

Shri Ranga: Question.

Shri K. C. Pant: If anything, we have more reason to be concerned . . .

Shri Nath Pai: That is true.

Shri K. C. Pant: ....because our party governments are running in the states . . .

Shri Nath Pai: And creating all this trouble.

Shri K. C. Pant: ....and we are more answerable. We are conscious that the future of parliamentary democracy in this country is in a large measure linked with the ability of the Congress Governments to provide clean, efficient administration to the people. I wish some of our friends opposite had also formed governments so that we could have had a standard for comparison. But unfortunately, that is not so.

Now the possibility of errors judgment by Ministers or even black sheep being encountered in ministerial ranks cannot altogether ruled out. But the test is this: how does the party deal with allegations against its own leaders? The Congress Party has shown a plucky willingness to face this test and not to shirk possible unpleasant consequences. code of conduct is one example of its positive approach to this problem. The duty of the Congress in this matter is clear and we accept it. But it is no less the duty of the Opposition to help in the evolution of healthy conventions.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: They will not.

Shri K. C. Pant: All of us should find time to turn the searchlight inwards once in a while.

Shri N. Sreekantan Nair (Quilon): I support this no-confidence motion

on the following grounds. First of all, I am not very much perturbed or surprised by the Corruption in Orissa because that is not the lone case. There have been a lot of allegations of corruption against Ministers. There has been the Mundhra scandal, there has been the jeep scandal, there has been the Serajuddin scandal, now there is the Orissa scandal, and there is the Kerala scandal. These are coming one after the other. One remains in the horizon for some time, then gives way to the next scandal which comes to the top then. So it is a series of scandals, one after the other. whether it is in the Centre or in the States.

What happened in Kerala? I have personal knowledge about the Kerala scandal. There have been very serious allegations of scandal and corruption not against one Minister only but against other Ministers also including the Chief Minister and the Industries Minister. The allegations were very serious. Some British companies, planwere tation companies, given exemption to the tune of several lakhs, and hundreds acres were planted by the companies for the Minister. There were many other allegations. What happened? The Congress High Command decided to brush aside these allegations, and it led to a revolt even within the Congress Party itself. Had these allegations been gone into by the Congress High Command, there would have been no by-elections.

Secondly, after having countenanced the corruption of the Chief Minister and the other Ministers, the High Command decided not only to condone their offence but also to give it moral support by supporting the same Chief Minister, and whipping up communalism in the State in order to win over the major community in the State, the Ezhaves, in the election campaign, which resulted in an upheaval of communalism throughout the State. The Ezhava communalism stirred up Nayar communalism. It stirred up

the Muslim communalism; it stir-Christian communalism. and the result is that an organisation like the RSS has now come to the forefront and started fighting against the Muslim League. It is a tragedy that the Congress earlier decided to align themselves with the Muslim League and give it a garb of respectability. Now the RSS comes in and complains against the Muslim League. It is a case of the pot calling the kettle black. I do not differentiate between the Muslim League and the RSS. and if we are to ban one party, I would rather suggest that both be banned.

Shri Bade: The Muslim League looks to Pakistan, the RSS does not.

Shri N. Sreekantan Nair: You are behind by 2,000 years and you are destroying the integrity of India

Some people demand that the Left Communists ought to be banned. do not understand why action initiated against them on the eve of the elections and why they were detained. The argument that it is dangerous to the security of India cannot hold good because we are tolerating blackmarketeers, we are encouraging by indirect methods profiteering, we have failed to hold the price line. In spite of all these failings the security of India has not been endangered. Then how can the security of India be endangered by allowing few Communists in the southernmost tip of India to go to the Assembly, and even to constitute the Government in the State of Kerala.

Kerala and international affairs are too far apart. Actually, the Left Communists happen to be the single largest party in the State simply because of the unwise action of the Home Minister in arresting them on the eve of the elections and whipping up the emotions of the common people. Simply because there was so much opposition, towards the Congress Government at the Centre for their

various acts of discrimination, against the State, acts which are deteremental to the interests of the State, these people got a majority. There was also the other propaganda that if these people were elected, they would be released. Naturally people wanted to give them a chance. Anyhow, they are the single largest party in State. If they are allowed to have a hotch-potch Ministry, if they succeed in forming such a pusillanimous Ministry, they will be called upon to face the serious problems of the State. Even a very strong Ministry cannot solve the problems that confront the State today Therefore, they are bound to fail and naturally the people will be disillusioned. So, instead of the security of India being in danger, if you are generous enough to allow them to have a hotch-potch Ministry to handle the serious problems of the State, you will perhaps be rid of the party for good in Kerala. Even that large-hearted approach you are not in a position to take.

The second is about the linguistic imperialism that is being sponsored by the Central Government. India is a huge sub-continent. We have got fifteen languages approved only in five States Hindi is mainly used. By forcing it down the throat of the other States, you naturally give the people in these five States a handle to subjugate the people in the other States in the matter of employment, control of the Government, control of Public undertakings and in every other aspect of life. That is the basic reason why there is opposition Hindi being pushed down he throat of the people. If the Government of India is honest and sincere in evolving a national language, they certainly must not follow the lead given by the Hindi fanatics who now control the movement today. We must borrow all the international nology in the current Englishusage science and technical jects and incorporate them into the new scheme of things and produce a synthetic language, taking all the important, expressive terms

## [Shri N. Sreekantan Nair]

from all the Indian languages and get the sanction of the people in the rest of the country to have a common script. If you produce a synthetic language which is a combination of all the good aspects of the various Indian languages, certainly, it will be acceptable to all the States. Hindi, as it is now advocated by fanatics is a Hindi which would have sufficed 2000 years before Christ but it is not suitable for modern use. If you continue to push it down the throat of the non-Hindi people, serious consequences will flow from it. Till now the nationalist sections in the South and in the East parts of India had never supported the DMK demand for the separation India. But if this sort of attitude continues, we will be forced to secede and the move will start. India will be split into two and do not think that your army or other forces would curb the people for long. Remember the self-immolation that took place in the South . . . (Interruptions.) It is because of your hatred, your intolerance and your insolence in trying to impose Hindi upon the people. We must be able to evolve a synthetic language. Otherwise, India will be split two. I give this definite warning to this House.

Thirdly, I want to refer to the defective planning. The late Prime Minister Nehru in his peotic language told us that the planned development of this country is making India's face beautiful. But only certain portions in the face become beautiful. That our complaint. So, there are only patches of beauty here and there and they are only conducive to ugliness rather than beauty. The lopsided development of India is a matter which has given rise to very serious animosity in the minds of the people in certain States, especially in Kerala. That is why people have voted against the Congress. You can see this memorandum which had been brought out by the present Government of Kerala, which is under the President's rule. In the memorandum, at page 8, this has been laid down and I shall quote it. It says:

"As regards Central sector projects, only a negligible amount of Rs. 0.79 crore was invested in Kerala in the First and Second Plan periods as against Rs. 920 crores in India as a whole. In the third Plan, the actual Central industrial investment in the State would be Rs. 25 crores as against Rs. 1,325 crores for all-India."

If this is the attitude and approach and if this is the fairness, how can you expect the people in Kerala and in some other parts of the South to come up, and how can they be loyal and how can you expect unity and integrity of India? It is naturally assisting their hatred towards the Central Government and this develops into a hatred against unity of India. At least for the integrity and the unity of India you must be fair and you must develop all parts of country in such a way that all the regions can get their equal share. Kerala is an area where the population is so dense that we cannot cope up with it. The industry there is in an infant stage. We have not been allocated even a single unit in the heavy or basic industrial sector till now, and the few factories which we had, especially the mineral industry, had died out four years ago. No attempt has been made till now by the Government of India to revive this industry. They never attempted any research regarding the industry, before the breakdown, and the fall came as a surprise. Even the market trends were not studied an industry which used give us Rs. 2 crores every year as foreign exchange. So, the crash came as a bolt from the blue. The Atomic Energy Department stepped in and they said they were going to rectify and resucitate the industry. But nothing has been done for four years. I took the initiative in calling in or inviting some top Russian scientists. They offered to assist us, and a sum of Rs. 63,300 was sought to start research in this project by the scientists of Soviet Union. But this was rejected

4401

by the Central Government at the instance of the Atomic Energy Department and the Centre has not till now revived the project. The workers one of the British firms in that industry have to get their wages and other dues from the company. The company had left all their assets and liabilities here, and I wrote to Shri Nanda but even then the 3,000 families are starving and they have not got their dues. They have to get Rs. 7½ lakhs from the Government of India. This is the state of the industries in the South.

Looking at the industrial aspect of Kerala, one must remember that it is a State where the water resources are immense. Perhaps it is the richest part of India in that regard, in that region, but yet, it has to go with a begger's bowl to the State of Madras for getting some electricity so that we may run our industries with help, the meagre and minor industries in the State, and that too, only for nine months out of 12 months in the year. Why is it so? Because the Central Government has always neglected the production electricity in the State of Kerala, and the Madras Government has objected to any scheme that is advanced by Kerala because the shortage of power would preclude Kerala from getting some new industries established there and thus they may get all the industries allocated for the South. This is the kind of treatment we have received and the partiality of the Centre has led Kerala and many other parts of India to suffer from many ailments.

Another aspect of the question is mal-administration. You are shining in borrowed glory. We take huge loans from all foreign countries. We spend right-royally out of the loans we get, and we claim that we are fairly financially a viable State. Apart from the loans from the World Bank and the Aid-India Consortium of America, the PL 480 counterpart fund, funds alone come to Rs. 800 crores. United States is in fact controlling the financs of this country. (Interruption). Yes, Their contribution is nearly 50 per cent of the superfluous wealth of this country. It has been pointed out by Galbraith and other experts of America. He was Ambassador here (Interruption).

#### 17 hrs.

Loans, internal and external, have swelled up into gigantic proportions. A good part of it is wasted in administrative expenditure. Such items as health, social and developmental services, agricultural research and cooperation are all classified as capital expenditure so that the financial position of the country will have a respectable appearance and the expenditure may come within the limits of the income. Top heavy administration as there and there is inefficiency in the public-sector undertakings where huge amounts have been sunk. With all these set backs, it is impossible for us to pay back any loans that we take from foreign countries with the result that in another two or three Five Year Plans India will become a bankrupt nation and we would not be able to pay back any of the loans that we have taken from other countries.

Then I come to the question of food policy. Our food policy has been a thorough failure. We have to thank America for PL. 480. We do not sufficient produce food in the country. We do not give our peasants the necessary irrigation facilities, we do not give them the required manure at subsidised rates so that they may produce more. If we had utilised one-tenth or even onehundredth of the amount that we are spending in subsidising imported wheat, to help our peasants, we would have been self-sufficient in the matter of food. It has been very sadly neglected by this Government. I asked this question at the Bangalore Indian Labour Conference to one of the members of the Planning Commission. The reply given by Shri Rao, openly at that conference, was that this is the policy of the Government.

Therefore, Sir, in the matter of food policy they have mis-handled it and

#### [Shri N. Sreekantan Nair]

bungled it. They speak of unity and integrity of India. Even in the deficit States like Kerala we had to resort to riots to get the eye of the Government of India opened and get three ounces of ration per head. It is a sad picture of unity.

Inefficiency and bureaucratic tendencies reign supreme everywhere. Apart from the instance of the Ambassador in UAR, there is another clear instance of very serious imporpriety. The Left Communists were allotted a symbol of "hammer and sickle with a star" which is the national symbol of the Chinese Government. Even if they asked for it, is should not have been granted to them by a senior Officer like the March 16, 1965/Phalguna 25, 1886 Election Commissioner of India,

Apart from its similarity to the Communist Party's symbol of "Sickle and corn", it is an exact replica of the national emblem of the .Chinese Government. For a senior officer of the Government India to allot this symbol to a party which is supposed to be pro-Peking is something which amounts to treason. If he did not know that it is the symbol of China, I say it is ignorance which cannot have a parallel.

With these words, Sir, I support the motion of no-confidence moved by my hon, friend.

#### 17.05 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, (Saka).