

# **LOK SABHA DEBATES**

**(THIRD SERIES)**

*Volume XXXIV, 1964/1886 (Saka)*

*[September 21 to October 3, 1964/Bhadra 30 to Asvina 11, 1886 (Saka)]*



**Ninth Session, 1964/1886 (Saka)**

*(Vol. XXXIV contains Nos. 11 to 20)*

**LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT  
NEW DELHI**

---

## CONTENTS

No. 13—*Wednesday, September 23, 1964/Asvina 1, 1886 (Saka)*

	COLUMNS
Oral Answers to Questions—	
*Starred Questions Nos. 347 to 352	. 3169—3204
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 353 to 372 . . . . .	. 3204—17
Unstarred Questions Nos. 1092 to 1168 . . . . .	. 3217—62
Re : Motions of Privilege . . . . .	. 3262—72
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Death of children in refugee camps in Madhya Pradesh . . . . .	. 3273—88
Papers laid on the Table . . . . .	. 3289—90
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—	
Forty-eighth Report . . . . .	3290
Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1964—introduced . . . . .	. 3290—91
Resolution re : Proclamation in regard to Kerala—adopted . . . . .	. 3291—3390
Shri Khadilkar . . . . .	. 3291—99
Shri Ranga . . . . .	. 3299—3311
Shri Kappen . . . . .	. 3311—17
Shri Nath Pai . . . . .	. 3317—28
Shri Kashi Ram Gupta . . . . .	. 3328—36
Shri Koya . . . . .	. 3336—44
Shri P. G. Menon . . . . .	. 3344—54
Shri Imbichibava . . . . .	. 3354—60
Shri Nataraja Pillai . . . . .	. 3360—63
Shri Hanumanthaiya . . . . .	. 3363—69
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia . . . . .	. 3369—80
Shri Hathi . . . . .	. 3380—90
Kerala State Legislature (Delegation of Powers) Bill—	
Motion to consider . . . . .	3391
Shri Hathi . . . . .	. 3391—94
Shri Ranga . . . . .	. 3394—96

---

\*The sign + marked above the name of a Member indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.



## LOK SABHA DEBATES

3169

3170

### LOK SABHA

*Wednesday, September 23, 1964/  
Asvina 1, 1886 (Saka)*

*The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of  
the Clock*

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

### ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

#### Indo-Pak Home Ministers' Meeting

- +
- \*347. { Shri Surendra Pal Singh:  
Shri D. C. Sharma:  
Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah:  
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:  
Shri Yashpal Singh:  
Dr. L. M. Singhvi:  
Shri P. C. Borooah:  
Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:  
Shri Hukam Chand  
Kachhavaia:  
Shri S. N. Chaturvedi:  
Shri Swell:

Will the Minister of **Home Affairs** be pleased to state:

(a) whether a final decision has been taken both by India and Pakistan to hold the second meeting of the Home Ministers of the two countries; and

(b) if so, the venue and the date of the meeting and the brief outlines of the agenda?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** (a) and (b). The question of holding the second meeting of the Home Ministers of India and Pakistan is currently the subject of discussion through diplomatic channels. The date and venue have not yet been settled. The talks are a resumption of the discussion which took place previously.

1184 (Ai) LSD—1.

**Shri Surendra Pal Singh:** In view of the fact that the first meeting of the Home Ministers did not achieve any fruitful results and a number of subjects cropped up in the meeting over which no decision could be reached, may I know if the interim period of the last few months has been utilised by both the Governments to thrash out those matters and do some spade work in order to make the second meeting a success?

**The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda):** The decision to have another meeting was taken at the same time, that is, at the time of the earlier meeting of the Home Ministers. It is not correct to say that we did not advance the area of agreement regarding several matters. It was a fruitful discussion. There were some differences and regarding them I cannot say what the position will be.

**श्री बागड़ी :** अध्यक्ष महोदय, यह हिन्दी का यन्त्र तो बोलता नहीं ।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** अच्छा, मैं देखे लेता हूँ ।

**Shri Surendra Pal Singh:** An impression has been gaining ground in the mind of the public that the delay in holding the second meeting is not due so much to the physical unfitness of the Pakistan Home Minister as to there being some rethinking in the mind of the Pakistan Government regarding the usefulness of this meeting. May I know if there is any basis for these apprehensions?

**Shri Nanda:** No, Sir.

**Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah:** When the first Home Ministers' Conference was held we had given an assurance that cordial relations will prevail between

these two countries. In spite of that the Pakistan press and the Foreign Minister are often indulging in outbursts of vituperation and hatred campaign against India. If that is so, may I know whether this Home Minister has written to that Government to adhere to the conciliatory and cordial relations which had to be maintained if the second Home Ministers' Conference was to take place?

**Shri Nanda:** This question goes beyond the ground of the question. I can assure the hon. Member....

**Mr. Speaker:** Probably, only the latter portion might be relevant, namely, whether any communication has been addressed to Pakistan that in order to achieve something at that conference these things should not be resorted to.

**Shri Nanda:** So far as the Home Ministry is concerned, the correspondence between the Ministers has been cordial.

**श्री यशपाल सिंह :** क्या सरकार के ध्यान में यह बात है कि सरदार स्वर्णसिंह की पांच वफा की वार्ता असफल हो चुकी है, और पाकिस्तान के राष्ट्रपति अयूब खान तथा पाकिस्तान के विदेश मन्त्री इस बात को कह चुके हैं कि जब तक काश्मीर मारे हाथ में नहीं आता, समझौता नहीं होगा ? इस हालत में गुह मन्त्रियों की बैठक का क्या अर्थ है ?

**श्री नन्दा :** इन सब चीजों को ध्यान में रखते हुए यह फैसला किया गया है ।

**Shri P. C. Borooah:** In what circumstances did Government agree to include the question of deportation of Pak infiltrants into Indian territory in the agenda of the Home Ministers' Conference and has that slowed down the pace of deportation, or rather has practically stopped deportation of Pakistanis from Assam?

**Shri Nanda:** We cannot rule that out.

**Shri P. C. Borooah:** I could not follow the reply.

**Mr. Speaker:** Shri Berwa.

**श्री श्रीकार लाल बेरवा :** क्या यह सच है कि सरदार स्वर्ण सिंह के साथ पाकिस्तान के गृह मंत्र की आखिरी बैठक के बाद से पाकिस्तान ने सीमा पर छेड़ छाड़ ज्यादा कर दी है ?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** सीमा की छेड़ छाड़ इसमें कैसे आ गयी ?

**श्री श्रीकार लाल बेरवा :** पिछली बैठक के बाद से उन्होंने सीमा उल्लंघन ज्यादा करना शुरू कर दिया है ।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** सीमा की छेड़ छाड़ का यह सवाल नहीं है ।

**Shri Daji:** Will the continuous anti-Indian propaganda in Pakistan also figure in the agenda for the talks?

**Shri Nanda:** Since the question of communal harmony is also one of the important items in the agenda, things relevant to that will certainly arise.

**Shri S. N. Chaturvedi:** May I know whether it is intended that there should be a cease-fire on our borders before we enter into any sort of negotiations with Pakistan?

**Shri Nanda:** That is being pursued independently.

**श्री राज सेवक यादव :** भारत सरकार ने काश्मीर के सम्बन्ध में अपना निश्चित मत व्यक्त कर दिया है और पाकिस्तान सरकार भी काश्मीर को ही एक मुख्य प्रश्न मानती है । तो मैं जानना चाहूंगा कि क्या भारत सरकार के पास कोई दूसरा अन्य सुझाव है जिसके बारे में बातचीत करेंगे ?

**श्री नन्दा :** यह सब कुछ देखते हुए भी कम्युनल हारमनी का होना दोनों को पसन्द है, इस पर बातचीत हो सकती है ।

**Shri Kapur Singh:** Are Government aware that the Urdu vernacular press in Lahore in particular has been embarking upon a concerted plan of a

hatred campaign against Sikhism and the Sikh people and their history, and if so, will Government take up this point also when the meeting comes off?

**Shri Nanda:** That is certainly comprehended in the programme of communal harmony.

**Shri Liladhar Kotoki:** May I know whether the items that will be discussed in the next Home Ministers' Conference are the same as were discussed during the last conference, and whether in case any new items are going to be included a copy of the agenda will be laid on the Table of the House?

**Shri Nanda:** We have no intimation of any new item.

#### Nanda Devi Expedition

- +  
 \*348. { **Shri Himatsingka:**  
**Shri Bishanchander Seth:**  
**Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**  
**Shri B. P. Yadava:**  
**Shri Dhaon:**  
**Shri Sham Lal Saraf:**

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that an eight-Member Expedition team conquered the Nanda Devi Peak;

(b) if so, how many members of the expedition reached the peak;

(c) whether in that team any representatives of foreign countries were also included; and

(d) whether it is also a fact that the equipment used by the expedition was of Indian manufacture?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) One member and one Sherpa reached the peak.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Yes, Sir, excepting 8 pairs of reindeer boots, 2 tents and 2 sets of oxygen equipment.

**Shri Himatsingka:** May I know whether such teams are given any encouragement either before the expedition or after the expedition?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** Yes, every encouragement is given. It is because of this encouragement that our team had such a gallant performance. We are very proud of what they have achieved. It is purely an Indian team and either before or after the expedition?

**Shri Himatsingka:** What are the forms of encouragement?

**Mr. Speaker:** He wants to know what encouragement has actually been given.

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** We have a mountaineering institution in Darjeeling. It has an Indian Mountaineering Foundation and they train the people. We finance this institution. It is largely due to the very fine work of this Indian Mountaineering Foundation that people have been trained to climb mountains and achieve such fine results.

**Shri Sham Lal Saraf:** The personnel of this team having come from the Army, may I know whether any incentives have been given to the Army personnel to take to mountaineering and hiking since these would be best suited Exercises for the Army officers in particular?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** The people who formed this expedition team came from the Army and the Air Force....

**Shri Sham Lal Saraf:** They were mostly from the Defence Services.

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** Yes, mostly from the Defence Services.

**Shri Majithia:** May I know whether the price of the equipment that is being produced in India is comparable with that of similar equipment which is being brought from outside?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** I have not got the figures here. But I am sure it is cheaper to make things here rather than to import them.

**Shrimati Savitri Nigam:** May I know whether any woman member has also been included in this expedition and also how many such women have been trained in mountaineering?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** I am a great believer in the equality of sexes, and I hope that a day will come when a

woman will go up to the Mount Everest.

**Shri Buta Singh:** May I know the basis on which the selection is being made and whether there is any truth in the impression that the Sikhs are being discouraged from joining these teams?

**Mr. Speaker:** Why should that reference be made? He wants to know the basis on which selections are made.

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** I take it that the basis on which they are selected is their knowledge, experience capacity for endurance, the training they get and so on. There is no rough and ready basis.

**Shri Kapur Singh:** The atmosphere could be cleared by a simple statement from the hon. Minister that there is no question of the Sikhs being discouraged from joining this.

**Mr. Speaker:** A question was put, which I did not allow, whether Sikhs are discouraged from joining this.

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** This is not a communal institute. We encourage all communities, all sections and all sexes.

**श्री यु० सि० चौधरी :** नन्दा देवी की सफलता से प्रोत्साहित होकर भगले भाने वाले मौसम के अन्दर क्या कोई इस प्रकार का भारतीय दल किसी अन्त्यर्पहाड़ की चोटी को विजय करने के लिए जा रहा है ?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** We are having an expedition to Mt. Everest in 1965.

**Tenali Conference**

- +
- \*349. { **Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah:**  
**Shri Vishram Prasad:**  
**Shri Bagri:**  
**Shri Hem Raj:**  
**Shri Solanki:**  
**Shri Kapur Singh:**  
**Shri Buta Singh:**  
**Shri Narasimha Reddy:**  
**Dr. L. M. Singhvi:**  
**Shri Mohan Swarup:**  
**Shri J. B. S. Bist:**  
**Shri Hukam Chand**  
**Kachhavaia:**  
**Shri Balgovind Verma:**  
**Shri D. C. Sharma:**

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that some resolutions passed at the Tenali Conference of the left wing of the Communist Party of India in July, 1964 are anti-national in character;

(b) whether the portrait of Mao Tse-Tung was displayed in the conference; and

(c) whether any action has been taken or is proposed to be taken by Government in the matter?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):** (a) The Government are aware that one of the resolutions reiterates the stand of a section of the C.P.I. regarding Chinese aggression.

(b) Yes; Sir.

(c) The Government are not contemplating any action.

**Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah:** In this particular conference, were some anti-national speeches made equating China with India, in a way trying to provide an excuse for the aggression committed by China on India? If so, what action did Government take or propose to take in the matter?

**Shri Hathi:** That is always their tendency, and they do it.

**Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah:** May I know whether a portrait of Mao Tse-Tung was displayed at this conference and it created a sort of anger and hatred among the population, and also led to a rift between the two sections, left and right, of the Communist Party resulting in wholesale burning of houses in Vijaywada? Has this been brought to the notice of Government?

**Shri Hathi:** Yes, there has been resentment among a large section of people.

**श्री म० ल० द्विवेदी :** खाद्यान्न संकट के कारण जो लोग प्रदर्शन आदि करते हैं उनको ती सरकार डिफेंस आफ इण्डिया ब्लूज के अन्दर बन्द कर देती है लेकिन ऐसे लोग जो कि हमारे शत्रु माधोसे तृग का फोटो लगाते हैं और उनसे और उनके देश से अपना लगाव

प्रकट करते हैं उनको सरकार द्वारा अपराधी नहीं समझा जाता है तो आखिर इस का क्या कारण है ?

**श्री हाथी :** कारण तो कोई खास नहीं है भलबता बात यह है कि ऐसे लोग जो कि एंटी नेशनल और एंटी सोशल ऐक्टिविटीज करते हैं उनको ही गिरफ्तार किया जाता है ।

**Shri Nath Pai:** The hon. Minister stated that it is true that there has been some resentment among a section of the people. May I know if this Government shares that resentment or as usual, it remains oblivious to this kind of anti-national antics and also the sentiments of our people?

**Shri Hathi:** No, we also share that.

**Shri Solanki:** Is it a fact that a direct proposal was made at this conference that we should talk with China, bypassing the Colombo proposals? If so, was it not objectionable?

**Mr. Speaker:** Questions might simply be put for eliciting information instead of arguing.

**Shri Hathi:** Yes, they did mention it in their resolution. As I said, they are indulging in such resolutions, such talks and such activities, and whenever Government feels necessary, action is taken against them.

**Shri Buta Singh:** In view of the fact that this wing of the CPI is indulging in activities most dangerous to the security of the country, do the Government propose to ban the activities of this wing outright; if not, why not?

**Shri Hathi:** We do not ban the parties, but certainly we take action upon each act which they commit and which is objectionable.

**श्री बड़े :** मन्त्री महोदय ने कहा कि अगर कोई एंटी नेशनल और एंटी सोशल ऐक्टिविटीज करता है तो हम उसके खिलाफ ऐक्शन लेते हैं तो मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि क्या शत्रु देश चीन के साथ लगाव रखने वाले

प्रस्ताव पास करना और माओत्से तुंग का फोटो कान्फेंस में लगाना क्या यह एंटी नेशनल ऐक्टिविटी नहीं है और शासन ने ऐसे लोगों के विरुद्ध ऐक्शन क्यों नहीं लिया ?

**श्री हाथी :** अपनी प्राइवेट कान्फेंस में उन्होंने माओत्से तुंग का फोटो रक्खा था । सरकार ने उसमें उनके खिलाफ ऐक्शन नहीं लिया है ।

**Shri Kapur Singh:** I want to know whether the Government have studied the United Kingdom Privy Councillors' Report of 1955, wherein it is said that Communist faith overrides normal loyalties of man towards his own countries; if so, whether Government agree with this observation in our present context?

**Shri Hathi:** I have not seen that.

**Mr. Speaker:** Loyalty to their ideology overrides their patriotism to their own country—that is what he says.

**Shri Hathi:** Generally we do believe that their faith is somewhere else.

**Shri Kapur Singh:** He says he has not seen the Report. May I comment on it to him?

**श्री हुकम चन्द कच्छबाय :** आज देश में इमरजेंसी चल रही है, डिफेंस ब्राऊ इंडिया ब्लूज देश में लागू हैं और जब चीन हमारा देशद्रोही और शत्रु है तो उस शत्रु देश के बारे में यहां जो साम्यवादी नेता प्रचार करते हैं उस बारे में क्या सरकार का उन को बन्द करने का कोई विचार है ?

**श्री हाथी :** उनके बारे में विचार किया गया है ।

**श्री काशी राम गुप्त :** एक तरफ तो यह वामपंथी नेता कहते हैं कि न वे चीन के साथ हैं और न रूस के साथ हैं लेकिन दूसरी तरफ वे माओत्से तुंग का फोटो लगाते हैं तो फिर भी क्या सरकार उन की बातों का विश्वास करती है, यदि नहीं तो उनके विरुद्ध कार्यवाही क्यों नहीं करती है ?

**श्री हाथी :** सरकार बिल्कुल विश्वास नहीं करती है ।

**श्री काशी राम मुत्त :** तो फिर ऐसे लोगों के विरुद्ध वह कार्यवाही क्यों नहीं करती है ?

**Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad:** When the Government say they share the hatred and anger of the people, why is that the Government do not contemplate taking any action against those persons who have displayed the portrait of an aggressor, not only an aggressor but a traitor to world peace? What are the reasons for Government not moving in the matter, we want to know, when they share our feelings in the matter?

**The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda):** There may be resentment, and yet, there may be no ground, adequate ground, for action in a court of law, action under the various instruments which are available to us. This has been studied, examined in great detail. What they have done—the display of that photograph—is very bad, and certainly, the people have done their part, and that would suffice for the time being. Because of the resentment, they were not able to take out that picture in a procession. They intended to do that, that was stopped. The picture was displayed in the meeting inside.

**Shri Buta Singh:** Why not ban them?

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** On a point of order. The Government, soon after the Chinese invasion, rightly took action against very many citizens of this country, including Members of the Communist Party and other parties also, for having said something in favour of China, or given some indication of their feelings in favour of China and not completely for India. Now, the Minister says that they take action whenever necessary, or words to that effect. And here is an incident, a blatant incident of an organisation which flaunted publicly, unashamedly and unabashedly their worship of the

leader of an aggressor nation against India, and I am sorry to say that the Government is apparently not conscious whether the emergency is in force, whether the Defence of India Act is in force. China is an enemy, an aggressor, and a leader of the enemy country has been worshipped in public by the organisation. What is the Government here for?

**Mr. Speaker:** What is the point of order that I should decide?

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Action in one case, and no action in another case. The Government cannot function in this manner.

**Mr. Speaker:** If the Government cannot function in this matter, then?

**Shri Vishnu Kamath:** In this manner, I said. You should direct them in the national interest to function consistently. That is all I would request.

**श्री शिव नारायण :** अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि जब हमारे वहाँ हमारे शत्रुओं और देशद्रोहियों के चित्र प्रयोग में लाये जायें, ऐसे लोगों के फोटो लगाये जायें जिन्होंने कि हमारे देश पर बर्बर हमला किया और हमारी भूमि हड़प रखी है तो उनसे लगाव रखने वाले लोगों के खिलाफ आप डी० आई० आर० का प्रयोग नहीं क्यों नहीं करते हैं ?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** माननीय सदस्य सिर्फ बहस कर रहे हैं, आर्ग्यु कर रहे हैं, सवाल नहीं पूछ रहे हैं ।

#### Santhanam Committee

+

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:**  
**Shri S. M. Banerjee:**  
**Shri Shashi Ranjan:**  
**Shri M. N. Swamy:**  
**Shri Yashpal Singh:**  
**Shri Indrajit Gupta:**  
**Shri M. L. Dwivedi:**  
**Shrimati Savitri Nigam:**  
**\*350. Shri S. C. Samanta:**  
**Shri Subodh Hanada:**

**Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:**

**Shri Jagdev Singh**

**Siddhanti:**

**Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**

**Shri Bishanchander Seth:**

**Shri Dhaon:**

**Shri B. P. Yadava:**

**Shri Surendra Pal Singh:**

**Shri P. Venkatasubbalah:**

**Dr. L. M. Singhvi:**

**Shri D. C. Sharma:**

**Shri Vishram Prasad:**

**Shri Bade:**

**Shri Kapur Singh:**

**Shri Bagri:**

**Shri Dinen Bhattacharya:**

**Dr. Ranen Sen:**

**Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:**

**Shri Jashvant Mehta:**

**Shri Balmiki:**

**Shri K. N. Tiwary:**

**Shri Basappa:**

**Shri Hukam Chand Kachha-**  
**valya:**

**Shri A. S. Saigal:**

**Shri Bibhuti Mishra:**

**Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:**

**Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:**

**Shri P. K. Deo:**

**Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:**

**Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:**

**Shri Mahananda:**

**Shri Solanki:**

**Shri Narasimha Reddy:**

**Shri Gokulananda**

**Mohanty:**

**Shri Harish Chandra**

**Mathur:**

**Shri Swell:**

**Shri B. K. Das:**

**Dr. Sarojini Mahishi:**

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 10 on the 27th May, 1964 and state:

(a) whether the consideration of the recommendations made by the Santhanam Committee has concluded; and

(b) if so, the outcome thereof?

The Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). The recommendations of the committee are being considered in the light of the comments of

the Ministries/Departments. Twenty-two recommendations have been accepted with or without changes and implemented; thirty-seven recommendations have been accepted with or without changes and their implementation is under consideration, and seventy-four recommendations are under consideration.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Have the Minister and the Government given serious consideration to the recommendations made in Section 11 of the report captioned 'social climate' dealing with corruption at the political level where there is a reference to the Presidential appointment of a national panel, etc. and if so what action has been or is being taken on those recommendations? They are very important recommendations?

**Shri Hathi:** Government are serious about those recommendations and I think that the Home Minister and the Prime Minister have indicated on the floor of the House the lines on which they propose to take action.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** On this particular matter, this is, corruption at political level, I do not remember he said anything; he was considering; that is what he said.

**Mr. Speaker:** He has said certain things here.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** All right Sir. Has the attention of the Government been drawn to certain Press reports of statements by certain Cabinet Ministers doubting the wisdom or even the propriety of the Home Minister's brave battle against corruption, one of the Ministers even saying that those who talk or shout most about corruption are themselves corrupt, thereby casting reflections upon the Home Minister himself.... (An hon. Member: No) Let me finish and if so in what manner and by what procedure or methods does the Minister propose to dispel the widespread suspicion in the public mind that the Cabinet is a house divided against itself so far as this vital issue of fighting corruption is concerned..... (Interruptions.)

You keep quiet there.... They are interrupting me, Sir.

**Mr. Speaker:** He has put in so many insinuations and imputations and all those which cannot be put in a supplementary.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** I submit, Sir, in all humility that I have not.

**Mr. Speaker:** If somebody objects to it, he takes such a strong objection to that.... (Interruptions.)

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** I know how to put supplementaries; they need not tell me how to put supplementary questions.

I bow to your ruling and your judgment and your wisdom but not to their shouting, not to their cacophony.... (Interruptions.)

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, order. When I have to seek the help of the other hon. Members, certainly I will request them to come and give me that help. But when an individual Member is just there and I am taking exception to certain remarks....

**Shri M. L. Dwivedi:** When you are talking he does not sit down.... (Interruptions.)

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** You sit down; when the Speaker is standing, you are standing now.

**Mr. Speaker:** Is he in a mood to listen to me?

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Certainly, with all my heart, all my mind and all my might, to you, but not to them.

**Mr. Speaker:** His supplementary has insinuations, imputations, defamations and all those things that are prohibited in a supplementary. I have so many times said that supplementaries are only to be put in order to seek information and these things should be avoided as far as possible. Therefore, if he wants to put a question in a straight form, he might put it but the other things that are in-

cluded in that are not required in order to make that question intelligible.

**Shri Ranga:** May I submit, Sir, that if he has to repeat the question, more time would be taken, and the question that my hon. friend has put, in my humble judgment, is a complete question, and so, the answer may be given by the Home Minister. (Interruption).

**Mr. Speaker:** Order order. In this manner, we cannot proceed. I would appeal to hon. Members that they should be patient with each other. If the point of order comes from this side, I am compelled to listen to it, and if it comes from the other side, how can I refuse?

**श्री म० ल० द्विवेदी :** मैं एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठाना चाहता हूँ। एक माननीय सदस्य को पूरक प्रश्न पूछने का अवसर दिया जाता है, तो वह पूरक प्रश्न पूछने का समय किसी और काम में लगा देते हैं और बाद में पूरक प्रश्न पूछते हैं। इससे सदन का समय जाता है। माननीय सदस्य बहुत अनुभवी हैं; उन्हें चाहिए कि वह पूरक प्रश्न पूछें, न कि इधर उधर की बातें करके सदन का समय नष्ट करें।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** माननीय सदस्य का प्वायंट ऑफ़ ऑर्डर तो ठीक है। लेकिन जब वह भी क्वेस्टियन करते हैं, तो वह भी बह जाते हैं।

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** I submit, regarding your ruling, that my knowledge of the English language is very very limited. You have said that my question contains innuendoes and what not. I do not know what "innuendo" means and I made none. My straight question—as straight as I can make it and as I think it to be is whether the attention of the Government had been drawn to certain press reports, and if I do not say what the press reports are, how can he reply? (Interruptions).



**Mr. Speaker:** Order, order.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** In obedience to your directive—has the attention of the Government been drawn to the press reports to which I have referred—I would not repeat them—and, if so, by what methods, in what manner, does the Government propose to remove or dispel the suspicion in the public mind that the Cabinet is a house divided against itself in this matter? It is a straight question, as straight as I can make it.

**Shri Nanda:** The press reports to which the hon. Member refers are, as has happened in some other cases also, very much exaggerated.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Not wrong or false?

**Shri Nanda:** Besides that, in essentials, it is incorrect. So far as the shouting is concerned, it refers to shouting by the people against Ministers and others, making reckless, baseless complaints. Therefore, the idea was that those who shout like that may have themselves something to hide.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** My question has not been answered. I would again respectfully submit that my question was, in what manner and by what methods does the Government propose to dispel from the public mind the suspicion that the Cabinet is a house divided against itself on this issue.

**Shri Nanda:** The Prime Minister said something here and also in Calcutta. He made the position very clear: that there was no division or difference among ourselves here regarding any good work that is being done to deal with corruption.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Ask your colleagues here on your right.

**श्री यशपाल सिंह :** क्या सरकार बता सकती है कि डिफरेंट स्टेट्स में कितने मिनिस्टर्स के खिलाफ रिप्रेजेंटेशन आए हैं और सन्तानम् कमेटी के मातहत कितने मिनिस्टर्स के खिलाफ एक्शन लिया गया है ?

**Shri Hathi:** This does not arise from the recommendations of the Santhanam Committee.

**श्री म० ल० द्विवेदी :** मैं यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि क्या सन्तानम् कमेटी की रिकमेंडेशन के फलस्वरूप ही विजिलेंस कमीशन वगैरह बनाए गए हैं, अन्यथा सरकार ने उनके मातहत इस सम्बन्ध में क्या काम किया है, जिससे सन्तानम् कमेटी की रिकमेंडेशन को पूरी पूरी मान्यता मिल जाये—जो 74 रिकमेंडेशन बाकी हैं, उनको भी मान्यता मिल जाये ।

How long will it remain under consideration?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** माननीय सदस्य ने कितने ही सवाल इकट्ठे कर दिये हैं ।

**श्री हाथी :** पहले प्रश्न का जबाब यह है कि सेंटर ने विजिलेंस कमीशन कायम कर दिया है । स्टेट्स में भी विजिलेंस कमिशनर एप्वायंट हुए हैं । इसके अलावा सन्तानम् कमेटी ने जो रिकमेंडेशन की हैं, वह चार या पांच भागों में बांटी जा सकती हैं । एक गवर्नमेंट सर्वेन्ट्स कन्डक्ट रूल; दूसरा, लेजिस्लेशन में कुछ फेर-फार; तीसरा, कांस्टीट्यूशन में फेर-फार; चौथा, ऐडमिनिस्ट्रिव मशीनरी में चेंजिज और पांचवा—जिसके बारे में अभी क्वैस्टियन हुआ था, सोशल एण्ड पोलिटिकल क्लाइमेट । गवर्नमेंट कंडक्ट रूल की एक फेहरिस्त बन रही है । लाज का एमेंडमेंट हो रहा है । कांस्टीट्यूशन का चेंज विचाराधीन है । सोशल और पोलिटिकल क्लाइमेट के बारे में गवर्नमेंट सोच रही है ।

**Shrimati Savitri Nigam:** As a result of these 22 recommendations of the Santhanam Committee which have been implemented, may I know what dynamic changes have been brought about in the administrative set-up?

**श्री हाथी :** अब तक कुछ चेंजिज लाई गई हैं । एक बात उनकी रिकमेंडेशन यह थी कि

जिन जिन डिपार्टमेंट्स का पब्लिक के साथ ताल्लुक रहता है, वहाँ पर एक पब्लिक रिसर्पेशन और इनक्वायरी हो जिसके पास लोग जाकर पूछताछ कर सकें और जहाँ से उनको पता चल सके कि काम कहां पहुंचता है और कहां नहीं पहुंचता है। ऐसे चार पांच डिपार्टमेंट्स हैं जिनमें यह व्यवस्था कर दी गई है। एक चीफ कम्प्लेयर आफ इम्पोर्ट्स एंड एक्सपोर्ट्स का दफ्तर है, एक डायरेक्टर जनरल सप्लाइज एंड डिसपोजिजल्स है, एक रेलवेज है, एक पी० डब्ल्यू० डी० का है और एक सी० पी० डब्ल्यू० डी० का है। इन पांच में ऐसी व्यवस्था की गई है।

**Shri S. C. Samanta:** Apart from Ministries and departments may I know whether the reactions and suggestions of different State Governments have been received and considered?

**Shri Hathi:** Yes, Sir; the State Governments also were requested to send their comments. We have received comments from the State Governments also.

**श्री प्रकाशश्री शास्त्री :** गृह मन्त्री जी ने भ्रष्टाचार समाप्ति के लिए दो वर्ष की अवधि निर्धारित की है। उसको ध्यान में रखते हुए, सन्तानम् समिति या विजिलस कमीशन इस प्रकार के जितने भी भ्रष्टाचार को रोकने के लिए साधन ढूँढे गये हैं इन सब को एकत्रित करके कोई उनको ऐसा व्यावहारिक रूप भी प्रोत्साहित दिया जाएगा जिससे उस अवधि में कुछ सफलता देश को दिखाई देने लगे ? क्या कुछ ऐसा भी निश्चय किया गया है ?

**श्री नन्दा :** उस दिन से दो वर्ष के बाद क्या होगा वह मैं नहीं कह सकता हूँ। मैं समझता हूँ कि काफी कदम उठाये गये हैं और उनका कुछ असर भी हुआ है। लेकिन यह काफी नहीं है। इसलिए और चीजें भी सोची जा रही हैं।

**श्री प्रकाशश्री शास्त्री :** अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा प्रश्न यही है कि मेरा प्रश्न यह था कि वे जो अवगलन अवगलन उपाय सोचे जा रहे हैं, इन सब को एक स्थान पर करके क्या कोई ऐसा व्यावहारिक मार्ग आप निकालेंगे जिससे आपने जो अवधि निर्धारित की है, उसमें कुछ प्रगति तक आप सफल हो सकें ?

**श्री नन्दा :** मेरे ब्याल में आपने जवाब में यही कहा है कि सन्तानम् कमेटी में सिर्फ एक पहलू नहीं लिया गया है, बहुत से पहलू उस में हैं। उनके अलावा और भी चीजें सोची जा रही हैं। इन सब का इकट्ठा असर वही हो सकेगा जो आप चाहते हैं।

**Shri P. Venkatasubbalah:** As per the Santhanam Committee's report, vigilance commissions are being formed. May I know what other extra functions are being done by the Sadachar Samitis constituted under the aegis of the Home Minister and whether they are to supplement the functions of the vigilance commission?

**Shri Nanda:** As far as we can judge by the experience in the last few weeks, they are performing a useful function first in the matter of social climate generally among all sections of the people; I think some good work is being done. Secondly, in respect of complaints which are received, if the complaints are flimsy and baseless, they are explained to those persons. The rest of the complaints are again screened and after screening, the complaints are forwarded through the Home Ministry to the department concerned. Some good work is being done.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Do not leave it yourself.

**श्री बड़े :** निगरानी आयोग जैसा सेंटर में बोला गया है क्या ऐसी ही स्टेट्स में भी बोले

गये हैं, यदि हाँ तो क्या यह सही नहीं है कि क्या प्रोसीजर उन्होंने एडाप्ट करना है तथा क्या काम करना है, इसके बारे में उनको कोई डायरेक्शन नहीं दिया गया है। इसकी वजह से वे हाथ पर हाथ रख कर बैठे हुए हैं ?

**श्री हाथी :** कुछ स्टेट्स में विजिलेंस कमीशन एक्टिवेट हुए हैं और कार्यपद्धति के बारे में उनको यहां से लिख दिया गया है।

**श्री बड़े :** कोई इंस्ट्रक्शन अभी तक नहीं गई है ?

**श्री हाथी :** इंस्ट्रक्शन हमने भेज दी है।

**Shri Kapur Singh:** May I ask the Minister of Home Affairs whether this Government have now agreed that in the matter of substantive and procedural law on corruption, there should be no double standards in respect of appointed public servants and elected public servants, that is, the politicians?

**Shri Hathi:** That is a matter for legislative amendment.

**Shri Kapur Singh:** I asked whether they agree on this principle. I know it is a matter for legislative amendment.

**Shri Nanda:** There could be no difference as far as standards are concerned.

**Shri Kapur Singh:** Sir, my question has been whisked away like this.

**Mr. Speaker:** He said that there cannot be two standards.

**Shri Kapur Singh:** There have been two standards so far.

**Mr. Speaker:** He may not agree, but the answer has been given. The answer may not be as desired by the hon. Member, but the answer has been given.

**Shri Hem Barua:** May I know if Government are aware of the fact that there are certain entries in Serajuddin's books against the names of

Bijoy Patnaik, Biren Mitra and some other Orissa Minister; if so, since the Santhanam Committee has recommended action against political corruption or corruption by politicians, may I know what steps Government have taken against these people whose names appear in Serajuddin's books?

**Shri Nanda:** I thought it was well known that these things have not been neglected.

**Some Hon. Members:** A little louder.

**Shri Nanda:** This has not been neglected. All this information contained in those books also, along with other matters, is the subject matter of a preliminary enquiry by the CBI.

**Mr. Speaker:** Shri Bagri....

**Shri Hem Barua:** Arising out of this, Sir, may I know...

**Mr. Speaker:** No no. So many things would arise from a statement by the Minister.

**Shri Hem Barua:** There is resentment, coming from these people, against the enquiry instituted by the CBI.

**श्री बागड़ी :** मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि भ्रष्टाचार की जड़ों को सरकार ने पकड़ा है क्या ? देश के भ्रष्टाचार के ऊपर कोई पाबन्दी नहीं है। देश के भ्रष्टाचार फिजूलखर्ची बढ़ती जा रही है जिसका नतीजा यह होता है कि कम भ्रष्टादनी वाले लोग भी भ्रष्टाचार करने लग जाते हैं, भ्रष्टाचार को रोकने का मूल मन्त्र यह है कि खर्च के ऊपर रोक लगाई जाए, खर्च पर पाबन्दी लगाई जाये। क्या सरकार इस बात पर विचार कर रही है कि भ्रष्टाचार को रोकने के लिए खर्च पर कोई रोक लगाई जाये और एक हजार से अधिक खर्च कोई न करे ?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** यह सवाल है जिस पर सरकार गौर कर सकती है।

**श्री बागड़ी :** मैं इतिला ले रहा हूँ कि क्या सरकार ऐसा कर रही है या नहीं कि एक हजार से अधिक खर्च पर कोई रोक लगाई जाये ?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** सन्तानम् कमेटी की रिपोर्ट में क्या यह चीज है ?

**श्री बागड़ी :** क्या पता है ? यह तो सरकार ही बतायेगी । मैं सन्तानम् कमेटी के बारे में पूछ रहा हूँ । मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि उस रिपोर्ट में जो सिफारिशें हैं उनके साथ क्या सरकार एक मजिद बात यह भी जोड़ रही है कि एक हजार से अधिक के माहवारी खर्च पर रोक लगाई जाए ?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** यह बहुत लम्बा सवाल है । यह डायरेक्टली इस में नहीं आता है ।

**Shri Jashwant Mehta :** One of the important recommendations of the Santhanam Committee is to root out corruption at the highest level. May I know whether it is a fact—it appeared in the Press recently—that the Cabinet approved some decision regarding this important recommendation; if so, what is the decision of the Government and what are the changes that the Government is thinking of as a result of this recommendation?

**Shri Nanda :** The reference to some recent consideration by the Cabinet concerns the 'code of conduct' about which I have said something recently.

**Several hon. Members rose—**

**Mr. Speaker :** My difficulty is that there is already a very large number of signatories and some other hon. Members are trying to catch my eye before I give them an opportunity.

**Shri Sheo Narain :** Sir, I would request you to change this system. A selected number of hon. Members sign the questions and they get the chance every day. I suggest that the question should stand only in the

name of the first hon. Member who sends in the Question.

**Mr. Speaker :** Why does he also not send in some questions?

**श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती :** दिल्ली सेंट्रल कोम्रापरेटिव स्टोर के बारे में पुलिस द्वारा जांच की जा चुकी है और यह कहा गया है कि राजनीतिक भ्रष्टाचार किया गया है । उसके बारे में सरकार क्या विचार कर रही है और क्या कदम उठा रही है ?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** एक एक बात यहां नहीं ली जा सकती ।

**श्री बाल्मीकी :** मैं सरकार से पूछना चाहता हूँ कि संथानम् कमेटी की सिफारिशों के आधार पर उच्च स्तरीय क्षेत्रों में फैले हुए भ्रष्टाचार को दूर करने के लिए क्या कोई रूप रेखा तैयार की गई है, यदि की गई है तो उसका रूप क्या है, और क्या कोई इस तरह की लिस्ट भी तैयार की जा रही है । अगर की जा रही है तो उन उच्चस्तरीय भ्रष्टाचारियों का कितना नम्बर है ?

**श्री हाथी :** रूप रेखा तो वही है जो सन्तानम् कमेटी ने अपनी सारी रिपोर्ट में लिखा है ।

**Shri S. N. Chaturvedi :** May I know whether it is a fact that the Government has not accepted the recommendation of the Santhanam Committee as regards political corruption and the procedure to be followed in that regard? In cases where allegations are made against the Ministers in which the Chief Minister is also involved, what procedure is going to be adopted?

**Shri Nanda :** So far as any complaint about the conduct of the Chief Minister is concerned, the Prime Minister takes up the responsibility squarely on his shoulders for dealing with that.

**Shri S. N. Chaturvedi :** I want to know about the other Ministers also.

**Mr. Speaker:** He has already stated that whenever there is any *prima facie* case the Prime Minister accepts the responsibility and asks him first to resign. Some such statement was made here the other day.

**Dr. Sarojini Mahishi:** The Minister was pleased to say that some of the recommendations of the Santhanam Committee were accepted, and were being implemented, a few others were being accepted but not implemented, still a few others are under consideration. May I know on what basis this classification is made?

**Shri Hathi:** There is no idea of making any classification. Some of the recommendations were such as could be accepted forthwith and immediately implemented. There were some recommendations which would take some time for implementation, e.g. changes in various laws. Though those recommendations are accepted, they take some time for implementation. There are still others which are under consideration. There is no idea or intention to have any classification as such.

**श्री शिव नारायण :** मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि सन्तानम कमेटी की जो रिपोर्ट है क्या उसमें यह भी लिखा हुआ है कि जो लोग गलत ऐलिगेशन लगाते हैं उनके खिलाफ भी कोई ऐक्शन लिया जाये।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय** यह तो आप रिपोर्ट में पढ़ लें कि क्या लिखा हुआ है और क्या नहीं लिखा हुआ है।

**Shri B. K. Das:** May I know whether Government have considered the observation of the Santhanam Committee that they were not able to examine all the existing problems concerning the integrity of Government servants, in order to root out corruption from public life perhaps for want of time. Have any steps been taken in that behalf?

**Shri Hathi:** They have exhaustively dealt with the problem. I do not

think they have said for want of time they could not consider the problem. Perhaps, they might not have been able to go to the various centres to examine people.

**Shri Nath Pai:** One constantly hears about the *Sadachar Samiti* which is the baby of the Home Minister, which is sometimes owned by him, sometimes disowned by him or by some of his colleagues. But, though the establishment of the Vigilance Commission is one of the main recommendations of the Santhanam Committee to deal with corruption, we seldom hear about it. May we know the reason for this step-motherly or step-fatherly treatment to this child, which is a legal administrative institution, established on the recommendation of the Committee, to deal with corruption? What is being done to tell the public, the man in the street, that there is a legal authority called the National Vigilance Commissioner to hear all complaints of corruption and that all complaints can be referred to him? One hardly hears about the existence of the National Vigilance Commissioner.

**Shri Nanda:** When a body acts from day to day, when it works properly, possibly people will not hear much about it; it is only when it is not doing its job, people may start hearing about it.

**Shri Nath Pai:** Does it apply to the *Sadachar Samiti* also?

**Shri Nanda:** It has just started its work and people are just settling down, knowing the existence of the *Sadachar Samiti*. It is carrying on its work in spite of all kinds of misgivings.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** And obstruction by your own people.

**Shri Nanda:** The essence of the question was whether sufficient attention is being given to the recommendations of the Santhanam Committee Report.

The other thing is just by the way. The Santhanam Committee's Report is the main thing and it is being dealt with and considered as such.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** 'As such' is a good phrase. It is quite comprehensive.

#### U. S. Educationists' Visit

+

\*351. { **Shri Bishanchander Seth:**  
**Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**  
**Shri B. P. Yadava:**  
**Shri Dhaon:**

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that U.S. educationists are visiting India shortly;

(b) whether it is also a fact that a similar delegation from India consisting of school teachers and administrators visited U.S.A.;

(c) how far this exchange of visits has helped India in the field of education; and

(d) whether such visits are to be continued in future?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) No. U.S. educationists are visiting India shortly but 52 of them have already visited India recently under the Summer Institutes Programmes for high school and college science teachers.

(b) Eight Indian Educationists and administrators participated in the Summer Institutes Programme held this summer in the U.S.A.

(c) It is considered to have made a valuable contribution towards the academic programmes of the Summer Institutes.

(d) The question of their continuance is under consideration.

**श्री बिशानचन्द्र सेठ :** मैं साफ तरीके से जानना चाहता हूँ कि इसका हमारे देश

को क्या लाभ पहुँचेगा। इसमें अभी तक कोई पता नहीं लगा कि क्या लाभ होगा।

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** I am sure, our country, our education and our academic institutions will derive considerable benefit from the visit of the US educationists. We have started a new thing, the summer schools, to train our college and secondary school teachers specially in science and we have got experts from the United States to help us in running these summer schools. I assure my hon. friend that we hope to derive considerable benefit from this.

**Shri Sham Lal Saraf:** May I know whether during this opportunity created by the visit of these educationists to our country our experts discussed the question of the duration, the pattern and the content of education at all levels with them so as to make it uniform since we know that in our country educationists differ on these subjects?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** The visit of these educationists was for a limited purpose, namely, the summer schools and seminars. Our people also went to the United States to learn this. But if my hon. friend wants to know whether the general pattern of education was discussed, my answer would be "No".

**Shrimati Savitri Nigam:** In view of the fact that these types of camps have proved to be very, very useful, what steps have been taken to see that educationists from other countries also come to our country?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** We have started with educationists coming from the United States. We will certainly consider the question whether educationists from other countries should also not be invited.

**Mr. Speaker:** Next question. **Shri Prakash Vir Shastri.**

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा निवेदन है कि प्रश्न सं० 372 जो है वह बहुत महत्वपूर्ण है।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : आपकी मालूम है कि मैं प्रार्थन को डिस्टर्ब नहीं कर सकता। श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री।

प्राथमिक विद्यालयों के अध्यापक

+

- \* 352. { श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री :  
श्री जगदेव सिंह तिहाना :  
श्री यशपाल सिंह :  
श्री स० मो० बनर्जी :  
श्री मणियंगान :  
श्री प्र० र० चक्रवर्ती :  
श्री क० ना० तिबारी :  
श्री श्रीकांत लाल बेरवा :  
श्रीमती राम बुलारी तिहाना :

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री 12 फरवरी, 1964 के तारांकित प्रश्न संख्या 36 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि;

(क) क्या उन राज्य सरकारों को जिन्होंने प्राथमिक विद्यालयों के अध्यापकों के वेतनक्रम नहीं बढ़ाये हैं, कुछ लिखा गया है; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो उसका क्या परिणाम निकला है ?

शिक्षा मंत्री (श्री मु० क० चागला) :

(क) जी हां मार्च, 1964 में समस्त राज्यों के मुख्य मंत्रियों को एक पत्र भेजा गया था, जिसमें अध्यापकों के वेतन बढ़ाने की आवश्यकता और इस योजना के लिए उपलब्ध केन्द्रीय सहायता की ओर उनका ध्यान दिलाया गया था।

(ख) वेतनमानों में संशोधन करने का निर्णय करना सम्बन्धित राज्य सरकारों की जिम्मेदारी है।

English translation also.

श्री रामसेवक यादव : अध्यक्ष महोदय, इसका तर्जुमा हो जाता है प्रश्नोत्तर के समय

अध्यक्ष महोदय : हां, तर्जुमे की जरूरत नहीं है।

Shri M. C. Chagla: I thought, I had to read the English answer also.

Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: No, no.

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : जैसा श्री शिक्षा मंत्री जी ने कहा कि पांच राज्यों को उन्होंने इस प्रकार का संकेत दिया था कि वे प्राथमिक स्कूलों के अध्यापकों के वेतन मान बढ़ायें। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि वे पांच राज्य कौन-कौन से हैं और उन पांचों राज्यों पर आपके संकेत देने के बाद क्या प्रतिक्रिया हुई है।

Shri M. C. Chagla: I wrote a letter to all the Chief Ministers on the 21st March, 1964, pointing out the importance of reviewing the situation with regard to the conditions of service and emoluments of the teachers and also the disparity that exists between teachers in Government schools and in private schools. I have received answers from Bihar, Gujarat, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh and West Bengal only. If my hon. friend wants it, I shall read out briefly the answers received from the States; or, if you like, I can place a statement on the Table of the House.

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : पिछले अधिवेशन में शिक्षा मंत्री जी ने एक प्रश्न का उत्तर देते हुई बताया था कि वेतनों के क्रम में सब से कम वेतन सारे भारत में उत्तर प्रदेश में मिलते हैं। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार की भी शिक्षा मंत्रालय ने इस सम्बन्ध में कुछ लिखा है? यदि हां, तो उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है।

Shri M. C. Chagla: As I have said, I wrote to the Chief Minister of every State. Only five States have replied.

The position with regard to U.P. is this. The scales of pay were revised in the Second Plan. There has been a slight improvement in the Third Plan. There is, however, a difference of about Rs. 15-20 in the dearness allowance paid to primary teachers and other government servants drawing the same salary. This position is, therefore, the same as that in Bihar. On financial grounds, the State Government has not been able to equalise the dearness allowance paid to primary teachers and other Government servants.

**श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री :** मेरा प्रश्न विश्वविद्यालय या हायर सैकिन्डरी स्कूलों के अध्यापकों के सम्बन्ध में नहीं था। मेरा प्रश्न प्राइमरी स्कूलों के अध्यापकों के सम्बन्ध में था। मैं उनके सम्बन्ध में जानना चाहता था।

**श्री मु० क० चागला :** मैं ने प्राइमरी स्कूलों के अध्यापकों के बारे में ही जवाब दिया है।

**श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धांती :** जो प्राथमिक विद्यालयों के अध्यापक हैं उनको वहां वेतन बहुत थोड़ा मिलता है, वहां उनको उनके स्थान से दूर दूर भेज दिया जाता है इसलिए उनका खर्च और उ के घर का, बीबी बच्चों का खर्च दुगुना हो जाता है जोकि उनके लिये असह्य है। क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार राज्य सरकारों को यह निर्देश देगी कि उन प्राथमिक विद्यालयों के अध्यापकों को उनके स्थान पर अथवा उसके पास के स्थानों पर नियुक्त किया जाए ?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** This is an important problem, and we are considering it, that is, the question about the transfer of teachers. But it is very difficult. It is part of either the disability or the advantage of being a government servant that one is liable to transfer.

**श्री क० ना० तिवारी :** मंत्री महोदय ने बताया कि बिहार से रिपोर्ट आ गई है, लेकिन वहां के अखबारों में जो समाचार छप रहे हैं उनसे पता चलता है कि अभी तक वेतन नहीं बढ़ा है और बिहार के अध्यापक स्ट्राइक करने वाले हैं। क्या सरकार इसके बारे में बताने की कृपा करेगी ?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** My information is that they had threatened a strike, but in view of the assurance given, the strike has been put off.

**श्री श्रींकार लाल बेरवा :** एक प्रकार के स्कूल केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा, राज्य सरकारों द्वारा तथा ग्राम पंचायतों द्वारा चलाए जाते हैं। क्या इनके वेतन क्रमों को एक सा बनाए रखने का यत्न किया जा रहा है ?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** We are trying to bring about uniformity. It is not easy, but that is our attempt, that is our objective and that is our target.

**श्री यशपाल सिंह :** क्या सरकार के ध्यान में यह बात है कि प्राथमिक विद्यालयों के अध्यापकों को सारे देश में जिला परिषदों के मातहत छोड़ा हुआ है। जिला परिषदों ने अभी तक कोई ऐसा कदम नहीं उठाया है जिससे कि उनके वेतन क्रमों में आमूल चल परिवर्तन हो सके और इन अध्यापकों की तीन तन जगह की मातहत करनी पड़ती है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या ऐसा कोई उपाय किया जा रहा है कि इसके बजाय उनकी तरफकी एक ही जगह हो सके ?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** My answer is the same. The difficulty is that the States feel that they have no finances. I have done my best. I have impressed upon every Chief Minister and every Education Minister, but they gave the invariable answer 'You are prepared to give us 50 per cent, but we have not got the other 50 per cent; so, we cannot do it'.



**श्रीमती जमुना देवी :** क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने का कष्ट करेंगे कि मध्य प्रदेश शासन ने प्राथमिक विद्यालयों के शिक्षकों को वेतन बढ़ाने के सम्बन्ध में क्या निर्णय लिया है ?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** In regard to Madhya Pradesh, the position is as follows. As regards revision of pay scales, the State Government have revised the pay scales of private primary school teachers at a cost of Rs. 9 crores, which has taken away a big slice from the educational plan. This is the answer that I have received after I wrote to the Chief Minister of Madhya Pradesh, namely that they have already revised the scales, and this has cost them Rs. 9 crores, and they have no more money to improve the scales further.

**Shrimati Yashoda Reddy:** Is the Minister in a position to indicate the maximum and minimum pay scales given to primary school teachers in various States?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** It varies from State to State. Andhra Rs. 80-150; Assam Rs. 55-75; Bihar Rs. 50-90; Gujarat Rs. 56-70; Jammu and Kashmir Rs. 70-160; Kerala Rs. 40-120; Madhya Pradesh which is good, 90-170; Madras which is good, 90-140; Maharashtra Rs. 56-70; Mysore Rs. 80-150; Orissa, which is excellent, Rs. 100-155; Punjab—which is very good, perhaps the best in India—I compliment that State—Rs. 120-175; Rajasthan Rs. 75-160 and U.P., which is the worst, Rs. 50-85, and then West Bengal Rs. 80-150.

**श्री रामेश्वरानन्द :** अध्यक्ष महोदय जिस श्रेणी को अंग्रेजी के अध्यापक पढ़ाते हैं उसी श्रेणी को संस्कृत के अध्यापक भी पढ़ाते हैं, पर अंग्रेजी के अध्यापकों और संस्कृत के अध्यापकों के वेतन मान में महान् अन्तर है। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि इसका क्या कारण है।

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** I am always prepared to answer questions, but I 1184(A) LSD—2.

do not think this arises from the original question. I would like to have notice.

**Shri Jashvant Mehta:** The hon. Minister has stated that different scales prevail in different States. In his letter to the Chief Ministers, did the hon. Minister suggest any common pay scale all over the country? Is Government considering having it in the Fourth Plan a uniform pay scale all over the country for primary teachers? If so, what are the details and is the Central Government prepared to subsidise the States or help them in giving primary school teachers such scales?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** I think I have already mentioned that the Central Government is prepared to subsidise the increase in teachers' salaries to the extent of 50 per cent.

As regards laying down a common scale of pay, it is very difficult to do so. Conditions vary from State to State, as I pointed out. In Punjab, it starts with 120; in U.P. it starts with Rs. 50....

**Shri Tyagi:** Not by names.

**श्री राम सेवक दादर :** मंत्री महोदय ने बताया कि केन्द्र ने 50 प्रतिशत धन अध्यापकों की स्थिति सुधारने के लिए देने का निश्चय किया है लेकिन राज्यों के पास और अन्तिम 50 प्रतिशत धन नहीं है, इसलिए यह काम चालू नहीं कर रहे हैं। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि क्या शिक्षामन्त्रालय यह 50 प्रतिशत रकम राज्य सरकारों को शीघ्र देने के लिए तैयार है ताकि अध्यापकों की स्थिति में कुछ तो सुधार हो जाए ?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** We cannot because the scheme is, 'If you raise the salaries, tell us how much it will cost; we will bear half of it'. I do not see how we can give a part when they are not prepared to make any contribution.

**श्री भागवत झा बाबाद :** मैं यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि जबसे केन्द्र ने इस बात पर बल देना शुरू किया है कि प्राथमिक शिक्षकों के वेतन मान में सुधार हो और राज्यों को उनका वेतन बढ़ाने का संकेत किया है, उसके बाद से किन किन राज्यों ने सिद्धान्त रूप में या कार्य रूप में ग्रहण दोनो रूप में इसको मान लिया है और क्या उसके फल-स्वरूप कुछ भी उनके वेतन मान में बढ़ि हुई है ?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** I think I gave the answer to that. In reply to my letter to all Chief Ministers, I received only four letters, from four States. No replies were received from other States. Many of the State scales are already high, and, therefore, perhaps they did not think it necessary to reply to my letter.

**श्री क० दे० मासवीय :** जब सरकार को यह मालूम हो गया है कि खत लिखने से और कानफरेंस करने से उत्तर प्रदेश जैसे राज्यों में शिक्षकों के वेतनों में वृद्धि नहीं हो रही है, तो क्या सरकार कोई और भी तरीका अख्तियार करने को तैयार है जिससे कि यह जरूरी मसला हल हो सके ?

**श्री मु० क० बागला :** अगर मेरे दोस्त मुझे बतायेंगे कि किस रीति से यह कर सकता हूँ तो मैं उनका आभारी होऊंगा ।

**Shri K. D. Malaviya:** You give direction to planning.

**Shrimati Renuka Ray:** I wanted to ask the Minister, in view of the fact that even the salary which is considered minimum is not paid by some of the States, whether the Central Government would not consider that any educational grants, whether it be for higher education or secondary education or any other education, will not be given to a State Government that does not give at least a minimum of Rs. 90, to a primary teacher since labourers get Rs. 90 a month.

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** In the Fourth Plan, we are trying to revise the whole system of educational finance, and we are trying to consider the question of these matching grants. So, I will bear this in mind.

**Shri Sheo Narain:** The hon. Minister has said that U.P. is the worst State. Considering this, what is he going to do now?

**Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh:** What are the chief reasons the hon. Minister finds for the conspicuous failure in his home State?

**Shri M. C. Chagla:** Maharashtra is not so bad. It is pretty bad. It is Rs. 56 to Rs. 70, which, I agree, is not very good, but the dearness allowance is high.

## WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

### Economic Pool

- Dr. L. M. Singhvi:  
Shri Indrajit Gupta:  
Shri Yashpal Singh:  
\*353. { Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:  
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:  
Shri K. C. Pant:  
Shri S. M. Banerjee:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 120 on the 3rd June, 1964 and state:

(a) whether any decision has been taken regarding the formation of an Economic Pool for staffing various managerial positions in the public sector undertakings; and

(b) in what manner the selection and recruitment to this pool would be regulated?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):** (a) and (b). The matter is still under consideration.

**National Service for Graduates**

- \*354. { Shri Yashpal Singh:  
Shri Vishram Prasad:  
Shri Indrajit Gupta:  
Shri Bade:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is intended to introduce legislation in the near future for making compulsory "national service" of four years for all fresh graduates; and

(b) if so, when and the scope thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

**State Education Ministers' Conference**

- \*355. { Shri Vishram Prasad:  
Shri D. D. Mantri:  
Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:  
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:  
Shri N. R. Laskar:  
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:  
Shri R. Barua:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 9 on the 27th May, 1964 and state:

(a) whether Government have finalised their decisions on the recommendations made at the Conference of State Education Ministers held in New Delhi in the last week of April, 1964; and

(b) if so, the main recommendations made and the reaction of Government in respect thereof?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) and (b): A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-3215/64].

**Oil Prospects in Tanjore Cauvery Basin**

- \*356. { Shri P. C. Borooah:  
Shri Vishram Prasad:  
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:  
Shrimati Savitri Nigam:  
Shri Yashpal Singh:  
Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:  
Shri Balgovind Verma:  
Shri B. N. Kureel:  
Shri K. N. Tiwary:  
Shri M. Rampure:  
Shri S. N. Chaturvedi:  
Shri D. C. Sharma:  
Shri M. G. Thengondar:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that investigations have revealed good prospects of striking oil in the coastal areas including Karaikal and the Tanjore Cauvery basin;

(b) if so, the estimated extent of reserve; there; and

(c) the action being taken to assess the actual extent of the reserves and the economy of their exploitation?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):

(a) Investigations have shown that it is necessary to undertake deep drilling in this region to assess the prospect of oil. Drilling has accordingly just started.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

**Vigilance Bodies**

- \*357. { Shri P. R. Chakraverti:  
Dr. Saradiah Roy:  
Shri M. N. Swamy:  
Shri P. Kunhan:  
Shri Imbichibava:  
Shrimati Savitri Nigam:  
Shri P. C. Borooah:  
Shri Hem Raj:  
Shri Maniyangadan:  
Shri Indrajit Gupta:

Shri P. K. Deo:  
 Shri Gokulananda  
 Mohanty:  
 Shri Bade:  
 Shri Mohammad Elias:  
 Shri H. C. Soy:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Union Government have persuaded the State Governments to set up vigilance machinery in their States to fight corruption;

(b) the steps taken by the States to make a positive move in this direction; and

(c) whether some States have pointed out difficulties in making it effective?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):

(a) Yes, Sir. The State Governments have been requested to set up Vigilance Commissions on the lines of the Central Vigilance Commission.

(b) The Governments of Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan have set up Vigilance Commissions on the lines of Central Vigilance Commission and the Governments of Kerala, Mysore, Orissa, Assam, Nagaland and Punjab have decided to set up such Commissions. The Governments of Madras, Bihar, Jammu and Kashmir and Uttar Pradesh have set up organisations differing in some respects from the Central Vigilance Commission. The Government of West Bengal are considering the matter.

(c) No, Sir.

Squatters' Colonies in Calcutta

\*358. { Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:  
 Shri Subodh Hansda:

Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state the concrete measures being taken to resolve the bottleneck in regularising and developing the squatters colonies in and around Calcutta?

The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri Tyagi): Out of 148 colonies accepted for regularisation, 132 colonies have been regularised in full and 12 in part. Steps have been taken to regularise the remaining 4 colonies.

The work of development of 35 colonies is in progress. Proposals for 21 colonies are under consideration. In regard to 58 colonies in Tollygunge area, development has to be undertaken as part of an integrated scheme for which the State Government have to set up a suitable agency. Proposals in respect of the remaining colonies are awaited from the State Government.

No special difficulty has come to the notice of the Government of India, beyond the normal legal and procedural requirements in regard to acquisition.

Hindi Medium in U.P.S.C. Examinations

{ Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:  
 Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:  
 Shri M. L. Dwivedi:  
 Shri S. C. Samanta:  
 Shrimati Savitri Nigam:  
 \*359. { Shri Subodh Hansda:  
 Shri P. R. Chakraverti:  
 Shri K. N. Tiwary:  
 Shri A. V. Raghavan:  
 Shri A. N. Vidyalankar:  
 Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 37 on the 27th May, 1964 and state:

(a) whether Government have since considered in consultation with the U.P.S.C. the question of introducing Hindi as optional medium of U.P.S.C. examinations; and

(b) if so, the nature of decision arrived at?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) and (b). It has been agreed that Hindi might be intro-

duced as an optional medium with effect from the examination to be held from September 1965, provided necessary methods and techniques can be evolved by the Union Public Service Commission in the meanwhile for maintaining a common standard in the evaluation of examination papers written in English and Hindi. The Union Public Service Commission are still considering this matter.

#### Rehabilitation in Cachar

\*360. **Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda:** Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have taken instituted in 1962 to enquire into the failures of the Indian Tea Association Scheme in Cachar which was sanctioned by Government for the rehabilitation of the former East Pakistan refugees have submitted any report;

(b) if so, what are the recommendations; and

(c) if not, the reasons for delay?

**The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri Tyagi):** (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The Committee was set up in the last week of September, 1962. The Secretary of the Committee, an officer of the Assam Government, was subsequently transferred from Silchar and another officer was appointed in his place as Secretary in June, 1963. As this was an old scheme, collection of all relevant records took time and the first meeting was held on 13th September, 1963 and the second meeting on 30th November, 1963. Thereafter, the Committee could not meet as the official members were pre-occupied with the urgent problems arising as a result of the fresh influx of migrants from East Pakistan. The Chairman was also pre-occupied with an other assignment for some time. The Committee is, however,

being directed to complete its work and submit its report soon.

#### Resolutions of Lucknow Muslim Convention

\*361. **Shri S. N. Chaturvedi:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have taken note of the speeches made and resolutions passed at the Muslim Convention held recently at Lucknow and found them unobjectionable and conducive to amity and good relations between the communities; and

(b) if not, the action Government propose to take in the matter?

**The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda):** (a) Taken as a whole Government do not consider the speeches made and the resolutions passed at the Muslim Convention objectionable from the legal point of view but they were not satisfactory from the point of view of communal harmony.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Ring Bund at Dhansa

\*362. **Shri P. K. Deo:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether an armed platoon of Delhi Police was sent to the Ring Bund at Dhansa near Najafgarh to prevent people from causing breaches in it to release flood water;

(b) whether any overseer of the Punjab Government was arrested while causing breaches on the Bund; and

(c) if so, whether any case was instituted against the aforesaid overseer and the result thereof?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). Yes, Sir, the case is still under investigation by the Delhi Police.

**Commissions of Enquiry**

Shri Surendranath Dwivedi:  
 Shri M. L. Dwivedi:  
 Shrimati Savitri Nigam:  
 Shri S. C. Samanta:  
 Shri Subodh Hansda:  
 Shri Bagri:  
 Shri Krishnapal Singh:  
 \*363 { Shri J. P. Jyotishi:  
 Shri P. K. Deo:  
 Shri P. Kunhan:  
 Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:  
 Shri Jashwant Mehta:  
 Shri Kishen Pattnayak:  
 Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:  
 Shri H. N. Mukerjee:  
 Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government either by direct representation or through the President, received petitions from legislators and others for appointment of Commissions of Enquiry against some Chief Ministers of States;

(b) the names of the States and Chief Ministers against whom such petitions have been received; and

(c) the steps Government propose to take on these representations.

**The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) It is not in the public interest to disclose the names of the States and the Chief Ministers merely because the Government have received some petitions, representations or memoranda against the Chief Ministers.

(c) This will depend on the nature of the allegations, the sources from which they come, and other relevant circumstances.

**Taking Pledge in the name of former Prime Minister**

\*364. { Shri Solanki:  
 Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:  
 Shri Bagri:

{ Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:  
 { Shri Kishen Pattnayak:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a press report in "The March of the Nation" Weekly dated the 1st August, 1964, page 12, to the effect that the Union Minister of Education gave directives to all educational institutions in the country to take a pledge in the name of the former Prime Minister, late Shri Jawaharlal Nehru; and

(b) if so, whether any adverse criticism has been made by students in this regard?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) Yes, Sir, after the question was received.

(b) No, Sir.

**Election Petition against Orissa Chief Minister**

\*365. **Shri Surendranath Dwivedi:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a finding of the Election Commission in regard to the petition against Shri Biren Mitra, Chief Minister of Orissa, alleging that he was carrying on business with Government of Orissa;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Election Commission has stated that a full enquiry into the allegations was not possible as the Commission was not vested with necessary powers and the application was rejected on insufficient evidence; and

(c) whether Government propose to hold a fuller enquiry into the facts alleged in the petition as a measure to eradicate corruption in high places?

**The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) While tendering the opinion that Shri Biren Mitra had not become subject to a disqualification for being a member of the Orissa Legislative Assembly, the Election Commission made a general observation that it was desirable that it should be vested with the powers of a Commission under the Commissions of Inquiry Act.

(c) On the question of disqualification of Shri Biren Mitra as a member of the State Legislature the decision of the Governor is final under Article 192 of the Constitution. The facts alleged in the Election petition are also included in a memorial submitted to the President which is under examination of the Government of India.

**Alleged acquisition of property by ex-Deputy Finance Minister**

- \*366. { Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:  
Shri B. P. Yadava:  
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:  
Shri Bishanchander Seth:  
Shri Dhaon:  
Shri Yashpal Singh:  
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:  
Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhanti:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 136 on 3rd June 1964, regarding alleged acquisition of property by ex-Deputy Minister of Finance and state:

(a) whether the consideration of the matter has been concluded; and

(b) if so, the result thereof?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): (a) and (b). The inquiry being made into certain allegations concerning Smt. Tarkeshwari Sinha has not yet been completed.

**Bihar-U.P. Boundary Dispute**

- \*367. { Shri Yashpal Singh:  
Shri Vishram Prasad:  
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:  
Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhanti:  
Shri Shree Narayan Das:

Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:  
Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:  
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:  
Shri Sarjoo Pandey:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state the up-to-date progress made in solving Bihar-U.P. boundary dispute which has been entrusted to Shri C. M. Trivedi for arbitration?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): Shri Trivedi has submitted his report to Government on August 28, 1964. This report is now under examination.

**Setting up of New Universities**

- \*368. { Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:  
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:  
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:  
Shri B. N. Kureel:  
Shri M. Rampure:  
Shri E. Madhusudan Rao:  
Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah:  
Shri Jashvant Mehta:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 1150 on the 22nd April, 1964 and state:

(a) whether the final report of the Committee on the establishment of new Universities has since been received by Government; and

(b) if so, the salient features thereof?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

**Central Government Employees' Consumer Cooperative Stores**

- \*369. { Shri P. C. Borooah:  
Shri P. R. Chakraverti:  
Shrimati Ramdulari Sinha:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is so much rush at the Central Gov-

ernment Employees' Consumer Cooperative Stores that Government employees have to wait for many long hours before they can obtain rice or sugar from there;

(b) if so, whether the procedure for the distribution of essential commodities to the customers in the Stores is proposed to be streamlined; and

(c) whether Government proposed to open more stores in Government colonies and elsewhere, and augment the staff to cope with the rush?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Misra):** (a) and (b). On some occasions there has been rush at the Central Government Employees' Consumer Cooperative Stores for certain items such as sugar and rice of a particular quality, which are in short supply. Only fixed quotas of these commodities are allotted to the Society and these are not adequate to meet the full requirements of the members. The management is, however, making all possible efforts to ensure that customers get their supplies as quickly as possible.

(c) The Society commenced business in July, 1963, with one store. At present there are 17 Stores covering most of the Government colonies in Delhi. The need for opening more Stores is constantly under review in the light of availability of funds and supplies.

#### **Indian School of International Studies**

**\*370. Shri Solanki:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a report published in "The March of the Nation", a Weekly, dated the 29th August, 1964 page 14, regarding the working of the Indian School of International Studies, New Delhi;

(b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto;

(c) the amount sanctioned by Government for the Himalayan Project of the Central Asian Studies Department of the above School; and

(d) whether any inquiry is being conducted into the working of the School?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The matter is being looked into.

(c) The University Grants Commission have sanctioned two posts of research Assistant and a post of Stenotypist for the Project for a period of 3 years at an estimated expenditure of Rs. 32,000.

(d) No, Sir.

#### **Serajuddin and Co. Affairs**

**\*371. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 184 on the 19th February, 1964 and state:

(a) whether investigations in regard to all matters connected with Serajuddin and Co. affairs have been completed;

(b) if so, the outcome of the investigations; and

(c) the action taken against all concerned after full enquiry?

**The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda):** (a) Yes Sir. Investigation of all the 10 cases registered by the Central Bureau of Investigation has been completed.

(b) and (c). Out of ten cases registered by the CBI, two cases have been reported to the Departments concerned for such other action as they might consider appropriate. The evidence collected in these cases was not sufficient either to launch prosecution or for regular departmental proceedings. Prosecutions in four cases involving Government officials and Mohd. Serajuddin and employees, have been launched in courts. In two cases,



regular departmental action is being recommended to be taken against the Government servants. The remaining two cases are pending in legal scrutiny and appropriate action will be recommended in the light of the legal opinion.

#### Murder of Solicitor General

\*372. { Shri Yashpal Singh:  
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:  
Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:  
Shri Hukam Chand  
Kachhavalya:  
Shrimati Savitri Nigam:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether the investigation of the murder of the Solicitor General has been completed; and

(b) if so, the result thereof?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): (a) and (b). The investigation has not been completed yet.

#### Training of Primary Teachers in Orissa

1092. Shri Rama Chandra Mallick: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether any grant or loan has been given by the Centre to the Government of Orissa to improve and expand the training facilities for Primary Teachers during the Third Five Year Plan;

(b) if so, the total amount so far given; and

(c) the expenditure to be incurred by the Government of Orissa in this connection?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The State Government has reported utilisation of a grant of Rs. 30.90 lakhs in the first three years of the Plan.

(c) This scheme qualifies for 100 per cent Central grant according to the agreed pattern of assistance.

#### दक्षिण में हिन्दी विश्वविद्यालय

1093. श्री बीरप्पा : क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार दक्षिण में एक हिन्दी विश्वविद्यालय खोलने के प्रस्ताव पर विचार कर रही है ; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो इस योजना की रूप-रेखा क्या है ?

शिक्षा मंत्री (श्री सु० क० चावला) :

(क) जी नहीं ।

(ख) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

#### National Loan Scholarships Scheme

1094. Shrimati Laxmi Bai: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) the State-wise allocation of grant under the post-matric national loan scholarships scheme during 1963-64; and

(b) the percentage of the number of such scholarships in each State to its population?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) and (b). Two statements are laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-3216/64].

#### Medical Treatment of Displaced Persons

1095. Shri Rama Chandra Mallick: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

(a) whether any amount of grant has been given or is proposed to be given to the Government of Orissa for medical treatment of displaced persons in the State during the current financial year; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

**The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri Tyagi):** (a) and (b). Yes; non-recurring expenditure of Rs. 3,12,000 and recurring expenditure of Rs. 94,200 have been sanctioned for the setting up of hospitals in camps at Sunabeda, Tiruvelli, Padua, Dungri and Ambaguda in Orissa State. In the early stages, vacant tenements may be used for the purpose of hospitals and dispensaries and the construction of separate buildings will be taken up when necessary.

#### **Oil Exploration at Pattukkottai**

**1096. Shri M. G. Thengondar:** Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) the progress so far made in the exploration operations being carried out at Pattukkottai (Thanjavur District) Madras State; and

(b) the result achieved in this regard?

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Mumayun Kabir):** (a) One structural well has been completed and another location is being prepared for structural drilling.

(b) Stratigraphic information was obtained.

#### **Accounts of Delhi Corporation**

- 1097.** { Shri Nambiar:  
Dr. Saradish Roy:  
Shri M. N. Swamy:  
Shri P. Kunhan:  
Shri Imbichibava:  
Shri Bameshwar Tantia:  
Shri Bishanchander Seth:  
Shri Dhaon:  
Shri B. P. Yadava:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the accounts of the terminal tax department of the Delhi Corporation have not been audited for the last six years;

(b) if so, the total amount involved in this; and

(c) the steps Government propose to take in the matter?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** (a) and (c). The terminal tax is collected through the agency of the Commissioner, Delhi Municipal Corporation and he is designated as Terminal Tax Agency for this purpose. The accounts of the Terminal Tax Agency have duly been audited upto the period ending 31st March, 1963 by the Deputy Chief Accountant, Internal Audit Department of the Municipal Corporation of Delhi, under orders of the Commissioner in his capacity as Terminal Tax Agency, issued in exercise of powers under Rule 38 of the Delhi Terminal Tax Rules, 1958. In addition, arrangements also exist for checking every transaction in respect to income by the staff of the Agency itself.

On the advice of the Government, the Agency has also appointed on the 18th October, 1963 the Municipal Chief Auditor as the Auditor of Terminal Tax Receipts. The work could not be taken up by the Municipal Chief Auditor due to lack of staff. It is expected that the work will be taken in hand early when the additional staff required for conducting the audit is sanctioned by the Delhi Municipal Corporation and the necessary appointments made.

(b) Rs. 11,07,13,604 upto the 31st March, 1964.

#### **Oil Concessions from Iran**

- 1098.** { Shri R. G. Dubey:  
Shri Jasvant Mehta:  
Shri Mohammad Elias:  
Shri D. C. Sharma:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether a team led by Shri P. R. Nayak has submitted any report

on the negotiations they had with the Government of Iran regarding oil concessions; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):**

(a) The team had no discussions with the Government of Iran. Discussions have been held with the National Iranian Oil Company, formally in October, 1963 and informally on a number of occasions subsequently. Reports on all such discussions have been submitted to the Government.

(b) The main outcome of these discussions has been the decision to join AGIP, a subsidiary of E.N.I. and Phillips Petroleum Company in their bid for a concession in the off-shore area of Iran.

#### Fertilizer Plants in Rajasthan

1099. { **Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**  
**Shri Bishanchander Seth:**  
**Shri B. P. Yadava:**  
**Shri Dhaon:**

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that an expert team of the Central Government had suggested that three fertilizer plants may be established in Rajasthan;

(b) if so, where and when these plants are likely to be established; and

(c) what will be the total expenditure involved in these plants?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan):** (a) No. But the Fertilizer Corporation of India have made techno-economic studies of the locations, Udaipur, Kotah and Khetri, for the establishment of fertilizer factories. The establishment of a factory at Kotah in the private sector has since been approved.

(b) and (c). The scheme at Kotah is only at the initial stage since the

party's application for change of location from the original licensed site at Hanumangarh has just been allowed. As regards schemes at the other two places, they are still very much in a preliminary stage of consideration.

#### Small Oil Refineries

1100. { **Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:**  
**Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**  
**Shri B. P. Yadava:**  
**Shri Dhaon:**  
**Shri Bishanchander Seth:**  
**Shri M. L. Dwivedi:**  
**Shrimati Savitri Nigam:**  
**Shri S. C. Samanta:**

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are considering a proposal to set up a network of small-sized refineries near consumption centres in preference to very large coastal refineries; and

(b) if so, the main features of this scheme?

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):**

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Nehru Award

1101. { **Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**  
**Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:**  
**Shri Bishanchander Seth:**

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Indian Council for Cultural Relations has instituted a Jawaharlal Nehru Award for international understanding; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) No, Sir. However, the question of instituting such an award is under consideration of the Government of India.

(b) Details have not yet been finalised.

### School for Study of World Affairs

**1102. Shri R. G. Dubey:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a three-storeyed building is under construction near Rabindra Bhawan on Ferozeshah Road, New Delhi, for locating a school for study of world affairs; and

(b) if so, the likely cost of this building?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) The Indian School of International Studies has been allotted a plot of land at Ferozeshah Road near Rabindra Bhawan for construction of its building. The preliminary plans and estimates submitted by the School to the University Grants Commission are under their consideration.

(b) Rs. 22,10,000.

### Nehru Memorial in Delhi

**1103. Shri S. M. Banerjee:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is proposed to build a Nehru Memorial in Delhi;

(b) if so, the amount sanctioned for it; and

(c) the broad outline of the scheme?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) It is proposed to convert the Teen Murti House into a Nehru Memorial.

(b) and (c). Details are being worked out.

### Petroleum Technology

**1104.** { **Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**  
**Shri Dhaon:**  
**Shri Bishanchander Seth:**  
**Shri B. P. Yadava:**

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2184 on the 15th April 1964, and state:

(a) whether the recommendations of

the Expert Committee regarding the problems of expansion of training facilities in petroleum technology have since been considered; and

(b) if so, the reaction of Government thereupon?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) All the recommendations of the Committee have been accepted.

### Pakistani Infiltration

**1105.** { **Shri Yashpal Singh:**  
**Shri Vishram Prasad:**  
**Shri Bade:**  
**Shri D. C. Sharma:**

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the number of tribunals so far appointed to screen the Pakistani infiltrators in Assam;

(b) the number of persons so far screened; and

(c) when the work will be completed?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathl):** (a) Four.

(b) 25,845 till July, 1964.

(c) The Tribunals will have to continue to function as long as there are Pakistani infiltrants.

### Fire in Delhi

**1106.** { **Shri Surendra Pal Singh:**  
**Shri Rameshwar Tantia:**  
**Shri Bishanchander Seth:**

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that on the 10th June, 1964 a major fire broke

out in the Gandhi Market locality of Sadar Bazar, Delhi, which destroyed nearly hundred shops and goods worth lakhs; and

(b) if so, what was the cause of the fire and how long it took to overpower it?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** (a) Yes, Sir. The fire burnt 71 shops completely and 10 shops partially. The damage is estimated to be about Rs. 20 lakhs.

(b) The cause of fire could not be ascertained with certainty. It took the firemen about two hours to bring the fire under control.

#### Goondas in Delhi

**1107. Shri Surendra Pal Singh:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Delhi Police has submitted a draft proposal to the Delhi Administration asking for special powers for the police to extern goondas and hardened criminals operating in the Union Territory of Delhi; and

(b) if so, Government's reaction to the proposal?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The proposal is under consideration by the Delhi Administration.

#### Secondary Education

**1108. Shri Surendra Pal Singh:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a Working Group, set up by the Planning Commission has recommended that in future the Secondary Education should become the sole responsibility of the State Government; and

(b) if so, the reactions of the Central Government to this proposal?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Scholarships to First Class M.Sc.

**1109. Shri Vishram Prasad:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to award scholarships ranging from Rs. 300 to Rs. 400 per mensem to all First Class M.Sc.s; and

(b) what will be the annual expenditure on such a scheme?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Training in Management

**1110. Shri P. C. Borooah:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received suggestion for creation of special departments in all universities for imparting training in Management;

(b) if so, Government's decision in this respect; and

(c) in which of the universities in India such departments already exist; the number of seats sanctioned for each; and whether Government propose to expand these existing departments?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Facilities for Management studies are at present available at the

following Universities/Institutions in India:

1. Delhi School of Economics, Delhi University, Delhi . 60 Seats
2. Indian Institute of Social Welfare & Business Management, Calcutta . 60 „
3. Jammalal Bajaj Institute of Management Studies, Bombay University, Bombay . 60 „
4. Osmania University, Hyderabad . 30 „
5. Madras University, Madras . 60 „
6. Thiagarajar Institute of Management Studies, Madurai . 30 „
7. Victoria Jubilee Technical Institute, Bombay . 80 „
8. Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur . 12 „
9. Indian Institute of Management, Calcutta . 80 „
10. Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad . 60 „

The question of expanding these facilities is under the consideration of the All India Council for Technical Education.

#### Hindi Poet Mukhibodh

1111. **Maharajkumar Vijaya Ananda:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the eminent Hindi poet Mukhibodh was seriously ill in Bhopal very recently;

(b) whether it is a fact that no proper medical facilities were given either by the State or the Central Government; and

(c) the nature of assistance rendered by the Government of India?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Arrangements were made for shifting the late Shri Mukhibodh to Delhi and he was admitted for treatment at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences.

(c) A lump sum grant of Rs. 500 was sanctioned from Prime Minister's Fund and a sum of Rs. 100 per month was granted from 1-6-1964 under the

Scheme "Financial Assistance to Distinguished Artists, etc., in Indigent Circumstances".

#### Vishwayatan Yogashram

1112. **Shri Hem Raj:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2387 on the 22nd April, 1964 and state:

(a) whether accounts of aid or grant given to the Vishwayatan Yogashram during the last six years have been audited and submitted to Government;

(b) the contribution made by it for the Yogic research or for the therapeutic uses of its exercises; and

(c) whether investigation into the complaints against the organisation have been completed and if so, with what result?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darsan):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Vishwayatan Yoga ashram is primarily engaged in imparting training in Yogic exercises, although it has also organised a few diabetes camps for giving Yogic therapeutic treatment to the patients. No research on Yoga seems to have been undertaken by the Ashram.

(c) The investigations have not yet been completed.

#### Private Technical Institutes

1113. { **Shri P. R. Chakravarti:**  
**Shri K. N. Tiwary:**

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have suggested to the State Governments that no new technical institute should be set up by private agencies without prior consultation with the All India Council for Technical Education;

(b) whether the University Grants Commission has endorsed the proposal; and

(c) the measures adopted to deal with institutes already functioning?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.

(c) In order to ensure that these institutes maintain correct standards a set of academic, administrative, and financial principles have been suggested to the State Governments and Universities concerned. A special inspection Committee has visited the institutes and its report is under consideration.

#### Cochin Oil Refinery

1114. { **Shri R. S. Pandey:**  
**Shri P. C. Borooah:**  
**Shri P. R. Chakraverti:**

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state the progress made in respect of the establishment of the Cochin Oil Refinery?

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):** Progress made so far in establishing Cochin Refinery is as follows:

- (i) About 600 acres of land have been acquired and handed over to the Cochin Refineries Ltd.
- (ii) Preparation of site for construction purposes is in progress and is likely to be completed by October 1964.
- (iii) Land for laying a 2-mile access road from the refinery site to Chitrapuzha bridge has been acquired; road construction will be taken in hand shortly. This would be made use of in transporting equipment from Cochin Harbour.
- (iv) Import licences for the import of 90 per cent of construction equipment/tools and 75 per cent of refinery equipment/material have been issued to the company. Some imported equipment/material has already been received at the site. Arrangements of the import of balance equipment/materials have been taken in hand.

#### Central Reserve Police

1115. **Shri R. S. Pandey:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have decided to increase the strength of Central Reserve Police by 50 per cent;

(b) if so, whether the strength of the I.P.S. officers will also be increased proportionately; and

(c) whether Government have surplus I.P.S. officers or are going to recruit them?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** (a) The Government have decided to increase the strength of the Central Reserve Police by three battalions.

(b) No, sir.

(c) There is no surplus of I.P.S. officers and the present method of recruitment of I.P.S. officers will continue.

#### एक-वर्षीय उच्च माध्यमिक पाठ्यक्रम

1116. **श्री श्रीकार लाल बेरबा :** क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या एक-वर्षीय उच्च माध्यमिक पाठ्यक्रम जारी रखने का निश्चय किया है ;

(ख) यदि हां तो योजना की रूप-रेखा क्या है ; और

(ग) इस योजना से विद्यार्थियों को क्या लाभ होगा ?

**शिक्षा मंत्री (श्री मु. क. जागला) :**

(क) जी हां, फिलहाल ।

(ख) इस पाठ्यक्रम को लेने वाले विद्यार्थियों को दो अनिवार्य विषय अर्थात् अंग्रेजी और एक प्राधुनिक भारतीय भाषा तथा केन्द्रीय माध्यमिक शिक्षा बोर्ड द्वारा निर्धारित वैकल्पिक विषयों में से कोई तीन वि

(ग) एक-वर्षीय पाठ्यक्रम से, हाई स्कूल तथा उसके समकक्ष परीक्षा को पास करने वाले विद्यार्थी अधिक ज्ञान पा सकेंगे। इस पाठ्यक्रम से ऐसे विद्यार्थियों को उन पाठ्यक्रमों में प्रवेश पाने के लिये भी अपेक्षित योग्यता हासिल करने में सुविधा होगी जिन के लिए न्यूनतम योग्यता उच्च माध्यमिक परीक्षा प्रमाण-पत्र है।

#### ग्रीष्मकालीन इंजीनियरिंग प्रशिक्षण कैम्प

1117. श्री श्रीकार लाल बेरवा : क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि कलकत्ता में इस वर्ष ग्रीष्मकालीन इंजीनियर प्रशिक्षण कैम्प लगाया जा रहा है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इसमें कितने इंजीनियर भाग ले रहे हैं ;

(ग) क्या यह कैम्प किन्हीं विदेशियों के सहयोग से लगाया जा रहा है ; और

(घ) यदि हां, तो किस देश की सहायता से लगाया जा रहा है और कितने इंजीनियर विदेशों से आये ?

शिक्षा मंत्री ( श्री मु० क० चागला ) :

(क) और (ख) मई-जुलाई, 1964 के दौरान, कलकत्ता में इंजीनियरी शिक्षकों के वास्ते दो समर स्कूल (एक जादवपुर में और दूसरा सिवपुर में) संगठित किये गए थे। 128 शिक्षक सम्मिलित हुए।

(ग) और (घ). अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय विकास के लिए संयुक्त राज्य अमरीका की एजेंसी के सहयोग से स्कूल संगठित किए गए थे। एजेंसी ने सात अमरीकी विशेषज्ञों की सेवाएं प्रदान कीं थीं। इन विशेषज्ञों में से पांच निश्चित रूप से समर स्कूलों के वास्ते अमरीका से आए और दो विशेषज्ञ दूसरे कामों पर पहले से ही भारत में थे।

#### बम्बई और पिलानी में नये विश्वविद्यालय

1118. { श्री श्रीकार लाल बेरवा :  
श्री रामचर टांडिया :  
श्री हिम्मतसिंहका :  
श्री भी० प्र० यादव :  
श्री धनम :  
श्री बिलनचन्द्र सेठ :

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि टाटा इंस्टीट्यूट आफ सोशल साइन्सेज, बम्बई और बिड़ला इंस्टीट्यूट आफ टेक्नालाजी एंड साइन्सेज, पिलानी को विश्वविद्यालय घोषित कर दिया गया है; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो ऐंगी घोषणा किन शर्तों पर, यदि कोई हों, की गई है ?

शिक्षा मंत्री ( श्री मु० क० चागला ) :

(क) विश्वविद्यालय अनुदान आयोग अधिनियम 1956 के अन्तर्गत इन संस्थाओं को 'विश्वविद्यालय समझा जाए' घोषित किया गया है।

(ख) घोषणा की निम्नलिखित दो शर्तें हैं:—

(i) कि प्रारम्भ में यह तीन वर्ष तक वैध होगी और उपर्युक्त अवधि समाप्त होने तक उनकी प्रगति पर पुनर्विचार किया जाएगा; और

(ii) अपने संविधानों में वे ऐसे संशोधनों का समावेश करेंगे जो सरकार द्वारा आवश्यक समझे जाएं।

#### Viswa Bharati University

1119. Shrimati Renu Chakraverty: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the per capita expenditure on students at



Visva Bharati University is the highest of all the Central Universities;

(b) In how many faculties there are less than twenty students and the names thereof;

(c) how many students are given freeships for studying at this University; and

(d) whether any special care is being taken to preserve the atmosphere of closeness with nature and simple living which was the real distinctiveness of Tagore's teaching methods?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) In the Visva-Bharati, the teaching departments are not grouped into faculties. The names of the subjects for graduate and post-graduate courses, in which there are less than twenty students, are given below:

1. Sanskrit
2. Hindi
3. Oriya
4. Chinese (Honours course opened in 1963)
5. Indology (Ancient Indian History and Culture)
6. Physics (opened in 1963)
7. Indo-Tibetan Studies
8. Arabic, Persian and Islamic Studies
9. Botany
10. Zoology

The classes for Certificate/Diploma courses in the following languages also consist of less than twenty students:

Hindi, Tibetan, Chinese, Japanese, French and German.

(c) 289 Free-Studentships and 28 Half-Free-Studentship.

(d) Yes. Out-door classes are held for all age-groups of students except in some science subjects, and the students are trained for simple living.

1184 (Ai) L.S.D.—3.

### Synthetic Rubber Plant

1120, Shri A. V. Raghavan: Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to set up a synthetic rubber plant at Koyali;

(b) if so, whether any assessment has been made about the possible effect of this on the prices of natural rubber;

(c) whether his Ministry has consulted the Rubber Board in this matter; and

(d) whether consumers of synthetic rubber have been permitted concessions in the matter of importing natural rubber?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan):** (a) Yes.

(b) A preliminary assessment of the economics of production of synthetic rubbers has been made and is being further analysed in detail. Final decision will only be taken when the details of the complex have been clearly established which will permit accurate calculations of manufacturing costs etc., of the synthetic rubbers proposed. The impact of the economics of manufacture of synthetic rubber on the price of rubber can only be gauged accurately thereafter.

(c) Plans to manufacture synthetic rubber in Koyali were framed in the context of an assessment of total rubber requirements, prepared last year, likely domestic production of natural rubber in accordance with the estimates of the Rubber Board, local availability of reclaimed rubber and domestic production of SBR type Synthetic Rubber. This position was made clear to the Chairman, Rubber Board in discussions and correspondence with him a few months back.

(d) No concessions as such have been given. During April-September, 1964 period, import of natural rubber has been linked with the off-take of Synthetic Rubber in the ratio of 1:1.

**Text Books for Delhi Schools**

1121. **Shri D. D. Puri:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that different text books on the same subject are prescribed for various schools under the Delhi Administration; and

(b) if so, whether Government are considering introduction of uniform text books on different subjects in all the schools?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) Yes, Sir, in so far as primary and middle classes are concerned, but not in the case of classes IX—XI.

(b) Yes, Sir.

**Educational Grants to Private Institutes**

1122. **Dr. P. S. Deshmukh:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) the main feature of the policy of giving educational grants to private institutes in various States; and

(b) the names of the State Governments which give grants on the scale on which they are given by the University Grants Commission?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) The problem of grant-in-aid is being comprehensively examined at present in the Ministry of Education. The results of the study will soon be published and made available to all concerned with or interested in the problem.

(b) The University Grants Commission sanctions grants to institutions for development schemes on the clear understanding that the balance will be met by them either from their own sources or from the State Government concerned. The specific information as to the names of the State Governments which give grants on the scale on which they are given by the University Grants Commission is not available.

**Disappearance of a Transit Passenger at Dum Dum Airport**

1123. { **Shri Indrajit Gupta:**  
**Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:**  
**Shri Gokulananda Mohanty:**

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether a Pan-American Airways transit passenger, Mohd. Baig, disappeared from Dum Dum Airport on the 7th July, 1964 without valid travel documents;

(b) whether any inquiry has been held by the airport authorities into this breach of security arrangements; and

(c) if so, the findings thereof?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathl):**

(a) It is a fact that Mohd. Baig escaped (and not disappeared) from Dum Dum Airport on 7th July, 1964.

(b) and (c). As the person was immediately apprehended, prosecuted and convicted, the question of an enquiry into breach of security would not arise.

**Communal Disturbances**

1124. **Shri Indrajit Gupta:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the number of persons detained under the Defence of India Rules, State-wise, in West Bengal, Bihar and Orissa for complicity in the communal disturbances during the period from January to March, 1964;

(b) the number released subsequently;

(c) the number still in detention; and

(d) what preventive action, if any, is contemplated against the danger of future disturbances?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):**

(a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the house. [Placed in library. See No. LT-3217/64]

(d) Attention of the House is invited to the comprehensive statement laid on the Table of the House on 27-5-1964 in connection with Starred Question No. 1.

**Institute for Printing Technology at Kalyani**

1125. { Shri Indrajit Gupta:  
Shri Mohammad Elias:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it has been decided to locate a Central Institute of Printing Technology at Kalyani, West Bengal;

(b) if so, the facilities and courses which will be provided by the Institute;

(c) when the construction work is likely to start; and

(d) the total expenditure involved in this project?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) A special Committee appointed for the purpose has recommended that the Central Institute of Printing be located at Kalyani. The recommendation of the Committee is under consideration.

(b) The Institute will conduct a full-fledged course of degree standard in Printing Technology as well as special courses for printing industry. Research in printing will also be conducted at the Institute.

(c) Construction will be undertaken as soon as the scheme is sanctioned.

(d) The capital expenditure on the project is estimated at Rs. 142.00 lakhs and the recurring expenditure Rs. 9.20 lakhs per year.

**Hindustan Insecticides Ltd., Delhi**

1126. { Shri Bibhuti Mishra:  
Shri K. N. Tiwary:  
Shrimati Renuka Barkataki:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have looked into the complaints made by ten M.P.s. regarding serious irregularities in the working of Hindustan Insecticides Limited, Delhi; and

(b) if so, what are the findings of the Government?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan):** (a) and (b). The report submitted by the group of Members of Parliament is under examination.

**Indian Contingent to Tokyo Olympics**

1127. { Shri P. K. Deo:  
Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:  
Shri A. S. Saigal:  
Shri Sham Lal Saraf:  
Shri E. Madhusudan Rao:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have selected the Indian Contingent of sportsmen who are to represent India in the coming Olympic meet in Tokyo;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the amount of foreign exchange involved in such a visit; and

(d) when the contingent will go to Japan?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan):** (a) to (c). Selection of the Indian Contingent for participation in the Olympic Games is made by the Indian Olympic Association. The Association has applied to Government for financial assistance and

for the necessary foreign exchange. The All India Council of Sports has examined the request made by the Indian Olympic Association in this connection and has recommended a Contingent of 56 athletes/players and 18 officials etc. The break-up is as under:—

Game	Number of athletes	Number of officials	Total
Hockey	18	2	20
Athletics	10	2	12
Wrestling	8	2	10
Weightlifting	3	1	4
Boxing	1	1	2
Shooting	3	1	4
Cycling	5	1	6
Gymnastics	6	1	7
Diving	2	1	3
	56	12	68

Chef-de-Mission,  
Secretary-cum-Treasurer,  
Public Relations Officer,  
Gun Mechanic  
Cooks 2.

The above proposal involves foreign exchange amounting to Rs. 1,00,400.

(d) The likely date is 30th September, 1964.

#### Political Sufferers of U.P.

1128. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state the total amount distributed to the political sufferers of Uttar Pradesh from the 1st January to 31st July, 1964?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra): Rs. 6,150'00.

#### Archaeological find at Panhan Village

1129. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some

rare articles of historical value have been found in Panhan village in District Unnao (Uttar Pradesh) indicating Mauryan Period and Gupta Period; and

(b) if so, what is the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Archaeological Excavations at Ram Nagar

1130. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have started an extensive excavation at Mahabharata site at Ram Nagar, about 40 miles from Agra; and

(b) if so, what old things have been found which indicate the Mahabharata era?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No, Sir. Excavation was, however, undertaken in a limited area of Ahichchatra near Ram Nagar, about 40 miles from Bareilly.

(b) Materials found at different levels are under study and no definite inference can be made about the date at this stage.

#### Standard Committee on Education

1131. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Standard Committee on Education appointed by the University Grants Commission has submitted its report;

(b) if so, what are the recommendations; and

(c) if not, when the report is likely to be submitted?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) By the end of October, 1964.

### Higher Secondary Schools

**1132. Shri A. N. Vidyalkar:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) the number of High Schools upgraded to the Higher Secondary standard State-wise, since the scheme was introduced;

(b) the State-wise figures of High Schools, awaiting upgrading; and

(c) whether Government are considering any proposal for correct appraisal of the Higher Secondary Scheme and the causes of its slow progress?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) and (b). Up-to-date information is being collected from the State Governments and will be laid on the Table of the House.

(c) No formal appraisal is considered necessary because the difficulties in the way are known.

### All India Education Conference

**1133. { Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:  
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:**

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 526 on the 11th March, 1964 and state:

(a) whether Government have since received the proceedings of the 38th All India Education Conference;

(b) whether it has made any suggestion that the Panchayats should not be entrusted with the responsibility of primary education; and

(c) if so, the details thereof and the decision of Government thereon?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). The resolution on this point is to the effect that the Panchayat Samitees should not be made responsible for primary education until their efficiency is proved.

Government in the Education Ministry has not taken any decision about this resolution.

### Extension of Central Cooperative Stores Scheme

**1134. { Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:  
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:  
Shri Shiv Charan Gupta:**

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 432 on the 4th March, 1964 and state:

(a) whether any final decision has been taken on the question of bringing employees of Delhi Administration, Municipal Corporation and New Delhi Municipal Committee under the Central Government Employees Consumer Cooperative Stores Scheme in Delhi and New Delhi; and

(b) If so, the details thereof?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Misra):** (a) and (b). The question has been taken up with the Registrar of Cooperative Societies whose reply is still awaited.

### Teachers' Training

**1135. Shri Daljit Singh:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount given to the State Governments so far during the Third Five Year Plan period under the Centrally sponsored Schemes of expansion of teachers training facilities (State-wise); and

(b) whether the same facilities are extended to the teachers of private schools too?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) All schemes of teachers training except that of Hindi teachers are implemented by the States as 'Centrally Aided' and not

'Centrally Sponsored' Schemes. A statement showing the grants paid during 1961-64 to the State Governments of non-Hindi speaking States for the Scheme of Hindi Teachers' Training is laid on the Table of the House.

## STATEMENT

S. No.	State	Amount sanctioned during 1961-64
(Rs. in lakhs)		
1.	Andhra Pradesh	0.84
2.	Gujarat	1.49
3.	Kerala	4.11
4.	Madras	1.50
5.	Maharashtra	0.39
6.	Mysore	2.81

(b) The teachers of private schools located in the non-Hindi speaking States are also admitted for training in the courses started by the State Governments under this scheme.

## Post-Matric Scholarships to Punjab Backward Classes

1136. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether any grant has been given to the Punjab Government for 1964-65 for Post-Matric Scholarships to Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe and other Backward Class students in Punjab;

(b) if so, the amount thereof; and

(c) whether the same has been fully distributed among the students?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Rs. 15,36,500.

(c) The scholarship is paid by the State Government throughout the

year and as such, whether the amount is fully disbursed will be known only after the financial year 1964-65 is over.

## Multi-purpose schools in Punjab

1137. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state the number of Multi-purpose Schools opened so far since the scheme was started in Punjab?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): Eighty-five.

## हरिजन कल्याण कार्यालय, दिल्ली

1138. श्री नवल प्रभाकर : क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि दिल्ली के हरिजन कल्याण कार्यालय में हरिजन कल्याण अधिकारी न होने के कारण हरिजन कल्याण कार्य नहीं हो रहा है ; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो इस सम्बन्ध में दिल्ली प्रशासन क्या कदम उठा रहा है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में उपबन्धी (श्री ल० ना० मिश्र) : (क) और (ख). दिल्ली प्रशासन के अधीन हरिजन कल्याण अधिकारी का कोई पद नहीं है। सहायक विकास आयुक्त (योजना) की देख रेख में हरिजन कल्याण बोर्ड के सचिव द्वारा हरिजन कल्याण कार्य के काम की देखभाल की जाती है। परन्तु हरिजन कल्याण बोर्ड के सचिव का पद 15 जून, 1964 से खाली हो गया है। तब से सहायक विकास आयुक्त स्वयं उस काम की देखभाल कर रहे हैं। दिल्ली प्रशासन ने एक अन्य अधिकारी को हरिजन कल्याण बोर्ड के सचिव के पद के लिये छांट लिया है उनके अधीन ही कार्यभार संभालने की आशा है।

**Seniority List of Mysore Officers**

1139. **Shri S. B. Patil:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether equation of posts and preparation of seniority lists have been finalised in the case of gazetted or non-gazetted officers of the Mysore State; and

(b) if not, the reason for the inordinate delay?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Nathi):**

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Action taken upto 1960 in regard to gazetted officers was nullified by a judgment of the Mysore High Court. In the case of non-gazetted employees, provisional gradation lists which had been published by Heads of Departments had, in order to meet legal requirements, to be re-published, after necessary changes, by the State Government.

**Ammonium Sulphate**

1140. **Shri Rama Chandra Mallick:** Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Central Government propose to import ammonia for the manufacture of ammonium sulphate in India in larger quantities; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan):** (a) and (b). Certain tentative proposals made by some parties for the possible import of ammonia in order to manufacture fertilizers in India are under preliminary examination. It is therefore not possible at this stage to give any details.

**High Court for Delhi**

1141. { **Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:**  
**Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:**

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given

to Unstarred Question No. 103 on the 12th February, 1964 and state:

(a) whether the suggestion of Delhi Administration for the constitution of a separate High Court for Delhi has since been considered by Government; and

(b) if so, the result thereof?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Nathi):**

(a) and (b). The matter is still under consideration.

**बिहार को शिक्षा अनुदान**

1142. { **श्री योगेन्द्र झा :**  
**श्रीमती रामदुलारी सिन्हा :**

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि बिहार सरकार ने केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह सूचित किया है कि धन के अभाव के कारण वह योजना में निर्धारित लक्ष्यों के अनुसार शिक्षा प्रसार तथा शिक्षकों की सुविधाओं को बढ़ाने में असमर्थ है ;

(ख) क्या बिहार सरकार ने योजना में निर्धारित लक्ष्यों की पूर्ति के लिये अतिरिक्त सहायता की भी मांग की है ; और

(ग) यदि हाँ, तो इस बारे में केन्द्रीय सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है ?

**शिक्षा मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (श्री अरुण वर्मा) :** (क) और (ख) जी हाँ

(ग) केन्द्रीय सरकार देश के समस्त भागों और विशेषकर शिक्षा में पिछड़े हुए भागों में शिक्षा प्रसार के लिए उत्सुक है। आदर्श (पैटर्न) योजनाओं को सामान्य सहायता देने के अतिरिक्त, बिहार राज्य सरकार को प्राथमिक शिक्षा के प्रसार के लिए 1963-64 के दौरान में 20 लाख रुपये की एक तदर्थ सहायता भी दी गई है।

**Prices of Soda Ash**

1143. **Shri S. N. Chaturvedi:** Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether there has been a rise in prices of soda ash since the lifting of control on it;

(b) if so, what was the controlled price and what is the current market price; and

(c) whether Government have received complaints from glass industry about its non-availability because of restrictive practices by the manufacturers and traders?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemical (Shri Alagesan):** (a) yes.

(b) The ceiling prices ex-works on the eve of decontrol (16th December 1963) were Rs. 390 per tonne for light soda ash and Rs. 415 per tonne for heavy soda ash, which have now risen on an average to Rs. 415 for light soda ash and Rs. 435 for heavy. Imported light soda ash is sold at Rs. 495 per tonne ex-godown Calcutta and heavy soda ash at Rs. 520 and Rs. 500 at Calcutta and Bombay respectively.

The current market prices are reported to vary between Rs. 780 to Rs. 900 per tonne.

(c) There have been some representations about short supplies and efforts are being made to meet the requirements of the glass industry from the imported quota. Incidentally, all imports of heavy soda ash are released exclusively to sheet glass manufacturers.

**Fire in I.O.C. Office, New Delhi**

1144. { **Shri P. C. Borooah:**  
**Shri P. R. Chakravarti:**  
**Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:**  
**Shri Krishnapal Singh:**  
**Shri E. Madhusudan Rao:**  
**Shri Hukam Chand**  
**Kachhavalaya:**  
**Shri Omkar Lal Berwa:**  
**Shri Ravindra Varma:**  
**Shri Morarka:**

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that most of the records of the central office of the Indian Oil Company, in New Delhi, were destroyed by a fire in the office building in Parliament Street on 17th August, 1964;

(b) if so, the cause of the fire; and

(c) the extent of damage suffered in the fire incident?

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):**

(a) to (c). The fire which broke out on 17th August, 1964 in the Northern Branch Office of the Indian Oil Company Limited (now called the Indian Oil Corporation Limited—Marketing Division) resulted in the loss of the records of the Corporation to the following extent:—

Sales Department . . . . .	50%
Operations & Engineering Departments . . . . .	25%
Administration Department . . . . .	10%

Records of the Accounts Department are safe.

According to investigations, it appears that the fire was caused by an electrical short circuit.

The approximate loss on account of office equipment, furniture, and air-conditioners etc. is estimated at Rs. 2,22,092.

**Films for Science Teaching**

1145. **Shri P. C. Borooah:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to introduce 8 mm. films and low cost projectors to teach science in schools;

(b) if so, a brief outline of the scheme; and

(c) the action taken in the matter?



**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) No such decision has been taken.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

#### Grant to Delhi Hockey Association

1146. { Shri P. R. Chakraverti:  
Shri P. C. Borooah:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government gave grant of Rs. 10,000 to the Delhi Hockey Association for holding the National Hockey Championship 1964; and

(b) if so, whether Government have secured audited accounts of the championship matches detailing the way how the grant was utilised?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan):** (a) A grant of Rs. 5,000 was paid to the Delhi Hockey Association by the Delhi Administration for holding the National Championship, 1964.

(b) The audited accounts of the grant have not so far been received. However, the Delhi Administration is taking necessary steps to secure these accounts as early as possible.

#### Suratkal Engineering College, South Kanara

1147. **Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Suratkal Engineering College, South Kanara, Mysore State, is a Central Government Institution or is otherwise aided by Government;

(b) whether any well-defined criteria have been fixed for admission of students to the said college;

(c) whether reports have been received alleging arbitrariness and favouritism in regard to admissions; and

(d) if so, the action taken or being taken to set matters right?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) The College is a joint enterprise of the Central Government and the State Government.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

#### Proclamation of Emergency

1148. **Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Council of Ministers propose to advise the President to revoke the Proclamation of Emergency;

(b) if so, when the said proclamation will issue; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathl):** (a) to (c). The Proclamation of Emergency was issued because the security of India was threatened by external aggression. This threat still persists. The Government, therefore, do not propose for the present to advise the President to revoke the Proclamation.

#### Import of Cylinders for liquid Petroleum Gas

1149. { **Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:**  
**Shri Baswant:**

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have entered into an agreement with an Italian firm for the import of cylinders for liquid Petroleum Gas; and

(b) if so, the terms of the agreement?

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):**

(a) and (b). The Indian Oil Corporation is negotiating with SNAM Progetti of Italy for the supply of cylinders for liquid petroleum gas.

#### Degrees of Indian Universities

1150. Shri Ram Harkh Yadav: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Degrees and Diplomas awarded by Indian Institutions and Universities are not uniformly recognised by all the Commonwealth Countries as compared to those of British Universities; and

(b) if so, the steps taken by Government to remove the disparity caused to Indian students in this respect?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The problem of recognition of Indian degrees/diplomas by Commonwealth Countries is complex and difficult, as they are not willing to accord blanket recognition to Indian degrees/diplomas. Aden, Ceylon, Fiji, Hong Kong have recognised the Indian Degrees generally. Mauritius, S. Rhodesia and Tanganyika are adopting the practice followed by the British Universities for purposes of admission. Australia, Ghana, New Zealand consider each case on merit. Kenya and Uganda recognise Indian Degrees taken with English medium if included in the Commonwealth Universities year book. Pakistani nationals holding Indian degrees are not discriminated against holders of equivalent degrees from other countries. The discrimination, is, however, against Indian nationals.

The position in U.K. is as follows:—

**Engineering Degrees.**—No blanket recognition has been granted. But the Indian degrees are generally accepted by British Universities like London, Cambridge, Manchester, etc., for post-graduate courses in engineering. The professional institutions like Institution of Civil Engineers, Insti-

tute of Mechanical Engineering and Institution of Electrical Engineers, etc., do not accept Indian engineering degrees as exempting qualifications for part A and B of their examination.

**Medicine.**—The General Medical Council of U.K. have recognised the M.B.B.S. degree awarded by 21 medical colleges of India as per list laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-3218/64]. The work of recognition of Indian Medical degrees is dealt with by the Indian Medical Council.

**General Degrees.**—No general recognition has been given. For certain specified purposes such as admission to post graduate study, many universities do in fact have a list of degrees which they have recognised in the past; some universities publish these lists in their regulations; others do not publish them, since the inclusion of a particular degree in the list is often determined only by the fact that a student holding such a degree has made application and has been admitted. In other words, these universities do not determine in advance whether a particular degree will be recognised, but deal with individual cases as they present themselves; if on consideration of such a particular case, a new degree was investigated and approved that would constitute a certain precedent for further cases.

Indian Missions abroad have been advised to take up the matter with the local governments.

The matter has further been discussed at the Third Commonwealth Education Conference held in Canada during August-September 1964 but the proceedings are still awaited.

#### Barauni Refinery

1151. Shri D. N. Tiwary: Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that production at Barauni Refineries had been suspended since the middle of August, 1964; and

(b) if so, the reasons for the same?

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):** (a) and (b), Trial production began on 22-7-64 and continued till 12-8-64. During trials, production is not continuous and the operation of the Atmospheric Vacuum Unit was suspended as the Coking Unit, which is intened to process the reduced crude, has not yet been commissioned.

#### Teaching of Punjabi in Delhi

1152. **Shri G. S. Musafir:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 676 on the 21st August, 1963 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that more than twelve parents of the students of Government Boys Higher Secondary School, Basai Darapur, New Delhi-15 filled their applications giving their consent in writing permitting their wards to offer Punjabi as one of the subjects in Class IX before the 14th of May, 1964;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the students concerned had purchased Punjabi books;

(c) whether any arrangement has been made for the teaching of Punjabi in the above school and the subject adjusted in the time-table; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No, Sir, not to the knowledge of the Government.

(c) and (d). Arrangements for teaching of Punjabi in classes VI to VIII already exist. For introducing Punjabi in Class IX, prior permission of the Central Board of Secondary Education is necessary and the school authorities have already applied for it. Arrangements will be made on receipt of the Board's permission.

#### Physical Education Colleges

1153. **Shri E. Madhusudan Rao:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government propose to start some more physical education colleges in the country on the lines of Lakshmibai College of Physical Education; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan):**

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Industrial Management Pool

1154. **Shri Ramanathan Chettiar:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the broad outline of the policy laid down for the allocation of officers of the Industrial Management Pool to various public undertakings under the Central Government;

(b) whether any period of stay for an officer in any one Undertaking has been fixed and if so, what;

(c) the number of such officers working in one Undertaking since their appointment; and

(d) the number of such officers continuously working in various undertakings whose Head Offices are located in the capital and names of those Undertakings?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** (a) The allocation of officers of the Industrial Management Pool, selected by the U.P.S.C., was made by the I.M.P. Advisory Board on the recommendations of the participating ministries, taking into account the requirements of the posts and the qualifications and experience of the officer.

(b) No such period has been fixed.

(c) 92.

(d) 24. Following are the undertakings whose Head Offices are located in Delhi/New Delhi.

- (1) National Industrial Development Corporation Ltd.
- (2) National Small Industries Corporation Ltd.
- (3) Hindustan Insecticides Ltd.
- (4) State Trading Corporation of India Ltd.
- (5) Indian Refineries Ltd.
- (6) Indian Air Lines Corporation.
- (7) Fertilizer Corporation of India.
- (8) Minerals & Metals Trading Corporation.

#### Western Zonal Council Meeting

1155. { Shri Kajrolkar:  
Shri Jashvant Mehta:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state the decisions arrived at in Western Zonal Council meeting held in August, 1964 regarding the merger of Goa with Maharashtra and other important items like food supply?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra): A copy of the proceedings embodying the decisions taken by the Council will be placed in the Parliament Library as soon as they are finalised. The question of merger of Goa with Maharashtra was not discussed at the meeting.

#### Reservation for Scheduled Castes

1156. Shri Kajrolkar: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government of India have issued orders that there will be no reservation in appointments by promotion to Scheduled Castes for all categories of posts on the basis of seniority subject to fitness; and

(b) if so, the reason therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The problem of adequate representation of the backward class of citizens has to be fairly and objectively considered and an attempt made to strike a balance between the claims of backward classes and the claims of other employees and also keeping in view the important consideration of the efficiency of administration.

#### Scientific Collaboration with U.A.R.

1157. { Shri Ravindra Varma:  
Shrimati Renuka Barkataki:  
Shri Surendra Pal Singh:  
Shri R. Barua:  
Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Central Government have entered into a new agreement with the Government of U.A.R. on scientific and technical collaboration between the two countries; and

(b) if so, the main features of the agreement?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A statement is laid on the Tables of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-3219/64].

#### UNESCO'S International Conference on Youth

1158. { Shri Ravindra Varma:  
Shrimati Renuka Barkataki:  
Shri Vasudevan Nair:  
Shri Warior:  
Dr. Ranen Sen:  
Shri S. M. Banerjee:  
Shri Morarka:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government of India or the Indian National Commission for Cooperation with UNESCO was re-

presented at the UNESCO's International Conference on Youth problems that met at Grenoble in August, 1964; and

(b) if not, the reasons for our failure to participate in the Conference?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan):** (a) No, Sir.

(b) The non-officials selected for participation in the Conference could not go at the last moment due to personal reasons and no official was sent in view of the present economy drive.

#### "School of Earthquake Engineering"

1159. **Shri E. Madhusudan Rao:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a research project "School of Earthquake Engineering at the Roorkee University" is being taken up by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research; and

(b) if so, the salient features of the scheme?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) and (b). The School of Earthquake Engineering at the Roorkee University has been set up with assistance from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research. The Vice-Chancellor of the Roorkee University has made a suggestion that the School may be taken over by the Council of Scientific & Industrial Research. The matter has not been examined yet and no decision has been taken.

#### Special Police Establishment

1160. **Shri Yashpal Singh:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the total strength of the Special Police Establishment in Delhi and various States; and

(b) the number of officers working in the regional offices of the S.P.E.?

**The Minister of States in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathl):** (a) The total strength of Central Bureau of Investigation is 1976.

(b) The total strength of the Branch Offices of the Central Bureau of Investigation is 1295.

#### Import of Fertilizers

1161. { **Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:**  
**Shri P. C. Borooah:**

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have a scheme for importing increased quantities of semi-processed fertilizers in place of fully processed fertilisers without increasing foreign exchange expenditure;

(b) if so, from where; and

(c) what are the details of the scheme?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan):** (a) Certain proposals have been received from some parties for the import of liquid ammonia, phosphoric acid etc., for the manufacture of fertilizers in India.

(b) and (c). There are proposals from Iran for liquid ammonia, from an American Company with associates in the Middle East for liquid ammonia and super phosphoric acid and from another American Company for a semi-processed proprietary fertilizer based on rock phosphate and sulphur. These proposals are still under examination as to whether they are in any way advantageous to the country technically and economically.

#### Admission in Delhi Colleges

1162. **Shri D. C. Sharma:** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) the number of students seeking admission in colleges in Delhi in the academic year 1964-65;

(b) the percentage of those given admission or who will be able to get admission; and

(c) the number of new colleges to be opened in Delhi during the current academic year and the number of those to be opened by Government and private organisations separately?

**The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla):** (a) and (b). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha in due course.

(c) Five new colleges—three by the Delhi Administration and two by private Trusts—have been opened to accommodate the unprecedented rush for admissions in the academic year 1964-65.

#### Nitro-Phosphate

1163. { Shri Morarka:  
Shri Ravindra Varma:  
Shrimati Renuka Barkataki:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) the estimated quantity of nitro-phosphate that will be produced in Trombay; and

(b) the price at which it is expected to be sold?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan):** (a) 330,000 tonnes, per annum.

(b) The question of fixing the selling price of nitro-phosphate is under consideration.

#### Foreign Missions

1164. **Shrimati Renuka Barkataki:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of foreign missionaries who have been given permission to reside and work in India since 1947;

(b) the total number of such missionaries now working in India; and

(c) the number of foreign missionaries working in the Hills of Assam, Nagaland and NEFA?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):** (a) and (b). The number of registered foreign missionaries in India as on 15th August, 1947 and 1st January, 1964, was 2271 and 4320 respectively.

(c) The number as on 1-1-1964 in Assam, Nagaland and NEFA was 228, 1 and nil respectively. Information as to how many of them were in the Hills of Assam is not available.

#### Memorandum of Agartala Bar

1165. **Shri Biren Dutta:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether he has received a memorandum from Agartala Bar submitted on the 20th July, 1964 regarding difficulties of the litigant public; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):** (a) No such memorandum appears to have been received.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Police Patrols in Delhi

1166. { Shri D. C. Sharma:  
Shri E. Madhusudan Rao:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have considered the desirability of providing more police patrols at night in New Delhi; and

(b) if so, the main features of the decision taken?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** (a) and (b). Government have decided that patrolling at night by police should be intensified and

closely supervised. The following steps are proposed:

- (i) surprise patrolling in service lanes and servants' quarters;
- (ii) shortening of beats in select areas;
- (iii) expanding patrolling by wireless vans;
- (iv) providing spot lights in patrolling vans; and
- (v) providing powerful torch to each foot patrol constable.

#### Indian Economic Service

1167. **Shri Rameshwar Tantia:** Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large number of posts in various grades of Indian Economic Service are lying unfilled;

(b) if so, the reason therefor;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Service has not come into full operation so far; and

(d) if so, the reasons for such delay?

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** (a) and (b). There are 59 vacancies against the sanctioned strength of 324 posts in the Indian Economic Service. These vacancies are due to the fact that the number of persons recommended by the Union Public Service Commission was less than the number of posts. Moreover 37 vacancies have been reserved for those officers already holding the encadred posts but who were not considered fit for inclusion in the Service at the initial constitution by the Commission. According to Rule 7(3) of the Indian Economic Service Rules, 1961, such persons have to be continued on their posts as at present and will have to be given opportunity of applying and getting selected if they are found suitable for future vacancies.

(c) and (d). The initial constitution of the Service is almost complete. Steps are being taken to fill the vacant posts in various grades of the Service in consultation with the Union Public Service Commission. Preparatory work for open market recruitment to Grade IV of the Service is also in hand.

#### Oil and Natural Gas Commission

1168. **Shri J. B. S. Bist:** Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Oil and Natural Gas Commission appointed some apprentices for the posts of shift mechanics and shift engineers;

(b) if so, whether the terms offered to them at the time of selection are not being given to them after the completion of apprenticeship period; and

(c) if so, the reasons thereof?

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):**

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

12 hrs.

#### RE: MOTIONS OF PRIVILEGE

**Mr. Speaker:** In spite of the advice given to me, I must make it clear that I have received two notices of privilege motions, one by Shri Bagri, and the other by Shri Hem Barua. As they concern me personally. I have thought it fit to put them before the House. Both say that the wild allegations against the hon. Speaker of the House made by a newspaper called *Indian Times* of September 20, are a calculated move to undermine.

**An Hon. Member:** We have not heard of that paper.

**Mr. Speaker:** Shri Bagri had sent me the cutting also of the paper. The allegation is that I have built a house after becoming Deputy-Speaker and then Speaker.

**An Hon. Member:** What is the harm?

**Mr. Speaker:** The other allegations are that I have been writing to some industrialists to give advertisements to one newspaper that I had started, *The Spokesman*. This is the second allegation. Another allegation is that I have amassed wealth, but he does not say anything about my accounts, bank balance or any such thing. Probably he means only the building of a house. Therefore, before I take that up.....

**Shri Nath Pal (Rajapur):** And that you rented the premises of your house. I had the misfortune of going through that.

**Mr. Speaker:** Yes, that I had rented the premises of the official building to that newspaper, and, according to him, I have charged rent from the paper.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad):** To the *Indian Times*?

**Mr. Speaker:** If I have done any of these things, certainly I should not be here in the Chair. I want it to be clarified. Therefore, besides the two Members who have given notices, Shri Hem Barua and Shri Bagri, I would request some other leaders of the Opposition also to join in that group which I will request to see me. I will place all the facts before them, and then.....

**Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad (Bhagalpur):** That is a rag.

**Mr. Speaker:** I have said already...

**Some Hon. Members rose—**

**Shrimati Yashoda Reddy (Kurnool):** This is unnecessary.

**Mr. Speaker:** It must be cleared. I have said that the advice given to me was that I should consult the Prime Minister.

**Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati):** Before you say anything, may I submit that I gave notice of the motion because I do not like this sort of nasty propaganda against the hon. Speaker of this House by a newspaper.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** It is a rag.

**Shri Hem Barua:** It might be a rag. Another thing. This item undermines the prestige of the Speaker of the Lok Sabha, and also undermines the prestige of the House. I have said specifically in my motion that the editor of this newspaper and the writer of this nasty stuff and also the printer of this newspaper must be brought to book and some steps must be taken against them. We cannot tolerate this sort of campaign. I will clarify my position, Sir. I do not want this sort of nasty campaign against the Presiding Officer of this supreme and sovereign forum of the nation. I do not agree with you in one thing only. What is the purpose of calling some representatives or some Members of Parliament to your chamber? Let us proceed with this privilege motion and let us take steps against those people because it is our right to take steps against them.

**Mr. Speaker:** I shall not be able to give any explanation if it is taken up in the House. Therefore, I should apprise certain Members and give them facts and then they can argue or take it up. It is necessary that I should give all those facts.

**Shri Hem Barua:** You need not try to clarify your position to us. The thing is this. This man must be asked to substantiate the charges; if he fails, he must be thrown into prison.



**Shri A. S. Saigal (Janjgir):** Sir, on a point of order. As regards the allegations that have been made or were published in the papers and which were sent to you as a Privilege Motion, it was the duty of Mr. Hem Barua; he should have written a letter to you and met you in the Chamber. I want to meet you in this connection. It is not justifiable to write in this way.... (Interruptions.)

**Shri Hem Barua:** I have already written a letter. He is charging me.....

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, order. I am thankful to the hon. Members. Their objective is not to malign me. Their aim is that action should be taken against the paper or the editor. But we should not prejudge the man. Ours is the sovereign Parliament and a supreme House. We should not be in a hurry and give a judgment before hearing them. Therefore, I would request the hon. Members, as I have said before, to consider this. I would request some hon. Members of the Opposition. They should sit with me. I will place the facts before them and then they can call the editor also and get his story as well. Afterwards they might take up the attitude that they desire. That is my request.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** You are setting up a noble precedent.

**श्री बागड़ी (हिसार) :** अध्यक्ष महोदय, यह जो प्रीविलेज मोशन दिया गया है इस में कोई तथ्यजानने की जरूरत नहीं है बल्कि जरूरत तो इस जनतांत्रिक पद्धति को बचाने की है। यह प्रीविलेज मोशन इस जनतांत्रिक पद्धति को बचाने के लिए दिया गया है जिस से कि जनतांत्रिक पद्धति बाहरी हमलों से बचाई जा सकती है। यह तथ्य जानने की जरूरत नहीं है।

1184 (ai) LSD—4.

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** चूंकि उस सारे एलिगेशन में बतौर एक स्पीकर के मेरे कंडक्ट पर कोई एलिगेशन नहीं है इस वास्ते प्रीविलेज का सवाल इतना नहीं हुआ। There is nothing said against me so far as my conduct as Speaker is concerned. Therefore, it is necessary that it should be.... (Interruptions.) I do not think that we should proceed further.

**Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana):** May I say a word? There is not a Member in this hon. House who has not been pained over what has happened, but the procedure which is being suggested by my two hon. colleagues that the privilege motion should be taken up formally at this stage is not justified. I wish to say that the procedure which you have suggested now seems to be the best in the circumstances and we should follow it.

**श्री क० ना० तिवारी :** (बगहा) : भ्रान ए प्वायंट आफ़ आर्डर, सर। आजकल यह फैशन सा हो गया है कि कोई एक आदमी दस दिन के लिए कोई पेपर निकालता है, वह उस में कुछ छाप देता है और उस में वह किसी मिनिस्टर के खिलाफ़, किसी और व्यक्ति के खिलाफ़ या स्पीकर के खिलाफ़ आरोप लगा देता है। गवर्नमेंट का भी यह ढंग हो गया है कि वह इन बातों का नोटिस ले कर इन्वेस्टीगेशन करने लग जाती है और सन्तानम् कमेटी के मताधिक कार्यवाही करना शुरू कर देती है। मैं आप से यह पूछना चाहता हूँ कि क्या आप के लिए यह अच्छा प्रोसीड्यर होगा, उचित होगा, जायज होगा कि आप इस तरह छपने वाले कागज़ों का नोटिस लें और आपोजीशन को बुला कर उस के बारे में बात करें।

**Mr. Speaker:** I would in my own interest require it. Therefore, I would request the hon. Member to go through it and just sit with me for ten minutes and then we shall

[Mr. Speaker]

see. (Interruption). Order, order. I would request all my hon. friends and sympathisers—I presume all Members here are certainly sympathising with me—just to see it through and the truth be sifted and brought before the House. In my own interest, I want it.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Railways (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): I beg to submit that if we start taking note of such frivolous charges, there would not be any end to it. It would, therefore, be in the best interests of the nation and of this Parliament not to proceed with it any further. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I may say this much. If it had not been sent to me, personally I would not have brought it before the House, and I would have rejected in my chamber and not allowed any Member also to mention it. But it concerns me personally and the dignity of the whole House is involved. If I am not a person fit to hold office, certainly I should not be here. If there is any truth in that, certainly I am not a fit person. Because it concerns me personally, and there is a paper that might go round—it might be read by people—and as a regular motion has also been sent to me, I would request hon. Members to agree with my proposal. (Interruption).

Several Hon. Members rose—

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh.

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh (Parbhani): You have been very pleased to remark that the issue of privilege is not involved because what has been criticised does not concern you or your conduct as Speaker. I think it does, because you have been specifically described as the Deputy-Speaker; it is not a question of mere designation. The allegation is that you have amassed wealth

after becoming the Deputy-Speaker. So, the insinuation is that you have used your office as Deputy-Speaker as a means to procure wealth. So, in my humble view, it still concerns your conduct as Deputy-Speaker because the insinuation is that you used the office of Deputy-Speaker with a view to prevail upon certain things.... (Interruption).

Some Hon. Members rose—

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I do not think it should be discussed any further.

Shri Hem Barua: I agree to the procedure suggested.

Mr. Speaker: Then he need not say anything. So many Members should not stand up together.

Shri Hem Barua: I have to make another submission.

Mr. Speaker: He should sit down first.

Shri Shivajli Rao S. Deshmukh: This is very much a question of privilege; not merely the question of the person of the Speaker or Deputy-Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: I have explained to the Members whether it is a question of privilege.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Sir, what I feel is this. It will be creating a bad precedent if you accept this and ask this to be enquired into by such number of Members as you like. What I want to plead is that this is not a paper or a journal which is regularly published or which has got public support or standing. If you do like this today, tomorrow everybody will print a bulletin and distribute it in all the flats and bungalows and Government offices. This Parliament will be called upon to take note of everything that is printed in every bulletin. Again, these papers have got only one in-

tention. They do not want to condemn the Speaker or the House, but they want publicity and they are small papers. So, if you take this position today, it means that tomorrow everybody will print a bulletin and circulate it. Therefore, by doing this, we will be creating a bad precedent. Therefore, I think hon. Members like Mr. Hem Barua, who are very clever, instead of bringing this in the House, and giving it publicity, should have written to you and should have discussed it with you, rather than bring it up here. This House should not take note of this. This is not a case of privilege and it would be a bad precedent.

Some Hon. Members rose—

**Mr. Speaker:** I do not think we should continue this discussion. Once it has cropped up here in the form of a notice, it does not lie in my mouth to say that it should not have been done. It is not fair that I should say to any Member that he ought not to have done it. Members would appreciate my difficult position in this context. Therefore, whether it ought to have been brought in this manner or not, it is there now. I have not consulted the Prime Minister. I was advised that I should convey it to him, but I was very impatient to bring it before the House and I have done it. Therefore, I have suggested this procedure and I would request hon. Members—as many as those who want; I would not have any objection to that—to come and meet me.

**Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur):** We would like to come and see you. We are all agreeable to the proposition made by you. At the same time, I would request that either the Prime Minister or somebody on behalf of the Home Ministry should be present at that time when we meet you.

**Shri Hem Barua:** On a point of clarification, Sir.

**Mr. Speaker:** He has already spoken three or four times.

**Shri Hem Barua:** There are insinuations against me.

**Mr. Speaker:** Why should he think like that? I have thanked him.

**Shri Hem Barua:** We agree to the procedure laid down by you. At the same time, there is a plethora of newspapers like this that are indulging in slanderous campaign against people. How did this paper get its quota of newsprint, whereas some other newspapers are not getting it? I would request the Home Minister to take stern steps against these newspapers.

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया (फर्रुखाबाद):** अध्यक्ष महोदय, यह बात साफ हो जानी चाहिए कि मंत्रियों का और आप का मामला बिल्कुल अलग है, क्योंकि यहाँ पर मंत्रियों और दूसरे लोगों का नाम आप के साथ साथ ले लिया गया है। आप का स्थान तो कुछ राष्ट्रपति जैसा है। इसलिए जो कुछ तथ्य वगैरह की बातें कही गईं, उन के बारे में जांच करना ठीक न होगा। यह एक रास्ता मान लेना चाहिये कि लोक-सभा के अध्यक्ष के खिलाफ—यहाँ रोज की जो कार्यवाही होती है, उस के सम्बन्ध में जो भी कहा जाये—इस तरह की कोई चीज छपनी नहीं चाहिए, चाहे वह सच हो या झूठ। जो बात राष्ट्रपति के लिए है, वही बात आप के लिए भी होनी चाहिए।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय:** अगर ऐसी बात होती, तो बड़ा अच्छा होता, लेकिन एक बात छप गई, सामने भी आ गई, यहाँ पर उठाई भी गई, तो अब सवाल यह है कि आया इस को छिपाना अच्छा है या इस को साफ करना अच्छा है। इसलिए मैं यह जरूर चाहता हूँ कि यह सब बात सामने आ जानी चाहिए।

**प्रधान मंत्री तथा अणु शक्ति मंत्री (श्री साल बहादुर शास्त्री):** अगर फैसला

[श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री:]

हूँ गया है तब तो मैं कह क्या सकता हूँ। आप ने अपनी राय दे दी है, इसलिए उससे तो शायद हम हट नहीं सकते। लेकिन मैं भी समझता हूँ कि डा० लोहिया साहब ने जो बात कही, मेरे स्थान में बहुत सही कही। स्पीकर की पोजिशन एक बड़ी खास है। अगर हम इस तरह से स्पीकर पर कीचड़ उछालने की कोशिश करें तो उससे इस हाउस के, इस सदन के, जो एक सावरेन बाडी है, और एक तरह से सारे देश के ऊपर गंदगी आती है।

दूसरी बात जो जरूरी देखने की है वह यह है कि हमें देखना चाहिये कि कहने वाला कौन है। केवल सवाल यह नहीं है कि शिकायत आती है। इससे ज्यादा जरूरी यह देखने वाली बात है कि शिकायत करने वाला कौन है। अगर शिकायत करने वाला खुद ही भरोसे का आदमी नहीं है, उस पर विश्वास नहीं कर सकते हैं तो हम क्यों शिकायत को देखें। मैं यह भी कह देना चाहता हूँ . . . .

**श्री मौर्य (अलीगढ़) :** अगर वह पागल आदमी नहीं है, आर्डिनरी प्रूडेंस का भी अगर वह आदमी है तो उसके खिलाफ सख्त एक्शन लिया जाना चाहिये।

**श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री :** मुझे अपनी बात कह लेने दीजिये।

मैं समझता हूँ, स्पीकर महोदय, बड़ी कठिन स्थिति पैदा हो जायेगी अगर रोज कोई न कोई एक पर्चा जो चाहे, निकाल दे। कोई रेग्युलर, ठीक, पक्का, अच्छा अखबार, माना-जाना अखबार अगर है, उस में अगर शिकायत आये तो जरूर उस पर हम ध्यान दें। लेकिन तीन दिन के बाद या कल कोई दूसरा पर्चा निकल आये तो रोज ये प्रिविलेज मोशन होते रहें . . . .

**Shri Hem Barua:** That is why we want deterrent punishment.

**श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री :** पनिशमेंट का तरीका दूसरा है। रेग्युलर उसका कानून है, लाज है, उनके तहत कार्रवाई की जा सकती है। लेकिन इस तरह से हाउस में उसको रोज करना और यों प्रिविलेज मोशन ला कर, मेरी राय में यह बहुत उचित नहीं है। मुझे तो यह उचित नहीं लगता है।

मैं एक और निवेदन करना चाहता हूँ। जरूर आप बरुआ साहब को बुला कर या जो आप से जानना चाहे उसको बुला कर अपनी बात कह दें। आपका इस हाउस में सिर्फ यह स्टेटमेंट कि ये बातें गलत हैं, हमारे लिये आखिरी है। हमें कुछ और नहीं कहना है।

**पुनर्वास मंत्री (श्री त्यागी) :** इस मसले के बारे में मैं एक अर्ज करना चाहता हूँ। जनाब ने यह तो नोट कर लिया होगा कि सभी की फीलिंग्स को इसने इंजर किया है, तमाम हाउस को तकलीफ महसूस हुई है उससे जिस किस्म की बात हुई है। हाउस के एक-एक सदस्य ने इसको महसूस किया है। सभी महसूस करते हैं कि नाजायज चीज हुई है, भद्दी चीज हुई है। कम-अज-कम इसको एकनालेज आप कर लीजिये।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** मैं सारे हाउस का बहुत शुक्रगुजार हूँ। या तो दूसरा तरीका हो सकता था कि मैं दावा करता। लेकिन ऐसे पेपर के बरखिलाफ दावा करके भी मैं क्या करूँ। इसलिए मुझे यही तरीका सूझा कि मैं आपके सामने रखूँ और मैं आपकी इमदाद चाहता हूँ कि आप देख लें, तसल्ली कर लें। उसके बाद जो आपकी राय हो, कम-अज-कम वह हाउस को आप कह दें।

12.23 hrs.

**CALLING ATTENTION TO  
MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC  
IMPORTANCE****DEATH OF 114 CHILDREN IN THE REFUGEE  
CAMPS IN MADHYA PRADESH**

**Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati):** Sir, I call the attention of the Minister of Rehabilitation to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:—

"The death of 114 children in the refugee camps in Madhya Pradesh between May and August, 1964."

**The Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri Tyagi):** Out of 16 Camps for new migrants which have been set up and which are being managed by the Government of Madhya Pradesh, 3 Camps are located in the Betul district. Between the 13th of April and the 7th of June, 1964, 2,509 families consisting of 10,621 persons were accommodated in these camps. The families were sent from Mana Camp where they had lived in tents to the Betul Camps where they were put in into Bashes. Adequate arrangements for medical attention had been made. A sum of Rs. 2,52,720 had been sanctioned to the State Government for the provision of medical facilities including a Base hospital and camp dispensaries.

When the Collector of the district learnt that mortality among children in the camps had been higher than normal in the month of May, he apprised the Madhya Pradesh Director of Health Services of the situation and the Director of Health Services deputed a Specialist in children diseases to visit the Camps. The Specialist who visited the Camps early in June found that the normal vulnerability of children to

disease during the hot weather had been aggravated by the fact that they were already suffering from severe malnutrition and also because of insanitary habits and by disregard of medical advice by their parents. He also discovered that the high mortality was also due to the fact that the children in many cases had first been taken to quacks practising medicine in the Camps and were very frequently brought to the hospital when it was too late to save them. Sometimes patients were not brought to the hospital at all. For example, of 60 deaths in one Camp only 17 occurred in the hospital. It is possible that in a large number of cases the parents did not realise the serious condition of their children and medical advice was sought too late to save their lives. During the four months from May to August 1964, 114 children died in these camps. The main causes of deaths were diarrhoea with dehydration, hyperpyrexia and broncho pneumonia. As a result of the immediate steps taken by the Director of Health Services, Madhya Pradesh, the death rate which had been rather high in May and June was brought back to normal for the population in subsequent months. Among the steps taken were a strict insistence on sanitation, intensive propaganda to encourage people to bring their children to the Camp hospital, more rigorous action against the breeding of flies and special attention paid to sickly and weak children. These measures appear to have been effective. May I say that it breaks my heart to picture displaced mothers wailing over the dead bodies of their babies in their laps? My heart goes out to them in grief and sympathy.

**Shri Hem Barua:** May I know if the attention of the Government is drawn to a statement made by the State Agriculture Minister on the floor of the State Assembly to the effect that the deaths of 114 children were caused by insanitary conditions to which they were subjected

[Shri Hem Barua]

in transit as also before? If so, may I know the reaction of the Government to these allegations made by the State Minister on the floor of the Assembly?

**Shri Tyagi:** I may say for the information of the House that the camps were no doubt over-crowded. We had received notice that two special trains were to come and we had made arrangements for an adequate number of migrants who were due to come. But, instead of two, four special trains started coming and it became very difficult to manage the affairs. It was on that account that hurried arrangements had to be made. These migrants were transferred from Mana camp because it was over-crowded. I have read the statement pointed out by the hon. Member. But the conditions in the camp were not so much insanitary as the habits and the condition of the migrants themselves. They were so famished and tired in that heat that they could not know their children were ill. Further, with one vomiting the child died and there was no time to take the child to the hospital or even to report. Such were the conditions, climatic and otherwise.

**श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय (देवास) :** क्या यह सही नहीं है कि तब, कैम्प में डाक्टरों की कोई व्यवस्था नहीं थी जिस के कारण भी यह गड़बड़ी हुई है? क्या यह भी सही नहीं है कि कुछ बच्चे जब मर गये तब डाक्टर वहाँ पहुँचाये गये? कितने शरणार्थियों के ऊपर एक डाक्टर की व्यवस्था वहाँ पर है?

**श्री त्यागी :** डाक्टर वहाँ पर थे लेकिन दिक्कत यह पड़ गई कि कुछ लोग वहाँ के कैम्प वाले मामूली हकीम वगैरह जो थे, वहाँ के क्वैक तरीके के आदमी थे,

उनको दिखाते रहे और जैसा मैंने अपने बयान में बताया है ज्यादा तर डेढ़ ऐसी हुई हैं जिन की अस्पताल वालों को खबर तक नहीं हो सकी। यानी रिपोर्ट भी नहीं की और अस्पताल भी नहीं ले गये। बैरक में ही डेढ़ हो गई।

**श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय :** कितने शरणार्थियों पर एक डाक्टर रखा गया था?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** माननीय सदस्य पूछते हैं कि एक-एक डाक्टर कितने-कितने शरणार्थियों के लिये था।

**श्री त्यागी :** हर एक कैम्प में एक एक डिस्पेन्सरी थी और वहाँ डाक्टर थे।

**Shrimati Benu Chakravartty (Barackpore):** How many doctors to how many refugees?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** पूरा नम्बर है आप के पास क्या? शायद नहीं है।

**Shri Tyagi:** I will collect the information.

**श्री यशपाल सिंह (कैराना) :** क्या मैं जान सकता हूँ कि यह बच्चे बीमारी के जरासीम पाकिस्तान से लाये थे या हमारे देश के पुनर्वास मंत्रालय ने इतना सुन्दर इन्तजाम कर रखा था कि उस सुन्दर व्यवस्था को बे सहन नहीं कर सके?

**श्री त्यागी :** मैं ने अभी आप से सब कुछ अर्ज किया। आप तो शायद तानेजनी से कह रहे हैं लेकिन अगर इन्तजाम एक हजार आदमियों का हो और दस हजार आदमी आ जायें तो शायद कोई भी इतना मुकम्मल इन्तजाम नहीं कर सकता।

**श्री यशपाल सिंह :** मैं ने तानेजनी के हिसाब से नहीं कहा। मैं खुद छप्पर में

रहने वाला किसान हूँ, जब मैं इस हाउस में आया तो यहां की एअर कंडिशनिंग में बैठ कर मुझे आठ दिन तक जुकाम रहा। मैं पूछना चाहता हूँ कि कहीं ऐसा तो नहीं हुआ कि चूँकि वे गरीब घरों से आये हुए थे और मंत्री महोदय ने इतना अच्छा इन्तजाम कर दिया जिस को वे सहन नहीं कर पाये।

**श्री त्यागी :** माननीय सदस्य ठीक फरमाते हैं लेकिन बीस बीस-मील पैदल सफर कर के, गर्मी के अन्दर भूखे-प्यासे वह बच्चे आये थे और दुधमुंहे बच्चे थे। वे बच्चे तो अपनी तकलीफ का भी जिक्र नहीं कर सकते थे। असल में आबहवा की वजह से और चूँकि पाकिस्तान में परेशानी थी और अपने घर-बार छोड़ कर वे आये थे, इन तमाम चीजों ने उन को इतना थका दिया था कि वे इसे सहन नहीं कर सके।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** अब हमें दुआ करनी चाहिये कि माननीय सदस्य पालियामेंट के मेम्बर बने रहें, नहीं तो कहीं उन पर फिर जुकाम का असर न हो जाये।

**श्री बागड़ी (हिसार) :** भारत में इन्सानी जिन्दगी की कोई कीमत नहीं रह गई है और इसके कारण . . . . . (Interruptions)

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** आप सवाल करें। किसी मेम्बर के कहने पर नाराज न हों।

**श्री बागड़ी :** भारत में इन्सानी जिन्दगी की कोई कीमत नजर नहीं आती। जगह-जगह पर इन्सान मरते हैं बीमारी के कारण।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** अब आप भाषण न बीजिये। सवाल पूछिये।

**श्री बागड़ी :** मैं सवाल पूछ रहा हूँ, लेकिन पहले उस को समझा रहा हूँ नहीं तो त्यागी जी ताना कह देंगे। 114 बच्चों की मृत्यु इस नाते से हुई कि इन्तजाम तो एक हजार आदमियों का था और आ गये दस हजार में जानना चाहता हूँ कि आखिर उन के आने की जिम्मेदारी किस की है। क्या आने वालों

की यह जिम्मेदारी है कि वह इस तरह से मरते रहें या सरकार भी कुछ जिम्मेदारी ओढ़ने के लिये तैयार है? वे लोग आये तब भी अमर तीमारदारी की बिना पर मृत्यु होती है तो सरकार उस की जिम्मेदारी ले। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि क्या सरकार उस जिम्मेदारी को ओढ़ने के लिये तैयार है।

**श्री त्यागी :** मैंने यह नहीं कहा कि इन्तजाम नहीं हो सका। मैंने अर्ज किया था कि बावजूद इस बात के कि नोटिस नहीं था और एकदम इतनी बड़ी तादाद आ गई, गवर्नमेंट ने उन का मुनासिब इन्तजाम किया। उन को टेन्ट्स की गर्मी से हटा कर मकानों के कैम्प में ले गये, इसलिये कि वे कमजोर थे। लेकिन बावजूद इस के उन की मौतें हो गईं। इसलिये कहा था, इसलिये नहीं कहा था कि इन्तजाम नहीं हो सका।

**श्रीमती मिनीमाता (बालोदा बाजार) :** मैं माना कैम्प . . . . .

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** मैं ने आप को नहीं बुलाया।

**Shri Daji (Indore) :** Is it a fact that, firstly, anti-cholera injections were not available in the dispensary attached to that camp; secondly, sulphur drugs were not there; thirdly, one doctor looked after three camps and was on travel; fourthly, only compounders were available; fifthly, sewage was bogged and stinking; sixthly, houses were leaking and water entered in the houses so that children had to sleep inside the room full of water; and lastly, all this was pointed out repeatedly to the authorities there but neither the State Government nor your Department looked into the matter? I have given you a personal eye-portrait of the camp there.

**श्री त्यागी :** मुझे इस की इत्तला नहीं है कि पानी भरा था। लेकिन मैं यह अर्ज करना चाहता हूँ कि वहां पर कालेरा की वजह से डैप्स नहीं हुई हैं।

**Shri Daji:** One doctor for three camps.

**श्री त्यागी :** मैं ने उन बीमारियों का जिक्र कर दिया है। कालेरा के कारण बच्चों की मौतें नहीं हुईं।

**Shri Daji:** You call it diarrhoea.

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:** Sulpha-drugs were also not available.

**Shri Buta Singh (Moga):** Was there one doctor for three camps?

**श्री जगदेव सिंह सिन्धान्ती (अज्जर) :** क्या वहां पर दूध या इस तरह की दूसरी चीजों का इन्तजाम नहीं था जो कि समय पर बच्चों को दिया जा सकता और वे अकाल मृत्यु से बच जाते।

**श्री त्यागी :** मैं ने आप से अर्ज किया कि अक्सर मौतें ऐसी हो गई कि बैरकों में बच्चे बीमार हुए और उन की मौत हो गई। मरने से पहले अस्पताल में किसी ने खबर भी नहीं दी और इस तरह से होता गया। चुनावे जितने बच्चों, छोटे छोटे दुधमुंहे बच्चों की मौतें हुई हैं वह ज्यादातर बैरकों में हुई हैं, अस्पतालों में रिपोर्ट भी नहीं की गई बहुतों की।

**श्री बाजी :** अस्पताल वहां हैं ही नहीं।

**श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : (बिजनौर) :** मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि जिन बच्चों के देहान्त हुए उन की अवस्था क्या थी, वे किस उम्र के थे।

**श्री त्यागी :** वह ज्यादातर दुधमुंहे बच्चे थे। ठीक उम्र तो उन की इस वक्त याद नहीं है, लेकिन बतलाया गया है कि वे ज्यादातर बेबीज थे।

**श्री यु० सि० चौधरी (महेन्द्रगढ़) :** इतने बच्चों के मरने के बाद भी जिस तरह से स्टेटमेंट देते हुए मंत्रालय ने इस बात को हल्के ढंग से बता दिया है उस से ऐसा मालूम पड़ता है कि सरकार सिवा इस के कि सिर्फ अपनी स्टीन पूरी कर दे, और कोई जिम्मेदारी

मानने के लिये तैयार नहीं है। मैं एक बात स्पष्ट रूप से पूछना चाहत हूं कि जब रिहै-बिलिटेशन के लिये एक अलग मंत्रालय बना हुआ है, उस के वास्ते पूरे कैबिनेट रैंक का एक मंत्री है, तो सारी की सारी सूरत के अन्दर इस तरह का ब्यान देना कि ज्यादा रिप्यूजी कैम्प में आ गये और इस की वजह से हम डाक्टरों का इन्तजाम नहीं कर पाये और 114 दुधमुंहे बच्चों की मृत्यु हो गई यह कहां तक उचित है। उन की हत्या किस के सिर पर है? क्या इस के बारे में सरकार कोई जांच करवाने के लिये तैयार है या नहीं?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा कि इन्तजाम नहीं कर पाये। आप ऐसी बात न कहें।

**श्री यु० सि० चौधरी :** क्या सारे के सारे मामले के अन्दर सरकार जांच करवा कर सारी स्थिति को सदन में लाने के लिये तैयार है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि कौन कौन इस सारी बीज के लिये जिम्मेदार है जब अलग मंत्रालय बना हुआ है।

**Mr. Speaker:** His question is whether it is proposed to have an enquiry made to find out the causes of deaths of such a large number.

**Shri Ranga (Chittoor):** Yes, Sir; we all want it.

**श्री त्यागी :** मैं ने अभी अर्ज किया था कि 2,52,720 रु० मध्य प्रदेश गवर्नमेंट को अस्पतालों के लिये और उन के इन्तजाम के लिदु मंजूर हो चुक थे लेकिन वह इतनी जल्दी अस्पताल की बिल्डिंग वगैरह नहीं बना सके। तब जो भी बाशा थे उन छोटे बाशाओं के अन्दर जो छोटी छोटी बिल्डिंग बनाई गई थीं उन के अन्दर, अस्पतालों का इन्तजाम कर दिया गया था। हालांकि इतनी जल्दी बड़ी बिल्डिंग वगैरह नहीं बनाई



जा सकती थीं, लेकिन यह रुपये मंजूर किया गया था, दवाओं का खास इन्तजाम था ।

**Shri Ranga:** Will there be an enquiry to go into this matter?

**श्री त्यागो :** मैं यह अर्ज कर रहा था कि 2,52,720 रु० इस काम के लिये मध्य प्रदेश गवर्नमेंट को मंजूर कर दिये गये थे ताकि यह कैम्पस जो हैं (Interruptions) या तो आप जवाब दे दीजिये या मुझे कठोरे दीजिये ।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** वह इम्पेसेन्ट हो रहे हैं ।

**श्री त्यागो :** बेटुल के जो कैम्प हैं उन के बारे में मैं हाउस की इतला के लिये बतलाना चाहता हूँ कि सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से आठ बड़े बड़े कैम्प खुले हैं और बाकी जो 87 कैम्प के करीब हैं उन का स्टेट गवर्नमेंट अलग अलग इन्तजाम करती है । 87 camps are managed by the State Governments. Because they are spread over many States, the management there is directly that of the State Governments themselves. We give them grants. So, from that point of view I was just informing the House that a grant of Rs. 2,52,720 had already been given to the Madhya Pradesh Government for the arrangement of medical facilities in these camps. And they had done so. But the buildings could not come up so soon, and, therefore, they had made provisional arrangements in those Basha huts which were already there. And those huts were used for hospitals; the doctors were also there. I am sorry I have not got the exact number of doctors who were there; medicines were also there. As regards inoculations against cholera etc., all those inoculations were always given as a rule; as soon as the displaced persons cross the border the necessary injections are always given.

Another point which I might mention is this....

**Shri Daji:** We want an inquiry. This money was not utilised by the Madhya Pradesh Government. I can give you the information that the money was not utilised by the Madhya Pradesh Government.

**श्री योगेन्द्र झा (मधुबनी) :** अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है ।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** मैं जानता हूँ कि आपको व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है, लेकिन अभी आप बैठ जाएं ।

वह इस बात पर बार बार जोर दे रहे हैं कि क्या गवर्नमेंट इस एन्क्वायरी को इंस्टीट्यूट करने के लिए तैयार है कि इतने ज्यादा तादादा में बच्चों के मरने की क्या वजह थी और उसके बारे में कोई राय कायम की जाए । सवाल इतना है ।

**श्री त्यागो :** मैं यह अर्ज करना चाहता हूँ कि ये जो मौतें हुई हैं ये दस हजार की पापुलेशन में हुई हैं, एक तो यह बात वह समझ लें । (Interruptions). ये कैम्प डाइरेक्टली स्टेट गवर्नमेंट के इन्तजाम में हैं (Interruptions).

I am not yielding. I refuse to yield the floor. I must have my say.

इन कैम्पों का इन्तजाम स्टेट गवर्नमेंट के मारफ्त होता है । जब आप ने नोटिस दिया तो मैंने स्टेट गवर्नमेंट से ये तमाम इत्तलाएं मंगवाई और उनके बेसिस पर आपको जवाब दिये । यदि आपको और कोई बात पूछनी है तो मैं राज्य सरकार से मालूम करके आपको दे दूंगा ।

**श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय :** एनक्वायरी में आपको क्या प्राप्ति है ?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** आपके जवाब से बालूम हुआ कि सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट की जिम्मेदारी नहीं है। आपको रुपया देना था वह आपने दे दिया। टेस्ट गवर्नमेंट ने इन्तजाम किया। यहां बार बार कहा जाता है कि एनक्वायरी की जाए। आपको जवाब है कि हम एनक्वायरी कैसे करें—आपने यह इतने श्लफाज में नहीं कहा लेकिन आपका यही मतलब था—टेस्ट गवर्नमेंट यह कर सकती है।

**श्री त्यागी :** मैं स्टेट गवर्नमेंट को इसके लिए लिख सकता हूँ कि वह एनक्वायरी करके हमको रिपोर्ट दे।

**श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय :** केन्द्र द्वारा एनक्वायरी होनी चाहिए।

**Shri Tyagi:** I refuse to interfere with the affairs of the State.

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** मेम्बर साहब जरा इस बात का खयाल करें कि इस तरह जो काम स्टेट गवर्नमेंट के जिम्मे किया जाता है उसके ऊपर अगर सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट एनक्वायरी करे तो ठीक नहीं है। यह तो उन्हीं को करना होगा। (Interruptions). अगर टेस्ट गवर्नमेंट इससे इन्कार करेगी तो मेम्बर साहब यह सवाल उठा सकते हैं।

**श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय :** यह लापरवाही कैसे हुई।

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:** On a point of order.....

**Shri Ranga:** May I make one submission?.....

**श्री योगन्ना झा :** अध्यक्ष महोदय, केन्द्रीय मिनिस्टर किस बात के लिये हैं ?

**Mr. Speaker:** Shri Ranga.

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:** I wanted to raise a point of order.

**Mr. Speaker:** I have called Shri Ranga already. Let him have his say first.

**Shri Ranga:** This arose out of an all-India calamity. Parliament as well as this Government have taken up this responsibility for making arrangements to look after the people who have come away from Pakistan, because we have felt it as an all-India responsibility. We placed funds also at the disposal of the Ministry. The Ministry concerned now says that they had placed those funds at the disposal of the State Governments, and, therefore, it is their responsibility. I would submit that that is not so. The State Governments were good enough to take up this responsibility on our behalf, in order to look after those people. In that process we find these calamities taking place.

Therefore, we are only suggesting that the hon. Minister should be good enough to look into the matter and to order an inquiry, in co-operation, if need be, with the concerned State Government, and not necessarily by the State Government, so that they would be able to help us at least for the future to prevent such calamities, and also to lay down what relations there should be between the State Government and the Union Government in regard to the utilisation of this money for the protection of those people.

**Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:** My point of order is this. The hon. Minister is trying to get out of his responsibilities by saying that all the job that he has to do is to sanction money and after that he has no further responsibilities.

As far as the Rehabilitation Ministry is concerned, we know it on very good authority for the last fifteen

years that the Centre is responsible for rehabilitation and the States have to get everything sanctioned from the Centre and then the Centre sanctions the money; and though the agency is the State, the Centre must keep an eye as to what exactly has happened and how far the schemes have come into being. The hon. Minister has no right to say to this House that it is a matter for the State, and that the Centre only sanctions the money and it is a matter for the State whether the money is utilised for building hospitals or for putting shacks without anti-biotics, without medicines for inoculations against cholera, without doctors and so on. That answer cannot be tolerated in this House.

**Shri H. N. Mukerjee** (Calcutta Central): Quite a large number of children have died, and that is why the House is agitated. From what the hon. Minister has said, I could gather that there was some discrepancy in regard to the communication about the number of refugees who were going to reach certain destinations. On his own admission, it has happened that a very much larger number of refugees, have arrived at a certain point causing a great deal of dislocation. Here, the Central responsibility comes in in a very direct and immediate way. It is for the Centre always to make sure of co-ordinating arrangements so that the receiving camps get to know how many people to expect; there might be a slight overlapping, but there should not be the kind of thing which Shri Tyagi has just reported.

Here, therefore, is something which *prima facie* demands an immediate inquiry without prodding by Members of Parliament. I cannot understand why with all the sympathy to which he gave pious expression, he did not think of having this kind of inquiry made at once.

That is why I submit, quite apart from the purely technical aspects of

the matter which are very important, that the Centre is responsible for rehabilitation, and Shri Tyagi should not be there if he fails in this responsibility. Therefore, I feel that children being involved, he should behave rather differently than what he has happened to behave.

**Shri U. M. Trivedi** (Mandsaur): I want to make it clear that the point before the House is very simple. Shri Tyagi's suggestion is that the Madhya Pradesh Government or the State Government or whosoever is concerned is an agency on behalf of the Central Government. The accusation is against that agency for having acted in a callous manner, which has resulted in the death of 114 children. Does Shri Tyagi want to suggest before the House that that agency against which the accusation has been made is the agency which will give the reply and which will hold the inquiry into this matter? Or will the enquiry be made by the Centre to find out how that agency has miserably failed to discharge the duty that has been cast upon it?

It was an essential duty on the part of the Central Government. Rehabilitation is not a subject governed by any of the entries in the State Lists. It is entirely a subject governed by the Union List, and it is the incumbent duty of the Union Government to find out how this mistake arose and how this callous attitude was adopted, and what difficulties were there. The inquiry must be made by the Central Government and it cannot be left into the hands of the State Government which has acted in this manner.

**Shri Daji** rose—

**Mr. Speaker:** Shri Daji has already had his chance.

**Shri Daji:** I only put a question earlier. I want to make one submission now.

**Mr. Speaker:** Shri Hem Barua.

**Shri Hem Barua:** The hon. Minister has said that the responsibility rests with the State Government because the camps are in the State. But the State Minister has said that the responsibility rests with the Central Government because these people or these children were on their way to the camps from the transit camps. So, there are two sets of different sets of opinions.

Now, the hon. Minister has said that the Central Government has sanctioned money for hospitals and all that and that is budgeted by the Central Government out of its budget. The State Government should account for the money to the Central Government, and we also came into the picture in that.

Therefore, an inquiry should be made in order to clarify the position. Whether it is the State Government's version that the Central Government is responsible for the death of 114 children that is correct or it is the Minister's version that it is the responsibility of the State that is to be relied upon has to be clarified. At the same time, money is spent by the State Government and sanctioned by the Central Government. That has also to be clarified.

**Mr. Speaker:** Again and again, the same thing is being repeated. Now enough has been said?

**An Hon. Member:** What is his reaction?

**Mr. Speaker:** If there is any reaction, there is no further opportunity. They must wait and see what the reaction is.

**Shri Tyagi:** I sincerely appreciate the sentiments expressed by my hon. friends on the other side in sympathy

with these children. Every Indian would have sympathy for them. But I am afraid that I have been misunderstood. I never said that the Central Government had no responsibility at all. What I submitted for the information of the House was that the camps were managed directly by the State Government and not directly by the Centre. I gave them the figure of directly-arranged camps, which the Centre arranges and manages that is, 8; the other camps, more than 80, are under the management of the State Governments. I had said that the funds are given by us from the Centre and we surely have all types of responsibility except that of direct administration. For that purpose, the State Governments carry out our policy and our sanctions generally. It is for that reason that they ask for grants to put up those hospitals.

As soon as these deaths started increasing, the local authority immediately deputed Dr. Powhawala by Gandhi Medical College, Indore who is a specialist in children's diseases. He has already given his report. Immediate inquiry was conducted and action was taken according to the recommendations which this doctor made to us. That was done.

If even now, my hon. friends feel, as some of my friends do, that some further investigation should be made, I do not say that I want to shirk responsibility. I will surely ask the State Government—because they themselves are equally anxious about this matter; they are not guilty of anything—to depute some officer to make an inquiry. I have no objection to that. I assure Members that I shall ask the State Government to make further inquiries.

**Shri Hem Barua:** Let there be a parliamentary inquiry.

**Mr. Speaker:** He would take note of all that has been said by hon. Members.

12.52 hrs.

## PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT REPORT OF THE  
OIL AND NATURAL GAS COMMISSION

**The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Humayun Kabir):** I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following papers:—

- (i) Certified Accounts of the Oil and Natural Gas Commission for the year 1961-62 together with the Audit Report thereon, under sub-section (4) of section 22 of the Oil and Natural Gas Commission Act, 1959, and
- (ii) Statement explaining the reasons for delay in laying the above Accounts.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-3212/64].

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER HIGH COURT  
JUDGES (CONDITIONS OF SERVICE) ACT

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** On behalf of Shri Hathi, I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Orders under sub-section (2) of section 23A of the High Court Judges (Conditions of Service) Act, 1954:—

- (i) The Mysore High Court (Vacation) Order, 1964, published in Notification No. S.O. 1025 dated the 28th March 1964; and
- (ii) The Calcutta High Court (Vacation) Order, 1964, published in Notification No. S.O. 3233 dated the 12th September 1964.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-3213/64].

CENTRAL WAREHOUSING CORPORATION  
(SECOND AMENDMENT) RULES

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan):** On behalf of Shri D. R. Chavan, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Central Warehousing Corporation (Second Amendment) Rules, 1964, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1266 dated the 5th September 1964, under sub-section (3) of section 41 of the Warehousing Corporations Act, 1962.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-3214/64].

12.53½ hrs.

## COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

## FORTY-EIGHTH REPORT

**Shri Krishnamoorthy Rao (Shimoga):** I Beg to present the Forty-eighth Report of the Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions.

12.53½ hrs.

APPROPRIATION (NO. 5) BILL\*,  
1964

**The Minister of Finance (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):** I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1964-65.

**Mr. Speaker:** The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the

\*Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary—Part II, Section 2, dated 23-9-64.

[Mr. Speaker]

Consolidated Fund of India for the service of the financial year 1964-65".

*The motion was adopted.*

**Shri T. T. Krishnamachari:** I introduce the Bill.

# RESOLUTION RE: PROCLAMATION IN REGARD TO KERALA—contd.

12.54 hrs.

**Mr. Speaker:** Further discussion of the following Resolution moved by Shri Hathi on the 22nd September 1964, namely:—

"That this House approves the Proclamation issued by the President on the 10th September 1964, under article 456 of the Constitution in relation to the State of Kerala".

1 hour and 40 minutes have already been taken and 3 hours and 20 minutes remain. Shri Khadilkar may continue.

**Shri Khadilkar (Khed):** Yesterday in the short time at my disposal, I was giving the background to the present breakdown of the Constitution in Kerala. I had said that instead of levelling accusations—that is, one side accusing the Congress, the Congress accusing the Communists, and the Jan Sangh accusing the Congress that because they entered into some sort of alliance with the Muslim League, the present most tragic situation has arisen—we must apply our mind afresh to the problem.

I was saying yesterday that the time has come, taking into consideration the experience we have gained during the last 15 years through

constitutional breakdowns in Kerala, to see whether the present pattern does not need some modification at the State level, whether the parliamentary pattern we have adopted in this country does not require certain modifications regarding procedure and soon in order to deal with the present realities of the situation. The time has come to give some serious thought to it. When this Resolution is before the House, it is the responsibility of this House to try to analyse the past situations, reach some conclusions and try to devise some sort of a way out, at least search for it. This is my purpose in taking part in this debate.

**Shri Nath Pai (Raipur):** Mr. Speaker, are we not expected to have at least the Minister of State or Minister here? The debate becomes purposeless if the Minister is not here to listen to the points made. Then we find that this becomes a dialogue carried on in two different halls, because the Minister reads the prepared brief and ignores the points that we urge. Neither the Minister nor the Minister of State is here—only the Deputy Minister is here. Either this is a serious matter or it is not.

**Mr. Speaker:** It is expected that some one of them must be here, at least the Minister of State.

**The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra):** The Minister of State was here. He is in charge of the Resolution. He will be coming now.

**Shri Khadilkar:** I was talking in terms of the broad perspective to stir the mind of this House and make them aware of their responsibility in the matter. It is not, as I was arguing, a party question. There is a certain amount of flabbiness all round, a certain amount of complacency which

\*Introduced with the recommendation of the President.

is a most disgusting phenomenon in our country. There is an attempt to conform to the western standards; whether they are applicable to this country or not, we do not mind—in politics, economic institutions, not only that, but morals and manners. If these are in some way approximating to the western standards and comparable to them, then we feel satisfied because we get a certificate from the west, "Oh, India is making a great experiment in democracy, and in everything". If tomorrow we were to adopt the new fashion of 'topless' of course I am certain some pattern will come here approximating to the topless then our morals and our manners will rise in the estimation of the west, and we also will feel satisfied.

**Shri Alvares (Panjim):** It would be interesting to see Shri Khadilar in topless!

**Shri Khadilkar:** I entirely agree with the point raised by Shri Nath Pai. This debate should be taken by Government and every Member of the House very seriously, because it is not only a question of breakdown. After six months, there will be an election. Again the same situation will arise; because three parties are pitted against each other. Communities and castes are so rigid that there is no possibility of a compromise, no possibility of a consensus. All types of opportunistic alliances made on the eve of elections are not going to last, as we know from past experience.

13 hrs.

In such a situation, when we are debating this issue, I would make an appeal. It is not a party issue. I would appeal to this side as well as the other side that they must look at the problem in a proper perspective. Remove the cobwebs in your mind, about parliamentary democracy, representative government and whatever you have got in your mind. I

was very happy to read here the other day—I do not want to mention or say that it is the lunatic fringe of the Swatantra Party as somebody has mentioned it, I do not want to say that regarding Prof. Ranga, but in a saner mood, at some place he has also given some thought to it, and he has said that the time has come when we must give he thought to our pattern of government, whether this instrument of parliamentary democracy that we have adopted . . .

**Mr. Speaker:** I could not follow. He said certain things.

**Shri Khadilkar:** I am giving his quotation.

**Shri Nath Pai:** He said that somebody said that Prof. Ranga is the lunatic fringe of the Swatantra Party.

**Mr. Speaker:** If it was so said, it is not in good taste.

**Shri Khadilkar:** He is my honoured friend, and I do not say anything.

So, he has also applied his mind, and it is good that he has said that the time has come when we must think about some new pattern, and he has recommended—I do not agree with him entirely, but he has kept his mind open—some sort of committee government of the Swiss pattern, and he has gone to the length of sitting with the Communists in such a government. I am very happy that at least so far as this new pattern is concerned, he is not bringing in this untouchability type of attitude.

But the main question today is this. What are we going to do after six months? There will be elections. I predict here and now that unless you apply your mind, after the elections the same conditions will prevail—three warring or two warring parties and some splinter groups coming up, and again the same question will arise..

[Shri Khadilkar]

What is to be done? All sorts of compromises will be made. Therefore, my first submission is that the time has come when this House, and not only the Home Minister, must apply its mind to the pattern that we have adopted, and to the question whether it fits or whether some modification is called for. And I may suggest the type of modifications needed.

In the African continent I find that there is a greater vigour of thought. The President of Tanganyika the other day—I do not quote the whole thing—has given expression to it and he has said that they will have their own pattern of democracy. He says they believe in democracy, not of the Western pattern, they know what it means; he has said that the rule of law must be there, freedom, liberty of expression and everything, but the same pattern they need not copy. He has pointed out a newer pattern.

At the present juncture we should not fail to apply our mind and try to bring forces together, so that this parliamentary government and freedom, these instruments will be useful for making social advance. That is my plea.

Why do I say this? What do we find today? The position today is that the Opposition parties are not prepared to accept the sanctity of the decisions. Excuse me, I am talking frankly and objectively. Even when decisions are taken after discussion, they are free to go out to start bandhs, agitations about food prices, strikes etc., but these problems are not solved by one agitation or the other. If you want to bring them into the picture, I say this. Today, our Ministers are guided by the executive. The executive rules supreme. Let there be collective thinking at the ministerial level. For instance, let every Minister have a committee, and before a major decision is taken, some thinking should take

place. That decision has a collective sanction, and that goes out. I am sorry this agitational approach is fast developing out of frustration in the minds of the Opposition, and it is very natural. Therefore, to make a small beginning, this must be made.

Then, there is the question of corruption. Power corrupts, but I would like to point out what Mr. Nyerere has written from his experience. I will read out only a small portion from a letter of his which appeared in the *Hindustan Standard*:

"We aim at building a classless society. In no State is there enough wealth to satisfy the desire of a single individual for power and prestige. When wealth is divorced from its purpose—which is the banishment of poverty—there develops ruthless competition; each person tries to get more wealth, so that he will have more power and prestige than his fellow men. Wealth becomes an instrument of domination, a means of humiliating other people."

If we look at the state of affairs, whatever the rights or wrongs of the charges about corruption, we find that because there is power and prestige, more money is grabbed, and this demoralising atmosphere is being created in this country at the present juncture. If you want to dispel it, if you want to remove it and create a healthy atmosphere, I would suggest by applying our mind to the problem. Why not try to integrate the forces that are there, which agree on the basic programme? As I said yesterday, the national plan is the basic programme. Even the Communists today have come to realise that it is not a question of insurrectionary revolution or violent revolution. They are prepared to accept the framework of parliamentary democracy, at least one wing of the Communist Party has said they are prepared to share power with you. In such matters, let us make a first attempt.



The time has come. After 17 years of our experience, the frame that we have adopted needs a little moulding, a little change, and only if we remove our old classical cobwebs about democracy from our heads or minds, can we apply our mind afresh.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : (फर्द-खाबाद) : स्विट्जरलैंड को नकल कर रहे हो ।

Shri Khadilkar: I am not pleading for anything.

We are discussing the resolution. Governor's rule is there. We are responsible to see what type of Government we are going to offer next. This is the matter before the House. My suggestion is on this basis: why not try from the beginning, not at the time of elections? Alliances at the time of election would prove in the long run to be disastrous, it has proved disastrous. Therefore, my suggestion is that within the framework of a broad national consensus, which is represented by the Congress Party, let us try to enlist the co-operation at all levels, and particularly make the Ministers more responsible immediately to their committees where decisions are taken, so that the Opposition is a party to the decision at every level, and its sanctity is not questioned outside.

I would appeal to the Minister and the Government. Time is very critical. We are passing through a very bad period. As I said the other day, there is a new transition in this country after our great leader's death, and at this hour we should not fail to take the co-operation and understanding of others, and our mind should be applied to the main problem of development.

After all, what is this Government for? All governments are evil, as they say but Government is an instrument to do some service to the people, to give them social welfare, make some social advance. These are the

purposes of Government. I am not concerned whether "A" or "B" sits there on treasury seats; so long as he has character, so long as he has conviction, so long as he is competent, anybody can occupy the seat of power. I am not worried about that, but there must be some basis.

What do we find in this country? I do not blame the Opposition. When I look back on this period of 17 years, I have not come across a single individual in this country, this land of detachment, *Nivriti* as we say, who has resigned as a matter of principle. And those who go out of office, the next morning try to spread frustration, and instead of helping the people, instead of helping the party, they create another problem by spreading the social infective disease of frustration all round.

In such matters, if this Parliament is a sovereign Parliament, if it is to discharge its duty towards Kerala, if it is to give guidance to the people of Kerala who are divided, rigidly divided, this barrier must be broken, all the parties on a minimum basis must be combined, and an attempt must be made to forge ahead on the basis of unity.

I do not want you to scrap the elections nor Mr. Nyerere says, it, but after the election, some attempt should be made. Then alone, democracy will survive. Otherwise, if you do not apply your mind afresh and try to take a rigid attitude on his problem, a sort of conservative attitude, an attitude which is all right in Western eyes, where everything is judged from their own standards, the problem will not be solved.

The last appeal I would make to you and also to this House is this. Let us turn to our people and our conditions and our society, try to draw inspiration from their attitude and their response. That is completely lacking. Therefore, at a certain stage,

[Shri Khadilkar]

one feels that the Government machinery has become rootless; that it has lost its social moorings; that it has lost that life—giving juice that you get from society. Therefore, I would appeal to the Home Minister and this Government on this occasion. It is not a simple formal resolution. Let them apply their mind as the new African nations; they applied their mind and formed new patterns of democracy. Let them do it. With these words, I support the motion.

**Shri Ranga (Chittoor):** I am glad that my hon. friend Mr. Khadilkar has put in a powerful and eloquent plea that this House and this Government and the various political parties and their leaders should try to apply their mind to the need for reorienting our attitude to the way in which our Governments are to be formed at the State level as well as at the Union level. But the solution that he has suggested may not appeal to many; it does not appeal to me. But certainly there is need for giving a careful look at the manner in which the ruling party has been utilising the constitutional provisions and the way in which the rule by parliamentary majority in parliamentary life had been utilised in this country, more especially with special reference to Kerala. This is the third time that the President has had to confess failure of parliamentary democracy by majority rule in Kerala and they had to come to this Parliament to allow him to appoint the Governor to govern there for six months or so.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad):** Sir, on a point of order. I am sure you will agree that when my distinguished colleague Acharya Ranga is speaking, there must be quorum in the House.

**Mr. Speaker:** Let the Bell be rung—there is quorum now.

There is one thing that I have to say to the hon. Members. It does not look nice that the people should listen to the quorum bell four or five times a day. It is not dignifying. Everyone should try to see that he makes his contribution in making the quorum. Yesterday, I went round when the Bells were ringing, I found that there were two important committees that were conducting their business. I am making the request to them also. They did not move. None of the Members came out when the quorum Bell was ringing. At one time when I was here I found that hardly the quorum could be made up. There were hardly 54 or 55 Members that could be collected after the Bell had been rung . . .

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Six times.

**Mr. Speaker:** It does not look really well for us that Bells should have to be rung again and again after every fifteen minutes. Members come in and quorum is made and then Members would be seen going out again.

**Shri Warior (Trichur):** Now-a-days, when the Bell is rung, there is another question that is asked, whether it is the Division Bell or the Quorum Bell. If it is Quorum Bell, they do not come in and they come in only if it is Division Bell.

**Shri Khadilkar:** What about the convention that during this tea time Members would not raise about quorum.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** That is gone.

**Mr. Speaker:** I tried my best and I also wanted that there ought to be some interval for Members. Now, Shri Ranga may continue his speech.

**Shri Ranga:** Mr. Speaker, I was saying that this is the third time that it has happened in Kerala. Why is it

that the principle of majority rule has failed in that State? Many experiments have been made. Almost every important party has been charged at one time or the other of making up a majority and running the administration there—the Socialist, Muslim League also, I think, the Communist and the Congress. They worked in a coalition and had a majority. For some time a minority Government was run at the sufferance of the single largest party, I think, the Congress. Later on a coalition came and the Congress, as usual, followed the principle of the crab and killed its partners who came to fertilise it. So much so it began to run the whole show by itself by looting, by robbing or probing, by all sorts of means, by taking people from other groups, from independents also and covering them up in the usual mantle of the Congress, deceiving themselves and deceiving the public that it had a majority and they began to govern. Were there many pre-independence patriots there in the Congress? Not as many as there were post-independence patriots. And unfortunately for them in those areas those who had had the opportunity of carrying on the struggle for Independence under the leadership of Mahatma Gandhi, under his guidance and training, were in a minority. I think in 1960 the then President of the Congress went there and campaigned and some hon. friends there for whom I have great personal affection and respect had also gone there and run the election campaign. It was a national campaign carried on in the name of all democrats, belonging to all political parties, except the communists. They invited the co-operation of every democratic non-Congress party. They welcomed that co-operation; they appreciated it during the election and it was that democratic coalition that had won the majority. But what had been the result? Bit by bit they swallowed up the other people, demoralised some others and in the end they emerged as the single party Government, as the Congress Gov-

ernment. Some blame is to be placed at the doors of the former Prime Minister. But the present Prime Minister who was then not a Prime Minister was responsible in helping the then Chief Minister of Kerala who was contributed by the PSP to go to your State, Sir, as Governor. And afterwards, they were able to help themselves and they said to themselves: "We have added another feather to our Congress cap of rule, of power, in this country, entirely as a Congress Government." These methods, unholy as they were, immoral as they were, certainly un-Gandhian as they were, have also contributed to the present mess. They wanted to have, as they are having it, only their own party's Government in that State, as they are having it in other States also. But did they keep faith with themselves? Everyone knows that they did not. Therefore, there were groups, as there are everywhere else. But some sufferance is being shown to the minority group in other places, in a higher or lesser degree, but here in Kerala, they found it impossible to show any sufferance between the groups after a time. Therefore, the leader of the minority group within the group has had to resign from Ministership. Recently he died and I was told that crowds of people go to offer their *pranams* at the place of his grave or where he was cremated. I do not know exactly how his ashes were consigned. That shows that he must have been quite popular, and he could not find any place in that Ministry.

Earlier, some of the communist friends raised certain charges against the Congress Ministry. They published them in their papers. Some *golmal* took place between the Ministry and the communist party so much so that the poor communist party was obliged to withdraw those charges from out of the papers.

**Shri Warior:** The Government was forced to withdraw; not the communists.

**Shri Ranga:** There was an agreement between them, but the charges were withdrawn. (*Interruption*). The President of the Provincial Congress Committee insisted that those charges should be enquired into. If I am not mistaken, he is not one of the PIPs—Shri Govindan Nair; he is not a post-Independence patriot. I think he is a pre-Independence patriot. I am speaking subject to correction. He insisted upon that enquiry; it was not granted. Later on, my old friend, Pandit Nehru, said, "You send the complaints to me and I shall look into them." He did it on so many other occasions and in so many other places, and the country knows the result. The Das Commission stands as a testimony to those results. In this case also, the then Prime Minister thought there was nothing substantial and therefore he asked Shri Govindan Nair to keep mum, and in that way, they silenced it. But discontent was brewing all the time, and why? Because the people felt there were genuine cases of corruption on the part of number of Ministers including the Chief Minister. Right or wrong, it is another matter, but it has happened that way. Thereafter, what other choice was left now to the poor, helpless opposition or, as they prefer to call it, dissidents within the Congress? In the end, at long last, at least once in the history of these 17 years of democratic rule in our country, the Congress rule in our country, 15 of them had bucked up courage. How did they do it? I do not know, but they bucked up courage to give notice that they were going to vote for the no-confidence motion. They voted against the Ministry and in favour of the motion of no-confidence and brought down this Ministry.

I am told that although there were not as big demonstrations of joy on the present occasion as there were when the communists were driven out of power, the people are happy, I cannot say whether they are in a

majority or a minority but the people are certainly happy in Kerala.

In these circumstances, what is it that one can suggest to the Government? It was in this connection that the press people asked for my views, and I said that let an experiment be made, as Shri Khadilkar is now suggesting. He wants one kind of experiment, and I want another kind of experiment. Certainly an experiment, that method of choosing the Government or having the Government need not necessarily be on the lines on which till now the State Governments have been formed: whichever group or party is able to show that they have a majority, the Governor is expected to call the leader of that majority party or group to form the Ministry. Even there, the Congress has not been always so scrupulous. There were occasions when the Congress did not have a clear majority and yet the Governor called the leader of the Congress party to form the Ministry. After they had formed the Ministry, they were able to get a few more people from among the Independents and from other members also to join them and thus help them to make the majority. I need not go into the details. I am clear in my mind that that method is immoral and is wrong and is undemocratic. The Congress people also realised it and therefore they said, just before the results of the last general elections were announced that wherever they were found in a minority they were not going to invite members of other political parties or even independents to come and join them in order to help them to make a majority and form the Ministry. But, unfortunately, when they actually had to make a decision, in conscience, in Rajasthan, for instance, they stooped to conquer, and managed to get one or two or three Independents to come and support them and in that way they formed their majority there.

Thereafter, that process goes on, the process of political prostitution, this process of procuring members from other groups and other parties and independents in order to fatten themselves. And how do they fatten themselves? It is like a diabetic or beriberi patient growing more and more fat only to be at the disposal of the doctor all the time and his ministrations every day. This is how the Congress show has been going on.

Is it a real Congress in Kerala; it is not the real Congress. It is called Congress. After Mahatma Gandhi's death, they are content, somehow or other, and they are trying to throw the mantle of the Congress on some people and taunt all those others who have been pre-Independence patriots, taunt them saying that "they are not Congressmen and therefore they cannot be looked at; they are not good enough to provide any kind of leadership to the people."

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** I want to know whether the hon. Minister of Railways is unusually active by the side of the Minister of State because the Congress party has been derailed in Kerala.

**The Minister of Railways (Shri S. K. Patil):** I am taking notes.

**Shri Ranga:** I hope the hon. Minister of Railways will not come to his rescue as he had done at the time of the last general election, especially in view of the hopeless and unwholesome results that those other friends of his have achieved as a result of the success that he has won in co-operation with other friends also for the Congress, because, again and again, he may go. He did it in Andhra; he helped them; he went to Kerala and helped them. He ought to know the results. He should grow wiser after these results and I hope this time he would not make himself responsible for a similar mess to follow if he were to help them once again. Anyhow, it is left to them, and he is in that party. If I had been in that party I know the responsibility, and therefore

I can only pity him if he is forced once again to go there. (*Interruption*).

**Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah (Adoni):** You have paid compliments to the Railway Minister.

**Shri Ranga:** Is it not time now for the Congress people to begin to realise that it is much better for them to make an experiment at this stage in this problem State, that method of rule, or choosing the Ministry or the Government, which is different from the one that they had adopted till now, that is, by the majority party or majority group? If they are to do it that way, there is one suggestion made by Shri Khadilkar, and that is, the Congress itself should be allowed to go there and they themselves should form a Ministry and that the Ministry should be good enough to appoint committees representing all the political parties and the Opposition should be where it is and it should be content to give them advice and be satisfied with whatever implementation the Ministers can give to it. It is very much like the *Sanyasi* saying that "rice is white and chunam is white; both are one and the same; what does it matter what you take? I will take only rice." That is the way my hon. friend wants it to be once again having the Congress ministry there and the Opposition making a common cause with them and helping them to run the show as they have been running in the same miserable and disgusting manner. I do not agree with this method.

Secondly, he seems to think that there is only one way in the west by which a democratic Government in a parliamentary manner is being run. He is mistaken. There are two principal types—the British and the American. There is the third one, the Swiss type. That is what I have been thinking of. This is not the first time that I have mooted this idea in public. When there was trouble in Orissa and the House was asked to give its sanction to the President's Rule in a similar fashion, I made that suggestion. Earlier when there was similar

[Shri Ranga]

trouble in Kerala, I made a similar suggestion and I repeat it now. Look into the working of democracy in Switzerland, true it is a very small country; the population also is not very big. But at the same time, it is not much smaller than Kerala and the population is not much smaller than that of Kerala. Therefore, an experiment can be made on those lines.

What do they do there? They do not dismiss all political parties. All political parties are free to function. They go to the people and get their votes by proportional representation. If you can possibly introduce proportional representation even as an experiment in Kerala, if it is not too late for you to do it by taking the necessary legislative sanction, please do it; I am in favour of it. If you are not prepared for that, even then allow all these political parties to go and appeal to the masses. When they come back, I hope none of the political parties there functioning at present would be able to get a clear majority. I sincerely hope and pray for it, because this majority rule has done a lot of harm to them. So, I hope none of the parties would come back with a majority. Then there would be so many parties with or without majority. Let them sit together.

13.32 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

Let the biggest party be prepared to make some concession by accepting a representation on the Ministry a little less than what is proportionately due to it. Let us agree upon a minimum strength of 5 or 10 members and let all those parties which gain that minimum strength be given proportionate representation in the Ministry. Let each Ministry be assisted by a small representative committee. Let all of them sit together and make a committee cabinet, as they have in

Switzerland. Let them not be too impatient about pushing their own policies and programmes and getting them accepted by a mere majority, but let them try their best to reach a consensus on as many points, as many policies and programmes as possible. In the case of certain things which the bigger groups or the smaller groups consider to be a matter of essential principle and of very great urgency and they cannot agree to be patient enough to wait until a consensus is achieved, let them also agree on some principle; say, that if two-thirds or something like that are in favour of a particular programme or project, it should be taken up. They can work out the various details, but this principle of committee Government must be agreed upon. If it cannot be agreed upon, I do not think you can improve the position in Kerala. If Kerala goes down, I am afraid it would be the beginning for this kind of political rot to spread to other areas also.

My hon. friend, Shri Khadilkar, is saying that the Swatantra Party is opposed to planning. It is not so. I have said it many times and I do not know how my friends on the Congress benches and other friends think it reasonable to go on saying that Swatantra Party does not believe in planning. We believe in planning, but we do not want this Planning Commission sitting here on the top of the Cabinet Ministers as a super Cabinet. The former Prime Minister himself was saying almost the same thing. Secondly, they are also confessing now that they have made so many mistakes, that they have given too much prominence to industrialisation and they should now give higher priority to agriculture. It is on this particular point that Mr. Patil had to part ways with those gentlemen, but in his own friendly and cooperative manner. Now they are coming round to his view as well as my view. Why should these people go on flattering themselves that they are

standing in some kind of heaven and the others are in abysmal depths? Our point of view is that we believe in planning, but of a different type, of a non-Nehruiian type, non-Soviet type.

Sir, it is not as if I am putting forward my claim for inclusion in their coalition. My party does not function so far in their elections. But the most important thing is, if this Government, if this ruling party, were to declare itself in favour of the kind of proposition I have made, much good would happen.

All this time, many people have been wondering in this country and abroad as to why it is that such large numbers of people in every State go on voting for the same type or different types of Congress people, in spite of the fact that corruption charges have been levelled against Chief Ministers and other Ministers, and they have been proved to the hilt. Chief Ministers have had to resign; many Ministers have had to resign. Many Ministries have had to change places and all over it is taken for granted that unless a Minister is able to prove his *bona fides* by his general conduct or by whatever he is able to show to the people that he is honest, our Ministers are not taken to be honest today. That is the unfortunate notoriety that the Congress Ministers have won. I pity the other honest Ministers who are mixed up with these bad people.

In spite of all this, people go on voting for them. There are various reasons. There is the name of Mahatma Gandhi and Panditji, which they have appropriated and also the two bullocks—“our bullocks”—which they have taken over. But more than any other reason, there is the reason of the fact that they happen to be the ruling party. In this country democracy has been a new thing. For a very long time our people have been accustomed to supporting and eulogising the people who are there as

rulers. Once you say that you are not going to depend upon mere majority rule, the people would have an opportunity of making a proper choice between Congressmen and Congressmen first of all and next between Congressmen and other political parties, not with the fear or hope that the Congress people will come back again into power, but they will try to find out to what extent they can be trusted and relied upon. A free opportunity would then be given for the first time to the voters in Kerala to make up their mind without being obsessed by this feeling of their being the ruling party. Therefore, I make this suggestion that this experiment should be made, with whatever alterations they would like to make; it is not progressive merely to pick holes in the suggestion I have made.

In conclusion, I would like to say, let them not think that they cannot entrust the governance of a State to a committee government. It would be in actual practice something like a committee government. Let them look at the budget of the London County Council or any of the great cities of the west. They would find that their incomes, their budgets are much bigger than that of Kerala. Their population also, sometimes, is much bigger than that of Kerala. Yet they are running it. There was a time when the Labour Party was in power and Mr. Herbert Morrison was the Chairman of the L.C.C. The Conservatives and Liberals attended the committees there as minorities. They never said they were persecuted in any way. All of them worked together. In some committees Conservatives presided, in some others the Liberals presided and in a majority of the committees the Labourites presided. In every committee the majority group had certainly its own majority. Yet they worked with the aid of consensus, they worked together and that provided a very good government. You have made these State Governments here to appear



[Shri Ranga]

very big, with a legislature, with a Governor and all sorts of things. Actually, is their position better than that of the L.C.C. vis-a-vis their Government? Our State Governments here are deficit governments, bankrupt governments. They depend upon grants-in-aid, subsidies and other assistance that the Government of India is giving. Their powers are also limited to a very great extent. Therefore, let us not break many bones over this difference between a local administration like the L.C.C. and a State Government here. Let the Central Government and Parliament make this experiment and let us see how it would work.

Let, for once, the Congress leadership make up their mind and demonstrate to the people that they are not so keen on governing everywhere at all costs and under all circumstances and that they are prepared to share power with other people, not in the way in which they have done, in the crab-like manner, but in a co-operative, comrade-like, decent, Gandhian manner, when it would be safe for other parties to co-operate with them without the fear of being subjugated, subverted, degenerated and ultimately destroyed.

**Shri Kappen (Muvattupuzha):** Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I rise to support the motion that is before the House. The happenings in Kerala make a sad story, sad because of the way the Ministry had been brought down and sad because of the events that have been happening. Every Member in this House has got a right to interpret the events according to his liking and give his own colour to it. But we in Kerala are deeply sad over what has happened there. I, therefore, would request the hon. Members of this House to approach this subject in a spirit of sympathy and goodwill towards us and look at it from that angle of affection and love.

When I speak of that, I remember what was said yesterday by Shri Khadilkar. To use the mildest expression, the statements he made were uncharitable. He felt that in Kerala a stable government under democratic set-up is impossible and, therefore, he suggested that Kerala may be split into two and added on to Madras and Mysore. When I heard that speech I was reminded of the learned philosopher who spoke about the transient nature of life and of the futility of weeping over dead people when his neighbour's child died but who wept bitterly when his own child died. I pray to God that Shri Khadilkar may not have an opportunity of experiencing the experience of that learned philosopher.

Sir, the people of Kerala are educated, enlightened, cultured and their political consciousness is of a high order as compared to what is obtaining elsewhere in this country. They cannot be herded together to act in a particular way as somebody would like. The herd mentality is that of the savage and the illiterate. They form their own opinions and act according to those opinions.

After the 1957 elections when the Communist Party came into power much was expected. The Communists went about always speaking in favour of the poor and the downtrodden. But when they got power of office they forgot all that they spoke and utilised the power to fill their own coffers, the coffers of their party and their friends. The notorious Andhra rice deal in which the Communist Party knocked off lakhs of rupees from the coffers of the starving millions is an instance in point. Again, the notorious 'cell rule' that they established, where people were brought before the cell courts and fined, was another instance intolerable to the people. They demoralised the people as a result of which there was no law and order in the country and life and property were unsafe. In spite of all



these, the people of Kerala were patient enough to carry the old man of the sea for 2½ years on their shoulders, when finally they rose as one man and drove the Government out of power.

Now, Shri Khadilkar was speaking in a mood of desperation. When people become desperate they act and speak in a way which may appear strange to others who are not in their circumstances. The fifteen MLA's who crossed the floor were in Shri Khadilkar's mood. The reasons that led them to act like that, their frustrations, their disappointments, the rebuffs they have received, their mental attitude and all these things are matters on which I do not wish to expatiate—I do not consider this is the forum for it.

Various suggestions have been made here by various hon. Members. Shri Ranga himself made some suggestions as to how the Government is to be run in Kerala. Shri Khadilkar made another suggestion. That is all very good. Sitting here, it is easy to make suggestions. When Shri Ranga made certain suggestions, I must tell him that we have tried almost all the methods that he has suggested. For example, at one time the PSP had only 19 members while the Congress had 45 members, and still the Congress allowed the PSP to run the administration of the State. What happened?

**Shri Koya (Kozhikode):** Why was that support withdrawn?

**Shri Kappen:** Shri Koya was once the Speaker of that Assembly; he knows better than me.

**Shri Alvares:** What do you mean by saying "allowed to run the administration"?

**Shri Kappen:** The Congress allowed them—you will be pleased to underline it—to form the Government. What happened? The people again rose against that Government

and in ten months' time that had to go. All these experiments that have been suggested, I do not think, are going to work now.

After speaking about what has happened there, what has to be done in future is really a matter for serious consideration. One thing I would request this House to remember is that the Ministry that has fallen now remained in office for the longest period. For 4½ years it continued in office. It is after four and a half years' rule that it has fallen. After the fall of the Communist Ministry, from its ashes rose this Ministry, supported by all the genuine democratic forces in the State. For four and a half years it was functioning smoothly. That Ministry gave a new hope to the people, industries sprang up in the State, everybody felt that something good is coming to the people and the people were generally happy. Only the Communists alone were not happy.

Shri A. K. Gopalan led a march across Kerala, the epoch march, declaring that by the time the march reached Trivandrum the foundation of the Congress Ministry would fall and that the Congress Ministry would go to the dogs. But nothing happened and the march ended in a fiasco. Shri Gopalan issued a statement that the police behaved admirably during the march. After the lawlessness that was created during the time of the Communist regime, there was proper law and order and a proper police administration in that State and everybody was happy. At that time, unfortunately, there was a small car accident in which the then Home Minister, Shri P. T. Chacko was involved, which created a hubbub. The Communist Party took that opportunity to create trouble. Unfortunately, some Congressmen also, I must admit with shame, joined hands with them. Even though the Communist Party created all that hubbub, everything quietened down but then a Member of the Congress Legislature Party,

## [Shri Kappen]

Shri P. Gopalan, went on fast unto death before the State Legislature, demanding the resignation of Shri Chacko. Finally, Shri Chacko resigned.

This was followed by a series of incidents, unprecedented in the history of Kerala. There was a dispute between Ezhavas and the Christians regarding the Thaikal burial place. That dispute ended in the desecration of the Thaikal Christian church and the Chief Minister, Shri Sankar, made a statement in the State Assembly that the occurrence took place at the dead of night, when there was heavy downpour, and so it was not possible to get any evidence in the case. Immediately after this statement, a series of church desecrations took place. Memoranda, petitions and resolutions were sent up in heaps but nothing happened. So far as the identity of the people involved in the Thaikal case is concerned, everybody knew them; yet the police took two months to arrest the real culprit and the case has gone to the dogs. More than 24 churches were desecrated and in that desecration the object of attack was Eucharist. According to the Christian belief, Eucharist is the body and blood of Christ. That was taken, torn and thrown into gutters or stamped under feet. There was a particular purpose behind these depredations. It went on unchecked and people began to wonder whether there is maintenance of law and order in the State or not. There was real commotion in the State and in the wake of it came the announcement by the Chief Minister, Shri Sankar, that the Inspector-General was going to be transferred. Just after a week of the announcement there was a news item in the papers that a secret file regarding the Inspector-General of Police was going to be published in a particular paper.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamaiah:** Mr. Deputy-Speaker, when my hon. friend is trying to inject such vigour

into his speech, he must have a quorum at least.

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** The hon. Member might resume his seat. The bell is being rung..... Now, there is quorum. He might continue his speech.

**Shri Kappen:** Just a week after this new item of the offering of the file for publication in the papers, photo-stat copies of the secret file appeared in a few Communist dailies. There was confusion in the country and then events followed in quick succession, ending with the fall of the present Ministry.

Now, let us not close our eyes and try to imagine there is darkness. It is a fact, and I am prepared to face it, that there were allegations of corruption against the Chief Minister. But what are those so-called allegations? Do they merit an enquiry? The allegations are that the Chief Minister used his official position to take on lease thousand acres of land at one rupee per acre for 99 years and that this document was registered in the name of "Lakshmikutty and others", Lakshmikutty being his wife, and that he planted 100 acres of land out of this with bud rubber at a cost of Rs. 1,500 per acre and that 50 acres of this was sold at Rs. 20,000.

The second charge is that the Chief Minister acquired six acres of land at Velli, which is kayal land and reclaimed it at a cost of Rs. 20,000 per acre. The third charge is that in the granting of schools bribes were taken, ranging from Rs. 3,000 to 25,000. One person went to the extent of saying "I am prepared to prove this; otherwise, I may be shot". Yet another charge is that one Sukumar Babu, a near relative of the Chief Minister, who is only a petty clerk in the Pemaswam Department, had acquired two fishing boats at a cost of more than Rs. 1 lakh. There are a number of charges like that.

Whoever has said that these charges are not being enquired into? Shri Patil who visited Kerala issued a statement that irrespective of the fate of the no-confidence motion these charges are going to be enquired into. So, I do not understand why so much of heat is generated on this. No one is going to fight shy over this enquiry.

14. hrs.

Something has been said about the shortage of rice in Kerala. It is a fact that there is no rice to be got in Kerala, whatever be the price you are prepared to pay, except one kilo or so from the ration shops for some people. There is really great suffering in that place. Due to incessant rain and due to want of rice which is the staple food of the people there, there is really great suffering. I would request, since the President has taken hold of the administration there, that it should be seen that rice is sent to Kerala immediately to relieve their suffering. In the coastal areas where the labourers live, people are not able to go to work because of rain and they have no money to purchase rice. Even if they have money, there is no rice available. Therefore I urge upon the Government that immediate steps may be taken to see that Kerala is supplied with sufficient quantity of rice.

Shri Nath Pai: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, the Resolution moved by the Minister of State in the House seeking the approval of the House for the President's Proclamation is a grim reminder of the total, chronic and incurable inability of this Government to learn by experience; of its inability to do anything unless compelled and forced to do and its inability to move unless it is pushed to move. It also reminds us of this Government's superficial understanding of the working of democracy. The Prime Minister, I think yesterday assured somebody that there is 100 per cent democracy in this country because he rules by the consent of the majority in the legislatures and in the nation's Par-

liament. May I, with all respect to him, submit to him that this is a very elementary, rudimentary, very superficial understanding of democracy? I would like to tell him that when democracy flowers and matures, therein lies the glory, the grace and the beauty of democracy; it is the ability to respond to the chord, even if the chord is moving in the slightest measure, in the hearts of the people whom democracy claims to be ruling; it is the discovery of the national consensus. It is not the pursuit of a majority which descends very soon into a brute majority.

I would also like to tell him that the events in Kerala and what is likely to happen in Orissa and in Kashmir are the rumblings, or rather grim rumblings, that the authority of the Centre and of the hierarchy of the ruling party is beginning to erode and weaken faster than they are realising. It is also a grim warning to the ruling party that it will no longer be able to impose its mandate and writ on the people of this country by permutations and combinations of the communal and caste considerations by rushing at the last minute a Patil who will hold before the people of the State either the carrot of favour or brandish the stick of party disciplinary action. That is not likely to happen. That is one more lesson which Kerala has served on the ruling party.

Shri Nanda speaking in this House on the Motion of No-confidence, claimed that his party is the sheet-anchor of stability and the hallmark of democracy. Obviously, he was oblivious of what was happening, when he was addressing this House in such boastful tones, in the State of Kerala. The sheet-anchor and the hallmark of democracy were lying in shambles in the State of Kerala when he was saying that he provides the sheet-anchor of stability and the hallmark of democracy in this country.

Here again I would like to submit— I hope, they will bear with me in

[Shri Nath Pai]

patience—that the Congress has been the unsettling factor in Kerala. It is the main cause of instability in that State. The reason is very simple. The Congress never functioned as a political organisation true to its own ideals, policies and programmes in that State. It was a front which it provided for factors, for forces, for elements which were basically casteist and communal. These casteist and communal leaders of Kerala professed loyalty to the Congress, but basically they remained loyal to the caste and the communal group to which they belonged. It was a marriage of convenience between the bosses of Jantar Mantar and the communal overlords of Kerala. Therein lies the seeds of the continued instability in the State of Kerala.

श्री योगेन्द्र झा (मधुबनी) : केरल में जो आज स्थिति पैदा हुई है, इसमें क्या आपकी पार्टी की जवाबदेही कम है? पहले चुनाव में आपकी पार्टी ने कम्युनिस्टों के साथ मिल कर चुनाव लड़ा, फिर कांग्रेस वालों के साथ मिल कर चुनाव लड़ा और इस बार फिर कम्युनिस्टों के साथ मिल कर चुनाव लड़ने जा रही हैं। इसके बारे में आपको क्या कहना है ?

श्री नाथ पाई : अगर मेरे माननीय दोस्त, पुराने दोस्त और साथी . . . .

श्री योगेन्द्र झा : मैं आपका साथी नहीं हूँ ।

श्री नाथ पाई : भूतपूर्व साथी . . . .

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत : भूतपूर्व ।

Shri Nath Pai: I would plead with you, Mr. Deputy-Speaker, when you come to the pressing of the bell to remember all this. I will always yield if the questions are honest like this one.

अगर मेरे दोस्त मेरे भाषण को सुनते तो उनको इसका भी जवाब मिल जाता । उनको चाहिए था कि आगे जो मैं कहने वाला था उसको भी सुन लेते । इस पर भी मैं आने वाला था । मेरे पास दो प्रमाणपत्र नहीं हैं, एक अपनी पार्टी के लिए और दूसरा दूसरी पार्टी के लिए । एक ही तरह के मेरे पास प्रमाणपत्र हैं । आगे मैं इसके बारे में कहने वाला था । अगर वह यहां बैठेंगे और सुनेंगे तो उनको पता चल जाएगा ।

I was submitting to you, Mr. Deputy-Speaker, what has been the main factor of instability in that State. Time and again it happens, as it has been pointed out yesterday even by speakers belonging to the ruling party, that these highly educated and highly intelligent people of Kerala are denied, what should be their elementary right as fellow Indians, a stable administration, a clean administration and an efficient administration. I think, my hon. friend, Shri Jha is partially right, not completely right, because now that he has crossed the floor he thinks that all the blame can be foisted on one side and all the virtues can be showered on the other side. That is not my approach. All are to blame. But, I think, basically the responsibility lies on those who have been holding the reins of power in this country for the past 17 years. The Congress never tried to function as a political party. Its Members are seeking unholy alliance I think, alliances among parties which have nothing in common and are only for the purpose of getting votes is a fraud on the people. I also will call it unholy. But the Congress basically was an unholy alliance itself in Kerala. Neither its secularism, nor its nationalism, nor its loyalty to democracy, nor to socialism was ever given a play in the State of Kerala. Its constant, perennial, perpetual pursuit was how to bring about a combination, a permutation whereby it will be able to get a majority in the legislature, if not as a majority of the

mandate of the people of Kerala. It was this subordination of the majority to this consensus of the people that should have been given for the people of Kerala. It was this original sin committed by the Congress for which they are today paying the penalty. Kerala will be a warning and it is not unlikely that such a tragic lesson will be repeated. Unless the proper inferences and conclusions are drawn very quickly by the Prime Minister, this hotchpotch remedy of rushing a Patil—I never understood whether he is going to intervene which I would like to know because he was making copious notes—this kind of a last minute remedy is not a solution. It puts the seal because he is likely to intervene, because he perpetually interferes in the affairs of Kerala. I do not deny the right.

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):** He is not intervening.

**Shri Nath Pai:** It is up to you to choose your spokesman. I would like the Prime Minister to think about this matter. Is it any solution to Kerala to try once again to get a majority which all the while carrying the dynamite of dissidency in its own ranks explodes periodically? The Congress carried within its own womb the time-bomb of the dissidents' rebellion in every State and this is becoming a pattern. If they want to stop this, the pursuit of the majority of the votes will have to be given up and the Congress will have to stand courageously, not by seeking the favour of this caste or that caste but by its programme if it is sincere about its programme which it has proclaimed.

I would now like to turn to what has been said by my hon. friend Shri Khadilkar. I wish that he were here. I had suggested to him that I wanted to reply, and I had suggested to him further that he should be present when I spoke. Shri Khadilkar has become a political vagrant of very

long standing. I cannot say that he is an elderly Congressman; actually, I cannot say that Shri Khadilkar is elderly anything, because he has never remained long enough in any political party. He is a Congressman, shall we say, an infant Congressman or a baby Congressman.

**Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:** Infantile.

**Shri Nath Pai:** He said something here yesterday about Indian democracy which I feel the House should take very serious note of.

Shri Khadilkar has taken the pledge of upholding the Constitution when he became a Member for the second time in this House. He was flagrantly preaching subversion of the Constitution when he was preaching for the imposition of one-party rule in this country. I think the House should take a very serious note when any Member, under the very roof which is the guarantor of our democracy of the multiparty system, will plead or preach—this is not a matter for rhetoric; the Lok Sabha is not a debating union; it is not a university campus; it is not an academic society—the subversion of the Constitution; it is not a matter to be brushed aside by giving a reply. The matter needs to be very seriously looked into because what he said amounted to nothing short of subversion of the Constitution, inasmuch as he pleaded that we should look to the example being provided by some countries. Are we so fed up with our own democracy? If something is wrong today with our democracy, it is not that there is anything wrong with democracy as such, but it is because of the way the Khadilkar and their leaders have handled this very delicate instrument of democracy. Before democracy is condemned like that that it is not workable in this country, it needs to be handled by people with greater courage, vision, integrity and honesty. It will not do to tell the people of this country that democracy is not workable, and let us try to

[Shri Nath Pai]

have one-party rule. We shall resent that; we shall reject it and I appeal to the House and to all the Congressmen also to ponder seriously over what one of their men was saying.

Shri Khadilkar, however, functions from the edge, from the fringe, from the periphery of the dung-hill of history, as he called it. Once he told us in this House in an effort—I do not know what the effort was; so, I shall leave it at that;—I think he was making a gallant effort during the debate on foreign affairs—that all of us would be sent to the dung-heap of forgotten things of history and only the Prime Minister would be remembered; he meant the revered ex-Prime Minister. Today, he is consigning our democracy to the dung-heap of history again. I would say that he somehow functions from the edge of a dung-heap, and, therefore, he always reaches this kind of wrong conclusion. I hope the House will take serious note of this kind of preaching in this very House.

Now, I would like to ask Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri a few questions. Firstly, I would like to ask why the kind of what happens in Kerala which really has happened. That is because you are never very careful about the standards which as a ruling party you are required to uphold. I read in the papers that Mr. Kamaraj, who has every right to go to Kerala as the leader of the Congress Party, was accompanied by Mr. U. N. Dhebar. Mr. U. N. Dhebar is not merely an ex-President of the Congress, but if I remember aright, he is the chairman of the Khadi and Gramodyog Commission, which is a statutory body. Are these the standards that we are going to set up before the people? Here is a man who holds such a public office like the chairmanship of a statutory body, but he will not hesitate to go and indulge in party platforms in party-politics. This constant debasement of democratic standards brings about a weakening of the people's faith in this delicate instrument

of democracy. I want a reply. In what capacity is Mr. U. N. Dhebar touring currently the State of Kerala? In what capacity has he gone there and what are his activities there? I want to know whether the Minister, or the Prime Minister, particularly who has only the other day, pledged us that it will be his endeavour to uphold the highest democratic standards, would like to use Mr. Dhebar's antics in Kerala as a shining example of democratic standards. Either he should resign and go, which I can quite understand, or he should not go. He is a Congressman of long standing. His heart is in the Congress Party. But then these divided loyalties would not do. He should resign, step down from the chairmanship of the commission and then go and work for his party. We recognise this right, but this kind of mixing, this kind of constant mixing of the apparatus of the State and the party machine has been one of the banes of this party.

I would like to point out to the Prime Minister what happens as a result of this, and how gradually the whole thing results in the withering away of the faith in democracy of our people.

There is the example, which the Prime Minister should take into consideration, of Goa. Here is an elected government functioning, and the government had its own view. How far is it finding a reflection in the policy that the Government of India is adopting? I cannot wholly hold Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri responsible for that because it is only recently that he has taken charge of it and he has made one or two right moves in the right direction. But I would like to say what happens to democracy, how it becomes a mockery and then it gets overthrown. Congress leaders should remember, and the Congress Benches should remember that democracy gets overthrown not by the sword or the bayonet of a potential dictator, but it gets dethroned from

the hearts of the people and then only its corpse is buried by a dictator as has happened in many neighbouring countries. If we do not want—and I think that here we have something in common in this House—these tragic lessons of the neighbouring countries to be repeated in this country, we must be very careful about this; you may defeat a man here or you may reject the plea of an Opposition Member, but when you basically will run counter to the express wishes of the people of a State or any area, to that extent, you undermine the edifice, the foundation and the structure of our democracy.

There was the small question of appointing the Chief Secretary of Goa. I think Shri Nanda took the position that he would not work with a secretary of whom he did not approve, and I think that he was very right, because the represented a popular government. But the Chief Minister of Goa also does represent a popular government, and nobody should try to foist on him, unless one wants to create an artificial crisis, a chief secretary of whom he will not approve. But there are double standards always. Can you not put up with a small non-Congress government in a single part of this country? It is this kind of example that comes before the eyes of the people which raises a question-mark in their minds, namely 'Will democracy function?', and it is this that gives an incentive and the line to men like Shri Khadilkar to say that this does not work and let us follow the example of Africa and try to impose one-party rule in this country. The remedy does not lie in that. The remedy is something which is very different.

Now, I shall come to another aspect. Shri Achutha Menon—now my hon. friend who challenged me should hear me very carefully—has warned my party in that State that that party should come into a United Front, and he said that if it did not come, his party was going to say 'Bold No' to my party. I want to tell Shri

Achutha Menon that I and my party say 'No' to his United Front, because a United Front in Kerala is what precisely the Congress has been, and the United Front of the Opposition will not be in any way better, because if the Congress is a hotch-potch of alliance and a front of heterogeneous elements which gathered together only for the booty and the loot of office, of the loaves and fishes of office and power and patronage and favour . . .

**Shri Bibhuti Mishra (Motihari):** What about my hon. friend's party?

श्री नाथ पाई : जरा आप फालो कीजिये । मैं जो कह रहा हूँ उसे सुनिये । अगर आप इतने उतावले न होते और सुनते तो मैं यह कह रहा हूँ ।

This will not do. I have the courage of saying that, that one unholy alliance cannot be countered and defeated by an equally unholy alliance of the incompatibles. Shri Achutha Menon should remember that he cannot bully and frighten some of us into going into the trap of the United Front. We shall reject the United Front. If the people want to choose us on such programmes, such policies and such record as we have, then we shall bow down to their verdict, but we shall not go into this United Front. I am talking for myself. So take it as it is. If it implies criticism of anybody, well, I stand by that criticism. If it implies criticism of the stand of some of my partymen, I stand by it. You try to cultivate such standards that your conscience and your loyalty to this country will always prevail above your loyalty to parties and whips.....

**Shri Bibhuti Mishra:** We have always been loyal to the country.

**Shri Nath Pai:**...as I am doing here. I am submitting that I shall be telling Shri Achutha Menon that there is a built-in danger; because of a built-in instability in the United Front, the Congress comes to a quarrel; and even before it begins to administer it begins to disintegrate in



[Shri Nath Pal]

the State of Kerala. Such is the fate of a united front of such heterogeneous elements.

Only yesterday, my hon. friend Shri Warrior dismissed all of us as reactionary. Who allied against him in bringing down what he called euphemistically the popular government of the Communist Party? It was a popular upsurge of the people of Kerala, which had risen there and which had swept aside his party. But he condemned them as reactionary. But his party is pursuing that very line; if the pursuit of pleas does not succeed then it wants to threaten and bully; if cajoling and coaxing will not succeed, then intimidation and blackmail will be done. I want to tell him that some of us are not going to bow down because loyalty to the people and the upholding of the welfare standards of the people of Kerala will be the criterion. A party may get a few votes extra by alliances, but Indian democracy does not advance by that. The constant consideration of true democrats and socialists in this country should not be whether a wretched party will get a few more seats in Parliament or in a legislature but whether the seat of democracy, that is, Parliament itself will continue. And if in that process, he has to make a sacrifice, he should have the courage of making that sacrifice. This is the standard that I want to observe; this is the standard I expect others to apply.

I want to say further this. Kerala constitutes a challenge, a challenge not only to the ruling party; it constitutes a challenge not only to Kerala; it constitutes a challenge to the whole country and to all parties. Kerala has become the testing crucible of Indian democracy. We cannot get away by pointing an accusing finger at some malady among Keralites. They are no worse, and in some ways they are better than the rest of India.

The challenge is something like this: what kind of government we en-

visage. I would like to say here that if we look to history, Kerala has something to teach us. Just as democracy is ailing in Kerala, in the past Hinduism was ailing in the whole of the country. And a great and mighty voice came from Kerala and gave a new dimension, a new vigour, a new light, a new lustre to that ailing religion called Hinduism. May be Kerala, which is being condemned as the death-bed of Indian democracy will, if we will have the courage, if we will have the loyalty, if we will have the vision—far from being the death-bed of Indian democracy—prove to be the cradle of the rebirth of a strident Indian democracy where the pursuit will not be by political parties for hopes of a few crumbs of power but the ideal pursuit of the maximum welfare of the maximum number, the consensus of the people of Kerala. To that ideal all parties should address themselves, and that party alone will ultimately succeed, not only in Kerala but in the rest of India, which will have the courage, the vision and the readiness to forgo temporarily, in the interests of this country, what are supposed to be immediately electoral gains. Elections we may lose, but let us be sure that democracy will be preserved: That is the greatest gain for all of us.

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** Shri Kashi Ram Gupta.

श्री क० ना० तिवारी (बगहा) : मैं एक सवाल पूछना चाहता हूँ। आपने डिमार्क्रेसी के बारे में इतना कहा लेकिन यह सब जानते हुए भी शेख अब्दुल्ला से नेगोलिएशन करने के लिये कैसे एडवांकेट किया ?

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** I have already called the next speaker.

श्री काशीराम गुप्त (अलवर) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, कल जब श्री हाथी यहाँ भाषण दे रहे थे तो मुझे ऐसा लगा कि बचन से वह



जायते की बातें कह रहे थे, लेकिन भीतर से उनका दिल भारी था, और उनका दिल भारी होना स्वभाविक था। इसका कारण यह था कि मंत्री महोदय को यह प्रस्ताव लाना पड़ा केरल की सरकार के बारे में जो कि कांग्रेस की सरकार थी और कांग्रेस जनों ने ही उसका विरोध किया था। और उसी विरोध का यह नतीजा था कि उस सरकार का पतन हो गया।

भारतवर्ष के इतिहास में यह प्रजातन्त्र के लिए एक कालिमा है और इससे किसी को भी खुशी नहीं होनी चाहिए। विरोधी पक्ष को भी इससे कोई खुशी नहीं है। पर मैं देखता हूँ कि कांग्रेस पक्ष इसका मातम मनाने को अभी तैयार नहीं है। वह अपनी गलती को टटोलने को तैयार नहीं है कि क्यों ऐसी स्थिति पैदा हुई। यह ठीक है कि सरकार के खिलाफ भ्रष्टाचार के आरोप आए और भी बहुत सी बातें थीं, किन्तु उन 15 प्रादमियों को इस प्रकार की हिम्मत कैसे पड़ी इस पर भी कांग्रेस जन सोचने को तैयार नहीं है।

मैं बताना चाहता हूँ कि एक कारण इस प्रकार की लोगों के हिम्मत करने का यह भी है कि कांग्रेस हाई कमान रोजाना इस प्रकार की नीति अपनाता है जिससे कि विरोधी दलों का पतन होता है, और वह समझते हैं कि इससे कांग्रेस को बल मिलता है। कुछ महीने पहले जब पी० एस० पी० के कुछ सदस्य कांग्रेस में शामिल हो गए तो यहां यह नारा लगाया गया कि भुवनेश्वर रिजोल्यूशन के तहत यह सब कुछ किया जा रहा है। समाजवाद को मजबूत करने के लिए यह किया जा रहा है। मैं सोचता था कि अशोक मेहता साहब या और लोग वहां जाकर कुछ थोड़ा से बर्दमानों को निकाल सकेंगे, और अगर वह कुछ बर्दमान कांग्रेसियों को निकाल सकते तो मैं समझता हूँ कि समाजवाद मजबूत हुआ, लेकिन वह किसी ऐसे कांग्रेसी को नहीं निकाल सके और उनकी स्थिति वही हो गयी जो कि समुद्र में या पानी में डालने पर नमक

की हो जाती है। वे उसी प्रकार कांग्रेस में समा गये।

एक माननीय सदस्य : बर्दमान निकाल दिए जायेंगे तो कौन रह जायेगा ?

श्री काशीराम गुप्त : अभी अभी बिहार में जो स्वतन्त्र पार्टी का कांड हुआ क्या वह भी समाजवाद को मजबूत करने के लिये हुआ था। क्या वे इस प्रकार समाजवाद को मजबूत कर रहे हैं। कांग्रेस पार्टी के रोजाना के कारनामे ही इस प्रकार के भ्रष्टाचार की जड़ हैं। मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूँ कि यदि यही हालत रही तो जितने भी प्रदेश हैं वे एक दिन इसी तरफ जाने वाले हैं।

मैं राजस्थान की ओर आपका ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूँ। राजस्थान की सरकार बहुमत के आधार पर नहीं बनी। एक प्रादमी को जो इंडिपेंडेंट था उसको साथ लेकर बनी। क्योंकि हमारे देश में कोई प्राचार संहिता नहीं है इसलिए इस प्रकार के काम को लोग पाप नहीं समझते।

एक माननीय सदस्य : सदाचार समिति है।

श्री काशीराम गुप्त : मैं उस पर भी आ रहा हूँ।

तो राजस्थान में नतीजा क्या हुआ ? वहां सरकार बना ली, वह सरकार चली और उसने कोशिश की कि जिस का भी धर्म भ्रष्ट किया जा सके करो और लोगों को अपनी तरफ लाओ। उस से भी उनको सन्न न हुआ और बेचैनी बढ़ी। जब नन्दा जी ने भ्रष्टाचार के खिलाफ आन्दोलन की बात कही तो वहां के कांग्रेस जनों के दिलों में डर हुआ कि कहीं हमारे पाप खुल न जायें, इसलिए एकता का नारा लगाया गया और उसका नतीजा यह है कि आज ऐसे प्रादमियों को कांग्रेस का टिकट दिया जा रहा है जिनके खिलाफ आरोप थे और जिन को एक जमाने में कांग्रेस हाई कमान बहुत नफरत की निगाह से देखता था। आज एकता के

[श्री काशीराम गुप्त]

नाम पर वहां यह सब कुछ किया जा रहा है। यह सब क्या है। यह अपनी जड़ें खोखली करने की तैयारी है।

मैं निवेदन करूँ कि जब से नन्दा जी ने भ्रष्टाचार विरोधी आन्दोलन प्रारम्भ किया तो कुछ लोगों के दिमाग में यह खयाल आया कि कुछ भ्रष्ट कांग्रेसियों के खिलाफ भी कार्रवाई की जायगी, मुझे तो यह विश्वास नहीं था। लेकिन इस बारे में अलवर में बड़े जोर से भफवाह फैली। वहां कुछ ऐसे आदमी हैं जिन के खिलाफ सबूत की जरूरत नहीं है, जनता ने उन का सबूत बोर्ड पर टांग रखा है। अलवर में कहा गया कि उन लोगों से एक व्यक्ति गिरफ्तार किया गया है। किन्तु वह व्यक्ति जीप में बैठ कर बाजार में निकला यह दिखाने के लिये कि वह गिरफ्तार नहीं किया गया है। तो लोगों को सूझ आ गयी और उन्होंने कहा कि यह 25 हजार की जमानत दे कर छूट कर आया है। जब सत्ताधारी पार्टी के लोगों के प्रति जनता में यह भावना हो तो प्रजातंत्र की नींव कहाँ और किस के ऊपर टिकने वाली है।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यहां आजादी आने के बाद कोई आचार संहिता नहीं बनी कि कोई भी आदमी जिस पार्टी से चुनाव लड़ कर आता है उसी पार्टी में रहेगा और चुने जाने के बाद किसी दूसरी पार्टी में नहीं शामिल हो सकेगा। अगर एसी आचार संहिता बनी होती तो आज यह स्थिति न होती। मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हूँ कि इस बारे में मैं ने भूतपूर्व प्रधान मंत्री को एक पत्र लिखा था। उसमें मैंने लिखा था कि आप केवल कांग्रेस पार्टी के नेता नहीं हैं, आप राष्ट्र के नेता भी हैं, क्या आपके होते हुए कोई आचार संहिता नहीं बन सकती, अगर आप सारे देश के लिए नहीं बना सकते तो अपने दल के लिए ही बनाओ, और क्या आप के होते हुए कम्पनियों से चन्दा लेने की प्रथा समाप्त नहीं की जा सकती। मुझे उन का जवाब मिला जिसमें

उन्होंने कहा एक तुम्हारी बात सही है, लेकिन मेरा बरा नहीं चलता। उन्होंने कहा कि मैं कम्पनियों से चन्दा लेने के खिलाफ हूँ फिर भी प्रधान मंत्री होते हुए उन का बरा उसमें नहीं चला। इस प्रकार की बातें क्या जाहिर करती हैं। उन्होंने कहा कि आचार संहिता होनी चाहिये, किन्तु वे इस हालत में नहीं हैं कि सब के लिए आचार संहिता बना सकें, लेकिन कांग्रेस के लिए कुछ करने की कोशिश करेंगे।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, उस कोशिश का नतीजा हमारे सामने है। प्रश्न तो यह है कि अभी भी कांग्रेस की आँखें नहीं खुलती हैं लेकिन मैं कहना चाहता हूँ कि हमारे विरोधी पक्ष के जो लोग हैं वह भी किसी कठिनाई में आ कर पड़ गए हैं। मूल बात यह है कि प्रजातंत्र का मूल आधार यह है कि निजी सम्पत्ति किस रूप में और कितनी किमी के पास रहे। निजी सम्पत्ति को कतई खत्म करना कम्युनिस्ट विचारधारा की बात हो सकती है लेकिन वह प्रजातंत्र की विचारधारा की बात नहीं हो सकती है। दरअसल कम्युनिस्ट्स भी उस को इस देश में खत्म करने के पक्ष में नहीं हैं अलबत्ता सिद्धान्त रूप में जरूर उसे खत्म करने के पक्ष में हैं। इसलिए ही उन से खतरा हमेशा पैदा होता है। लेकिन दूसरी बात यह भी है कि उस निजी सम्पत्ति की कोई न कोई सीमा निर्धारित होनी चाहिए हमारे देश में। सीमा निर्धारण की बात न कह कर आजीविका की सीमा निर्धारण करने और आय को बांधने की बात करते हैं जोकि एक नामुमकिन बात है। जब तक सम्पत्ति की सीमा नहीं होगी तब तक आय को सीमित कर नहीं सकते हैं . . . .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member has spoken for eight minutes. We are concerned here with the Kerala proclamation, and not one word has been said by the hon. Member about it.

**Shri Kashi Ram Gupta:** I am coming to that, Sir.

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** The hon. Member should be relevant to the main motion before the House.

श्री काशी राम गुप्त : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह जो केरल की समस्या है, शुरू में जैसे मैंने बतलाया यह सारी कांग्रेस पार्टी की गलत और गंदी नीतियों का नतीजा है और वही मेरा विषय है। खाली मैं ने ही नहीं बल्कि रंगा साहब, खाडिलकर और नाथ पाई साहब और कल जो माननीय सदस्य बोले उन्होंने भी इस बात के ऊपर कहा है। खाडिलकर साहब एक पार्टी रूल की बात करते हैं। इसलिये मैं केरल के सम्बन्ध में ही कहते हुए अर्ज कर रहा हूँ कि यदि हम को इस देश में प्रजातंत्र को सफल और सबल बनाना है तो निश्चित रूप से उन ऊपर बताई गई नीतियों को अपनाना पड़ेगा। केरल के सम्बन्ध में मैं अगर कांग्रेस पार्टी और विरोधी दलों को जानते की बात निवेदन करूँ तो वह यह है कि केरल के अन्दर जो कुछ गड़बड़ी चली और अभी है या भविष्य में जो होने वाली है वह भी इस आधार पर होगी कि किस प्रकार की नीति यह कांग्रेस सरकार और कांग्रेस दल वहाँ पर अपनाता है।

अभी वहाँ पर समाजवादी और कम्युनिस्ट्स आदि मिल कर मोर्चा बनायेंगे। वह जो मोर्चा वहाँ बना रहे हैं वह इस देश में इस बात की एक परीक्षा होगी हमारी कि किस प्रकार से अगर वह कांग्रेस को वहाँ पर समाप्त कर सकेंगे तो सरकार बना भी सकेंगे अथवा नहीं। इसलिए उन को अभी से सोचना पड़ेगा। यह चुनौती है उन को भी कि किस प्रकार से शासन चला सकेंगे अथवा नहीं चला सकेंगे। यदि केरल के अन्दर शासन नहीं चला सके और फिर इस प्रकार के चुनाव हुए तो मैं फिर कहूँगा कि खतरे की घंटी सारे देश के लिए है केवल एक जगह के लिए नहीं है। आज वह केरल के लिए है, कल वह राजस्थान के लिए हो सकती है। परसों वह मध्यप्रदेश, पंजाब और उत्तर प्रदेश के लिए है। अगर यह हालत चलने दी गई और

बीमारी जारी रही और बढ़ती गई तो तीसरे स्टेज में जैसे कि तपेदिक हो जाया करता है वैसे ही हमारी हालत होने वाली है और यह केन्द्र के लिए भी हो सकती है।

केरल की वर्तमान स्थिति में सरकार के बास्ते दूसरा कोई उपाय नहीं था। केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास में यही एक रास्ता रह गया था कि वहाँ पर प्रेसीडेंट रूल कर दिया जाय। इस नाते यह प्रस्ताव यहाँ पर आया है तो प्रस्ताव को तो हमें मानना है क्योंकि उस के अलावा और कोई रास्ता नहीं है। लेकिन मैं यह अवश्य निवेदन करना चाहूँगा कि अगर हम लोगों ने, सारे देश के विभिन्न नेताओं और इस सदन ने जोकि एक सार्वभौम सत्ता सम्पन्न सदन है, हम सब ने इस प्रश्न पर गम्भीरता से नहीं सोचा कि भारतवर्ष की बीमारी जोकि मल्टी पार्टी सिस्टम की है वह किस प्रकार से समाप्त हो और किस प्रकार से यहाँ दो, तीन पार्टी बन सकें, इस प्रयत्न को यदि हम नहीं कर सके तो हम इस बात के लिए कर्लकित कहलायेंगे कि हम ने अपने कर्तव्य का पालन नहीं किया।

हम अपने कांग्रेसी साथियों से अक्सर सुनते हैं कि वे चाहते हैं कि एक सबल विरोधी, दल यहाँ पर हो। वे कहते हैं कि कभी कभी उन्हें स्वयं विरोधी दल का काम करना पड़ता है। क्या वह ईमानदारी से यह चाहते हैं कि विरोधी दल यहाँ पर सबल बने? अगर वह दरअसल ऐसा चाहते होते तो इस प्रकार का कर्म नहीं करते। यदि वह चाहते हैं तो केरल में 15 व्यक्ति विद्रोह क्यों कर गये हैं। पाटिल साहब जाते हैं और भी लोग जाते हैं लेकिन वे नाकामयाब रहते हैं। उन लोगों ने पूरा विद्रोह वहाँ पर किया। जिस पार्टी की सरकार हो उस पार्टी के लोग इस प्रकार से खुला विद्रोह करें और फिर भी उस को आप्रजातंत्र के लिए चुनौती न समझें तो मैं यह समझूँगा कि हम ने प्रजातंत्र केवल मजाक के लिए रहने दिया है और उस के ऊपर विश्वास नहीं रखना चाहते हैं। इस लिए यदि हम चाहते हैं कि केरल की

[श्री काशिराम गुप्त]

पुनरावृत्ति न हो तो पहली बात तो यह करनी होगी कि वहां जो भूमि सुधार का कानून है उस को पूरा मोच विचार कर के तय करें। उस ने जो वहां पर एक खलबली मचा दी है अगर उस खलबली को शांत नहीं किया गया और जनता को संघोष प्रदान नहीं किया गया तो एक बड़ी भयंकर स्थिति पैदा हो जायगी। इस चीज को केवल पार्टी लाइंस पर नहीं सोचना चाहिए। किसान के हित में वहां क्या बात है उस को अभी से सोचना होगा क्योंकि वही मुख्य समस्या उन के सामने आयेगी।

अन्त में मुझे एक निवेदन और करना है और वह यह कि यह देश इस प्रकार का देश है जिसमें भिन्न भिन्न प्रकार के लोग रहते हैं। इस देश में हम को प्रजातंत्र चलाना है और जमाना है। प्रजातंत्र को हम पार्टी सिस्टम के आधार पर चलाने की बात करते हैं और उस में समाजवाद लाने की बात करते हैं जोकि दूसरे देशों में नहीं है। इसलिए जब तक हमारी अपनी विचारधारा इस प्रकार की न बन जाय जिसके ऊपर अंगुली न उठ सके, भले ही वह किसी पक्ष की सरकार हो, वह प्रजातंत्र को कायम नहीं रख सकती है। मैं इस सदन के तमाम सदस्यों से यह नम्र निवेदन करूंगा कि हमें अब यह बात तय करनी चाहिए कि आया कौन सी बात खराब है अथवा नहीं। अब रहा यह कि कोई मिनिस्टर कोई जमीन ले लेता है या नहीं, कोई और कुछ कर लेता है या नहीं इस से काम नहीं चलेगा या हम केवल यह कह दें कि भाई हमारा खर्चा और आमदनी एक हजार रुपये से ज्यादा नहीं होना चाहिए इससे भी काम नहीं चलेगा। मैंने डा० लोहिया को इस बात को लेना और समझा। उन की बात तो अच्छी है लेकिन मैं सोचता हूं कि अगर हजार रुपये की आमदनी और खर्च की बात कहेंगे तो यह भी कहना पड़ेगा कि यहां पर मोटरकारें नहीं होनी चाहिए और यहां जो

सदन में रोज चिल्लाया जाता है कि सस्ती कारें चलायें उस का फिर क्या बनेगा? इस तरह से तो हजार वालों को सस्ती कारें भी नहीं मिल सकती हैं। इसलिए पहले फैसला कर लें कि इस देश का क्या ढांच बना कर रखना है। उस को कारें नहीं चाहिए तो बत्तों पर चलेगा, साइकिलों पर चलेगा कोई फिफ्ट की बात नहीं है। हमें यह निश्चित करना होगा कि प्रजातंत्री व्यवस्था में हमारा समाजवाद का कौन सा रूप रहने वाला है। हमारी अर्थ व्यवस्था क्या हो इस का फैसला आज तक नहीं हो सका है अलबत्ता अलग अलग दल अपने अपने मत से समाजवादी ढांचे को रख रहे हैं अलग अलग तरह से रख रहे हैं और इसी फैसले के अभाव में केरल में जो हालत हो रही है उस हालत को पनपा रहे हैं। केरल की पुनरावृत्ति अन्यत्र न हो जाय इसके लिए हमें सावधानी बर्तनी होगी और इसलिए इन सब बातों पर विचार करना बहुत ही आवश्यक है और उस का उपाय करना भी अत्यावश्यक है। धन्यवाद।

**Shri Koya:** Mr. Deputy-Speaker, a Government which started with betrayal ruled with betrayal and died with betrayal, was buried unsung, unhonoured, unwept. And we are driven to the inevitable conclusion that only President's rule is possible for the time being there. Therefore, I did not find anything abnormal in the hon. Minister bringing forward this motion. When the opposition parties could not form a government of their own even after the Governor had asked them to do so, there was no other go but to declare President's rule. Therefore, there is nothing to criticise the Government about.

The speakers who preceded me and by whose speeches I have become wiser gave a background of Kerala's situation. Many of them called Kerala a problem State. Kerala is not a problem State, Sir; it is a pro-

blem-solving State. We have solved many problems which you in the North could not solve. Take, for example, the communal problem. It is a State where mosques and churches and temples go together. It is a State where Hindus, Muslims and Christians live together without there being any untoward incidents. My hon. friend Shri Trivedi was criticising the Muslim League. But this is a State where the Muslim League was working very actively, but we have no communal troubles there.

**Shri Bade (Khargone):** There the Muslim League was revived by the Congress.

**Shri Koya:** Sir, of all the people in the world, for Shri Trivedi to oppose communalism is just like a prostitute preaching on chastity. (*Interruptions*).

**Shri Bade:** Sir, can he refer to a Member and say like that? I want to raise a point of order. Can he compare a speaker, and give the example of a prostitute in this House?

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** He did not compare.

**Shri Bade:** He said it was like a prostitute giving a lecture on chastity.

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** He never called anybody a prostitute.

**Shri Koya:** It is a pity that the hon. Member does not know about idioms and phrases, and I am very sorry I cannot teach him the dictionary meanings of certain idioms and phrases that we use. I have no objection to bear with interruptions, but the time at my disposal is very short.

This instability in Kerala was not the creation of the people of Kerala. It was the creation of the Congress High Command. It was the tantras and mantras of Jantar Mantar Road that was responsible for all the troubles in Kerala. We gave a very stable Government, we gave them a

very comfortable majority. The Muslim League supported the United Front candidates. Immediately after they got a comfortable majority, we were told: you are not to be in the Cabinet. Still, we pondered over the matter, and in the interests of stable Government in Kerala, we said: you rule, we will support you. Can such magnanimity be expected from any party in Kerala, where even when we were denied a share in Government and we were asked not to be in the Government we supported them in the name of the United Front which was there in Kerala?

Shri Maniyanadan said that there was nothing but an electoral arrangement, there was no such agreement. We thought that we were dealing with gentlemen in Kerala. We thought those who were fighting the elections together, would rule together. Many responsible people like Shri S. K. Patil and the late Shri P. T. Chacko said so, and it was reported in the papers that those who were fighting the election together would rule together. The slogan which was heard in the villages of Kerala was: Pattom Chacko Mannam Sankar Bafakly Thangal Zindabad. But one after another, all the others went away, and Sankar remained, and that Sankar was defeated, and naturally, we are not sorry for that. The betrayers got what they deserved.

As I said, we told them: you rule. Thereafter, they asked us to take up the Speakership. We took up the Speakership. We were in the Joint Parliamentary Party. I was one of the co-chairmen of the Joint Parliamentary Party. Can he deny these facts? If there was no alliance, what was the justification for the Joint Parliamentary Party? Shri Maniyanadan took it very easy. If there was no alliance, what was the meaning of bringing Shri Pattom Thanu Pillay, leader of the smaller party, to lead the Joint Parliamentary Party? We know something about democracy. It is the biggest party with the biggest number that rules.

[Shri Koya]

So, there was this alliance, but there were certain difficulties facing the Congress which they told us, and we asked them to go on. Our contribution to the democratic set-up in Kerala was its Speaker. When the Speaker died, the Congress Party who ought to have consoled us as a sister party, simply declared that we had to give up the Speakership because there was some trouble in Jabalpur, and a resolution had been passed in Durgapur. We were asked to sever our connections with the Muslim League and then take up the Speakership. In the interests of unity, to prevent happening what has happened yesterday, we made a second sacrifice. My humble self severed all connections with the Muslim League when I was assured by the Joint Parliamentary Party that I would be made the Speaker. I severed my connections with the Muslim League Party, and accepted Speakership.

Shri Maniyangadan said we went away from the alliance because they could not help us in parliamentary election. That was not the case. In all the 126 constituencies, we supported them in the elections and when there was one by-election in Kuttipuram, they said there was the trouble of Durgapur, resolution, and they were not going to support us in Kuttipuram. This is the kind of betrayal we got, and after a few more humiliations the time had come when we had to part company, say farewell, and we went away. We had no other go.

Shri Pattom Thanu Pillay was made Governor. Shri Maniyangadan said: after all, we are making P.S.P. men also Governors, we are generous, magnanimous. Was it like that? There were hundreds of P.S.P. and other party men in this country. None of them was made Governor. This was a consolation price for Shri Pattom Thanu Pillay. It was political corruption. In this country such political corruption takes place.

I was told the Governor of U.P. was consulted about the political

situation in Orissa. The head of a State should not be brought into the picture when we have political squabbles. I even object to an ex-Speaker being made a Governor. That is also a bad precedent, which you cannot find in any other country.

Shri Pattom Thanu Pillai was made Governor. The Praja Socialist Party understood that the time had come when they were also to go away. Pattom's party was also given up. Then the Congress said: I am the monarch of all I survey, and we are going to rule.

There were other questions. Shri P. T. Chacko, the great leader of the liberation movement, the gentleman who was responsible for bringing the Congress Government to power, was dropped like a hot potato. The Chief Minister said that he had no confidence in the Home Minister.

There were complaints about the dissidents, and it was said that the dissidents were responsible for all the trouble. There were charges, against the dissidents. Even after we left the Party, even after I was opposed by the Congress Party in elections, when there was a no-confidence motion, the Muslim League Party was neutral. When I was Speaker, there was a motion of no-confidence brought by Shri Janardanan, who is now in the Congress, and in that no-confidence motion, we voted with the alliance. In the second no-confidence motion also, in the interests of a stable Government, we were neutral. Ours is the only party, I claim, which was always striving for a stable Government, and every time the Government fell due to the acts of the Congressmen themselves.

They criticise the dissidents. What did they do? The dissidents gave a memorandum to the Congress High Command. The High Command did not pay any heed to the memorandum. I was told that they did not even get a decent treatment at the

hands of the High Command. The present Congress President has got a *parkkalam* theory, that is, let us wait and see. He waited. The dissidents were fed up. They had no other go but to vote against the Government.

The moment we withdrew our support to the Congress Party, they ought to have resigned, because all the Members of the Congress Party were there because of our votes, the votes of the P.S.P., and the followers of people like Mannath Padmanabhan. Therefore, they had no moral right to rule.

They again lost the right to rule when the Praja Socialist Party, which is now merged into the S.S.P., left them. But they waited and waited until they have got this dishonourable end.

And in those days, what did they do when many people crossed the floor? Narayana Kurup, elected on P.S.P. ticket, joined the Congress, and they welcomed him. Janardanan they welcomed. Kunju Krishna Nadar, who came to the Assembly opposing and defeating a Congressman, they welcomed when he rejoined the Congress. And now, the Congress has already opened its back door to hundreds of maharajas and zamindars in Bihar. Shri Morarka, the other day, was saying that people were standing in a queue to join the Congress. Yes, I find that the people are saying that Congressmen like Acharya Kripalani and Professor Ranga are going out, and maharajas and zamindars are standing in queue to join the Congress, and Shri Morarka will naturally be happy over it. But how can they blame the dissidents?

The dissidents had no other go but to oppose the Government, and it was the Congress High Command which was responsible for this also. It was the High Command which gave the direction that the Muslim League should not be included in the Cabinet, it was the High Command

which was responsible for the unstable state of affairs, it was the High Command which wanted the Muslim League Member to give up his party affiliation to become Speaker.

Why did we go out of the coalition Government? When we were still in a joint party, the then President of the Kerala Pradesh Congress Committee, the late Shri C. K. Govindan Nair, said that those who were saying that we were still in the ruling party must be taken to the lunatic asylum at Oolampara. This is the kind of treatment given to us. The Congress people thought that in spite of all these humiliations, in spite of all these experiences, we would still cling to the Congress Party. That never happened. The people of Kerala were fed up with the Government, the corrupt administration, a Government which believed in political corruption. If the dissidents had been one or two men, they would have made them Governors. It is very difficult in this country to make 15 Members Governors and Ambassadors. The dissidents had no other go but to oppose the Government, and that Government fell.

My hon. friend, Shri Khadilkar, the leftist of the rightists, was trying to have some alliance with the rightists of the Communist Party. He says, we have got many things in common; it is only the Swatantra Party of Acharya Ranga which is opposed to the Plan; therefore, something like a coalition is possible. I do not know whether Mr. Warior considers it to be holy or unholy.

**Shri Warior:** It is completely unholy.

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** The hon. Member's time is up.

**Shri Koya:** Coming from Kerala and interested in Kerala affairs, I request your indulgence a little further.

Shri Warior said something about the unholy alliance or unholy combination. The Communist Party is

[Shri Koya]

trying for various combinations which according to the theory of Mr. Warior may be sacred or holy. The group of the Communist Party led by my hon. friend E. M. S. Namboodiripad is considered a very reactionary group by Mr. Warior's group, that is the rightists. They are now almost forming an alliance which may be a very holy alliance. I know how the Communist Party came to power previously and what all alliances they had at that time. We all know of at least two or three independents who were in the Communist Ministry and who were supported in their elections by the so-called reactionaries according to Mr. Warior. The Communist Party must thank Mr. Mannath Padmanabhan for getting them the rare opportunity of coming into power in Kerala through the ballot box and when he withdrew his support they lost all these seats in Central Travancore. Therefore, let us not discuss this question of holy and unholy alliance at all.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I was trying to say that the people of Kerala had nothing to do with these things. They gave a stable administration with a creditable majority. It was the ruling party's dissidents, their groupism and their communalism which was responsible for this situation. They accuse others of communalism. What is the Congress in Kerala if it is not a combination of communal parties? The leader of the SINDP will be a Congressman in the morning but in the night he will be working for the SINDP. The leader of the NSS will be a Congressman in the night and will work for the NSS in the morning. All these people say when the time comes, from the Congress platform: we do not want communalism; communalism must be eschewed. This is the type of sermons that we hear from these people themselves who have no right to preach such sermons. Now, of course, the inevitable has happened in Kerala and the President's rule has come.

We have got certain difficulties with regard to food. Prices have gone up. The poor people of Kerala find it difficult to make both ends meet. Food is not available in many fair price shops and in the open market also. The black market rate has gone up. The stock in the Government godowns is low. There is no Assembly there. People should not be allowed to suffer. Therefore, I request the hon. Food Minister to see that adequate food is supplied immediately to Kerala. The elected Members of Parliament from Kerala may be taken into confidence when the Plan is being discussed. It is not our fault that always when the Plan is discussed and formulated, the Government there falls. The PSP Ministry fell when the Plan was about to be taken up. The Congress withdrew its support then for reasons best known to its own Members. Therefore, we suffered. Government should see that Parliament Members from Kerala are taken into confidence when the Plan is discussed and when other matters also are taken up. I thank you, Sir, for giving me time.

Shri P. G. Menon (Mukundapuram): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, the events that happened in Kerala early this month should cause distress to everyone in this House who loves democracy and wants to see that institutions of representative government should grow here, irrespective of the fact whether they belong to the Congress, Communist, SSP or any other Party. I feel that this is not the occasion to grow eloquent about the shortcomings of the Ministry that fell or about the incidents which led to it. If necessary, it would be possible for some of us to speak for hours on that matter. Now, what is it that happened? A no-confidence motion was moved by a member who was a leader of a party of fifteen members, the SSP. Then, there were the Communists who belonged to the right category and to the left category. Then, there were the Muslim League



members and then there were these fifteen friends who seceded from the Congress Party. I am not on this occasion discussing whether there were proper reasons for their secession or not or whether it was good or bad.

But the question is this. It is high time that in India in the Centre as well as in the States we developed conventions and rules regarding no-confidence motions to pull down Governments. The Constitution only says that the Ministry shall be jointly responsible to the Legislature. Is it a politically right? Is it a sign of political maturity that a group of people who can never dream of forming a Government should think of moving a no-confidence motion and bring down the administration? Forget for a moment the view of certain people that that the Congress Government there was bad. I do not want to join issue on that matter in this forum because it will be irrelevant. The members of the Muslim League and the Communist Party, the SSP and all the others was eloquent about the shortfalls of the Congress Party. Was it proper, four or five months before the general elections, when there was not a ghost of a chance of forming an alternate Government, was it proper on the part of the SSP leader to move a no-confidence motion? Was it proper for them to press it to a conclusion? According to me, the constitutional practice that we should follow is that a no-confidence motion is a device to replace a Government. If you want to criticise the Government and find fault with the Government there are other types of motions available. I am not finding fault with individuals but I am only referring to the political immaturity which still prevails everywhere in India including Kerala. There are people who think that this is fun which they can indulge in to walk into the Legislature, and move a no-confidence motion, pull down the Ministry, and then say when the Governor calls them, "No, No; we cannot

form a Government." This kind of tactics should stop in India if we want responsible government to grow. Opposition parties must have the patience to tolerate a government when they cannot form an alternate government. This is what I want to submit.

15 hrs.

I do not agree that on occasions like this the Ministry should be dismissed. I am not opposing this motion: I am just raising at large a question, a policy that should be followed. This frequent imposition of President's rule in the States should stop. This attitude on the part of the Union Ministry that the State Governments are their wards and guardianship can be imposed from time to time depending upon the exigencies of the occasion, will be an obstacle for development of democratic conventions and rights. Now, the Assembly is dissolved. If this happens here—God forbid—what would follow?

**An Hon. Member:** General election.

**Shri P. G. Menon:** General election. That is what I say. Unless the people of the State feel, the political parties in the States feel that irresponsible action will be followed by immediate general elections without President Rule intervening and unless the people so adjust their conduct that a stable government will emerge, the people will never learn the lesson of democracy. We must occasionally learn by mistakes.

The Chief Minister of Kerala, belonging to the Congress party, lost his majority because 15 friends of his deserted him; the no-confidence motion was passed because certain—may I use that word—irresponsible elements in the Opposition thought it fit to bring about confusion and anarchy in the country. President's rule is no anarchy, but it is a substitute for the situation of anarchy which the Centre imposes. The Opposition waxes eloquent on the question of the need for a strong Opposition in the country. Is

[Shri P. G. Menon]

this responsible Opposition? I am not speaking with particular reference to Kerala now. I take this occasion to urge upon hon. friends on all sides of this House to have a sense of responsibility and avoid these occasions. It is good that the Congress Chief Minister resigned because it would have been difficult for the Congress, under the situation, to fight the election with the Ministry, over which a no-confidence motion was passed, continuing in office, but correctly speaking, there should be no vacuum, and President's rule should come only when there is no other go. There was a government; that government should continue, should have continued, so long as no one in the opposition was in a position to replace that government. Unless we adopt these conventions, we shall not be able to grow democratically strong.

**Shri Koya:** What about the Constitution?

**Shri P. G. Menon:** The Constitution does not say that when a no-confidence motion is passed, President's rule should come. The Constitution only says that when it is impossible to carry on the administration according to the Constitution, then President's rule will come. That situation will arise only when after one or two general elections, it is found that the people of the country still do not behave.

Shri Ranga in his speech referred to Kerala being a problem State. In fact, whenever my State is referred to, it is referred to as a problem State by those of us who come from Kerala and those friends who do not belong to Kerala. What is the problem of Kerala? Unless you understand that, unless the Union Government understands that problem, unless the hon. friends in this House belonging to various political parties and various States in India understand that, the Kerala question cannot be discussed properly. The problem of Kerala is

not political. The problem of Kerala is not even one of caste, as suggested by Shri Nath Pai. The problem of Kerala is economic, and it is out of the economic situation that communalism has developed in Kerala. Look at the situation. No Member who spoke about the Kerala situation referred to the problem of high density of population in Kerala. Have hon. friends in this House ever thought about it? They waxed eloquent....

**Shri Koya:** That is not relevant here.

**Shri P. G. Menon:** That is relevant. That is more relevant than many of the irrelevancies referred to by some friends. What is it? Here is a country where the density of population is 1,025 per sq. mile, a place where education is widespread, education with all the hopes of a good and full life given to the people, and unemployment which leads to frustration. The Government of Kerala happens to be the main job-giver, the main source of employment to the people of Kerala, to those educated people of Kerala, and jobs being in short supply and the people clamouring for jobs being too many, communalism has developed there. There is a good deal of truth in what Shri Nath Pai said: communal politics; it is there in the Congress; it is there in the PSP or SSP; it is there in the Communist Party and the only party where its existence need not be referred to is the Muslim League because it is professedly a Communal party. So, on communal lines people are organising so that they may fight for the loaves and fishes of office. That is what is taking place in Kerala. Elsewhere also this situation exists but not to this extent.

Shri Warior, my hon. friend from Trichur, was saying yesterday that the only party which would give a stable government to Kerala is the Communist party. I have no objection to my hon. friend entertaining that feeling. If after looking right and left and all

around he entertains that feeling, I wish him success; let him succeed. I do not want to say that the Congress party is the only party that can form a stable government in Kerala. I want to say this: the only party in Kerala which can form a stable government is that party which would be able to assure to the people of Kerala a government which would eradicate poverty and unemployment.

**Shri Koya:** Prosperity and plenty.

**Shri P. G. Menon:** Situated as we are in India today, the only government that can help to do it is the Central Government. No State Government can do it. If you go to Kerala—and because Kerala is the subject matter under discussion I am referring to it—you will find that there is grievance everywhere. The State feels that it did not get a fair deal from the Centre. The State feels that it has been discriminated against in the matter of development. Therefore, at the time of the elections, the Congress Party would say, "Look here; we are members of the Congress; there is a Congress administration at the Centre; vote us to power, and if we are voted to power, we shall be able to deal successfully with the problems of Kerala." The Congress failed. The Congress failed—not in the administration—to persuade the Centre to do justice to Kerala. The Congress failed....

**Shri Sham Lal Saraf** (Nominated—Jammu and Kashmir): May I know in what direction it failed,

**Shri P. G. Menon:** I have no time to expatiate upon what I already said. I will come to the question of industrial development. In the matter of allocations under the Five Year Plans, do you know that in the Second Five Year Plan, when more than Rs. 700 crores for development was set apart, what Kerala got is an industry in which the investment was a ridiculous amount of Rs. 75 lakhs.

**Shri Sham Lal Saraf:** How much did the Kerala Government ask for?

**Shri P. G. Menon:** Unfortunately for Kerala at the time when Five Year Plans are discussed, there would be President's rule there. That is what is happening now also. We asked for much more. In that matter, we did not lag behind.

Then, in 1957, when the Communist party put forward their election manifesto, one of the most catchy paragraphs in that manifesto was, "Like the Congress Party, we shall not shiver before the Central Government in getting the legitimate dues for Kerala. We shall fight the Centre and get what Kerala wants from the Central Government." That is what the communist party said, but the Communist party also failed. The result today is that communalism prevails rampant—not only communalism, but talks of electoral arrangements, etc. I do not want to enter issue with anybody on that matter.

But Mr. Nath Pai grew eloquent against Mr. C. Achuta Menon, who issued an appeal to the SSP that they might join the Communist party in this election. I felt that Mr. Nath Pai was addressing more his friends in Kerala than the Members of this House, because he was fearing that after all, they may enter into that alliance, in spite of him. He also accused the Congress Party that we went after Communal parties; entered into alliances with the Muslim League. Mr. Nath Pai is not here. I wish to assure him that in this matter, our guru was the SSP in Kerala, because even from the very beginning the SSP . . .

**Shri Umanath** (Pudukkottai): Erstwhile PSP.

**Shri P. G. Menon:** The evolution of this party is so involved that I find it difficult. It began with ISP. Then it became PSP. It was KMP for some time.

**Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh** (Parbhani): There was CSP in between.

**Shri P. G. Menon:** Yes. Till the time they get to the extreme end of the English alphabet and become ZSP,

[P. G. Menon]

probably these evolutions will take place. But the PSP had entered into an alliance in 1954 with the Communists, in 1957 and afterwards with the Muslim League, and in 1960 with every conceivable party in Kerala, including Congress.

**An Hon. Member:** Mr. Nath Pai has come.

**Shri P. G. Menon:** How can communalism disappear? Any amount of sermons from Members or political leadership will not remove communalism either in Kerala or elsewhere, so long as the need, the occasion, the necessity for that kind of feeling among groups remains. The Muslims should feel that they are getting a fair deal. Among the Hindus, there are various castes in Kerala and they organise communally, they would not get their proper share from the Government. That is the reason for communalism in Kerala.

The difference between Kerala and certain other States in India is only this. In Kerala, all the sections of people have become educated and literate and know how to ask for their rights. Later on probably other States also will follow suit. Therefore, I take this occasion, on behalf of my State, to bring to the notice of this House and to the Central Government that that party alone will be able to form a stable administration in Kerala which will be able to promise the people of Kerala that they will be able to develop Kerala out of its present backwardness. That is the position.

It has been admitted on all hands, from the late Prime Minister downwards, that the ills of Kerala can be cured only by industrial development. But what is the position? During the last three or four years continuously for four months in the year, there was a power famine and all the industrial units had to be closed for want of power. Kerala is the only State in India barring Mysore, which can produce power at the cheapest

rate. The States of Mysore and Kerala can produce power, but there is no power. In Madras State, you can produce power only at a much higher rate and Kerala is made to depend upon Madras for power.

**Shri Himatsingka (Godda):** Because Kerala has power politics.

**Shri P. G. Menon:** Politics itself is for power. The word 'power politics' is a contradiction in terms. Nobody enters into politics other than for capturing power. The word 'power politics' was developed at a time when political parties wanted to oppose the Government and did not want to enter into office. Then certain persons were accused of being power politicians and of being swayed by power politics. That is not relevant on this occasion. All political parties are for power. I do not want to expatiate on this.

This is the third occasion when President's rule is coming to Kerala. It came on the first occasion in Travancore-Cochin and on another occasion in Kerala. Now on the third occasion, it is coming. It is high time that this Parliament and the Government here think why it is that stable government is not possible in Kerala. The Kerala people are not different from the rest of the Indian people. There is nothing wrong with us. It is because there are certain situations, certain difficulties in the country that we are not able to supply a stable government. Therefore, what should be done on occasions like this is to discuss thoroughly the reasons for the situation. According to my humble reading, it is neither the Communist party nor the SSP nor the Muslim League nor even the warring sections in the Congress Party in Kerala—which is responsible for the situation. Probably we have got a better Congress organisation in Kerala than elsewhere, but the economic situation brings about instability in Kerala, because there is a situation in which the strongest political party will

not be able to form a stable government, because it will not be able to deliver the goods. Therefore, what the Union Government should remember if it should not have President's rule permanently in Kerala, is to see that situations are created where the people of Kerala will feel happy. Elections mean nothing to the frustrated people. Ministries do not mean anything to them. President's rule is as good or as bad as rule by the popular government, because whether it is President's rule, whether it is Congress rule, whether it is Communist rule, whether it is PSP rule or whatever rule it is, the situation is the same. "There is a saying in Malayalam—I cannot but quote it now:

*"Onam vannalum, Unni pirannalum, Koranu kumbilil kanji."*

It means, whether it be the Onam festival or whether there be rejoicing due to the birth of a child in the family, Koran always gets a drop of kanji in his kumbil made of leaf and nothing further. That is the situation in Kerala. Forget not that, that is the situation and any amount of political discussions, mud-slingings and finding fault with each other will not solve the Kerala problem.

**Shri Imbichibava (Ponnani)\*.** Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, this is not for the first time that the President's rule is imposed on Kerala State. The ruling party had suspended the Constitution in Kerala in the past on many occasions to suit the selfish interests of the party. It never showed the slightest regard for the democracy in that tiny State.

The present crisis has not emerged as a result of any fault of the people in Kerala. The entire responsibility for this whole debacle lies in the anxiety of the ruling party to keep the monopoly of power in its own hands. A cursory glance at the history of Cabinet formations since independence will bear the testimony for this.

Sir, in the 1948 elections people in the former Travancore-Cochin State voted the Congress Party to power which got 97 seats. As a result of this Shri Pattom Thanu Pillai formed the first Congress Cabinet in the State. In a period of six months due to internal dissensions a no-confidence motion was passed against the cabinet and Shri Paravoor was elected as the leader of the Congress Party who headed the new Ministry. The Ministry was forced to resign in 1951 when serious charges of corruption were made by one of the Congress Ministers against some other Ministers in the cabinet.

The Congress Party, despite its losing face in the public eyes, formed another Ministry led by Shri C. Keshavan. Since it did not include any representatives from Cochin, MLA's from that region submitted their resignation. This compelled Shri C. Keshavan to reconstitute another cabinet by taking representatives from Cochin also.

The First General elections showed the waning influence of the Congress Party in the State. Out of 108 seats Congress got only 44 seats. However, with the help of some independents the Congress formed a Government led by Shri A. J. John. It became a temporary affair and within a period of one year a confidence motion was defeated which toppled down the Government. The Union Government dissolved the legislature and re-election took place.

People rejected the Congress Party once again. It got only 45 seats while the Communist Party emerged as the Second Party in the State with 23 seats. Seeing the possibility of the Left Government, the Congress Party supported PSP and formed a Government under the leadership of Shri Pattom Thanu Pillai.

This opportunist alliance could not work for long and Congress Party

\*English translation of speech delivered in Malayalam.

[Shri Imbichibava]

withdrew support to the PSP Ministry which brought down the Pattem Ministry unceremoniously. The new Congress Cabinet with Shri Panampalli Govinda Menon as Chief Minister was formed with the support of Tamilnad Congress Party. Soon this arrangement also failed to work and President's rule was promulgated in the State.

The growing isolation of the Congress Party reached its height and people gradually began to look at the Communist Party as the alternative to the out and out corrupt regime. During the Second General Elections people voted for the Communist Party which got 60 seats and its supported independents got 5 seats.

Though the Communist Ministry was the most stable Ministry during the whole decade, it was wantonly dismissed by the Centre in 1959. The Centre actually instigated the agitation with the view to create an alibi for the Central intervention. We rightly characterised the action of the Central Government as a cold-blooded murder of democracy.

The mid-term elections brought the anti-Communist alliance into power but the voting of the Communist Party went up despite a slanderous campaign against our Party.

It is but natural that the new Pattem-led PSP Ministry could not continue for a long time. Internal dissension began to come to the forefront and the Congress took power once again in its hands. Shri Sankar became the Chief Minister but a group was formed against him inside the Party. Serious charges of corruption were levelled against the Chief Minister not only by the Opposition parties but by a large number of members from the Congress Party. Finally, this Ministry was also toppled down recently and we are now having President's rule in the State.

The main reason for dealing with this history is that this record of the Congress Party is the most effective condemnation of the Congress regime.

What is more surprising is that despite this shady record of the Congress Party in a State where the literacy is the highest, the Home Minister says that the Congress Party is sheet-anchor of stability. If the Home Minister makes this statement in Kerala, people would find it difficult to control their laughter. There the people have seen in actual practice that the Congress Party is the monument of instability.

Any honest ruling party would have been frank enough to admit its unfitness to rule over the State. However, the Congress Party is still trying to cling to power, by using all possible dirty tricks.

What is significant is that the State Congress leaders were always protected by the Centre. The corrupt ministers were openly shielded by the High Command with the result that the Party has become a laughing stock in State politics. Now the Central leadership cannot escape the responsibility for this sorry state of affairs because they were a party to the whole bungling.

Even while promulgating the President's rule, Sir, the Congress Party resorted to underhand methods that have nothing to do with democracy. On 15th September the by-election for Rajya Sabha seat was scheduled to be held. The dissolution of the Assembly could have been effected after this by-election. However, the Government preferred to dissolve it only 4 days earlier. This was done only to save the Congress Party from facing another defeat. But this action showed the real love of the Congress Party for democracy and democratic traditions!

Sir, has the Congress High Command ever paid any serious attention

to the causes of the bankruptcy of the State leadership? I am sorry to state that they have never cared to do so. If they would have searched their hearts, they could have found that the State Congress leadership has no moorings that would link them with the people in Kerala. The High Command would have found that the Kerala Congress has now degenerated to such ridiculous extent that it has become a federation of various communal organisations. The church and the Nair Service Society are the main components of this unholy alliance. The arch communal leaders of the State are now the stalwarts of the Congress Party with the result that the Congress Ministry has always been a pawn in the hands of these arch communal leadership.

Sir, it is a well-known fact that the recent dissension inside the Kerala Congress were not based on political differences but purely on communal basis. The Congress Party will never achieve integration of these forces because their interests collide with the interests of the people in the State.

Sir, I would like to point out in this connection the mission of Shri S. K. Patil who was sent by the Congress High Command to patch up the differences among the Congress MLA's. One is surprised to note that he met many communal leaders with a view to obtain their cooperation to save the tarnished image of the ruling Party. His mission failed miserably because the rivalry among these arch-communal factions had gone beyond dangerous limits. Shri Patil assured the dissident Congressmen of a proper inquiry into the allegations against Shri Sankar. Though nearly three weeks have passed, the Union Government has yet to announce the steps to be taken in that direction. What else can be expected from a Government which requires a no-confidence motion only to give a verbal assurance to investigate into serious allegations of corruption against a Chief Minister.

The Congress Party has tried coalitions with all the parties except our Party. But it has stabbed in the back of every partner to serve its narrow party interests. Now, when all the parties have been thoroughly disillusioned about the nature of the Congress Party, the Congressmen have started stabbing each other in the back and this will have no ending.

Sir, when the Communist Ministry was in power it implemented policies that would serve the interests of the people. It tried to implement the land reforms which the Congress Party failed to implement in other States. Mr. Ledjinsky has categorically pointed out this failure of the Congress Governments in various States. You have overthrown a democratic Government though it had majority in the Assembly. You have overthrown the Government which genuinely implemented Education Bill which went against vested interests and communal elements in the State. How can you maintain your rule after overthrowing our Government to suit such reactionary elements.

The crisis in Kerala will continue till a strong and unified opposition emerges in the State. However, the ruling party with its steam roller majority in the Centre will never allow any democratic opposition Government to rule in a State.

This makes the nature of this crisis more serious. We, however, will not be cowed down by these efforts of the Congress Party. We will continue to fight for the democratic rights of the people and we are sure that day will come when democratic forces will win with flying colours.

Now, that the President's rule is imposed on the State I would like to point out that more attention should be paid to the interests of the people. I have recently received a telegram



[Shri Imbichibava]

from Calicut which says that food situation has become acute. Rice is not at all available in the market. The black-market price has gone up by 25 per cent. In some places rice is being sold at Rs. 77 per bag. District authorities are just expressing their helplessness and people are becoming restless. Similar situation is prevailing all over the State. In the absence of any democratic set-up the Central Government has to pay more attention to the grievances of the people.

I learn that some strikes in Kerala are prolonged for more than two months and the Sankar Ministry failed to intervene in them. I expect that the new administration would show some reasonableness towards peoples' pressing demands. Otherwise, it will have to face stiff mass resistance in the days to come.

15.33 hrs.

[SHRI KHADILKAR in the Chair]

Shri Nataraja Pillai (Trivandrum): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I request your indulgence to make a few observations on the Resolution under discussion.

Kerala has again gone under President's rule. Since the new State of Kerala came into being eight years ago, she had the unique privilege of creating situations in which the Government could not be carried on in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution. A vote of no-confidence on the Ministry was moved in the State Legislative Assembly, which is, after all, a normal constitutional procedure, and the Ministry was voted out. But the next step of forming an alternative Ministry was found to be impossible, since no party could command a majority in the House. This is the result of social conditions that prevail in that State.

In spite of the continued preaching for secularism and nationalism in our

country, casteism and communalism play their role and create difficulties for the State off and on. Caste or religion cuts across political party affiliations. They are held high and party policies do not get a place and have to yield place for the caste or communal feelings or loyalties.

The population pattern of that State is at the root of the constant political instability and the party equilibrium is lost very often and we see that in the emergence of President's rule in that State.

In that State there are three or four communities of more or less equal numerical strength and equal material resources which makes it impossible for any one community to dominate the scene. Therefore, it is only a group of two or more communities that can command a majority. Under these conditions, if there is any change or shift in the combinations, the majority party or the party in power will be in peril.

The State had the unique distinction to have three periods of President's rule in the short period of eight years. Apart from the unsavoury communal tangle, the economic condition of the State and the peculiar problems that are there, create discontent and dissatisfaction against any government that may function there. Whether the Government is a Government of the Congress, Socialist or the Communist or a combination of these, it loses its equilibrium when it affronts particular sections of the community or fails to satisfy the aspirations of the people.

Apart from that, there are certain problems which face the Government and the administrators in that State. We have there the highest density of population in India. As one of my hon. friends has stated, it is more than one thousand. We are chronically deficit in food production. Our food production is not sufficient to



meet the demands of the people for six months in the year. Rather, 60 per cent of the required quantity of food has to be imported into the State.

श्री श्रीकार लाल बोरबा ( गेटा): सभा-  
पति महोदय, हाउस में क्वोरम नहीं है ।

**Mr. Chairman:** The hon. Member may resume his seat. The bell is being rung.....Now there is quorum. He may continue his speech.

**Shri Nataraja Pillai:** However much we may try, we cannot increase it by 100 per cent in any conceivable future. Agriculture in my State is not primitive. Modern methods of agriculture are in vogue there. That State is one of the most industrially backward areas in India. The percentage of educated unemployed is of the highest order in that State. Percentage of literacy is the highest among the States. Political consciousness is very alive and is kept alert by the large number of newspapers that are being published from our State. In these conditions for any government to function well and to get the co-operation and the goodwill of the people we have to launch upon a massive industrial and economic developmental plan. That alone will give satisfaction to the people. But, unfortunately, so far industrial development in the post-independence period is nominal in our State. People are feeling difficulty to make their livelihood. Moreover, the educated unemployed is becoming nervous. In these conditions, for a stable government to function in Kerala we have to launch upon a programme of development which will meet the needs of the people.

Unfortunately, during the past eight years when the Five Year Plans were being finalised, the State was under civilian rule and the popular representatives were in the woods. Therefore, the position of Kerala Govern-  
1184(Ai)LSD—7.

ment is almost chronically in disequilibrium. That has to be avoided by earnest efforts by the President's rule now in force in the State. Though the President's rule is a constitutional necessity, it wounds the self-respect of the citizen of the State. In a mood of anticipated good I would welcome it with some diffidence. The constitutional boon or benefit conferred on the Indian citizen is lost to the people of Kerala. That is not an enviable position; but, as I said, in the interest of the people and for the continuity of administration, I welcome the President's rule.

I have another reason also to do so at this juncture. When the food deficit is so great and scarcity of food is felt throughout the Indian continent, our position depends upon the goodwill of our neighbours. To open negotiations with State Governments and to import foodgrains is not an easy matter as we have experience of it. The only possibility is the goodwill of the Central Government and their specific interest to see that the country is not starved will alone save the present conditions there. I hope, the food situation will be properly handled and the Plan preparations will be carried on with a realism so that the country may benefit in the ultimate.

**Shri Hanumanthaiya** (Bangalore City): In order to prove a proposition I had gathered some press cuttings, but I find that it is superfluous for me to quote from any press cuttings in the light of the confessions made by the representatives of Kerala in this House. It is unanimously accepted that the real tangle in Kerala is a communal one.

I wanted to quote from the *Blitz* and the *Organiser*, *Blitz* which speaks for one section of opinion which is called the progressive section, and *Organiser* which speaks for another section of people whose characteristic they say is patriotism. Both these politically-poles-apart papers adduce

[Shri Hanumanthaiya]

the same reasons as those adduced by hon. Members of this House regarding this tangle.

In 1948, before the establishment of a popular government in that State, I happened to go there. Shri Pattom Thanu Pillai, the first Chief Minister of the State, had organised a public meeting under his presidency, and I had the privilege of addressing that meeting in Trivandrum. So long ago, as 1948, looking at the scene and the preparations that we were making for framing a Constitution and arranging electoral constituencies, I said in that public meeting: 'If you follow this communal pattern, no government and no Ministry in Kerala would be stable'. Many people criticised me in those days for that speech. But I find today that my apprehension has become so true that we had to resort thrice to President's rule in Kerala.

My hon. friend Shri P. G. Menon who was one of the Chief Ministers complained that the Central Government were not paying proper importance and attention to the economic development of Kerala. Whatever may be the argument, I agree with him that the Central Government here is not taking things all over India seriously enough. When we are discussing the collapse of a whole system of responsible government in a State, how many Members in the Treasury Benches are sitting here? It is a subject which ought to give great headache to the Prime Minister, to the Home Minister and to the top leaders who in season and out of season talk of what is called national integration. Here is a case of four communities going on parallel lines for the last seventeen years. Out of a Ministry here which consists of fifty odd Members, we do not find even five top people here, we do not find even two top people or even one top man here, to listen to what is being said in this House on this all-important problem. In fact, the top leaders of the Congress or the Government

ought to listen to the debate that is taking place here and find remedies in all seriousness.

I find that the charge against the Government that they are not taking these important matters seriously is true. There can be no difference whatsoever. Hereafter at least, I hope that such important problems will attract the attention of the Cabinet Ministers and the Ministers who will be able to forge what is called national integration so that they may be present on such occasions and listen to the debate and modify their opinion and adjust it according to the moving times.

I agree in some way with the proposition you, Sir, made when you were speaking. We have to apply our minds afresh to the problems of democracy in this country. Now that we have had a pattern of government along the British pattern, or a mixture of the British and American patterns, it is not a remedy in itself for all times to come, for all states and for all circumstances. In fact, the system of government must grow naturally with the evolution of the people in their cultural, economic and social progress. Here is a case where in spite of our best efforts, communal integration has not taken place, and we continuously go on blaming inside and outside the communities for it. Even if they are responsible, nobody can throw them either into the Bay of Bengal or into the Arabian Sea. We have to reckon with the castes that exist there. In fact, we have to so evolve a system of government as to see that these communal patterns give way to a really integrated democratic set-up. Nobody has taken that into consideration up till now.

I want to make one suggestion. What we see in its ramifications today in Kerala, the very same characteristic is present more or less in every other State in India, may be

except one or two. It is said in many of the States, that except a person belonging to a particular community, no other person belonging to any other community can become the Chief Minister of the State. That is the hard fact. In fact, I have risen above all these party considerations and spoken many a time at public meetings that we here see Congress communalism clad in khadi. This is a serious problem facing not only the Congress but the country. The Congress, the PSP and the Communist Party, all the parties, as my hon. friend, Shri Govinda Menon and others said, are, for political advantage, conniving at these developments and exploiting these tendencies—in order to get into power. This temptation to get into power has overlooked the dangers inherent in the situation. The present Constitution must be so modified as to meet this new danger of communalism-casteism enthroning itself in seats of power.

Government by majority inevitably leads to what are called communal combinations. And under adult franchise, the ignorant villagers are, as we see, many a time made to vote according to communal patterns. Mind you, as Shri Govinda Menon said in his own way, literacy does not mitigate communal consciousness; on the other hand, it aggravates it, as we see it in Kerala.

Many a time I am enthusiastic about the professions of the Communist Party. It is the one party which diverts people's attention from communal canker to economic policies. Sometimes their extra-territorial loyalty spoils their case in the eyes of people like me. But economic policies ought to govern our view of political matters. The Congress has failed, the PSP has failed and every other party, including the Communist Party, has failed in Kerala. I do not know about the Communist Party in other States. Here is a problem which requires the attention of the best leadership of our

country. If it is analysed and diagnosed properly, any impartial and patriotic person will be able to find a new formula.

The first suggestion I make in regard to Kerala is that ICS people should not be allowed to be the Advisers of the Government. Whenever democracy fails, if ICS people are brought into the picture as advisers in name but rulers in fact of the State for the time being, an impression will be formed in the minds of the people that the officers are the better part of the democratic set-up of this country.

**Shri Sham Lal Saraf:** Better administration.

**Shri Hanumanthaiya:** Even if President's rule is to be imposed upon a State, the people of the State concerned must be able to govern themselves in some other way. It is not the ICS, sent from Delhi, that is to rule the State. In Kerala, I am pretty sure that there are people who are not aligned to any particular party, who are impartial, and who are able administratively and otherwise, and who will be able to work for the people in a much better fashion than these ICS officers drafted from Delhi or other places.

**Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana):** Is not the speaker making very wise statements without having any substance, and irrelevantly also?—What has he got to do with the ICS people who are, after all, permanent officials?

**Mr. Chairman:** He is making a valid point by suggesting that instead of handing over the administration to ICS executives, we should have some people from public life to look after the administration.

**Shri N. C. Chatterjee (Burdwan):** Very relevant.

**Shri Warrior:** He is not casting any aspersion.

**Shri Hanumanthaiya:** If you are not able to get people from non-official life, make some of the Members of Parliament from Kerala the Advisers. We are living in a democratic age, and if Parliament gets the responsibility to govern Kerala State, why should we not entrust it to the representatives of the people of Kerala?

**Shrimati Yashoda Reddy (Kurnool):** Even after coming to Parliament, some people have not lost the ICS mentality. I am casting no aspersions on any person.

**Shri Hanumanthaiya:** If any particular party takes objection that Congress people should not be made the Advisers, I would suggest a panel of members of this House consisting of all the parties to manage the affairs of Kerala State until elections are held. I am totally opposed to this rule of officers. If the rule of officers is good enough for Kerala, I would say this to the Ministers and the Treasury Benches. Many people still feel that many of the ICS officers are much better administrators than the Ministers who sit there. Why should they not take over? What is sauce for the goose, as they say, must be sauce for the gander.

It may require an amendment of the Constitution. The amendment of the Constitution must be so effected in two directions, in order to ensure the rule of the people by the people for the people as we understand it in its true spirit. In the absence of elected representatives of the people managing its own Ministry, a panel of this House must be in charge of the administration.

Secondly, we are not taking the warning of Kerala sufficiently seriously, but it may happen in many other States, and you cannot go on periodically imposing President's rule. If it comes to that, we must have President's rule for a year or two in order to stabilise the affairs in the particular State. Therefore, President's rule must be extended as long as

Parliament finds it necessary to do so. The Constitution must be amended in two ways. It must enable the President's rule to be extended beyond six months' period. Secondly, if it is necessary, a panel of Members of this House on an all-party basis or non-party basis must be constituted to govern the affairs of a State instead of the so-called ICS officers.

16 hrs.

**Shri Kapur Singh:** Why so-called, Sir?

**Mr. Chairman:** Dr. Lohia. I request him to stick to the time-limit.

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया :** सभापति महोदय, हम लोग यहां लोक-सभा में एक काम करने जा रहे हैं जो अच्छा नहीं है, और मजबूरी में की जा रही है, और जिसे खुद लोक-सभा तीन बरस से ज्यादा नहीं कर सकती, वह इतना बुरा प्राण है। उस पर सोच विचार करते समय कुछ व्यापक चीजों पर ध्यान रखना चाहिए कि क्या है जिम्मेदार हुकूमत, क्या है लोकशाही और न केवल केरल में बल्कि सारे देश में या बाहर भी क्या है उसका सिद्धान्त।

तो, मैं सब से पहले लोकशाही के उस सिद्धान्त की तरफ आप का ध्यान खींचूंगा जो उस का काम है, यानी विवाद। विवाद ईमानदारी से होना चाहिए, सामने वाले की बात सुनी, ध्यान से सुनी और ध्यान से उसका जवाब दो और ईमानदारी से उसका जवाब दो अगर उसकी बात मान नहीं सकते तो। उससे ज्यादा अच्छा है कि अगर सामने वाले की बात में कोई तथ्य है तो उस को माफ़ लो, और उससे भी ज्यादा अच्छा है कि उस पर काम-काज करो। इस कसौटी पर जब मैं इस लोक-सभा की मंत्रिपरिषद् को कसता हूँ, तो एक मंत्री को छोड़ कर बाकी सब के दिमाग में यह लोच नहीं है जिससे कि वाद-विवाद अच्छा चल सकता है, जड़ दिमाग हैं। एक है, लेकिन उसके बारे में मैं अभी

कुछ नहीं कहना चाहता, कुछ रुकना चाहता हूँ। एक हफ्ते के अन्दर देख लेना है कि क्या सामुदायिक विकास योजनाओं में जीपों का चलना बन्द हो चुका है या नहीं। अगर बन्द हो जायेगा तो मैं तारीफ करूंगा, वरना मैं कहूंगा कि वह भी एक ढपोलशंखी हैं, जो केवल बात करना जानते हैं लेकिन उस के अनुरूप काम करना नहीं जानते।

**श्रीमती यशोदा रेड्डी :** वह कौन हैं ?

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया :** यशोदा रेड्डी मंत्री नहीं हैं लेकिन उनके दिमाग में लचक है। अगर मेरा वश चलता तो मैं उनको इस मंत्रिपरिषद् का एक सदस्य बना देता, हालांकि ऐसा करने से मुझे एक दोस्त का नुकसान हो जाता।

16.04 hrs.

[Mr. SPEAKER in the Chair]

जहां मैं ये विचार आप के सामने रख रहा हूँ, वहां एक और बात की ओर आपका ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूँ, और वह यह कि मैं साम्यवाद को बिल्कुल नापसन्द करता हूँ, इतना नापसन्द करता हूँ कि उनको एक भयंकर कीड़े की तरह मानता हूँ। लेकिन वह कहां जनमता है और पनपता है ? वह कांग्रेसवाद के कूड़े के ऊपर जनमता और पनपता है। इसलिए मैं ने यह फैसला कर रखा है कि चाहे केरल हो या और कहीं हो, कांग्रेसवाद के कूड़े को साफ करने के बाद ही हम इस कीड़े के साथ जूझ सकते हैं, और शायद उस हालत में वह कीड़ा अपने-आप ही खत्म हो जायेगा। जब मैं ने यह कह दिया तो यह भी आप की खिदमत में अर्ज कर दूँ कि चाहे मेरे विचार पांच दस पन्द्रह बरस में थोड़े से सुधरे हुए हों और मैं कोशिश करता हूँ कि साम्यवादियों और कांग्रेसियों का मित्र बनने के लायक हो सकूँ। लेकिन जो कुछ मैं ने कहा है वह सिद्धान्त के बारे में कहा है, साम्यवादियों या कांग्रेसियों के बारे में नहीं कहा है।

अब इसी के साथ-साथ एक बात इस लोक-सभा और उत्तरदायी शासन के बारे में मुझे यह कहनी है कि भ्रष्टाचार का अक्सर जिक्र होता है। इस लोक-सभा में भी हुआ। प्रधान मंत्री ने भी बहुत सा किया। और मेरा मन उस वक्त ऐसा रहा है जैसे किसी सांप ने चारों तरफ से मुझ को जकड़ लिया हो और मुझ से कुछ बन न पड़ रहा हो, हालांकि सांप का मुँह तो मेरे हाथ में था नहीं तो सांप मुझे काट ही लेता। या इस तरह समझिये कि जैसे कोई चोरी या कत्ल करके आये और अच्छी तरह से चन्दन तिलक लगा कर पूजा करने बैठ जाये और सभा के बीच में अपाहिज हो कर बैठा रहूँ और कुछ न कर सकूँ न कुछ कह सकूँ कि यह क्या कर रहा है। चारों तरफ भ्रष्टाचार है। सिर्फ यह कह देना कि डेढ़ दो हजार वर्ष पहले का पुराना हिन्दुस्तान, उपनिषद् या महाभारत या गीता के समय का हिन्दुस्तान ईमानदार था, मंत्रियों को शोभा नहीं देता, और न कहीं इधर-उधर के किसी साधु का नाम ले देना उनको शोभा देता है। मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ, पर गुस्से में नहीं—कांग्रेसी मेरे ऊपर गुस्सा न करें—बल्कि ग्लानि से कहना चाहता हूँ कि प्रायः हर एक मंत्री भ्रष्ट है और इस के बारे में वह सोच-विचार करें, मैं किसी का नाम लिए बिना . . . .

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** आप को सब को नहीं कहना चाहिए।

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया :** मैं ने “प्रायः” कहा है, शायद एक-आध अच्छा निकल जायेगा, इसीलिए मैं ने “प्रायः” कहा। लेकिन मैं कहना चाहता हूँ और जोर से कहना चाहता हूँ कि प्रायः हर एक मंत्री भ्रष्ट है।

मैं यह बताऊँ कि इन मंत्रियों के कहीं के भी रिश्तेदारों की, बेटों की, दामादों की मिलिकियत की जाँच की जाये तो

[ डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया ]

पता लगेगा कि इन 15 से 17 बरसों में अपनी दो-चार लाख की मिल्कियत को बढ़ा कर दस-बीस करोड़ की कर ली है, और फिर यह भी पता लगे कि वह किसी आधिष्कार के कारण नहीं, वह कोई व्यापार अथवा उद्योग के नये संगठन के द्वारा नहीं, बल्कि ठेके के अथवा निर्यात-आयात के व्यापार के जरिए ऐसे व्यापार से हुई है जो बंधा हुआ है, जो सरकार के परमिट और कोटा के जरिए चल रहा है, मुक्त व्यापार नहीं है, तो मैं जरूर कहूंगा कि वह काम बिल्कुल भ्रष्ट काम है। और इस में कोई शक नहीं है कि आज जो कुछ भी चर्चा व्यापार की और गद्दी की होती है उस वक्त मंत्री कह देते हैं कि मैं क्या करूं मेरा बेटा पैसा कमाता है। मैं पूछता हूं कि क्या तुम्हारा बेटा मुक्त व्यापार से पैसा कमाता है या बन्धे व्यापार से पैसा कमाता है। यह कसौटी है।

श्री क० ना० तिवारी : यह केरल का विवाद है या भ्रष्टाचार का ?

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : सभी ने यह सवाल उठाया है। जब औरों ने उठाया तो आप ने कुछ नहीं कहा, अब मेरे वक्त टोक रहे हैं।

तो मैं चाहता हूं कि केरल और जगह न हो, यहां केरल न हो, काश्मीर में केरल न हो। जरा इस के लिए भी सावधान हो जाओ। खाली केरल हो जाने के बाद ही बहस मत करो। केरल को दूसरी जगह मत आने दो। आज काश्मीर में भी केरल होने जा रहा है। काश्मीर के बारे में यहां कह दिया कि वहां जो कुछ गिरफ्तारी हुई है वह हम से बिना पूछे हो गयी है, यह कोई मतलब नहीं रखता। यह

खंदक और खाई का मामला आ जाया करता है। अगर बिना पूछे यह हो गया तो मैं इस सरकार को क्या कहूँ, यह अपाहिज है, यह नालायक सरकार है कि इस के बिना पूछे इतनी बड़ी घटनाएं हो जाती हैं जोकि सारे देश की शान्ति को बिगाड़ने वाली घटनायें हैं। मैं प्रार्थना करता हूं कि मुझे टोका न जाये।

तो मैं भ्रष्टाचार के बारे में कह रहा था। यह हमारे राजकीय जीवन को नष्ट किये दे रहा है। मंत्री लोग यहां जो हुक्म निकाला करते हैं उनके जरिए उनके रिश्तेदार या सम्बन्धी या उनके साथ काम करने वाले व्यापारी बड़ा अच्छा नफा उठा लिया करते हैं। मैं यह जानता हूं कि सट्टे बाजार या पाट बाजार में बहुत बड़े-बड़े, लम्बे-लम्बे, दो-दो, चार-चार, दस-दस करोड़ के सौदे हुआ करते हैं। उन सौदों में कई दफे आंच आ जाया करती है। एक दफा एक छोटा सा हुक्म निकाल दिया कि अब हिस्स को गिरवी रख कर 100 फ्रीसदी कर्जों बैंक से लिया जा सकता है। इस छोटे से हुक्म के जरिए न जाने कितने सट्टेबाज बच जाया करते हैं। उन का दीवाला रुक जाया करता है। मैं यह जानना चाहूंगा कि अक्टूबर 63 में जब ऐसा हुक्म इस सरकार ने निकाला था तो कितने लोग बचे थे ? . . . . .

अध्यक्ष महोदय : डाक्टर साहब, मुझे मजबूरून कहना पड़ेगा कि आप केरल से चले, रिश्तत तक गये तमाम हिन्दुस्तान की और इस के बाद अब सट्टे पर आ गये। अब सट्टे से कहां जायेंगे पता नहीं, तो इस तरह से तो हम केरल से बहुत दूर होते जायेंगे।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : सट्टे और आगे बढ़ने दीजिये । सट्टे में छोड़ता हूँ ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : मैं आप को पीछे लाना चाहता हूँ आगे नहीं ले जाना चाहता हूँ ।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : अब अधिकतर यही है । मैं हुजूर की सेवा में यही आग्रह करूँगा कि इस लोक-सभा को इस लायक बनाया जाय कि हम अपने देश को भी कुछ अच्छा बना सकें । अब मैं इस सट्टे वाले सवाल को छोड़ता हूँ ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : अब जब आप आये हैं तो हमें आहिस्ता-आहिस्ता लायक बनना पड़ेगा ।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : नालायकी इतनी ज्यादा हो गयी है कि कहना नहीं . . . . .

अध्यक्ष महोदय : अभी जो रास्ता हम ने अख्तियार किया है उस पर चलने दीजिये । अलबत्ता आप अभी थोड़ा नीचे आ जाइये तब हम और आप फिर इकट्ठे चलेंगे ।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : मैं बहुत नीचे आ गया हूँ । इतना नीचे आ गया हूँ कि अब मेरा कोई साथी नहीं रह गया है । अब उस से नीचे आप मुझ को कहां ले जाकर फेंकेंगे ?

अब यह सब सवाल मैंने इसलिये उठाये कि आप जब नहीं थे तब मैंने इस केरल के सवाल के साथ-साथ यह सवाल भी उठाया था और मैंने ही क्या, सभी लोगों ने उसे किया था । खास तौर से खाडिलकर साहब ने यह सवाल उठाया था, ढाँचे का और जनतंत्रीय संचिका का । खाली केरल नहीं, केरल से और ज्यादा चर्चा सभी ने यहाँ की है लेकिन अब

मेरी बात जरा पँदी हो जाया करती है—खैर उस ढाँचे के बारे में मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ माननीय खाडिलकर और उन के जैसे लोगों को कि यह खाली ढाँचे का या रूप का ही सवाल नहीं है । यह असल में प्राण का सवाल है । प्राण की प्रतिष्ठा कैसे करते हों इस का सवाल है । माननीय खाडिलकर चाहते हैं कि सभी तरह के लोग आपस में सोच-विचार कर के, राय-मशविरा करके कोई फैसला करें और उस पर अनुकरण करें । अब यह तभी हो सकता है जब सभी तरह के लोगों की शक्ति कुछ संतुलित हो । एक तरफ़ राक्षसी शक्ति हो और दूसरी तरफ़ कोई शक्ति हो न तो माननीय खाडिलकर वगैरह को समझना चाहिए कि इस तरह का मशविरा चल नहीं सकता है । इसलिए जरूरी है कि पहले भारत वर्ष में लोकशाही इस हैसियत में पहुँच जाय कि आप लोग भी उधर 100-150 रहें और इधर वाले भी 200, 225 रहें, चाहे बंट हुए रहें, तभी आपस में यह सलाह-मशविरा हो सकता है ।

श्रीमती यशोदा रेड्डी : यह कैसे हो सकता है ? लोग कांग्रेस को यहाँ भजते हैं तो हम क्या कर सकते हैं ?

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : मैं कहाँ कहता हूँ कि आप मुझ को भेज दीजिये ? हालांकि आप अगर मुझे भेजें तो मुझे तो बहुत आराम मिलेगा । आप मुझ को बुला लेंगी तो ज्यादा आराम ही मिलेगा लेकिन मैं कुछ और कह रहा हूँ ।

एक माननीय सचिव : वे आप को नहीं बुला भेजेगी ।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : आप कैसे कह रहे हैं कि वह मुझे नहीं चाहती हैं । अब मैं सिर्फ़ यह कह रहा था कि ऐसा वक्त अभी नहीं आया । अब देखिये, अध्यक्ष महोदय, सब के सब मेरी जो भी हैसियत है उसे खिगाड़ने के लिए खड़े रहते हैं ।

[डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया]

अब मैं कोई यह नहीं कह रहा हूँ कि आप यह हैसियत बना लीजिये कोई लोक सभा की, मैं तो कह रहा हूँ कि हिन्दुस्तान की जनता का पहले वह मन बनने दीजिये तब खाडिलकर महोदय ऐसा प्रस्ताव यहां पर रखें। उस के पहले कोई इस का मतलब होता नहीं है।

इस के साथ साथ एक बात और कहूँ कि जो ढांचे की बात सोचते हैं तो बजाय इधर, उधर बड़ी चीजों के, एक बात क्यों नहीं कर देते हैं कि हिन्दुस्तान में चुनाव क्षेत्र एक, एक अलग सदस्य भेजने के बजाय जो अनुपात मत के प्रतिनिधित्व से आया करता हो वैसे आ जाया करें तब आप देखेंगे कि इसी लोक सभा में आज के ही वोट में यह 380 नहीं होंगे बल्कि मुश्किल से 240 रह जायेंगे आज की लोक सभा में जो जनता का वोट है उस के हिसाब से अगर आयेंगे। आज तो हम लोग अंग्रेजों की नकल कर रहे हैं। अगर यह नकल की होती जर्मनी की या फ्रांस की तो कांग्रेस में यहां खाली 240 होते और इस तरफ 260 होते और फिर मिल मिला कर सलाह मशविरा कर के कोई न कोई रास्ता निकाल लेते। इस तरह की बात सोचनी चाहिए।

इसी तरीके से और ऐसी चीजों पर लोकशाही की रक्षा करने के लिए जरूरी होगा कि हम ध्यान दें वादविवाद की दूसरी शक्तों पर। मुझे कई बार ऐसा लगता है जैसा कि मैं ने कहा भी है कि मंत्री लोग ध्यानपूर्वक बात नहीं सुनते और उन का जवाब नहीं देते हैं। मैं एक मिसाल देता हूँ।

मैं ने कहा था कि रूस और अमरीका दोनों को खुश करने का ऐसा कोई रास्ता निकाला जाये जिसमें कि वे एक दूसरे की मदद से चिढ़ न जाया करें। उसका जवाब क्या था, आप तो अध्यक्ष महोदय, जानते ही हैं। अब इस तरीके से लोकशाही नहीं चला करती है। मेरी बात को भले ही न मानो, उसे काटो

लेकिन उसको ध्यान से सुनो और फिर उसकी काट करो। मैं ने तो यह कहा था कि कोई एक रास्ता निकालो जिससे व एक दूसरे से चिढ़ न जाया करें। जवाब यह मिलता है कि हम ने एक दूसरे में होड़ नहीं देखी। अब यही तो मैं ने कहा था कि अगर होड़ हो जाये तब तो अच्छा ही है। तब तो ज्यादा सहायता मिल ही जायेगी।

इसी तरीके से और भी आंकड़ों की यहां पर चर्चा चलती है। माननीय प्रधान मंत्री अबसर कह दिया करते हैं कि उन्नति हुई और वह कहते हैं कि तीन सेर से पांच सेर हो गया, लेकिन खाली 3 सेर से पांच सेर हो गया, यह कहने से तो काम नहीं चलेगा? यह बतलाना चाहिए कि जो औसत 100 सेंकड़ों का होता है उस के अलावा 60 सेंकड़ों का क्या है? यह मैं ने एक रास्ता सुझाया। उस के ऊपर कोई बातचीत नहीं करते हैं। साठ सेंकड़ा यानी 30 करोड़ आबादी और 100 सेंकड़ा यानी 48 करोड़ आदमी। 48 करोड़ का औसत यह सरकार यहां बतला दिया करती है लेकिन जो नीचे के 30 करोड़ हैं उनकी औसत नहीं बतलाया करती है। यह सब होता क्यों है? क्योंकि लोकशाही प्राण का पता अभी इस मंत्रिपरिषद् को चला नहीं है वरना ऐसी बात नहीं होती। एक व्यक्ति को ले वर के विश्वविद्यालय देने, सिक्का चले उसके नाम पर और कसम खाई जाय। मैं आपसे कहूंगा, हुजूर यह संविधान कौन सा है? इसमें ईश्वर की शपथ तक को ऐसा माना गया कि उसके लिए एक विकल्प रखा है। मेरे जैसा आदमी अगर ईश्वर की वसम नहीं खाना चाहे तो मैं लिये दूसरा रास्ता यह है कि मैं संकल्प कर लूं। यह हिन्दुस्तान ऐसा है जिसमें ईश्वर के सम्बन्ध में मेरे जैसे आदमियों के लिए दूसरा रास्ता है कि हम संकल्प कर लें। मेरे जैसे लोगों के लिए जो कि ईश्वर की कसमको खाना ठीक न समझें, बुरा समझें उनके लिए विकल्प का दूसरा



रास्ता रक्खा गया है लेकिन यह मंत्री परिषद् कैसी है कि यह एक व्यक्ति के नाम पर एक करोड़ विद्यार्थियों और अध्यापकों को क खिलवा चुकी है। ऐसा करके इस लोकशाही के अन्दर एक जहर भरवा चुकी है। इस पर माननीय प्रधान मंत्री ने अभी कुछ नहीं कहा। लेकिन क्या जिस तरह से नेहरू जी ने गांधी जी का सिक्का रक्वाया था क्या हमारे वर्तमान प्रधान मंत्री में इतनी ताकत है कि वह माननीय नेहरू जी का सिक्का रक्वा दें। यह सवाल उठ गया और इसके साथ साथ मैं बतलाता हूँ कि कोई भी आदमी

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** मैं आपसे फिर विनय करूंगा कि आप केरल के ऊपर ही रहें। आपको फिर शिकायत होगी कि आपने जो तमाम सवाल उठाये उनका जवाब मंत्री जी द्वारा पूरा नहीं दिया गया। जो चीज सदन के सामने बहस के लिए पेश हो उस से सम्बन्ध न रखने वाली जरा दूर की चीजें जब आप कहते हैं तो उनके कैसे जवाब आयेंगे?

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया :** वह तो जवाब अध्यक्ष महोदय, देंगे नहीं; हां, यह शायद दे भी दें क्योंकि जैसा मैंने कहा श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री इस मामले में औरों से ज्यादा लचक रखते हैं लेकिन इस बात का शायद वे जवाब नहीं देंगे। वह बात अलग है यह मुझे आपने कहा है। लोकशाही से यह सम्बन्ध नहीं रखती है लेकिन मेरा कहना है कि वह तो लोकशाही की जड़ है। आखिर यह केरल में उत्तरदायी शासन फल क्यों कर गया ?

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** माननीय सदस्य कहेंगे कि वे बुनियादी चीजों में जा रहे हैं। यह लोकशाही का सवाल है क्या डेमोक्रेसी होनी चाहिए और क्या नहीं होनी चाहिए, यह और इसी तरह की बहुत सी दूसरी चीजें आपके दिमाग में हैं लेकिन अब जहां हम बैठे हैं और जो मामला हमारे सामने जेर बहस है

उसी की बाबत ही हम बात करें। गवर्नर ने रिपोर्ट दी है जिसके कि आधार पर प्रेसीडेंट ने एक्शन लिया है और उस मिनिस्ट्री को वहां से हटाया गया है और प्रेसीडेंट रूल वहां पर जारी किया गया है, इन सब बातों के ऊपर माननीय सदस्य बोलें।

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया :** मेरे से पहले अन्य कई लोगों ने उन तमाम बातों का जिक्र किया था कि लोकशाही ऐसी हालत में कैसे चलेगी लेकिन मेरी बदकिस्मती कहिये कि मुझ टोका जा रहा है। खैर, मैं छोड़ देता हूँ।

**अध्यक्ष महोदय :** मेरी बदकिस्मती है कि आपके वक्त में मैं चेयर में आ गया।

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया :** यही मेरी बदकिस्मती है क्योंकि अगर खंडिलकर साहब रहे होते तो मेरा मामला शायद चल गया होता। अगर थोड़ा देर वे और बैठ जाते तो अच्छा होता खैर, वह भी मैं छोड़ता हूँ। हर रास्ते को छोड़ते हुए अब खाली आपसे एक अपील करना चाहता हूँ केरल के नाम पर, सारे देश के नाम पर कि यह लोकशाही खाली रूप और ढांचे में नहीं रहेगी करती है। रूप और ढांचा आवश्यक है लेकिन उसके साथ-साथ उसके प्राण भी प्रतिष्ठित होने चाहिए और वह प्राण जगह-जगह खत्म किया जा रहा है, यहां तक कि एक तरीका जो निकल चुका है कि अगर किसी को कोई शिकायत होती है तो वह शिकायत लेकर आ जाया करता है और हम लोगों के पास आता है। क्या केरल वाले भी शिकायत मेरे जैसे नाचीज के पास आकर कर दिया करते हैं, शायद वे सोचते हैं कि इस आदमी के पास जाने से कुछ मिल जाए मगर मुझे कई दफे लगता है कि कहीं मंत्री लोग तो उन्हें नहीं भेज दिया करते हैं कि शायद हमारे पास भेजने से कोई रास्ता मिल जाया करे। तो यह तरीका निकल आया। इसका कारण यह है कि हिन्दु-स्तान में दौलत का भंडार बहुत कम है। केरल में दौलत का भंडार बहुत कम है।

[डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया]

छीना झपटी करते हैं। हर प्रश्न चाहता है कि वह अपना हिस्सा ले ले और बढ़ा ले लेकिन सारे देश के हिस्से को बढ़ाने का काम हो नहीं रहा है। इसलिए केरल के ऊपर सोच-विचार करते समय मैं फिर आपसे कहूंगा कि यह काम हम जो कर रहे हैं और आज करने जा रहे हैं यह बुरा है, अच्छा नहीं है। लोक-सभा खुद इसको तीन साल से ज्यादा नहीं करना चाहती है। और इस पर हम कुछ थोड़ी बहुत शर्म खाएँ, क्योंकि यह उत्तरदायी शासन के फेल करने का सवाल है।

मैं आप को धन्यवाद देता हूँ।

**Shri Hathi:** Mr. Speaker, Sir, during these four hours and odd we have covered a variety of subjects and a very vast field. Many hon. Members have spoken on democracy, on the system of planning, whether planning is desirable or not, the machinery for planning, the present Constitution, the constitution as prevailing in other countries such as England, America and Switzerland, communalism and casteism, development of State concerns, relationship between the Centre and the State and a number of other subjects. It is not my intention to deal with all the points that have been raised by the Members here. I will restrict myself to some of the points that have been raised. Not that the subjects they have covered are not important—in fact, I have learnt a great deal from some of the speeches, the speech of Professor Ranga, for example—but I do not think I will be able to cover all of them. Then, there were certain things which do not call for a reply. They were not by way of criticism but by way of suggestions, which we can think of. There are certain points which are to be replied to and which have to be replied to but my task has been made easier by some of the Members on both sides, when one had replied to the points raised by the other. Therefore, in a way, I have not much to cover.

I may say that the Government is certainly not happy about this Resolution. It is not a pleasure for us to bring such a Resolution. We want that democracy should function. We want that the representatives of the people should participate in the government of the State. There should be an elected legislature, as in other parts of the country, and Kerala also have rule by its own elected representatives.

Unfortunately, as I said while moving my motion for approval of this Resolution, a motion for no-confidence was tabled and that motion got the support of the majority of members of the House.

A suggestion was made that even then the President should not have taken over the Government of that State and that the party should have been allowed to continue to function as Government. I fail to understand how practically it could have been possible. In any measure that the Government has to bring forward before the House if there is no majority of the party, it will not be able to carry through any of the measures and at every time the measure would be lost.

**The Minister of Defence, Production in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas):** The suggestion was that the Ministry should have continued and the legislature should have been dissolved.

**Shri Hathi:** What I understood was this. But the constitutional provision and the way in which a democracy or a legislature should function is that the party in power should have a majority. What I mean to suggest is that it is not a pleasure at all for the Government to bring this Resolution.

**Shri Sham Lal Saraf:** They should have continued for five months.

**Shri Hathi:** But it had to be done. Therefore, it is purely a temporary measure. We shall have elections soon.

and naturally we hope that again normal conditions will prevail and we shall again have a rule in Kerala where the people's representatives will have a share in the government of their own State.

There were other suggestions also. I shall start with the last speaker, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia. He did not touch or speak much about Kerala, but he said about so many other things. I will only mention one point. He used the word "prayah" and said, "All Ministers were prayah corrupt." Prayah means generally. Before he came in the House we had not heard any of this comment or appellation. That recalls to me a Sanskrit sloka which says:

प्रायेण अधममध्यमोत्तमगुणाः संसर्गतिः जायेत

It means: Generally, *adham*, *madhyam* and *uttam gunas* are imbibed from *sansarg* or company. Before this we had not heard any of this. Therefore, I do not know how this atmosphere has been created in this House. Anyway; the other subjects that he referred to did not touch or concern the present Resolution.

Mr. Speaker: Is it only the combination that has produced those results, all joining together?

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : आप शायद न हों, कौन जाने। लेकिन "प्रायः" का मतलब "आलमोस्ट" है, जनरली नहीं। इसका मतलब "हर एक" नहीं, "करीब करीब हर एक" है।

श्री हाथी : इसीलिए मैंने संस्कृत के शब्द का प्रयोग किया है - प्रायेण अधममध्यमोत्तमगुणाः संसर्गतिः।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : आप मुझे झूठ साबित कर दें, तो आपका कोई फायदा नहीं होगा। सरकार तो आप चलाते हैं।

श्री हाथी : मैं नहीं कहता हूँ। मैं तो प्रायः का अर्थ समझता हूँ ना।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : एक भाषण आपने खड़े हो कर किया और अब एक भाषण आप बैठ कर कर रहे हैं।

Shri Hathi: Shri Warior tried to bring out as much as he could the deficiencies of the Congress Ministry. He also tried to bring out the achievements of the Communist Ministry as it was then. He expected and hoped that Government would see that there would be an early election which would be free and fair.

I do not want to enter into the various aspects. Nor do I want to blame anybody at this stage for the conditions that are prevailing there or that were prevailing there. There have been periods during the last four or five years, which somehow or other have been the periods of agitation. On one ground or the other, agitations have been carried on. It may be that these agitations were for just cause, or for a political cause or for some other cause but the fact remains that agitations were there. I agree with many of the Members who have spoken that if any agitation is only for the purpose at any moment of usurping power, then that agitation is not at all beneficial or advantageous to the community as a whole, and it is bound to fail; it is bound to have an adverse effect, and it is bound to hamper the progress and development of the country; it is bound to deviate our effort.

All of us today are trying to build up the country. We are trying to develop not only Kerala but the whole of the country. When we are in the midst of this struggle, it is but proper that all of us should co-operate and put forth all the efforts that we can to develop and march towards that goal of perfection and prosperity. If, however, agitations are merely carried on with a view to usurp political power or with a view to give a sort of impression that what a particular party is doing is being

[Shri Hathi]

done for the benefit or welfare of the people, though the ulterior motive may not be that, then any such agitation is bound to fail.

**Shri Nath Pai:** What is more dangerous in the long run: usurping power by doubtful means or preserving power and clinging to power by any means?

**Shri Hathi:** I am not going to compare and say what is more dangerous and what is not. I am going to take up a positive role and that positive role is that we must all try and put forth our efforts to develop our country. I put it in a positive way. I have never learnt to have a negative approach and a negative attitude. So, that is my positive approach.

Then, there was one suggestion that Shri Khadilkar made. So far as the Constitution is concerned, that is no doubt there. But apart from the Constitution, do we not want the co-operation of the people at all levels? In a democracy, no Plan can succeed unless it has the co-operation of the people. From whatever level you talk, there should be co-operation. But so far as the constitutional position is concerned, we are bound by it. We cannot deviate from it so long as we have the Constitution as it is, unless of course you amend it, which is a different matter. But so long as it is not amended, so long as it is there, we have to abide by it. Within the framework of the Constitution, whatever can be done in a practical way to seek the co-operation of people, should be done. In the Plan itself it is stated that in no democracy would a plan succeed unless there is willing co-operation of the people.

But the difficulty would be when political ideology comes, when the question is not of plan, is not of development, is not of a constructive attitude, but is something other than a constructive attitude. If we were to take the co-operation of all the parties, as was suggested by Shri Khadilkar, what

happens when there is the question of difference of ideologies? When ideologies differ, it will be difficult to do so, difficult for any group of people to come together and carry out a programme. But as Lohiaji suggested, and rightly, if we can create an atmosphere whereby people learn to adjust themselves, to work together, I think it may be that we may have better days than we have today. I fully agree with Lohiaji that we should try to create not an atmosphere of distrust, not an atmosphere of no-confidence, not an atmosphere of vying against each other, but an atmosphere of goodwill. But is it possible? Can that be done? Was it possible under the circumstances?

We have seen in Kerala after 1960, during 4½ years, that there were as many as seven or eight agitations on one pretext or other. People's minds were distracted and diverted. The whole idea was to distract their attention and try to win them over, trying to show that they were doing something in the interest of the people which really may or may not be so. That is the position. Unless there is the mind and will to work together, unless the ideology is common, the idea, though very noble, as suggested by Shri Khadilkar, may not be workable even in other spheres of activities. I do not mean the Constitution, for so long as the Constitution stands, we cannot do anything else.

He suggested—let us make an experiment in Kerala at all levels. Could that be possible under the present circumstances? Anyway, it is a suggestion.

**Shri Khadilkar:** Apart from ideology, how to break the caste rigidity? That was one thing.

**Shri Hathi:** I am coming to that.

**Shri Nath Pai:** He preached something very different. He had suggested that there should be an experiment as in Africa of one-party rule.

That was an extremely dangerous suggestion in a democracy.

**Shri Khadilkar:** He is misrepresenting me. What I said was . . .

**Shri Nath Pai:** Very clear.

**Mr. Speaker:** He might have misunderstood. Why straightway say that he is misrepresenting?

**Shri Khadilkar:** When I was not here, he himself spoke and attacked me in that manner, that I was advocating rigid one-party thesis. That is not fair.

**Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur):** If a Member does not give way, is it proper for another Member to carry on?

**Shri Hathi:** Shall I give you way?

As I said, so far as any experiment is concerned, it has to be ruled out, because we stand by the constitutional position as it is. But, so far as I have understood Shri Khadilkar, he did not want to make any change or deviation from the Constitution. If that was so, my reply would be that we cannot afford to make any experiment which is not contained in or supported by the provisions of the Constitution. But so far as committees are concerned at different levels, that may be possible. We can have advisory committees; we can have consultative committees.

What does democracy really mean? It means collective wisdom. It means that we have to rule or we have to govern the country, whatever part it may be, but the government of the country has to be carried on by the collective wisdom of the representatives of the people. And actually, what is this Parliament? It is the same thing. There is collective decision; matters are discussed and opinions are expressed on the floor of the House and as a result, we take decisions. This is generally the whole principle of democracy. But it may

not be possible to isolate Kerala and have some sort of experiment which is something different from the provisions of the Constitution. That may not be possible.

Then, Shri Ranga said that we could have another experiment, and that was based on the Swiss system.

**Shri Warrior:** Kerala becomes a political laboratory now?

**Shri Hathi:** There are experiments suggested by two of the speakers. What I wanted to say was the same thing, that we are not trying to have various experiments on one particular State. What we are today discussing is the resolution, and I have come for approval of the resolution. As I said, the Members, while discussing it, have covered a lot of ground, quite a number and variety of subjects.

Shri Ranga mentioned about the Plan. I am happy to learn that he believes in planning, but his objection was to the system or method or the machinery as it exists here. This has also no direct relation to Kerala as such. Therefore, I do not think I should discuss that subject more.

Then, one of the speakers said that the Centre had not given sufficient attention to or shown sufficient interest in the development of Kerala. We have the Plan. The Plan is being discussed with the representatives of the State, with the people, and after all, it is the over-all resources available in the country that have to be distributed. So far as power is concerned, he complained why Kerala should depend on Madras for power. This psychology, again, I think we should give up. After all, wherever there is potential for power . . .

**Shri Warrior:** That is not the point. Whenever the Plan is on the anvil, there is no representative Government. It is up to the Central Government to be responsible.

**Shri Hathi:** Wherever may be the power potential, hydro-electric, thermal or any other, it has to be utilised, but mainly so far as the rivers are concerned, they are national assets, and there, whatever power we can generate is utilised. But all areas should be duly attended to, and therefore, if a particular State is short of power, the reasons have to be looked into, whether it is because there is no potential, or whether the power to be generated there is going to be costlier than in another place. If it is going to be costlier, it can be generated somewhere else.

**Shri H. N. Mukerjee** (Calcutta Central): It was said that Kerala and Mysore were the cheapest sources of power. That was what Shri Menon said.

**Shri Koya:** Cheapest in India.

**Shri Hathi:** I know about Mysore, Kerala and Madras. I know about power projects. Kerala has got power potential at Iddiki. That project is coming.

**Shri Warior:** He was dealing with it formerly.

**Shri Hathi:** I was dealing with all power projects. I think the hon. Members from Kerala all accompanied me when I went to that project.

**Shri Mamiyangadan** (Kottayam): That is the very complaint. Investigations regarding the Iddiki project started in 1951 but the work has not started till now.

**Shri Hathi:** So far as the complaint that the Centre does not give proper attention to Kerala is concerned, I do not think that is justified. Hon. Members referred about plan and the industrial development and they wanted that we should have immediate, fair and free elections. The other question was that the food problem should be tackled: high prices should be checked. I may say that this is

not a problem which is peculiar only to Kerala. It exists in varying degrees in different parts. I can assure hon. Members on that side that equal attention will be paid to Kerala as much as to any other State, according to its requirements. These things will be looked into. The position as at present was explained by me; a vote of no-confidence was carried and the Governor reported to the President and there was no alternative but to do this. Proclamation was issued on the 10th September and I recommend that the Resolution be adopted by the House.

**Shri Nath Pai:** I had asked a very specific question which had relevance in the maintenance of democratic values. I had asked, Sir, whether it is a fact that Mr. U. N. Dhebar, ex-Congress President and currently Chairman of the Khadi and Village Industries Commission is accompanying the President of the Congress Party, Mr. Kamaraj on election tour and whether it is in conformity with maintaining high standards. It is a very important matter. He has ignored all basic questions and has given us homilies about Swiss constitution. We are entitled to get a reply.

**Mr. Speaker:** Would it not be more relevant in the next item that we have, conferring of power?

**Shri Hathi:** If he wants me, I can say that now, Sir. It is as you please. It can also be taken up in the next item.

**Shri Warior:** Several Members had raised the enquiry into the corruption charges.

**Shri Banga:** There was the question of corruption. All these people who have been there are now out of power. We would like to have an assurance from them on that point. (Interruptions).

**Shri Hathi:** Whether it is relevant or not relevant, if the hon. Member wants me, I will reply to it.

**Shri Nath Pal:** You can at least try.

**Shri Hathi:** No question of trying. I shall reply to Mr. Nath Pal also.

Shri Warior referred to corruption charges. Really, I do not want to mention all that has happened in Kerala during the communist regime and the present regime. So far as the charges levelled against the last Ministry are concerned, those charges had been looked into by the late Prime Minister himself.

**Shri Hanumanthaya:** May I appeal to him not to bring in the name of the late Prime Minister, because, someone will rebut and it would bring the late Prime Minister's name into question. Once and for all, let them not take protection under the name of the late Prime Minister. I appeal to them not to take umbrage under his name.

**Shri Hathi:** It has been looked into. These charges had been looked into.

**Shri Ranga:** And the result is this. It was only looked into. Had it been properly and satisfactorily looked into, it would not have resulted in the way it has resulted now.

**Shri Umanath:** The reference was to the latest assurance given in Kerala namely, that those charges would be gone into. That reference may be replied to.

**Shri Hathi:** What I said was that there were charges levelled against Shri Sankar, and those charges were looked into, and nothing. . . .

**Shri Nath Pal:** Shri Patil assured the dissidents when he went on the pacification mission that if they would vote with the Government the charges would be looked into. Those charges seem to be more valid if they vote against the Government!

**Shri Hathi:** I do not know; I have no information as to what transpired there between them and Shri Patil. I

do not know. (Interruptions). So far as I am concerned and so far as I know, the charges that were levelled against him were looked into. That is all that I can say.

**Shri Ranga:** Right up to the day of their resignation, whatever charges there were, let them be looked into. What is the use of invoking Jawaharlalji's name, because he committed so many blunders and one of them is this. (Interruption).

**Mr. Speaker:** It is better that I put the question now. The question is:

"That this House approves the Proclamation issued by the President on the 10th September, 1964, under article 356 of the Constitution in relation to the State of Kerala."

*The motion was adopted.*

श्री नाथपाई : स्पीकर साहब ने आपसे कहा था कि जब इस मुद्दे पर आएंगे तो हमारे सवाल का जवाब देंगे ।

16.53 hrs.

# KERALA STATE LEGISLATURE (DELEGATION OF POWERS) BILL.

**The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hathi):** I beg to move:

"That the Bill to confer on the President the power of the legislature of the State of Kerala to make laws, be taken into consideration."

This is a Bill which follows the proclamation issued by the President on the 10th September, 1964 and which the House has just now approved under article 356 of the Constitution. Article 357(1) of the Constitution provides that the powers may be exercised by the President, but for that pur-

[Shri Hathi]

pose, the Parliament has by law to confer on the President the power of the legislature of the State to make laws. It is therefore that this Bill is brought forward.

As hon. Members will see, this Bill contains only three clauses, and the operative or the important clause is clause 3 with sub-clauses (1), (2), (3) and (4). Sub-clause (1) of clause 3 says as follows:

"The power of the Legislature of the State of Kerala to make laws, which has been declared by the Proclamation to be exercisable by or under the authority of Parliament, is hereby conferred on the President."

Sub-clause (2) of clause (3) says:

"In the exercise of the said power, the President may, from time to time, whether Parliament is or is not in session, enact as a President's Act a Bill containing such provisions as he considers necessary."

This provision authorises the President to enact laws for Kerala. This is because otherwise all the Bills would have to be passed by the Parliament itself and perhaps that would not be possible. Not only is it provided like this, but generally this has been the practice also.

The other clause says:

"Provided that before enacting any such Act, the President shall, whenever he considers it practicable to do so, consult a committee constituted for the purpose consisting of all the members of the House of the People and the Council of States who for the time being fill the seats allotted to the State of Kerala in the two Houses."

That means that all the representatives of the State will form a committee, so that the President may have the benefit of the advice of that committee.

**Shri Bade (Khargone):** Why the words "whenever he considers it practicable to do so"? This was not there in the previous Acts.

**Shri Hathi:** Those words were there; there is no change. There is an amendment to this clause which goes a bit further. The amendment wants more Members of Parliament to be associated with this. I think on different occasions, more Members were associated and perhaps we might consider that amendment.

Sub-clause (4) says:

"Either House of Parliament may, by resolution passed within seven days from the date on which the Act has been laid before it under sub-section (3), direct any modifications to be made in the Act, and, if the modifications are agreed to by the other House of Parliament during the session in which the Act has been so laid before it or the session succeeding, such modifications shall be given effect to by the President by enacting an amending Act under sub-section (2)."

It means that any law passed by the President as above would be subject to Parliament's final decision. If either House of Parliament makes a modification in the Act within seven days from the date on which it is laid before it and if the other House agrees to the modification, the Act will be modified to that effect. Therefore, it is not an absolute power in the sense that Parliament has the right to modify it. This is the general formula which has been adopted in other similar legislations we have passed. So, there is nothing new in this Bill. This was done in 1959 and also when Orissa and PEPSU came under President's rule.



This is a Bill with only three clauses and it empowers the President to make laws for Kerala. I commend this Bill to the House.

**Mr. Speaker:** Motion moved:

"That the Bill to confer on the President the power of the Legislature of the State of Kerala to make laws, be taken into consideration."

**Shri Ranga (Chittoor)** Sir, it is a foregone conclusion that once President's rule comes in, we have got to have a Bill like this. But everything depends upon how the President is expected to use his powers. As everyone knows, President means in actual practice the Home Ministry here, the Governor there and the civil service. Unless the civil service plays its role and is enabled to play its role in an impartial manner, we cannot be quite sure that in the months to come things would not be arranged and done only to benefit the erstwhile ruling party and the ruling party in the rest of India.

17 hrs.

Earlier, on several other occasions, suggestions were made that under such circumstances at least the senior civilian officers at the district level and at the secretariat level, as many of them as possible, should be those who would be drafted from other places and local people would not be allowed to play the role that they have been playing, in order to ensure impartiality of administration—efficient administration also—and, secondly, in order to prepare the State to face the next elections and see that impartial, just and decent conditions for elections come to be created.

I do not wish to be taken to be making any wild allegations, but it was a well known fact, at the time that when these elections were held, when preparations were made for elections and when actual elections

came to be held under similar circumstances in Kerala as well as in Orissa, the local administration which was then in being along with the Governor acting not merely as a constitutional head but also as an administrative head did weight the scales in favour of the ruling party. I do not want opportunity to be given even for such allegations to be made, not to speak of actually those people behaving in that partial, unjust and undemocratic manner.

Sir, from what has fallen from the lips of Shri Hathi, it is quite clear that the ruling party has more or less made up its mind to do its best to come back again in power and they cannot think in terms of themselves remaining in the Opposition and giving an opportunity to another party to come into power freely, and justly. Therefore, there is every risk of the Presidential regime being misused or exploited in the interests of the ruling party, unless—Gandhian conscience comes to prevail and work in their hearts and influence their heads and make them behave much better than what they have been doing till now during all these years.

Therefore, I wish to warn the Government once again, either they make up their mind in favour of a new political approach or they should do all that lies within their power to ensure impartial administration. To be able to do that, they should free the local administration from the pressure of local officers and invoke the aid of officers from other States. At the time of elections let them see that the Gonda election scandal is not repeated. Let them see that the electioneering that was responsible for Shri Pratap Singh being returned to the legislature is not repeated. Let them see that the usual type of Congress electioneering that goes on in the whole of India as a result of which although they have been able to get only a minority of votes they were able to come here in such a huge and overwhelming majority is not

[Shri Ranga]

repeated. Sir, these and many other things are there to spoil the escutcheon of the Congress, to destroy their reputation. I do not know whether I would be justified in hoping that they would behave any better than they have behaved in the past. Let us hope that with all this talk of anti-corruption, coming as it does from such a senior Member as Shri Nanda,

they will turn a new leaf and behave a little better than what they have done so far.

17.06 hrs.

*The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Thursday, September 24, 1964/Asvina 2, 1886 (Saka).*