16.19 Hears

STATUTORY RESOLUTION RE: CON-TINUANCE OF PROCLAMATION IN RESPECT OF ASSAM—contd.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, we take up further discussion of the Statutory Resolution regarding continuance of the Proclamation in respect of Assam.

Mr. Y. B. Chavan.

SHRI EBRAHIM SULAIMAN SAIT (Manjeri): Sir, before we resume the discussion of this Statutory Resolution on Assam, I would like to point out that the time left is only about one hour. It is not enough because so many Parties have to speak and the Minister has also to reply. Therefore, I would request the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs through you to extend the time for this Resolution by two more hours.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Is the House prepared to sit for more time? If the House is prepared, we may give some more time for this.

Mr. Y. B. Chavan.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN (Satara): We are discussing the statutory resolution brought forward by the government to extend the President's rule in Assam for an another term of six months. I think this is a formality with which I agree. Standing here I support that motion.

But, incidentally, we are not merely discussing the formality. We are discussing in depth the Assam question. What is the Assam problem? What is its face? How it should be dealt with and in what manner? These are the problems before, I think, every political party in the country and I would say, before every patriotic citizen in this country.

When this movement started, at that time I had an occasion to deal with it in the previous government. At that time the demand was that the elections should be given up and as a result the elections could not be held in many parts of Assam. Nobody should even for very good purposes try to block the democratic process of holding elec-

tions in the country when the national parliament was going to be constituted-naturally, I had tried my best in meeting the students delegations, political parties delegations and in one of the trips to Gauhati, even after staying there for a couple of days, these efforts could not produce any results because I knew that the problem is not amendable to simplistic a There tion, are deep fears, picions and anger involved This present feeling is that and at the moment it is based feeling that there are large numbers of foreigners staying in Assam : a a result of which the people of Assam feel that economically and culturally they are overwhelmed and, therefore, they should be deported. (Interruptions. Quite right. As you say, they want that they should be deleted from the voters' list. First of all they did not want elections and now they want the voters' lists should be purified and these names deleted and they should be purified according to their concept of purification. I personally feel that there is a deep contradiction in Assam's life because this problem is a very complex problem—the problem of the socalled foreigners. It is a complex problem and in a way it is an accumulated problem because in the course of 10 to 20 years this question has been raised many times. I remember having dealt with it as the Home Minister and I then agreed to appoint tribunals look into the cases of suspected foreigners. I do not know how those tribunals came to be discontinued. Personally I am not aware of it. I persuaded them to have the tribunals so that there may be some machinery which can help to remove the suspecions in their minds.

Now the point is that very extreme positions have been taken. The people who are the sponsors of the movement feel that there are more than fifty to sixty lakhs of people who are foreigners. Of course, some of us and I think all the parties—I am sure about it—agreed to one thing that this question will have to be solved through negotiations and when we say that this problem should be negotiated, it presumes

[Shri Yeshwantrao Chavan]

that there are some foreigners. There are some foreigners and that section of foreigners should be dealt with. The question is: how it should be dealt with, and dealt with through what machinery, dealt with on what principles and criteria, etc. Really speaking, these are the questions and I think in that matter the Prime Minister has given a call for the withdrawal of this movement and I, on behalf of my Party, support that call for withdrawal because unless there is withdrawal of the movement, there would not be any proper negotiations or proper discussions as to on what principles this should be discussed because what we are discussing in Assam is not an academic problem, it is a very serious political reality. What is at stake there today is not Assam only but what is at stake there is the entire north-east India. Therefore, I think any patriotic person must take care to safeguard the integrity and unity of this country. That is the first priority today. There is no doubt about Negotiations will have to be started for that. When Prime Minister, Mrs. Gandhi took over, she started well. She called the people; she called some of us, all the party leaders, and discussed the matter with us. I think while some of us said that we may take 1971 as the cut off year while some others said that we may take 1961 as the cut off year. A majority of them said that we may take 1971 as the cut off year. But, later on, it was found out during the course of negotiations that the theory of taking any year as cut off year was not workable because it would not create conditions to start negotiations. It was only for that reason that it was said that this was not workable. Therefore, nobody is emphasising now on that at least, on the Government side. much as I could understand the Governments policy, I would say that they are not interested in any particular year as the cut off year. We will have to start negotiations. But, when you start negotiations, you will have to do that on certain principles. On what basis can we start that? First of all, we

must make an appeal to them to come there, sit with us and with leaders of the country. It is not a question between Government and government and Assam leaders in that movement. It is a question between India and one of its parts. It is an internal matter wherein certainly by negotiations we can find a solution.

Therefore, it was suggested negotiations must be started. I must make one position clear. I endorse what Mr. Indrajit Gupta said yester-When the Ordinance day. produlgated declaring that area disturbed one, I myself very much distressed to hear was that news. I wrote to Prime Minister saying that I am one of those who are interested in national solution. I am not for this type of dealing with the problem. This sort of repressive law is only counterproductive where feelings of million of people are involved and where masses are involved. I do not want to deny or support that there is not a foreign hand. So far as Government is concerned, there is no news about that. But, I am quite sure from my general experience that whenever such a trouble starts in India, foreign hands are always there. It has to be presumed. There is no necessity of having any specific evidence on that.

My main point is that this sort of repressive measure is not the way to deal with the problem Of course, there is one exception that I must make clear here. When it is a question of protection of minorities, whether it be linguistic or religious minorities, certainly. Government will have to firm about that. There may be Bengali Muslims or Bengali Hindus or there may be Biharis. They may completely be disturbed. They may get killed. Some figures were mentioned yesterday. I do not want to go into those figures. Even if one person is killed in an organised manner, it is the responsibility of the Central Government to use all possible force to suppose it. There is no other way; there is no other solution for this particular matter.

So, my main point is that as a general solution we should not think in terms of army and police to solve this problem. Here are our people, rightly or wrongly, led to believe that there is such a situation. There is an apprehension as I said that the social life of the people in Assam is being disturbed. There is a feeling that they are being overwhelmed. Psychologically they feel that they are convinced on that. Every official or non-official is involved in that movement. At the same time, there are some minorities who are living in fear there. This is the basic contradiction in Assam's life to-day. This is not something very complimentary to the Assam situation. I would say this that at least in the Indian context that Government will be democratic government which guarantees the protection to the minorities. This is the first condition for any democratic government that is functioning. Therefore, I would say that while dealing with these things. Government will keep in its mind that this question cannot be solved with repressive measures, Army's use or by bullets. Emphasis will have to be only en negotiations. Of course, in order to persuade those people to come to the negotiating table, we will have to wait for some time. We will have to think of another method, namely, to make use of political parties in this matter. I would suggest that it is not only that the Government should try they should also make use of the other political parties and, I think, political parties are prepared to give cooperation. I would request the Prime Minister in this matter not to criticise the Opposition by saying that they are net giving cooperation because everyone knows when she called the very first meeting of the leaders of the Opposition, they endorsed her suggestion that this question is a major question ' and we will give all possible cooperation to find a solution. Therefore, this sort of criticism of the Opposition parties unnecessarily is proving to be provocative and then it leads to unnecessary criticism, unnecessary non-cooperation and unnecessary indifference.

New, Sir, the other aspect I would like to touch upon is this. I do not say

that before starting negotiations one should lay down the principles. As Mr. Frank Anthony said, certain basic constitutional provisions will have to taken into considerations. Certain international understandings will alsohave to be taken into consideration. It cannot be forgotten that there between our leaders and the leaders of the neighbouring countries understandings. I do not want to restrict the scope of negotiations. My intention is not to do that. But this fact will have to be kept in mind and for that matter Assamese leaders of agita. tion will have to give thought about it. If they take a negative position, it will not be useful for them or for Assam. or for India.

310

Sir, I again repeat my proposition that this is a mass-movement based on certain pre-conceptions or may be certain mis-conceptions. One cannot deny there are foreigners. I think there are foreigners and they will have to be identified and this process of identification is more difficult. The negotiations are becoming difficult because identifying the foreigners in Assam is the most difficult task because there are people who came there over the last thirty years and they have had their children born here.

AN HON'BLE MEMBER: Should they be treated as foreigners?

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN they treated How can be as. They good foreigners? are as Indians as you and we are. Sothe basic position is that it will take some time. Sir, I would like to think aloud because I have dealt with this problem in some manner. There is not going to be some fixed solution or there is not going to be some simplistic solution. It will take time. One will have te, show patience. Government will have to show patience and never give up their efforts to negotiate because these questions can be solved only through reconciliation with the people and in no other way. So, this one proposition should be kept in mind and I would like to say that this is our general approach to the problem. I am representing my party here and rutting

[Shri Yeshwantrao Chavan]

.31I

its approach to the problem. We want to give cooperation to the people of Assam and also to the Government of India to find a solution in this matter and, I hope, in the interest of India ultimately we will succeed in solving the problem through negotiations.

SHRI R. S. SPARROW (Jullundur): Sir, the situation in Assam, as already been given out by various 'Members of the House, is indeed very serious and complicated. The Prime Minister and the Home Minister and the Government officials have their best on the negotiation table with the agitators and allied people inclusive of the opposition leaders as also the Government Benches. Sir. have done their best and still they are doing their best and a solution shall have to be found because of the seriousness of this particular case.

Sir, I don't think at any time previously internally in India there ever had to be such a serious case as has appeared now, slowly, cumulatively, coming up to this particular stage

As I visualise, Sir, there are three main factors which one has to pin one's eyes only with the idea of trying to understand the problem properly so that it may help us to deal with it satisfactorily as also to derive proper type of lessons out of that, so that we can deal with such problems effectively. There are three main factors, in my view, which have to be looked into. There are international implications as also possible repercussions which are frought with all types of other consequences Then, in regard to the internal situation, its deteriorating possibilities have to be kept in view. Thirdly of course there is the economic distress which already is being felt so very seriously all round the country, which has to be watched very carefully

Sir, regarding the international implications, various members in the House have touched on these points in bits and pieces. What has been happening historically all along is that the super-powers and some other powers have formed a habit of putting

their finger into other people's affairs to their cwn advantage. That is why we have got to be careful. I have no doubt whatsoever that there is this hidden hand.—possibly more than one hand,—masquarding and moving about freely, getting money from outside. And this is normal modus operandi of such powers of the present day age.

Various countries have suffered as a result and we all know about it. It is possibly not necessary for me to reiterate what has been happening to the various countries. There nas also the same hidden hand of fcreign powers which all along has been trying to cut our national size Even at the time of India's partition, this thing happened. We had to lose a portion of India in the form of Pakis-This was principally done by the foreign hand—there is no doubt acout that. It went on like that. Sir, had to also lose one-third of Jammu and Kashmir due to foreign intervention, they were giving help by way of arms and ammunition and other types of aid. And at one time, we very nearly lest Kashmir itself, it was through the timely thought, help and action by the late Pandit Jawaharlal Nenru that we managed to save that chunk India. And it went on and on like this, we lost some bit in Aksai Chin area and we also lost something in the Rann of Kutch. We also lost our sphere of influence in Tibet; we also lost certain other small territory here and there-and that again, if I may put it so, through the manoeuvring and working of foreign hands right at the UNO and Security Council level. These happenings can form a nice, little book from where we can draw many lessons. I would first submit to the House that we have to be pretty careful awakened to the fact that what happening to-day in Assam is happening, with the connivance of the supertype of powers from outside. Why do they do it? Why are they warried about it? No super power on earth would wish to see India, which is a petential power, come up as a super power. To-day India is a notential super power and in some cases. are actually the 4th super power the surface of the globe. And they would not wish us to be so. They would small. That has been happening everywish us to be vivisected and where. As I pointed out the other day, such things happen here and You may recall what happened Kampuchea, Laos, Congo, Katanga, Nigeria Biafra, Uganda and Ethiopia. And something happened in Chile also. Such powers create confusion difficulties and thereafter, countries like India suffer.

I am very glad that the majority of the Opposition leaders also feel that we have to work collectively, to deal with this difficult problem. This sign during this particular discussion is most welcome.

To-day I read about spies been caught. We have to be very watchful about these things. Intelligence, counter-intelligence and countercounter-intelligence—that angle has to be wwatched very carefully. (Interruptions). I read in the newspapers about 5 spies being caught in the Jammu area. Details will be given. You know the previous cases of some Armed Forces personnel also having been found sabotaging and spying etc. These are all due to foreign hands and foreign money. Incidentally, happens in all the countries. For these reasons, we have to button up our own intelligence system, so that we improve our knowledge and do not get ensnared in other people's nets.

That was one aspect of it. second aspect is about the internal problem. It is a very serious Since it has been thrashed out so very well earlier by my friends, I will be very brief. It something happens in Assam to-day and we give in, or try to placate everybody and do not keep our aims straight, we will suffer. We have to have a nationalist approach in this matter, because it is a national question and if we start going into

little problems of regionalism, cul- ture etc., the same thing can elsewhere also. It can start in Punjab, in Bengal, in other States to detriment and to the difficulties of India as a whole. So, one has to be very correct, sympathetic, also negotiating yet I think also film.

314

The last point is about the economic angle of it. But before I touch on that I like to say a word about the cultural side, because usually it is said and spoken, people have spoken about it, that the culture of a State has to be maintained, the language has to be maintained. But the point is about the bigger culture. Every State has gots its own language and culture and so on and so forth. We have certain common things which are of concern to the whole of India. We have our holidays, we have our Holi, we have our Dusehra, we have Id-ul-Fitr, we have Christmas and so on and so forth. They are all very common to our civilization and culture from old days to date; and for that reason that is of prime importance to us. In the Armed Forces in Assam, we have the Assam Rifles. In the Army, we have never this type of regionalism. We speak as Indians, we talk as Indians, we consider ourselves as being Indians first. After that we can become Sikhs or Christians or anything else or belong to any such region. Therefore, this cultural angle of it cannot be played up to the tune that you miss the bigger angle of what you are confronted against. So, this is one part of it which we have to watch.

Economic angle is very simple to understand. Figures have already been given by other speakers. For its dayto-day working and control Rs. crores have been spent and the loss in runs to the tune of spout diesel 1,30,000 tonnes in a month. So, these figures are disturbing. If they try to stop the outflow of these commodities from this State to others it will become chaotic. Suppose Bengal says, we do not send out jute for one reason or the other or Punjab or Haryana

. Proclamation in respect of Assam (St. Res.)

[Shri R. S. Sparrow]

say, we do not send out grains under one pretext or the other or Madhya Pradesh says, we do not send out manganese and tungsten for one reason or the other, the situation can become chaotic and the economic angle of it will flop and crash. Well, with these words, I thank you.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA (Bombay North): Mr. Chairman, the hon. Home Minister has moved for approval for the continuance of President's Rule in the State of Assam for another six months. It is most unfortunate and regrettable that since elections to the Lok Sabha could not be held in most of the constituencies in Assam, there is no member here from a vast area of the State about which we are discussing. Six months ago, the hon. Home Minister asked the House to approve the President's proclamation. At that time too, the suggestion was that the Assembly should be kept in suspended animation. There was no proposal to dissolve the House. there was no proposal to hold election. Even now, it appears that a decision has been taken that the House should be kept in suspended animation. This is reflective of the stalemate that exists in the there is widespread discontent, disonly in the House, the Assembly, where no party or no group of parties is in a position to state a claim to form a government, but also a stalemate as far as the electorate is concerned, stalemate created by the fact there is wide spread discontent, disillusionment with the electorate rolls as they exist, and a near universal demand is that the electorate rolls should be revised to ensure foreign nationals do not exercise rights that belong to the citizens of country. Six months ago, when this House voted the resolution of the hon. Home Minister, there were raised on two counts, firstly, that since the Centre was taking over responsibility for the administration of the state; There would be expeditious efforts made to deal with the problem that had created the situation, that

steps would be taken to detect foreign nationals, to delete their names and to revise the rolls so that elections could be held, since the centre itself taking over the reins of the government and since there would be unity of command and expeditious implementation, elections could be held early In the same way, there was the other hope that since the Centre was taking over the responsibility for administration of this area, attention would be paid to the crying economic problems of the area which have festered over the last decades. I regret to say that for some reason or the other. inspite of efforts that have been made, both these hopes have been belied.

What is the position today? agitation has gone on, as the hon. Home Minister himself has said, for the last nine months. Life has come te, a standstill. Educational institutions do not function, administrative offices do not function. I do not want to take the time of the House to describe the situation, but it is clear that life has come to a standstill. It has been proved that the agitation enjoys the support of the vast masses of the state If this were not the case-my hon. friend Mr. Anthony said yesterday that a handful of people were behind the agitation, we have heard this phraseology before—it would have been possible for the government to deal with the situation and ensure that President's rule was removed elections were held. It does need peculiar kind of courage for someone to say that the last nine months have not proved that there is vast popular support for the movement in Assam; perhaps an ostrich can do so in the desert.

On the other hand, you see that the government has had to invoke the Assam Disturbed Areas Act, the Preventive Detention Ordinance, Armed Forces Special Powers Act and the Essential Services Ordinance. They have had to induct the CRP, the BSF. military and paramilitary personnel; many areas are under curiew. Even officers have had to be inducted from

outside. It is a grave situation by all counts. I relate this situation not merely to attack or criticise anybody, it is incumbent on the House to take note of the gravity of the situation and deal with the problem as a national problem.

317

I was therefore glad that the hon. Home Minister said yesterday that the government feels that this is a national problem, and that the problem has to be settled through negatiations. A national problem, if it is to be settled through negotiations and consultations, can be settled only through the evolution of a consensus.

He spoke yesterday about the commitment of the government to the preservation of the unity of the country and the protection of the minorities. My party is absolutely behind him in both these respects, but let no one think that anybody has got the monopoly of loyalty to the unity of this country. All of us are loyal to unity of the country. We went unity of the country to be preserved at all costs, but that unity is not a unity which depends upon decimation, unity has to be created by the live units of the country. The road to a consensus does not lie through calculalated calumny of those whose cooperation and consensus you seek.

The hon. Minister for Home Affairs referred yesterday to the visit he and the Prime Minister paid to the state of Assam. It is true that high hopes were raised when they visited state; their visit was welcomed all quarters. But unfortunately negotiations, the discussions did not succeed. When the Home Minister returned, he, it seems, made a discovery. Some of his statements made me remember what I have read in Alice in Wonderland 'or' Through the Looking glass'. He that said there was no reasons the for agitation. The agitation was being carried on for the sake of agitation,which at least proved that the hon. Home Minister did not know what the agitation was about. However, Yesterday he made a further commentary and he said that those who were behind the agitation were willing to come to terms but others were preventing them from coming to an agreement. Foreign hands were behind this, and foreign influences were responsible for the prevention of the evolution of a solution. If this is so, it is indeed very grave. But I shall come back to this later.

318.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please wind up.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: My
paty has a certain amount of time and
I propose to use it. Others spoke for

MR. CHAIRMAN: Only 8 minutes are available to you.

45 minutes, 30 minutes.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: It is not so. Yeşterday other parties who had 10 minutes were allowed 45 minutes.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am cautioning you You may have a little more time.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: If it had been ignored earlier, it can be ignored now too.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have not given a bell. I am cautioning you that you have a limited time.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: We are putting forward a point of view which is somewhat different and the House should listen to our point of view, and it will be most unfortunate if we are not given an opportunity.

Sir, let me not take further time in all this discussion with you.

The Prime Minister's response to the situation has been expectedly more refined. It has been more subtle. She made it almost the main election issue. Wherever she went during the election, she blamed the Opposition for Assam. was happening in what say that she indulgdo not ed in monotonous repetition. In most cases she, with the characteristic subtlety of a maestro oriented what she had to say to the wavelength of the constituency. If I had the time, would

respect of Assam (St. Res.)

[Shri Ravindra Varma]

have read out the statements that were made in Maharashtra, Bhubaneshwar, Nagpur, Rampur and Moradabad. These are not the same. That is why I lo not accuse her of monotony.I only say that with the characteristic subtlety of a maestro she showed that her statements were oriented to wavelength of the constituency. was a classic pattern blaming the opposition, deny that the movement had popular support, questioning and misrepresenting the objectives of movement; suggesting that there were ulterior motives behind the movement, blaming the movement as the work of agents provocateur attempting divide the supporters of the movement and depending on the use of force. This is characterstic pattern of dealing with a popular movement that this country has seen in the early decades of this century. I do not want to say more because of lack of time.

It is a national problem. Why $i_{\tilde{s}}$ it a national problem? It is a national problem because it deals with foreign nationals. No one can deny that foreign nationals have infiltrated into the area. It is not a figment of the opposion's imagination. This issue was not invented by the Opposition. I wish to remind the House that as early as 1950 when the hon. friend sitting here was a Member of the House, the House adopted a Bill which beame an Act called the Immigration (expulsion from Assam) Act, 1950. If my Party had the time, I woud have liked read to you the charaterisation of the infiltrant foreign nationals, the machnery that was visualised for detection, the authority that the Government were given to deport them and deermine routes of deportation etc. This has been on the statute book from the year 1950. If the problem was not a real problem and if the rulers of our country, great men like Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and Sardar Vallabh Bhai Patel who was then the Home Minister, were not aware of the problem, why was it put on the Statute Book? If it has not been implemented for the

last 30 years, is the opposition responsible for it? It is easy to blame the opposition. But what is the crux of the problem?

17 hrs.

AN HON. MEMBER: They repealed it in 1957.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: crux of the problem has nothing to do with religion. The crux of the problem has nothing to do with language. It is purely a question of foreign nationals, and the status and sights of a foreigner. If it is parochial for an Assamese-speaking man to say non-Assamese people should not find a place in Assam, it is equally parocia and equally chauvinistic for anyone to say that just because a foreigner speaks the language I speak or professes the religion I profess, should be treated on a different footing. It is equally chauvinistic; I not want to say more. The question is purely one of the status of a foreigner. I am entirely in agreement with those who say-Shri Indrajit Gupta said it-that there must be a machinery which is objective, impartial and judical, whch can command the confidence of all, to determine who is a foreigner. There is no doubt on that score. There also can be doubt that the minorities, in the country whether they are linguistic or reminorities, should ligious absolute protection, and if necessary all kinds of force at the command of the State should be used to ensure their rights. But to say that is not to say that foreign nationals should not be detected and denuded of the right that citizens alone can have in the country. (Interruptions). Sir, I have no time for a running debate with him.

Unfortunately, there has been deliberate attempt to misrepresent the objectives of the movement. As far as this question of detecting foreign nationals, deleting their names from the electoral rolls and dealing with them in such a manner that they do not have access to the levers of power are

THE MINISTER OF COMMUNICA-TION (SHRI C. M. STEPHEN): Whatabout the cut-off year?

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: I will come to the cut-off year and the base year and the ambiguity and fluidity that you display in your thinking to suit the occasion. Sometimes you say, there is a cut-off year. Sometimes you say there is a base year. Sometimes you say let us not talk of either, because you want to fish in ambiguity. This is the way your Government functions. (Interruptions). I am not vielding. The Prime Minister has gone round the country calling this movement an out and out anti-natioal movemet. If you use such phrases, if you use such a characterisation,

how will you evolve a consensus? If I had the time, I would also have liked to refer to the incidents that were referred to about what happened in some places after the All Assam Minority Students Union was formed. Allegations have been made that this organisation was formed with patronage from certain quarters, the Congress-I. I say, allegations have been made. I am not making that allegation But there are reports in newspapers like the Hindustan Times which are very revealing. The correspondent says, he saw the procession, and asked some bearded gentlemen who were on the border of old age whether they were students. And, they said, "We are not students. But we have been told that the Prime Minis. ter is our leader and the Prime Minister has said that this is the only way of protecting our rights" I can read out this quotaition, which has gone uncontradicted. Whether it is time or not, I do not want to say anything for lack of time. If anyone wants to give this movement a communal colour by going round country and saying that the minorities are living in "mortal fear"—it is a quotation I will say that this is a dangerous game. We have seen this

game in this country before. Rulers in this country who wanted to crush a popular movement have used these very tactics. This is playing with fire; this is playing with the unity of the country. My hon, friend yesterday also raised this question, and he gave a certain number, but it turned from what he himself said that number of Assamese who have been killed was more than the number of I do not want to enter Bengalis. this question for the reason that whether it is a Bengali or an Assamee or a man who speaks any other language, it makes no difference Hindus have been killed; Muslims have been killed; Bengalis have been Assamese have been killed. There are refugecs who are Assamese, there are refugees who are Bengalis They have been indiscriminate victims of violence. We condemn this violence from whatever quarter it is as come.

322

(Interruptions)

Sir, I am not intimidated by this interruption, but I am intimidated by your bell.

Yesterday, my hon. friend, Mr. Indrajit Gupta, referred to this question and he said that the needs is to find a solution. We want a solu-All of us are unanimous in the view that a solution can be found only through negotiation and through incitement to violence r through the use of force or any side including the Government. Therefore, a negotiated settlement is the only settlement, as my hon, friend, Mr. Chavan, said. consiliation and the quest for consensus is the only way in which the cohesion of the nation can be maintained and a solution to this problem can be found.

Yesterday, my hon. friend, Mr. Indrajit Gupta, made a very eloquent speech, as he always does.

SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA: I must correct the records. He should not misquote me. (Interruption)

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: I am sorry if I have mis-quoted my friend. (Interruption).

My hon, friend said that the solution should be based on certain prin-My hon, friend, Mr Chavan, ciples. repeated the necessity to find a solution based on certain principles. What are those principles? Those ciples have been clearly stated. has been said that a solution must be found within the four corners of the Constitution and according to the laws that exist in this country governing the question of citizenship. Therefore, the principles are clear. one can say that the evolusion a consensus through negotiation is not a matter that can be achieved by any single party. But the leadership, the inciative in this case has to be taken by the Government, and a climate conducive to negotiation has to created so that a national consensus can be evolved for the solution of this national problem.

SHRI EBRAHIM SULAIMAN SAIT (Manjeri): It is very unfortunate that the question of foreigners in Assam has assumed very dangerous dimen-During the last so sions. months the situation in Assam has been very very explosive. Now, we all know that Assam is burning. Today, Assam is complerely in turmeil. There the administration gone completely partial Police hand in glove with agitators. The law and order situation has completely collapsed. Life is at standstil. minority live in terror and are facing atrocities. If we do not tackle this situation tactfully and expeditiously at national level it will go out of our hands. It will not only harm our economy and communal harmony seriously but will shatter the integrity of the country completely. It is suspected that there is a conspiracy of the foreign powers behind this movement to shalter the integrity of India.

Sir, I must tell you and I am conscious of this fact that the agitators are holding the country to ransom for the last so many months. The economy of the country is under heavy strain the blockade of refinery and bv we are losing Rs. 9 crores a day. The Home Minister and others speakers have said that foreign powers are involved. There are also trends of seceswhich are very much evident all these things are no doubt dangerous. Now, it is said that it is a question of detecting foreigners and nothing more, just to belittle the whole dangerous movement and take away the poison from it. I have to emphasise here. Today that all the minorities are in perpetual danger of their life, honour and their properties. And some want to say that in the agitation only foreigners question is involved and nothing more than that. That is a mockery of the entire situation. The minorities, religious minorities ethnic minorities linguistic minorities, and all of them live under perpetual danger to life and their properties. They have been subjected to the worst type of atrocities. They live in terror today. The supply of essential commodities has been stopped to areas inhabilited by the minorities. I make this charge. Let the Home Minister inquire. I say also that the communications have been cut off to minority areas. And still it is said the situation is nothing more than a foreigners issue. It is wrong absurd completely baseless.

Again the impression is sought to be created that in Assam the agitation is a mass movement and also that it is completely non-violent. This is also wrong and baseless. What exactly is the fact has to be understood. It is not a mass movement. Might be that 40 to 50 per cent people are with the But the advantage agitator. have is complete collaboration from the local administration. Papers are with them, even radio is with them. The police is completely partisan. On the other side. Bengalees suffer, Bihasuffer, Nepalese suffer, plantation labourers suffer, tribals suffer, and all the Muslims in Assam suffer suppression and humiliation. This is the situation. It is not only the no-Muslims who suffering but I say today the suffering is of all Assam Muslims. They are made the target. All these minorities were not able to speak out because they fear torture at the hands of agitators. They are really more than 50 per cent there. And these re the people who have been living in Assam not for decades, but for more than half a century. Actually it is the forefathers of some that had gone there. They developed Assam. cleared the forest, they started cultivation, they developed plantation, and today all of them are sought to be pushed out. That should not be allowed. As the meeting of the leaders of all political parties both from Assam and national level the consensus was to have 1971 as cut out date. This is a national consensus. It has to be adhered to. Any going back will be brutal and disastrous.

Here I would refer to a photostat copy of a statement made by local administrative officers. It is in Assamese language from which it is clear that when the minorities wanted to demonstrate peacefully on 26th of May they were denied this rght and were subjected to firing, killing hundreds of people. In this statement the Extra Assistant Commissioner, Mr. Kamala Charan Gosain has said:

"There is no office of any kind on the soil of Assam where the minorities can make a representation. Today, on 26th May 1980 I say that A A.M S.U. will not be allowed to enter Government office in Assam. Minorities will not be entitled to launch any kind of movement, even constitutional, in future."

This is the translation of the statement in Assamese language into English whose photostat copy I have lore, with me. All this establishes that the movement is not nonviclent, it is completely violent.

SHRI M. RAM GOPAL REDDY (Nizamabad): I want to know what that paper is?

SHRI EBRAHIM SULAIMAN SAIT: This is a photostat copy of an officer's statement in Assamese language, not a newspaper.

Yesterday M. Biju Patnaik was asking why the military was sent. What a wonderful grasp of the situation! The country is facing the danger of disintegration, there is collapse of law and order situation and foreign involvement is alleged, the minorities are suffering atrocities of worst type and the local police has gone completely partisan, and he asks why the military is sent. Must people die? What does he want?

I regret to say that on the 26th and 27th the military was there, but were they empowered to act? No. They were under the civil authority. They had no power They were not called out. It was the Assam police that was killing the peaceful demonstration of AAMSU and burning their houses.

The Home Minister is very eloquent saying that he will protect the minorities, and the leaders of the opposition also say that it is not the monopoly of the Government, they also desire protection of the minorities, but what action have you taken to protect them, that is what I want to know. The military was there on 26th May but not in action, it was not called The religious and linguistic minorities were shot at, and then you here you will protect the minorities. We have seen how you are protecting them. It is your action that counts, not your words.

I will give you an example of the atrocities committed. One Mizan-ur-Rahman was shot at. He was taken to the hospital and a bullet was removed from his leg; he was recovering. Next day the AASU and the Ganga Sangram Parishad followers dragged him out and extracted his eyes. His bones were broken to pieces, and he died. You say there is no violence, it is a peaceful agitation. Is this the non-violent agitation?

I understand there should not be fresh elections, but when there is such, a dangerous situation existing, minorities should be protected. We must settle the issue through negotiation, I agree. But the agitators are adamant. They are intransigent A national consensus on 1971 as the cutoff date was arrived at by all the party leaders, Assam leaders, and national leaders and so many appeals have been made to the agitators. Was there response from the agitators? No But, on the other hand they declare that agitation will be intensified.

Government is also following weak-kneed policy. Suddenly the Governor, who is also a partisar man, declares we can start with 1967. Now, Government says we can start with 1971. But do you want to go backwards or for wards from 1971? That is the question. Such weakness should be avoided. The Government must act firmly. You must not compromise on principles and objectives should not be scrified. The agitators must be made to see reason. At the same minorities there, the ethnic and linguistic minorities. The question withdrawing military cannot be considered at all. Then you must be prepared for negotiations, and on the condition that the agitators will not be adamant and will withdraw the agitation. For nogotiathere should be а calm atmosphere. The whole situation has to be defused. Then can we sit together and negotiate. It has been said that three lakhs have already been deported by 1969. How many more are there, we do not know. We must evolve an acceptable machinery and have a judicial process to find out who is a foreign national and who is not? How are we to deal with them has to be considered? Are you going to send them to foreign countries? Is it possible? The Home Minister said that we shall distribute them to other provinces. Which province will accept them?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Your time over. I am calling Mr. Jethmalani. He will speak: only for five minutes.

Proclamation in

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI (Bombay North-west): That means, the whole party against which insinuations are being made will get only five minutes. I am the only speaker for my party. It is very unfair. If that be the case, I do not want to avail of the opportunity and you can keep it for yourself. You can have the whole party speak just for five minutes. In the Speaker's room, the other day, we decided that the ruling party would sacrifice and that they will not take so much time because it is ultimately the Oppositions' point of view which is to be heard. If they are willing to make sacrifice, for the cause of democracy and freedom of speech, five minutes being allotted for a party is ridiculous

I would rather not speak for just five minutes. You please give it t. somebody else.

MR. CHAIRMAN. Please conclude your speech, Mr. Sait.

SHRI EBRAHIM SULAIMAN SAIT The Government should not budge on principles, the situation has got to be controlled and a negotiated settlement should be reached. All actions should be taken to protect the minorities of this country.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Jethmalant. a lawyer like you will be able to sum up your points in five minutes.

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI: are prepared to sit till 8 O'clock. You must give us more time. When you say it is a national issue and when allegations are being made against my party, I must be heard.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You can take a little more time.

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI: There the was an implicit agreement in room of the Hon. Speaker

Mr.

MR. CHAIRMAN: That is siready done. When five minutes are allotted, people have taken ten minutes.

329

.Yes, Mr. Jethmalani, you can start your speech.

Chairman, Sir, though it is obvious

the Treasury benches, yet, I cannot

the speech, a very short and admir-

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI:

that I am not a great admirer

fail recording my appreciation

able speech which the House

able speech which the hon. Home Minister made yesterday I am glad that he told this House that this is a national question on which he is seeking the assistance and advice of the Opposition. I am glad promised that he is going to be ceptive to our criticisms however pungent and I am glad that he said that he will carefully ponder over unpalatable the advice, however given by the Opposition It is this spirit that I rise to make observations But while recording this word of appreciation for him, let me also find fault with him. My party has, as far back as at least a month ago, publicly announced solution of the Assam impasse. It is a matter of deep regret to me that so many leaders and so many members of this House have spoken and I have just heard a very impassioned speech by the great and sole of the minorities of presentative this country and yet nobody thought it fit to advert to the sensible solution which has been publicly preferred by my party. I have only heard veiled, insinuations and endoes being made not only against my party, but against my distinguished leader, Mr. Atal Bihari Vajpayee. I must condemn in no unmistakable terms, a section of this House, which this morning when the causes

of Assam were talked about, asked

who is sitting behind you." If this

Members of this House adopt and

is the kind of attitude which

Mr. Vajpayee: "Who started it?", ask him "Look at the gentleman

those Members of the House. I do not wish to identify them they will identify themselves and the Home Minister will identify them,— then I must speak up my mind.

330

But first let me speak about the solution which my party has offered. My party believes that there is only one solution of this problem Assam problem can only be solved combination and a judicious combination of the obligations which arise from the Constitution of India, from the Citizenship law of this country, from the Foreigners' Act on one side, and the obligations, on the other side, which arise out of the traditions of hospitality of this country, the traditions which arise of the religious tolerance which this country has practised through ages and, above all, the obligations which you Mr. Chairman will particularly apreciate, the obligations which arise out of civilised international law in the matter of granting political asylum to those who escape from tyranny and persecution in the country of their birth.

This is not a problem between Assamese and the rest of India. Those who want to give that colour to this problem are indulging in a game which is mischievous, which is unpatriotic, which is anti-national and I condemn it in no unmistakable This is not a problem between Hindus and Muslims, Those who wish to give that colour to this problem are playing a dangerous which shall recoil game, a game upon those who have embarked upon this game. This is not a problem of majority vs. minority.....

AN HON. MEMBER: You give a solution.

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI: You have had this problem for the last 30 years on your hand And you expect me to explain the solution to you in a minute. I thought the solutions were publicly offered to you by

[Shri Ram Jethmalani]

331

my party. We believe that whoever is a gnuine national of this country, whether he is a mony-lender from Gujarat or he is a Muslim from Bombay or he is a Bengali or he is a Bihari, from what ever part of the country he comes from, if he is an Indian national, my party will respect the right of the Indian national guaranteed to him under article 19 of the Constitution, that he has the right of free movement and the right to settle down in any part of the country.

Today, it is said that these youngmen of AASU, these men, women and children and old men of the Gana Sangram Parishad who fighting this battle of survival in their own State want the entire non-Assamese population to get out. speak with authority which arises out of having spoken to the representatives of these people, not only to them but also to their lawyersone of their lawyers was the distinguished Advocate-General of Assam -and also to their leaders and can state in no unmistakable terms and I brook no contradiction this that all these youngmen all these people who are involved in the Assam movement do not want any genuine Indian national to thrown out of Assam, whether that geuine Indian national is a Muslim or Christian or a Sikh or a Parsi.

The next question is: Who is a geninue Indian national whose rights shall be protected? The courts of this country daily resolve these problems. My hon friend, Mr. Frank Anthony, yesterday told you and everybody clapped when he said that he has been conducting nationality cases and only the other day he won a case on behalf of a Muslim. The courts and the judicial tribunals are in a position to perform this delicate task. But I regret to say that this Government in which my distinguished friend for whom I have got

great respect happens to be the Law Minister went to the Supreme Court and asked for the transfer of cases from the Gauhati High Court. He said outwordly, "We cast no reflection on the Gauhati High Court." But what he intended—let nobody have any doubt about his intention—and thought was that even the judges would favour detenus in Gauhati.

THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI P. SHIV SHANKAR): The Supreme Court agreed to the transfer of cases.

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI: But the thing remains, whether the Delhi High Court performs the task or the Gauhati High Court performs the task, there is a clear admission that the judges of this country, when equipped with proper legal assistance, can solve the problem of finding out who is an Indian national and who is not.

Yesterday, Mr Frank Anthony said that anybody born in this country after the 26th January, 1950 is an Indian national. I agree with him But neither my party those youngmen and old men who are participating in the movement in Assam want anybody who is on the soil of India after the 26th January, 1950 to be turned out. What they want is that those people alone. shall go who have got into country with the objective of changing the demographic character Assam and those who have got into this country with a view to the meagre resources of Assam and have entered contrary to our laws must be turned out.

Sir, an insinuation was made that the RSS is behind this. (Interruptions).

Another insinuation which was made yesterday was that it is the CIA which is involved in this.

When the Government, which has greater sources of information and which has greater sources of knowledge and investigation, was asked this question: "Are any foreigners involved" they gave an answer which again redounds to their credit redcunds to the credit of the gallant Sikh-I know he will never 'this country'—and he made a responsible statement that there is evidence that any foreigners were involved Yesterday my over-heated colleague Shri Samar Mukherjee brought a document, as if this document lends greater credence to oral statement. It is a document published by the Marxist Party Bengal from this document, he read the photostat of another and he read not only the contents but the caption at the foot of it. According to him this document is a CIA document published by the CIA, circulated by the CIA. Why? My friend very frankly argued on the face of it says Publicity Department, USA East 8980' and therefore this must be a document of USA. That is the speech he made yesterday schoolboy had read this document, he would have seen that the contents of the document say that U.S.A. means the United States of Assam. That is the over-heated imagination of my triend Samar Mukherjee. This is the kind of untruth, this is the kind of lies this House is treated to. USA means United States of America: nobody cares to read the body of the document.

Let us talk for two minutes about the RSS and its role. I want to appeal to the Home Minister, 'if you want to solve this problem, please get rid of the bogeys, get rid of the imaginary goblins you have conjured up. These will not help you to solve the problem; they will completely alienate you from reality'.

In 1950, when the august Parliament of the country passed a Bill for the expulsion of aliens from Assam, the RSS did not dominate the Parliament. It was the distinguished father of the present Prime Minister who dominated this House; it was under his tutelage that the Parliament passed this law. It is unfortunate that, for some political :easons, for seven years the Act was not only not enforced, but ultimately it was repealed.

In 1963 the Government—once again a Government presided over by the great Pt Jawaharlal Nehru -went into the whole problem displacement of ropulations across the East Pakistan border and came to the astounding conclusion that the figures on both sides neatly tallied. On the East Bengal in the East Pakistan districts there was a diminution of population there was a corresponding increase in the border districts of Assam. The same increase, exactly, had been registered, providing conclusive dence of the sources of infiltration.

The other day when the Home Minister made a speech publicly-he made it first in Punjab and repeated it in Rajasthan-he said 'I have solved the problem of Assam now. How has he solved the problem? I have already detected three lakh ten thousand infiltrators.' He first said that he had detected them but 'I am unable to send them out because the countries to which they belong are refusing to take them'. Then, 17 days thereafter, he made a further speech wherein he not only said that three lakhs have been detected but said 'I have sent them. and the agitation is being carried on for the sake of agitation'

I want to ask this question which I wish to address to my friend Mr. Frank Anthony who yesterday talked glibly of treason and declaration of war. According to me, those persons are guilty of treason who allowed three lakhs and more to come into this country. Your Mr. Sarin has gone to Assam and he promised the people of this country 'I have sealed

[Shri Ram Jethamalani]

the borders.' Those are criminals who failed to seal the borders for last thirty years. And who are those criminals—except those who wielded political power in the coun-

Today, you have said, that have put six mechanised boats in the Brahmaputra to seal off the routes of entry into Assam. criminais and those who are guilty of treason are those who did not put these mechanized boats earlier. These mechanized boats ought have been put there since 1950. And they are the persons who have sold the country's interests for the last 30 years, and they have sold the interests of the country for two mo-The motives have been their fake secularism and the dividends this fake secularism has provided-go on capturing the minority votes, go on selling India's interests, go allowing the foreigners to come in; shut your eyes to this widespread breach of Indian Constitution, to the widespread breach of the criminal laws of the country; shut your eyes, take people into Assam and Assam, which was a Hindu majority province, into a Muslim majority province....(Interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Jethmalani, now you should have no objection if I request you to conclude.

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI: Shakdhar, the Chief Election Commissioner, in 1978, made a statement in which he said:

"Another disturbing factor this regard is the demand made by the political parties for the inclusion in the electoral rolls of names of such migrants who not Indian citizens, without even questioning and properly determining their citizenship status. is a serious state of affairs. gravity of the situation therefore

calls for drastic and measures."

The RSS was not looking votes. The RSS was not trying to get the electoral rolls in Assam prepared to suit their purposes. is these gentlemen who wanted the electoral rolls to be prepared for their own purposes—to get political dividends; sell the country to foreigers and then say, 'We are trying to protect the minorities', One subdivision in Goalpara district, which is the constituency of the Begum of our last Rashtrapatiji—the figures indicate,-has been converted into a Muslim-majority; anea. You know what its demographic character was once upon a time, and what is its demographic character now. If the Muslim minorities want protection, the Muslim minorities will be protected. We shall keep them on our eyes; we shall keep them on our laps. But we shall not allow Muslim infiltrators to come from Bangladesh and overturn the demographic character of this State and share the meagre resources of the poor people of Assam and subvert their culture and civilization. It is not a question of minorities. Indian minorities will be protected. Genuine Indian Muslims will be protected, but not those who have come here as spies for the nefarious purpose of giving political support to the party in power.

SHRI CHITTA BASU (Barasat): Mr. Chairman, Sir, Assam is in deep crisis today. Assam is the tragedy of India. The nine-month old agitation led by AASU Gana Sangram Parishad has posed a grave threat to the basic concept of Indian unity in diversity. Whatever might have been said by learned friend, Mr. Ram Jethmalani. and Mr. Ravindra Varma, the facts clear and obvious whatever might have been at the beginning of the movement, the movement has movement now clearly become the of Assamese Hindus, basically upper

Continuance of JYAISTHA 20, 1902 (SAKA) Proclamation in class and upper middle class. It nas also to be realised at this particular period of time that it has acquired fearful overtones. this AASU and Gana Sangram Parishad acquired an unquestionably communal colour and it has acquired seces-Therefore, that being the actual nature of the movement, I think it is in the interests of the unity and the integrity found. Sir, it is quite clear and I with me that there are certain basic reosons for that. The basic reasons are that the people of Assam right for the last 30 years have felt themselves a subject of studied neglect.

also admitted as it says that particular movement led by parochial character and sionist overtones also. of the country that a solution think the entire House will There has been no development and the benefits of economic development have proceeded on a snail's pace. This has created discontent, this has created disaffection and this really created certain genuine problems for the people of Assam. what was the way out? It was necessary that democratic movement should be developed in which leftist and democratic parties would have a dominant role by which the policy of neglect by the centre towards the people of Assam could have been remedied and corrected. I have got a list of grievances from people of Assam regarding their neglect under development and since you have said that the time at my disposal is short, I will only try to catalogue some of them. But at the same time, it has to be known that the fiftist movement and the democratic movement in Assam is comparatively weak and taking advantage of the weakness of the leftist and the democratic movement, some vested interests have all along encouraged parochial type of movement foreign missionaries and foreign agencies particularly took advantage of that situation. It is known to all that these foreign agencies foreign missionaries have been nursing secessionist movement from the year 1950 and there is eloquent evidence of this as the government itself known it. I have certain other evidence also to prove that foreign agencies and foreign missionaries are involved in this movement

The Home Minister has gone on record to say in the Rajya that there is the US intelligence agency benind this movement and he said:

"In modern world, conquests were not made by the use of arms, but by flow of money and literature, a show of love and affection and by creating agents."

The Prime Minister was also on record to say that she thought that there was some foreign intervention in the north east but I surprisingly find that she said that there is not sufficient evidence to say that there is the involement of foreign agencies and foreign missionaries in this whole movement.

Here when the integrity of the country, when the unity of the country is involved, when the foreign destabilisers are there, why not the overnment take effective steps to see that these forces which want to destabilise the unity and integrity of the country are properly dealt with? Then the government say that there is no evidence of involvement of forign agncies or foreign powers. I have got a lot of informatin share with the House regarding the involvement of foreign agencies in this movement that since there is no time, I will fefrain from doing so. But, at the same time, let us come to the crux of the problem. problem is the minorities are to be protected and in order to protect the minorities and in order to see that the unity and integrity of the country is preserved certain basic things are to be formulated

JUNE 10, 1980

parties, a certain basic approach was formulated and certain points of view had been exchanged and a consensus arrived at It has been generally accepted that

Continuance

339

of

the whole problem should be understood and solved on the basis of the following four principles: 1. Unity and integrity should be

- safeguared at all costs; 2. Protection of minorities should
- of the Central Government; 3. Identification of the foreigners should be done through machinery enjoying the con-

fidence of all concerned

be the special responsibility

4. Identification should be made on the basis of guidlines agreed upon by all.

Therefore, it has also to be realised

that the problem has to be solved not

by confrontation but it has to solved through conciliation for this purpose, these four principles have to be thoroughly adhered to. If these four principles are to be adhered to, there should be an approach or an attempt to start negotiations. overwhelming majority of the parties are in favour of having the problems solved through negotiations. only thing that remains is that the leaders of the agitation have not yet considered it wise to come to the negotrating table. What is needed is to create a national consensus, to create public opinion all over the country and to see that the recalcitrant leadership

of the agitation can see the path of

reason and come to the negotiating

table. It is understood that although at the earlier stage, there was sup-

been also a feeling that this move-

if a proper attempt is made, a situa-

tion can be so created that the problem can be solved in a satisfactory

Therefore,

port of the people now there

ment is self-defeating.

another thing. Protection of minorities should be the special consideration of the Central Government. As a matter of fact, the linguistic and religious minorities in Assam to-day are feeling insecure. They live in terror. Therefore something should be done to remove this feeling. Unless strict administrative measures are taken to deal with the movement in Assam, the minorities there both religious as well ethnic cannot feel secure. fore a fresh approach should made to see that the problem is

a satisfactory manner.

That is not agreed to.

Proclamation in

respect of Assam (St. Res.)

thing and protection of minority is

340

items on the agenda and complete those items THE MINISTER \mathbf{OF} PARLIA-MENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI BHIS-NARAIN SINGH): Vote on

solved by starting negotiation in

MR. CHAIRMAN: The time which

was utilised for this discussion will

be the extended time of the House We will take up the remaining

Account on Assam has to be completed SHRI CHANDRAJEET YADAV:

I think the time has been extended for this debate only.

MR. CHAIRMAN: One hour was allotted.

SHRI CHANDRAJEET YADAV: One hour was allotted for this budget!

MR. CHAIRMAN: That was only for this discussion. We have given one hour and fortfive minutes.

SHRI CHANDRAJEET YADAV: It does not mean that the House can go on by extending the time. The rest of the items can be takenup tomorrow.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now the hon. Minister.

पृक्ष संत्री (धी धैल लिह): माननीय सधा-पति जी, हाकस के सामने जो मैंने संकल्प रखा बा कि झासाम में राष्ट्रपति कासन की झचीं को झौर छः महीने के लिए बढ़ाया जाए, उस सिलसिले में हाऊस के मेम्बरान को एक मौका मिला कि वे झासाम की जो गंधीर समस्या है, उस पर झपनी राय दे मकें। मुझे इस बात की खुशी है कि कौमों के इतिहास में कभी कभी ऐसी बात आती है, जिस पर हर ख्याल के, हर विचार के नुमायन्दगान को झपनी राय देने का मौका मिले।

17.50 hrs.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

भारत सरकार ने यह शुरू में ही कहा था

कि ग्रसम की समस्या को हल करने के लिए पार्टी ही नहीं, सरकार ही नहीं बल्कि इसको नेशनल सवाल समझ कर सबकी राय से, मश्विरे से हल करना चाहिये, हल तलाम करना चाहिये। इसीलिए भ्रापको मालूम है कि दो तीन बार भ्राल-पार्टीज मीटिंग भी हुई हैं भौर नेगोशिएशंज भी कई बार हो चुकी है। लेकिन ग्राज, वे हद से मार्गे निकल गए हैं। मैं महसूस करता या कि पालियामेंट का सेमन ग्राने वाला है ग्रीर पालिया-मेंट के सामने यह सारा मामला रखा जाए भीर उसकी राय के बाद ही भौर कदम उठाया जाए। मुझे खुशी है इस बात की कि धालमोस्ट धाल पार्टी लीडर्ज ने एक तरह से सरकार की इस नीति का समर्थन किय है चूँकि गवर्नमेंट ने शो भाफ फोर्स तो किया लेकिन यूज भाफ फोर्स नहीं किया भीर भव तक भसम के एजीटेटर्ज के साथ गवर्न मेंट परेंटली फाइट करती रही है भ्रौर उनके साथ प्यार ग्रीर मुहब्बत से काम लेती रही है, उनको समझाने बुझाने की कोश्रिण करती रही है। वह कोशिश करती रही है। कि वे रास्ते पर ग्रा जाएं। ग्रब यह बात से ज्यादा निकल चुकी है। मैं यह भी कह दूं कि स्टुडेंटस के ऊपर मुझे कोई शक नही है, कोई डाउट नहीं है लेकिन न तो उनके हाय में लिट्रेचर प्रोड्यूस करना है भीर न प्लानिंग है भीर न उनके हाथ में कोई फैसला करना है। वे केवल एजीटेटर रह गए हैं पिकिटिंग के लिए, जलूस निकालने के लिए, स्लोगन निकालने के लिए । हम इंतहाई खोज के बाद जानकारी कि बाराना ताकतें भी है भीर विदेशी ताकतों का भी छिपा हुमा हाथ है । मुझे श्री बीजू पटनायक जी की...... SHRI CHANDRAJEET YADAV: Mr. Home minister, you keep on making general vague observations all the time.

बाद इस जगह पर पहुंचे हैं कि यह मूबमेंट न

तो नान वायोजेंट हैं और न कौमी है। यह

मूबबेंट बहुत शरारती प्रकसरों के हाथ में भा

गई है भीर इस मुक्केंट के पीछे फ़िरका-

It is a serious matter. Please, be specific and name those foreign forces.

श्री चैल सिंह: मेम्बर साहिबान ने जो झापके सामने विचार रखे हैं उनको सुनने के बाद भौर देखने के बाद जो झापका डाउट रह गया है वह मैं

दूर करूंगा। म भापकी जानकारी के लिये ही कह

रहा हूं और मै तो भापका इतना भवन करता हु

कि भाग बैठे बोलते हैं भौर मैं खड़ा होकर बोलत

₹

श्री चन्द्रजीत यादवः मैं भ्रापका भ्रनादर नहीं करता हूं। भ्रगर भ्राप चाहते हैं तो मैं खड़ा होकर बोलता हूं।

श्री जैल सिंह: मुझे बीजू पटनायक जी के माय बहुत हमदर्दी है। मैं सोचता हूं कि वह जो बात कर रहे थे वह दिलो दिमाग से कर रहे थे या किसी मजबूरी मे कर रहेथे। उन्होंने यह कहा कि यह बताया जाए कि वहा पर फोर्स क्यों भेज दी गई? उन्होंने कहा कि चालीस लाख के करीव वहां पर विदेशी लोग हैं। दूसरी बार उन्होंने कहा कि प्रांच मिलियन है। यह भी उन्होने कह दिशा कि उनको बे धाफ बंगाल में बसाया जाए। इसका मतलब है कि उनको समुद्र में गिरा दिया जाय। उन्होंने यह भी सिद्ध किया कि जो एबटिटर हैं वे दुरुस्त हैं। उन्होंने यह भी कहा कि 1951 से नेशनल रजिस्टर को लेकर क्यों शुरू नहीं करते हो ? मुझे इस बात की हैरानी हुई कि सब कुछ 🌡 जानते हुये भी कि यह समस्या इस तरह से नहीं सुलझ सकती उन्होंने ऐसे सुझाव रखे जिनका कोई वजूद नही बन सकता । धौर फिर उसके बाद यह भी नही कहा कि इस समस्या का हल कैसे निकाला जाये। मैं तो यह कहना बाहता हूं कि यह बहुत बढ़ा-बढ़ाकर कहा.

अभी जैस सिंह

343

जानकारी से पहले यह तो मैं नहीं कह सकता कि कितने विदेशी सोग वहां हैं। विदेशी सोग वहां हैं, उनकी निकासना भी चाहिये। ग्रसामियों की बहु जो बिता है कि वह माइनोरिटी में हो जायेंगे, उस बात का भी इसको जरूरी तौर पर ब्याल रख कर कोई बास्ता निकासना है, लेकिन यह कहना कि वह 50 लाख के करीब हैं, तो मैं तो यह कहना चाहता हं कि 50 मास अगर विदेशी वहां रहते हैं तो आपकी सरकार पिछले 3 साल क्या करती रही भौर उससे पहले की सरकार क्या करती रही? कैसे 50 लाख को निकानेंगे, यह कोई बात है ? मुझे उनके साथ हमक्दीं है, वह सदन में नहीं है, भगर होते तो अपने आप को अमैंड कर लेते।

श्रापके सामने हमारे दूसरे मेम्बर साहेबान ने कुछ विचार रखे हैं, जिनमें हमारे सी० पी० भाई० के नेता श्री इन्द्रजीत गुप्त व श्री मुखर्जी हैं। हमारे देश के एमीनेंट लायर श्री एन्बनी जी हैं, उन्होंने भी अपने विचार रखे हैं। मैं जल्दी खतम करना चाहता हूं, सम-प्रप करते हुये मैं कहना बाहता था कि हमारे जेठमलानी जी ने शुरूपात में बड़ी बैलेन्स्ड स्पीच की । उनके लफ्जों से, उनकी अवायगी से सुप्रीम कोर्ट और हाई कोर्ट के जज भी प्रभावित होते हैं। इतने लायक लायर को जब मैंने आरज पहली बार इस पार्लियामेंट में सुनातो मुझे इस बात का ख्याल भाया कि वह इस तरह से कह रहे थे जैसे किसी में जरिम को छड़वाना होता है। क्योंकि वकील का धर्म है कि वह प्रपने सायल की बात कहे, चाहे उसका सायल गुनाहगार है या नहीं। उनका तो फर्ज है कि वह अपने सायल की मक्द करे भीर बड़ी खुबी से उन्होंने मदद की है, इस बात की मुझे खुशी है।

यह स्थाल या कि ग्रसम में फोसज क्यों भजी गई, सिट्टल रिजर्व पुलिस को क्यों भेजा गया। इन फोर्सेंज को इसलिये नहीं भेजा गया है कि श्रसामियों को कुचला जाये, बल्कि इसलिये भेजा है कि उनके जानो-माल की रक्षा की जाये। जब एक भाई दूसरे भाई का गला काटने के लिये तैयार **हो जाये भीर समझा-बुझा**कर दुरुस्त रास्ते पर नहीं लाया जा सके, तो सरकार का पहला फर्ज **होता है कि व**ह उस पर ऋंट्रोल करे ग्रौर मजबूत हायों से करे। फिर भी हमने कोशिश की है कि उस ताकत को, जो एक्ट बनाया गया है, उनका इस्तेमाल न किया जावे घौर उनके लिये हमदर्दी प्रकट की जाये

ग्रब मैं उन दोस्तों से कहना चाहता हूं, जिनका

श्रापके सामने हमारे मुस्लिम लीग के नेता ने जो तकरीर की है, मैं उसको दोहराना नहीं चाहता, लेकिन मैं यह कह सकता हूं कि हमारे सी पी (एम) के झीर सी पी पाई के नेताओं ने जो तकरीरें की हैं उन्होंने बिल्कुल दिलेरी क्षे भी र सही वाक्यात को हाउस के सामने रखा है।

18.00 hrs.

श्री इन्द्रजीत गुप्त ने कहा कि गांडमैंन को वहां जाने दिया। ब्रासाम में रेस्ट्रिकान है, बहा इजाजत सेकर जा सकते हैं, लेकिन कुछ एरिया ऐसा भी है, जहां ट्रिस्ट दो हफ्ते के लिये जा सकते. हैं, उनके लिये कोई रुकावट नहीं है। वह चले गये हैं, और उन्होंने क्या किया, कैसे किया, हम उसकी जानकारी हासिल करेंगे, भीर उसके बाद जो मुनासिब एक्जन लेना चाहिये, वह हम लेंगे । लैंकिन में एक बात कहना वाहता हूं कि धाज की दुनिया में विदेशी ताकतें किसी मुल्क को, खास तौर पर किसी ताकतवर मुल्क को, बर्बाद होते देख कर खुश होती हैं। यह हम नहीं रोक सकते है। जब वे मुखालफत करती है,तो इनविजिबल हैंड से करती है, **दिखाई** नहीं देती हैं। मैं हाउस को इतना **बता** देनाचाहता हूं कि ग्रगर हमें वह हाथ दिखाई दे गया, जो विदेशी ताकतों ने वहां डाला है, तो सेंट्रल गवर्ननमेंट इस बात के लिये वचनबद्ध है कि उस हाथ को काट दिया जायेगा।

(म्यवधान)

द्याप जानते हैं कि "इंसान जुल्म का मारा तो बच सकता है, यह जो मुहब्बत से मिटाते हो। गजब करते हों।" भाज कल की दुनिया में विदेशी ताकतें भ्रापना हाथ प्यार भ्रौर मुहब्बत से डालती हैं। वे हमारी ग्रौर गरीबों की खिदमत करने के लिये ग्राती हैं स्पीर धीरे धीरे ग्रपनाकब्जा करना वाहती हैं। वै ऐसे लोगों को पकड़ती है, जिनको हम पहचान नहीं सकते हैं। यह पता नहीं चलता है कि कौन लोग पकड़े गये हैं, कहा पकड़े गये हैं, कहां बात हुई है, कहां से रुपया भीर लिट्रेचर भ्राता है, कहां से मैं सेज पहुंचाये जा रहे हैं। इस बारे में जितनी भी जानकारी होगी, वह हम हाउस से नहीं छिपायेंगे। लेकिन मुझे उम्मीद है कि हाउस हमें इस बात की जरूर इजाजत देगा कि जो बात नेशनल इंट्रस्ट क्रौरपब्लिक इंट्रैस्ट मेंखुले तौरपर नहीं कहनी चाहिये, वह हम न कहें।

जहांतक म्रार० एस० एस० का ताल्लुक है, भाज से दो महीने पहले मैं इस बात को शुबहे से देखता था कि इसमें घार० एस० एस० का हैंड है या नहीं। लेकिन ग्रब जानकारी करने के बाद मैं इस नतीजे पर पहुंचा हूं कि भ्रार० एस० एस० के लीडर जितनी बार बहां जाते रहे हैं, उतनी बार वहां दंगे होते रहे हैं, फसाद होते रहे हैं। उनके कैम्प लगते रहे हैं, जिनमें शिक्षा दी गई भीर वहां पर सात हजार के करीब भ्रार० एस० एस० के वालन्टीयर तैयार किये गये हैं।

श्री हरिकेश बहादुर (गोरखपुर) : सरकार क्या करती रही?

श्री इन्द्रजीत गुप्त: ग्रार० एस० एस० के कैम्प को बन्द क्यों नहीं कराते ? उसको चलने क्यों देते भी जैल सिंह: भाप योड़ासा धीरज रिबये। हर बात समय पर होनी चाहिये भीर मुनासिब तरीके से होनी चाहिये। में कह सकता हूं कि मेरे दोस्त इस बात का भरोसा रखें कि क्या करना है,

हम यह भी जानते हैं, कब करना है, यह भी जानते हैं भीर कैसे करना हैं, यह भी जानते हैं।

में खास तौर से भारतीय जनता पार्टी धौर धार० एस० एस० के नेताधों को बड़े भरव के साथ कहना चाहता हूं कि उनकी नीयत पर मुझे शक नहीं है। वे भारतीय संस्कृति को कायम रखना चाहते हैं।

भी इन्द्रक्कोत यादव : यही भापको गलतफहमी है। वे बिल्कुल नहीं कायम रखना चाहने हैं।

भीवील सिंह : हो सकता है कि न रखना चाहते हों। मेरा इम्प्रेशन है। मुझे, यह भी विश्वास है कि वह चाहते नहीं कि भारत के टुकड़े हों लेकिन, वे गौर करें दोबारा भौर दोबारा गौर कर के यह देखें कि वह भारत एक कैसे रह सकता है ? जो रास्ता उन्होंने ग्रस्तयार किया है उसमे भारत एक नही रह सकता । मुझ ख्याल भाया, एक भौरत भ्रपने पति से बहुत तंग भौर दुखी थी। वह एक साधु के पास गई ग्रौर कहा कि मुझे ऐसा जंत्र-तंत्र दीजिये कि मेरा पति वशा में भ्राजाये। उन स्वामी जीने एक ऐसाजंद्र दे दिया कि वह जब उसे पिलाया गयाया उसके हाथ में रखा गया उस की मौत हों गई। ता उस भौरत ने उनसे कहा कि मैने तो यही कहा या कि मेरे वश में हो। जाए, मैंने यह कब कहा था कि मैं विधवा हो जाऊं। तो मैं यह कहना हूं कि स्नार० एस० एस० के नेताओं से कि वह जौ जंब मंत्र कर रहे है वह गलत कर रहे हैं, उससे हिन्दुस्तान का नुकसान होगा भौर वह पछतायेंगे इस बात पर । नेशन के नाम पर मैं उनसे भ्रपील करता हं कि वह दोबारा गौर करें भौर भारतीय इतिहास भौर भारतीय संस्कृति को कायम रखना है तो मेरे साथ पब्लिकली बहस कर लें, मैं उन्हें बताउंगा कि भारतीय संस्कृति कैसे कायम रह सकती है।

हमारे भारत के नार्यं ईस्टर्न हिस्से में जो बैजेनी पैदा हुई, जो गड़बड़ी पैदा हुई सन् 79 के अक्तूबर से लेकर—पहले भी कभी कभी होती रही—लेकिन भाज की जो समस्या है, उसमें बहुत से लोग मारे गये । भाज के इस टाइम पर हमारी प्रधान मंत्री जी खुद आने वाली थीं लेकिन एक ऐसे जरूरी काम के लिये (ध्यवधान)..... आसाम का डेलीगेशन उनको मिल' रहा है भौर कुछ ऐसे काम भी हैं जो इंतजार नहीं कर सकते, प्रधान मंत्री के नाते उन्हें उनको करना ही है, इसलिये वह नहीं भाई। तो हम प्रधान मंत्री जी. की तरफ से भारत की सरकार की तरफ से भारत की सरकार की तरफ से भारत की सरकार की तरफ से भारत की इस गड़बड़ी में जितनी जिन्हियां चली नई है. जितनी मौतें हुई हैं उनके वरिवारों के

साव हमदर्दी जाहिर करते हैं और उनके प्रकसोस के साव हम ज्ञामिल होते हैं।

बहुत दुख की बात है मासाम की समस्य को सुलझाने के लिये झानरेबल मेम्बर साहबान ने कोई सुझाव दिये भी हैं, लेकिन जी किठिसाइज करते हैं वे सुझाव नहीं देते हैं। जब सुझाब नहीं देते हैं तो हमारी डयूटी बन जाती **है भौ**र **ड्यूटी** इसलिये बन जाती है कि जो सिस्टर स्टेटस हैं, बहुां की जो रियासतें है उनमें भी यह बीमारी फैलती जाती है। वहां भी एक दूसरे के खिलाफ न फरत पैदा हो गई। सिपुरा से प्रभी प्रभी वाबर प्राई है कि वहांपर इतन दंगेफसाद तीन दिन में हुवे हैं जिस में हजारों प्रादमी बेचर हो गये भौर सैंकड़ों प्राद-मियों की जिन्दगी चली गई। मैं भ्रभी ध्राज ही या कल सुबह वहां, बिपुरा जाऊंगा भीर भापको यह बात सुन कर बहुत दुवा होगा, वहां की जो खबर भाई है, भभी पूरी गिनती तो नहीं हो सकती लेकिन तीन सौ के करीब तो लोग मारे गये हैं भीर इस नफरत की वजह से भ्रापस में लड़ाई हो रही है, दंगे हो रहे हैं फसाद हो रहे हैं। जब हम को अबबर मिली तो हमने बी०एस०एफ० फोर्स भौर सैंट्रल फोर्स को वहां भेजने का इतजाम किया। लेकिन दो हवाई जहाज वहां पहुंच सके, बाकी गौहाटी में खड़े है क्योंकि मौसम खराब हो बया। वे वहा पहुंच नही पाये । ऐसी स्थिति में यह बात किसी भो पार्टी के इंटरेस्ट में नही है, इस को तो हमें बहुत गंभीरता से देखना चाहिये । ग्रापको मालूम है कि एक साल हो गया, घ्रासाम के स्टूडेंटस की स्टडी बिल्कुल बन्द पड़ी है, वह नहीं हो सकी। इसी तरह से वहां पर बिल्डिंग ग्रीर रोड्स वगैरह के तमाम काम भी सब बन्द पड़े हैं। यह भान्दोलन जिस के लिये हमारे म्रानरेबल मेम्बर नेकहा कि यह कौमी है, यह फिरकेदाराना नहीं है, यह झांतिमय है, मैं भ्राप को बताना चाहता हूं, क्या वह शांति-मय है कि 26 तारीख को माइनारिटीज स्टडेंटस मेमोरंडम देना चाहती यनियन एक भीर उसको मेनारंडम देने की इजाजत नहीं मिलती।' जिस मफसर ने मेमीरंडम हासिल किया, उसका **घेराव** किया गया, उसकी बहज्जती की गई।

इसी तरह से कल माननीय सदस्य भगत जी ने बताया था कि एक औरत जो उनके एजिटेक्सन में धामिल नहीं होना च ्ति थी, उसकी नाक काट दी गई जिससे उसकी मृत्यु हो गई। मैं भ्राल इंडिया न्यू जपेपर्स एडिटर्स फ्रांफेंस में गया, वहां उन्होंने मुझे एक रिपोर्ट दी, वह सक्लिट की गई है और शायद ग्रापको मिस गई होगी। सतकार्य थोग्य एडिटर ने मुझे बताया कि वौहाटी में एक विश्ववा भौरत भीर उसकी दो नौजवान सब्कियां थीं । भ्रांदोसन कारियों ने जाकर उससे कहा कि सब्कियों को इमारे साथ पिकेटिंग में जेजो। उसने कहा मैं नहीं भेजती, नेरा इस एजिटेक्सन में विश्वास नहीं है तो उसको मारा गया, पीटा गया और उसे मजबूर किया गया कि हमारे साथ भाषों। इसिनेबें

JUNE 10, 1980

[श्री जैल सिह]

न तो हम कह सकते हैं कि यह नैशनल है भीर न कह सकते हैं कि वह कम्युनलिउम से कम है। वहां पर इश्तहार बाटा जाता है कि हम हिन्दुस्तान के साथ नहीं रहना चाहते। इस भान्दोलन के पीछ, मैंने पहले ही कहा कि स्ट्डेन्टस बेचारों का कुछ कुसूर नहीं है लेकिन जो उनके पीछे तार हिलाने वाले हैं उनका इरादा क्या है? उनका इगदा है कि जो नान ग्रासामी है, उनको वहा मे फैक दिया जाय। इस भ्रान्दोलन के पीछे इतना बल नही है जितना बल वे कहते है क्योंकि वहा माइमारिटीज जो है वह 40 फीसदी के करीब है। कुछ ट्राइबल एरियाज भौर प्लेन ठ्राइबल एरियाज के स्टूडेन्टस्भी ग्राकर मुझ से मिले फ्रीर वे यहां पर है, फ्रीर मिलेगे, वे इस म्रान्दोलन से दुखी है। मैंने उनसे पूछा कि म्राप खामोम क्यो रहे तो वे कहते हैं हम इसलिये खामोभ में कि हम उनके साथ लडाई झगडा न करे। हम यह विश्वाम स्थाते थे किये जन्यइन फारेनसं को बाहर निकालना चाहते है लेकिन ग्रब ह्रम इस नतीजेपर पहुंचे हैं कि इसमे फारेनर्स सवाल नही है, न ये मुमलमान को चाहते है, गर-आसामी को रखना चाहते है।

हमें इस बात पर भी इत्तफाक करना टोगा कि जो जहरी वस्तुये हैं उनको उन्होंने बाहर निकलने से रोक दिया। वहा जो बैम्ब् है, जो लकडी है, वहां की जिबती? चीजे हैं वह म्रासाग से बाहर न निकले भीर वहां की तीन रिफायनरीज पर पिकेटिंग की जाये जिससे तीत करोह इपये राजाना का घाटा हो तो इसका मतलब विदेशियों के नाथ क्या है? सरकार ने पिछ साल ९ हजार टन फटिलाइजर भेजा भीर जो फटिलाइ र वहा पर होता था उस को उन्होंने बन्द कर दिया। सरकार ने इस साल 16 हगार टन फटिलाइजर भेजा

न्हः परलीगो से जबरा पैस। उगहा जाता है एम एल एज को वायकाट किया हुमा है। ग्राप यह जान कर हैगन होगे कि बहुत बड़े देशभक्तो को मजबूर किया गया कि तुम अपना ताम्प्र-पत्न वापिस करो । मुझे इस बात की पक्की इत्तला है कि वे ताम्य- पत्र नही देना चाहते हैं। लेकिन उनको मजबर किया गया । उन्होंने यह मदेश। भेजा है कि सरकार फार्स को क्यो यज नही करती मरकार मजबत द्राचों में इसकी क्यांश नहीं निपटती । यह संदेशा हमारे पास भ्राया । प्रो॰ गोहून, जा गोहाटी युनिवर्मिटी मे पढाते ये और जिन्होंने इस ग्रान्दोलन के मताल्लिक मार लिखा है कि यह प्रोविशियलिज्म में भी नीचे चला गया है, यह कास्टिज्म तक पह च गया है। यह हिन्दुस्तान की एकता के लिए नकमानदेह होगा और इस आन्दोलन को बन्द करना चाहिए, जबिक तमामवातो को मरकार ने मजूर कर लिया है इस भान्दोलन को जारी नहीं रखना चाहिए। इसकी सजा क्या मिली-मारा गया, 'पीटा गया धाज वे घरपताल मे पड़े हुए हैं

जो इफोरमेंशन भाप चाहेगे, वह मैं देने के लिए तैयार हूं। लेकिन म बाहता हू कि हिन्दुस्तान की कौम इस बात पर जागृत हो जाए कि किसी भी मुल्क के हिस्से में धगर ऐसे हालात पदा होते हैं, तो उनको गलती माननी चाहिए **भौर** सरकार को इसला देनी चाहिए नाकि मजबूत हाथों में उसको कुचल कर रख दिया आए। भारत के किसी भी हिस्से मे ग्रगर ऐसी **वार्ते** होती हैं तो उसको प्रजबूत हाथो से निपटाना हमारी डयूटी है। मै यह सोचता न कि हिन्दु-स्तान की कौम के मामने हम मूँह नही दिखा सकेंगे प्रगर हिन्द्स्तान का एक भी ट्कडा दूसरे मुल्क के पाम चला जाए। हमारा फर्ज बनेता हैं प्रौर गथे देशभक्ति के नाते उन दोस्तो से, जो दो दोस्त ग्राज हमको यह राय देने है, मिश्विरा देत है कि फोर्स यूज नहीं करनो चाहिए, लायर जेठमलानी जी जैसे एमीनेट हिन्द्रस्तान मे पूछना चाहता हू, भ्राप क्या मूझाव दते है ? ग्राप हमको बताये कि यह काम करो, इस काम को इस तरहसे करलो, मैं निपटा देता हूं। श्रगर श्रापके दायरे मे है तो श्राप निण्टा दीजिए।

भारत की प्रधान मर्वा न यहा तक भी कह दिया कि श्राभ्रो, श्रान्दोलन बन्द करो, हम काम गुरू कर दे। कट-म्रॉफ वी बात भी छोड दो, 71 में काम गुरू करे श्रीर वाम शुरू करने से हम को प्राब्लम्म का पता चलेगा कि यह कितनी बड़ी प्राब्लम्म है श्रीर तमाम चीजे हम बठकर सुलझा लेगे, लेकिन वह काम-एटमोमिफियर पैदा नहीं होने देगा चाहते हैं। वे चाहत है कि बदश्रमनी पैदा हो, जिससे नडाइया हागी देगे हागे। मरेंगे हिन्दुस्तानी मरेंगे श्रीर खुणी हागी तो हमारें देश के दुश्मनो को।

इसके लिए में हाउभ के मैम्बर भाहबान को दोवारा धन्यवाद भी करता है, चृंति मेरा काम मेरे मे ज्यादा हाउम के मैम्बर माहबान ने कर दिया है श्रीर में उनकी नवरीरा को दोबारा दोहराना नही चाहता हूं। एक बात जो श्री इन्द्रजीत गुप्ता ने कह उस का में जबाब दे दूं। उन्होंने कहा कि मरकार ने जब तमाम पार्टियों की मीटिंग में यह फैमला किया कि 71 से पिछ नहीं जादेगे, तो वह कि वहा बातचीत चल रही थी। स्टूडेन्टम उनको मिलन ग्राएक तो स्टुडेन्टम के मिलने से पहुछे किसी ने जाकर उनको यह कहा कि यह मामला निपट स्वता है, झगर श्राप '67 को मान ले।

श्री इन्त्र**्वीत गुप्त** किसने जकर कहा ?

श्री जैल सिंह जनके किनी ग्रादमी ने।
तो मीटिंग में सिर्फ गवर्नर साहब ने कहा है कि
क्या ग्राप 67 पर ग्रा गए हैं? ग्रगर ग्राप 67
पर ग्राए हैं तो भारत सरकार को इतिसा
दे दूं कि ताकि वह इस् बात पर गौर कर सके।
न भारत सरकार ने 67 माना है, न गवर्नद ने

respect of Assam (St. Res.)

हैं कि यह मामला सुलझ जाए और कोई ऐसा रास्ता जरूर निकले, ताकि उनको भी नसल्ली हो जाए ग्रीर माइनारिटीज को भी तमल्ली हो जाए।

भारत सरकार की यह भा उयूटा है कि हम

वहा ट्राइबल एरियाज को, वहा को भाषा को,

67 माना है। हम ५लैक्स बिलिटी जरूर बाहते

हमारी यह ड्य्टी है---ग्रगर मैं जांग्टी में या माइ-नारिटो में ये मिसिकिएन्ट्स बदग्रमनी पैदा करना चाहते हैं, समझाने-बुझाने से नही मानते हैं तो उसको मजबूत हाथों से मुलबाया जाय भीर उसको ज्यादा बहने न दिया जाय।

Proclamation in

वहां के कल्चर को बरकरार रखने की गारन्टी देते हैं। कोई भी ताकत उन की भाषा, उनके कल्चर, उनकी रवायात को खत्म करने की कोशिश करेगी तो नही करने देगे, लेकिन हम ग्रपना परम धर्म समझते हैं कि माइनारिटीज को प्राटेक्शन दे स्रौर उनको जिन्दा रहने का हक दिया जाय । श्राज जो हमारे पाम एलामिंग रिपोर्ट स म्रा रही है उनसे जाहिर होता है कि माइनारिटी वाले बहुत भयभीत हो रहे हैं । उनके भयभीत होने का कारण ग्रभी मुलेमान सट माहब ने बनलाया है---मैं उनको दोहराना नही चाहता हं।

वहा पर शान्ति का वाय्-मडल पदा करने के लिये हमसे इत्तिक रखते है---इम लिये मैं कुछ ज्यादा नही कहना चाहता हं । हालांकि दोस्तों की तन रीरों का हवाला जवाव देने के लिये मैंने ग्रपने पास रखा था, लेकिन ग्रव मैं उनको दोहराने में ग्राप का वक्त नही लगा। मैं, स्पीकर माहब, भ्राप से प्रार्थना करूंगा कि मैंने जो मंकल्प रखा है कि 6 महीने की ग्रवधि बढ़ाई जाय, उसको तमाम हाउस इत्तिफाक राय से पास करे।

ग्राज चूंकि भारत की ग्रम्बंडना के लिये,

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That this House approves the continuance in force of the Proclamation, dated the 12th December, 1979,

in respect of Assam, issued under article 356 of the Constitution by the President for a further period of six months with effect from 12th June. 1980."

The motion was adopted.

18.23 hrs.

DEMANDS FOR GRANTS ON AC-COUNT (ASSAM), 1980-81

MR. SPEAKER: We shall now take

up Demands for Grants on Account, 1980-81 in respect of Assam. It will

take only a few minutes. Let us pass SOME HON MEMBERS: Tomor-

row. PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE:

(Rajapur): The impact of the Home Minister's speech will be spoiled if we take up the next item. THE MINISTER OF FINANCE

(SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN): There

is nothing in it. It will take just five minutes. The List of Demands for Grants on Account in respect of the Budget for the State of Assam for 1980-81 is before the House. I request that it may be accepted.

Motion moved: MR SPEAKER:

"That the respective sums not exceeding the amounts on Revenue Account and Capital Account shown in the Third Column of the Order Paper, be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Assam, on account, for or towards defraying the charges during the year ending on the 31st day of March, 1981 in respect of the heads of demands entered in

Demands No. 1, 3 to 16, 19 to 77,

against

second column thereof

80 and 82."