Inttan Telekraphs (4th Amdt.) Rules (M)

कीं। भारत के लाग जानते हैं कि ग्राप राजनीतिक मकतदों की पूर्ति के लिए इस बिल को ला रहे हैं। इस वास्ते में इस

बिल को विरोध करता है। इस बिल को लाकर स्राप राज्यों के स्रधिकारों में

दखलंदाजी कर रहे हैं। संविधान बनाने वालीं ने तीनों कार्य सूचियां प्रजग-प्रजग रखी हैं। भ्रापते उपमें इंटरवेंशन करना शुरू कर दिया है। मैं किसी मंत्री की इंटेंशन पर शक नहीं करता है। उनकी

नीयंत पर शरू नहीं करना चाहता। गृह मंत्री हो या राज्य गृह मंत्री या भीर कोई मंत्री, हो सका है वे अपने को कम्पीटेंट या सावरेन न समझते हों। लेकित प्रधात मंत्री ने जब बहुन चता

दी है देश में कि हिन्द्रसान की जम्हरियत पर दुवारा विचार होता चाहिये, ऐसे मीके पर यह कानन लाया जा न्हा है ऋौं इसी से यह शंका पैदा होती है कि भ्राप जम्हरियत को खत्म करना चाहते है। स्रो० एन० जी० सी० का मामला हुन्ना है। हिन्दुस्तान के जो कमजोर, एज्केगतली, सोगली दुष्टियों से बैक्अर्ड लोग हैं जिन के लिए रिजर्वेशन रखा

गया है, उसको लेकर झगड़ा हुन्ना है, मारकाट हुई है, लोगों के हाथ पर तोड दिए गए हैं, घर फूंक दिए गए हैं, उनका धनाज, काड़ा, लत्ता, चारा ग्रादि सब फूंक बिया गया है। जम्हरियत पर बहस चलवाने में श्रापकी सरकार के

मंत्री, आपकी मशीनरी दोनों शामिल है। दीनों के खिलाफ अभी तक आपकी सरकार ने कोई एक्शन नहीं लिया है। इन सब चीजों से एक शंका पैदा हो रही है भीर वह सही भी है, तथ्यों पर भाक्तरित भी है भीर वह यह है कि श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी फिर से इस मुल्क

में एमरजेंसी लाने की तैयारी कर रही हैं,

छीतने जा रहे हैं, ज्यूडिशरी की स्वतंत्रता छीनने _{जा} रहे हैं। श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी में एक गुण

ग्राप हिन्दुस्तान के लोगों की स्वतंत्रता

402

भीर है। वह भी- ज्युडिशरी में विश्वास नहीं करती हैं। ज्युडिशरी की ज्यादा से ज्यादा पावर्ज को छीन कर लैजिस्लेशन के द्वारा एरजैक्टिय को देने में विश्वास रखती है।

म्राप राजनीतिक उद्देश्यों से इस बिल को लाए हैं, यह साफ है। इसका मैं विरोध करता हूं ग्रीर प्रार्थना करता हुं कि इसको ग्राप वापिस लें ताकि लेगों के अन्दर जो संका है कि जम्हरियत संकट में पड़ सकती हैं, वह खत्म हो सके।

17.00 hrs.

MOTION RE: MODIFICATIONS IN NOTIFICATION REGARDING INDIAN TELEGRAPHS AMENDMENT) RULES

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, we will take up item No. 13 of the List of Business. Mr. R. K. Mhalgi may

move his Motion. SHRI R. K. MHALGI (Thane): I

beg to move: "That this House resolves that in pursuance of sub-section (5) of section 7 of the Indian Telegraph

Act, 1885 (13 of 1885), the following modifications be made in the Notification regarding Indian Telegraphs (4th Amendment) Rules, 1980, published in the Gazette of India by Notification No. G.S.R. 492(E) dated the 27th August,

1980 and laid on the Table of the

Modifications in Notification MAY 4, 1981 Indian Telekraphs regarding

[Shri R. R. Mhalgi] House on the 17th November," 1980:---

- (i) in rule 1, in sub-rule (2), for '1980' substitute '1981';
 - (ii) in rule 2(i),—
- (a) in item (a), for 'Rs. 150/-' substitute 'Rs. 80/-';
 - (b) in item (b) for 'Rs. 75/-' substitute 'Rs. 40/-';
 - (iii) in rule 2(ii),__
 - (a) in sub-section (1)(a), for 'Rs. 75/-' substitute 'Rs. 25/-'; (b) in sub-section (1)(b), for 'Rs. 150/-' substitute 'Rs. 50/-';
 - (iv) in rule 2(iii),—
- (a) against entry 1 in columns 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, for 'Rs. 125' '250', '30 Paise, '40 Paise', '50 Paise', substitute 'Rs. 75', 300', '20 Paise,' '30 Paise', '40 Paise', respectively; (b) against entry columns 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, for 'Rs. 150', '250', '30 Paise, '40 Paise,' '50 Paise', substitute 'Rs. 100', '300', '20 Paise, '30
 - (c) against entry columns, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 for 'Rs. 175' '250', '30 Paise'. '40 Paise', '50 Paise', substi-

Paise', '40 Paise', respectively;

- tute 'Rs. 125', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise', '40 Paise' respectively;
- (d) against entry 4, in columns 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, for 'Rs. 200', '250', '30 Paise', '40 Paise', '50 Paise' substitute 'Rs. 150', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise' '40 Paise', respectively;
- (v) in rule 2(iv)

Omit 'and the first 250 Calls will also be charged at the rate shown in column 4 of the table applicable to measured rate systems appearing in item (a) of sub-section (1) of section III';

(vi) in rule 3, for '100', suostitute '0.20';

(vii) in rule 4, for '50 Kms.' substitute '25 Kms.'

This House do recommend to Rajya Sabha do concur in this resolution."

*Sir, I move my motion listed at item No. 13 in the order paper for today, under Rule 235 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Lok Sabha.

In the budget of 1980, the tariff for telephones had been increased and the Finance Bill including the proposal to increase the rates had been passed by the House. The purpose of my motion is to reduce the rates

I am aware that there is little likelihood of the rates being reduced as they have been approved by the House, but the members have the right to move amendments to the rules laid on the Table of the House. The purpose of my moving the motion is to initiate discussion on the subject of the rates.

The rates for installation, shifting and trunk calls have been increased by the Telephone Department. An amount of Rs. 17.71 crores was realised by the Government as a result of the increase. No body knew, however, about the increase because the Demands for Grants in respect of the Ministry of Communications were never discussed in the House. The subscribers came to know of the increases when they got the bills at the new enhanced rates. The Government would, of course, retort that the increases had been gazetted but in order to be known to the people the new rates should have been widely

[•]The original speech was delivered in Marathi.

publicised in the press, particularly the district level newspapers. In future the Government should take care to do it.

The Ministry of Communications demands came to be discussed in the House after many years and only four hours were allotted to the discussion. Mr. Stephen's speech was merely a jumble of facts and figures leaving many questions unanswered. I would like to seek some clarifications about some of the point.

The Government has a moral right to increase the telephone tariff only if they can ensure efficient service, but that they are unable to do. That is why they have no moral justification for the increased rates. Mr. Stephen had himself admitted that only 52 per cent of the calls actually matured. Why should the subscribers be burdaned with the charges for the rest of the 48 per cent calls which never matured. The hon, Minister compared the performance of the telephone department with those of England and Japan but incomplete comparisons are not proper.

A committee reported that more than 40 per cent of the calls were 'wrong numbers'. The subscriber is charged for a wrong call even if he has dialled properly but has got a wrong number. In such cases a complaint is only natural.

Mr. Stephen had visited Bhubaneshwar after the Prime Minister's visit and inspected the working of the telephones there. He had to admit that the working of the telephones was in a total mess. That being so, there is little point in increasing the rates.

Some of the officers of the telephone department at Calcutta, had stated at a press conference in September 1980 that "our bad name is largely due to our own faults". In the face of this admission, how can you

expect the subscribers to accept the increased charges?

I would like to know the steps that the Government has taken to realise the arrears of telephone bills amounting to almost Rs. 30 crores. What steps have Government taken to realise arrears of telephone bills from Ex.MPs? Corruption is the increase in this Department. the Andheri Exchange at Bombay, some calls were tampered with and 12 subscribers did not pay a single naiya paise as telephone bill for many years. That shows that the employees are working in collusion with such dishonest persons who cheat the Government to its just dues.

My intention is moving the motionis more to secure a better service than to reduce the revenues of the Government. People must feel that they are paying for an efficient service.

Some times, the people are forced to pay the inflated bills before their complaints are entertained. It would have been tolerable when the Britishers were ruling us, but now when we have our own Government. The complaints of the subscribers must be registered and proper action taken. It is wrong to presume that all subscribers are rich; some of them might find it difficult even to meet the normal rates, not to speak of inflated bills. Government must pay proper attention to the needs of the rural subscribers.

Government must take action or the judgment of the Gujarat High-Court which stated inter alia:

"Where bills show more than thrice the number of maximum calls in a quarter, the Telephone-Department will have to issue an ad hoc bills equal to the average of the preceding undisputed bills."

The Task Force Committee had made many short-term and long-term

Shri R. K. Mahalgi)

recommendations, but we do not know what action has been taken by the Government in that regard, I hope that the Government would say what they plan to do about them.

The employees do not give courteous and polite service. The subscribers pay for the service and they must get it

Only 5 per cent of the telephones are in the rural areas: the proportion should be increased by providing a better telephone network for them.

An early decision must be taken about the electronic equipment for the telephones. Mr. Stephen has said that this is a decade of the breakthrough. The Electronics Department on the other hand has expressed dissatisfaction with the working of the Telephone Department.

The Railways. Oil and Natural Gas, Indian Oil Corportion the State Electricity Boards, the Police and the Aviation Department have decided not to import any foreign equipment during the Sixth Plan period, but the Communications Ministry proposes to import telephonic equipment of the order of Rs. 343 crores. That would only impose a fresh burden on the subscribers and they would not be pleased to bear any fresh burdens.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion moved:

"That this House resolves that in pursuance of sub-section (5) of section 7 of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 (13 of 1885), the following modifications be made in the Notification regarding Indian Telegraphs (4th Amendment) Rules, 1980, published in the Gazette of India by Notification No. G.S.R. 492(E) dated the 27th August, 1980 and laid on the Table of the House on the 17th November, 1980:-

(i) in rule 1 in sub-rule (2), for '1980' substitute '1981':

- (ii) in rule 2(i)---
- (a) in item (a), for Rs. 150/-' substitute 'Rs. 80/-':

408

- (b) in item (b), for 'Rs. 75/-' substitute 'Rs 40/-';
- (iii) in rule 2(ii),—
- (a) in sub-section (1)(a), for 'Rs. 75/-' substitute 'Rs. 25/-';
- (b) in sub-section (1) (b), for 'Rs. 150/-' substitute 'Rs. 50/-';
- (iv) in rule 2(iii),___
- (a) against entry 1, in columns 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, for 'Rs. 125' '250', '30 Paise', '40 Paise', '50 Paise', substituted 'Rs. 75', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise', '40 Paise' respectively;
- (b) against entry 2 in column_S 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, for 'Rs. 150', '250', '30 Paise', '40 Paise', 50 Paise', substitute 'Rs. 100', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise', '40 Paise', respectively;
 - (c) against entry 3 in columns, 2, 3. 4, 5 and 6 for 'Rs. 175', '250', '30 Paise', '40 Paise', '50 Paise', substitute 'Rs. 125', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise', '40 Paise' respectively;
 - (d) against entry 4, columns 2, 3 4, 5 and 6, for 'Rs. 200', '250', '30 Paise', '40 Paise' '50 Paise', substitute 'Rs. 150', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise'. '40 Paise' respectively;

(v) in rule 2(iv),--

omit 'and the first 250 calls will also be charged at the rate shown in column 4 of the table applicable to measured rate systems appearing in item (a) of sub-section (1) of section III';

(vi) in rule 3, for '100' substitute '0.20';

Notification regarding

(vii) in rule 4, for '50 Kms.' substitute '25 Kms'

This House do recommend to Rajya Sabha that Rajya Sabha do concur in this resolution."

SHRI E. BALANANDAN (Mukundapuram): I support this Motion. While supporting it, I want to invite the attention of the Minister to certain points.

This is a Ministry which is being criticized by one and all, on many counts. After this Minister took charge of this Department, I expected that the experience that he has had with the labourers would stand him in good stead. In this Department, there are lakhs and lakhs of workers.

In order to improve the efficiency of this Department, the Minister has to rely mainly on 2 or 3 things. One is equipment. The equipment should be modern and uptodate. For that purpose, proper maintenance and upkeep necessary. Another important factor is the people who work in the Department. They should be kept contented and sat'sfied, so that their efficiciency can be exploited to the maximum. Even, then, in a country like India many kinds of difficulties will arise. I do agree that many difficulties will arise in such a Department. But here, after our present Minister has taken charge of the Department, everybody-from the Prime Minister downwards-has got a complaint.

With regard to the technical question, my friend has put forth one main point, viz. that we will have to go in for modern equipment. Agreed. But on whom are you going to rely for that? We are now relying on imports alone. I am not saying that imports should be stopped immediately. But what should be the emphasis? The emphasis should be on the point that indigenous production should be helped.

Through his Motion Mr. Mhalgi wants to reduce the rates. Technically, we cannot pass a resolution for redueing them. I know. But this opportunity is being taken to say something about the Department. So, I want to tell the Minister that for making the equipment uptodate, whatever indigenous skill is there, should be used to the miximum. Relaying on imports should be reduced to the minimum. The second point is with regard to the approach to the workers, I kon w something is being done. I am blaming the Minister for everything. But there is a norm fixed by the Department for everything. But Department is not giving people the basis of those norms. In certain places, they are appointing people on hourly basis. In India, even without any payment people w'll come ward to work, if there is hope that employment will be given after 15 or 20 years. But that is not a approach. So, the Minister should discuss the problem of efficiency, with the trade unions in a proper manner. For that purpose, the Department should keep its word with regard the existing norms agreed to between the management and the workers.

Then the efficiency question can be discussed with the workers and some solution can be found out. In Kerala. as you know, the demands for telephone connections are on the high side So many thousands of demands are there. Naturally, everybody wants to have an automatic equipment which is not there in stock.

MR. CHAIRMAN. How can you bring in these things?

SHRI E. BALANANDAN: I am told we are having some manual exchanges there in Kerala circle. If you establish those exchanges somewhere, then this burden can be reduced to a certain extent. I request the hon. Minister through you to consider points also.

THE MINISTER OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI C. M. STEPHEN): My very valued friend Mr. Mhalgi and Mr. Balanandan sought to stage another budget debate under the guise of this resolution. As I said on the previous occasion, we had a fulfledged discussion. All aspects were gone into and no aspect concerning this matter was allowed to go in default and I had replied it also.

PROF. N. G. RANGA (Guntur): You congratulate him for having taken a detailed notice of the Notificaton.

SHRI C. M STEPHEN: That is right. I agree with my very elder brother that we must congratulate them for having taken note of a Notification which was · loid on the Table of the House which generaly goes unnoticed. If only the members had taken this much of interest in this, I think the position of the Department could have improved considerably. I must congratulate him, compliment him and thank him also for this. But the scope of motion is absolutely limited. Many of the matters which were mentioned I have already answered. I do not want to cover the whole ground. It is absolstely limited. We had issued a Notification on 1-9-1980 which was placed on the Table of the House. This had 3-4 sections. One was about the installations charges. The other was about the shifting charges. We had increased the rate of charges. There were certain other sections. Mr. Mhalgi has not attacked every part of it. It is not as if the entire Notification has challenged by him. Those areas where we have increased the calling rates, that he has left completely unchallenged. Therefore, all the arguments that he has now put forward fall io the ground because that part which he has approved, he does not want to un do it. This is the simple part of it.

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA (Ponnani): Do not capitalise on small slips by members.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: On 1-6-1979, what that time when Mr. Mhalgis Party vas in power-you had notified certain matters. Now he wants to go beyond that. Certain grades were prescribed on 1-6-1979 were in force on 1-9-1980. For example, upto 1-9-1980, for inscallation charges in an exchange a particular capacity the rental was Rs. 100. He wants to redice it to Rs. 80. This is not mine. It was there earlier. He wants to go back on that in the case of every one of these. Earlier for casual conection, the installation charges were Rs. 50. Not the one I had brought about. He wants to go back and make it Rs. 40. For shifting it was Rs. 50 and he wants to make it to Rs. 25. Before in all the other cases of shifting from one position to another, it was Rs. 100. He wants to make it to Rs. 50. Therefore, attack of the motion is not against my Notification, the attack of the motion is against the Notification that placed on the Table of the House by the then Minister at that time. He attacking on that two years after. wants to go back on that. This is the simple thing about it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Perhaps he could not do it at that time.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: He has shown one act of grace: assuming that he comes back to this, he wants the date of the implementation to be not 1980 but 1931. He wants to take it back to 25, 50, 60 and all that. He is very gracefully telling me. 'Do not implement from 1-9-1980. This reduced mass rate must come into force from only 1.9.1981.' This is what he has said. Now then I will certainly support the proposition of his that the effective date should be from 1.9.1981.

.... (Interruptions)

SHRI R. K. MHALGI: You do not follow the intention of the motion itself.

SHRI C, M. STEPHEN; You are a well intentioned man. Have I ever doubted it? He has raised certain points. One or two I will just mention about. He spoke about

413 Ministry's statethe electronics Electronics Ministry Thement. has stated certain facts, namely that the production was not built up, production slumped in the last four or five years. As a result of that import has become necessary. Conversion of the electronic system was not accepted in the course of the last period. Therefore, this import has become necessary. What I have done is, what was kept undecided, for such a long time, I have taken a decision, steps are being taken. Expansion of the Rae Bareli unit was shelved. I have taken a decision. The expansion start now. The building is starting. The work is beginning. The electronic question was have taken a decision that one million lines must be produced every We have issued global tenders and two factories will be set up. The Chairman is smiling with Bhubaneswar in mind probably. I know. Anyway, we have started this process Whatever was shelved is started. As a result of the shelving we are now forced to import. Whatever shelving was done in the course of the last four or five years for the major part of which period Mr. Mhalgi must also share responsibility. I have united it, decisions are taken, steps are being taken, the things have started moving. There is no question of indecision as far as we are cerned. Now, the supply is not able to eet the demand. The only alternatives are either to import or to tell the subscribers that until after ten years they will not get anything at all. That we cannot do Therefore import has got to be resorted to. We are asking the other public sector units as 10 whether they will be able to supply us anything. They cannot supply us switching equipment. But they supply something else. On that our

SHRI R. K. MHALGI: Why do you not go into that part of the other matters? They are very important.

the'r assistance. That part of it, I do

now. With regard to the other matter,

attempts are open. We are

I do not want to go into them.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: What are the other matters?

SHRI R. K. MHALGI; I have already stated.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: The entire area, as I said, we have already covered. My friend Mr. Balanandan spoke about the workers' role and I think he knows what we are talking about. I expect from the workers a sense of commitment. It is not a question of a commercial deal. There must be sense of commitment to the people. Two hon. Members have sponsored this. Mr. Bananandan has listened to what Mr. Mhalgi has said, as the reason for the deterioration. He put his finger right against Mr. Balanandan who is one of the leaders of the unions that we have. And then Mr. Balanandan may also take this message and ensure that cooperation is forthcoming. As far as we are concerned we doing our very best to get the best things through.

Now, if some Minister will stand in 1990 here or in the year 2000 then that Minister, if he is an honest Minister will have to say that things are not as satisfactory as one would like, because that is the nature of this Ministry; and that is the position in any countr... Not that things cannot improved. They can be improved still, still improved, and still improved and still there will be scope to say that there is some scope still to be improved and therefore, as an honest man I go about saying that things are not satisfactory as they should be, but that does not mean that things are Things are improving and still I will be confessing that things are not as good as one would like to be. That does not mean as somebody was saying, that things are in shambles. That is not a word to be applied to this Department. It is not. We have got our jumble of different types of instruments operating, about two and a half lakh workers spread out throughout the country of different types, different affiliations and of different varieties. It happen and may take place here and there. With all that, I may state one

[Shari C. M. Stephen]

thing, as I said, in Delhi, the daily complaints that we are receiving are seven thousand and odd and the faults are about 3,500. The total connections number two lakhs: which means two lakhs minus this are working fairly all right. Per day the complaints only come to this. That means that the remaining telephones are working right. This is not a bad position. I would request the hon. Members have sympathy and an understanding of the entire thing of this Ministry, of the entire operation and of course, the human failure. All the staff which are operating, are putting forth their best. according to me. Discipline is coming back. Things are improving. It will improve to the maximum and still there will be an occasion again to say that things can improve further. This is the nature of it. But things are improving. I am sure, Mr. Mhalgi will be satisfied with my explanation and he will withdraw his motion and allow the motion that was put on the Table of the House by the Janata Government to remain as amended by me.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, it is 5.30. And at 5.30 we have to take up half-an-hour discussion. If the House agrees, we can finish this item within 4.5 minutes and then take up half-an-hour discussion.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Yes.

SHRI R. K. MHALGI (Thane): The hon. Minister has marely repeated himself while replying to the debate. He made the same points he did at the time of the discussion of the demands for his Ministry. We live in age of science and we must aim at increasing our efficiency. An attitude of complacency would not do. Dissatisfaction is law of progress is a dictum which should not be lost sight of.

A parliamentary committee should be appointed to go into this matter thoroughly so that a proper assessment of the working of the Ministry can be made. MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That this House desolves that in pursuance of sub-section (5) of section 7 of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 (13 of 1885), the following modifications be made in the Notification regarding Indian Telegraphs (4th Amendment) Rules, 1980, published in the Gazette of India by Notification No. GSR 492 (E) dated the 27th August, 1980 and laid on the Table of the House on the 17th

- (i) in rule 1, in sub-rule (2), for 1980' substitute '1981';
 - (ii) in rule 2(i):-

November, 1980:-

- (a) in item (a), for Rs. 150/-substitute 'Rs. 80/-;
- (b) in item (b), for 'Rs. 75/-' substitute 'Rs. 40/-';
- (iii) in rule 2 (ii)-
- (a) in sub-section (1) (a), for 'Rs. 75/-' substitute 'Rs. 25/-';
- (b) in sub-section (1) (b), for 'Rs. 150' substitute 'Rs. 50/-;
- (iv) in Rule 2 (iii)---
- (a) against entry 1, colombs 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, for 'Rs. 125', '250', '30 Paise', '40 Paise' '50 Paise', substitute 'Rs. 75', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise', '40 'Paise' respectively.
- (b) Against entry 2, in columns 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 for 'Rs. 150', '250', '30 Paise', 40 Paise', '50 Paise', substitute 'Rs. 100', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise', '40 Paise' respectively.
- (c) against entry 3, in columns. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, for 'Rs. 175'; '250'; '30 Paise', '40 Paise', '50 Paise', substitute 'Rs. 125', '300', '20 Paise', '30 Paise', '40 Paise' respectively.
- (d) against entry 4, in columns 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6; for 'Rs. 200'; '30 Paise, '40 Paise' '50 Paise'

'Rs. 150', '300', '20 substitute Paise', '30 Paise', '40 Paise' respectively

(v) in rule 2(iv)—

omit 'and the first 250 calls will also be charged at the rate shown in columns 4 of the Table applicable to measures rate systems appearing in item (a) of sub-section (1) of section III';

(vi)in rule 3, for '100' substitute '0.20';

(vii) in rule 4, for '50 Kms' substitute '25 Kms'.

This House do recommend to Rajya Sabha that Rajya Sabha do concur in this resolution."

The motion was negatived.

17.33 hrs.

HALF-AN-HOUR DISCUSSION

DISTRICTS IN VARIOUS STATES AFFECTED BY DROUGHT DURING 1980-81

SHRT G. NARASIMHA REDDY Adilabad): With reference to the answer given by the hon. Minister for Agriculture to Starred Question No. 478 on 23 March, 1981, I would like to raise certain points arising out of the

answer given by the hon. Minister.

This is the time when the entire States are suffering from drought conditions. The month of May severe that this is the proper time for giving sufficient relief to the which are suffering from drought. As I see the entire list which was laid

on the Table by the hon. Minister, three States i.e. Andhra Pradesh. Tami Nadu and Karnataka are suffering ! om severe drought conditions this ; ear. As you all know, Andhra Prade h unfortunately, even last year,

by drought during 418 1980-81 (HAH Dis.) had ' 'ffered drought and cyclonic conditions. The people of Andhra Pradesh have been facing drought cyclonic conditions for the last two. three years. The entire machinery of the State Government has geared up. In spite of that, people in rural areas in some of the districts, have started migrating want of employment and drinking water. Although all the Ministers and the Government officials have been trying to create confidence among the people of Pradesh that the Government is there and the Central Government is there to take care of them and to give all the assistance that they require, the situation is so grave that most of the people have already migrated. the remaining people who have not migrated, have been transporting drinking water on tractors, trucks and so on and so forth. Here I would like to ask certain specific questions and expect specific answers from the hon. Minister. It is not a fact that the States of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu are suffering almost with the same intensity of drought? Andhra Pradesh the post-monsoon drought-affected area is about lakh hectares, while in Tamil Nadu it is about 23.50 lakh hectares. The population affected by drought in Audhra Pradesh is about 200 lakhs wh'le in Tamil Nadu it is about 219.90 The assistance sought Andhra Pradesh was Rs. 159.33 crores but, on the basis of reports, a ceiling of Rs. 23.33 crores has been Tamil Nadu sought assistance of Rs. 160,68 crores. According to reports, a ceiling of Rs. 60.91 crores has been fixed in the case of Tamil Nadu. If the statistics which I have given correct, then it is very clear that both the States are having almost the same intensity of drought. While Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu asked for assistance of Rs. 159.33 crores Rs. 160.68 crores, the Government of India has permitted only Rs. 23,33 crores for Andhra Pradesh, while has nermitted Bs. 60.91 Tamil Nadu. I have not been able