# [Shri Jitendra Prasad]

labourers who are exposed to great difficulties and danger of lives in the absence of adequate accommodation in the existing trains.

\_\_\_\_\_

# 13.13 hrs.

PLANATATION LABOUR (AMENDMENT) BILL -- Contd.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Shri K. A. Rajan was on his legs. The time allotted to this Bill is three hours. We have already spent one hour. The Minister will reply to this discussion at 14 oo hrs. or earlier even.

SHRI K. A. RAJAN (Trichur): Yesterday, I have been impressing upon the Minister that whatever legislations we may pass or whether it is a welfare measure or not, because of the peculiar nature of this industry and also the backward conditions prevailing therein, implementation of the legislation is an important problem. Various have been brought enactments in for Plantation workers. It may be minimum wage or equal pay for equal work or any other welfare measure, the implementation side is lacking very much-With whatever good intention you may bring in this legislation, the main point to be stressed again and again is that implementation part is very importan so that it is strictly adhered to. Only then the workers will be able to get the benefit, otherwite not.

I would like to mention two or three points connected with the amendments. I would like to express my anxiety in regard to one particular provision. Fragmentation is going on in the rubber plantation. Earlier parent Bi'l of 1956 was there. The acreage fixed was

II' I hectares. Most of the rubber plantation owners began to fragment the whole plant to get away from the provision of the enactment. A large chunk of workers were denied the benefit of living. It is not like coffee or tea or any other plantation. Rubber plantation can be very easily fragmented. Lot of workers were thrown out of employment. Those workers could not get minimum living.

The fragmentation particularly in rubber plantations may take place thereby the workers would be denied all those benefits. So, my anxiety regarding this point is that the Minister should take notice of this.

The other point which I would like to bring to the notice of the Minister is the epuation connected with the acreage or hectare with the number of workers. The hectare per number of workers varies from plantation to plantation. In tea plantation, the equation may be different. Similarly, in coffee plantation it differs so also the rubber plantation. My humble mission is that the equation connected with the acreage or area visa-vis the number of workers, should be decided after taking into conideration the various, different and peculiar aspects of the tea plantation. So, I suggest that the number of workers may be reduced as suggested by the various amendments.

The other thing for which I I would like to draw the attention of the Minister is with regard to amount. This Bill has gone far from the report of the Joint Select Committee, which has suggested for Rs. 500/- Now it has been fixed as Rs. 750/- to the workers who are entitled to this benefit. This amount is quite insufficient. You know, apart from the field workers

connected with the plantation, there are other workers connected with the industry. There are skilled, unskilled and semi-skilled workers who are just getting remuneration or salary of more than a thousand rupees. Normally, an amount of Rs. 750/- is not enough under the present state of affairs and the quantity of labour involved in the plantation work. So, my humble submission is that the amount of Rs. 750/- may be raised Rs. 1,000/- keeping in view a large number of workers connected with the other part of the same industry and who are doing a skilled nature of job, are getting a very good salary. I would like to mention that the Minister may rise the amounts to Rs. 1,000 and for which are moved certain amendments on the matter.

Another point is regarding the compensation that has been mentioned in this Bill as a result of the collapse of a house. This very meager amount. The building material and all other costs have gone up. So, not commensurate the high cost that is being spent by the workers in building house—even of a substandard type. The amount that has been fixed as compensation is quite insufficient and therefore the amount may be enhanced to meet at least the present cost of building materials and the construction work.

In the case of death or injury to a perton, the compensation has been fixed according to the provisions of the Workmen's Compensation Act. I would request Minister to enhance the hon. the amount so that it may do justice to the worker.

The other point is regarding giving effect to the Act. It has been stated that it shall come into force on such date as the Central Government may, by notification in Official Gazette, appoint. But unless immediate action is taken for the implementation is of the Act, there is every chance, as I mentioned earlier, of break-up or tragmentation, especially the rubber plantation, because of peculiar nature ot industry. The plantation owners may even bi-furcate the plantation thereby the benefits would be denied to a large number of wor-

With these three suggestions, I call upon the hon. Minister to consider the matter sympathetically.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I called only from the Opposition. Your name is there in the list. I will call you.

SHRI CHITTA BASU (Barasat): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, at the very outset I want to bring to the notice of the House and the hon. Minister for Labour that the tall claim that she has made while introducing this Bill for consideration that this Bill is designed to protect the interests of the plantation labour, is, in my humble submission, not justified. My estecmed friend, Mr. Rajan, has already lightened my burden to prove that the claim which the hon. Minister has made is not justified. She has claimed that this Bill is designed to protect the interests of the plantation labour. My comment is that it cannot be properly justified if you go through the Bill and the Report of the Joint Committee. This House had the privilege of considering a petition in the year 1976 presented by the Secretary of the All India Plantation Workers' Federation, Mrs. Vimala Ranadive; a petition was made by her on the conditions of the plantation labour and it was considered by the Petititons Committee and they made their obsrvations. I will come to that later.

This Bill provides for registration o plantations, appointment of additional inspectors, rooms for the

#### ( Shri Chitta Basu)

children of women workers, duty of of employers for providing accommodation, payment of compensation for injury and death due to collapse of houses and payment of overtime wages. These are the major pryvisions of the Bill. But there certain shortcomings. Let me point them/out. Number one is, the Bill proposes to lower the acreage limit to five hectares. I agree that this Amendment will cover a large number of plantations, but it will not cover all the plantations. Many plantations will be left outside the ambit of the Bill. Again, very dangerous consequences are going to follow. This I say from my experience coming as I do from West Bengal where tea plantation is an important industry. Many plantation owners would now try to reduce the size of the plantation estate so that they may be left outside the ambit of this legislation. Therefore, the only remedy is to cover all plantations irrespective of size. Unless you go in for that, some loopholes will be left by which the plantation owners will be able to keep their estate outside the ambit of this legislation.

There is another shortcoming. You have provided for rooms and not creches for women workers. The concept of 'creche' and the concept of 'room' are not one and the same. You are a trade union leader. know the difficulties of the You women workers. Here the Bill provides for rooms to look after children. room will be provided only there are more than 20 where children. If the number is less, then the employer is not bound to provide a room, leave alone the question of a modern creache.

I now come to the question of housing. Before, however, coming to that, I would only refer to the Report of the joint Committee....

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If there are less children, it is good because we want to control the population growth.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: You do in your home.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If 20 children are there, they will provide. If there are less children, then also they must provide.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: This is what I want to say. The point is that a room will be provided if there are 20 children in the Estate. I want that all the children, even if their number is less than 20, should be provided with that facility.

I do not say from my own experience, but my experience is one with the experience of the report of the Joint Select Committee. The Joint Select Committee has observed I quote from their report:

"During the course of the evidence tendered before the Committee, the representatives of the workers emoloyed in the plantations stated that the implementation of the provisions contained in the Plantation Labour Act, 1951, particularly those which provide for the various welfare measures for the workers, had not been at all satisfactory."

This is the remark given by the Joint Select Committee.

"They contended that there had been more violations than the observance of those provisions".

I want that the hon. Minister should take note of it. There were more violations than the observance of the provisions of the Act:

"Officials of the State Governments entrusted with the duties of implementing the provisions of the Act also admitted that due to paucity of inspection staff and transport facilities, they had not been able to arrange for the inspection of the gardens under their supervision to the

extent required. The Committee during their tours to the plantation areas also observed that in many gardens even the barest minimum facilities such as arrangement for the supply of wholesome drinking water, latrines and urinals, provisions of qualified doctors and medicines, had not been provided though these amenitics were required to be supplied by the employers under the Act.

As a matter of fact, I think the entire house will agree with me that when this Plantation Labour Act of 1951 is being amended, the object of amendment should be to plug the loopholes which have been pointed out by the Joint Select Committee. You will agree-I think you must agree-that the Jouint Select Committee's recommendations spirit of their recommendations is not reflected in the Bill. Therefore. I say it is not the acceptance of the recommendations of the Joint Select Committee that is important but it has to be reflected in the Bill. The Joint Committee was very much clear in their mind that the basic objective of the amendment would be to remove the loopholes and to remove the lacunae and see that the 1951 Act is properly implemented which has not been done. No attempt has been made in the Bill to remove that basic and fundamental weakness of the 1951 Act. Therefore, the claims made are not proper, rather it goes to reward the plantation-owners. While the plantation owners have to be awarded punishment, no action is proposed in the Bill, rather they will be allowed to go on as they have been going on since 1951.

I will refer to only some observations of the Petititons Committee. As I have already mentioned to you this is very important and this was discussed by the Petititons Committee

of the House in the year 1976-Petitions Wo. 7 It is relevant and it must be known to the Housethis concern about the plantation workers of our country because the House cannot but remain concerned as this plantation industry employs more than 9 lakhs of our employees. It earns yearly not less than Rs. 400 crores in foreign exchange. They have contributed for the prosperity and the export market of our coun'ry. But, unfortunately, the workers engaged in the plantations are the most neglected ones to-day. Therefore, the Petitions Committee in its wisdom made certain remarks which I think, the House should know.

## I quote :

"From the material placed before them, the Committee are inclined to agree with the complaint of workers that while plantations are carning huge profits, by having both internal as well as external market. particularly in respect of tea and coffee, the employers are not considerate enough providing benefits to workers in the same measure to ameliorate their living conditions, In fact, the Study Group of the Committee which undertook on-the-spot study visits of plantations received numerious representations from workers about low-wages. delay in payment of wages and violation of the provisions of the Plantations Labour Act, 1051, requiring the employers to provide amenities to workers. From what the Study Groups have seen, it cannot be gainsaid that there has been an inexcusable laxity, neglect and lack of urgency on the part of the authorities in enforcing the provisions of the Plantations Labour Act, 1951. Committee feel that the Centra

[Shri Chitta Basu] Government cannot disown its responsbility....

Plantation labour

Are you disowning your responsibility?

The Petition Committee says that vou cannot dosown your own responsibility.

# I further quote:

"The Committee feel that the Central Government cannot disown its responsibility for giving proper and timely guidance to the State Governments in so far as the implementation of the various provisions of the Plantations Labour Act, 1951, is concerned."

Regarding housing, I only want to mention what the Joint Committee says:

"Even then the progress of construction of houses in the plantations has been very unsatisfactory and in fact the rate of construction of houses has gradually declined."

Madam, Minister, may I draw your attention to what the Joint Committee says?

"... the rate of construction of houses has gradually declined. The position has not improved even by the prosecution of the employers as there is a lack of desire on their part to take up the responsibility of constructing houses.

Sir, I think you won't give me much more time. The basic problem is the non-implementation of the parent Act. It has not been touched in any way. The planters'

interests are being served. Rather the status quo is maintained. status quo is maintained means serving the interests of the planters.

Therefore, my point is that the claim of the Government is not justified. The Bill does not remove the basic weaknesses of the parent Act. This Bill is only subserving the interests of the planters-not the plantation labour.!

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUS-TRY (SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA): It is quite wrong.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: by working among the workers, I know the trade union art. Whatever is available you accept.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: And improve upon it.

SHRI CHITTA BASU : Right Sir. Therefore I cannot just leave it. What has been given we take it but what has not been given we fight for it.

I wanted the Government to side with the workers in their struggle to achieve what has not been given. May I know from the Government whether they will side with the workers or side with the planters? I am justified in my comment to say that the claim made by the Minister is not justified. Therefore, this Bill does not deserve the whole hearted support from the workingclass of our country. But, at this stage, I would say that this is a right step, a welcome step, to some extent. But it is not all that we strive for. The basic interest is to have a comprehensive law of the plantation There is a significant characteristic in the field of labour. other benefits are controlled by the other laws.

Here in this case, you will find, that all social benefits are also included in the parent Act, namely, housing, drinking water, medical benefits, etc. etc. But these fringe benefits which are embeded in the Act of 1951 are not commensurate with the benefits which other Acts provide for the working class engaged in jute.

Sir, plantation constitutes an important sinew of our economy.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER Please conclude.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: Sir, my last point is that the women workers engaged in plantation are not getting equal wages for equal work although we have got Equal Remuneration Act. Even now that Act is being violated. What steps have been taken by the Government to see that this Equal Remuneration Act of 1976 is properly honoured.

Sir, we have come to know from the newspapers that Optional Wage Survey Committee has submitted its report. We will request the Government to lay it on the Table of the House. We are told that it was submitted on 1st May, 1980. In that report it has been said and I quote:

- "(1) It has been found that the workers' wages have increased, that is, man worker's wages have been increased and not that of the women worker;
- (2) The survey also showed that women in three plantations earn less than men. The difference in case of Tea ranges from 11 paisa to 98 paisa. In the case of coffee it ranges from 46 paisa to 117 paisa and in respect of rubber it varies from 40 paisa to 142 paisa."

Sir, men and women enjoy equal citizenship right irrespective of their sex but here a woman Labour Minister does not guarantee the right of the woman working class.

SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA: Sir, the hon. member must know it that they have been guaranteed by the working of the Labour Ministry and myself.

SHRI CHITTA BASU: Sir, I want the Minister to go a little fast. She is a bit slow. She should go fast.

Sir, with these comments I conclude that the Government should take effective steps to see that a plantation comprehensive Bill of labour on the lines I have indicated is brought forward. I would also urge upon the Government to call a conference of the plantation workers, Government representatives and the plantation owners to evolve a better approach to the problems of the plantation workers. I hope the plantation labour as they are engaged in an important sinew of our economy would receive proper attention of the House and the Governmeni.

श्री मूल चन्त्र डागा (पाली) : उपाध्यक्ष महादय. संविधान बनने के 32 साल के बाद भी अगर इस देश में शोषण और दमन पर टिकी व्यवस्था कायम रहेतो यह कोई अच्छी बात नहीं है। संविधान के डायरेक्टिब प्रिंसिपल्स, (38) और (39) का अनुपालन नहीं हो रहा है और 32 साल के बाद आप यहां पर यह बिल ला रहे हैं। मुक्ते बड़ा द्ख: हो रहा है कि आज भी इस देश में आदमी का आदमी के द्वारा शाषण होता है। आप इसको कब तक बर्दाशत करेंगे? हम दानिया में आवाज करते हैं कि शोषण-विहीन समाज की स्थापना करेंगे। बड़े सौभाग्य की बात है कि बड़ी काबिल और योग्य एक महिला मंत्री इस बिल को पायलट कर रही हैं। स्त्री के हृदय में बडी करुणा और दया होती है। स्त्री में सभी गण होते हैं। बहुमा की सुष्टि में

[श्री मल चन्द डागा]

Plantation labour

अनर कोई बहुमूल्य रत्न है तो बह स्त्री है। उन्होंने आज यहां 32 साल के बाद रहा है कि मजदरों के लिए कुछ व्यवस्था की जायेगी। उधर के नेता कह रहे थे कि क्या व्यवस्थायें करना चाहते हैं। व्यवस्था यह करना चाहते हैं कि जो बागान के मालिक हैं वे बड़े बंगले में रहें, आराम करें और गरीब मजदार लोग कहें कि हमें दवाई के लिए पैसे दो, हमें हमारी तनस्वाह दो। आखिर यह बिल क्या है ? नौकरशाही ने यह बिल बना दिया है। क्या इनसान इनसान में कोई फर्क है? महनत मजदूर करते हैं, अपना खुन पसीना बहाते हैं, भरी ध्रम में काम करते हैं, सदी में काम करते हैं और प्लान्टेशन के मालिक किस प्रकार से अपनी रंगीन रातें विताते हीं और कहां कहां घुमते हैं ? आप यह क्यों नहीं कहते कि उनको उनका जो हिस्सा मजदरी का है वह दे दिया जायेगा और यह उनका अधिकार होगा?

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, आप तो गरीबों बड़े हमदर्द हैं। आप दोशें, जब बीस आदमी होंगे तभी यह कानन लाग होगा और अब आपने इसको 15 कर दिया है। इसका मतलब यह है कि इस देश में एक आदमी का. सात आदिमियों का या 14 आदिमियों का शोषण हो सकता है और उसको कानन नहीं रोकेगा। मैं नहीं समभता यह कौसा कानन हैं? मैं सम्भता हुं सन् 1981 में इस बात को बर्दाश्त नहीं किया जाना चाहिए कि किसी आदमी का शोषण हो सकता है। कानन तो हर आदमी के लिए होना चाहिए और तभी शोषण-विहीन समाज की स्थापना की जासकती है।

यह आपका सन् 1951 का एक्ट है, इसमें सेक्शन (39) और (40) हैं--मैं जानना चाहुंगा कि इनके अन्तर्गत कितने आदमियों को प्राजीक्यूट किया और उनको क्या सजा मिली है ? सेक्शन (40) कहता है:

No Court shall take cognisance of an offence punishable under this Act unless the complaint thereof

has been made or is made within 3 months fromt the date on which the alleged commission of the offence came to the knowledge of the Inspector.

अगर किसी एक आदमी को भी आपने सजा दो हो तो उसके आंकड़े दोने की कपा करें।

अब मैं आपका ध्यान एकं महत्वपूर्ण सेक्शन की ओर दिलाना चाहता हां :

No Court shall take congnisance of any offence under this Act except on complaint made by or with the previous sanction in writing the Chief Inspector and no coutrt inferior to that of a Presidency Mag istra e or a Magistrate of second class shall try any offence punishable under this Act. I am an aggrieved person. But I cannot go direct to the Court. I will have to go through the Chief Insspector.

इस एक्ट को भी अमेंड नही किया। गरीव मजदार की हिम्मत है चीफ इन्सपैक्टर के पास जाने की? इस नौकरशाही ने और धनी लोगों ने अपना गठवन्धन कर दिया और उस गठबन्धन के कारण हिन्द स्तान की आजादी का मजाक, कछ नेताओं ने और कछ पंजीपतियों ने किया। गरीबों की द निया नहीं है, यह द निया इस प्रकार के कान्न-वैलफेयर भैजर-लाती है। आप इस प्रकार कोई दया करते हैं, कोई आपकी महरवानी है। जब क्रान्ति आ जाएगी, वैल-फेयर मेजर, क्या आप बैलफेयर मेजर का नाम लेते हैं, यह बात हम नहीं मानते हैं। मजदार नहीं जा सकता है, चीफ इन्सपैक्टर ही कर सकता है, यह मैं अभी तक नहीं समझा। फिर आप कहते हैं कि उसकी सजा तो हो ही नहीं सकती है, दो रुपयायाचार सौ रुपया जुर्माना। हम लोग जब बगीचे में जाते हैं, तो मजदूर लोग बगैर कपड़े पहने नंगे खड़े रहते हैं। हम लोग खब ज्यादा खाते हैं, जिनको नहीं साना चाहिए, ज्यादा साते हैं और एश करते हैं -- यह प्लानटोशन की हालत । आपने फिर कहा है कि पांच एकड़ कर दिया। जब

एक एकड़ में आदमी मजदूर रखता है, तो अधिकार होना चाहिए। मनोरंजन राय ने डिसैन्टिंग नाट दिया है-

> "We feel that by bringing down the acreage limit to 5 hectares, some more plantations may be overed. However, a large number of plantations particulary in South will remain uncovered by the Bill. This would also enable some planters to fur her reduce the size of plantations to evade the implementation of the Act. We therefore propose that the acreage should be further brought down to 2.5 hectares irrespective of the employment in the plantations."

उसने कहा है कि नहीं होना चाहिए और आज आप कह रहे हैं कि नहीं, हम तो यह कान्न बनाना चाहते हैं।

श्री चित्त बस् : प्लानट शन को नेशनला-इज कर दीजिए।

श्री मूल चन्द डागा : में तो कहता हूं, नेशनलाइज करने के बाद आखिर में मालिक मजदूर को बना देना चाहिए । मंत्री जी कानून पास करते हैं और कानून पास करने के बाद सदन में बड़ा अच्छा उत्तर दते हैं। मैं एक बात कहता हूं, लेबर डिपार्टमेंट का काम कानून बनाना है...

थम मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्रीमती राम बुसारी सिन्हा): कानून बनात है, और कार्यान्वयन करते और कराते हैं।

श्री मूल चन्द डागा : इम्पलीमें टिंग बाडी अलग है। हम बात करते हैं कि आसमान जमीन पर ले आयों गे . . (व्यवधान) . . . आप सिद्धान्त बतारोंगे। भाषण दोने आप जारोंगे। काम नहीं करोगे, हम स्नॉगे।

श्रीमती राम बुलारी सिन्हा : हम काम करते रहोंगे और फिर भी आप हमको गालियां सुनाते रहेगे।

भी मूल चन्द कागा : गालियां नहीं सना-में गे। हम तो कहीं कि भगवान करे. आप अच्छे-अच्छे भाषण द और काम कुछ न हो । 32 साल की आजादी के भी ये बातें होती रहीं कि हम आपकी भलाई करेंगे। मैं कहना चाहता हूं, आप कानून बना रहे हैं-- 'वैलफेयर मेजर--बेचारा महनत करके सोना पैदा करता है और वह सोना ले जाते हैं बागान वाले । आप कानून बना रहे हैं-''वैलफेयर मेजर-मापड़ी देंगे"। यह कानून अभी तक मेरी समझ में नहीं आया है, यह कान्न क्या बनाहै।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You have already taken 10 minutes.

श्री मूल चन्व डागाः मैं ने तो अभी बोलना शुरू ही किया है। मुझे पता है कि आपका दिल गरीबों की तरफ हैं, लेकिन यहां ये गरीबों के मसीहा बैठे हुए हैं।

आप दोसिये--- 16 मर्ड, 1973 को यह बिल ज्वाइन्ट सिलैक्ट कमेटी को रोफर किया गया । ज्वाइन्ट कमेटी ने अपनी रिपोर्ट 1975 में दी और 1975 की बाद अब 1981 में डिस्कशन हो रहा है। इस से मजदूरों का क्या भला होगा? जो चीज आप 1973 में शुरू करते हैं, 1981 तक उन के हितों की ही बात करते रहे, 8 साल तक कुछ नहीं किया।

इस में जो वेलफेअर-मेजर्ज की बात है, वह तो हमारा अधिकार है, जो हम से लिया गया है और उन एक्सप्लायटर्स छीनाह**ै** जो हमारे खुन का शोषण हैं। यह तो मेन्डेटरी होना चाहिये उन के लिये मकान बनाये जायं । वहां ही-बागान के बीच में एक बंगला बना है, नौकर खड़े हैं, लेकिन मजदूरों के लिये क्या है? इस में आप ने प्रावधान किया है कि बागान में अगर 20 औरतें काम करती हैं, हालांकि पहले 50 औरतों के लिये था जो आप ने अब 20 कर दिया है, तो उन को लिये नर्सरी होम का इन्तजाम किया जायगा । मैं पूछता है -- अगर 19 औरतें होंगी तो क्या होगा? यह क्या तरीका [श्री मूल चन्द डागा]

है—जगर हिन्दुस्तान का बच्चा रोता है तो देश रोता है। आप के ये बेलफेयर मेजर्ज कैसे काम में आयोंगे? आप इस को हर बादमी के लिबे लागू कीजिये, इसमें लिमिटेशन मत कीजिए, 5 होक्टेअर्स की बात मत कीजिये और कुछ सजा भी मिलनी चाहिये।

मंत्री महोदया को यह कहना चाहिये कि हम इस को नापस लेते हैं और नया बिल् पोश करूंगी।

भी राम प्यार पानिका (राबर्टसगंज) : उपाध्यक्ष महादेय. में माननीया श्रम राज्य मंत्री जी के बागान सम्बन्धी अधिनियम का परजोर समर्थन करने के लिये खड़ा हुआ हु। वैसे तो इस बिल की मंशा तथा उददेश्य के समब्नध में माननीय मंत्री जी ने विस्तार से बतलाया ही और इस बिल का आम तौर पर सभी ने स्वागत किया है। लेकिन में यह बतलाना चाहता हूं कि कवल यह बिल ही नहीं, 1980 से, जब से श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी प्रधान मंत्री बनी हैं, उन्हाॉने ऐसी नीति अपनाई है कि देश का औद्योगिक उत्पादन, जो जनता रिजीम में बढने बजाय घट गया था, तभी से बढ़ना हुआ। 1980-81 में हमारा उत्पादन **साढ़ेतीन प्र**तिशत बढ़ाऔर कल ही पं. नारायण दत्त तिवारी, श्रम मंत्री जी बतलाया कि इस वर्ष 10 प्रतिशत आधारिक उत्पादन बढ़ गया है। इस का मुख्य कारण क्या है? जब से हमारी सरकार सत्ता बाई है, श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी ने एसी श्रम नीति अपनाई है जिस से आँद्योगिक में सब जगह शान्ति रही है और यह जो प्रस्तृत हुआ है यह भी उसी कड़ी का एक भाग है। कई सदस्यों ने यह आरोप लगाया कि यह सरकार देर से इस बिल को लाई है, तो मैं उन से पूछना चाहता हूं ज्वाइन्ट सलेक्ट कमेटी ने 1975 में अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी थी, तो आप जो ढाई-तीन साल तक रहे, तो उस समय क्या करते रहे। क्या आप उस समय सोते रहेथे। इसलिए बह आरोप लगाना कि यह बिल दोर से स्वाया है, ठीक नहीं है। दो-ढाई सालु तक बाप ने कुछ नहीं किया, उस का अपने ध्यान नहीं रखा।

में वह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि केवल यह नहीं कि ज्वाइन्ट सलेक्ट कमेटी ने जो अन्-शंसाएंदी हैं उन को माना **ह**ै बर्लिक सरकार और ज्यादा उदार बन गई है और उन की अनशंसाओं से भी आगे बढ गई है। बाप यह दोशें कि ज्वाइन्ट सलेक्ट कमेटी 18 हजार रुपवे कम्पेंशन देने की रिकर्ने-डेशन की थी लेकिन हमारी सरकार उदारता दिखाते हुए. उसे 24 हजार रूपने कर दिया और अपंगों के लिए जो उन्होंने 20 हजार रापये कम्पोंशन दोने की बात कही थी. सरकार ने उसे बढ़ा कर 33,600 रु कर दिया । ज्वाइन्ट सलेक्ट कमेटी में विरोध पक्ष के सदस्य भी होते हैं और हमारे पक्ष के सदस्य भी होते हैं और उस की जो रिपोर्ट थी. उस को और उदार बनाया गया है। 1951 का जो एक्ट था और जिन उद्देश्यों के लिए यह बिल लाया गया है, आप यह दोशों कि हम ने हर क्षेत्र में इस में सुधार किया है।

जहां तक प्लान्ट शेन के रिजिस्ट्र शेन की बात है, उस में भी सुधार किया गया है। पहले जहां 10.117 हे क्टेयर की लिमिट थी, उस को अब 5 हे क्टेयर कर दिया है हालांकि में चाहता हूं कि इस को तीन या ढाई हे क्टेयर कर दिया है को बर्र की संख्या 30 से घटा कर अब 15 कर दी हैं। तीन और ढाई हे क्टेयर में जगर 15 मजदूर काम करते हैं तो उसे आप रिजिस्टर करें। चित्त बसू साहब और राजन साहब ने जो यह कहा है कि मजदूरों की संख्या 10 होनी चाहिए, अगर इस को कर दिया जाए, तो यह एक अच्छी बात होगी।

में यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि इस एक्ट में जो एक पया प्रावधान किया गया है कि अगर मकाण किसी मालिक ने दिया हुआ है और वह गिर जाता है और उस के गिरने के कारण किसी की डेथ हो जाती है, तो वर्कमने कम्पेंशन एक्ट, 1923 के अनू-सार उसको कम्पेंसेशन दिया जाएगा । इस में यह भी किया गया है कि पहले

मजदूरों के बेतन की सीलिंग 300 रुपये थी। अब उसको बढ़ा कर 750 रुपये प्रित माह कर दिया गया है। इस तरह से आप देखें कि हमारी सरकार ने जो ये प्रावधान इस बिल में किये हैं, वे निश्चित तौर पर प्लान्टेशन वकर्स के हित में है।

कृष्ठ माननीय सदस्यों ने जो यह कहा है कि एक काम्प्रीह सिव बिल होना चाहिए, मैं मानता हूं कि यदि कोई काम्प्रीह सिव बिल अलग से आए, तो अच्छा होगा। आप जानते होंगे कि कई कान्फ्रेन्सेज हमारे अम मंत्री जी ने की हैं। उन्होंने लेबर मिनिस्टर्स की भी कान्फ्रेन्स बुलाई थी और उसमें कुछ निर्णय लिये हैं और जो मिनीमम बेजेज को बात हैं, वह होने ही वाली हैं लेकिन जो कई सदस्यों ने इस में शंका प्रकट की हैं, वह मैं उचित नहीं समभता। वे हर बात पर शंका प्रकट करते हैं और मेरा यह कहना है कि उनकी शंका निराधार हैं। बात यह है कि जैसा चश्मा वे लगाते हों, दूसरों को भी वैसा ही समभते हैं। इन का जो निकम्मापन था, वह दो-ढाई साल में सामने आ गया।

में एक बार पृनः अपनी सरकार को और खास तौर पर लेवर मंत्रालय को और श्रम राज्य मंत्री जी को धन्यवाद देता हूं कि वै इस त्रह का एक क्रान्तिकारी बिल लाई और विरोधी दल के सदस्य जो इस को देर से लाने का आरोप लगा रहे हैं, वह सही नहों हैं। दो सालों में लेबर एक्ट में यह सरकार संसोधन लाई है और वह सब जानते है कि यह सरकार मजदूरों के हितों के लिए कृषि मजदूरों और किसानों के हिंतों के लिए काम करती है और कई कार्यक्रम वह इन के लिए लाई है। 34 बिल पिछले सेशन में हमने एास किये हैं और इस सेशन में भी काफी बिल हम पास कर रहे हैं।

इन शब्दों के साथ में इस बिल का पूरजोर समर्थन करता हूं और विरोधी पक्ष से कहता हूं कि वे सर्वसम्मति से इसका अनुमोदन कर और इस को पास करें।

MR. DEPUTY-SEPEAKER: Now, the Minister will reply.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRIMATI RAM SINHA): Sir, I am grattful to Hon. Member, Shri Ananda Pathak Shri B.K. Nair, Shri Era Mohan, Shri Girdhari Lal Vavas, Shri K.A. Rajan, Shri Chitta Basu and others who have generally supported the provisons of the Plantations Labour (Amendment) Bill, which would go a long way to improve the working conditions of the plantation labour. I have gerat regards for Shri Chitta Basu and so I have no words to say anything to him.

#### 14.00 hrs

But because he is sitting on the other sitde of the House he has got every right to condemn the working of the Government, if at all it is a constructive criticims and meant to protect the interests of workers, and promote their welfare.

As regards equal remuneration, the Act has come into force in 1976. Hon. Member should know this. But his version was related to 1974-75. Our Government and I myself are quite eager to enforce this Act, any implement it fully. When it comes in the house next, I will let the Member know what I have done, and what my Ministry is doing.

As far as Mr. Daga is concerned, I have no words to say anything, except that he is always in the habit of making some sort of criticism regarding my questions and my Bills. I will address only these two sentences to Mr. Daga.

डागा मुक्ते मालूम है बुलबुल तेरी हकीकत एकमुक्त उस्तुख्वा दो पर लगे हुए ।

Some Members have also made a number of sggestions, to provide for more welfare amenities, and to ensure that the provisions are strictly implemented. A few other suggestions relate to nationalization of plantations [Shrimati Ram Dulari Sinha] etc. I would, however, restrict myself to the subject matter of amendments to the 1951 Act.

Hon. Members have suggested that the applicability of the Act should be further reduced to 2.5 hectares—as was mentioned by Mr. Chitta Basu and Mr. Daga also. I would point out that the State Governments are empowered to extend the provisions of the Act to any plantation, without any limit of acreage, or number of workers employed herein. But we should also think of the economics of the industry as well as the difficulties of enforcement in such small plantation.

#### 14.01

[SHRI HARINATHA MISRA in the chair]

Hon. Members have also pointed out the lack of amenities, namely supply of drinking water, basic minimum sanitary conditions, medical facilities, education facilities etc. Government is equally concerned with the welfare of the workers and effective implementation of the statuatory provisions.

I would draw the attention of hon. Members to the proposed amendments to the existing penal provisions in the 1951 Act. It is proposed to empower the courts to specify the period by which the employers would be required to rectify breaches of the provisions. For violation of such orders, the courts will be able to impose compulsory imprisonment upto a period of six months, and a fine upto Rs. 300/- for everyday in cases of non-compliance of these orders. I have also stated this earlier, when I was introducing this Bill to the House yesterday. Thus, for the first time there will be time-bound implementation and compulsory imprisonment for violation. of directions. I confident that with these stringent penal provisions implementation of the provisins of the Act would improve.

A further suggestion has been made that the Act should provide for the provision of Creeches, without any reference to the number of workers or number of children. I may, in this connection, point out that under the amended provisions, State Governments would be empowered to provide for Creches, even where number of women workers or children is less than that provided under the Act. Mr. Chitta Basu should note this. This would adequately meet the requirements of Creches for the children. Rules to be framed for this, would take care of the facilities to be provided for the children in the Creches.

I am aware of the shortfall in the number of houses being pro-vided for the workers. Latest available figures indicate that out of a total number of 5.31 lakh eligible workers, 3.72 lakh workers have already been provided houses. There is a plan scheme being administered by the Ministry of Works and Housing for giving loans and grants for this purpose. I expect that the shortage of accommodation would reduced in the near I have already pointed out there has been delay in bringing this Bill before the House for consideration. As the hon. Members would note, Government has accepted almost all the recommendations of the Joint Select Committee of Parliament. With regard to the payment compensation in the case of death or injury due to the collapse of the house provided by the plantation to the workers, Government has provided that the compensation should be paid as per provisions of the Workmen's Compensation Act 1923. I share the anxiety of the Hon'ble Members that Government should bring a comprehensive Bill providing tor more amenities. I would appeal to Hon'ble Members to whole heartedly support this progresssive measure in the interest of welfare of workers.

SHRI CHITTA BOSU: She did not say anything on the equal wages for men and women.

SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA: I am concerned with that. I have done a lot. If at all you have got any case, you can bring it to my notice and I will do the needful.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill further to amend the plantations Labour Act, 1951 as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now we shall take up clause by clause consideration of the Bill.

Clause 2 -- Amendment of Section 1.

SHRI MOOL CHAND DAGA (Pali): I beg to move:

Page 2. line 1,-

for "fitteen" substitute "ten". (1)

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK (Darjeeling): I beg to move:

Page 1, line 11,-

for "5 hectares" substitute—
"2.5 hectares" (9)

Page 2, line 1,

for "fifteen" substitute-"five" (10)

Page 2, line 4,-

for "cinchona or cardamom" substitute—"Cinchona, medicinal plants, cardamom, coconut and other agricultural products" (11)

Page 2, line 9-

for "5 hectares" substitute
"2.5. heactares" (12)

Page 2, line 14, --

for "5 hectares" substitute
"2.5 hectares" (13)

SHRI SUBODH SEN (Jal-Paiguri) : I beg to move :

Page 2 line 4,-

for "cinchona or cardamom" substitute—

"Cinchona, medicinal plants, cardamom, coconut, betelnut and other agricultural products for commercial purpose" (29)

As far as clause 2 is concerned, I have said in my amendment substitute "ten" for "fifteen".

आपने जब एरिया छोटा कर दिया है, 5 एकड़ कर दिया है तो 10 वर्कर भी हों तब भी यह कानून लागु होना चाहिए।

यहां पर जो डिसॉटिंग रिपोर्ट कमेटी द्वारा दीं गई है, उसमें डिसेंटिंग नोट यह भी हैं-

"We therefore propose that the acreage should be further brought down to 2.5. hectares irrespective of employment in the plantations."

में माननीय मंत्री जी का ध्यान इसलिए दिलाना चाहता हूं कि क्या 5 या 10 आदमी ह\* तो उनका शोषण होगा, उन पर यह कानून लागू नहों होगा? कानून का मतलब है कि कोई भी आदमी किसी आदमी का शोषण न करे। शोषण-विहीन समाज की स्थापना करना चाहते हैं और संविधान की यह मुख्य, धारा है।

संविधान में कहा है कि हमारे देश के अन्दर मीनार में बैठने वाले को नीचे और अमीन में बैठने वाले को उत्पर उठाया जाएगा और मंत्री महोदय जो यह कानून ला रहे हैं 1981 में उसमें कहा गया है कि 10 बादमियों का सायण कर सकते हैं। वह बार्गनाइज लेबर नहीं है तो कुछ नहीं कर सकता।

श्री मूलचन्द डागा (पाली)

मेरा कहना यह है कि नम्बर बहुत कम होना बाहिए। कई बार बागान के जो मालिक होते हैं वे चीफ इंस्पेक्टर से मिल सेते हैं । श्रम विभाग के जो कर्मचारी होते हैं वे लंबर कमिश्नर बनने के बजाए लंबर इंस्पेक्टर बनना ज्यादा पसंद करते हैं।

समापीत महोदय: आपको कीसे मालूम हुआ?

भी मूलचन्द डागा : भूके इसलिए माल्म हुआ कि मैं लेबर में शोड़ा-बहुत काम करता हुं। मुक्ते मालुम है कि फुड इंस्पेक्टर, लेबर-इंस्पेक्टर, शाप-इंस्पेक्टर, एस. एच. ओ., रहने में बहा बानंद हैं। संशापित जी, क्योंिक वह सीधा संबंध अपना जोड़ देता है। वे एटर्डिस गलत लगाते हैं। भो नम्बर रखा जाए वह मिनिमम रखा जाना चाहिये। पन्द्रह क्यों रखे हं? आज भी हमारे समाज में एक बादमी दासरे का एक्सप्लायटेशन कर सकता है तो कानून का क्या मतलब? दो आदमी हों चार आदमी हों और चाहे एक बादमी भी हो बगर उसका भोषण होता है तो क्या आप कुछ नहीं करांगे? इस वास्ते मेरा निवंदन हैं कि नम्बर को बाप रिड्युस करे। इस विभाग महिला मंत्री हैं। उनके हृदय में करुणा है। मैं बाबा करता हूं कि मेरे संक्षोधन को वह मंजूर करेगी। श्रीमान, आप बिहार के हैं और बड़े लर्निड आदमी है। इनको कहिये कि इस मामूली से संबोधन को मान लें।

सभापीत महोदय : महिला मिनिस्टर को भी क्लीन बोल्ड नहीं करने दिया आपने।

श्रीमती राम बृतारी सिन्हाः मैंने जो देना थादेदिया। आप एक ही बात बार बार कहेंगे तो मैं क्या करूं?

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK: First of all, I have said in my amendment that instead of 5 hectares, it should be 2.5 hectares. I have already submitted that when the planters came to know that the Joint Committee was going to recommend something for the welfare of the

workers, they star raugmetation. Therefore, to protect the workers from mechanisation it should be made to 2.5 hectares.

Secondly, I have suggested that instead of 5 workers, there should be 5 workers because there is a convention of one worker per acre in the plantations. According to that, for 2.5 hectares, there should be five workers.

Similarly on page 2, the Bill provides for einchona or cardamom. Here I have enlarged the scope by adding einchona, medicinal plants, cardamom, coconut and other agricultural products. I do not think the Minister will have any difficulty in accepting this. These plantations are meant for commercial use. And that is done through hired labour. I think, the Minister will have no objection in accepting my amendments.

SHRI SUBODH SEN: I will speak on amendment number 29. Now-a-days, new agriculural crop has been coming up. Previously, it was to be used for domestic purposes. But now it is being used for commercial purposes. Pinc-apple, cetronella grass and other things are coming up in big way and they are being used for commercial purposes. Now, this amending Bill has come after 20 years of the original Act. Who knows when the next amending bill will come? In the meanwhile, other crops will also come up.

So, I have laid special emphasis on the term "and other agricultural products for commercial purpose". I hope the hon. Minister will accept this amendment.

SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA: I have already explained that I am not accepting these amendments.

SHRI MOOL CHAND DAGA: Since the hon. Minister is not accepting my amendment, I want to withdraw it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Has the hon. Member the leave of the House to withdraw his amendment?

SOME HON. MEMBERS:

Amendment No. 1 was, by leave withdrawn

MR. CHAIRMAN: I will now put the amendments moved by Shri Ananda Pathak, to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 were put and negatived

MR. CHAIRMAN: I will now put the amendment moved by Shri Subodh Sen to the vote of the House.

Amendments No. 29 was put and negatived

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clavse 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3 Page 2, line 21—Amendment of Section 2.

SHRI MOOL CHAND DAGA:

I beg to move:

for "rupees seven hundred and fifty" substitute—"rupees one thousand" (2)

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK:

Page 2, line 21,-

for "rupees seven hundred and fifty" substitute - "rupees one thousand and five hundred (excluding fringe benefits)" (14)

SHRI SUBODH SEN: I beg to move:

Page 2, line 21,-

for "nipees seven hundred and fifty" substitute"—rupees one thousand and five hundred basic (excluding fringe benefits)" (32)

श्रीमल चन्द डागा: सभापति जी. डायर किटन प्रिन्सिपल आफ स्टेंट पौलिसी का आप आर्टिकिल 39 दोसें। आप चाहते हैं कि एक आदमी अपने बच्चों को अच्छी शिक्षा दे, अच्छे घर में रहे, उसकी 2,400 कैलारीज फूड मिले. अच्छा स्वास्थय बने ताकि देश का एक नागरिक बने। तो क्या आप सोचते हैं कि 750 रुपये में यह सब हो जायगा? मैं चाहता हुं कि उसे आप 1,000 रुपये से कम न दीजिये। क्योंकि 750 रुपये से कर्छनहीं होता। अबकाफी प्लान्टेशन वाले तो इंगर्लेंड और अमरीका की सैर करें और जो मजदूर धन पैदा करता है वह गरीबी में रह जो एक अभिशाप है। तो आप 1,000 रुपये क्यों नहीं करते बजाय 750 रुपये के ? श्रीमान आप चेयरमैन हैं चैनल के. आप भी चाहते हैं ।

सभापित महोवयः यहां जो बैठता है वह कुछ अपना नहीं चाहता।

श्री मूल चन्द्र शागा: मैंने मंत्री जी से अपील की है अगर मान लें तो कोई मुश्किल की बात नहीं है। 250 रुपये और बढ़ा दै।

SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA: I do not accept it.

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK: The purpose of my amendment is to increase the limit to Rs. 1,500. A committee has recently submitted its report that the price of the plantation crops has gone up twice or thrice.

# [Shri Ananda Pathak]

The basic fact of Rs. 1500 in the case of clerical & medical staff has already been accepted.

What is the difficulty for the Minister to accept this established fact? I once again request the Minister to accept my amendment-Rs. 1500 excluding frigne benefits.

SHRI SUBODH SEN: My amendment is self explanatory. I need not say anything.

SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA. I have already explained. I am not going to accept.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Daga, are you withdrawing your amendment No. 2 to Clause 3?

SHRI MOOL CHAND DAGA: Yes.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is the pleasure of the House that amendment No. 2 to Clause 3 moved by Shri Mool Chand Daga be withdrawn?

Amendment No. 2 was, by levae,

MR. CHAIRMAN: I shall now put amendment No. 14 to Clause 3 moved by Shri Ananda Pathak to the vote of the House,

Amendment No. 14 was put and negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I shall now put amendment No. 32 to Clause 3 moved by Shri Subodh Sen to the vote of the House.

Amendment No. 32 was put and negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I shall now put Clause 3 to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That Clause 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill."

Clause 4- Insertion of new Chapter 1 A

SHRI MOOL CHAND DAGA:

I beg to move:

"Page 2, lines 28 and 29-

for "as it thinks fit substitute— "net below the rank of Deputy Colletor", (3)

"Page 3, line 4, -

after "sub-section (1)" insert— "and after making such further inquiry as he may consider necessary" (4)

AHD

"Page 3, line 32,-

for "as expeditiously as possible" substitute—"within three meanths" (5)

SHRI ANAND PATHAK: I beg to move:

"Page 3, line 32,-

for "as expeditiously as possible", substitute — "within sixty days" (15)

MR CHAIRMAN: Mr. Daga do you want to press your emendment?

SHRI MOOL CHAND DAGA: Yes, I want to speak.

I am just painting out as it is provided—

"appoint such persons being gazetted officers" What type of gazetted officers? So, I said, not below the rank of Deputy Collector.

When you want to appoint, he must be at least not below the rank of the Deputy Collector. What do you mean by "gazetted officer"? Even a police officer is a gazetted officer. I have not understood this. So, he must be not below the rank of the Deputy Collector. After all, you give powers to impose fine and to register an institution under the Act. He can book the owner under any of the Sections. You have never said, it is as prescribed. I is not printed as "as prescribed." So, I say, he should be not below the rank of the Deputy Collector.

SHRI A. K. ROY: (DHAN-BAD): It is very much convincing.

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK: My simple amendment is that instead of the words "as expeditiously as possible". I want to make it specific, that it should be "within sixty days". That is my amendment in Cl use 4.

MR CHAIRMAN: Mr Daga, are you withdrawing your amendments?

SHRI MOOL CHAND DAGA: I would like to withdraw these amendments.

MR CHAIRMAN: Has Mr. Daga the leave of the House to withdraw these amendments?

SHRI A.K. ROY: No.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I shall now put Amendments No. 3, 4, and 5, moved by Shri Daga to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"Page 2, line lines 28 and, 29,—

for "as it thinks fit", substitute—
"not below the rank of Deputy
Collector" (2)

"Page 3, line 4, -

after "sub-section (1)" insert—
"and after making such turther
inquiry as he may consider
necessary" (4)

"Page 3, line 32,-

for "as expeditiously as possible" substitute "within three months" (5)

The Lok Sabha divided

Division No. 6] [14.34 hrs.

### AYES

Choudbury, Shri Saifuddin Das, Shri R.P. Ghosh Goswami, Shrimati Bibha. Halder, Shri Krishna Chandra Jharkhande Rai, Shri Mahata, Shri Chitta Masudal Hossain, Shri Syed Misra, Shri Satyagopal Mohammed Ismail, Shri Mukherjee, Shri Samar Rajan, Shri K.A. Roy, Shri A.K. Roy, Pradhan, Shri Amar Saha, Shri Ajit Kumar Sen. Shri Subodh Shastri, Shri Ramavatar

Suraj Bhan, Shri

Verma, Shri R.L.P.

Yadav, Shri Vijay Kumar

### NOES

Appalanaidu, Shri S.R.A.S.

Bansi Lal, Shri

Behera, Shri Rasabehari

Bhagwan Dev, Acharya

Bhoye, Shri Reshma Motiram

Boddepalli, Shri Rajagopala Rao

Ciailra Shekhar Singh, Shri

Chaturvedi, Shrimati Vidyawati

Choudary, Shri Chitturi Subba Rao

Daga, Shri Mool Chand

Dalbir Singh, Shri

Das. Shri A.C.

Dev, Shri Sontosh Mohan

Devarajan, Shri B.

Era Anbarasu, Shri

Fernandes, Shri Oscar

Gadhavi, Shri Bheravadan K.

Gireraj Singh, Shri

Gomango, Shri Giridhar

Jamilur Rahman, Shri

Kandaswamy, Shri M.

Karma, Shri Laxman

Kosalram, Shri K.T.

Kshirsagar, Shrimati Kesharbai

Madhuri Singh, Shrimati

Mahabir Prasad, Shri

Mallikarjun, Shri

Mayathevar, Shri K.

Mishra, Shri Uma Kant

Misra, Shri Nityananda

Motilal Singh, Shri

Mukhopadhyay, Shri Ananda Gopal

Murugian, Shri S.

Nagina Rai, Shri

Nandi Yellaiah, Shri

Narayana, Shri K.S.

Netam, Shri Aivind

Nikhra, Shri Rameshwar

Panigrahi, Shri Chintamani

Parmar, Shri Hiralal R.

Patel, Shri Ahmed Mohammed

Patil, Shri A.T.

Patil, Shii Chandrabhan Athare

Patil, Shri Uttamrao

Patnaik, Shrimati Jayanti

Pushpa Devi Singh, Kumari

Ravani, Shri Navin

Saminuddin Shri

Satya Deo Singh, Prof.

Shailani, Shri Chandra Pal

Shakyawar, Shii Nathuram

Shankaranand, Shri B.

Sharma, Shri Kali Charan

Sharma, Shri Nand Kishore

Shastri, Shri Hari Krishna

Sidnal, Shri S.B.

Sinha, Shrimati Ramdulari

Soren, Shri Hari Har

Sreenivasa Prasad, Shri V.

Sultanpuri, Shri Krishan Dutt

Tayyad Hussain, Shri

Tewary, Shri Krishna Prakash

Vairale, Shri Madhusudan

Venkatasubbaiah, Shri P.

Vyas, Shri Girdhari Lal

Wagh, Dr. Pratap

405

Wasnik, Shri Balkrishna Ramchandra

Zainul Basher, Shri

MR. CHAIRMAN: Subject to correction, the result\* of the Division is: Ayes 19: Noes 68

The amendments are negatived.

The motion was negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I shall now put Amendment No. 15, moved by Shri Ananda Pathak to the vote of the Hous:

Amendment No. 15 was put and negatived.

MR'CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That clause 4 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 4 was added to the Bill.

Clause 5 was added to the Bill.

Clause 6-Amendment of Section

MR. CHAIRMAN: There are amendments given notice of to this Clause. Mr. Daga....He is not present.

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK: Sir, I beg to more:

Page 4, line 17,—

for "fifty" substitute-"five" (16)

Page 4, lines 20 and 21,

for "the number of children of women workers (including women workers employed by any contractor) is twenty or more" substitute—

"any number of children of women workers (including women workers employed by any contractor) are there"(17)

Pag. 4, line 30,—
for "fifty" substitute—"five" (18)

Page 4, line 33,—

for "the number of children of women workers is less than twenty,"

substitute-

"any number of children of such workers are there" (19)

SHRI SUBODH SEN: Sir, I beg to move:

Page 4, line 33,-

or "the number of chlidren of women workers is less than twenty"

Substitute-

"any number of children of such women workers are there" (37)

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK: My Amendment is very simple. Instead

\*The following Members also recorded their votes:

AYES: Dr. Subramaniam Swamy, Sarvashree Shibu Soren, Sunil Maitra Ananda Pathak, Matilal Hasda, L. S. Tur and Ajit Bag.

NOES: Dr. Krupasindhu Bhoi, Sarvashree Bheekhabhai, D. L. Baitha Manoranjan Bhakta, Jagan Nath Kaushal, K. Pradhani, Doongar Singh and Fatehbhan Singh Chouhan.

[Shri Ananda Pathak]

Plantation labour

of fifty workers, I have said five. There should be no difficulty, to accept this amendment. I hope the hon. Minister will accept it.

(Interruptions)

My Amendment No. 17 is also very simple. Instead of twenty children. I have said, 'any number'. This is a very simple amendment. I think that will also be considered and accepted by the hon. Minister. In case of 15, I have said 5; instead of 'twenty' children, I have said 'any number'. I hope the hon. Minister will consider and accept my amendments.

(Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Have you any hing to say?

SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA: I do not accept them.

MR. CHAIRMAN : All right. I will put amendments No. 16, 17, 18 and 19 to vote.

Amendments Nos. 16, 17, 18 and 19 were put and negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I will not put Amendment No. 37 moved by Shri Subodh Sen to vote.

Amendment No. 37 was put and negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question

"That claues 6 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill.

Clause 8—Insertion of new sections 16A to 16G

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK: I beg to move:

"Page 5, lines 19 and 20,—

Omit "and he collapse in not solely and directly attributable to a fault on the part of any occupant of the house or to a natural calamity" (20)

"Page 6, line 2,-

for "six month" substitute-"twelve months" (21)

"Page 6, line 5,-

for "six months" substitute-"twelve months" (22)

"Page 6, line 6,—

for "six months" substitute-"twelve months" (23)

MR. CHAIRMAN: All the amendments given notice of by Shri Subodh Sen are covered by the Amendments of Shri Ananda Pathak.

Shri Ananda Pathak may now speak on his Amendments.

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK: I have given amendment No. 20 to omit the works 'and the collapse is not solely and directly attributable to a fault on the part of any occupant of the house or to a natural calamity'. These words should be omitted. If these words are retained, the workers will never get the compensation because the employers will, on one plea or the other, deny the compensation to the workers. So I have given my amendment to omit these works so that the workers may get the compensation.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Minister has noting to say. I will now put Amendments Nos. 20, 21, 22 and 23 moved by Shri Ananda Pathak to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 20, 21, 22 and 23 were put and negatied.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now the question is

"That Clause 8 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.
Clause 8 was added to the Bill.
Clauses 9 to 12 were added to the Bill.

Clause 13 Insertion of new Section 37A.

SHRI ANANDA PATHAK: I beg to move:

"Page 9, line 10,-

for "six months" substitute — "twelve mon'hs' (24)

"Page 9, line 11,—
for "three" substitute—
"five" (25)

Sir, my amendments are very simple. My contention is that it should be 12 months instead of six months as proposed in the Bill. Now, in the Bill it has been proposed that the fine is Rs. 300. My amendment is that it should be Rs. 500. These amendments are very simple and I hope the Minister would agree to my amendments.

SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA: I do not accept.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I shall not put the Amendments Nov. 24 and 25 moved by Shri Ananda Pathak to the vote of the House.

Amendments No. 24 and 25 were put and negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now the question is:

"That Clause 13 stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted.

Clause 13 was added to the Bill.

Clause 14 was added to the Bill.

Clause 1—Short title and commencement.

SHRI ANDNDA PATHAK: I beg to move:

Page 1, line 5 and 6,

for "on such date as the Central Government may, by notification in the official Gazettee, appoint"

Substitute—"with immediate effect".(8)

Sir, the Act was passed in 1951. But unfortunately it has not been properly implemented. It was a andoned and kept in the cold storage. If the Government is serious enough and sincere enough to look after the welfare of the plantation workers, I hope the Government would agree to my amendment, namely—

in plac eof "by notifictaion in the Official Gazette appoint"

substitute the works "with immediate effect."

I hope that the hon. Minister would accept my amendment.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I shall now put the Amendment No. 8 moved by Shri Ananda Pathak to the vote of the house.

Amendment No. 8 was put and negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, the question is:

"That Clause 1 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause I was added to the Bill.

The enacting formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRIMATI RAM DULARI SINHA: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed"

MR. CHAIRMAN : Motion moved :

"That the Bill be passed"

सी रामाबतार झारवी (पटना) : माननीय संभापित जी, मैं इस बिल का समर्थन करता हूं लेकिन समर्थन करते हुए एक-दो बातें कहना मैं उचित समझता हूं । इस संझो-धन के जिरए बागानों में काम करने वाले अम-जीवियों के कल्याण संबंधी बातों की अर्चा की गई है और इन्हें सुविधाएं दोने की बात है तो इसका तो कोई विरोध नहीं कर सकता । सब लोग समर्थन ही करंगे, लेकिन अच्छा होता कि जितने बागान हैं, बाय-बागान हैं या और कोई निजी बागान हैं, अगर सरकार उन्हें अपने कब्जे में करके चले तो अम-जीवियों का ज्यादा भला हो सकता था, लेकिन अभी एसा नहीं हैं।

इस बात की चर्चा करते हुए मैं दो बातों कहना चाहना हूं जिसे में ने स्वयं देशा हैं। अभी अंस्त महीने में सभापति जी. मुक्ते नया अलीपुर दुवार जाने का मौका मिला था। वहां एन. एफ. रेलवे वर्कार्स युनियन की कन्फ्रांस थी। उस की रोली में बहुत बड़ी संख्या में चाय-बागानों को श्रम-जीवी आए थे। भन्डे-पताका लेकर बाए थे, औरतें थीं, बच्चे थे, मर्द थे। उन लोगों ने वहां शिकायत की कि लोगों में से बहुत से चाय-बागानों में काम करने वाले लोग हिन्दी स्पीकिंग इलाके हैं, लेकिन स्कालों में हिन्दी पहुने व्यवस्था नहीं है। बागानों के मालिकों ने इसकी व्यवस्था नहीं की है, जिसकी वजह से कठिनाई होती है। सरकार का नियम है कि अगर इस तरह से काफी संख्या में लोग हैं तो जिस भाषा को भी वे बोलते-जानते हों, उस भाषा में शिक्षा देने की व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए । तो मैं मंत्री जी से पूछना चाहता हूं कि क्या यह बात सही है, इसका पता लगाएं। यदि सही है उन बच्चों को मुर्ख रखने से क्या फायदा । जो भाषा वे नहीं जानते, उसमें पढ़ना उनके िलए संभव नहीं होगा ।

इसलिए बाप देखिए कि जहां भी जिस भाषा के जानने वाले मजदूर काम करते हैं, उनके बाल-बच्चों की शिक्षा उनकी ही भाषा में होनी चाहिए, चाहे वह हिन्दी हो, बंगला हो या कोई और भाषा हो। उस भाषा में शिक्षा का बंदोबस्त होना चाहिए। मजदूरों के कल्याण से इस बिल का संबंध है और यदि मजदूर शिक्षित नहीं होगा तो कल्याण की बात भी नहीं समझेगा। इसलिए उनकी इस शिकायत को दूर कीजिए, ताकि उनका असंतोष दूर हो सके।

दूसरी बात, दूर्घटना की चर्चा की गई है। दर्घटनाएं बागानों में बहुत होती हैं, लेकिन दुर्घटनाओं के लिए जो मुआवजा **बगैरह मिलना चाहिए, यह उचित** तरीके से उनको नहीं मिलता है। मेरा सिर्फ यह निवेदन है कि आप दों खए कि जहां इस तरह की बात है, आपके कानून का उल्लंघन होता है, वहां इस पर रोक लगाइए । आप यह नहां कह सकतं कि निजी मालिक कानन का उल्लंघन नहीं करते। जब सरकार स्वयं अपने काननों का उल्लंघन करती है तो निजी मालिकों के बार में कहना और उनकी जवाब-दोही लेना मुश्किल हैं। वे उल्लंघन करते हैं। आप जो कानून बना रहे हैं, उन कानुनों का पालन हो, इसकी दोस-रोह करना सरकार का कर्ताव्य है, तभी सही माने में चाय-बागानों के श्रम-जीवियों की मदद आप कर सकाँगे, सरकार मदद कर सकेगी और उनको कुछ सुविधाएं मिल सर्कोगी। आमतौरसे उनके कल्याण सम्बन्धी सभी बातों की तरफ अापका ध्यान जाना चाहिये। अच्छा होता अगर कम्प्रिहासिव बिल लाए होते जिस में और भी बातों को शामिल किया जाता । लेकिन जितना भी आप लाए ही यह भी सही ही, और इसका मैं समर्थन करता हूं। मैं आशा करता हूं कि जिन बातों की तरफ मैंने अगपका ध्यान सीचा है उनकी तरफ आप ध्यान दंगी।

श्री रीत साल प्रसाद वर्मा (कोडरमा) । चाय बागान श्रम विधेयक जो आया है यह अपने आप में पूर्ण नहीं है। फिर भी श्रम हितकारी होने के कारण में इसका समर्थन करता हुं। उनके कल्याण श्रम जीवन उपयोगी व्यवस्थाओं का प्रावधान इस में किया गया है, वह पर्याप्त नहीं है। चाय बागानों को दोश के लिए बहुत ही उपयोगी समका जाता है। **गारिक**, आँद्योगिक और कृषि कार्य डीप्ट से इनको बहुत ही महत्वपूर्ण स्थान प्राप्त है। इस विधयक में चाय, काफी, रबड़, सिंचोना आदि को ही शामिल किया गया है। व्यापारिक दिष्टकोण से जो खेती **यल रही हैं, जो बागान हैं**, उन सब इस में शामिल किया जाना चाहिये था जो नहीं किया गया है। इस कारण बौतिहर मजदूर या बागानों में काम करने वासी मजदूर जो हैं और उनका कल्याण होना चाहियेथा वह नहीं हो पाएगा । इलायची, केला, सेब, जड़ी-ब्टियां, पाइन एपल, मंगफली, तम्बाक इ.स., कपास, काजू, औलिम, कोका, नारियल जादि को भी इस में शामिल करना **वाहियेथा। मैं आश्राकरता ह**ें कि इस बार भी मंत्री जी का ध्यान जाएगा।

इन चाय बागानों की आमदनी से दंश को पांच सौ कराड़ से भी अधिक की आय होती है। लेकिन सारी व्यवस्था को नियोजकों के उत्पर छोड़ दिया गया है। वही आवास -की व्यवस्था करं, मजदूरों के कल्याण कार्यों की व्यवस्था करोंगे। यह सब उन स नहीं हांगा । उपनिवंशवादी दिनों से हम दोसते आ रहे हों लिप्टन, बूक बांड या देशी चाय के मालिकान सभी मजदूरों का किसी न किसी तरह में शोषण करते आ रहे हैं, सैंकड़ों बरसों में करते आ रहे हैं। 1951 में कानून बना था जिस को लाग नहीं कियर गया । ज्वायंट सिलैक्ट कमेंटी ने कहा था कि सरकार को लगातार इस का निरीक्षण करते रहना चाहिये लेकिन सरकार ने नहीं किया। समिति ने रिपोर्ट दी थी जिस में उसने कहा था कि मजदूरों को कोई राइट नहीं है, सिविल राइट महीं है, बाहरी लोगों से वे मिक्स नहीं कर सकते हैं, बातचीत नहीं कर सकते हैं। वहां पेय जल की व्यवस्था नहीं होती है। आप कह रहे हैं कि नियोजक आवास की व्यवस्था कर्ग । कोलीप्स होने पर मुआवजे की व्यवस्था भी की गई है। लेकिन वे वेते लेते नहीं हैं। कानूनी पाबन्दी भी नहीं लगाई गई है। जो अनिवार्य आवश्यक-तायें हैं उनका प्रबन्ध भी नहीं किया जाता हैं। स्कूल आदि का प्रबन्ध नहीं किया जाता हैं। स्कूल आदि का प्रबन्ध नहीं किया जाता हैं। मनोरंजन की कोई व्यवस्था नहीं है। वैलफेयर के कोई काम नहीं होते हैं। में निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि जिस तरह से माइका माइज वैलफेयर बार्गेनाइजेशन हैं उसी तरह की वैलफेयर बार्गेनाइजेशन की और वैलफेयर की यहां भी व्यवस्था होनी चाहिये ताकि बागान मजदूरों को उचित लाभ मिल सके।

SHRI SUBODH SEN(Jalpaiguri): Though our amendments have been lost, I welcome this Bill since it is an improvement on the parent Act. In the meantime, I should point out that the original Act suffers from certain limitations which I thought would have been removed by this amendment.

As a matter of fact, in the original Act there has been no specific connotation of the term employer. What happens in the tea gardens? As per Articles of Association of the Joint Stock Company, the Board of Directors is the utlimate authority, but in the tea garden level, the workers are employed by the Manager. So if there is any contravention of the Act in regard to supply of wholesome drinking water, in regard to housing, in regard to medical treatment that paid Manager is being prosecuted. But the ul'cmate authority who draws up thibudget, who decides what is to be spent on medical items, whether a deep tubewell would have to be dug for supplying wholesome water, all this is the business of the Board of Directors. But at the garden level, the appointment letter is issued not by the Board of Directors or the MD but the Manager. He comes in for prosecution. I thought this position should have been clarified in this amending Bill, but [Shri Subodh Sen]

415

nowhere that point has been given. Though it is late, I would •hould still hope that the Minister see and devise some ways and means so that the connotation could be given. I would not have said it but vesterday some hon, member from that side rubbed West Bengal. I do not know why he rubbed West Bengal. How West Bengal came into the picture? But for the know-ledge of this House I should say that during the tenure of the left front government during the last four years, the workers have been able to wrest from the employer's hands that much money which they could not get during the period of he last 100 years.

On the eve of 1977, in Duars, West Bengal the workers had

## 14.58 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER in the Chair]

been getting Rs. 4.20, and in between the period of 1977 upto 1981, in the course of these four years, workers have got another increment of Rs. 4.80, what workers could get during the ceding period spreading over 100 years they got it within four years, that is due to the unity of the workipg class including INTUC and the lending hands of the left front government. He does not know anything about it. He has necessarily raised the point which does not arise here.

बीमती राम बुलारी सिन्हा: सभापित जी, में सर्वश्री रामावतार शास्त्री, आर. एल पी वर्मा, सूबोध सैन को धन्यवाद देना चाहती हूं। लेकिन एक आश्चर्य भी प्रकट करना चाहती हूं कि कल मैंने अपने प्रारम्भिक भाषण में जिन बातों का तजिक्या किया था और बयान दिया था तथा माननीय सदस्यों ने जो भाषण दिये और कुछ राय जाहिर की उसके सम्बन्ध में भी मैंने अपना विस्तृत उत्तर दिया। उसके बावजूद भी वहीं बातों माननीय सदस्यों ने अभी कही। मैं

कहना चाहती हुं जहां तक शास्त्री जी की प्लान्टोशन लेकर से संबंधित हर राज्य की शिक्षा से सम्बन्धित हैं इन्हें में शिशा विजाभु को विचार करने के लिए भेज दांगी।

इन शब्दों के साथ में कहना बाहती हुं 1951 का बिल तरमीम के लिए 1973 में आया और संयुक्त प्रवर सिमिति को सुपूर्व किया गया जिसकी रिकमेन्डेशन्स 1975 में आयी और इमपूब्ड तरीके से उस बिल को आज सदन में रखा है। इसकेपहले ही यह बिल पिछले महीने राज्य सभा में पारित हो चुका है इसलिये माजनीय सदस्य एक मत से उसे अपनी स्वीकृति दे दे। ताकि मजदर्रों का भला हो सके।

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

15.00 hrs.

INDIAN IRON AND STEEL COMPANY (AQUISITION OF SHARES) AMENDMENT BILL

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now we take up Indian Iron and Steel Company (Acquistion of Shares) Amendment Bill. Time allotted is one hour. I want hon. Members' cooperation in completing the Bill today.

THE MINISTER OF COM-MERCE AND STEEL AND MINES (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): I seek consideration by the Lok Sabha of an amendment to Indian Iron & Steel Company (Acquisition of Shares) Act, 1976, which will help alleviate the sufferings of the genuine ex-share holders who could not file their claims in time. The hon. Members are aware that the management of the Indian Iron & Steel Company Limited was taken over by the Government of India in public interest, with effect from