67 Assam State Legislature JULY 5, 1980 D.G. (Genl.) 80-81-68 (Delegasion of Powers) Bill Min. of Comm.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Is anybody desirous of moving any of his amendments?—No.

All right. I will now put Amendments Nos. 1, 2 and 3 moved by Shri Banatwalla to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 1, 2 and 3 were put and negatived.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I shall now put amendments Nos. 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9 moved by Mr. Parulekar to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 4, 5, and 7 to 9 were put and negatived.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I shall now put amendments Nos. 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 moved by Mr. A. K. Roy to vote.

Amendments Nos. 10 to 14 were put and negatived.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"That Clause 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFF-AIRS (SHRI ZAIL SINGH): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed."

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

14.04 hrs

DEMANDS\* FOR GRANTS (GENE-RAL), 1980-81

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The House will now take up discussion and voting on Demand Nos. 11 to 13 relating to the Ministry of Commerce for which 6 hours have been allotted.

Hon. Members whose Cut Motions to the Demands for Grants have been circulated may, if they desire to move their Cut Motions, send slips to the Table within 15 minutes, indicating the serial numbers of the Cut Motions they would like to move.

Motion moved:

"That the respective sums not exceeding the amounts on Revenue Account and Capital Account shown in the fourth column of the Order Paper be granted to the President out of the Consolidated Fund of India to compete the sums necessary to defray the charges that will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1981, in respect of the heads of demands entered in the second column thereof against Demands Nos. 11 to 13 relating to the Ministry of Commerce."

<sup>\*</sup>Moved with the recommendation of the President.

60 Demands for Grants, 1980-81 in respect of the Ministry of Commerce submitted to the vote of

Lok Sabha

No. Name of Demand of Demand		Amount of Demand for Grant on account voted by the House on 14-3-1980		Amount of Demand for Grant submitted to the vote of the House	
1	2	3		4	
		Revenue	Capital	Revenue	Capital
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
MI1	NISTRY OF COMM	ERCE			
11.	Ministry of Com- merce	72,81,000*		93,10,000	
12.	Foreign Trade and Export Production	136,52,12,000	21,73,66,000	272,88,01,000	43,47,34,00
3.	Textiles, Handloom and Handicrasts .	37,05,20,000	20,59,47,000	76,21,29,000	41,76,48,00

\*SHRI ERA MOHAN (Coimbatore): Hon, Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, behalf of my party the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam; I rise to make a few suggestions on the Demands for Gants of the Ministry of Commerce.

#### 14.07 hrs.

SHRI SHIVRAJ V. PATI in the Chair

At the outset, I would like to point out that internaional trade is indicator of a country's economic development. If adverse balance of trade year after year is the normal trend of a country's foreign trade, then it is certain that that country is afflic!ed by an incurable economic malady. If the export declines and the import increases, this trade deficit leads to foreign exchange difficulties. 1979-80, which is marked by a sharp deterioration in our balance of ments position, the adverse trade balance reached the figure of Rs. 2,233 crores. The export earnings of number of commodities like tea, iron and steel engineering goods etc. declined by about Rs. 500 crores in 1979-80 from April to December. The ques. tion arises whether the production with in the country of these commodities has gone down resulting in the decline of exports or whether the export policy pursued by the Government is full of lacunae. I would like to substantiate my argument with certain examples, which leads one to the conclusion that the export policy of the Government of India was not beyond reprobation.

When Shri Charan Singh was the Prime Minister of Lok Dal Government at the Centre, on 4-12-1979 the export of cotton-seed oilcake Here a strange procedure banned. was followed. This ban was retrospective effect from one month earlier than the date of issue of this ban order. Here only this becomes a subject of criticism and condemna-Three companies from Virudunagar in Tamil Nadu had taken 750 tonnes of oilcake to Marmagoa Port for export. The foreign buyers had also opened valid letters of credit. They were about to ship the oilcakes, but then this ban came into effect retrospectively and the export

<sup>\*</sup>The original speech was delivered in Tamil

### [Shri Era Mohan]

71

September-October stopped From 1979 these oilcakes are lying in Marmagoa Port. These companies likely to incur a huge loss of Rs. 15 lakhs or so because by the time they are able to lift them, they may be unfit for sale. There is no demand for these cakes in Tamil Nadu. On account of unreasonable export policy of the Government of India, these firms have been subjected to this The Port Authorities heavy loss. are ordering them to remove But wagons are not available to them for transporting goods to Maharashtra areas. For fault of theirs, they are made suffer. In order to compensate this unforeseen loss, the Commerce should use his good offices with the Railway Minister and these companies adequate number of wagons so that the goods are removed before the onset of monsoon. Is this not an example of erratic foreign trade policy of the Government of India?

I will narrate another example to highlight this point. In Tamil Nadu Rs. 30 crores worth of handloom cloth is stagnating for the past several months I need not dilate on details as to how many lakhs families are involved in this and how their livelihood has been jeopardised. The Handloom Export Corporation working under the auspices (f this Ministry has exported in 197^-80 handloom cloth worth Rs. 6.24 crores This shows that the Handloom Export Corporation has not proved to be a dynamic organisation for boosting the exports of handloom cloth. I demand urgent measures on a war-footing for reducing the stockpile of handloom cloth in Tamil Nadu. This is just an economic issue; it is an issue of life and death lakhs of handloom were in Tamil Nadu. I am sure that, the Minister of Commerce will bear with me for this repetition that the situation warrants adequate steps promptly.

Tamil Nadu can boast of 60 per cent contribution is the leather exports of our country. This is consilised through the State Trading Carporation. There are about 30 tanning units in Tamil Nadu which require modern machinery for tanning purposes. The Government should provide the funds needed this purpose from Leather Development Fund and also help them in getting modern machines from abroad expeditiously.

In 1977 on the basis of some wrong production, the Janata figures of Government banned the export of Tuticorin alone produces annually about 20 lakh tonnes of salt. All the salt produced in the country is not consumed within the country. At the moment there is heavy stagnation of salt in Tuticorin countries of Middle-East-Iran, Afghanistan—and the countries ìn South-East Asia—Bangladesh, Malaysia, Singapore, Indonesia—are searching for salt The Ministry should get in touch with these countries and ensure that the salt is allowed be exported.

There is export potential in engineering goods demanding attention from the Government. For example, the foundry products of Coimbatore have got world wide reputation for quality. But on account of inadequate supply of raw materials like pig iron, coke iron etc. the rated capacity is not being reached. If hon Minister uses his good offices for adequate supply required raw materials for the engineering and foundry units in Coimbatore, they will be producing more than enough for our extrade. I request the Minister of Commerce to ponder over the ways and means to help these ex. port-oriented units in Coimbatore

The Nilgiris Tea is a cherished item in London Tea auctions. Yet in 1979-80 the tea export has declined. I would like to know whether tea production has gone down or whether the Tea Trading Corporation charged with the responsibility of

boosting tea exports has been remiss. The Tea Estates in Ootacamund are not also getting financial assistance under the Tea Replantation Scheme. The hon Minister of Commerce should ensure availability of adequate funds under this scheme for the foreign exchange earning Tea

In 1974 the Santa Crus Electronic Export Processing Zone was started and within 6 years it has generated employment for 2000 people and it has helped in the export of electronic goods to the tune of Rs. 25 crores. compliment We should also split did performance I demand that such a Zone should be set up near Meenambakkam in Madras. Madras is also eminently suitable for electroneed a particular nic units, which which require highly climate and qualified technical people.

In 1979-80 the export of marine products was up by 20 per cent. If we give fillip to the development of small fishing harbours in our country, we will be able to double marine product exports. In the absence of proper amenities, foreign vessels of Far-Eastern nations come into our territorial waters for catching shrimps and prawn which delicacies of European nations 30 June, a fishing vessel of Taiwan was captured off Tuticorin coast and it is now in Tuticorin harbour. present there is a ban on the development of fishing ports. I demand the lifting of bans on fishing ports and fishing ports like Chinnamuttom having the potential of foreign change earning of 10 crores of rupees a year with an investment of just Rs 3 crores should be allowed develop.

On the West-coast we have Kandla Free Port. At the moment there is no free port on the east coast. Madras should be declared a free port so that international trade can pick up on the east coast of our country. The unwarranted ban on the export of sandalwood has created a crisis in this trade of sandalwood. There is a huge stock-pile of sandalwood in

Tamil Nadu and Karnataka, The hon. Minister should lift this ban immediately so that the rotting sandalwood can earn valuable foreign ex-The chillies produced change. our country, particularly in Virudunagar and adjoining areas of Ramanathapuram District in Tamil Nadu have become the crazé in foreign countries. The Government should constitute the Chillies Export Promotion Council and strengthen efforts being made for exporting chillies.

While concluding, I would urge upon the hon Minister, known for his drive and dynamism, to endeavur ceasely for augmenting the exports of our country so that the adverse trade balance wirns into an advantageous trade balance as early as possible. I am sure that concerted efforts will yield export bonanza for our country. With these words, I conclude my speech thanking the Chair for giving me an opportunity to participate in this debate.

SHRI DAULATSINHJI JADEJA (Jamnagar): I rise to support the Demands for Grants of the Ministry of Commerce. And with the limited time at my disposal, I will try to explain how in the past 3 years, the industry, the exporters and the manufacturers have suffered. In the past 3 years, those Governments tried to experiment in everything that they were controlling, whereby we had a trade deficit. as seen from the books.

The experiments that they trying to undertake, have also resulted in a decline in exports involved in industry and in exports were suffering more, because of want of a steady policy of the Government. Several items can be quoted. to name a few. I will start with the marine products that have been exported from our country. Though the figures show that every year we have been showing a rise in the export of marine products. I do not say that any one agency, exporting company or region is responsible for exports. The reason for the increase 75

in exports has been the existence of a steady demand, rising prices and the opening of new markets. new markets have not been opened in full. Many more markets could have been opened. Many varieties could also have been explored. But we only concentrated on shrimps. Their port has now come down substantially, and the latest figures also show that our main markets, viz., United States and Japan, have also started putting restrictions. They have also started putting obstacles in our ex-As a result, shrimps are not only being expored less; but way fishing has been taking place in our coastal areas, very soon there will be no species of shrimp, of an exportable variety. We are facing this situation because there has not been a steady growth of infra-structure throughout the country. We have concentrating only on southern coast; and the southern coast is abundant in shrimps, and are the only item we have been thinking of, whereas we are talking of diversification Different organizations—Government officials, Marine Prducts Export Development Authoetc.—have - , been mentioning about diversification. Diversification, to them, means only talking and not bringing about any results. I can quote 1975. The coast of Maharashtra and Gujarat which is the true exporting area for prominent started export with 130 tonnes. It was effort of those dealers in that area that we could export 130 tonnes. This was risen steadily. But in 1977-78, this figure went up to 2000 tonnes. Then the government brought in regulation. Then the quota system was brought in and was brought mainly to see the interest of the consumer in our country; and the consumer in our country only happened to be the upper class of our country, because only the upper class the higher class can afford to purchase pomiret at Rs. 12 a kilo. They

brought in this quota system. We were exporting almost 2000 tonnes out of our total production of 35000 tonnes in our country. What was the result when this quota system brought in? The result was that the fishermen who were getting a good price for pomfret the private trader started giving them less price. They started giving it to the consumer. but the consumer was paying same price as they were paying ear-The benefit went to the mid-The loser was the fisherdleman. men, the small man and the loser was the country because we started losing in our export. This quota system was there in 1977-78 1978-79 Now I believe that still we are not out of this quota system What I am saying is that here is a commodity which is not going to be exported than 10 per cent from our country; it is not going to affect the local market at all, but we are not thinking of exporting this item. We are not giving incentives to the industry to develop this item just because it comes from one part the country and that part of the country probably does not create interest in those who are concerned with, export development of this product. I would make a request that let the government be more realistic in this respect the pomfret that is available in the country. We have banned the expert of pomfret which is less than the size of 500 gm. The foreign buyer wants in the size between 350 to 600 gm. That is the only portion that is allowed to be exported. I request the government save this pasic industry of the Saurashtra-Gujarat-Maharashtra coast where pomfret is found in abundance. It will not affect the local market, the local consumer. In the same way, all these yars, the exporters of dry fish were also harassed. They were asked to channelise it through the STC. The buyers were to be found out by the traders themselves. The STC gets 2 per cent commission. Statistics will prove that the export of dry fish has gone down; only the value has gone up. In the foreign

countries the prices have gone The diversification is higher. The percentage of marine product has gone diversification of marine product, we require the help guidance from all organisations; and I can assure you that now we have an able Minister of Commerce and we are also under the leadership of Prime Minister who in this country will be able to diversify our marine product not only from the southern from the entire Indian coast but coasts. Any fish that is brought properly processed this country, if and properly packed, can be sold in any market of the world.

Our people in the Saurashtra area which is the main groundnut-growing area have suffered in the past three years. There have been restrictions and restrictions and the sufferer has been the farmer.

been able The farmer has not to get his price. We have not been able to export the commodities and because we have not been able to export the commodities, we have not been able to give justice to the farmer to whom we are liable for many other things. I would request the Government that the export policy of this item should be decided at the time when the crop is in the field so that the farmer is really benefited and if the restriction is be brought let it be brought through farmer co-operatives. In Gujarat we have what they call Gujarat Oil Seed Growers Federation It is a co-operative organisation. They are the people who are buying the ground-nut from the farmer, process it for them and give them the price of oil. It is this type of organisation which should be allowed to export the HPS. They should be allowed to export the oil cake which has a market in the foreign country. Because of of this policy or because of this policy being decided, our industry in that area is suffering. They are working at 40 per cent capacity. It has caused loss of labour. This has

caused loss of price for the naw materials and has resulted in a lot of wastage in this country. I would only request that this item may be given more importance. This is the right time to make a policy statement about it.

I earlier mentioned about the  $\epsilon x$ port of marine products To-day, the development of our marine products is in the hands of Marine Development Export Authority. I have nothing against the people from Kerala or from that part of India. But this organisation is completely under their control. It is not under the control of this Government. It is under the control of the people in Industry in They are interested to that area. see that the shrimp industry pros-We want them to survive We pers. are not against them but not at the cost of other products from this coun-This organisation is completely Kerala-oriented from Chairman down to the Members Out of thirty Members we have a number of people who are representing the trade, nobody from Gujarat, hardly anybody from Andhra, nobody from Goa, only one from Maharashtra. The rest are all from Kerala. I have faith in them, I am sure they must be winning or doing well. I am sure they must be thinking in other terms but they have a limited scope because of the fish which is being exported from this country, only a meagre percentage of fish is exported from Kerala because it is only shrimp that they are exporting If we want real diversification, this organisation which going to control the export of our marine products should have a wider range, should have people from trade from other States and I would go to the extent of requesting the Minister that they should re-think of even shift ing the Headquarters from Cochin to Delhi. For a trader to reach Cochin is very difficult but to reach Delhi is much easier. Instead what we have in Cochin today should be converted into what they have in the United Kingdom, what they have in White Fish Authority. Let us have a Shrimp 79

[Shri Dulat Singhji Jadeja]
Authority which is absolutely essential and it is right time to have an authority only for shrimp. We are told we will be saving our resources whereby we will be exploiting new avenues and thereby saving this commodity which is very valuable to the national exchequer.

श्री कृष्ण कृमार गोयत (कोटा): सभापित महोदय, वाणिज्य मंत्रालय के सबंधं में मैं ग्रप्ते विचार इस दृष्टि से प्रस्तुत करने वाला नहीं हूं कि जनता सरकार ने क्या किया या उसके पहले क्या हुआ। मैं स्पष्ट रूप से ग्राज की जो हमारी ग्रन्तर्रा-ष्ट्रीय बाजार के ग्रन्दर व्यापार की स्थिति है, वह कितनी भयानक है ग्रीर उस भयानकता पर ग्रगर गम्भीरता से विचार नहीं किया गया तो देश की ग्राधिक स्थिति को ले जा कर के कहा खड़ा करेगी ग्रीर उस सम्बन्ध में हमको ऐसे कौन से उपाय ग्रपनाने चाहिए, उसी सम्बन्ध में ग्रपने विचार ग्रापके माध्यम से सदन के सामने रखना चाहता हूं।

सभापति जी, यह स्पष्ट हैं कि वर्ष 1979-80 के भ्रन्दर ग्रगर हम मूल्यों को भ्राधार मार्ने तो हमारा निर्यात लगभग 8 प्रतिशत बढ़ा है लेकिन इस बढ़े हुए निर्यात को, जो कि लगभग नगण्य कहा जा सकता है, देखें तो, अन्तर्राष्ट्रोय बाजार में जो मुद्रास्फीति हैं, इंफ्लेशन हैं, वह 10 प्रतिशत से भी ग्रधिक बढ़ी है। ऐसी स्थिति में एक्सपोर्ट के भ्रन्दर जो हमारा 8 प्रतिशत का ग्रोथ था, उस को बढ़ते हुए इंफ्लेंशन ने खाडाला और इस के मुकाबले में देश के ग्रन्दर ग्रायात 25 प्रतिशत बढ़ा है । जैसा मैंने ग्रभी कहा कि जनता सरकार ने क्या किया, उस के बारे में मैं कुछ नहीं कहंगा, लेकिन जो ब्राप के स्वय के परफार्मेन्स के बारे में 1980-81 और 1979-80 की त्योर्ट है, जिंत ग्राप के मंत्रालय ने प्रकाशित किया है, वह ग्रपने ग्राप में स्पष्ट है। इन सब बातों को दृष्टि में रखते हुए भ्राने वाला समय हमारे लिए ज्यादा भ्राशाजनक सिद्ध होगा या बजट के घ्रन्दर जो प्रावधान लाये गये हैं उन से कुछ परिवर्तन ग्रायेगा, ऐसा विश्वास ग्राप जगा नही पाये हैं। ग्राने वाले समय में जो ग्राज हमारा बैलेंस ग्राफ़ ट्रेड बिगड़ा हुग्ना है, ज्यादा ग्रामात के कारण जो व्यापार का सन्तुलन बिगड़ा है-- उस में हम कोई सुधार ला सकेंगे ---यह बहुत ही गंका का विषय है।

सभापति जी, झाज भी अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय व्यापार के अन्दर वही नीति चल रही है, वही प्रोटेक्सनिस्ट टेण्डेन्सीज चल रही हैं, भारत के बढ़ते हुए कदम को देख कर ग्राज भी ग्रन्तर्राष्ट्रीय क्षेत्र में जो विकसित देश हैं वे ईर्षालू हो गए हैं, वे भारत को ग्रागे नहीं ग्राने देना चाहते हैं। यहां तक कि हिन्दुस्तान को एक विकासशील देश माना जाय, विकासशील देशों के समकक्ष हिन्दुस्तान को भी गिना जाय— इसको भी वे बरदाश्त करने के लिए तैयार नही है। इसी लिए उन्होंने एक नया शब्द पैदा किया है, ईजाद किया है— "लीस्ट डेवलिंग कण्ट्रीज" ताकि हिन्दुस्तान ग्रन्य विकासशील देशों के बराबर न सनझा जाय। ग्रन्तर्राष्ट्रीय क्षेत्र के ग्रन्दर जो ग्राधिक समुदाय हैं, उन ग्राधिक समुदायों के ग्रन्दर भारत उन के बराबर क्लेम न कर सके, इस्लिए भारत को डेवलिंग कण्ट्री तो माना जा रहा है, लेकिन ग्रन्य देशों को लीस्टडेवलेंपिंग कण्ट्रीज में गिना जा रहा है।

मै ग्राप के माध्यम से वाणिज्य मंत्री जी की इस बात के लिए बधाई देना चाहूंगा कि ग्रभी तक सैकेण्ड-विण्डो किएट करने के लिए कामन-फण्ड में जो प्रयास हम कर रहेथे, उस मे भारत सफल हुआ। है ग्रौर म ग्राशा करता हुं कि इस दूसरी खिड़की के निर्माण के बाद हम हिन्दुस्तान के अन्दर अपने रिसर्च के लिए, उत्पादन के लिए वह सामग्री, जिनका कि निर्यात विदेशों को किया जा सकता है, ग्रन्तर्राष्ट्रीय संगठन से हम समुचित राशि ग्रपने देश के विकास के लिए, इन वस्तुश्रो के लिए ले सकेगे। मैं इस सम्बन्ध में माननीय वाणिज्य मंत्री जी से कहना चाहगा कि स्राप का एक्सपोर्ट का जो एस्टीमेट है, 1980-81 का, वह लगभग 6500 करोड़ रुपये का है। मै उस को चुनौती तो नही देना चाहता हू, ब्राप उस लक्ष्य तक पहुंचे, ऐसी मेरी कामना है, लेकिन 6500 करोड़ रुपया जो हमें एक्सपोर्ट के माध्यम से मिलेगा, उसका दो-तिहाई 4500 करोड़ रुपया केवल कूड-ग्रायल के परचेज ग्रीर पैट्रोल के परचेज पर चला जायगा । इसी से ग्राप ग्रनुमान लगा सकते है कि वर्ष 1980 – 81 भी विदेश व्यापार की दृष्टि से कितना भयानक हमारे लिए बना हुग्रा है। इस लिये मैं भ्रापको कहना चाहूंगा कि भ्रभी तक जो हमारे देड़ीशनल भ्राइटम्ज हैं, जिन की तरफ हमारा ध्यान ग्रधिक रहा है, ग्रब उन वस्तुओं के निर्यात के म्रवसर विदेशी बाजारों में कम होते चले ज। रहे हैं, उन वस्तुम्रों को पैदा करनें के लिए विश्व के दूसरे देश सामनें ग्रागये हैं। हमनें देख लिया वाय में, हमने देखा लिया काफी में और रवर के

मामले में हवारी क्या स्थिति है, यह भी हम ने देख ली हम उसे एक्मपोर्टकरने की स्थिति में नहीं हैं। भीर चाय भीर काफी के मार्केट भी हिन्दुस्तान के पक्ष में नहीं हैं और अब ऐसी स्थित हो गई है कि इन कानियान कम होता जारहा है। इतनाही नहीं बल्कि प्रति किलो⊤ान जो रियेनःइ नेसन होना चाहिए, वह भी कम होता चलाजा रहा है। इसलिए ग्राज ग्रावश्य कता इस बात की है कि एक्सपोर्ट के लिए जो नान-ट्रेडीशनल भ्राइटम्स हैं, उन के लिए नये-नये बाजार दुनिया के ग्रन्दर दूढें ग्रीर जो विकास-शील देश हैं, जो डेवलपिंग कन्ट्रीज हैं, उन के साथ ग्रपनी दोस्तो को बढ़ाएं। इस के ग्रलावा मैं यह भी बनाना चाहता हूं कि जो योरोपियन कण्ट्रीज हैं ग्रौर जो विकिस्ति देश हैं, वें यह नहीं चाहेंगे कि हम तरक्की करें लेकित मैं चाहूंगा कि हम उनके साथ भी दोस्ती **य**ढ़ावें।

मुझे पिळने समय सः ऊप एशिया के कुछ। देशो को देखने का भ्रवसरमिलाथा। वहापर मैंने ग्रजीब स्थिति देखी । वहाँ के वाजारों के ग्रन्दर अमेरिका का पैसा पड़ा हुआ है लेकिन वस्तुए सारी चीन ग्रौर जापान की है ग्रौर वहा पर व्यापारी चीनी है। क्याहमने भ्रयने पड़ौसीदेशों के साथ प्रियने ऐसे सम्बन्ध बनाए है, जिन से उन को हमारे बारे मेपूरा ज्ञानहो। ग्राजमुझेइम बातका दुखा है कि उन देशों को इतना भी ज्ञान नहीं है कि हम ने विज्ञान के मामले में इतनी तरक्की कर ली है, इतना विकास कर लिया है। कई ऐसे क्षेत्र हैं, जिन में हम कई ऐसे देशों से, जो अपने ग्राप में विकसित है, टैक्नोलाजी की दृष्टि से, ग्रागेबढ़ चुके हैं ग्रीर हमारे यहा वे वस्तुएं कम्पीटीटिव रेट्स पर तैयार होती हैं, जिन की भ्रावश्यकता उन देशों को है पर भ्राज हिन्दुस्तान की उन चीजों के लिए वहा पर बाजार नहीं मिल पारहा है। उन वस्तुक्यों के लिए वहां पर बाजार मिले ग्रीर क्या क्या चीजें ऐसी हैं जो हम ने तैयार कर ली हैं भीर वे वहां बाजार में जा कर बिक सकती है, इस तरह की कोई पब्लिसिटी जितनी होनी चाहिए, वह नहीं हुई है। मैं तो यह कहूंगा कि इस बारे में हमारी जो पब्लिसिटी है, वह बिल्कुल नगण्य है। आरज टेलीविजन के माध्यम से उन चीजों की रीलें बना कर उन का प्रदर्शन उन देशों

में किया जा सकता है । हमारे को एक्सपटेंस ्हैं,
जिन्होंने साइंस श्रीर टेक्नोनाजी का इतना विकास किया
है कि श्राज अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय जगत में उनकी ख्याति है ।
उन कण्ट्रीज के अन्दर उनके द्वारा तैयार की गई चीजों
के प्रदर्शनों का एरेंजमेंट करवाया जा सकता है ।
उन देशों के अन्दर, हांगकांग श्रीर सिगापुर के मार्केट्स
के अन्दर हम ग्राने स्नर पर बड़े बड़े शोक मस इस प्रकार
के खोल सकते हैं जिन के द्वारा यहां पर बनाई गई
चीजों का ज्ञान वहां के लोगों को दिया जा सकता है ।
श्रगर हम इस तरइ के रास्ते पर बड़ेंगे, तो मुझे
विश्वास है कि निश्चित अप से हम श्रपने निर्यात को
बड़ा सकोंगे।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, में ग्राप के माध्यम से मंत्री महोदय को यह याद दिलाना चाहना हूं कि सन् 1978 के ग्रन्दर कुछ नान-ट्रेड्रोशनल ग्राइटम्स है जो हिन्दुस्तान में बने होने के कारण ग्रौर विशेष कर हथकरघा पर बने होने के कारण विश्व के बाजारों में, काफ़ी बिकी, उन की मांग विश्व में बढ़ी ग्रीर इस चीज को दृष्टि में रखते हुए कि इन वस्तुमों का निर्माण किस प्रकार से हिन्दुस्तान में बढ़ाया जाय, जिस से उन वस्तुओं द्वाराहमारेमुल्कका निर्यात बढ़े इस के लिए सन् 1979 में एक टास्क फोर्स का निर्माण किया गया था। मैं जानना चाहंगा कि उस टास्क फोर्स, जो लगभग 9, 10 वस्तुग्रों के लिए थी, की रिपोर्ट क्या सरकार के पास ग्राचुकी है ग्रीर ग्रगर ग्राचुकी है, तो उस के इम्पलीमें टेशन के सम्बन्ध में सरकार क्या कार्यवाही करने जा रही है क्योंकि जितनी भी नान-ट्रेडीशनल भ्राइटम्स है, उन को भ्राइडेंटीफाई कर के उन को यहां पर बना कर विश्व के बाजारों में भेजेंगे भ्रौर उन के लिए बाजार ढूंढेंगे, तो हमारे देश का निर्यात काफ़ी बढ़ जाएगा । ग्राज हमारे देश में ग्रनएम्पलायमेंट की प्राब्लम है, लोगों के लिए रोजी ग्रीर रोजगार का सवाल है, ग्रगर विश्व के बाजार में निर्यात के लिए ऐसी वस्तुमों का उत्पादन बढ़ाया जाएगा, तो विदेशों में उन के लिए मार्केट्स भी मिल जायेंगे। तो निश्चित रूप ग्राज हम ग्रपते एक्सपोर्टको गढ़ा पायेंगे ग्रौर साथ-साथ हिन्दु-स्तान के भ्रन्दर लोगों को रोजी भी देपायेंगे।

[श्री कृष्ण कुनार गायल]

म्राज हमारे गांव में रहने वाले, गन्दी बस्तियों में रहने वाले भ्राटिजंस बहुत सी चीजों का निर्माण करते है। किन्तु उनको इस बात का ज्ञान नहीं है कि वे कौन-सी वस्तु बनायें, कौन-सी नहीं बनायें, कौन सी वस्तु की मांग है, कौन सी ।वस्तु की मांग नहीं है, कौन-सी वस्तु एक्सपोर्ट हो कर बाहर विदेशों में जा रही है, जिनका मृत्य विदेशों में हजारों रुपये है लेकिल यहां उसको बहुत कम दाम में बेच देना पड़ता है। हमारी जो स्टेट ट्रेडिंग कारपीरेशन है या हमारी दूसरी राजकीय एजेन्सीज हैं, क्या वे भ्रपन कार्यालय हरेक राज्य में नहीं खोल सकती हैं जिनके माध्यम से उन्हें यह बताया जाए कि कीन सी वस्तु बनाओं जिसकी कि विदेशों में मांग है ग्रीर उन वस्तुओं का मांग के ग्राधार पर उन ग्राटिजंस को वाजिब पैसा दिलाया जाए ? क्या हमारी स्टेट ट्रेडिंग कारपोरेशन भीर भ्रन्य राजकीय एजेन्सीज उनसे सीधे सामान नही खरीद मकती ग्रौर विदेशो को निर्यात नहीं कर मकती ? मैं समझता हूं कि इन एजेन्सीज को यह निर्यात करना चाहिए ग्रीर प्राइवेट एजेन्सीज को किसी भी कीमत पर यह निर्यात नहीं करने देना चाहिए। इससे देश को ग्रधिक विदेशी मुद्रा प्राप्त होगी भीर उन छोटे छोटे लोगो को भी वाजिब मिलेंगे। दाम

एक सुझाव मैं भीर देना चाहूंगा। हम खाद्य तेलों की कमी को दूर करने की कितनी ही कोशिया करें लेकिन हम खाद्य तेलों की कमी को दूर नहीं कर सकते हैं। जैसे जैसे हम सिचाई के साधन बढाते जा रहे हैं वसे वैसे तिलहन, मुंगफली ग्रीर तिल्ली की पैदा-बार में कमी होती चली जा रही है। हम घ्राज खाद्य तेलों की पूर्ति के लिए दस लाख टन तेल प्रायात कर रहे हैं जिस पर हम ग्रपनी विदेशी मुद्रा ग्रनापशनाप ढंग से खर्च कर रहे हैं। जिस तरह से हमने दूसरे बोर्ड बनाये हैं, टी बोर्ड बनाया है, काफी बोर्ड बनाया है, कारडमम बोर्ड बनाया है उसी तरह से क्या हम पाम बोर्ड नहीं बना सकते ? ग्राज विश्व के ग्रन्दर सब से सस्ता भायल पाम भायल है । उस पाम भायल के प्लान्टेशन मलेशिया ग्रीर इण्डोनेशिया में है। बहां बिल्कुल उसी प्रकार की स्थिति है, ज्योग्राफिकल स्थिति है, क्लाइमेटिक कंडीशन है जैसी कि हमारे ग्रण्डमान निकोबार ग्राइलेण्ड की है। हम पाम बोर्ड को स्थापित कर के क्यों नहीं ग्रण्डमान निकीबार ब्राइलेण्ड्स में पाम प्लाण्टेशन करें ग्रीर पाम इण्डस्ट्रीज की एक बड़ी योजना बना कर 8-10 लाख टन श्रायल जो हम भ्रायात करते हैं, क्यों नहीं 15-20 लाख टन का टारगेट रख कर उसका उत्पादन करें? जिस तरह से मलेशिया ग्रीर इण्डोनेशिया कर रहे हैं हम उसी ब्राधार पर यहां भी पाम द्रायल का उत्पादन कर सकते हैं। सरकार इसको सरकारी तौर पर नहीं तो प्रायवेट एजेन्सी के ब्राधार पर ही कर सकती है। मैं कहना चाहूंगा कि सरकार को इसको गंभीरता से लेना चाहिए ग्रीर पाम बोर्ड की स्वापना करनी चाहिए। वाणिज्य मंत्रालय में इस योजना को लाग् करना चाहिए भीर भण्डमान निकोबार भाइलैण्ड्स में प्लाण्टेशन को बढ़ाना चाहिए ताकि हिन्दुस्तान के भन्दर एक ऐसी इंडस्ट्री की स्थापना हो जाए जिससे हमारे खाद्य तेलों की कमी पूरी तरह से दूर हो जाए।

अन्त में मैं एक चीज एक्सपोर्ट के बारे में भीर कहना चाहंगा । जहां मैंने कहा कि हमें ग्रपनी नौन टेडीशनल आइटम्स में नई नई बीजों को शामिल करने के बारे में सोचना पड़ेगा, उनमें उन्हें शामिल करना पड़ेगा वहां हमें एक्सपोर्ट के लिए प्रोजेक्ट्स भी बाहर भेजने के बारे में सोचना चाहिए। हमें पता है कि विदेशों के भ्रन्दर हम कई प्रोजेक्ट्स स्थापित किये हैं, उनका निर्माण किया है। हमने शायद एक प्रोजेक्ट डवलपमेंट कमेटी, प्रायोजना समिति का भी निर्माण किया है। हम उसके माध्यम से विदेशो में ज्वाइण्ट वेंचर के अन्दर अधिक से अधिक कारखाने डालें। दूसरे देशों के प्रन्दर कंमलटेन्सी मुविस के माध्यम से प्रधिक से प्रधिक लोगों को भेजे। हम इस परह से ऋधिक लोगों को काम दे सकते है और लाइसेस फी के रूप में भ्रधिक विदेशी मुद्रा कमा सकते है। यही मेरा निवेदन है, इन्हीं शब्दो के साथ मैं भ्रपने सुझाव रखता हं।

SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO (Mor-We have just now mugao): the benefit of the views of Mr. Goyal who happened to be Minister State in this very department in the previous Government. He has made very valuable, important and interesting suggestions, but has wisely refrained from mentioning achievements of the Government which he was a part. He has merely referred to this Report of the Mi-We have been saying from these benches, the country at large has been saying, that during last three years the economic situation has deteriorated greatly. Economic Survey presented to Members of Parliament also refers to it. It pains me to say that the indications in this Report are the most serious

What has happened during the last three years is that import has been galloping while export has been declining at a rapid rate. Naturally, with increasing imports and decreasing exports, we were running at a very fast speed towards bankrupton

I am thankful to Mr. Goyal for referring to this Report, and I will reply on this Report to point out some very sad facts, bleak aspects and frightening statistics which the Minister will have to overcome if he is to put the economy back on the rails. At page 10 we find that the Janata Government in the very next year we had a deficit of Rs 621.03 crores. In 1978-79 it grew to a deficit of Rs 1062.60 crores, and the year after that it went up to almost Rs 3,000 crores of deficit. This is what the hon. Minister has inherited, and what he has got to overcome.

For this state of affairs Mr. Goyal has blamed external factors such as protectionist tendencies. They did contribute to our reduced growth in exports and our deficit, but that does not explain the whole situation. Hong Kong, for instance, has increased its exports by 30 percent during the first three months of 1979 despite the same stringent quota control by different importing countries. managed to do this by producing better quality garments, by selling better quality shirts, blouses, underwear So, there may have been external constraints, but that is not the cause of the whole situation. There has been lack of economic policy, here has been internal chaos in the economy, and this has led to low industrial growth, low industrial production slow growth in exports.

There was also indiscriminate import of all types of goods. Foreign collaborations, such as that with Sie mens and others, were entered into by the Government of which he was a member, though they were altogether unnecessary, and they brought our country to this pass. I would like to submit that this trend has to be changed. The alternative today is only one: Export or Perish, We have no other option with the increasing oil and petroleum products bill and

with the increasing cost of goods which we import. Unless we increase substantially our exports, unless we gear up our economy for a grain export-oriented production we are bound to go tograds doom. This is something which we cannot afford

I would like to point out a few aspects of this problem as to why our incoming foreign money is at a low level. One thing which concerns me —I come from a area of ccast-is about the restrictions which put on immigrants in the Gulf countries coupled with the fact that the interests rate of Indian banks for nonresidents is 7 per cent as compared to 14 per cent in the Gulf countries, or in U.K. or in Switzerland. this will make our incoming foreign money less and, therefore, we have to concentrate on more exports.

There are a few more things which I would like to mention here regarding the performance of our exportoriented and export trading community. Our exporting private entrepreneurs and even in the public sector, our export-oriented firms and companies have created a very bad image of our country in the world at large. Sometime ago, we came to know that China had taken a policy .decision not to buy anything or not to have any deal with private enterpreneurs or private industrialists in this country because two Gujarat firms with whom China had entered into a fixed formal agreement for the supply of machinery refused to supply machinery for the simple reason that the prices in domestic market had gone up. It is not only private entrepreneurs Even public sector organisations are to be blamed. The CIL entered into an agreement or some construction work in a Gulf country worth about Rs. 100 crores and this agreement had to be cancelled cause-materials supplied were found substandard. These are the to be complaints which are growing

# [Shri Eduardo Falciro]

number that materials or products coming from India are either substandard or ware not delieverd in time. Something has got delieverd in Some restrictions have got to be placed on these people who bring a bad name for the trade of this country.

I would like to make a plea here that the industries which are export-oriented should be encouraged by the Government. One such industry is electronics industry. We find that electronics is one industry for which this country is suited and for which we have the skill. It is employment oriented; it is pollution free and it can be set up in industrially backward areas of the country. I would urge upon the Government to encourage this industry for the purpose of export.

I would like to say one thing about the free trading zones. There has been a lot of, I understand, bias against free trading zones. It has been said that it leads to smuggling. It has been opposed in certain circles. But if you see the performance of free trading zones which we have in this country, we find that the performance of these two free trading zones, Kandla and Santa Cruz, has been most encouraging.

This is what the Annual Report says about the Kandla Free Trade Zone on p. 35. I quote:

"Exports from the Kandla Trade Zone during 1979-80 recorded the highest ever level of Rs. 9.40 crore as against Rs. 5.33 crore during 1978-79".

Employment in this zone has increased to 2,500 and the number of units to 45. About 20 more units are expected to be commissioned in 1980-81. This is as far as Kandla zone is concerned. (Interruptions.)

Now, that the trend has come, there is no reason why we should not have them elsewhere at the same time.

As far as Santacruz zone is concerned, there are at present 33 units in processor reached and In 1979-80 the 1978-79, and achieved a target of Rs. 10 crores. The zone provides employment to 2,000 people and accounts for 15 per cent of India's electronics exports. Exports from the zone, since its inception in 1974 total over Rs. 25 crores.

I would like to say that electronics being an industry which is so suited to this country, yet our performance, as far as this industry is concerned, for the purpose of export, has been really very pitiable and sad

Now, a comparison of the present trends of exports from Asian countries, as far as electronics are concerned, may be made here. In the case of Hong Kong, which is a tiny place, exports in electronics is Rs. 1040 crores. In the case of South Korea it is Rs. 1120 crores. In the case of Singapore it is Rs. 800 crores while in India, which is such a big country, it is only Rs. 40 crores. This is really something to be worrled about, something should be done in this regard. I hope this is a field in which the Government will give encouragement

Lastly, I will mention the demands which have been made from time to time for an import-export bank on the lines existing in Japan and USA. It is said that, during the grave depression in USA it was this type of bank which contributed to giving a fillips to the economy there, and in Japan, after the war, it was an arrangement on the lines of an import-export bank which contributed to placing the economy on a sound footing.

It is said, in view of the bleak export prospects, when there is an Industrial Development Bank for the industry and the Apex Agricultural Bank for financing farmers, why should there

not be an Import-Export Bank? Now, there are several Reports, to which Shri Govar has referred about the task force. Different reports are there, and one of the reports was submited to his own Government when Mr. Alexander was the Secretary in the Commerce Ministry, during his own time. Really, we would like to know what has happened to the Reports and what is the policy of the Government to bring our foreign trade out of the present morass.

#### 15 hrs.

SHRI S. M. KRISHNA (Mandya): Mr. Chairman, the good-will of the House is with Mr. Pranab Kumar Mukherjee who has taken up the 1esponsibility of steering the Commerce Ministry of our country. The odds are rather heavy and the challenges are also tough. I heard Mr. Goyal who happened to have played some role in shaping the commerce destinies of our country for some time in the last Government. The only phrase that I can use to describe the way that export promotion was handled by the previuos Government is 'one of benign neglect'. in every conceivable sector of our export promotion drive, there was a perceptible decline, and for this the country today has to pay very heavily. We will be able to appreciate the position better if we take a look back at what happened in the last ten to twelve years in the export promotion area. In 1969-70, the total value of our exports v. as somewhere in the region of Rs. 1,438 crores In 1979-80 it rose to Rs. 6,100 and odd crores. In exports there are bound to be variations. Conceding the various fluctuations in the global market and in the global tendencies, the export performance of the Congress Government was something that would have to be envied. There was a great impetus in the years 1974, 1975 and 1976. There was a spurt in the growth of export, and the value in terms of percentage rose almost by 21 per cent in those three years. I wonder how we

were able to achieve, how we were able to rise to those dizzy heights in 1974, 1975 and 1976. I can only ascribe thisto a positive policy which was adopted and which created the right kind of climate in this country for export promotion; secondy to utilisation of optimum capacity which was geared for export purposes when the demand on the home front was rather slack, and to the imagnative measures which opened up new vistas for our export drive. It is also a point to be borne in mind that a country's export performance is always interlinked with the political and economic stability that we enjoy in the nation. Let us not forget that, when the whole world was reeling under massive inflationary tendencies in 1974-75 according to the IMF survey, we in India were able to contain the inflationary trends which the world looked at with great awe. When inflation was running at 14 per cent and in some countries in the world at 20 per cent, we were able to contain it at eleven per cent. Stimulus has got to be provided for our export marketing. The then Government were able to provide export duties which were so adjusted for certain agricultural commodities imports were liberally allowed for export purposes; and subsidies were announced for export promotion which was on a continuous and longterm basis.

In 1974 the global economy was subjected to a new kind of pressure when the oil-producing nations increased the oil prices. New opportunities came our way and the entire Middle East market was available for a county which had the need dynamism and initiative to capture. It has to be sail to the credit of the then government hat in 1973-74 our exports to the Middle East were of the order of Rs. 159 rores and by 1976-77 it rose to almost Rs. 600 crores and the growth was a a compound rate of 56 per cent and this was no mean achievement. Si, this spurt in our exports helped u to diversify, change and enlarge our export sectors and we were able to iring in a larger number of items into our export basket.

91

#### [Shri S. M. Krishna]

Now, I feel sorry that the initiative which was taken by the government in 1974-75 and 1976-77 was lost when the Janata government came to power. I would not like to blame Mr. Mohan Dharla who is not present here to defend himself and who is also a very dear friend of mine. But nontheless, the performance will have to be viewed critically so that we can learn and proceed further.

In cotton fabrics and cotton apparels the exports receded. In leather and leather manufactured goods the exports declined. In iron and steel the exports declined. Then, the fall in oilseeds production was also a contributory factor for the fall in exports and even the unit value realised also substantially felt in the years.

It is also a very relevant factor which we have to bear in mind that exports cannot be generated. Our exports cannot be just wished in. In order to have a vigorous export promotion arive, we should not lose sight of some of our internal aspects also. The infrastructural facilities like power, railways transport and shipping and then congestion in our ports—these are some of the aspect; for which we have got to apply ourselves with more seriousness than that I have noticed in the last six months. Unless we are able to bring about a certain co-ordination in some of these vital Ministries, I am afraid that in the coming months we just cannot expect any spectacular rise in our exports pronotion.

In the last three years, we have also noticed that here has been a colossal failure in our bilateral economic diplomacy with valious other countries The international ommunity and our trading partners have got to be reassured about the qualty and about the time schedule of our exports and then only we would have established our credihility with our trading partners. The opportunities wach have been coming our way are being taken over by other countries

15.09 hrs.

#### [SHRI HARINATH MISRA in the Chair]

Our own relationship with the European Economic Community as something which causes a considerable concern to some of us. China is increasingly making its presence felt even in the European Market Community. China in the months and years to come will be one of our biggest competitors in very many items and particularly in engineering goods.

What needs to be done it to work out a grand and effective strategy to bring about a better thrust in our export promotion efforts. I would suggest that the Commerce Minister might ponder and approach this whole matter of export promotion on different wavelengths one is the medium term and the other is the long-term strategy. The medium term strategy should be to immediately tide over the balance of trade position and the long-term strateyg should be to set our export efforts on a sounder basis than has been done here tofore. It is also necessary for us to be reminded that the installed capacity in this country has got to be utilised to its optimum level. I woud only quote one example of Aluminium, I am told, that we are importing worth Rs. 550 crores. Is the installed capacity, whether in the private sector or in the public sector, being utilised? Only upto 50 per cent of the capacities have been built in this country have been utilised. If the full capacities have got to be fully utilised, it is there the question of energy, the question of power, comes.

Now, there are any number of trade centres. There are trade centres which are manned by the Commerce Ministry. These trade centres must be the centres which require some amount of feedback on overseas market. And so, if a meaningful feedback has to be assured by the trade centres, then it is necessary that commodity-wise specialists in overseas markets are engaged on a contractual basis so that we can have a meaningful export promotion

drive So, they are linked. I find from the report that our exports of tea are causing us some concern. Now Sri Lanka, Kenya and China are among some of our keen competitors. I am told that Sri Lanka is going in for blended tea. Now I wonder if blended tea Now, I wonder if blended tea, aiter importing inferior variety of tea into this country is being mixed up with the superior tea that we produce in this country and if it is reexported, that would give us more foreign exchange. I would commend to the Minister's consideration that the Tea Board ought to take up project so that the Export Pormotion of tea would be in keeping with the global trends

Sir, talking about the processed food. I am given to understand that the processed food manufacturers of this country have asked the Government of India for about 8,000 metric tonnes of levy sugar to be earmarked for their export promotion activities. Now. under the present dispensation Government of India I beileve, are not inclined to provide them with the But, needed sugar. the international market is solely guided by the competitive prices and, for the food processers in our country if they were to buy sugar in the open market, their products will certainly be not competitive enough in the global Hence, if the Government of India were to provide them with 8,000 tonnes of sugar, then, the net worth of foreign exchange to be gained would be of the order of Rs. 20 crores. This is a proposition which should be seriously considered by Government.

Sir, about Cashew, I have got to draw the attention of the Minister to a statement which was made by the Chief Minister of Kerala and also that of Mr. Stephen, the Minister for Communications about the cashew trade. Cashew has become a very difficult commodity. It is neither available for love nor for money. I know the difficulties of cashew processors of India. They have not succeeded in getting the raw cashew

from African countries and elsewhere. If they are unable to get raw cashew and if some other private party has succeeded in getting cashew and that too only for purposes of processing in our factories and then re-exporting, I do not see any logic or rationale in opposing a scheme like this. I must commend the efforts of the Commerce Ministry. In the last three months they have been able to get 5,000 tunnes of cashew in the country and I do not know whether it has been processed or re-exported. Regardless of what the Chief Minister of Kerala feels on this subject, I think, the interests of the nation demand that we should continue to support this venture whether it is in the public or private sector. Ideological inhibitions should not come in the way promoting exports in our country.

Sir, day in and day out we speeches from Ministers and Members of Parliament that all encouragement for export-oriented or import-substitute small industries is going to be provided for. Every year the Commerce Ministry reviews and revises the various items which should come under the Open General Licence, I would like to submit that when a smal lindustry-regardless of how smal lit iscomes forward before the Commerce Ministry staking claim that it is making an item which is by way of niport substtute and which saves foreign exchange then such industries will have to be taken seriously and the Commerce Ministry must apply its mind very seriously. When any such small industry comes up to the Cominerce Ministry it should be looked with greater sympathy than medium large scale industries

I would suggest that in view of the severe strains that have been imposed on our economy, it is but natural that the Commerce Ministry has to play the role of a kingpin in a machine. It has to play a pivotal role in shaping the economic destinies of our country. If a country is strong economically then only we will be heard with greater respect among the comity of nations.

### [Shri S. M. Krishna]

As Mr. Pranab Mukherjee has just taken over this Ministry, I would suggest that, in order to create greater confidence amongst the exporters in our country and also our trading parners outside, either in this session or in the next the publishes a White Paper analysing the particular strategy which he hopes to evolve in order to bring about a great export drive. With these words I conclude. Thank you.

SHRIMATI SUSEELA GOPALAN (Alleppey): The Minister is coming before the House demanding money relating to the Ministry of Commerce. This Ministry is having a big trade gap. There is an adverse balance of trade of Rs. 2233 crores. Last year the import Bill has gone up by 24.7 per cent. With regard to export items like tea, engineering goods, iron and steel, and other things together, nearly Rs. 500 crores worth of items have gone down compared to last year. This is the particular state of affairs in this field. Because of the price increase in oil also, this adverse balance of trade will go up again. In such a situation what should be the new policy? What new policy should we adopt to bring down this trade gap? That is the most important thing now to be considered Our trade relations are mostly with the developed countries. They are themselves suffering from the biggest capitalist crisis. But they want to shift the burden to the developing countries through inequal trade balance. Our Government claims that it takes an independent position with regard to these matters. But you cannot do it because you are dependent very much on the World Bank loans; you have so many other trade links with these capitalist countries. And if you want to get out of this mess, then main direction of our trade relations should be changed. Not only that. You should try to have trade relations with the socialist countries as well as the developing countries. Otherwise you will not be able to find a solution to these problems.

Regarding our imports also we should have a rational policy. We should

restrict our imports with regard to the luxury items. We find that the Government has not changed its policy. There are so many items where we can reduce our imports. We find that some unnecssary things are being imported. I can cite the example of rubber. During last year and the year before, rubber was imported. An inflated calculation about the requirement of rubber was given. Manufacturers gave some inflated figures of their requirements of rubber. These industries were not working to their full capacity because of the shortage of power and other reasons. But still they took the installed capacity into account and according to that installed capacity they calculated their inflated figure in regard to their requirements of rubber. We imported rubber last year and had the year before. Now yearly 11,000 tonnes of rubber is lying unsold in the S.T.C godowns. But still the Government is trying to import rubber. When we have an adverse balance, why should we import rubber from abroad. I think it is necessary to have a fact-finding Committee to go into this particular industry and find out how much requirement is necessary for the country's economy in so far as rubber is concerned. Now, we have already got about 11,000 tonnes of rubber in the godowns of STC. That means that the industries in the country which require this product do not use so much rubber. We produce rubber indigenously and we have every facility to produce more and more rubber. But our producers are reluctant to produce rubber because the price in the market for their product coming down and the industrialists are actually determining the policy of the Government, because if the prices of rubber and other commodities go up in the internal market, they would not be able to exploit the indigenous producers. So they are pressurizing the Government so that there is a glut of this product in the market. The prices would come down. By this way the producers of rubber in : the country would not get remunerative price for their product. The produring are suffering because of the pre-

Mon. in Kerala and Karnataka about same tennes of coca is produced annual-fir. But according to the present statis-firm, only 2000 tonnes are produced. We are importing this item also. We have taken a decision to import this firm. I do not know whether the government has already given a O.K. for the import of this item.

Vesterday there was question put segarding import of nutmeg and cloves. me meply given by the Minister was that some quantities of these items have been imported. In Kerala and other neighbouring States, the producers of these items are finding it difficult to sell their goods. The prices are going down. When this is the position, what is the necessity of importing these items? When the attention of the Minister was drawn to the fact that the prices of these items are coming down, the Minister said that he did not know that. He also said that he did wet know how much quantities of these items are produced in our country. In regard to all these items, the Minister should have good knowledge as to how much they are producing in the count-25. how much the country's various industries need those items, etc. The matistics which was given by these producers are inflated. But are you prepared to appoint a Committee and find out how much of these items are reguired for our internal consumption? If there is a shortage of an item and at it is absolutely required for running industry, then only the item should be imported. Now, when we are producing these items in our country in existing and there is a possibilly of producing more of these items our country, what is the necessity of importing them from abroad? We have rational policy. The present policy of the Government should be changed mamediately. The big industrialists are controlling the policies of the Government. Actually you are not concerned the development of the country. Ton are not concerned with the welfare of the workers or the producers. We

have been pointing out this every day. Take for example, production of tea. Now, tea production has gone down in our country.

Now you have a plan to step up production in tea to early double the quantity within the next twenty years. What they have produced in the last 160 years, they are going to produce within 20 years. You have said that there is allotment of 200 crores rupees for tea re-plantations. But in actual practice, replanting is not being done.. The workers are also suffering. What have you done about that? This .is the present state of affairs. the tea producers are being exploited by big companies like Brooke Bond, Lipton and other companies. Some of them are producers also but mainly they are in the processing business and are selling tea at higher price. If they want, they can reduce the price also. In fact, these big trading companies are a deciding factor in the price of tea. When the auction price is only Rs. 11 or Rs. 12 per kg. these distributing companies do not reduce the Even low grade tea is retail price sold at Rs. 20 or Rs. 22 per kg. The margin is retained by the distributors, and the producers and the consumers are suffering in this process. There is no control over all these things. The policy is to help these big companies. Everywhere this is being done.

Now, take for example the textile industry. What is happening there? You are taking over sick mills and so much money is spent over them. Why is it that the mills become sick? They can afford to spend money out of their their substituting profits for machines but they do not do it in time. As a result after some time the production suffers and they say that the unit has become sick and they are not in a position to run it profitably. Then the Government comes forward and takes over the unit. Why cann't you nationalise the textile industry? This was one of the demands which we have put forward during the national movement. Textile mills and such other industries should be nationalised. You

### [Shrimati Suseela Gopalan]

are not concerned with the workers or the producers in the country. That is the policy that you are pursuing.

Then, I would say that private people should not be allowed to import cashew. Why can't Cashew Corporation of India import cashew from outside? They do not seem to have any desire to do this. The private people go to African and other markets and import this. Now, the Kerala Government has said that the Kerala Cashew Corporation is prepared to take up the cashew trade. Are you prepared to stop giving sanction to private people to import? The Cashew Corporation is prepared to go to the African market and buy things. They should have the monopoly over it. This canalization should not be stopped. Then Cashew Corporation will take things up. But you will not permit it, because you want to encourage private people.

It is so with the coir industry also. The coir industry is under the department of Industry. The Coir Board has taken up the responsibility for exporting coir, but you are now going to form another export promotion council for it because the industrialists feel that since the public sector has come into the field, it has become a considerable force in the industry. They feel they will not be able to manage things with the Coir Board: so they want to have their own export promotion council. This is under the consideration of the Government.

If you have a desire to help the real producers, real growers and real workers, the entire policy should be changed. We should explore new markets, and not depend on the capitalist, developed countries. We should have relations with the socialist countries. Our trade with the socialist countries is helping us. We can develop our relations with the developing countries and socialist countries also.

SHR! (HANDRA SHEKHAR SINGH (Banka) Mr. Chairman: Coming from a jute growing State, I wish to concentrate on the problems of the jute industry, before saying a few words on export promotion efforts. Let me at the outset state that the jute industry has been singularly neglected ever the past 3 years of the Janata Lok Dal rule. The areas of neglect in regard to jute policy cover the question of raw jute, availability of machinery, as also productivity and marketing of jute products—both in the domestic market and for export.

In regard to raw jute firstly outlet was provided for the over-supply caused by bumper crops. No earnest attempt was made to relieve the pressure on prices arising from over-supply of raw jute during the last 2 years. This could have been done by previding an export window or licensing new jute mills. Export permissions .were hedged in with conditions that rendered sale impossible in the international market. Requests from Governments of jute-growing States to set up new jute mills were not accepted cu the plea that the fibre was not of the requisite quality.

No attention has so far been paid to improve the quality of fibre. quality of jute fibre has to be upgraded to compete effectively with Bangladesh. It was also essential to improve the economic conditions of growers in States like Andhra Pradesh, Bihar and Tripura which grow only inferior varieties and consequently fetch low prices. This deficiency has been largely due to the fact that the intensive jute area development programme has not been implemented with the same enthusiasm as similar programmes in respect of foodgrains. Extension services, timely supply of inputs, subsidising inputs to farmers producing at least 50 per cent of superior grades and proper price incentives may be used to induce farmers to improve the quality of fibre.

Thirdly, there has been a failure to link cooperative credit and marketing. The appropriate policy must provide that Village Cooperative Marketing Society should extend credit cover to farm operations, collect the crop at the farmers' doorsteps and market it through State-level Apex Market Federations. This is an aspect which has not received the attention it deserves.

In regard to machinery and low productivity machinery manufacturers continued to be in a serious handicap. Pressure was not applied on the machinery manufacturers to optimise utilisation of existing installed capacity to cope with the demand from industry

Apart from this, heavy import duty was a serious constraint. Despite the fact that the initial cost of imported machinery was almost 2½ times the cost of locally manufactured units, the Statute book provided for 52 per cent duty on import of jute machinery. The difference is notional because no import can take place under such adverse conditions.

Similarly, on assistance to ancillaries there was little progress. Ancillarisation was not encouraged by timely and assured supply of raw material at reasonable prices, technical guidance and quality control to a large number of small-scale engineering works which are engaged in manufacture of a variety of spares and components.

In addition to this public financial institutions have been singularly slow in responding to requests for modernisation loans from units taken over by government by insisting that government must first put in funds to strengthen their equity base. The delay in modernisation threatens the economic viability of these units. The Katchar jute mill taken over in my State find itself in the same predicament. I hope our able Minister would look into the requirement of this mill for modernisation.

In the sector of productivity, excess labour has been a drag on efficiency in jute industry. A phased programme of 'rationalisation without tears' has not been encouraged. It should be pursued as quickly and vigorously as possible.

Similarly efforts on improving marketing facilities for jute for domestic sector and improving exports have been neglected.

Firstly speculation has been the chief bane of this industry. Nevertheless, any move to ban forward-trading in jute goods has been resisted, while a different policy has been adopted in cotton textiles.

Secondly, export promotion has been left entirely to the efforts of the jute mills. The industry has relied on its age-old links, and has taken no initiative consistent with national interest. They have exploited a booming market and rushed to the government for relief when the market turned against them. They have not exerted themselves to fight the challenge of competition from Bangladesh or synthetics.

Thirdly, no attempt has been made to forge direct links with end-users or to reduce grievances of importers regarding delayed deliveries and supplies not conforming to quality specifications.

Fourthly, there has been no significant government initiative to assist the industry to explore and exploit new markets, or to diversify production towards non-traditional items of export. Instead of operating as a subsidy, cash assistance scheme coud have been linked to efficiency in export performance.

Lastly, no significant attempt was made to compel the industry to utilise the results of research. Projects have been sponsored and successfully implemented by research institute, but the results have not been adopted on the ground that it involves investments or restructuring of production channels.

The above, Mr. Speaker, will clearly bring out that the jute industry has been gravely neglected over the past two or three years and the sooner efforts are made to redress the grave negligence in all these sectors, the batter it is. We cannot foregt that jute, apart from being one of our oldest

[Shri Chandra Shekhara Singh]

industries provides employment directly to workers and indirectly to a large agricultural population in the Eastern part of India. We expect that our Commerce Minister would come up with a comprehensive package programme covering all these aspects of the jute industry.

I would also like to say a few words regarding export promotion effort. Promotion of exports has assumed special significance for us in view of the declining foreign exchange reserve. The balance of payment position continues to be bad due to consistent increase in imports and inadequate rise in exports. While the imports have gone up by nearly 25 per cent, exports have been stepped up by only 8 per cent or so and the trade gap in 1979-80 is of the order of Rs. 22 billions. This gap, we apprehend is likely to go up due to increase in the price of oil, fertilisers and oil seeds which together account for 2/3rds of our total import Bill. Remittances are made slower because of the declining trend in the demand for Indian workers in the Middle East. We are facing a stiff competition even in respect of traditional items of export like tea, jute or such other items. The need of the situation is, therefore, to strengthen the export capacity of the country to accelerate the flow of invisibles and maximise exports.

I welcome the new import policy announced by the Government because it provides greater protection to indigenous capacity and encouragement to exports. The emphasis in imports is on capital goods industries and essential raw materials and very rightly so.

This Budget has granted some tagible concessions to the small scale industries. While many friends here have suggested a number of areas for developing exports, I would like to point out to the small scale sector where the concession advanced by the Government should be utilised to pro-

mote exports in that sector. I think the recommendations of the Task Force appointed in 1979 has submitted its report and contains very important suggestions for evolving long term and short term strategies for export promotion in the sector and I hope Government woud give their immediate attention to this aspect of the problem. The Government have also given liberal subsidies and incentives for exports. They should be properly utilised and care should be taken to develop and exploit the overseas market. Generally, international prices are at a higher level and they are higher than our domestic prices. But what is urgently needed is to keep a close watch that India is able to establish and maintain its reputation as an exporter

My friend, Mr. Faleiro, has referred to a number of complaints received in this regard; There are complaints galore regarding bad quality, late deliveries, adulteration, even underweighment and below specification supplies. Government have to create a dependable machinery to keep an unfailing check over the quality and delivery schedules, so that our reputation is firmly established. There should also be better coordination between Commerce Ministry and some other Ministries concerned. I was informed that proposals for export of crores of peacock feathers are lying with Agriculture Ministry unattended and similarly requests for such other goods in other Ministries meet the same fate. I hope the Commerce Ministry would be able to check up such issues with the Agriculture Ministry or other Ministries concerned.

There should be a conscious planning for export surpluses and all constraints on production in areas of export potential should be removed.

Our problem has been made more complicated because some of the nations which are plagued by their own problems have in the recent past taken recourse to protectionist policies. The Special Session of UN General As-

sembly called in August this year is of "fital importance" for our country as for the whole of the third world. The session shall consider the perspectives of the Third Development Decade and evolve a new strategy for International Economic Cooperation to achieve a break-through in the current situation of confrontation in North-South Dialogue. We hope the developed nations would be persuaded to effect the necessary institutional reforms which would accelerate economic growth in the developing countries and also help solve their own economic problems. The developing countries too would try to develop a system of "collective autonomy" supporting each other in this quest. The failures of the first two Development Decades should. I hope, be able to guide the developed countries to a new awareness that social and international peace is indivisible and depends solely on ushering in a new International Economic Order.

Sir, I have full confidence that our able Commerce Minister will take care of the problems which have been highlighted here in the discussion and give his particular attention to some of the efforts urgently needed for promotion of exports from our country.

MR. CHAIRMAN: A list showing the number of cut motions to the Demands for Grants in respect of the Ministry of Commerce treated as moved on the basis of the slips received from Members concerned, has been put up on the Notice Board for the information of Members.

In case any Member finds any discrepancy in the list, he may kindly bring it to the notice of the Officer at the Table immediately.

SHRIMATI GEETA MUKHERJEE (Panskura): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure in nationalising the export import trade (1)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure in stopping the import of natural rubber to help rubber cultivation in India (2)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to protect the handloom industry (4)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Decision to allow the private parties to import raw cashew nuts, which formerly was a monopoly of the CCI, a subsidiary of the STC (5)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to ensure remunerative prices to the jute growers (6)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure in nationalising the big jute mills (7)].

SHRI R. L. P. VERMA (Kodarma): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Shifting of MITCO's Head Office from Patna to Giridih in order to guide and supervise day to day business of its offices and factories at Giridih, Domchaneh, Jhumari Talaiya and other places (10)].

"That the demand under the head: Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced to Re. 1".

108

JULY 5, 1980

### [Shri R. L. P. Verma]

[Failure of MITCO to provide help to the weaker section of mica trade (11)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to encourage mica mine owners to increase their production to boost up foreign exchange (159)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to supply explosives, constant supply of electricity, cement, iron rods and iron-sheets to the owners of mica mines (160)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to give remunerative prices to the tobacco growers (161)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to popularise handloom industry in backward areas of Chhotanagpur of Bihar (162)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to grow more rubber in order to stop the import of natural rubber (163)]

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to rejuvenate cultivation of silk in Chhotanagpur's forest land and in giving assistance to the cultivators (164)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Produc-Mon' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Malpractices in the sale at lower price the mica by setting on fire in Giridih Mitco godown (194)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to check the fall in mica production from 32 thousand tonnes in 1965 to 16 thousand tonnes in 1979 (195)]

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100".

Need to check the monopoly of only 15-20 exporters in the business export of mica (196)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to change the 60 : 40 partnership system in the export of mica which has lost its utility (197)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to channelise the export of processed mica (198)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure of MITCO to purchase mica from small mica dealers every month (199)].

SHRI E. K. IMBICHIBAVA (Calicut): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to avoid the import of coco and rubber in the interest of cultivators (14)].

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA (Ponmani): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to reassure rubber growers in Kerala and other States through imposition of a ban on import of natural rubber in view of domestic surplus (31)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to impose a ban import of cocoabeans in view of domestic surplus and to avoid crash in domestic price (32)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced 10 Re. 1".

decanalisation [Imprudent import of raw cashewnuts detrimental to the State Sector the small scale private processors and the workers in the industry (33)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

Failure to arrange maximum import of raw cashewnuts through Cashew Corporation of India (34)].

"That the demand under the head Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100".

TFailure to undertake an active programme for promotion of export of internal-surplus of cocoabeans (35)].

"That the demand under the head "Textiles Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to make yarn available to handloom industry at prevailing before October, 1978 (36)].

"That the demand under the head Textiles Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to grant special rebate to handloom industry for thirty days during 1980-81 (37)].

"That the demand under the head "Textiles Handloom and Handicrafts" be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to take adequate steps to help powerloom industry in its deepening crisis through availability of yarn at reasonable rates, better marketing facilities other similar measures (38)].

SHRI GEORGE JOSEPH MUNDAC-KAL (Muvattupuzha): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to protect the interests of rubber cultivators by importing rubber to our country (39)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to protect the interests of small cultivators of cocoa, nutmeg and cloves by free import of these agricultural products (40)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

Failure to export dried ginger and ginger by S.T.C. to save the poor cultivators (41)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to export cocoa beans which is surplus in our country (42)

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to import nut-meg, cloves and cocoa through S.T.C. (43)].

SHRI T. R. SHAMANNA (Bangalore South): I beg to move:

## [Shri T. R. Shamannia]

III

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to have an effective agency for quality control to check preparations and adulspurious teration (86)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to having a proper International Trade Policy (87)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to have close watch in respect of Tariff keeping in view the growing industry in the country and also the interest of the consumers (88)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to have a proper Import and Export policy (89)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to have a check over the administration of State Trading Corporation (90)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to have proper machinery to watch and guide the development and expansion of Export Trade of India (91)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

Failure to take effective steps to check and regulate the prices of textile goods which are going up year after year (92)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to have an Advisor Body to guide and advise the Garernment regarding the working several boards like coffee board. tea board and rubber board re ing their finances, production distribution of their products (SCA)

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to classify trade group them (1) under Public Sector. (2) Private Sector, (3) Cooperative Sector and (4) Combined under Public and Private Sector (94)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Deterioration in production and marketing of products of rural industries including khadı Gramudyog institutions (95)7.

SHRI BAPUSAHEB PARULEKAR (Ratnagiri): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Producttion' be reduced by Rs. 100".

Failure to promote export Mangoes and prawns (a quality of fish) by providing assistance to exporters by cash compensatory surport market surveys quality comtrol and other export services (108)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textiles Handloom and Handicratis' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to establish and develop textile industry and the handloom sector in Ratnagiri district in Maharashtra (109)].

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Commerce' be reduced by Rs. 100".

take proper steps for production of rubber in Colaba and Ramagiri district of Maharashtra (130)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure of Marine Products Export Development Authority to assist the fishermen in Ratnagiri district in Maharashtra who fish prawns, worth crores of rupees in a year (146)].

"That the demand under the head Textiles Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to develop and promote schemes for development of handicrafts in backward districts of Maharashtra (155)].

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI (Patna): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Need to increase the trade with the socialist countries (110)].

"That the demand under the head Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Need to limit the export trade of monopoly exporters and increase State trading (111)].

"That the demand under the head Textiles Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to nationalise the jute industry (112)].

"That the demand under the head "Textiles Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to give support price to jute growers (113)].

"That the demand under the head Textiles Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by ?s. 100." [Need to give financial assistance to the weavers for purchase of looms (114)].

"That the demand under the head "Textiles Handloom and Handicrafts" be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Need to give special assistance in the production of silk (115)].

"That the demand under the head Textile Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to supply yarn to the weavers at cheap rate (116)].

"That the demand under the head Textile Handle m and Handlerafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to reduce the prices of staple and other yarns (117)].

"That the demand under the head Textile Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to save the handloom weavers from starvation (118)].

"That the demand under the head "Textile Handloom and Handicrafts" be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to save the handloom industry from crisis (119)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textile Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failrue to pay remunerative prices of cotton to the cotton growers (120)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textile Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to find a solution of the serious crisis in the silk industry (121)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to popularise the handicrafts in villages (122)].

### [Shri Ramavetar Shastri]

"That the demand under the head Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to check black marketing of yarn sold to weavers (123)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to sell handloom cloth to U.S.S.R. and other socialist countries (124)].

"That the demand under the head "Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts" be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to supply adequate yarn to weavers (125)].

"That the demand under the head "Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to provide adequate power to weavers (126)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to make available dhoties and saries to the common people at controlled price (127)]

"That the demand under the head "Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure to supply yarn at controlled price to the weavers (128)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Failure of Government to purchase the cloth manufactured by Weavers (129)].

"That the demand under the head "Foreign Trade and Export Production" be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to nationalise cigarette industry (188)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to increase the export of mangoes and bananas (189)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to nationalise rubber gardens (190)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Need to increase the export of spices (191)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Need to increase the export of tea (192)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to modernise tea gardens (193)].

SHRI BHOGENDRA JHA (Madhubani): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to fully nationalise export and import trade of the country (139)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to nationalise all the textile mills of the country except those in the cottage industry sector (154)].

SHRI ERA MOHAN (Coimbatore): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 199."

[Unreasonable ban on the export of cotton seed oilcakes, issued on 4.12.79 (200)].

"That the demand under the head 'Foreign Trade and Export Production' be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Need for revising the system of imposing ban on export giving retrospective effect (201)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced to Rs. 100".

[Crisis in handloom industry on account of stagnation of Rs. 30 crores of handloom cloth in Tamil Nadu (202)].

"That the demand under the head Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced to Rs. 100".

[Need to save handloom weavers from starvation (203)].

"That the demand under the head 'Textiles, Handloom and Handicrafts' be reduced to Rs. 190".

[Crisis in handicrafts industry in Tamil Nadu for want of export market (204)].

SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHI
Bhubaneswar): I rise to support the
lemands for Grants for the Ministry
Commerce.

The external trade and commerce ire the most important aspects of our economic development. The export earnings in 1979-80 was about Rs. 3099.64 crores and the adverse trade balance increased to Rs. 2233 crores during the year marking a sharp international deterioration in our trade. Our import trade went upto Rs. 8231 crores in 1979-80. We were mainly importing crude oil, edible oils, fertilisers, machinery and equipment. The annual export earning of our country was about 27 per cent h the three years preceding 1977. But during the last three years it came down to about 6 per cent. This shows

was badly managed and the entire economy was put to such a difficult situation that from 27 per cent export earnings of the country, it came down to only 6 per cent. Therefore, it is a great task on the part of the new Government to restore the health of the economy in order to achieve this 27 per cent within a short period.

One thing which I would like to bring to the notice of the hon. Minister is that we cannot build up a self-reliant economy for our country on the basis of exports of raw materials only all the time.

And exports will be not of raw materials but manufactured goods. I think, that should be considered when we arrange our import and export policy. Here what we find is that in the sphere of steel and other machinery, the production has been so low that we have to consider how the production of these vital sectors pick up. The recent import policy which has been announced by the Government and the export promotion measures which have been listed in the Annual Report of the Ministry shows that the Government is bent upon improving the trade balance and also the production in the vital sectors of our economy which will help the growth of our export trade.

I wish to bring to the notice of the House how the MMTC which is one of the vital sectors of our export promotion so far as our economy is concerned, is working. The report shows that they have done a little well. But in my own State of Orissa, I see millions of tonnes of iron-ore lying at the pitheads. It does not move to the port. The Paradip port is going in loss because the ships come there but they cannot take this item. Simlarly, if you see the export earnings of different ports, you will find that they have also gone down. So far as steel and other vital sectors are concerned, we have to see how the production increases and we do not in[Shri Chintamani Panigrahi]

creases our imports. Therefore, MMT.C. has to do a little more.

#### 16.00 hrs.

119

So far as iron ore in Bihar in Orissa and in all these belts are concerned, well, they need to be given more facilities and more scope and all those iron ores which are lying in the pitheads must be cleared so that the mines are not closed.

As far as jute is concerned, I once again bring it to the notice of the hon. Minister. You find that the jute growers never get the price which has been fixed by the Government for jute. Because it is under the Jute Corporation and the Jute Corporation never goes to Orissa and looks after the difficulties of the farmers who solely depend on jute crop, they never get the remunerative price.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Is the Corporation prevented by any one?

SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHI: Sir, it is a very right question. There are so many business people who want to see that the Jute Corporation does not work at all. They do not allow the Jute Corporation to work. Here, I again want to bring to the notice of the hon. Minister that it is one of the oldest industries and it was bringing an amount of Rs. 300 crores as export earnings. Now it has gone down to Rs. 200 crores or something like that. Here the farmers who produce jute have to sell their produce long before the harvest. I do not know whether the same policy is pursued so far as cotton is concerned. You look at the policy so far as coton is concerned and you look at the policy, so far as jute is concerned. In the entire eastern India, I think millions of workers depend solely on this. I was looking to the Report of the Ministry of Commerce about jute. There is nothing there. It is only 5-6 lines about jute.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You may attach more importance to the substance than to the number of lines.

SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHI: I feel that the Commerce Ministry wants to deal with jute in five sentences with no substance. But I hope the Commerce Minister will look into it

I would like to mention here another two or three important points. One is about speculation in jute trade. There is this speculation in jute industry. I hope the speculation in other industries has been banned. But how is it that speculation in jute industry has not been banned? I hope the hon. Minister will look into it.

Now, let us look to the export promotion efforts. The jute industry which is the oldest industry has not been modernised. The Orissa Government wanted to set up three jute mills. In other jute growing areas also they wanted to set up jute mills. But for the last 10-15 years the Orissa Government pursuaded the Central Government to set up jute mills. Only very recently one jute mill has been set up and it has started functioning.

PROF. N. G. RANGA (Guntur):
After 10 years' efforts?

SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHT: Yes, after 10 years of effort. It is in the public sector. It is good that it has come in the public sector. They also want jute mills in other jute growing areas in the State because unless you have jute mills in those areas, the producers cannot get remunerative price. By setting up jute mills there will be more employment because you want to provide employment for one person in each family. So, I hope more incentives to the growers will be given so far as the jute industry is concerned.

No jute mill is able to import machinery to modernise itself because there is an import duty of 52 per cent on the same. Further, the capacity of the indigenous producers of machinery remains under-utilised for lack of orders. We have to build up the economy which has been completely shattered. Unless you go into the investments made in the nationalised sector, I do not know how we can improve our export trade also.

There have been complaints about the quality of the jute fibre. there is also no diversification in production. The hon. Minister comes from a jute-growing area where the people are suffering so much. The entire eastern zone is very much dependent on this industry. So, I hope these things will be looked into. So far as cotton is concerned, we know the incentives given by the Government, but what is the special incentive they are giving to jute for its development? The Report says nothing about it.

I find in Orissa the jute growers never get any credit from banks, they have to go to the moneylenders. Further, the traders do not want that the Jute Corporation should purchase the jute from the growers. These are the difficulties they are facing. So, the real policy should be to see that the village credit co-operative societics purchase jute directly from the primary growers, and then the apex cooperative credit societies should purchase it from them, so that the middleman, who wants to exploit the poor growers by paying them less, is eliminated. That aspect which has not been looked into requires attention.

I think the time has come when a high level committee should go into the working of the MMTC. Out of the total turnover of Rs. 1400 crores, about Rs. 900 crores relates to imports. If we want to build the country and have a self-reliant economy, should we not have more and more exports of manufactured goods? Manatma Gandhi and Dadabhai Naoroii complained about the drain of resources from India during the British period. Today the drain of resources from

India is much more than it was in those days when they complained against it. Therefore, the self-reliant economy which we are aiming at is being eroded because our efforts are not in the proper direction. Unless the investments made in the public sector and key industries are utilised to their fullest capacity, it will be a sheer wastage. More and more we depend on imports of those things that we are exporting in raw form to other countries. Take the case of iron ore; take the case of chrome. should we export ore itself? Why should we not manufacture and export finished goods?

These are vital matters which have to be taken into consideration. When we propose to have a kind of selfreliant economy, we should not depend more and more on other countries. Take the case of Soviet Union and China. They had to build up their economy by their own sheer strength, because of the strength of their own people. We have to do that. Today, China does not have to depend on borrowings from other countries. They have built up their economy from scratch. We have enough resources. We are having Rs 7000 crores to Rs. 8000 crores investment in the public sector. Even if we get 6 per cent return, it will be something like wonderful.

Our aim is to have a completely self-reliant economy. We should not go to other countries for begging or getting loans. When we are trying to recover or restore the health of our economy which had been lost during the last 3 years of the Janata rule, I think, a good beginning has been made. All the measures that have been adopted will help to recover or restore the health of the economy. I appeal to the hon. Minister to let us go into it a little more in depth and see that the efforts that we have made lead to such a good economy, a

[Shri Chintamani Panigrahi]

self-reliant economy. We have to achieve the aim of having a self-reliant economy and, I am sure, with our own confidence, we can build up such an economy and further develop our country. I support these demands.

PROF. P. J. KURIEN (Mavelikara): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I rise to oppose the demands relating to the Ministry of Commerce.

The Ministry of Commerce is to regularise trade and also to promote exports. But the picture presented in the Report of the Ministry of Commerce is not at all commendable. The rate of export in our country has decreased. There is only a sluggish rate of increas in exports. In 1978-79, it is only 5.9 per cent when compared with the high rate of increase in previous years. Even our share in the world trade has decreased. It was only 0.6 per cent in 1977-78; it was reduced further in 1978-79 and it will be further reduced this year. In the case of jute, coffee, cashew kernels and all such other items, our export earnings have decreased. Even in the case of items where export earnings have increased it is not due to the increased quantity exported but it is actually due to the rise in prices of the commodities in the international market.

All this shows that throughout these years, there is an actual decline in the exports of this country. It has been said here by some hon Members that it is due to the policy or non-policy of the Janata regime. I do not totally disagree with that view—partially agree and partially disagree.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You neither agree nor disagree.

PROF. P. J. KURIEN: I do not fully disagree. That is what I said. What I said is clear. I would only ask one thing. It is 6 months now since this Government has come into power. What has been done so far to

promote exports? It is also said that the decrease in exports is due to constraints in infrastructure, transport, coal and power. But what has been done to eradicate these bottlenecks? I do not see that anything has been done. There is a Minister of Commerce who is very efficient, but is there a proper policy, a sound policy of export-import in this country? If you examine the policies, there are commodities especially agricultural commodities, where the production in this country is in excess. There are commodities where there is acute shortage and there is a domestic demand in the country, but their export is continued, while for commodities where there is surplus production and there is no demand in the country import is continued and no export is done For example, let us take sugar. The price of sugar has risen to a Tevel which had never been reached before in this country. Yesterday it came in the papers that the price is Rs. 7-per kilo and more. The Congress (I), in their election campaign-it was an issue in the election campaign-promised the people that sugar will be made available at a reasonable rate. It is now six months since this Government came into power, and what has been done? The price of sugar has risen. Of course, there is shortage of production, but I fail to understand why the Minister the policy of export of continues sugar. In the Demand itself, Rs. 15 crores have been provided for giving subsidy, for export of sugar, to the Trading Corporation. That State means that we are going to continue export of sugar while there is acute shortage in this country. The poor people voted the Congress (I) into power and this is their reward! My point is, when there is actually shortage of sugar, what is the meaning of exporting sugar?

#### 16.17 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

Now, let us take the case of rubber and coco. It was already mentioned

here and I am not going to repeat it—that in regard to rubber and coco, there is a reversal of the policy—a sumersault. An Hon. Member here. said there is excess production. I do not say there is excess production but, from the very report of the Ministry and from statistics it is clear that, at present. this country is producing rubber to the tune of our demands. Our domestic demands can be met with the production here. What is the evidence? The evidence is that last year the STC imported 17000 tons of rubber and so far, if I am correct, they could not make sales of nearly 10,000 tons. Why is this so? It is because the calculation made by the Government is on the basis of the assumption that the factories in the country will work full hours, there will be production up to the maximum capacity, and there will not be any strike, power cut, etc. Thus the demand is calculated and an exaggerated gap is shown, and then import is made. And the poor growers in the country-what is their plight? I say this policy is detrimental to the interests of the nation itself because, if you continue to import rubber, the agriculturists will lose their enthusiasm and, naturally, they will go in for the cultivation of some other crops and the production of rubber in the country will further decrease, and then you will have to import more rubber, spending more from the foreign exchange reserves. Is this in the interests of the country? I would like to ask the Minister why the import of rubber is liberalised and there is free import.

Again, coming to cocoa, I can challenge the Minister that this country is producing more than its requirements. In 1977 Kerala alone was producing a thousand tons of cocoa beans. Now the annual production in Kerala is nearly 4000 tons, and what we imported during the last three years is 300 tons to 700 tons yearly. After all, what is the internal demand of cocoa? It is less than 2,000 tonnes, And the production in the country

is much more than that. Now what is the result of this? In 1977. cocoa growers were getting Rs. 15 per kilo of cocoa beans; in 1978 it was reduced to Rs. 10 And now what is the situation? The price is only Rs. 5 per kilo, and there is nobody to purchase. When in this country there is: on one to purchase the Cocoa heans produced by the agriculturists our country, this Governis importing cocoa beans There were a number of representations in this regard. I request the hon. Minister to declare that the import of cocoa beans will be banned. If he is not convinced, he can make a study of the internal production of cocoa beans, and then I am sure he will be convinced.

Everybody knows that the demands for cocoa products are increasing the world over. In the modern civilization in every home budget cocoa products are coming. That means, cocoa is having international demands. In Kerala and Karnataka and also insome parts of Tamil Nadu, without the necessity of having additional lands, cocoa beans can be cultivated because it is an inter-crop, and there is possibility of producing even 50,000 tonnes, or even more, of cocoa in this country. We can process it and export and thus earn foreign exchange. But there is no proper policy so far as cocoa is concerned. I would request the hon. Minister that we should think of a long range policy for cocoa because that is a commodity where, if proper encouragement is given, there can be growth of production to the extent we want and there can also be exports and we can earn foreign exchange for the country. Therefore, I would once again request that there should be a long range policy for cocoa, and encouragement should be given to the cocoa-growers. A commodity Board should be set up for cocoa on the lines of the Coffee Board. or Tea Board. A Cocoa Board should be set up to protect the interests of cocoa growers in the country and enable us to earn foreign exchange.

## [Prof. P. J. Kurien]

127

I would only say that in cocoa and rubber, there is excess production, but we are importing; and in sugar there is shortage, but we are exporting. That is why I have said that there is a somersault in the policy in respect of these commodities. I do not know why Is it because these things are produced in Kerala? Is the Minister having any special vengeance on the people of Kerala? I do not think so. I would like to inform the hon. Minister that cocoa is being produced in Karnataka also where a good number of people are going in for this cultivation and they are also requesting the Central Government to do something in this regard.

Coming to Cashew, because it was mentioned here, something should be said about it. It was said by an hon. Member that 5,000 tonnes of cashew are being imported into the country. I do not object to that. But I would When there is an ask one thing. agency in this country, a public sector agency to import cashew,—the officials are there and they are being paid—why should licence be given to a private party? That is what I want to know. When there is a public sector agency whose only business is to import, why is a private party allowed to import? When it was explained here by the hon. Member, it appeared to be very simple, but it is not so. Import of cashew by private parties is detrimental to the interests of two lakhs of cashew workers in the Kerala State. Only the cashew imported through the STC can be given to the factories where people are processing. I am not opposing our imports. What I mean to say is that the STC should import and no private party should do it. That is the policy of the Government of India and that was the policy which after much deliberation you accepted when Indiraji was in power and when you were also in the Cabinet. So why change it now? What happened has happened and I would request that every effort should be made to import raw cashew only

through STC and no private party should be allowed. It is the proper interest of the country I speaking about.

Another important point which want to mention is about the marine products. A major portion of our exports is in marine products but you know, so far we are not making a proper effort to use all our sea potential I am talking about deep sea fishing. Nothing is done in this field.

SUBRAMANIAM SWAMY DR. (Bombay North-East): Throw this government out.

PROF. P. J KURIEN: trawlers are poaching into our waters. Only two days ago I read in the newspapers that some Taiwanese trawlers were found fishing in our waters near Tuticorin and one of the trawlers was caught. This way our national wealth is being taken away by other countries. I would say that this is due to a lack of duty on the part of this government. I will say morally also you have failed in your duty if you do not give more attention to deep sea fishing and find out all avenues and try all means to promote our fishing industry. Sir, ours is an agricultural country and it is not easy to get employment for all the people agriculture. We have a vast sea coast which is not being utilised and the money allotted for marine exports, you will see, is very small. I would say this attitude should change and much more money should be allotted and marine products should be given the first priority though I am speaking about it in the last.

Further, we have quality control. You know, Sir, what happens. What is our quality control system? pre-shipment inspection is there. spite of all this, our marine products -the prawns were blacklisted in the United States; marine products worth about Rs. 20 crores were black-listed and rejected in USA because of the presence of some bacteria. I say there

is a lot of allegation also about these preshipment inspection people. I do not know what happened. It should not happen that our products become substandard in the international markets. The Minister should personally give his attention to the matter so that our exports are of the highest quality and our name in the trade field is not tarnished.

Further, I would also request that steps should be taken to not only see that foreign trawlers do not poach into our waters but also like other countries we should have our own trawlers. We should produce them here and we should spend more money in this regard because that would give more employment and that will give us more foreign exchange.

About handloom if I do not say a word....

DR. SUBRAMANIAM SWAMY: He will have trouble in his constituency.

PROF. P. J. KURIEN: Sir, there is a crisis in the handloom sector. You know the handloom people are on strike They are not getting yarn at cheaper prices. They are not getting the minimum wages and there is a strike. An hon, Member here raised the matter the other day under Rule 377. I hope your attention would have been drawn to that. I only request that steps should be taken immediately to see that yarn is supplied to them at (moderate costs and to save that industry from peril.

Sir, I have many more points to speak but for want of time, I will reserve them for some other occasion.

भी मल चन्द हाना (पाली) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, वाणिज्य मंत्रालय राष्ट्र की भर्य-व्यवस्था में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका भदा कर सकता है। अगर कोई मंत्रालय है जो देंन्न को भागे बढ़ा सकता है भीर वेन्न के भन्दर नई जिन्दगी दे सकता है तो वह कामर्स मंत्रालय है। लेकिन दुर्भाण्य है कि कार्मर्स मंत्रालय है। लेकिन दुर्भाण्य है कि कार्मर्स मंत्रालय जिस प्रकार से काम करता रहा है उसकी फैक्ट्स एण्ड फिगर्स मौजूद हैं। भायात निर्यात के मामले में जो 1979-80 की रिपौर्ट है उस में जो फिगर्स लिखी हैं उस से मालूम होता है कि 1976-77 के भन्दर हमारा एक्सपोर्ट 5142.25 करोड़ का था भीर इम्पोर्ट 1141 LS-5.

5002 करोड़ काथा। हुनारा \$8.46 का बैकेंस था। उस के बाद कीन सी सरकार आई, उस का तो नाम नहीं लेना चाहिए, उस के झानें के बाद तो वाटा ही वाटा बराबर नजर ग्रा रहा है। वह बाटा हुआ 1062 करीड़ का फिर हुआ 1081 करीड़ का। बड़ी कंची कंची बातें मैं सुनता या जनता पार्टी की। यह तो प्रच्छा हुआ कि तीन साल में वह बले **१ए । तीन साम में हम बहुत यरीब क्विति में पहुंच** नए । सीमेंद नहीं, स्टील नहीं, परबर नहीं, सनेल नहीं। भच्छा हुआ तीन साल में वह राज चना गया नहीं तो मेरे ख्याल से हिन्दुस्तान का और बुरा हाल होता। इस प्रकार की उनकी निर्यात को नीति थी। भाप देखें निर्यात में कहां तक नुकसान नहीं हुआ ? जो हमारे ट्रेडीजनल ग्राइटम्स ये उन के ग्रन्दर भी हमें नुकसान हुआ । फुटवियर के अन्दर 80 परसेंट घाटा रहा। कारपेट जो हाथ से बनती है मौर गहुत ग्रन्छी बनती है, उस के ग्रन्दर भी हमें नुकसान रहा, माइनस 4 उस में रहा । टी के गन्दर भी 70 परसेंट का हमें लास रहा। इस प्रकार जो ट्रेडीशनल भाइटम्स थे उन में भी हमें लास रहा। इसका कारण यह था कि जो भी नीतियां उस के सप्म्बन्ध में रहीं उससे प्रोडझ्शन पर झसर पड़ा। हमारे यहां उत्पादन में कमी झाई। जो बेसिक चीजें हैं वह भी हमें मंगानी पड़ती हैं। राज-स्थान में ही नहीं, भीर भी प्रदेशों में इतना मैटीरियल है कि हम काफी सीमेंट बना सकते हैं लेकिन हमारे यहां सीमेंट की कमी है। पावर की शार्टेज होने के कारण भीर चीजों में भी उत्पादन नहीं हो पाना। कुछ सालों के पहले हम ने स्टील को एक्सपोर्ट किया या भाज स्टील भी हमें इम्पीट करनी पड़ती है। कितनी ही वेराइटीज हैं स्टील की। प्रभी एक सज्जन कह रहेथे कि हमें वे चीजें एक्सपोर्ट नहीं करनी चाहिएं जिन की हमें जरूरत है। मैं तो समझता हूं कि ध्यापार के लिए धगर मार्किट ढूंढना है तो किसी कंट्री के माकिट में चले जायं, उस केंद्री में घगर हम ने लगातार प्रपनी चीजों को एक्सपोर्ट नहीं किया, एक बार सरप्लस हुआ तो शुगर एक्सपोर्ट कर दिया, दूसरी बार शुगर कम हुई तो एक्सपोर्ट बन्द कर दिया, ऐसा करेंगे तो हमारे हाथ से वह मार्केट चली जाएगी। बड़ी मुश्किल से हम बाजार ढूंढते हैं, उसको यो हाथ से नहीं निकल जाने देना चाहिए। हिन्दुस्तान को अपनी आर्थिक हालत सुधारने के लिए उन चीजों का उत्पादन करना होगा भौर यह केवल वाणिज्य मंत्रालय नहीं कर सकता, जब तक कि इण्डस्ट्री विभाग भीर दूसरे सारे विभागों का कोम्राडिनेंशन न हो, यह काम नहीं हो सकता। निर्यात के मामले को हम एक राष्ट्रीय समस्याबनाकर लें तव हमारा काम चलेगा । लेकिन केवल कामर्स डिपार्टमेक्ट क्या कर सकेगा जब कि उत्पादन ही नहीं होगा आज हम सीमेंट इम्पोर्ट कर रहे हैं। हमें यहां पर मिनी सीमेंट प्लाम्ट लगानें चाहिए । हमारे यहां कोयला है इस लिए धर्मल पावर स्टेशंस बनाने चाहिए एटामिक पावर स्टेशंस से विजली पैदा की जा रही है। तो हमारे एक्सपोर्ट तभी बढ़ सकते हैं जब कि हमारे देश में उत्पादन बढ़े। नेकिन हमारे यहां उत्पादन बढ़ा नहीं क्योंकि गलत नीतियां 📢 ।

(भी मूल चन्द डागा)

जनता पार्टी ने जो एक नीति निकासी थी वह मैं माएके सामव रखना चाहता हूं। मिनिस्टर माफ इण्डस्ट्रीज ने लोक सभा में स्टेटमेण्ट दिया:

Statement by the Minister of Industry in the Lok Sabha on 7th August, 1978 regarding textile policy. जो रेलिवेण्ट पोर्शन है उसी को मैं पढ़ना चाहता हूं उन्होंने कहा

"No increase in seaving capacity in future be allowed in the organised sector."

हमारे यहा कन्ट्रोंत क्ताय का प्रोडक्झन होता था। उन्होंने कहा:

"The existing unauthorised power-looms would be registered and regularised on payment of a deterrent penalty .... Powerloom capacity would also not be allowed to increase Legislation would be introduced to prevent the growth of powerlooms."

इसका क्या मतलब है ? घाप चाहते थे कि हमारे यहां टेक्सटाइल इण्डस्ट्री जो प्रोग्नेंस कर रही है उसको रोक दिया जाए । ग्राज हांगकांग ग्रीर कोरिया का कपड़ा यूरोपियन कनद्रीज में ग्रीर नार्थ ग्रमेरिकन कनद्रीज में बिक रहा है तो हमारी टेक्सटाइल इण्डस्ट्री भी प्रोग्नेस कर सकती है । ग्रगर हम चाहते हैं कि हैण्डलूम इण्डस्ट्री बढ़े तो वह केरल में ग्रीर कई दूसरे प्रदेशों में बढ सकती है । हमारे देश में देशीं में बढ सकती है । हमारे देश में देशीं में बढ सकती है । हमारे देश में देशीं में कुछ एसे हिस्से है जहां पर हैण्डलूम इण्डस्ट्री पनप सकती है । लेकिन क्या हैण्डलूम ग्रीर पावरलूम इण्डस्ट्री इस देश की सारी समस्याग्रों का निराकरण कर देगी ?, हमारी जितनी मांग है उसकी पूर्ति कर देगी ?

कुछ दिन पहले जयपुर में एक सम्मेलन हुन्ना था। टेक्सटाइल इण्डस्ट्री में हम कुछ मागे बढ़े थे भीर कुछ एक्सपोर्ट करन लगे थे लेकिन जनता पार्टी ने नीति बनाई कि हम पावरलूम को रेग्युलराइज करना चाहते हैं। मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि महाराष्ट्र, ग्जरात भीर राजस्थान में लोग मनम्रथाराइज्ड रूप से पावरलूम बलाते हैं भीर रजिस्टर नहीं करते हैं — सरकार इस बात को बाहें जानती हो या न जानती हो। लोगों को कण्ट्रोल क्लाध सही कीमत पर नहीं मिल रहा है क्योंकि भापने उस पर रोक लगा दी। इसकी जरूरत नहीं बी, जरूरत तो इस बात की थी कि टेसटाइल इण्डस्ट्री जो डेक्लप कर रहीं थी, जो उसकी टेक्ना-लोजी डेक्लप हो ्री उसको मदद दी जाती।

मिलें जो कब्द्रोल कपड़ा बनाती है उसकी कीमत 4 रूपये प्रति मीटर होती है और उस पर करीब 2 रूपये 40 पैसे आप सम्सीडी देते हैं । हम सब्सिडी देने कें बाद क्या यह चाहते हैं कि हमारा टैक्सटाइल भी कम हो जाए। उन्होंने कह दिया कि बीविंग में इन्प्रूवमेंट नहीं किया जाएगा पावरलूम नहीं बढ़ाए जायेंगे— यह कोई नीति थी। इनको यह कहना चाहिए था कि उनका विकास होना चाहिए पावरलूम भी बढ़ना चाहिए। अगर अर्ज हैण्डलूम में तरक्की हो तो हम कब कहते हैं कि हैण्डलूम में तरक्की न हो।

म्राज कई जुलाहे है जो यह चाहते हैं कि उनको लोन मिल जाए। जब वे सरकार के पास जाते हैं। तो सरकार कहती है कि हम -कोग्रा। परेटिव को लोन देते हैं किसी एक को नहीं। गांवों में कई **छोटे** छोटे लोग हैं जी घरों मे इन्डस्ट्रीज लगाते हैं हैण्डलुम चलाते है लेकिन उनकी लोन नही मिलता है। उन लोगो को बड़े बड़े मनीलैण्डर्स से ब्याज पर म्पया लेकर कॉम करता पड़ता है लेकिन आप उन लोगों की लोन नहीं दे पाते। इस पर हमारी कई कमेटीज ने सिफारिश की है कि गवर्नमेंट को पावरलुम भी बढ़ाना चाहि मीर हैण्डलुम को भी बढ़ाना चाहिए । लेकिन जनता पार्टी ने प्रगस्त 1978 में एक पालिसी निकाली उस पालिसी के दो झासपैक्ट में ने ग्रभी आपके सामनें पढ़े। इस पालिसी का इप्सर यह हुआ। 🕫 जो टैक्सटाइल में बढ़ना चाहते थे हम नही बढ़ सके हम।रे बूलन पर रोक लगा दी । सरकार बद**लने** के बाद जो इस प्रकार की नीति निकाली जाती है यह अचित नहीं है। उस सरकार को चाहिए था कि उसी लाइन पर चलती जिस लाइन पर पहले चल रहे थें लेकिन उन्होने कुछन कुछ तो बदलनः ही था । मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि इस प्रकार की जो नीति है उस नीति को बदल दीजिए। जनता पार्टी ने जो धगस्त 1978 मे एक स्टेट पालिसी निकाली है उसमें रददोबदल की भावश्यकता है यदि भाप हिन्तुस्तान की प्रगती करना चाहते हैं।

ग्रभी मुझमें पूर्व वक्ता बोल रहे चैंकि यदि हमारे यहा चीनी की कन्ज्रम्पशन होती है तो हमे एक्सपोर्ट नहीं करना चाहिए । मैं कहता हूँ एक्सपोर्ट क्यों नहीं करे ? ग्रगर हमको बाहर ज्यादा पैसा मिलता है तो मैं तो यह कहूंगा कि हिन्दुस्तान में ज्यादा गन्ना पैदा करो गन्ने की कीमत बढ़ाकर किसान को प्रोत्साहिन करो ग्रीर ज्यादा से ज्यादा एक्सपोर्ट करो । किसी भी चीज के लिये एक्सपोर्ट की मार्केट बूंढने मे समय लगता है मार्केट ढ़ंढ़ना ग्रासान काम नहीं है कि ग्राज मार्केट ढूंढ़ ली ग्रीर माल बिकने लगा । किसी बजह से दो साल बाद माल बेचना बन्द कर दिया, तो उस मुक्क से हम ग्रलग हो जाते हैं ग्रीर वह मार्केट हमारे हाथ से निकल जाती है।

मैं एक बात भीर कहना चाहता हैं -- माज हम लोग क्या इम्पोर्ट करना चाहते हैं ? हमने एक आईर सोयाबीन की मशीन इम्पोर्ट करने के लिये दिया या अविक वह मशीन हमारे यहां भी बनती है। ऐसी चीजों पर हमको अपना रुपया नहीं लगाना चाहिये । हमको उन चीजों को इम्पोर्ट करना चाहिये जिनके बिना हमारा काम नहीं चल सकता। जैसे सीमेंट है, सीमेंट हम पैदा कर सकते हैं, ' सके उत्पादन को बढ़ा दें तो हमें सीमेंट इस्पोर्ट करने की आवश्यकता नहीं रह जायती । लैंकिन दिक्कत यह है कि हमारे यहां सीमेंट भी पर्याप्त नहीं हो पाता । आज कल हम स्टील भी इम्पोर्ट कर रहे हैं। हमको प्रथना उत्पादन बढाने की कोशिश करनी चाहिये, जिसले जी चीचें हम यहां उत्पादित कर मकते हैं, उनको बाहर से न मंगीना पड़े।

म्राखिरी बात में यह कहना च हता है कि अरब कंट्रीज के पास तेल बहुत होने से उनके पास धन बहुन इक्ट्ठा हो गया है। वे उस पैसे से बहुत बड़े-बड़े प्रोजेक्ट्स लगा रहे हैं, हास्पिटरत ग्रौर रोइस का विकास कर रहे हैं। मिडिल-ईस्ट मे भाज भी हमारे बहुत लोग काम कर रहे हैं, उससे हमें बहुत बड़ा फारेन एक्सवेज मिल रहा है। इस संबंध में में यह कहना चाहता हूं कि हमारे जो अदिनी मिडिल-ईस्ट में कॉम करते हैं, हमारे जो, इंजीनियर्स काम करते हैं, उन्हें हमें प्रोत्साहन देना बाहिए उनकी सुविधामों का भी हमें ख्याल रखना चाहिए, ताकि हैंने उनसे फारेन एक्पवेंग मिलता रहे। जहां जहां हमारे इजीनियसं उन कन्ट्रीज में काम कर रहे हैं, हमारे लोगों को उन कर्न्द्रीण में जाकर बात करनी चाहिए ताकि ज्यादा से ज्यादा लोग बहां जाँय ग्रीर हमें ज्यादा फायदा हो सके।

मैं मती महोदय से यह भी कहन। चाहता हूं कि हमें उन मशीनों को नहीं मंगाना चिहिये, जिन मशीनों के कारण हमारी लेबर कम हो जाये। हा, टैक्नोलौजी हासिल करने के लिये यदि कोई मशीन मंगानी पड़ती है तो वह भी हमें मंगानी चाहिए, लेकिन यदि हमारा खुद का देसिक इ न्का-स्ट्रेक्चर नहीं होगा तो हम प्रपनी एक्सपोर्ट पिलिसी में सक्सैसफ्ल नहीं हो सकते। इमलिये जो हमारी उत्पादन बढ़ाने की शक्ति है उसमें सबका कोग्नाड़िनेशन होना चाहिये।

एक बात ग्रीर कहना चाहता हूं--हमारे यहां से जो माल बाहर जाता है, कुछ दिन तक तो क्वालिटी ठीक जाती है लेकिन शोड़े दिनों के बाद क्वालिटी घट जाती हैं। यह तरीका भी क्वालिटि है। हमारा बहुत गलत नियंत्रण होना चाहिये । पैकेॉिंजग को तरीका भी हमारे यहां ठीक नहीं है। जब कोई चीज बाजार में जाती है तो खरीदार सबसे पहले उसके पैकेज को देखता है, किस प्रकार से उस जीज को रखा हुआ है, उसके बाद माल को देखता है। प्रगर माल सुनियोजित इंग से रावा हुआ है तो लोग उसको ज्यादा पसन्द करते हैं। इसलिये मेरा कहना है कि हमें इस तरफ़ भी ध्यान देना चाहिये ताकि हमारा व्यापार बढ़ सके।

श्री रामिनतास यासवाल (हाजीपुर): उपा-ध्यक महोषयम भंभी हमारे मिल्ल डागा साइव ने सरकार की नीति के सम्बंध में कहा, मैं अपनी बात गुरू करने से पहले उन से एक बात कहना चाहता हूं। भाष जरा देहातों में जाइये। चुनाव के पहले नारा लगता था—

जाति पर न पाति पर, इन्दिरा जी की बात पर । माज क्या नारा लगता है—

जाति पर न पाति पर, इन्विरा जी की बात पर। चीनी मिले 7 पर, डीजल मिले 8 पर **ग्रीर कुछ दिनों के बाद मुर्दा जायगा घाट पर ।** इस लिये यह बात मत कहिये कि नीति क्या है। मैं आप की रिपोर्ट को देखा रहा था। ग्राज भी थ्राप जो इलेम सरकार पर डालना चाहते ह, वह 1977 के बाद से डालना चाहते हैं, यानी उस समय की हमारी सरकार पर डालना चाहते हैं लेकिन 1977 के पहले की रिपोर्ट भी पड़ी हुई है, बड़ी मोटी किताब है भीर 1974 के पहले की रिपोर्ट भी पड़ी हुई है, तीन सालों की रिपे:र्ट पड़ी हुई है। हम भी जब उधर थे, यही बात कहते थे कि यह सब 30 साल के कांग्रेस के कुशासनका वरिणाम है। इसलिए मैं समझता हं - जब तक कोई बुनियादी परिवर्तन की बात हम लोग नहीं करेंगे, जब तक कोई ऐसा काम नहीं करेंगे जो राष्ट्र के लिये, देश के विकास के लिय प्रच्छा हो, तब तक इन भारोप-प्रत्यारोपों में ही सदन का भौर देश का समय जायेगा, इसका कोई लाभ नही निकलेगा।

ग्रब में सर्वे प्रथम भापका थ्यान इस रिपोर्ट के "निर्यात संवर्धन" चेंप्टर की ग्रोर खीचना चाहता हूं। निर्यात संबर्धन पर 1979-80 में 358 करोड़ रुपये व्यय किये गये है। किस रूप में खर्च किये गये---केंश-सन्सिडी, एक्सपोर्ट केडिट ग्रीर दूसरे साधनों में खर्च किये गये। इस संबंध में मैंने इसी सदन में एक प्रश्न पूछा या कि वेकौन से निर्यातक है, जिन्हें पिछले पांच वर्षों में 10 लाख हपये से प्रधिक की सहायता दी गई तथा वे किन-किन घरानों से संबंधित थे। जबाब क्या मिला--इस व्यय का कोई निश्चित हिसाब नहीं रखा जाता । द्याप जरा सोचिये---हम पालियामेंट के नेम्बर्स हैं, मिनिस्टर्स हैं, यदि हमको सैलरी ड्रा करनी होती है तो दस-पांच जगह दस्तखत करने पड़तें हैं, तब हम लोगों को रुपया मिलता है । जहां 10-10 लाख रुपया दे रहे हैं---एक-एक निर्यातक को, उसके बाद कहते हैं कि उसका कोई हिसाब-किताब नही रखा जाता । यह मेरे सवाल का जवाब है। फिर मैंन सप्लीमेंट्री सवाल पूछा, पी०ए०सी०की रिपोर्ट को देखिये । उसनें कहा है कि निर्यात संबर्धन सहायता के व्यय का सही हिमाब नहीं रखा जाता है। उसने भी इनकी ग्रालोचना की की है। जब भाप किसी जीज के लिये सहायता देते हैं, किसी निर्यातक को पैसा बते है तो उस में उसका भीर भापका कोई दायित्व है या नहीं ?

## [बी रामबिलास नासवान]

135

बाय किसी वस्तु की निर्वात सहावता इसलिये येते है कि उस बस्तु के निर्माण में मुखि हो। न्नाप यह देखें कि बस्तुओं का निर्वात बढ़ें, इसके निए ज्ञाप पैसा देते हैं, जीर बहुत सी ऐसी बस्तुएं हैं, जिनमें निर्यात सहायता में 50 प्रतिशत से 90 प्रतिशत तक की वृद्धि प्रापने की है लेकिन उन बस्तुयों के निर्यात में जो बृद्धि होती है, वह केवल 10 प्रतिशत की ही होती है। सहायता के रूप में पैसे देने का जो मामला है उसमें तो 90 प्रतिज्ञत वृद्धि होती है लेकिन निर्यात में केवल 10 प्रतिशत की ही बृद्धि होती है और कुछ वस्तुओं के मामसे में तो जो बास्तविक निर्यात है, उसमें भी कमी था गई है। इसलिए नेरा कहना वह है कि जब तक भाग इन सारी बीजों के बारे में, भपनी पालिसी, नीति के बारे में पुनर्विचार नहीं करेंगे, तब तक इस समस्या का निदान नहीं होने वाला है।

भव भाष एस० टी० सी० की ही बात ले लें। हम लोग बहुत गालियां देते है कि प्राइवेट मोनो-पली है भौर उसमें वे लोग बहुत ज्यादा मुनाफ़ा कमाते है भीर यही कहते है कि सारे का सारा मुनाफ़ा वेलांग कमा रहे हैं लेकिन भ्रापका जो एस० टी० सी० है, वह क्या कर रहा है। भाज भाग यह देखिये कि एस० टी० सी० का एकाधिकार 20 वस्तुओं के कायात-निर्यात पर है, बिल्कुल एकाधिकार है । इसके भी दो पहलू हैं। एक तो यह है कि एका-धिकार है धायात निर्यात का, पूरा बिजनेस बह करते हैं और दूसरा पहलू हैं पंजीकरण का जो निर्यातकों का होता है। जो निर्यातक होते हैं उनको रजिस्ट्री करवानी पड़ती है। भगर प्राइवेट वाला निर्यात करता है, तो उसको निर्यात करने के लिए पहले एस०टी० सी० में जाकर भपना नाम दर्ज कराना पड़ेगा ग्रौर इसके लिए उसको कुछ परसेंटेज देनी पड़ती है, कुछ राशि होती है जिसका भुगतान करना पड़ता है । इस तरह से कुछ वस्तुग्रों का पूर्णतया भाषात-निर्यात करना भीर दूसरा प्राइवेट वालों को निर्यात करने के लिए पंजीकृत करवाना है, इन दोनों को ग्रगर मिलाया जाए. तो यह कुल बिजनैस का 80 पर सेंट हो जाता है बल्कि उससे कुछ ज्यादा ही यह बैठता है। मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि मोनोपोली कमीशन को छोड़कर एस०टी० सी० का जो स्वयं का द्यायात-निर्यात है, भीर जो उसका विजनैस है, इन दोनों को भगर घटा दिया जाए, तो 20 पर सेन्ट बिजनैस ही रह जाता है। एक चीज घौर इस संबंध में कहना चाहता हूं भीर वह प्रशासनिक व्यय के बारे में है। यह कार्पोरेशन सबसे ज्यादा प्रशासनिक व्यय कर रहा हैं प्रशासन के ऊपर भीर मैं तो कहुंगा कि यह व्यय नहीं है बल्कि यह प्रपत्यय है। आप के जितने ये कार्पीरेशन्स हैं, उन में एक यह विद्याबन गया है कि एक होड़ सी लगी रहती है विदेशों में जाने की । ऐसे-ऐसे डिपार्टमेंट हैं **जहां प्रभी से यह** लिस्ट बन गई है कि सन् 1982 लक कौन-कौन मफसर बाहर जाएगा । वे निर्यात

में वृद्धि करने के लिए तो क्या चाते है सस्कि विदेशों में अगण करने के लिए ही जाते हैं।

मणी हमारे एक साबी के स्वर के बारे के नहा। प्रापके यहां उत्तर की ककी नहीं है कीर 1979-80 में रबर का उत्पादन इमोड़ा हो गया है लेकिन मैं नहीं संगञ्ज पाया कि फिर जी रबर की कमी क्यों हो वर्द। मैं ऐसा समझता हुंकि रहार के जो उत्पादक हैं, वे सरकार से साठ-गाठ करके बढ़ा-बढ़ा कर दाम से लेते हैं। सरकार से बे कहते हैं कि देखिये हमारा स्टाक सड़ रहा है और इम क्या करें और फिर उसी रवर को बाहर निर्यात करके ब्रिक्षिक मुनाफा कमा लेते हैं। पिछले वर्ष जो रदर का भाषात किया गया था, वह षटिया रबर या ग्रीर वह ग्रायात किया हुना रबर बन्दरगाह पर पड़ा सड़ रहा है, ग्रभी भी सड़ रहा है। इसलिए मैं मंत्री महोदय से ग्राग्रह करूंगा कि बाप यह देखें कि जो बापकी बुनियादी नीति है, उसमें कही कोई खामी तो नहीं है। जो उसमें कमियां हैं, उनको दूर करने की ग्रापकोशिक कीजिए।

मापके यहां टी॰ ही॰ ए॰ है यानी ट्रेड डेबलपबेंट माथोरिटी है। सब मगर कोई निर्यातक है और बह उस ट्रेड डेबलपमेंट माथोरिटी के पास जाता है भीर उस को भगर कोई जानकारी हासिस करनी है, तो उससे फार्म भरवाया जाएगा और मेम्बर उसको बनाया जाएगा लेकिन सुविधा उसको क्या मिलेंगी? सुविधा कोई नहीं दी जाती है भीर यहां भी वही बात चलती है जैसा कि मैंने पहले जिक किया है। टी॰ डी॰ए॰ में जो मफसर लोग हैं, उनको हमेशा यही चिन्ता रहती है कि कहां-कहां भीर कब-कब जाना है भीर घूम कर माना है। देंश के माधिक विकास के लिए क्या-क्या करना चाहिए, इसकी उनको चिन्ता नहीं है। चिन्ता हैं तो इस बात की कि विदेशों में कितनी बार जाएं भीर कैसे घूमें।

एक भापके बहां एम० एम० टी० सी० है।
मैंटल एण्ड मिनरल ट्रेडिंग कारपोरेशन। यह कारपोरेशन धातुओं का ब्यापार करती है। इसका
प्रशासन व्यय इतना है कि जब पैसे की जहरत
होती है तो जो सामान बाहर जा कर बेचना चाहिए
उसी को 50 से 25 परसेंट कम दाम में भपने
बर में बेचना शुरू कर देती है।

अभी हमारे साथी ने कहा कि चाय का मामला है। ग्राज नार्थ ईस्टर्न रीजन की जो हालत है उसमें मैं मजी महोदय से पूछता हूं कि क्या आपने चाय के लिए कोई ग्राल्टरनेटिव जैन खोजा है। ग्रसम का मामसा बढ़ रहा है। क्या आपने पता लगाया है कि चाय के निर्यात से जो ग्राप 50 साल से विदेशी मुद्रा

प्राचित कर रहे हैं, प्रवंद किसी कारण से वहां विस्टरवेंस ही बाएं घीर वहां से बाव बाव नियति न कर संबं तो हिन्दुस्तान में भीर दूसरे कीन से बोलं हैं जहां से बाव वैदा कर प्राप निर्यात कर सकें?

137

MOHAN DEV SHRI SANTOSH (Silchar): During the Janata rule, you imposed an export duty of Rs. 5/- on tea, which has killed the tea industry. Now you are shedding crocodile tears.

भी रामविमास पासवान : भापका उत्तरप्रवेश है, तिमाचल प्रदेश है। इनके पहाड़ी इलाके हैं। आप वहां सर्वेक्षण करवाइये, ज्ञाप बोज कराइये कि वहां चाय का उत्पादन हो सकता है या नहीं। भ्राप एक जगह पर ही जहां सम्यदा है, जहां स्रोत हैं निर्मर रहते हैं। प्रापका कर्तव्य हो जाता है कि प्राप दूसरे स्थानों पर भी स्नीतों का पता लगाएँ।

में हाजीपुर से घाता हूं। हाजीपुर भीर बैशाली में सब से ज्यादा तम्बाक् की खेती होती है। भाप तो यहां पिछली सरकार की मालोचना करते हैं लेकिन हम लोगों के यहां जाइये वहां लोग पिछली सरकार को देवता के रूप में मानते है। वह पिछली सरकार वी जिसने तम्बाक् पर से एक्साइज डयूटी हटाबी । नहीं तो पहले इंस्पेक्टर को पैसा न देने पर वह ए ग्रेड का तम्बाकू बी ग्रेड का कर देता था। उस समय तम्बाकू की खेतीं में जला दिया जाता था। किसान को बड़ी परेशानी होती थी। पिछली सरकार ने यकायक एक्साइज डयुटी खत्म कर दी । हमारे यहां बहुत मात्रा में तम्बाक् होता है, केला भी होत। है। ग्रगर चाहेतो सरकार रिसर्च करा कर तम्बाक का विकास कर सकती है भीर उससे विदेशी मुद्रा कमा सकती है। हमारे यहां प्रच्छे से प्रच्छा केला पैदा होता है। उसके बारें में भी रिसर्च करायी जाए और उसका पाउडर बगैरह बनवाया जाए । भौर बहुत सारी चीजे बनायी जा सकती हैं

इसलिए हम लोगों को चाहिए कि सर्वेक्षण बगैरह कराते रहें। इसको किताब पर ही नहीं बल्कि वास्तविकता में कराना चाहिए जिससे सही मायनों में देश का उत्थान हो सके । नयी नयी रिसर्च हमें करनी चाहिए भीर नयी नयी चीजे पैदा करनी चाहिएं।

घणी मैं सुशीला जी को सुन रहा था । वे कह रही थीं कि केरल में कोको का मामला है। कोको का भ्राप भ्रायात करते हैं भ्रायात करने पर इसका दाम म्राप बीस रुपये किलो देते हैं। दूसरी भीर केरल के किसान को कोको का पालन पीचण करने में पांच साल लगते है और उनकी कोको को भाप बहुराष्ट्रीय कम्पनियों के मुह में झोंक देते हैं। उसका दाम उनको पांच रुपये, सात रुपये किलो मिलता है । विदेश से मंगायेंगे तो आप बीस रुपये

किलो देंगे और उसी को अवर घर में वैदा की जिएवा तो पांच या सात रुपये किलो दीजियेगा । सुसीला जी ने ठीक कहा कि भाग इसके भागात पर क्यों नहीं रोक लगाते ? यह जरूरी है। जब तक भाप ऐसा नहीं करेंगे यहां के किसान की फार्क्स

रवड़ के सम्बंध में मैंने कहा कि रबड़ का एक्स इन भी बढ़ना चाहिए प्रायात धीर निर्वात नीति 🖣 सम्बंध में भगर किसी साल में पांच करोड़, दक्ष करोड़ या पचास-सौ करोड़ रुपये का घाटा भी हों आए तो उससे कोई भी देश का विकास दकने वाला नहीं है क्योंकि अपने देश का निर्यात और आधात विदेशों की उथल-पथल पर भी निर्भर करता है। जब फीरन मार्केट में उथल-पुथल होती है ती उसका प्रभाव भाषकी मार्केट पर भी पड़ता है। इसलिए बाप बपने प्रशासन की सुदृढ़ कीजिए, भाप भपने प्रशासन में फिजुलबर्बी पर रोक लगाइबे यह किसी एक पार्टी का मामला नहीं है। विदेशी से माप प्रधिक से प्रधिक मुद्रा लाएं इसके लिए सभी भापकी प्रशंसा करेंगे भीर सभी पार्टी चाहेंगी कि ऐसा हो। कोई ऐसी पार्टी नहीं है जिसकी नीयत में खामी हो, नीति में खामी हो सकती है। लेकिन नियत में सभी लोग चाहते हैं कि हमारे देश में प्रधिक से प्रधिक विदेशी मुद्रा प्रावे इसके लिए सरकार को स्वयं प्रपनी नीति बनानी पड़ेगी।

ये जो बड़े बड़े कैपिटलिस्ट लोग हैं जिल्होंने सभी जगह भपने हाथ पांव फैलाए हुए हैं, सभी बीजों पर भ्रपना बंगुल अमाया हुमा है भीर जो सरकार के दाए बाए धूमते रहते हैं, जब तक आप इनकी भीर बारीक निगाह से ध्यान नहीं देगें उनकी गतिविधियों की बारीकी से जांच नहीं करेंगे तब तक न भ्रापका भला होगा भौर न ही देश कां होगा । तब तक प्रच्छे परिणाम निकलने की प्राज्ञा भी नहीं की जा सकती है।

17 hrs.

श्री गंशाधर एस० कुचन (भौलापुर):उपाध्यक महोदय, वाणिज्य मंत्रालय द्वारा 1980-81 के लिए सामान्य बजट के सम्बंध में, जो प्रनुदानों की मांमे चादरणीय वाणिज्य मंत्री जी ने सदन के सामने **चर्चा** तथा मतदान के लिए प्रस्तुत की है मैं उनका समर्थन करता हं भीर समर्थन करते हुए कुछ मांगों पर भपने विचार प्रस्तुत करना चाहता हूं, कुछ घटनाश्रों के ऊपर मंत्रालय का ध्यान माकषित करना चाहता हूं।

मान्यवर, मैं हैंडलूम बीवरस कम्युनिटी का है। इसलिए हचकरेंचा भीर कपड़ा उद्योग में मेरी ज्यादा दिल्चस्पी है। मतः इन्ही बातों का जिक में खास तीर पर करूंना ! कृषि के बाद सबसे प्रधिक रोजगार प्रदान करने की क्षमता इसी उद्योग में है। मगर दुर्भाग्यवंश इस उद्योग की तरककी ध्रभी तक नहीं हुई है। इसके लिए सरकार ने ग्रभी तक कुछ नहीं किया है, ऐसा मेरा कहना नहीं है। मगर बड़ी की किस के बावजूद

# ब्री गंनाधर एस० क्षम]

हथकरमा उद्योग दिन-ब-दिन ग्रवनति की ग्रीर ही जा रहा है। इसका बुरा असर ग्रामोधीनी पर पड़ रहा है। इस क्षेत्र में बेरोजगारी बढ़ती चली जा रही है। इसका दोव में किस को दूं, यह मेरी समझ में नहीं बाता । मैं यह समझता हूं कि इस उद्घीय का पुनर्म्ल्यांकन होना चाहिये। भारत में 35 लाख हबकरचे स्वापित है, ऐसा कहा जाता है। घगर मेरा ख्याल है कि यह आंकड़ा बहुत पुराना है। भ्रगर पता लगाया जाए तो भ्रापको पता चलेगा कि इससे कहीं ज्यादा हथकरघे भारत में है। इस उद्योग का भविष्य प्रधकारमय विद्याई देने की बजह से इसकी भीर नई पीढ़ी भाकृष्ट नही हो पा रहीं है। इसलिए मैं समझता हूं कि नई पीढ़ी को इस उद्योग में जुटाने का हर सम्भव प्रयास किया जाना चाहिए बीस सूती कार्यंक्रम में खास कर इस उद्योग की उन्नति के लिए पग उठाने की बात कही गई थी भीर कारगार उपाय करने का भी निश्चय किया गया था। इसलिए मैं भ्रपने भादरणीय नेता श्रीमती इंदिरा गाभी जी के प्रति इस ग्रामोद्योगकी कोर से कृतज्ञता प्रकट करता हूं।

मान्यवर, मादरणीय वित्त मंत्री जी ने राष्ट्रीय स्तर पर एक हथकरचा विकास निगम की स्थापना करने का प्रस्ताव किया है भीर उत्तर पूर्वी क्षेत्र में हयकरचा प्रायोगिक संस्था स्थापित करने का भी संकल्प किया है । इसका मैं स्वागत करता हूं ग्रीर उनका बहुत ग्रामार मानता हू। इसके लिए जो राशि इस वर्ष निश्चित की गई है वह बहुत कम है ग्रगर इस निगम ग्रीर संस्था को कारगर ढंग से चलाना है भीर सफल बनाना है तो ही इसको ज्यादा ताकतवर बनीना पड़ेगा । इस निगम का गठन जल्दी से जल्दी किया जाना चाहिये श्रीर बोर्ड भ्राफ डायरेक्टर्ज पर इसी क्षेत्र मे काम करने वालो ग्रौर हाथकरवा उद्योग की समस्याद्यों की सही जानकारी रखने वालो को ही नियुक्त किया जाना चाहिये । मै समझता हूं कि इसी कम्युनिटी के एक्टिव व्यक्तियो की नियुक्ति इसमें की जानी चाहिये । यह मेरी भ्रादरणीय वाणिज्य मंत्री जी से विनम्त्र विनती है।

विदेशों में हाथकरघा कपडे की मांग दिन-वदिन बढ़ती चली जा रही है। इस को भौर ज्यादा
पापुलर बनाने के लिए भौर निर्यात को बढ़ावा
देन के लिए कई एक्सपोर्ट भोरियेटिड यूनिट्स देश
में प्रस्थापित किए गए हैं। उनका कार्य, उनका
संचालन सही ढ़ग से हो रहा है या नही, इसका
जायजा हर साल लिया जाना चाहिए भौर इममे
कुछ मैनुपलेशन तो नही हो रहा है, इसको देखना
चाहिये। जिस प्राजैक्ट के लिए बहुत बडी धनराशि दी जा रही है, उसका प्रबन्ध भगर नव
गठित हथकरथा विकास निगम के हाथों मे
सौंपा जाए तो भ्रच्छा रहेगा। हैंडलूम एक्सपोर्ट प्रोमो-

कान का उसिल का रोस इस संबंध में क्या रहा है और इसके द्वारा कितना और क्या काम होता रहा है और होता रहेगा, इसका ब्यौरा हर साल प्रकानित होता रहना चाहिये और लोगों को मिलता रहना चाहिये। ऐसा किया गया तो यह बहुत बच्छा होगा।

मान्यवर, बाल इंडिया हैडल्म फेब्रिक्स मार्कि-टिंग सोसाइटी की सरकार हर साल लाखों रुपयो से मदद करती था रही है लेकिन वास्तव में इसका लाभ कौन सा सैक्टर ज्यादा उठाता है यह देखने भीर सोचने की बात है। मेरे ख्याल में इस सोसाइटी के वाई लाज को पूरी तरह से बदला जाना चाहिये भीर इसको सहकारिता के क्षेत्र में लाया जाना चाहिये। इसके बोर्ड ग्राफ डायरेक्टर्ज की चुनाव प्रणाली में परिवर्तन करके, इसका पूनर्गठन करने की जरूरत है और इस सस्या की सारे भारत वर्ष धौर विदेशों में हवकरघा कपडे का प्रसारण करने की मौनोपोली मिलनी चाहिये। यह बात में खास तौर पर जनता साड़ी और घोती के लिए कह रहा हूं क्योंकि इस योजना के लिए सरकार हर साल बहुत ज्यादा सवसिंही तथा रकम इसको देती आ रही है। पिछले वरस की 22 करोड की धनराणि के मुकाबले मे इस वर्ष इसके लिए 30 करोड़ की माग रखी गई है। अगर सचमुच ज्यादा कपडे का उत्पादन हो तो मेराकृष्ठ कहना नही, मगर मेरी यह इन्फार्मेशन है क इस योजना मे बहुत सी बुराइया मा जुकी है, वोगम एन्ट्रीज बड़े पैमाने पर हो रही है भौर सरकार का पैसा त्रियाने का षडयत्र चलता मा रहा है। उसका एक कारण यह है कि ग्रामी की योजना के अनुसार जो सस्था प्रोडक्शन करती है, वहीं मार्केटिंग करती है। करीवन कीमत की एक तिहाई सवसिडी होने की वजह से उसमे ग्रप्रमाणिकता बढ़ती ग्रा रही है। इसलिये मेरा यह सुझाव है कि ग्रामी की सारी सस्थान्नो को सिर्फ प्रोडक्शन सैटर्स बनाये, ग्रीर मार्केटिंग की सारी जिम्मेवारी किसी प्रन्य राष्ट्रीय या प्रान्तीय सस्यास्रो ग्रीर कज्युमर्स कोग्रापरेटिव सस्था द्वारा करने की कारगर योजना बनायी जाये । उसके प्रलावा मेरा यह सुझाव है कि केन्द्र सरकार भीर सभी राज्यो की सरकारे हर साल हर वक्त करोड़ी रुपयो की टेक्सटाइल गुड्ज बड़ी-बड़ी मिलों से जी खरीदती है, अगर यह कपड़ा सिर्फ खादी और हाथकरघा उद्योग से ही खरीदा जाये तो मार्केटिंग का 40 प्रतिशत कार्यभार भ्रपने भ्राप सुलझ जायेगा । मै उसकी फार ब्रादरणीय वाणिज्य मत्नी जी का ध्यान झाकवित करना चाहता है भीर विनती करता हं कि अल्द से अल्द इस बात के पक्ष मे निर्णय किया जाये ।

गये दो वरसो से इस इडस्ट्री को कच्चे माल यानी काटन याने की सप्लाई मे बहुत कठिनाईयो स्रोर महगाई से गुअरना पड़ रहा है। मेरा यह बाबा है कि कपास के मूल्य में जो बढि इन दिनों में हुई है, उसके मुकाबने में, कई मुना ज्यादा काइज आनं में हुई है। इसलिये हाथ करमा बुनकरों को सही दामों में काटन याने मिसने की व्यवस्था की जाये और उनके लिये ज्यादा से ज्यादा कलाई मिलें सहकारी क्षेत्र में निकलवाने की कोशिया की जाये।

साय ही साथ यह मेरा सुप्ताव है कि 50,000 स्पिंबल्स तक भ्रमती कैपेंसिटी बढ़ाने की सहलियत जो सभी कताई मिलों को दी गई है उसके परिणाम स्वरूप हर कताई मिल प्रपनी ज्यादा से ज्यादा सरप्लस मनीनरी या विल्डिंग का लाभ उठाने की सोच रही है। मगर सरकार एन 0 सी 0 वी 0 सी 0 द्वारा जो शेयर्स में सम्मिलित होने के लिये धनराशि देती है, वह पचास हजार स्पिंडलस तक ही सीमित है, उसको जल्द से जल्द बढ़ाकर जो कताई सहकारी मिलें 50,000 स्पिंडल्स इन्सटाल करना चाहती हैं, उनको उसके लिये सरकारी शेयर्स लेने के लिए एन0 सी0 डी0 सी0 को कहा जाये। उससे एक दो वरसों में दुगने काटन यार्न का उत्पादन हो जायेगा । यह सिर्फ पालिसी डिसीजन लेना है भीर भगर जरूरत पड़े तो उसके लिये इस बर्ष जो 3 करोड़ रुपये की मांग की है उसको बढ़ाकर लेना चाहिये।

इसके मलावा हाथकरघा उद्योग के लिये इस्तेमाल किये जाने वाले सभी प्रकार के हैक फार्म के काटन यार्न पर ली जाने वाली एक्साइज इ्यूटी जो है, वह पूरी तरह से माफ करनी चाहिये। खासकर सतरंगी वैडगीट्स, चादर जैसे उत्पादनों को जो 10 काउंट का सूती धागा लगता हैं, उन पर 1977 के पहले पूरी तरह से एक्साइज की छूट थी मगर जनता गवर्नमेंट भाने पर उस पर एक्साइज थोपा गया है वह शीघ्रही हटाना चाहिये ऐसी मेरी विनती है।

इंडस्ट्रीयल हाउसिंग स्कीम. जो बड़ी-बड़ी फैक्टरियों के कामगरों के लिये सबसीडाइज्ड तौर पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ने शुरू की थी भ्रौर यह योजना 1969 में राज्य सरकारों की सौंपी गई उसी तरह हाथकरघा बुनकरों के लिये पचास प्रतिशत सबसिडी भीर पचास प्रतिशत कन्सैशनल रेट भ्राफ इन्टेरेस्ट के जरिये कर्जा उपलब्ध करके बड़े पैमाने में बाबास योजन।एं बनाकर ब्रमल में लाने का हर संभव प्रयत्न करना चाहिये । इससे हर बुनकर को अपना हस्त-कोशल और कुशलता दिखाकर नये नये हंग के और प्राकर्षक वस्त्र निर्माण करने में ज्यादा दिलचस्पी दिखानें का भवसर मिल जायेंगा भीर इस उचोग की तरक्की हीकर करोड़ों लोगों को रोजगार मिलता रहेगा। करोड़ों रुपये की विदेशी मुद्रा राष्ट्र को मिलती रहेंगी और करोड़ों बुनकरों की दुझाएं सरकार को मिलेंगी।

यह कहते हुए में इस बजट का भीर वाणिका मंत्रालय की धनुवानों की मांगों का समर्वन करता हूं।

DR. SUBRAMANIAM SWAMY (Bombay North-East): This discussion dealing with the Ministry of Commerce is a very important discussion because the Ministry itself is a very big Ministry. Every year about Rs. 12,000 crores worth of goods in a sense pass through this Ministry's hands and this Ministry has set up 17 Export Councils 11 Public Undertakings and 12 Commodity Boards and, therefore, the Ministry's functions are quite wide and touch almost every part of the Indian economy. So therefore, it woud be very difficult to cover all these areas. I will have to pick up a particular area which is of utmost importance. I will pick it up on the basis of what the Annual Report itself has highlight-You must have seen to-day's papers. The item that has been highlighted indicates the fact that the rate growth of exports has fallen, It has been very suggestively put that the rate of growth has fallen to 6 per cent per year in the last three years compared to about 27 per cent per year during the period 1974-75 to 1976. 77. The suggestion obviously is that the performance was much better before and after the Janata Government came the rate of growth fell down. This seems like a pet theme here. I think it is important that I should rebut the implications of these statis-The statistics are accurate, but one has to lock at the period selected in greater depth. Why have they selected the period 1974-75 to 1976-77? Why did they not select the period 1966-67 to 1976-77 because they have been talking about the glorious decade or dynamic decade and so on? Why did they take these three years only and not the whole ten years? Why did not they take the period from 1972-73, when they had a massive mandate and the Garibi hatao period began? Obviously the selection of the period is aimed really at colouring the implications of these data.

### [Dr. Subramanian Swamy]

First of all I would like to say that the Economic Survey of 1979-80 presented by this Government very clearly says that whatever the balance of trade the foreign exchange reserves which were Rs. 2863 crores in 1976-77 went up to Rs. 4499 crores the following year when the Janata Party was in power and it went up even further to Rs. 5219 crores in 1978-79 when the Janata Government was in power. The latest position is this year it is Rs. 4890 crores. So despite the fact that the balance of frade was unfavourable the fact of the matter is that the country's foreign exchange reserves increased and it increased largely because of the remittances from abroad. These remittances came from mostly the Middle East. We have to remember this, because we find that this government, when it has difficulties, puts the blame on external factors. For example, in the speech of the hon. Finance Minister, he said that the OPEC countries have raised the prices. Yes; they raised the prices and it increased our import bill. But we must remember that because the OPEC countries get more earnings, they are abe to import our labour and that labour which is employed there returns the money by way of remittances to this country. Therefore we have to look at what we actually lose. I was surprised to find that for every dollar rise in the price of crude the OPEC countries, we gain 1 dollar 14 cents. In other words, on the net side we are gainers from the rise in OPEC oil prices. That is why though we are losing in our balance of trade, our reserves are going up. I think the government must stop this adverse publicity given to the OPEC countries. They are going a very good job. They have got natural resources. They have combined together and they are extracting a price from the developed countries. This is exactly what we should do for our tea, coffee cocoa, etc. In respect of all these countries, we should organise the developing countries and do what the OPEC is doing. But instead of taking a lesson from that, we are finding fault with others.

So, first of all, I would like to say that

for this drop in the rate of growth from 27 per cent to 6 per cent you cannot blame the Janata Government nor can this Government claim credit for the high performance of the earlier years. You would be surprised to find, if you look at the statistics given in this book, that in 1974-75 when there was such a sharp rise in exports, 38 of it has to do with some select commodities such as sugar and silver and in one year 1976-77-iron and steel because there was a glut in this country. These three comodities either in twos or threes together accounted for 50 per cent rise in exports. This has got special reasons. They have nothing to do with Government's policy. Sugar prices shot up in the international market. So, naturally, in value terms we got more. Similar is the case in regard to silver and in that particular year of iron ore. Therefore the credit cannot go to the Government policy. The credit can go to the Government policy, only if we find that due to Government policy the share of India in the world export has What do we find gone up. this regard? They are the standing facts today about the Indian exports. There is a consistent uninterrupted decline in the share of Indias export in the world export. In 1950 the share of India's export in the world export was a little more than 2 per cent. What was it in 1970? According to the Economic Survey itself, page 58, the share of India's export in world export was only .7 per cent. In 1950-51 it was a little more than 2 per cent, in 1970-71 it declined to .7 per cent. Next year the year of massive mandate, it was .63, then .55 and today it is ony half a per cent. Now this decline right from 1950-51 to date from a little than 2 per cent of world export to just half a per cent of the world exports is what should concern the Government. The Janata Government could reverse this but we were in power for two years only. If we were in power for a longer period, perhaps, we might have done something about it. These people were in power for 30 years and this Government of 30 years have brought it a long decline From 1974-75 to 1976-77 there was a big jump in the

rate of growth of export but the share of India's export in the world export has declined except for one year. Why? Because when the world export rises ours' rises. But has our share increased? No. This shows the dismal performance in the export front. Why is it so? Because of the direction of our trade. Even today 45 per cent of our export will go to the Western Europe and Americas, which countries have got special policy—protectionism. they dictate terms. We go to them This bowl. begging a started going Government has in a big way to beg for foreign aid from western countries. You have seen the disgraceful way they have behaved in Paris asking for more aid. Rs. 5000 crores of foreign exchange in this country, they sent a big delegation to Paris asking for more aid. And the World Consortium said; No, We will not give you more aid. This has never happened during the Janata rule. In fact, in Janata rule, they were forcing aid on us. We said: we do not want it. That is why, we got an aid authorisation of thousand crores. The Finance Minister said—I did not want to interrupt him the other day-that Dr. Subramaniam Swamy had not quoted the authorisation. Why we did not quote the authorisation because the Janata Government deliberately did not want to use foreign aid, and they used only 500 crores. These people have gone and begged for foreign aid and the consortium countries have turned it down. They have brought the prestige of India down.

We talk so much about Africa but our export to Africa is 5.9 per cent, to Asia 25 per cent. We are not exporting anything at all to the nearby countries. To Pakistan nothing, to China nothing. To the countries in which we can export with low transportation cost we are not exporting. In Pakistan a bicycle sells at Rs. 800/-. India, it is seling at Rs. 300/-. We can sell bicycles to them. Why don't we do it? But no attempt has been made. We are thinking still in European terms, either Western Europe or Eastern Europe. We are not thinking in terms of our country's strategic position,

what this country ought to be doing. There is a mistaken notion that the problem of India is lack of competitiveness. That is not the fact. They have launched a study—a study by S. K. Verghese in the Economic and Political Weekly which has documented quite well, that the problem with India's export is not competitiveness. But the fact is that we are not able to ensure proper quality, proper delivery. In the non-price areas of our competitiveness, we are really behind. This is what the Minister has to pay more attention to. Unfortunately, we find from this Budget that they have gone back to the old method of giving cash incentives, assistance, subsidies as a method of improving the exports. That way you will not improve your exports. What you can do in my opinion, is that you can from cartels for your traditional items and see that you are able to get a proper reputation for your exports.

If you are able to do that, then your exports can go up.

One comment I would like to make towards the end because you are not generous with me about time. So, I would like to say....

MR. DEPUTY-CHAIRMAN: Dr. Subramaniam Swamy, I have always been generous to you because your name is 'Swamy'. But your Party has been allotted....

DR. SUBRAMANIAM SWAMY: Yes, Sir, I know all about how you have been giving time to the parties. I have been noting it. Anyway I ask you only for a little extra time.

Now, the time has come for a new strategy towards our foreign trade. We have in the Fifties been pursuing the policy of placing emphasis on import substitution without giving adequate attention to export promotion. Over the Sixties a re-thinking took place and in the Seventies we have gone forget about import overboard and substitution and started thinking in terms of only export promotion. Actually we are doing exactly the opposite of what we should be doing. In the Fifties when we were a predominently agricultural country without a

148

## [Dr. Subramaniam Swamy]

D.G. (Genl.) '80-'81-

base, while building a base, we should have laid emphasis on export promotion and not that kind of emphasis on import substitution that we gave in Fities. Today the need is to change to change to the opposite. We ought to lay much greater emphasis on import substitution that what we are giving. What do I find from this Government's policy? Opening up for the multinationals as if Coca Cola can come back. Well the Janata Government proved by removing Coca Cola how many Indian indigeneous cool drinks could come up, how much employment is generated. But they are thinking in terms of Coca Cola, they are thinking of importing aerobatics aircraft, they are thinking of importing all kinds of things. Tommorrow 1 will not be surprised if they start importing televisions. Therefore, the time has come to think seriously in terms of import substitution. Why de I say that? It is not use talking about boosting up our exports. We do not have many export surpluses. We have to have big surpluses before we can think in terms of a major export programme. But Sir, can you cut down on imports? The answer is 'yes'. We imported Rs. 878 crores worth of farm products in 1978-79. This we can easily produce in our country. I do not know why we are importing it. Probably, we are importing caviar and frog legs and all the delicacies. This is all Rs. 878 crores worth of farm products. Similarly, we imported Rs. 450 crores worth of fertilizers. These things can be easily substituted by our own domestic production. But this would require in my opinion, a new strategy a commitment to import substitution a commitment to give priority to import substitution. I am not against export promotion but export promotion should be not on the basis of the way they are doing by handing out money, by handing out subsidies. That is not necessary. Indian exports are competititive. The problem of Indian exports is the aggressive Government policy of finding markets making sure that people take our products, going to Africa, going to Asia, but not to eastern

Europe not to Western Europe. They can go there too. But priority should be given here. That is the kind of reorientation that is necessary. Otherwise, in my opinion we cannot do it.

Sir in the International conferences, the Indian performance in UNCTAD and other places is dismal. I am very sorry to say that I have been following the North-South dialogue performance of the Indian delegation on the issue of the Common Fund. They may claim credit. But I have found that wherever the developing countries' interests are concerned the Indian delegation is always ambivalent. They do not know whether they want to be here or they want to be there. It is time for us to take a more aggressive stand, place emphasis on import substitution, premote export promotion by joining the developing countries. That is the only way to bring back favourable balance of trade in the Eighties. Otherwise, things are going to get much worse than they are already in the five months of the Congress (I) rule.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: There is no translation.

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: there is no translator. You please wait for some time till he comes. Shri Ram Gopal Reddy.

SHRI M. RAM GOPAL REDDY (Nizamabad): In the Annual Report the Minister has stated that, keeping in view the internal demand, he has placed a ban on the export of items like onions, tomatoes and potatoes. But we have got a lot of scope to produce these items. Actually, by putting a ban on these items, he is restricting their production, which is likely to go down. So, I request the Minister to lift this ban and encourage people to produce these things more.

As a matter of fact, he has put a ban on the import of sugar. Once I said in Parliament during the Janata rule that if you put all the sugar in the Arabian Sea the whole of the sea would become sweet. Unfortunately, all the sugar has been squandered. Once we

had a surplus of 45 lakh tonnes, but as a result of abnormally low prices, the mills as also the cane growers have become bankrupt. With the policy of partial decontrol which this Ministry is implementing. I hope the people of this country will get some sugar. If some incentives are given for early production of sugar from October onwards when the recovery is low, I am sure this year we will be able to produce a minimum of 45 lakh tonnes. The Congress regime made arrangements to produce 65 lakh tonnes, but unfortunately within two years of Janata rule, production has fallen to 40 lakh tonnes. The Minister has to make efforts in another two years to reach the original target.

After the war our foreign exchange reserves were at a very low ebb. So, sugar was exported, and Madam Gandhi earned about Rs. 5 crores. After that, a lot of idle labour was sent to the Gulf countries and we earned an invisible income of Rs. 4000 crores in foreign reserves. Unfortunately. during the last three years, all this money has been squandered. Now we have to make up that gap. There is lot of scope for sending our labour to the Gulf countries. I request our Minister to see that the laws are made very simple, and that they are not exploited there.

We can produce turmeric, tobacco, sugar and cotton. These were our main items of export previously. Unfortunately, during the Janata rule, an export duty of Rs. 200 per quintal was levied on turmeric, on account of which the prices have gone down by Rs. 2,000 per quintal. Production of turmeric has gone down. I request the Minister to withdraw the duty immediately. This was referred to the Finance Ministry and they said that it was the Commerce Ministry which had imposed the duty. About 50 per cent of the turmeric of the whole country is produced in my district. All the agriculturists have become poor on account of the low rate that is now prevailing.

The turmeric is produced in Cuddapah and in parts of Medak district. Most of it is produced in my constituency. I have been continuously writing to the Finance Minister and also the Commerce Minister about this problem. In my constituency, the people have become very poor during the last three years. Once upon a time, every family used to have about 200 tolas of gold in their houses and in the last two years, most of it has been sold away, of course, at a very good price on account of the Janata Party's miscalculation of selling gold.

We should not export much of iron ore because this is an item which is being depleted. This is not an item that can be re-cycled. In regard to an agricultural produce, if we send out this year, next year we can have it. Though there are huge reserves of iron ore for 200 to 300 years, even then that is a commodity which we have inherited in trust and we should not go on wasting it.

As regards handlooms, the handloom weavers are being exploited by power-looms. I want the hon. Minister to give protection to them.

We have got textile mills and jute mills in the country. They are the oldest mills. Though the textile mills are modernised, still there many mills that are to be modernised. We are producing textile machinery in the country worth about Rs. 80 crores to Rs. 100 crores per year. Out of that, we are exporting textile machinery worth about Rs. 40 crores. But our mills are not modernised because some spare-parts are not available in the country. I request the hon. Minister that such of the spare parts which are not available in the country or which cannot be manufactured within a year or so, that type of machinery may be imported so that our mills could be modernised.

Regarding the Export Promotion Council, we have got it at the Centre. But I request the hon. Minister that there should be Export Promotion Councils in the States also so that there may be liaison, so that the items available in plenty in the States may

[Shri M. Ram Gopal Reddy]

be communicated to the Central Government and then the Central Government can arrange for their export. There are many youngmen who are coming up in the export trade, to export certain items from our country. They must be encouraged. Previously, only big houses used to export and care a lot of money. Now, these brilliant youngmen, after graduation or post-graduation, are taking up this job. I request the hon. Minister to give a helping hand to these young educated people and give all facilities to them so that they may earn a decent livelihood and also compete with big houses in the matter of exports which has become a monopoly of big houses.

With these words, I support the demands.

\*SHRI DORAL SEBASTIAN (Karur): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I extend my full support to the Demands for Grants of the Ministry of Commerce.

I would like to reply to certain points raised by the hon. Members from Opposition Benches. The international trade is not conducted with profit motive. The international trade is based on the cardinal principle of establishing friendly relations among the countries. We have to find out the requirements of other countries and to what extent we will fulfil their requirements. It is not that just because we produce sugar or cocoa in abundance we should try to disgorge them on other countries. When we import certain essential commodities from other countries, we can export to those countries what they need and what they wish for. When we are importing goods in which we are not self-sufficient, we cannot just export to those countries the goods which we produce more than our requirement. We are compelled to export sugar or other essential commodities which we have in scarce. The should realise hon. Members limitations of foreign trade. They know this, yet they raise issues just for the sake of argument and debate. They

raise issues which they cannot substantiste.

I wish to bring to the notice of the government that the Southern States are producing in huge quantities commodities of commercial value. We are producing cotton in abundance. There are organisations like cotton Corporation Khadi οť India, Board etc. which are expected to procure cotton from the growers. The Cotton Corporation of India has not purchased cotton directly from the growers. In my Karur Parliamentary constituency and Palani Parliamentary constituency of Tamil Nadu there is huge accumulation of cotton. growers are in a quandary es to what to do with such huge stocks of cotton. The Cotton Corporation of India should not import cotton, while cotton is available in abundance within The Cotton Corporation of country. India should not put forth the arthat the quality of cotis not good. ton Even this cotton can be used for producing standard cloth I am sure that the indigenously produced cotton should find preference with the Cotton Corporation of India rather that the imported cotton. I request the hon. Minister to direct the Cotton Corporation of India to buy this accumulated cotton in Tamil Nadu.

In our country, the molasses, which is a by product of sugarcane, is sold within the country at Rs. 60 per ton, while in countries abroad the price, per ton of molasses is about Rs. 400 or Rs. 500 per ton. The hon. Minister of Commerce should direct the officers concerned to find out market molasses outside India so that we earn valuable foreign exchange. The Nature has endowed Tamil Nadu and Kerala with its bounties of cash crops which are major foreign exchange earners. The Ministry of Commerce not hesitate to offer all the incentives needed for augmenting the production of these crops so that the country earns foreign exchange. Similarly, the

<sup>\*</sup>The original speech was delivered in Tamil.

allied industries based on such products should also be developed and whatever assistance is needed by them should be extended to them by the Ministry of Commerce. It is in interest of exports that we give them sustained assistance.

With these words, I conclude my speech thanking you for giving me an opportunity to say a few words.

SHRI K. A. RAJAN (Trichur): This Ministry is a very important Ministry which has its own bearing on the economic growth and the development of our country. This Ministry's functioning and performance cannot be delinked from the performance of our national economy as well as the economy of the international market to which we are linked.

If you go through the report submitted here, on p. iv, in paragraphs 3 and 4, what is the forecast of the future prospects of our foreign trade? It says:

"The international market conditions were none-too-favourable. The world economy, it is expected, began a major growth slow-down in 1979. The industrialised countries, according to an UNCTAD study, experienced a rate of growth of only 3.3 per cent—significantly lower than that in the previous year."

#### Again:

"Both international and domestic causes contributed to the sluggish growth in our exports. The low rates growth and trade expansion in industrialised countries resulted in their increasing recourse to discrimination in trade through protectionist policies...."

Why am I citing this? Before we go into the details of the various exportimport policies of our Government, if you take the last ten to twelve years, kith the exception of only two or three years, we can see that there has always been an adverse trade balance. The basic structure in which we are working and the Ministry's perfor-

mance and functioning are kinked with capitalist world market. It has been very well said, even when the budget was introduced by the Hon. Finance Minister earlier, in Economic Survey, that the pathetic situation in which our economy pushed and all these has been factors are being taken into consideration. Our link and our connections and the widespread export market on which we are depending are always with the foreign capitalist markets. These foreign capitalist markets, as you know, have always their ups and downs Especially in this period, the capitalist countries are facing an economic crisis because of their own inherent reasons. Our trade and commerce is linked with this capitalist structure.

If you go through the figures in respect of the trade pattern of our export, you will find that, in 1977-78, 28.3 per cent of our export was to West Asia, 17.3 per cent to East Europe, 13.3 per cent to the USA and 34.3 per cent to Asia and other countries. We are only catering to the market conditions of the foreign countries which are basically having the capitalist economy and which are always crisis-ridden, with all set-backs and shocks. And we have to absorb them because we are also breeding a capitalist economy with all its inherent weaknesses and crises.

There is a major point which I want to highlight in this context. If you go through the figures in respect of our share in the world export you will find that our share in the world export in 1976 was 0.56 per cent; in 1977 it came down to 0.55 per cent; in 1978 it came down further to 0.52 per cent.

If you compare the position of increase in the rate of exports from 1975 to 1978, even with certain countries in the capitalist world, you will find that the figures are as follows: in Korea it went up by 149 pcr cent; in Singapore it went up by 87 per cent; in Japan it went up by 76 per

## [Shri K. A. Rajan]

155

cent. And our increase in exports during this period, 1975-78, was only 45 per cent. This is the position regarding our export performance in the context of the overall picture of the exporting countries.

Even for these exports, how much does our exchequer pay? That is a very important thing which I want to highlight on this occasion. The expert subsidy is being pumped from the exchequer. You can see the figures from 1970-71 to 1979-80. In 1970-71 it began with Rs. 34.92 crores, gradually it went up to Rs. 66.32 crores in 1974-75; in 1977-78 it went up to Rs. 311 crores; and in 1979-80 is reached the figure of Rs. 358 crores. If you see amount of money that has been pumped into exports by way of export subsidy, you will find that crores of rupees have been invested in export subsidy. If this money had been invested in creating employment generating internal market, what would have been the situation!

I will tell you how these export subsidies are being used or Out of the total export houses, we have 500 and odd export houses in the private sector; and, if I remember correctly, there are eleven or twelve public sector export houses. And we can see how these export houses, which export goods to other countries, are manipulating the whole thing, how our export image has been blackened certain countries because of the malpractices and diluted, quality; we have lost so many markets because of the manipulations and this sort of haviour on the part of export Houses. How these 500 and odd exporters who are in the private sector behave has beenbrought out by so many facts. Here it is said in the Indian Express of 4th July, 1980.

"A study by the Indian Council of Social Science Research revealed

that the Indian export image both in developing and developed countries was consistently negative compared to that of its major Asian competitors."

"..Indian readymade garments leather and engineering goods are competitive on account of their lower price; neverthless they are rated as not good enough for their given value. They are considered inferior in quality, imitative and of mediocre technology. This is not at all. Indian market exposure and promotion are "too weak and Indian brand-name recognition is among the lowest."

If we take the specific cases of these exports to see how the qualities and other things are being brought down by our exporters thereby creating a very bad image in the international market and our losing these markets,

"Recently, the United States Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) blacklisted Indian Shrimp exporters The event created a panic among banks and financial institutions who have a big stake in the fishery industry. The reason for blacklisting was the suspected salmonella contamination. Fifth damage and decomposition were also alleged. American shrimp importers had also complainted of underweighment of consignment. A five-pound slab of frozen shrimp exported from India often weighs only around 4.1 pound! Complaints that exporters of pepper. cardamom, chillies, turmeric, curry powder, garlic and spice oils adulterate their goods are frequently heard.

Pepper exporters' unscrupulousness was responsible for the loss of a giant market like the USA for Indian pepper. Only recently a huge quantity of Indian pepper exported to South Korea was destroyed because of adulteration and inferior quality. Recently, again, the Government of India blacklisted six cotton exporters...."

I am just highlighting this point because our export market is being really damaged by these exporters even though we have got so much inspection apparatus and all sorts of paraphernalia. If I am correct, there are really 50 pre-shipment offices functioning in the various parts of the country and 2000 officers manning these offices In such matters these offices and departments could not enforce because they are really in connivance with these exporters and thereby creating a havoc in the market and damaging the image of the country and making us lose the market or adversely affecting the whole export market, where we are

Apart from all these aspects, I would also like to highlight the export policy. The immediate tasks include the drastic revision of the OGL List seeing that indigenous production of cement, edible oils, paper, etc. increases, the production of crude oil in the country must increase and economy should be effected in its use. Besides, coal production should increase and it should be substituted for oil wherever possible. Without delay the scheme of global tenders must be scrapped and efforts should be made to encourage import substitution.

In this connection I would like to draw the attention of the hon, Minister to the decision taken or the consensus arrived at the Havana conference of the non-aligned countries as to how the under-developed countries should re-orient their policy regarding exports. They have given a directive in that conference and India as a party to the conference should fall in line in the best interests of the developing countries and see that we are exploied to our disadvantage by the developed countries, especially those countries of the capitalist world. It has been specifically and very precisely said in the Havana declaration that the developing countries in their own interests and in the larger interests of their economy in the coming period have to depend more on trade relawith Socialist countries whom they can depend and whereby their countries, economy also can be regenerated.

So, I impress upon the Minister to see that India falls in line with that Declaration in the overall interest of the export policy which he has evolved. This perspective should be taken into consideration. And as a party to that Conference, I hope he will expand the trade with other countries.

As regards export to those countries where there is no such economic crisis as we face here and where they have good marketing mechanism, they work in any way unfavourably to country like India and others.

In this connection, I would only like to highlight certain points which directly come under the Commerce ministry. Thou h these have been elaborately dealt with by my colleague yet I would like to highlight only one or two points. That is regarding our cashew import policy. We had been consistently fighting that our import policy should not be to the detriment of trade, industry as well as the large number of workers working in that particular sector. But, unfortunately, deviation has been made. Canalisation of import formerly had been handled by a public sector agency. I do not know what prompted the Government now to deviate from that which has been accepted by the states concerned and the industrial workers. I do not know why in the matter of import of cashew, preference is given to the private importers deviating thereby from the policy that had been carried on so far. works to the detriment of industries and lakhs of workers who are working therein. I hope the Minister will stick to the old policy of importing through canalisation by the pubilc sector agencies and see that they cater recognised establishments. Where the minimum requirements of the workers are being met and they are not being exploited. The other point which I would like to emphasise is about the cocoa import. have surplus of cocoa here. I can-

160

{Shri K. A. Rajen] not understand what prempted th Government to import cocoa when it is detrimental to the interests of the cultivators or the gorwers. Perhaps it may be in the interest of Cadbury? I think this was the reason that prompted the Government to import cocoa which goes detrimental to the larger interests of the growers. I understand surplus cocoa is being produced here. Because of the Government's policy cultivators suffer. That is unfortunately the position now.

I would now draw your attention to the miserable plight of the handloom weavers. The problem has been there for the last so many years. could not get yarn at a resasonable rate. The problem could not be solved. As a result stocks get accumulated I request that, interest-free should be given to the handloom That is not being done. weavers. I trust the Minister will do that now since lakhs and lakhs of weavers all over the country are facing a crisis. The handloom industry could not sell their products. There is unemployment of workers because of this. You know that as far as marine products are concerned, their exports fetch as nearly Rs. 200 crores.

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: MR. Rajan, all these have been covered by Mr. Kurien. You only mention new points.

SHRI K. A. RAJAN: Regarding marine products, there are certain pro-We are not having enough trawelers for our deep sea fishing. As compared to other countries, we are having only 17 trawlers at our dis-In other countries, they have hundreds of deep-sea trawlers they are competing in the world mar-Our marine export industry is suffering year by year in the earning of Rs. 200 crores in exports. that context, I would like to impress upon the Minister that the policy of giving trawlers to the Multi-national Companies with consideration deterimental to the interests of the amall experters should be stopped. With these words, I conclude.

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: MR. Shri Ashfaq Hussain You wil take five minutes only. Four minutes are allotted but I am giving one more minute as bonus—not productivity bonus.

श्री धशकाक हुसैन (महाराजगज) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, समय की सीमा को देखते हुए मैं अपने को हैंडलूम भीर हैंडीकाफ्ट तक ही सीमित रखुंगा, वैसे में चाहता था कि एक्सपोर्ट के बारे में भी कुछ अपने विचार भापके सामने रखं।

सब से पहले तो मैं एक नजर हैंडलूम, हैंडीकाफ्ट भीर सेंरी-कलचर के मुतालिक इस सील के अजट में जो अनुदानों की मांगें रखी गई हैं, उन पर डालना ग्रावश्यक समझता हूं। हैंडलूम में 1979-80 में 27 करोड 64 लाख रुपया रखा गया था जब कि 1980-81 में केवल 20 करोड 25 लाख रुपया रखा गया है। इसके मुकाबले में हैंडीकाफ्ट्स मे 1979-80 में 13 करोड़ रुपये का प्रावधान था, जब कि 1980-81 के बजट में सिर्फ 8.70 करोड़ रुपया ही रखा गया है। सै किल्बर में 1979-80 में 8 करोड़ रुपये का प्रावधान था, जब कि 1980-81 में 4.25 करोड रुपया ही रखा गया है, यानी 1979-80 के मकाबले में 3.75 करोड़ रुपया कम रखा गया है।

एक तो पहले से ही दस्तकारों के साथ सौतेला व्यवहार होता चला ग्राया है भीर सारे बजट में प्लान माउट-ले के नाम पर केवल 48.64 करोड़ रुपया 1979-80 के बजट में रखा गया था, जो 1980-81 के बजट में घटा कर 33,20 करोड़ रुपये कर दिया गया है। श्रीर कहा यह जाता है कि 20--मूती कार्यक्रम के घन्तर्गत बुनकरों घीर दस्तकारों को विशेष प्रोत्साहन दिया जा रहा है । बजट में रूपया कम कर के विशेष प्रोत्साहन देनें की बात तो एक नई बात है, जिसको मौजूदा सरकार ही समझा सकती

मैं भापके माध्यम से यह कहना चाहता हं कि 20-सूत्री कार्यक्रम के भन्तर्गत कुछ स्कीमें जरूर बनाई गई, जिनका मकसद हैंडलूम भ्रीर दस्तकारी को तरक्की देना था, लेकिन हैंडलूम हो या दस्तकारी, उसकी तरक्की के लिए पहली बुनियादी जरूरत कच्चे माल की फ़राहमी है। इस बुनियादी सवाल को नजर-अन्दाज कर के न तो हैंडलुम सन्धनः तरक्की कर सकती है भीर न कोई भीर दस्तकारी। हम यह मान भी लें कि सरकार हचकरघा बुनकरों और दस्तकारी से हमदर्दी रखती है, लेकिन सरकार पर ताकतवर

टैक्स्टाइल लाबी इस कब्र हाबी है कि वह बुनियादी बातों की तरफ सरकार का अयान जाने ही नहीं देती ।

सूत एक तरह से समूचे टक्सटाइल उद्योग की लगाम है, भीर सूत की पदाबार भीर डिस्ट्री-इयूक्षन पर टैक्स्टाइल सेठों की इजारेदारी है। इई की पैदाबार ज्यादा हो, तब भी सूत का दाम बढ़ता ही जायेगा। सूत काम्पोजिट मिलों भीर कताई मिलों दोनों में तैयार होता है। कुछ कताई मिलों कोंग्रापरेंटिय जुमरेमें भी खोली गई हैं। सरकार का कहना है कि उसकी पालिसी ज्यादा से ज्यादा कताई मिलें खोलनें की है। जेनि इसके लिए सिर्फ तीन करोड़ इपया ही सारे बजट में रखा गया है, जब कि एक कताई मिल खोलनें के लिए मब पांच करोड़ इपये से कम की लागत नहीं भाती है।

कताई मिलें जरूर खोलिए भीर उसके लिए म्तासिब फण्ड्ज भी फ़राहम कीजिए, लेकिन जब तक कताई मिलें बड़ी तादाद में नही खुलनी हैं, इस दरमियान बनकरों भीर हथकरचा उद्योग को टैक्सटाइल सेठों भीर उनके दलालों के रहमो-करम पर न छोड़िये। हैंडलूम के लिए सूत की तैयारी से ले कर उसकी बनकरों के करवे तक मुनासिब दाम पर पहुचाने की जिम्मेदारी केन्द्रीय सरकार की है, कामर्स मिनिस्ट्री की है। भीर जब तक मरकजी सरकार भीर कामर्स मिनिस्टी ग्रपनी इस जिम्मेदारी को पूरा करने से कनराने रहेंगे, बुनकरों ग्रीर दस्तकारों की हालत में सधार नहीं ग्रायेगा, भ्रच्छा भीर उनकी जरूरत का सुत उनको मुनासिब दाम पर नही मिलेगा और सुत को दाम बहता जायेगा भीर इससे तैयार कपड़े की कीमत घटती जायेंगी। इमलिए मरकजी सरकार को इस सिलसिले में कदम उठाने की जरूरत है।

#### 18 hrs.

सरकार की तरफ़ से पाबन्दी है कि चाहे काम्पो-जिट मिलें हों या कताई मिलें, वे अपनें सूत का 50 फ़ीसदी सूत लतरियों हैंइस, में तैयार करें। इस पनास फ़ीसदी को बढ़ा कर कम से कम 65 फ़ीसदी करना चाहिए और इसी के साथ इस पर सख्ती से भ्रमल भी होना चाहिए। हैं इस में तैयार सूत मनासिब कीमत पर ग्रीर मुनासिब ढंग से बुनकरों तक पहुंचे, इसके लिए जरूरी है कि एक ऐसा कानूनी इरादा बनाया जाये, जो सूत की तैयारी से ले कर उसकी बुनकरों तक पहुंचानें तक के सारे मराहल को अपनी र्तिगरानी में करे भीर उसको ऐसा ग्रब्स्थार कानुन के जरिये दिया जाये। वह केवल एक सलाहकार इदारा न हो और उसमें बुनकरों, एन टी सी और सूत तैयार करने वाले सेठों के भी नुमाइन्दे हों। सूत की कीमत साल में एक बार मुकरेर हो धीर उस पर पाबन्दी की जिम्मेदारी सरकार खुद ले। प्रगर वड 1141 LS-6.

जरूरी समझे, तो इसको एसेंगल कामोडिटी पर्टिंग एक्ट. के तहत ले आये। ज्ञाल इंडिया हुँ डलूम बोर्डे केवल एक सलाहकार इदारा है जीर इसे इतना जी अब्तियार हासिल नहीं है जितना ज्ञाल इंडिया हुँ डी-कांफ्ट बोर्ड को है। ज्ञार इस इदारें से काम लेना है तो इस को और अब्त्यारात दिए जाये, वरना इसे व इंड अप कर दिया जाय। देवलपमेंट किमशनर हैंडलूम की कारकदेंगी के लिए 1979-80 के बजट में 19 लाख रुपयें रखा गया था जिस को घटा कर मौजूद 1980-81 के बजट में 12 ल्झ्बा कर दिया गया है। यह जाहिर करता है कि हैंडलूम की तरक्की पर मौजूदा सरकार का कितना ध्यानः जबानी और कितना ध्यान अमली है।

श्रव में एक बात भीर श्रन्त में कहन, चाहता हूं है हथकरघा बुनकरों का ताल्लुक ज्यादातर कमजोर श्रीर पिछड़े हुए तबके से हैं। समाज के तरक्की-यापता लोग श्रीर तबके उन को सदियों से हकीर समझते रहें है। लेकिन सरकारी कागजात श्रीर दस्तावेजात में बराबर इस बात का ख्याल रखा जाता रहा है कि उन को किसी ऐसे नाम से न पुकारा जाय जिस से उन को ठेस पहुंचे। मुझे बहुत ताज्जुब है कि मौजदा बजट दस्तावेजात में वीवर्स का हिन्दी तर्जुमा जुला हा किया गया है श्रीर ताज्जुब इसलिए श्रीर है कि इस वेजारत के सरनराहों में मोहतरम जनाव जिया-उर्रहमान साहब हैं जो इस खास लब्ज की तारीख श्रीर इस सिलिसले की जहोजहद से वाकिफ हैं।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now it may not be available on Monday. We speakers who want to speak; they can allow them, with the permission is 6-02 P.M. There are two more of the House, to speak for five minutes each. We have the names of Shri George Joseph Mundacka and Shri Skariah Thomas. They will take five minutes each......

SHRI GEORGE JOSEPH MUNDA-CKAL (Muvattupuzha): I will speak on Monday.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: All right; you can speak on Monday. Now the House stands adjourned to meet on Monday at 11 A.M.

#### 18-03 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjorned till eleven of the Clock on Monday (7th July 7, 1980/Asadha 16, 1902 (Saka).