395 D.G. 1978-79-

Min. of External 396 Affairs

1620 hrs

*DEMANDS FOR GRANTS, 1978-79 ---contd.

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

MR. CHAIRMAN: The House will now take up Discussion and Voting on Demand No. 31 relating to the Ministry of External Affairs, for which five hours have been allotted.

Motion moved:

"That the respective sums not exceeding the amounts on Revenue Account and Capital Account shown in the fourth column of the Order Paper be granted to the President cut of the Consolidate Fund of India to complete the sums necessary to defray the charges that will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1979, in respect of the head of demand entered in the second column thereof against Demand No. 31 relating to the Ministry of External Affairs.

Demand for Grant, 1978-79 in respect of the Ministry of External Affairs submitted to the vote of Lek Sabha.

No, of Name of Demand Demand		Amount of Demand for Grant on account voted by the House on 16-3-1978		Amount of Demand for Grant submitted to the vote of the House	
num berrunde strategie	and the second s				
1	2	3		4	
MINISTR	Y OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS	Revenue Rs.	Capital Rs.	Revenue Rs.	Capital Rs,

31 Ministry of External Affairs . 18,92,88,000 2,46,38,000 , 94,64 40,000 12,31,89,000

*Moved with the recommendation for the President.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Shri Banatwalla, Shri Jethmalani, Prof. Shibban Lal Saksena and Shri Sudheeren have tabled Cut Motions to the Demands for Grants relating to the Ministry of External Affairs. I would like to know if they are present in the House and desire o move their Cut Motions.

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA (Ponnam): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of External Affairs be reduced to Re. 1."

[Increasing number of restrictions being imposed upon presons going abroad, especially to Middle East countries, for employment and the consequent hardships faced by them. (1)].

"That the demand under the head Ministry of External Affairs be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Need for a Regional Passport Office in District Malappuram (Kerala). (7)]

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI (Bombay North-West): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of External Affairs be reduced by Rs. 100." [Failure to denounce the Palestinian raid on Israeli territory and the massacre of innocent Israeli citizens including children on March 12 this year (2)]

"That the demand under the head Ministry of External Affairs be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to stop continued interference by Officers of the Ministry with Indian citizens going abroad in search of employment to the total detriment of individual and the country alike, (3)]

"That the demand under the head Ministry of External Affairs be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to sign and ratify the 1966 United Nations Human Rights Covenant₅ known as the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights together with the Optional Protocol. (4)]

"That the demand under the head Ministry of External Affairs be reduced by Rs. 100."

[Failure to denounce the continued violation of the democratic rights of the people of Pakistan and the people of Bangladesh and lending international respectability to and thereby perpetuating the dictatorial regimes ruling the two countries. (5)]

MR. CHAIRMAN: Prof. Saksena and Shri Sudheeran are not present.

Now, Shri M. Satyanarayan Rao.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO (Karimnagar): Madam Chairman, before I speak about the Ministry of External Affairs, I should pay a compliment to Shri Vajpayee. You know, Madam, that before he became a Minister, he was here with us. He was sitting with us for about six years.... Affairs THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL-AFFAIRS (SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE): For twenty years.

SHRI M SATYANARAYAN RAO: All right, for twen'y years. But for six years he was sitting with me.

As an Opposition leader he was never irresponsible. Of course, there were so many people who were irresponsible-I should not mention their names- but he was the only leader who behaved so well though, wherever criticism was necessary he used to criticise. He was a gentleman to the cadre, no doubt. But, unfortunately, although for one year after he became Minister he was all right and there was no controversy about him, of late I do not know why he is entering into such controversy. Recently he also made a statement saying that Mrs. Indira Gandhi has entered into a secret agreement with Mr. Bhutto. I do not know what was the provation; probably Mr. Subramaniam Swamy was the provocation. I was surprised and many of the Members were also surprised on seeing his statement because, as I have said, he was a very responsible Opposition leader. Similarly, we expected that he would be a responsible Minister of Extral Affairs too, but, unfortunately, he has sad that: he should not have made that statement. There cannot be a secret agreement between two Heads of Governments. Anyhow, it is up to him to prove whether there was any secret agreement or not.

Today also, when Mr. Lakkappa was putting some question, he intervened and made a very angry statement, saying Yes, this is a bombshell' and he mentioned Madam's name. You know he was one of the great admirers of Mrs Indira Gandhi. In 1971, when Bangladesh was liberated I think he paid compliments to her. (Interruptions). Certainly. whenever anybody made a mistake, he used to criticise but, if any good things were done, he used to appreciate also. He admired her and I think he used the term 'Durga Mata'

[Shri M. Satyanarayan Rao]

or comething like that. In the House, he used to proise her: there is no doubt about it.

Anyhow, it is not my intention to drag him unnecessarily into these controversial matters. But, he should not unnecessarily make certain allegations against Mrs. Indira Gandhi. There is no problem for him at all. Dailywhether it is the External Affairs of Home Ministry or anything else-he always says something about Indirajı. Please forget her and try to solve your own problems. This is not going to pay you. This is my sincere opinion and advice to the Minister for External Affairs because he happens to be my good friend. He also used to come to my rescue when I was not getting a chance to speak. I have great regard for him. It is really astonishing and is unfortunate that such a statement should have come from him. I would not be surprised if such a statement is made by Dr. Subramaniam Swamy; I would be surprised if he did not make such a statement.

Now, coming to the Demands for Grants of the Ministry of External Affairs, it has been mentioned in the report itself that so far as the foreign policy is concerned, there is no controversy; there is no difference of opinion. I would like to read the relevant portion from the report:

"While burning domestic controversies dominated the election, it was noticed, both within India and abroad that foreign policy had not been a matter of controversy in the campaign. This was possible because, during the years immediately before independence and subsequently when India played a dominant role in the political process of decolonisation, a consensus on foreign policy had developed within the country cutting across party lines. Against this background, it was only national that on frequent occasions, during the months after the formation of the new government, the basic continuity of India's foreign policy was re-asserted in no uncertain terms."

We must pay compliments to Shri Jawaharlal Nehru, the then Prime Minister of India, who is responsible for evolving this foreign policy, particularly the non-alignment policy, which has stood the test of time. Some people are there, who criticuse him also for this policy. At that time, America and some other western countries and people like John Foster Dulles and Dwight D Eisenhower were criticising him for this kind of policy. They wanted India to be with those countries. From the very beginning, it was only Russia who has appreciated our policy. Not only has it understood and appreciated our policy, it has also come to our rescue when the need arose. We should not be ungrateful to any country, whether Russia or any other country, which has helped us in our crisis. For example, but for their help, Kashmir would have been with the other country. There was a lot of pressure of USA and other western countries. It was Russia alone, which exercised its veto in the Security Council many times on the Kashmir question. It also came to our rescue when we wanted to liberate Goa The same thing happened during the liberation of Bangladesh, while other countries like USA, England, China and others were, as a matter of fact, thinking, how to cause harm to us and stab us This is the situation. That country has been consistently with us; it has not only appreciated our policies, it has helped us in economic and other fields. We have so many projects with the help of Russia. I do not say that Shri Vajpayee is ignoring that country or is not anxious to improve relations with that country, but somehow some impression is there not only in Russia but in other countries also that he is not giving due importance to this country and he is giving more importance and attention to USA and other countries. This is rather unfortunate. This kind of impression, if at all there is any, should be removed.

With regard to our relations with neighbouring countries, Shri Vajpayee is really very fortunate, very lucky. When he became the Foreign Minister, we had no problems with our neighbours. There are no major problems in the world also; there is no tension. There are small problems like the problem between Israel and West Asian countries or some problems are there in Africa, otherwise there is no such tension which used to be there earlier. He is, therefore, lucky in that sense. So far as improvement of our relations with neighbours is concerned. I would like to tell him that it is a test for him. Before he became the External Affairs Minister, we were having very good relations with our neighbouring countries, China and Pakistan

AN HON, MEMBER: No, no.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO: You might have differences but we are having good relations with our neighbours The only thing is that after you became Minister, you have continued it and to some extent you have improved it. There is no doubt about it and I admit it. I am not a person who will say unnecessarily that you have not improved. You nave done and that is why at the outset I have paid my compliments to you.

No doubt you have improved the relationship. But the real test is that there are two problems confronting our country not only now but since independence. The Kashmir problem is there which has not yet been solved and the border problem with China is the other one. Unless these two prob'ems are solved, your saying that we have good relations with our neighbours and we have improved our relations with China and Pakistan is not sufficient and It is not going to convince anybody. Because of these two problems, at any time there is a danger of a war between these two countries. So, try to solve these problems.

Now you have improved the relationship with China. You say. All

Min. of External 402 Affairs

right agreed. Recently when a delegation came here from China, we had a talk with them. What transpired at your meetings we do not know. But the Prime Minister and you yourself have given an impression that they are also very much anxious to solve the border problem. If that is the case, you should take immediate steps and also, if necessary, go there. Not only yourself should go but you may send Dr. Subramaniam Swamy to China. There is nothing wrong in sending him. Since you have not sent him, he is angry with you. I will request you to let him go there, but let him not create more problems. Take some steps and visit that country. Not only you visit but it is better if you also send some Members of Parliament in a parliamentary delegation. There is nothing wrong and that can also improve our relations. The China problem is there and the Kashmir problem is also there and if these two problems are solved. we will have no problem with our neighbours.

Of course, I am very happy that you have entered into an agreement with Pakistan over the Salal project. Nepal Prime Minister also is here and our Energy Minister Shri Ramachandran and the Nepalese Prime Minister have reached agreements over projects concerning the two countries. Anyhow, with Burma, with Nepal, with with Sri Lanka Bhutan. with Afghanistan and Pakistan good relations are there. At the same time we must have good relations with the West Asian countries also, particularly, Iran, Saudi Arabia and

AN HON. MEMBER: Also with Israel.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO: Yes, if you want to have some friendship with Israel, there can be no objection at all. As a matter of fact I was also pleading for that. Nothing wrong in it. The only thing is that problems with Israel and West Asian countries are there and President

[Shri M. Satyanarayan Rao]

Sadat also, you must appreciate, has taken the initiative and has gone to Israel and had bilateral talks. You must encourage his efforts. If that problem is solved, there is nothing wrong in having friendship with Israel We must see that the problems also. between them are solved immediately and if any help is required, then we should not hestitate to extend that also.

We are following a policy of nonalignment. But that does not mean only keeping quiet whatever may happen in our neighbouring countries. Always Panditji used to say that our non-alignment policy means dynamic neutrality. Neutrality does not mean that whatever happens in our neighbourhood, you must keep quiet. If you keep silent and remain quiet, it will affect us. Dynamic neutrality must be mainfained. For example, take Pakistan. I may tell you about Bhutto's death sentence China and Arab countries and all countries except India have written to the Martial Law Administrator in Pakistan that this is very bad, 'you should not do it, you should abandon it. You say that we do not want to interfere. Why?

AN HON. MEMBER: The matter is with the Supreme Court.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO: We know what is the Supreme Court and the High Court there?

You yourself say what happened in emergency. Now you say about the Supreme Court and the High Court there. If you feel that this is not good, you think over it That is what I would like to say.

Recently, we have improved our relations with Japan. Previously, unfortunately, we had been neglecting Japan for a long time. It is a very powerful country economically. We should not have neglected it. I appreciate that Shri Vajpayee is taking

Min. of External 404 Affairs

other some steps and economic and relations are being maintained with Japan and South Asian countries.

I would like to warn you about America. You see the history of America. With whomsoever it was friendly, ultimately it stabbed that country on the back. CIA is a very bad agency. I must warn you. I know your provocation-because Mrs. Indira Gandhi said that CIA has some connection with you, that is why you came out with all this. There is some misunderstanding. I warn you in your own interest, whether it is Janata Party Government or that of the Congress Party, CIA is very dangerous, be careful about it.

Recently, President Carter visited India At that time you thought that he was a good man. But after his meeting with the Prime Minister, what remarks did he pass? He used some words-he is cold headed or cold blooded man

You take the case of Uranium supply. In your report you have said that they have agreed to release it. But in the paper day before yesterday it appeared that they were not prepared to send that. They are always interested in their own. That is the difference between Russia and the US.A. I am not saying that you should stop relationship with Russia. You should not neglect a friend who has been helping us in economic and other matters but you should be careful while having any business with I think Shri Battacharyya America agrees with me.

I am glad that you are having some interest in African countries. There you are raising your voice about racial discrimination, imperialism and colonialism. In the case of South Africa you are not saying that it is their internal affair and they should try to solve their problems.

405 D.G. 1978-79- CHAITRA 27, 1900 (SAKA) Min. of External 406

I come to the passport problem In your report you have also said that this power has been given to the Members of Parliament. You have unnecessarily thrown burden on us. You have created a problem for us. I would like to bring to your notice that there are agents. They are earning lakhs of rupees. They are plundering the poor people. They bring 200, 300 passports and ask us to sign. We cannot say 'no' to anybody. We cannot say whether he is a right or a wrong person. We sign without knowing the consequences. Please see that something is done in this regard. Please see to this difficulty of ours.

I would like to say something about our foreign missions.

About our foreign missions, I wish to say that I had been to Australia and other countries in connection with our Parliamentary Delegations. We have seen the functioning of our Ambassadors there. They have got certain vested interests. These so-called officers are being appointed as ambassadors Now of course you have appointed Mr Goray and Mr. Palkhivala-you have changed the policy. But what I say is that you should see that at least a majority of these Ambassadors are from non-officials from this country. This is my request to you. We find that these officers are not up to the mark. It is not only my view but it is the view of those who have visited these foreign countries.

Now my last point is about the Indian Ocean.

Sir, I am happy that some talks are going on between the USA and the Soviet Union with regard to this. This is a very important problem for us. If these big powers are there, it will be very difficult for us to keep peace. There will be war in our next door. And, they are not fighting in their own territory but they are fighting in our territory here! Have some talks in this matter with the littoral coun-

Min. of External 406 Affairs

tries like Australia and New Zealand; they are also concerned about it. They are also requesting the two big powers to keep away from the Indian Ocean and to keep it a zone of peace. This is my request.

With these words I conclude my speech.

डा॰ मुरली मनोहर ओद्यी (ग्रल्मोडा): सभापति महोदय, मैं विदेण मंत्रालय के ग्रनुदानों की मांगों का समर्थन करने के लिए खडा तथा हं ।

सब से पहले मैं भारत की विदेश नीति के सफल संचालन पर विदेश मंती झौर जनता सरकार को बधाई देना चाहूंगा । संभवतः मेरे प्रतिपक्षी मित्र ने भी झपने 22 मिनट के भाषण में इस विदेश नीति के संचालन की भूरिभूरि प्रशंसा की, उनको केवल 2 बातों का प्रफसोस या । एक 'तो यह कि विदेश मंत्री जी ने शिमला समझौते में किसी गुप्त धारा का उल्लेख क्यो कर दिया झौर दूसरे यह कि श्रीमान भुट्टो महोदय के ऊपर जो पाकिस्तान में कार्यवाही हो रही है, उसके बारे में झभी तक कोई भत्संना क्यों नही की गई झौर उसे पाकिस्तान का झान्तरिक मामला क्यों बताया गया ?

ग्रव या तो शायद इसलिये कि श्रीमतौ गांधी धौर उनके दल को इस बात का संदेह हो कि यदि कभी श्रीमती गांधी पर कोई कानूनी कार्यवाही इस देश में हो तो विदेशों में उसी प्रकार से ग्रावाज उठाने की कोशिश की जाये ग्रीर उसी प्रकार से कार्यवाही हो ग्रीर उस कार्यवाही का विरोध करने के लिये विदेशों में ऐसे मंच का प्रयोग किया जाये, शायद उसकी पेशबन्दी ग्राप पहले से करना चाहते हैं

[डा॰ मुरली मनोहर जोशी] भौर इसीलिए कोई पैरेललिज्म शायद श्रीमती गांधी भौर श्री भुट्टो के मामले के लिए दढा जा रहा हो इस मामले में वरना तो यह साधारण तौर पर पाकिस्तान का ग्रन्दरुनी मामला है. इसमें हस्तक्षेप नहीं करना चाहिये। जिस समय हम मीसा में बन्द य ग्रौर मानवीय ग्रधिकारो का हनन किया गया था उस समय भी किमी राजनीतिज्ञ ने मानवतावण यह कह दिया कि भारतवर्ष में ग्रन्थाय हो रहा है. लाखो लोगो को सताया जा रहा है ग्रौर किसी गैर-सरकारी राजनीतिक मचने भी कह दिया तो उस समय की सरकार के पेट में दर्द भयानक रूप से उठने लगता था कि यह क्यो कहा जाता है ग्रीर कहा जाता था कि उस तमाम ग्रान्दोलन के पीछे विदेशी ताकते काम कर रही है ग्रीर उनको विदेशो का सहारा मिल रहा है। जायद श्रीमती गाधी ग्रौर श्री भट्टो में कोई गेमी साठ-गाठ हई हो कि जब ऐसी चीज हो तो नू मझे बचाना भीर मैं तुझे बचाऊगी। इससे ग्राप यह सिद कर रहे है कि वाकई में कोई न कोई गप्त धारा शिमला समझौते के समय हई है । लेकिन फिर भी उन्होने विदेश मतालय के कार्यकलापो का समर्थन किया है । इसके लिये वह धन्यवाद के पात है। ठीक उसी तरह से जैसे कि श्रीमती गाधी ने उस समय बंगला देश में कोई ग्रन्डरा काम किया तो उस समय हमारे विदेश मती ने क्या कहा होगा, यह तो मैं नहीं जानता क्योकि मैं उस समय संसद सदम्य नही था, लेकिन यदि बंगला देश में श्रीमती गोधी के तेतुत्व के लिये उम्होने कोई प्रशंसा की तो मैं समझता

हं कि वह कोई धापत्तिजनक बात नही थी। यदि धाप इस बात के लिए तैयार हैं कि बगला देश के मामले मे श्रीमती गांधी की प्रशसा विदेस मंत्री ने की तो धाप ध्स बात के लिये भी तैयार रहें कि काश्मीर घौर चीन की समस्या, जिसके लिये धापने उल्लेख किया है, वह भी श्रीमती गांधी के स्वनाम धन्य पिता जी की ही देन थी धौर उसकी निन्टा धौर भर्त्सना के लिये भी धापको तैयार रहना है।

माननीय सदस्य ने कहा है कि केवल ये दो समस्याये ही गेसी है, जिनका निराकरण कर देने पर विदेश मतालय को निकट भविष्य में झास-पास के क्षेत्र में किसी झन्य समस्या का समाधान करने की जमरत नहीं हागी। में उनका ध्यान इस मोर माहरट करना चाहता हं कि ये समस्याये भतपूर्व प्रधान मन्त्री के जिनके लिग उन्हे बहत प्रधिक प्यार है, जिनके प्रति उनकी झाम्था है, पिता जी के वारा ही, देश के भारी विरोध के बावजद ग्रीर उन की सरकार तथा दल के बहत से साथियों की राय के विरुद्ध जा कर पैदा की गई थी। उन्होने ही काश्मीर समस्या को जन्म दिया----जो समस्या नही थी जो समाप्त हो रही थी, जिसका निराकरण भारत की सेनाये फछ ही दिनो झौर कुछ ही घंटो में कर लेती, उसको उन्होने बनाय रखा। इसके लिये उन्हे साधवाद दिया जाये या उनकी भर्स्सना की जाये ? वह झब इस विश्व में नही हैं. ग्रीर दिवंगतो के विषय में कुछ कहा जाये. यह भारतीय परम्परा नही 21

लेकिन मैं भाननीय सदस्य को झाश्वासन देना चाहता हूं कि हमें ध्रपने विदेश मत्री के नेतृत्व पर, उन की डिप्लोमेसी धौर नीतिमना पर, देश के प्रधान मंत्री धौर जनता सरकार पर धौर उनके द्वारा विदेश नीति के सफल संचालन पर, इतना विश्वास है कि ये जो वी समस्याये हमें विरासत में मिली हैं, वे इन दोनों समस्याधो को भी शीघ हल कर देंगे।

409 D.G. 1978-79- CHAITRA 27, 1900 (SAKA) Min. uj 2000-.... Affairs

इस बीज में हमारे विदेश मंत्रालय ने धास-पास के तमाम पडोसी देशों के साथ सम्बन्धी सुधारे हैं। भ्राज से कुछ साल पहले तक म्रापने तमाम पडोसी देशों के साथ हमारा झगडा था। कभी कभी गांव मे कोई बढिया भौरत होती है, जो किसी भी पडोसी क साथ अच्छे सम्बन्ध नही रख सकती, हर एक को टोकती रहती है. हर एक के साथ लडती रहती है. जहां कोई झगडा न हा, वहा भी वह खोज खोज कर लडाई रे लिए कोई बात निकालती है। ग्राज से कूछ साल पहले हमारे देश की भी यही दशा थो जिस देश के साथ कोई झगडा नही था उसके माथ भी सम्बन्ध ग्रच्छ नहीं थे। हमारा कोई भी पडोसी देश हमसे सत्तन्ष्ट नही था। हर एक पडोसी देश हमे झाशंका झौर डर स देखनाथा। हर एक पडोसी देश के साथ हम अगडे के सम्बन्ध स्थापित किये हये थे।

लेकिन यह सौभाग्य की बात है कि झब पाकिस्तान के साथ हमारे सम्बन्ध मधर हो रहे है । बंगलादेश के साथ फरक्का का मामला ग्रीर मीमा-विवाद हल किया गया है। ग्राज-कल हम देख रहे हैं कि नेपाल के साथ हमारे कई प्रकार के झादान-प्रदान हो रहे है। नेपाल ग्रीर भारत के शासन ग्राधिक तथा ग्रन्य क्षेत्रों में मिल कर काम कर रहे है। इसमे तमारी बिजली की समस्या हल हो जाएगी ग्रौर नेपाल का ग्रायिक विकास होगा । श्रील हा र साथ भी हमारा मादान-प्रदान हम्रा है। मौर बर्मा के नाथ भी हम मापसी समस्याग्रो पर विचार कर रहे है। उन्होने आश्वासन दिया है कि वे भारतीय मल के निवासियो की नागरिकता के सवाल को सीझातिशीझ हल करेगे। हमारे राज्य मंत्री वियतनाम गये थे झौर हमने उस देश के साथ 80---90 करोड रुपए का समझौता किया है, उनको सहायता दी है भीर उनके साथ सम्बन्धो मे एक नया प्रध्याय शरू किया गया t I

त्रभी हाल ही में दुनियां के कई देशों के शासनाव्यक भीर राजनीतिज्ञ इस देश मे भाये। वे भारतवर्षके साथ भ्रपने सच्यन्छों को बहुत मधुर, स्यायी भौर स्थिर बनाने के लिए सवेख्ट है मौर हम उनके साथ बराबरी के माधार पर भादान-प्रदान की प्रक्रिया में भाग ले रहे हैं।

माननीय सदस्य ने कहा कि हमें डायना-मिक न्युट्रेलिटी, गत्यात्मक ग्टनिरपेक्षता, अपनानी चाहिये। मैं समझता हं कि हमारी गुटनिरपेक्षता गत्यात्मक होने के साथ साथ वास्तविक भी होना चाहिये। हमारे विदेश मत्री ने इस गुटनिरपेक्षता की व स्तविकता को ग्राधार दिया है। ग्रब हमारी न्युट्लिटी केवल डायनामिक ही नही है, बल्कि रीयल भी है। पिछले दिनो में पछा जाता था कि रीयल नान-एलाइनमेट क्या हीती है----फकत नान-एलाइनमेट होती है। ग्रगर किसी को रीयल नान-एलाइनमेट समझ में नहीं आती है, तो फिर फकत नान-एराइनमेट भी हमारी समझ में नहीं झाती है। झोनली नान-एलाइनमेट क्या होती है, उसका मतलब क्या होता है ? ---- एक बार रूस को गाली दो मौर एक बार भ्रमरीका को गाली दो, एक पांव एक नाव पर रखो, ग्रौर दूसरा पाव दूसरी नाव पर रखो, झौर वे नावे चलती रहे, तो वह गत्पात्मक न्यूट्रलिटी है । उसमे कोई गत्यात्मक न्युट्लिटी नहीं है। उसका कोई मतलब नही है। खद ही इव जायेगे।

दुनिया से ग्रगर इस बारे में किसी को सदेह था, तो वह दूर हो गया है। लोगों ने जका प्रकट की थी कि श्री ग्रटल बिहारी बाजपेयी विदेशी नीति के कर्णधार हो गये है, वह बडे बंगजु किस्म के, बहुत शाविनिस्टिक किस्म के ग्रादमी है, उग्र राष्ट्रवादी है, क्या है, क्या नहीं हैं, किछर चले जायेगे। लेकिन प्रापने देखा कि भापकी वह सारी भाषकाये निराधार हुई। एक बहुत ही यथार्थवादी, गतिश्रील भीर बहुत ही ठोस भाधार पर भाज देश की विदेश नीति को स्थापित किया गया हे भीर सारे दिक्मंडल मे शांति की स्थापना के लिए जैसे बहुत पहले भारतबर्थ से लोग निकल कर जाते थे, भाज उसी प्रकार फिर

413

[डा० मुरली मनोहर जोशी]

से सारे विश्व में शान्ति झौर स्थायित्व की स्वापना के लिए भारत की विदेश नीति सक्षम है और उसके लिए प्रयत्नशील है।

म्रग् प्रमार निवेध की जो संधि है उसके बारे में झौर अणु अस्त्रों के बारे में एक बहुत ही महत्वपुर्ण ग्रोर बहुत ही ठोम कदम उठाया गया है। शायद पहली वार इतनी बहादरी के साथ और इतनी साफगोई के साथ ये बातें कही गई हैं कि हम अग् प्रसार निषेध नीति पर क्यों हस्ताक्षर नहीं कर रहे हैं, उसके पीछे क्या कारण हैं ? ग्राज जो बड़ी भ्रणु शक्तियां हैं उनको हमने अपने मन्तव्य से बिल्कूल साफ-साफ समझा दिश है और म्राज उसका जितना र्याधक एप्रिसिगमन है, माज जितनी मधिक उसके बारे में प्रशंसा है मैं समझता हं कि वह शायद विश्व में कभी नहीं थी। मैं समझता ह कि अपनी विदेश नीति से हम लोग इस भोर पहल करवाने में सक्षम होंगे कि सुपर पावसे. बड़ी शक्तियां जो अणु शक्ति की ठेकेदारी करना चाहती हैं, जो ग्रणु ग्रस्तों की होड़ को वन्द नहीं करना चाहतीं, णायद किसी एक समय-बद्ध कार्यक्रम के ग्रनुसार उनसे हम ग्रण ग्रस्त्रों के प्रसार पर रोक लगवा सकेंगे मीर जो तमाम दूनिया में म्रण ग्रस्त्रों का निर्माण है उसको बन्द भी करवा सकेंगे । मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हं कि विदेश मंत्री जी से कि वह इस म्रोर बहुत सकिय रहें मौर सतकं रहें क्योंकि यह झण ब्रस्तों के प्रसार का निषेध हमारे लिए बहत महत्वपूर्ण है। इस म्रण्नीति के संचालन मंभी मेरा ऐसा विश्वास है कि वह बहत ही कुशलता के साथ इस भ्रोर कदम उठायेंगे ।

हिन्द महासागर और प्रफीका के श्रृंग की समस्या पर हम ने बहुत ही यथार्थवादी दृष्टिकोण प्रपनाया है। मैं इस बाल के लिए भी ग्राप को बधाई देता हूं कि ग्राप ने बार- वार इस नीति को स्पष्ट किया है कि ग्राप हिन्द महासागर में किसी भी प्रकार के बिदेशी मङ्डे को नहीं सहन करेंगे।

भ्रफ्रीका का श्रंग एक बहुत ही विस्फोटक स्थिति को जन्म दे सकता है। यह कहना बड़ा कठिन होगा कि झाज वहां सब चीज बिलकूल शांत हो गई हैं। उस मोर पूरा व्यान दिया जाना चाहिए । भारत के म्रस्तित्व के लिए भारत के तमाम ग्रायिक ग्रौर राजनैतिक हितों के लिए ग्रावश्यक है कि ग्रफीका में शांति स्थापित हो ग्रौर ग्राप वहां जिस प्रकार की नीति का संचालन कर रहे हैं वह सराहनीय है। ग्रारब देशों से ग्राप की मैंत्री है जो बरकरार है स्रौर स्रौर पृष्ट हई है। वहां पर जो वर्ण-संघर्ष है उस में जो भ्राप ने रुख लिया है ग्रौर जिस प्रकार से ग्राप ने खुले शब्दों में यह कहा है कि रोडेशिया की समस्या में यदि ग्रावश्यकता पडेगी तो हर प्रकार से मार्थिक ही नहीं सामरिक तौर पर भी उस की सहायता करने के लिए हम तत्पर रहेंगे. यह एक बहत बहादूरी का वक्तव्य है ग्रौर भारत के उद्देश्यों को, भारत की विदेश नीति के महों को बहत साफ करने वाली चीज Ъ.

विदेश व्यापार भी विदेश नीति से सम्बन्धित रहता है मौर हम ने देखा है कि इस वर्षभारत के विदेश व्यापार में कल्की संतूलन आया है । उसमें कुछ ऐसे मद्दे उमड़ कर सामने आए हैं, जिससे हम यह आशा करते हैं कि स्थायित्व के साथ हमारा विदेश व्यापार बढेगा भौर एसे अनेक द्विपक्षी समझौते होंगे जिन स हमारे झायात निर्यात की स्थिति ठीक होगी ग्रौर हो रही है। जिस प्रकार से वाणिज्य प्रतिनिधि मंडल इधर से उधर जा रहे हैं उसको देख कर यह लगता है कि विदेश नीति का परिणाम हमारी सारी व्यापारिक गतिविधियों पर पड रहा है और व्यापारिक गतिविधियों से वह हमारी सारी श्रीद्योगिक गतिविधियों पर प्रतिविम्बित होगी । स्वाभाविक है कि इस तरह देश के प्राधिक विकास के लिए भी हमारी यह जनता सरकार की विदेश नीति सहायक होगी ।

413 D.G. 1978-79- CHAITRA 27, 1900 (SAKA) Min. of External 414 Affairs

प्राप ने पास पडोस के देशों के प्रति जो अपना रुख रखा है मैं समझता हूं कि वह भारत की प्राचीन विदेश नीति की परम्परा में है । मेरे बहुत मे मिलों ने यह कहा कि भारत की इस नीति के लिए जो प्राज की नीति है, हमे जवाहर लाल नेहरू जी का प्रापार प्रकट करना चाहिए, उन्होने इमका मूलपात किया । मैं समझता हूं कि यह कहना कि उन्होने ही सूलपात किया यह तो कोई यहुत ठीक बात नहीं होगी । भारत की विदेश नीति परम्परा मे यही रही । हमारे विदेश मंत्री ने उस नीति को, भारत के जीवन दर्शन को, भारत की जो एक जीवन की फिलास्फी है उसको प्रक्षेपित करने का प्रयत्न विया है । भारन ने बहत पहले यह वहा वि----

एक सद् विप्रा बहधा बदंति ।

यानी ग्रपने विरोधी को, जिस का हित हम मे बिलकुल विरोधी हो, जिस का दष्टिकोण हम से बिलकुल विरोधी हो. उसकी बात को भी सनना चाहिए, वह भी मच बोल सक्ता है. उसमे भी कोई न कोई तथ्य हो सकता है. उस को एप्रिशिएट करना चाहिए, उसको ममझने की कोणिश करनी चाहिए । जो दसरा प्रतिपक्षी है उस के ऐगिल झाफ थाट को देखना चाहिए. उसको समझने की कोणिण करनी चाहिए । इस देश का दार्शनिक म्तर यही था। इस ने हमेशा अपने जीवन दर्शन में ग्रपनी सम्यता में, ग्रपनी संस्कृति में ग्रपने पडोसियो से ग्रापने संबध मे इस ग्राधार पर ही चर्चा की कि हां. यह भी ठीक कह सकते है । उनका मन्तव्य भी सही हो सकती है उस मे भी दम दो सकता है. उसमें भी वजन हो मकता है. इसलिये उस को देखने की कोशिश होनी चाहिये। इसलिये महिष्णुता, सहग्रम्तित्व गमन्वय-ये तो भारत की प्राचीन परम रा से निकले है. हमारे सम्बन्ध इण्डोनेशिया से लेकर ईरान तक ग्रौर बेबीलोन से थे. दमिश्क से थे ग्रौर ग्ररब देशों से थे. मैक्सिको से थे। उन सारे गम्बन्धो मे भारत के व्यापारिक सम्बन्ध थे ग्रीर सांस्कृतिक सम्बन्ध थे। भारत ने कभी

शोषण नहीं किया । साम्राज्यवाद में विश्वास नहीं किया । भारत के प्रधिक शक्तिशाली होने के बाद भी, सामरिक दष्टि से, नौसेना की दृष्टि से, ग्रस्व-ग्राय्ध्रो की दृष्टि मे, सभी प्रकार में शाकार में वडा होने पर भी, हम ने केवल यही कहा कि हमारा उद्देश्य इस मानवता को ग्रपने ग्रन्भवों में परिचित करना है-

एतद्देश प्रसूतस्य सफाशद् मप्रजन्मन. स्वं स्वं चरित्र शिक्षरन पृथिव्या सवं मानवा यह था----जोवन दर्गन । केवल इस कारण से कि इम सम्यता की श्रुंखला मे हम एक या दो दिन पहले झा गये है तो जो हमारे पास प्रतुभव है, उसको हम मारे विण्व मे वितरित करे, मव के साथ मिल कर उसको शेग्रर करे, मतको साथ मिल कर उसको शेग्रर करे, मतको साथ मिल कर उसको शेग्रर करे, सहभाग के साथ, महिष्णुता के साथ ग्रीर सहयोग के साथ । महयोग, सम्पर्क, सहम्रस्तिरंव ग्रीर सहिष्णुता---ये भारत के जीवन दर्णन की देन है, जिस को हम ने हर जगह उतारा है । भारत ने इस बात को मान्य किया कि यह फिलास्फी केवल पुस्तक लिखने की चीज नही है

MR CHAIRMAN You should try to conclude, your philosophy will help you to do so

डा॰ मुरली मनोहर जोशी :मीलिये याक्ति मन्तुलन की नीति, बैलम ग्राफ पावर टम पर विदेश नीति को ग्राधारित न करते हा विदेश नीति को दर्शन शास्त्र देने को कोगिण की गई। मैं ममझता हू—-दर्शन शास्त्र में ग्राप को कोई एलर्जी नहीं होनी चाहिए। यदि हे तो टस मे मेरा कोई दोष नहीं है, कभी कमी हा सकनी हे।

MR CHAIRMAN I was only trying to point out to you your philosophy and asked you to cooperate.

डा० मुरली मनोहर जोशी : हमारे विदेश मंत्री ने, हमारी जनता सरकार ने, इस जीवन

Min. of External 416 Affairs

[डा॰ मुरसी मनोहर जोशी]

दर्शन की जो परम्परा से भारत का जीवन वर्शन है, उसको विदेश नीति के क्षेत्र में व्यवहरति करने का एक उपत्रम किया है झभी श्रीगणेण है, एक वर्ष हुझा है, लेकिन मुझे बहुत सन्नोष है झौर समाधान है कि इस नीति का वडी कुणलता मे झाप ने संचालन किया है ।

ग्रव केवल एक ग्रन्तिम वाक्य निवेदन करना है कि जो हमारे मधिकारी म्राज विदेश प्रचार विभाग में ग्रीर विदेश प्रकाशन विभाग में काम करते हैं. उनको पूर्नीवन्यास किया जाना चाहिये । भाग्त के मही स्वरूप का परिचय विदेशों के नागरिकों को. विदेशों के बुद्धिजीवियों को करवाना झावश्यक है। भारत केवल ताजमहल ग्रौर कोणार्क ही नहीं है, भारत केवल ग्रजन्ता ग्रीर कृतुब मीनार ही नही है, भारत का जीवन दर्शन. भारत का विज्ञान, भारत का म्राध्यात्म, भारत का सहग्रस्तित्व. भारत की विविधता. भारत की भाषाये----इन सब के बारे मे विदेशों में जानकारी कराना यह हमारे विदेश प्रचार विभाग का परम कर्त्तव्य है। मेग

इन जन्दों के साथ इन ग्रनुदानो का पूरा समर्थन करता हं।

SHRI BEDABRATA BARUA (Kaliabor): It is a matter of satisfaction that the foreign policy has been taken to be the continuation of the country's loreign policy, the outcome of certain geopolitical realities and the Foreign Minister and the foreign ministry had been saying that this country would follow the policies enunciated earlier. As they have stated that was not an election issue. There were certain apprehensions about the sea-change in India's foreign policy to the detriment of the interests of the country, more so when Mr. Vajpayee with all the views he had expressed earlier had come to the stewardship of this minis-

try, it was expected that he would be like a bull in a China shop and distort everything and would disturb our relations with all our friends without making the enemies more inclined towards us. We are happy that this has not happened because it is not a question of having a sigh of relief, it is a national question and it is a good thing that it has not happened. I think we should continue with certain major policies that have been continued. I should like to say that first of all there are certain inevitabilities like our South African policy, policy with regard to Namibia, or Rhodesia-they remain. We can be a bit more tough with reference to this. Then there is with the the question of relations USSR which other speakers also referred to. It is good that we have maintained our good relations; it was expected that these relations would be disturbed.

17 hrs.

Not in the interest of USSR. but in the interest of our country, we need to maintain the closes! relations with the USSR, not only because USSR was of help to us, a persistent and close friend to our coun try, but also because USSR had something to do with our economic development and the USSR also had the same experience that we had USSR had no conflict of interests and we have no bilateral problems with the USSR and the USSR is a super power and our long term interests appear to be similar; our security and our de velopment are closely related to good some relations with USSR. I had doubts that the Government may beprejudices of of certain cause from the podeviate pressures, disaslicy, which would be because this would trous for us lead to a situation where this country may find itself friendless, so far ab our security problems are concerned Although things have improved very because of the Janata much-not Party, but because of the situation as

Min, of External D.G. 1978-79-, CHAITRA 27, 1900 (SAKA) 418 417

it is such-I will give the Janata Party certain credit for improving the relations with our neighbours-I think this security problem will dog us and we can never depend on the smile of any of our neighbours, whose intentions alone could not be a justification for relaxing ourselves. I am also glad that in our relations with the Arab world, there is some significant change in the policy of the Government, but I do not think that they are basic are changes. changes, but there I would like to resterate on behalf of my party that the Government must con. tinue to support this policy and that any settlement in the Arab world must be acceptable to the Arabs and the Palestinians and so long as the Arabs and the Palestinians do not come to a settlement, we should not back other reactionary forces that are operating in that area disrupting the world peace.

It has been claimed that our relations with USA has improved. There is no doubt that it has improved, mspite of the irritants which the USA is capable of applying almost all along the line the irritants are there, they are not as big as the presence of the Seventh Fleet and the famous Nixon tilt, but all the same the irritants would be there. The Foreign Minister knows well that on Tarapur, under the shel ter of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, they are delaying a matter which should not have been delayed. which was their commitment to this country. These irritants appear to be part of the US policy towards our country. I think it is mainly because of their global commitments and their relations with the people around us. which we do not expect to change easily, nor do we want them to change. But I think it is more so due to the US refusal to recognise India as an important power in the world. I do not mean that India should strive to be a super power, which it is not and whatever little options we had about being counted as a nuclear power, we have abdicated and I am sorry to say this. While the nuclear explosion is 602 LS-14.

Affairs

essential for taking the country into a Nuclear Club, I do not mince words in saying that India by her status, by her geography, by her position, by her population deserves to be a member of the Nuclear Club. But now the US position is that India's arms can be twisted, but not China's.

Why not the same position be taken in regard to China? They have reconciled themselves to the Chinese nuclear exlosions and nuclear weaponry, but not ours. Not only that, President after President, the Senate and all power complexes in the United States have taken the position that India has no right to even peaceful nuclear development. A member of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has gone on record saying that the best way to twist the arms of a country like India without mentioning India, is to refuse supplies of even essential costly electricity producing plants. The idea is, even with Tarapore, they would play politics. They would try to pressurise us even when it is a mere electricity producing plant. These are facts which we all know. I would be the last man to say that we should have no good relations with USA USA is the biggest power in the world. It is a military power. It is an economic power. It has a dynamic society and excellent people. That does not mean that even if they would not accept us as a country worthy of the respect due to us, we should cringe. What is really happening is, we have given a guarantee about explosions. Attempts have been made to slur over one fact. I do not think the word 'guarantee' has been used, but no explosions means, you will not be considered a member of the nuclear Club. In 1974. the world press said, India was a member of the nuclear club. After the Baugladesh war after the removal of the constraints that were built around our country by the machinations of big and small powers. India leapt into an era of comparative freedom from the world powers' pressures. Our Government took advantage of this

[Shri Bedabrata Barua]

situation to go ahead. It was the age of Aryabhatta. We launched the space satellite after the Bangladesh war; we had the nuclear explosion and we integrated Sikkim. Unfortunately, the Prime Minister does not see the significance of this integration in terms of our position, in terms of democracy, in terms of progress and doing away with that obsolete protectorate status that Sikkim was supposedly enjoying! We have got today into a position in which because of the United States' commitment, they would pressurise We have made concessions with-118. out achieving anything. I can see the possibility of the U.S. Administration finally announcing that 7.5 tonnes of enriched uranium would be supplied. a week before the visit of the Prime Minister. And, we would look sheepish and obliged that they have made the concession. It may be their style: I do not know.

So far as our neighbours are concerned with Pakistan we are glad we have been improving relations With Bangladesh, bilateral issues appear to have been solved. With Nepal, Bhutan and Sri Lanka, our relations are improving. But in spite of that, may I say that my party feels and I feel that we are adopting too much of non interventionist policy to the extent of being blind to the emerging forces around. It is not only the governments of Bangladesh and Pakistan that we are friendly with it is also with the people. I will not dilate on what has happened to the patriots of Bangladesh who took shelter in our country; I do not have the time. But about the immediate issue of the possible execution of Mr. Bhutto. who with all his defects, represented the people against military rule. I would like to say something. The Janata Party is mommitted very much to liherty I do not see how and when the world is speaking out, for fear of being called interventionist, the Government has kept a very great silence. This is not the way to foresee events. Mr. Bhutto, dead or alive, is going to

rule Pakistan. I have no doubt about it. This is the same with Nepal. We do have good relations with the King of Nepal. But they are all emerging forces with which our equations have gone down, I am sorry to say. I would like to know from the Foreign Minister—I hope he would reply, he has been making allegations that the former Prime Minister had entered into a secret clause in the Simla Agreement.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE. Secret understanding.

SHRI BEDABRATA BARUA: Yes secret understanding. And Mrs Indira Gandhi has denied it. Now, Mrs Gandhi can deny it. It is not for her to prove it But we as the people of India, and I would include people of Pakistan, are not entitled to know as to what really happened. When the Government makes an allegation when the Foreign Minister makes an allegation, it must have a basis We are entitled to know as to whether really our leaders in those days, heads of the Government in those times. really entered into understandings without the knowledge of the people of the sub-continent.

DR. SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY (Rombay North-East): It is a surprise what they did.

SHRI BEDABRATA BARUA. I hope he will make the issue clear, and let this House know, in the first instance, about what actually handened We welcome the developing relations with all those other countries-Burma and Afghanistan. About Vietnam Burma. I am very glad that he made a visit to Burma. Burma is neighbour to India. Burma is suffering from insurgency from all sides I am afraid, even after getting our help and I think insurgency in Burma is verv much related to insurgency in India and other places. It could have a very deep projection into our country's future so far as the eastern area is concorned and India should be able to collaborate with Burma and it is the

411

test of Chinese good intentions whether they would object to this type of a thing or not. As to whether Burma would be agreeable, I have no doubt that the Burmese would like us to help putting down the insurgency. But at the same time they would not date to do it because of the fear of China. Since we are improving relations with China, I hope the bilateral problems would be solved. Unless they are solved, our security problems will re-Our security problems cannot main. be solved unless we have got firm friends. I don't consider USA as a friend as far as security problems are concerned. It could be a friend in economic matters. When the multinationals would like to come, they could be very friendly. And I do not envisage any situation where India finds things much different from what they are today. We do not have any other effective friend except the USSR. I would say that these frozen postures could be detreezed. This is the right time. I hope China may respond. I also hope that in Asian matters, in matters of Asia where we have been taking a back seat, our government will take more interest, our country will take more interest with all this foreign exchange advantage we need trade. Even there the European community today is following a strict'y protectionist policy. In fact, they have become more protectionist than in the We have to face the situation past. that with all the goodwill that they have shown to get into our country and start companies without possibly any capital sometimes, they are not prepared to purchase goods that are produced by our factories.

India had a great time, as I said, when we liberated Bangladesh, when we exploded the nuclear bomb, when we transformed Sikkim from a Protectorate to shared citizenship with the people of India and when we had Aryabhatta hovering over as as a satellite. I hope all these gains would

Min. of External 422 Affairs

be remembered and the Government will continue to assert its independence, not the type of kow-towing---I know it is a strong word, but I am advisedly using it-to the United States who have been trying, in spite of our concessions, I think it is a very major concession which hurts our selfrespect that this country would not go in for nuclear explosions And. without explosives, you cannot have any nuclear development, even if you don't have the bomb. After that also, we find that they are more inclined to twist our arms. Is it because Government has shown some weaknesses, or because Government of India has become weaker? I would like Government to take a strong stand in this respect, consistent with our seif-respect and dignity.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU): Mr. Chairman, Sir, It is my great privilege to participate in this debate on behalf of the Government for the first time. My burden has been very much lessened, because what I find is that there has been a great deal of praise for the foreign policy which this Government has pursued.

HARI VISHNU KAMATH SHRI (Hoshangabad): Others have not spoken yet.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: I have found it to be so, far I know Mr. Kamath will be very critical.

SHRI HARI VISHNU KAMATH: It will be constructive criticism.

SAMARENDRA KUNDU: SHRI belongs to the Janata Mr. Kamath Party. With all love he says this, of course.

I will touch on some of the aspects of our foreign policy, as my esteemed colleague Mr. Vajpayee will deal to broadly with it, while replying the debate. I remember, Mr. Chairman

[Shri Samarendra Kundu]

Sir, how eye-brows were raised by some persons and parties, when the present Government assumed power last year, and some people said that India would end up as a quasi-satellite of some Super Power and that it would heavily lean to one side-like the leaning of the Tower of Pisa; we were particularly told that in West Asia particularly, we would falter and flounder; and that our neighbours would not be kind to us. I am happy to say today that all these have become day-dreams. We have not only emerged from the fire, but have emerged unscathed. And I am proud to say today that India's prestige in the international arena is sky-high. This is the impression I got after my trip to the West as well as to the East, and also after a short visit to the UNO I am happy to convey my impressions to the hon. Members.

The Janata Party which was voted to power by an over-whelming public opinion in the March miracle has, in the past one year, followed a foreign policy of genuine non-alignment; and under the new, dynamic dimensions of this policy all the cobwebs of contradictions have been removed. We have vigorously pursued a policy of genuine non-alignment, under which we have judged various issues strictly on the basis of merit, and in accordwith the U.N. Charter. The ance basic premises of our policy have been to save mankind from the danger of nuclear war, halt the arms race, ensure the elimination of force or threat of force, safeguard the sovereignty of nations, and to follow a strict policy of non-intereference in the internal affairs of other States and to avert or stop conflicts. In spite of all this I am sorry to say it is a tragedy that some leaders belonging to some opposition parties refused to be guided by reason and see the light and go on accusing us, whenever they get an opportunity, of being subservient to some super-power. They are as

blind, I am sorry to say, as the four blind men trying to identify the elephant.

SHRI HARI VISHNU KAMATH: Six blind men.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: Two more are added.

Sri Barua, while making a very good speech with a whole lot of constructive suggestions, said that WP should not take a back seat in Asia. I can assure Shri Baura that we are not going to take a back seat in Asia. May I say that we have at long last, under the Janata Government, begun to take concrete steps for strengthening our ties with countries of Asia and South East Asia. We have realised that this region, with which we share many cultural values and with which we had a long history of spiritual and material inter-action, must receive high priority and a place of its own in our thinking. We have decided to impart fresh vigour to the existing point of contact, as well as develop new areas of understanding and co-operation. We share the same aspirations and, to the extent that our problems are similar and we have common elements in our cultural ethos, any exchange of experience between us can only be a valuable contribution to the process of development.

Let me hasten to add that we are conscious of the fact that our resources are limited. We do not begin with illusions. We are not in a position to launch a massive programme of aid, but we are keen to build ties of mutual beneficial co-operation on as wide a horizon of human endeavour as possible. I would like to add that in line with the world trends, there is consciousness of the importance of regional and sub-regional economic cooperation in this region. To my mind, regionalism or sub-regionalism do not militate either against nationalism or against internationalism. We appreciate the legitimacy of this new urge,

which is manifest even in the ASEAN, which we have welcomed and supported. I hope you will appreciate this is the point of view which my Ministry holds.

In our dealings with South East Asia, we are prepared to co-operate not only bilaterally with the countries thereon but also institutionally with groupings as ASEAN. We are such convinced that ASEAN is an in trument of economic co-operation and not a military alliance. However, this does not mean that we have any desire to joint such groupings as members. In fact, we cannot, if the membership is strictly limited to the South-East Asian countries. But we do hope that the whole of South-East Asia will come within the compass of such a grouping, so that the region can have a new accretion of strength, a new sense of solidarity and can add a new dimension to inter-State relations by creating ties of economic inter-dependence among them, and thus pave the way for harmonious and friendly cooperation, which is more than peaceful co-existence. We just want to live in the do not term "peaceful co-existence"; we want to look beyond that.

Our support to economic co-operation in South-East Asia does not in any way detract from our vision of Asian solidarity. We are detrmined in our own humble way to protect, as far as possible, Asian personality, unfortunately forgotten which was during the last many years. Our national movement contributed to the concept of Pan Asianism and was in turn enriched by it. Unfortunately, Asia, the most populous continent and the cradle of ancient culture and civilisation, has lagged behind in the crystallisation of a regional ethos. This may be due to the fact that we are a heterogeneous continent, but it would be a betrayal of our Asianhood if, engaged in the task of national development of building subregional or regional ties, we are to

lin. of External 426 Affairs

lose sight of our Asian personality. I hope all the Members will bless us so that this Government will have adequate strength to building up the long-cherished Asian personality.

SHRI HARI VISHNU KAMATH: Indian personality also.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: Without Indian personality, there cannot be an Asian personality. You know that, you have told us so many times for the last 30 years and taught us also.

However, we cannot hope that the continental edifices of Asian co-operation would be constructed overnight or in one big jump. We have to make each State in a beginning. Asia. through bilaterial and sub-regional co-operation in our immediate neighbourhood. Once sub-regional groups sprout, take shape and acquire life in various parts of Asia, they will have mutually beneficial interaction with each other, and I am convinced that in course of time these will come together in one form or other and join hands to form an Asian cooperation stretching from one of the continent to the other. That will be the dawn of the Asian personality which will be a factor of international life, a force for peace and co-operation and a synthesis of the great values that originated in Asia and have today become the common heritage of mankind.

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA (Ponnani): The statement should be laid on the Table of the House.

SHRI 6AMARENDRA KUNDU: Ultimately it will be laid, and you can read it when you go back to your home.

Shri Banatwalla perhaps knows that I had visited Vietnam as the leader of the economic delegation, and I can say that I have come back enriched with a lot of goodwill which the people of Vietnam repose in the people of India. It was a very successful trip. This shows that we have widened our contacts in Asia. We have earned a very good friend, Viet Nam. For a humble man like me, it was

Min. of External 428 Affairs

[Shri Samarendra Kundu]

an extremely good gesture for the Prime Minister of Vietnam to walk down all the steps of the Presidential House to embrace me. It is because we said that it was our moral duty to go to the help of the Vietnamese people to heal the wounds of war. Not only did we say that, we also proved it. Later, the Prime Minister of Vietnam came and visited us here. We proved it through the small steps that we took. All the Members know that I do not want to dilate on that point.

I am really amazed at the pragmatism of the Vietnamese people. I can tell you a story. I hope the Members would love to listen to it. I was accompanying the Prime Mmister of Vietnam at the Taj Mahal at Agra. Somebody in the delegation recited an Urdu couplet, and the Prime Minister wanted its translation. It translated. said: was It you see that this Taı Mahal has been built with the tears and sweat of a lot of people. Naturally, I thought that a Communist Prime Minister would clap. But you know what he said. He said that without love this monument cannot be built. So, I only appeal to my CPI friends particularly to understand this pragmatism that has been developed in this part of the world.

During this period, cultural agreements have been signed with Thailand, Malaysia and Vietnam and our maritime boundary with Thailand and Indonesia has been finalised. Our trade, our cooperation in the field of industrial development, education and culture with the South East Asian countries has shown a measurable increese. The process, I am sure, will gather momentum.

Mr. Chairman, when you were there on your seat, you so kindly referred to non-alignment. You said that nonalignment is not meant for kceping quiet. I can assure you, Mr. Chairman that we do not believe that nonalignment is non-involvement. We do not believe that non-alignment, is neutralism and if anybody has given a concrete shape to this idea that nonalignment, is not neutrality, it is the Janata Party by pursuing a dynamic non-aligned policy.

The hon. Members would receall that within hardly a fortnight of taking over reins of office. the Janata Government hosted the Conference of Foreign Ministers of the Coordinating Bureau of Non-aligned countries. The Conference served to reinforce India's commitment to the policy of non-alignment which has been the corner-stone of our foreign policy since Independence. For India, as indeed for all the other nonaligned countries, the policy of nonalignment flows from our struggle for freedom from colonial rule. The policy is inspired by the ideals of our freedom struggle and reflects the deep desire of our people to preserve comindependence based on our plete interests and independent national judgment of international issues on their merits without being influenced one way or the other by outside forces.

The Government has not only declared at the earliest opportunity its resolve to continue non-alignment but has in the course of one year endeavoured to restore to the policy its original positive thrust. When I attended the 32nd session of the UN General Assembly last year. I found that our stress on genuine nonalignment and our decision to pursue the policy with vigour and dynamism had been understood and appreciated by the world community in its proper perspective. Consistent with non-alignment we have maintained that our faith is in genuine and not tactical peaceful co-existence. We adhere to the policy of promoting non-military and nonideological bilateral relations with all countries regardless of their social systems. Our emphasis has been on cooperation and not confrontation. We have stood for preservation of peace and international stability, elimination of the remnants of colonialism and the monstrosity of racial discrimination and apartheid.

May I add that it is not a concept of ideology of a narrow grouping of States but a positive and infactor dispensable even in a fast changing multipolar world for strengthening, the forces of peace and for the promotion of cooperation among nations on the basis of equality and justice to usher in a new international economic order. Our endeavour will continue to make the movement purposive, dynamic and independent so that it will effectively represent the hopes and aspirations of the millions of people helonging to this movement.

I shall take only 5 minutes to refer to a far off continent that is known as Latin America and South America. While developing warm relations with our neighbouring countries. please do not for a moment think we are oblivious of our friends in distant places in South America. In October last year, when I went to New York to attend the UN General Assembly season. I took the opportunity of visiting some of the countries in the region. Unfortunately. because of shortage of time, I had to confine my visit only to Trinidad & Tobago and Guyana I was indeed moved by the warmth of friendship showered on me during the visit by many leaders of these countries, including Acting President, Prime Minister, Foreign Minister and others.

There are some 20 odd Republics in this part of the world. The total area of the region is over 8.5 million sq. miles and the estimated population is about 270 million. The population is likely to reach the 400-million mark by the year 2000.

The economic growth rate of some of these countries is equally impressive. Brazil's volume of annual trade is over 22 billion dollars and this country which has an area of 8.5 million sq. kms. and a population of 110 million is gradually assuming

Min. of External Affairs

the status of the colossus of the South America. Argentina is the other leading nation in South America which exports large quantities of foodgrains, meat and milk products. The average per capita income of these countries is around 1000 dollars.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayinkil): There is no democracy in that area. They are killing all national freedom movements. I want that Govsolidarity with ernment to express the people, not with the military regimes in South America.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU-It is also gratifying to note that there is a great respect and admiration for Indian philosophy and culture in most of these countries. The names of Indian leaders, like, Mahatma Gandhi, Rabindra Nath Tagore are household words in many of these countries. There is no doubt that all these couninterested in tries are developing closer relations with India, particularly after the new Government, the Janata Government, has come.

I am fully convinced that after the peaceful revolution of the last general elections with the show of maturity and wisdom of our people to defend values of democracy new hopes of cooperation have been aroused in these parts of the world.

We are making every effort for expanding our economic and cultural cooperation in the fields of dance, music, art, trade and commerce, agriculture, industry, science and technology.

Now I will take you to the field of economic activity in West Asia. The other matters will perhaps be dealt with by my senior colleauge. Mr. Vajpayee. The resources of these rich countries have embarked upon an unprecedented programme of economic development. Till date in the West Asia and North Africa region. Indian parties both in the public sector and in the private sector have been awarded

Min. of External 432 Affairs

[Shri Samarendra Kundu]

projects as prime contractors or subcontractors of the value of Rs. 1500 crores. Out of this, during the last 12 India secured contracts to months, the tune of Rs. 740 crores-when our Government, when Janata Government came to power-which is almost 50 per cent of the total value of contracts so far secured by us. This has been possible only because those people have trust and confidence in us. What we say they believe. Now these people act on it. We do not believe m diplomatic talk in these matters-to speak with tongue in the cheek. Sir, this is not all. As of date. Indian parties are in various stages of processing and negotiating offers and tenders for projects valued at approximately Rs 2500 crores. These figures appeared staggering but considered in the context of a planned expenditure during the next five years of roughly about US \$ 400 billion by the major oil exporting countries in the WANA 'egion, this is insignificant. Therefore, Mr Chairman, Sir, we are aware of the new responsibilities and my Ministry is doing everything possible to see that this part of the world gets support and encouragement and through cooperation of all our activities are this part of the world developed in and are also strengthened.

Now I come to the passport and immigration. Immediately, after the new Government came to power and restored democracy, it tried its best to translate into action the fundamental right and desire of the people to travel freely and hopefully. It is indeed unfortunate and sad that during the dark days of emergency, dark days of tyranny, there was serious curb on this valuable right of the citizens. And on unsigned and unidentified slips supposed to have been given by the police travel documents were not issued. This is what we discovered when we came to power. No sooner than the new Government introduced liberalisation of issue of passports it faced with unprecedented inflow of passport applications, etc.

During the year 1977-78, the output of passports was 9,06,061 as compared to 5,73,527 in 1976-77 which represents an increase of nearly 60 per cent. In one year, there has been a tremendous increase-60 per cent. I hope the hon. Members will realise and appreciate this. Mr Ravi will realise and appreciate this because I had been to Ernakulam, and he knows what I have done. Members will realise that a new spirit of enthusiasm we have released among the people; they feel that they are free; not only do they feel free but they want to translate it into action by trying to acquire passports. And this Government is determine to give all of facilities to these peop'e and see that all those who want to have passports get the passports at the minimum possible time ...

MR CHAIRMAN' You have already taken about 35 minutes Your senior colleague is going to take about one hour Then what will be the time left out for the members? Please do not yield to the other Members.

SAMARENDRA SHR KUNDU: Nevertheless, in spite of this increase, the inflow of aplications also recorded an increase of nearly 65 per cent. While in some offices such as Bombay, there are hardly any arears, in other offices such as Ernakulam there are large arrears. May I add here- Mr. Ravi knows about it; I had been to Ernakulam-that there are more than two lakhs of applications in backlog there, and I have said that, by the end of this year, all the backlog will be wiped out and people will start getting passports within 35 to 45 days.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: Are you making any arrangement for it?

433 D.G. 1978-79- CHAITRA 27, 1900 (SAKA) Min. of External 424

SHEI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: Yes. We do not sleep. What do you think?

SHRI MANORANJAN BHAKTA (Andaman and Nicobar Islands): In Port Blair, they have stopped issuing passports because you have introduced a Bill here to increase the fee upto Rs. 50 and you have instructed that, till it is passed, either Rs. 50 may be collected or the issue of passports may be stopped....

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: No.

SHRI MANORANJAN BHAKTA: I have seen personally. You inquire into it.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: It cannot be so.

All passports issued earlier are converted to liberalised endorsements system on application, and it is estimated that over 700,000 passports have now been validated on the basis of the liberal policy.

It has also been decided to open Regional Passport Offices in Rajasthan and Karnataka as well as Sub-Regional Passport Offices in Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Oriasa, Jammu and Kashmir and Calicut.

In Bangalore, Jaipur and Calicut, accommodation has also been arranged and other ancillaries such as furniture, telephones, etc, are being moved into position, so that they will start functioning early in June, 1978.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR (Gandhinagar): Please strengthen the existing passport offices also in terms of personnel because delays are increasing-more applications and more delays. Please look into that aspect also.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: I am very much thankful to you for pointing this out. We are very much concerned about this. We have increased the strength, and we will still increase the strength and will see that all backlogs are cleared by certian fixed periods, and then, we can assure you, every applicant can get the passport in 35 to 45 days.

l) Min. of External 434 Affairs

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: **Every** day we get dozens of applications for passport and we sign them. We do not mind it. But having signed them and having given the clearance, we find that the passport offices take many more weeks. Then what is the point in burdening us with this and not improving the passport offices?

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: This will be streamlined. I can give the assurance that, as far as possible, it will be streamlined. Our passport offices are working on a war-footing.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: We strike off the line if we do not know the persons concerned for more than two years. Naturally if I do not know a person for more than two years. I have to strike off that line. The Passport offices sometimes refuse those applications. You must see to it that, when the signature of M.P. is there, even when we strike off that line truthfully---to say that we do not know the person or persons concerned for more than two yearsthat application should be considered as valid

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: It cannot be considered as valid. In that case, you should not sign.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: How can we know so many people?

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE): Then do not sign.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: This is a serious point. If Members are given the right to sign,—after all, Members represent their constituencles—they cannot afford to displasse as many people....(Interruptions)

AN HON. MEMBER: You can, if you change your constituency. PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: In all seriousness I suggest that, after having given the right to MPs to sign, Government should think in terms of eliminating this requirement of two-year acquaintance. That is more honest. Do not make us sign something by being false to ourselves. We want to be truthful our conscience and also serve our countrymen.

AN. HON. MEMBER: Once we say that we are satisfied, what is the necessity of requiring an acquaintance of two years?

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: Yes, Kindly consider this suggestion.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: Certainly we will consider the suggestion with all sympathy

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: Don't make us liars.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: We are suggesting that in those cases where you are not sure that you know the applicant for two years, you can direct him to sign an affidavit and file it. We have introduced new methods whereby he can sign an affidavit and file it. We will give due consideration to your suggestion. Instead of two years, we can make it one year, but you must know the person for a certain period.

Now, the dissemination of passport application forms through Post Offices was introduced in Delhi and has been extended to Punjab, Gujarat, Kerala, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu. It is expacted that this system will gradually cover the whole country and application forms will be made available at Head Post Offices in the various States.

Coming to the immigration point, lots of doubts

SHRI CHITTA BASU: He says that you should take away the right you have given us to sign on the passport application. (Interruptions)

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: How can I venture to take away rights given to a Member of Parliament

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: You remove the sentence regarding two years' acquaintance.

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU; We will consider it (Interruptions).

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: Why? You are getting an opportunity to meet so many people!

SAMARENDRA KUNDU: SHRI Now, regarding immigration, I would however like to draw the attention of the Hon. Members to the fact that in issuing passports we also have to bear in mind that we have a duty to see that Indian citizens and specially those belonging to poorer and weaker sections of the people are not lured by unscrupulous agents into travelling abroad either with false expectation of work or on terms and conditions which would be exploitative. It is in this context that we are all aware that the immigration Act of 1922 is somewhat outmoded and an examination is currently under way in order to overhaul this legislation and bring it up-to-date. The Ministry of Labour, in collaboration with us, is actively engaged in this case.

We fully realise that there is still scope for improvement in the functioning of our passport office. Every member of the public has a right to receive courteous attention in these offices. It shall be our endeavour to do so. I may add here that we have promptly looked into the complaints whenever they have come to us and we would like to assure the people that we will welcome and look into any complaint on transgression of these morms.

To end my speech I will refer briefly to the 'Haj' matter. Thousands

D.G. 1978-79-CHAITRA 27, 1900 (SAKA) Min. of External 437

of Muslims go to do Haj and, on that also, we have taken some sort of a revolutionary decision.

The Government has paid special attention during the last year to improving the facilities for the members of our Muslim community to go to Saudi Arbia for Haj and to other Muslim countries for Ziarat. The Government have agreed to release foreign exchange for 20,000 Haj pilgrims in 1978, a record figure. The amount of foreign exchange has also been increased. The Haj Committee and the Central Haj Advisory Board have been re-constituted and are actively engaged in identifying the problems and in suggesting solutions for them. The Government will consider their recommendations with sympathy.

The Government are considering the replacement of the present Haj Committee Act by a new enactment which would democratise and broadbase the composition of the Committee and streamline the Haj machinery. The Government have also decided to strengthen the Haj Wing of the Embassy of India, Jeddah so that it can look after the welfare of pilgrims more effectively. This year, for the first time, we hope to send a medical mission of 14 doctors and 14 compounders as against ten each in the past years. Government is in touch with Government of Saudi Arabia for the restoration of Indian Rubats for the use of Indian pilgrims during Haj season and the problem has become particularly urgent because of the high cost of accommodation. Last year, they were asked to pay about Rs. 800 for less than 2 sq. metres of floor accommodation. This is indeed unfortunate. As I said earlier, we will do everything possible to see that life's cherished desire of a pious muslim to do Haj is realised.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: There is a complaint from the people of Kerala that they are put to a lot of difficulties because of the Immigration Rules.

438 Affairs

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: You always think of Kerala and Ernakulam; you never think beyond that. You should think beyond that.

In spite of the Immigration Act, we are trying to liberalise the conditions. We have given instructions that the genuine people who go abroad for jobs or otherwise should not be obstructed. But at the same time, you must agree with us that the people who go should not turn out to be destitutes and bring shame to us. In order to keep the prestige of India very high and also for the food of these people, it would be in the fitness of things if some restrictions are there. But we have also said that genuine people who have some sort of documents with them can always go.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: In the West Asian and Gulf countries, you are not putting important people as ambassadors. That is the main complaint. They are not able to look after the interest of the country nor are able to improve our relations with them. You must see that these embassies are suitably strengthened.... (Interruptions)

SHRI HARI VISHNU KAMATH: This is a bachelor Minister's maiden speech in the House.

MR. CHAIRMAN: That is why I gave him forty-five minutes, while his senior colleague is going to take one hour. Nothing is left perhaps.

SUBRAMANIAM DR. SWAMY: There is no need for Shri Vajpayee to reply.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Have you anything else to say, Mr. Minister?

SHRI SAMARENDRA KUNDU: No, Sir.

18 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, April 18, 1978 Chaitra 28, 1900 (Saka).

GMGIPND_L_602LS-20-6478-880