497 Aligarh Mushim

MR. CHAIRMAN: Subject to correction the result*** of the division is:

Ayes: 23

Noes: 33

The motion is not carried by the required majority. It is not passed

The motion was negatived.

17.53 hrs.

ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY (AMENDMENT) BILL

MR. CHAIRMAN: The House will now take up the next item in the agenda, the Aligarh Muslim University (Amendment) Bill.

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA (Ponmani): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Aligarh Muslim University Act, 1920, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

Sir, this Bill originated in the Rajya Sabha as a Private Members' Bill, moved by the hon. Member, Shri Triloki Singh and it was passed by that House. Now I have the honour and pleasure to move in this august House for the consideration of the Bill that has been passed by the Rajya Sabha.

Sir, I had also introduced in this House an identical Bill, which of course aimed at the amendment of the Constitution. That Bill became a victim of procedural difficulties and could not come up for discussion. In the meantime, the Rajya Sabha has passed this Bill. I have come before this House to move this Bill, and J am sure the House will join me up passing this Bill and placing it on the statute book. The Bill represents the strong sentiments and aspirations of Muslims who have courted arrests and even shed their blood for the restoration and legal recognition of the minority character of the University in a manner as to accure the protection of Art. 30(1) of the Constitution.

I quote this Article. Article 30(1) says:

"All minorities, whether based on religion or language, shall have the right to establish and administer educational institutions of their choice."

It is, however, most unfortunate that untenable arguments are formulated and advanced in order to deprive the Muslims of their university. It is unfortunate that such arguments are advanced that the university was never established by the Muslims that the university had no link whatsoever with the Muslims exclusively, that the Muslims never had exclusive power for administration of the university and that non-Muslims have been given admission in the university. I say that all such arguments are most unfortunate. It has been contended that from the point of view of establishment and from the point of view of administration Aligarh Muslim University has no link with any particular community exclusively. Therefore, the University cannot lay any claim to be a minority institution as envisaged by Article 30(1) of the Constitution and consequently the Muslims cannot claim to have governing powers. Such was the nature of contention made by the hon, Minister Dr. P. C. Chunder, in the Rajya Sabha when the Bill was under consideration.

.... The following members are re corded their Votes:

AYES: Shri A. Sunna Sahib.

NOES: Prof. Samar Guha, Shri Shambhunath Chaturvedi and Shri Sushil Kumar Dhara, 489 Aliganh Muslim CHAITRA 18, 1961 (SAKA) Expeditious disposal University (Amendt.) of claims for grant of Bill

THE MINISTER OF EDUCATION, SOCIAL WELFARE AND CULTURE (DR. PRATAP CHANDRA CHUN-DER): On the basis of Supreme Court decision.

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA: I will come to all those things. I have just started, and I am sure that by the time I end, you will be on my side.

About this contention, the least that can be said is that it is most unfortunate. I am constrained to remark that the contention is a perversion of facts with complete disregard for all truthfulness and honesty. That the University is a Muslim institution and that it was primarily founded for the benefit of the Muslims is a question that cannot be disputed. It is unquestionable. Sir, I would here refer to the Report of the Aligarh Muslim University Inquiry Committee, 1961, appointed by the Executive Council of the University in consultation with and at the instance of the Government of India. It is popularly known as the Report of the Chatterjee Committee. At page 110 of the Report it is clearly stated:

16.00 hrs.

"After a careful study of the then prevailing conditions in India, that great man, the late Sir, Syed Ahmad Khan, arrived at the conclusion that the backwardness of the Muslim community was due to the neglect of the modern education. The Indian war of Independence had left the Muslims of India who had played a notable part in it frustrated and disorganised.....

"They had a vielent prejudice against Western education and all that it stood for. Sir Syed fait that that stitude was greatly injurious to their interests. He, therefore, wasted them to have the benefits of a liberal education or western lines for without that he of claims for grant of Ex-gratic compensation for properties left in Former East Pakistan (HAH DIS)

felt they would not be able to progress along lines which would fit them to make their full contribution to the country of their birth."

MR. CHAIRMAN: He may continue the next day.

16.01 hrs

HALF-AN-HOUR DISCUSSION

EXPEDITIOUS DISPOSAL OF CLAIMS FOR GRANT OF EX-GRATIA COMPENSATION FOR PROPERTIES LEFT IN FORMER EAST

PAKISTAN

PROF. SAMAR GUHA (Contai): This half-hour discussion is regarding the unfortunate condition of the refugees from the former East Pakistan. This relates to their properties, and the question is whether they will get any kind of compensation or not.

It is known to you and to this House that after partition, those refugees who migrated form West Pakistan exchanged their properties and also got compensation in cash and kind to the tune of about Rs. 400 crores. But in the case of refugees from East Pakistan, although their number was much larger than those who migrated from West Pakistan, not a single farthing of compensation was given to them for the properties they had left behind, worth thousands and thousands of crores, both movable and immovable.

It is also known that at the time of partition, and also in 1956 under the Nehru-Liaqat Pact, it was agreed by the Government of Pakistan and the Government of India that the minorities, those who migrated to Pakistan and the minorities who had come from Pakistan to India would retain their rights of property, both movable and immovable, and that they would be allowed to dispose of their properties also. At that time there was no passport, no restriction for going from this side to the other. In 1953 paseport was imposed, and there was no

490