near Alwaye (CA)

tion, retirement and conditions of service of Mem-

tion, retirement and conditions of service of Members) Amendment Rules, 1978, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 613 in Gazette of India dated the 13th May, 1978.

- (ii) The University Grants Commission (Disqualification, retirement and conditions of service of members) (Third) Amendment Rules, 1978, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 31(E) in Gazette of India dated the 18th January, 1979.
- (iii) The University Grants Commission (Returns and Information by Universities) Rules, 1979, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 32(E) in Gazette of India dated the 18th January, 1979.

[Placed in Library, See No. LT-4457/79.]

- (5) A copy each of the following papers (Hindi and English versions):—
 - (i) Annual Report of the Bal Bhawan Society, India, New Delhi, for the year 1977-78 along with the Accounts and the Audit Report thereon,
 - (ii) Review by the Government on the working of the Bal Bhawan Society. India, New Delhi, for the year 1977-78.

[Placed in Library, See No. LT-4458/79.]

Notification under Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WORKS AND HOUSING AND SUPPLY AND RE-HABILITATION (SHRI RAM KIN-KAR): I beg to lay on the Table a corn of the Urban Land (Ceiling

and Regulation) Second Amendment Rules, 1979 (Hindi and English versions) published in Notification No. G.S.R. 271(E) in Gazette of India dated the 27th April, 1979 under sub-section (3) of section 46 of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 together with an explanatory memorandum. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4459/79.]

PRESIDENT'S ORDER UNDER GOVERNMENT OF UNION TERRITORIES ACT.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AF-FAIRS (SHRI DHANIK LAL MAN-DAL): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the order of the President dated the 10th May, 1979 issued under section 51 of the Government of Union Territories Act, extending the President's tule in Pondicherry for a further period of six months commencing from 12th May, 1979, published in Notification No. S.O. 263(E) in Gazette of India dated the 10th May, 1979. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4460/79.}

NOTIFICATION RE. FLOATATION OF MARKET LOANS BY CENTRAL GOVERNMENT.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI ZULFIQUARULLAH): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of Notification No. F.4(1)-W&M/79 (Hindi and English versions) dated the 10th May, 1979 regarding floatation of market loans by the Central Government. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-4461/79.]

11.50 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

REPORTED COLLISION OF A BUS WITH TRIVANDRUM-BOMBAY JAYANTI JANATA

EXPRESS NEAR ALWAYE STATION.

MR. SPEAKER: Shri Ram Gopal Reddy—he is not here.

SHRI C. N. VISVANATHAN (Tirupattur): I call the attention of the Minister of Railways to the following matter of urgent public importance and request that he may make a statement thereon:

The reported collision of a Bus with No. 82 Up Trivandrum-Bombay Jayanti Janta Express near Alwaye station on the 9th May. 1979 resulting in the death of several persons.

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE): Sir. Hon'ble Members of the House are already aware of the unfortunate accident involving an Express train and a bus near Alwaye in Kerala resulting in heavy casualties. about 15.17 hours on 9-5-1979, while 82 Up Trivandrum-Bombay Janata Express, with a load of 13 coaches and hauled by a diesel locomotive, was running between Alwaye and Angamali stations on the Cochin Harbour-Shoranur Board Gauge line section of Olavakkot Division of Southern Railway, it dashed against a tourist bus No. TMX-1755 at 'C' class unmanned level crossing No. 64 situat. ed at Km. 80/12-13. The bus was dragged upto Km. 80/4-5 and caught fire. The bus is said to be carrying tourists bound for Kaladi, the birth place of Adi Sankara, from Arni, near Madras. They were all employees of the Tamil Nadu Government's Land Record Survey Department and their families.

As a result of the accident, out of 59 occupants of the bus, 36 died on the spot and 4 in the hospitals, bringing the total number of deaths to 40. Of the remaining 19 persons, 13 persons sustained grievous injuries and 6 others simple injuries. There were no injuries either to the train crew or the passengers on the train.

Immediately on receipt of information about the accident medical relief

Alwaye (CA) vans were rushed the to site from Shoranur and Ernakulam. The injured were removed to various hospitals at Angamali, Alwaye and Ernakulam. The General Managar, the Chief Medical Officer and other senior railwayofficers rushed to the site of the accident immediately on receipt of the information. The Superintendent of Police, the Commissioner of Police and District Collector, Ernakulam, and Deputy Superintendent of Police, Alwaye also reached the site.

Ex-gratia payment to the next of km of the dead and to the injured has been arranged by the Railway.

Motor Vehicle Rules provide that the Driver of bus should stop at some distance from unmanned level crossings and depute his conductor to walk ahead of the bus to ensure safe passage. The caution boards already exist on both the sides of this particular level crossing.

In the present case, according to the eye witness account, some of the passers-by warned the bus Driver of the approaching train but he ignored their warning and proceeded to cross the railway line.

Additional Commissioner of Railway Safety is holding an inquiry into this accident from 13-5-1979 at Ernakulam.

This was a great tragedy and I am sure the House will join me in conveying our heartfelt sympathies to the members of the bereaved families.

SHRI C. N. VISVANATHAN: Sir, the hon. Minister has made a statement on the unfortunate accident. I join him in wishing a speedy recovery of the passengers involved in the accident. The Minister has mentioned in his statement that the Motor Vehicle Rules provide that the Driver of a bus should stop at some distance from unmanned level crossings.

[Shri C. N. Visvanathan]

It is also reported in the paper that the engine driver should have actual. whistled before crossing unmanned level crossing according to the rules. But, he never did it in this case. According to a co-passenger who travelled in that bus-, the survivor Shri Dharmalingam, who was sitting behind the driver, he never heard the whistle of the engine. Further. the General Manager of the Southern Railway, Mr. Murti who visited the spot, said that the visibility was very clear for more than half a kilometer in the area because of the track passing through an open field. At the same time, it is also reported in the papers that a taxi-driver who is residing at this place had passed through this area a number of times and he said that the vehicle coming from westerly direction would not be able to see the train approaching from the south direction because of the obstruction of the buildings, trees etc. So, Sir, there is a controversy between the Statement of the General Manager in the Hindu and this statement. The General Manager of the Southern Rai'way said that the visibility is very clear. Actually the bus driver is aiso responsible. In the Kerala area five months before, the same kind of accident occurred near Trichur in December, 1978 where 16 persons lost their lives. This accident also took place in the unmanned level crossing.

A number of accidents occur in the unmanned level crossings. I cannot blame the Minister alone. I cannot also blame the Ministry of Railways alone. Everybody is responsible. The House can never forget the railway accident that occurred some years ago in which our beloved friend, Shri Prakash Vir Shastri, Rajya Sabha Member lost his life. Some concrete steps should be taken to avert such railway accidents in future.

I want to know from the Hon Minister what concrete steps is he going to take to avoid such accidents in future? There are many unmanned level crossings in India. May I know from him the number of such unmanned level crossings? Has he any
specific proposal to import automatic
level-crossing gates? Will the Minister make a through enquiry into the
matter? And will he make use of the
man-power resources available in this
country to man such unmanned level
crossing? My last question is what
concrete steps is the Minister going
to take so that there is no such accident occurring in future?

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: As far as the level crossings are concerned, in India, out of 40,000 level crossings, about 22,000 are unmanned level crossings.

Formerly, according to the provisions—this is a statutory provision—if an unmanned level-crossing has to be converted into manged level-crossing and, i it happens to cover the national highway, in that case, it will be the Ministry of Transport's responsibility to bear the initial cost, if it is a highway other than the national highway, in that case, it would be the State Government's responsibility. If the road concerned happens to fall in the Municipality or Corporation area and the level crossing is on that part of the road, then, it is the responsibility of the Municipality or But, unfortunately. Corporation. this provision was not implemented either by the Municipality or Corporation authority nor by the State Government.

Therefore, while presenting the budget for 1978-79, I made a new announcement that since the State Governments are not undertaking this responsibility and since the safety aspect is very important, the Railways themselves will undertake this responsibility of bearing the initial cost of converting those of the unmanned crossings into manned crossings as are potentially hazardous to the safety of passengers. Out οf 22,000 unmanned level crossings,

281

there are some which are hazardous and we are trying to decide the nature of such crossings by finding out the traffic density. Number of trains multiplied by the number of vehicles that cross is the unit. it is larger and it is an unmanned crossing, we try to convert it into a manned one. As far as this particular spot " concerned in the course of the last five years not even a single accident took place and, therefore, naturally this unmanned crossing was not considered as prone to accidents and, as such, it was not converted

Then, Sir, as far as vehicles Act is concerned it provides that there should be a notice board also at these unmanned crossing that the bus is supposed to stop and after the bus halts some feet away from the crossing the conductor is supposed to get down and give guidance to the bus. In this particular case, even the Press has reported that a number of cye-witnesses were shouting that the train is coming but inspite of that, probably by wrong judgment, the bus driver tried to go shead.

Sir, we will review from time to time the position of various crossings and those which are unmanned but required to be converted into manned one, we will try to convert them into manned crossings.

SHRI C. N. VISVANATHAN: The Minister has not said anything about my point regarding the driver's responsibility.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: Sir, I will clarify that point. As far as the responsibility of the engine driver is concerned, firstly, it is an unmanned crossing and there is no gate. It is a run-through track and there is no signalling arrangement. From time to time the drivers go on giving whistle. It is alleged that the whistle was not blown. Sir, when the Additional Commissioner of Rail-

way Safety is already investigating the matter this particular complaint which the hon'ble Member has made on the floor of the House will also be communicated to him and he will investigate on this point as well.

Alwaye (CA)

12 hrs

भी राम विलास पासवान (हाजीपुर) : मंत्री महोदय ने जो उत्तर दिया है उसके पहले 🕈 में उन्होंने इस बात को कबल किया है कि समपार संख्या 64 पर कोई चौकीदार नहीं या भीर भाखिरी पैरा से पहले उन्होंने मोटर वीहिकल्ज रूल्ज का हवाला दिया है और कहा है कि बस डाइवर को पहले ही रुक जाना चाहिये था ग्रीर अनुमति लेनी चाहिये थी। लेकिन जब चौकीदार ही नहीं था तो धन्मति किस की लेने के लिए वह रुकेगा? सब से गंभीर बात उन्होंने यह बताई है कि पांच हजार गेमे फाटक है जहां कोई चौकीदार नहीं है ग्रीर उन्हे निए कोई इस तरह की व्यवस्था नहीं की गई है। मैं सलाहकार समिति का भी सदस्य हूं। मंत्री महोदय हम लोगों को समय समय पर बराबर इस प्रकार की दर्घटनाओं की जानकारी भी देते रहते है। दर्घटनाम्रो के बारे में उन्होंने हमें एक समीका भी दी है। उसके प्रनुसार ट्रेन बेसिस या इस तरह की दूसरी दुर्घटनाध्रों की संख्या उन्होंने हमें बताई है। उसके अनुसार इस तरह की 1975-76 में 105 घटनाएं हुई थीं, 1976-77 में 86, 1977-78 में 93 और 1978 के दिसम्बर मास तक 65 इस तरह की घटनायें घटी थीं। और मैं समझता हूं कि यह जो दुर्घटना है यह सबसे बड़ी है जैसा कि प्रैस रिपोर्टस से भी मालम होता है। भीर दर्घटनाओं के पीछे प्रति वर्ष करीब 3 करोड़ रु० मे अधिक की क्षति होती है। सरकार कभी कभी वमेटी नियक्त करती है, एक जांच कमेटी 1968 में नियक्त की गई तो उसने प्रधिकांश लावेबिलिटी रेजवे कर्मचारियों पर दी [र्श्वाराम विजास पानवान]

भीर कहा है कि रेल कर्मचारियों का भी किसी न किसी रूप में हाथ रहता है। मंत्री जी ने हमें रेल भवन में एक ट्रेन भी दिखलाई थी जिसमें था कि जब इस प्रकार के खतरे की संभावना होती है रेल के इंजन में झावाज भाने लगेगी भीर दृाइटर को खतरा मालुम हो जायगा । इस प्रकार की दुर्घटनायें कभी भी घट सकती हैं। ग्रभी हम लोग खगरिया से झा रहे थे श्रीर खगरिया के बगल में मान्सी में जहां भ्रापका चौकीदार है वहां गाड़ी नहीं भी ब्राती है तो भी किमिनल्स की सांठगांठ से रेल का फाटक गिर जाता है भौर बसों भौर टक्स को लुट लिया जाता है। तो एक तरफ तो धापके पास फाटक पर चौकीदार नहीं हैं उसके लिये म्रापने कहा है कि भ्रोवरिक्रज श्रीर ५ण्डर⊦रज की व्यवस्था है, भीर म्रापने कहा है कि 40 से प्रधिक स्रोवरिक्रज श्रीर सन्डरिक्रज बन चके हैं। तो 22,000 जो फाटक हैं बिना चौकीदार के उन पर कब तक चौकीदार रख दिया जायगा ग्रीर ग्राने वाले सालों में ग्रापने कितने ग्रोबर-विज और धन्डरपाउन्ड विजेज की ध्यवस्था की है और झाप क्या तरीका झपना रहे है जिससे भविष्य में ऐसी दुर्घटनायें न घटें ?

प्रो॰ सधु बंडकते : पहला सवाल पूछने में माननीय सवस्य को कुछ गलतफहमी हुई है मैंने कभी यह नहीं कहा कि मोटर बैहिकिल्स ऐक्ट के तहत कोई भी मोटर बस मनमैं इ लेबिल कौसिय के पास माती है तो गेट मैन की भनुमति से रकना चाहिये । धनमैंड का मतलब ही है कि बहां चौकीबार ही नहीं होता, इसलिये किसी की भनुमति का सवाल नहीं होता । इसलिये मोटर वे हिकल्स ऐक्ट में कहा गया है, भगर चौकीवार होता तो बही फाटक बन्च कर देता, लेकिन जो धनमैंड गेट्स हैं वहां कानून के मुताबिक भीर बोई पर भी लिखा होता है कि हर बस ठहरे और कंडक्टर धागे जाय और सेफटी देखने के बाद बस धारी जाने के लिये कहें।

माननीय सदस्य ने कहा कि 22,000 ऐसे फाटक है जो झनमैन्ड है, उन पर चौकी-दार प्रगर रखना है तो यह जिम्मेदारी हम कब तक निभा सकेंगे? मैं सदन को बताना चाहता हं कि जो 22,000 ध्रनमैन्ड गेटस हैं धगर एक धनमैन्ड गेट को मैन्ड गेट में परि-वर्तित करना है तो उसके लिये 60,000 ६० की लागत आती है। इसलिये 22,000 धनमैन्ड गेट्स को मैन्ड गेट करना है तो 132 करोड़ रु० का खर्चा है। यह तो शुरु में खर्चा है भौर साथ ही साथ हर साल इंतमाज करने . के लिये 30 करोड़ रु का खर्चा ग्रीर लगेगा। भाज हमारी भ्रार्थिक स्थिति ऐसी नहीं है कि . सारे प्रनमें ह गेट्स को मैन्ड गेट्म में परिवर्तन कर के 132 करोड़ रू० उस पर खर्च करें। लेकिन हम बार-बार रिव्य करेगे और जिन धनमैन्ड गेट्स पर ज्यादा दुर्घटना होने की गुंजाइग है ऐसे धनमैन्डगेट्स को प्राथमिकता दे कर उनको मैन्ड गेट में परि-वर्तित करने की कोशिश करेंगे।

12.04 hrs

COMMITTEE ON ABSENCE OF MEMBERS FROM THE SITTINGS OF THE HOUSE

ELEVENTH REPORT

श्री डी० बी० गबई (बुलडाना) : धन्यक महोदय, में सभा की बैठकों से सबस्यों की धनुपस्थिति सम्बन्धी समिति का 11 वाँ प्रतिबेदन (हिन्दी तथा श्रीग्रेजी संस्करण) प्रस्तुत करता हूं।