# 175 Re. Question of Privilege

SHRI VASANT SATHE: You are violating the rules completely. You are throwing the rules to the winds...

#### (Interruptions)

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I do not know what my hon. friends want. I am not able to hear them at all. I do not know what they want to say. I cannot understand unless it is spoken clearly.

#### (Interruptions)

SHRI J. RAMESHWARA RAO: We have nothing against you. I submitted to the Deputy Speaker that the quesjon was permitted to be raised under Rule 222. Once the question is raised under Rule 222, you cannot go back to Direction 115. The procedure under Rule 222 has to be followed.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: If what you say is to be followed, then every Motion of privilege that is raised under Rule 222 will have to be sent to the Privileges Committee.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: There is no question of notice being given under Rule 222. What Rule 222 contemplates is permission being given.

#### (Interruptions)

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Let me say something about it. If the hon. Deputy Speaker has decided a matter, anybody may say it is wrong. I am not here to dispute his freedom. Everybody is entitled to have his opinion. But if you dispute the decision of the Chair, this House will not be able to function at all. Whether it is for this side or for that side, I am afraid we are not following proper procedure at all. (Interruption). There is a method of doing that also. I am not saying anything about it. This is not the way to do it.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: Then, you tell us what is the way.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: My suggestion would be that if you are dissatisfied with the decision, do not go by passion, think caimly about it and find out a procedure as to how to do this. I am not going to object to that kind of thing. But this is not a method of doing it.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: You are the Leader of the House. SHRI MORARJI DESAI: If you consider me the Lesder of the House and if you are not prepared to heed even my advice, then what can I tell you? "

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Now we go to the next item.

(Interruptions)

## 13 '45 hrs.

# NATIONAL HIGHWAYS (AMEND-MENT) BILL

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI MORARJI DESAI): I beg to move\*.

"That the Bill to amend the National Highways Act, 1956, be taken into consideration."

It is a simple measure to amend Section 7 of the existing Act; to enable Government to levy fees on permanent bridges on national highways; costing more than Rs. 25 lakhs which are erected or will be erected after 1st April, 1976. This in essence is the simple measure that I have put before you. Though it is simple, I cannot say that its implication is very simple, because it involves payment of fees. The justification for it is this, namely, that when these bridges are erected at heavy costs—and we want to have mut before you. In ough the they should pay for themselves. In many other countries, this is the way it is done. The State governments also want toll to be levied on bridges. But we cannot levy a toll, because toll is a subject belonging to the State. It is, therefore, that we are levying a fee; and that fee will be utilized, not for enhancing the revenues of the government for spending in other ways. This will be utilized for National Highway and bridges, after deducting the expenses on collection of the revenue. We are also taking power to see if it is necessary to exempt any bridge from this fee. So, if it is necessary in public interest to do so, we shall certainly do so. I only hope and trust that my hon. friends will agree with it.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That the Bill to amend the National highways Act, 1956, be taken into consideration."

Before we go on with the motion moved and through the discussion on

\*Moved with the recommendation of the Vice-president acting as President.

it, I have to say that we are having the Lunch Hour from to-day. Actually, we should have adjourned for lunch at I p.m., but because of the passion, we could not do it. We now adjourn and will re-assemble at 14.45 hrs.

### 13.48 hrs.

The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till Forty-five minutes past fourteen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha re-assembled after Lunch of at forty-seven minutes past Fourteen the Clock

[SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO in the Chair]

# MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

SECRETARY: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary-General of Rajya Sabha:

"In accordance with the provisions of rule 111 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to enclose a copy of the Insecticides (Amendment) Bill, 1977, which has been passed by the Rajya Sabha at its sitting held on the 18th July, 1977."

#### INSECTICIDES (AMENDMENT) BILL

#### As PASSED BY RAJYA SABHA

SECRETARY: Sir, I lay on the Table of the House the Insecticides (Amendment) Bill, 1977, as passed by Raiya Sabha.

#### NATIONAL HIGHWAYS (AMENDMENT) BILL-Contd.

DR. V. A. SEYID MUHAMMED (Calicut): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I support the Bill. While doing so, I think it is necessary to raise certain points by way of clarification. Obviously, it is a Bill intended to raise fees for the improvement of the highways, for running certain services for the users of permanent bridges, which were not originally included in section 7 of the Act. While admitting that it is necessary to raise such additional fees or revenue for the purpose of improvement of the facilities available for the users of highways, bridges, ferries etc. I would point out certain possible hardships and difficulties which may arise for the users of these bridges, ferries etc. In course of

time, instead of strictly confining to the purpose, namely, to charge a few which will more or less be quid pro quo for the service rendered, a tendency will be service rendered, a tendency will be there to go further than that limit and use it indirectly as a source of revenue. This should be guarded against while fixing the rates. There must be a necessary correlation between the service rendered and the expense incurred for the service rendered. Already there are a large number of octrois and various are a large number of octrois and various impositions which a user of a highway or road has to bear. Recently I had the occasion to go to Mussoorie from Delhi. At six places I had to pay varying amounts, from one rupee to Rs. 2.50, by way of octrois and other charges. So, when there are already such heavy impositions which the various municipailties and other local authorities impose on the users of the highway, I am sure the Prime Minister and those who are concerned and entrusted with the task of fixing the rates will take into consideration the existing octrois, fees, and various other charges which one has to bear. I do not propose to elaborate that point.

Coming to the drafting of the Bill from my experience and from what I have heard and understood from others, I fully appreciate the difficulties which the drafting department of the cencerned . Ministries, particularly the Law Minis-try, face. I know that quite often they have try, iacc. I know that quite often they have to work in great hurry and haste and naturally they take the line of least resistance, adopting some existing and traditional phrascology and drafting devices, while fully appreciating those difficulties, I will be failing in my duty if I do not bring to the notice of this hon ble House the criticlem which, with institute House the criticism which, with justification, has been raised from time to time that is a tendency to make the drafting so unnecessarily complicated, clamsy and elaborate that it becomes difficult not only for the general public to understand the real meaning and the intention of the enactment, but even the Supreme Court often finds it difficult to grasp the correct objective and intention of the drafters and the framers of the law. It is from that point of view that I wish to offer certain suggestions. I will not call them criticisms, because they are not intended as criticism, they are more in the nature of suggestions.

For instance, the proviso to clause(a) is in the nature of an exemption, stating that when circumstances justify in the public interest, the exemption of certain permanent bridges from the pur view of the operation of this Act, may be given by the Government by notification. It would have been better if, instead of engenting this provise, the appropriate

178

# [Dr. V. A. Seyid Muhammed]

wording had been used in the main sub clause itself, making it straightaway an encorption. This device of using a proviso to engraft something or to cut away something may be justified in certain circumstances. But when it is an exemption and that is what it is meant also, why don't you call it straight way an exemption and avoid... I may be for given for using the expression-this clumsy way of engrafting a proviso ?

In the proviso itself, I feel very strongly that the expression after the words "in the public interest", "so to do" is absolutely unnecessary. As it stands, it reads :

"Provided that if the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, it may, by like notification

I do not know why the expression "so to do " is necessary here. If it is necessary in the public interest, it may be like notification do such a thing. Here is an example of easyway of drafting things because of the hurry and the haste in which they are asked to draft the Bill. The conventional phraseology has become absolutely unnecessary in this case. It will read much more simpler and the people can understand it more easily if you starightway say, if it is in the public interest, "by like notification" such and such a thing will be done. This expression "so to do " could very well be deleted altogether.

Also, I have an objection to the wording "by like notification", which again, unnecessarily creates complication. You can repeat those four words "by notification in the official gazette". This is an expression used in the original section itself. Why unnecessarily complicate it by using the words "by likenotification "?

I do not want to multiply instances except to bring to the notice of this House and the Prime Minister clause 3 in the Bill which proposes to introduce or substitute sub-clause (i)(b) in the present Bill. Of course, that is an incidental and consequential modification. I fully understand that. But my objection is to unnecessarily lengthening the list of illustrations which are contained in the rule-making power. As the House is aware, there is a well-known phraseclogy which is used and which has been accepted in various cases starting from Shivnath Banerjee's case of the Private Council and subsequently other cases in Supreme Court. It has been laid down unequivocally that when a phraseology of this nature, namely, when in making authority will have the power to make rules necessary for the implementation of the provisions of the Act or the objectives of the Act, the second clause, in particular, without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing provisions," as we know, is a standard clause which has been accepted and interpreted by various decisions, as follows. The substance of those decisions is that clause 2 does not add to or subtract from the powers contained in clause 1, namely, theauthority will have the neccessary powers to make the rules for carrying out the objectives of the Act or the provisions of the Act, as the case may be. Sometimes, the phraseology differs. The other clauses which follow are not exhaustive. They are only in the nature of illustrations. I am sure the position is well-settled. Then why is it that on every occasion you increase the number of illustrations, by adding one illustration after another, when without this illustration and when without making the Act itself clumsy and lengthy, you have the necessary rulemaking power in clause 1 ?

That being the case, why every time, you add or amend or subtract anything from the main sections the section itself gives sufficient power, or whenever an amendment is made immediately incidential, auxiliary and other amendments are made to make the Act more clumsy and lengthy. As far as clause 3 is concerned,—it putports to substitute sub-section r(b) of Section 9 of the present Act— my suggestion is that, instead of every time when amendments are not necessary to the rule ; such amendments only make the rule more complicated by multiplicity of illustrations and examples. You do not give more powers under sub-section 1(b). These are the things which I would earnestly request for the consideration of this House and the hon. Prime Minister,

#### 15 hrs.

I with to say one thing which may not be strictly within the ambit of this Act, but which is very relevant to the Act in the sense it will affect the area from where I come and it will affect certain things which may happen or may not happen as a result of this Act. For considerable aumber of years, my recollection is almost about 6-7 years, public estation, so as to say, has been built up for the construction of a National Highways Bridge at Kotappusem on Hapway No. 17. From time to time, surveys have been conducted, plans have been approved., but I do not know for what seeson that has been postponed. The result is that

# 181 National Highways ASADEA 18, 199 (SAKA)

one of the most important and vogelated areas is not being properly developed. This is an area where important things are happening ; at area which is wellknown for trade and commerce; an area where important markets and educational centres and shrines are there and so on. But because of the absence of this bridge, a lot of hardship is being caused with the result that, that area could not be properly developed. All these things have been brought to the notice of the Minister concerned from time to time. The result is that the bridge which connects the long west coast has not been built. I come from there and I know the hardship of the public in that area. I have taken up the matter and made representations to the Minister from time to time and nobody has said that the bridge is not necessary. Everybody has accepted the necessary. Everybody has accepted the necessary. Everybody has accepted the necessary of that bridge. But in bureaucratic red-tape, this goes on : arguments are produced from one side or the other. Naturally some would like to have the bridge near one's courtyard. But those are not to be the grourds to postpone such an important life-line. It does not matter where you fair it does not matter whether it is 10 yards north of 'A' or 20 yards south of 'A' or a hundred yards east of 'A', what we want is the bridge, and I can assure the Prime Minister that the volume of traffic and use of the bridge on this highway will be so much that, as this Bill comes into operation, without any difficulty whatsoever, a substantial portion of the expenses of that bridge on the highway can be met ; it may also leave enough funds to improve the approach roads and various other roads which go to Ernskulam, Garuwayur, Cranganore and various, other places to the north.

Two more points remain to be raised. In the original Act there was provision for imposing the fees only on temporary bridges : segarding temporary bridges, there was no date-line when it was to be built and there was no limitation of Rs. 25,000 on the cost. The present Bill enables fees being levied on parmanent bridges costing more than Rs. 25 lakhs and opened to traffic on or after 1st April, 1976. of course, no Bill is drafted arbitrarily without any reason whatovever. But I have not understood the logic behind Sking the figure of Rs. 25 lakhs as the cost and also the date-line of 1st April, 1976. I would be very thankful if the reasons are explained.

SHRI JYOTTRMOY BOSU (Dismond Harbour) : Regarding this Bill, Sir, the cost of bridge has been specified as more than. Re. 25 lakis. It would have been derivable if the levy also had have been derivable if the levy also had have been derivable. In fact, Government should go to the extent of specifying the (Andt.) BU

maximum and minimum rates so that here is no unfair practice anywhere. We also want the Government to ensure that the common people are not affected by this Amendment Bill, that the Bill does not spiral down on poor consumers. It is a must that the podestrains, bullockoarts, cycles and carries of essential commodifies should be exempted from payment of any additional levy-in addition to what is already in existence.

During the last 30 years, the Congress Government had talked about planning, about planned economy, but they really did not know anything. They are a bundle of wind-bags. We had told them repeatedly, 'You pronounce a national integrated coordinant transport policy'. In 30 years they have not been able to do it. They did not quite understand what it meant. When they were talking about planned economy and planing, they should have pronounced what was their policy with regard to national integrated and coordinated transport. Rural roads are practically non-existent in most of the areas of the country. The rural roads report of 1968, even after a lapse of ten years, has not seen the light of the day. The whole thing has been shelved.

I will now quote certain parsgraphs from the Rural Roads Report, 1968, by the Committee on Rural Roads. On page 3, it is mentioned:

- "For a country with agrarian economy like ours, a system of roads, well serving the rural areas, is one of the basic requirements for the development to quicken its pace"....
- "A National Sample Survey conducted in 1957-58 revealed that only about 27 % of all our villages had an allweather road connection available to wholesale markets."

How do you expect that a grower will get a remunerative price for his produce unless he is provided with an all-weather road connection? Further:

"More recently, similar deficiencies have been brought to light in a urveys carried out in the last two years by the Regional Transport Survey Units indifferent parts of the country.

....Rural Roads, therefore, are an unmistakable need. Without these, it will not be pos ible to break the present isolation of the village communities and to draw them into the mainstream, of our national life." 11

Then on pige 5, paragraph ! 10, sub-para (iri), it is stated:

"Rural roads, that is, roads which serve predominantly the needs of villages and provide communication, not only between one village and another, but also from a village to a mandi (market place) or to a main route."

Further, in paragraph 11, it is stated:

"While Natioial Highways constitute the arterial routes through the length and breadth of the country, State Highways are the arterial routes through the length and breadth of a State and Major District Roads for the main routes through the length and breadth of a district ...

The real village roads thus have not received adequate attention so far."

Para 13, on page 7 of this Report reads :

"There are 5,64,000 villages in India spread over an area of 3 276 million spread over an area of 3 2/0 initial sq. kms. (I 267 million sq. miles). To provide access to each village, however small, has to be the objective of a democratic set-up.....

As the Demands for Grants of this As the Demands for Grants of this Ministry were quillotined, I am taking this opportunity to highlight this very vital factor and bring it to the notice of the Prime Minister. My request to the hon. Prime Minister is to see that a cell is created in the Ministry of Transport and Shipping and in the Planning Com-missionspecially to ensure that rural roads words to the extent, these should. Our grow to the extent, these should. Our Prime Minister's first statement that he wants to solve the unemployment problem was remarkable. I appreciate his conscience. Unemployment is one of the biggest problems that we are facing and we are sitting on a volcano. If we build rural roads, we will be able to solve unemployment problem to a greatextent At the same time, we would be giving opportunities to the producers of agricultural commodities to bring their produce to the Mandis.

I would therefore, like to take this I would therefore, like to take this opportunity and earnestly request the Prime Minister, who is holding the charge of this Ministry for the time being, to kindly constitute a Cell in his Ministry and the Planning Commission to see that the rural roads grow at a much faster speed to what has been done till see. till now.

There are many other reports showing the inafequacies of the rural roads in our country, for example, the report of

the Committee on Unemployment shows how badly the problem of rural roads system has been treated. There are system has been treated. There are reports of the Estimate Committee and the Public Accounts Committee, but I do not want to quote them and take the time of the House.

Therefore, I would request once again that this Bill should not be used as a handle for putting any levy on the weaker sections of the society. I have said and I repeat once again that pedestrains, bullock-carts, cycles and carriers carrying essential commodities for the weaker sections should be exempted from the additional levy that they are going to impose.

श्री दर्गांचन्द (कांगड़ा) : सभापति महोदय, इस समय हम नेशनल हाईवेज (एमेंडमेंट) बिल, 1977 पर विचार कर फ हे है ।

इस बिल का सेक्शन 7 जो है, उसमें एक एमेंडमेंट यह लाई गई है कि 1 अप्रैल, 1976 से जो पूल झापरेशन में होंगे. उन पर लेवी लगाई जाएगी। इस बिल में जो कमी मुझे दिखाई दे रही है वह यह है कि जो फी धाप लेवी करना चाहते हैं उस का शेड्यूल होना चाहिए था कि कितनी फी लेवी की जाएगी भौर किस वैहिकिल पर कितना चार्ज होगा । यह शेड्यूल में भाना चाहिए था ।

दूसरी कमी मुझे इस बिल में यह दिखाई वे रही है कि जो इस का फाइनेन्शियस मेमो-रण्डम है, उस में खर्चा तो बताया गया है लेकिन यह नहीं बताया गया है कि इस से कितनी इन्कम झप्रोक्सीमेटली हो सकती है। तीसरी बात यह है कि इस एमेंडमेंट बिस में यह दिया गया है कि कुछ बिजेज को एगजेम्प्ट किया जाएगा लेकिन यह नहीं बताया चया है कि एगजम्पन्नन के लिए किन-किन बातों को नजार में रखा जाएगा । इस में कोई शक नहीं हैं कि नेशनल हाईवेज की इम्पोर्टेम्स हमारे राष्ट्र के जीवन में बहुत ज्यादा है । मैं तो यह समझता हूं कि ये राष्ट्र की जिल्लगी की रेखाएं हें घोए इन से ही वेश का माग्य अनकता है । गि॰एत॰ मुलगांगकर का को इस बादे में

स्टेटसॅट है, उस को मैं कोट करना चाहूंगा। वे कोकोमोटिव झौर इंजीनियेरिंग इंब्स्ट्री के एक्सपर्ट हैं झौर उन्होंने हाईवेज की इम्पोर्टेन्स के बारे में यह कहा है:

\*There are as many as 1400 octroi posts in Maharashtra alone, and the time lost in transit from Bombay to Delhi due to such check-posts and other harasaments which the vehicle driver inevitably encounters is no less than a third of the total time taken to travel the distance. Surely, it is not beyond the ingenuity of the State Governments who are levying the octros to work out and implement a substitute for octroi as has already been done by some States."

चयरमेन साहब, मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है कि इस में कोई शक नहीं है कि इस से स्टेट को झामदनी होगी । जो सरकार करोड़ों रुपया पूलों भौर सड़कों भादि पर खर्च करती है, उस को कुछ झामदनी होनी चाहिए लेकिन इस से लोगों की मुक्किसात भी भौर बढ़ जाएंगीं । मैंने जो पैराव्राफ़ पढ़ा है, उस से पता चलता है कि आकटराय पोस्ट्स पर प्राइवेट वैहिकिल्म को कितनी दकाबट झाती हैं। वहां पर स्टेट्स की टौल टैक्स पोस्ट्स लगी हुई हैं ग्रीर नेशनल हाईवेज पर जब इस लैवी को वसूल करने के लिए और पोस्ट्स (चौकियां) होंगी, तो लोगों की मौर ज्यादा रुकावटों का सामना करना पहेगा । इस बीख को हमें महसूस करना वाहिए मौर इस तरह की कोशिश करनी वाहिए कि झौर कोई बेहतर तरीका इस को वसूल करने का हो । उन्होंने आगे कहा है:

- "The famous Bookings Institution carried out-some time ago, as on the spot study in several developing countries, and found that when new roads are opened, a sharp rise in agricultural production took place in all cases. Government should recognise the rich source of revenue and employment potential of such expenditure and cease to accord step-motherly treatment to the development of roads which are the veritable arteries of our nation.
- The employment potential of the entire-Road Transport Industry, both down-stream and up-i treem, is hardly: exceeded by any other industry. Every additional vehicle that or mes on the road provides employment for at least a dozen people. Support to such an industry should therefore form an integral part of Government's economy programme. According to a recent study by the NCAER the construction of every kilometre of a national highway in our country creates employment of 141-150 man-years, the corresponding figures for state highways being 85-96 man-years. On this basis, if the road construction projects included in the Draft 5th Plan had been implemented they would have generated employment of 10.8 million manyears mostly for the un-killed, poor people.
- It would be no exaggeration to state that the actual amount' spent on road construction over the years have been niggardly. For example, in the Draft 5th Plan an outlay of Rs. 50t crores was provided for the development of national highways. In the revised Plan this has been reduced to Rs. 328 crores. In effect, this means that a mere Rs. 75 crores is included for new road schemes during this Plan period. This is the munificent amount that has been provided for the creation of highly productive and employment oriented capital assets of our nation."

चेयरनेन साहच मेरा कहना यह है कि नेसनल हाईवेज की इम्पार्टेस हमारे देस में बहुत है। पहाड़ी इलाके में तो इसकी इम्पोर्टेस मौर भी ज्यादा है। इसके सम्बन्ध में मेरा - एक निवेदन यह भी है कि कोई स्टेट रोड जो दूसरी स्टेट से मिलती हो उसको नेपनल हाइवे बना देना चाहिए ।

<sup>&</sup>quot;"While massive revenues are generated by the Road Transport Industry, a grossly insufficient fraction of these revenues is ploughed back into the construction and maintenance of roads. Why back in 1939-60 the revenue to the exchequer from road transport aggregated to Rs. 135 crores and the expenditure on roads announted to Rs. 90 crores, tamely 67% of the revenue. In 1974-75, the revenue jumped to Rs. 4, 238 brores, but the expenditure on roads was a mere Rs. 335 crores, which is only 27% of the revenue from road transport.

पीछे मैंने एक प्रस्न पूछा था कि पठान-कोट-मण्डी स्टेट हाईवे पर ट्रेफिक बहुत ज्यादा है जिसके बारे में स्टेट गवर्नमेंट ने भी रिकमण्ड किया था कि इस स्टेट हाईवे को नेशनल हाईवे बना देना चाहिए, उस प्रश्न के उतार में सरकार ने कहा था कि बोर्डर रोड डवलपमेंट की तरफ से वहां सडक बनायी जा रही है। मैं प्रधानमंत्री जी से दस्त्रवास्त करूंगा कि इस रोड की इम्पार्टेंस को देखते हुए इसे तेज्ञनल हाईवे बना देना चाहिए । • यह सड़क दूसरी जगहों----सहाख, पंजाब, कश्मीर से मिलती है। इसलिए इस सडक पर ट्रेफिक बहुत है। झापको सड़क के मामले में पैसे की वसूली का ध्यान रख कर ही सड़कें महीं बनानी चाहिएं बल्कि झापको यह भी सोचना चाहिए कि सड़कों पर सफर करने बालों की कोई तकलीफ न हो, उन्हें झधिक से बाधिक सुविधा हो ।

•SHRI A. MURUGESAN (Chidambaram): Hon. Mr. Chairman, Sir, I rise to make a few suggestions on the National Highways (Amendment) Bill which has been piloted by thethen. Prime Minister.

The development and mainteence of the national highways, which are vital for the nation's speedy ec nx mic grc wth, are in the Centre's jurisdictin. As the States do not have adequate rescurces to undertake this important job, naturally the Central Government will have to attend to this. This Bill emp( wers the Central Government to levy fees ( n permanent bridges on national highwiys. It is proposed to levy fees a slipe menent bridges costing mere than Rs. 25 lekhs and opened to traffic on or after 1st April, 1976. It is mentioned that the annual recurring expenditure on the empkyment of staff and other incidental matters would be of the order of about Rs. 52 lakhs in the beginning for all the bridges to be covered by the proposed levy. If the Government had given the approxithe coveriment had given the approxi-mate annual revenue from this levy along with the above figure, it would have helped us to appreciate the nationale behind this import. I am sure that the figure of likely revenue from this lavy when he replies to this debate.

As the hon. Prime Minister, in his introductory speech, mentioned that the resources raised through this fee would be utilized for the construction of new nat nai highways, inske bold to refer to the East Coast National Highway, which is the essential prerequisite for Temil Nadu's economic development. This East Coast National Highway will open up the backward areas of Tamil Nadu. As the Janate Party Government is committed to the cradication of backwardness from the country by laying emphasis of rural industrial development, I would like to stress that this East Coast National Highway is cesential for the removal of backwardness in Tamil Nadu and the Central Government must come forth to develop this arterial route. This highway will give a boost to agriculture, fishery, salt and such other products from the coastal areas of Tamil Nadu. This East Coast National Highway will connect all the important cities of historical importance which will give a fillip to national and international Highway in Comnect all the important cities of historical importance which will also be connecting the major and minor ports on the east coast.

Sir, you a re aware of the fact that the Indian Ocean has become the hot bed of international rivaly. Duing the Bengla Desh liberation war, the Seventh Fleet of U.S.A. entered the Bay of Bengal and posed a threat to the entire east or est. Bearing this in mind, if the East Coast National Highway is developed, then we can move the tank, artillery etc. through this highway giving pretection to the east coast. As it is common knowledge that metre gauge is the main means. of communication in Tamil Nadu, it is difficult to move fast the armaments etc. during such a crisis. It is all the more necessary, in the interest of expeditious movement of men and material, to have a double lane in the East Coast National Highway. It is estimated that this highway we uld cost Rs. 44 crores. With the slender resources at its disposal and with the depletion of resources for revenue reisting, it is impossible for the State Govert ment to spare this much money through the Bast Coast National Highway is very neccesary for economic upliftment of backward areas. I appeal to the hr n. Prime Minister that he should alk t this money for the development and maintenance of East Coast National Highway is

Before I conclude, I would refer to another important point. It is regretiable that the State Governments do not have powers to have even a culvert across a National Highway without the permis-

The original speech was delivered in

sion of the Contral Government, through is is necessary to have it for agricultural purposes. Even when the cryps are likely to wither without such a culwast, the State Governments cennet dere undertake this job with ut Centre's express sanction. I request that the hon. Prime Minister should lock into these things and remedy such things which hamper economic progress in the States.

With these words I conclude my speech.

SHRIB.P.KADAM (Kanara): While desiring to participate in this debate, it is rather difficult for me to welcome this, because, it seems to me that there is no sound principle behind this Amendment. Only certain bridges are to get revenue which have cost more than Rs. 25 lekhs, I do not understand why those bridges which have cost less than Rs. 25 lakhs have been left out. Then again only those bridges opened after 1-4-76 are to be taxed. I don't understand why those bridges opened earlier should not be taxed unless of course there is some ressoning that there has been a galloping rise in the construction cost after this particular date. That also we cannot accept. There is also a proviso by which Centre can exempt any bridge, provided the Central Government is of the opinion that it is necessary in the public interest to do so. It may be notification specify any bridge in regard to which such fee shallnot be leviable under this sub-section.

There is nothing to show that the Government of India has given any reason behind this amendment. That is why, I say, that this seems to have been done in an arbitrary manner, What I am afraid of is that there may be discriminatin. I can very well understand if the Government has come forward with an amendment nequiring exemption in certain border areas where security, defence questions etc. are involved. That is not there.

Therefore, it is difficult to understand this unless, of course, the Prime Minister spells out the reason or the principle involved in this amendment. For example, take the case of the bridge on the river Kali, in my constituency, Karwer Takka on the west coast. This has been under construction for over a decade now. Still one cannot be sure when it will be completed. An ordinary Sinking was going, it was followed by poneumatic Stoking. I, do not know what type of Sinking would follow and when would the bridge take shape.

Naturally, there would be presture upon Government to see that a large number of bridges are exempted. And Government will have to face a lot of pressure and there will be some for some influence. I do not think the principle is speit out. For enxample there is one amendment already that fir m 1st April, 1976 it should be extended by one more year. Naturally, there wild be pressure to see that this is also extended because the reason for this amendment is not clear.

(Andt.) Bill

I would, therefore, urge upon the Prime Minister to come out beldy with the reasoning or the principle behind this. It is not, of course, convincing as to he we the revenue should be utilised. No doubt it may be utilised for the or nstruction and maintenance of highways. Unfortunately, though the national highways are so vital and so important, in certain States, the highway is in a very brd condition, especially in the rainy least n when it is horrible to lock #1.

If its well maintenance is quite necessary, then, I think, the principle is to be spelt out. I think that in the year 1976 or when Germany bombarded the Madras Coast, the idea tock a shape and, after our Independence and upto the end of Second World War, these highweys were considered to be of so much importance from the national and defence point of view. And therefore it is equally necessary to see that they are well maintained and the revenue that is collected under this measure is very well utilised. These are the observations that I want to make.

बीधरी बलवीर सिंह (होशियारपुर) : सभापति महोदय, में सापके जरिवे प्रधान मंती जी से यह प्रार्थना करूंगा कि वह यह धमेंडमेंट बापस से सें । यह टैक्स बसूज करने का 18वीं सदी का कानून है। एक तरफ़ तो देश में यह कात जल रही है कि म्युनिसिपल कलेटियां जो हैं इन्होंने जो रास्तों पर अपने मडे चुंगी के लगाये हैं वह बन्द हो आयें और ऐसा सिस्टम पले जिस से देश में ट्रांसपोर्ट ठीक हंग से झौर जल्दी चल सके । इससे . कितने पैसे आयेंगे और कितने नही आसेंगे, यह तवाल नहीं है। सवाल यह है कि इससे कितने मैंन डेव हम जूब करेंगे । मोटर आवी है और प्रस का गया बहां पर बड़ी हो खाय और बहां पर मूं की समाने काखा बैठा है। 1 अभी सदी में एक दितालत से दूसरी दिवालत में जाने वासे बहते में कि हमारी रियासत में आ गवे हो, प्रहुले मैसे को किर सम्पर आफ़ो ।

# [चौंधरी बलवीर सिंह]

इस ढंग से जो टैक्स बसूल करने का तरीका है यह मौजवा जमाने में ठीक नहीं है। बाणक्य जो अर्थशास्त्र के पंडित वे उन्होंने कहा है कि टैक्स इस तरह से लगे जैसे सूरज जमीन से पानी खींचता है झौर फिर वापस देता है तो पता नहीं लगता। झौर जब खींबता है तो भी पता नहीं लगता। यहां तक कि वह गन्दी जगह से भी पानी लेता है भीर बादल बन जाता है और फ़िर जमीन को बादल पानी दे देता है। लेकिन हर जगह इस तरह से टैक्सेशन सिस्टम से लोगों को तकलीफ न हो । मापको टैक्स तो मिल जाये लेकिन टैक्स का यह क्या सिस्टम हमा कि कोई मरीज को लेकर मोटर में जा रहा है, रास्ते में दरिया पर कोई पुल झा गया तो मरीज को वहां रोक कर उस पुल का टैक्स दे और फिर पुल को कास करे? इतनी देर में तो मरीज मर जायेगा ।

मैं प्रधान मंत्री से निवेदन करूंगा कि इस टैक्सेशन के सिस्टम का वापिस लें, यह बहुत पुराना सिस्टम है। सारे मुल्क मे इस बात पर वर्षा हो रही है कि जनह जनह पर जो चुंगी हैं, उनको खत्म करदें। जो गवर्नमेंट की एजेन्सीख बनी हैं, उन्होंने लोगों से इस बारे में राय तलब की थी धौर राय चल रही है। झब कोई नया टोल-टेक्स लगाया जाये तो बह मुनासिब नहीं है। इस किस्म के टैक्सों से लोगों की परेशानी ज्यादा होती है और धामदनी कम होती है।

इन शब्दों के साथ में प्रधान मंती से दरक्वास्त करूंगा कि बह इस धमैंडमैंट को वापस ले लें। यह जनता सरकार के लिये कोई धच्छी बात नहीं होनी कि हम, जो जाने वाले हैं, उन पर टैक्स लगायें। यह तो सरकार का फ़र्ज है कि वह पुल बनावे। वह टैक्स तो दूसरे तराकों से लेती है। धव अगर कोई मई सड़क बनाई जाती है, और कल यह फैसला कर लिया जाये कि सड़क पर वलने वालों से भी टैक्स लिया जायेगा, इसके लिये लोग मैसा देना शुरू करें, तो यह तरीका ठीक नहीं है ।

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN (Cannancre): Sir, I rue uc caterd support to this Bill which has been introduced by the Prime Minister.

Sir, to save time I should say that I share the views expressed by my friend, Shri Jyotirmcy Besu ab ut the new levy which will be imposed and how it will affect the common man and the ordinary people. I hepe the Minister might make it clear.

Sir, about the highway I would like to know this. For the last so meny years the national highway construction is going on. Even today there are many important bridges on the national highway which are under construction for the last so many years but not or mpleted. Dr. Seyid Muhrmmed has mentioned about such a bridge in Ko ttapuram. There are many other bridges on the West Coast of this country on the mational highway which are yet to be completed. I would like to mention in this connection the Otapatnam bridge which has taken years and years for completion.

Another aspect which I would like to bring to the notice of the harble Minister is apart from the national highway there are certain imports not highway which deserve special consideration of the government. For example the highway connecting the hill areas in our country. In Kerala there is a plan to construct a highway or meeting the entire hills from the Tramit Natu border in the northern part of the State. It is called hill highway and the whole alignment is ready. The expenditure involved would be Rs. no crores. If that highway is constructed it will help those who are perducing gash crops like tea, rubber, cardenn m, etc. in the hills of Coorg, Peerumedu, etc. to get a remunerative prios for their produce. They will be able to reach the market in a more easy way. So, I would like to urge upon the Minister that he shuld give the consideration to this kind of Highways which are also equally important as the states of the Primer this matter to the notice of the Primer this matter to the notice of the Primer Minister and I contude by asying that I support this Bill.

SHRI P. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU (Chittoor) : Mr. Chairman, Sir, J conggratulate the Prime Minister for bringing forward this Bill. Andhra Pradesh has already introduced a similar Bill and that Bill has defined as to how levy is to be imposed and also how much levy is to be imposed. By that way, in one year, our State g t Rs. 1.0 crore. In 1943, the reads in our country were devided into four cate-gories. One was the national highway, second the State highways, the third district roads and the fourth, village roads. Then these national highway were defined as highways running throughout the length and breadth of the country connecting the major ports, foreign highways and the capitals of the Provinces. In 1943, it was defined like that. But now, because of the development in the various fields and also because of other reasons, the definition should be changed. The roads connecting big projects and big trade centres must be taken as highways and also the important like roads which connect the strategic points should be considered as highways.

193

Now, the national highways that are passing through the municipal towns which have more than 20,000 p pulation have been given to the municipalities for maintenance. This came as a detriment to the maintenance of roads within municipalities. Some hon. Members on that day itself warned that these parts of national highways which are existing in municipalities should be given to the municipalities. Therefore, I request the hen. Prime Minister to bring forward another amendment to the Bill so that those parts of the national high ways which are within the municipalities of the various States can be vested in the national highways authoritie. I also want the Prime Minister to bring ferward another amendments to punish these who indulge in cutting away the trees on the national highways which pass through many States. It is quite necessary for us to maintain trees on both sides of the national highways. If it is not possible to bring forward a legislation to this effect, I have a suggestion to make, that is, all these trees should be given to the adjacent agriculturists on free patta basis so that they can take care of the trees and also derive usufructuary benefits from them. That will be a good thing, as an alternative. There are many encroachments on the national highways and they must be evicted. There are railway crossings and it is becoming increasingly difficult for our transport; vehicles have to wait for more than half an hour sometimes

and so the transport ministry and the railway ministry must sit together and find out ways and means to reduce this waiting time and construct overbridge or find out some other means. The national highways are passing through villages and towns. Diversion through villages and to that there may roads must be made so that there may be traffic congestion within cities. regard to the maintenance of national highways which are passing through big project ayacut areas, that is worse than state highways or even district reads. Therefore much meney has to be invested in them. Pecple of my state are disappointed because from the first Plan upto this, our people were being neglected in giving money for national highways and overbridges and other roads. Therefore, I request the Prime Minister to consider this and compare investments made in different states and do justice to Andhra Pradesh.

श्री लक्ष्मी नारायण नायक (खजुराहो): सभापति महोदय, माननीय प्रधान मंत्री ने जो राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग संशोधन विधेयक रखा है मैं उस में कुछ सुझाव के रूप में निवेदन करना चाहता हूं। मेरा ऐसा मत है कि जो भी टैक्स लगाए जायं उन का तरीका कुछ बदलना चाहिए क्योंकि जब हम अपने देश में एक समता लाना चाहते हैं, जो नीचे हैं उन को ऊचे उठाना चाहते हैं तो कम से कम जिन की ग्रामदनी कम है उन पर किसी कर का बोझ नहीं पड़ना चाहिए । इस सिद्धात को हमें मानना चाहिए । जो इस तरह का टैक्स लगाया जा रहा है के संबंध में मैं यह कहना चाहता हं कि जब पूल पर से कोई टक या दूसरे वाहन निकलते हैं तो ग्राखिर उस में बोझा होता है, उसी को लेकर तो वह टैक्स वसूल करते हैं, तो व्यापारी वहां जितना टैक्स दे देता है उतनी कीमत वह उस माल की बढ़ा देता है और इस तरह से भले ही यह टैक्स म्रादिवासी, हरिजन या गरीब म्रादमी स्वयं नहीं देता लेकिन अपरोक्ष रूप में उस से यह वसूल हो जाता है। तो मैं कहना चाहता हूं प्रधान मंत्री महोदय से कि जब भी कोई टक्स लगाएं तो वह आमदनी पर लगाना चाहिए । जिन की बड़ी बड़ी ग्रामद-नियां हैं उन्हीं पर टैक्स का बोझ पड़े ऐसी

तरकीव निकालनी चाहिए । इसलिए मैं निवेदन करूगा कि शासन के जो प्रधिकारी है जो प्रंपनी सूझ बूझ रखते हैं वह उसे इस तरह से रखें कि जब भी टैक्स लगे तो उस का बोझ बड़े-बड़े प्रादमियों पर ही पड़े जिन की मोली हालत बहुत भच्छी है । इस तरह से / तो व्यापारी जो भी टैक्स देता है वह उसे प्रपते माल की कीमत में जोड़ देता है और झाम जनता से वह टैक्स वसूल हो जाता है । इसलिए मेरा पहला निवेदन है कि जे। टैक्स लगाने का तरीका है वह बदलना चाहिए जिस से कि गरीब ग्रादमी पर वह टैक्स व पड़े, बडे-बडे ग्रादमियों पर पड़े ।

इसरी बात में यह निवेदन करना आहता हूं कि कम से कम खिस पुल पर झाप टैक्स लगाना आहते हैं उस की कोई सीमा होनी आहिए । उस पुल के निर्माण पर जितना दैसा खर्च हुझा है उतना ही वसूल हो तो बात झाम झादमी की समझ में झाती है क्योंकि इस के निर्माण पर इतना पैसा लगा है इसलिए इतना ही वसूल हो रहा है झीर इस टैक्स वसूली की कोई झवधि निश्चित होनी चाहिए ।

चौथी बात में यह कहना काहला हू क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार ही टैक्स बसूसी करेगी ? प्रालीय सरकारों की भी हवें हैं और प्रान्तीय सरकारों का भी हिस्सा रहता है तो क्या केंद्रीय सरकार भी बसूली करेंगी श्रे स्लिए मेरा निवेदन है कि इसमें इस प्रकार की व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए कि झगर केन्द्रीय सरकार बसूल करती है तो प्रान्तीय सरकारें बसूल नहीं करेंगी ।

इसके मतिरिक्त में एक मौर उदाहरण देना चाहता हुं। वेतवा नदी पर 33 लाख की लागत से एक पूल बना है जिसमें केन्द्रीय सरकार मध्य प्रदेश शमसन धौर उत्तर प्रदेश शासन ना वैसा लगा है। उस पूल पर उसर प्रदेश भासन ने टैक्स लगा दिया झौर कई लाख बसल कर लिया । उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ही उस पैसे को झपने खजाने में रखती है। उसमें सेन तो केनदीय सरकार को हिल्सा विया गया झौर न मध्य प्रदेश सरकार को को हिस्सा दिया गया। तो इस प्रकार की घांघली भी दकनी चाहिए क्योंकि जब तीनों का पैसा लगा है तो जो झामदनी हो रही है वह तीनों जगह बटनी चहिए। मैं प्रधान मंत्री जी से निवेदन करूंगा कि उन्हें इस बात को भी देखना चाहिए कि बेतवा नदी पर जो नोटषाट पर पूल बना है वहां किस तरह से कर बसूली हो रही है। अब बन्द होना चाहिये।

SHRI T. A. PAI (Udipi): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I would strongly support this amendment. I wish at had been brught earlier. The cost of construction of the bridges has gone up enormously now and we have so many jobs to do without resources. Hich, Members who spoke before me have pleaded for the poor people. Well, I am afraid, if everything on the national highways is going to be free, the poor people in this country will have to wait for a longer time for their own rural communication and I am, suce, nobody imends to tax the pedestrians on the national highways. They are being used by

196

trucks, buses and cars and there is no reason why the cost of tiese or uid not be recovered so that additional resources may be generated for new works to be taken up. In new of this, I wish the Government charges its policy in order to construct new national highways with the rescurces that are collected from these who use these so that these may be expedited and we might have a network of better roads and better communications erry.

I would also like to p int (ut some problems the people have faced (n account of the construction of the bridges on the national highways, First of all, it takes longer time than necessary to complete these bridges. We must find out a time-frame within which this w rk could be completed. There are instances where the appr. ach roads are ready, but the bridge is not ready. When the bridge is ready, the appr ach roads are not ready, so that these bridges are not usable within the time the people would expect.

I would also like the Prime Minister to consider the scrapping of the old bridges wherever new bridges are onstructed. I find all over the country even after the new bridges are on structed the old bridges are all wed to continue. There are valuable materials of both ateel and girder: which can carr lessen weight in other parts of the country and within the State. I do hep that these reactions which are discarded, are better und than now.

I would also like to stress the need for the improvement of the transport. That is also very relevant. When the prices 'f trucks went up, we waited to encourage the use of trailers. We wanted to develop the truck treiler system. We wanted to take them up with the national highways. But we were told that masy of the bridges are weak. Now it would be much m re economical from the country's p int of view both in terms of the diesel use and the c.st of these vehicles here our read system, the naional highway are strengthened so that there is no objection for the truck trailer to be utilized.

be utilised. Lastly, I would also like to print out mainly when a thousand national permis were introduced, the object was to see that the country was thread was to see that the country was thread one thousand as the figure. I rm sure that distribution of 'bis que a statewise really greates problems because nob dy knows the oriteria under which these are given. I very much wish that this system is liberalised and wheever wants to have a national permit may be able to get it with ut much difficulty, if certain quasifications which may be laid down are fulfilled.

(Amdt.) Bill

We were v.ry much worried about octroi c ming in the way of a paper development of the transport system in the county. We find that about Rs. 140 crores would be the loss as a result of the abolation of cotroi, but at the same time if otroi was abolished there would be a saving of 17 / c n diesel, which is being wasted apart from the wastage of time in the trucks being made to wait. I thought if this abominable tax which has been part of our tax system is replaced by a more sensible and civilized method, it might result in a better transport system being development and also a lot of savings on diesel.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKARe (Gandhinagaı): Sir, I am happy th<sub>I</sub> Prime Minister is pileting thus Bil I believe he is doing this exercise after a lapse of several years. There are no two opinions about the importance of the development of national highway. Previcus speakers have emphasized that not only the reads should be maintained in a good c ndition but verious other facilities should be available. I want to know whether the government has gone into the quantum of revenue which will accrue to the government as a result of this. I reel the amount of money that will come because of this new levy will be just a couple of crores. Of course, the government can say that this is only the beginning, and as new bridges come up, the revenue will increase. But in terms of the entire budget of the Government of India, I do not know whether a couple of crores will mean a significant addition whereas the amount of herassment and the breeding ground for c rruption that this will intro duce will be mist unf rtunate. This she uld be l'oked into. Our experience is that where there are stoppeges, because you have to pay toll tax, (ctri or some levy, the tax collecting authorities behave not only rudely but in such a way that it causes delay, and greater the delay, greater is the urge on the the delay, greater is the angue of part of the person concerned to pay something and get cut of the situation has is in a hurry. What is because he is in a huriy. the rationale behind the abilition of octr' i, and what is the new rationale behind this new levy which gives even lesser revenue?

The Bill does not contain details as to what will be the respective shares of the various States and what will

# [Prof. P. G. Mawalankar]

be the establishment cyst. If the establishment cost is very high and if the revnue cyllection is small the net result will be that you will not get much revenue. There will be some advantage if the Prime Minister can assure the House that a suitable machinery will be devised to avid corruption and that the establishment cyst will be kept to minimum.

# 15.59 hrs.

#### [Mr. DEFTY Speaker in the chair

A new bridge over Narmada his been recently built on National Highway No. 8. When the old bridge was there, it meant so much delay on both sides because of taffic jam. We thought after the new bridge was built, people could move faster. But with the introduction of this levy, I am afraid there will be again delay in movement of traffic. I hope this aspect also will be taken care of.

On the National Highway No. 8 which includes the road from Bombay to Ahmedabad, one finds at a lot cf places that the road needs to be widened and two-way channel needs to be prcwided's to that more traffic can meve more freely, and accidents can be avoided. It is a tragedy to find that ao many futal socidents take place on this particluar National Highway Nc. 8. So, when you think in terms of development of roads, you should also think in terms of widening the roads and specially these roads where the traffic is very heavy, as for example the road between Ahmedabad and Baroda and such other areas in the country. These are areas where traffic is very heavy and the number of cars gking is very large and therefore, something in terms of development of National Highways in this regard needs to be done. 16 hrs.

My friend, speaking from the Congress benches, said about trees. I am happy to tell him that the Gojarat Government has already started implementing the scheme of planting trees on both sides on several state and National Highways. I can tell you that this has really added to the pleasure of travellers going by cir or bus, and it also gives rome shelter to the people when they want to take rest after travelling about roo miles or So on the read.

These are my views which I thought I should express by taking advantage of the Prime Ministor's piloting the Bill and the development of National High SHRI VASANT SATHE (Akola): Sir, while congratulating the Frime Minister in bringing this valuable Bill, I cuty want to suggest that if the cirt i that we were thinking of ab lishing could be merged with a measure like this and if you have the modern method of avoiding delays with the help of automatic machines by which if you put the roin, the car goes and otherwise not, there will not be any delay. This thing is possible. You can avoid the delays by this. This is the best way of earning the revenue for maintaining our National Highways all over the country. I congratulate you and with you success. But if the delay which is caused by octroi could be avoided by having some method I suggested here. I think it would be a good measure.

country. I congratulate you and with you success. But if the delay which is caused by octroi could be avoided by having some method I suggested here, I think it would be a good measure. THE PRIME MINISTER (SERI MORARJI DESAI) : Sir, I an insufficient to all those who have supported this measure. I must also thank the hon. Meanber who wanted it to be withdrawn because by comparison it enhances the value of the Bill. The first hon. Member who socke

The first hon. Member who spike on this, Dr. Seyid Muhamed, furd fault with the drafting (t the Bill. He found fault generally with the drefirmen in the Law Ministry. But this, I believe, was drafted in his (wn time in 1976. So I do not know with whom he is finding fault. I thrught he had improved the working of the Ministry then. But there can be differences in viewing this. I therefore. do not dispute the statement, but st it he sime time I do not notice any foult in this drafting particularly. There will sive yo be scope for improvement in drafting.

Any suggestions that he will give us in future, we will certainly be: , herm in mind. I can understand the environ of hon. Members abcut delrys likely to be encountered by those who pass the bridges. We will try to see that the delays are minimized. Some defrys have to be put up with. They ermot be helped. I have to be patient; and hon. Members also have to be patient.

Therefore, in the matter of taxaticn, it is not always easy to satisfy all conditions. We are a poor or unity. And, therefore, occasionally the falls on proor men. We cannot say that we will not tax them at all. But in this perticular taxation, no pedestraians or bulkock carts will be taxed. Therefore, you need not be worried about it. Only mechanized vehocicles will have to pey the tax. When I say 'mechanized' cycles are not included in it. "Cortainly the taxed. They are the pedestrains, But another-biogings will cortainly be taxed.

Moreover, this is a tax on permanent bridges on national highways, and not on State highways. Therefore, there is no question of sharing it with the States. But the Centre does help the States in the matter of rural roads also. And we will continue to do so. If hon. Members c operate in increasing the revenue of the Centre, we will certainly go on helping the States because we consider it our duty to do so. After consider it our duty to do so. After all, the interests of the States and of the Centre are not in conflict. They coincide. Therefore, both have to enrich each other. As I have always said, it is in the strength of the states that the strength of the Centre lies. If the States are not strong and the Centre alone is strong, it will be a calamity for this country. I have no doubt about it. Both have to strengthen each other; and in the respective spheres, they must be completely independent-but complementary. Independence does not mean that they should councter each other. There can be no question of double taxation, here, because this will be only on bridges on national highways; and metropolitan areas are going to be exempted. Octrois are confined to these areas; and, therefore there will be no octroi in conflict with this on the bridges, as the bridges in the metropolitan areas are not going to be subject to this taxation.

The revenue from this is estimated at about Rs. 5 crores. I did not deliat about Rs. 5 crores. 1 did not berately mention it, because I did not want to be a prophet in financial matters, because formulation of an estimate is not very easy. I know it from my own experience. But it is estimated that we will get about Rs. 5 crores a year. It is not not income, but gross income; and the expenditure may be about 12% We will try to minimize it, if possible. And all this revenue will be utilized only for imp-provement of national highways and for having more such bridges, so that we have more conveniences as time goes by I think this is a very equitable tax as far as I can acc, because it will be paid by the user of mechanised vehicles that is by those who can afford Of course, an instance was cited, where it was said that a sick man might pass It was said that a sick man might pass on that bridge; and if he is delayed, he might pass away. If that is the contingency, he will pass away in any case before he reaches the destination, I do not know how he is going to be saved that way. It is an extreme case I do not know why such a case is cited. This though how the argument was This shows how the argument was over-stretched. This detracts from the merit f the argument that was ad-vanced against the provisions of the Amendment Bill.

Some cases of bridges were cited, where there were delays. Well, there has been some delay on account of some difficulties in the case of one bridge. There was a foundational difficulty.

श्री छवि राम ग्रगंल (मुरैना) : जो पुल टूटे हुए हैं, जिन के टैंडर नहीं हो रहे हैं ?

श्री मोरारजी देसाई : टूटे हुए हैं तो जरा स्टेट को कहना चाहिए । हर चीज के लिए यहां से दबाएगें तो कोई फायदा नहीं होगा । स्टेट को समझाना चाहिये । हम भी समझाएगे। मैं दबाना नहीं चाहता ह किसी को --

श्री छवि राम ग्रगंल : धोटाले भी हैं।

श्री मोरारजी देसाई : दूसरों के घोटाले ग्राप क्यों देखते हैं ? ग्रपनी तरफ देखना ही बेहतर होगा । हर बात में दूसरों को दोष देंगे तो कैसे काम चलेगा ।

श्री छवि राम ग्रग्ल : जहां दोष दिया जाना चाहिए वहां तो दिया जाएगा ।

श्री मौरारजी देसाई जोब देने के जनना चाहिए । वजाय भवदगार

चोधरी हरी राव मनकासर (नीकानेर): सात सात महीनों से पांच पांच सी लोग भड़ बकरियों की तरह से बैठे हुए हैं पाकिस्तान जाने के लिए उनके काई नहीं जन रहे हैं।

श्री मोरारजी देताई : इसका यहां सवाल नहीं उठता है। हर वन्त कुछ भी बोल देना ग्रच्छा नहीं होता है। इस में समय चला जाता है। इस हाउस का खर्च बहुत भारी है, कम नहीं है। इसको भी हमें सोंचना चाहिए ।

Therefore, these bridges also are being looked after,

#### [Shri Morarji Desai]

There was a question raised about a bridge between Madhya Pradeth and Uttar Pradesh, when it was said that m'ney was contributed by both the States and the amount is being appri-priated only by UP. This question is before the Zonal Council. It is already seized of it. I am quite sure that the Zonal Council will de justice to be th of them, and that Madhya Price h will not be done any injustice.

The bridge in Karnataka, the Keli Bridge, which was referred to is under construction. The contractors were doing it and the company is under liquidation. Therefore, it got delayed. New chink it is c ming up properly. It will be done as soon as it is possible t) do se. I may assure my hen, friends that wherever the suggestions have come, we will go into them and wherever it is possible to impr ve matters, ecti' n will be taken in time.

I am thankful to all the hen. Members for supporting the Bill in the national interest. I can (nly say (n behalf of the Gwernment that we will try to see that it is worked also in the national interest, and the revenue is used in the interest of the national , highways, that is, the national interest.

भी महोलाल (बिजनौर): मैं एक स्पष्टीकरण चाहता हं। जब किसी पूल की कास्ट पूरी हो जाएगी उसके बाद तो टैक्स खत्म हो जाएगा ?

की बोरारकी बेलाई : खत्म कर दिया जाएगा । ंइस में हम प्राफिटीर्यारग करना नहीं चाहते हैं। वह बिजिनैसमेंन का काम है, हमारा नहीं है।

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Bill to amend the National Highways Act, 1956, be taken into consideratic ns."

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We will now take up calsue by clause or neiderstion.

There are three amendments to clause 2.

Clause 2-(Amendment of Section 7)

SHRI ANNASAHEB GOTKHIN-DE (Sangli) : I beg to meve:

Page 1, line 10,-

for "1976" substitute "1977" (1)

Page 1, line 14,

after "bridge" insert "ferry or tunnel"(2)

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA (Tumkur): I beg to move:

Page 1, line 10,-

add at the end-

'and after the words "national highways", the w rds "pr vided ill the national highways in all the States are fully developed", thr ll be inserted; (3)

SHRI ANNASAHEB GOTKHIN-DE: My submission is that instead of leving fee for the bridges which are opened to traffic from 1st April, 1976, it should be from 1st April, 1977. As the Bill was introduced in June this year, it may be from the beginning of the financial year 1977-78.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I am sorry I cannot accept it becuase it will restrict the revenue, and it is in the interests of revenue, that it should come from that time.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: My intenntion in moving the amendment is to focus the attention of the Government on the neces ity of giving assistance and help to develop the national high-ways in the country more ratio nally rather than by bringing forward these amendments.

There was a Twenty-Year Plan drawn up in 1961 to develop the national highways in the country. I would like to say that a number of national highways are still not developed properly and that marketing and traffic facilities have not been fully provided, especially have not been fully provided, especially in the border areas. In respect of Highway No. 30, from Delhi to the Pakistan border, a lot of money has been misappropriated. The money that was allotted for this purpose has not been utilised in a proper manner. Similarly, on highways passing through hilly areas or difficult terrain, they are not spending money. not spending money.

We have drawn your attention to the Kali Bridge and I hope that as promised you will, see that progress is made

soon. Similarly, on the Mangalore-Cochin Highway, 40 k.m. have still not been completed due to meagre allocation of funds. Out of Rs. 50 crores, only Rs. 2 crores have been allotted. Maintenance construction of bridges and other things have been pending for along time in Karnataka boutse of maggre allocation of funds. Therefore, it is necessary that a rational approach has to be made. A time target has to be fixed for the development of the national highways.

We have no objection to this Bill. It is a very good measure that you have brought in order to get income to the Government but, though roads can be developed very easily, in the financial allocation for the development of the national highways there is no rational approach. Though it is a small Bill, the hon. Prime Minister has had the patience to sit here and hear us and we appreciate it very much. I hope he would assure us that in the matter of financial allocation, the development of the national highways and the progress of all the States, they will be dealt with equally, without any discrimination, on a rational basis. If that is assured, with this permission I shall withdraw my amendment.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: If the amendment is moved only to get an a surance from me, that assurance has been given by me even on the very first day that all the states will be treated with equal respect and without any discrimination and with full justice. But it also means that the richer States will have to consider about the poorer states. Both things will have to be considered: who is to be helped more and who is to be helped less. That is also a criterion which will have to be borne in mind.

The amendment which has been moved by my hon. friend would only negative the whole thing. It says that no fee should be levicd until all the national highways are completed. This will never happen because the number of national highways will go on increasing and they will never be finished. Therefore, this can never be done. This is so impracticable amendment. I am glad that he is going to withdraw it ard, I hope, he does so.

SHRI ANNASAHEB GOTKHIN-DE: Sir, my amendment No. 2 is very reasonable. I would bring to the notice of the hon. Prime Minister that in the statement of Objects and Reasons, it is stated:

"It is also proposed to include an a enabling provision to exempt (Amdt.) Bill

I am suggesting that the words "ferry or tunnel,, should be included in this proviso because if it is in the public interest to exempt any bridge from collecting any fee and if the Government thinks that the exemption is in the public interest also for any ferry or tunnel, the enabling provision should be there. The enabling provision would then be complete, not till then. Therefore, in the fitness of things, these two things should also be added. Here, four things are included in Section 7 of the Principal Act. If we mention only one of them, the enabling provision would not be available for the Government even though it is in the public interest to exempt any ferry or tunnel. I would, therefore, request the hon. Prime Minister to accept this amendment at least.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: The metropolitan bridges are going to be exempted. Therefore, there is no question of ferry or anything like that. That is why they are not included in this. I am very sorry I cannot accept the last demand that is made by my hon. friend. But if there is any case of any ferry which requires to be exempted at any time, even without this amendment, the Government will certainly consider it. That I can tell him.

SHRI ANNASAHEB GOTKHIN-DE: Tunnel also.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Tunnel or whatever you want.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Do you want to press Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 ?

SHRI ANNASAHEB GOTKHIN-DE: No, Sir. I withdraw them.

Amendments Nos. 1 and 2 were, by leave, withdrawn.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Lakkappa, are you withdrawing your amendment?

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: Yes, Sir. I withdraw 'my amendment.

Amendment No. 3 was, by leave, withdrawn.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"'That Clause 2 stand part of the Bill."

The motion Ewas adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill

Clauses 3 and 4 were added to the Bill.

Claute 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed".

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That the Bill be passed'

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamoted Harbour): Sir, I only want to make a mention of one or two thungs. I are taking this opportunity of drawing the kind attention of the House and also of the hon. Prime Munister that the Second Hubbi procist is hanging fire for secoral years. Mrs. Indira Gandhi went and made promises several times but the work has come to a standstill. The second thing is about the lack of communication in a very sensitive area, that is, Sunderbans which faces the Bay of Bengal. After the Diego Garcia issue has come on the surface, the importance of Sunderbans has gone up tretmeadously. Therefore, through the medium of this House, I draw the attention of the Government for taking remedial messures.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayinkil): I only want to make one submission. I want to draw the attention of the hon. Prime Minister to the National Highway No. 47—Allepy Bypass. I want to bring it to his notice that it has been still pending since 1972.

SHRI A. C. GEORGE (Mukandaram): The most important National Highway is No. 17. Some three years back, it was decided that this National Highway would be realigned from Kuttlpuram to Cochin, so that it may form part of the West Coast. When this concept of National Highway was considered, it was suggested that No. 17 Highway would be diverted from Kuttiouram to jein the National Highway No. 47 near Cochin. This project costing nearly Rs. 9 crores was sanctioned and it was thought that it would enable the entire West Coast of Kerala to be developed. It is still in a sort of ordd storage and mothing has happened. There are two most important bridges which are called Kuttipuram and Chettua. As far as these two bridges are concerned, the laying of foundationstone critication is just kept peading. So, I am requesting the hoft. Prime Minister who is holding charge of the important portfolio isnow to see that the National Highway No. 17 is expedited.

भी रामवीवन सिंह ( वलिया ) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, विहार में हर वर्ष राष्ट्रीय राजपव न ० 31 की स्थिति ऐवी हो जस्ती है कि बाढ़ के दिनों में गंगा नदी का पानी उसे, प्लावित कर देता है, जिस के कारण बरौनी से लेकर आसनसोल तक का रास्ता विस्कुल बन्द हो जाता है। इस लिए सरकार से मेरा मनुरोध है कि या तो उस राजपच की सुरका की व्यवस्था की जाये, झववा कोई वैक्लिपक मार्ग बना दिया जाये, जिस से बाढ के दिनों में बह रास्ता बग्द न हो ।

भी गंगा सिंह (मडी) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं बार्डर रोड्थ के बारे में कुछ कहना चाहता हूं।

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: The border roads are being made. So, you need not be anxious about it. I do nct want to be charged with a breach of promise by my hon, friend Shri Jyctirmey Bessu as he charged my predecesser. So, I won't make any promise of doing a particular thing, but I would say that J will certainly give full consideration to what all the different hon. Members have said and do whatever I can. That is all I can say.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

#### CARDAMOM (AMENDMENT) BILL

THE MINISTER OF COM-MERCE AND CIVIL SUPPLIES AND CO-OPERATION (SHRI MOMAN DHARIA): I beg to merve:

"That the Bill to amend the Crrdamen Aot, 1965, be taken into consideration."

It is a small Bill incorporating two provisions. One is sefarding, cost shat is sevied on the suport of captamer.