19.30 hrs.

CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (AMENDMENT) BILL—Contd.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr Patil will now reply.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. D. PATIL): Sir, I was replying to the points made by hon members who opposed the motion for leave to introduce the Bill. I fully understand the feelings of the members who have opposed the introduction of this Bill and the misglvings that arise in their minds regarding the conferment of even of attenuated powers of preventive detention on the administration. Our recollections of the gross abuse to which MISA was put are fresh and vivid.

Nor has Government any pleasure in introducing a measure of this nature. But I would request the hon. Members to bear in mind that Government has at the me time a responsibility to discharge and, were it not absolutely necessary for the discharge of this responsibility, we would not have come forward with this proposal. The country expects rightly that law and order will be maintained. Government has a moral obligation to fulfil this expectation, for, the maintenance of law and order is the primary responsibility of the State and in fact the basic raison d'etre of its existence. The House is aware of the complexity and the nature of the problems of security and public order with which the country is faced and I need not dwell now upon the recent acts of sabotage and terrorist threats, the proneness to violence of certain sections and the divisive forces that are always seeking free rein to undermine the polity. The administration seeks legal sanctions from this House in coping with these problems and denying it these sanctions, would be handicapping it sorely against forces that, in any case, have only contempt for law, society, indeed human life itself.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: What is the matter being taken up now?

Mr. Speaker: The Minister is replying to the earlier debate.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: Under what rules can he do this? Please see the record. The Deputy Speaker had said that it will go to the next session.

MR. SPEAKER: He has not said that, Please verify from the records.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I understand from the office that he has not said that it has lapsed.

SHRI O. V. ALAGESAN: The Deputy Speaker had said that it was 3 O'clock and so....(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I was not present. My Secretary says that the Deputy Speaker has not said it.

SHRI S. D. PATIL: To allay misgivings, I would like to point out that the main thrust of the provisions of the Bill is to ensure that powers for preventive detention are not abused. Thus it differs from its predecessors in seeking to limit the powers of Government and to provide potent safeguards. (Interruptions)

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR : Which record has the Secretary seen ?

SHRI S. D. PATIL: Therefore, the activities prejudicial to the security of the State and the maintenance of public order have been rigorously defined in the Bill so as to eliminate any possibility of the law being used for political or extraneous purposes. The State Government will have no power to issue detention orders for reasons connected with the defence of India or the security of India or with respect to any foreigner. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: My Secretary says that the Deputy Speaker has not said that.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYA: You must be consistent. We are being fooled.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: We want to know what the Deputy Speaker himself has said.

MR. SPEAKER: The Deputy Speaker is here. I would like him to say what had happened then.

SHRI GODEY MURAHARI (Vijayawada): I must strongly protest against the attempt of some Members to say something, as if I have said it. I have never said that it lapses. Actually, what I said is that the time is 3 O' clock, and that we will take up the private Members' Business. I am sorry that Members should put words into my mouth. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: You have to accept it. He said: "Private Members' Business is being taken up." Nothing more than that.

SHRI S. D. PATIL: The district authorities will be able to issue detention orders only when such powers are spe-cifically delegated to them and only for activities prejudicial to the mainte-nance of public order of supplies and services essential to the community. Conditions of detention will be determine by rules to be made by the Central Government and will not be left to the State Governments to decide. A mandatory provision is being made for the payment of monetary relief to the family of the detenu where the means of its subsistence have been rendered inadequate because of the detention. I would, further, like to mention that the constitution of the advisory boards has been so modified as to secure the most independent possible judicial review within two months of detention through a Board nominated by the Chief Justice of the appropriate High Court and consisting of three Judges. Members must have noted that, earlier, the composition of the advisory boards was not restricted by laws to Judges, nor were nominations to the advisory boards made by the Chief Justice. By proposing that the function of nomination to the advisory board be entrusted to the Chief Justice of the High Court and that membership of the advisory board be restricted to Judges (serving or retired), Government have given proof of their good faith and determination to prevent abuse of the proposed legislation.

Further, a detenu will be able to make additional representation against his detention whereas he has no such right to-day.

The total period of detention under this measure has been reduced from three years under the present law to one year in all cases.

I would also like to refer to the provision made in the Bill for the first time in the legislative history of preventive detention that a report on the working of the law will require to be made not only to the two Houses of Parliament but also by each State Government to its Legislature. A statutory provision enabling discussion in the State Legislature as well as Parliament of the manner in which the law has been implemented will be the surest way to ensure that these powers are used with due care and restraint.

We have sought to provide all safeguards that we could possibly think of but, should Members be able to suggest any others during the course of the discussion on this Bill, Government will give their seggestions its most earnest consideration. We will gladly accept any suggestions that will strengthen the safeguards against abuse without weakening the administration in controlling the divisive forces of chaos and disorder.

It is only our awareness of the serious problems facing the country that has prompted us to bring forward this measure. I would appeal to the hon Members' sense of responsibility towards maintenance of peace and order in the country in seeking their support for the measure.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973."

The Lok Sabha divided.

Division No. 14]

[19:40 hrs.

AYES

Agrawal, Shri Satish Amat, Shri D. Ananthan, Shri Kumari Argal, Shri Chhabiram Arif Beg, Shri

Balak Ram, Shri Barnala, Shri Surjit Singh Berwa, Shri Ram Kanwar Bhanwar, Shri Bhagirath Bharat Bhushan, Shri Brahm Perkash, Choudhury

Chandan Singh, Shri Chandra Pal Singh, Shri Chandravati, Shrimati Chaturvedi, Shri Shambhu Nath Chavda, Shri K. S. Chowhan, Shri Bharat Singh Chunder, Dr. Pratap Chandra

Danwe, Shri Pundalik Hari Dave, Shri Anant Dawan, Shri Raj Krishna Dhara, Shri Sushil Kumar Dharia, Shri Mohan Dhurve, Shri Shyamlal Digvijoy Narain Singh, Shri Durga Chand, Shri

Fazlur Rehman, Shri

446

Ganga Singh, Shri Gattani, Shri R. D. Goyal, Shri Krishna Kumar

Harikesh Bahadur, Shri

Heera Bhai, Shri Jain, Shri Kalyan

Jaiswal, Shri Anant Ram

Kapoor, Shri L. L.

Kar, Shri Sarat

Kaushik, Shri Purushottam

Khan, Shri Ghulam Mohammad

Khan, Shri Kunwar Mahmud Ali

Khan, Shri Mahmood Hasan

Krishan Kant, Shri Kureel, Shri R. L.

Mahala, Shri K. L.

Mahale, Shri Hari Shankar

Mahi Lal, Shri

Malhotra, Shri Vijay Kumar

Mallick, Shri Rama Chandra

Mandal, Shri Dhanik Lal

Mathur, Shri Jagdish Prasad

Mehta, Shri Prasannbhai

Mhalgi, Shri R. K.

Mishra, Shri Janeshwar

Mishra, Shri Shyamnandan

Mondal, Dr. Bijoy

Munda, Shri Karia

Negi, Shri T. S.

Pandey, Shri Ambika Prasad Pandeya, Dr. Laxminarayan Parmar, Shri Natwarlal B. Patel, Shri Dharmasinhbhai Patil, Shri S. D. Patnaik, Shri Biju Phirangi Prasad, Shri

Pradhan, Shri Gananath
Rahi, Shri Ram Lal
Rai, Shri Gauri Shankar
Rai, Shri Shiv Ram
Raj Keshar Singh, Shri
Raj Narain, Shri
Rakesh, Shri R. N.
Ram, Shri R. D.
Ram Awadhesh Singh, Shri
Ram Charan, Shri
Ram Kinkar, Shri

Ramapati Singh, Shri Ramji Singh, Dr. Ramjiwan Singh, Shri Ranjit Singh, Shri Ravindra Pratap Singh, Shri

Sahoo, Shri Ainthu

Sai, Shri Larang

Sai, Shri Narhari Prasad Sukhdeo

Saksena, Prof. Shibban Lal

Samantasinhera, Shri Padmacharan

Saran, Shri Daulat Ram

Sarda, Shri S. K.

Satya Deo Singh, Shri

Shaiza, Shrimati Rano M.

Sharma, Shri Jagannath

Shastri, Shri Bhanu Kumar

Shastri, Shri Ram Dhari

Shejwalkar, Shri N. K.

Sinha, Shri C. M.

Sinha, Shri Purna

Somani, Shri Roop Lal Suman, Shri Surendra Jha

Tiwari, Shri Brij Bhushan

Ugrasen, Shri

Varma, Shri Ravindra

Verma, Shri Mritunjay Prasad

Verma, Shri R. L. P.

Yadav, Shri Jagdambi Prasad Yadava, Shri Roop Nath Singh Yuvraj, Shri

## NOES

Alagesan, Shri O. V.

Ankineedu, Shri Maganti

Banatwalla, Shri G. M.

Basu, Shri Dhirendranath

Bhagat Ram, Shri

Bhattacharya, Shri Dinen

Bhattacharyya, Shri Shyamaprasanna

Bheeshma Dev. Shri M.

Bosu, Shri Jyotirmoy

448

Chikkalingiah, Shri K. Gamit, Shri Chhitubhai

Halder, Shri Krishna Chandra

Contempt of

Kolur, Shri Rajshekhar Kisalram, Shri K. T.

Machhand, Shri Raghubir Singh Mavalankar, Prof. P. G.

Naidu, Shri P. Rajagopal

Patnaik, Shri Sivaji

Rajan, Shri K. A. Rao, Shri M. Satyanarayan Ravi, Shri Vayalar Reddi, Shri G. S. Reddy, Shri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Roy, Shri A. K.

Shankar Dev, Shri Suryanarayana, Shri K.

Venkataraman, Shri R.

MR. SPEAKER: Subject to correction, the result\* of the Division is: Ayes 104, Nocs 27. The Ayes have it, the Ayes have it. ,

The motion was adopted

SHRI S. D. PATIL: 1 introduce the Rill

## 19'40 bours

## CONTEMPT OF THE HOUSE

MR. SPEAKER: As the House is aware, one person, who has given his aware, one person, who has given his name as Suresh Singh, who is a student of B.A. Final of Gorakhpur University, shouted some slogan and threw leaflets from the Visitors' Gallery at about 12.40 p.m. today. He was immediately removed from the Gallery by the Watch and Wed Stoff and interrogated He and Ward Staff and interrogated. He has made a written statement but not expressed regret for his action.

As today is the last day of the session, of the House agrees, he may be kept in the custody of the Watch and Ward Officer till the rising of the House today and thereafter released with a warning.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): I move that he be released forthwith.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai): A motion has to be made by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs.
The procedure is that the motion is made by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and then the House expresses its opinion on it. The sentence is never passed by the Chair.

MR. SPEAKER: We are not imprisoning him. I only wanted him to be warned and released. Is that the pleasure of the House?

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: Why not place the whole matter before the House and ask the House to express its opinion? I do not know who is derelicting in the matter. Somebody is dere-licting in the matter. The incident has occurred in the House and we have not even been apprised of the incident. The House requires to be apprised of it and the House will deal with it.

MR. SPEAKER: What exactly do you want?

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: The incident took place in the House this morning. We do not know much about it. The House will have to take a view in the matter. Somebody has to inform the House about the incident and then the House will take a view and say, whether he should be sentenced to one day or two days' imprisonment, whatever the case may be. But the general practice has been that the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs comes before the House and tells the House about the incident that has taken place. He also makes a motion.

MR. SPEAKER: My Secretary infforms me that the precedent in such cases is that if an imprisonment is to be given, then the Miniter for Parlia-mentary Affairs makes a motion. But if it is merely a question of giving a warning and letting him off, it is done by the Chair.

NOES: Shri Ajitsinh Dabhi.

<sup>\*</sup>The following Members also recorded their votes:

AYES: Sarvashri Charan Singh, Zulfiquarullah, Hukmdeo Narain Yadav, Ramanand Tiwary, Rama Naresh Kushwaha Ram Vilas Paswan, Raje Vishveshvar Rao, Nathu Singh, Ram Murti Rudolph Rodrigues, Shrikrishna Singh Balwant Singh Ramoowalia. and Raghubir Singh Machhand.