(iv) Resource preas minister structs of working of Eusti Gold Minister in Radioscopic

SHRI RAJSHEKHAR KOLUR (Raichur): Mr. Deputy Speaker Sir, I am thankful to you for allowing me to raise a point under Rule 377 on the relay hunger strike by workers of Rutti gold mines in pursuance of their The Hutti Gold Mines demands. Workers Union submitted a memorandum on 17-4-1978 to the Government of Karnataka listing the demands of their workers which included revision of pay scales, provision of promotional avenues and removal of stagnation in service There was a wide disparity in the pay scales between the workers of Hutti Gold Mines and those of Kolar Gold Fields While the workers of a particular category are getting Rs. 870 in Kolar Gold Fields, their counterparts in Hutti Gold Mines are getting only Rs. 252 The main demand of the Hutti Gold Mines is for maintaining parity with Kolar Gold Fields in the pay scales

The demands of the workers Hutti Mines for enhanced pay scales etc. are estimated to cost an additional amount of Rs. 64 lakhs. Negotiations with the State Labour Minister on 22nd June, 1978 had not been fruitful. During the discussions with the management on 5th July, 1978, the Union had come down in respact of its demands to Rs. 32 lakhs The management agreed to this and made a favourable recommendations in the matter, but the proposal has not yet been approved by the State Government.

The Company has been able to turn out profits with the hard work and comparation of its workers and during 1979-79, it is estimated to earn a profit of Rs. 45 lakhs. About 1247 workers are on its rolls with service meights from five to fifteen years. Many of their selecty scales and have been stagmating without increments and premotion.

To press for the acceptance of their reasonable demands, the workers went on a one-day strike which was a total success with hundred per cent partitipation. Since 23rd June, 1978 a relay hunger strike with ten workers per day has been going on.

The demands of the workers of Hutti Gold Mines are reasonable and the Labour Minister's intervention is urgently requested. The workers demonstrations have all along been peaceful but they are feeling restive and the situation may go out of hand if negotiations are not urgently started for the satisfaction of the reasonable demands of the workers of Hutti Gold Mines

14,37 hrs.

MOTION RE. RESOLUTION PASSED AT CONFERENCE OF CHIEF MI-NISTERS OF SOUTHERN STATES REGARDING LANGUAGE POLICY

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The House will now take up the motion on language policy by Shri Vasant Sathe

Shri Vasant Sathe

SHRI VASANT SATHE (Akola). Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I beg to move.

"That this House takes note of the resolution passed at the Conference of the Chief Ministers of the Southern States regarding the language policy".

While speaking on this motion relating to the anxiety which the conference of the Chief Ministers of Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Kerala and Pondicherry recently expressed on the question of language policy, the manner it is being implemented, I would like to submit, at the outset, that this whole issue of Eindi has to be looked at in [Shri Vasant Sathe]

a right national perspective. We canover-charged by emotional not be considerations. After all, a language essentially and primarily is a medium of communication of thoughts of ideas, a link of dealing with others. and that is why it becomes important

Now that India, that is Bharat, has, for the first time, after our independence emerged as a nation in the long history of five thousand and odd years, the first question is: are we going to remain a nation, a strong nation, a harmonious, united and strong nation or not? Once we accept this basic premise, then obiviously all those factors which lead towards this unity, towards this harmony must be borne in mind. And one factor that unites people anywhere in the world has been language. A common language for a nation is the most important uniting factor. There is no other. Tell me any nation in world which has, as a nation, different languages and no link language. Take even the federal States that you know of in the world. In Soviet Union, for example, there is language which is known by all the people of that country.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR (Pondicherry): That is not a democratic country; it is a communist country.

SHRI VASANT SATHE; Take another democratic State like the United States. Even in Canada, there are two languages. But all the people know both the languages. All Government documents are always translated and published in both the languages.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: No. no.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: fore, if you want to remain a nation, it is my honest belief that you cannot rely-you can choose which language roti want; but you cannot rely-on a language which is known hardly by

1 per cent of the population, (Interruptions) You will have to think of one common link language for this country. And that language, in my humble opinion, has a utility and a use; and it can only be Hindi, I cannot think of any other. And when I talk of Hind; (interruptions). I am not thinking of Hindi as a monopoly of any particular people. Hindi self can evolve and grow; and I am thinking of Hindi as expressed Gandhiji-he used to call it Hindustani. Common words can be used or retained I feel that the wrong to the advancement of Hindi as a national language has, unfortunately, been done by those who in their over-enthusiasm tried to propagate and push Hindi, not only the ordinary Hindi but the Raghuvirian Hindi, making it more difficult and more Sanskritized. They have done the greatest damage to Hindi, (Interruptions).

C.M.'s Conference

At the time we became independent, I know that even in the South, there was a general fervour among the non-Hindi speaking people to learn Hindi. But it is because you put it in the Constitution that within 15 years Hindi must become the official language, that the real trouble start-As regards English, it took nearly 150 years even for that 1-1/2 per cent of the population to learn it. Any language must grow with people. I have always believed that if we had allowed Hindi to grow from the primary stage, that is, to grow with the people all over the country, right upto the college stage, you would have today all over the country graduates even from the Southern States speaking Hindi even better than those whose mother-tongue happens to be Hindi.

But that chance you do not give. You wanted to do it at the top. You said; the clerks must learn enough of Hindi to draft letters and communicate which is impossible. They may just learn enough to sign, but any drafting, any communication, perticularly Government communications or enactments or orders is not that easy. Therefore, I feel that the right stand was taken by Pandit Jawahar Lal Nehru followed by the Prime Ministers later on that Hindi should be imposed only when it is accepted by the non-Hindi speaking people and States That is the crux of the matter

You have to take the people of this country with you If you want to take the people of this country with you, then you cannot impose it on anyone. You must create facilities and that can only be done by love and affection and not by a sense of coercion or imposition. I would submit, Sir. that the assurance which was given by Jawahar Lai Nehru first and followed by the Prime Minister on that English will continue to be the associate language, that Hindi will be imposed only when the non-Hindi speaking people and States want, that assurance must stand; and necessary, must get a constitutional guarantee.

Now the fear of the Southern States is that it is being imposed. All the mon-Hindi speaking States have expressed the fear that it is covertly imposed by the Hindi speaking States are by the Government. This is the apprehension, I can give you an example why this apprehension has grown. Recently, in Bihar, as far as the Act is concerned, it is well-known.

Section 3, provise 2 says:

"Provided further that where Hindi is used for purposes of a communication between one State which has adopted Hindi as its official language and another State which has not adopted Hindi as its official language, such communication in Hindi shall be accompanied by translation of same in English language."

What happens to the over-scalous and champions of Hindi? In Bihar,

the Bihar Government has recently issued an order prohibiting the of English in any form by its officers and even making the use of English by any officer is liable to disciplinary action. The State Chief Minister supplements this order through a flat that Hindi should be the sole medium of communication not only with the Centre but also with all other States. Apparently, this is contrary to the Act which is the Official Language itself. There are examples of this. Why does it hurt the people? I shall give you a concrete example Recently it was pointed out in the House that even Central Government agencies like the post offices and postal partments send forms only in Hindi -money order forms, telegram forms and so on. It was contradicted that that was not correct. But here I have a letter from Gujarat sent Mr. V. S Rameshwaran and he has enclosed a form-Bharatiya Dak Tar This form is only in Hindi. Vibhag. At least on one side it should have been in English; but it is completely in Hindi; no other form is available. What can a person who does not know Hindi do? Communications ment, public sector undertakings, departments like the customs are sending communications and even some of my Hindi speaking friends will find it difficult to understand the language. Morarjibhai is of course an expert and he may, but let me read out the language; this is a language which I have not been able to understand. This is a letter dated 3 April 1978.

"बापको सूचित किया जाता है कि इस कार्यालय के विनांक 5-1-78 के सम संख्यक पत्न द्वारा को न्यून प्रकार की मांग की गयी की उसे वापिस के लिया गया"। स्यून प्रभार की मांग का क्या मतस्य है, राज नारायन जी को पता होगा।

भी महीलाल (जिजनीर): ताठें जी कांग्रेजी जानते हैं, जो कांग्रेजी नहीं जानते हैं उनके बारे में उनका क्या विचार है? SHRI VASANT SATHE: The signature is in English; the gentleman who signed the communication does not know Hindi; somebody had drafted it. He is some Nahata or somebody. Then what is the designation? This is from Bombay. This is the type of Hindi.

कृते साह सीवाकुरक स्थाइता । की यावकेना क्स (जीवपुर) : ठीक से पढ़ो तो कम से कम । इन्ते होगा ।

भी बसन्त साठैः बिल्कुन ठीक पदा है; कूते। बही किया हुना है।

Is it not like the famous Dr Raghuvira's कच्छ लगोट for the necktic. जांबी जी कहा करते वे कि भाई रेडियो, कार, पुलिस, लालटेन चाचि शब्द ज्ञंचनी भाषा में जा बार्स से क्या विवक्ता है।

For signal, you cannot have signal; you must have: श्रील एक राजवायका सुबक मोहतान्त्र पट्टिका

By the time you say that the signal is down in this manner, the train would have gone. Let us not be familical about the language. As I said, language has a purpose and that purpose has to be served if you want to consolidate the whole country. In the south, how many Hindi films are heing seen by people every day? Hindi films are more popular than even the films of the matinee idol Ramachandran-, MGR.... (Interruptions)

SERT A BALA PAJANOR (Pondicherry): Because of Hemsmallini paople of the muth are also interested.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Hemamalini decks in Hindi in those films... (Haterruptions) We adopt Hemamalini. MR. DEFUTY-STRANDS: Let us not discuss Hemamalini here... (Interruptions)

SHRI VASANT SATHE: My friend Shri Bala Pajanor is fond of films; but he must know that they are championing Hindi. He should follow them and he should learn that.

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: I know Hindi but you do not know Tainil; that is the trouble.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Language will be learnt not by force. It will be learnt because it is necessary; if is essential in day-to-day life. All those people who have gone to the south from the north speak Tamil very well They speak Telugu, They speak Malayalam. Why? Because they have to deal with the people there. Similarly people have come to North India. I am sure many people who come from North India and South India speak Hinds very well. It is a day-to-day necessity. I am saying let Hindi grow with the people. Let it not be imposed and, therefore, I am more in favour of the three language formula and I have seen from reports that majority of the Chief Ministers of even Southern States are in favour of the three language formula where they want to encourage Hindi but to grow with the people. It is only in Tamil Nadu, unfortunately. I have not understood, it is another copy of Bihar. Bihar is a chauvinist, narrow minded State. They try to violate even the official langue age by saying that even in inter-State relations only Hindi must be used and, therefore, abolished the use of English altogether. The same thing is being done in Tamii Nadu.

SHRI V. ARUNACHALAM cline 'ALADI ARUNA' (Tirunsiveli): No Str.

(Interruptions)

SHRI RAGAVALU MCHANARAN-GAM (Chengalpattu): In Tamil Madu we are following English as well as Tamil. MERI VASANT SATES: In Tamil Nada they are adopting another two isnguage formula and that two languand formula is only English and Tamil

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Nothing will go on record if three Members spelly simultaneously. Three members cannot speak at a time. Mr. Mohanarangem, you are going to make a speech. You can say whatever you want to speak then.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Mohanarangam, if you keep on consuming time, then you will lose your time when you speak,

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Bibar is narrower than your State.

(Interruptions)

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Mohanarangam, please take your seat.

(Interruptions)

VASAN SATHE: Tamil Nadu is adopting only two language formula.

(Interruptions)

The two languages are Tamil and English, I am told that....

(Interruptions)

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Milimarangam, please held your peace niew. At every sentence if you get up, be is no point in continuing with the discussion

THE R. MALE PATANOR: He d not say that we are narrow

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: When you sak you reply to him. You can say stever you want.

SHRI RAGAVALU MOHANARAN-GAM: After all we cannot ask you to shift Parliament from Delhi to Madras.

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Do not get excited.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: In Tamil Nadu you have asked all officers (you can correct me) to sign, I am told in Tamil. Is that correct?

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: Do not compare Bihar with Tamil Nadu.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I am told you have asked all officers to sign in Tamil than in English.

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: Yes. Because of you people-Madam Gandhi.

(Interruptions)

SHRI RAGAVALU MOHANARAN-GAM: Mr Sathe cannot be a hero of the House,

(Interruptions)

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Mohamarangam, nothing that you say will go on record it you go on like this. Please at down,

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I have heard a statement from one of the Chief Ministers who has participated in the Conference. What does be say? I am quoting from the National Herald of 1-8-1978.

"Mr. Antony told the State Assembly during question-time the State had streamed that the assurance given by the late Prime Minister Motors on the language some should be kept up in its true spirit that there should be no imposition of Hindi and the three-lenguese formula should to 'meeningfully implemented' everywhere".

[Shr: Vasant Sathe]

Therefore, this is the view of the Karnataka Chief Minister, this is the view of the Andhra Chief Minister and this is the view of the Kerala Chief Minister Now I would plead with my Tamil colleagues let this issue not be politicalised Do not think that this issue will help I think, they also should accept that today or tomorrow, Hind, should grow and develop as a link language Let it take its own time, I am not saying that you rush it Let it grow from the children till they become graduates

I can understand their fear because in Government service the people from non-Hindi speaking area feel that in English they are on equal advantage with the Hindi speaking people Therefore, throughout the country, if as a national cadre of administrators or inter-State cadre of administrators or we draw from the State cadre, they feel that they will be on equal footing with the Hindi speaking people in English. Tomorrow, when they also learn Hindi as well, with one generation learning Hindi to grow with the children. I assure you many of us present here from the South can speak and will speak Hindi better than those who are born in the so-called Hind, belt. That opportunity must be given.

SHRI RAGAVALU MOHANARAN-GAM: Can anyone of you here from North India compete with me in Tamil? You cannot because this is my mother tongue. I cannot compete with a person whose mother tongue is Hindi.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER But these ideas you can put when you will be speaking.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I have been practising three-language formula. I come from Maharastre, a Marathi speaking area. My mother tongue is Marathi.

SHRI C, SUBRAMANIAM (Palani): It is a sister language.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: It is not at all

I can speak as good or as bad or as I do in English as in Hindi.

SHRI A BALA PAJANORE Mr. Deputy-Speaker what he says must be understandable to others You understand me when I say something in Tamil Mr Speaker also can understand me For 30 years we have been fighting for our rights

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER I am understanding you Please resume your seat

"SHRI A BALA PAJANORE Why should my "brother- n-laws" be afraid of what we are saying!

SHRI VASANT SATHE: My dear friends, when they talk in English, they feel quite proud,

SHRI A, BALA PAJANORE: Not at all. We are ashamed of speaking in English in this country because we have not been provided an opportunity to speak in our mother tongue. Many people from my Party cannot fellow either Hindi or English

SHRI C, SUBRANAMANIM: There is no question of being ashamed in talking in a lauguage.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: It this question of language is to be resolved in a dignified, graceful and patriotic manner, then, no effort should be allowed to prevail overtly or coverily. And I would be go my Hindi-speaking friends to realise that they will be doing a disservice to Hindi if in their enthusiasm, they do anything to let an impression grow in the country, in the non-Hindi-speaking areas, that there is some effort made covertly or overly

^{*}Spoke in Tamil

to impose Hindi. That is the biggest danger to the growth of Hindi, and therefore, it must be religiously fought. And I hope the Government will make a special effort and respond to it as far as this question is concerned without allowing passions to grow. I plead particularly with my friends from Tamil Nadu not to take an anti-Hindi attitude Today or tomorrow you can allow it to grow Give as much time as you want, 50 years or two generations or whatever time you want but please allow Hindi to grow in Tamil Nadu At least let the children start learning (Interruptions) I have no special love for Hindi as such. We all speak Hindi because it happens to be useful. In the case of Tamil sho I would have pleaded as vehemently for it as a national language as I am doing it for Hindi

SHRI V. ARUNACHALAM altas 'ALADI ARUNA': It is a richer language than your language. It is the finest language.

SRRI VASANT SATHE: That I agree. Tamil is the most ancient languages Tamil is one of the richest languages That I accept.

SHRI V ARUNACHALAM glids 'ALADI ARUNA': And we speak Tamil without borrowing a single word from other languages.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I tell you Tamil also has to grow. (Interruptions). When they speak for Tamil, are they seriously going to contend that Tamil can serve ag the link language of this whole country?

SHRI V. ARUNACHALAM alias
'ALADI ARUNA': Yes, it is should be
one of the official languages of the
country

(Interruptions)

MR, DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Arunachalam, you can put forward your views when you speak. (Interruptions).**

Nothing will go on record. Nothing of what Mr. Pajanar and Mr. Arunare. Lenguage Policy (Motn.)
chalam speak will go on record. I cannot allow this kind of thing (Interruptions)** I can understand an interruption or two, but I tell you that I will not tolerate this kind of blocking a Member from speaking in this House, whatever may be your reasons You put forward your views when you are going to speak. So why do you get up again and again and talk*

SHRI VASANT SATHE They isolate themselves like this I beg of them also to consider that they are part and parcel of this nation (Interruptions) No effort by anybody, howsoever enthusiastic or howsoever narrow he may be, will allow you to go away or to go apart from this country You be rest assured

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR Who are you to tell that?

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Therefore. I plead with every one that as far as this question is concerned, it must be looked at as a national question and at has to be solved in a national manner and the way to solve it is to accept and rely on the assurance given by Nehru that it must be given constitutional sanctity till the non-Hindi-speaking people learn it (Interruptions) Then Sir, Hindi will be acceptable. Till then, Hindi must not be pushed, and as I gave the examples no effort must be made by the non-Hindi-speaking people to give an impression that Hindi is being imposed.

डा० गमजी सिंह (भ्रामासपुर) उपा-ध्यक्ष महोदय, घमी दक्षिण के पाच राज्यों के मुख्य मन्त्रियों ने जो प्रस्ताव किया है, उस मे यह कहा गया है ---

" regrets to note that notwithstanding the assurance given by the late Prime Minister Pandit Jawaharlai Nehru to the effect that English would continue as an associate additional language and that the decision for the change over to Hindi should be left to the non-Hindi knowing people and not to the Hindi

^{**} Wet recorded.

[डा॰ रामकी सिंह]

315

knowing people, and also the assurance of the late Prime Minister Shri Lai Bahadur Shastri, the former Prime Minister Shrimati Indira Gandhi and the present Prime Minister. Shri Morarii Desai."

उपाध्यक्ष महोवय, इन पांचों राज्यों के मुख्य मन्त्रियों को यह तो विश्वास है ही और इस सरकार के भी प्रधान मंत्री ने जो उन्हें भाग्वासन दिया है उससे उनकी सहमति है। हां, इस के बाद वह कहते हैं

"There has been attempt in certain Ministries of the Union Government to covertly impose Hindi and this Conference is strongly opposed to attempts to impose Hindi"

बस, इतनी ही बान के लिए उन्होंने पांच राज्यों के मुख्य मन्त्रियों का यह सम्मेलन बुलाया। हवारे माननीय प्रधान मन्त्री जी के इसी सबन के इस का स्पष्टीकरण किया था कि सचमुच में प्रगर ऐसी कत होती हैं तो गमत है और उसको देखा कायमा। नेकिन उस के बाद भी कह हुआ।

शाया का संयाल कोई बाज का नहीं है। यह भारतवर्ष के स्वतन्त्रता बंद्राव में हमारा को बादा वा छस के प्रति प्रतिवद्धता है और इसीमिए पाचों राज्यों के मुख्य मन्तियों ने यो कुछ कहा है उस पर ब्रिन्युस्तान टाइम्स ने प्रपना यह बन्तव्य दिवा है:

"As for Centre-State relations, Mr. Ramachandran mooted the idea of a Southern Chief Ministers' Conference not because he had any differences with the Centre, he had hit upon to Conference idea only to bolster up the sagging image of his party and there is no better way of doing it in Tamii Nagu than rahing up the language issue."

इसलिए राजनुष में इस का वी सारणाणिकं इसलेंद्रिया कारण का कीर गुंही सुद्ध में केसर । सभी स्थादि सामीय सके नार्द साह्य वे सतायां कि स्तियों पार्की के यो सम्म मुख्य मण्डी हैं उप का वस साहें विरोध मही हैं प्रतेर कहां तक विश्वकार कारमूले की बात है, मैं बहुत विनन्नता के साथ कहना याहता हूं कि सम्पूर्ण पारक्षाणें मं अपर किसी एक राज्य ने उस की मुख्यक्रिका की है तो वह तामिलनाड है। यह स्टेडनेंट विलक्षण साथने हैं। लेकिन इस के बाद मी बस्तुत: हम वह नहीं पाहेंने कि तामिलनाडु के उपर वा तामिल भाषा के प्रीपर्धी के उपर कोई बीच व्यवस्ती लावी जाने।

बस्तुतः सवाम हिन्दी और अंग्रेजी का नहीं है। सवाम राष्ट्र के स्वापिमान का है और इसीलिए हम जानते हैं कि किस प्रकार से हिन्दी और अंग्रेजी के सम्बन्ध में कार्ते हुई थीं। सार्व मैकाले ने अपने "मिनट्स आफ एजुकेकन" ने वह कहा था, अंग्रेजी भारतक्षे में लावे और लावने का उन का उद्देश्य था:

"to create a class of Indians who will be Indians in blood and colour, in blood and flesh but will be Baglish in mind"

श्रीजों के मानस-पुत्तों को यहां काने का सनका उद्देश्य का किस में उस के प्रकारण को वह चला सकीं। 1784 ईस्वी में सार्ट कानेवासिस ने कहा था:

"only those who know English will be taken up in the Government service"

1837 में दीवाज दिन्तुस्तान भी प्रान-शाय हो गई और परिवान को काम गर दिया नात । नेकिन उस ने बाव भी क्य स्वतन्त्राता मेथियों ने भारतीय राज्याका में निए धालोजन किया तो ज्या समय स्कृत जारी कार्ते हुईं। संबंधि कत समय देखित को क्या बावा के सन में महिल्ला कर समय स्था वा नेकिन बहुत बारे विकायिकालंगे के दिसाला भारतीं, में सहा-जुना जा निः जेन की कीर कीर्य कामका कार्यक्ष बाति स्वीतिक बात कर के विद्वार और उत्तर प्रदेश में विक्री की, वेबनावरी रिकट को राजधान के क्य में ब्रिटिश बरकार ने भी प्रतिष्ठा दी थी। खास कर उन्नीसवीं शताब्दी के बन्त मे भाषा के विश्वाद का जो स्वक्य ग्राया उसे काप देखें। तब 1920 मे जब राष्ट्रपिता बापू का भारतीय राजनीति मे प्रविधान हमा तो उन्होंने हिन्दी को राष्ट्रभाषा भीर राजभावा के रूप में प्रतिष्ठित करने के लिए काफी बकासत की। भीर उसके उन्होंने चार कारण बताये थे। उन्होंने कहा था कि हिन्दी साधारण हिन्दी होनी चाहिए। मैं साठे साहब का समर्थन करता हूं कि हिन्दी बिल्कुल सीधी धौर सरल होनी पाहिए। ऐसी हिन्दी नहीं होनी पाहिए जिसको कोई समझे नहीं। इसीलिए बापू ने भी कहा बर कि हिन्दी सरल होनी चाहिए। कश्मीर से लेकर कत्याकुमारी तक भीर रामेश्वरम् के नेकर द्वारका तक सभी वीर्य स्थानी ने सभी लोग हिन्दी समझते है। इसलिए कि हिन्दी सरल है। यदि बगास के सोग चाहें तो तीन महीने में हिन्दी सीच सकते हैं। यदि तमिलनाड् के लांच बाहें तो तीन महीनं में हिन्दी सीख सकते हैं। मैं काम चशाक हिन्दी की बात कह रहा हूं, विश्वतापूर्ण हिन्दी की बात नहीं।

बापू का पूंतरा कारण वह वा कि हवारे राजनीतिक और प्रामिक कारणों से भी हिन्दी को राजकावा के तौर पर स्वाम वेण चाहिए। बनका तीसरा कारण यह वा कि भारतव्ये के बहुत प्रविक्त लोग, 42 प्रतिकत लोग क्रियी बोकते हैं।

को भी हो, यह चीच तो चलती रही और उन्नके बाब भी सहुत सारी कार्ते हुई। 1920 में जब धाम्बोलन चना तो 1925 में क्षतिक के संविधान में संबोधन हुआ और चन्ना संबोधन में चन्ना चला :

"The proceedings of the Congress shall be conducted as far as possible in Hindustani," इसके बाद प्राप जानतें हैं, नैहक कोटी की एक रिपोर्ट बाई किसमें उन्होंने भी कहां :

"The language of the Commonwealth shall be Hindustani which may be either Nagri or Urdu"

इसके बाद 1935-36 वे जब 6-7 राज्यों ने कांग्रेस सरकारें बनी तो गांधी जी ने कहा था कि मैं देखना चाहंगा कि एक वर्ष के सन्दर कांग्रेस के मुख्य मन्त्री तथा मन्त्रिकण हिन्दी में अपना काम करने लगे। गांधीकी कोई हिन्दी भाषा भाषी नहीं थे, नाधी जी हिन्दी साम्राज्यवादी नहीं थे, गांधी जी हिन्दी फैनेटिक नहीं थे, गांधी जी गुजराती वे। हिन्दीको तो सचमुच मे ग्रहिन्दी भावियो का भागीवदि मिलता रहा है। स्वामी दयानन्द सरस्वती हिन्दी भाषी नहीं ये। नेता जी सुभाषचन्त्र बोस जब भावाद हिन्द फीज की कमान करते ये तो वे क्रिन्द्रस्तानी में करते थे। वे तो क्रिन्दी भाषी नहीं थे। इसलिए हिन्दी की वकालत सबमुब मे प्रहिन्दी भाषियों ने की है। पं० मेहक ने 1940 में झास इण्डिया कांग्रेस कमेटी के प्रधिवेशन में कहा था

"The policy governing the State education should be that Education should be given in a language of the student. In each linguistic area, education from the primary to the University stage will be given in the language of the pupil."

इसी प्रकार से जब सविधान का निर्माण होने लगा भीर जब भाटिकल 120, 210, प्रार्ट 17 भीर मैंड्यल (8) के सम्बन्ध से जबी होने लगी तो भाग जानते है भी गोपाला स्वाबी झायंगर ने संविधान सभा मे एक प्रस्ताव उपस्थित किया था भीर हिन्दी को माना गया। मुझे हुछ तब होता है जब हुछ लोग कहते हैं कि एक बीट से हिन्दी को पारित किया गया। साबद वे इतिहास नहीं जानते हैं। हिन्दी को तो माना बवा निक्तन भव इन्टरनेसनस म्यूब्यलस की बात आई तो वह एक बोट से हुआ। हिन्दी की सी सभी बुद्ध में संमझीता [डा॰ रामवी सिंह]

करके माना क्योंकि लोग जानते वे कि भाषा का प्रश्न बड़ा संबैदनतील है। भाषा के प्रश्न पर बंगला देश दूट कर प्रलग हो गया। इसींलिए भारत के संविधान निर्माता जानते वे कि हम लोगों की भाषा के सम्बन्ध में समझौता करके कोई प्रस्ताव रखना चाहिए भीर उम समय यह प्रस्ताव श्री गो गालास्वामी धायंगर ने किया। डा॰ ध्रम्बेदकर की सखमुख में इसमें सहमति नहीं रही। डा॰ ग्रम्बेदकर ने कहा कि ध्रलग-प्रलग राज्यों में ध्रलग-ध्रलग भाषा नहीं हुई। डा॰ ध्रम्बदकर ने 19 प्रप्रैल, 1947 को कहा था

"I am of the opinion that Hindustani shall be the language of the State, i.e., of the Union, as well as of the units. If each unit is given the liberty as the clause does, to make any language as the official language, the objection of having a national diversity will make Indian administration impossible.

"The units shall be put under an obligation to adopt Hindustani as an official language at the very start."

यह तो डा॰ अम्बेदकर का विचार हो मकता है लेकिन सब लोगों ने यह माना है कि हर प्रान्त में उसकी भपनी भाषा होनी चाहिए और इसके साधार पर हम लोगो ने जिन्बस्टक प्रोविन्सेय का निर्माण किया है। भाषा के धाद्यार पर जो मद्रास प्रेसिडेंसी बी, वह तमिलनाइ, बात्ध, केरल और कर्नाटक मे बंट गई। भाषा के भाषार पर प्रशासन करने से जनता को नजदीक लाने का काम होता है और जब मातुभाषा के माध्यम से निका दी जाती है तो मिका का बोझ मार्चे से कम हो जाता है। "कमेटी भाग मीवियम भाफ इंस्कुक्शन" जब 1948 में बनी बी, तो उसके सवस्य थे : डा॰ ताराचन्द्र, सर सी॰ धार॰ रेडी, श्री एम० रत्नास्वामी, श्राचार्य नरेख केंग्र, डा॰ डी॰ एस॰ कोकारी, सर मान्सि

re. Language Policy (Moin.) स्वक्य मटनायर, त्रो॰ हुमायूँ वचीर, द्वा॰ एत॰ मुवासियर, द्वा॰ नाकिर हुसैन, सारि ।

इन लोगों ने कहा था :

"English as the medium of instruction should be replaced within five years"

विश्वविद्यालय में केवल पाच वर्ष में कहा था और इसीलिए इन्होंने वहा था

"The university should adopt the language of the region or province."

मौलाना प्राजाद जो प्रथम शिक्षा मझी वे, उन्होंने कहा वा

"We have accepted the recommendation of the University Commission. The higher education should be imparted through the regional languages."

इसके धमाबा जो एजकेशन कमीशन बनाया, उस ने जो चार बार्ते रखी थीं, उनमें एक बात यह भी भी कि सारी किश्राका माध्यम हमारी श्रेतीय भाषाएं होनी चाहिएं। इसी तरह से भौरों ने भी कहा है जैसे "सेन्टम एडबाइजरी बोर्ड साफ एजुकेशन" जो 1956 में बना वा धौर रिपोर्ट धान पालियामैंटरी कमीकन का इतिहास भी हमने पढ़ा है। केवल 9 सावनी उसमे हिन्दी भाषी थे। बाकी सब बहिन्दी-माबीं ये । सगता बा कि भारत का संसव्ही उस कमीशन में वर्तमान या भीए उस कमीशन मे भी यह कहा गया था लिभावा फार्मल के बारे में । मुझे युख होता है कहने में, मैं कोई क्यले की भाषना से या किसी की विद्वाने की भावना से नहीं, कि 1968 में तमिलनाड की विधाम सभा में पास किया गया था कि केवल दो ही भाषाएं रहेंबी। सन्पूर्ण भारतवर्ष में जब कि विधायी कार्यत की बाद की तो समिमनायू में ऐसा नहीं था।

इसके बाब 1960 में बॉर्ड बोमाली, जो हवार नेवार मुंबी वे, उन्होंने की बाजीय जानकार को हमारे पार्काक का मार्क्य बताया वा बीर हमारे जो इसरे किया नेवी छानला लाहब वे, उन्होंने इसी सोक सभा में 1964 में एक बयान दिया वा : "The medium of instruction of the University will be in regional languages."

उन्होंने यहां तक कहा था :

"Thi must be the logical evolution of our policy."

डाः तियुणःसेन, वे भी हिन्दी भाषी नहीं थे; ने भवं शिक्षा मंत्रीः होकंद भाए, तो उन्होंने कहा था :

"It is no good trying to impose the instruction for young people which is not their mother-tongus. The big gulf between 90 per cent of the masses and 2 or 2.5 per cent of the English-oriented people exists."

हमारे राजाजी का उदाहरेन ग्रम्थ हमारे मिल हैंगे कि हिन्दी के बारे में जब उँनके इदय में तिक्तता की मानना थी। चक्रवर्ती राजगोपालाचारी ने जो उस्मानिया युनिवर्सिटी में दीलान्त मांचल किया था, उसमें उन्होंने कहा था:

"I am one of those who is of confirmed opinion that the students' mother tongue should the most fruitful instruction."

भीर कलकता यूनिवर्सिटी में, अब वे बहा के राज्यपाल वे, उन्होंने उस वक्त जो दीकान्त भावण दिया था, उस में उन्होंने कहा था :

2 T. S. S. W. D. P. P.

"The English language sits on our thoughts even as the academic robe sits upon me. This robe may be beautiful and may sometimes protect me against weather but, on the whole, it is inconvenient."

उसके बाव को एकुकेशन कुनीसन का भीर उसके सम्बन्ध में जी पालियामेंट में काफी बहुँस हुई की, उसमें की देश कार्य की रखी नवा था

उपाध्यक्ष महोवय, यह तो घपने देश की बात हुई। खंब हिन्दी को लॉबॉ नया, उस समय मिनचेस्टए नाफिंदन' ने कहा बा

'It was difficult to see how the Committee's proposals could be better. The very pressure from the people which compelled India to match the states with linguistic boundaries makes it more urgent that Hindi should become established as a common language to all—while English will remain a great help to Indians, parity for English and Hindi would have gone against the Indian feeling of nationhood.

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह भिनवेस्टर गाजियन' का कहना है। इस प्रकार सारी जगहों के दृष्टिकोण इसारे सामवे हैं। किसी भी दृष्टिकोण से भाष देखें, सभी दिस्कोणों से हम समझते हैं कि एक प्रजातांत्रिक देश में जनता की भाषां ही प्रशासन की भाषा होनी चाहिए।

'Democratic Government cannot continue to functon in the language which is understood by only a small fraction of the population'.

इसलिए हमें सोचना होगा कि क्या किसी
प्रवासिक देस के प्रसासन में दो परसेंट की
भाषा प्रवासन की जावा हो सबती है?
उपाइयक्ष महोदय, यही कारण है अब रामचन्द्रन
साहब में बी सेच कंड्यूला के क्या कि हिन्दी,
उर्दू और प्रवेशी को प्रध्यन करना प्रदेश।
इसी प्रकार से निकासों को भी तीव मायाओं
का सान रचना होगा ? यह कह कर उन्होंने
विदेशन नाउटी हो है है कह कर उन्होंने

[डा॰ रामजी सिंह]

कर विया। सेव प्रम्बुल्सा एक राष्ट्रीय स्तर के नेता हैं और हर राष्ट्रीय स्तरका नेता बह् समझेवा कि देन में हिन्दी का न्या स्वान है।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, आज जितनी बातें हो रही हैं, उनमें एक बात यह कही जा रही है कि मझास या बूमरी जगह पर हिन्दी का विरोध है। हम तो यह देखते हैं कि तमिलनाडु के जो हमारे मित्र हैं वे भी हिन्दी से प्रेम रखते है। उपाध्यक्ष महोदब, सभी मझाम किश्चियन बालेज में सर्वें हुआ था। उस सर्वें का विवरण बहुत इंटरेस्टिं। है। उस सर्वें की रिपोर्ट में है कि .—

बहा के 54 परबेंट लड़कों ने कहा----Hindi should be optional.

27 परसेंट न कहा----Hindi should be compulsory.

68 परसेंट लोगों ने पहा Hindi is necessary.

महास कोयम्बातूर में 75 परनेंट ने कहा कि हिन्दी जनवोगी है। उपाध्यक महोदय, धनर समय रहता तो मैं भापसे कहता। मैं केवल यही कहना चाहता हू कि यह हिन्दी धीर घंडेनी था सकाल नहीं है। नह सकास केवल तारकांकिक राजनीतिक बुजि का है। नोबी ची के सक्यों को मैं संगत में उड्डत करना वाहुंगा:

"If I had the power of a despot, I would today step the tuition of our boys and girls through a foreign medium, and require all the teachers and Professors on pain of dismissal to introduce the change forthwith. I would not wait for the preparation of text books. They will follow the change. It is an evil that needs a summary remedy."

"The foreign medium has caused train-fag, put an under strain upon the nerves of our children, made

them crammers and imitators, unfitted them for original work and thought, and disabled them for filtreting their learning to the family or the masses.

उपाध्यक्ष महोवय, यह स्पष्ट बात है कि भारतवर्ष में राष्ट्र की माचा केवल हिन्दी ही नही है। इस देश में जितनी सारी भाषायें है, सभी राष्ट्रीय भाषायें हैं, नेशनल लेंगुएजिज हैं। लेकिन इन सब में जोई एक लिक लेंगएज. कोई सम्पर्क भाषा भी होनी चाहिए। स्या दो प्रतिकत सोगों की भाषा बंबेजी सम्पर्क भावा होगी । सभाव चन्द्र बोस ने कहा था, गंधी जी में कहा था भीर स्वामी दवानन्द सरस्वती ने नष्ठा वा कि इस देश में ज्यादा से ज्या । मोग हिन्दी को समझ सकते हैं इसलिए हिन्दी ही सम्पर्क भाषा हो सकती है। धनः हिन्दी को अपनाने की धावश्यकता है। हमें राष्ट्रीय स्वाधिभाग के रूप में भी हित्वी को सपनाना चाहिए बीर साथ ही श्रेबीय भाषाओं को भी अपनाना चाहिए।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, तिमलगाडु के की तिक्तान सामिन ने कहा है :---"विक्त व्हे, संसेवी हटे"।

मनौटक विस्विधिकालय के उपकुक्तपति वे कहा है कि बी॰ ए॰ तक के सबके प्रविधी नहीं समझते हैं। इसलिए जब ऐती स्विति हो तो क्या हमें इसके बारे मेंनहीं बीन्कन पाहिए! मैं सुमझता हूं कि मनर तात्कालिक राव्नीतिक वृद्धि को छोडकर हम इसम्रक्त पर विचार करेंगे तो हमें हिली भाषा और केतीय भाषाओं को सबसी बाबा स्वीकार करना होगा।

15.20 hrs.

[Mr. SPEAKER in the Chair]

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM (Palani): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I rise to participate in this debate with a sense of responsibility because we are dealing with a very complicated, complex, controversial and a very sensitive subject. Unfortunately, neither the Mover of the Resolution nor the Seconder of the Resolution has made my tesk easier.

Mr Sathe was pleased to say that Members from Tamil Nadu should not take an anti-Hindi posture I want to give this assurance to the House that, while I speak for the non-Hindi-speaking people. I am not speaking as an anti-Hindiwala. I have been associated with Gandhiji's movement even from the 1920s and later on as a hum ble freedom fighter, for the spread of what Mahatmajl called Hindustanı being the common link language for India But unfortunately we have strayed away from Gandhiji as far as language is concerned because it is not the Hindustani which Gandhiii conceived which is now becoming the official language but something else, something completely different. that is beside the point Apart from that, I want to tell particularly my Hind: friends here that, for more than 15 years, I have been, and I continue to be, the President of the Dakshin Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha, Tamil Nadu Branch, even though I had to pay a heavy political price in 1967 for this in the anti-Hindi atmosphere. Therefore, I am sure, none would consider me as anti-Hindi. Still the case of the non-Hindi-speaking people will have to be put forward in this House, particularly in this Parliament, ag comprehensively as possible so that there might be no missunderstanding about it

We are considering the Resolution passed by the Chief Ministers of the southern States. Unfortunately or fortunately, they do not belong to the Janata Party. But even if Mr P. Janata Party. But even if Mr P. Janata Party. But even if Mr M. G. Ramachandran—as he was hoping to become—were the Chief Minister, he could not have taken a different stand from what the Chief Ministers have taken. Therefore, let us not impute political motives to the Chief Ministers with regard to the Resolution that has been passed by them.

This Resolution has a background, has a history. Unless we understand this background, perhaps, we are likely to mistake the motive behind the resolution, particularly persons like Mr. Raj Narain and others as a sort of political kite-flying or some such thing. It is not so.

This was one of the most controversial subjects which were discussed in the Constituent Assembly of Which I had the privilege of being a Member along with many other distinguished Members who are present in this House It was discussed not only in the Assembly but, perhaps, more outside the Assembly, in the Congress Party meetings And it was not as if the Congress Party itself was united on this; there were sharp divisions within the Congress Party with regard to the approach Ultimately, a compromise decision was taken-not a unanimous decision but a compromise decision-and that is now found in Part XVII of the Constitution read with the Eighth Schedule of the Constitution We are dealing with a multi-lingual nation, which is likely to persist for a long time to come; I do not see the end of it in the foreseeable future. It is not, as in other cases, a question of two or three or four languages. We have more than a Gozen languages- important languages-and each of them is important in its own region, rich in history, rich in culture and rich in lite. rature. Therefore, we had to take a practical decision with regard to the official language . and also the status of the other languages and the first decision was that all the Indian languages were national languages and in the VIII Schedule the more important national languages were listed in the alphabetical order Something was added later on . . .

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: Fifteen are there now.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Those languages have been listed in the alphabetical order. No language is superior to the other in any way whatsoever. They were given equal status of being the main national languages.

[Shri C. Subramaniam]

Then the question arose as to what should be the official language of the Union. It was easy to decide the official langue for the State because each State had its own language; particularly later on after the division of the States on the linguistic basic, it became an easy matter even though before that, there were some problems. Now, it was a question of deciding what should be the official language of the Union and we came to the conclusion and the Constituent Assembly accepted it and it is now in the Constitution, that Hindi shall be the official language of the Union. I do not think any Chief Minister or anybody here is now pleading. Let us get rid of this provision in the Constitution.',It is not my stand anyhow and I do not think that anybody has taken that stand and even the Chief Ministers have not taken that stand including Mr. M G. Ramachandran ...

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR. I do not think.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Therefore, when we took that decision, we had to face certain practical realities. Two factors we had to take into consideration. One was that Hindi had to develop adequately to take the place of English in administration. Secondly—this is more important and this is the subject matter we have to deal with now the disability which would be faced by the non-Hindi speaking people when Hindi is adopted as the sole official language. This is the second important factor and this is what we are discussing today....

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: That is the crux.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: There is no use of evaluing this that that does not create any dissibility and latter all you can learn it in no time. I am glad Panditji has put it categorically to answer this point that this thusbility is real disability. I am quoting

from a speech made by him on the floor of this House. This is what he said:

"I take it that the real basic opposition comes from a fear that if Kindi comes in, it will mean a disability to the non-Hindi knowing areas. I say it will undoubtedly bea disability. Let us face that. Let us not try to get over it and say, 'anybody can learn it m a fortnight or in a month or in a year'. It will be a disability for a considerable time I say a rule must be laid now by which we do absolutely nothing which creates a disability to the non-Hinds speaking areas in regard to services and like matters. Let that be quite clear."

Therefore, this was the problem that faced us and, therefore, another compromise decision was taken that, for the next fifteen years English shall continue to be used for the purpose for which it was being used earlier.

After that what would happen? If it was left as it was, automatically after fifteen years Hindi would become the sole official language and English would drop out of the picture. But the framers of the Constitution were not sure that such a thing was possible and, therefore, they went further and provided in the same Article that Parliament may make law for the use of English Isnguage even after fifteen years. So, these were the considerations and that was why, if I may say so, an escape clause was put in there

Then I would like to refer to Articles 343 and 344. Article 344 contemplates the appointment of Language Commissions periodically. In that you would find that in making the recommendations under Clause (2), it is laid down that "the Commission shall have due regard to the industrial, cultural and scientific advancement of India" Why this was put? Not without any purpose. That was because the development of Hindi to meet this sequire-

ment should take place. Otherwise, merely making Rindl on an emotional basis the language of the Union would create difficulties. That was one aspect of it. The second is the problem which we are discussing and that is "the lust claims and interests of persons belonging to the non Hindi speaking areas in regard to Public Services"

So, these were the points which were taken into account by the Constituent Assembly when they framed Constitution and put down these provisions with regard to the languages Then the next milestone came when the first Language Commission was appointed and it made its recommendations I am sure the hon Minister is aware of the discussion of the First Language Commission's Report in the Gaubati Congress meet The Commission had made a recom mendation that the time had come to restrict the use of English at least in some areas and we should begin with that and the attempt was to have that recommendation accepted by the Congress Party. I am sure the Prime Minister would recall that on the same platform I put forward, on behalf of the non-Hindi speaking people that this thing should not happen. I cate-English gorically demanded that availbe continue to ahould administration able for was and there should be no restriction And it was Morarii Bhai then sitting behind me on the platform put the question-For tow long? I said "as it was necessary" And I want further and said that how long it was necessary would be decided by the non-Hindi speaking people and not by the Hindi-speaking people That was how this point of view of the non-Hindi speaking people was put forward on the Congress platform. Fortunately, the Prime Minister, Pancht Nebru, and various other statesmen there were good shough to accept this point of view and that was how, later on, you would find this assurance was given; by the then Prime Minister at various forums. I will come to that.

So, the question arose even then—if there was restriction in the use of English. It was going to create difficulties for the non-Hindi speaking people It was on that basis, apart from the development of Hindi, that a decision was taken It was in that connection that Pandit Ji gave the assurance in various places. The first assurance was given in a meeting at Madras—naturally, because that was the place where the sentiment was

very much in evidence

Some points were raised with regard to the medium of instruction There is no controversy with regard to the medium of instruction I had been Education Minister for nearly ten years in my State and there was no question of any controversy in regard to the medium of instruction Kindly don't try to confuse this issue by bringing in the medium of instruction As a matter of fact, it was the Tamil Nadu Government Madras Government which took the first step to make the Tamil the official language and also made Tamil, the medium of instruction So let us not get confused by that I am quoting Panditji again "What is important it seems to me quite clear is that having decided on the medium of instruction in schools the argument is really limited language of communication between the States The Constitution has laid down that after a certain period Findi should replace English In this respect the question that is being considered in Parliament and else where is this I am quoting from Jawaharlal Nehru's speeches, Volume IV page 49

'We should avoid rigidity in our approach to the question of language We have to proceed with a certain flexibility and with a very large measure of common consent. There can be no compulsion in a matter like this. We cannot compellarge numbers of people to do something which they do not like to do I have no doubt that difficult, as the problem is, it will be golved step by

[Shri C. Subramaniam]

step. It is important that no decishould be arrived at which creates any kind of disability for people of one part of India in comparison with those of another. In regard to Hindi it is quite natural for people in the non-Hindi speaking areas to feel that it might create disability for them in the services and in other ways. It is legitumate for them to express their fears. The matter should therefore, be considered bearing in mind that there really can be no compulsion. Whatever our decision, it should be arrived at through general consent and it should be flexible."

This was the first statement he made after the Gauhati Congress It was made in Madras when he was releasing the Tamil Encyclopaedia, Fifth Volume. Then, there was a resolution in this Parliament by Shri Frank Anthony that English should be included in the Eighth Schedule. I am not going into the merits of that whether it should be included or not. I will deal with English a little later. But, in that connection, this question of assurance came and Panditji has put it quite categorically in his speech on the floor of this House. And this is on page 55 of the same volume.

"I suggest two things. Firstly, as I have said there must be no imposition. Secondly, for an indefinite period . .

I would like the Prime Minister to

"I do not know how long, I will have English as an associate additional language which can be used for official purposes. I would have it not mainly because of the existing facilities but because I do not wish the people of the non-Hindi areas to feel that certain advantages are denied to them by being forced to correspond in Hindi language. They can correspond in English. I would have English as an alternate language as long as the people require it

and I would leave the decision not to the Hindi knowing people but to the non-Hindi knowing people."

This was the first categorical assurance given on the Floor of the House by the Prime Minister. Then the question arose about the future when these 15 years ended, what would be the position. So, an official Lanuage Act had to be passed in 1963 in which the provision was made that even after the completion of 15 years English could continue to be used for all the purposes for which it was being used at that time. This was the essence of the 1963 Act.

Therefore, the constitutional obstacle for the use of Hindi had been removed by this House by an Act of Parliament. Even at that time fears were raised Would the assurance given by the then Prime Minister would be honoured later on. If some enthusiastic person takes into his head to push Hindi what will be the position? These questions were raised but Panditii categorically gave the assurance but that it would be wrong to put it in the Act itself. He thought it would be better to leave it to the good sense of the government regarding its implementation. But we found in 1965 when Lai Bahadur came to power as Prime Minister and there was, if I may say so, an enthusiastic Home Minister-an over enthusiastic Home Minister-he tried to force the pace of Hindi and this was how we had anti-Hindi eruptions in Tamil Nadu It was at that time that myself and my colleague, Mr. Alagesan had to submit our resignations from the Council of Ministers Mr. Speaker you made a mention about it in another connection. But there is a slight diffe rence. Our resignations were not accepted. So, there was an eruption and then the Caninet had to re-consider it and the whole question was again settled on the basis that unnecessarily Hindi should not be forced on the people. That was how a decision was taken. Unfortunately, since 1967 onwards Bala Pajaner and his predecessors-I do not know what to call

them-DMK or Anna DMK-are having all the advantages by the anti-Hindi posture in Tamil Nadu. That is mainly because of an attempt by an over-anthusiastic Home Minister to put forward Hindi at one point. This is the lesson we have got to learn and we have not been able to get out of this situation in Tamil Nadu and I do not know how long it will continue That is another aspect of it.

But I am only pointing out the dangers involved in this. We should be forewarned with regard to this.

Then, later on, there were occasions to consider this,-particularly in 1967,when we considered an Amendment to the Language Act of 1963.

That Resolution, Sir, was passed for the purpose of promoting the use of Hindi more and more. But, at the same time, it was also laid down that the Three Language Formula should be strictly followed in all the States. No doubt, unfortunately, the Tamil Nadu Government has stuck to the two language formula, Tamil and English only. But leave that alone Have the other Hindi States followed, both in letter and in spirit, the Three Language formula, I will put this to ₹ou.

Now, Sir, that Resolution specifically says that the Third Language should be one of the Modern Indian Languages, particularly, the South Indian Languages. I would like to have statistics from the Government how much of Southern Languages is being taught or being adopted or what is the proficiency that they have attained in these languages. Then one can point an accusing finger at the non-Hindi States saying that they have not acquired proficiency in Hindi. (Inter-ruption) They have not taken it ser-iously. About our Hindi friends, I naturally could very well understand their enthusiasm; emotionally they are more than satisfied that their language has become the official language. More than that, they also have the confidence-now that Hindi is going to be the official language—that they

would have all the advantages in the Services. The Hindi people should realise that when they press for Hindi more and more, the suspicion grows more and more that they want to take advantage of it.

334

If the three language formula was sincerely followed and implemented in the Hindi-Speaking States, if any of the South Indian Languages or Bengali for instance, had reached a reasonable level of proficiency, then, they could tell to the non-Hindi people: 'Look, we have taken a completely alien language, in the sense that it is not akin to our language; but still we have attained this proficiency. And, in spite of the fact that this is an official language in the Constitution you have not made any effort to learn Hindi.' I want to tell our Hindi friends that they have no right to make such a kind of charge because they have not in any way fulfilled the obligations put on them particularly when they ask us to adopt Hindi as the official language. The point is this....

MR. SPEAKER. Mr. Subramaniam, there are a large number of speakers.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: I know, Sir. I want to make this quite clear. At least there should be one comprehensive speech bearing on all the problems. If necessary I would like to ask my friends in my party to be brief. Kindly do not cut short my speech. Sir.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: The hon. Speaker has stated in the Business Advisory Committee that the non-Hindi speaking people will be given the fullest chance to express their views We want to put an end to all misunderstandings once and for all. All misunderstandings must be cleared and there must be understanding I must also get full time. Sir.

'SHRI K. GOPAL (Karur): If necessary we can take it up tomorrow.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: I am not blaming anybody. I am only assessing [Shri C. Subramaniam]
the whole situation with regard to the
position of Hindi in the whole of India.

Why did we put in this transitional provision of 15 years? Why did we have a certain clause providing for the use of English even after 15 years? We envisaged the possibility of the dis. ability of the non-Hinds speaking people not being removed within this period That was why these provi sions were made Therefore you cannot just fix a date and say that after 15 years, whatever might be the condition, Hindi alone will be the sole official language I would like to put a question to the hon Prime Minister Can we honestly say that the level of the learning of Hindi has advanced so far-leave alone Tamil Nadu-in other non-Hindi speaking States that we can say that administration could be carried on in Hindi alone* I am afraid the same disability continues to be there. You cannot blame the people for the fault of the Government and for the fault of the politicians All of us are parties to that But you cannot punish the people for that You cannot tell the youth who are standing there after education for employment opportunities, that with regard to the employment opportunities available in the Central Government, "Oh, you do not know Hindi therefore you are berred." What would be the situation if I am to tell the youth in the non-Hindi States "You do not have sufficient knowledge of Hindi, therefore, you do not have employment opportunities in the Central Government"

It is glibly said that now we do not want to insist on the knowledge of Hindi in the stage of recruitment I would argue that point Suppose a stage is reached—and that is the stage you want to reach quickly—where Hindi becomes the sole official language, then there is a non-Hindi youth, he goes into the Secretarial, what does he find? The boards would be in Hindi, he does not know Hindi

You grant that he need not have the knowledge of Mindle : ! New all the persons sitting there would be dealing with the files in Hindi, where would be get the training? He would be completely blind-felded there Therefore, even for a recruit to get into the mode of working, unless the two language formula is there, anybody who does not know the Hindi language cannot get into the groove at all Therefore it would be absolutely necessary for any body to have this knowledge of Hindi if Hinds alone is the official language Therefore, getting over this by saying that in the initial stage you need not have the knowledge of Hindi has no meaning because what would be do there? He goes there and there should be a language which is being used which he knows Unfortunately, it is only the English language

I could very well understand the views of Shri Raj Narain and other people like him that English is a foreign language I also concede that this is a foreign language

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR No. no

SHRI C SUBRAMANIAM I am prepared to concede this, wait, I am coming to the point How many people know English? They say, it is one and a half per cent or two per cent or whatever it is. But this is the only language which is more widespread than any other language Take the English knowing people in Tamil Nadu or in Kerala or in any other non-Hindi State or even take the English knowing people in Hindi States and compare it to their knowledge of other languages If you take India as a whole, this is one language which is more widespread than any other language, more widely known than any other language. Even now it continues to be learnt and with great enthusiasm our children are sent to English medium schools.

SERI A BALA PAJAROR- All the Ministers send their children to convent schools.

MARINE SURRAMANIAM: I would like to know from the Prime Minister where his grand children are having their aducation.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: As also from the other Ministers. I have all the statistics.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Therefore, there is no use of just pushing it aside by saying that this is a foreign language. Whether this is a foreign language or not, that is the instrument available today. We have learnt it, our sons and grand-sons are learning it and it is necessary to learn it to keep abreast of world developments. Are you going to be secluded in an exclusive area without keeping track of the events and happenings outside? There. fore the knowledge of a foreign language is absolutely necessary. Are you going to say that we will bring Russian in place of English or French or some other language leaving all the advantages which we already have in English. Therefore, here is English available not only for the present generation, the coming generation is laso learning it, therefore, why discard it.

Now, the question is have we reached a stage where in any area of central operation, you could say that we would use only Hindi and no English whatsoever. What would be the position? I would like to start from the functioning of this House. Suppose in your enthusiasm, you say that Hindi will be the sole official language, if not today, tomorrow, day after tomorrow or two years hence, what would be the position? You will be making us a dumb-opposition; a really dumbopposition. We would not be knowing what you are saying. would not be English translation available because English is a foreign language and it should not pollute this House. And you cannot have it as the qualification for election to, or membership of the House. You cannot say that they should have a knowledge of Hindi, in order to get elected. What would happen to this House then? How would you trans-

act any business here, unless you say: "We are the most important people here—the Hindi-knowing people, people from the Hindi-speaking regions do not count. We will carry on the Administration for you also. You can

go home." Unfortunately you cannot have all the 14 languages as the official languages and start translation into all the 14 languages. That is the unfortunate position

16 hrs.

SHRI RAM DHAN (Lalganj): You very easily see Hindi films and sing Hindi songs; and your actor ets in Hindi films

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Unfortunately, we are not acting in films here. We are transacting business As far as Hindi films are concerned, even if we don't understand them, we see some beautiful faces Do you want to reduce this House into a cinema? I hope that is not the intention of the Janata Party.

This is the thing which we will have to take into consideration coming to a decision in the matter of making Hindi as the sole official language

Coming to the next level, namely the institution of Cabinet, suppose it is all in Hindi. I am told it is all in Hindi I do not know how my friend Mr Ramachandran manages it there, (Interruptions). And, therefore, it becomes difficult for a non-Hindi-knowing person. It means we all become disqualified; it is not a constitutional disqualification, but a disqualification imposed by people from the Hindi-speaking areas. Therefore, we have to take the realities into account. (Interruptions). You will have an opportunity, if you want to answer my points as effectively as you can. Can the Cabinet and the Parliament function-spert from the Adiministration? if the Administration is carried on purely in Hindi, and the Members on this side do not know what is

[Shri C. Subramaniam]

339

happening in the Administration, how do we function here? And, therefore, leave alone the officials who enter the service, even with reference to the functioning of Parliament and the Cabinet, unless there is some language which would at least for some time be available to enable people to understand what is happening, it would again become a dumb House and a dumb opposition; and you can have an authoritarian regime. cannot have any discussion. You can go on taking decisions. This, in effect would be what it would be reduced to.

It is in this context that you have to look at the resolution of the Chief Ministers. You might ask: "Why did these Chief Ministers not pass these esolutions earlier?" There is a reasol. It is because of the character of the Union Government today. I am not blaming anybody for this. Unfortunately, it has got only a regional representation. I am not trying in any way to belittle the importance of my good friend, Mr. Ramachandran; and people think that one person cannot adequately represent the non-Hindispeaking people. Not only that, People also look upon the Prime Minister-although of late he has also changed a little-who is known to be a staunch supporter of Hindi; all along he had that reputation; and he used to congratulate himself on that. That is still there. And we have got the pronouncements of various Ministers like Mr. Raj Narain-I mean when they were Ministers. And many of them flaunt Hindi. Then there is another thing and that is the anti-Nehru phobia in the Janata Party; not of the Janata Party but in the Janata Party. I do not say that it is all pervasive, but there is an anti-Nehru . phobia in the Janata Party. There is an apprehension naturally even with regard to the assurance Nehru had given with regard to non-Rindi speaking States. This would also be thrown out by saying that Wehru had no

business to give this assurance. These are all real apprehensions in the minds of the people.

Take the case of our Foreign Minister making a speech in Hindi in the UNO. I felt emotionally elated that for the first time an Indian language had been spoken in the UNO, in the international forum. But when I went to Madras, do you know what questions were put to me. The question was put to me was this. Is it not any indication or a warning to us that Jansta Party going to eliminate English from all spheres, even international spheres, and therefore this is going to become ...

(Interruptions)

It is not mine. Very responsible people put that question to me. If you say that they are all fools, that is quite a different thing. But we have to deal....

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Why are you becoming emotional? On the motion, everybody will have a chance to speak.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Please do not get.....

(Interruptions)

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayinkil): Some derogatory remarks were made against the late Pandit Jawehar Lal Nehru. They should be deleted.

(Interruptions)

Some derogatory remarks have been made.

MR. SPEAKER: I have given instructions to the Reporters that whoseever unnecessarily interrupts them, they have not to record it at all

(Interruptions)

No interjections.

(Interruptions)

342

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: You must give direction.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR (Gandhinagar): I am on a point of order.

MR. SPEAKER: I have given guidance that if more than one person speaks at a time simultaneously, they should not record; they cannot record anyone excepting the person who has been permitted to speak. Sometimes, 3 to 4 persons have been speaking. So, whom is he going to record?

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: Quite right. My point of order is this. I quite understand that if more than two persons speak at a time and even that too without your permission, you are absolutely right to say that....

(Interruptions)

But my point of order is very limited. You are quite right, when more than one of us speak and all of us speak without your permission, that is not to be recorded. I quite appreciate your point. But that may be recorded as interruptions. What I am objecting to, with great respect to you, is this? When you say that you have given instructions to the Reporters to the effect that when all of us speak: do not record, how the Reporters know whom to record and whom not to record. That is my point.

(Interruptions)

How the Reporters know whom to record and whom not to record? Therefore, you kindly say something about it, but do not leave it to the Reporters.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: You cannot go on speaking without my permission. No Do not record.

(Interruptions) **

SHRI RAJ NARAIN (Rae Bareli): I am on a point of order, नेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है कि घगर कोई घसंसदीय सब्द हो तो उसको कार्यवाही से निकाल सकते हैं। इन्ट्रफ्न पासिमेंटरी प्रेक्टिस है घौर द्यरिंग दि इन्ट्रफ्न भगर कोई

You have got no authority to expunge them. (Interruptions) It is May's parliamentary practice.

घोषिनियम एक्सप्रैंस करता है तो

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Raj Narain may please resume his seat. I am on my legs. The instructions given are: if more than one person simultaneously speaks without permission, then it will not be recorded. Instructions were also given that interjections are part of the proceedings; they have to get in. But if interjections are made by twenty people, it cannot be recorded.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: They can write 'Interruptions'.

भी कस्याच भीत (इन्दीर) मै एक स्पर्टिकरण चाहता हू। प्याइट झाफ क्सेरि-फिरेशन।

MR. SPEAKER: Is it a point of order? Under what rule you want clarification?

ं बी करवाण चैन : मैं श्पष्ट करण भाहता हूं भापने तहा है कि जो मैं बोला हूं हाउस के अन्दर उसको प्रोसीडिग्च सं निकाल दिया जाय । जब मैं बोला था उस समय कोई सदस्य इंटरप्ट नहीं कर रहा था। सिर्फ मैं ही एक मान्न सदस्य था जो इंटर्स्ट कर रहा था। नेरा निवेदन है कि उसको धाप रिकार्ड से न निकालियेगा।

MR. SPEAKER: Yours was not an interruption of a speech; yours was a speech and not an interruption.

^{**}Not recorded.

भी करवाण वीन : मेरा इंटरप्तन रिकार्ड होना वाहिये ।

MR SPEAKER. Your speech is not going to be recorded; you will be called in your own time

की कस्यान जैन : नाट स्थीन, इटरफान । झापने इटरफान को रिकार्ड नहीं कराबा है। मेरी स्थीन नहीं थीं। मेरा इटरफान थां। इटरफान रिकार्ड होना काहिये। झार इंटरफान रिकार्ड नहीं होना नो यह ठंक नहीं होगा।

MR. SPEAKER. You can make an interruption

PROF. DHLIP CHAKRAVARTY (Calcutts South): You had just now stated two things firstly, in case of unnecessary interruption, you are not to record ...

MR. SPEAKER Who has said? Where did you get that,

PROF. DILIP CHARRAVARTY. Who is going to decide what is a necessary interruption or what is an unnecessary interruption? Secondly, you have stated that if the same member interrupts more than one, that also should not be recorded (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Neither of these things had been said by me

PROF. DILIP CHARRAVARTY-These are things on which should like to draw your attention and request you to give proper direction.

MR. SPEAKER: That is not my direction; you have not heard it.

SHRI PURNANARAYAN SINHA
(Texpur): If a person is speaking
anything continuously and we continue to histen as dumb listeners without interrupting, posterity will laugh
at us when it reads the proceedings.

re Language Policy (Moss.)
SHRI O. V. ALADESAN (Arkenam): Today you have laid down a
very dangerous proposition. You have
said that if it is necessary interruptions, it will be recorded.

C.M.'s Conference

MR SPEAKER: I have never said that; I do not know where you get it.

SHRt O. V. ALAGESAN: Who is going to be the judge? Is the Reperter going to decide? (Interruptions). Who is going to be the judge? The Reporters cannot be the judges of what to record and what not to record It is a dangerous thing Either you should say, 'don't record'—you can say that—or you may expunge it In other words you are giving the right of expunction to the poor Reporters.

MR. SPEAKER. You have evidently not heard me I never said, necessary or unnecessary: I do not know how it came in. If there is an interruption it can be recorded, but if the interruption is by several persons, you cannot record the interruptions Nothing more than that.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Participants of many conferences were here in Deihi recently; participants from the various state governments were just walking away from those conferences saying: we have not been able to following anything; all the proceedings are going on in Hindi; therefore we are not able to follow, what is the use of being here? This I have heard from ever so many persons. That is going to be the state of affairs.

I want to know whether Delhi is a Hindi territory or the capital of India belonging to everybody, every language group. Is Delhi functioning that way? Is Delhi administration functioning that was? We go to the telephones, telegraph office, railways; everywhere we are made to feel we are second class citizens. That is trafortunately the situation. Therefore, it is not just fills light which is

important but the Prime Minister and the Level innerth have grave responsibilities because language is not only a unifier, it could be a divisive force also and empires have fallen on the insue of language. Therefore, we have got to be careful about it. Therefore, I would like to again quote Pandit Jawahariai Nehru:

"The purpose of the assurance that I gave, and which I hold today, was that no change of this kind will be effected in English or Hind: without the full approval of the non-Hindi speaking people. I wanted to remove any apprehension that possible by a majority in Parliament or elsewhere, we would make changes which are not approved by them. As a matter of fact, such a thing cannot be done. apart from my assurance because it will raise such difficulties that no government would conceivably want to do it that way."

And again-

"Dates have very little significance except to see whether we are going along the right lines or not. It is important that we should give a certain direction to our movements."

What is important is what is the situation today in the non-Hindi speaking areas? Is there sufficient knowledge and proficiency in Hindi that you could switch over to the sole use of Hindi language? This is the question before us and if an impartial judgement is to be made, I have no doubt in my mind, it will be in favour of the non-Hindi speaking people and English should continue to be used. It is my prayer and all of us believe in the unity and integrity of India. We want to preserve it at any cost. Enthusiasm or over-enthusiasm for Hindi give a handle to the fissiparous and divisive forces in the country which are still lunking. This is my submission to the House and particularly to the Prime Minister.

भी कंपर माल पूजा (विस्त्री सदर): प्रध्यक्ष महोदयं, यह दुःच की वात है कि देश की 31 साम स्वतन्त्र होने के बाद भी माज भी हमारे देश में भाषा का संवाल बना हुआ है। मैंने अभी दो भाषण सुने---एक माननीय साठे का भीर दूसरा माननीय सुब्रहमण्यम साह्य का। माननीय साहें ने जी बात कही वह तकपूर्ण यी सीर में: समझता या कि देश के हित में है भौर उनकी ईमामदारामा राय है। सेकिन जी बात माननीय सुबहमध्यम ने कही, मैं: कह सकता हूँ कि वह पोलिटिकली मोटिबेटेंड थी और देश में टुकड़े करने की एक साजिस थी। मैं यहां पर जो (ध्यववान) . . मैं यहां पर जो बड़ा हुमा है विह हिन्दी-भाषाभाषिमी का प्रतिनिधित्व करने के लिए नहीं खड़ा हुआ हं। मैं यहां पर एक भारतीय के नाते बेड़ाहुमा है। मेरे लिये हिन्दी भी प्रिय हैं, तेलग भी प्रिय है और तमिल भी त्रिय है। मेरे लिये देश की हर एक भावा समान है। मैं जब यही पर खड़ा है तो मुझे यही है कि यह देश एक है चौर देश में बहुत सारी भाषाएं हैं, इसमें मतभेद भी हो सकते हैं, संदेह भी हो सकते हैं ईमानदारी से ।

मुझे याद है कि जब हमारा विद्यान बना था और उस समय भाषा का सबाल बाया 1949, 1950 में तो आयंगर साहब ने एक बात कही थी, मैं कोट कर रहा हूं और किस तरीके से हिन्दी भाषा लिक लेगुएज बनी यह कन्सेन्सस से डेबलप हुआ । उसमें मदभद था, यह मानना पड़ेगा क्योंकि जिस देश में अनेक भाषायें हों, जिस देश में बहुत सारी आंक्षेक्ट्रस हों तो मत्येच होनां स्वामा विक हैं। मिंदन 31 साल के बाद भी क्या जो आहा जिक सैनुएव हिन्दी को माना गया यह किसी एक पार्टी का निर्णय था? नहीं, बहिक सारे देश का निर्णय था?

347

4€ 7 :

[श्री कंबर सास गूप्त]
मैं श्री प्रायंगर की स्पीच पढ़ रहा हूं जो उन्होंने कांस्टीट्यूएंट समेम्बती में दी

"Now in considering this draft, I wish to place before the House one or two facts. The first that I wish to place before the House is that this Draft is the result of a great deal of thought, a great deal of discussion. It is also-what has emerged-a compromise between opinion which were not easily recon--cilable and therefore, when you look at this draft, you have to take it not as a thing which is proposed by an individual member like me. It is not to be looked upon as something which we have put forth. It is the result of a compromise in respect of which great sacrifices of opinion, of very greatly cherished views and interests, these have been sacrificed for the purpose of achieving this draft in a form that will be acceptable to the full House."

मैं यह मानता हूं कि नतभेद हो सकते हैं, हैं, ईमानदारी से भी मतभेद हो सकते हैं, नेकिन उन मतभेदों को उभाइना धीर उस भीभ को उधाइना, यह देश के हित में नहीं है, यह मैं मानकर मनता हूं।

यह पांच राज्यों के मुख्य मंत्रियों की जो कांक्रेंस हुई है, काथव यह 30 साल में पहली बार हुई है, मैं इसे दुर्भाग्यपूर्ण मानता हूं। उन्होंने रैज्यूनूकन में एक ही बात कही है, और यह यह है—

"The Chief Ministers considered the language policy as it is being implemented today and regrets to note that notwithstanding the assurance given by the late Frime Minister, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru to the effect that English would continue as an associate additional language and that the decision for the

change over to Hindi should be left to the non-Hindi knowing people and not to the Hindi knowing people, and also the assurance of the late Prime Minister Shri Lel Bahadur Shastri, the furmer Prime Minister Mrs. Indire Gandhi and the present Prime Minister Shri Morarji Desai that Hindi will not be imposed on the non-Hindi speaking people, there have been attempts in certain Ministries of the Union Government to covertly impose Hindi

उन्होंने यह एक ही बात वही है कि जो जवाहरताल जी, ताल बहादुर जी, इन्दिरा जी घौर मोरारजी देसाई जी ने कहा है वह इम्प्लीमेंट होना चाहिए । हो सकता है वहां पर कुछ तैप्तेस है, कुछ रुस्ट्स झांफ कमीजन गृंड घोमीशन हुये हों जो कि नहीं होने चाहियें।

मैं मानता हूं कि यह एक नेजनल कंबेसस की चीच है, यह कोई उस पार्टी या इत पार्टी का सवाल नहीं है। वो जवाहर- माल जी, साल बहादुर जी घीर इन्विरा जी ने कहा, यह सरकार मैंन्वेंग के मामले में वही पानिसी घपना रही है, उसमें कोई दैविएमन नहीं है। हमने कभी नहीं नहीं कि हिन्दी इनारी मर्जी से सामू हूं, ती, प्राथ जब तक चाहुँने संप्रेणी म्हेंबी झारबी भाषा। जब तक सहने संप्रेणी महेंबी कारबी भाषा। जब तक सहने संप्रेणी महेंबी, साम के सो माहबी, तब तक साम धंबेंबी रहा से बाबी चाहिये, तब तक साप संबंधी; रखिये, हमें कोई एतराक नहीं। आप बताये कि कि समें कहा, कीन से बंजी ने कहा ?

नेकिन एक सवान आपके दिमान में बाफ होना वादिये कि संबेची को जाव वियेती नावा गांगते हैं या नहीं ? अन्तर अंदोजी वियेती आका नहीं है तो आपको अपने वियान को साफ कर नेमा व्याहिये कि अंदोजी को माने बाले संबंध वे धीर यह नियेती नाका है । इस भाषा को

काथ नहीं तो क्या, कम नहीं तो परतों, 10 शाम, 15 साल में जाना है धौर जाना होगा, सेकिन इसका मतलब यह नहीं है कि अंग्रेजी का कोई रोल नहीं है। प्रात्र भी संदेशी का रोल है, संदेशी जाने के बाद भी अंग्रेजी का रोल इंटर-नेशनल लैंग्बेंभ की हैमियत से बना रहेगा। हमारे बन्दें मंग्रेजी सीवते हैं, उनकी सीवना चाहिये । वह फेंच, अर्थनी और दूसरी भाषायें सीखते हैं, उनको संग्रेजी भी नीवनी पाहिये, उसको बढ़ावा भी मिलना माहिए, नेकिन जो यह कहते हैं कि यह क्विकी भाषा नहीं है, मैं उनसे एतराव करता हं, मेरा इसमे मतभेद है । क्या माप कहते हैं कि इंडिपेंडेंस संघेजी की वयह से बाई? मैं समझताई कि बाबाबी की सबसे बढ़ी सड़ाई 1857 की लड़ाई बी बह किस ने की, क्या ग्रंत्रेजी बोलने बालों ने की ? उन सबके नम में भारत माता के लिए प्रेम या। उनके डिलों में देश के निए एक बाग थी। उस बाग ने उन्हें बेरित किया कि संसंबो सी यहां से सबेद देना चाहिये । उन लोगों की पाधा शंग्रेजी नहीं भी ।

बारको यह समझ नेना चाहिये कि थह बाका विदेती है, बौर इसकी भाना है। नेकिन हम यह नहीं चाहते कि इस सवास पर कट्ता पैदा हो। इस माना को बाना मान है, यह बापको तथ भारता है, यह बात साफ हैं। इसलिए अनता पार्टी ने बाबा की जो नीति अपनाई है, उसमें पहले की सरकार की नीति से कोई क्षेत्र नहीं है।

मैंने इस प्रस्ताय को पढ़ा है। उसमें यें जी कोई बास करा नहीं है। हो सकरा है कि किसी अवह स्टेमन पर हिंग्सी में नाय निका यथा हो । नान सीविवे कि किसी क्टेसफ पर संबंधी में नहीं निष्ठा है मेंबल हिन्दी में निष्टा है, वो चैंसा कि बाज सुबह कहा नवा है, जहां

बहुत से स्ट्रोग हिन्ही नहीं शानते, वहां शंग्रेकी में भी निक देना वाहिये । साप जगह बता वें, वहां शंग्रेजी में भी लिख विया आयेशा । अहां ज्यादा लोग तामिल जानते हैं, वहां तामिल मे भी लिख दिया जायेगा । सब लोगों के ज्ञान के लिए जो अरूरी है, वह कर दिया आयेगा । लेकिन मगर माप यह चाहें कि मंग्रेजी हमेशा रहे तो यह बतरनाक बातहोबी, मीर इनको मन से निकाल देना चाहिये।

कुछ माई कहते हैं कि संग्रेजी विदेशी मावा नहीं है। मेरे पास इस विषय पर अवाहर-लाल जी, श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री धौर इन्दिरा जी के भाषण है। मुझे मालूम रही है कि भाप कौन से काग्रेसी हैं---इन्डिरा की वाले हैं, था दूसरे हैं। मैं भाप को दोनों के भाषण पढ़ कर सुना देता हुं। पहले मैं भाग्नेस की भाश्विरी प्रधान मंत्री का भाषण सुना देता हं:

"The country needed a link language and that link language could be only Hindi because the largest number of people spoke it. That was the reason why it was chosen. Since it was chosen there was no doubt that it had spread and with a little bit of goodwill from sides it could spread faster and it should spread as a uniting link language, not as something that divided or created suspicions and doubts in the mind of any Indian."

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayinkil): Which is the quotation?

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: This is quoted from the Rajya Sabha Debates dated 20th December 1967speech by Shrimati Indira Gandhi.

Now let me take from the speech of Lal Behadur Shestri:

"The protagonists of Hindi should not forget that haste would get us nowhere; action had to be taken

[Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta]

gradually to replace English by Hindi. Haste only gave rise to adverse reactions among the non-Hindi speaking people who wanted time to learn the language.

English was a good language which could teach us many things but it could never be our mother-tengue nor could it be continued eternally. It had to be replaced eventually by Hindi"

AN HON, MEMBER: From where the quotation is?

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: This is from the Debates of Third Lok Sabha, 1962—4th Session

उन्होंने घर्ड लोक मधा के 1962 के फोर्च मैशन में यह भहा । जवाहर साल जी हिन्दी के बारे ने शहते हैं '---

"Hindi had been suggested by our Constitution as the link language for Central and official purposes. It was not because of any superiority of that language but for the simple reason that it was the most feasible for that purpose. The normal link language could not be English for a long time though it might continue to be a link language between thinkers, authors, etc."

मेरा कहना यह है कि जहां तक जनता पार्टी का सकाल है, जनता पार्टी ने जवाहरताल जीको, की सालबहादुर शास्त्री को, और यहां तक कि नीमंत्री इन्दिएं कोशी को की, को नीति जनी बाई

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: But you are imposing Hindi on the southern people.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: I also say that we do not want to impose at all. It is the official policy. Let the Prime Minister reply. If there

is a changeover from English to Hindi, it is you who see to deside, not we; we will not decide. I want to make it clear. That is the policy of our party.

शब्दक महोवय, साठे साहब ने एक बात कही, तामिलनाडु में एक यह को किया गया है कि हस्ताक्षण केवल वामिल में होंगे उस पर उन्होंने एतराख किया ।

If Tamil Nadu were to change from English to Hindi, it is the Tamil Nadu Government itself which will decide it, not the Centre. May I tail them that if some circulars, some orders here and there, if they come, that is bound to come and that will continue.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Then how do you justify the action of the Chief Minister of Bihar in saying that even for inter-State correspondence it should be only in Hindi, and not even English translation?.....

(Interruptions)

SHRI RAGAVALU MOHANARA-NGAM. In Tamii Nadu we have decided that only inside Tamii Nadu area we will use Tamii, not in correspondence with some other States.

SHRI KANWAR LAI. GUPTA: Even signature, whether inside or outside the State, will be in Tamil.

SHRI RAGAVALU MOHANARA-NGAM; Why do you worry about the signature? Worry about the matter.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: I want to congratulate you. I do not want to take any objection, because Tamil is also a language of our country. It is my language as well as your language. I want to congratulate him. I do not want to take any objection, as Shri Sathe did. . I am sorry I do not know Tamil. I wish my children learn Temil. This is not a dispute between Hinds and other regional languages. Whether # 15 Temil, Telugu, Marathi or Bangla or 1 . 1 . .

Hindi, they are supplementing and complementing each other. So for as we from the Hindi-speaking areas are concerned....

MR. SPEAKER: He should conclude now.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Give me another ten minutes.

MR. SPEAKER: Ten minutes? You have already taken 15 minutes.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: We have two national anthems-Janagana mana and Bande Mataram. Is there any person in the whole country who will raise a question of language about them? We all respect them, whether we belong to Tamil Nadu or other States whether we speak Tamil, Telugu, Marathi, Malayalam or Hindi It is not a question of language. We belong to one country people like Shri Devraj Urs who want to make political capital out of it. I can understand Shri Ramachandran. I have read the press reports.... (Interruptions). When the pressmen asked him. "Is this any confrontation between the Centre and the States over the language issue?" he said "there is no confrontation; there are certain grievances; we have expressed it." Everybody has a right to express his grievances. So, I have nothing to say against it. But what Shri Devraj Urs has said, you cannot take it that way. Kindly excuse me ... (Interruptions)

You do not belong to Cong. So, why do you worry?

What has he said? He said that so long as Shrimati Indira Gandhi was there as Prime Minister, there was no problem of language. But, since the Janata Party took over, the problem has arisen in the last one year. May I sek this question? Are you not playing with fire, with the integrity of the people, by arousing the feelings of the people on the question of language?

re. Language Policy (Moth.)"

You have failed, your programme has failed and you have been rejected by the people. All other things are over; only language is left.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Do not try to play with this.... (Interruptions).

बी संबर साल पुष्त : सध्यक महोदय, इन के पास कोई प्रोसाम नहीं है । इन के पार कोई भीर कार्यक्रम नहीं है । क्वा साप बोट सेने के लिए देश की युकता की कुबानी करेंगे ? यह सवाल है ।

मुझे एक बात और हिन्दी के लोगों के नी कहनी है कि हिन्दी बढ़ती हुई मावा है। इस में अंग्रेजी के तब्द भी भा सकते हैं, तानिका के सब्द भी भा सकते हैं। धाने चाहिए। स्टेशन को भुझे मालूम नहीं छन्होंने क्या बताया, नेरी भी समझ में नहीं धाया, लेकिन अगर ऐसा कुछ उस के लिए कह दिया तो कोई शलत बात नहीं है। इसे बढ़ती हुई भाषा बननी चाहिए भीर हमें कोई काम ऐसा नहीं करना चाहिए जिस से कुछ लोगों को यह मौरा मिले। मैं जानता हूं भाज मतजेद क्या है। तामिलना हु के जंगों को मतजेद नहीं है।

I have been to Andhra, I have been to Kamataka during elections.

शोगों को कोई मतमेद नहीं है, यह ते हुछ बेस्टेंड इन्ट्रेस्ट्स हैं जो बोडे मोन हैं, कुछ पोलीटिश्ल बेस्टेंड इन्ट्रेस्ट्स हैं, बुछ अपूरो-कैट्स हैं जो इस बीज को उमाड़ना बाहते हैं। मैं बाहुना दिन्दी वाले उन लोगों को हैण्डिल न सें। मैं बाहूना गृह मंत्री जी बतायें कि हिन्दी प्रवारिणी सभा, जिसके सुबद्धाध्यम साहब 15 साम तक बाध्यक्ष रहे, उसको पहले कितनी प्रान्ट दी जाती थी, हिन्दी प्रवार के लिए भीर मब कितनी दी जाती है। क्या यह बाबक्यक नहीं है कि साउथ की स्टेड्स में हिन्दी, के प्रवार के लिए भीर ज्यादा

[की क्षेत्रर साम मुका]

पैता देना चाहिए और को हिन्दी पढ़ना चाहते हैं उनको इन्हेंटिय देना चाहिए, उनको कितावें देनी चाहिए, बच्चों को एनकरेज करना चाहिए, नौकरी में डालना चाहिए ! लेकिन नुझे दृ:ब के साथ कहना पडता है कि इस सरकार ने उसकी तरफ ध्यान नहीं दिया । जनता पार्टी की सरकार को इसकी सफर ब्यान देना चाहिए। हमें इस देश की इंडिब्रिटी को किसी भी कीमत पर कुबान नहीं करना है । भाषा ना सवाल तो हमारे सामने है ही नहीं। भाषा के सवास की जनता पार्टी या श्रीयेस पार्टी का सवाल नहीं बनाना चाहिए, यह तो देश का सवाल है और सगर कहीं पर कोई मतभेव हों तो प्रधान मंत्री जी भूक्य मित्रयों को बुलाकर बात कर a~ 1

If they have certain objections and grievances, and if they genuinely feel about them, let them contact the Prime Minister and get their grievances redressed. I am sure that the Prime Minister will redress their grievances. There is absolutely no doubt about this. May I request Mr. Hitendra Desai....

SHRI HITENDRA DESAI (Godhre): Let the Prime Minister call them. That will be better.

SHR KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Yes. Let him call. But, may I request you not to play up this issue?

SHRI HITENDRA DESAI: We are not playing up this issue.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: There is a certain section. So far as Mr. Ramachandran is concerned, I have no objection to what he said in the Press Conference. But if you say that since See Janeta party took over, this difficulty is there, then it is politically motivated. (Interruptions).

SHRI A. BALA. PAJANOR: The same thing was done during your time. I take an independent view of everything. Don't forget it.

बी कंबर साल युक्त : एक बात मैं यह शो करूंगा कि हिन्दी की प्रगति के साथ साथ हमारी केतीय भाषाओं की शी प्रयति होनी चाहिए । सगर प्रजातंत्र को जिन्दा रहना है तो जब तक रीजनल नैस्वेजेज सौर सिंक नैं'वेजेज दोनों साथे नहीं बहेंगी तब तक हिन्दुस्तान में प्रजातन्त्र नहीं हो सकता है । हम चाहें इसर बैठें या उसर बैठें, हमें भाषा के नाम पर ऐसा कोई काम नहीं करना चाहिए जिससे इस देश का एकता सौर सब्बाद्ध । बन्दा का तरा पहुंचे । बन्दा वह ती सकता ही स्वाप्त की स्वाप्त

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Mohanaragam.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: I have given you intimation that I will speak first.

SHRI RAGAVALU MOHANARAN-GAM: Tomorrow I will speak.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANORE: What about CPM? They are not speaking.

MR. SPEAKER: I will can them. You are more interested in this.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: I thought that I will get my chance a bit leter because we usually maintain an order-

MR. SPEAKER: If you want, I will call you later.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: No, no. Certainly not.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: We want to listen to you,

SHRI A, BALA PAJANOR: Not only listening, but if you understand my feelings. I will be very happy.

एक भागनीय सबस्य : धाप तमिक में बोर्स जिसमें भाषने बोट भागा

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: Mr. Speaker Sir, I thank you for giving me this opportunity of participating in the discussion on the motion moved by Mr. Sathe. Practically, it was a question of Calling Attention on the Conference held by southern Chief Ministers last month.

I am not going to take the advice of the other member to express my nationality or my affection or my sincerity or my conviction in the unity of this country. Let the hon. member also understand that I have not come to this House on his oath but on the orth of the people on our side in the southern corner.

Let me recall the speech I made immediately after Mr. Morarji Desai took over this Government. Some hon members and, I think, it was Mr. C. Subramaniam who said, south vs. north has been decided. But on that date when I made the speech-some said that it was a classic statement --I said, there is a division between Vindhyas. I never said, a cultural division-a turn of things between us.

Even before I started the hon, member there called me to speak in Tamil. What for? Let him understand. I understood Gandhi in English, I understood Tagore in English, I understood Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru in English, I understood Mrs. Indira Gandhi in Eng-Hsh, I followed Lal Bahadur Shastri in English, I still follow Mr. Morarji Desai in English, Mr. Kaushik in English Mr. Biju Patnaik in English and also many others in English. Rightly er wrongly, I had an opportunity to go through the Official Languages Act. None of the members has touched it. I am strictly going to confine myself to the limited sphere of the resolution adopted at the Conference of the southern Chief Ministers, I will read out the resolution first and come to that later on.

I hope, you will give me some time, not considering the time allotted to my Party because our feeling is to be

understood once and for all, to put an end to any misunderstanding of the august House. You know pretty well that in my party we are 19 members. Seven of them cannot follow; others can follow to an extent and express either in English or in Hindi also. We are putting up with this kind of a thing for the simple reason that one day there will be a chance for us to express ourfeelings, not only feelings but voice the people's views in this House. But we have not made an agitation. All throughout we have been seeing for the past 15 months. What is the reaction? They provoke us. Many members come and ask me, why it is. As the representatives of the people naturally, they are bound to represent to our Chief Minister the General Secretary of the All India Anna-DMK-Mr. MGR naturally expressed the same view to the other Chief Ministers of the southern States and they, in turn, consulted many of the members.

Mr. Sathe was very vocal. But he cannot ask Mr Ramamurti to speak on the same lines. This is a ticklish issue. We are not politicalising it. Today, I must confess before you that I am going to be very straight and simple. When I came to this House, I remember what Mr. Sharad Yadav said. But people never listened to his views. I still remember, Mrs. Indira Gandhi told Mr. Sharad Yadav, "I can understand your feelings." But later on, Mr. Sharad Yadav was taken in. And the country paid for it, the Congress party paid for it.

Similarly, I place before you, not warn you a reasonable, sensible, consideration of feelings of the southern and non-Hindi speaking people. Some people referred to Sheikh Abdullah. I as a not going to refer to Seikh Abdullah Kashmir is always exempted, even in 1976, when the rules were made during the peak of the Emergency. I have no concession for Mr. Sathe. I view the issue independently and according to the best judgment of our thinking.

[Shri A. Bala Pajanor]

When you take the resolution of the Conference, what is stated there? Some people tried to analyse it according to their convenience and they wanted to interpret it as if the southern Chief Ministers were not aware of certain things. I want to go on record saying that Mr. MGR is not that simple, he is quite intelligent. Not only that. The other Chief Ministers of the southern States also understood it corectly This is what the resolution says:

"On language issue this Conterence of the Chief Ministers of Tamil Nadu, Karnataka....regret to note that notwithstanding the assurance given by the late Prime Minister. Jawaharial Nehru, to the effect that English would continue as an associate additional language and that the decision for the change-over to Hindi should be left to the non-Hindi knowing people and not to the Hindi-knowing people and also the assurance of he late Lal Bahadur Shastri, the former Prime Minister, Mrs Indira Gandhi and the present Prime Minister, Mr Morarji Desai..

I hope Mr. Desai is listening to my speech in his room at least-

"that Hindi will not be imposed on non-Hindi speaking people, there have been attempts by certain Union Ministers to coverily impose Hindi, and this Conference strongly oppose such an attempt to impose Hindi contrary to the provisions of the Official Languages Act 1963 as amended in the Official Languages Act of 1967"

And here comes the crux of the Conference resolution—because Shri Kanwar Lel Gupta could not follow that, and I am sorry to say this.

"This Conference desires that immediate steps should on taken to step such imposition of Hindi on non Hindi-speaking people and voices its apprehensions that any further attempt to impose Hindi is

likely to erode the confidence of the non-Hindi-speaking people in the Union Government"

That is what we wanted. That is why I said that the Tamilnadu Chief Minister and others are very clear about the statement: for that simple reason, nobody touched it.

As I said earlier, we take independent judgments. Whenever you are right we come and congratulate you; whenever you are wrong we point it out and if you are not prepared to listen, we oppose it: we strongly and vehemently oppose it.

In the peak of Emergency these rules were made In the year 1967, this Act was passed, in 1967 it was amended, in 1969 once again they gave colour to it and kept quiet, in 1971 they had a thumping majority and nothing was done and, in the year 1976, in the peak of Emergency, Parliament was not taken into consideration because, in the official Languages Act. Section 3 gives power to make Rules. So, they made Rule: What - Rules? Let me ask this patriotic Member who wants me to speak in Tamil what is the Rule he speaks about? This Rule is not applicable to Tamiinadu. Why? Is it like Kashmir? It was so covert even during the period of Emergency; even during the period of emergency this was done. I know what the Rules are threadbare, and I may read out because you don't know what is really taking place. By this Resolution we want to protect the interests not of Mr. Ramachandranwho is in the Cabinet but who is not able to follow the Cabinet proceedings --- but of the people of this country--the people who come from Karnstaka, people who come from Kerals, people who come from Tamilnadu and Pondicherry, people who come from non-Hindi-speaking States who are not qualified in it and who have to take it up. I will deal with every portion of the Rules. What is the qualification you have prescribed? If you do not follow it take the Oxford dictionary Covertly you are trying to impose

Mindi on the non-Hindi-speaking people. It is said 'we are not going to impose it on these people' but the first portion of the Bules says that they shall extend to the whole of India except the State of Tamil Nadu.

These are the Rules, I do not know how many Cabinet Ministers know about these Rules.

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI BIJU PATNAIK): What is that book?

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR. This is the official Languages Act and the Rules framed thereunder. These Rules were made under section 82 of the Official Languages Act.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA In which year?

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: In 1976, in the peak of Emergency, m the month of June. You are supporting without knowing what is what (Interruptions)

The Hon. Member, who is very enthusiastic, is going to dig the grave of the very cause he is enthusiastic about. We are not even I per cent less than him in patrotism. I sacrificed my father and entire family for the cause of this country. (Interruptions) Not only I but many Members from this side have done that. Members who talk like this and create chaos in the country don't know what are the Rules. It is the tragedy of this country that, without knowing the Rules, people speak about them.

I am reminded of Shri Krishna Menon who said Let not people speak about Hindi and English if they do not know both if they know both. let them talk about it.'

I am speaking in English not out of Sescination but because I want to be understood—understood in the sense, us I said earlier, that I understood Gandhi and avery patriot of this country. The unifying spirit, as expressed by Shri Subramaniam, is knit well over and spread in this country-not because of majority; because, as I mentioned last time, majority in this country is a question of relative theory. It need not always be a mapority. Your community may be in a minority in my place. These linguistic minorities, these caste minorities and even religious minorities-I do not believe in that Indians to the first and Indians to the last. There is no question of minority or majority. I recall my statement: Downstream, beyond the Vindhyas, the people gave different results in the last elections'. Take note of it; beware of it.

362

I have also reminded last time this is something which I told Mrs. Indira Gandhi a number of times that, by praising and shouting, patriotism will not grow, we have to show by action

Now, why has it been said in the Conference, that covertly Hindi should not be imposed on those people? We want to protect the people of our area in the sense of people of non-Hindi-speaking areas. For example, from these rules, you have excepted Tamil Nadu out of Year. If you had the gutts, if you had the sense of responsibility for governing this nation, you ought to have included Tamil Nadu....

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: What has the Janata Party done? (Interruptions)

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: Just now Mr. Kanwar Lal Gupta said that this was not a matter for a particular political party. I do agree with him. It is a national problem. What I say is that, now, the Janata Party that is ruling this country, our beloved country, this great country is implementing vehemently taking shelter under these rules. Whatever is conveniet for you, you take that and implement it vehemently quoting Gandhiji

[Shri A. Bala Pajanor]

and other big people, and whatever is inconvenient to you, you delete that I say, you are the most dishonest people on the earth. It should not be a question of convenience. It should be a question of conviction If you go by conviction, I am prepared to surrender and prostrate before you But, if you say that it is a question of convenience, then you are going to balkanize this country, and it is only on our blood that you will do it We will not allow you to blakanize this country Our beloved leader, Shri M G Ramachandran, is for the unification of this country, nothing less than that Therefore, do not force these circumstances out of enthusiasm (Interruptions)

Now what does the rule say? For a particular time, proficiency qualifications are made A person is supposed to have proficiency in Hindi if he has passed the Matriculation examination with Hindi as the medium of instruction An employee shall be deemed to have acquired working knowledge of Hindi if he has passed Matriculation with Hindi as one of the subjects After some time he can also pass the Pragya examination. Then it is said that his knowledge of Hindi is enough If 80 per cent of the staff working in a particular office under the supervision of the Central Government have acquired working knowledge of Hindi, then you can say that that particular region or area has full knowledge of Hindi and you can declare it as a Hindi-speaking area This is what the rule says

This is covert imposition. You have excluded Tamil Nadu from this.

These are the Rules:

"The Official Languages (Use of Official Purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976

Ministry of Law Justice and Company Affairs (Department of Official Language)

re, Language Policy (Mots.) C.M.'s Conference

"G.S.R. 1052-In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 8 read with sub-section (4) of section 3 of the Official Languages Act, 1963 (19 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules. namely

- 1 Short title, extent and commencement-
 - (1) These rules may be called the Official Languages (Use for Official Purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976
 - (2) They shall extend to the whole of India except the State of Tamil Nadu
 - (3) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette

Then I refer to paragraph 6

"Use of both Hindi and English-Both Hinds and English shall be used for all documents referred to " etc., etc.

"Any application appeal or representation referred to in sub-rule (1) when made or signed in Hindi shall be replied to in Hindi

"Where an employee desires any order or notice relating to service matters (including disciplinary proceedings) required to be served on him to be m Hinds, or, as the case may be, in English, it shall be given to him in that language

You have omitted Tamil Nadu I am coming to the question of Central Government offices and public sector undertakings in Tamil Nadu are all transferrable posts. They will be serving in Tamil Nadu for five years, not permanently. Then they may be transferred to Bihar or Orissa. Then what will happen? By that time that area would have become a Hindi-knowing area.

Why do I speak for bilingualism? Because that it contemplated in the Act of 1963. This assurance has been given by Pandit Jawaharlel Nehru followed by all the other Prime Ministers including the present Prime Minister, Shri Morarji Desai. But for that, they could not have continued. The assurance was given because they wanted to maintain the unity of this country.

Now I will come to another point. I hope they have followed this classification principle. Take that a person is located in a non-Hindi area and the State is considered a 'C' Statemow they have classified the States. 'A' States are those States where Hindi alone is the major language. 'B' States are States like Gujarat, Maharashtra, Punjab, etc., 'C' States are Bengal, Andhra, Karnataka and Kerala, excluding Tamil Nadu. Tamil Nadu is not at all a 'C' State. I do not know in what category they are now going to put Tamil Nadu. ...

SHRI K. P UNNIKRISHNAN (Badagara): 'D'.

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR. They need not have it. It is a workable thing. This is Hindustani coming in in a peculiar manner. Now what happened m 1965? There was an agitation. Why? Because in the Constitution you have contemplated 15 languages. That was well explained by Mr Subramaniam. He said it was found not practical and so for that, there was an Act in 1963 and in 1967 it was amended after an agitation. You know how? My friend over there, Dr. Ramji said that people have accepted it, the Congress Party has accepted it, but perhaps he has forgotten the history of Tamil Nadu and our past efforts We have sacrificed seven souls in 1939. Agitation during the Rajaji regime against the imposition of Hindi, when Rajaji was a protaganist of Hindi. I will quote what Rajaji said later on also because to change is growth, to change is evolution and to change is improvement. If you do not change, you do not make improvement at all. You do not prosper at all. You cannot be stagnating. I do not understand how now Mr. Morarii Dezai has changed. Mr. Subramaniam has said that Mr. Morarji Desai has changed. means that he is able to understand the spirit of democracy. Some people, when they see me, greet me in Tamil Sir I know when Sir Archiebald Nye and Lord Mountbatin used to come to Tamil Nadu, they also use to greet people by expressing 'Vanakkam', 'Namaskaram' and 'Varuhiren'. But, Sir, what Mr. Raj Narain did in the Rajya Sabha was an insult to our Tamil language. I challenge these Ministers. I put a question to you. How many of the past Prime Minister could speak for two minutes in any one of the South Indian languages? Name one of them. I ask you. I do not want him to speak in Tamil. Not one of them could speak. Any one of you could speak? Show your courage by action and not by words .. (Interruptions) I know, Mr. Ravindra Varma is a man from Kerala. He is a man of the south. He contested from Ranchi. He can speak beautiful Tamil. I know Mr. George Fernandes. I do not go by constituencies. If you take my constituency, I have to speak five languages. My constituency is not from Tamil Nadu. have to speak Tamil in Pondicherry. But when I go to other places in my constituency, say, to Kallarai in Kerala, I have to speak Malayalam. In Yanam I have to speak Telugu. Our official language was not English. It was French, Baba, it was French. Bon Matin. But do I speak French here? I speak English. Thoda, thoda Hindi also I know. I am trying to learn Hindi also here in this House but by your fanaticism, your arrogance and sometimes your force against me push me out. I tell you this is not the method ... (Interruptions) Why do I say this? I know Mr. Kanwar Lai Gupta. I can quote, if he permits me, what he told me in the Central Hall. He speaks very good English. Then why not you speak English? He says, 'No, no, I will be misunderstood in my constituency.' So are you not politicalising the issue? I ask you this thing. I touch upon your conscience and ask

[Shri A. Bala Pajanor] you, can you argue in your court in Hindi? No. Then, Sir, only ten members used to speak here. I never used to question the procedure. I never used to question the quorum. Ramji speaks good English. Why not you speak in English, Dr. Ramji? This question of speaking is a quesaction. I want to take from you how you behave in this House, not only in this House but outside also. We are very frank, When I speak out of emotion, I have no ill feeling towards you, because all of us are equals. I have seen Mr. Morarji Desai from the gallery. He used to sit as Deputy Prime Minister of the country. Now I am proud to see him sitting there as the Prime Minister of the country. That is the reason why my Chief Minister says that in his time this question must be settled because he has worked as Minister, then as Deputy Prime Minister and Opposition Member. I do remember how I hooted him also once. But you do not know because you have come by chance. I remember him once telling me, 'What are you saying?' What I spoke he could not follow. Then I told him, Please make a provision for Tamil also to be understood immediately. That is what I am asking." But what is it that you have done? You are very patriotic. You have not made any provision . . .

17 hrs.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Pajanor, you have taken 20 minues.

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: Please do not remind me of the minutes. The country is on the minutes. You must help us. If you remember correct-I was very happy when you came to the Chair during in the Business Advisory Committee meeting you said, when I asked for 3 days, that you would give me as much time as possible. I do not know if you are going to cut short off me. I cannot advocate my cause: I cannot be that sincers. And so I shall be happy only If I can get into the papers and throw

out the points and then get out. I rely more on that. That as the reason why I request that I should be given more time.

CAC's Conference

My trend is not lost. I admit it. The Chief Minister's conference, I do not think, they tried to divide us. I think you will give instructions to the Deputy-Speaker to give me time.

MR. SPEAKER: There is no instruction to him.

17.01 hrs.

[MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: Deputy-Speaker, Sir, the difficulty for the people, especially coming from non-Hindi speaking areas, is this. It is a question of our being understood here. When we say that we have to give respect of Nehru's assurance you all think that you are going to Macaulay and other people of London. We are not taking shelter. I want to ask-many of you are sincere. Then why are you having English pants and English shirts? Why do you take bread and butter? It is not a question of taste. It is a question of being understood. When I speak English don't you follow me? When we speak in English you tell me that I am an Angrez? Are you promoting national unity in our part of the country? This is not the way to get the unity. So. I appeal at this moment that we on our side should make clear one thing on this issue. Please go through the records of the Constituent Asembly. I want to quote Dr. Ambedkar. There was a division. As the Chairman of the Committee he attended the Congress Party meeting and in the discussion vote-was 78-78. This was what Dr. Ramii Singh said. I say it was wrong. It was not unanimous. Dr. Ambedkar categorically said that, Subsequently, on persuation it was 77 to 78-one fell short of unanimity. Why I say this is because in 1963 Pandit Nehru and Mr. Subrameniam and all the great people who were members of the Constituent Asset changed their ideas to suit the condition. How that had developed? They brought forward this Act of 1963. Then it was subsequently amended in 1967 and in 1968, when our great and beleved Anna took over as the first Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu, he made it clear. Some people very sarcastically made a remark. That was the sorry state of affairs. Subsequently there was a resolution. Is it not the duty of the Legislative Assembly to reflect the voices of the people and to give colour and substanec to 1t? Are we to dictate our own wishes? I would plea to this House that we on our side wanted to challenge this. Let it be Mr. Stephen's party or let it be Mr. Chavan's party or the Janata Party. Let them come and tell that Tamil Nadu will have three languages. but Hindi will be there. I may tell you that you will not get a single seat. When Mrs. Gandhi tried that at the airport you know what she got out of that. Kumari Anandam, Mr. Dhandayudapani and Shri P Ramachandran are three members from South Did they open their mouth in South. Why don't you convince your party? Let them go to Cape Comorin or Madura and say what they want to do about the language. They cannot. Because the feelings of the people are too much there. You may say that we are capitalising. Don't say that, Our leader MGR is not an air-conditioned politician. He and others like him are reflecting the voice of the people. We are for Food, Clothing and Shelter for our people. Land legislation is not the issue at the moment. We are for giving our people house, food and cloth. A young man, the average man, studies in order to become an I.A.S. Officer in the Central Government or he wants to reach highest echelon of the country. Articie 14 gives equality before the I do not want to repeat it. But, don't misunderstand me. Clive and others came here not to conquer this country. They used this language for their trade and commerce. Later on in 1827 or something like that they imposed it not for the purpose of unifying this country but to run the administration. Later on-I am gorry

to say-many of us adopted the Englist language as a fanciful and fashionable language in our homes, towns and shops. Same thing will happen to our children. Let posterity not blame me that I was a party to the slavery of my people in some other language. I tell you in this country there is no mother manuscript. There was only mother tongue. Eighty per cent of our people were illiterate and they never had the chance. The other day it is joke and a fact also one Member said: I want to see Andhra. Where is it? Then he said: I want to see Andhra and learn Malayalam. See the knowledge! Now, Malayalam is spoken in Kerala and not Andhra, I do not want to have an intelligence test with you people but it is a fact. Such people when they come to this country they will be second class citizens of this country.

Now, Mr. Deputy-Speaker, please take Article 14 of the Constitution—Equality before Law. Even now that is going to happen. Article 14 of the Constitution clearly states that all of us are equal before law. What will happen is once you impose it for the first fifteen years then after some years you switch over to some other language. That is the reason you are covertly introducing and debarring us to take the equal advantage as per the Article of the Constitution as framed by forefathers of this country.

Sir, I give you another example. To be a Union Minister you take the present position. For me to become the Union Minister I must qualify either in English or Hindi whereas for a member from Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh he need learn anything. It is enough that he can put his thumb-impression because he can answer the questions in Hindi. Is it possible for any one of the members on this side to do so unless he learns English or Hindi? Is it equality? Then why not scrap Article 14 of the Constitution. Why it is there? You are not able to do it. I am not talking of IAS and other service officers but of Members. That is the

[Shri A. Bala Pajanor] reason my leader says that even Prime Ministership should be by rotation. The composition of this country is not that simple. Do not under-estimate my Chief Minister. MGR He knows Perhaps he has not gone through the college education as many of us have and got degrees. These degrees are only initials can tell you it is out of experience and education that he knows what it is He is now saying what is this country's fate Only a man from Uttar Pradesh can become Prime Minister of this country I do not want to name the Member but even in this Cabinet one hon'ble Minister told me Uttar Pradesh is the Pradesh that is India (Bharat) So, he alone lead this country and not Morary I differ from him (Interruptions)

I will name him Do not provoke me to that (Interruptions)

SOME HON'BLE MEMBERS
Name him

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: I do not want to do it Now. Morarji Desai is from Gujarat He is also to be taken of because he is not from the main area. But fortunately he is able to speak Hindustani or Hindi because he had the privilege be under Gandhiji to for MGR to become Prime Minisster of this country or for Mr. Anthony to become Prime Minister of this country or for Mr. Dev Raj Urs to become Prime Minister of country-as things stand on the politiposition of this country—it is cal next to impossible. Do not that the Janata party is a national party. You are nowhere in You are a regional party consisting of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar and Rajasthan. Nothing else. Do not call me a regional party . You are for name's sake in all-India but composition is all-India. have our units in Bombay and Calcutta whereas you are a magnificent pier. You know the results of Madu-rai. They are coming to me and telling me that they are a national party. India is from Kashmir to Kanyaku-

mari. You are neither in Kashmir nor in Kanyakumari, nor in Punjab, nor in Bengal, you are only in the Centre. Therefore what I raise is a serious issue. I am challenging them. Mrs Gandhi covertly introduced it with the help of these people in the year 1976 and you have taken shelter under of it. What is it? I go in the Official Languages Committee and I can tell you this. There are some over enthusiastic Members (Interruptions) We don't require your certificate because my performance and my affection is to be seen and my All India nature is to be seen by our activities, by our actions and we are proving it are not ti klish We are not politicalleing the fisues We are not taking shelter as conveniently as you are doing it, may I say? We are honest; we are very sincere; we support you whenever you do things

Is it not a fact, Sir, that in the Cenral Hall we did this in the Joint Session of Parliament? Did we not support you? We are not bargaining. We are not on that I say this because you say about the letters that have come in the Hindustan Times don't have the time because the hon. Deputy Speaker is pointing out to the time. Out of the eight fetters how many people are there from the northern States? Therefore, Sir, English has unified us We are asking for it because we want to unify country. When it was pointed out that the 1976 rules were badly made and that you are taking advantage of them, some of the over-enthusiastic people came to Bangaiore and when I went to Kerala, many representations came to me and I told This Committee is not Hindi'. I told them this Committee has come to review the progressive use of Hindi, how far you have made progress', That is what I told them. Take it from me. You won't believe me. You ask the Chairman of the Committee and other Members how I convinced my people.

भी बाषू विद्य (वीसा) : गेरा एक मीड्रंट शा धार्डर है । एक संसद सदस्य को बाधा बंटा दिया बाता है, दूसरे को पांच मिनट दिया जाता है । सब को समान समय दिया जाना चाहिए । हम भी बोलना चाहते हैं।

SHRI PABITRA MOHAN PRA-DHAN (Deogarh): Same time should be given to others also, Sir.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: Time will be extended.

So, I told the people there that it is not a question of imposing Hindi.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You please wind up now.

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: It is a question of reviewing the progress made for the future switch-over But the future switch-over is a thing to be decided later on by the Parliament and the people of this country. And I said to them: I am there; people from non-Hindi speaking States are there, we are to protect their interests, as per the Constitution. We have to respect it. Sir, I have no disrespect for it. We honour the constitution, but at the same time, we believe in the democratic process of persuasion and change.

Therefore, if you ask me, what is your policy. I say, this country must have multi-language national languages. I say, why don't you take one of the South Indian languages and make it a national language? Why cannot you do it, as it is done in Switzerland or in Canada? Why are you taking always the USSR or any communist country dictated by somebody else? I cannot unedrstand why Mr. Sathe referred only to the USSR. Why? Small States like Switzerland are there. Italy is there, Germany is there. France is there. You take Yugoslavia. You take Canada. French is there; English is there. want to ask one thing from Members Parliament hailing from nonHindi speaking areas. How many of us.... (Interruptions) You need not teach me. The Chair is there to regulate. Mr. Patwary, we are having one Patwary in Madras. One Patwary is giving us enough problems. Patwarys are a problem for us. So, Sir, it is a question of taking note of these things.

I want to ask: How many members from this side can speak two or three sentences in Tamil or in Malayalam? I want you to come to the South. I request you to travel on that side. You go to the villages. Even if it takes 100 years, I say, Bilingualism in Tamilnadu is correct. We have only the two language formula. We honour that. We are not going for more languages. What you want to do in the North is only a lip service-I mean the three language formulaand I know what it is. I have travelled extensively not only in period but even in the previous period also. Fortunately the people have thought it fit to send me here for a second time. I have travelled every corner of this country. I had been to the villages where you have not even built a road. So, Sir, I say, Tamil Nadu is proud of it. we have Tamil in the State and English communication with the other States and with the Centre. If you want to keep Hindi, keep it along with English I want to understand what is taking place in Bihar and U.P., Punjab, Haryana and Rajasthan. If you Hindi alone I will be in the dark. This will lead to unecessary misunderstanding and misfortune also. I am not paying the way for it. I believe and as I said categorically, this is a matter for review. I will say: You must give us a constitutional guarantee. That is the reason why Shri S. D. Somasundaram moved Resolution in this matter. As will remember, we had a lot of discussion on this issue.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Is it a fact that these rules were framed after consulting the Chief Ministers of those States, and that they agreed?

SHRI A. BALA PANJANOR at all Interruptions) The historical developments have been cited by Mr Subramaniam and others and there is no point in repeating them There should be a review You leave it to the future generations There are many more problem for us to solve there be English and Hindi But do not complete, and do not impose Hindi covertly

In the Railway offices in Bangalore all reservations are made only Hind: The Railway is the worst example I know that one Member asked the station master there to speak in Hindi That sation master came to me I told him 'I will protect you" A lot of imposition is there indirectly in the case of Government servants

This country requires a review of this policy By this review, I mean Let the future generation tackle the problem because we have some emotions on this matter We can leave it to the future We request the Prime Minister not only to give a categorical assurance on the floor of this House we also request that he must say that a constitutional guarantee will be given

In the last session a bill has been introduced to say that Hindi must be there in the Union Territory of Pondi cherry also For what? Not even 1 per cent of the people there understand it Do you want a small Union Territory to waste its money on translation instead of giving food and other essentials to the people? I appeal to you let us put an end to this controversy and let us leave it to the future generations Thank you

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE (HOW-Mr Deputy-Speaker, Sir debate is now going on, on the basis of the resolution passed in the Chief Ministers' meeting The resolution reflects the feelings of the non-Hindispeaking people, particularly of the people of these 5 States whose Chief Ministers gathered there. But I should say that this feeling is not limited only within these States. This is a wide-spread feeling among all non-Hindi-speaking people If the Janata Party does not change, or intervene without delay, then may be, more Chief Ministers will meet in a sub sequent conference and take a more strong resolution So, this is a sufficient warning I expect that the Janata Party and the Government will take this warning seriously

The complaint is not about policy The complaint is about implementation And here the main complaint is about imposition of Hindi Various methods have been used to impose Hindi Some examples have been given by Mr Sathe One circular was read out I have also brought one circular But I was told that in Andhra in the villages in the interior, the milestones were written only in Hindi What 's this people do not know what is Hindi but the milestones are written in Hindi?

The names of the railway stations are written in Hindi The lists of reservation are also in Hindi

कई मान्नीय सदस्य : धरोजी ने भी रहते हैं, भाप जा कर देखिये।

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE Just now he had complained that they were only in Hindi

SHRI NIRMAL CHANDRA JAIN (Seoni) They are also in English, You go and see there

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE I am not talking of Delhi only

(Interruptions)

I do not accept it Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, the spirit in which I am raising this question is this If there are only Hindi sign-boards in non-Hindi areas at some stations, you should be careful about it, You should see that it should not be done because it creates an adverse reaction.

AN HON. MEMBER: It is also your duty to bring it to the notice of the Government.

SHRI RAMAR MUKHREJEE: I am coming to that also. You have to finish that it creates an adverse reaction and so your cause will be defeated if these realities are not understood by the Hindi speaking people. That is the main thing. That is why they must be made more responsive, more sober and so on. There are various methods of popularising Hindi, but why impose Hindi? This imposition must be completely stopped.

Just now I have got a copy of the Report of the Ministry of Education and Social Welfare This Report is relating to the year 1976-77. I do not know whether your Government has prepared it or it was prepared by the past Government. Yes, it was prepared by the past Government.

AN HON MEMBER During the last year's budget

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: This point was raised by Mrs Vibha Ghosh during her last budget speach. She has just now brought this book to me to draw your attention. Some were also asking her to draw your attention, So, she had drawn your attention to this propaganda of Hindi. This is on page 11 of the Report (1976-77) Dr. Chunder might known that he raised this question last time during the budget. This Is about the propaganda of Hindi, giving facilities for encouraging it and prize and all that. The written instructions have also been issued on the recommendations of Official Language Implementation Committee of the Department to the members of the staff saying that they should write at least 10 notes in Hindi every month. Thus the staff is being forced to use Hindi. You may give any interpretation you like, but what will be the fate of the staff who do not know Hindi. They will be removed from their jobs. They will lose promotion facilities and other things. My point is-I hope you will try to understand it—that if you impose Hindi, it will create very adverse fivelings among the non-Hindi speaking people who are working there in the staff. If you do not change this view and justify this, the result will be just the opposite. That is why we have drawn the attention of the Government to these things. But, unfortunately, there has been no remedy yet.

Now I am going to refer to one letter written by comrade Namboodirpad to the Prime Minister. I want the Prime Minister to listen. This letter is dated 14th August, 1977 by Shri Namboodiripad to the Prime Minister, the General Secretary of our Party. He says:

"I am enclosing a copy of an office order issued by the Accountant-General on 1761977 from Gwalior imposing the use of Hindi in all correspondence emanating from his office."

This order has been issued under rule 3(1) of the National Language Act 1976 whereby it has been enjoined that from the correspondence government offices to the states of Hindi belt, Bihar, Haryana, Himachal Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Pradesh and New Delhi shall be in Hindi, On the basis of this provision of the Act it has been ordered by the Accountant-general without recording his specific reason for acting otherwise, no correspondence from his office shall emnate in English and all branch offices and section officers have been asked to scrupulously adhere to these instructions." In these states these are many non-Hindi speaking employees who are working in these departments. Whether it is imposition of Hindi or not you should give a reply. (Interruptions). From the reaction of some of our friends, it appears they have not understood the significance of this thing; this is unfortunate.

AN HON MEMBER: We have understood.

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE. If you have understood it, you should testify it. The language issue is a very

sensitive issue. Our country is not a uni-lingual country, it is a multilingual. multi-national country .. (Interruptions). You may not accept multinational'. But it is a historical fact. I am not quarrelling over that word multi-national', but it is a multilingual country and the states have been divided on the basis of the language for the development of each linguistic group of people According to us it is linguistic nationality Full scope must be given to all the languages to develop freely and fully to keep the unity of the country ... (Interruptions) we will discuss the definition of nationality later on But India, like the Soviet Union 15 also a multi-national country, each nationality having its own language The states are divided on the basis of the language, lingustic nationality, so the state structure is federal To keep the unity of India it is very essential that all the languages must have equal opportunity, equal status their full development and growth.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: What about China?

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Do not divert me; I will talk with you about China. The question of language must be considered in this background That is why all nationalities, all linguistic people must be given full scope to express their views in their own mother tongue. This arrangement must also be made inside Parliament. They should not simply say that some of the languages will be allowed and others will not be allowed; that condition must be changed.

PROF R. K. AMIN (Surendrenagar). Can I ask for a clarification?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: No: if you ask, he will take more time.

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: If I get time, I can explain.

C.M.'s Conference re. Language Policy (Motn.)

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I do rot want members to put questions and allow more time to you to reply to

SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: So this question is to be seen in the broader sense of the overall unity of India. That is why the question of revision of the Centre and State relationship has also come in this context. Do we want full growth of all the linguistic nationalities of India or not? And for the full growth of the linguistic nationalities full autonomy for each linguistic State is absolutely essential That is why the question of real federation has become the demand arising out of all the linguistic States and it is becoming an issue for national discussion and national debate. So the question of language must also be viewed in the context of this multinational composition of India.

Despite drawing the attention of the Government things have not changed and one stern reality must be admitted that there are Hindi chauvinists Hindi chauvinists are there even inside the Janata Party. If this reality is not admitted, yau will not be able to fight this chauvinism. Unless you fight Hindi chautinism, the peaceful advance of Hindi will be more and more obstructed by the chauvinist stand by antagonising the non-Hindi people, rising against Hindi, and taken advantage of by disruptive elements. This does not mean that we do not want encouragement of Hindi. We are in fovour of encouragement of Hindi but Hindi should be allowed to be gradually accepted by other non-Hindi speaking people.

It should be absolutely on the basis of voluntariness. The question of emergence of a link language will be solved. It is our party's stand that link language should gradually emerge due to the far more closer inter course economic, political cultural social. And gradually people will develop one common language. It may be Hindi along with various other vocabularies integrated in Hindi or it

may be something different. But that process must be encouraged, so that voluntarily some link language emerges out of growing inter course in the people of different languages. That is why for the bigger interest of the integration of India as a whole which is composed of multi-national linguistic people and where the States are reconstructed on the basis of languages, there should be real federal structure and the relation of Centre and State should be reviewed and the question of language should be absolutely on the principle of voluntariness. It should be left to the non-Hindi speaking people to decide whether they will accept Hindi or not or how much time they will take.

In this respect I want to draw your attention to two things. One is the question of Urdu language. Here also Urdu speaking people have a very bitter feeling—that they had been discriminated against. We raised this question repeatedly that where more than 10 per cent of these people speak in Urdu, Urdu should be considered as a second language such as in U.P. But that status has not been given to Urdu language. We think that Urdu language must be given the due status. There is the question of other languages also.

So is the question of Nepalese language West Bengal Government has accepted Nepalese language in Darjeeling area Unanimous Resolution has been passed by the West Bengal Assembly supported by Janata. Congress, all Opposition and the ruling party. They have unanimously supported the demand of the Nepali people who are residing in India that Nepali language shall be incorporated in the Eighth Schedule. It should be given the status of one of the national language We have brought non-official Bills in the last Parliament and certain non-official Bills were also brought in this Parliament Sikkim Assembly unanimously pussed this Resolution demanding Nepali language should be given the status of a national language to be icorporated in the Eighth Schedule. Recently-I have got a copy Tripura Assembly passed a unanimous resolution So, three Assemblies passed unanımous resolutions Now, it is high time that the Government should accept their view and incorporate Nepali language in the Eighth Schedule and give the the status of a Nepali language national language

The question of language is very sensitive it is very touchy. That is why, when the question of unity of India is involved, the Janata Government should give serious consideration to the Resolution passed by these five Chief Ministers Conference.

भी राज भारायन (रायबरेली) : श्रीमन, भाज इस भाषा सम्बन्धी विवाद के भाग लेते हए मुझे प्रसन्नता हो रही है क्योंकि बहुत सी चीजें जो दबी हुई थी वह उभर कर के ऊपर का गई। सबसे पहले मैं धन्यवाद देना चाहता हुं भपने मिल्ल श्री साठे को, उन्होंने एक बहुत ही सही खोज को है जिसके लिये वह धन्यवाद के पाल हैं। भीर उन्होंने यह कहा कि धनुष्छेद 343 के धनुसार संब की राजभाषा हिन्दी भीर लिपि देव-नागरी होगी। इनका कहना कि जो 15 साल का समय दे दिया गया यह गलत था, श्री साठे के मुखारबिन्द्र से यह भाव प्रकट होना धवम्य ही वह धन्यवाद के पाल हैं। भीर वह गलती को महस्स कर रहे हैं जो गलती अब तक के मतपूर्व शासको ने की । उनको सोचना चाहिये कि माखिर यह गलती हुई क्यों ? यह चीज टपक गई कही से ' हमारे यहा इलाहाबाद हाई कोर्ट मे जरिटस मुधम ने यह कहा जब उनकी विदाई होने लगी भौर तमाम ऐडवोकेटस ने उन को फेयरवेल दिया तो उन्होंने कहा कि मुझे इस बात का बड़ी पुष है कि जब मंग्रेजी राज्य ही टूट गया ती श्रंत्रेजी भाषा भारत की श्रदालतों मे क्यो चले ! वह इंगलिशमैन वे, वह जानते वे कि किस तरह से डेमोकेसी नेन्टेन होती है. इसलिये उसने ऐसा कहा ।

[भी राज नारायज]

भव इती के साथ साथ मैं साठे जी को यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि वह धनुष्टिय 351 को पढ़े:

"हिन्दी भाषा की प्रसार-वृद्धि करना, सस का विकास करना ताकि वह भारत को सामाजिक संस्कृति के सब तत्वों की अभि-व्यक्ति का माध्यम हो सके, तथा उस की झारजीयना में हस्तकोप किये विना हिन्दुस्तानी और अध्यम अनुसूची में उल्लिखित अध्य भारतीय भाषाओं के रूप, सैली और पदा-वित्त को आत्मसात करते हुए तथा अहां आवस्यक या बांखनीय हो वहा उस के अध्य-भवार के लिये मुख्यत संस्कृत से तथा गोणत वैसी उरिश्वित भाषाओं से अध्य ग्रहण वरते हुए उस की ममृद्धि मुनिश्वित करना सब का कर्तव्य होगा।"

इस बारे मे भारत सरकार ने क्या किया ' धीर धनर इस कर्तव्य का पालन भारत सरकार ने किया होता तो हमारे माननीय प्रविन्द जो ने जो धपने हृदय के उदगरी की रका, कायद न रकते । और इमारे माननीय सुबह्याच्यम, मुझे अफसीस 🛊 कि कि इस समय यहा से चले भये, एरु संसदीय प्रया की यह जूबी है कि जब कोई कहे तो दूनरों को भी सुने। कम से कम उन्होंने चार बार मेरा नाभ लिया, धौर बिना हमको सुन वह चले भये । उनको सुनना चाहिये वा कि हम क्या कहते हैं। मैं बराज इस बात को साफ कर देना चाहता हुं कि मैं कवी भी नहीं चाहंगा कि हिन्दी किसी पर सादी जावें। हिन्दी के सादे जाने के हम सक्त विरोधी हैं और अवर जबबैस्ती किसी राज्य पर वह लाबी आयेगी तो उसकी मबाई सब्में के लिये भी मैं तैयार रहेगा।

हुमारे वेस में हुमारी राष्ट्रभाषा हिम्बी है, समिल है, तुलुबू, डिव्या, मलयासम, बराठी, गुजराती, बंगसा, धासामी और उर्बू है । इस राष्ट्र के सम्बर जिसनी भी चाबाएं हैं वह सब राष्ट्रीय भाषाएं हैं । इससिय

इसमें किसी को मुबाबका नहीं होना काहिने कि हम तमिल को दिन्दी के क्या महत्त्व वैते हैं या तेलुन्, छड़िया, क्लड़, गुजराती की कम महत्व देते हैं। हम बराबर यह मानते बाये हैं कि हिन्दी बीए तमिल बोर्नो सबी बहिनें हैं, एक बहन बढ़ी है भीर एक छोटी है है छोटी बहिन की तरक्की में बढ़ी बहिन मस्त होती है। अवर वहिनें अच्छी है तो छोटी फुले, फले, विकसित हो, बड़ी बहिन की यह सदासयता बराबर रहेगी। इस्लिये बड़ी बहिन और छोटी बहिन में कभी झगडा नहीं होता है। जो कसही होती है, यह अगदा करती हैं। हिन्दी और तमिल कलही नहीं है। वास्तव में शणबाहै कहां ' श्रगड़ा बहां घाकर बाढा हो जाता है, जहां घरोजी लावी जाती है

"म" को हिन्दी में म्रमुख मानते हैं, उनका नाम "म" से मुक् होता है इस्तिये नैं कहना नहीं चाहता, लेकिन हमारे सम्मानित सदस्य श्री भरविन्द ने जो सारी बातें कहीं (क्ष्मचान)

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR Let him call me Bala Pajanor' This is a beautiful word in Tamil Let him pronounce Pajanor'

भी राज नारायण : क्या वाला कडू ?

प्रव हम इनकी वार्तों का ववाव वे रहे हैं कि यह हिन्दी वार्विनक्म नहीं है । हम माठे माहब से भी कहन। वाहते हैं कि सावक तो उन्होंने बहुत शामान्य वावा मे किया, पर काट क्याचा कर ही, जिसका जवाव दिये विमा नहीं राहा जा सकता। उन्होंने वह विमा कि निहार संकृषित राष्ट्रीयता का है, संकृषित राष्ट्रवाद, वाविनिक्स का प्रयोग हमारे मिल ने किया जिनके वाद मैं बोकने बढ़ा हुआ हूं।

विहार, उत्तर प्रवेश, विल्ली, हिर्माणा, पंजाब, दिमाणन प्रवेश, राजस्थान और सभ्य प्रवेश हमारे मिल इसको शाविनिष्ण सक्ष्मे हैं। इसारे यहां ३ सक्से प्रशास श्व है---पहुंचा प्रशास गंती का है, इस पर हिन्दी वाया-वायी केन्न का व्यक्ति नहीं है, राष्ट्रपति हिन्दी भाषा-वायी केन्न का रहीं है, इस सक्ष्म का सक्तिक हिन्दी भाषा-वायी केन्न का नहीं है और इस सक्त का उपाक्तिक हिन्दी भाषा-वायी केन्न का नहीं है ।

श्रव हुनारे प्रयोजिकन के सम्मानित सदस्यों ने को कुछ बाते कही हैं, मैं उनका जवाब दे रहा हूं, धीरज के साथ सुनना चाहिये। प्रवर हुनारे उत्तर प्रदत्त, बिहार राज्यों मे तिनक जी प्रान्तीयता होती या संकुचित राज्योगता होती तो क्या उसका यहां उदा-हरण होता ! प्रत्यक्ष देखों, कमें सं।

श्री वसन्स साठे: घव आप पछका रहे हैं।

भी राज नारायणः जिम्माना को हमने किया, उसमें पछतावा स्वी !

इती के साथ-साथ यह नी बतला देना बाहुना हू कि जब ने जनता पाटी की सरकार बाई है, को बड़ी बड़ी स्विधेश्व हैं, फाइने-ल्वियल इंस्टीट्यूट्न हैं, घाप इसे जरा कोलकर वेख लेना, कि वह कौन लोग हैं ! हिल्ही भाषा-भाषी क्षेत्र का शायद एक भी नहीं हो। जिननी फिनाशल इस्टीट्यूशन्ज हैं, उनमें पैर-हिल्ही-भाषाभाषी क्षेत्र के लोग हैं। वह हिल्दो-भाषा नाषी जेत्र की बड़ी बिशाल छाती है—यह उदारता है, जिम को किल्ही-काषाभाषी केत्र धपनाता है ।

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI. It is not a concession. It is on account of their talent. Because they are talented.... (Interruptions).

श्री राज भारायण : बजट को जो देख निया जावे कि बजट का कितना हिस्सा किस राज्य को दिया जाता है । भारत के स्थाको देश संग्राम में उत्तर प्रदेश श्रीर विद्यार के स्था किया !

की प्रम्य पना झालार (सुगीपुर)ः नेवास वे पुष्ठ नहीं किया !

re. Language Policy (Mots.)

भी राज नाराज्य : इस में सब का जा जाता है— उत्तर-पूर्वी जारत, मध्य जारत, पश्चिमी भारत । भ्रमी में तटीय क्षेत्र की बात नहीं कहता ।

धवर छाडे साह्य धपने तमें में ईनानवार है, तो कांचेल की जो तीस साल की सरकार रही है, उस की भर्त्तना होनी चाहिए कि उस ने संविधान के धनुकड़ेद 351 के धनुसार हिन्दी के पेट को च।डा बयों नहीं किया, इन्ह ने हिन्दी में सब भारतीय भाषाओं के अच्छों का समावेल क्यों नहीं करावा। अवर ऐसा किया जाता, तो कितना बडा काम हो यवा होता।

खपाध्यक्ष महोदय, द्याप जानते हैं कि भाषा के सवास पर नक्षे बार-बार जेल काटनी पड़ी है । मैं सच्चनक जेस से हाई कोर्ट मे श्राया । मैंने कहा कि मैं श्रपनी मात्रधाषा मे श्रपने न्कडमे को खुद भार्म्क क्मा, मैं कोई बकीस नही रख्या। मि० ए० एन० मृत्सा अज थे, जो यहा था गये हैं। उन्होंने कहा कि नहीं, हाई कोर्ट की भाषा प्रयेखी है । मैं जानता हं कि माननीय सदस्य सीडर बाफ दि बायोजींचन हैं सा ब्रेजएट हैं बह शंग्रेजी मे बोलना चाहते है तो बबेजा मे बोले। मैंने नहां कि प्राप उर्द के प्रण्डे शायर है भाप हिन्दस्तानो जानते है इस लिए भाप मझे अपनी मात्रभाषा मे बोलने दीजिए ! किर यही हवा कि मुझे कनटेम्प्ट बाफ कोट मे तीन महीने की सजा कर दी गई भीर मैंने काटी ।

मैं तिहाड जेल से सुप्रीम कोर्ट में धाया।
मैंने श्री हिदायत उल्ला से कहा कि धपने
मुकदमें की पैरवी मैं खुद करूमा। उन्होंने
कहा कि किसी एडवोकेट से करा लीजिए।
मैंने कहा कि पैरवी मुकदमें की जान होती है
धौरपैरवो वही कर सकता है जो मुकदमें की
खूबी को जाने, प्रमार मैं किसी दकील,
एडबोकेट को रखूंगा, तो वह बही कहेगा,
मैंने उने बनाऊंगा, मैं धपने नकदमें की

[थीं राज गारामण]

कावाा हूं, इस निलप में कुद पैरवी कर्का ।
मैंने अपनी मातुमावा कें पैरवी की जीर सुप्रीम
कोट की प्रोसी किन्य दो दिन तक चलीं ।
तीसरे दिन भारत की प्रधान मंत्री, श्रीमती
इन्दिरा मोबी, का करमान ध्यवा । ज्यों
ही मैं क वा हुआ, वक्तरो साहब जीर निरेन वे
साहब बहां से उठ कर चले गये। को हिदायत
उल्ला ने कहा कि राज नारायण जी आप
वैठ जाइये। मैंने कहा, क्यों। उन्होंने कहा कि
आपोजिट पार्टी नहीं रह गई है, वे कहते हैं कि
हम राज नारायण की भावा को नहीं समझते।
वो दिन कार्यवाही चली और तीसरे दिन वह
मामला आ गया।

तब मैंने कहा कि इस तरह से काम नहीं चलेगा। मैंने वहीं बैठे बैठे उन को चिटठों सिखों कि मैं 72 बटे की मूख-हड़ नाल करूना, बीर भगवान से प्रायंना करूना कि वह चीफ जिस्टस, सुप्रीम कोर्ट, भी हिदायत उरला, को भारमकल वें कि सात जजों की बैंच ने जो फैमला दे दिया है कि राज नारामण को भपनी मातू भाषा मे बोलने की इजायत दे दी जाये, वह उसफ़ैसले को बचले नि, उस पर चलें। उन्होंने फैसला बदल दिया भीर मैं खेल से चला गया। मैं कायेस राज मैं 58 बार जेल से गया हू और मैंने 14, 15 साम की जेल काटी है; तब इन्दिरा-राज बदला है भीर तब बहुत सें लोग मन के लब्द भीर मिहन-लोग खा रहे हैं।

हमी जब न होने तो क्या रन महफिल, किसे देख कर भ्राप शर्माइवेगा । भ्रम्छा, यह भाषा के बारे सें हो गया ।

सब तीन तरह के गांधीवादी हैं। उन गांधीवादियों की बात में कहूं। एक तो हैं सरकारी गांधीवादी, एक हैं कुबात गांधीवादी— इस लोग और एक हैं गांधीवादी कुबात कहिए। कुबात हैं हम लोग और सरकारी हैं वह बहुत हैं लोग जो सरकार के पैसे से बांधी जी के नाम पर बहुत हैं उदय, फर्ना-स्वस्थ, सर्वोदय, मनयोवय मनन्त्रोयय श्रयादि श्रमा 🛣 🖁 🖟 बरा इन लोनी से बचना । (व्यवसान) . . . हम ने प्राइय विनिस्टर की ऐने ि सिस नहीं की है। वह बी भी हो, हम ने उन की एनेलिसिस इस सर्वश्च से नहीं की है। भ्राप लोग कहेंगे तो मैं भागे कर लुगा। हमारे सुबद्धाध्यम जी बोल कर चले गए। वह कह रहे ने कि वह गांधी औ से एसोसिएटेड वे 1920 से इस्लिए जितनी उन की शकाए इस सदन मे प्रस्तुत हुई हैं, यांधी जी ने उन का जो ज्वाब दिया है, चुकि वह गाधीबादी हैं, मैं गाधी जी के ही अन्दो में कोट करना चाहगा ताकि सदन के सम्मा-नित सदस्य गहराई मे जा कर इस समस्या को (अध्वयाम) प्रपने को मानता हु कि मैं गांधी जी के सिद्धाती के बधिकतम नजदीक हु। जितने स्रोग भपने को बनते है कि मैं गांधीबादी हु उन से ज्यादा हू।

गांधी जी को मैं कोट कर रहा हू। उन्होंने कटन की पब्लिक मीटिंग में यह नहां था, कटक जो उड़ीसा में है जहां के माननीय बीजू पटनायक यहां बैठे हैं। उन्होंने यह कहा था

Gandhi's reply was 'This is a representative view expressed by several people We must conquer the battle of wilful ignorance and prejudice of our countrymen, and of Englishmen The system of education is an unmitigated evil. I will put my best energy to destroy that system "

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI Have you done that?

SHRI RAJ NARAYAN " I do not say that we have got as yet any advantage from the system. The advantages we have got are in spite of the system not because of the system. The present system enslaves

us without alluring the discriminatory use of English Hierature ...
Tilak and Ram Mohan would have been far greater man if they had not had the contagion of English learning. Ram Mohan and Tilak were pigmies who had no hold over the people compared with Chartanya, Sarkar, Kabir and Nanak."

SHRI P. VENKATASUBBAIAH (Nandyal): Which Sarkar?

(Interruptions

SHRI RAJ NARAIN If you have want to know something, then have patience

यह जो नानक हैं, कबीर हैं, चैतन्य महाप्रमु हैं, ये सब तिलक से, राम मोहन राय से कही ज्यादा बढे हैं, उन के मुकाबिले मे ये पिट्टी, हैं बीने हैं, पिगमीख हैं।

(Interruptions

यहां पर जितने बैठे हुए हैं, इक्लूडिंग एवरी-बाडी, इन से सबसे धच्छी धयेजी गांधी जी लिखते थे। धाप उनकी किताब पढ़ें, तो धापको मानूम हो जायेगा कि वे बहुत धच्छो धयेजी लिखते थे। (व्यवकान) धाप सुनिये।

A third argument favouring the continuance of English was that it maintains the unity among the Indians as it provides them with a medium to exchange views."

This is your argument, this is the argument of Mr Subramaniam.

"Countering this argument Gandhi wrote.

If it was the question of a few thousands of our educated men, Eiglish would certainly do But I am sure you will not be satisfied with that. You and I want millions of people to establish inter-provincial sontracts, and they cannot obviously do so through English for generations to come, if ever. There is no reason why they should learn English."

Th. Language Policy (Motn.)
SHRT A. BALA PALANOR: But be

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: But he also said, "Don't close the windows."

भी राज नारायण: सब मैं जरा साठे साहब को एनलाइटेन करना चाहता हूं। यह सब मैंने एक जगह नोट करके रखा है क्योंकि यह प्रान्सम रोज ही फेस करनी पड़ती है:

The vehemence of Gandhi's opposition to English to our life can be illustrated from another source. In a letter dated 24th July, 1918 to Balvant Rai Thakore, Gandhi wrote:

"I see that it will be necessary to agitate for introducing a new section in the Penal Code when we have a Parliament of our own"

When two parties know the same language and one of them writes to others or talks with him in English, the party so doing will be liable to a minimum of six months' rigorous imprisonment"

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR Did Gandhi write in Hindi or English?

SHRI RAJ NARAIN English

यह सब के लिए लिखा है। धगर धाप दो भादमी हैं, दोनो गुजराती जानते हैं भौर श्रंप्रेजी भी जानते हैं (स्पनधान) भगर भाफ फेंच जानते हैं तो मैं भापको बताता हं कि मैं पैरिस हवाई शहे पर मास्को वाने के लिए उत्तरा। मैं जानता था हिन्दी धीर धप्रेजी । मैं गया मैनेजर के पास । मास्को का हवाई जहाज उड गया था । मैंने मैनेजर से अंग्रेजी में कहा कि भाप कृपया मेरा बन्दोबस्त कर दीजिए । उसने सुना ही नहीं। (व्यवमान) तो इंग्लिश के प्रति फॉबमेन में इतनी हेटरेड है । कोई भी केंचनैन प्रमेजी सुनना पसन्द नही करता । (अवयान) वहां पर मैंने एक प्रावमी देखर को भारतीय मालूम हुआ । मैंने उससे कहा सुनिये, ब्रापका क्या नाम है, उसने कहा कृष्म कुमार । मैंने पूछा कहा के रहने वासे हैं,

[थीं राव नारायव]

उसने कहा बम्बई का रहने बाला हूं। सैंने
पूछा कितने बिन से महां पर हैं उसने कहा
6 साल से हूं। सैंने कहा छाप फेंच जानते हैं,
उसने कहा जी हां, कून । सैंने कहा कि ऐसा
मामला है, धाप मैंनेजर से काल करके ठीक
कर दें। तब उसने मैंनेजर से फेंच मे कहा
भीर विधिन प्यू मिनिट्स उसने घरेंज कर
विया। उसने कहा यस, यह मेरी ह्यूटी है,
धाप वियना होते हुए मास्को चले जायें।
तो यह है भाषा का मामला। (व्यवचान)

मैं पूछना बाहता हू जनता पार्टी के सम्मानित सदस्यों से कि राजधाट, गांधी जी की समाधि पर उन्होंने शप्य भी है (क्यब-बान) मैं भी वहा था तभी बोल रहा हू, यह सपय ली है कि याधियन ने, गांधी जी के रास्ते पर चलकर समाजवाद का निर्माण करेंगे। गांधी ने कहा है—जब प्रपनी पार्लीयामेन्ट होगी, तो इम तरह से भाषा के सवाल को हल करना है। यब यह पार्लियामेन्ट गांधी की विचारधारा के अनुकूल है या नही—इस प्रम्न का उत्तर हमे जनता के सामने देना है।

'Gandhi was also opposed to the use of English in legislative bodies and even in Congress meetings (Interruptions).

SHRI O V. ALAGESAN (Arkonam): Sir, we would like to know from what he is reading.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER Please don't disturb

re. Language Policy (Mots.)

'Gandki was also opposed to the use of English in legislative bedies and even in Congress meetings. About the 1920 Congress meeting he said:

"The Presidential speech suffers from being in English. It was painful to see him labouring through his speech spoken in the foreign language before an audience of 18000 people Not more than one-seventh of them could follow his English."

त्रो० पी० जी० सावलंकर (गांधीनगर) . भ्राप यह सब किस कांटेक्सट में बोल रहे हैं ?

भी राज नारासण मेरी जितनी स्पीच हुई है, वह संग्रेजी के बारे में है।

प्रो० पी० ची० नावलंकर : लेकिन काटेक्स्ट नया है---यह तो बतलाइये।

भी राज नारायण 1920 में कांग्रेस का ग्राजियेशन हुआ था, उस में प्रेसिवेन्ट का एड्रेस प्राप्नेजी भाषा में था। गांधी जी ने कहा—समेजी भाषा में वेने के कारण इन्होंने अपने एड्रेस का चार्म जो दिया है और 15 हजार जनता जो यहा पर उपस्थित है, उस का 1/60 हिस्सा भी उस भाषण को समझ नहीं पाई है। यह नांधी जी का कहना है।

मैं तमिलनाबु के बारे में बतलाउंना ।

'The proceedings of the Congress shall be conducted, as far as possible, in Hindustani'

श्रव काप्रेस की प्रोसीडिंग्ज के बारे में बांबी जी कहते हैं . . .

की श्रार्थकाव काला कालीर : यह तो पुरानी स्टोरी है ।

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I would like to know how long we are siting. If the debate is to continue tomernow (futerruptions), Let us take the consent of the House. MR. DEFUTY-SPRAKER: I am very sorry, but the Business Advisory Committee's report says we shall sit till \$.00 p.m. today on this Motion and this was adopted by the House. Therefore, there is no question of taking consent, We will sit late, and whether it should be....

SHRI VASANT SATHE: The House can also reconsider. I believe that, instead of sitting till 8 o'clock, we may have it tomorrow and continue. After all, going on indefinitely is also a strenuous thing.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If we don't sit late, we won't get any other time: every day we are having business.

SHRI O. V. ALAGESAN: In any case, this debate will spill over to to-morrow.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER. That is a different matter. As far as today is concerned, we are sitting till 8 o' clock: whether you want to extend it further after 8 o'clock to tomorrow is a different matter. The Speaker will come here and he will decide.

Mr. Raj Narain, you may continue.

Please finish it as early as possible

SHRI SHAMBHU NATH CHATUR-VEDI (Agra): This discussion was to be taken up at 2.00 p.m., but it was not taken up at 2.00. If that decision could be changed, then this decision also could be changed.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: It was changed with the consent of the House. We did not stop the discussion; we did not postpone the discussion. Did we? Because we started late by half an hour, we may sit for another half an hour. Is that your point? I can take the sense of the House if you want. I may tell the hon. Members that, if they postpone the discussion now, it will be very difficult to find enough time again for the discussion. You may sit for half an hour more or one hour more. Keeping what I have said in mind, you wan decide whatever you want.

PROT, P. G. MAVALANKAR: May I submit, Sir, that we have already decided to sit upto 8.00 p.m.? Therefore, I request that we may continue upto 8.00 p.m. and then at 8.00 p.m. we may decide, in view of the debate being important, whether we want more time ...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: That is a different question. We can decide that at 8.00 p.m. But are the Members prepared to sit upto 8 o'clock?

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: We have already agreed to that.

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMEN-TARY AFFAIRS AND LABOUR (SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, we very well understand the importance of the subject. But when the matter was brought up before the Business Advisory Committee it was made very clear that, in view of the schedule of work that this Session has to get through, it will be possible to provide only six hours for this It was, therefore, a unanimous decision of the Business Advisory Committee House would discuss this subject from 2.00 to 8.00 pm, today. This report, as you have pointed out, has been accepted by the House. If it is the pleasure of the House to go on changing the decisions as suggested. then it becomes very difficult for the Government to arrange the business that it has to get through in the House. Therefore, I appeal to the hen. Members through you, Sir, in spite of the importance of the subject and the feelings, that we continue this discussion upto 8.00 pm. Government, at least, will not be in a position to accept the proposal to extend the time or postpone the discussion for another day. It may be ex-tended upto 8.30 p.m., but the discussion must conclude today.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Shall we continue till 6.00 p.m.?

SEVERAL HON. MEMBERS: Yes.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The sense of the House is that we sit upto 8.00 p.m.

MR. RAJ NARAIN: Please conclude in another five minutes.

बी राम भारायम श्रीमन्, यह जो बीम में डिस्टरबेंस हुमा ग्रीर उस मे हमारा समय बला गया, उस को हमारे टाइम मे से काट दीजिए ग्रीर हमे ग्रीर समय दीजिए।

सभापति महोबय: धाप का समय तो पहले ही बत्म हो गया था लेकिन धाप को पाच मिनट धौर दे दिये हैं।

भी राख नारायच मेरे मिल मुनें। मैं गांधी जी को कोट कर रहा हूं

"It was at his instance that Kanpur Congress made a Constitutional amendment The amendment laid down

"The proceedings of Congress shall be conducted, as far as possible, in Hindustani'"

यह तो गांधी जी ने कांग्रेस के कांस्टी ट्यूजन में एमेंडमेट करवाया ।

SHRI K P. UNNIKRISHNAN (Bedagara) Hindustani.

भी राज नारायणः गांधी जी कहते हैं कि हमारी हिन्दुस्तानी वह है जो वेबनानरी लिपि में निजबी जाए, तो हिन्दी भीर पींगयन में सिखी जाए, तो उर्दू। गांधी जी इस में फर्क नहीं करते थे। ये तो कांग्रेस के लोग करने लगे।

सब में गांधी जी की फिर कोट करता है

"To give railitons a knowledge of English Is to enalaye them', wrote Gandhi in Hind Swarajya. Thus English was to be replaced forthwith and this could be done, Gandhi was convinced"

नावी वी फहते हैं कि बसर हम सरोड़ों, सरोड़ों, करोडों नोनों को बंबेची सिखा देनें तो बस का मतलब यह हका कि हम छन को बुकाम बनाना चाहते हैं। यह इस्पोत्तिबल चीज है, यह नहीं हो सकता।

सब मैं जल्दी जल्दी कुछ झपनी बातें कह देना चाहना ह ।

देखिये घव हमारी बात भी भा रही है। यह नेशनल इन्टेंगरेमन की बात है । इसकी हम सब समझते हैं। माननीय वर्ण सिंह औ जरा इसको सून में। नेशनल इन्टगरेशन धरेजों के पहले से यहा है। प्राज भी बद्दीनाय का पुजारी केरल का रावल है। बद्रीनाय का गगाजल रामेश्वरम पर जा कर चढ़ता है। धमम, कामकप मे कामका का पूजारी बाजबी कन्नोज का मिश्रा है। जगन्नायपुरी का पुजारी भाज भी बनारम का पण्डा है । यह है देश मे राष्ट्रीय एकता, सास्कृतिक एकता भीर सामाजिक एकता । इन तमाम एकताची ने बारे में हमारे मिल कहते हैं कि भाप पुरानी बात क्यों करते हो। बह देश और समाज प्रगति नहीं कर सकता है जिसमें भपने भूत की धनुभृति न हो, बर्तमान का ज्ञान न हो भीर भविष्य का स्वप्न न हो। हम ये तीनो चीजें रखते हैं और कहते हैं कि जो जितना भी पिछडा है. उसकी उसनी ही सहायता कर के उसे भागे बढाभी ।

यह जो धापके सामने मामला है, इसका हुँवें चार तरह से हल निकालना है। जरा देखिये, इसका कैंसे हल निकालना है। जरा देखिये, इसका कैंसे हल निकालना। हमारे सुबहाण्यम जी धानी जो हमारे पूर्व वकता बोले जारे घणी जो हमारे पूर्व वकता बोले जलेंगे पाने केंग्रीय नौकरियों की बात कहीं सारा झगड़ा धा कर खड़ा होता है केंग्रीय नौकरियों पर। हम ने एक मतेंवा 'धावेवी हटाओं' सम्मेलन महास में किया वा धौर हैयराबाव में कहा वा कि हिल्वी माचा-वाची कैंस के जीना यह पीक्सा कर में कि चीच साम सक केंग्रीय मीकरियों साम साम सक केंग्रीय मीकरियों की धारा साम सक केंग्रीय मीकरियों कांग्री कें धारी-

उस के बाद हिन्दी माया-मायी नोय बिवे पाएँ। अनर पांच साल या सात साल बादी बात मंजूर न हो तो उन्हें पापुलेशन के आधार पर रिप्रेजेन्टेन दे वो। आबिर कहीं न कहीं तो हल निकना चाहिए, यह खिचड़ी हमेशा हमेशा कैंग चलेगी।

इस सम्बन्ध में इस सदन के सामने चार पहलू हैं। आप चाहे कोई तटजाचा देश आवा बना दें। किसी भी तटजाचा को चाहे वह तमिल हो, तेलुनु हो, कन्नड हो, मलमालम हो, बंगला हो, किसी एक तटजाचा को राष्ट्र की जाया बना दे।

अगर यह नहीं करते हैं तो दूसरा पहलू ले लें। येश बहुआषी बना वे। केन्द्र में बहुत सी भाषाएं रखें। हिन्दी भी रखें, तिमिल भी रखें, तेलुगु भी रखें, बंगला भी रखें। यह हमने एक साथ दो हस आपके सामने रखें।

धव तीसरा हल लीजिए—देश हिन्दी-भाषी बने संरक्षण के साथ । हिन्दी को केन्द्र में रखो, मगर संरक्षण के साथ महिन्दी भाषा-भाषी लोगों के लिए संरक्षण हो ।

चौषा नीजिए। मध्य प्रदेश से प्रंप्रेजी फ़ौरत इहायी जाए, मध्य प्रदेश से, तार, पलटन इत्यादि से नी हटायी जाए, चाहे तटदेश केन्द्र मे धंषेजी चलाएं। धगर तिमलनाडु के, केरल के; पाज्डिचेरी के कर्नाटक के, मांत्रप्रदेश के, पश्चिम बंगाल के लोग चाहते हैं कि केन्द्र में धंयेजी चले, ती प्राप केन्द्र में धंयेजी चलाएं।

में हमने चार विकल्प धापके सामने रखे हैं। इसमें चौथा विकल्प यह भी है कि हिल्दी किसी पर लादी न जाए। आज तक कभी भी किसी की यह कल्पना नहीं रही है। हकारे बारे में चार बार कहा गया कि हम हिल्दी लायना चाहते हैं। मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि हम अंग्रेजी हुटाओं का नारा वेते हैं, हिल्दी संप्रके की बात नहीं करते हैं। किसी भी एंगल बाल विकल से, किसी भी बृध्टिकोण से, किसी भी मुक्ते नवर से अगर आप देखें तो अंथे की देसी याचा नहीं है, सातृभाषा नहीं है, राष्ट्रीक भाषा नहीं है। किसी राष्ट्रीय नेता ने आब तक अंग्रेजी को देश की भाषा नहीं माना है, विदेशी भाषा ही इसकी माना है। इसकिए मैंने चार सम्भावनायों बताई हैं। इनके अलावा और कोई सम्भावना नहीं है। इन चार सम्भावनाओं पर किसी दूसरे दिन में चाहता हूं कि विचार हो।

एक अंतिम बात मैं कहना चाहता हूं। धनावश्यक ढंग से और कुछ लोग जाने धनजा ने में मुझ पर चोट करते रहते हैं। मैं जानता हूं उनकी चोट कहा है धौर यह भी जानता हुं कि हम पर ही चोट क्यों करते हैं। वे चोट वही करते हैं वहा सबस्टांशल फोर्स होती हैं। उसी पर वे चोट करेगे, पिद्दियों पर चोट वे नहीं करेंगे। इसीलिए राज नारायण, राज नारायण, राज नारायण का हल्ला मचा हुआ है। क्यो मचा हुआ है ? क्यो उनकी छाती फट रही है ? क्या इंदिरा गाधी को हराने वाला कोई और बा[?] उनको हराने से धमर देश भौर विदेश मे राज नारायण की सोहरत हुई है तो उनको जलन क्यों हो ? जलन से छाती क्यों फटे ? इन सब बातो को भौर किसी मौके पर मैं विस्तृत रूप से रखूगा।

तमाम उर्दू के शबकारों में प्रचार हो रहा है हमारे खिलाफ। कुछ खानदानी गुलाम हैं, परम्परायत गुलाम हैं जो ऐसा करते हैं, कहते हैं कि राज नारामण उर्दू का विरोधी हैं। उर्दू के लिए छ: बार मैंने कहा है कि हमने हिन्दी जानने से पहले उर्दू जानी थी। हम सोवों के भ्रपने घरों में मुंती रखें जाते थे उर्दू पढ़ाने के लिए, फारसी पड़ाने के लिए, पहले हम भ्रातिफ, बे, पे, ते, टे, से, जीम, थे हे खें भ्रादि पढ़ते थे भीर बाद में क, ख, म, म, म। केकिन मैं क्या कर्डू ...

भी महीलास (बिजनीर) : बाप उर्दू में नाम सिम्बना जानते हैं ? भी राज नारायण : जहां में रहता हूं मेरे घर के बाहर हिन्दी उर्दू दोनों में नामपट्ट है।

खुदा की जान है, नाणीज, णीज वन बैठे, की बेजकर के वे बाजकर वन बैठे।

एक भीर बात कही जाती है कि राज नारायक नौटकी करते हैं। मैं पूछना बाहता हूं कि किस भाषण में भाषों हमें नौटकी करते हुए पावा ?नौटकी का नाटक कहां मैंने किया ?

वैं संपने मिल श्री समर मुक्जीं को विस्तृत उत्तर देना नहीं बाहता हूं। उनको बीडा सा जवाब देना बाहता हू। उन्होंने संपने बावज में — नैशन श्रीर नैशनैसिटी का कर्क नहीं समझा हूं। उन्होंने इन दोनों को एक कर दिया है। कह दिया है —

'Nationalities are referred to a nation India consists of many nationalities This is not rullinational! There is a vast difference between nationality and nation

This is the definition of nation'

1943 का जो कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी का रेजोन्युक्तन है उसको भाप वेखें। उसमें उन्होंने कहा है कि देश की कई ट्कडों में बांट दो । बिहार बिहारियो के लिए, राजस्थान) राजपूतों के लिए, पजाब पजाबियों के लिए उस तथ्य को वे यहां न कार्ट । मैं एक चेताबनी देना चाहता हूं । गाधी जी के नेतृत्व में राष्ट्रीयता पनपी भी । जब तक राष्ट्रीयता की भावना प्रवस की तब तक क्षेत्रीयता भीर भाषा का समाल नहीं उठा । मैं बराबर चाहता है कि हर राज्य में उस राज्य की भाषा राजकाज भीर शिक्षा का नाव्यम हो घीर उसमें उसका काम वर्ते । तमिलनाड में तमिल राजकाण भीर विका का माध्यम हों, परिचमी बंगाल में बंगाल में राजकाण चर्चों न चले, वर्जों न वह वहां पर शिका का माध्यम हो, केरल में कन्नड़ क्वों न चले, मजबासम क्यों न चले ? मलब सम चले. बाबब चले. यानी उसे राज्ये की जी बीदीय भाषा

हो उसमें सम्पूर्ण राजकाय करे, क्ष्यहरी का काम जी, विका का माध्यम उज्जात किया का माध्यम वहां की जैतीय कावार्षे हों, इचेके विवे हम बराबर नकी रहे हैं। तमिसनाबुं में जी हमने जा कर

C.M/a Conference

re, Language Polloy (Mdin.)

जपाध्यक्ष महोदय - माननीय राज नारायण जी, सब साप सत्म कीजिये ।

भी राज नारायण जो हमने चार विकल्प रखे है में निवेदन करूंगा उनके ऊपर फिर किसी दिन बहस हो घीर समस्या का समावान निकले । बरना एक दिन के विवाद से समस्या का समाधान नहीं निकलता है । इस भाषा के सवाम को धगर किसी ने समझा तो वह डा० लोहिया ये घौर उन्होंने घपोड़ किया पडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू को सदा इस मामले में भाप देखें उनकी कृमलता बी वह जाते वै दक्षिण में तो वहां कहते थे कि अगर मैं प्रधान मंत्री नहीं रहगा नो हिन्दी भाषा लादी जायेगी। चलो दक्षिण भागया। उत्तर मे भाये तो बोलते वे धगर मैं प्रधान मन्नी नही रहुगा तो दक्षिण भलग हो जायगा। चलो उत्तर को भी फसा लिया । यह पहित जबाहर लाल नेहरू थे। हमेला कहा, नये लागो को नही मालम है पूराने लोगो को मालम है एक रिटिन भीर भन दिन एग्रीमेंट या पंडित नेहरू भीर विटिम इम्पीरियांनिक्स के बीच की श्रीजी बराबर कायम रखेंगे । उसी ऐश्रीनेंट के मताबिक भीमती इन्दिरा गांधी वर्षी। सम बाज के सासकों से कहना कि इन्दिरा गांधी गई, उनके रास्ते पर चलने की कोशिक्ष मत करी।

SHRI M N GOVINDAN NAIR (Trivandrum): Mr Deputy-Speaker, Sir, before I start my speech I want to remind you of the motion which we are dicussing:

"That this House takes note of the resolution passed at the Conterence of the Chief Ministers of the Southern States regarding the language policy"

So, when I was hearing the speeches made here, I felt that we were going to discuss the entire language issue de move. That is not the intention of this motion. The Chief Ministers of the Southern States had passed a resolution drawing the attention of the Union Government to certain apprehensions which they are having in mind and here we have to discuss whether the apprehensions noted in their resolution are genuine and what steps have we to take to redress them. I think that is the scope of the discussion and not bringing in the entire issue of language.

Here I find everything-from the very history of the language issue-is being discussed excepting examining what the Chief Ministers have pointed out as to whether that is correct or not. Now, my friend who spoke here quoted certain rules and said under the cover of those rules different department are taking action and that is affecting a large number of employees employed in different Central Government departments. Shri Samar Mukherjee quoted about the Education Department. Other letters quoted by other members. Even today during the Question Hour the Railway Minister had to tell us that he will be instructing the Station Masters or railway authorities that the list of reservation will be published both in English and Hindi.

Sir, again, during the Question Hour, the difficulties felt by other people were pointed out.

Therefore, from all these, one thing is very clear.

Utilising the rules which were equoted by my hon, friend from Pondicherry....(Interruptions)

AN HON. MENBER: ...Mr. Arawinds Bala Pajanor...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: It is a living name.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: Sweet name—Aravinda, Bala, Pajanor. It is a sweet name.

AN HON. MEMBER: Unlike the person.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: It is a sweet name. He is a sweet person. He can speak in a sweet tone. All that I agree. But the point is this. The hon. Member, Shri Samar Mukherjee quoted a letter which has been sent by me. The point is, in the A.G. s office, people from different States are there. If noting is to be done in Hindi, what will be their future, Sir? The same is the case with regard to the Postal Department. Not only that. The promotions are, in the main, decided on the basis of their understanding of it. This is the chief handicap which imposition of Hindi is placing on them. The view held by them is not merely the view of only the five Chief Ministers who met in Madras, but this is the view which is being shared by all the non-Hindi speaking States. So this is a serious question. The instance of Bihar has been pointed out. There are many people from other States who are there in Bihar. Therefore, the apprehensions pointed out by the Chief Ministers Conference are genuine and these should be removed.

Secondly, what is it that they have demanded? The controversy of language is one thing which has been going on for a long time. And finally the nation has come to an agreement on certain formulations accepted by the previous Prime Minister, especially, Jawaharlal Nehru. That is the Three Language Formula , and the guarantee that English would continue as an associate additional langauge.' And the decision for the changeover to Hindi should be left to the non-Hindi knowing people and not to the Hindi knowing people. That was the agreement. That was the assurance which was made by the other Prime Ministers also.

Now, this assurance has been thwarted by the imposition of Hindi in

404

[Shri M. N. Govindan Nair]

some States and some departments. So, what the people of the Southern States expect is a re-assurance that this assurance given by Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru would be continued, and that Hindi will not be imposed on the non-Hindi-speaking regions without their consent. My point is that instead of going into the entire question of language....

SHRI RAM DHAN (Lalganj): When Mrs. Vijayalakshmi Pandit presented her credentials in English, do you know what was the reaction of USSR? Do you remember how she was humiliated?

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: I do not know. You are unnecessarily provoking me, because I did not say that Hindi should not be learnt. I come from a State which is loyally following the 3-language formula. In our schools, upto the School Final, the teaching of Hindi is compulsory. We are trying to transact business in our regional language, and we want to have even college education to be given in our language. I am not saying that we should not learn Hindi. Hindi should be learnt. That is why I said that on all these questions, after long discussions and struggles, a certain agreed formula was there. That is the 3-language formula. You could not impose it in Tamil Nadu, because you created a situation whereby the Tamil people had to revolt. That is why even when the rule was made, you made an exception in the ease of Tamil Nadu. You have to persuade them in a loving manner to learn Hindi and definitely they are the people who will be amenable to reason, and they will also take to the learning of Hindi. But the way you are behaving, the way you are trying to impose it, and the disabilities you are creating ... (Interruptions)

रेल नेवालय में राज्य नंत्री (मी तिथ नारायम): किस ने इमगीय किया है?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Sheo Marsin please do not interrupt, नी सिम नारमम : भ्राप नेवर में हैं। कम से कम ग्राप को वो कंद्रोस करना माहिए। किस ने इमपीय किया? ह इस इमपीविंग?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: It is very difficult to conduct the House, with you speaking like this.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: If so elderly and so majure a person cannot differentiate between a friend and an enemy, what can I do?

AN HON. MEMBER: And he is a Minister!

श्री सिथ नारायण : क्या मिनिस्टर होना कोई जुर्म है ? धगर मैं मिनिस्टर हो गया, तो क्या मैंने धपनी धारमा को वेच दिया है ? तामिल में बोलें, तेलुगु में बोलें. मलयालय में बोलें !

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: I do not want to provoke my friend; but I tell you that if this is the attitude that you take... (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Sheo Narain, please do not interrupt like that. This is not the way to behave in this House.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: I never expected this kind of interruption from him.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I do not know why he is doing it. He should not be doing it. He is a Minister.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: When some Ministers went out, I thought....(Interruptions)

MR, DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He seems to be excited.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDIAN NAIR: Mr. Subramaniam gave reasons why English should continue as an associate language for some time more. As he also pointed out, we are in a sast developing age. Our communication with the rest of the world is as important as our communication between our various people. Rightly or wrongly, we happen to inherit a network of English schools all over and to have an international language is expected to be something good for our country and for our growth. Therefore, our prejudice against English should go.

Now I come back to the resolution. I would appeal to the hon. Member to look into this matter and do something about it.

(Interruptions)

If he is not there, somebody else is there to report to him.

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Please do not discuss among yourself. This is not the way to conduct the Parliament. I am sorry there has been so much of cross-talk with the result that the speaker cannot just make his point.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: I request the Government that they should assure the Chief Ministers of the Southern States that English will continue as an additional associate language till the change-over of Hindi is accepted by the non-Hindi speaking States.

Secondly, the circulars and the rules which have created the impression of imposition of flindi should be immediately withdrawn so that the non-Hindi speaking people feel that they are not discriminated against in the execution of their jobs. If these two guarantees are given. I think that will satisfy not only the Chief Ministers of the five States and the people there but also the non-Hindi speaking States.

भी राम विकास पासवान (हानीपुर): वर्षाध्यक्ष महोदय, धनी काफी घण्छे घण्छे वर्ष्साओं ने सारी बात कही है। मैं घाप का त्यान इस बात की तरफ बीचना चाहूंगा कि संत्रिती इस देश की लिंक भाषा रहे या किंदी रहे या कोई और भी राष्ट्रीय भाषा है

बह रहे, इसके संबंध में जो क्याएं कली हैं ध्केर जितने भी भृतपूर्व प्रधान मंत्री हैं चाहे बह स्वर्षीय जवाहर लाल नेहरू जी हों था भीमती इंदिरा गांधी हों या लाल बहादुर बास्की की हो या हमारे क्तमान प्रधान मंत्री जी हों, इन सब लीगों को उद्ध त किया गया है। मैं एक बात स्पष्ट रूप से कहना चाहता है कि जितने भी प्रधान मंत्री हैं उनके वस्तव्य को भाप देखें तो यह सही बात है कि उन्होंने एक बात कही है कि देश ट्टने न पाए, न तो साउब के लोगों को दुख हो न नार्थ के लोगों को दुख हो, लेकिन एक मंशा सब की रही है भीर वह यह कि इस देश में जल्द से जल्द श्रंबेजी को खत्म कर के जो समद्विशाली भाषा हिन्दी है उस को पनपने का मौका मिले (व्यवधान) . .. तमाम लोगो की समाम जितने भी प्रधान मंत्री ये जन की यही मंत्रा थी । कास्टीट्यूशन को भाप देख लें ? भीर जो धमेंडमेंट राजभाषा संशोधन विधेयक. 1967 में धाया था उस समय की प्रोसीडिंग्स को देख लें. तमाम लोगो ने उसका विरोध किया था घौर स्वयं कांग्रेस के जो स्रोग थे. सर्वसम्मति से कांग्रेस के लोगों ने पास किया था कि नहीं, हम लोग उसके विरोध में जाएंगे । मैं तो यहा तक कहता हं कि भाप प्रोसीडिग्ड को उठाकर देखे, उसमे श्रीमती इन्दिरा गाधी जो उस समय प्रधान मंत्री थी, उन्होंने भी विरोध में भीट दिया था। बाद में क क्रेस के लोगो ने चैलेज किया तो उन्होने कहा कि गडबडी हो गई थी, हमने इटेशनली विरोध मे बोट नहीं दिया । लेकिन झाप पहले के वक्तव्य देखें तो श्रीमती इन्दिरा गाधी हमेशा से कह रही भी कि नहीं यह इस के प्रति, हम लोगों के प्रति, अवन्य होगा। तो मैं कहना चाहता हं कि जो मंशा भी भीर उसमें जो विधेयक वास हुमा था, उसके कारण वंत भीर महादेवी बर्माजी ने पद्म-मूचण को सौटा दिया था।

पं० अवाहर लाल नेहरू की बात भी महां पर कही गई है। मेरे जैसा आदमी जो नीति हैं, जो कार्यक्रम है, उसी को धपना [थी राम विसास पासवान]

नेता मान कर बनता है। हम जोप अवाहर मास नेहरू या इन्दिरा नांबी को नेता नहीं मानते । नेकिन फिर भी बबाहर साम नेहंक का नाम निया जा रहा है, तो मैं उनकी बह्र पंक्ति पढ़ता हूं जो में समझता हुं---एक शायस में नहीं भाई है। सन् 1959 में जब बी फेंक एन्बनी विश्वेयक साथे वे, तो उसके बबाब में पं० जवाहर लाल नेहरू ने कहा वा कि अंग्रेजी भारतीय भाषा हो नहीं सकती, धतएव चेडवृत में अंग्रेजी को सम्मिलित करना विशक्तम बेहदा और नाजायस होवा । यह पं• नेहरू का बक्तम्य है। फिर उन्होंने कहा वा कि मैं यह नहीं भूल सकता कि हमें अपने साथ 40 करोड जनता को साथ लेकर चलना है, न कि कुछ हवार या एक-दो सावा प्रमुख शोगो को । धार्ग चल कर उन्होंने स्पष्ट किया था कि भाप भंग्रेजी को रख सकते हो, भाप उस को पसन्द कर सकते हो। मैं भी पसन्द करता हं, लेकिन वास्तविक भाषा, जिसमे जनता बपना विकास करती है, वही है जिस में जनता भपना विकास करती है, जिस को वह समझती है भीर जिस के प्रति उसकी माबनात्मक प्रतिकिया होती है। यह बात पं॰ जवाहर लाल नेहरू ने कही थी।

महात्मा गांधी की बात कही गई—
महात्मा गांधी की भी उस बात को मैं कहता
हूं, जो सबन मैं नहीं झाई । महात्मा गांधी
मैं 1918 में कहा चा कि जावा माता के
समान है जीर माता पर जो प्रेम होना चाहिये,
बह हम लोगों में नहीं है । हम घंधेजी के
लोह में पंसे हैं । हमारी प्रजा बखान में बूबी
है । हमें ऐसा उखोच करना चाहिये कि एक
बर्व में राजकीय बचायों में, लंगिय में, प्रान्तीय
सवाजों में तथा सन्य सना-सनाय में
ब सन्मेननों में प्रेमी का प्रकट्टार विश्वकुल
रवाण में । हम घीजी का व्यवहार विश्वकुल
रवाण में । उन्होंने पुनः कहा बा—सगर स्वराय
सम्मेनी बोलनेवाल शारतीयों सौर उन्हों
के निर्मे होने बाला हो, हो निःइतिह संबोधी ी

राज्य नाथा हीनी । नेकिन संबंध संबंधक कंटोड़ी बरने वाल, करोड़ों निरक्षटी, निर्फाट बहुनी, बिसरी, करवजों का हो बीर इन सब के लिये होने बाला हो, तो में कहूंगा कि एक बाल राष्ट्र माथा हिन्दी हो सकती है। यह बाल नहारवा बांबी ने कही थी।

जलपूर्व प्रवान नंती इस्पिरा गांबी की वात लींच बहते हैं, उन्होंने 13 धनस्त, 1967 की स्थन्ट ककों में कहा था कि एक राज्य के धवेबी हठ के कारण, समस्त वेश में हिन्दी की प्रवति को रोका नहीं जा सकता है। बीमती इस्पिरा गांबी ने इसी के बाव कहा वा कि लोग स्वविवेक से बोट वें बीर उनका जो स्वयं का मत बा, वह विरोध में पढ़ा था। बी बी० बी० विरी ने कहा था कि हिन्दी सम्पर्क शांवा बन कर रहेगी।

में प्रभी देख रहा या कि नेता जी सुधाब चन्द्र बोस ने हिन्दी के सम्बन्ध में क्या कहा है, उन्होंने कहा बा---''सबसे पहले मैं एक गलतफहमी दूर कर देना चाहता है। किसने ही संज्ञनों का ख्याल है कि बंगाली सोग या तो हिन्दी के बिरुद्ध होते हैं या उस के प्रति उपेक्षा करते हैं। यह बात भ्रमपूर्ण है। इस का खण्डन करना मैं प्रपना कर्लब्य समझता ह । मैं व्ययं प्रधिमान नहीं करना चाइता, परन्तु इतना तो धावश्य कडूंगा कि हिन्दी साहित्य के लिये जितना कार्य बंगालियाँ ने किया है, उतना हिन्दी भाषी प्रान्त छोड़ कर और किसी बान्त के निवासियों ने साक्य ही किया हो। मैं इस बात की मानता है कि बंगानी लीग प्रयमी मात्-मावा से प्रत्यन्त प्रेम करते हैं बीर वह कोई घरराध नहीं है । नाबंद हमने से कुछ द्वादमी ऐसे भी हों, जिन्हें इस बात का डर हो,कि हिन्दी बासे हमारी मातु जावा बंगानी को पुरा कर उसके स्वान पर हिन्दी रखवाना पाहते हैं। वह प्रम भी निराधार है। हिन्दी प्रचार का बहेक वही है कि वो भाग बाब बंबेबी से निया बाता है. वह धाने चन कर हिन्दी से निया वाचे ।" ये विचार नेवाची सुमाय चन्द्र बीस के वे ।

की त्रिक्य करा षष्ट्रीनाध्याक को कोन सामकी हैं। उन्होंने अपने एक भावक में कहा था कि अधेवी के विषय में नोवों की वो कुछ भावना हो, पर मैं दाने के साम कह सकता हूं कि हिन्दी के विना ह्यारा कार्य नहीं चल सकता है। वो सज्जन हिन्दी भाषा हारा भारत में एकता पैदा करना चाहते हैं, वे निस्थित ही भारत बन्धु हैं। हम सब को संगठित हो कर इस ध्येय की प्राप्त के लिए प्रयास करना चाहिए।

न्यायमूर्ति भारवा चरण मित्र ने कहा था---"हिन्दी समस्त भार्यावर्त की भाषा है।"

हमारे साथी दक्षिण की बात करते हैं। विक्षण मे—नामिलनाडू में 1958 में "लैंग्वेज कन्वेन्सन" हुई थी, जिस के स्वा-मताध्यक्ष भी के० भाष्यम्ये भीर केंत्रस परिषद् के ग्रध्यक्ष भी के० सन्तानम् ये। उन्होंने कहा था—

Then it was pointed out that imposition of Hindi will lead to disruption of the country. It is correct.

उन्होंने अपने भाषण की जुरुआ नहीं इन्हीं अच्यों से की थी।

कर्णाटक में एक कन्वेशन हुई— बहां एक प्रस्ताव पास किया यया। उड़ी सा के कटक में एक सम्मेलन हुआ, जिस के प्रध्यक्ष ये—भी बार्तवस्लम मोहली। बहां भी एक प्रस्ताव पास हुआ था, जिस में कहा गया था—"यह सम्मेलन अंग्रेजी भाषा तिसा का विरोध न करते हुए भी अंग्रेजी जाषा को प्रनिद्धिन्द काल के लिये, हिन्दी के साथ सहयोगी या धतिरिक्त भाषा के रूप में प्रहुण करने का एकान्त विरोधी है। वृद्धि अंग्रेजी को धतिरिक्त भाषा के रूप में re. Language Policy (Moth.) क 1985 से पांच वर्ष सर्वात् 1977 ई० तक रका जा सकता है ?। "

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, उस समय बहां स्वामी विभिन्नानन्द वास ने एक संबोधन रखा था, जिस में दस साल के लिए धंग्रेजी को रखने की बात कही गई थी, लेकिन किसी ने भी उस संबोधन का समर्थन नहीं किया, किसी ने भी उस के पक्ष मे बोट नहीं दिया ।

उपाध्यक्ष भी, यह भी सत्य नही है कि हमेशा दक्षिण वालों ने हिन्दी का विरोध किया है भीर यह बात भी सत्य नही है कि हमेशा उत्तर बालों ने हिन्दी का साथ दिया है। धग्रेज, फुछ लोगों की भाषा बन गई है, उन में बाहै उत्तर के लोग हों या दक्षिण के लोग हों, जिन को धंग्रेजी से थोडा-सा भी लाभ मिलता है, ने धंग्रेजी के बक्ता बन जाते हैं।

1963 में मैसूर विश्वविद्यालय के सबकास प्राप्त प्रो० चन्द्रहासन दिल्ली पद्यारे थे। उन्होंने एक वक्तव्य में कहा था—"सगर संग्रेजी को जबरदस्री लादा जा सकता है, तो क्या कारण है कि हिन्दी को नही लादा जा सकता। जब कि बात ऐसी नहीं है, हिन्दी तो भारत की भाषा है, भारत की सिक जनसंख्या द्वारा समझी और बोली जानेवाली भाषा है। "उन्होंने यह भी कहा था—" 'डी० एम० के० दल हिन्दी का बिरोध करता है, परन्तु वह तो भारत की सबख्यता का भी बिरोधी है। वह सलय से द्रविद्ध प्रान्त चाहता है, उसे देस की एकता में विश्वास नहीं है।"

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI; I am not a DMK man. D.M.K. is a recognised political party. It is wrong that D.M.K. is against the unity of India. An Hon. Member Shri Asithambi re-represents DM.K. party in this House. It is a very derogatory remark which may be expunged. Can I say that the

[Shri Vayalar Ravi]

Janata Party is against the unity of India? Can I say that the Janata Party is a regional party. But I do not went to say so.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: There is no point of order.

श्री राम विसास पासनान : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह सब मैंने प्रपनी तरफ से नहीं कहा है. मेरे पास ये 1963 की प्रेस-कटिला हैं, द्याप इन को देख लें। मैशूर विश्वविद्यालय के भवकाश प्राप्त प्रो० चन्द्रहासन जब दिल्ली यधारे थे, उस समय उन्होंने यह वस्तव्य दिया था. मैं उन के वक्तव्यों को ही पढ़ रहा है. मैं न केवल दक्षिण भारत बस्कि उत्तर भारत के भी भनेक विद्वानों के नाम भी गिनाऊंगा । माडमिल साहब महा-राष्ट्रियन थे। उन्होंने कहा था-- "हिन्दी एक संगठित करने वाली शक्ति है। जनसाक्षारण को एक विज्ञान जीवन की तरफ ले जाने का मार्ग है।"

में देण के लोगो की ही बात नहीं कहता, विदेश के लोग भी हिन्दी के सम्बन्ध में क्या कहते है, भ्राप को सुन कर भारवर्ष होगा। विदेशों में भी भार ऐसे देश हैं जहां हिन्दी बोली जाती है---वे देश हैं---मारिजस, फ़िजी, सुरिनाम, गियाना भीर दिनिहाड । मैं प्रभी हाल में इण्डोने-शिया गयाथा। वहां मैंने देखा---उन का राष्ट्रीय चिक्त गरह है भीर उस को गरह ही बोसा जाता है। भारत में हम अपने लडके की पूज कहते हैं, वहां भी पूज कहा वाता है, सड़की को पूर्वी कहा जाता है. पुस्तक को पुस्तक कहा जाता है। मेरे कहने का तात्पर्य है कि विदेशों में भी वहां की मापामों में भारत के सब्द भरे यहे हैं। झाप वहां के धर्म-स्वानों को जा कर देखिए, जितने बीड मन्दिर हैं, उन को का कर देखिए । भीर वहां पर को बुढ का स्तूप है, वह मैं समझता है कि वर्स्ड का नवां नारक्यं है। नास क्रिकी भने भीर मात

घंत्रेची चर्च, मैं इस की महीं शानता हूं ह में ज्याईन्टवाइज कहता हूं कि अंग्रेयी ठगने बासी भाषा है। नेता की ने जैसा कहा है कि बाप कोर्ट में जाते हैं या हम कोर्ट में जाते हैं, दी हम वहां हिन्दी बोलते हैं लेकिन हमारा जो बकील है, वह वहां पर संप्रेजी में हमारी बकासत करेगा भीर हमारे विवह वकालत करता है या हमारे पक्ष में करता है, इसका हम को पता नहीं चलता है। इसी तरह से जब हम डाक्टर के पास जाते हैं तो वह एक स्थिप में प्रंपीबी में प्रेस्कियन लिख देता है। धव वह हम को जहर दे रहा है या टेरामाइसीन या डाइकि-स्टिसीन देग्हा है, इसका हम की पता नहीं लगता है। इस तरह से यह अंग्रेजी भाषा जो है. यह टगने वाली भाषा है।

दूसरी बात मैं यह कह रहा हूं कि आज तक जितनी भी योजनाएं रही हैं, उन के श्रंप्रेजी में होने से कोई ज्यादा फ़ायबा जनता को नहीं हुमा है। में मिमियोग नहीं संगाता हं लेकिन यह बतलाना चाहता है कि जब हम सेबेटेरियेट में देलीफोन करते है और हिन्दी में बोलते हैं तो वहां पर की करें पद पर बैठे हुए सोग हैं, वे हिन्दी नहीं समझते हैं। एक भी भावनी ऐसा अंबे पद पर नहीं है, जो हिन्दी समझता हो । एक परसेण्ट सोग भी ऐसे नहीं है जन क्षेत्र पदों पर जो हिन्दी में बात समझते हीं बीर जब उन से हिन्दी में बात करते हैं तो बे कहते हैं "बाई काण्ट फोनो हिन्दी "। तव उनको समझाना यहता है। मैं यह क्षेत्रेटेरियेट की बात बतका रहा हूं नेकिन श्राप किसी भी विपार्टमेंट को ने नें, यही बात है। साम सबेरे मैं रेस मंत्री भी है एक प्रश्न पूछने बाला था। मैं रेल बंबासन की एस्टीनेट्स कमेडी का नेम्बर हूं चीर में वार्व के साथ कह सकता है कि रेस मेंबासय के किसी भी डिपार्टनेंड के हैंव की बाप

देख में, एक भी शाप को मार्च का मही निनेपा और सब आप के वहां के हैं। इस के बारे में ह्यारे मन में कोई करता नहीं है नेकिन मैं यह बाहता हूं कि बाप अपनी भाषा, अपनी मात्-भाषा, अपनी मां का सम्मान करें। क्या प्राप क्यों विदेशी भाषा के चंत्रज में पढे हुए हैं। आप स्वों नहीं अपनी भाषा के लिए प्रोत्साहन मांगते हैं। हम पालियामेंट में बाप का साथ देने के लिए तैयार हैं। आप तेलग्को बढ़ाइए, भाप तमिल को बढ़ाइए भीर भाप भपनी भाषाओं को बढाइए. द्याप क्यों संग्रेची के अक्कर में पड़े हुए हैं। मेरे कहने का मतलब यही है कि आप अंग्रेजी के चक्कर में मत पहिया यह सही बात है कि भाज मंग्रेजी को कितने परसेव्ट लोग जानते हैं। में मदास गया था और मुझे मालूम है कि बहां का जो सहरी भाग है, वहां पर लोग मंग्रेजी जानते हैं, लेकिन देहातों में जो लोग हैं वे संसेती नहीं जानते । न वे संसेती जानते हैं भीर न हिन्दी जानते हैं लेकिन मंग्रेडी से ज्यादा ने हिन्दी को समझ लेते है। वे हिन्दी बोलते नही हैं लेकिन वे उसको समझ लेते हैं। (अववान)...

मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि विहार के मुख्य मंत्री के सम्बन्ध में कहा गया कि विहार के मुख्य मंत्री ने वह कहा। श्राप विहार धौर असर प्रवेम . . .

SHRI A. C GEORGE (Mukandapuram): This is an example of colossal ignorance

की राज विश्वास पास्त्रवान : में तो आप के सम्बन्ध में जानता हूं कि आप लोग अच्छी हिन्दी जानते हैं, बहुत बिह्मा हिन्दी बानते हैं। हमारी श्रीमती पार्वती हुण्यान, जब हमारे साथ बैठकों में बैठती हैं, तो वे बहुस बहुता बावज हिन्दी में दे सकती हैं, वे बहुत बहुता हिन्दी जानती हैं। लेकिन प्रश्न के दूर है कि स्वित वे re. Language Policy (Motn.)

SHRIMATI PARVATHI KRISHN-AN (Combatore): Please do not libel me.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The very fact that you are reacting shows that you understand Hindi.

SURIMATI PARVATHI KRISHN-AN: I heard the English translation.

भी राम विनास पासवानः में यह कहना बाहता है कि बाहे विरोधी दल के कोई भी सदस्य हों, वे जब बोट मांगने के लिये जाते हैं तो मंग्रेजी में बोट नहीं मांगते ने तमिल में बोट मांगते हैं, ने अपनी भाषा में बोट मांगते हैं। आप वहां पर अंग्रेजी में बोट क्यों नहीं मांगते हैं? श्राप वहां पर संग्रेची में भाषण दीजिए और उन से कहिए कि हमें बोट दीजिए? श्राप जिस भाषा में चुन कर धाते हैं, जिस भाषा में वहां जा कर लोगों को समझाते हैं, उसी भाषा की भाप बकालत कीजिए । भाप भंग्रेजी मत पढ़िये। मैं यह नहीं कहता कि द्याप हिन्दी सीखिये। हमारे जैसा घादमी तो यह चाहता है कि भाप भपनी भाषा हम को दीजिए भीर हमारी भाषा भाष लीजिए भीर दोनों को मिला कर गंगा बहाइए। भाप के यहां बड़े बड़े विद्वान हए हैं। श्री रामास्वाभी नाइकर जैसे बिद्वान आप के यहां हुए हैं और हम उन की पूजा करते हैं। साप के यहां जो बड़े बड़े विद्वान हुए हैं उन की हम पूजा करते हैं नेकिन भ्रापकानेता देशकानेता नहीं बन सका, काप का नेता साकथ का नेता ही बन सका। इस का कारण क्या है? हमारे राज नारायण जी ने, हमारे नेता ने जैसा बतलाया है कि इधर के, उत्तर भारत के लोग बहुत चापनुस होते हैं। वे लोग उत्तर भारत में तो हिन्दी बोलते हैं लेकिन साऊष में अंग्रेजी बोलते हैं और भाप सोष कट्टरपंथी हैं, हिन्दी को सुन नहीं सकते । भाग को शाहिए कि भाग भपने दिस में उदारता लाएं। भाप दक्षिण

[भी राम विलास पासवान]

के लोग उत्तर की भाषा सीचें धौर हम उत्तर के लोग दक्षिण की एक भाषा सीखें। धाएकी जो प्रतिका होवी वह हम मेंबे हमारी जो प्रतिभा होगी, धीर बहु भाप से भीर तब देश में गंगा बहाइए भीर तब एक किस्म से सब की पूजा कर सकते हैं। मैं यह नहीं कहता कि भाप हिन्दी सीखे। भाप हिन्दी को गाली देते रहेंने भीर हम भापको भग्नेश्वी का सिम्बल समझते रहेंगे, तो काम नही चलेगा। हमारी यह मुखंता है कि हम आप को भवेशी का सिम्बल समझते रहें। मेरा कहना यह है कि भाप भपने को शंगेबी का सिम्बल मत बनाइए भीर मैं चाहता ह की उत्तर की भाषा दक्षिण बाले सीखें भीर दक्षिण की एक भाषा उत्तर वाले सीखें भीर इस को कम्पलसरी कर दिया जाए। भ्रापकी भाषा हम पढ़ें भीर हमारी भाषा साप पढ़ें भीर समान रूप से गगा बहाइए, यही मेरा कहना है।

*SHRI A V P ASAITHAMBI (Madras North) Mr Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I am happy to participate in the debate on the Language problem and I am proud too to belong to the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam, a political party at the vanguard for protecting the linguistic rights of the people of this country

The language problem is not a problem of today or yesterday As early as 1937 the Dravidian Movement of Tamil Nadu was spear-heading the language issue Without fear of being contradicted, I can say that the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam's persistent endeavours persuaded Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru to give the solemn assurance in his capacity as the Prime Minister of the nation, that Hinds would not be imposed on non-Hindi speaking people so long as they did not want it Today it goes to the credit of Thiru M. G. Ramachandran, the ardent advocate of Arignar Anna's 19. Language PONCY (MOIN.)

ideals, following the footsteps of this great sen of India, convened a Gonference of four southern State Chief Ministers and got a Resolution unanimously adopted that Hindi would not be allowed to be imposed on non-Hindi speaking people. This Resolution is the subject-matter of discussion today.

At the very outset, I would like to point out that language issue is not a trivial matter which can be debated for finding a solution within six hours.

I have no hesitation m saying that if India is to survive as a nation then Hindi has to be given a go-by In 1937 Periyar Ramaswamy, who is popularly known as E V Ramaswamy Naicker, started the anti-Hindi move-He was not an ordinary man ment My hon friend Shri C Subramaniam, mentioned in his speech that he had the privilege of joining Gandhiji's movement in 1920 Periyar Ramaswamy was in the Congress much earlier than that If anyone went to Mahatma Gandhi to get some clarifications about the policy of Prohibition he would immediately direct him to go to Periyar Ramaswamy When Rajaji introduced Hindi m 1938, Periyar Ramaswamy led the anti-Hındı agitation. It was a mass movement, taking 1001 people to prison and ending the precious life of two people We should ponder over as to what prompted Periyar to lead this anti-Hinds agstation in 1938 when Indian was under British rule

I should say that there is no ani mosity or hatred towards Hindi among the people of South India As pointed out by Shri Bala Pajanor, the people of Southern States know more languages than the people of Northern States. Their opposition to Hindi springs from the fear that, if Hindi becomes the national language, the official language and the link language, they would be second-class cities of the country for gver—in fact they would become the slaves of

^{*}The original speech was delivered in Tamil.

Hindi-speaking people. They are also guaded by the apprehension that they would be mortgaging the freedom and liberty of their descendants also if they now accept Hindi as the sole language of the nation. It may not be an exaggeration to say that Perlyar Ramaswamy could foresee this as early as 1938 and he started the anti-Hindi movement.

I would like to refer in brief to the position prevalent in India two thousand years ago, when we had no caste cultural and religious barriers. The Dravidian race was in power throughout the country, with the laudable concept of One Community and One God, without being super-imposed by Vedas and other scriptures. What do we see today? Every day the Adidravidians are being attacked by caste-Hindus in some part of the country or the other. This is the inevitable consequence of accepting the alien culture and traditions of Aryans by the Dravidians. The cultural transformation has come to this pass that today the marriage in my own house is not solemnised in my language Tamil but in Sanskrit. The prayers to Gods in my temples are not in Tamil but in Sanskrit. The worship in my temples is conducted not in Tamil but in Sanskrit. It has led to this sorry state that the dravidians are prohibited entry into the temples. Injunctions, inhibitions, restrictions and regulations have overtaken the life of dravidians in our country. The alien domination has brought in its wake the water-tight compartments of four castes Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaisyes and Sudras. I have referred to this because of the present fears of the people of Southern States that, if Hindi becomes the national language. the official language and the link language, the people of Hindi-speaking States would be Brahmins, the people like Shri Sathe who support them would be Vaisays, the people who are adjacent to these people would be Kehatriyas and the people of South tidia would be for ever the Budras-1968 I.B.--14

in effect the lifeseria of Hindi-speaking people. Periyar Ramaswamy had this fareight in 1938 when he started anti-Hindi struggle.

It would be pertinent to point out the political background in 1938 when Rajaji introduced compulsorily Hindi teaching in Tamil Nadu. In 1937 Elections the Congress Party came to power in all the 11 Provinces—then there were not 22 States. In no other Province Hindi was introduce. But Rajaji introduced Hindi in Tamil Nadu. Why did he do this in 1938? I should recall here that in later years he became the staunch antagonist of Hindi. Rajaji no doubt occupies a pre-eminent place among the patriots of India. He unravelled many tangles in our freedom struggle. He wanted the people to learn Hindi and also learn to oppose Hindi. He wanted that when independence came it should not become the handmaid of Hindi-speaking people. Now what do we see? 22 States are there in the country. As referred to by Shri C. S. in his speech, though Hindly is the mother-tongue of people living with States though English-speaking population is just 1 per cent or 1.5 per cent, English is wide-spread throughout the country, unlike Hindi confined to 7 States. Take for example even the three-language formula. If non-Hindi speaking people accept it, they have to learn, besides their mother-tongue, English and Hindi. Naturally the burden on them is more as compared to Hindi-speaking people for whom no special efforts are required to learn Hindi being their mother-tongue. In . three-language formula the burden of languages is not equally shared. Why should one section of the people bear the additional brunt, when all are having equal rights?

Mahatma Gandhi wanted Hindustani—a mixture of Urdu spoken by the Muslims and Hindi spoken by Hindus—to be learnt just for the sake of establishing cordial relations between Muslims and Hindus. Mahatmaif's dream died with him when he

[Shri A. V. P. Asaithambi]

was murdered. Hindustani was changed to Hindi. Why was it done? Shri Raj Narain wants that in Tamil Nadu the people should learn Tamil, in Kerala the people should learn Malayalam, etc. We have also no objection in the people of Bihar speaking and learning only Hindi. But the problem arises when the people of Bihar want to communicate with the people of Tamil Nadu. In what language they should do this? We have got this House, a representative body of 22 States in the country. What should be the language in which this House, if it desires, should communicate with 22 States having different languages? That is the problem we are facing today. Hindi or any other language-which should be the language of such a communication? Here I have without any doubt to say that English puts equal burden on all the people. English is not an alien language at all. Nagaland, a constituent unit of our country, has adopted English as the State language. Do you want to throw out Nagaland by saying that English is an alien language? If that happens, naturally other States will follow and if this is allowed to happen, then disintegration of the country sets in.

I do not deny that the D.M.K. was committed to secession. What was the reason? We were afraid of becoming the slaves of Hindi imperialism. Later on we realised that we could pursue our struggle within the constitutional framework and we surrendered our demand for secession. But I hasten to add that the reasons which provoked us to demand secession persist. We have forfeited our demand for secession but we are not yet alienated from the causes that culminated in such a demand. Even after 31 years of our Independence, we have not been able to find a solution to the language problem. Why should it be allowed to plague our country further?

SHRI A. C. GEORGE; Mr. Deputy Speaker, the Prime Minister may be answering the Debate. The hon. Member is making good points. May I suggest that the Prime Minister puts the earphones so that he hears the translation.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He is getting it translated. Leave it to him. Please don't disturb the speaker.

SHRI A. V. P. ASAITHAMBI: As I stated at the beginning I wish to repeat that I have no hatred towards Hindi. But I hate its imposition. It is claimed that Hindi is spoken by majority of the people in the country. I would like to counter this argument by quoting from the 1972 Census Report of the Government of India, According to this Report out of the population of 54.81 erores, 16 erores of people speak Hindi. But, you will be surprised to know that 47 dialects have been grouped under the heading Hindi and thus the number of Hindi-speaking people has been swelled to 16 crores. The number of real Hindithat is the Khadi Bholi-speaking people is just 2 crores. Where is your majority? In a democracy the minorities must be given protection from the oppression of majority, even if I concede that Hindi is spoken by majority of people. Here have you chosen peacock as the national bird on the strength of its numbers? Have you chosen tiger as the national animal merely on the strength of its numbers? If you go by the numbers, then the sparrow and rat alone can become the national bird and national animal respectively. You have chosen peacock for its beauty and rarity. Similarly you have chosen tiger for its valour and strength. A national language must merit its choice on its own culture, traditions and literary value, and not merely by the number of people speaking it. We got freedem from the Britishers. not to become slaves of another section.

I am straid that the era of an all-India party coming to power at the Centre is centing to an end, The Congress Party which ruled the neutral try for three decades has been deadmated with the defeat of Mirs. Indire Gandhi. Four parties came together to form the Government at the Centre and now within 19 menths of Janata Government these groups are vying with one another in becoming supreme and that has created unseemly squabbes in the Janata Party If this is the case with a political party ruling at the Centre, you can imagine what will happen in a country, which is a sub-continent by itself because of its diverse cultures and different languages, if one linguistic group tries to establish its supremacy over the others

Shri C S referred to the fall of Congress Party in 1967 in Tamil Nadu and he analysed the cause for this in a beautiful manner No accusing finger could be raised against Shri Kamaraj the leader of the Congress Party in Tamil Nadu Yet he could not save the Party from its rout The reason was the grievous error committed by the Congress Party at the national level in the matter of language in 1965 The Congress Party has not yet been able to raise its head, in Tamil Nadu Similarly the Janata Party has also no janata in Tamil Nadu Indira Congress has also drawn blank in Tamil Nadu Only Bala Pa-janor and myself—in other words, Thiru Karunanidhi and Thiru M G R. have the opportunity If these two come together in Tamil Nadu, there can never be anybody else in Tamil Nadu on the political arena

19 15 hrs.

[MR SPEAKER in the Chair]

The people of four Southern States have woken up The four Chief Ministers of Southern States have come together in this conference for the first time, since they have realised that the Central Government is getting debilitated. If Indira Congress Government had been there at the Centre, Dr Chenna Reddy and Shri Devraj Urs would not have attended this meeting Similarly, if Janala Government had been there in these two States, then also the Chief Ministers of these two

States would not have come to attend this conference If in the coming Elections, no all-India party comes to power, and only regional parties get elected, what will happen in the country? There will be inevitable and unavoidable separation Passion will be let loose In spite of anti secession law, there will be demand for secession As is commonly known in legal circle, law cannot be based on full justice and fairplay We on our part do not want such a thing to happen We want to protect our country's unity and integrity But we must bear in mind that there were 633 princely States before the advent of British here The Britishers unified the nation and after independence, Sardar Patel strengthened the bonds of unity In 1956 language was the basis for reorganising the States I want that during Morarji Desai's regime the country should not sunder apart English is the world language and it can safely be the link language of the country also It is no longer an alien language Even now, as mentioned by Shri C S, non-Hind, speaking people are second-class citizens If tomorrow Hinds supplants English, what will be the position? The fear of slavery makes us oppose this move

Mahatma Gandhi got us freedom. But within a short time after that, one of his moves was misinterpreted and he was murdered. Now we should not break the country. We want to protect the nation. Hindi is the enemy of India and we should thwart its efforts to subjugate India. Now four non-Hindi States have come together I would like to warn the Government that at not a very distant future 15 non-Hindi speaking. States in the country may come together to oppose the imposition of Hindi.

With these words I conclude my speech, thanking you for giving me all opportunity to participate in this debate

MR SPEAKER. The Prime Minister.

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI MORARJI DESAI): Mr. Speaker, Sir...

Res. passed at

AN HON, MEMBER: Is he replying or intervening?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I am replying....

MR. SPEAKER: We shall resume the debate after this.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: You may call it intervention if you like.

MR. SPEAKER: The Prime Minister is speaking.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I have listened very carefully and attentiveby to all that has been said here. Even when I was absent from the House for about 45 minutes in two shifts, I was listening because I attach great importance to the question which has been debated here today. I should have been very happy if no passions had been involved. But it only shows the sensitivity of the question. I do not want, therefore, to tread on any sensitive ground. I would not yield to the temptation of replying to some points which are not really necessary for the consideration of this question but which might create even an unintentional misunderstanding. I do not want, therefore, to take that risk. This is a question which is very vital for the unity and strength of this country. But how politics comes into this can be illustrated by the fact that, when my hon, friend, the Mover, Mr. Sethe, said that ultimately. Hindi slone static, said that initialization slone static the common language, he was anticonsidered a Hindi fenatic, but a singulated as a Hindi senatic. I never said that Hindi should be imposed an anybody. I do not befieve in imposition of Hindi bosause such things cannot be done by impoattion and governmental imposition dose not lead to fastred results.

Mentiments while emotions ought not to be brought in here and they ought not to be exacerbated. I have no doubt about it in my mind. That does not help the process. I have, therefore, said that it is not the compulsion of the government but it is the compulsion of patriotism which will bring Hindi. That is all that I have always said and, patriotism is not a thing which I have to inculcate (Interruptions)

C.M.'s Conserence

SHRI P. VENKATASUBBIAH: Why are you trying to equate the two?

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: We are also patriots. It is not the monopoly of anybody.

MK. SPEAKER: He never said that we are not.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I have not said that I am a better patriot than the hon. Member. I have never said it. But why is sensitivity brought into it? I do not want to hurt anybody. It is not what I am saying but it is a fact that in this country all of us are suffering from shortcomings in this matter because of the past nistory of the country. That is why the language problem is there. For thousands of years in its previous history we never had one common language because there never was one common government. There were hundreds of governments. At no time of history was there one government in this country before 1948. In 1948 all the princely States were merged and it was by the end of 1948 that the country had one government. Otherwise, the Britishers had left us with 551 governments in this country, but the country achieved one government because we realised that that was what was required to be done if India was to progress, develop and take its own place in the comity of nations.. (Interruptions) It my hon, friend, Mr. Venkstasubbish, does not become impatient and allows me to speak, I shall be grateful.

This is a matter which arose when the movement for metional fre was going on and the Indian Natio Compress for President. I will not say that it was only the Indian National Congress which was working for freedom, there were others too, but Indian National Congress was the main body which was working, and if I say so, it will be no exaggeration. At that time people from the south, from Bengal, from Maharashtra and from Gujarat proposed that Hindi be the common—national language; that is what they called it at that time. That was accepted and nobody contested it until we won our freedom.

After freedom when the Constitution was drafted, Hindi was recognised as the common language, not as a national language and all the other languages of the country which are recognised in the Constitution are considered national languages so that there may be no quarrels on that account. And yet, Hindi, by common consent, was considered as the official language and as the common language for the Centre and for the country and it was agreed that for fifteen years, English would continue as an associate language for this purpose.

Then controversies arose. (Interruptions). It is not recognised as the common language in the Constitution. That is the fact of history. It is not of my making. My hon friend, Shri Subramsniam was a Member of the Constituent Assembly. But I was not.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: English shall continue to be used for all official purposes of the Union.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I do not want any controversy. I accept that. Don't call it 'associate' if you do not want it to be so called. I do not understand why that should create any controversy. Both should continue together. That is what has been said.

I have no quarrel about it. Then, again, controversies began to persist. We are a nation where controversies are vary common. That has been our history. We have got to see that controversies do not hinder but help in-

tegration. Otherwise, I have no quarrel with controversies.

That Act was passed in 1967 in which the following things have been provided:

"It will be obligatory to use English for communication between the Union and the non-Hindi States and between the State and another where either of the two is a non-Hindi State unless that State itself desires a change.

- (2) That both Hindi and the English language shall be used for notifications, resolutions, press communiques etc.
- (3) While framing the rules under the Act it will be kept in mind that no employee is harmed in any way for not achieving proficiency in both the languages; and
- (4) That the provisions of Section 3 regarding the use of English for official purposes of the Union shall remain in force until Resolutions to the contrary have been passed by the Legislatures of all the non-Hindi States and also by each House of Parliament."

I stand by it. We are all committed to this. Therefore, there is no question of trying to circumvent it in any way.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: But the rules are against it.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I did not frame the rules. That again is important. When they framed rules finally in 1976 I was nowhere in Government but I was in detention at that time

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: My allegation is that these rules are very badly implemented.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I cannot tell immediately. My friend has

(Shri Morarji Desai)

made a very impassioned speech. I appreciate it.

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: Patriotism brings Hindi; patriotism will also kill Hindi. I seek a clarification from the Prime Minister.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I did not interrupt my friend when he speaking even when he was referring to me all the time. Why does he not extend that courtesy to me? You ask for clarification at the end. Why interrupt me? That is not right. That does not help in any way.

Therefore, after all, there are some instances of lapses. I would say that they have come to my notice and immediately rectified them and if iresh instances come to my notice I will go on rectifying them. I know that there were only Hindi forms available in Delhi. That is not right because Delhi is not inhabited only by Hinds-knowing people. It appeared that other forms were not there. It is not possible to print all forms in two languages because some forms are long and go over two to three pages and, therefore, it is not possible to have them in English on the reverse very conveniently. But, both should be available. On that score, I have no doubt and, if there is any lapse, I shall be grateful to anyone who points it out to me. If they come to my notice from any ministry I have made it clear that if there is any lapse, serious notice will be taken and proper punishment given. I would like to do that. But is it not agreed? It was agreed. I find there is to quarrel about the language policy. Even when my friend who speke last and spoke in a very impressive magner, I did not follow the language but I could understand what has to say, He has changed from partition into unity. That is a great thing. Therefore, I do hope he will change in this matter also. But that change was not brought about by me. Force of circumstances has done it. In the same way in this matter also force of circumstances will achieve the result. He elso said at the end that he is not opposed to Hindi. I am satisfied. I have no quarrel with him at all.

I objected when my hon'ble friend. Shri Samar Mukherjee said that this is a multi-national country. It cannot be a multi-national country. There can be different communities if you want to say that. They are not ::ationalities. They are communitics. There are different regions but that in a vast country they are bound to be there. But it is one nation. It is not so now. Even when we had not one government in this country, the world recognised us one nation. This is a peculiar fact of history. If you compare India with Europe minus Eastern Europe, you will find the same number of langua. ges, the same amount of population and many regions but Europe will never be considered one nation or one country. India has always been recognised as one country. That is because of its one culture-a zulture also which has been brought together from various sources. It is a composite culture and that is also a peculiarity of this country. It has always absorbed everything and made it into its own. That is what we are trying to do and that is why this nation. cannot be called a multi-national country.

When Pakistan was formed, Mr. Jinnah had introduced the two nation theory. It was never accepted by this country. We had to accept Pakistan because of our weakness, because we could not have obtained freedom unless we accepted that and the British Government would have been perpetually here. We gelt that discretion is the better part of valour and, therefore, we accepted our freedom in two parts and yet India, as it is, is large enough. We should have no quarrel about it. But then also we did not recognise the two-national theory. The fact of it is we have a large Ma tim community in this country-second largest perhaps, or third largest. But it is there. I would consider it dishonourable for this country if any Indian national has to migrate from the country because he is ill-treated That is what we have got to achieve I rannot say we have achieved all that But do we want to make it better or do we want to lapse into something worse? The is all I want my hon'ble friends to consider It is, therefore, that I would not like anybody frying to create any impression that there is a feeling that Hind; is being im posed But as Hindi people are callel fanaties don't those who propagate only English also qualify for the same epithet? Why should we do that? I say that both things should not be done Let it develop people see this and realise this and I have no doubt that Hindi will be recognised But I will not do anything to impose it That is all the assurance and complete assurance 1 can give so far as it lies in the power of this Government Let there be no doubt about it If there are any faults anywhere, we shall certainly try to remove those faults so that no suspicions arise

But, if, in the name of suspicions, it is sought to be so delayed that this can never be achieved, I shall not be a party to it. That also must be equally understood

This is where we have got to strive for more agreement than disagree ment. And that is why I agreed readily to this debate. And if at any time this is to be debated coolly, we can certainly do so and find out what we have to do. But, let suspicions disappear. This is what I want to achieve. And if we can remove suspicions I am quite sure, we will be able to achieve it.

A question was raised about Bihar It was said that Bihar is carrying on correspondence in Hindi But they have also made the rule that in communication with non-Hindi States, they will send English translations along with them. So, they are carrying out the law. Therefore we cannot quarrel with them.

I want that every State shoud carry on its affairs in its own language. All languages have been considered national. They are of equal importance in my view

It is, therefore, that I have said that Government affairs and Education must be carried on in the language of the State

The Three language Formula also was at one time devised almost unaninously, if I may say so And if that had been followed, and if it is followed, I am quite sure, many difficulties can disappear

But there too, I am not gome to impose it on anybody because that way nothing can be achieved I have no coult about it m my mind But I am quite sure that the Centre has a hability It is carrying on in Eng lish and 17 Hinds but ultimately, it has got to see that the Constitution is finally honoured not by any force Can any instance be pointed out that any Central Government servant has been punished on account of madequate knowledge of Hindi' If so, I would like to see that If any such case suses, please bring it to my notice That will not happen

But that does not mean that I should not encourage them to learn Hint: They must learn both English and Hind: I am trying to see also that all the examinations for the IAS, IPS, and IFS are conducted in all the languages of this country so that there is no question of any fear or uncqual competition anywhere But there too, they will have to learn English and Hind: compulsorily Otherwise they will not be able to work

But all these things also have got to be brought in such a manner that they consolidate us, integrate us and wake us strong and do not create walls amongst us, if there are any, we must demolish them

[Shri Morarji Desai]

That is the appeal that I would like to make to my hon, friends. And, with that appeal, I hope, my hon. friends will not have any apprehensions about this Government's policy

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN (Madras South): Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is very difficult to immediately follow the hon. Prime Minister in a Debate because he has the weight and the authority and all the information at his command.

I shall therefore confine myself to a few points which go to show that though the Government professes to observe the Official Languages Act in letter and in spirit, as a matter of fact, this is not actually being done.

The Chief Ministers' meeting held at Madras was prompted only because there has been failure in the implementation of this Official Languages Act.

I will cite an instance. The hon Prime Minister said: 'I would like to have any instance where officers have been at a disadvantage. Sir, the Kendriya Hindi Samiti has recommended that all officers of the rank of Deputy Secretary and above working in the Ministries ... (Interruptions).

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: Will anybody from the Government side speak again? Shri K. Ramamurthy: Mr. Mandal will speak.

MR SPEAKER: I am not aware. Mr. Remamurthy, we do not know. at what stage we are. Let us proceed.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: No Sir: at least you should know, if anybody from the Government side is going to speak,

SHRI K. RAMAMURTHY (Dharmapuri): If they are not going to reply, there is no need for a further discussion at all.

MR. SPEAKER: They have act told me that nobody from the Government side is going to speak.

SHRI K RAMAMURTHY: That 1 know. Is there anybody going to intervent or reply from the Govern-ment benches? If it is not there, there is no need for further discussion.

SHRI A. C. GEORGE: I raised a technical objection, when the Prime Minister was speaking, not because I did not want to hear him, but simply because the debate is continuing and we wanted to know who will finally be replying to the points raised here.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: Mr. Mandal will reply.

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: Minister gave us an assurance But what about the points raised?

SHRI A. C. GEORGE: Maybe was not understood correctly when I objected to the Prime Minister speaking. Not that I did not want to listen to him. But since the debate is continuing, we would like to have specific replies to the issues that have been raised. Mr. Venkataraman just now raised a very pertinent issue.

MR. SPEAKER: I think Mr. Venkataraman does not require the assistance of anybody.

SHRI A BALA PAJANOR: I raised certain points, because I wanted certain assurances. The Prime Minister gave the assurances and I kept quiet. But my point has not been answered. I said, "Covertly it is being imposed on us." I died certain things. I could have listed all the CREET.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: I am on a point of order. (Intervuptions).

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: You example, notings are to be made in Hindl. That is why I did not read. Every section is there. Somebox must give a reply. We are enswarable to sur people there. About the Prime Minister's assurance, we are very happy. He is doing it very well. Not only here, but outside also. The resolution also gives encomiums to the Prime Ministers, starting from Nehru.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: My point of order is: Are you going to set up a precedent that whenever some substantive motion is moved, anybody from the Government side can speak in the middle and it will be left as it is, and more Members speak and the mover may reply?

MR. SPEAKER: I will go by the rules, as they are. IT anybody points out that the last reply is compulsory under the rules, I will insist on their replying. If you are not able to show it, I cannot help.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I was saying that though there have been repeated assurances both by Prime Minister and by the then Home Minister in this House when there was a similar debate in March and April this year, the implementation of the Official Languages Act is far from the assurances given. In fact, there have been several cases and instances brought to the notice of the State Governments as well as to the Members of Parliament in which the persons who are not Hindi-speaking, i.e., whose mothertongue is not Hindi, and who are in Central Services and in other services, are under a severe handicap. I will cite some instances. I will not tall in a vague fashion.

The Kendriya Hindi Samiti has recommended—and I understand that this recommendation is under implementation—that:

"The officers of the rank of Deputy Secretaries and above, working in Ministries and Departments and other offices in the Central Government have to acquire a working knowledge of Hindi, according to a time-bound program-ma."

Now, Sir, this is an insistence which is being made on the officers who are 1958 L.S.—15 working in the departments. If Hindi and English are going to be used simultaneously, there seems to be no reason why there should be an insistence on them that they should learn Hindi within a time bound programme.

I want to point out that there was an All Parties' Parliamentary Committee in 1959 which included such stalwarts as Dr. Raghuvira, Purshotam Das Tandon and they had recommended that officers of over 40 years of age should not be compelled to learn Hindi. I want to ask how in the face of this recommendation of this Parhamentary Committee, they could make a recommendation that all Deputy Secretaries and above must learn Hindi within the time bound programme. How can it be compulsory on them to learn Hindi? I am talking of all the departments.

The second instance which I giving is that many departments, Ministries and offices have been identified by the Government of India for transaction of all business in Hindi, This, I submit, is going contrary to the Official Languages Act where in it is specifically provided that the transaction of business of the Government shall be both in Hindi and in English. I submit for the consideration of the Government that such an identification of certain departments saying that they shall transact business only in Hindi is contrary to the Official Languages Act and therefore the implementation is faulty.

There is a letter which the Prime Minister has written to the Finance Minister of the Tamilnadu Government. In it, the Prime Minister has stated that conditions could not remain static and that the use of Hindi for official purposes was bound to increase now.

AN HON. MEMBER; What is wrong in it?

SHEEK GOPAL (Karur): But the Prime Minister is saying something else.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: the Official Languages Act says that Hindi and English shall continue to be used for the purposes of the administration, if you say that the use of Hinds is bound to increase, then one day Official Languages Act will become a dead letter because slowly, surreptitiously the use of Hindi would increase; and then without the consent of the State Governments which are non-Handi speaking State Governments, a sine-qua-non condition precedent for the adoption of Hindi as the official language of the country would have come into being. Therefore, if you say that the use of Hindi will be increased then you are going to set at naught completely the spirit of the Act which says that only, after the legislatures of the non-Hindi speaking States have passed resolutions and have recommended discontinuance of English and that it has been approved by both the Houses of Parliament that English will be discontinued, this is a back-door method of really bringing about the use of Hindi without complying with the provisions of the Official Languages Act.

Now I want to go into another instance. It is reported in the Hindu dated 16 July 1978 that officers who are serving in Hindi areas and who are deputed to Central Government, when they come to the Centre, they are compelled to continue to note in Hindi and not use English? It means another way of preventing the continued use of English along with Hindi in the flies. Therefor, I want to make it clear that though we all greatly appreciate the assurances given-we have great regard for the assurances. we believe the assurances will be implemented-in point of fact at various levels in the government this is not being implemented; it is being violated. I will not hold the Milhistry or government responsible. It is inclinat of said-pressivation; the departmental chief and the Rinds speaking officers want to have their hegemony spread over and therefore it is one of the ways In which they might be trying to prevent other people gaining proper positions here. Therefore, it is upto the government to see that all these things are not done. In fact if they want to increase the use of Hindi, they should consult the Chief Ministers of the states whether in such and such department they can use it and only after getting their consent they should increase the use of Hindi; that will be in accordance with the spirit of the Official Languages Act; otherwise It will be a violation.

I have only one more point before I conclude. The three language formula has become almost a dead letter... (Interruptions) I do not understand what you say. In the statement which the Minister of Education laid on the Table of the House yesterday, we found that no state was really implementing the three language formula. Whatever my other colleagues from my State may say, we are committed to the three language formula, We may be defeated in elections but that does not mean our convictions will go with the elections. We believe in the three language formula; we want three languages formula should be implemented. I would therefore appeal to the Prime Minister to call a meeting of all the Chief Ministers and have a re-thinking on the three language formula and come to some conclusion. A national consensus should be arrived at.

MR. SPEAKER: The time fixed is upto 8 O'clock. Unless the House wants to extend the time....(Interruptions).

SERI K. GOPAL: Six hours were allotted and we started at \$30. You were kind enough to tell in the Business Advisory Committee that if pecessary you would extend it by another two boars.

re. Language Policy (Motn.)

MR. SPEAKER: I never said that; if necessary the House could extend it; I have no power to extend it.

SHRI K. GOPAL: Why are they averse to this? We must have another three hours.

MR. SPEAKER: I have no power in this regard. Two things are before the House. One is whether the debate should be extended...

SHRI K. GOPAL: Yes.

MR. SPEAKER: You will not allow me to complete? The other is whether it should be extended today. These are the two propositions. The first thing is whether the debate should be extended....(Interruptions).

SEVERAL HON, MEMBERS: No.

MR. SPEAKER: I think the Noes have it; I cannot extend it.... (Interruptions). I cannot help it.

So, I call upon Mr. Sathe.
(Interruptions)

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: Iet it go by majority. Let there be a Division.

MR. SPEAKER: Do you want division?

SHRI K. GOPAL: You promised six hours.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: I am extremely sorry....

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Is there any point of order? What is the point of order?

धा० वर्ष सिंह: (ऊप्रमपुर) - प्रश्यक्ष महोदय, मैं दापसे विनन्त प्रार्थना करूंगा और प्रथने जो सशास्त्र दल के सबस्य हैं, उनसे पी प्रार्थना करूंगा कि श्रष्ट को भाषा का स्थास है, यह बढ़ा नाजुक है, ६ फट में मानसा है काल बहुत सन्ते हो गये, सैंकिन वर्ष क्षा मा परसों 2 कट जीर मिल जायें तो बहुत सारे सक्त्य धनी हैं, बिल्होंने इसके ऊपर धनने विचार व्यक्त करने हैं। मैं प्रपने मिलों से प्राचना करता हूं कि इसने ऐसी को हैं गड़बढ़ नहीं होगी, कल वो चिट्टे मिल अपनें तो इसको समाप्त कर दीजिए। क्यों यह कहने का मौका मिले कि बोलने नहीं दिया, जहां 6 चट्टे बोले हैं वहा 2 चट्टे प्रौर बंल सकते हैं, यह मेरी प्राचना है।

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: May I make a submission?

MR. SPEAKER: What is the point of order?- Let me know.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR; You will please see that six hours were allotted to this discussion. We began at 230 P.M. Even if we have to stick to the time limits, we have to close at 830 P.M. In the remaining 35 minutes we shall not be able to accommodate a large number of Members of Parliament specially from South who want to speak on this.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: This is not a point of order.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: Point of order is coming.

MR. SPEAKER: What is the point of order?

PROF. P G MAVALANKAR: My point of order is that the hon. Prime Minister has gone on record repeatedly and he was good enough even to-day by way of assurance that he does not want...

MR. SPEAKER: Is it a point of order? Which Rule is broken?

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR: I will sit down and I will not make a point of order.

SHRI RAVINDRA VERMA: The question of extending the time for this debate was raised when the Deputy Speaker was in the Chair. At that time we made our point of view clear. You will kindly recall that in

[Shri Ravindra Verma]

the Business Advisory Committee, when this matter was brought up, it was decided that six hours will be devoted to this debate. The House accepted the Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

This is a very important subject, undoubtedly. This is a very sensitive subject, undoubtedly. Perhaps, every hon, member would like to express But within the time his views. schedule that Parliament has to observe it is impossible for every member to get a chance. Some hon. members wanted the time to be extended. A suggestion has been made that it should be extended by two hours. Even if you want to extend it by five days, everybody cannot get a chance to speak on this subject. No last word can be said on this subject. There are at least 18 Members from our side whose names are also with you. Just as other parties have the names of their members, our party has also its members who want to speak. Therefore, Sir, it appears to us that if this debate is to be extended, it can be extended for two hours, but we will not be in a position to say that time can be found tomorrow or the day after. It is a motion under 184. It is not possible, therefore, to say specifically whether time can be found tomorrow or time can be found day after tomorrow. If, in principle it is agreed that it should be extended by two hours, we will have no objection, but we cannot argee to a specific date to be provided for this rebate. (Interruptions).

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: I am on a point of order. When the matter was taken up in the Business Advisory Committee....(Interruptions). This motion is under 164. Under 178 no speech on a resolution shall, except with the permission of the Speaker, exceed fifteen minutes in duration...

MR, SPEAKER: That is not a point of order. Which is the rule which im been broken?

SHRT A. BALA PAJANOR: You take 192. The Speaker may, if he

thinks fit, prescribe a time limit for speeches. Rule 192 gives you the power to fix the time limit. Now, you have to take cognisance of the matter as to how many members should be allowed how much time. You in your wisdom selected the leaders of some of the parties and you gave second chance to some parties and also gave time to the ruling party members. So, for the Parliamentary Affairs Minister to say whether the time is correct, I am not on that; I am on a different matter. I am on agreed time of six hours and that you gave assurance to the members of the Business Advisory Committee that you will extend the time.

MR. SPEAKER: I said, House can extend the time. I never said I could

SHRI A. BALA PAJANOR: House can extend the time upto what time, when the discussion is incom-plete? That is the reason why I refer to 192. Under 178 you can prescribe the time limit of 15 minutes. But in this case, you allowed me 40 minutes because the matter was very vital and so, you allowed extra time. So, you must have a generous and very reasonable approach. If is for the House to extend it upto 8 or 8.30 because we have lost half-an-hour. And you cannot really reduce this to 51 hours. Now, I request you in view of the Business Advisory Committee's decision and the promise that you gave ...

MR. SPEAKER: It is not a point of order. No rule has been broken. You are making a speech. No rule has been broken. Now the Minister has said on behalf of the Government that they are willing to extend it by two hours and half-an-hour that is left i.e., 24 hours, but the time shall be found only at the convenient time.

The House stands arjourned till 11 o'clock tomorrow.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Civek in Wedneday, August 2, 1874/Bravina 11, 1960 (Edie).