- (c) whether the price rise has been made with the consent of Government;
- (d) if so, the justification therefor and the steps Government propose to take against the soap manufacturers?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI DALBIR SINGH):
(a) to (d). The increase in prices of toilet and washing soaps have been allowed by the Government with effect from 20th July 1973 due to rise in prices of both indigenous oils and imported fatty materials required for the production of soap. The increases are as follows:—

- i) Laundry Soap (Bar per kg.) 42 Paise
- ii) Laundry Soap (Cake of 150 gms.)

gms.) 6 Paise. iii) Carbolic Soap (Cake of 150

- gms.) 9 Paise.
- iv) Popular Toilet Soap (Cake of 102 gms.)

II Paise.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

REPORTED DESTRUCTION OF WHEAT SEEDS WORTH ONE CRORE OF RUPEES BY THE NATIONAL SEEDS CORPORATION

DR. RANEN SEN (Barasat): Sir. I call the attention of the Minister of Agriculture to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:—

"The reported destruction of wheat seeds worth one crore of rupees by the National Seeds Corporation."

THE MINISTER OF AGRICUL-TURE (SHRI F. A. AHMED): The reported news item regarding the statement made by the Chairman. National Seeds Corporation, about the destruction of wheat seeds worth about Rs. one crore by the Corporation, has come to the notice of the Government of India.

The fact has been ascertained from the Chairman of the National Seeds Corporation. While answering questions put to him by correspondents at Bhopal, he had only stated that during the course of the last few years, the Corporation had to condemn seeds of hybrid millets and other crops valued at Rs. one crore. since the viability and germination capacity of these seeds had gone to a level lower than the standards adopted by the Corporation. This statement has obviously been misunderstood to mean destruction of wheat seeds worth Rs. one crore.

The National Seeds Corporation normally, do not destrey; condemned seeds of food crops. The N.S.C does not sell any sub-standard seeds for use as seed. Seeds, which fall below the minimum germination standard, are condemned and disposed of, for use other than seed.

The National Seeds Corporation was established as the principal agency for promoting the development of a sound seed industry in the country and as such, it has been playing a leading role in making foundation seed available for raising As the principal certified seeds. foundation seed agency, the Corporation had in previous years, been producing foundation seeds with reference to the targets fixed under the High-yichding Varieties Programmes in the Fourth Five Year Plan. Sufficient quantities of seeds were pro-

d in the years 1966-67 to 1968-60 for meeting the foundation quirements of hybrid crops under the High-yielding Varieties extent, therefore. gramme. To the there was a shortfall in achieving the targets under the High-yielding Varieties Programme, the National was left with Seeds Corporation surplus stocks of foundation seeds. Since seeds are subject to the phenomenon of ageing, seeds had to be condemned over the last few years, as they progressively fell below the minimum standards. Thus, over the last few years, seeds worth about Rs. one erore have been condemned and disposed of.

[Shri F. A. Ahmed]

The National Seeds Corporation has since revised its method of assessing market demand for the various varieties of seeds, to obviate such heavy losses. Among other things, it now insists on a firm indent a year in advance from State Governments and other Seed Production Agencies and takes up production programmes accordingly.

DR. RANEN SEN: Wheln the country is in needof adequate amount of good seeds and improved seeas, from the statement and from the newspaper reports, it is quite evident that the Seeds Corporation (which was established in the year 1966) has not played its role properly. In the statement made by the Minister there is an anomalous position taken by the Minister himself. said "The Corporation had to condemn seeds of hybrid millets and other crops valued at Rs. one crore. "In the next sentence he says: "The statement has obviously been misunderstood to mean destruction of wheat." It is not clear and what I would like to know is, what he means by condemnation and destruction. By condemnation does he mean that they were kept in godowns and warehouses but were not destroyed? So this is a very intriguing point which arises out of this statement which he has given.

In the second page last sentence he has said, 'Over the last few years seeds worth about Rs. one crore have been condemned and disposed of. What is the meaning of the words 'disposed of'? So this statement is a little anomalous. In the statement itself it is said it is not sold. They sub-standard. The second point that I want to know is this. Is it not a fact that only 10 per cent of wheat seeds produced last year were to be treated which would have saved them from destruction? There is definite evidence of sabotage done inside warehouses or in the treatment plants or whatever it is, which resulted in destruction or which resulted in condemnation of this thing.

Thirdly, is it not a fact that there is a lot of dissatisfaction among the employees? Serious charges have been levelled to the effect that there have been favouritism, not proper promotion not proper selectiongrades, etc. etc. Is it a fact or not that two years back employees made a representation to the Ministry and the Minister more or less agreed with the suggestion of the employees: stated in the memorandum? spite of that, even after 2 years,. the employees' memorandum has not been replied to. The Minister made an assurance saying that a Committee will be set up headed by a Member of Parliament, But that assurance has not been fulfilled and that Committee has not yet been set

The last point that I would like to know from the hon. Minister is this. I have got here with me the 9th Annual Report and the Statement of Accounts for 1971-72. The Chairman's address here looks a little funny. He says:

"The emphasis has been placed rightly so on the active employment of private sector in certification of seeds production. It would, however, be dangerous to completely eliminate the public sector from this role."

I was under the impression that the weight of the public sector will be more on gradual elimination of the privae secor in he other field. Here, it seems that the Chairman suffers from the fact that he allows the public sector to continue as a decoration. In the Annual Report I have with me, page 11, the last sentence reads:—

"Unfortunately, however, it has been noticed that like the enforcement of the Seed Act, the certification work also has become an additional duty for most of the partment's hierarchy in the State Governments. This is proving a Governments. This is proving a drag on the development of seed programme."

Here also it looks a little fruny that even after six or seven years from the existence of the Act, the Chairman is in a dilemma as to find out who is responsible for this certification and development of quality seeds. Lastly, I want to put one more point. I think the whole matter has to be properly gone into. The statement made by him would not satisfy the House. As I have pointed out earlier, there are contradictions in the statement. In regard to the employees' demands, and in regard to the functions of the Seeds Corporation and development of seeds, the demand is that a proper enquiry committee should be set up. May I know whether the Government is prepared to go into this question?

SHRI F. A. AHMED: So far as the statement of the Chairman is concerned, that is with regard to the writing off or destroying the seeds worth one crore of rupees. The hon. Member actually raised matters which are not relevant to the statement which has been asked for from me. I would like to refer to his two statements-one is about what is meant by condemnation of seeds or what is meant by destruction or disposal of seeds. May I say that so far as condemnation is concerned, it only means that the seed falls below the Corporation's standards of germination. They should not be sold as seeds. So far as destruction is concerned, these seeds are not destroyed but they are disposed of as poultry or cattle feed and not for consumption purposes.

As regards the other question, namely, the grivances of employees may inform him that a Committee under the Chairmanship of Shri Gadgil, M.P., has been set up. It has met a number of times. He has been asked to submit its report by the end of September, 1973. When it is available, we shall take necessary action in the matter.

So far as the relevant question is concerned, namely whether seeds worth Rs. 1 crores of wheat have been destroyed or disposed of or have been written off. I may inform him that so far as the figures available with us are concerned, from 1963 when this corporation started till 1972, only seeds worth Rs. 51,000 of wheat were written off. figure of Rs. 1 crore relate to seeds with regard to hybrid jowar, bajra, maize and jute and all other varieties and so on, and it does not concern wheat as has been stated in the press: report.

DR. RANEN SEN: I had also raised the question of duplication of work as between the Seeds Corporation and the State Government. How are Government going to solve this problem? I read out from the report earlier.

MR. SPEAKER: The agencies other than the Seeds Corporation have been mentioned in the statement already. I think the hon. Minister did refer to them in his statement. But if he wants to explain it, he may do so.

SHRI F. A. AHMED: I think the hon, Member is aware that according to the Act, the State Government are the certifying agencies. So far as the Seeds Corporation is concerned, it mainly concerns itself with producing foundation seeds and also producing some certified seeds. So far as the State Government are concerned, they take up production of certified seeds and they are also certifying agencies. There is no duplication of work, and the Seeds Corporation is doing very useful work.

SHRI VASANT SATHE (Akola):
This case Brings out a glaring
example of how a bureaucracydominated corporation works. The
report is a public document, and if
you see the report, you will find
that the turnover of the corporation
during the year rose to Rs. 529.27
lakhs....

MR. SPEAKER: It is not a general discussion on the report. The matter is very specific, namely, disposal of the seed.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I am quoting precisely from that part of the report which deals with destruction of the seed. The report says:

"During the year, the corporation has written off stocks of the gross value of Rs. 70.37 lakhs, including foundation seed of hybrid of the value of Rs. 59.88 lakhs produced during 1966-67 and 1967-68."

This is the report for 1971-72, and so, they are writing off now the stock of 1966-67 and 1967-68. Then, it says:

"....which was made in the accounts for the year 1970-71, at the residual value of Rs. 12,9 lakhs..".

Now, see the travesty of the whole thing....

MR. SPEAKER: I am trying to content to connect it with the call-attention.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: You will do that immediately. It further says:

The net profit would thus have araounted to Rs. 56.25 lakhs on curent account, but for write-off of accumulated stock of foundationseed of hybrid valued at Rs. 55.34 lakhs. The matter of subsidising this loss is still under consideration of the Government."

This shows that Rs. 1 crore of seeds which were destroyed related to the stock accumulated from 1966 onwards. It was shown in the balancesheet only to inflate the balancesheet and show that they were still in profit. Does the hon, Minister not think that this method of accumulaing stocks of even condemned seeds. when he knows that a particular seed which has lost its germination value is of no use, is not fair?

I beg to differ with the Minister when he says that this is disposed of for other purposes. Now-a-days even this seed is poisoned in a particular way for preserving its germination value. This seed should not-scientists say should not-be given to poultry or cattle. Are you now giving it to poultry and cattle?

SOME HON, MEMBERS:

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Then what will be the effect on poultry and cattle?

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): That is why they are getting rotten eggs.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Only to, show their value. How much they get? This is a question directly related to this. So this rupees one crore worth of seed has to be destroyed. Did you suffer a loss on account of that? How do you suffer a loss? Kindly see the value of seed for three years 1969-70 to 1971-72. It is Rs. 103.46 lakhs out of which the residual value that they got by selling this seed, as you say, to poultry and cattle owners is Rs. 25.7 lakhs. The net loss was Rs. 78.40 lakhs. Do you not think this calls for a serious inquiry? Who is responsible for it? What are you going to do to the officials concerned?

The reason is this. The official appointed here as Managing Director is taken on deputation from some other department, some IAS person who has nothing to do with agriculture. He is to be there only for two years. During that period, he does not want to show any loss. Therefore, he shows even this stock as an asset in the balance sheet and the whole thing is inflated and you are not allowing it to be written off.

It was to the credit of the present Chairman who took charge in August 1971, who is an agriculturist, that he insisted that this stock must be written off. But for him, today you would have seen a profit of Rs 50 lakhs in the balance sheet—on paper. What are you going to do about it?

The question that arises directly is: First, are you going to institute an inquiry into the working of the National Seeds Corporation to evaluate the work of the Chairman, Managing Director or anybody else. Where they had bungled to the extent of a crore of rupees, you sit silent. Are you going to be just passive witnesses to this whole thing? Therefore, my direct question is: When this thing has come to noticeit is a fraud, fraud on the exchequer, fraud on the public, fraud on the growers of seeds fraud on the producers, agriculturists-are you going to make an inquiry through a Parliamentary Committee? present Chairman is to blame, sack him. After all, his terms and conditions are not even settled. He has been given only a stenographer and a peon.

Another thing. Here is a Corporation which spends today instead of Rs. 12,000, which it used to be, on a building Rs. 22,000 per month on rent. The total expenditure on perquisites and wherewithal is Rs. 50,000 a month. Are you going to look into the working of this Corporation? I would urge upon you to immediately appoint a committee to inquire into the working of this Corporation, the Committee to consist of MPs, so that this loss does not recur?

SHRI F. A. AHMED: I am sure the hon. Member would not have raised these questions if the facts were before him.

As I mentioned earlier, there was a surplus of seeds in 1966-67, 1967-68 and 1968-69 because of an impression given to the Seeds Corporation that 1714 LS—

they were required to produce foundation seed of so much quantity and that was on the basis of the various programmes which were proposed to be undertaken by the seed farms and the Central Government in the following year. The hon Members must also appreciate that foundation seeds cannot be produced unless and until at least two years' advance notice is given to them. On the basis of the information these foundation seeds, mostly of hybrid variety of maize, jowar and bajra but not mainly of wheat, were produced and they were being supplied from year to year. But, unfortunately, they were surplus, over and above the quantity, required by the State Governments and the Central Government. After a period of three or four years they become sub-standard and not fit for use so far as the seeds are concerned. Therefore, when they were condemned where they were not considered suitable for seed purposes, they were allowed to be disposed of, written off, so far as the Seeds Corporation is concerned.

It is not correct that in one particular year that much quantity was condemned. In 1969-70 some quantity was condemned; in 1970-71 some quantity was condemned; in 1971-72 some quantity was condemned. The total of all these seeds which were condemned came to the value of about Rs. 1 crore; Rs. 1,00,03,000 or something like that.

It was disposed of by selling it for other purposes.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I beg your pardon. According to your figures, in 1969-70, the value written off was only Rs. 9.91 lakhs; in 1969-70, the value written off was only Rs. 9.91 lakhs; in 1970-71, it was Rs. 10.21 lakhs; but in 1971-72, it was Rs. 58.28 lakhs. That means major portion that was written off was in 1971-72. Why was the stock allowed to be accumulated for so many years?

C.A.)

SHRI F. A. AHMED: It was allowed to be accumulated because till that year this quantity was not considered unusable as seed. Only when it became sub-standard, it was disposed of. (Interruptions).

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Three years.

SHRI F. A. AHMED: Yes; for three or four years these seeds are kept.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Is that what your scientists say?

SHRI F. A. AHMED: When they found it was fit for other purposes, the seeds were disposed of. So, there is nothing wrong so far as the disposal in 1970-71 was concerned. And, as I said, the seeds were produced from 1966 to 1969. If the 1969 production was disposed of in 1971 and 1972, I do not see what wrong has been committed. That is very difficult for me to appreciate, from what the hon. Member is saying.

Secondly, what I would like to point out is this. He said that wheat seeds worth one crore of rupees were condemned. According to the statement of the Chairman that has been denied. The value of the wheat seeds is only Rs. 51,000.

So far as his question with regard to the seeds which are supplied for purposes of poultry, etc., is concerned may I tell him that these seeds are disposed of for the purposes of poultry after they have been washed and then cleaned. Then they are supplied for other purposes and so on, and it is not actually a loss, that much quantity that has been written off, so far as the Corporation is concerned.

श्री मंजु लिमये (बांका) : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय प्रश्न एक है लेकिन पहलू 9 हैं। मैं मंत्री महोदय से कहना चाहता हूं ग्राज से सवा तीन सौ साल पहले या करीब साढ़े तीन सौ साल पहले संत तुकाराम ने शुद्ध बीज के महस्य को ग्रंपने ग्रभंग में कहा था : ब्राधी बीज एकले, शुद्ध बीज पोटी, तरु कोटी 'तरु कोटी, जन्म घेती, प्राधी बीज एकले!

लेकिन ग्राज्ययं की बात है कि साढ़े तीन सौ साल के बाद भी इसका महत्त्व हमारी सरकार के घ्यान में नहीं ग्राया ।

मैं केवल मुद्दे रखता हूं ताकि समय वचे श्रीर मंत्री महोदय इकट्ठा जवाब दें।

पहला मुद्दा यह है कि राज्य सभा में श्री भिन्दे ने कहा था कि यह टकनिकल श्रागेंनाइजेशन है। जब यह टकनिकल श्रागेंनाइजेशन है तो कृषि पंडितों श्रीर कृषि वैज्ञानिकों के श्रलावा जो श्राई० ए० एस० लोग हैं उनको मैनेजिंग डाइरेक्टर लगातार क्यों बनाया जा रहा है ?

सवा दो साल पहले जब इस कारपोरेशन के कर्मचारियों ने ग्राडिट के बारे में शिकायत की तो शिन्दे साहब ने राज्य सभा में बड़े ठाठ से जवाब दिया था कि स्पेशल ग्राडिट बोर्ड है, गलती कर नहीं सकता है, इसलिये युनियन के कर्मचारियों के जो श्राक्षेप हैं वह विल्कुल गलत हैं। स्रव जो सीड कारपोरेशन की नई रपट है उससे बिल्कुल स्पष्ट हो गया है कि म्राडिट के लोगों ने जितनी सावधानी से ग्रक:-उंदस को जांच करनी चाहिय थी वह नहीं की. भ्रीर जो माल वास्तव में कंडेम करने के लायक था उसको वह ग्रपने स्टाक में बताते जा रहे थे **ब्रोर इतिम ढंग से मुनाका बढ़ाते जा रहे थे।** तो जो उन्होंने यह कहा है कि उस समय यह स्टाक खराब नहीं था, वह बिल्कुल गलत है। मैं यह पूछना चाहता हं कि क्या चेग्ररमैन ने भ्रापसे यह नहीं कहा था कि :

"Proposals for condemnation of seeds were pending since 1968".

गांच साल से प्रपोजल गेंन्डिंग था ग्रीर यह लोग जानवृक्ष कर निर्णय नहीं ले रहे थे ताकि कृतिम ढंग से स्टाक में मुनाफा दिखाया जाये ग्रीर शृतिम ढंग से यह बतलाया जाये कि कार-पोरेशन बहुत ग्रच्छे ढंग से चल रहा है???? जल्स के बारे में कितना बिलम्ब हुग्ना है इस के लिये में कुछ उदाहरण देना चाहता था, लेकिन उसमें सनय लगेगा। मगर मंत्री महोदय ने ग्रापके सामने ग्रभी गलत-बयानी की।

मेरा तीसरा मुद्दा यह है कि 1 करोड़ का घाटा हुमा । स्वयं मंत्री महोदय ने कब्ल किया कि हाई ईल्डिंग वेराइटी का जो उसमें जो शार्टफाल कार्यक्रम मंबर गति से यह चला, उसके कारण कारपोरेशन के पास ग्रतिरिक्त बीज का सरप्लस स्टाक हो गया भीर इस के चलने से वह खराब हो गया। इसमें जिम्मेदारी ग्राप की है। ग्राप फर्ज कीजिये एक करोड हेक्टेयर खनाज के खन्दर लाते हैं. लेकिन उसमें से मुश्किल से 2 लाख हेक्टेयर के लिये ग्राप लोग ग्रच्छा बीज, शुद्ध बीज दे पा रहे हैं, यानी 2 परसेंट से भी कम । वास्तव में वह 1.7 फी सदी ही है, लेकिन मैं हिसाब के लिये 2 लाख कह रहा हं। 2 प्रतिशत का लक्ष्य भी आप ने पुरा नहीं किया, जब कि श्राप को 100 फी सदी का इन्तजाम करना है। इससे जो न्कसान हम्रा है उसको कौन भरेगा ? का ग्राप कारपोरेशन को यह नकसान देंगे ?

खराव बीज के बारे में उन्होंने कहा कि वह मुनियों को खिलाया जाता है, लेकिन क्या मंत्री महोदय इस बात से इन्कार कर सकते हैं कि जो सीड ऐक्ट है उसमें जो कसौ-टियां रखी गई हैं उससे कम गुणवत्ता वाला सीड होते हुए, जो कंडम करने लायक था, क्या यह बात सही नहीं है कि जो मुनियों को खिलाया जाना चाहिये उसको भ्राप भ्राक्शन करते हैं, बीज के रूप में इस्तेमाल करते हैं?

श्री एस॰ एम॰ बनर्जी: मुर्गियों को ही

नहीं जानवरों को भी दिया जा रहा है, उनका बस चले तो ग्रादिमयों को भी वह दिया जाये।

श्री मध् लिमये : मैं कह रहा हूं कि न केवल मुगियों श्रीर जानवरों को यह खिलाया जा रहा है बल्कि सीड के तीर पर बांटा जा रहा है, राज्य सरकार द्वारा उसका श्राक्शन होता है। इस बात को श्राप काटें श्रगर हिम्मन हो।

मेरा पांचवा मुद्दा यह है कि सीड के बारे में जो कानून है उस के ऊपर सरकार ने कितना अमल किया? कितने लोगों का चलान किया गया और कितने लोगों को सजा दी गई, मंत्री महोदय हम लोगों को इस की भी जानकारी दे दें।

छठवा मुद्धा यह है कि उन्होंने प्राइवेट एजेन्सियों को भी मौका दिया है। नेशनल टनेश क्लब नाम की संस्था श्री सुबह्मण्यम के द्वारा कायम की गई। यह संस्था मामूली ग्रनाज, ग्रेन, को सीड के तौर पर बेचती है, जो बिल्कुल इस कानून की हत्या भौर उल्लघन है। इस के लिए ग्राप ने क्या कार्यवाही की? महाराष्ट्र में सीड बेचने वाले लोग हैं। उन नोगों ने सीघे ग्रमरीका से गिफ्ट के तौर पर मंगवाया कोई परिमट नहीं था, सरकार की इजाजत नहीं थी। लेबोरेटरी में भी टेस्ट नहीं किया गया। ऐसा ग्रादमी महाराष्ट्र के प्लेनिंग बोर्ड में लिया गया है।

सातवां मुद्दा मैं यह उठाना चाहता हूं कि जिन किसानों को बीज उगाने का मौका दिया जाता है, समय समय पर इंस्पेक्शन करके, उन के ऊपर निगरानी करके उन्हे सलाह नहीं दी जाती है। होता क्या है? जब वह बीज तैयार होने लगता है तब यह कहा जाता है कि बह बीज ठीक नहीं है। किसानों को इसमें बहा नुकसान होता है।

भव में यह मुद्दा उठाना चाहता हूं कि नेशनल सीड कारपोरेशन का जो कामकाज है उस में काफी तुटियां हैं। इस में सरकार द्वारा क्या जांच होगी, हम लोगों की एक पब्लिक [श्री मधु लिमये]

ग्रन्डरटेकिंग कमेटी है जो इस चीज को देखें सकती है। मंत्री महोदय जब इतनो लापरबाही वरत रहे हैं तो मैं भापसे जानना चाहुंगा कि ने शनल सीड कारपोरेशन की जो व्रटियां हमने प्रापके सामने रखी हैं उन के बारे में मंत्री महोदय जो जवाब देंगे वह देंगे, क्या ग्राप भी पब्लिक अन्डरटेकिंग कमेटी की मार्फत इस की जांच करवायोंगे? वह ग्रपना पुराना मकान छोडकर नये मकान में चले गये। उसका किराया कितना है ? मैं ने सूना है कि उस का किराया 8,000 रु बढा दिया गया है। यह वही मकान है जिस के लिए कहा गया था कि वह व्यावसायिक क्षेत्र में, इंडस्ट्रियल कामर्शल एरिया, में नही आता है। पैनालटी के कारण यह दफ्तर उस मकान से चला गया, लेकिन श्री शाह्यनवाज खां यहां बैठे हुए हैं, उन की मिनिस्ट्री का एक कार्यालय है जो 22 हजार रूपये किराया देकर उस में जाना चाहता था। यह म्युनिसिपल कानुनों का उल्लंघन है। जब मैंने वित्त मंत्री और प्रश्राव मंत्री को पत्न लिखा तब उसका ट्रान्सफर इका । तीन दिन में गुप्त रूप से उस को ट्रांस्फर करने का काम कर रहे थे। इन बातों का मंत्री महोदय खलासा करें। मेरी भ्रापसे प्रयंना है कि नेशनस सीड कारपोरेशन में जो बुटियां हैं उनके बारे में इस सदन की जो बब्लिक अन्डरटेकिस कमेटी है उस को सलाह बीजिए कि जब एक करोड़ रूपये का नुकसान हम्रा है उसको लेकर वर्ष में उस की जांच करने का काम करें।

श्री फलक्द्रीन श्रेली श्रह्मनं : आनरेवल मेम्बर ने बहुत सी बार्ने कहीं श्रीर जन बातों में एक बात का एतराज किया जो कि गवर्नमेंट को पालिसी से तासुक रखती है, कि बीनीजन डाइरेक्टर की जनह पर आई० ए० एस० श्रेफसरों को नहीं मुकरंर किया जाना चाहिए । यह तमाम बातें पालियामेंट में हीती हैं । इन पर गवर्नमेंट गौर कर रही है । हमें कोई किनकत श्रांदमी श्रीर तञ्जूबेंकार आदमी सिल जाये तो हमें ऐसे श्रांदमी को मुकरंर

करने में कोई भी देर नहीं लगेगी, लेकिन हर चीज के हालात की देखते हुए भीर तंजुबें को मद्दे-नजर रखते हुए यह ग्रप्दाइटमेंट वर्गरह किये जाते हैं ग्रीर खत्मस्वाह किसी ग्रफंसर के खिलाफ यह बातें कहेनी श्रुक्ती नहीं मालूम होतीं ... (ध्यवकार)

SHRI VASANT SATHE: We do not even know that individual. We have nothing against that individual. We are talking of the policy.

श्री फलरुद्दीन श्राली श्रहसंद : यह सर्वोल करना कि ऐसे अफसर से छच्छी तरह से काम नहीं हुआ है, कोई अफसर श्रेच्छा कान कर सकता है या नहीं ...

MR. SPEAKER: He did not say anything against the officer. He only raised the question that it is a technical organisation.

की फलक्होल स्नती सहनव: मैं ने इस लिए कहा कि सजेसन बहुत सप्छा है, लेकिन हम को उस सजेसन का खयाल करते हुए बहुत सी बातों का खयाल करना होता है। जब नुक्सान होता है तो, जो बेहतर कार्रवाई समझी जाती है वह की जाती है।

तीसरी बात भागरेवल मेम्बर ने कही कि 1968 के बाद यह कार्रवाई धर्मरह की गई। मैं ने इस से पहले भी यह जाहिर किया था कि तीन साल में हमें पर्लंस फाउन्डेशन सोड तैयार करना पड़ा। सर्प्लंस होने की वजह से तीन या चार साल सीड रहने के बाद देखा जाता है कि वह सबस्टिंग्ड तो नहीं है, उससे अभिनेशन होना या नहीं। जब मालूम होता है कि प्रिमेशन नहीं होगा तब उस को हर साल कंडेम किया जाता है। 1969-70 में कुछ मेकदार को कंडेम किया, 1970-71 में कुछ मेकदार को कंडेम किया। इस तरह से तीन बरसीं तक वह कंडेंम किया। इस तरह से तीन बरसीं तक वह कंडेंम होता हिं।

श्री मचु लिमचे : मैंने यह कहा था कि 17 परसेंट भूमि ही हाई योनिंड म सीड्ज के छन्दर आई। यह मैंने कहा था। सरप्लस मैं ने महीं कहा । उत्तक छावि विकास का कार्यक्रम बिल्कुल मेंट गति से चल रहा है, इसलिए सर-प्लस हो गया।

श्री फलस्द्दीन झली झहमद :मैं ने कहा है कि मांग की बिना पर 1966 में, 1967 में और 1968 में जो फाउंडेशन सीड तैयार किये गए स्टेटस में और सैंटर में उनकी उतनी जरूरत ही नहीं हुई भीर इस वास्ते वे हर साल सरप्लस होते रहें । (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: You should not be pleased only when anything comes according to your satisfaction. Sometimes, it is not according to your satisfaction and even then, you should have patience to listen.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: The Minister is being misted by the officers. There is a need for seeds in this country. The officers tell him that seeds were surplus. We do not want our Minister to be misled by these officers.

श्री फलरुद्दीन ग्रली ग्रहमद : में ज्ञानरेवल मैक्बर्ज से कहुंगा कि वे इस बात पर गीर करें कि जहां तक दूसरे सीइस कारपोरेशन का सारुख्क है वह फाउंडेसन सोड तैयार करता है ग्रीर दूसरे लोग जी हैं वे सटिफाइड सीड वगैरह करते हैं । ये फाउंडेशन सीड जो हैं इनको इस कारपोरेणन के अलावा यनिवर्सिटीज भी तैयार कर रही है और कुछ लींग प्राइवेट तौर पर भी कर रहे हैं स्रौर जब एस्टीमेट किया जाता है तब यह मालून नहीं होता कि प्राइवेट की तरफ से कितना तैयार होगा, युनिवर्सिटीज की सरफ से किसना होगा। सर्व्जस को हका इन सालों में उसको देखते हुए अब फैसला किया गया है कि जब तक स्टेट गवर्क्येट्स और सैंटन गवनिष्ट्रेस से इंडेंट नहीं भाएसीड कारणोरेशम के पास उस बक्त तक फाउंडेशन सीड वगैरह तैयार करने के लिये कोई स्टेप न लिये जाएं।

यह नई पालिसी है और हम अम्मीद करते हैं कि इस नई पालिसी की वज्ह ने अब आईदा इतना सरप्लस शायद न हो जिस को हमें कंडेम करना पड़े थोर बेचना पड़े।

जहां तक उनके सब स्टंडर्ड होने की बात है स्टेट गर्जनमंटस उनको टैस्ट करतो है और देखती हैं और जो अच्छा सीड होता है वही दिया जाता है। मेरे स्थाल से यह इनफार्में भने गलत है कि को फाउंडेशन बसोंड हम तैया कर रहे हैं, वह खराय है। भांग यगैयह क होने की संजह से वह सरस्वस हो जाता है।

श्री मधुलिमये : मैंने नहीं कहा कि खराब सीड तैयार कर रहे हैं।

बी फलक्होन प्रती भहमः : जो सीड एक्युमलेट हुमा वह इसिनए नहीं कि लोगों की मांग थी और हमने उनको बेचा नहीं बिक्क इसिनए हुमा कि जरूरत से ज्यादा सीड इन सालों में हुमा और उसकी बजह से खराब हुआ। इस बास्ते आईदा साल में उसकी बेचा गया।

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE (Betul): On a point of order, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER: No point of order during the Calling Attention Motion. We have the practice of not entertaining any point of order either during the Question Hour or during the Calling Attention Motion. This is the practice that we have been following. Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu.

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: On a point of clarification.

Is it just an academic question? He asked two most important questions. He made an allegation about wilful manipulation of accounts and he asked what specific action the Minister was going to take on account of this terrible loss to which this Corporation has been put. Should these not be an answer to that? (Interruptions.)

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Salve, please sit down.

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra): We are quite prepared to wait patiently for an answer. Let him think about it and answer. Meanwhile, we shall suspend the proceedings of the House. But he must answer these questions.

MR. SPEAKER: This is not a point of order. This i_S just a submission. Only those members whose names are in the list can ask questions.

श्री फलरुद्दोन ग्रली ग्रहमद: मालूम नहीं श्रानरेबल मैंम्बर इतने एक्साइटेड क्यों हैं। सीड स्टाक में रखा गया। मनुपलेशन का यहां नहीं श्राता है। जो जॉमनेशन के काबिल नहीं होता है उसको कंडम करके बेच दिया जाता है।

श्री मचु लिमये : स्टाक रिवैल्यू किया जाता है । आडिटर की रिनोर्ट है । प्राफिट दिखाते हैं । यह मैनुपलेशन आफ एकाउंट्स हैं । गवनेंमेंट की अगर राय यह है कि मैनुपलेशन नहीं है तो वैसा कहिये ।

श्री फलक्हीन मली महमद: उस वक्त उसकी वैल्यू क्या है वह निकाली जाती है श्रीर उसके वेसिस पर एकाउंट्स तैयार किये जाते हैं।

श्री मधु लिमये: ग्रपवर्ड रिविजन हुग्रा है...

Revaluation is not devaluation.

MR. SPEAKER: I think, you are also a Jyotirmoyed. Otherwise, there was nothing else. You had turn.

Also I would like to say this. Mr. Madhu Limaye raised a very vital question. If the Minister is not in a position to answer now, or if he thinks that the position is clear even later on, he may look into it.

Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu.

SHR JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): The affairs of the National Seeds Corporation are a typical example of the performance of the ruling party. They are making a mess of the whole thing in this Rs. 250-crore public sector project. I will give certain examples.

We were alarmed to see a report in the newspaper that the National Seeds Corporation recently destroyed wheat seed worth about Rs. 1 crore because it was found to be sub-standard—the Chairman, a defeated member of the ruling party told newsmen... (Interruptions). All right, I withdraw it—a member of the ruling partly told newsmen.

Again there is an other newspaper report dated 23rd June, 1972. It talks about Rs. 2-crore worth of seed having been damaged. We want a clear and categorical answer on that.

There is another newspaper report dated the 3rd December, 1972 which says:

"The National Seeds Corporation has suffered a loss of over Rs. 55 lakhs during 1971-72 owing to the damage caused to its accumulated stock of high-yielding seeds".

In the statement—I call it a statement of account—given by the hon. Minister, Shri F. A. Ahmed, for whom I have no disregard—but this Ministry is nothing but an awful mess—it is said:

"The National Seeds Corporation normally do not destroy condemned seeds of foodcrops".

Now, what is the abnormally prevailing? I have given three or four sets of example. Mr. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Saheb may kindly explain what is the abnormality that is surrounding the National Seeds Corporation of India to-day, a 250-crore project, requiring them to destroy seeds worth Rs. 1 crore over a very short span of a year. I have given a statement given on authority. I do not wish to say that it has been given

by the Chairman, but it has been given by an authority which says that the National Seeds Corporation condemned seeds of the value of Rs. 16.16 lakhs in 1969-70, Rs. 16.93 lakhs in 1970-71, which suddenly jumps to Rs. 70.37 lakhs in 1971-72. Now you have a lot of skeletons in the cup-board, Mr. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Saheb beacuse what has happened? These figures are cooked figures because the loss of 1970-71 is the same as of 1969-70. What has happened in the meantime? The average annual loss of Rs. 16.90 lakhs jumped to Rs. 70.37 lakhs in 1971-72. We want a clear and categorical answer about that.

Another thing, the residual value, strangely enough, in the case of Rs. 16.16 lakhs, was Rs. 6.25 lakhs and in the case of Rs. 16.93 lakhs it was Rs. 6.73 lakhs but in the case of Rs. 70.37 lakhs it was just less than double—Rs. 12.09 lakhs. Would you kindly make a note and explain this to us?

I would also want to know and the House is also interested to know the fullest possible details of the disposals of the seeds that you have condemned or you thought unfit. Also we want to know whether the seeds were treated with chemicals and pesticides for their preservation which make them unfit for human consumption by human-beings, birds or cattle. I also would like to know whether they are treated with insecticides and chemicals for preservation purposes.

There was a report about the Chairman of the National Seeds Corporation who seems to be more involved in the politics. This is Hindustan Times Editorial which says:

"The report that the Chairman of the National Seeds Corporation seems to be more involved in politics than in advancing the activities of the Corporation comes as disconcerting evidence of an increasing tendency to treat such offices as sinecures or as resuscitation centres for aspirant politicians to the detriment of the public good."

As I have said it in the beginning these corporations have become an asylum for Congressmen. Then it goes on to say:

"The NSC has been under a cloud for some time. Following a debate in the Lok Sabha last year, a parliamentary committee was appointed to inquire into several complaints of malpractices and questionable transactions resulting in loss..."

Serious loss. It also says:

"There are complaints from farmers that they do not get good foundation seed without using political pressure, or they are supplied with sub-standard seed."

Sir, they have made this National Seeds Corporation a playground for politicians. Sir, this is the Editorial of 26th February 1972. Will the Minister kindly tell us—I have asked a few questions. When was the Parliamentary Committee constituted? What were the terms of reference of the Committee and when were they supposed to submit their report?

Its internal administration is also another scandal.

The National Seeds Corporation, a Government of India undertaking is faced with a seedy problem. With its Chairman mostly on 'official tour' in his home town and the Managing Director's chair vacant for more than nine months, many of the projects of the Corporation remain unimplemented for want of decision making at the top levels. This is what a report in Hindustan Times of 24th February, 1972 says. It then goes on to say:

[Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu]

"The tour programme file of the Chairman who took over as Chairman in August last shows that he has been visting Yeotmal or Nagpur on an average three times a month, each trip lasting about a week on official duty...

Inquiries suggest that the National Seeds Corporation has no project of any significance in Yeotmal or Nagpur to warrant the Chairman's frequent official visits. On the other hand the Chairman has reportedly not so far found time to visit any of the major foundation seed farms or distribution centres such as Pantnagar."

The whole thing is in a mess. The administration is in a mess. It has become a playground for the Congress politicians and the people are making money like making hey while the sun shines.

SHRI PILOO MODY: Even the sun has gone out.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Also Members of Parliament have complained that its funds are misused, but the Minister said, 'We do not accept it.'.

The widespread complaint is that seeds are sold to their own at exorbitant prices. It is sold through their own agents who are privileged agents! We want a clear, categorical, answer to these questions. What have they got to say about the disposal of these seeds and whether they were chemically treated or not? Will he reply regarding my other point concerning charges with regard to appointments?

SHRI F. A. AHMED: Taking the last point first, I have no such report that they were sold at exorbitant prices or to their favourites and if he has any specific case, he can hand it over to me and I will make enquiries about this matter. He asked

why the value in 1971-72 is more than in previous years. This is obvious for the simple reason that the surpluses were available from 1966, 1967 and 1968. More and more quantities became available in 1971 which were condemned. I think I have already replied on this point. Therefore, the value was more in 1971-72 than in earlier years.

I think the Committee which he mentioned was set up in 1972. I will verify it, but my impression is, 1972. We have got to await the present Committee's report which is expected in September 1973 and then when the report is available we will take necessary action. I have already said that it is not wheat, but this relates to soyabeen, maize, bajra, jute and various other things. That is not because they were not disposed of, but because they were surplus. I have got the details here. If you like I can given the figure so far as surplus is concerned. It is true that the chemicals were treated with chemicals but before they were disposed of they were washed and cleaned. This was done before they were disposed of for poultry and so on.

13.00 hrs.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN: (Tellicherry): It is very from the statement made by the hon. Minister that the National Seeds Corporation has been running into a mess. From the statement of the Minister it was clear that there were surplus seeds which they wanted to dispose of. I do not know why the Government could not make a scientific survey to know exactly what is the seed requirement of the country. This institution is being financed in a big way. They must have such resources by which they can make from time to time the necessary survey so that the seed requirements of the country would be understood and the seeds produced according to the necessities. But, it is very clear now from what fust new Shri Bash had

that on three or four occasions crores of rupees worth of seeds had been disposed of or destroyed. And it has become a routine business with the National Seeds Corporation. Therefore, I would like to know whether the Government conducting any survey from time to time regarding the requirements of seeds in the country and whether they are producing the seeds according to the requirements. If they do not do it, what exactly are the reasons which are standing in the way of the Government to know as to why they are not doing that? The Government, while answering a question of Dr. Sen, stated that they had already appointed a Committee headed by a Member of Parliament to look into the matter of corruption, nepotism and favouritism. The Minister sat down quietly. Here is a report in which the name of Shri Gadgil has been mentioned who is heading the Committee. If I am not mistaken, I think, he is also one of the Members of the Board of Directors which is accused of corruption. And it is very strange that for this body which is accused of corruption, which is accused of nepotism as also favouritism, you have one of the members of the Board of Directors and put him in the Chair. And he is now sitting in judgment. This will not bring justice and this will also not solve the problem. Why I am saying it is this. The employees of the Corporation are thoroughly dissatisfied and it is impossible for the Government to expect their cooperation to run the Corporation on a sound basis. If you want to run the Corporation in a reasonable manner, then you should also ensure that justice will done be the employees. The committee appointed not bring any justice demanded by the employees of the Corporation which you have promised. In this report, on page 7, it has been emphasised on the role of the private sector as against the public sector. In the field of Seeds Corporation, my fear is that there is a deliberate attempt to sabotage the public sector in the interests of the private sector. I would like to know from Government what is the policy with regard to this role of the public sector as also that of the private sector. You say that the committee appointed will be headed by a Member of Parliament who is a member of the board of directors. I want to know whether you are conducting, from time to time, surveys regarding the needs for producing the seeds according to requirements.

SHRI F. A. AHMED: As I pointed out earlier, so far as production of seeds from 1966 to 1968 is concerned, it was all phased as per the targets fixed. But, unfortunately, the target figure fixed for the requirements of seeds was such that the requirements of seeds became surplus and for some reason or the other, that target could not be achieved.

DR. RANEN SEN: What was the assessment made? This was his question.

SHRI F. A. AHMED: After that, when we found that that was not the correct procedure to follow, we had started a new procedure namely that unless and until an indent is placed on the State Government and the Central Government indicating their requirements, steps for producing the foundation seeds will not be taken up by the National Seeds Corporation. Over and above that, we are holding meetings at the zonal level every six months to ascertain the requirements of the States within each zone. And what is to be undertaken by the National Seeds Corporation and what is to be undertaken by other authorities is also assessed, and on that basis, the programme for the Seeds Corporation is drawn up and the production of foundation-seed is undertaken.

So far as the appointment of a Member of Parliament for making an inquiry is concerned, I have nothing against that Member, and I hope that

[Shri F. A. Ahmed] whatever is proper will be ascertained by him and will be placed before us as a recommendation for us to examine and then taken a decision on

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN: Here is a body which has been accused of nepotism etc., and we find that one of the members on the board of directors has been appointed as the chairman of an inquiry committee, as if there was no other MP vailable. It is a very strange thing at is happening.

SHRI F. A. AHMED: I have no doubt that the Member of Parliament who is making this inquiry into the working of the Seeds Corporation will give a report which would be proper and fair. There is nothing against the Member, and I have every confidence in him.

SHRI H. N. MUKERJEE cutta-North-East): What is all this? He is himself a member of the board of directors. How can he conduct the inquiry? How can this pass muster?

DR. RANEN SEN: How can he choose one of the members of the board of directors for this inquiry?

SHRI H. N. MUKERJEE: How can it pass muster? He is a member of the board of directors, and he is also chairman of the Inquiry Committee conducting an Inquiry into the misdoings of the board of directors. How can this pass muster? There must be a rational explanation.

SHRI SHYAM NANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai): The very purpose of the inquiry will be frustrated, if he does not reply to this point satisfactorily.

SHRI H. N. MUKERJEE: How can he say I have every confidence'? Who the hell is he? A great Moghul?

MR. SPEAKER: There is a privilege motion by Shri Ram Ratan Sharma...

SHRI H. N. MUKERJEE: thi kind of thing comes up, is it not necessary to have some little satis/action? The hon. Minister said that he has confidence in him. Who the hell is he? He must tell us and he must satisfy the House, and he is responsible to this House.

236

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam): It is a very crucial guestion. The activities of the board have been called into question. We find that a member sitting in the board of directors has been asked to conduct the inquiry on the working of the board itself. How will it do justice to the case?

How can one expect an objective and impartial inquiry?

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. Minister has replied to the point already. Whether it is proper or not, it is not for me to tell him about it.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN: The House must be satisfied.

MR. SPEAKER: Prof. Mukherjee has raised it and it is for the Minister to satisfy him or not,

SHRI F. A. AHMED: I have nothing against the Member who is conducting the inquiry and I have every confidence in him.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN: have a submission to make. When the Members who are sitting in the Opposition put questions to the hon. Ministers, you often ask us to be relevant, and you often scold us when we go out of relevancy...

MR. SPEAKER: I do so for all sections of the House and not only for this side.

C. K. CHANDRAPPAN: SHRI When the Minister gives a totally irrelevant and absurd answer, you have to scold him and you have to pull him up.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: Please pull him up.

MR. SPEAKER: I do not scold anybody, I never scold anybody, but I only say 'Do not do it'. I do not like to scold anybody.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN: You guide us.

MR. SPEAKER: I have no guidance to give. I allowed the hon. Member to put the question, and the hon. Minister has replied to it.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: May I know whether the public purpose will be served by the kind of answer that he has given? There is a definite public purpose in tabling a calling attention motion.

MR. SPEAKER: Under the rules, the hon. Member has put the question, and the hon. Minister has answered it. Shri R. R. Sharma.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE (Rajapur): I had tabled an adjournment motion....

MR. SPEAKER: No, no. Please sit down. I have not allowed it.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: I want to seek a clarification. It is very important.

MR. SPEAKER: Clarification on what? It is not a subject for an adjournment motion. I never allow these matters under an adjournment motion.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Do not make adjournment motions so common and cheap.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: It relates to a specific failure of Government....

MR. SPEAKER: Because Judges are not being appointed, there should be an adjournment motion? No.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: I had tabled an adjournment motion. I have also given notice under rule 377. I have also tabled a call attention motion.

MR. SPEAKER: I did not allow the adjournment motion.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: The entire House is agreed that this is an urgent issue.

MR. SPEAKER: No. I have called Shri R. R. Sharma.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I do not hold it in order; it is not a matter for an adjournment motion. I am not going to allow it.

श्री मधु लिमथे (बांका) : स्थान न्द्रान क्यों नहीं ? एडजार्नमेन्ट मोशन क्यों नहीं ? यह तो असफलता है । एडजार्नमेन्ट मोशन किस लिये होता है, आप नियम देखिये ।

मध्यक्ष महोदय: मैंने देखकर बतलाया है।

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: It is a very clear failure of Government on the subject of appointment of Judges.

MR. SPEAKER: Do not bring forward such a fantastic proposition that Judges are not being appointed; therefore, there should be an adjournment motion

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: I am not allowing any body.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: When the proper time for 377 comes, I will see. So far as the adjournment motion is concerned, I am not allowing it.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: I have given notice under rule 377.

MR. SPEAKER: Please sit down. By merely giving notice, you are not entitled to raise it.

(Interruptions)