226

12.00 hrs.

RE: REPORTED FIRING ON COL-LIERY WORKERS IN KARGALI (BIHAR)

श्री घनशाह प्रधान (शहडोल) : अध्यक्ष जी, घनबाद (बिहार) के करिगल क्षेत्र के कोयला खान मजदूरों पर गोली चलाई गई है । कोयला खान के मजदूरों के साथ अत्याचार हो रहा है । मैं कोयला खान मजदूर हूं, मैं चाता हूं कि मुझे गोली मार दी जारे(व्यवधान)

MR. SPEAKER: I will ask the Minister to make a statement on it. I am sending it to the Minister to make a statement on it. (Interruptions) No, Short Notice Question. Mr. Naik—he is not here.

श्री घनशाह प्रवान : चार लोगों को गोली से मार दिया गया । वे लोग श्रपनी मेहनत का पैसा मांगने गये थे, लेकिन उन को गोली मार दी गई

श्रध्यक्ष महोदय : लेकिन इस ढंग से इस चीज को नहीं लाया जा सकता । इस के लिये तरीका बना हुग्रा है, इस तरीके से इस को यहां नहीं लाया जा सकता । चीज को लाने का कोई ढंग होता है, यह क्या तरीका है ?

श्री घनशाह प्रधान : ग्रघ्यक्ष महोदय,
मैं ग्रभी भी कोयला खान मजदूर हूं, पांच
साल की छुट्टी लेकर इस सदन में ग्राया हूं।
मैं निवेदन करना चाहना हूं कि उन मजदूरों
के साथ न्याय होना चाहिये। कस्टोडियन
ने सारे देश में तहलका मचा दिया है, सारा
देश उस से ग्रानं कित है......

ग्रन्थक्त महोदय : इस वक्त शार्टब ग्राना चाहिये, इस सवाल को कैसे लाया जा सकता है ? . . . (डयवघान) 3610 L.S.—9 I am taking the information. I will ask the Minister to make a statement. (Interruptions). May I request you all to please sit down? I have already asked the Minister to make a statement. If you want a Calling Attention, I have no objection. But I admitted in the morning one Calling Attention. If it has not gone in, we will replace it by this Calling Attention. (Interruptions). Well this Calling Attention will

इस तरह से कोई चीज रिकार्ड नही होगी न मैं इस की इजाजत दें रहा हूं

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER
OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE
REPORTED WITHDRAW OF CASE AGAINST
SHRI S. K. MODI AND OTHERS OF MODI
FLOUR MILLS

SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK (Rohtak): I call the attention of the Minister of Home Affairs to the following matter of urgent public importance and request that he may make a statement thereon:

"Reported withdrawal of against Shri S. K. Modi and two other officials of the Modi Flour Mills by the Lt. Governor of Delhi." MINISTER OF THE HOME. AFFAIRS (SHRI UMA SHANKAR DIKSHIT): On 10th February, 1973, a large number of bags of wheat were found in a godown of the Modi Cold Storage situated in the premises of Modi Flour Mills at Okhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi. It was suspected that the provisions of the Delhi Foodgrains Dealers Licencing (No. Order, 1964 and the Delhi prevention of Hoarding of Foodgrains Order, 1966 had been contravened. Therefore, the offices of the Flour Mills as well as the godown in the Cold Storage where the stock of wheat was found, were sealed and a police guard was posted in the premises of the Flour The Deputy Commissioner, Food & Civil Supplies, Delhi who is also Additional District Magistrate issued detention orders under the Maintenance of Internal Security Act,

[Shri Uma shankar Dikshit] 1971 in respect of the Director Secretary of the Modi Flour and Manager of the Modi Cold Storage on 14th February with a view to preventing them from acting in any manner prejudicial to the maintenance of supplies essential to the community.

Wathdrawal of

On a physical verification of stock in the sealed godowns, it was found that there were 4,133 bags of wheat. The police registered a case on 15th February under section 7 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 for contravention of the conditions of the licence issued under the Delhi Foodgrains Dealers Licencing (No. 2) Order, 1964 and of clause 3(i) of the Delhi prevention of Hoarding Foodgrains Order, 1966. On February warrants were obtained from the Court of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate for the arrest of these persons. On the 13th February proceedings were also instituted and orders of the Court obtained under section 87 and 88 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for declaring these person as proclaimed offenders and for attachment of their property. On February, the property of the Secretary and the Manager were attached in Delhi. On 23rd February orders for the attachment of the property of the Director in Meerut District were obtained and delivered to the District Magistrate, Meerut for execution. On the same day, the 24th Manager was arrested. On February the property of the Director located in Delhi was attached. The Director and the Secretary were arrested on the 27th February. All the three accused were released bail by the police.

After the issue of orders of detenunder theMaintenance Internal Security Act, and while the case against them under the Essential Commodities Act was under investigation a representation was received by the Delhi Administration on behalf of these persons from the Modi Flour Mills. It stated that the Flour Mills were working under the direct control and supervision of the Delhi Administration, the Cold Storage godown had been sealed and Flour Mills authorities would, in due course, face prosecution in a Court of Law. It was, therefore, requested that in the circumstances of the case the issue of detention orders may be reviewed.

Mills (C.A.)

The Lt. Governor, Delhi on ascertaining all the facts was satisfied that while the investigation of the criminal case under the Essential Commodities Act should proceed, it would not be necessary to invoke the provisions of the Maintenance of Internal Security Act, 1971 against these persons. detaining authority was advised accordingly and he revoked the orders detention against them. revokation of the orders of detention does not in any manner affect the investigation now in progress into the offences alleged to have been committed by these persons.

I have asked the Delhi Administration to pursue with expedition investigation of the case under Essential Commodities Act with view to bringing it before a Court of competent jurisdiction for trial very soon. There is no question of the criminal case under investigation being withdrawn

श्री मुस्तयार सिंह मलिक : स्पीकर साहब, इस मामले के हालात को देखकर भ्रौर मंत्री महोदय के स्टेटमेन्ट को सुनकर मैं कहने के लिए मजबूर होता हूं:

भ्रांख जो कुछ देखती है लब पे भ्रा सकता

मेहव-हैरत हं कि दुनिया क्या से क्या हो जायेगी ।

यह जो मामला इस तरह से दबाया गया है, जिन हलात में दबाया गया है, यह सेन्टल गवर्नमेन्ट के ऊपर बड़ा जबर्दस्त रेफ्लेंक्शन है। इनका जो स्टेट्स का काम है, यह

इनके इस ब्राइनें से देखा जा सकता है कि सेन्ट्रल गवनंमेन्ट की सीट के नीचे देहली में इस तरह के स्टेट्मेन्ट दे देते हैं, यह कांग्रेस का समाजवाद है। यह कहते हैं हम समाजवाद लाना चाहते हैं, हम गरीब की मदद करना चाहते हैं, हम होर्डर्स के खिलाफ हैं, हम मुनाफाखोरों के खिलाफ हैं, हम जमाखोरों के खिलाफ है लेकिन जमाखोरों को किस तरह से पनाह दी जाती है ? बहल साहब जो सिविल सप्लाईज के एक्जी-क्यटिव कौंसिलर हैं उनके पास मखबिर पहुंचता है कि मोदी के कोल्ड स्टोरेज में हजारों बोरियां इस तरह से दबाई गई हैं लेकिन बहल साहब टस से मस नहीं होते हैं। वह पूलिस के पास पहुंचता है ग्रौर डी एस पी ने ब्राई जी की मंजुरी से वहां पर छापा मारा । जिस समय वे वहां पर गए तो ताला लगा हुआ था। पुलिस ने ताला तोड़कर बोरियां गिननी शुरू कर दीं लेकिन तभी लाइट ब्राफ कर दी गई कोल्ड स्टोरेज में। 8.9 हजार बोरियां गिनी जा चुकी थीं लाइट करके तो कोल्ड स्टोरेज का जो टेम्प्रेचर था वह फीजिंग प्वॉइन्ट से भी नीचे गिरा दिया गया और फिर पुलिस को मजबूर होकर बाहर भ्राना पड़ा। इन हालात में अगले दिन चीफ कमिश्नर, सिविल सप्लाइज से प्रखबार नवीस जाकर मिले ग्रौर उनसे पूछा कि यह मामला क्या है तो वह कहते हैं हैं कि 10 हजार से ज्यादा वैग्ज हैं। यह 11 तारीख को ग्रखबार में ग्राया कि 10 हजार से ज्यादा वैग्त हैं। फिर उसके ऊपर केस दर्ज किया गया इंटर्नल सिक्योरिटी एकट के प्रत्यर । जो यहां दिल्ली का ला

डिपार्टमेन्ट है उसकी राय से यह केस इन्टर्नल सिक्योरिटी ऐक्ट में दर्ज किया गया । लेकिन बाद में क्या हुआ क्या नहीं हुआ कुछ पता नहीं। चीफ व्हिप जनसंघ पार्टी के जो हैं उन्होंने दो चार दिन के बाद जब कोई ऐक्शन नहीं हुन्रा तो कहा कि मामला दबा दिया गया है भ्रीर केस वापिस लिया जा रहा है भ्रौर ग्रखबार नवीस श्री राधारमण जी के पास पहुंचे भ्रौर उनसे पूछा कि जनसंघ वाले इल्जाम लगाते हैं कि स्राप दुनिया को भ्रम में डालना चाहते हैं तो वे कहते हैं में अपने बाप को भी नहीं छोड़गा, मोदी को छोड़ने की क्या बात है लेकिन प्रेशर बडा जबर्दस्त पड़ा हम्रा है । यह कहते हैं कि वारन्ट 18 तारीख को हो गया ग्रौर वारन्ट होने के बाद उन्होंने मोदी की कुर्की की लेकिन एक कुर्सी, एक जोड़ा जूता ग्रौर एक बिस्तर--इस सामान की कुर्की हुई। 18 तारीख को जब प्रोक्लेम्ड भ्राफेन्डर डिक्लेयर हो चुका था तो 25 तारीख को यहां पर बाई एम सी ए होस्टल में मद्रास के गवर्नर श्री के० के० शाह को पार्टी दी गई जिसमें होस्ट्स की जिस्ट में श्री एस० के० मोदी चीफ होस्ट थे भ्रौर चीफ एग्जीक्यूटिव कौंसिलर श्री राधारमण थे ..(व्यवधान)

श्री सिंसि भूषएा (दक्षिण दिल्ली) : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा प्वाइंट ग्राफ ग्राडंर है ।(व्यवज्ञान) मैं मोदी जी को डिफेन्ड नहीं करूगा, मोदी जी को सजा जरुर हो ग्रीर उससे हमको कोई भी सरोकार नहीं है लेकिन यहां पर श्री राज्ञा रक्ष्ण ग्रीर श्री ग्रींठ पीठ बहुल जी

का नाम लिया जा रहा है वह यहां नहीं हैं।
....(व्यवधान) श्री मोदी के समर्थक
तो यह विरोधी दल खुद है। श्री मोदी
को मैं कन्डेम करता हूं, उनको सजा होनी
चाहिए लेकिन श्रो० पी० बहल श्रीर राधा
रमण जी यहां पर मौजूद नहीं हैं उनके बारे
में जो गलत बातें यहां पर कही गई हैं मैं
उसका जिक कर रहा हूं।
(व्यवधान)....यह राजनैतिक वैमनस्य
है।

श्री मुस्तयार सिंह मलिक : 18 तारीख को प्रोक्लेम्ड भ्राफेन्डर डिक्लेयर हो गया लेकिन यहां की पुलिस गिरफ्तार करने में ग्रसमर्थ रही । 23 तारीख को उनके इन्विटेशन कार्ड छपते हैं तो उनमें मोदी का नाम श्री राधारमण जी के साथ होस्ट्स की लिस्ट में ग्राता है ।(क्यवधान) यही नहीं, श्री गुजरमल मोदी श्राते हैं श्रौर प्राइम मिनिस्टर से मिलते हैं श्रौर प्राइम मिनिस्टर कहती हैं दीक्षित साहब से जाकर मिल लें। ...(ब्यवधान) फिर गवर्नर श्री बालेश्वर प्रसाद को टेलीफोन किया तो उन्होंने कहा मामला बड़ा संगीन है श्रौर हमारी मजबूरी है ग्रखबारों में ग्रा चुका है, ग्राप एग्जिक्यूटिव कौंसलर से बात चीत करें। श्रपनी जिम्मेदारी जो वह है वह हमारे ऊपर हालना चाहते हैं। पता नहीं पिता जी ने क्या कसूर किया था जो राघारमण जी छोड़ना नहीं चाहते थें लेकिन रुपया लेकर इस केस को वापिस ले लिया गया। पार्टी मीटिंग में एतराज किया गया कि इन्टर्नल सिक्योरिटी का केस क्यों वापिस ले लिया गया ता भ्रपनी लाइल्मी जाहिर करते हैं कि यह तो मामला ला एण्ड आर्डर का था। गवर्नर साहब ने कैंसे ले लिया, हमें पता नहीं कि ला डिपार्टमेन्ट की मंजूरी से उनकी नोटिस में लाया गया था। श्रीर किस तरह से श्रापस में सौदेबाजी हुई यह तो इन की श्रात्मा जानती होगी। यह एक तरफ़ तो समाजवाद के नारे लगग्ना चाहते हैं। (व्यवधान)।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : माननीय सदस्य हाउस में इस तरह क्यों करते हैं, मुझ पर छोड़िये, मैं देख लूंगा। सब एक साथ बोलते हैं तो न ग्रापकी बात समझ में ग्राती है, ग्रौर न कुछ काम होता है।

श्री मूस्तयार सिह मिलक : मैं मंत्री जी से पूछना चाहता हूं ग्रगर ग्राप को मोदी के खिलाफ़ केस ही वापस लेना था तो रेड करने ग्रीर इंटर्नल सेक्योरिटी ऐक्टके ग्रन्दर केस दर्ज करने का क्या मकसद था ? जिस तरह की कार्यवाही इस केस में की गई क्या इस से साफ नहीं होता कि ग्राप की पार्टी जमाखोरों को शील्ड करती है । (ध्यवधान) क्या मंत्री जी बतायेंगे कि श्री के० के० शाह, गवर्नर मद्रास को, जो यहां पार्टी दी गयी उस के ग्रन्दर उन के साथ श्री राधारमण ग्रीर एस० के० मोदी का नाम होस्ट्स की लिस्ट में शामिल था ?

म्रध्यश महोदय : श्राप सवाल पूछिये ।

श्री मुस्तियार सिंह मिलक : मैं सवाल ही पूछ रहा हूं। मैं जाना चाहता हूं कि इंटर्नेल सेक्योरिटी ऐक्ट के ग्रन्दर मामला दिल्ली ऐडिमिनिस्ट्रेशन के ला डिपार्टमेंट से राय ले कर दर्ज किया गया था ? यदि हां, तो बाद में केस वापस लेते वक्त ला डिपार्टमेंट से राय क्यों नहीं ली गयी ? श्रौर ग्राप के कहने पर लेफ्टीनेंट गवर्नर के टेलीफोन पर डिप्टी किमिश्नर को मजबूर किया गया कि केस वापस ले लिया जाय। तो इस किस्म का घोटाला हो रहा है तो क्या ग्राप सिविल सप्लाईज डिपार्टमेंट के इस घोटाले की ग्रोर श्रपने एग्जीक्यूटिव काउन्सिलर्स के सारे मामले की जुडिशियल इनक्वायरी कराने के लिये तैयार हैं या नह ? क्या ग्राप बतायेंगे कि गूजर मल मोदी के ऐप्रोच करने पर केस वापस लिया गया या नहीं ? (व्यवधान)

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : रोज ग्राप लोग ऐसे ही करते हैं।

श्री उमाशंकर दीक्षित : श्रीमन्, इन्होंने वार सवाल किये हैं। पहला सवाल यह है कि मकसद क्या या डिटेंगन ग्रार्डर जारी करने का मेन्टेनेंस ब्राफ इंटरैंल सेक्योरिटी ऐक्ट के भ्रन्दर ? उस का विधान यह है कि यदि शासन या ग्रधिकृत ग्रधिकारी को यह आशंका हो कि कोई व्यक्ति ऐसा काम करने वाला है जिस से म्रांतरिक सुरका में विघ्न पड़ने वाला है, या हानि होने वाली है तो वह उस को डिटेंशन का भ्रार्डर दे सकता है। डिटेंशन के पांच दिन के भ्रन्दर उनको कारण की सूचना त्यिक्त को देनी होती है। डिटेंशन भ्रादेश को किसी समय रिवोक भी कर सकते हैं। पांच दिन के अन्दर कारण देने के अतिरिक्त इपकी सूचना तुरन्त राज्य शामन को देना होता है और 12 दित के अन्दर शामन को निर्णय

लेना है कि डिटेंशन म्रादेश का पुष्टीकरण किया जाय ग्रयवा नहीं । ग्रगर पुष्टी करना उचित समझा गया तो शामन मामले को एक माह के ग्रन्दर एडवाइजरी बोर्ड के पास भेजता है ग्रीर बोर्ड यदि कारणों को ठीक समझता है तो डिटेंशन ग्रार्डर कायम रहना है । ग्रीर ग्रगर कारण ठीक नहीं है तो एड-वाइजरी बोर्ड द्वारा हुक्म होने पर डिटेंशन ग्रार्डर खत्म हो सकता है ।

इस में यह है कि उन को यह शक गडवडी का था जैसा कि पहले माननीय सदस्य ने बताया कि जब वह गिनना चाहते थे तो वहां से उन को मदद नहीं मित्री, 12 श्रीर 13 तारीख को किसी ने मदद नहीं दी। तब 14 तारीख को उन्हों ने यह कार्यवाही की मेन्टेनेंस आफ़ इंटर्नल सेक्योरिटी ऐक्ट में। श्रीर उन पर दबाव डाल कर एमेन्सियलः कमोडिटीज ऐक्ट के अन्दर कार्यवाही की जा सके, इन बारे में भी कदम उठाये गये। जैसा मैंने बताया एक के बाद एक कार्यवाहियां की गयों। उस के बाद जब सारा इंतजाम हो गया, गिनती हो गई, मीजर हो गया, वहां पर इंसोक्टर खड़े कर दिये गये ग्रोर कालका जी पुलिस स्टेशन में ब्राफ़िसर ने रिपोर्ट कर दी, उस के अन्दर कार्यवाही हो गई स्रोर गिरफ्तारियां एपशियल कमोडिटी ज ऐक्ट के अन्दर हो गयीं। जैसा आप को मालूम है इस में गिरफ्तारी नहीं होनी डिटेंशन के श्रन्दर । यह तो एक निश्चित समय के लिए डिटेंशन होता है।

लेफ्टीनट गवर्नेर के पास जब यह मामला गया ग्रीर उन्होंने पहले की नबीरें देखीं तो

[भी उमाशंकर बीकित]

उन्होंने कहा कि एपेंशियल कमोडिटीज ऐक्ट के मातहत पहले से ही कार्यवाही हो रही है इसलिए प्रव इस में इम की कार्यवाही करने की जरूरत नहीं है। यह उन का लिखा हुआ ग्रांडिंग है।

दूसरा सवाल उन्होंने श्री के० के० णाह को दो गई पार्टी के बारे में उठाया । . . . (**व्यवधान**) . . .

The Lt. Governor is not an officer who can be influenced by anybody. Any one who knows that officer has found him to be an officer of integrity. (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: Order, order. I am not permitting any one. I will only listen to the Minister. (Interruptions).

श्री उमाशंकर दीक्षित : दूसरी बात उ होंने यह कही कि रिसेप्शन में मोदी मौजूद थे, उन के नाम से स्त्रागत का इन्द्रिटेशन निकला था। ग्रसनी बात यह है कि यह रिसेज्जन 28 तारीख को इस था और 27 तरिख को बेल हो गया था। वह अरेस्ट हो चुके थे ग्रीर बेल ग्राउन हो चुके थे। (व्यवधान । . . यह गतत तात है कि 28 तारीख को वहां मौजूद थे । (क्यदंभान) . . . रिसेप्शन यदां कसे दिये जाते हैं ? सब के नाम छाप कर पहले से भेज बिये जाने हैं। इस लिये उर के 28 तारीख को वहां होने का सवाल ही नहीं उठता है। यहां पर रेग ऐलिगेशन लगाये जाते हैं। जसा रैं ने पहले कहा, वर 100 फीमदी, सत विषय प्रश्नुत हैं, विकार किनिराधार है, के की भी हीं है में तो के मिनी की समस्य बतलाय कि कैसे कहा कहा । मैं कहता हूं कि नहीं हुई है यह बात . (व्यवचान) . .

तीमरी बात यह है कि . . (**य्यद्यान**) . . . मेरी ग्रानेस्टी कम से कम उन लोगों मे बेहतर है । . . . (य्**यवधान**) . . .

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu, please don't pass such irresponsible remarks.

SHRI UMA SHANKAR DIKSHIT: Sir in fifty-two years of my public life at no time even a false accusation has made against me.....(Interruptions).

My integrity has never been doubted by anybody any time in these 52 years of my public life. (Interruptions). I challenge you..... गलत कह रहें हैं कि गुज्जरमल मोदी ने मुझ से कहा या मेरे एपर असर डालने की कोशिश की। यह बिलकुल निराधार बात है (ध्यवधान)

भःयक महोत्रयः भाग लोग बैठेंगे या नहीं (य्ववसान)

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Kachwai, why are you interrupting the House? (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: Shri Mishra, I did not permit you

The remarks of the gentlemen who are not called by me to speak will not come on record.

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN (Kangra): To-day, India is facing a condition of scarcity. The monsoons have failed and rains have failed and there is drought condition and there is shortage of essential commodities like wheat, rice, sugar and so forth. But, apart from the drought, another major

factor which has caused the scarcity is the hoarder and we, on our part, are committed to eliminate this class absolutely and that is why we have said that the Government should take over the entire grains trade. But who is defending this class of hoarders? (Interruptions). I have not taken the name of any party. I have only asked a question: Who is defending this class of hoarders in Parliament and outside Parliament?

So far as the Congress Party is concerned it has decided to eliminate this class, because this class takes advantage of the miseries of people. Here, cheap tactics are being played and cheap propaganda is being carried on and allegations are made that Congress Government and protect the leaders are trying to the hoargers. If you go through speeches of some of these Opposition followers you leaders and their find that day in and day will shouting at the out, they are their voices that of profiteers should hoarders and allowed to remain and there should not be any take over of the whole-This is what they sale trade. are When they come to the saying. House, they pick up an instance and throw it at the face of Government. Now, they are trying to shed crocodile tears. Not only are they sheddin crocodile tears, but they are trying to take advantage of the immunity given to them, and they are throwing charges left and right on Members defend cannot who themselves. Therefore, the people who are shedding crocodile tears like my friends sitting opposite should not be allowed to protect these hoarders. (Interruptions). Therefore, questions are as follows. When will the Congress Government eliminate this class of hoarders and will they eliminate this class of hoarders and wholesalers by rabi 1973? Will they also increase the penalty and impose the minimum penalty on the class of hoarders who are taking advantage of the scarcity conditions prevalent in our country and raise the maximum

penalty to 10 years' rigorous imprisonment? (Interruptions).

SHRI UMA SHANKAR DIKSHIT: The hon. Member has made a suggestion and also put it in the form of a question, namely whether Government will consider the advisability of provisions strengthening the penal Essential Commodities the under Act, so that much stronger measures can be taken and so that they can have a salutary and deterrent effect? On behalf of Government, I am in a position to say that we shall examine this seriously.

SHRI BIRENDER SINGH RAO (Mahendragarh): This incident throws light on many aspects of the political and administrative situation prevailing in the union territory of Delhi. The press and the public as well as this House are rightly agitated over the things as they have been happening.

The Executive Councillor in charge the of Civil Supplies and Chief Executive Councillor have both expressed their pitiable and miserable helplessness to do anything. In spite of all that, they say that it was at the instance of the Executive Countaken. cillor that action was later on they were not consulted. In an important matter like this, why should the Administration not consult the Chief Executive Councillor and the Executive Councillor? Is it because the Chief Executive Councillor happens to be a nominated person that he does not enjoy respect? Would the hon Minister be pleased to say whether, in his opinion, it is fair to the people of Delhi that they should not have their democratic rights and they should not be able to rule their own affairs? Would Government terminating the think of present status of Dellii and either making it full-fledged State, as has demanded by the people, or merging the Corporation area of Delhi with the neighbouring Haryana State

john Birendra Singh Rao]

that it becomes the capital of Haryana and the people can take part in their Governments? If Delhi is too sman for a State, the New Delhi area may be brought under the Central Government and the rest of the Delhi area could be merged with Haryana. New Delhi can remain the capital of the country and Delhi can remain the capital of Haryana like Washington DC which is the capital of the United States as well as the capital of a State. There should be no difficulty about it.

I would like to narrate the events that followed this incident as there is something fishy about them. raid took place on 10th February as the hon. Minister has stated. detention orders were passed on 14 February, four days later, in an important matter like this, in a crime of this nature. Then the warrants of arrest were issued on 17 February. seven days later. The police failed to arrest those persons under Maintenance of Internal Security Act. Then proceedings under secs. 87 and 88 of the Cr.P.C. were started on 18 February. They were declared proclaimed offenders after 8 days, that is 18 February. Then the property of the Secretary and Manager was attached on 21 February, 11 days after the raid. The property of the Director which was in Delhi was not attached, but court orders were obtained for attaching his property first in Meerut, and a day later his property in Delhi was attached. I would like to know, since it has not been stated by the hon. Minister when were the orders under the Maintenance Internal Security Act revoked? No date has been given. (Interruptions). They have not said it. Then persons were arrested on the 27th. 17 days after the raid. To all purposes, this lethargy and inaction on the part of the police was only meant to give them time to approach authorities and evade arrest.

Now they were taken into custody, but they were immediately released by the police on bail. They were not produced before the Magistrate.

I would like to know whether Government had not given an assurance in this House while the Maintenance of Internal Security Bill was under discussion that this legislation was meant to take action against hoarders, profiteers, smugglers and other antisocial elements. When the Opposition wanted to know whether would not be misused against political persons, the Government gave an assurance that it would not be used. But we have seen in the past that some hon, members of this House have been taken into custody kept in jail under the Maintenance of Internal Security Act several times.

I can give one example. My hon. friend, Shri Dhote, a member of this House, was arrested and detained twice under the Maintenance Internal Security Act. But in the case of these persons who are feeling so warm and cosy under the protect.ve wings of the benign government here right under its nose in Delhi, they can get away so easily with all these anti-social crimes. I would like to know whether these gentlemen. the Modies or the other Modi-(Interruptions) I would like to whether the Modi who interceded on behalf of another Modi has some relationship with the other; whether it is the same Modi who has been given the Padma Bhushan for his services to the country only sometime back; where S. K. Modi also has recommended in the past by Delhi Administration for a similar award by the Central Government; while atta is selling at Rs. 1.60 per kilogram there is hoarding of over 4,000 bags of wheat in one particular mill above. I just cannot understand the Government feeling so soft towards these people; I cannot understand the attitude of the Government in whatever manner the hon. Minister might like to defend the action of the Administration or the Lt.-Governor. Could there be a fitter case for action under the Security Act?

I would also like to know for the sake of information what are schemes that these mill various owners and hoarders have for making excessive profits by hoarding; whether t was to make suji out of it or maida why this person wanted to avoid the civil supplies rules and regulations? Government is taking What action against the police that was inactive for 17 days, giving them plenty of time to arrange for their bail and withdrawal of the orders under the Maintenance of Internal Security Act. and whether the Government would consider putting an end to this farce of the Metropolitan Council in Delhi, so that the people can fully participate in the administration of the State. The people are only governed through the Lt.-Governor now. In the Metropolitan Council, they are given highsounding names like the Chief Executive Councillor and the Executive Councillors and they are unable to do anything. I am really surprised why Mr. Radha Raman and the Members of the Executive Council who so helpless have not resigned if they really have any sympathy for people in Delhi.

These are the questions which I would like the hon. Minister to reply; he should clarify the Government's position because this is a matter which has rightly agitated the minds of the people today.

SHRI UMA SHANKAR DIKSHIT: Sir, the hon. Member has finally summarised his long speech in the form of three or four questions. I shall endeavour to give all the information that I have about it. First, he says why was the stock hoarded. Obviously, as this was not accounted for in the books of the mill's godown or was there in No. 5 and 6 cold storage godown, it could not perhaps be for any legitimate purpose, as far as is possible for us to say, at this stage; because the manager himself on the first day said that there was no other stock anywhere in the godowns known to him. Under the licensing order, a description of the godown has to be given or the company's name or the name of the establishment has to be given, which implies that all grain stock etc., should be kept there. In this case it was not kept there. Therefore, it could not be accounted for any purpose which comes within the purview or is mentioned in order. There is another provision in the order that if this is used speculative purposes-this is another undesirable or unsocial way of being used by him or whoever it is-for speculative purposes, it is an offence. This might be the purpose for which this could have been done, as far as it is possible for us to infer from what has happened under the provisions of the Act.

Then he says: why did the police take such a long time? The police did not take a long time. They pursued the matter day after day. Only on the first day, 12th and 13th, when they expected them to come and cooperate in the counting of the bags, they had given oral orders. On the issued a written order 14th they requiring them to be present on that day; the manager came and at that time in order to strengthen his intimation, he issued this detention order. Therefore, so far as the police is concerned, I personally think, as far as records go, they did not allow any delay in the matter.

He suggested in a rather roundabout way to begin with, and finally quite directly that the Delhi capital area should be attached to Haryana and that it should form part of Haryana State. I think except Rao Birender Singh, no other Member of this House would like to support such a fantastic proposition, not even the Members of the Opposition, though reasons may differ from either side.

Evidently he referred to the order being passed by the Lieut. Governor. As the Act and the Administrative Orders under which the Lieut. Governor, the Executive Council and the Councillors function, the reserved subjects can be dealt with only by [Shri Uma Shankar Dikshit]

the Lieut. Governor; only the transferred subjects can be dealt with by the others. By consent or convention or tradition, certain amount of overlapping sometimes takes place in the matter of supply of information, consultation, etc. In this particular case, Executive Councillor referred the matter to the Lieut. Governor that this was a reserved subject and he should pass orders. Therefore so far as the police, the Deputy Commissioner, the Executive Councillor-so far as these people are concerned, in no case can you object to what has been done. The Lieut. Governor says: I have satisfied myself, on consideration of all the circumstances of the case, and passed these orders. (Interruptions).

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): Before I start, I know that Mr. Dikshit has given a certificate in favour of Lieut. Governor Mr. Baleshwar Prasad. In this connection, may I ask his goodself to tell us whether, when he was posted in Bihar an enquiry was instituted, headed by Mr. Puri on certain charges......

MR. SPEAKER: It is not relevant.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: The Home Minister's reply to me sounded like that of one coming from the spokesmen of the Chamber of Commerce. The Prime Minister asked the people to fight the hoarders and Here it says; "while profiteers. addressing the people's Forum for Radical Socialism, the Prime Minister, Shrimati Indira Gandhi on Monday asked the people to fight anti-social elements who indulge in jingoism on the one hand and hoarding, profiteering and black-marketing on the other." Mr. Dikshit's party, the Indian National Congress, has always promised to hang the black-marketeers by the nearest lamp post of course there is scarcity of lamp posts in the country. The proof of the pudding is in the eating. Let us see how they behaved. Incidentally, this Mody is one of those Modis of Modinagar, a

nephew of K. N. Modi and G. M. Modi, a very favourite of No. 1, Safdarjung Road—very powerful and very influential.... (Interruptions).

One of them was given Padma Bhushan immediately after he initiated police firing at Modinagar killing 12 workers. I want to ask the Home Minister if some of them came to see the Prime Minister and the Home Minister. If not, he can deny it. In actual fact, the hidden quantity of wheat kept for making suji and maida was I lakh quintals. The Planning Commission sponsored Consumers' Council of India had written a letter to the Commissioner of Civil Supplies. Delhi Administration. I have got a copy of it from the file. It says:

"We have received a number of complaints about the malpractices allegedly being adopted by flour Delhi, specially mills in Modi Flour Mills. According to the complaints, the mills are allegedly hoarding wheat in large quantities not only for making them available for blackmarket but also to turn them to maida, suji, etc., supply them to leading hotels. We also learn that leading hotels like Oberoi, Ashoka etc, are buying maida for their consumption at abnormal prices."

This letter is dated 16th January, more than a month ago. What did they do? There was no action, no no acknowledgement. This reply. wheat was purchased at a price below Rs. 80 a guintal. Today maida is being sold at Rs. 400 a quintal in the bazar. If they had succeeded in doing this, they would have made at least Rs. 3 crores of black money with people's blood and sweat under the patronage and protection of this Government. But things moving. When the letter went, they removed 90,000 quintals of wheat from the flour mills within the 3 weeks' time they had at their disposal. We pressurised the police? I am proud to say that the workers' union started insisting on the police.

The matter became public and it could not be suppressed any longer. Then a raid had to be conducted, with of course, part prior intimation on 10th February 1973. Mr. Dikshit is an elderly gentleman and should be truthful. He looks to be so quiet that I expect him to be truthful. Was this seized stock sealed then and there? Was it not a fact that during that period and at a later date another 6.000 bags were allowed to be stealthily removed? We have evidence. Was Mr. Behal present from the very beginning of the raid or did he arrive afterwards? A drama was enacted. The real culprits were allowed to go underground. Impressive shows were demonstrated. The Maintenance of the Internal Security Act, which is nonbailable, was applied and also the Essential which is bailable. Commodities Act, Attachment orders of Mr. Mody's properties were duly advertised in the papers, because people should know that this Government really wants some hotchpotch and wants to suppress the blackmarketeers and hoarders. What happeneded? As my friend has just now said, about the time when the man was declared a proclaimed offender he was hosting the Governor of Madras. So the hon.

13 hrs.

also.

Then comes the juicy part. Negotiations went on with the top-most people in power. They need a lot of money because they have to fight the elections. So, money has to be collected for party purposes. I have never said that Shri Dikshit takes money for himself....(interruptions) Negotiations went on with top and top-most people in power and a price was fixed. I am told that the price was fixed at Rs. 20 lakhs...(interruptions).

Minister must answer this question

In Delhi there is nobody other than the Home Minister who is competent and able to give an order for withdrawal of a major case in such a serious matter. I am told that no official, no politician, can do it except with the consent of the Home Minister. Let him say "yes" or deny it.

In the mean time, when they were underground no sooner were they arrested than they were granted bail. I was arrested at midnight under section 144. Till 5 O'Clock I was not produced before a magistrate. When I enquired of the reason I was told that the case has been referred to the Home Minister to decide how many days I am to be put behind the bars.... (interruptions).

The newspaper report says:

"Till the actual withdrawal of the detention orders, the Civil Supplies authorities had been saying that there was no move to withdraw these orders. The two officials had been declared absconders and their property was attached."

So, he wanted to impress people, go. through the drama as best as he possibly could and extract as much money as he could by blackmailing. So, the bail was granted. Here I would say that the whole thing was stage-managed. In this country everything has a price. The elections are coming. The fountain head of corruption survives and prospers on money. It is reported that one very senior political head, very much in power-otherwise, he could not do it-received Rs. 2 lakhs for the election fund. I am told that person has got 28 properties in Delhi. It is your Shri Radha Raman, a Congressman... (interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: Be relevant to the issue.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I am putting it in a nut-shell. In Delhi which authority can withdraw a major case, once it has been reported, except the Home Minister? Why was it done? Was it not for a price that he paid for that?

SHRI UMA SHANKAR DIKSHIT: Sir, I shall answer the last question first. First he propounded a theory that nobody except the Home Ministry or the Home Minister can get an order revoked or can pass orders for revocation. Then, he proceeded to add that the Home Minister or the Home Ministry participated in it. My reply to that is that under the provisions of the Act, it is wholly unnecessary for the Home Ministry or the Home Minister to come, in any way, into the picture... (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: Why are you interrupting him?

SHRI UMA SHANKAR DIKSHIT: Secondly, although in some other cases, the revocation orders passed, for the first time, this matter was referred to the L. G. Therefore. the entire story is totally baseless. The hon. Member has not tried to apply his mind to understand the provisions of the law. The law does not permit that. The Home Ministry cannot come into the picture I totally deny it that the Home Ministry has, at any stage, either dealt with it or issued any private directive or anything of the kind. Let us be judged not by his standard but by the common standards which we have known.

Another thing that he said was that Mr. Bahl went there. According to the information with me, Mr. Bahl did not got there.

Then, he asked: Were the bag sealed then and there and, if not, why not? They were sealed then and there. When they arrived, when they saw the bags, they sealed the bags, before they counted them, before they knew how many bags were there. As soon as they came and saw the cold storage godowns, they sealed the bags. There were some left outside and they sealed them and put a guard on them.

The bags were opened in the presence of Managers, etc. and other independent witnesses. How possible for anybody to say with any sense of fair-play or justification that deliberately the whole stock was not sealed? There are records; there are registers for these cold storage godowns. There are register used for entering grains. The registers were complete. Of the 6 godowns, in respect of 4 godowns, there were complete records-I have seen the registers; I am not speaking in the air. As regards the fifth godown, it is inadequate and we have seized it. We are pursuing that matter. As regards the sixth one, the things were not entered. That is why we acted in this matter. We will not spare anybody, whatever may be his situation or his position. (Interruptions)

Further, he talked about 1,20,000 quintals...(Interruptions) He has a very fertile imagination. Nobody in this context has mentioned it. The largest number mentioned was 10,000. (Interruptions) He is a very intelligent person. He is misusing that intelligence. He should use it for the public good and for the development of the country.

Mr. Bosu had raised two more points. One was an allegation against the Lt. Governor. (Interruptions) I shall certainly go into it. But prima facie—I had met him in Tripura and had also met him three or four times in Delhi-I have gained an impression of this gentleman's strength of character. So far as this allegation that he has mentioned is concerned, I shall certainly inquire into it, but I do want to add that he would not have been given such a position of responsibility if anything serious had been found against him.

Then, he mentioned about money taken. If you permit me, Sir, I treat this allegation with the contempt that it deserves.

श्री भोगेन्द्र झा (जयनगर): ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय एक स्पष्टीक रण हो जाने दीजिय

MR. SPEAKER: If I allow one, I will have to allow so many. Next item. I have received some motions... (Interruptions) I am not allowing it. Once I follow this practice, there will be no end to it. There has been more than a debate on this today. That item is over.

दिनके नाम कालिंग भ्रटेंशन में थे उन्हें मैंने बला लिया है। ब्रब जिन के नाम नही है। उनको मैं नही बलाऊंगा ।

I am not calling any more. Nothing will go on record. If this is the way, we will just sit and keep watching. (Interruptions).

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): Sir, I rise on a point of order....

MR. SPEAKER: I cannot permit the hon. Member. We have never allowed it in the past.... (Interrup-Only those hon. Members whose names appear in the notice will be called. I am sorry I cannot permit the hon. Member (Interruptions).

They are holding the whole House to ransom... (Interruptions).

There was a call attention motion. The Members asked questions the Minister has replied

(Interruptions)

If this type of behaviour continues in this Parliament and all of you do not behave, then God help this Parliament.

(Interruptions)

I am sorry I cannot tolerate this. (Interruptions) Now, a call attention motion has been discussed and now, if the matter is not sub judice. I have to find out.

Order please. If you so like, you can send a notice and I will see.

I will go according to the items on the agenda....(Interruptions).

13.20 hrs.

MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT ALLEGED UNCONSTITUTIONAL DISSOLU-TION OF ORISSA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

MR. SPEAKER: I shall call hon. Members according to the items that I have kept on the agenda. Sometimes, hon. Members ask me to take up the adjournment motion before the calling-attention-notice. Today, Shri piloo Mody did not get up. Does he not want it to be taken up?

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra): It is for you to decide it, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER: I think the hon. Members knows it and he understands sometimes Members want. adjournment motions after calling-attention-notice....

SHRI PILOO MODY: I am prepared to do either. If you like, I shall raise it both times.

MR. SPEAKER: I have received three types of motions. One is adjournment motion on the situation in Orissa; this is by Shri Piloo Mody, Shri P. K. Deo, and then by Shri Dinen Bhattacharyya, Shri Samar Mukherjee, Shri Krishna Chandra Halder, Shri Saroj Mukherjee and Shri Biren Dutta; there is one by Shri Laxmi Narain Pandey, Samar Guha, and Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu, and Shri Shyamnandan Mishra, and this relates to unconstitutional dissolution of the Orissa Legislative Assembly; the same wording is there almost in all of them.

There is another motion by Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee about the unconstitutional conduct of the Governor Orissa. The Proclamation in regard to Orissa is an item on the agenda, and that is also going to belaid on the Table of the House.

I have considered it. I have absolutely no objection against this adjournment motion....