

MR. SPEAKER : The question is :

"That clause 2 stands part of the Bill."

*The motion was adopted.*

*Clause 2 was added to the Bill.*

**Clause 3—**(Prevention of singing of Indian  
National Anthem, etc.)

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI : I beg  
to move :

Page 2, line 18,—

for "three" substitute "two" (7)

MR. SPEAKER : I will now put amend-  
ment No. 7 by Shri Shastri to the vote of the  
House.

*Amendment No. 7 was put and negatived*

MR. SPEAKER : The question is :

"That clauses 3, 1, the Enacting For-  
mula and the Title stand part of the Bill".

*The motion was adopted.*

*Clause 3, Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and  
the Title were added to the Bill.*

SHRI MOHSIN : I beg to move :

"That the Bill be passed."

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That the Bill be passed."

श्री रामावतार शास्त्री : अध्यक्ष महोदय,  
अभी मंत्री महोदय ने कहा कि यह बिल जब  
कानून बन जायगा तो इस का गलत इस्तेमाल  
नहीं होगा। मैं यही निवेदन करना चाहता हूँ  
कि हमें संदेह अभी भी है। आपने कहा लेकिन  
आप तो उसको इस्तेमाल में लाएंगे नहीं। आप  
की ब्यूरोक्रेसी इस्तेमाल में लाएगी। तो उन पर  
आप ठीक से चाबुक रखिए ताकि अगर हम  
ईमानदारी के साथ विधान की आलोचना करें  
या विधान से परिवर्तन करने की बात कहें  
तो वह गुनाह न माना जाय। जो लोग इन को  
इस्तेमाल में लाएंगे उन से हमें खतरा है।

आप से तो खतरा अभी नहीं है। उन्हीं से बड़ा  
खतरा है। आप की जो ब्यूरोक्रेसी है उसी से  
ज्यादा खतरा है तो इस का ध्यान मंत्री महोदय  
रखें। कम से कम उन को वह आदेश तो दें  
कि जो सही तरीके से ईमानदारी से कोई विचार  
रखना चाहे तो उसे रखने दिया जाय और वह  
कटेम्प्ट न माना जाय। न उसे हेट्रेड माना जाय  
यही मेरा निवेदन है, इन बातों का मंत्री महोदय  
ध्यान रखें और इस प्रकार का आदेश जरूर दें।

SHRI MOHSIN : In this respect I would  
again say that any misapprehension about the  
misuse of the flag are unfounded. Suitable  
instructions will be issued to see that the provi-  
sions of the Bill are not misused. At the same  
time, I would also appeal to the members on  
that side to see that only peaceful, legal means  
are adopted and not such steps as would create  
hatred or contempt.

SHRI DINEN BHATTACHARYYA  
(Serampore) : You have to see that the State  
flag is not misused. Even that day when there  
was a Congress demonstration I have seen so  
many people using that flag.

MR. SPEAKER : Kindly, do not misuse  
the time of the House by speaking without my  
permission. Now the question is :

"That the Bill be passed"

*The motion was adopted.*

13.30 hrs.

#### PREVENTION OF FOOD ADULTERA- TION (AMENDMENT) BILL

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE  
MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY  
PLANNING (SHRI D. P. CHATTOPA-  
DHYAYA) : I beg to move :

"That the Bill further to amend the  
Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954,  
be taken into consideration".

This is a non-controversial Bill.

[Shri D. P. Chattopadhyaya]

13-30 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER *in the Chair*]

Before 1954 almost every State had its own food laws but the problem was at that time there was not any uniformity in the food laws prevalent in different States. Consequently it was decided upon in 1954 that there should be a uniform food legislation throughout the country and as a consequence of that the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 was passed by the Parliament and it provided laws and inspection and other methods ensuring the detection of sub-standard food and punishment of the people responsible for manufacturing, preserving and selling that sort of food. But from subsequent experience it was found that the preventive and the penal measures provided in the Bill are not proving sufficiently deterrent to deter some of the unscrupulous manufacturers, suppliers and sellers and, therefore, for plugging the loopholes of the said Act it was amended in 1964. But at that time there was the gap, Sir. The Act was not applicable to Jammu and Kashmir and, therefore, it was decided that there should be some amendment of the Act so that it could be applied to the Jammu and Kashmir State as well. As you know, Sir, it has been provided in the Seventh Schedule, Entry No. XVIII of the Constitution that when there was a necessity for application or extension of this Bill to that State concurrence of this House is necessary and, therefore, this small piece of legislation has been brought before the House so that it could be applied to that State as well. In this connection I would like to submit that on this proposed piece of legislation the State Government of Jammu and Kashmir was consulted. That State Government has gone through the proposal and they have agreed to the introduction and passing of this piece of legislation and it is in pursuance of that view and other circumstances referred to before that we are bringing it now before this House for its assent.

With these words I move that the Bill be taken into consideration.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : Motion moved :

"That the Bill further to amend the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954, be taken into consideration."

SHRI GADADHAR SAHA (Birbhum) : Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, the objective of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Amendment Bill, 1971, is to extend the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act of 1954 to the State of Jammu and Kashmir and to prevent the manufacture and sale of adulterated food there thereby to protect the general public health.

So far as the objective of this Bill is concerned I support this Bill but so far as the principal Act and its working is concerned what I should mention is that the very purpose of the principal Act has suffered and has been defeated. Food adulteration has neither been prevented nor reduced as yet.

On the contrary, the magnitude of the adulteration of food and medicines is most disturbing. What is most terrifying to us today is the alarming proportion and extent to which adulteration has grown. Food adulteration has become today our nation's enemy number one and affects very adversely the general public health.

Why does this sort of thing happen throughout our country ?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : We are dealing with a very limited thing. It is only about the extension of the Act to Jammu and Kashmir.

SHRI GADADHAR SAHA : What I say is connected with this Act.

This is because the principal Act itself is very defective in many respects and contains many loopholes. Firstly, the means to achieve the very noble end are very inadequate and, secondly many companies and industries have got licences in the name of other persons and their employees. These persons, who are not really guilty of the crime, are caught and punished while the real criminals escape. The licensing policy of the Government, therefore, needs to be thoroughly changed and Government should be bold, honest, impartial and careful in issuing licences.

Under the provisions of the principal Act, the authorised persons can pass a sentence of payment of fine starting with the highest limit of Rs. 2,000 down to Rs. 500 to the lowest limit of Rs. 100, and to imprisonment which

varies from the maximum term of two years down through six months to the minimum term of one month.

So far as the fine is concerned, the value of money today, in comparison with the value of money in 1954, has fallen by more than 50 per cent and, therefore, the amount of fine should be increased accordingly considerably. So far as the term of imprisonment is concerned, it is too light in relation to the crime, the nature and motive of the offence. Therefore, the term of punishment should be extended.

The number of inspection staff and testing laboratories should also be increased. The Principal Act, the extension of which to the State of Jammu and Kashmir I support, should undergo such amendment in this respect. Then and then only the purpose of the Act can be served, otherwise not.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Before I call the next speaker I would like to draw the attention of the House that the scope of the Bill is confined to the question of extending the Act to Jammu and Kashmir. The various defects and short-comings of the principal Act can be brought in on a separate motion either to amend the Act or to discuss it. Let us not go into that at this stage.

SHRI D. K. PANDA (Bhanjanagar) : If we find there are innumerable defects in the Act, we want to refer to them...

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : For that, you bring a separate motion.

SHRI D. K. PANDA : The main culprits, the manufacturers, etc. are being let off, and there are many defects in the Act...

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : If you feel all that, then you bring a separate motion to discuss the principal Act, the shortcomings and all that, and to amend the provisions of the Act. Here, the scope is very limited. Only 1 hour has been allotted for it. If you take this opportunity to discuss all that, you require much more time. Let us now confine ourselves to the scope of this Bill.

SHRI D. K. PANDA : If by suggesting certain precautionary measures, we can improve it, that will improve the application of the Act.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : For that, you bring a separate motion. The scope of this Bill is very much limited. I request you to confine yourself to the scope of the Bill.

Shri Vidyalankar.

SHRI A. N. VIDYALANKAR (Chandigarh) : Mr. Deputy Speaker, as you rightly pointed out, the scope of the Bill is very much limited. Therefore, the merits of the original Act need not be discussed here.

In the Statement of Objects and Reasons, it has been stated that this is to secure uniform application of the Act. The purpose of the Bill is that the Act, as it is should be applied in Jammu and Kashmir in the same way and in the same manner, as it applied to the rest of India. I do not want to discuss the merits of the original Act. But I do want to point out that if the execution and implementation of this Act is to be done in the same way and in the same manner in Jammu and Kashmir, as else where in India hitherto. I think, the people will not be satisfied. This Act will not be a boon to the people of Kashmir but it will create difficulties. I am totally in favour of extending the Bill to Jammu and Kashmir. But I would like to say that its execution in Jammu and Kashmir and in the rest of India should be much more improved.

At present, the enforcement of this Act is practically negligible. Every where, you see, in the open market, everything that is sold is adulterated. There is hardly any article which is not adulterated. The people have succumbed to the practice. They feel there is no remedy at all. Nobody knows that there is any law in this respect. Everybody reconciles to things as they are. The people feel as if there is no remedy for this. Your enforcement is very weak ; your enforcement is weak and inefficient. Unless you improve your execution, this Act will not benefit the people of Jammu and Kashmir.

The Inspectorate is most dishonest ; the Inspectorate is most inefficient. The people are harassed. Inspectors take bribes. That is what is happening every where. Therefore, I request the hon. Minister to see that the execution and enforcement of law is improved.

One defect in the enforcement of the law is that the Inspectorate do not catch hold of real

[Shri A. N. Vidyalankar]

culprits, the manufacturers and so on. Sometimes, you see, there are packed closed packets, sealed packets, that are sold in the market and they are found adulterated. They catch hold of only a small shop-keeper or a small retailer in order to display their activities and the petty retailer challaned. That way, they can show that very large number of persons were challaned. But really the person challaned is innocent. They do not touch the manufacturer, the person, who has closed and sealed the packets. I know of a case where oil was being sold. There were manufacturers and oil mill owners who supplied the oil. The oil was found adulterated. The poor shop-keepers were challaned. They were accused. "You are selling these adulterated things." Of course, the sale is illegal. But you should enquire who was the manufacturer, who was the packer, and where these packets originated. You do not touch them.

Now, this Act extends to the whole of India. An article is manufactured, say, in Bombay and sold in Delhi. You should catch hold of the manufacturer, say, in Bombay, who indulges in adulteration even if his articles, packets, are sold in Delhi or Chandigarh or any where else. Another example is powdered "*garam masalas*..."

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : You started by saying that you will not discuss the merits of the Bill...

SHRI A. N. VIDYALANKAR : I am discussing the execution of the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : Now you are discussing the details of different food-stuffs, *garam masalas* and all that. Kindly confine yourself to the scope of the Bill which is very much limited.

SHRI A. N. VIDYALANKAR : This Act is not being properly executed. The manner in which it is being executed is unsatisfactory. I would request the hon. Minister to improve its application. While I support this Bill which is being extended to Jammu and Kashmir, its application should be improved and these defects of not catching hold of the real culprits should be removed. They catch hold of only small people, small fish, and not the real culprits. That should be stopped. The real culprits should be caught hold of and punished.

श्री इसहाक सम्मली (अमरोहा) : डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब, यह बिल जो लाया गया है मैं उसको बेलकम करता हूँ। बहुत अच्छा किया गया कि इसको जम्मू कश्मीर में भी बढ़ाया गया। हम भी चाहते हैं कि जम्मू कश्मीर और बाकी हिन्दुस्तान को हालत ऐसी हो कि सही मानों में हम समझें कि कश्मीर हमारा है लेकिन वह हमारे जनसंघा भाई या दूसरे रिप्रेजेंटेटिव के लफ्जों में नहीं कि कश्मीर हमारा है बल्कि ऐसी सिचुएशन और ऐसा एटमास्फियर बनना चाहिए जगह में हम समझें कि कश्मीर हमारा है और कश्मीर समझें कि हिन्दुस्तान हमारा है। इसकी बहुत जरूरत है और मैं समझता हूँ इस बिल के जरिये अगर हम इसमें कुछ भी आगे बढ़ें तो हमारे लिये बहुत बड़ी चीज होगी हालांकि मुझे अन्देश यह है कि गिजाई मिलावट के सिलमिले में जो हिन्दुस्तान में बेइन्हवा भ्रष्टाचार और रिश्वत-खोरी फैली हुई है कहीं इस बिल के जरिये जम्मू कश्मीर में भी उस भ्रष्टाचार और रिश्वतखोरी को तो नहीं ले जा रहे हैं? यहां देखने में आता है कि बड़ी बड़ी कंपनियां मिलावट करती हैं। कौन नहीं जानना, अखबारों में भी आया कि केबेन्टर्स कंपनी ने मिलावट की। मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि केबेन्टर्स कंपनी के जरिए दूध और मक्खन में मिलावट करने की जो खबरें अखबारों में आई उस पर क्या गवर्नमेंट ने उस कंपनी का लाइसेंस सस्पेंड किया? कैसिल करना तो दूर की बात है, क्या सरकार ने उस कंपनी के लाइसेंस को सस्पेंड भी किया है? इसलिये हमें डर है कि कहीं यह करप्शन वहां पर भी न पहुंचे। मेरे दोस्त विद्यालंकार जी ने जो कहा उससे मैं एभी करता हूँ, मैं इसकी तफसिल में नहीं जाना चाहता लेकिन इतना जरूर कहूंगा कि हम देखते हैं कि बड़ी बड़ी कंपनियों में जो सामान तैयार होता है उन पर कोई ऐक्शन नहीं लिया जाता। वहां पर शायद कोई इंस्पेक्शन भी नहीं है। एक ही काम है, मुझे मालूम है कि इन्स्पेक्टर्स या सरकारी अधिकारियों



### { شری اسحاق سمیٹ }

میں کہنا چاہتا ہوں کہ وہ بہت افسوس ناک اور شرم ناک  
ہو رہا ہے۔ اگر اس طرح پر ہر تو میں سمجھتا ہوں کہ اس کو کہیں  
دوسری جگہ لے جانا بیکار ہوگا۔  
آج بھی جاری سرکار منو پیسٹس کے ہاتھوں میں گھری  
ہوئی ہے اور وہ نکل نہیں پا رہی۔ لوگوں کی تندرستی سے  
کھیلا جا رہا ہے۔ زندگیوں سے کھیلا جا رہا ہے ملاوٹ کے  
ذریعے۔

جناب ڈپٹی اسپیکر صاحب یہاں پر ایک ناش ہوئی تھی  
آپ نے بھی دیکھی ہوگی۔ اس میں بنایا گیا تھا کہ کیا چیزیں  
ملائی جاتی ہیں۔ لیکن اس ناش کے بعد بھی مجھے نہیں معلوم  
کوئی مضبوط قدم اٹھانے کے لئے ڈیپارٹمنٹ نے کوئی کارروائی  
کی ہو۔ یا کوئی بھی مضبوط قدم اٹھانے کے لئے یہاں پر کوئی لی  
لایا ہو۔ ہمیں خوشی ہوگی اگر ڈسٹرکشن کرنے والوں کے لئے سخت سے  
سخت سزا رکھی جائے۔ ہمیں امید ہے کہ یہ سزا اس کو ضرور  
منظور کرے گا۔ لیکن اگر اسی طرح ہوا اور اسی طرح انسپکٹرز  
اور دوسرے اہلکاروں کی رشوت جاری رہی اور کارروائی  
نہ ہوئی تو میں سمجھتا ہوں کہ جوں و کشمیر میں بھی اس کو بڑھانا  
کوئی فائدہ مند اور ہمارے لئے کوئی انصاف اور شکر لے کا  
سبب نہیں ہوگا۔ بلکہ وہاں پر بھی یہ رشوت خوری اور زیادہ  
پہنچے گی۔

اس لئے اس کو ہندوستان کے سارے حصوں میں  
لاگو کیا جائے تاکہ اپنے ملک سے ملاوٹ کی لعنت کو ختم کر سکے  
دوسرے ملکوں میں تو ملاوٹ کے لئے سزا سے موت دی جاتی  
ہے۔ لیکن ہمارے یہاں رشوت لی جاتی ہے۔ اس لئے جو  
اس کے انجینیئریشن میں خامیاں رہی ہیں ان کو دور کیا جائے  
اور ساتھ ہی جوں و کشمیر میں لاگو کیا جائے۔

ڈا॰ کھلناس (بمبئی—دکھین) : اُپاध्यक्ष  
महोदय, जो बिल माननीय मंत्री जी ने पेश  
किया है उसका मैं समर्थन करने के लिये खड़ा  
हुआ हूँ। फूड अडल्टरेशन के बारे में जो भी  
सदन में अभी चर्चा हुई है वह कुछ माने में  
ठीक है। लेकिन जब हम लोग अधिकारियों  
को या लोग जो खाने की चीजों में या दवाइयों में  
मिलावट करते हैं, उन्हें दण्ड देने की बात करते  
हैं तो मैं ऐसा मानता हूँ कि उसमें केन्द्रीय  
सरकार तो कुछ मामलों में, लेकिन ज्यादा माने

में राज्य सरकार और महानगर पालिकाएँ  
दोषी है।

मैं डा॰ चट्टोपाध्याय का ध्यान खींचना  
चाहता हूँ कि सिर्फ महाराष्ट्र में नहीं, लेकिन  
सारे पश्चिमी देश में सिर्फ एक कैमिकल लैबो-  
रेटरी है जहाँ पर जिन चीजों में मिलावट की  
जाती है उसकी जाच की रिपोर्ट आने के बाद  
ही अधिकारी उन पर कोर्ट में मुकदमा कर  
सकते हैं। मुझे यह मालूम है कि जो भी सैम्पल  
अधिकारी भेजते हैं वह सैम्पल के नतीजे की  
कैमिकल लैबोरेटरी महिनो तक रिपोर्ट नहीं  
भेज पाती। और वही अर्थात् जबकि हमारे  
अधिकारी फम जाते हैं, उन बड़े आदमियों से  
पैसा ले लेते हैं या कुछ मजदूरों को जो कि  
दुकान में काम करते हैं उनको पकड़ा जाता है  
और उनको ही सजा हो जाती है।

तो किसी भी कायदे को जब किसी क्षेत्र  
में ले जाने की बात करते हैं तो हम यह नहीं  
सोचते कि इस कायदे को मफल बनाने के लिए  
हमें क्या-क्या करना होगा। बड़े दुख का विषय  
है कि सारे भारतवर्ष में फूड अडल्टरेशन की  
चर्चा अखबारों के द्वारा, काफरेंस के द्वारा,  
सेमिनार के द्वारा करने के बाद भी राज्य सरकार  
ने एक लैबोरेटरी में दूसरी लैबोरेटरी नहीं  
बनायी।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The hon.  
Member's time is up.

DR. KAILAS : Sir, I will just take only  
half a minute. Why I am saying this that the  
Health Minister has not taken care of esta-  
blishing a chemical laboratory either at Srinagar  
or in any part of Jammu. If they are not  
going to establish a chemical laboratory it is  
no use extending this Act and asking Parlia-  
ment to pass this Bill. The chemical laboratory  
is a "must". This should have been constructed  
and established and equipped before bringing  
this Bill for extension of this Bill to the State of  
Jammu and Kashmir. I had risen with your  
permission only to request the hon. Minister  
to see that a chemical laboratory is established  
in the State before this Act is extended to this  
State.

SHRI G. VISWANATHAN (Wandiwash) : I shall not take much time because the scope of this Bill is very limited. I am glad that wisdom has dawned on the Government sixteen or seventeen years after the passing of this Act, and now they are going to extend this Act to Jammu and Kashmir. No doubt, this Act is a very important Act, in so far as it safeguards the interests of the consumers against unscrupulous businessmen and traders. But let me point out that very often this Act is misused. At the same time, I know the limitations of the Central Government in this matter.

I have myself conducted some cases under this Act. One of the cleanest hotels in the city was prosecuted, and we could not do anything. Finally, the man concerned was punished. Again, there are cases where prosecution is launched for mixing lead with turmeric. When the case was there in the court, neither the lawyer nor the magistrate nor the accused know how lead could be mixed with turmeric, and yet the man was punished. Again, a confectioner was punished mixing colourable material with peppermint. But the man had nothing to do with the manufacture of peppermint. This has to be checked at the source. I would like to point out to the hon. Minister that this Act is at present used only against the small traders or retail traders. I would request that he must take this matter up with the State Governments so that the producers and the manufacturers could be dealt with under this Act and not the retailer who has nothing to do with manufacture.

With these words, I support the Bill.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO (Karimnagar) : I welcome this Bill. After a long time, Government have at last made a good beginning. We know that our Acts are not automatically applicable to Jammu and Kashmir, and we have to make them specifically applicable to that State.

Without taking much time, I would like to mention one important thing. At present, imprisonment is compulsory under this Act. I request the hon. Minister to make it either imprisonment or fine.

SHRI M. C. DAGA (Pall) : No, the man should be punished. It should be only imprisonment.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO : I am a lawyer and I have conducted cases under this Act, and my hon. friend Shri G. Viswanathan also has conducted cases, and both of us know the practical difficulties, so far as implementation is concerned. This Act is being used only against the small traders. Only the poor milk-sellers are prosecuted and punished under this Act. For no fault of theirs, a charge-sheet is made against them and they are harassed.

Therefore, I would take this opportunity to request the hon. Minister to see that there is some provision to the effect that compulsory imprisonment is removed and instead there should be imprisonment or fine.

SHRI D. P. CHATTOPADHYAYA : I would like to thank the hon. Members for participating in this debate and offering certain constructive suggestions. As I have already said, this piece of legislation is of a very limited scope. The main theme is the extension of the Act in its application to Jammu and Kashmir. The other two clauses, namely clauses 3 and 4 are rules of construction. However, taking this opportunity of talking on this legislation of limited scope, hon. Members have aired certain views which in a different context are very important. Like the hon. Members, Government themselves are quite alive to the hazards posed by the adulterated food and substandard food.

14.00 hrs.

In fact, the amendments brought forward by Government in 1964 to the original Act of 1954 were mainly designed to plug the loopholes of the original Act and to provide for more deterrent punishment of unscrupulous manufacturers, sellers and preservers of adulterated food. You will find that it has been provided in the body of the parent Act that the punishment is quite deterrent—minimum six months and maximum six years, fine not less than Rs. 1,000, it may be more. So it would not perhaps be correct to suggest that the punishments provided in the Act are not deterrent.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Why should we go into all that now. Members were anxious about what amendments should be made to the main Act.

SHRI D. P. CHATTOPADHYAYA : Thank you for the suggestion. Since it was referred to, I wanted to allude to it in passing. I would not dilate on it.

Some other issues have also been raised, the licensing policy, the implementing machinery, the inadequacy thereof and so on. We are quite alive to the problem. At the appropriate time, when some other substantive issues similar to this one are brought before the House, Government will be in a position to make up its mind. But now we are doing our best to see that the implementation machinery is strengthened, number of laboratories increased, quality improved and the provisions of the parent Act properly implemented and executed.

I move.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The question is :

"That the Bill further to amend the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954, be taken into consideration".

*The motion was adopted.*

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The question is :

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill."

*The motion was adopted.*

*Clause 2 was added to the Bill.*

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : As regards clause 3, Shri Panda's amendment has been circulated. But it is not within the scope of the Bill. The Bill deals with the application of the Act to J & K whereas his amendment seeks some modification of the provisions of the parent Act. It has nothing to do with the amending Bill.

SHRI D. K. PANDA : Let me say how it is relevant. If it is convincing, you admit it.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : I have ruled it out.

To clause 3, there is no amendment'.

The question is :

"That clause 3 stand part of the Bill."

*The motion was adopted*

*Clause 3 was added to the Bill.*

*Clause 4, Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.*

SHRI D. P. CHATTOPADHYAYA : I beg to move :

"That the Bill be passed."

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : The question is :

"That the Bill be passed."

*The motion was adopted.*

14.07 hrs.

# COAL BEARING AREAS (ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT) AMENDMENT AND VALIDATION BILL

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI SHAHNAWAZ KHAN) : I move :

"That the Bill further to amend the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, and to validate certain acquisitions of land or rights in or over land under the said Act, be taken into consideration."

As this House is fully aware, the Industrial Policy Resolution of 1956 laid down that the future development of coal industry in the country was the responsibility of the State, and all new units in this industry would be set up only by the State except in exceptional circumstances as laid down in the resolution. Accordingly, under the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act of 1957, powers were taken *inter alia* for the acquisition by the Central Government of unworked coal bearing areas covered by private leases or prospecting licences. This Act provided for acquisition of virgin lands including underground minerals or rights in or over such land in the same manner as the Land Acquisition Act of 1894. In acquisition of land, the practice under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, was to issue a single notification under section 4 (1) of the Act indicating that land in a locality was needed or was likely to be needed or was for public purpose. This notification was followed by one or more declarations under sec-