Shri S. Kandappan: This discussion which has been allowed is coming up only next week, in any case not before 23rd July The whole statement is misleading Obviously, they have postponed it and they are not going to take it up

Mr. Speaker: The discussion is going to come up

Shri S. Kandappen: It is quite obvious from the statement that the port is not going to be constructed So, we would like to seek some information from the hon Minister

Mr. Speaker: Within one week, neither the port is going to be constructed nor is it going to be cancelled.

Shri S. Kandappan: It is a foregone conclusion that they are not going to take it up That is quite obvious from the statement There was one Mudaliar Committee report submitted long ago

Mr. Speaker I know that If the hon Member wants, I shall advance the discussion earlier I shall con sider whether we could advance it

Shri S. Kandappan: I only want to seek some information The Mudaliar Committee had submitted a report long ago, in which the deepening of the

Mr. Speaker: If the hon Member begins to put questions now, then I shall have to permit other hon Members also I do not know how long it would take

Shri S. Kandappan: The deepening of the Sethusamudram canal was linked with this project I would like to know what prompted Government to say that this project is separate from that one That is the only thing that I would like to know

12.46 hrs.

STATEMENT ON MEDIA OF EDU-CATION AT UNIVERSITY STAGE

The Minister of Education (Dr. Triguna Sen): I beg to make a statement on the adoption of Indian languages as media of education at the university stage

The Government of India has accepted in principle that Indian languages should now be adopted as media of education at all stages Government is convinced hat unless this is done

Mr. Speaker: Is he going to read out the whole statement?

Dr. Triguna Sen: I am reading out only the last paragraph

Shri M. Y. Saleem (Nalgonda) It is a very important statement. It may be read out

Shri S. Kandappan (Mettur) The statements were distributed to us at about ten o' clock Why can they not make it comprehensive? Why should they make changes at the last minute?

Dr. Triguna Sen: I am only reading out from the statement

Government is convinced that unless this is done, the creative energies of the people will not be released, standdards of education will not improve, knowledge will not spread to the people and the gulf between the intelligentsia and the masses will not be bridged. The details of the implementation of this most important educational decision of a century of struggle are being worked out and will be announced on 15th August, 1967

I lay the statement on the Table of the House

Statement

The proposal to adopt the Indian languages as media of education of the university stage has been before the country for almost a hundred

years. The first demand for this was put forward on 1st August 1867 in a memorial submitted by the British Indian Association of the North-Western Provinces (the present Uttar Pradesh) to the Viceroy and Governor-General of India. This was not accepted on the ground that the necessary literature was not available in the modern Indian languages. This question was also raised on several subsequent occasions. But the decision was always the same: there was not enough laterature in Indian languages to use them as media of education. Consequently, English continued to be the exclusive medium of education at the university stage till 1947.

2. A fron al attack on this policy was launched by our great national leaders in the twenties of this century. Rabindra Nath Tagore pointed that in no country of the world, except India, was the language of educa ion divorced from the language of the students and that the use of English as the medium of education in universities confined higher knowledge and culture to a select section of the citizens instead of making them universally available to the people. Mahatma Gandhi wrote frequently and strongly on the subject. "I must cling to my mother tongue as to my mother's breast, in spite of its shorcomings. It alone can give me the life-giving milk", he said and added. 'I am certain that the children of the nation that receive instruction in a tongue other than their own commit suicide It rops them of all orıginality It stunts their growth and isolates them from their home. I regard, therefore, such a thing as national tragedy of the first importance" Gandhiji also stressed the need to make this change-over as quickly as possible. "The medium of instruction", he wrote, "should Ъe altered at once and at any cost, the provincial languages being given their rightful place. I would prefer porary chaos in higher education to the criminal waste that is daily accu-1458 (Air) LS.-7.

mulating." It is also important to note that all the national universities that were started at this period and the Indian Women's University used Indian language, as media of education

3 The problem has been receiving growing attention in the pos-independence period and the demand to: the adoption of indian languages as media of education at the university stage is continually growing The University Education Commission (1948-49) recommended that "higher education be imparted through the instrumentality of the regional language with the option to use federal language as the medium mstruction either for some subjects or for all subjects The National Integration Council (June 1962) supported this view and observed change in the medium of instruction is justified, not so much by cultural or political sentimen s, as very important academic consideration of faci itating grasp and understanding of the subject matter Further India's university men will be unable to make their maximum possible con ribution to the advancement of learning generally, and science and technology in particular, unless there is continuous means of communication in the shape of the regional guages between its masses, its artisans and technicians and its university men The development of talent latent in the country will also, in the view of the Council, be retarded unless regional languages are employed as media of instruction at the university stage" The Committee on Eniotional Integration (1962) endorsed these recommendations and observed. "We are not unaware of the practical difficulties involved in the switchover to regional languages at the university level Safeguards have to be devised to prevent the lowering of standards The change-over must be preceded by preparation of text-books and arrangements translation from Fnglish and wherever possible, other modern world [Dr Triguna Sen]

languages In order to maintain inteluniversity and inter-State communication, special attention must be paid to the teaching of the link languages-English and Hindi-when the changeover to the regional languages is accomplished We would however, like to point out that in the name of safeguards, the switchover should not be delayed indifinitely ' Vice-Chancellors' Conference The (1962) generally endorsed the recommendations of the National Integration Council and the Emotional In tegration Committee The Education Commission (1964-66) made a strong recommend. ion on the subject and said "We suggest that the UGC and the Universities carefully work out a feasible programme suitable for each university or a group of universities The change-over should take place as early as possible in it's case within about ten years What is required is to formulate a clear policy, to express it in unambiguous terms and to follow 1 up with firm bold and imaginative action." The proposal has also been supported by the Tenth Conference of State Education Ministers (April 1967) and by the Committee of Members of Parliament on Education (1967) But they would prefer the change-over to be brought about in five years

4 In view of all this weight of academic and public opinion and the fact that Indian languages have ready been adopted almost universally at the school stage and by more than half the universities, the Goverrament of India has accepted in principe that Indian languages should now be adopted as media of education at all stages and in all subjects, including agriculture, engineering, law, medicine and technology Government is convinced that unless this is done, the creative energies of the people will not be released, stan dards of education will not improve. knowledge will not spread to the people and the gulf between the intelligentsia and the masses will not

be bridged. The details of the implementation of this most important educational decision of a century of struggle are being worked out and will be announced on 15th August, 1967

डा० राम मनोहर सोहिया ('र्जाज) प्रध्यक्ष महादय, इन मम्बन्ध म सुझे एक बात बहन दर्शालए।

Mr. Speaker: We will have a discussion.

Shri Bal Raj Madhok (South Delhi) Has any date been fixed for this changeover?

डा० राम भने हर लोहिय। मैं डा० विगुण सेन को वात या प्रधा पसन्द करता हू। पर्ला दफा एक मनी यहा ऐसा बात बात है, जिम के नाथ मेरा दिल झाधा गया है। प्रधा क्यो गया है हस सिये वि

Mr. Speaker: He is congratulating the Minister

डा० राम मनोहर लोह्या . आधा— इम । लग कि काई ताराख ग्राज— इम बक्त— नहीं गागी जा रहा है। यन पाच या दम या पब्रह बरम रखने का नतीजा हमेशा खनरनाक हाता है। उस निष्य वह श्राज क्या पर रहे हैं यह बात जरूर शा जानी चाहिए। वह कल क्या करेगे, वह बात बहुत कम महत्व शी है। वह श्राज क्या कर रहे हैं?

भी कार लार गुप्त (दिल्ली सदर) . प्रध्यक्ष मह दय हम मारे मदन की तरफ से मत्रा मह दय का छाई दना चाहते हैं। Some hon, Members rose—

Mr Spraker: Whenever hon. Members want, they can have a discussion

Shri g. Kandappen: We must have a discussion. We must discuss principle and the policy.

Mr. speaker: Yes, It is an important subject,

I will discuss it with you and fix up some time, an hour or something like that. If this method of putting questions now is adopted, it will only be waste of time because they may not get the answer. Let us have an hour's discussion. If hon. Members only want clarifications now, I have no objection. But I think it would be more useful to have an hour's discussion with each party expressing its views in ten or five minutes. We have been having such discussions. I think it has been very very useful. I am satisfied that it is serving some useful purpose, But if in the name of clarification questions are asked now. it does not satisfy anybody. So let us have a discussion. The Minister will also be very happy to explain the policy and clarify the position. Therefore, we shall fix up some time.

Shri R. K. Amin (Dhandhuka): May I seek a clarification?

भी प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री (हावड) : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, में छाप के द्वारा शिक्षा मंत्री जी से कहना चाहता हं कि चंकि उन्होंने ध्रपने वक्तव्य में कहा है कि यह मांग । ध्रगम्त. 1862 को उठाई गई थी ग्रीर यह प्रश्न भी साल से देश के सामने है, इस लिये वह इस योजना को 15 ग्रगस्त के बजाय । ग्रगस्त स नाग करें, ताकि उम परी गताब्दी या एक भ्रलग सध्याय वन जाये।

Mr. speaker: He can suggest during the discussion.

12,43 hrs.

BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE FIFTH REPORT

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): I beg to move:

"That this House agrees with the Fifth Report of the Business Advisory Committee presented to the House on the 18th July 1967".

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That this House agrees with the Fifth Report of the Business Advisory Committee presented to the House on the 18th July 1967".

श्री मध लिसमे (मुंगेर): ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं भाष से प्रार्थना करना नाःता ह कि तीमरी लाक सभामें हम ने गों को एक बार नहीं, दो तीन बार यह धारा सन दिया गया थाकि हिन्दस्तानका क्षेत्रफन (एटिया ग्राफ इंडिया) सिकुड रहा है, उस के बारे में श्री चागला का जा बक्तव्य है, उस पर बहुस का मौका दिया जायेगा। इस बारे में एक प्रस्ताव भी दिया गया है। हमारी यह मांग है कि उन को लिया आये।

मंत्री महोदय ने प्रभी भारतीय भाषाधी का जिल्लाका माध्यम बनाने के बारे में जो बक्तव्य दिया है, उसके लिये एक घंटे की बहत से काम नहीं बलेगा। इसके लिये कम सं कम ढाई घंटे रखे जायें।

श्री बलराज भघोक (दक्षिण दिल्ली): शिक्षा मंत्री ने शिक्षा के माध्यम के बारे में जो स्टेटमेंट दिया है, वह पालियामेंट के मेम्बरों को कमेटी के निर्णय पर श्राधारित है। उन कमेटी की रिपार्ट ग्रामी तक गवनंमेंट को नहीं दी गई है। कमेटों ने निश्चित रूप से यह कहा है कि यह चेंज-मोदर पांच नाल में हो।

Is it proper that before the report is submitted Government take a decision of this sort without giving any time-limit?