12.05 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

ALLEGED PROPOSAL FOR FURCHASE OF DELANDS IN INDIAN OCEAN BY U.K. FOR MILITARY BASES

बी जार्ष कर्नेस्टिस (बस्वई दक्षिण) क्षाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं धविलम्बनीय लोक महत्व के निम्नलिखित विषय की घोर वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री का ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूं चौर प्रार्थना करता हूं कि वह इस बारे में एक बक्तव्य दें —

"हिन्द महासागर में बहुत से द्वीप ख़रीदने श्रीर बहा जंगी जहाजो ग्रीर विमानों के लिए ग्रांग्लक ग्रमरीकी ग्रहु बनाने के ब्रिटिस सरकार के निम्चय के मसावार"

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): Sir, this subject was discussed in the Rajya Sabha on November 18 and in the Lok Sabha on November 23, 1965. The Deputy Minister made a statement in the Rajya Sabha while the then Foreign Minister made a statement in the Lok Sabha. We stand by the attitude taken by the Government of India on this question in those statements.

With reference to recent reports os this question Government have made further enquiries. According to the British what is being done now is nothing more than what was contemplated before. According to them, there is no idea of setting up military bases or stationing of foreign troops on these islands. They claim that the present proposal is no different from their previous proposal and the idea is to give only transit, staging and refuelling facilities to British and American military planes going to the Far East. They further claim that this is necessary in view of British commitments to Malaysia, Australia and Hong Kong and American commitments in the Far East. What the British Government are proposing to do now is to negotiate the purchase of three privately owned islands, viz. Farquier, Desroches and Aldahra in the Seychelles group and the Chagos Archipalago which belonged to Mauritius, for the provision of transit and refuelling-cum-communication facilities.

Government of India's position has been made clear in the past and there is no change in our stand. We have subscribed to the Bandung Declaration of 1955. We have also signed the Cairo Declaration of 1964 on the subject of establishment of bases in the Indian Ocean and we stand by them.

We have also subscribed to resolution No. 1514 of 14th December, 1960 and No. 2066 of 4th Jaunary, 1966, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly dealing with this subject. Resolution No. 2066 "notes with deep concern that any step by the administering power to detach certain islands from the territory of Mauritius for the purpose of establishment of military bases would be in contravention of resolution No. 1514". It further "invites the administering power to take no action which would dis-member the territory of Mauritius violate its territorial integrity."

We are opposed to the establishment of mi'itary bases in the Indian Ocean area as it might lead to an increase in tensions in this region. We hope that, in the larger interest of peace, the British authorities will bear In mind our feelings and the feelings of other countries in this region and desist from setting up any military bases in this area.

बी बार्ज कर्नेन्डिस : प्रसल में ऐसा लगा कि इंग्लिस्तान के ही बैदेशिक मंत्री की बोर से बयान सुनने को हमें मिस रहा है।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा प्रश्न यह है कि इस दोनों प्रकार का क्या अंग्रेज और धमरीकी सरकारों से हिन्दुस्तान की सरकार ने यह डीप खरीबने के बारे में और वहां यह झड़े बनाने के बारे में कोई निषेध व्यक्त किया है चूंकि यह जो बयान वहां पर पेश करने में

बाया है उस में एक हो प्रश्रतात का विक है चीर इसरे कुछ धाला व्यक्त करने में घाई है। मैं समझता हं कि इन मामलों में पूछताछ की बाला व्यक्त करने से काम नहीं होगा निषेश व्यक्त करने का काम तत्काल होना चाहिये था इसलिए हम यह बानकारी चाहेंने कि क्या इंग्लिस्तान की सरकार और धमरीका की सरकार या दोनों सरकारों के पास कोई निषेध व्यक्त करने का काम हिन्दस्तान की सरकार ने किया है भीर साथ साथ सध्यक्ष महोदय. क्या इस मसले को लेकर संयक्त-राष्ट्र संघ में, दुनिया की बदालत में, कोई भी कार्यवाही करने का सरकार का विचार है ? धगर यह दोनों सरकारे इस मामले पर हमारें खयालात को सूनने को तैयार न हों तो एशिया के मुल्कों में खास तौर पर, भीर दुनिया के मल्कों में भाम तौर पर, कुछ जनमत संगठित करने के बारे में क्या सरकार के सामने कोई भी कार्यवाही है ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: Yes, Sir; apart from making inquiries, we have made representations.

Shri George Fernandes: Not representations, protests.

Shri M. C. Chagia: Representations which amount to protests.

Shri Vasudevan Nair (Peermade): What does that mean?

Shri M. C. Chagla: That means, we have pointed out to the High Commissioner for United Kingdom in Delhi that what they are trying to do is opposed to the United Nations resolutions. The explanation given by the High Commissioner is what we have stated, namely, that their intention is not to set up any base. Even so, we pointed out that it was opposed to the United Nations resolution because the resolution comes to this. If a country is independent, it can do what it likes with any part of its territory-it can dismember itself: it can transfer its sovereignty or a part of it to other countries-but when a country is not

independent, dismember that to country is against our stand for anticolonialism. Today Mauritius is still not independent. Seychelles is still a British colony. Therefore, any attempt on the part of the British Government to dismember either Mauritius territory or Sevehelles Islands would really amount to a violation of the United Nations resolution. We have pointed this out in unmistakable terms to the High Commissioner here and I am sure he will convey our views to his Government.

श्री जार्ज फर्नेन्डिस : मेरे पूरे सवाल का जवाब नहीं मिला । मैंने पूछा या कि क्या संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ में इस सवाल को छेड़ने का सरकार का खयाल है और जनमत संग्रह संगठित करने का सवाल है ?

Shri M. C. Chagia: Certainly, we will take up this matter in the United Nations because it is the violation of the Resolution and we will do what we can to mobilise public opinion against what is happening.

Shri R. Barua (Jorhat): Recently, there was a Defence Semirar in which 18 experts from European countries participated. May I know whether the counterparts of India used this opportunity to project our feelings in regard to the security risks that the Asian countries may have as a result of this new transit base?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I am sorry I am not in a position to say whether this specific question was discussed at this Seminar

Shri Chintamani Fasigrahi (Bhubaneshwar): May I know whether the Government of India proposes to launch a joint protest against this move of the British Government with all the Asian powers concerned? The Minister said that they are not purchasing the islands for the purpose of having a foreign base. Are they purchasing these islands for cultivation than?

32 I I

Skri M. C. Chagla: As I told the House, they claim that the idea is to give only transit, staging and refuelling facilities. They have categorically told us that they do not intend to use them as military bases.

Shri Chintamani Panigrahi: Is there any joint protest by Burma and other countries and, if so, is our Government joining in that protest.

Shri M. C. Chagla: At present, we do not know it because this has just come to our light. We have taken action and, if necessary, we will work together with other countries which are interested in the Indian Ocean

भी मधु लिमये (मृगेर) ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मली महोदय ने जो बयान पढ़ा है उस में मैंने निम्न वाष्य हेला :

"What the British Government are proposing to do now is to negotiate the purchase from some British planters of three privately-owned islands ... '

में यह जानना चाहता ह कि हिन्द महासागर में कितने द्वीप ऐसे है जिन पर निजी मिल्कियन है भीर भन्तर्राष्टीय कानन में उनकी क्या हैसियत है। क्या यह चीनी-कपड़े की नरह बिकी के लिये है। मान लीजिये कल चीन खरीद लना है भीर उस पर भण् विस्फोट करना है या प्रक्षेपणास्त्र जिसको मिजाइल कहते हैं, का महा डालता है तो उसके सम्बन्ध में भन्तर्राष्ट्रीय कानून में क्या स्थिति होगी । क्या इस मामले के बारे मे सरकार ने विचार किया है गहराई में जाकर भीर इसके बारे में क्या कोई इलाज बढ़ा है ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: The position is clear. What the Government can buy is the free-hold rights. Let us take an example. If my hon friend has got a land in Delhi which is free-hold, he cannot sell it to Pakistan but he can sell it to an individual. He cannot transfer the sovereignty of that land That land belongs to India and it must belong to India. All that can be sold or purchased is the land tenure, free-

But we are told hold or lease-hold. by the British Government that there is already an agreement between them and the Governments of Seychelles and Mauritius by which they have agreed to pay certain compensation. This is what we are objecting to. You carnot transfer sovereignty of the colonial country by paying compensation because you are dismembering the colonial country. But as regards the private transactions that is a different matter.

of Lilands by U.K. in Indian Ocean (C.A.)

भी मद लिमये : मेरे प्रश्न की सफाई नहीं हुई । मैं पूछना बाहता हूं कि प्राईवेटली श्रोन्ड श्राइलैंडस का स्था मतलब है । श्रन्त-र्राष्ट्रीय कानून श्रीर सार्वभौनिकता को ले कर इसकी क्या हैसियत होती है।

श्री मृ० क० चागला : हैसियत यह है कि इन्टरनैशनल ला में मावरेन्टी किसी एक व्यक्ति की नहीं रहती । आइलैंड फी होल्ड हो सकता है लेकिन सावरेन्टी तो एक कन्दी की ही हो सकती है।

श्री मध लिभवे क्या वह इनको बेच सकते है ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: They can only sell the free-hold rights under the international law, as I have understood. They cannot possibly sell the sovereignty

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया (कन्नीज) ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्राज फिर कल के जैसा हक्षा । भव में समझ गया हं कि माननीय मंत्री महोदय कथी भी तकलीफ में बाने पर द्वीप को समुद्र बना सकते है और समृद्र को द्वीप, जिस तरह से भ्रमी उन्होंने बेस को कह दिया ट्राजिट क्रेसिलटीज, प्यूएलिंग फ़ेसिलटीज वगैरह वर्गेरा । प्रास्तिर को बात तो उन्होंने अपने मंह से साफ कह दी है कि कोई भी सही और सच्चा उत्तर पाना उन से श्रसम्भव है। फिर भी मैं चपना कर्तव्य निभाता हुं और पुछता हं कि हिन्द महासागर में ऐसे हीप जिनके ऊपर किसी की मिल्कियत है उनके

जबाब से माफ नहीं हथा, सेवेल्स या किसी व्यक्ति का --- जो भी मुंह में भाषा कह देना कुछ भी---उन हीयों में जो कुछ भी बेच रहे हैं बीजें यह ट्रांबिट फेसिलिटीज, प्यूएलिंग फ्रेसिलिटीज वगैरह उनके बारे मे भारत सरकार क्यों भविष्य के लिये भपनी भाज की स्थिति साफ नहीं करती । मैं माने लेता हं कि बाज भारत सरकार के पास वम नही है कि वह कोई भी सच्चा विरोध कर सके लेकिन द्यागे चल करके सचमुच सक्तिय विरोध के लिये एक ताकतवर विरोध माज सब जगह हो। जाना चाहिये कि यह दीप किसी भी हालत में भारत महासागर के बाहर की किसी शक्ति को दिये नहीं आ सकते । किसी शक्ति को भी। ग्रमरीका बाले सेवेन्य फ्लीट न जाने कहा कहा भेजा करते है चीन बाले भेजने पर लगे हुए हैं। नतीजा हो रहा है कि हमारा पूरा सार्वभौमित्व स्रात्म होता जा रहा है। यह विल्कूल साफ माना चाहिये कि किसी भी तरह की कोई बीज भारत महासागर के बाहर नही जा सकती ट्रांजिट फ़ेसिलिटीज, प्युएलिग फ़ेसिलिटीज कोई चीज।

मैं घापसे कह रहा हूं। उन से जरूरत पड जाती है बोलने की, लेकिन ऐसे घादमी से बोलने का घब दिल नहीं करता है।

Shri M. C. Chagia: I did not say that we have agreed to the measures taken by the British. I was only giving information to the House as to what the British attitude is. I am not saying that we have accepted this attitude. Our position is clear and I have stated it. I do not know why my hon. friend must always start his question with a certain vituperative expression. What is it I have said which is not correct?

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : इसलिये कि भाप सच बोलना भूल गये हैं। और कोई सबब नहीं है । Shri M. C. Chagia: I think the hon. Member has forgotten to be courteous and polite.

डा॰ राम मने हर लोहिया : मैं ऐसे भूठे भादमी के माथ किमी भी तरह की सभ्यता नहीं रख मकता !

Shri M. C. Chagla: I object, Sir. It is most unparliamentary. I would request you to expunge these remarks. Is this a parliamentary expression?

Mr. Speaker: Which one?

Shri M C Chagia: झुठे म्रादमी ।

छा० र.म मनोहर नोहिया जाने दो बहुत बाते सुनी है पालियामन्द्री एटिकेट की बातें। हमेशा अमत्य बोलने रहे है

Mr. Speaker: Whether it is parliamentary or not, I wish to say that an hon. Member should not use such a language against another hon. Member. It is not proper. After all, we have to respect

डा० राम मनंहर लोहिया : भीर वह बार बार मेरे लिये डिस्कर्टेमी कहते रहेगे ?

Mr. Speaker: After all, we have to honour and respect each other.

डा॰ राम भने हर लें। हिमा . डिस्करेंसी बह बार बार कहने रहे है । राजनीति मे कल के माये हुए लोग हम को बता रहे है ।

Mr. Speaker: It is not proper to use such a language against another hon. Member. I regret very much. I hope the members will not use such a language Whether parliamentary or unparliamentary, they should certainly not use such a language against another hon Member.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta North East): What about certain things in regard to the Minister to which he took objection and which happen to

3316

[Shri H. N. Mukerice]

be on record? Are you going to permit those things to be on record?

Mr. Speaker: My point is this Even presuming that it is not unparliamentary, we should not use such a language. That is what I have said. It is not a question of going into the technicalities-legal, technical and all that. We should avoid using such a language.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Certain words were used which should not have been used. It was said that the hon, Minister always tells an untruth. If that is on the record, the record should be corrected.

Mr. Speaker: His protest and my remarks will also be on record.

डा० र.व मनोहर ले.हिया . मिनिस्टर साहब का डिस्कर्टेंसी कहना विट्परेटिव कहना भी रिकार्ड पर 'हेगान ?

भी घटल बिहारी बाजनेबी (बलरामपुर)ः ये जो द्वीप खरीद करने जा रहे हैं इन में से कुछ मारिशस के हिस्से में हैं। मारिशस धनी तक स्वाधीन नहीं हैं। ब्रिटिश सरकार मारिशस की स्वाधीनता की निर्धारित तिथि बागे बढाती जा रही है। मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि क्या भारत सरकार इस मामले को कामनबैल्ब की मीटिंग में उठायेगी, कामनबैल्य की बैठक में उठायेगी? साथ ही साथ हिन्द महासागर में जो देश जुड़े हुए हैं उदाहरण के लिए लंका है, बर्मा है, इंडोनेशिया है. क्या इन देशों से भी इस मामले के बारे में सरकार ने राय की है और क्या मिल कर बिटिश सरकार के सामने कोई बात कही जायेगी ?

Shri M. C. Chagia: With regard to the Independence of Mauritius, the position is this. The British Government have agreed that independence would be granted six months after the elections are held. The date of election has been postponed from time to time. But as far as I know elec-

tion is going to be held this year some tune in June or July, and six months after that, the United Kingdom is committed to grant independence to Mauritius. As regards the Seychelles, it is still a colony of the United Kingdom, and no further progress has been made.

With regard to the latter part of my hon, friend's question, we shall certainly try to mobilise public opimon of like-minded countries to see that these islands do not in any way prejudice the security of the countries bordering on the Indian Ocean or even lead to friction or tension in the Indian Ocean which we do not want.

Shri P. K. Deo (Kalahandı): In view of the continued Chinese atomic blackmail against India and in view of the fact that no progress is being made in the Disarmament Committee regarding non-proliferation of nuclear weapons, especially so far as the mutual obligations between nuclear and non-nuclear countries are concerned, and in view of our stand that we are not going in for the manufacture of nuclear weapons, is it not proper that the Government of India and the Government of the United Kingdom and the Mauritius sit together and try to find out a common atomic shield against this country whose security is being constantly threatened by the Chinese blackmail?

Shri M. C. Chagia: This is a question regarding policy. I have already placed before the House a statement on our nuclear policy. I do not think that I can usefully add anything more to what our policy is with regard to proliferation of nuclear weapons.

Shri P. K. Deo: All the three could sit together and try to find out a common defence arrangement

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister has already said that he cannot add to what he has already stated.

Shri M. C. Chagia: We shall take all stops to see that our security is safeguarded against China. This is a suggestion for action and we shall certainly consider it.

Shri M. R. Masani (Rajkot): Thank you.

Shri Swell (Autonomous Districts): In his book, Gandhiji's Emissary, the late Mr. Sudhir Ghosh resterated what he had stated on the floor of the other House, namely that during the most agonising phase of the Chinese aggression on our territory, the late Prime Minister Shri Jawaharlal Nehru wrote to President Kennedy and requested for 16 squadrons of fighter uombers. As far as I know, Government have not denied this assertion of the late Mr. Sudhir Ghosh. In view of this, what we were led to do during the mortal hour of our nation, in view of the growing detente between the USA and Russia and in view of the continuing aggressiveness of China which now possesses nuclear weaponry. I would like to know what good it does to our national interest to raise this hullabaloo about these bases in the high oceans about which we cannot do anything in any case, and whether it would not serve our interests better to keep silent about it and get the assurance of protection of our country against nuclear blackmail by China.

Mr. Speaker: Shri P. K. Deo also asked the same question. The Minister has replied to it.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: An irrelevent question.

Shri M. R. Masani: A relevant question,

Shri M. C. Chagla: India has not been in the habit in the past, it is not in the habit now and it will, I hope, never be in the habit in future, of not raising its protest when injustice is done or something is done which is opposed to our policy. Our policy is the policy of non-alignment; our

policy has been the policy of anticolonialism. What is being done today affects our policy of anti-colonialism. This, according to us, is a perpetuation of colonialism. Since the days of Bandung, we have subscribed to certain principles. We must stand by them and if somebody violates those principles, it is the bounden duty of India to raise a protest.

Shri Swell: My question is not about the policy. I asked: what good does it do to the national interest to raise this protest? He has evaded that question

Mr. Speaker: Exactly the same question was asked by Shri Deo. The Minister has given the same answer.

भी हुकम बन्द कह्मवाय (उज्जैन) : यह जो द्वीपों को खरीदने की बात है इसके सम्बन्ध में मैं जानना बाहता हूं कि क्या सरकार को धरोखों की कथनी और करनी में जो अन्तर रहा है, उसका अनुभव है और यदि है तो उन बातों को ध्यान में रखते हुए क्या सरकार कोई कार्रवाई करेगी ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: The purchase is not by America; it is by Britain. America does not come in. All that the British say is that they will give facilities both to their planes and also to American planes. In the past we have had experience of this that British professions have not come up to their performance. All that we can do for the time being is to accept their statement that they do not propose to use these islands as military bases.

Shri Hanumanthaiya (Bangalore): Does the hon. Minister . .

Mr. Speaker: No. only those who have given notice can ask questions.

भी बक्तपाल सिंह (देहरावून) : सरकार ने इस बात का सहय कर तिया है कि गताती पर गताती करती थाने । आप किसी की श्री यस पाल सिंह]

कान्किर्देस में लेने को तैयार नहीं हैं। जब धाप नान-इसाइनमेंट की प्रांससी को मानते हैं तो फिर प्रापका साथ कौन देगा। प्राप ने न्य दल लक्ष ऐसा निकाला है कि बीस साल में कदम कदम पर भापकी पिटाई हुई है, कदम कदम पर सरकार को पराजय का मह देखना यहा है। बाज तक बाप ने यू॰ ए॰ बार॰ भीर सीलोन को कान्फिडेस में लेने की कोशिश नहीं की है। न्यटल के क्या माने हैं। न्यटल मींब फेबफुल ट नन । जब भाप किसी के फेबफुल नहीं हैं तो दूसरे भाप के क्यों फेबफुल होंगे। क्या आप ने सोचा है कि भारत किसी को अपने काल्फिडेंस में ले और अपनी रक्षा के उपाय करे ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I strongly repudiate this suggestion that we are neutral. We are not neutral; we are non-aligned, and there is all the difference in the world between being neutral and being non-aligned I hope my hon, friend will realise the distinction

Shri Vasudevan Nair: The hon. Minister has just conveyed some information that he received from the British Government as far as the nature of the military bases they wish to establish in these islands is concerned. I should like to know whether he has some independent information about the type of military bases they are going to establish in these islands, and if so, what is that?

Mr. Speaker: I think he explained in answer to the first question itself. Anyway, he may repeat it

Shri M. C. Chagla: Our information so far is no different from what has been conveyed to us by the High Commission here, that they propose to use these islands for the purpose of transit facilities. They are giving a categorical assurance that they do not want to convert these islands into military bases.

Shri Indrajis Gupta rose-

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): Yesterday in the Puniah Assembly....

Mr. Speaker: We are on the call attention notice now.

Shri Chintamani Panigrahi: Punjab Assembly has been adjourned sine die . . .

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Alipore): He is an old member. I do not know what is the matter with hm.

Mr. Speaker: He did not know that we are on the call attention notice. He may ask his question.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: The statement refers to British commitments in Malaysia, Australia and Hong Kong and American commitments in Far East. I think this is only a polite way of saying SEATO commitments. May I know from the hon. Minister whether, in the course of these diplomatic exchanges with the High Commissioner of the United Kingdom, it was pointed out by our side that if transit staging and refuelling facilities to planes are to be given on these islands, it will obviously necessitate the setting up of air fields, because servicing facilities cannot be without air fields being constructed? Was it pointed out that once these all fields are constructed, they can at any time be utilised as full-fledged air bases? Also, did they ask how it is that, apart from violating the United Nations resolutions, this matter was never communicated or discussed with that happy family known as the Commonwealth of Nations, of which we are such an enthusiastic member?

Shri M. C. Chagia: We have pointed out that even the uses to which they want to put these islands would violate and infringe the resolution of the United Nations. The British Government did communicate to us their deciaion as a member of the Commonwealth and as soon as the decision was

communicated to us, we protested; we said that even these limited facilities might lead to something more, and even as it is it constitutes a violation. They have no right, we have pointed out to them, to purchase islands and try to dismember. Mauritius and Seychelles which are still not independent countries.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: I asked a specific question. He can say yes on no. Was it pointed out that providing these facilities to the United Kingdom and United States planes would require construction of military air fields, which can be employed as air bases at any time. Was this pointed out? What reply did they give?

Shri M. C. Chagia: I do not know whether this specific thing was pointed out, but we did point out that it may ultimately lead to something more.

Shri Hem Barua (Mangaladai): The area in which three islands exist that U.K. proposes to purchase, is known as the British Indian Ocean Territory That is an anachronism, and shows how the Indian Ocean has been membered. Whatever that might be. may I know, except raising this issue of the purchase of these islands in the United Nations organisation platform, what else our Government can do? We raise this in the United Nations because it threatens peace. Besides that, what can the Government because it is their own territory, Britain's own territory, and they are purchasing these islands from their own people?

Shri M. C. Chagia: I wish my hon. friend will tell me what we can do. I agree we can raise it in the United Nations.

Shri Hem Barua: He is bringing this to the United Nations where there will be endless talk only, and nothing fruitful will emerge out of it. The United Nations is a platform for endless talks, and the hon Minister has

become a specialist now in evasive answers

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): After these bases are established and we have taken note of it, I want to know whether any correspondence has been addressed to them by the Government of UK?

Shri M. C. Chagla: Yes, Sir. We have received a note, I said so, telling us that they are purchasing these islands and they have told us the purpose for which they are purchasing.

Shrimati Tarkeshwarl Sinha (Barh): Is this kind of agreement to allow the freehold of these islands to the British Government, on the plea that these settlements were made before India achieved full sovereignty, compatible with the position of India and Indian interests? If it conflicts with the national interests of India, is there any via media by which it can be resolved?

Shri M. C. Chagla: I think the hon. Member is under some misapprehension. This proposal to purchase these islands was entered into after India became independent. The position is that Mauritius and Seychelles are not independent. We are independent. and our attitude is that unless a country is independent and deliberately wants to dismember itself, it should not be done. If we want to give a part of our country to somebody, it is our business. Our attitude is that Mauritius is not independent, Seychelles is not independent; it -cannot exercise a right-which it does not possess-of dismembering itself. That is why we are objecting and we are saying you cannot purchase these islands.

भी बॉकार साम बेरवा (कोटा) : मंत्री महोदय ने कहा है कि वह इस मानके को संगुक्त राष्ट्र संघ में ने वार्षेत्रे, बेक्नि उन को बता होना चाहिए कि संगुक्त राष्ट्र [वी घोंकार सास बेरवा]

सब एक ऐसी रही की टोकरी है, बिस में काइज जाने के बाद उस की कोई परवाह नहीं की जाती है, जैसे हमारे काइमीर के केस को इतने सालों के बाद जी सुलझाया नहीं गया है। इसी प्रकार कई ग्रन्थ केस भी रही की टोकरी में पड़े हैं। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या इस सम्बन्ध में कोई लिमिट रखी जायेगी कि हम इतने टाइम में इस केस को सुलझा सकेंगे, बनां हम कोई सीधी कार्यवाही कर के उन के घड़ों को तोड़-फोड़ देंगे।

Shri M. C. Chagla: Well, Sir, we will certainly press upon the U.N. to take strong action. U.K. is a member of the U.N. and I am sure that the U.K. will isten to any directive given by the U.N.

भी क्रोंकार साल बेरवा : कव तक इन्तजार करेंगे ? क्या ग्रगले चुनाव तक ?

भी काश्वानम्ब (सीतापुर) : क्या मंत्री महोदय बतायेंगे कि क्या वह इस मामले को उन टापुमों के क्रिरीदने से पहले या बाद में संयक्त राष्ट्र संघ में से जायेंगे ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: According to the note, already agreement has been entered into and compensation has been fixed. One is aheady held as free-hold by the Crown; with regard to two they are still negotiating. We will send the necessary note to the U.N. and point this out and if something can be done we will do it.

भी बॉकार नान बेर्ला: रकम कितनी मन्बूर की है ?

Shri Jyotirmoy Basa (Diamond Harbour): I want to know whether the Government is inclined to grant facilities for recruiting Gurkhas for the British Army. Are there not many recruiting camps and transit camps in and around India?...(Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: We are discussing about the islands in Indian Ocean but you are talking about Gurkhas. If the Minister can reply he may but this is not relevant.

Shri M. C. Chagla: If the hon. Member puts down a separate question, I will answer it. I have not the figures now, apart from the fact that it does not arise out of this question.

12.38 hrs.

RE, SITUATION IN PUNJAB Mr. Speaker: Papers laid.

श्री रनवीर सिंह (रोहतक) : सम्यक्ष महोदय हम सरकार से यह जानना चाहते हैं कि भाज पंजाब में गवर्नमेंट किस कानून के तहत चल रही है, जब कि वहां पर भाषोजी-मन ने गवर्नमेंट को डिफ़ीट कर दिया है। उस गवर्नमेंट को डिफ़ीट कर दिया है। उस गवर्नमेंट को डिफ़ीस किया जाये। हम लोग इस मामले पर डिस्क सन चाहते हैं।

Mr. Speaker: You cannot raise any question like this. Please give notice and I will consider it.

Shri Ram Kishen Gupta (Hissar): The Assembly has been adjourned size die this morning.

Mr. Speaker: Every day you will have dozens of questions raised like this I am telling you this. It is dangerous. I do not want to allow it now.

Shri Triguna Sen.

Shri Ram Kishen Gupta: Sir, a situation has arisen in Punjab where the Assembly has adjourned sine die.

Shri Buta Singh (Rupar): There is no government in Punjab.

Several hon, Members rose-

Shri Buta Singh: The constitutional machinery has failed in Punjab.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. What is this indiscipline? I do not allow this.