3020

विल्ली में रिहायशी मकानों में दकाने

1832, श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री: क्या गह-काय मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि नई दिल्ली की लगभग सभी बस्तियों, जैसे राजेन्द्र नगर, पटेल नगर और डिफेंस कालोनी में रिहायशी मकानों के कुछ हिस्सों में ग्रनेक दुकानें चल रही हैं:
 - (ख) यदि हां, तो कब से: श्रीर
- (ग) दिल्ली नगर पालिका अधिनियम, 1957 के उपबन्ध का उल्लंघन करके इस प्रकार दकानें न खोली जायें क्या इसके लिये मरकर ने कोई कार्यवाही की है ?

गृह-कार्यमंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री विद्या चरण शक्ल) : (क) जी हां, श्रीमान ।

- (ख) भवनों के पूरा होते हुए ही दूकानें खलनी भारम्भ हो जाती हैं। ग्रनेक मामलों में उनका ग्रस्तित्व दिल्ली नगर निगम के ग्रस्तित्व से भी पहले था।
- (ग) जी, हां, श्रीमान् । चुंकि स्रावास भवनों को दुकानों में परिवर्तन करना. दिल्ली नगर निगम अधिनियम की धारा 347 के श्रघीन कानुनी कार्यवाही करने योग्य है श्रतएव ध्रपराधियों के विरुद्ध मुकदमे चलाये जा रहे हैं। कुछ एक मामलों में ग्रपराधों पर समझौता शह्क के रूप में प्रतिवर्ष एक माह का किराया भगतान करने पर इस शर्त पर समझौता कर लिया जाता है कि उचित पदाधिकारी द्वारा जब कभी भ्रपेक्षा की जाय, मालिक उस भ्रनुचित प्रयोग को हटा देगा । दिल्ली विकास प्राधि-करण ने इस प्रम्न पर विचार करने के लिये एक उप-समिति का गठन किया था। इस उप-समिति की सिफारिशें दिल्ली विकास प्राधि-करण के यिचाराधीन हैं।

Pak. High Commissioner's Alleged Letter Implicating Atulya Ghosh

1833, SHRI D. N. PATODIA: SHRI MRITYUNJAY SAD:

Will the Minister of HOME AF-FAIRS be pleased to state:

- (a) whether attention of Government has been drawn to a press republished in the weekly" of 20th January, 1968, to the effect that the Home Ministry after enquiry has come to the conclusion that the letter purported to have been written by the Pakistan High Commissioner to his Foreign Minister implicating Atulya Ghosh is a forgency;
- (b) whether the Intelligence Department has attributed the authorship of this letter to Mr. Yuri Modin, Counsel in the Soviet Embassy New Delhi: and
- (c) whether the question has been taken up by Government with the Russian and Pakistani Governments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI VIDYA CHARAN SHUKLA): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) There is no definite inormation about the author of the forged document.
 - (c) Does not arise.

12 hrs.

RE: CALLING ATTENTION NOTICE

SHRI GANESH GHOSH (Calcutta South): Sir, I gave notice of a Calling Attention Notice . . .

MR. SPEAKER: Order, order. would request the hon. Member to resume his seat. I have got a number of Calling Attention Notices and also a number of adjournment, motions. It is not as though I get only one and I admit it. Because we are taking up the No-confidence Motion on the issue of Kutch I am not admitting the Calling Attention Notice on that. I am

[Mr. Speaker]

3021

not mentioning any names. Again. the Proclamation of President's Rule in Bengal, the Governor's statement etc., are also coming up before the House. There is a privilege motion given by one hon. Member about the variation in the statements of the Governor. Some hon. Members have a feeling that their Calling Attention Notices are not looked into. Let me place the facts before the House. When a Calling Attention Notice is read out to me I do not know who has given notice that of because the names are not read out and I do not also ask them to read out the names. I do not even know whether it is given by a Congressman or a Member from the Opposition. I only decide whether the matter should be brought before the House or not. If every hon, Member whose Calling Attention Notice not admitted raises it on the floor of the House immediately after the Question Hour, will it lead us anywhere?

Shri Bhogendra Jha, to mention only one name, gave notice of a privilege motion about some variation in the statements of the Covernor of Bengal. I discussed the matter with him. I told him that the President's Proclamation was coming before House and he could certainly take up this point also during the debate on that. The said Proclamation had already been placed before the House and, therefore, I said that I did not consider it a matter of privilege. He wrote to me that it may at least be mentioned in the House.

If everybody wants that his privilege motion, adjournment motion or Calling Attention Notice should be discussed here, I have no objection but then we will not be able to do any other business. You may change I have no objection. the rules, You may change the rules saying that one or two hours after the Question Hour may be allotted for this. Then anybody can

anything. An hon, Member from the Congress side yesterday mentioned that Calling Attention Notices from the Congress side are not taken up. As I have already said, I do not read the names and I do not know whether a Notice has been given by a Congress Member or an Opposition Member. Members belonging to the Ruling Party cannot give embarrassing Calling Attention Notices as Members of the Opposition have the privilege to

Therefore while there is some accident or trouble the opposition has the privilege to give notice of a motion while the members of the ruling party cannot naturally give notice of such motions.

SHRI CHINTAMANI PANIGRAHI (Bhubaneswar): Wren you do not read the names, how do you know which will embarrass whom.

MR. SPEAKER: If hon. Members so desire, let the leaders of all parties sit together, discuss and provide in the rules if anything is to be raised after the question hour.

SHRI HEM BARUA (Mangaldai): There is a feeling among the mem-bers that Calling Attention Notices are often arbitrarily rejected. what is the remedy?

MR SPEAKER: The rules provide the remedy for it. Any member can make use of the rules. Let be no more discussion now. If hondesire, let us sit the Members so leaders of all parties and come to ? decision It can be done in the Busi ness Advisory Committee or in the Rules Committee. Let us sit down and discuss it. After that, if a decision is taken to permit the raising of such points after question will permit it; not now. So, anything mentioned by hon. Members now will not be recorded. (Interruptions). **

Yesterday even when I said "nothing will be recorded", the press has published something, which is not proper.

^{**}Not recorded.

Therefore, when I say that nothing will be taken down, it means absolutely no proceedings and the press shall not publish anything that has happened at that time. Yesterday some papers have done it. Therefore, I am sorry, I have to warn them.

Papers Laid

12.07 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE Economic Survey

THE DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER AND THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI MORARJI DESAI): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of 'Economic Survey, 1967-68' [Placed in Library. See No. LT-199|68.]

UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES (PREVEN-TIONS RUES

THE MINISTER OF HOME AF-FAIRS (SHRI Y. B. CHAVAN): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Rules, 1968, published in Notification No. S.O. 481 in Gaette of India dated the 5th February, 1968, under subsection (3) of section 21 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-200 [68.]

Annual Report of I.I.T. Madras

THE MINISTER OF EDUCATION (DR. TRIGUNA SEN): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report of the Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, for the year 1966-67. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-201/68.]

Notifications under All India Services Act.

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री विद्याचरण शुक्ल): ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय में प्रविक्त भारतीय सेवाए अधिनियम 1951 की धारा 3की उपघारा (2) के ग्रन्तर्गत निम्नलिखित ग्रधिसूचनाग्रों की एक-एक प्रति सभा पटल पर रखता हं:—

(i) G.S.R. 1906 published in Gazette of India dated the 30th De-

- cember, 1967, making certain amendments to the schedule to the Indian Police Service (Fixation of Cadre Strength) Regulation, 1955.
- (ii) G.S.R. 1907 published in Gazette of India dated the 30th December, 1967, making certain amendments to Schedule III to the Indian Police Service (Pay) Rules, 1954.
- (iii) G.S.R. 34 published in Gazette of India dated the 13th January, 1968, making certain amendments to Schedule III to the Indian Police Service (Pay) Rules, 1954.
- (iv) G.S.R. 42 published in Gazette of India dated the 13th January, 1968.
- (v) The Indian Administrative Service (Pay) Amendment Rules, 1967, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 43 in Gazette of India dated the 13th January, 1968.
- (vi) The Indian Administrative Service (Regulation of Seniority) Amendment Rules, 1967, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 44 in Gazette of India dated the 13th January, 1968.
- (vii) The Indian Administrative Service (Appointment by Promotion) Amendment Regulations, 1967, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 45 in Gazette of India dated the 13th January, 1968.
- (viii) G.S.R. 46 published in Gazette of India dated the 13th January, 1968, making certain amendments to the Indian Administrative Service (Fixation of Cadre Strength) Regulations 1955.
- (ix) G.S.R. 47 published in Gazette of India dated the 13th January, 1968, making certain amendments to Schedule III to the