two-roomed quarters in Aram Bagh area, New Delhi;

Written Answers

- (b) if so, the reasons therefor and the time by which a second fan will be provided in Aram Bagh area Quarters;
- (c) whether any action has been taken against officers responsible for this lapse; and
- (d) if so, the nature thereof and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISNRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING, AND WORKS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI B. S. MURTHY): (a) and (b). A third fan has been provided in type IV upgraded quarters in the D.I.Z. area under proper sanction. Government sanction for provision of a second fan in 'E' type (type III) quarters in Aram Bagh area has also been issued and it is expected that the work will be completed by the end of this year.

(c) and (d). Do not arise.

Celling on Urban Income

1199. SHRI YASHPAL SINGH: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have finalised its scheme of putting a celling on urban income; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI P. C. SETHI): (a) and (b). The reduction of disparities in incomes is a principal objective of Government policy. In so far as urban income is concerned, the levy of income-tex at progressive rates rising to a maximum of 82.5 per cent at a level of taxable income of Rs. 2,50,000 is a means of achieving this objective. In addition, wealth tax and additional wealth tax on urban lands and buildings are levied. The callection of direct taxes is being improved and penalties for concealment or under-declaration of income and wealth have been stepped up. The questions of imposing ceiling on income and holdings of urban properties are being examined in all their aspects.

Airlines Officer (C.A.)

Ministers taking their relations on
Foreign Tours at Government Expense

US Co's Letters to Indian

1200 SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

- (a) the name of the Minister who took with him or with her any relation of his or her abroad on Government expenses in the last three years;
- (b) the name of the relation and the amount spent by Government over him;
- (c) the reasons why Government money was spent on this account.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI P. C. SETHI); (a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as available.

12.35 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

ALLEGED LETTER BY DAUGLAS CO. OF USA TO AN INDIAN AIRLINES OFFICE BEGARDING PURCHASE OF AIRCRAFT.

SHRI S. S. KOTHARI (Mandsaur):
Sir, I call the attention of the Minister of
Tourism and Civil Aviation to the following
matter of urgent public importance and
request that he may make a statement
thereon:—

The latter allegedly written by a representative of the Douglas Company of the USA to an officer of the Indian Airlines officering him £ 15,000 for each DC-9 aircraft bought from the company.

THE MINISTER OF TOURISM AND CIVIL AVIATION (DR. KARAN SINGH): On 16th April, 1969 an Hon'ble Member of this House, Shri George Fernandes, wrote to me saying that he had learnt that members of the Indian Airlines Evaluation Team who had recommended the purchase of Boeing aircraft were being victimized in various ways, and adding that his attention had been drawn to a letter addressed by Mr. Koszarek, Managing Director of Messrs

Indamer Co. (P) Ltd. to Capt. Huilgol of Indian Airlines offering him a bribe of s 75,000/- per aircraft purchased by Indian Airlines from the Douglas Company. In my reply on April 23 I said that the allegations contained therein, if true, were very serious, and added that I was asking the management of Indian Airlines for a report in the matter. On the same day I wrote to the Chairman, Indian Airlines, drawing his attention to Shri Fernandes' letter and inviting his comments thereon. The Chairman replied denying the allegation of victimization, and went on to say that "as regards the other allegation about a letter from the local representative of McDonnell Douglas Corporation I observed that we have not at all been made aware about the receipt of any such letter. You may perhaps consider asking Shri Fernandes whether he has seen the alleged letter, or if so, with whom and what exactly are the contents of that letter."

The Secretary of my Ministry was away from the country from the 10th to the 27th April. On his return to duty on the 28th he found in his mail an unopened letter dated April 12 from Shri M. J. B. Mancekji of the Pillman Aircraft Company, enclosing a photostat copy of a handwritten letter purported to have been written on October 12, 1967 by Mr. Koszarek to Capt. Hui'gol. The alleged letter, as far as can be deciphered, runs as follows ;-

"Dear Capt. Huilgoyle,

Reference is made to our several discussions in connection with our mutual interest in promoting business of sale of products marketed by me. Please be assured that on sale of any units we shall pay you on compiction of sale and delivery a sum of \$ 75,000 per unit part of will be paid to others as directed.

Please understand that you will not assist any other vendor of same items. You will report to me from time to time as to progress made.

J. P. KOSZAREK.

I may clarify that in the handwritten letter it is not clear whether it is \$ 15,060 or 8 75,000.

SHRI PILOO MODY (GODHRA): It is 8 15,000.

DR. KARAN SINGH : How do you know?

SHRI PILOO MODY: I have seen the photostat.

DR. KARAN SINGH: I have myself looked into the photostat.

SHRI NATH PAI (Raiapur) : Shri Mody seems to know the whole thing. Why not he answer the questions ?

DR. KARAN SINGH : I have looked at the photostat myself with a magnifying glass. It is somwhere between 1 and 7 but I would much rather take it as 7 because it should not be underestimated if there is any pefarious deal of this nature. So, I have taken it as 8 75,000.

The Secretary immediately acknowledged receipt of Shri Maneckji's letter, and the next day forwarded photostat copies of Shri Maneckji's letter and its enclosure to the General Manager, Indian Airlines requesting him to make a thorough investigation. In his letter the Secretary, inter alia, said that "this matter, therefore, demands great seriousness and urgency and full investigation, the object being to put the Minister in full possession of all facts as soon as practicable." On the 2nd May the Airlines asked Capt. Huilgol, who had proceeded on long leave of various categories including sick leave from the 4th April, to state within three days;

- he had received (a) whether Mr. Koszarek's letter and, if so, to show at his earliest convenience, the original of the letter and his reply thereto;
- ,b) the particulars of the matters relating to which and the circumstances in which Mr Koszarek's letter came to be written to him; and
- why the receipt and the contents of the letter were not disclosed to the Management of Indian Airlines.

On 6th May Capt. Hullgol telephoned the General Manager and told him that since he had undergone an operation for entaract on his right eye, and since the stitches from the eye had not yet been

removed, he would be sending a reply by Monday the 12th May. On 14th May, not having received his reply, the General Manager reminded Capt. Huilgol over the telephone and followed it up with a letter. Subsequently Capt. Huilgol sent his reply on the 17th May, in which he said, inter alia, that he had received the letter "much later than the date indicated" and also that after some delay he "decided to bring the contents of his letter to the notice of higher authorities including some officials of the Ministry of Home Affairs." In reply to this the General Manager wrote to him on May 20 making further enquiries, to which no reply has yet been received.

Simultaneously on 2nd May a letter was addressed by the Airlines to Mr. J P. Koszarek sending him a copy of the photostat and asking him to let the management know immediaitely whether such a letter had been written by him and if so to give an account of the circumstances in which this was done. After several reminders Mr. Koszarek replied on 12th June denying ever having written such a letter. This reply was received by the Airlines on the 16th June.

- 5. As Capt. Huilgol is an employee of an autonomous Corporation, the Ministry f it that it was only after the Corporation had examined the matter thoroughly and sent its views to Government that further action could be decided upon. We were in fact awaiting a final report from the Corporation when this matter came up for discussion during the course of question hour in the Rajya Sabha on 23rd July. Immediately thereafter the matter was discussed with the Chairman and General Manager of Indian Airlines and on the following day, with their concurrence, all the papers connected with the subject, including some anonymous complaints and allegations received carlier against Capt. Huilgol, were sent to the Home Secretary with the request that the whole case be handed over to the Central Bureau of Investigation. The C B. I enquiry has begun.
- 6. In closing, Sir, may I add that quite apart from the veracity or otherwise of the alleged letter, which is indeed a matter of grave consequence, the larger question of a most thorough and careful evaluation of new aircraft for Indian Airlines has been receiv-

ing the urgent attention of Government and it is hoped that a decision thereon will be taken very shortly.

SHRI S. S. KOTHARI: I am concerned not so much with the amount, whether it is \$ 15,000 or \$ 75,000, but with certain basic issues. I would read only a part of the letter :

> "... a sum of 8 75,000-or 8 15,000 -per unit part of which be paid to others as directed."

It appears that this has wider ramifications. Not only this official but there appear to be others also, who would probably share the booty. That is something which the C. B. 1, and the Government must also pay attention to.

What I am concerned about is that this is a serious case which has been exposed. but all such cases do not see the light of the day. It is almost a normal practice with the American and Continental Companies to offer to those persons who carry out negotiations some amount of private commission. Most of the commission is, probably, appropriated by some unserupulous officials. They may be good and honest officials who see that the amount that is paid by the Government is net, after deducting such commission. But in many cases, it appears, that the amount is collected by the official and deposited somewhere in American or Swiss banks. It is a fact that this is happening. There are many such cases and only some of them come to light. Therefore, this is a matter to which Government must pay its serious attention.

Another point which is very important and to which I would like to draw your attention is that the law with regard to and punishment of corruption matters officials is so lax and the will to take action appears to be so week that the officials think that the only thing that can happen to them is either transfer or retirement

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Please come to the question now.

SHRI S. S. KOTHARI : Yes ; I am coming to the question.

Another point that I should like to refer is with regard to the political pressure exercised by Russia or America-I do to influence the deal. The Government must resist such pressurisation and must not indulge in procrastination. They should take immediate decision as to the aircraft they would like to order.

Now, my question is firstly, would the Government appoint an all party committee of this House or of both the Houses of Parliament to see that this matter is properly disposed of and that proper action is taken. This committee should also be a standing committee which should look into cases of corruption that come to light. Secondly may I know within what time would the C. B. I. give its report and whether the Government would blacklist the Doug'as Company and take action against the representative who is giving bribes.

Finally, will the Government give an assurance that agreements of public sector undertakings will be properly scrutinised by a central machinery, so that proper contracts are entered into and Government compains have only to pay the not amount after deducting all such commissions, which means that the commission should not go to private pockets of officials but should go to the public undertakings.

DR. KARAN SINGH: About introductory remarks that the hon. Member has made, I entirely agree that this is a matter which, if true, is very serious and if there are any ramifications, whatsoever, of this, they must be certainly inquired into and I am sure that the CBI will look into all aspects of the question.

About the question of pressure, it is true that we have taken some time to come to a decision in this regard (Interruptions) The answer 15 very simple purchase is not just an ordinary purchase; it is going to set the pattern for our aviation structure for the next 10 or 12 years, and we want to be sure that we are not hustled into any type of decision. Therefore, we are looking into it. I can say with full confidence that there is noquestion of Government succumbing to any pressure, whatsoever, and a decision will be taken entirely on merits.

SHRI S. S. KOTHARI: After July, prices will go up.

DR. KARAN SINGH: With regard to the question about Committee, I would submit that in view of the fac t that the whole case has been handed over to the CBI, we should await the result of their inquiry; if, as a result of their inquiry, any firm or any individual of the Corporation or anybody else is found guilty, certainly the strictest possible action should be taken.

श्री मधु लिमये (मुंगेर): जिस तरह छोटी गाडी, स्माल कार, का विषय एक मजाक का विषय बन गया है उसी तरह इन हवाई जहाजों की सरीद का विषय एक मजाक का-विषय हो गया है। इसके बारे में जो लाल केमटी बैठी भी उसकी रिगोर्ट ग्रभी तक इन्होंने प्रकाशित क्यों नहीं की है और सदन के सामने उसको क्यों नहीं रखा है? एक तो मैं यह जानना चःहता है ।

इन्होंने दबाव की बात कही है। लेकिन सारे तथ्यों को इन्होंने नहीं रखा है। मेरी जानकारी यह है कि यह कैप्टेन सःहब ये यह इंडियन एयरलाइंज कारप रेशन में एक बड़ी हस्तो ये और उसका कारए। यह है कि उनकी चेवरमैन साहब से दोन्ती थी, भरत राम जी से दोस्ती थी। सी०वी०ग्राई० के सामने जब मामला प्राया है तो मैं चाहता है कि मरत राम जी के बारे में भी जांच हो। उनको हटाइरे, उनको छुट्टी पर भेज दीजिय। मेरी जानकारी के अनुसार उसमें उनको भी करीब-करीब एक लाख डासर मिलने वाला था एक हवाई जहाज पर। इतके बारे में जांच होनी चाहिये।

बोइंग का जो मामला है, जिस के एजेन्ट मानेकजी हैं, यह सब लोग जानते हैं कि.....

SHRI N. DANDEKER (Jamnagar) : On a point of order. Can an hon, Member make allegations of this kind without producing some evidence before you? Has he produced before you some evidence in support of the allegations he is making? Will the privilege of the House be allowed to be abused in this manner? I am making a submission to Are you going to allow the privilege of this House to be abused in this manner?

[Shri N. Dandeker]

Has any evidence been produced before you? Is any prima facle evidence available on the basis of which allegations of this kind may be permitted to be openly made in this House ?

SHRI P. K. DEO (Kalahandi) : He is not present here to defend himself. ruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER; I would have restrained the hon. Member if I had felt that he was going beyond the limit. He has, in the lett r to the Minister, hinted that there are some other parties also involved...(Interruptions)

SHRI N. DANDEKER: "Some other party" is one thing and this particular person is another.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I would have restrained him if he had gone beyond the limit. He is a responsible Member of the House. In the matter of corruption, very rarely we get evidence. When a little evidence is there and when this matter has come to light now after a long time..... (Interruptions) Let me finish. I have got to give my ruling on this objection. When the matter has come to light and when Shri Madhu Limaye's colleague in fact had started by writing a letter to the Minister concerned ...

RAY (Puri) : Shri SHRI RABI George Fernanades had written.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: To take precautions regarding this. I presume that when he is making such an allegation he must be having some proof about it. So, I am not going to shut him out.

SHRI BAL RAJ MADHOK (South Delhi) : May I seek one clarification ? Because in the letter it is mentioned that other people may also be involved, will it be fair on my part to say that Dr. Karan Singh or the Deputy-Minister or somebody else has got so much money? Will it be fair on my part or on anybody else's part to say like that, because there is a reference in the letter that others also might be recipients of that kind of thoney? On that basis can I mention the name of the Minister concerned or anybody cise ?"

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: In normal

circumstances, if somebody's name is dragged here when he is not here to defend himself, I would certainly have cautioned him not to mention the name ...

SHRI N. DANDEKER : My point is this. Anyone has to write a letter and tosay that some people are involved in corruption and then it is open to him to fling names about in this House; that is what you are saying; that is what it amounts to,..

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have already pointed out that in this particular case, the matter was initiated by a private Member ...

SHRI N. DANDEKER: You are not listening to what I have to say first.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I am giving him a full reply.

SHRI N. DANDEKER : I have to state case. Let me first state my proposition

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have followed his proposition.

SHRI N. DANDEKER: But you have started even before I have finished my statement. My question is this

SHRI RANDHIR SINGH : rose-

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now, I have given the floor to Shri N. Dandeker. After him, I shall call the hon. Member. (Interruptions) This is a serious matter. So many allegations have come forward......

SHRI CHENGALARAYA NAIDU (Chittoor): The matter is very serious. You must hear me also.

SHRI N. DANDEKER : What I was submitting was simply this. If a letter is written to anybody in this house or to a Minister in the House saying that there is corruption, shall we say, in the railways, and a lot of people are involved, is it open to any Member to get up and name persons in the railways and say that they are involved in this ?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Why not? (Interruptions)

SHRI RABI RAY : If there is a proof, why not ?

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have followed his point, from a to z. I am going to reply

SHRI N. DANDEKER: Is it open to anybody to make allegations against anybody provided he writes a letter to the Minister?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let him please resume his seat. In this particular case, let me reply to him fully. When the question was brought to the notice of the Ministry or the Minister concerned by a private Member. a colleague of Shri Madhu Limaye, after some preliminary investigation, the Minister himself thought that the case was worth further investigation. So, it is not a flimsy charge. There must be some prima facie substance in it, and, therefore, he has handed over the case to the CBI. When the same Member has produced certain evidence, perhaps .- I am going to presume this in a matter of corruption like this and he says it, it is for the hon. Minister to refute it. He is there to refute it. I shall not shut the bon. Member out so far as this matter is concerned. (Interruptions) Now, let the bon. Minister repsy. It is for him to refute. (Interruptions)

Shri Dandeker has raised a pertinent question. He was within his rights to raise it.

SHRI N. DANDEKER: Your ruling becomes a president.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: It is interconnected. Therefore, I permitted it. Normally, I would not have done it. (Interruptions*)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Nothing that is said in the interruptions will go on record. (Interruptions*)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : So far as this case goes, my ruling stands final. (Interruptions*)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : We will take it up at 2 O' clock.

12-59 hrs.

The Lok Sabha adjourned for lunch at Fourteen of the Clock.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

CALLING ATTENTION TO MAT-TER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORT-ANCE.

ALLEGED LETTER BY DOUGLAS CO. TO AN INDIAN AIRLINES OFFICER REGARD-ING PURCHASE OF AIRCRAFT.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have this request to make to hon. Members. Too many hon. Members rise simultaneously. If they do so I shall have to order not to record the proceedings. I shall call them one by one. My ruling is final, more points were raised. One was about notice. When the Minister got a communication from the hon. Member, he has got sufficient notice regarding the purchases by I. A. C. and other things-Secondly, Dr. Bharat Ram's name was mentioned. He is not a private individual. When he mentioned his name, it was in his capacity as the Chairman of the Indian Airlines Corporation. When he wrote to the Minister, he wrote to him about alleged corruption that had crept in. So, when the name was mentioned, it was not a private individual; he does not remain a private individual; he has been mentioned as the Chairman of the Indian Airlines Corporation. That ruling is final and I shall not allow any question on that ruling. If there are any additional questions, they may be raised.

SHRI LOBO PRABHU (Udipi): I rise on a point of order under rule 353 :

"No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person ..."

Mark the words 'any person'; the Chairman of a Government Corporation is also 'any person'.

> ".... unless the member has given previous intimation to the Speaker and also to the Minister concerned so that the Minister may be able to make an investigation into the matter for the purpose of a reply

The Lok Sabha re-assembled after Lunch at two minutes past Fourteen of the Clock

[·] Not recorded.

[Shri Lobo Prabhu]

That is the first issue. I am asking you to say if you have received any previous intimation.

भी मधु लिमये : प्रव्यक्ष महोदय, मैं व्यक्तिगत स्पष्टिकरण देना चाहता हैं। मुके इरेंस्पांसिबल कहा गया है, तो मुक्ते व्यक्तिगत स्पष्टीकरण का मौका मिलना चाहिए।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Not now.

SHRI LOBO PRABHU: You as well as us are anxious to maintain the dignity of this Pouse. This House should not, as was once termed by the Hindustan Times, become the star Chamber where individual Members take up the cause of certain parties for their own gain perhaps and make allegations without any foundation (Interruptions), This is not a Star Chamber where personal vandetta could be carried on for any reason and you have a duty to ensure observance of rule 353. There is a proviso which says:

> "Provided that the Speaker may at any time prohibit any members from making any such allegation if he is of opinion that such allegation is derogatory to the dignity of the House or that no public interest is served by making such allegation,"

This House including all of us is concerned first of all with maintaining our dignity and secondly to see that there is no division from its duties... (Interruption). I am not very happy that the very leftist parties advocating nationalisation are finding fault with the nationalised airlines corporation. They want to nationalise everything. (Interruptions). Further there is an enquiry being made by the CBI and the matter is almost sub judice. It is unfair to all concerned that this House should indulge in such remarks: I request you to exercise your discretion to maintain the dignity of the House and protect individual by ruling against any further discussion on this subject till the CBI report is available (Interruptions),

SHRI M. R. MASANI (Rajkot): Under Rule 353, notice must be about a particular person. A general letter to a Minister saying that there are things going on without mentioning names, does not give protection under rule 353 which says that no allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person. In the letter that was written, Mr. Bharat Ram's name does not figure. We can ask the Minister to clarify whether his name was mentioned or not. If the name of the Chairman of the IAC was not mentioned. I suggest that you will have to get the name withdrawn or expunged because it should not have been allowed. I should like to ask the Minister to tell the House what he has to say on this subject before you give your ruling.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I have gone through that rule and when I gave my ruling I said that the rule applied to a private individual. Here is an individual holding some office directly or indirectly under the control of the Government. About the notice: I said that when a matter pertaining to a Ministry of any other organization under the control of a Ministry is raised, (Interruptions).

AN HON. MEMBER: He is an individual.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He may be an individual, but once he assumes office under the Government or the corporation, he does not remain an individual my longer; he cannot get that immunity. He is the chairman of the IAC and in that capacity his name is mentioned. My ruling on that is very clear.

SHRI N. K. SOMANI (Nagaur): Why do you not ask the Minister concerned to reply and say whether his name was mentioned or not ?

SHRI RANDHIR SINGH rose-

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let him resume his seat now. I shall give him an opportunity later.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE : rose-

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I shall call Shri Madhu Limaye when his turn comes.

भी मधु लिमये : में प्वाइन्ट ग्राफ ग्राडंर पर बोलना बाहता है.....

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: On this point, I would not listen.

भी मधु सिमये : गेरा घलग प्वाइन्ट भाग भाडेर है।

SHRI RANDHIR SINGN (Rohtak):

I want to help you on this point

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let him not waste the time of the House now. I shall listen to him la er. Let me finish with my statement. I have already said that the hon. Minister had intimation that this matter of corruption that is alleged to have crept in the IAC was brought to his notice. It is for him to stoutly defend if any other officer's name is brought in. He is there to defend him. He can defend him in his reply. Now, let Shri Madhu Limaye conclude his question. My ruling stands.

भी रराधीर सिंह : हिन्ही स्वीकर महोदय, ध्वाइन्ट प्राफ प्राठंर बड़ा साफ है घीर वह यह है कि इस हाउस से ऊपर कोई लेजिस्लेटिव ताकत देश में नहीं है। यहाँ इस हाउस में हर एक मेम्बर के प्रिवतेजेड और राइट्स है, उन पर कोई कब नहीं होना चाहिये, उन पर कोई रेस्ट्रेन्ट नहीं होना चाहिये। इस हाउस का हर एक मेहबर बडा रेस्पोन्सिबल है, उसकी चड़ी जिम्मेदारी है भीर मधु लिमये जैसा खादमी एक बात को उठाये-में नहीं समभता कि वह अड इं.लेंगे और फिर करवान देश में हो ती उस करप्शन की छिपाने का बया हम ने कीई ठेका से रला है। सगर किसी सादमी की यजह से एयर-कारपोरेशन का नाम बदनाम होता है धीर कोई मेम्बर उसको यहाँ पर उठाता है. तो इसमें क्या हर्ज है। काल-एटेन्शन की शक्त में उन्होंने जो सवाल उठाया है, उससे रेप्टेशन प्रस्ती बनेनो । सगर कोई धौर सफसर भी बाकी रह गया है तो उसका नाम भी या जाय इस में कोई बराई नहीं है। मैं चाहता है कि फीडम बाफ स्पीच में यह बात बानी चाहिये धीर धगर उसकी डिफेन्स करना है, ती मिनिस्टर साहब यहां बैठ हैं, दूसरे एम॰पीड॰ बैठे हैं, वे उसको देशेंगे। किसी के फीटम धाफ स्पीन के राइट को कब नहीं करना चाहिये, हर एक झादमी को बोलने का हक होना चाहिये।

SHRI N. K. SOMANI: Where is the point of order?

SHRI LOBO PRABHU; Where is the point of order?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: It is always presumed in this House that every Member is a responsible Member and he is not supposed so misuse his privileged position, When he brings in a name it is presumed that he is mentioning it with some responsibility. I entirely agree that every Member is a responsible Member and he will not abuse his right.

SHRI N. DANDEKER: What about the question of propriety ?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I am observing the rules of propriety. Now, the hon. Minister will reply to that point at the and of the question, not in between.

Now, Shri Madhu Limave (Interruption) Until Shri Madhu Limaye concludes, nothing else will go on record.

श्री मधु लिमसे: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं प्रापकी अनुमति से केयल एक बाक्य स्पष्टीकरण के तौर पर कहना चाहता हूँ घौर वह वाक्य यह है कि जैसे इस कल में लिखा है, सबेरे मैं प्रापक्ष मिला या घौर इस श्रीज के बारे में मेरे पास जो जानकारी है, वह धापके सामने पेस की थी। में चैयरमैन का नाम नहीं लेता, प्रमर मेरी यह राय होती कि उनके धैयरमैन रहने के याद भी एकवायरी मुचार रूप से चल सकती है। लेकिन चूँकि कैंप्टन साहब की और चैयर-मैन साहब की बड़ी दोस्ती रही है घौर कैंप्टन साहब को......

SHRI M. R. MASANI: What is the evidence of that?

भी मधु लिसये : एविडेन्स हैं, क्या प्राप एविडेन्स चाहते हैं(ध्यवधान)... ग्राप एविडेन्स नहीं चाहते हैं तो छोड़ दीजिये...

SHRI LOBO PRABHU: You were breaching the rules. Now, breach the rule fully. Let him place the evidence before us, SHRI N. SHIVAPPA (Hassan): Let the concrete evidence be placed before the House.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let Shri Madhu Limaye continue with his question.

भी मधु लिसये: मैं सीधा सवाल पूछना चाहता हूँ। यह जो हवाई जहाज का मामला है, यह त्रिको स्थारमक मामला है। एक म्रोर ये चैयरमैन हैं, डगलस कम्पनी के लोग मिलकर ..

SHRI N, DANDEKER: I do not see how you are permitting this.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He has not mentioned the whole organisation as...... (Interruptions).

श्री मधुलिमये: सरीदनेवाले चैयरमैन हैं।

SHRI M. R. MASANI: You cannot allow him to make this kind of remark, (Interruptions).

श्री मधु लिमधे: मैं दबने वाला नहीं हूं, सरीदनेवाला चैयरमैन है।...(व्यवधान)...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let Shri Madbu Limaye continue with his question.

श्री मधु लिमये: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, में आपके सामने अपनी सीधी बात कहना चाहता हूँ। अगर यह लोग हस्ला करेंगे तो चलने वाला नहीं है। में केवल सवाल पूछ रहा हूं। लाल कमेटी की रिपोर्ट इस सदन की मेंच पर अभी तक नहीं आई है। उस कमेटी ने अपनी रिपोर्ट में सर्व सम्मति से एक सिफारिश की है, यह सिफारिश बोइंग के बारे में है—अब यह मंत्री महोदय का काम है कि इसका जवाब दें—अब चैयरमैन साहब और यह कंप्टेन साहब और उगलस कम्पनी के लोग लाल कमेटी की सिफारिश को खरम करके डगलस कम्पनी के जहाड खरीदना चाहते हैं......

SHRI M. R. MASANI: This is untrue. It is absolutely false.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: It is for the hon. Minister to deny that. श्री मधु लिमये: लाल कमेटी की रिपोर्ट को मैंने नहीं छुपाया है। इनका काम या कि लाल कमेटी की रिपोर्ट को मेख पर रखते।

SHRI N. DAN DERKER: And all other committees.

श्री मधु लिमचे : ठीक है। एक घोर तो उगलस कम्पनी के लीग कमीशन कमाने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं, दूसरी धोर—मैं बोइंग कम्पनी के बारे में भी कहना चाहता हूँ—उन का यहां पर जो एजेन्ट है, उनको भी कमीशन मिलनेवाला है, जिसका पैसा पब्लिक को, हम लोगों को देना पड़ेगा, उसके बारे में भी मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान खींचना चाहता हूँ —क्या प्रधान मंत्री जी के एक सचिव ने जनरल मैनेजर सं...

श्री कंबर लाल गुप्त (दिल्लीसदर) : नाम बतलास्रो।

थी मधु लिमये : हश्सर साहब—मैं डरने वाला नहीं हूँ (स्ववधान)......इन लोगों ने कहा है कि नाम लो।

SHRI N DANDEKER: He has mentioned the name of a public servant. At this rate, I do not know where we are going to end. No public servant's name should be mentioned here,

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: In the earlier case, the name that was mentioned was that of the chairman of the IAC.....

SHRI LOBO PRABHU: Shri Haksar is the Private Secretary to the Prime Minister and he is a public servant.

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Shri Madhu Limaye may mention this point but no name.

SHRI LOBO PRABHU: Why not? (Interruptions)

SHRI A DANDEKER: You would not allow the name of Shri Haksar to be mentioned, but you will allow the name of Shri Bharat Ram to be bandled about here? I do not understand this.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The hon. Member should bear in mind the distinction which is there.

Now, Shri Madhu Limaye may put his question without bringing in any unnecessary controversial issue.

श्री मधु लिमये: मैंने यह रेलेबेन्ट कहा है। एक तो चेवरमैन का मामला है, दूसरे डगलस कम्पनी का मामला है भीर तीसरा सोवियत एयर केपट का मामला है। हक्सर साहब ने इस महीने में जनरल मैनेजर से मुलाकात करके उनके उत्पर दबाव डाला है कि टी॰ यु॰ 154 जहाज हिन्दुस्तान की इंडियन एयरलाइन्ज के लिए सरीदा जाय।

SEVERAL HON. MEMBERS rose-

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Order, order. Let him conclude. Mr Limaye, either you address the Chair, or, I will stop you. Please address the Chair.

श्री मध्र सिमये: ये बोलने ही नहीं देते हैं।

तो मैं यह कह रहा या कि प्रधान मंत्री के सचिव ने जनरल मैनेजर से मुलाकात करके जनके ऊपर दबाव हाला कि ग्राप सोवियद रूस से 154 (हवाई जहाज) खरीदने का फैसला कीजिए। मैं रूमानिया, चेकोस्लोवाकिया धौर युगोस्लाविया गया था। वहाँ के लोगों ने कहा कि सोवियट एयरफाफ्ट हेवी और ड्यूरेबिल जरूर हैं लेकिन ज्यापारिक भीर भाविक टब्टि से फायदेमन्द नहीं हैं। (ब्यवधान)...तो मेरा सवाल यह है कि क्या इस तरह का दवाब इंडियन एयर लाइन्स के ऊपर डाला जा रहा है और यदि डाला जा रहा है तो उसके बारे में ब्राप क्या कर रहे हैं ? बोइंग हो या डगलस हो, जो भी व्यापारिक भीर भ्राधिक दृष्टि से कायदेमन्द हो, उसको खरीदते समय बवा भाष इस बात का स्थाल रखेंगे कि यह 25 करोड की खरीद है, इसमें दस परसेन्ट तक रिश्वत होने वाली है तो वह कमीशन इन लोगों को, इन बोरों को क्यों मिले, जनता और सरकार को

क्यों न मिले--यह मैं जानना चाहता हैं। ग्राप जो भी तकनीकी दृष्टि से फैसला करना चाहते हैं डगलस या बोइंग, उसमें मैं नहीं पडता लेकिन में जानता हं कि जो प्राप दाम दें, वह दाम सही नहीं हैं उसमें घटाने का प्रयास किया जायेगा इसलिए उसमें कोई चोर कमीशन न ला पाए, इसका धाप क्या प्रबन्ध करेंगे?

डा॰ कर्ण सिंह : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मान-नीय सदस्य श्री लिमये जी ने जो सवाल पूछे हैं उसके दौरान दो तीन बातें उठी हैं। पहली बात तो यह है कि नया इंडियन एयर लाइंस के जो वेयरमैन हैं, श्री भरतराम, उनके विरुद्ध कोई इस प्रकार के झारोप हमारे पास झाये हैं या हमारे पास उसके प्रमाण है।...(व्यवचान)...

श्री मधु लिमये : मैंने यह नहीं कहा-मैंने कहा था कि इंक्वायरी की मुचाद रूप से चलाने के लिए उनको आप हटाइये, जब तक कि इंक्बायरी न हो जाए।

डा॰ कर्ण सिंह : जहां तक उनका स्थाल है, सी॰ बी॰ बाई॰ की वह इंक्वायरी बिल्कुल निष्पक्ष होगी। मैं सदन को विश्वास दिलाना चाहता है कि उस इंक्बायरी के दौरान कोई भी हो, एक क्लक से लेकर बड़े से बड़ा मिनिस्टर तक, कोई भी उससी सम्बन्धित है, अगर हमें पता चले कि कुछ गोलमाल हो रहा है तो उसके विरुद्ध कड़ी से कड़ी कार्यवाही की जायेगी क्योंकि हमारे देश में अगर इस प्रकार की दण्यसाली पड जाए कि जो भी कई चीज जनता के लिए सरीदनी हो उसमें इस प्रकार की बात होतो में उसका घोर विरोधी है घौर मैं भाववासन देता है कि कोई भी ऐसी बात हो बह निष्पक्ष होगी। इसके साथ-साथ मुक्ते दो बातें धौर कहनी हैं...(ब्यवधान)...

पहली बात तो यह है कि एक मिनिस्टर का यह फर्ज होता है कि जब भी कारपोरेशन के ग्रध्यक्ष जो हों, उनके विरुद्ध कोई ग्रारीप लगे तो वे उसकी रक्षा करें जब तक कि यह पता न

[डा॰ कर्स सिह]

चले कि उसके प्रमास हैं। मैं कहना चाहता है कि जहाँ तक मेरी जानकारी है भरतराम जी के विरुद्ध इस प्रकार का कोई धारोप नहीं है इसलिए मेरा कर्तथ्य वन जाता है एक मिनिस्टर की हैसियत से मैं यह स्पष्ट कर दूँ कि हमारे सामने कोई ऐसी बात नहीं है।...ब्यवधान)... श्री मधु लिमये स्वयं एक वरिष्ठ सदस्य हैं वे स्वयं इस बात के श्रीविश्य के विषय में सोच सकते हैं।

इसरी बात उण्होने यह कही कि हानसर जी की जनरल मैंनेजर से कोई मुलाकात हुई भीद उनकी श्रोर से कोई दवाब पढ़ा- मैं इसकी भी बिस्कूल स्पष्ट रूप से इनकार करना बाहता है कि उनकी स्रोर से कोई दबाव नहीं पड़ा भीद साथ में यह भी कहुँगा...

भी मध्रु लिमये : मिने थे ?

डा॰ कर्ज सिंह :यह मेरी जानकारी में नहीं है। मैं स्पष्ट कर दुँ कि तीन जहाज के सम्बन्ध में हम यत्न कर रहे हैं कि ध्यापारिक रूप से इस चीव को देखा जाए कि कीन सा जहाव जनता के हित में हंगा धीर एयर लाइन्स के हित में होगा । इस समय हम जो नये जहाज सरीदने जा रहे हैं वह बहुत महत्वपूर्ण खरीद है। इंडियन एयर लाइमा के लिए बड़ी धावश्यकता है कि सन् 1971 से पहने हमारे नये जहाज था जायें ताकि जनता को हानि न हो। इसको हम देख रहे हैं भीर में भी यह समभता है कि हम जो भी चीड खरीडें उसमें क्या कारल है कि बीच में किसी की कोई हिस्सा मिले। किसी को भी कुछ नहीं मिलना षाहिए। मैं यह बाश्वासन देता हैं कि जहाँ तक हमारा सवाल है हम हर मुमकिन कोशिश करेंगे कि जो भी सर्च हो वह जनता के हित में हो भीर जितनी भी उसकी कीमत कम हो सकती है वह की जाये । यह मेरा आदवासन है।...(व्यवधान)...

श्री मोगेन्द्र भा (जयनगर): मैं चाहुँगा कि पूरा सदन और मन्त्रो गहांदय इस बात को याद करें कि पब्लिक घण्डरटेकिंग्ड कमेटी ने घपने 37 वें प्रतिवेदन में जीकि इस सदन के सामने था चुका है, एक बात का जिक किया है और जिसपर सरकार का जबाब भी है. उसीको मैं पड़कर सुना देना चाहता हैं :

> one stage the Corporation were planning to replace their Viscount by a bigger and faster type of aircraft. A Committee appointed by Government under the Chairmanship of Air Marshal C. Lal had Inter recommended in 1967 that Viscounts were fit to be retained in service for a further period of five years and that the Corporation, during that period, should try and augment their fleet by one of the existing types of aircraft. The Corporation on further consideration have come to the conclusion that the present need is for an aircraft of 100 plus capacity and that there is a case for going in for five aircraft of that type, to augment their capacity on the trunk routes .. "

इममें प्रश्न यह उठता है कि एयर मार्शन लाल की जो एक एक कमेटी बनी थी उसने पूरी जांच करके बयना प्रतिवेदन दिया था कि सन 72 तक के लिए, धभी जो हमारे पास विमान है वे पूरी तरह से काम के लायक हैं धीर उसके बाद में भी ग्रगर जरूरत पड़ेगी ग्रलग करने के लिए तां जो मौजूदा किस्म के हैं उनके लिए किया जाए, इसलिए मैं जानना चाहता है कि किसी समिति ने, सरकार ने, मंत्रालय ने या किसने उस प्रतिवेदन के प्रतिकृत निर्णय निया कि नमें किरम के विमान सरीदे जामें? यह निर्शय लिया गया वह कब लिया गया भीर किसने लिया। सरकार का जबाब मार्च, 69 का है। धगर लाल समिति का प्रतिबेदन गलत पा तो उसके बारे में किसी दूसरी एक्सपर्ट समिति ने कोई निर्णय लिया या नहीं ?

इसके प्रलावा इससे सम्बद्ध एक मामला बीर है कि जो कोटोस्टैंट कापी की बात उठी है, 75 हजार डालर प्रति विमान के हिसाब से पांच विमानों की खरीद का सवाल उठा रहे हैं, मैं चाहूँगा कि उमको सदन की मेज पर रखें ताकि हर माननीय मदस्य उमको देख सके, उसकी पांडुलिपि को भी मदस्य देख सकें क्यों-कि इसमें है — एस्ड टू घरमें — तो इसको भी टेबिल* पर रखा जाए।...(स्थवधान) ..

MR. DEPUTY-SPFAKER: So far as that letter is concerned, he has read it completely. I have no objection to his placing it on the Table. The Minister himself is prepared to place it on the Table,

श्री मोगेन्द्र भा: जो सदस्य देखना चाहेंगे देख लेंगे। अब प्रश्न यह उठता है कि प्रदर्स ऐव डायरेक्टेड का क्या मतलब है। इसमें एक कैटेन का मामला नहीं है, बिक्त श्रद्ध का मामला भी है। यह कैटेन रिटायर करने वाला है, और यहो रिवाज यहां रहा है कि जब कभी ऐसी परिस्थित आती है तो लोग जस्दी लूटी लेकर चले जाते हैं। घदमें में बाहर के सोग नहीं हैं। उसी बोर्ड के अन्दर के लोग हैं जैसा कि मधु लिमये जी ने भी कहा है। प्रश्न यह पैदा होता है कि एयर मार्शल लाल समिति के प्रतिदेदन की काट कर जो निर्णय रिया गया, जहां विदेशी मुद्रा का प्रस्त है...

श्री नायूराम श्राहरवार (टीकमगढ़): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रदन है। श्राज पहली दक्का हम देख रहे हैं कि कालिग श्रटेंशन दो बजे के बाद लिया जा रहा है। जो महत्वपूर्ण बिजनेस सदन के सामने है उसको रोकने के लिए यह कार्यवाही की जा रही है। मंत्री जी ने जो स्टेटमेंट— दिया है क्या वह पूर्ण नहीं है। (श्र्यवधान)...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He has a right to put a question.

भी स॰ मा॰ बनर्जी (कानपुर): शायद पहली मर्तवा इनकी लाइफ में यह नवाल पूछा जारहाहै।

श्री भोगेन्द्र भा: जहाँ तक श्रदसं मामला है, ये उस बोर्ड के ही सदस्य हैं। मैं कहना नाउना हूँ कि इसके चैयरमैन साहय, भी भरत राम जी ने अमरीकी कुछ कम्पनियों से सांठ-गांठ करके कई कम्पनियाँ खोली हैं। तो मेरा सवाल है कि क्या उन कम्पनियों की साभेदारी में जो अमरीकी कम्पनियाँ हैं उनमें इस इयलग कम्पनी का कोई ठाल्लुक है या नहीं? इस यान की जांच की जायेगी या नहीं?

SHRI RANGA (S-ikakulam): Sir, may I draw your attention to one point? The Minister has already stated in the House that no complaint had ever been made and he has no evidence whatsoever against Shri Bharat Ram. Still, you are allowing lim...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He is only repeating the allegations.

SHRI RANGA: The Minister has already stated the position.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: That is all right. He is only repeating the allegation made earlier.

श्री भोगेन्द्र भगः इन कथानियां के बारे में जांच की जायगी कि नहीं ? धौर अगर की जायगी तो मुक्ते यह धार्यका है कि जो गी० बी० धार्ड० है वह बिदेशी कथ्यनियों के बारे में जांच करेगी भी या नहीं ? वर्षोंकि गीठ-गांठ है श्री भरत राम जी की।

जो तीन किस्म के विमानों के सरीदने की बात बल रही है तो जहाँ तक उगलस का सबाल है इसके बाद उससे बात करना बन्द किया जा रहा है या नहीं तुरन्त ?

जहाँ तक विदेशी मुद्राका सवाल है तो तीनों में कौन विमान घण्डा है जो ज्यादा मजबूत हो धीर ज्यादा यात्रियों को वे जाए स्वीर विदेशी मुद्राभी स्थिक न लगे रंग बारे में भी मंत्री महोदय जवाब दें।

डा० कर्ण सिंह: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, इसमें पहले कि मैं माननीय सदस्य का जवाब दूँ मैं एक बात घीर कहना चाहता हूँ कि श्री हाक्सर, धीर जनरल मैंनेजर, इंडियन एयर लाइन्स की कोई

Placed in Library. See No. LT-1471/69.

[श्री कर्ए सिंह]

मी मुलाकात नहीं हुई है। श्रमी मुक्ते नोट भाषा है कि उनकी कोई मुलाकात नहीं हुई है।

माननीय सदस्य ने तीन प्रश्न उठाये हैं। पहला प्रश्न श्री लाल रिपोर्ट के बारे में था। मैं इसकी बोड़ी सी पृष्ठभूमि दे दूँ। श्री लाल कमेटी मई 1967 में बैठी थी कि बाइकाउन्ट का जो रिप्लेसमेंट है वह किस ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए। उन्होंने उस चीज को देखा भीर उस समय कहा कि यह जो वाइकाउन्ट जहाज हैं ये अभी पाँच साल, उस समय से पाँच साल चल सकते हैं इस दौरान में इंडियन एयर लाइन्स को सोच लेना चाहिए। और जहाँ तक मुभे याद है उन्होंने उस समय दो जहाब बताए-एक यही बोइंग श्रीर एक यही इगलस । यह दो विचार है इस बारे में सोच लेना चाहिए। लेकिन उसके बाद ग्रीर बहत-सी बातें हुई हैं। हमने देखा कि बाइकाउन्ट के रिप्लेसमेंट के खलाबा अपने क्लीट में इजाफ़ा करने की भी आवश्यकता है क्योंकि एयर टैकिक बढ़ रहा है, लम्बी-लम्बी बेटिंग लिस्ट बनती है। इतिलए इंडियन एमर लाइन्स कारपोरेशन ने अपनी एक इवेलुएशन टीम बैठाई जहाज देखने के लिए श्रीर उसके बाद की सारी बातें सोची गई। इवेलएशन टीम की रिपोर्ट भीर लाल कमेटी की रिपोर्ट, इन पर इंडियन एयर लाइन्स बोर्ड ने विचार किया बहुत लम्बा। विछन्ने वर्ष की 26 जुलाई की विचार करके इन्होंने सरकार को अपने विचार दिये, उसके बाद फिर हमने विचार किया, क्योंकि हम नहीं चाहते थे, जैसा कि मैंने पहले कहा, कि इतनी महत्वपूर्ण खरीद में हम कोई गलती कर दें। क्योंकि एक दका गलती हो जावे तो फिर उसको ठीक करना मुक्किल हो जाता है। इसलिए हमने सोचा कि जितनी यह रिपोर्ट है कौरपोरेशन की इस पर विचार करना चाहिए। जैसा कि मैंने घाने मुल वक्तव्य में कहा है वह विचार ग्रव एक ग्रतिम स्थिति तक पहुँच रहा है और मुक्ते बाधा है कि बहुत ही बीझ इस पर कुछ निर्माय कर लेगे।

श्री मधु लिमवे: मेरे प्रश्नका उत्तर नहीं दियाकि लाल कमेटी बीर ब्रन्य समितियों की रिपोर्ट झावने मेज पर क्यों नहीं रखीं?

डा० कर्ण सिंह: इसलिए कि वह रिपोर्ट टैकनीकल इवेलुएशन की थी और इडियन एयर लाइन्स के लिए थी। उसमें कोई चोरी की कात नहीं है। वह पब्लिकेशन के लिये नहीं थी। वह तो इडियन एयर लाइन्स के एक टैक्नीकल असेसमेंट की रिपोर्ट है। जब हम जहाज के बारे में फैसला करेंगे, जो कि हमको ही करना है क्योंकि सरकार का यह दायिख है कि वह सब चीजों को देशकर इस प्रकार की खरीद करे जो हम समर्के कि जनता के हित में होगी। वह जो हम फैसला करेंगे उसके क्या कारएा हैं वे हम सापके सामने रखेंगे। हम यही कोशिश कर रहे हैं कि सच्छी में सच्छी चीज हम लें।

दूसरा परन यह है कि उन्होंने इस प्रकार
से कहा कि जैसे यह सिद्ध हो चुका है कि यह
पत्र ठीक है, भीर यह भी सिद्ध हो चुका है।
श्री भरत राम जी भी इसमें संबंधित हैं। मेरी
प्रार्थना है कि यह सारा मसना सी० बी० आई०
को सीपा गया है। जो पत्र हमारे पास अथा
है अभी यह सिद्ध नहीं है कि वह पत्र सही भी
है या नहीं। इसलिए अभी मैं इस विषय में
मुख्य कहना नहीं चाहता। लेकिन इसमें और
किसी का कोई काम नहीं है। इससे पहने कि
यह सिद्ध हो जाये कि यह पत्र सही है या नहीं,
हम अगर यह कहने लगे कि इसमें यह भी
पामिल हैं, इसके अभीचित्य के विषय में माननीय
सदस्य स्वयं समभीने कि यह उचित नहीं है कि
इस समय हम इस प्रकार की कोई बात करें।

श्री मोगेन्द्र भाः जीच करायेगे कि नहीं ? श्री जानं फ़रनेंडीज (यम्बई दक्षिए)): **

MR. DEPUTY-SPLAKER: Nothing will go on record. Only the Minister's reply will go on record.

^{..} Not recorded.

डा० कर्ण सिंह: पहली चीज यह है कि उन्होंने यह कहा...

श्री भोगेन्द्र भा : 'श्रदर्स' में चेयरमैन शामिल हैं या नहीं ?

डा० कर्ण सिंह: हमें क्या पता कि वह चिट्ठी किसने लिखी। अभी तक तो यह भी सिद्ध नहीं हुआ है कि वह पत्र लिखा भी गया कि नहीं।

श्री मधु लिमये: यही लोग ग्राप साबित करने वाले हैं वह पत्र सही है या नहीं।

डा० कर्ण सिंह: मैं इस समय यह नहीं कहना चाहता कि वह सही है या नहीं, क्योंकि मैं स्वयं नहीं जानता कि 'ग्रदर्स' में कौन ग्राते हैं, वाकई हैं या नहीं हैं, इसके विषय में इस समय कुछ भी कहने में मैं ग्रसमर्थ हूँ। मैं समभता हूँ कि ग्राप भी यह मानेंगे कि इस समय कुछ कहना उचित नहीं है।

तीसरा प्रश्न माननीय सदस्य ने यह किया कि तीन प्रकार के जहाज हैं, उनमें से कौन-सा मजबूत है। मैं श्रापको विश्वास दिलाता हूँ कि तीनों प्रकार के जहाजों की जाँच की जा रही है कि उनमें व्यापारिकता क्या है, कितना उनके ऊपर खर्च होता है, विदेशी मुद्रा कितनी लगती है।...

SHRI PILOO MODY: Which are the three planes, will you please tell us?

डा० कर्ण सिंह: एक बोइंग 737, दूसरा डी० सी० 9 और तीसरा टी० यू० 1541 इनमें से हमें दो घटाने पड़ेंगे।

श्री देवकी नन्दन पाटोदिया (जालोर) : दो जहाज श्रीर एक खटारा है।

डा॰ कर्ण सिंह: सोवियत जहाज को भी इस प्रकार से रिजेक्ट नहीं करना चाहिए। उसके विषय में भी सोच रहे हैं, दूसरों के विषय में भी सोच रहे हैं। SHRI LOBO PRABHU: It is not ready. When will it be ready?

DR. KARAN SINGH : उड़ा है । I have seen it flying.

इस समय जो तीन जहाज हैं, जिनके लिए श्री मधु लिमये ने त्रिकोगा कहा, उनके बारे में ग्रधिक स्पष्टीकरण नहीं करना चाहता क्योंकि जितनी बातें इस त्रिकोगा से सम्बन्धित हैं हम उन पर सोच रहे हैं। यह ग्रावश्यक है कि इस त्रिकोगा को समाप्त कर किसी एक निर्णय पर हम पहुँच सकें, ग्रौर यही हमारा प्रयत्न है।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question of breach of privilege; Shri Tenneti Viswanatham. (Interruption)

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: I wanted to raise a point of order on that.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You were not here; I called Shri Randhir Singh. That question is closed now. Shri Tenneti Viswanatham.

SHRI CHENGALRAYA NAIDU: You are not doing justice to me. I am very sorry to say that....(Interruptions)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: On a point of order, Sir.

श्री जार्ज फारनेन्डीज : मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I will not permit anyone now. I have called Shri Tenneti Viswanatham.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: I am seeking your permission.

MR. DEPUTY-SPFAKER: This is not the way.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BASU: This is something relevant that has taken place in the House. Mr D. N. Patodia on the sale and purchase of D. C. planes..... (Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Nothing will be recorded: I have called Shri Tenneti Viswanatham.

Question of Privilege

(Interruptions) **

14.4 hrs.

Question of Privilege against "The Hindustan Times"

TENNETI VISWANATHAM SHRI Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Visakhapatnami ; Sir, I have given notice of motion of a breach of privilege. Ordinarily, I would not give a motion against anything notice of that appears in newspapers or against a newspaper. But in the present context of Bank Nationalisation Bill and the tension that has been created in certain sections of the society and what has happened in the House, I thought it was right and proper to draw your attention to this.

The Hindustan Times dated 27.7.69 published on p. 6 of the issue an item under the caption "The Week in Parliament". It devoted four paragraphs commenting on the way the Deputy-Speaker conducted the business of the House. The last paragraph is as follows:

> "Opposing the nationalisation Bill, Mr. Masani said that the Deputy-Speaker had allowed himself to be used by the Prime Minister in presenting the Supreme Court with the accomplished fact of a Bill."

This is apparently a reference to the waiver of notice for introducing the Bill which you were pleased to make.

SHRI RANDHIR SINGH (Rohtak): A very serious aspersion the Chair.

SHRI TENNETI VISWANATHAM: 1 am reading now an extract from the official version of Mr. Masani's speech. This is what it contains :

> "The Prime Minister first tried to face the Parliament with an accomplished fact by enacting ordinance on Saturday evening-when the Supreme Court moved in and defended the privileges of the House, she now trics to face the Supreme Court with a fait accompli."

In Mr. Masani's speech, there is not the slightest suggestion involving the Chair in the whole matter but the article in the Hinduston Times, however, has put in his mouth what I quoted earlier.

Question of Privilege

Apart from its reflection on Mr. Masani, about this, he is sufficiently strong to take care of himself it casts serious reflection on the Chair and the House. Its implications, in my humble opinion, are that the Chair has no compunction to be used by the Prime Minister for her own purpose; that, on this occasion, the Prime Minister wanted to use the Deputy-Speaker and the Deputy-Speaker allowed himself to be used for her purpose, namely, to defeat the ruling of the Supreme Court: and that the Lok Sabha is a silent or helpless spectator.

The passage, therefore, is couched in language which is in contempt of the Chair and the House.

I request that the matter may be referred to the Committee of Privileges.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We shall address a communication to the Editor concerned and after that, the matter will be taken up, not now. Now we shall go to the next item.

SHRI M. R. MASANI (Raikot): 1 would like to say something by way of a personal explanation....

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No. no.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He is on a personal explanation; he is not defending the article.

SHRI SURENDRANATH DWIVEDY (Kendrapara): On any motion of privilege, the Chair must first decide whether he should permit it. If the Chair thinks that it is a case to be brought before the House, it will permit the mover to move it and take leave of the House to refer it to the Privileges Committee. In this case I want to understand whether you have prime facie found that this is a case for admission and you have permitted him to move it and take the leave of the House. What is the position?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You must have observed the practice that we have