Report of Commn. of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

MR. SPEAKER: At item No. 27 (B), the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, Shri Raghu Ramaish moved the motion that rule 26 of the Rules of Procedure be suspended. Then, points of order were raised that so far it has always been suspended by a consensus which I upheld. So, this will go on. After that, it will be seen what comes.

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH:
Sitting beyond 6 O'Clock also requires a consensus. We will not allow... (Interruptions).

15.23 hrs.

RESOLUTION RE: REPORT OF COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO DISAPPEARANCE OF NETAJI SUBHAS CHANDRA BOSE—Contd.

MR. SPEAKER: The House will now take up Resolution regarding Report of Commission of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose. Shri Samar Guha

SHRI SAMAR GUHA (Contai):
Sir, I am raising on this day a very solumn subject, a very sacred subject, a very delicate subject, a very sensitive subject, which involves the honour of the revoluntionary pilgrims of India. The honour of Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose, is the honour of the whole of the nation and the Indian people are the trustees of that honour.

Before I onter into the main theme of my motion, I ask the Hon'ble Members of this House, including the Prime Minister, whether Notaji Subhas Chandra Bose could have played the role of a puppet of the Axis power or could have subjected himself to playing the role of a puppet or a quisling of those powers. Do any of you in this House believe

that Netaji's Azad Hind revolution ended in failure? Sir, none in this House and hobody in this country will dare to call Netali a puppet or a quisling of the Axis powers or of Japan or that our Netaji had acted as a pawn or a tool in the hands of Japan. But one ICS ex-Judge has dared to say so, in the Khosla Commission's report on the pages I have indicated. As a Member of the House. I have given a vyclo-styled note on what Mr. Khosla has written. I think the House has got it, but I only want to read out first a few portions.

### Mr. Khosla says on page 7:

"He (Netaji) also realised that despite the outward respect and honour with which the Japanese treated him, he was leoked upon as a puppet, a tool which could be discarded and ignored, when deemed no longer useful ..."

On page 37 he says:

" . Japanese had, towards the end of the war, shown scant respect or regard for him. From the beginning they had wanted him as their tool, a pawn in their hands, who could be made to move in compliance with their plans and wishes

On pages 124 & 125 he writes:

"The Japanese, however, looked upon him not as an equal ally, but as a person whom they could use for their own ends".

And again; on the same page he wrote:

"All the evidence points to the fact that the Japanese neither had complete confidence in Bose's ability to lead a large army and secur victories over the Allied Forces, nor

# 369 Report of Commn. VAISAKHA 19, 1887 (SAKA) Report of Commn. 370 of Inquiry into disappearance of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

did they fully trust him".

Sir, what were the terms of reference of the Commission? The terms of reference of the Commission were that the "Commission shall enquire into the facts and circumstances relating to the disappearance of Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose in 1945 and subsequent developments connected therewith, and to make its report to the Central Government."

Nowhere was Mr. Khosla given power to make an assessment of the role of Netaji, nor was he empowered by the Government to make any derogatory remarks or make any assessment of the role that was played by the Azad Hind Revolution. Going beyond the jurisdiction of the terms of reference, he has made many derogatory remarks about Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose. If it goes out to the people—the people have not yet seen this report of the Khosla Commission—I do not know what will happen to this decrepit ICS of the British days.

Netaji visualised that such kind of accusation would be made against him, and when Cripps visited India, he made a broadcast from Berlin in which he said:

"I am not an apologist of the Tripartite Powers and I need no credential when I speak to my own people."

Then again he has said over Azad Hind Radio from Singapore in 1943:

"I am not interested about what is happening in Kamachkatka or Timbaktu. My concern is what is happening inside India and along her borders."

All of you are calling Mahatma Gandhi as the Father of our Nation. It was Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose who first addressed Mahatmaji as the Father of our Nation, and you have all accepted that. In that historic speech he visualised that many people might try to depict him as a quisling or a puppet of Japan. Visualising that in advance this is what he said over Azad Hind Radio to Mahatmaji:

"Mahatmaji, I can assure you that, before I finally decided to set out on this hazardous mission. I spent days, weeks and months in carefully considering the pros and cons of the case. After having served the people so long to the best of my ability, I would have no desire to be a traitor or to give any one justification for calling me a traitor."

15.33 hrs.

[Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair] Then he said:

"There remains but one question for me to answer with regard to the Axis Powers. Can it be possible that I have been deceived by them? I believe it will be universally admitted that the cleverest and the most cunning politicians are to be found amongst Britishers. One who has worked with and fought British politicians all his life, cannot be deceived by any other politicians in the world. If British politicians have failed to coax or coerce me, no other politician can succeed in doing so. And if the British Government, at whose hands I have suffered long imprisonment, persecution and physical assault, has been unable to demoralize me, no other power can hope to do so.

"I have never done anything which could compromise in the least, either the honour or the self-respect or the interests of my country."

"Mahatmaji, you know better than anybody else how deeply suspicious the Indian people are of mere promises. I would be the last man to be influenced by Japan if her declaration of policy had been mere promises.

### 371 Report of Comma by MAY 9, 1975 Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

#### [Shri Samar Guha]

"Once our enemies are expelled from India, and peace and order is established, the mission of the Provisional Government will be over Thei only reward that we desire for our efforts, ion our suftering and for our sacrifice is the freedom of our motherland There are many among us who would like to retire from the political field, once India is free.

"Nobody would be more happy than ourselves, if by chance our countrymen at home should succeed in liberating themselves through their own effort, or if by any chance, the British Government accepts your 'Quit India' Resolution and gives effect to it

'Troops of the Azad Hind Faur are now fighting bravely on the soil of India, and in spite of all difficulty and hardship, they are pushing for ward s'owly but steadily This armed struggle will go on, until the last Britisher is thrown out of India and until our Tri-Coloui National Flag proudly floats over the Viceroy's House in New Delhi

"Father of our Nation! In this holy war for India's liberation, we ask for your blessings and good wishes'

What more do you want, Sir? He visualised it and it was Mahatmaji, the Father of the Nation whom he made witness for the juture And Mr Khosla wants to denigrate Netali as a traitor But Khosla calls him a traitor. It is the perverted imagination of Khosla that he calls, Netali as puppet and quisling

Now I want to quote a few remarks that have been made about Notaji by Mahatmaji and other great sons of India. Mahatmaji said

"The hypnotism of INA has cast
a spell on us Nebaji's name (?,
His patrrotism is second to
none (I use the present tense in-

tentionally). His bravery shines through all actions."

#### Again he says:

"Everyone of Netaji's followers who saw me on their return to India had said to me without exception that Netaji's influence acted like a charm on them and they had acted under him with the single aim of achieving Indian freedom.

And this man, Khosla, doubts that he acted as a puppet or quisling of Japan or Germany

Now this is, what Dr Radhakrishnan says

'His fearless coarage, his reckless abandon, his suffering and sacrifice have become a part of the legendary story of India's struggle for freedom Future generations will lead the amazing story of his, life with pride and reverence and salute him as one of the great hierors who heraldel India's dawn

Now about Pandit Nehru Ever Pandit Nehru had some doubts After coming out of Ahmednagar Jail, Pandit Nehru said, some information had fuelled to me in jail about Netail I had no clear idea then but I have 1 clear idea now that Netail and INA were fighting for India's liberation. He sailand I quote

'I had no doubt in my mind even then of the truth that men and wo men under Subhas Babu had done so because of the possionate desirto serve the cause of India's fieedom" Again, it is Pendit Neiru who, during the trial of INA said

"That the trial dramatised the contest England versus India, it became a reality and not merely a question of law but a rather trial of strength between the will of the Indian people and will of those who held power in India."

I would not make a speech but I would quote what Govindballabh Pant said about Netail-

"Subhas Babu was one of the greatest patriots and revolutionary leaders ever born. He displayed re markable qualities of leadership and organization and for these he has been apprepriately given by the entire nation, the distinguished title of Netaji. His memory will never fade and his name will ever remain enshrined in letters of gold in the history of Indian freedom"

Now look at what Dr Pattabhi Scetaramaya said He was the man, who was defeated by Netali at the time of contest at Tripura Congress What a great soul Dr Sectaramaya was! In what esteem did he hold Netaji and euloguise him I quote what he 4 pd

"Subhas was still a phantom and his name was still a sound when the Indian aimies under his leadership and command invaded Imphal and the eastern boundary of Manipur

But time solved all problems and riddles and resolved all doubts and difficulties The return of the INA the sen-ational trials that it led to. the wide advertisement that follow ed in their train, brought to light the hidden facts of this great adventure in modern history and revealed the real man in the mystic, the brave soldier in the c vilian, the genuine revolutionary in the administrator That Subhas's colleagues did not share his principles and policies could not detract for the glory of his adventure

No foreigner may be trusted to emancipate one subject country except to enslave it himself in turn Yet, the fact remains that the attempt unprecedented in character. colossal in magnitude and stupendous in achievement must be assessed

at its innate worth without being discounted either by the rights and wrongs of by the facts of its success or failure '

#### Then he said.

'Subhas Bose has proved to the world that Hindustan is still a land of valour and prowess, that Indian has in him that sense of national honour for the preservation and perpetuation of which his torefathers had shed their 1ed blood Subhas may be alive or dead in body, but his spirit and his name will endure long ye for ever in history in common with the names of Alex ander Darius, of Caesar and Haunibal of Czenkhis Khan and Timur lane of Harold the last of the Barons and William, the Conqueror of Cromwell and Guy Fawkes, of Kaiser and Hitler"

What did Lal Bahadur or any other great leader of our say of Sulhas, Tie Prime Minister of India went to Calcutte to unveil the statue of Netan I quote

What tremendous organisat on. what organizing ability or what competence or excellance Netan had It will be difficult to find another leader who could single handed as d solely on his and on his own strength build up such a gigant c organization I do not know of any parallel to the sacrifice and selfabnegation that Netall made, the great risk he was taking in going out of the country There was no thought of his own self in his being. He did not care about his own lite. National revolution his country \$ treedom were his goal and from whenever he went his message continued to reach us His broadcasts came through to us His dedicated life brought forth a new light and a new power to this country. When the INA trials were going on here.

### 375 Report of Commu of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

#### (Shri Samar Guha)

such a revolutionary situation was created in the country that it is impossible to stem its overwhelming tide The entire country accepted Netaji as its leader, the greatest revolutionary leader of our country. To this day, we accept Netaji as our greatest leader, as a great leader, as a revolutionary he is an example to us, he is a beacon light?

These are the homages and eulogies Sir, there have been many great sons of India I do not know if there was anybody in the pre-Partition days or even after Partition, who dared to call Netaji a puppet or a quisling or he was subjected to play the role of a tool or a pawn of Japan? I do not know But this man Mr Khosla, going beyond the jurisdiction of the terms of reference, made all such kinds of reference, made all such kinds of remarks.

Now let me quote what the Germans themselves have said Now I quote one German author A German author of Netsji's biography Alexander Worth, described how the Germans in the higher echelons of the officers knew his faculities. He wrote

"Netaji's German friends came to know very soon that Bose would never submit to the whims and bad manners of the highly placed leaders of the Nazi Party because it was clearly not in his nature to capitul ate to people for whom he had no respect"

During the first meeting with Hitler the first point Netaji raised was this Netaji told Hitler categorically that the derogatory remarks that Hitler had made in his book Mein Kampf about India should be expunged in the next edition. Then Hitler tried to inflict a haranguing speech but Neton got very impatient and told his interpreter—What does he say? I duote

### MAY 9, 1275 Report of Commo. of 976 Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

"Please tell His Excellency that I have been in politics all my life and that I do not need advice from anyone"

That gentleman who is living still save that he was trembling like anything. In those days nobody dared to say anything to Hitler in his very face, that he did not want to hear the haranguing speech of the Furhrer of the Germans. Then I quote another communist author, Reimund Schubel in his book Tiger and Schakel who wrote.

"Bose did not act out of opportunist. He viewed the Nazi ideology sceptically and was no stooge of Hitler or Mussolini Bose believed in functioning in accordance with political realism"

But Mr Khosla has described Netagi a puppet

I also remind you I have got in mind the Soviet Indologist In his latest book he has said

"Netan played the role of a great nationalist revolutionary"

I shall draw attention also to another author I have not brought the papers I remember it very well When Germany declared war against Russia, Netaji told the Foreign Minister Mr Ribbontrop categorically that the attack on the Russians the Indian people would consider as an imperialist war Netaji left instruction in Germany that none will fight in the eastern front they will fight against the British and nobody else in the western front For that reason, hundreds of Azad Hind Fauz were shot at hecause they refused to fight against the Russians in the Eastern front

. ..

of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

I shall tell you what the Japanese say. When General Tojo, the Supreme Commander and also Prime Minister of wartime Japan was executed. Dr. Radha Binod Pal went to see his wife Mrs. Tojo. She told Dr. Radha Binod Pal. I quote:

"After the first meeting with Bose, my husband told me that be not only met the greatest Indian leader but the greatest revolutionary he had ever known."

The wartime Foreign Minister of Japan has written a book in which he has admitted that Netan was the greatest Asiatic hero of his age Shri Khosla quoted the book amply but he has not quoted what they had told about our Netan or want they had written about Netan I just quote from this book, called the books of Hayashida wrote that m the course of a meeting in Saigon in 1943 between Gen Tojo, the Primo Minister of Japan and Gen. Terauchi who was the Commander of the whole South east Asia an assessment about Netan was made by both of them and according to the authors, I quote

"They spared no words in plaising Netaji's dynamic capabilities and described with awe the Indian leader's unimpeachable personal life ' It was stated by one Colonel as follows:-

"This Indian leader lives 24 hours of every day only in completely disinterested dedication to the cause of his country's emancipation". He is stoicism itself and has not shown any interest in the common pleasures of life."

Tojo nodded approvingly and commented: "I am glad that my judgment was correct. When I met him for the first time in Tokyo, I felt I was looking at a man of really great judgment. Terauchi brought up the pending issue of provisional government. Personally, I am now inclined to support it. What do you think?"

Tojo replied at once. I am for it now".

Another incident as mentioned by this author Hayashida is this. I quote.

"When Netaji met at Nanking in 1943, Wang Chang Wei, the Head of the Clinese Government recognised by Japan, according to the same authors. Wang expressed wonder at Netan's living like a saint without ever caring for the common pleasures of life Netaji only smiled but did not reply Netaji's puritanic hie continued to amaze other national leaders and Japanese military officers. That was one other reason why he was respected without reservation by those who knew him."

Major Takahashi, Japanese spy was appointed by Japanese Government to see how Netaji behaved and what he was doing. This is the report that that man sent to the Japanese Government I quote.

'The Indian leader appeared to me to be a superman During three weeks of inspection tour, he had to replace three aides-de-camp. They were all overcome with exhaustion. Netaji worked 24 hours a day without rest, meeting local Indian and inspecting troops and facilities. No normal person could share his workload."

Then Gen. Fuzoyare who was also associated with the Imphal offensive has said

"As leader of the Army, Bose became the foundation of spiritual strength and was the pivot of the INA organisation".

[Shri Samar Guha] He goes on comparing him with Vivekananda. Now, I will quote what Geo. Kawala has said:

379

"Chandra Bose was a great revolutionary but the greatness of leadership is largely due to his brilliance as a military leader, as an organiser and inspirer of a revolutionary army as an acute 'strategist, as a planner of military operations, as one whose magnetic personality infused dignity discipline and patriotism both during offensive and retreat, ag an innovator of electrifying orders of the day for his Army and for all other gallant traits of his personality, any nation will feel extremely proud of a leader like Chandra Bose,'."

I can quote many Japanese others, Dr. Ba Maw of Burma, Dr. Josef Laurel, Dr. Sharier of Indonesia, Dr. Hatta of Indonesia and a number of persons, whom I personally met. Now I do not want to quote all of them. I shall tell you what Dr. Hatta told me about Netaji when he met him in the Coprosperity Conference when Netaji refused to be a regular delegate; Netaji said, until India was free, I will not be a delegate to the Conference; I will be only an observer and a visitor. In that Conference Dr. Ba Maw. Dr. Sharier. Dr. Hatta and other leaders, before Chandra Bose spoke, thought that he was under the thumb of Japan, he was nothing but a stooge and puppet. But after they heard the speech of Chandra Bose a new sense of revolutionary spirit developed in them and they felt that they were really fighting for Asiatic Revolution. Netaji said it, mend you, at the face of Tojo, at the face of other Japanese Generals and other top leaders who were sitting in that Conference. He said:

"It is not a case of a few politicians in Japan enunciating an attractive policy in high sounding terms without reference to the wishes or ideas of the mass of the people. It is the case of an Asiatic nation developing an Asiatic consciousness and acting as the spear-head of an Asiatic revolution."

I will not quote the remarks that were given to me by other leaders like. Soekarno but I will only quote one remark of Dr. Josef Laurel who is known as the father of the Philippines. When I went to see him he was so much over-whelmed, he told so many things about him. When I was coming out he said something wonderful. Remember he was known as one of the elder statesmen of the world; he has attended the League of Nations in Geneva. He knew almost all the world leaders of those days. What he says, I quote:

"Of all the leaders I have ever met Chandra Bose was the greatest."

Such a great statesman says that of all the statesmen of the world he ever knew, Chandra Bose was the greatest

But this man, Mr. Khosla, this ICS of the British days, tried to sully the effulgent image of that great revolu-That too from tionary pilgrim. where? I ask the hon, Minister. I have brought these fourteen volumes, all these fourteen volumes of evidence, 17 volumes all these documents, 17 volumes of the proceedings of the Netaji Enquiry Commission Could there be anywhere any instance where pny witness either Japanese or Indian or Malaysian or Thai or Formosan, any witness stated anywhere that Netaji acted as a puppet, Netaji acted as a quisling and that he allowed himself to be used as a pawn or a tool in the hands of Japan? Where is it? I challenge the hon. Minister, Find out a single instance, a single witness. who has said a single document? Where is it stated that Netgii was treated as a puppet? Where is it stated that Netaji was not treated as an ally? Where is it stated that they had no trust in him? Mr. Khosia had many mis-statements. I do not "

# 381 Report of Comms. VAISAREA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Report of Comms. of Inquiry into disappearance of Inquiry into disappearance of Netali (Rem.)

want to go into them because my main motion is still pending about the findings. I am not going into the findings. I am only saying about the dishonour that has been shown to Netaji by Mr. Khosla. This man has also said that his whole Azad-Hind Movement ended in failure. This man, at one place, has said that Netaji raised a three division army. At another place, he has said that he had or.ly 3,000 men. Where did he get it from? Who told him? What is the number of a three division ermy? At least, 50,000, leaving aside auxiliacy. leaving aside Bal Sena, leaving aside Jansa Bahm and leaving aside other volunteers. It has been said by British authors that 60,000 armed forces had been raised by Netaj: But, this man has said that Netaji's army had only 3,000 men. This is only to denigrate the great role of Netaji, just only to belittle him that he had made no contribution to the Indian freedom movement

Sii, Netaji, while he was retreating, made his prophetic broadcast that Japan's defeat was not the defeat of our INA, that Japan's defeat was not the defeat of the Azad-Hind Revolution He refused to accept the defeat of Japan as the defeat of the INA, even when Japan surrendered When he was retreating, it was the stupidity of one Japanese solidier. Netaji asked him. 'Kohima-Dimapur road, keep 1t oren they will escape'. Now at the time of the INA trial, Bhulabhai Desai said that if Imphal was encircled, for three months, if Imphal fell, the whole of India would have been over-run by the INA. These are not my by words, but, by going through the documents. he has said this.

It was the stupidity of one Japanese General, Mutaguchi, who was incharge of that front. The understanding was that as soon as Imphal would fall, the whole political and military administration and all respensibility would vest with Netaji and not a single

Japanese soldier would advance after Imphal General Kawabe has in his book mentioned this categorically because he wanted to get all the 50,000 British soldiers and all arms and ammunition. The premature monsoon and lack of air supply, turned against the fate of INA there. When INA were retreating, Fujiwara and Kawabe said about Netaji 'We are surprised; what is this man do.ng? Is he a mad man? He is going against all canons of war. He is sending batches after batches to the forefront when the Japanese soldiers are retreating, he is sending his men to be killed and massacred' That general did not understand, the professional general did not understand the technique, the strategy and the principle of a revolutionary because Netaji wanted to create a saga of murtyrdom for the future. What did he say in this broadcast? I quote:

"The time is not far off when our enemies will realise that though they have succeeded in overthrowing Germany, they have indirectly helped to bring into the arena of European politics another power—Soviet Russia—that may prove to Le a greater menace to British and American imperialism than Germany was."

16 hrs.

Then he said.

"We may not travel to Delhi via Imphal, but we shall get there sll right".

Then he said

"This is not rhetoric, nor is it proragands. It is plain and unvarished truth, and those who doubt the truth of this statement have only to wait and see that the ways of history like the ways of Providence are often mysterious. For the fulfilment of our objective, it was perhaps necessary that the British Indian Army should come to the

### Report of Commn. of MAY 9, 1975 Inquiry into disappecrance of Netagi (Rem.)

(Shrı Samar Guha)

border and see the INA The British Indian Army have seen us with their own eyes What is the result? There is no longer any talk of a puppet army, a Japanese Indian Porce"-

In those days it was called JIF-Japanese Indian Force -

"Even enemy propaganda now talks at least of INA'

In his last broadcast what did Netali say?

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER According to the normal practice, the Mover should not take more than hall an You have exceeded it

SHRI SAMAR GUHA I am finishmg

What was his last broadcist? He sa I in his broadcast

'Comrades.

'I shall now close for the day, but before I conclude I remind you that a revolutionary is one who believes in the justice of the cause and who believes that the cause is bound to prevail in the long run He who gets depressed over failure or setback is not a revolutionary The motto of a revoluntionary in hope for the best, but be prepared for the worst' I am confident that if we fight on if we play our cards well in the international field we shall win our freedom by the end of the war That does not mean that if by any chance we fail to do so we should be disheartened or depressed Consequently if the worst happens and India does not emerge an independent State by the end of this war our next plan should be postwar revolution inside India India's independence is a settled fact"

I would remind you how prophetic this has proved At the time of the

INA weel, what happened? There was a revolutionary insurrection of raval ratings What happened in the Reval Indian Air Force? What happened in Jubbalpur, Delhi and other areas? We know what even the British Indian Army was doing It is

known to all of us

Report of Commn of

of Netati (Resn.)

Many people do not know filera, a professor of Jadavpur University has got his doctorate by writing this book Indian National Army He got a few rare documents secret letters of General Auchinleck that were not known before to the world why the British Government decided to quit I will not take much time I will only quote a few w 'de from that book There was a note of Lt Gen Tucker who was in commend of the Eastern section of the British Indian Army to Auchinleck In tlat note he said

The INA affair is threatering to tumble down the whole edifice of the Indian Army

In a secret letter to Attlee the C-in C Gen Auchinleck said

I do not think any sen of British officer today knows what s the real feeling among the Indian ranks re-"31 ding INA"

Then he said

If the trial would have continued it would have led to chaos in the country at large and probably mutiny and dissension in the aimy culminating in its dissolution"

You know what happened As soon as this secret letter reached Attlee within three days a Cabinet M seion was sent consisting of I ord Crips and Alex-Pethwic-Lawrence ender When the Indian Independence Bill was being discussed in the House of Commons, Churchill got angry and asked Mr Attlee "What happened" Why did you take the precipitous

of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

decision to quit India?" After a pause, Mr. Attlee replied:

"Because we were sitting at the top of a velcano". Who created the volcano? I ask Mr. Khosla, that man-I do not know what to call himthat man of pernerse outlook said that the whole revolution ended in failure: It was Netaji who created that volcano and that volcano started erupting, belching out fire and it engulfed the whole country. The Britishers played a trick with our leaders and came with a plan, with a compromise and partition.

I shall now conclude by quoting what Hugn Toy, the British author of Spring Tiger'-he had nothing written much about him, but what he had written about him, I would saysaid this about Netail:

"By the magnitude of this conception, by the example of his magnetic and burning zeal, his tenacity and personal force, by the tradition he left of sacrificial patriotism, must be measured the stature of Subhash Chandra Bose, His olace ir Indian history, cannot be denied. An idol of the masses in Beng, l, his youthful daring, his panache, his rockless courage caught the imagination of Irdia He gave much to his country Even after the ruion of all he ruilt. something of Subhash Chandra Bose remains. Had he lived to see the Republic of India, he would assuredly have given much more"

I will now finish by making a reference to only one other British author. Michael Edwards, from his book "The Last years of British India" who says about Netaji:

"Only one outstanding personality tack a different and violent path and in a sense India owes more to him than to any other man".

He was making a reference to Gandhi Nehru and Patel and then he made 749 LB-13

the above remark with reference to Subhash Chandra Bose. He says later:

"Only one major Congress leader Subhash Chandra Bose was K hatriya.....Subhash took a typically Kshatriya course by attempting to overthrow the British power by violence."

Sir, I have finished my speech. Mahatma Gandhi roused the Indian people to rebel against the British Imeperialism in a peaceful way. But something was yet left to be done: the loyalty of the Indian Army. It was Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose; 18 was the saga of martyrdom of the INA, it was the blood of these martyrs from Peshawar to Chittagong that knocked out the loyalty of the British Indian army to the British Crown. When there was a naval mutiny, when there was the mutiny of the Royal Indian Air Force, when the Army in Jabaipur and Delhi undertook a strike that was a red letter day for the Brifish rulers. The loyalty of the Indian ainiv was completely knocked out. It was the contribution of both these two great leaders, Gandhlji and Neta-31 historic leaders, who played a decisive role in the emancipation of our nat.or

Sir, I am concluding. This is not a controversial resolution. I have only said that before this report is published and put in circulation, all these derigatory remarks should be expunged. I believe the conscience of the ratior is not dead. We are the custodians of the conscience, we are the reflectors of the conscience of the nation. I hope your heart, your mind and your conscience will consider this humble submission that I have made. The honour of Netaji is the honour of the Nation and we are the trustee of that honour.

SHRI PRIYA RANJAN DAS MUNSI (Caicutta-South); Sir, I should like to 387

[Shri Priya Ranjan Das Munsi]

congratulate Prof Samar Guha for bringing forward this resolution which reflects the will and conscience of the people of this country, and not essentrally the political parties. I support this Resolution. In regard to certain essential ideologies I differed with him but in regard to this Resolution, I am supporting it, This Resolution will make our history tomorrow. New generations will take birth decades after decades in our great soil and they will remember the contribution of their forefathers to the freedom movement. Our late Prime Minister Pandit Nehru and our late President Dr. Radhakrishnan said that India had everything except a good history of Unless the history of the its own. nation is made in a befitting mainer, the coming generation will mislead the nation and will be misled themselves. The history of this country is based on the national movement and the nation is not yet prepared and ready for this, as a result of which charges and accussations have been made day in and day out against this leader or that leader who fought for the freedom of this country. Sir, I am glad that this resolution has been taken up for discussion today because today is auspicious day. Today is the birth day Tagore. You are aware that Mahatma Gandhi named Tagore as Guru and Tagore named Gandhi as Mahatma and Tagore named Subhash as Netaji. The great Netaji inspired militancy amongst the people for fighting the freedom movement.

Sir, I do not know on what basis and from what angle, the Government of India was keen to appoint the Enquiry Commission when it was not satisfied. I would like to know why and how Justice Khosla, an I.C.S., who perhaps did not fight for freedom of our country and sided with the British was appointed as the Chairman of the Enquiry Commission, I would request the Minister that in future occasions, if such an Enquiry is to be held in regard to the great leaders

Report of Comma of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Reen.)

MAY 9, 1975

of this country who fought for the freedom, it should not be headed by such persons who were serving the British and not the people.

Sir, in 1938 when the Congress met in Calcutta, it was not only Mahatma Gandhi, not only the Congress people, but Subash Chandra Bose-when he was not called Netail then-who was asked to lead the Quit India Movement. It was demanded that he must be given full freedom to lead this movement. But he through that it would be difficult to asked the British to quit India. So a policy resolution was passed in Calcutta in 1938 when Subash Chandra Bose become the Congress President of the Congress Party. Under his leadership Pandit Nehru had agreed to act as the Chairman of the Planning Commission Sir, you would remember that the only desire of Subash Chardra Bose was that in this country people from Kashmir to Cape Comarın should join together in the Quit India Movement. It was after a lapse of one year. Quit India Movement started, that is on 9th August when Subash Chandra Bose aiready left India in 1941. If he were here, he would have led the Quit India Movement on 9th August 1942 Nowadays I have been seeing in many newspapers that some people have started disputing the character of Subssh Chandra Bose from various angles Somebody says he was stooge, somebody says he was quisling. Somebody says, he was jealous and ambitious. You know what the newspapers in India did the moment Netajı left India. The owners of those newspapers of those days, who tried to help the British to get Netaji caught red-handed, who conspired with the British to arrest him have now become great sons of this country, heading this nation in various capacities! I do not want to name them. Those police officials who conspired with the British to arrest Netaji and the revolutionaries have been warded and their traditions are being followed in many States in the decoration of IGP and Commissioner of Police. I am prepared to torget them.

This great soul landed at Kairul and wanted a visa to go somewhere. His desire was to go to the Soviet Union. Unfortunately he was not helped at that time. He took a visa from the Italian Embassy and landed in Germany as Orlando Massato. What happened in Germany Prof. Guha has vividly described. Everybody knows What he said about Hitler in his book 'My Country'. Is it not a fact that the people in the area of Malaya, Singapore, etc. were oppressed by the Japanese in some way or other during the time of the war? It was Subash Chandra Bose who said, "Unless the oppression is stopped, I am not going to take any assistance." He was a freedom fighter not for India alone but for all those who were dreaming for freedom. But this great man has been accused in this report, not in straight terms but in an indirect way by saying that he was nothing but a puppet because the Japanese described him as such. We know who as the friend and who is the enemy. But what is the fun of Mr. Khosla presenting the evidence as if a message came to him from God that he was liked by the Japanese in this way or that way? It is not proper. If anybody reads this report, not only Prof. Guha but even illiterate people of this country, who have some feeling for the freedom movement of this country, will not only deny the report but burn the pages of this report into ashes all over the country. We could not give Subhash Chandra Bose that honour which this country should have given him. He led the country's struggle from a different angle, risking his life. But still he has not been accorded that kind of reception which you can consider as a national reception. That is a different matter, but what are the remarks Mr. Khosla has made against this great soul? In page 7, para 2.15 it says:

"He also realised that despute the on's ard respet and honour with which the Japanese treated him, he was looked upon as a puppet, a tool which could be discarded and ignored, when deemed no longer useful.

Mr. Shahnawaz Khan is a member of the Government. Can he deny that Netaji loved his colleagues like anything. Whether it is Habibur Rehman or Shahnawaz Khan, everybody used to say. "You are my bones; you are my limbs". It is a pity that Mr. Khosla has said in the report that Netaji trusted the Japanese to such an extent that he could not trust his own Cabinet colleagues. Can it be true? Should this be allowed to go on record in the pages of history? These are the utterances of Mr. Khosla who was appointed to enquire into this episode. It is really unfortunate. Whether this resolution has been brought by Prof. Guha or enybody else, the whole House should not only condemn these things, but in the words of Tagore who said in Bengali

> "Anaya jo kare, Aar Anaya jo sahe. Taba Ghrina Tare zeno, Trino Sama Dahe"

"One who does injustice, one who tolerates injustice, all the courses, abuses, hatred and contempt should burn him and destroy him into flames."

At that time, Tagore wrote a booka drama called Tasher Desh-The Land of the Playing Cards-which he dedicated to Netaji and described him as the symbol of the youth of this country, as the symbol of its freedom. Zakir Husain said on the anniversay of the Azad Hind Fauj:

"The Azad Hind Government's action in planting freedom's flag on Indian soil in the Andamans was truly a symbolic precursor of what happened when the Tricolour was Report of Commu. of

[Shri Priya Raman Das Munsi] hoisted atop Delhi's Red Fort on the 15th of August 1947. All those who joined Netaji in 'Delhi Chalo' movement deserve our homage and gratitude for their part in bringing nearer the freedom to which we are heirs today."

Not only Zakır Hussam, Pandit Nehru said the same thing, so also Indira Gandhi

This report of Justice Khosla, in which specific allegations have been made, is a deliberate attempt to mislead the people, not of the present generation but of the future generation. So far as the present generation is concerned, so far as Shri Mohsm, Professor Guha or other people are concerned, they would not be misled because they know a bit of the freedom movement. But I am afraid of the future generation, who will read this report from the libraries, who will view Netaji from that angle So. in the interest of the nation, not in the interest of any particular group, in the interest of the future guidance of the nation, these works should be withdrawn and the controversial portions expunged from the report, and the true position made available to the people and the political parties. Otherwise his honour is at stake If the Government stick to the findings on mere formalities and technicalities of this being the report of the former Judge you may do it but the future generation will not accept it the coming generation will not accept it will burn it, will not keep it even in the record of memory

I would not like to give any fresh arguments I believe this Government, specially the Minister who is present here will definitely consider this problem from the point of sentiment-I am sorry, I will not use the term sentiment because Netali is not a sentiment, is not an emotion, but a reality, the God of Hindus and the Khudda of Muslims, something very near and dear to the people of this ccuntry

With these words, I support the Resolution of Professor Samar Guha.

SHRI S. P. BHATTACHARYYA (Uluberia). Mr. Deputy-Speaker, I support the Resolution moved by Professor Samar Guha I fully accept the spirit of the Resolution, the national sentiment of it. Our party may have some political differences with Netaji. but it is an undeniable fact that he was an outstanding national figure in the period of our freedom struggle, supported by the mass of our people. So, his name cannot be sullied or darkened by these attempts because it is written in the pages of our history in letters of gold

The Khosla Commission Report is going totally against the feelings of our country, of our people who fought for freedom That is the main thing which the Khosla Committee has totally failed to correctly present He gathered some facts, he took some evidence which is not properly assessed and then come to his doubtful conclusions He has come to draw some doubtful premises and he has tried to give a definite conclusion, which is totally wrong For this reason I suggest that the Government should totalty reject this Commission withdrawn the Report, and alouse and support the national feeling of the people for Netali to the highest extent possible That is the task which the Government should do.

With these words, I support the Resolution moved by Professor Samar Guha.

को बी॰ एन॰ तिबारी (गोपास गंज) : उपाध्यक्ष जी, नेताओं के संबंध में कुछ कहना बैसा ही है जैसा कि सूरज को दीपक दिखाना। सूरज के प्रकाश में दीनक का जो महत्व हो सकता है और उस का मुकाबला हो सकता है, वही बात नेता जी के बारे में कही जा सकती है। उस वक्त वे 33 करोड़ भारतीयों के हृदय के सम्राट थे। एक म्रादमी भी ऐसा नहीं था हिन्दस्तान में जो उनको न जानता हो । कुछ इने गिने भारतवर्ष के लोगों मे उन पा स्थान था जैसे कि श्री लोकमान्य तिलक. गांधी जी भीर जवाहरलाल नेहरू। इस कोटि के वै नेता थे भौर सब की श्रद्ध, उन पर थी उन में क्या कैपेसिटी थी, इस का पना एक ही बात से मालूम होगा ।

जब काग्रेस प्रेसीडेटिशिप के तिए उन्होंने सवर्ष किया, तो मब नेता एक तरफ थे व दूसरी तरफ। गाधी जी म लेकर जबाहरना न नेहरू तक उन के खिनाफ थे लैकिन उस समा किसी की नहीं चली और उस वक्त जितने ड जगदम थे मब की भाव-नाए उन के साथ थी और उन्होंने उन को कांग्रेम का सभापति च्ना । इस से मानम होता है कि वे कितने वहें ये । ग्राज कल के लोगों को तरह नहीं बल्कि उस वका के त्रवे-त्रवाए नोगों. जिन्होंने राष्ट्रीय धान्दोलन में हिस्सा लिया था, की इच्छाधों के विरुद्ध नेता जी को सभापति चुना गया घौर घाज भी 56 करोड़ भारतीयों के द्वदर्यों में नेता जी का स्थान है। उन को यह कहना कि देश भक्त नहीं थे, स्थिसलिय थे, यह भएने को नीचे

गिराना होगा भीर भाज भारतीय ल इस को बर्दास्त नहीं कर मकते हैं।

खोसला कमेटी को क्या करने की दिया गया था। उन को यह कहा गया था कि नेना जी श्रमी जीवित है या नहीं और कैम उन की मत्यु हुई भीर उन की मन्यु के बारे में जो बार्ने नहीं जानी है वे मन है भागतीं। उस को इन मणतों पर प्राने को मध्द रखना चाहिए या लेकिन जैंने किसी दिवसी को एक जायद का काम करने को दे दिया जाए, तो वह कर नहीं सकता है उसी तरह से नेता जी के मुकावले में हमारे खोंसता साहत एक पिशमी थे। वे एक जायंट का काम कैमे कर सकते थे। इतने भहान हमारे नेता जी थे और कहां खोसला साहर । वे त्रिटिय जमाने में जब रहे बीर मकदमान करने रहे । उन को नेता जी के बारे में टाना प्रत्रा, हुना हागा श्रीर वे उन क बारे में क्या आनते होंगे। ग्रगर उस अधान में वे देना जी का मुकदमा करने तो उस वका वे मूनाय चन्द्र जी को फामी हादे सकते था उस निगु एक ऐसे ब्रादमी को यह तम मी सगर जिल ने ठीक काम नहा किया और ए। गान सम्भा अधियार भरके उत्तक सम्बर्भ प्रतर्गा मात्रे हिंही। यह रिमार्क बोर्डन्होंने दिश कर र्प्यूपर उन के टर्म्स माफरेकेन्स मे माना है? में नो कहगा कि भारत मरकार को स्वम इस को हटा देना चाहिए भीर फिसी रेज्यू पृशन की जरूरत नहीं थी। जो काम उन को दिया गया था, उस से हट कर उन्होंने बात कह दी है ग्रीर उस का समावेश पुस्तक ने नहीं होना चाहिये था। उन

of Netali (Reen.)

विं बीव ए क तिवारी] बातों को उस रिकोर्ट में से निकाम देना चाहिए नपोंकि यह टर्मस आफ़ रेफेन्स के दायरे के बाहर है भीर भपने वायरे के बाहर वे गये। श्री समर गृह ने श्री सुभाष चन्द्र बोस की बहुत तारीफ की । सुभाष चन्द्र बोम जी के बारे में कुछ लिखने की जरूरत नहीं है। उन की इञ्चल सभी भारतवासियों के दिलों में है। उन्होंने दिखला दिया कि हिद्स्तान के बडे-बडे नेताओं के विरुद्ध वे मीर्चा ले सकते थे भीर मैं ने जैसा पहले कहा कि जब कांग्रेस प्रेजीडेंटशिप का कांट्रेस्ट हम्रा, तो उस वक्त बड़े बड़े सभी नेता उनके विरुद्ध ये लेकिन उन्होंने किसी की बात नहीं सूनी और व कांग्रेस के सभापति बने । पीछे क्या तिकडम हुई ग्रीर क्या हम्रा यह दूमरी बात है लेकिन उस दक्त भी लोग जानते थे कि गाधी जी भौर जवाहर लाल नेहरू जी श्री मीनारमैया को चाहते थे और दूसरे बड़े बड़े नेता उन को चाहते थे लेकिन उन्होने किसी की भी परवाह नही की। भारतवासियों की उन के ऊपर इतनी श्रद्धा थी. उस बक्त के कांग्रममैनों के अपर इतनी श्रद्धा थी कि सब की घवहेलना करके वे समापति चुने गये। ऐसे प्रादमी के बारे में कुछ धनगंल बातें कहना, अपने क नम को कलकित करना है, भपने दिल को नीचे गिराना है और कोई वजह नहीं हो सकती कि यह बाते लिखी जाएं ।

मैं बहुत अधिक न कह कर गवर्नमेंट से मनुरोध करूंगा कि श्री समर गृह रेज्यून्शन लाते या नहीं लाते. लेकिन गवर्नमेंट को स्वय टम्बं धाफ़ रेफेन्स के विरुद्ध जो वातें कही गई हैं, उनको इटा देना चाहिए । कैसे महसिल बाह्रें कही हैं सभाष चन्द्र बोस के बारे में जो देश की पाजादी के लिए व्यय वे घीर इसमें एकं सैकिएड का भी विलम्ब नहीं चाहते थे। उनकी बात्मा को यह कबल नहीं या कि श्रीमे शति से इस काम को किया जाए । वह देश को प्राजाद कराने के लिए भारत छोड़ कर बाहर गए। वह समझते ये कि शायद दूसरों से मदद लेकर मैं देश को बाजाद करा सकता हं । लेकिन जब उन्होंने देखा कि इसरौं से काफी मदद नहीं मिल रही है तो उन्होंने स्वय एक बार्सी तैगार की भीर उस बार्सी के जो कारनामे हैं उनसे भाप सब वाकिफ हैं। में समझता हं कि इस विषय पर अधिक बहुस न करके सरकार को तुरन्त इसको मान लेना चाहिये कि हां ये सब बातें जो लिखी गई हैं इनको सरकारी किनाब से हटा देंगे, एक्सपंज कर देंगे भीर ऐसा करके वह सुभाष चन्द्र बांस की जो गरिमा है उसको कायम रखेगी।

श्री झारखडे राय (घोसी) : पूरी रिपोर्ट के अलग अलग भागों को देखा जाए या उसमें व्यक्त विचारों को ग्रलग ग्रलग करके देखा जाए तो शायद वहत दुरा मालूम न हो लेकिन समग्र रूप से इसमें व्यक्त विचारों को देखा जाए जो नेना जी के विषय में व्यक्त किए गए है तो वे घत्यन्त घपमानजनक हैं धौर उनकी मैं घोर निन्दा करता ह । इस घर्ष में मैं श्री समर गृह जी के प्रस्ताव का हार्दिक समर्थन करता है।

इसमें सन्देह नही है कि नेता जी ने जापानी साम्प्राज्यवादियों से सहायता ली भग्नेजी साम्प्राज्यवाद को भारत में समाप्त करने के लिए। इस तरह के उदाहरण दूनिया के इतिहास में भीर भी बहुत से मिलेंगे। यह ज्ञातब्य है भीर मापको स्मरण होगा कि सोवियत यनियन में 1917 में जो क्रान्ति हुई उसके पहले महान् लेकिन ने जर्मन शील्ड गाडी में बैठ कर लेनिनसाड में प्रवेश किया था धीर तब बहुत से लोगों ने इस में विशेषकर मोत्यविक भीर सोमल रिमोल्युक्ष-नरियों ने कह था कि रूस में वह अर्थन साम्राज्यबाद के एजेंट हैं उस समय स्स भीर वर्मन ब्यारत वे । जब चीत के कम्युनिस्टों ने

## Report of Common . VARSAKHA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Report of Common. of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Rem.)

समरीकी साम्राज्यकाद से सहायता ली जीत में व्यांग काई मेक के सामन्तवाद धीर पंजीवाद को समाप्त करने के लिए नव भी उनको लाल डाक् कहा जाता या खास तौर पर क्यांन काई शक के द्वारा । जब मार्शल दीटो ने धमरीकी ।म्याज्यवाद धौर ब्रिटिश साम्प्राज्यबाद से सहायता ली वी घीर घपने देश को जमेंन अधिकार से आजाद कराने का प्रयास किया था और आजाद कराया भी था उस समय उनके बारे में भी ऐसी ही बातें ही कही गई थी। सुभाष बास ने जापानी साम्प्रा-ज्यवाद से सहायता ली घग्नेजी साम्प्राज्यवाद को खम करने के लिए और उसको लेकर बहत सी बातें समय ममय पर कड़ी गई है विशेष कर यदकाल में लेकिन उनमें कोई तथ्य नही है।

मान्यवर इस वात को मभी मानते है कि सभाष बीम में उत्काट एव ज्वलन्त देशभक्ति कट कट कर भरी हुई थी, वे महान् कान्तिकारी चे भीर क ज्वलन्त उदाहरण उन्होने देश के सामने उपस्थित किया । जापान जिम तरह से चीन में वागचिव की कठपूनली सरकार का. इ.वामा की कठपुतनी मनकार का वर्मा में तथा फलपाइज में अपने स्ट्रिज का इस्तेमाल कर रहा था उसी तैरह से उसने मभाष बोम का भी इस्तेमाल करना चाहा होगा यह बिल्कुल स्वाभाविक बात है। इसको साम्राज्यवादी तथा दूसरे लोग सोच सकते हैं। लेकिन सुभाष चन्द्र बोस दूसरी क्षात् के बने हुए वे । सरदार मोहन सिह वह नहीं वे । कान्तिकारी सेमानी रास विहारी बोस ओ बहुत बुद्ध हो गए थे भीर क्यों से आधानी नागरिकता ने वहीं बस गये थे, उस यदकाल में अपने जीवन में आखिरी प्रयास कर रहे थे भारत को माजाद कराने के लिए। इन होमों में भी मन्तर या। सुमाय बोस एक महानतम सबूत भीर महानतम कान्तिकारी क्षवा वैद्यानकत नेसर वे । हिन्दुस्तान की करोड़ों of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

करोड जनता पर उनका श्रमिट शौर आदु सा प्रभाव था । इसलिए जापानी साम्राज्य-बादियों भीर उनके बीच में इस बात को लेकर टग प्राफ बार रहा शुरू से घरिखर तक कि कौन किस का कितना इस्तेमाल कर सकता है यह नितान्त स्वाभाविक है। मक्ति की हमेशा पूजा होती है।

हिन्दुस्तान से वह किम तरह से भागे इम् । अपना इतिहार है और यह एक बहुत लम्बी कहानी है। मार्सलीज बन्दरगाह से बारह हजार मील पनडुब्बी में बैठ कर सिगापुर पहुंचना भीर बीच में दो दो भीर तीन तीन बार पनडुब्बी का खतरे में पड जाना इसकी प्रपनी एक कह नी है। एक कहानी तो ऐसी है जो सदा ग्रविस्मरणीय रहेगी। साउथ ईस्ट एशिया कोम्रोप्रेटिय कामनवेल्य के नाम से जापानी साम्राज्यबादियों ने दक्षिण पूत्र एशिया के सभी देशों को पराधीन करने के बाद, अपना एक सगठन कायम किया वा ब्रिटिश कामन बैल्य ग्राफ नेशन्य के ।टनं पर । उसकी पहली मीटिंग का जनरल टोजो प्रिजाएड कर रहे थे। सुमाष बोस भी वहा तशरीफ लाए थे भौर वह भी उस मीटिंग में शामिल थे। उन्होने सुभाष बोस की चर्चा करते हुए एक बात कही जो ऐतिहासिक तथ्य है। उन्होंने उनके लिए कहा फस्ट मैन घाफ इंडिया । भाम तौर पर ग्रपनी प्रशंसा के शब्द सून कर धादमी फूल जाता है या कम से कम चूप रह जाता है। लेकिन सुभाष बोम ने उसी समय उसका विरोध किया और कहा कि आप इसका फैसला नहीं कर सकते हैं 7 भारत का प्रथम नागरिक कीन है, इसका फैसला समय आने भारतीय जनता करेगी । शेर के जबहे में बैठ कर उसका विरोधकरना यह सुभाष बोस का ही कैलिवर था, उनका ही स्टेमिना था।

इसी नरह से स्वयं लड़ाई के मोर्ची पर वह गए। वह कोई मिनिटरी मैन नहीं थे, मिलिटरी जनरल नहीं थे, मिलिटरी का

Report of Commn. of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

[श्री सारखंड राय] धानुभव उनको नहीं या । वह अपनी जान हबेली पर रख कर बर्मा, कोहिमा, इस्फाल के मोचौं पर नए। यह भी श्रपने आप मे एक बहुत लम्बी भौडी कहानी है।

जब जापान हार गया एक्सिस पावर्ज हार गई ग्रीर उनकी ग्रामींज को इरावदी डेल्टा के र स्तं पीछे हटना पडा तो मुमाष बोस की श्रामीं को भी स्वभावत पीछे हटना पडा । मोर्चे से लेकर रगुन और रगुन से मलाया होते हये बैनान जाना बहुत ही लम्बी नथा दर्दनाक कथा है। उस समय उन्होने ग्रपनी जान की पर तह नहीं की और रानी झासा रेजीमेट की लटकियों की इज्जत को बचाने के लिए अपन आपको खतरे में हाला। जापानी साम्राज्यवादि हार चके थे। जापानी भाग रहे थे मिर पैर रख कर, उनको ग्रपनी चिन्ता पडी थी, सुमाष बोस की वे केसे चिन्ता बरते। स्वाभाविक बात थी। रास्ते मे हर नदी पर पार वरते समय सभाष बोम ने लडकियों को पहले नाव से उतारा, फिर सिपाहियो को उतारा और ब्राखिरी नाव पर बह पार गए। इस प्रकार के उदाहरण म्रापको इतिहास म शायद ही वही मिलने हो। मै सनझना ह कि जिस प्रशार के उदगार योमना ग्रायोग नी रिपोर्ट म उनक विषय मे प्रकट किए गए श्रोर उननी जा जनरल टोन है वह बहुत ही देरागटरी है, बहुत ही ध्रपमानजनक है। श्रापनिवेशक जमाने की दास्ता के एक जज से जिम दिल ग्रीर दिनाग जिस का रग रग में खन की एक एक बद में ध्रौपनिवे शक साम्राज्यवादियो की ाकरी की मनोवत्ति भरी हुई थी, उससे भ्रीर भ्राप आशा ही क्या कर सकते थे। श्री तिवारी ने ठीक ही कहा है कि वह भ्रपनी सीमा से बाहर बले गए, यह उनकी टर्म्ज आफ रेफेस मे नही था. उनको इन सब चीजो मे घसने की जरूरत नहीं थी। उनको तो यह तय करना था कि वह मरे या नहीं मरे , मरे तो किन परि- स्वितियों में भीर लापता हुये तो किन परि-स्वितियों में । उनकी राजनीति और उनके राजनीतिक दांवपेज में जाने की उनको बिल्कुल जरूरत नहीं थी, यह उनके टम्जे धाफ रेफेस मे बिल्कुल नही था। वह प्रपनी परि-सीमा से बासहर चले गए।

1

क्या यह मामूली कहानी है ग्रीर समार के किस इतिहास मे श्रापको यह मिलेगी कि जापानी साम्राज्यवादियों ने जब रहा कि आप जितना चाहे हथियार भीर पैसा हम मे उधार ले ले लेकिन उन्होने एक पैसा भौर एक हथियार भी उधार नहीं लिया। भरतीयों से धन सम्रह करा दपैसा देवर सब खरीदा। जापानियो ने कहा कि ग्राई एन ए को जापानी ग्रफसर ट्रेनिंग दे। लेकिन उन्होने कहा नही। मिविल हो या मिलिटी वही उसको टेनिंग देगे। वह इडियन परमनेल से ही मैड ग्राफिमड ग्रौर दैन्ड होगी। भारतीय भ्रफसर उन्होने एक भी जापानी श्रफसर व (टेनिंग में स्थान नहीं दिया । इस नरह की ज्वलन्त ग्रीर प्रेरणा-दायक और देण भक्तिपूर्ण कहानिया किसी को मश्क्ल सही पढ़ने को मिलेगी।

जब दिस्प्यट हम्रा कि भारत की सरजमीन पर कीन पहले पैर रखें ता इस पर जबरदस्त विवाद हुआ उनमे और नापानिया म। जापानी मामाज्यवादी स्वभावन चाहते थे कि ब्रिटिश जब चले जाए ता उनका स्थान हम ले ले भीर उन्होंने इसको यपनी प्रतिष्ठा नावना । लागसम्य काम ब्रहे हये थे कि प्रपनी बात पर कि वे **धी**र ग्राजाद हिन्द फीज भारत भूमि पर पहले पाव रखे। मन्त मे एक समझौता हमा। रिप्रोर्ट मे गतत बताया गया समझौता को। शाहनवाज खा ने भी ग्रपनी जो क्ताब लिखी है आई एन ए एड नेना जी उसमे इसके बारें में लिखा है। समझौता असल में यह हमा कि दोनो सेनाएं भारतीय भीर जापानी, बाई एक ए की ओर जापानी एक साथ भारतीय
जमीन पर पैर रखेंगी और निरंगा झंडा—
फहराया जायेगा। दस हजार वर्ग मील जमीन
का उद्धार करके पहली बार भारत की स्वतन्त्र
भूमि पर तिरंगा झंडा फहराया गया था।
इन सारी घटनाओं को अगर देखा जाए
तो मालूम होता है कि यह ज पानी माग्राज्यवाद
से कभी भी दवे ही। जर जापान का दूत
उनवे महां धाजाद हिन्द सरकार के प्रधान
से अपना परिचा नंकर प्राया तो वह जापान
के मन्नाट का की डील ल लेकर नही आया बा
इस कारण मुनाप वह ब म ने उनम मिलने
से इकार कर दिया। ज मह दूत वापम गया
और किउं णियन लेकर साथा, ना वह उससे
मिलं।

जब एलाइड ग्रामी तेजो में ईन्टा के किनार-विनार रगन न श्रोरबढ रही बी भ्रोर सुभाषचद्र वास भी अपनी सैना के साथ साथ पाछे हट रहे था, उस ववन उनक जिन्दगी खतरे में थी, लेकिन फिर भी अदिन तफ रगन में बैठकर याई। एन० ए० ग्रार जापानी साम्राज्या इति इदल के लेन-दन १० हनाव करवाते रहे। जापानी माम्रज्वाद का पार्ट-पर्कतिका उपन्याकी मोरबेच स्वा सोना प्रपनं गाम ग्रागं के लिए किर, तथा 20 लाख के नाट या जाद हिद बैंक में छोड नथा श्रपनी सना व श्रव श्रागेन लडने रगुन में भारतीय। कः रक्षा का काम दे, अग्रेज सैना के माने पर धान्मममपंण कर देने का म्रातिम ब्रादेश देकर, सुभाषचद्र बोन ने एक भावनापूर्ण इशत कहा कि मै नहीं चाहना कि मेरे मरने के बाद हिन्दुस्नान में कोई यह कहे कि सुभाष चद्र बोंस में देश के नाम पर कर्ज छ।ड दिया है। सार्वजनिक जीवन में इतना बरा उदाहरण इतने आदर्श उदाहरण व्यक्ति पेश कर सकते हैं मैं मानता हूं कि सुभाय बद्धं बंस प्रव हमारें बीच में नहीं रहें हैं उनकें। जिन्दा करने का प्रयास करके झायद काई प्रपना मनलब साध रखते हों, नेकिन उनसे कोई फायदा नहीं है। इनने बढ़े उदार घौर महान मृग शहीद व्यक्तिक। जिन्दा रखकर एक तरह से उनको ध्रप्रत्यक्षता कायर माबिन करना किसी के लिए भी उचिन नहीं है।

यू ०पी ०पूर्वीचल के एक साधू जय गुरूदेव ने 23 जनवरी को एलान कर दिया कि कानपुर में गुभाप चन्न बास प्रकट होने वाले हैं वह वडा चालाफ घादमी है। कानपुर के 5 लाख आदमी इक्ट्रें हो यथ। जर शांम तक सुभाष चन्न बोम प्राट नहीं हुए, श्रीर न उनका प्रकट हाना था तर पिल्क टूट पड़ी। प्रगर पुलिम ने उसे न बचाया हो । तो जयगुरूदेव की मृत्यु हो गई होती। उत्तम चन्द मलहोता होरालाल दीक्षित या जयगुरूदेव या कोई श्रार व्यक्ति सुभाष चन्न बास के नाम का उपयाग करे, श्रव यह बात खत्म होनी चाहिए। उन का जिन्दा करने का प्रयास करना मही नहीं है।

ा-मं उनका आखिरी फलाइट फहा जाता है—में मानता हूं कि वह उनकी आखिरी फलाइट थी — उगमे, दुर्भाग से समाप्त होगा,। मरने से पहले जब आखिरा वार उनको होश आता ता उन्होंने कर्नल ट्वीवुर्रहमान से कहा कि "जाकर हिन्दुस्तान में मेरे देश वास्त्रियों से कहना कि सुभाषचढ़ बाम जीवन के आखिगी क्षण तक देश की याद करने हुए सरा है। 'इम तग्ह उन्होंने अपने व्यक्तिगत जीवन में काम-कदम पर, जापानी साआज्य-वाद के इलाके में बैठ कर, उनके जबड़े में बैठकर अपनी देश मक्ति का उदाहरण पेश किया है। मैं समझता हु कि ऐसे वाक्ति के बारे में इस तरह के रिमार्क निखना, एक बहुत ही निन्दनीय और पृणित कार्य है, आ खोसला MAY 9, 1975

श्री झारबंदें राय]

403

साहब ने किया है भीर वह भी अपनी परि-सीमाभ्रो से बाहर जाकर।

श्री तिवारी ने इस बात का जिक्क किया है कि सुभाष चंद्र बोस उस समय के बहुत से राष्ट्रीय नेताओं के साथ मतभेंद था। यह वान देश में सर्व विदित है। लेकिन जब उन्होने भाजाद हिन्द फीज बनाई, ता उन्होने उसमे फोजी टकडियो के नाम गाधी ब्रिगेड, नेंहर ब्रिगेड पटेंल विगेष्ठ. त्रसीन विमेड. मेंबर व्रिगेड. भगत रेजीमैन्ट विशेड भ्रीर रानी झासी जैसे नाम दिए । उनका सारा कार्य एक ज्वलन देश भक्ति का परिचायन रहा है। इस व्यक्ति की उज्वल एवं भादर्श देश भन्ति के विषय में न पहले दो रायेथी ग्रार न ग्राज है। उसके सम्बन्ध में एसे रिमार्क लिखना उचित नहीं हैं।

भपनी धाखिरी फ्लाइट मे वे कहा जा रहे थे? जापानी साम्राज्य बाद हार चुना था । एकिसम पावमं, जमंनी भौर इटली पारा भत हो चकी थी। उस समय उनका लक सावियत युनियन था। वह समझते थे वि सैकिन्ड राउन्ड प्राफ रैबेल्यएशन का तैशारी में, काति के प्रयास के दूसरे चक्र मे, ग्रगर हिन्दुस्तान को उस समय के शासको के खिलाफ कहीं से सहायता मिल सन ती है, तो वह सर वयन यनियन से से ही मिल सकती है। इमलिए वह लाल चीन के रास्ते, मचुरिया से होकर सोवियत युनियन को जा रहे थे। लेकिन यह दुर्भाग्य पूर्ण घटना हुई झौर ने खत्म हो गए। मैं इस बात का समर्थन करता ह कि भारत सरकार को उन बातो की रिपर्ट में मे निकास देना चाहिए, क्योंकि वह परिसीमाध्यो से बाहर है भीर भाषतिजनक भगमानजनक व अनुचित है।

सी राम सहाथ पांडे (राजनवर्गाव) मैं भी समर गृह के इस प्रस्ताव का समर्थन करता Report of Commn. of 404
Inquiry into disappearance
of Netaji (Resn.)

हं जिसकें उन्होंने वह भाषनात्मक हंग से कहा है कि खोसला कमीशन की रिपोर्ट से वह सब्दावित निकाल देनी चाहिए जो नेताजी सुमान चंद्र वास के सम्मान धौर प्रतिष्ठा के विक्क है। सदन इस बात को स्वीकार करेगा कि धगर किसी कमीशन की रिपोर्ट के द्वारा ने नाजी सुमाय चद्र वे से के व्यक्तित्व पर लाछन धाता हो कि वह जापानियों के पपेट थे, या साझा-ज्यवादी शक्तियों के प्रतिनिधि ये तो यह न भारत की गरीमा धौर प्रतितिष्ठा के धनुकुल है धौर न नेताजी की प्रतिष्ठा के।

स्वतवता प्राप्त करने की कई दिशाए होती हैं। एक दिशा वाप ने दी, एक दिशा नेहरू जी ने दी, एक दिशा टैगोर मे दी, भीर इसी तरह एक दिशा नेताजी सभाष चढ़ बोस ने दी। ग्रगर हम इसकी टोटलिटी में देखे तो हम यह पाते हैं कि जिन परिस्थितियों में उन्होंने श्रपनी सेना का सगठन कि या श्रीर जिनकी सहायता में वह कार्य कि या उस वक्त ही ग्राभिष्ट था, ग्रौर वही उचित था। उनका लक्ष्य यह था कि वह इस देश में देश की श्राजादी के प्रति जाग्र त और चेतना की भावना पैदा करना चाहने थे। उनका झडा तिरगा था और उनकी मैना में विगेड ग्राहि के नाम गाधी, नहरू और आजाद आदि देश के नौनिहालों के नाम पर थे। इस देश से जन-जागरण भीर चेतना लाने के लिए भीर स्वतवता के झान्दा नन को सहायता देन क लिए उन्होंने मद्र पूर्व में इस सैना का निर्माण किया था। जिन परिस्थितियों में वे यहा से गए, उनमे उन्होंने कितने सकट सहन किए होगे धौर कितनी जोखिम उठाई होगी ? राष्ट्रीयता की भावना से प्रेरित हाकर मेख बदल कर वे यहा से चले भीर न जाने कितने दिनो के बाद पहाडियो की घाटियो का लावते हए घफ-गानिस्तान पहुचे।

उसके बाद उन्होंने विदेशों में इस देश की ामी को समाध्य करने के लिए विद्यार, 405

י יייך

कल्पना भीर संगठक का जो सिलसिका धारम्य किया, उससे हमको बहुत लाभ दुसा एक तरफ इस ब्रिटिस साम्र, श्यवाद लड रहे थे भीर दूसरी तरफ व भी उसी काम में लय हुए थे। बोंनों की दिशाएँ चिन्न हो सकती है लेकिन लक्ष बोंनों का एक ही था और वह यह कि देश माजाव हो। यह बात नहीं थी कि व इसदेश में दुश्मनों का या जापानियों का ले माते।

श्री समर गृह के स.मने हम यह नही कह सकते हैं कि उनका शरीर नही रहा, लेकिन प्रगर दुर्भाग्य से ऐसा हो भी ता भी जिस माटी से वह बनें उसी माटी के लिए वह जिये और मरे, उस पर कुर्बान हो गए। उन्होंने चेतना रा जो उद्योप किया, उस हा हमारे नौजवानो पर बहुत असर पड़ा!

उनकी मृत्युके बारे में जाच करने के लिए एक कमीशन बैठे मीर यह करे की वह पपेट थे, तो यह इतिहास का तोडना-मरोडना है। इतिहास पर यह जो धब्बा है, उसको निकालना चाहिए। अगर न्याम स्रोर कुर्वानी की तुला पर रख कर मुभाष चन्द्र बोम के नेत्रव भार व्यक्तित्व को देखा जाए तो वह किसी से हलके नहीं पड़ने है, बल्कि इस देश से माजादी से पहले के जो 6 चोटी के शीर्षस्थ नेता थे, वह उनमें स एक थे, जिन्होने देश को प्रेरणादी, भावना ग्रीर तरुषाई दी। उन्होने जो कुछ किया, गाधी जी की दृष्टी से वह हिसा हो, लेकिन आजावी प्राप्त करने के लिए एक मार्ग वह भी था जिस पर बलकर भगत सिंह भीर बटुकेश्वर दत्त ते इन्कलाब जिन्दाबाद भीर भारत माना की जय बोलते हुए फान्सी के फदे को चूमा भीर श्रतमिनत स्रोग गोलिया के शिकार हुए।

कुछ लोगों ने संहिंसात्मक सान्त्रोलन किया सौर कुछ लोगों ने हिंसा का मार्गे सपनाया सगर उसका लक्ष्य एक ही था-सनग-सनग रास्ते होत हुए भी उन सबका गंतक्य स्थान एक ही था, घोर वह था देश की माजादी प्राप्त करना ! सुभाष बढ़ बोस भी उपा लक्ष्य के लिए जिए घौर मरे। नेताजी सुभाष बंद्र बोस का नाम लेते ही हमारे जवानों को प्रेरणा मिलती है, उनमें तरणाई मानी है, वह गांधी, मोतीलाल नेहरू, लाजपतराय घौर जवाहर लाल नेहरू की श्रेणी में माते हैं।

एक ऐसे ध्यक्ति के राष्ट्र-प्रेम के बारे में कोई कमीशन सदेह करे प्रोर कहे कि वह किसी पार्शकुलर पावर के पपेट थे, यह बात विलकुत ठीक नहीं है। मैं समझता हू कि यह केवल श्र समर गृह की मावना नहीं है, बल्कि यह तथ्य भी है भोर सत्य भी है। इस लिए यह मावश्यक है कि इन डेरोगेटरी रिमार्क्स को निकाल दिया जाए, ताकि लोग नेताजी मुमाय च बोस के स्वच्छ और पवित इतिहास के दर्शन कर सके, और उन की नमन करते समय काई लाखन और कोई धट्या (दखाई न दे।

डन शब्दों के साथ मैं श्री सम्ययुह की भावना का समर्थन करता है।

भी जगन्नाय राव जोशी (शाजापुर):
उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मासे पहले मे प्रो० समर
गृह को हुदय से बधाई देना चाहता हू, क्योंकि
उन्होंने खोसला प्रायोग के प्रतिबेदन का इतनी
बारीकी से प्रध्ययन करके, उस मे
नेताजी सुमायजद्र बोस के बारे में जो भी प्रय-मानजनक विचार और विशेषण जाने या
प्रनजाने में लिखे है, उन की घोर सदन का ध्यान
प्राकुष्ट । या है । प्रार यह और भी प्रसन्नता
की बात है कि अभी तक जो भी सदस्य बोसे हैं,
उन सब ने इस बारे में ध्रपनी सहमती ब्यक्त की
है और श्री समर बृह का बझाई भी दी है।

जब इतिहास प्रत्यक्ष लिखा जाता है, उस समय तरह-तरह की चावकाये झौर कृह्य-नाये दिकाई देती हैं। प्राज जब में श्री कारकंडे

MAY 9, 1975 Report of Commun. of 408 Inquiry into disappearence of Netaji (Resn.)

[श्री जननाथ राव जोगी] राय का भाषण सुन रहा था, तो मन ही मन में प्रसन्नता का प्रमुभव कर ग्हाथा, क्योंकि प्रत्यक जब यह संप्राम चानू वा, तो कोन

सुभाषचद्रं बोम के बारे में क्या भाव रखता था, इसी बात का इतिहास साक्षा है। किन्तु आज इतिहास समाप्त होने के बाद इस दंश की जनता निश्चित रूप में इय नतीजे पर पहुंची है कि बगर स्वाधीनता की लड ई में ब्राखिरी और

करारी चोट किसी ने दी, तो भ्राजाद हिन्द

फीज ने दी।

भारत की वह सैना पकडी गई, किन्तू हर एक भारतीय के अन्त स्तल में माई हुई भारत माता-स्वतन्त्रता-के वारे मे भावना जगा कर, एक सैना खडी करके, उस सैना के सामने एक ग्रादर्श गढ़ कर, उस को "दिल्ली चलो" क, नारा देकर, प्रत्यक्ष रूप मे हिन्दुस्तान को ग्राजाद करने के प्रयत्न मे एक श्रेष्ठ प्रमणी नेता के रूप में सुभापचद्र बोस उमरे। इमीलिए उनको नेताज का मार्थक नाम मिला।

मुझे बहुत दुख के माथ कहना पड़ना है कि खोसला ग्रायोग पर जो जिम्म रारी मापी गई थी, उस जिम्मेदारी का उन्होन नहीं नि-भाया । वह िन्ज ग्राफ ग्रामिशन एण्डनमिशन दोनों के दोपी है। बारनव में सुभाप चंद्र बोस की मृत्य पर किसी के। भी विश्वास नहा था। कम से कम हम नायही नमझन यकि वे एक मग्रेज के हाय में न लगे, केवल इन नम् उन्होन हाज के रूप में यह सारा नाटक रचा था। किन्तु प्राज इतने सालों के बाद ऐसा नहीं लगता है। भ्राज इस पर विश्वास बरना यहन कठिन है कि मुभायचद्र बोस मभी तक जीवित होगे ।

वेसे कई किताबे मुझे पढ़ने को मिली है, जिन में यह सिद्ध करने की कोशश नी गई है कि सुमाधभद्र बोस सभी जीवित है। एक ऐसा फोटो भी मुझे दिखाया गया, जिसमें नेहरू के की मृत्यु के समय सुनावनप्र बीस उनके पास खडे हैं। तामिलनाड् केफावंड न्लाक के प्रमुख नेता, श्री मुख्रुमिलाग थेवर हमेशा अपने माषण में कहा करते थे कि अभी पिछले हपते मुझे सुभाषचद्रं बीस की चिट्ठी मिली है। भाखिर तक वह विश्वास करते थे कि सुभाष जब बोस जीवित है एक बार में उनसे मिलने के लिए गया था, किन्तु उस समय बह बड़े वोमार थे और बोलने की स्थिति में नहीं थे।

ऐसी स्थिति मे इन ग्रायोग पर यह दायित्व या कि वह मूल मे जा कर, तह मे जाकर, भपना निश्चित निष्कर्ष दे कि यदि मृत्यु हुई , तो कहा, किस स्थिति में भ्रीर किस भूमि पर । ध्रायोग का सारा प्रतिवेदन पढने के बाद भी इस नतीज पर नहीं पहचते हैं ! कई वृटियां उसमे रह गई है। जिनका माक्षात्कार उन्हें करना था , उन्हान नहीं ,गा। बहा एनी जान चाहिए था वहा वह नही गए।

इसमे सरकार भी बम जिम्मेवार नहीं है। जो चीजे उसने यायोग को उपलब्ध करानी थी, व उस ने नहीं कराई, यह श्री सम गृह की धारणा है। मझे लगता है कि यह ठीक ात नही है। ग्रगर काई काम जिम्मेदारी के साथ मौपा जाता है, तो उस को पूर्ण करने के लिए जितनी भी ग्रावश्यक चीजे थी वे सब उपलब्ध कराई जाने चाहिए थी। श्रायोग का प्रथम नाम था निष्कर्ष पर पहचना, लेकिन वह किमी निष्कषं पर नही पहचा। यह तो सिन प्राफ ग्रोमिशन हथा। लेकिन उस का सिन भ्राफ कमीशन यह है कि जिस की बिल्कल भावश्यकता नहीं थी, अपेक्षा नहीं यी, प्रर्थात् जापानियो के मन में सुमायचन्द्र बोस के बारे मे क्या भावना थी, उस को लेकर द्मायोग का लिखना । यह एकदम यला है । छत्रपति शिवाजी के बारे में ग्राट इफ़ क्या लिखता है, यदि इस के माधार पर हम भपना मत बनाना शरू कर दे, तो इस की उचित

नहीं कहा जा सकता है। इतिहास का घालोडन करते समय हमें ज्यान रखना चाहिए कि सवाल यह नहीं है कि उन के बारे में जमेंनी, जापान या इस उस समय क्या कहने थे । सुभाषभन्द्र बोस का जीवन हमारे सामने है । घाई० सी० एस० की परीक्षा पाम करने के उपरान्त उस पर लात मार कर, मविस को छोड कर, कुबीनी का जीना-जागना धादणे उपस्थित कर के यह देश की घावादी के लिए लडने बाले सिक्रय संगठन मे सम्मिनित हए ।

े लगातार काग्रेस में होने हुए भी सुभाष-चन्द्र बोस हमेशा यह बात जोर देकर कहने भें कि सुद्ध शुरू होने वाला है, क्योंकि उन के कहने के पीछे विदेशों में रह कर प्राप्त किया हुआ प्रत्यक्ष श्रनुभव होता था।

लेकिन काग्रेम उस को मानने की स्थिति में नहीं थी। इस लिए काग्रेम में उन का मतमेंद्र हुआ और वह काग्रेम को छोड़ कर चले गये। लेकिन अन्ततोगत्वा जब अत्यक्ष स्थिति पैद। हो गई, तो अकेल होने के बावजूद चग न रहते हुए उस पिरिधित में लाभ उठाने में लिए मुभाषचन्द्र बोस रण में बाहर गये। बहा आजाद हिन्द मेंना को खड़ा गर के उन्होंने स्वाधीनना हासिन करने में यडा भार योग दान दिया।

सभी मेरे मिल, श्री मुन्भी न बनाया कि इमलैंड भासानी से स्वाधीनना देन के लिए तैयार नहीं था। 1945 के चनाव के साद जब चिंनल आपोजीशन में बैठे थे, तो तन्होंने ऐटेली में पूछा कि साप जिन्दुस्तान को साजादी क्यों दे रहे हैं। ऐंटेन, ने दा बातो वा जिल्ल किया था आज हिन्दुस्तान जैसे वं देश को स्रपने बल-बूते पर रखने की सपने पास ताकत नहीं रही है और जिस के सल बुते पर हम बहा राज्य करते थे, उस सेना की निष्ठा साज डह गई है—इंडियन सामीं इ.ज.नो लागर लायल टूपस ।

यह किस ने किया ? जैसे एक सामान्य भौपडी में रहते वाने भादमी के मन में यह वडा भारी मरेह महात्मा गाधी ने पैदा किया कि अप्रेजी राज्य वरदान नही है, बिक मंग्रेजं। राज्य शैतानी राज्य है, बैसे हो म्राजादी हासिल करने के रास्ते कई होते हैं ---देण में छहिमा से लेकर प्रत्यक्ष रूप में णस्त्राचारी मार्ग तक ममी का उपयोग हमा है, इस बात को देश के लोगों के समक्ष लाने का श्रेय मुभापचन्द्र वोम को है। इसलिए देश-गीरव मुभाषचन्द्र बोम जी ने बडे साहम के माथ, धैर्य के माथ ग्रीर उम समय जो परिस्थिति थी उस परिस्थिति का योग्यतम उपयोग करते हए स्वाधीनना को नजदीक लाने में जो बड़ा भारी योगदान किया उस के लिए मारी भारतीय जनता हमेशा के लिए ऋणी है। ऐसी स्थिति में नेता जा के बारे मे रोई एक अपमानजनक गब्द निकाले यह मामान्य रूप से हम महन नहीं कर मक्ते। उस दिन जब समर गहा जा न उस को फाड कर पैन रिया तो हम चन्यतः कर सकत हैं ममर गरा जी घरें ने नहा थे। यानी राष्ट्रबादी भारत । । री जनता के एक प्रताक में रूप मे यह उन्हान उम दिन बता दया नि यह देश का गरन रेम-गरव गुभाषच-प्रयोग का ब्रयमान गहन न । घरेगा वभी नहीं करेगा। यह ग्रापान भी इस वात का समझ ले जिस के उगर पह जिम्मदारी दी थी वह भी भारत की इस भावना का समझ ते, मदन की भावना को समझ ने और भाज जैसा में ने कहा मक्की बडी खुणी है कि उस तरफ बैठने वालो ने भी इसक, समर्थन दिया हैं। तो मन्नी महोदय मे मै यही प्रार्थना करता ह कि यह बहुत श्री जगनाथ राव जोशो

अच्छा है, साप भी समर गुहा जी को घत्यवाद देंकर विशेषकर नेता जी के बारे में जा भी अपमानजनक शब्द इस खोसला आयोग के प्रतिवंदन में हैं जस को निकाल और फिर एक बार बता द कि देश के बड़ मुपुत्रों का कोई भा अपमान जाने या अनजाने में यह देश सहन करने के लिए तैयार नहीं है।

SHRI B. R. SHUKLA (Bahraich): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, the resolution is very limited and to this extent that the report of Justice Khosla is deprecatory and it has made slanderous remarks about the great patriot Shri Subhas Chandra Bose and therefore those portions of the Report, particularly, those appearing on pages 7, 30, and 31 should be expunged. Shri Khosla while appreciating the great patriotic role of Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose, is afleged to have flung certain odious and bitter remarks against him. We have to remember two things-firstly, we should go through the report and find out whether Mr. Khosla himself has made any slanderous remarks or whether he has during the course of enquiry made certain references based on the evidence led before him.

Now, so far as the attitude of Shri D. Khosla towards Netaji is concerned, I would like to invite the attention of the Members of this House to page 9, parsgraph 2.24 in which he says:

"It will have been observed that Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose has, in these pages, been referred to simply as Bose. This has been done not in the interests of brevity or convenience, not to conform to the forensic practice of dispensing with titles and honorific prefixes when speaking of

persons whose names figure in judicial proceedings, but because Netaji occupies such an eminent and incomparable position in India's history that he needs no honorifics to emphasise or enhance his intrinsic greatness. Just as titles and trappings of dignity have, in the course of time, been dissociated from the names of Ceasar, Ashoka, Akbar, Nehru and Gandhi, it is enough to say Bose', and yet remain completely respectful and conscious of his political greatness and aplendour. Nehru in his writings, refers to Mahatma Gandhi as Gandhi. Most writers speak of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru as Nehru. These names conjure up a whole complex of ideas. associations and historical events in which the persons so named played their respective roles. The addition of a prefix or a suffix does not add to their importance or augment their glory."

of Neteji (Resn.)

Therefore, my respectful submission before this House is that so far as the expression of opinion about the greatness and the dignity of Shri Subhas Chandra Bose is concerned, Shri Khosla has not in any way yielded in his passion and zeal for the great patriot to anybody else. Therefore, it is mis-reading of the report that Shri Khosla has gone out of his way in making slanderous remarks on certain pages of his report.

Then I invite your attention to page 31. Shri Shah Nawaz Khan was one of the trusted colleagues of Netaji who himself conducted an enquiry before this enquiry was entrusted to Shri Khosla, Shri Shah Nawaz Khan himself appeared as a witness before this Commission of Enquiry presided over by Shri Khosla. I quote from page 31, para 4.56:

"The Imphal campaign was a failure, and this is what Shah Nawax

## of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

Khan had to say about the cause of the failure:

Lastly, and with a clear conscience. I can say that the Japanese did not give full aid and assistance to the Azad Hind Faui during their assault on Imphal."

#### Then he goes on to say:

"They had found out through their lipison officers that the INA would not accept Japanese domination in any way, that they would fight the Japanese in case they attempted to replace the British."

After the formation of INA under that great patriot there was collaboration between Japanese and the INA and the end objective of these two forces was to drive out the Britishers from In ha but there arcse suspicion between the two forces, The Japanese after diving out the Britishers wanted to occupy the Indian soil and establish their own regime in India Notaji wanted to drive out the Britishers, liberate the motherland and establish full-fledged Indian Government on Indian soil Therefore, the Japanese became suspicious of the intentions and objectives of the INA and therefore when Netaji wanted that the first drop of blood to fall on the Indian soil should be that of the soldiers of INA, the Japanese forces did not want that the credit should go for driving out Britishers and liberating India from the Britishers to INA personnel but to the Japanese Army, In that context on page 7 Shri Khosla has made these observations to which an exception has been taken by the mover of the Resolution.

### I quote:

"He insisted that the I.N.A. should form the advance guard, and 'the first drop of blood to be shed on Indian soil should be that of a member of the I.N.A.' This was, however, the proposal of a visionary, of a zealous but impractical patriot,"

### 413 Report of Commn. VAISAKHA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Report of Commn. 414 of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

One may agree or disagree. But, they are not inherently slanderous. Then, he goes on to say:

"He had only 3,000 trained soldiers ready, and the strength of the Japanese forces in Burma was 2,30,000-The Japanese who were anxious to secure a decisive victory by deploying their most competent men, did not relish the prospect of a small hand of I.N.A. men, drawn from the inglorious rout of a defeated army,...."

Now, the task of a historian is not necessarily the task or the duty of a partisan patriot. He has to take things on an objective basis. When he is saying that the Japanese did not want that the men should be drawn from an army which had some inglorious past that does not mean that the remarks or the observations are that of Mr G. D. Khosla. He has put forth how the Japanese looked upon the INA men and in that context, he has Sir, I am concluding. On page said 30, Khosla has quoted certain portion of evidence. He said:

It is on record that when the Indians in South-East Asia spoke of the absentee property of the Indians they were told 'absentee property according to international law is enemy property', What property do you have here? You are all puppets. You must acknowledge the generosity of the Japanese in entrusting you with the management of absentee property at all ... As for Indian prestige, that is secondary to the execution of the Commanderin-Chief's Orders. Puppets? What is the harm in being puppets? You should be proud to be puppets of the Japanese",

These are not the remarks, these are not the observations of Mr. Khosla. They are based on the evidence led before him and if some odious allegations are incorporated in the report based on evidence, then, they do not become ...

SHRI SAMAR GUHA, My dear friend

SHRI B R. SHUKLA I will come to you also The question is, whether these are the remarks of Mr Khosla based on his own personal assessment or they are drawn from sources of evidence, which he was pleased to quote Now, as regards Mr

SHRI D N TIWARY Now, I ask one thing whether Khosla was given the task of writing the history of Netaji or he was given a certain assignment to inquire whether Netaji is alive or not? Why should he write these things, which was the term of reference?

SHRI B R SHUKLA The question is, everybody has got his own style of writing and functioning Now, the Resolution before the House is whether certain remarks are slanderous Now, the Members, whether on this side or on that side, are indulging in many things which are not relevant to the Resolution, that Netaji was a great patriot, fliat he was a great revolutionary and that he organised an army Nobody denies all these things If Khosla was entrusted with the task of enquiring into the cause of his disappearance if certain c idence was led before him and in a certain con text, he had to give his findings and then he quotes here and there, that does not mean that he has gone out of his way and that he h c some intention to dispriage or minimize the greatness of the great patriot

SHRI S M BANERJEE Do you deny one thing? When he was arsimed a particular job why d i he go cut of that?

SHRI B R SHUKLA He has not gone out of that

SHRI S M, BANERJEE (Kanpur): He is a stooge of British imperialism He has the cheek to say this SHRI B. R SHUKLA With due respect to the hon, members, I may say that except Mr. Guha, most of them have not gone through the entire report. What was the point at issue? Whether Netail got the plane, whether the plane could be provided, whether the plane could not be provided for his flight?

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE (Banka): He is accusing us of not having the entire rubbisb!

श्री समर गृह: मैं ग्राप से शिनती करता हू-प्राप न ता इस श्वत एक ही काटेकस्ट में कहा हैं यहा पूरी रिपोर्ट ग्राप के सामने है, पूरी प्रोसीडिंग ग्राप के सामने है, ग्राप एक पन्ने से भा कोई ऐसा इस्टेंस दिखाइ निजा किसी भी विटनेस ने कोई ऐसी गवाही दी हो या कोई ऐसी दलील दी हो, जिस के मुताबिव वे ऐसा कह सकते हो। ग्रगर ग्राप कोई भी ऐसा इस्टे (बता दे तो मैं ग्राप की बात मान लगा।

SHRI B R SHUKLA He has his own reasons for being dissatisfied

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER I think all of us are going off the line because the Resolution is whether certain expressions should remain part of the Report or not That is what we are discussing I think we should not go to the extent of discussing whether Mr Khosla has done the right thing or the wrong thing Otherwise, it becomes a much bigger discussion

SHRI B R SHUKLA In brief, I have attempted to place before you that these remarks which are said to be slanderous and are sought to be expunged from the Report are not per se slanderous While conducting the inquiry evidence was led before him and on that basis he has come to certain conclusions

SHRI SAMAR GUHA Just cite a single evidence or document which was brought before the Commission to that effect.

# 417 Report of Comms. VAISARHA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Report of Comms. 418 of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.) of Netaji (Resn.)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You will have the right of reply.

SHRI B. R. SHUKLA: I admire the sentiment, emotion and feeling of the hon. Mover.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: It is the nation's question.

SHRI B. R. SHUKLA: But he should not monopolise it and think that he alone has respect for Netaji. I yield to none in my veneration for that great patriot. But the only relevant point here is that these remarks should be understood in the context in which they were made.

SHRI SHIVAJI RAO S. DESH-MUKH (Parabhani): Whenever an evidence is quoted, should it not be put within inverted commas?

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad): I shall be very brief. I fully appreciate the sentiments and thoughts of my good and dear friend, Shri Samar Guha, who while moving the Resolution has naturally in very emotional terms expressed his great anguish and even anger at the manner m which Justice Khosla went about writing so many things in his Report which had nothing to do with the main terms of reference of the Commission. He was given certain terms of reference, to inquire about the death or otherwise of Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose. But while going into that question, going into various evidences, whatever he had collected, he seems to have gone much beyond the scope of those terms of reference into passing remarks about one of the country's greatest revolutionaries, which nobody could tolerate,

You will recall that you have more than once said in this very House in 749 LS—14

recent weeks that we a democratic society, we are an open society and we must take everything in its stride; if people criticise us, we should not be very sensitive or too emotional. We must accept it in good spirit. But it is one thing to accept criticism in good spirit and another to allow somebody who was given a certain specific official assignment to say many things which have nothing to relevantly with the scope of the inquiry. I think this is the real issue as I see it. Therefore. I feel that Netaji's fair name and good name has been unnecessarily and unjustifiably tarnished by this report. Having said that, I also wish to say that even if there are 100 such reports or 1000 such reports which say whatever they like about a man like Netaji, I do not think his memory and his glorious place in the history of modern India would be tarnished by an iota. But that is not the point. Here is something which the Government of India officially asks some individual to go into and then the report comes in a way which is objectionable. We object to this kind of thing. I should be the last man to say: expunge certain things from a certain document because they are not acceptable to some of us. But these are remarks of an extraordinary kind, Therefore, I should conclude by saying that Netaji was a rebel per excellence. I admire his courage. During the hectic days of independence struggle the youthful revolutionary that he was, he had the courage to express his dissent, in relation to persons like Pandit Nehru and Mahatma Gandhi. His was a revolutionary spirit. We cannot tolerate somebody sitting in judgement, somebody writing in his official position, something derogatory. That is why I say that while in a democratic society everbody will welcome criticism, nobody can allow licence in the name of freedom; no scandal can be allowed in the name of freedom. From that angle, I support the resolution of Shri Samar Guha.

# 419 Report of Commn. of MAY 9, 1978 Report of Commn. of 420 Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Rem.)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: There is an intriguing point that arises here. This report has been laid on the Table of the Heuse, I understand so. I wish to draw the attention of the Minister to the point that has been made by the Members here that this particular gentleman Khosla has exceeded his terms of reference and has incorporated in the report certain things which are not called for by his terms of reference. Now, if that is the correct position, why did you make it public by putting it on the Table of the House?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI F. H. MOHSIN): We have accepted the findings as regards the death of Netaji Subhash Bosc. We have not commented on the contents of the report.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Have you accepted only one section of the report...

SHRI F. H. MOHSIN: Only the conclusions, I said.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER. You have accepted the conclusions of the report. From what you say it appears that you are yourself satisfied that there are certain things which are not within the terms of the enquiry report. When those things are of a slanderous nature, why could not you edit the report? Why did you make it public? That is the question.

SHRI F. H. MOHSIN: I shall reply to that at the time when I reply to the debate.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The point is that when it is of such serious nature, when it touches the sentiments of the people of the country, we should be more careful before we make the report public. Now the whole thing is being talked about. We are taking about it. The whole country talks

ŧ

about it. Normally when the Government is not satisfied, it treats it as a confidential thing. Is it not so? Until the report is laid on the Table of the House, it is supposed to be confidential.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE (Gwalior): There was a public demand that the report be made public.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: When they are convinced that there are certain things which exceeded the brief, certain things which are of a slanderous nature, the Government should have taken note of those things.

SHRI F. H. MOHSIN: I will clarify the position. Many times demand was made in the House by many Members that the report should be made public and laid on the Table of the House. An assurance was given to the House that it would be placed on the Table of the House and accordingly we placed it on the Table of the House.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: Of course, he placed the report on the Table of the House. I know Mr. Uma Shankar Dikshit was there at that time and he was asked to place it on the Table of the House. But supposing they had placed it on the Table of the House, should they not have taken care to see that the remarks like 'puppet of Japanese' are removed? Are we not ashamed of this?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The Ministry should have read it. (Interruptions)

SHRI S. M. HANERJES: They really wanted to ridicule Netaji and malign Netaji. (Interruptions)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: The ruling party and the Prime Minister...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: All the time connecting everything with the Prime Minister. It is not good. It might be a lapse on the part of the

# 421 Report of Commn. VAIR\_KHA 19, 1807 (SAKA) Report of Commn. 422 of Inquiry into disappearance of Netali (Resn.) of Netali (Resn.)

Ministry, it might be a lapse on the part of the Department and it might be a lapse on the part of the bureaucracy. But why connect everything with one particular person?

(Interruptions).

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Before we proceed further. I want to mention that we had allotted two hours for this resolution and now we have reached the two hour time limit. We have now discussed this resolution for two hours. I would like to have the pleasure of the House as to what should we do now. That is all,

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: My humble suggestion is that the time should be extended by one hour.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: What I am concerned now is that we have allotted two and half hours for the Private Members Business. We started the Private Members Business at 3.30 and therefore we go upto 6 O'clock. I am concerned only with this particular resolution because we have allotted only two hours and therefore I would like to take the pleasure of the House what do we do with reference to this particular resolution.

AN HON MEMBER: One more hour.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Very well, we will take up.

(Interruptions).

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: Sir, on a point of order.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now, about the Private Members Business, I find here that Mr. Guha began his speech at 3,04 r.m. although the time when Mr. Chandrappan moved his motion for adoption by the House was the time when the Private Members Business began. If you add two-and-half hours from then at 5,34 this business should be completed. You are all in a hurry and in the hurry certain things are said and there is

confusion and confrontation. Allow me to understand what you want. When we reach 5.34 we complete 25 hours of Private Members Business. The question that arises now is we are going to give 1 hour more....

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayiukil): No.

MR, DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If you say 'no' I will take the pleasure of the House again. We have completed 2 hours' discussion on Mr. Samar Guha's resolution. What do you do about it now?

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: I do not want to stand in the way of anybody's resolution. But would like to make one thing clear. I think the leaders of the opposition as well as ourselves are anxious to take up the no confidence motion at 6 O'clock. If the half-hour discussion can be postponed, this can be adjusted.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: Provided it is not dropped.

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: I would not stand in the way of its being revived if the rules provide for it.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The least I can do is not to be confused. I would request the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs not to bring in extraneous matters at the moment. We shall come to that when we come to that. At the moment, what do you want to do with Mr. Samar Guha's resolution?

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: I would like to know the pleasure of the Chair. Does the Chair mean that the time may be extended and the extended time will go to the next season or it will be completed today?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I want to know whether you want to extend

Report of Commn. of Inquiry into aisappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

#### [Mr. Deputy-Speaker]

the time for Mr. Samar Guha's resolution. Every other thing follows from it. If it cannot be completed today, obviously it will go to the next session. Why do you want to anticipate things? What do you want to do with Mr. Samar Guha's resolution?

HON. MEMBERS: 1 hour more.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: So, this resolution of Mr. Samar Guha will continue to be discussed in the next session, because we have exhausted the two hours and a half allotted for Private Members Business.

SHRI R. S. PANDEY: Where do I stand?

AN HON, MEMBER: You stand completely demolished.

SHRI R. S. PANDEY: Allow me to move the resolution.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I cannot do any irregular thing. I would like all Members to help me to run the House.

The rule says:

"The last 21 hours of the sitting on Friday shall be allotted for the transaction of Private Members Business'

The intention of this rule as far as I see is 21 hours on Friday means the end of the day.

Now we ourselves by practice, by convention, have violated this rule. If you go strictly by this rule even Half-an-hour Discussion put after this is irregular.

THE MINISTER OF STATE THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHR) A. P. SHARMA): This was agreed to.

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I do not know. I will come to that again. I will come to six O'clock because everybody talks about six O'clock. There is a great deal of irregularity about six O'clock, I will regularise with the pleasure of this House. Now what do you want to do between now and sir O'clock?

Report of Commn. of

of Netaji (Resn.)

भी मन् लिमये : इस में तीन विकल्प हो सकते हैं। एक तो यह है कि नंता जी वाले विश पर बहस चालू रखी जाए।

भो एस० एम० बनर्जो : वह तो भागे चला गया ।

भो म**पुलिमये**: ग्रन्छा वह चला गया । दूसरा यह है कि इस झबधि में हम झनटबेबिलिटी वाले बिल को पास कर दे धौर तीसरा यह है मावलंकर जी वाला ग्राइटम लिया जाए लेकिन सबसे बढ़िया बातयह होगी प्रगर प्रनटचेबिलिटी ग्राफेन्सेज बिल को पास कर दिया जाए।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mavlankar's motion-Half-an-hour Discussion is listed, it is fair that we give him a chance.

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: May I make a submission. Although you have been gracious enough to support my Half-an-hour Discussion, will you kindly consider a suggestion of mine?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: is another complication that arisen. Half-an-hour Discussion should be completed the same day. You cannot partly discus, now and then take it up the next day. We are left with 20 minutes to six. Can you finish it before six?

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: That is why I was submitting, although you have been gracious enough to support my Half-an-hour Discussion, I am left with 20 minutes. I would, therefore, make a suggestion and if this House accepts if, if you permit, this Half-an-hour Discussion of mine can be postponed and included in the

## of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Reen.)

next session. I am willing. 20 minutes that we get now can be used effectively and purposefully to pass the Untouchability Bill.

1

I want an assurance that this Halfan-hour Discussion of mine does not lapse altogether. I would be very happy to have it postponed to the next session.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: You just now said that things are regular upto six O'Clock only. If there is no procedural difficulty, we can discuss it for 20 minutes.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I am very sorry. I really do not understand this. It is here where the Government should help me.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: Put it to the House.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If you all do irregular things, I will have to take a strong decision, which would be unpleasant. In view of the fact that we may not be able to conclude the Half-an-Hour Discussion within the time we have now-we have lost more time and it is only 16 minutes to 6 O'Clock-Shri Mavalankar has made a request that the Half-an-Hour discussion may be postponed, may be taken up at the carliest opportunity next session The question is what do we do with these 15 minutes now?

SOME HON MEMBERS: Take up the Untouchability Bill.

[MR SPEAKER in the Chair]

17.24 hrs.

श्री एस० एम० बनर्जी: मैं प्रापको बना दं कि क्या चल रहा था। हाफ एन प्रवर डिस-कशन को भगले सेशन में भगर ले लिया जाए तो मावलंकर जी ने कहा है कि उनको कोई धापत्ति

425 Report of Commn. VAISAKHA 10, 1807 (SAKA) Report of Commn. 426 of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

> नहीं है। डिप्टी स्पीकर साइब ने कहा कि इस बीच मे क्या होगा। हमने प्रार्थना की है कि धनटचेबिलिटी बिल को जैसे उसको ज्वायंट कमेटी ने भेजा है पासकर दिया जाए विना डिसकशन के। भव भाषको इसके बारे मे निर्णय करन

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: Mr. Speaker, let us be realistic. Many of our members are anxious to speak on it. It is an important matter. You cannot dispose of it summarily in ten minutes. So, you can start with the no confidence motion now. There is no time fixed for it ... (intersuptions) We have no objection to the postponement of the Half-an-hour discussion, if the member is willing there is no deadline that the no confidence motion must start only 6 O'Clock. There is nothing like that. One suggestion is that we take up the Untouchability Offences Bill and pass it in ten minutes. That is not possible. It is an important matter and many of our members want to participate in that discussion It cannot be done in such a short time. So, my humble submission is that you start the no-confidence motion now itself.

भी मध् लिमये : कल जब हरिजनों भौर भादिवासियों के ऊपर भ्रत्याचार वाला काम रोको प्रस्ताव मैंने रखा था तब भापने कहा था कि इस पर बहस करने का मौका मिल रहा है, अन-टचेबिलिटी बिल था रहा है। श्रव हम लोग बहस के प्रधिकार को छोड़ कर इस बिल को पास करने के लिए तैयार है और उमी रूप मे पास करने को तैयार हैं जिस रूप में इसको ज्वायंट कमेटी ने भेजा है। हम बहस करना नहीं चाहते हैं। इसको भ्राप एक मिनट मे पाम कर दीजिये। मैं चाहता हं कि मेरे इस सुझाव पर आप कलिंग दीजिये।

SHRI SHANKAR DEV (Bidar): It is not the monopoly of the opposition members.... (Interruptions) We have

# Report of Commn. of MAY 8, 1975 Report of Commn. of 428 Inquiry into disappearance Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

[Shri Shankar Dev]

also got to say so many things.....
(Interruptions)

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: We are concerned about the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes and their lot and we want the Bill to be passed immediately.

MR. SPEAKER: The Untouchability Bill was to be taken up during the official time. Private Business started and we cannot go back now to official business.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: Will you please tell us whether the half an hour discussion is private or official business?

ब्राध्यक्ष सहोदय : एंसी वात प्राप क्यो ला रहे हैं जो नाम्स्किन है । गवनंमेट विजिनेस खत्म हुमा । म्रब म्राप फिर पीछे जाना चाहते हैं। नई बातें म्राप न करे । ग्रापका एटीट्यूड हैल्पफुल होना चाहिये । यह कैसी बात म्राप करते हैं। प्राइवेट मैम्बर्ज विजिनेस को खत्म करके दूसरी चीज पर हम मा रहे हैं। मब उसको बीच मे क्यो ला रहे हैं? मनटचेविलिटी बिस म्रमी कैमे पास हो सकता है । भ्राप बहस नही चाहते होंगे वे चाहते होंगे।

श्री भोला राउत (वगहा) : यह जो बिल है हम नहीं जानते हैं इस में क्या है। हम इस पर बोलना चाहने हैं। हरिजनो पर अत्याचार हो रहे हैं। हम इन अत्याचारों पर बोलना चाहते हैं।

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA (Patan): It was postponed last session also. It should be passed today. It is important.

MR SPEAKER: After official business, we pass on to Private Business. There was no understanding given that this Bill would be taken up after Private Business, nor was there any agreement.

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA: No. It is not correct. I raised that point, Shri Banerjee also raised that point, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu also raised that point.

MR. SPEAKER: I cannot as a Presiding Officer start this thing and then go back. It is for the House to decide, not for me. I cannot take up any item at any time unless the House agrees.

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA: We are ready to pass the Bill without speaking on it.

श्री बन्द्र शंलानी (हायरस): ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इस विधेयक पर मेम्बरो को अपनी भावनाएं प्रकट करने का मौका मिलना चाहिये भौर उसके बाद ही यह पास होना ाहिये। हमको मालूम है कि इसमे बहुत सी बाते हैं। हम इस कमेटी के मेम्बर थे।

(व्यवधान)

भी शकर देव: मैं उरायन्ट सलैक्ट कमेटी का मेम्बर रहा हू, इसमें बहुत मारी चीजे हैं। नको डिस्कस करने का मौका मिलना चाहिये। यह किसी की मोनोपली नहीं है कि बिना डिस्कशन के पास कर लिया जाये। मैं प्रायंना करूंगा कि सब को भ्रपनी भ्रोपीनियन देने का ग्रधिकार होना चाहिये।

SHRI K S. CHAVDA: The Opposition is unanimous, without any discussion, in passing this Bill.

(Interruptions)

You, Mr. Natwarial Patel, are not in the opposition...

(Interruptions)

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL (Mebsana): You never bother about it. (Interruptions)

#### 429 Report of Commn. VAISAKHA 19, 1897 (SAKA) Report of Commn. of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Reen.)

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA: The atroci-'ties that are committed on the Harijans are on the increase. The Home Minister has given assurances many times that this Bill should be passed ... They are going to postpone it.

भी समा सैलानी : ध्रष्यक्ष महोदयः पहले उस बिल पर विचार होगा, उस के बाद यह फैसला होगा कि बिल पास होना चाहिये या नही । मेरा विचार है कि जस्दी में, भावाबेष में, धाकर ऐसा नहीं करना चाहिये । यह सही है कि भाये दिन शड्युल्ड कास्टस के लोगो पर ग्रत्याचार होते हैं लेकिन इस तरह मे फायदे की जगह उनका नकसान न हो जाये। इसलिये मेरा निवेदन है कि इस पर 6 अटे की पूरी वहस होनी चाहिये, क्लाज-बाई-क्लाज डिस्क्शन होना चाहिये, भ्रमैंडमैंट्स पर विचार होना चाहिये भीर उसके बाद ज्वायन्ट कमेटी ने जो मशा प्रकट की है इन बिल में ्से रखा गया है. उसको महेनजर रखते हुए इसको पास करना चाहिये । जल्दी श्रौर भावावेष मे इसको पास नही करना चाहिये।

#### (Interruptions)

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE On this side, we wanted to say that we should pass this Bill without discussion. I still maintain that. Sir, if they really want to speak, let them speak. The hon, Minister came and went away. In the morning, the Congress Members were worried that the Bill should be passed.

#### (Interruptions)

Mr. Patel, who is .... (Interruptions)

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL: Mr. Chavds, nothing is going on in this House according to our wish. Everything is going on ... (Interruptions).

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA Atrocities were committed in his home town.... (Interruptions).

# of Inquiry into disappearance of Netaji (Resn.)

SHRI NATWARWAL PATEL: YOU cannot deprive me of my right of speaking on the Bill. You cannot deprive us of a discussion on the Bill. (Interruptions)

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: Sir, my submission is only this. I appeal to the ruling party and to the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs to really consider even at this stage whether this Bill should be passed. If they do not want to pass it, that is a different thing We want that it should be passed without any discussion ... (Interruptions)

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL: want a discussion on the Bill. You canont deprive me of a discussion on the Bill.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: We are interested in passing the Bill. .. (Interruptions)

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL: You spent two days on the issue of MISA. You never bothered about the Bill. You are only shedding crocodile tears. You never wanted to pass the Bill

**ब्राच्यक्ष महोदय** ब्राप देखिये कि स्पीकर को कोई ग्रथौरिटी नहीं है कि वह जिस ग्राइटम को मर्जी चाहे रख ले और जिस को मर्जी चाहे कर ले। जो धाइटम चला गया, उसको दोबारा करना है तो हाउस के फैसले मे ही वह तो सकती है।

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA: In morning I raised the matter. I asked: What about the Untouchbility Offences Bill?

ग्रगर प्राइवेट मेम्बर्स बिजनेस शुरू हो गया तो वह कैसे भा सकता है ?

431 Report of Commn. of MAY 9, 1975 Report of Commn. of 432
Inquiry into disappearance
of Netaji (Resn.)
Inquiry into disappearance
of Netaji (Resn.)

MR. SPEAKER: I cannot go back to any item unless the House decides tt. (Interruptions)

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA; In protest, I walk out.

[Shri K. S. Chavda then left the House.]

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: As a protest against the Government's attitude towards Harijans, we walk out. (Interruptions)

[Shri S. M. Banerjee and some other hon. Members then left he House.]

16 hrs.

धी मण्ड लिलये (बांका) : घच्यक महोदय, हरिजनों धौर धादिवासियों पर वो धत्याचार हो रहे हैं, उस के बारे में मैंने एक काम-रोको प्रस्ताव दिया था, लेकिन धाप ने उस को यह कह कर स्वीकार नहीं किया कि धनटचेबिलिटी सम्बन्धी बिल धाने वाला है । सरकार ने मीसा को प्रेसिडेंस वे कर इस बिल को नहीं लिया, मैं इस के विरोध में सभा-त्याग करता हं।

[Shri Madhu Limaye then left the House.]

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS AND DEPARTMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI OM MEHTA): To put the records straight, this Bill was on the Order Paper for three days. I was here on all the three days but nobody came forward to discuss the Bill. I had moved it also. Now they have walked out, but this has been on the Order Paper for the last three days.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI BUTA SINGH): It is nothing but cheap tactics of the Opposition.

धन्यक महोदय : स्था विस्तकत्तन के टाइम वगैरह के बारे में कोई फैसला हो गया है ? यह 12 बजे से पहले खल्म करना होगा।

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: You suggested in the morning that it should end at 12.00 p.m, and that should be observed. The Prime Minister may be called at about 10.30 or 10.45 so that by 12.00 o'clock the debate is over. We accept that suggestion of yours.

MR. SPEAKER: Now, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu.

#### 18.00 hrs.

MOTION OF NO-CONFIDENCE IN THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS --Contd.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond (Harbour). Sir, I beg to move:

"That this House expresses its want of confidence in the Council of Ministers"

We have to bring in a No Confidence Motion which my friends opposite have been calling 'habitual'. But a friend of mine-also from that endtold me that a No-Confidence Motion was almost like a hand-pipe added to a man-hole,-possibly belonging to the Government. This is done to serve as a warning to this Government because vices, failures, corruption and improprieties are mounting at very great speed. Perhaps never before was there a Government so corrupt, so unscrupulous, so unprincipled, so deceitful and so apt in robbing the exchequer and compensating it by levymore and more every year. Our utterances are not meant merely for Mrs. Gandhi or her Congressmen, but for the people. Surely a time will come, sooner or later, when they will throw out this Government lock-stock and barrel.