Tourism & Civil Aviation

29I

SHRI RAJ BAHADUR: I see your preference.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: There is no question of preference; there is no choice.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No supplementaries.

SHRI NATWARLAL PATEL: I am not putting supplementaries.

(Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: No supplementaries, please It cannot be a running commentry. I am on my legs. Please sit down. You have finished Mr. Minister.

(Interruptions)

SHRI RAJ BAHADUR: Yes.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, there are cut motions by Shri S. M Banerjee, Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan and Shri C H. Mohammad Koya.

(Interruptions)

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI: What is this?

(Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please sit down. Now, there are cut motions by Shri S. M. Banerjee, Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan and Shri C. H. Mohammad Koya. Since none of them is here in the House, I put them to vote together. I have to put them to the House.

Now, I shall put all the cut motions to the vote of the House.

All the Cut Motions were put and negatived.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the respective sums not exceeding the amounts on Revenue and Capital Account Account shown in the fourth column of the Order Paper be granted to the President to complete the sums necessary to defray the charges that will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1977, in respect of the heads of demands entered in the second column thereof against Demands Nos. 89-92 relating to the Ministry of Tourism and Civil Aviation."

The motion was adopted.

16.40 hrs.

MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES

MR. CHAIRMAN: The House will now take up discussions and voting of demand Nos. 83 to 85 relating to the Ministry of Steel and Mines for which four hours have been allotted.

Prof. S. L. Saksena and Shri Bhogendra Jha have tabled cut motions to the Demands relating to the Ministry of Steel and Mines. I would like to know if they are present in the House. They are not present. So, the cut motions are not being moved.

Motion moved:

"That the respective sums not exceeding the amounts on Revenue Account and Capital Account shown in the fourth column of the Order Paper be granted to the President to complete the sums necessary to defray the charges that will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1977, in respect of the heads of demands entered second column thereof in the against Demands Nos. 82-85 relating to the Ministry of Steel and Mines."

293 DG 1876-77-Min. of VAISAKHA 20, 1898 (SAKA) Steel & Mines 294

[Demands for Grants, 1976-77 in respect of Ministry of Steel and Mines.]

No. of Demand	Name of Demand	Grant on ac	Dermad for count voted b on 23-3-1976	y Grant sul	Demend for mitted to the the House
1	2	 3		4	
		Revenue Rs.	Capital Rs.	Revenue Rs.	Capital Rs.
83	D partment of Steel				3,26,36,25,000
84	D partment of Mines	4,75,000		23,75,000	
85	Mines and Minerals	6,00,93,000	16,70,52,000	30,04,68,000	83,52,62 000

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, Shri K. C.

SHRI P. K. DEO (Kalahandi): The procedure is that 15 minutes are allowed for the members to move their cut motions....

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have called Shri K. C. Halder.

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-DER (Ausgram): Mr. Chairman, Sir, despite the claims of the Steel Ministry that the steel industry in India is passing through a period of crisis, the Government visualised on installed capacity of 12 million tonnes of steel by the end of the Fourth Plan. The Report of the Ministry notes:

"No increase in capacity could, however, by achieved by the end of Fourth Plan. The expansion of IISCO to 1.3 million tonnes from the existing capacity of 1 million tonnes was given up by the then IISCO management. The attainment of 1.7 million tonnes capacity at Bokaro also could not materialise. The expansion of Bhilai to 4 million tonnes has been re-scheduled."

What about the Fifth Plan? This is what the Report admits. I quote:

"However, due to constraints on financial resources, the expansion of Bhilai Steel Plant and commissioning of Bokaro's 4.75 million ingot tonnes stage is likely to materialise in the early years of the Sixth Plan".

In the first year of the Fifth Plan. though the installed capacity of saleable steel was 8 million tonnes, the actual production was only 4.9 million tonnes....

SHRI P. K. DEO: On a point of order, Sir.

I raised a question of procedure which is being so far followed in the House whenever cut motions are to be moved. Usually, 15 minutes are given to the Members within which they are to indicate the Nos. of cutmotions that they want to move and submit the list to the Table. But you have given a ruling that as those two hon. Members are not present in the House, their cut motions are not moved. This is a wrong ruling, according to me.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You need not worry for that. If they come within 15 minutes, I will permit them to move their cut motions. There is no need of that point of order. I know the rule very well. If they come within 15 minutes, I will permit them to move their cut motions.

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-DER: During 1975-76, the saleable steel would be roughly about 6 million tonnes. Though there has been some rise in the production, it does not mean that there is spectacular rise in output. Even now huge unutilised capacity is in existence in the steel industry.

Now, the Government has come forward with the findings that by the and of the Fifth Plan, there would be 2 million tonnes of surplus production of steel. When the per capita consumption of steel in India is among the lowest in the world, the Government considers the production to be surplus This only shows the growing recession in the country which the Government is hesitating to admit

The demand for steel in India is falling rapidly due to stoppage of developmental activities and construction programmes of the Government. This is mainly due to stagnation in economy. Hence, the Government now wants to boost exports at a loss when the prices in the internal market is increasing and we are forced to export steel at a loss. This is only increasing the loot of the Indian economy by the Western countries but the Government appears to be totally unconcerned about it. Higher export performance in steel only means higher export loss and weakening of the Indian economy.

The Steel Ministry is now trading with the idea of joint sector and is helping the private sector to mint money at the cost of public sector. The recent example of formation of M/s Mandovi Pellet Ltd. in Goa in collaboration with Chowgule & Company (P) Ltd. clearly shows that though the Government has contributed 331/3 per cent of the equity capital of this company. real cream of profits will be extracted by Chowgule & Co. since they are having a major say in the management The entire company could have been started in the public sector. The Manganese Ore (India) Ltd. is another example of such joint sector company where the foreign private sector company is allowed to exploit Indian resources with 49 per cent equity participation. The public sector has thus become a hunting ground for Indian and foreign monopolists. This will gradually convert the entire steel industry into joint sector if this policy is allowed to be pursued in future. TISCO and Tinplate companies controlled by Tatas are already enjoying State patronage and, with Government financial assistance amounting to crores of rupees, they are in a position to strengthen their profitability.

The projected target of ingot steel production has been fixed at 82 M.T. in 1976-77, roughly 13 per cent higher than that of 1975-76 Export of Pig Iron and semi-finished billets will continue to be prominent features in the Steel policy and this is being claimed to be an achievement by the Government and by SAIL.

Let me express my genuine apprehensions about the feasibility of reaching the projected target. The targets have been fixed on the technical capacity of the industry, taking itself completely out of the national industrial scene. The promise that production will not be impeded is reassuring but already two shops in Durgapur Steel, i.e Sleeper Plant and Fish Plate Plant have been closed down and Wheel-Axle Plant and Skelp Mill are suffering for lack of orders. "Produce-as-the-consumersdemand" may be the call from the authorities but none of the Steel Plants can produce wherever they like. Their product-mix is fixed I want to know what steps will be taken when one particular product goes a-begging for buyers and what you propose to do with the Sleeper Plant and Fish Plate Plant in Durgapur Steel Plant

Then, I want to know about expansions There have been assurances in the past in respect of Alloy

Steel Plant, Durgapur, Today the expansion of Durgapur Steel Plant has reached paramount importance. despite its bad finances, since its existence now depends entirely on the diversification of its end products For long, we have heard about 'labour indiscipline' being responsible for the losses in Durgapur Steel Plant. The canard has been blasted by your own figures which show that Durgapur Steel Plant has lost 28 crores last year despite their being no loss of even a single man hour. So its silments lie somewhere else: the cure lies in expansion and diversification. The budget does not ensure any capital expenditure for Durgapur Steel Plant: this must be done.

The Alloy Steel Plant has done fairly well last year as in the previous years. But Government has taken a very discouraging role so far as its expansion is concerned. The production in the plant can be tripled with minimum capital expenditure. The idle capacity in the Plant will be around Rs. 100 crores at the present day valuation level.

Confronted with the problems of heavy stocks and huge outstanding payments by consumers, the steel management have resorted to attacks on the steel workers in general. An average worker in the shop floor is faced with increased workload, decreased earnings and the so-called disciplinary action on any pretext whatsoever. Dismissal without assigning any reason is the order of the day. The services of several employees have been terminated even for small offences. 'Fruitful participation' means the Government signing on the dotted lines. Practically all trade union rights, including the right to protest against management's excesses, have been taken away.

In Durgapur, six activists of a recognised Union were suspended, and the management is further resorting to vindictive measures

In Durgapur, in the name of abolishing the contract labour, the Congress leaders were made leaders fictitious Tabour cooperatives and are allowed to earn huge profits. The workers are exploited while, for political reasons, certain leaders are given special privileges. Recently, the Hindustan Steel Employees' Union won 71 out of 72 seats in the elections for the workers' cooperative societies, but how is it that the Congress leaders became the President of several fictitious labour cooperatives? This is a clear case of a policy of political patronage given to some persons purely on partisan considerations. The Steel Ministry connived at the victimisation of CITU supporters from these bogus societies and gangsters were encouraged to attack the CITU workers and leaders.

All suspension orders must be withdrawn. I would request the hon. Minister to reinstate all those employees who were dismissed without assigning any reason for nominal faults.

The import of steel and other articles that can be produced indigenously should be stopped. I make a special reference here to wheel-axle plant and stainless sheets for them.

It is a pity that almost all the 105 mini-steel plants have been closed down throwing thousands of workmen out of employment. Government has utterly failed to provide job security and better amenities to these workmen who are now faced with starvation. If Government is prepared to subsidise steel exports by way of not charging the depreciation costs and the tike, why should similar, measures not be contemplated to bring the mini-steel plants back to life?

During the Emergency, the management of Bhilai Steel Plant arbitrarily dismissed a Joint Secretary of the Hindustan Steel Employees' Union (CITU) without assigning any reason.

Shri Krishna Chandra Holderl

The real reason for this action was that the trade union functionary concerned who was in the supervisory category, drew attention to certain corrupt practices of some senior officials of the Plant. The said supervisor was threatened earlier with dismissal if he persisted in his complaints and the employee also drew the attention of the management to these threats. When the attention of the Steel Minister was drawn to the malpractices, he agreed to look into the matter but nothing has been done so far. On the contrary, the matters were hushed up while an employee showing concern for the good working of the plant is penalised How then in future workers and employees will come forward with such information if they are suppressed in this manner. I would request the Minister to consider the gravity of the situation and take drastic steps so that the malpractices in the management are stopped forthwith

A strange thing is seen in the Bhilai Steel Plant. Whereas the departmental construction workers are kept idle, the jobs which they can do, are given to the contractors. Here, the officers of the plant and unscrupulous contractors have made a common cause to cheat the public sector. Though the matter wat represented to the Government several times, no action has been taken and the contractors and officers are making money. Is it your concept of 'era of discipline'? —I want to know.

One more thing in Bhilai which must be reported here is this. The management refuses to give office premises to the registered unions except the INTUC. The CITU union requested for allotment of a plot on payment but it was refused by the management. When the entire township is owned by the management, how can a union function in the township without office premises? While the management does not allow non-INTUC union to function in the township

ship, it is allowing full freedom to a liquor shop just opposite the gate of the steel plant where 200 workers can drink at a time. This is happening even after emergency and the Prime Minister's statement supporting prohibition.

In Bokaro, several steel workers were arrested prior to the Prime Minister's visit to reopen the Hot Strip Mill of the Plant on the Ist of May. When you are showing lack of confidence in the workers, your praise of the Bokaro steel workers has no meaning

In Rourkela, the steel plant management dismissed a CITU union office. bearer without assigning any reason. In Tensa mine under the plant, a semor trade union leader has been dismissed without giving any reason. This is how emergency powers are misused against workers. The threat of arrest under MISA is hanging against several trade union functionaries. In Tensa mines though the CITU union is recognised by the management, it is denied of any facility meant for a recognised union. You may force the workers to work under regimented conditions for some days but you should not dream of continuing such conditions for an indefinite period

In this connection, I want to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to the Ulbrum mine in Bankura in West Bengal owned by a private Company. The Company has issued a notice for closing down the mine from 2nd June 500 Adivasi Santhal workers, working in this mine, get Rs. 2 per day as their wage. These Scheduled Tribe people have no other way of livelihood and if the mine is closed they will have to starve. I would request the Government to take over the Ulbrum mine in Bankura,

17.00 hrs.

The report of this Ministry does not refer to the ghastly tragedy of Chasnala where over 375 workers lost their tives. During the year under review. there was another tragedy in the same mine which took a toll of 5 more employees. Though the Government has taken over the management of IISCO. the report does not even express any concern at these accidents. There are many more accidents in other mines during the last year in which several hundreds of workers died.

In steel industry also the number of fatal accidents is sufficiently high. In some papers submitted to the Seminar on Safety in Steel Industry this fact was noted. But even now the government does not take adequate steps for protection of workers. In a paper on safety in steel industry it was pointed out that the trade unions' suggestions regarding safety are invariably ignored by the management, resulting in several accidents in industry. The Safety Committees are just formal. The All India Safety Committee in Steel Industry which has about 25 nominees has only 4 trade union representatives while the rest are management's representatives bna Government officials. The workers and trade unions who have vital stakes in the safety have no proper representation. The Safety Manual prepared by the Safety Committee has not yet reached the workers in vernacular language though sufficient time has passed. This callous attitude towards safety should be discarded.

Recently there have been several accidents in the steel and mines industry due to the anxiety of the managements to boost production anyhow. This has resulted in ignoring of safety rules which has been responsible for many accidents. Secondly in order to bring down the number of accidents, the government has brought in a new concept of 'reportable accidents' and some accidents though of a serious nature are not being reported. It is necessary to record all the accidents so that the real cause of the accident is studied and remedial measures taken. This

alone would enable us to get an objective view of the situation.

Lastly despite the general optimism shown about the performance of the Steel Ministry, the underlying dangers can hardly be overlooked. To put the industry on a stable foundation, there must be: (1) a massive investment, (2) expansion and diversification. (3) technological innovations, (4) effective and meaningful participation of the workers in the management (5) demand stimulation by reduction of prices and (6) stoppage of exports at subsidised rates.

I oppose the Demands.

सरदार स्वणं सिंह सीखी (जमशेदपर): सभापति महोदय, सब से पहले मैं श्री चन्द्रजीत यादव मिनिस्टर स्टील को बधाई देता हं कि इनका प्रोडकशन पब्लिक सैक्टर के जो स्टील प्लांट्स है उन में बहुत ग्रच्छा रहा है। हाल्दर साहब को मैं सून रहा था। मझे आश्वर्य हो रहा था उनको सून कर । मैं समझता हं कि शायद उन्होंने पूरी रिपोर्ट पढ़ी नहीं है या जानवश कर गमत बोल रहे थे। मैं उनको बतलाना चाहता हूं कि दुर्गापुर स्टील प्लांट का भाउटपुट 7 लाख 51 हजार टन हो गया है। यह सिर्फ सेलेबल स्टील का है। यह अपने आप में एक रिकार्ड है। पिछले सब रिकार्डंस को यह प्लोट सरपास कर गया है। 1963-64 वे: मकाबले में यह 44. 4 परसेंट ज्यादा है।

मैं समझता है कि इंडियन घायरन एंड स्टील कंपनी को ले कर सरकार ने बहुत अच्छा काम किया है, इस कंपनी को बचा लिया है, लोगों को बचा लिया है भीर बंगाल का भी बहुत ज्यादा फायदा कर दिया है। मैंने इसका धभी दोरा किया था। इतनी प्रानी मशीनरी होते हुए भी उसका जो रिहेबिलिटेशन हो रहा है वह प्रशंसनीय है भीर मैं चाहगा कि भीर ज्यादा पैसा माप इसको दे ताकि इस प्लांट को फिर से खड़ा किया जा सके। मैंने देखा है

[सरदार स्वर्ण सिंह सोखी]

वे कोक स्रोवन प्लांट बना रहे हैं। पुराना जो ढांचा था उसके ऊपर ग्रीर खड़ा कर रहे हैं। (इंटर-शंज) । चासनाला का सवाल दूसरा है। लेकिन इस कंपनी को इन्होंने बचा लिया है। मैं चाहता हं कि जो भी मैनेजमेंट ग्राप चाहेरखें लेकिन इसको ग्राप चलाएं ग्रौर इसको आप रिहेबिलिटेट करें और उनको पैसा दे। वहां काम बहत ग्रच्छा हो रहा है। उसके बाद जहां तक सवाल है प्रोडक्शन का, मैं ग्रापको बताता हुं कि भिलाई, दुर्गापुर, राउरकेला, बोकारो, टिस्को, इस्को सब में काफी प्रोडक्शन हुग्रा है। लेकिन सबसे ज्यादा टिस्को में हुआ है। टिस्को में पूरानी मशीनरी है उसी से 99.1 परसेंट का प्रोडक्शन विना किसी एक्सपेशन के हुआ है। कोई उमीद नहीं कर सकथा था कि इतना प्रोडक्शन होगा। उसकी एक्सपेशन के लिये बड़ा जोर लगाया जा रहा था। यह तो मिनिस्टर साहब की लक है कि उनको पुरानी मशीनरी से 99.1 परसेंट माल बनाकर देना पड़ता है। इसी तरह से उनके पीछे लगे रहेगे तो एक्सपेंशन की जरूरत नहीं पड़ेगी । इस तरह से आपका काम बड़ जोरों से चल रहा है। मैं ग्रापकी मिनिस्टी की इसके लिये बड़ी सराहना करता है। जहां जहां सुझाव देने होंगे, वह मैं द्ंगा, उसमें कोई बात नहीं है। जहां तक प्रोडक्शन का सवाल है, मेरे मित्र, ग्रगर बैठकर सारी बातें सुनते तो मैं ग्रीर समझा सकता था।

श्रापकी जो लांग टर्म प्लानिंग है, इसमें श्रापको बड़ी कामयाबी होगी। पहले के एक्सपीरियेंस श्रीर श्राइन्दा को ध्यान में रखते हुए यह 25 साल का लांग टर्म प्लानिंग श्रच्छा है, इस पर जल्द से जल्द पूरा ध्यान दिया जाये।

जहां तक लेबर का सवाल है, लेबर का पार्टिसिपेशन इन मैनेजमेंटस में तो है लेकिन प्रगर बोर्ड ग्राफ डायरेक्टर्स में भी पार्टिसिपेशन लेबर को दे दें तो क्या हर्ज है। इससे उनकी काम में जिम्मेदारी और बढ जांगी। अगर उनका एक आदमी वहां वैठा रहेगा तो कोई फर्क नहीं पड़ता। मेरी यही राय है।

एकोमोडेशन फार लेबर की गवर्नमेंट की 80 पर्लेंट की पालिसी है। उसमें से 40 परसेंट आ ने बना दी है। बाकी 40 परसेंट को भी जल्दी कम्पलीट किया जाना चाहिये।

एम्पलाइज के बच्चों के पढ़ने के लिये वहां कालेज चाहिये। प्राइमरी स्कूल से लेकर स्कूल-लीबिंग एजू केशन से काम नहीं चलता है। ग्रव तो कोई सवाल नहीं है कि उन के बच्चे बनारस या रुड़की वगैरा जाकर कालेज की पढ़ाई पढ़ें। जहां वे लोग रहते हैं, वहीं उनकी पढ़ाई होनी चाहिये, वहीं काम वे सीखें ग्रौर वहीं काम करें। मेरा सुझाव है कि वहां ग्राप एक कालेज बनाइये ग्रौर जितनी एमेनिटीज मंडिकल वगैरा की हैं वह भी दीजिये। बोकारी स्टील प्लांट की लेबर ने एक मैमोरैंडम प्राइम मिनिस्टर को 1-5-76 को दिया था, हालांकि मैं तो वहां नहीं जा सका, लेकिन उस मैमोरैंडम में 2, 4 बानें ग्रच्छी हैं जिनको कि मान लिया जाना चाहिये।

चासनाला का मैंने मार्च की 1 तारीख को विजिट किया था। वहां की हालत मैंने देखी है, वहां काम के वारे में तो मैं नहीं कह सकता कि माइन रालेगी या क्या होगा, वह तो आप देखें। मगर यह जरूरी है कि अगर आपके अफसरान वहां के ठीक प्रकार से काम करते तो दूसरा एक्सीडेंट न होता। कम-से-कम थोड़ा सा भी ध्यान रखते तो एक्सीडेंट से बचा जा सकता है। बैंसे एक्सीडेंट इज एक्सीडेंट, यहां से गाड़ी वाहर लेकर निकले, और एक्सीडेंट हो सकता है। लेकिन जहां तक मैंने देखा है, वहां कुछ जरूर डील है। आप चाहे किसी के कान खींचिय, जो मर्जी की जिये।

टिस्को का जहां तक सवाल है, इसमें ने बर की रिट्टैंचमेंट की गई है। वह किस तरह से की गई है, कुछ लोग प्रापके पास प्राय होंगे, या प्रापने बुलाया होगा । लेकिन मैं कहना चाहता हं कि जमशेदपूर में टिस्को ऐसी जगह है, ग्रगर वह बंद हो जाये तो 75 परसेंट धादमियों को प्रपना विस्तर-बोरिया गोल करना परेगा। सब लोग टिस्को पर ही निर्भर करते हैं। वहां दूसरी सबसीडीयरी कंपनी है मगर कोई मंडी नहीं है। इमलिये घाप टिस्को को हिदायत दीजिये कि किसी भी ग्रादमी को निकालने से पहले 2 दफी मोर्चे। नेशनलाइजेशन की मांग क्यों होती है। हमारी मिक्सड इकनामी है, मांग इसलिये होती है कि लेबर को दूख होता है कि अगर निकाल दिये जायेंगे तो लोग क्या करेंगे। मेरा कहना है कि अगर निकालेंगे तो लोग चोर हो जायेंगे, डक्तैत हो जायेंगे।

इमलियं मैं कहंगा कि टिस्को को अगर नेशनेलाइज नहीं करना है, तो कम-से-कम ज्यादा कन्ट्रोल रिखयं कि लेबर के बारे में सबसीडियरी कंपनी या एन्सीलरी की ज्यादा देखभाल करें। वे बाहर से माल मंगाते हैं, जिम की वजह से रेन्सिलरी इंडस्ट्रीज तबाह हो रही हैं, क्योंकि उन के पास कोई आर्टर नहीं है। मैंने मिनिस्ट्री आफ इंडस्ट्री की डिमांडज पर बोलने हुए भी यह बात कही थी। इंडस्ट्री और स्टील दोनों इंटर कनेबिटड हैं। मिनिस्टर साहब को इस तरफ प्र्यान देना चाहिए। अगर हो सके, तो इस को नेणनलाइज कर दिया जाये। आखिर टाटा के और बहुत से कारखाने हैं। मेरो राय है कि उन के इस स्टील के कारखाने को सरकार अपने हाथों में ले ले।

जहां तक हिन्दुस्तान कापर का सवाल है, उस के दफनर को कलकत्ता से हटाने की बात कही गई थी, इस लिए मैं उस को विजिट करने गया था। कनकत्ता हैड ग्राफिस ने 12-4-76 को यह चार्ट सप्लाई किया था। इस के मुताबिक कसेरिकल स्टाफ में 78 भादमी हैं भीर उन के ऊपर 31 श्रफसर हैं। यह बड़ी हैरानी की बात है।

इस ग्राफिस पर खर्चा कितना हो रहा है? स्टाफ के संखली पेमेट पर 1,26,000 रुपया खर्च किया जाता है। एक मनेजर से काम चल सकता है, लेकिन तीन तीन मैनेजर रखे हुए हैं श्रीर एक चेयरमैन श्रलग है। जहां तक बिल्डिंग के किराये का सवाल है, एक फ्लोर के लिए 28,717 रुपये माहवार रट दिया जाता है। कलकत्ता श्राफिप को मेनटेन करने के लिए स्टाफ श्रीर रेंट पर हर साल 18.56.604 रुपये खर्च किये जाते हैं।

ग्रगर इस खर्च को कम किया जाये, तो कम से कम दम लाख रुपये का नफा हो सकता है। 78 ग्रादमियों पर कंट्रोल करने के लिए 31 अफसरों की जरूरत नहीं है। वह विल्डिंग बिड़ला फेमिली की है। वे किराये को और बढ़ाने जा रहं हैं। उन्होंने गवर्नमेंट को नोटिस दिया है। क या तो किराया बढाया जाये. या बिल्डिंग को खाली कर दिया जाये। क्यों न उस किराय को रेंट कंट्रोल कानून के मुताबिक फिक्स कराया जाये ? ये सब बातें अफसरों की मिलो-भगत से होती हैं। उन को इतनी पाबर दी गई है, जिस का वे फायटा उठाते हैं। जैसा कि मैं ने बताया है, कलकत्ता ग्राफिस को मेनटेन करने के लिए 18 लाख रुपया साल का. यानी डेढ लाख रुपया महीने का, खर्च किया जा रहा है। प्रगर विल्डिंग का किराया बढ गया, तो वह रकम बढ कर 25 लाख रुपये हो जायेगी इस से भ्रच्छातो यह है कि कंपनीयातो भ्रपनी बिल्डिंग बना ले भीर या वहां से शिफट कर जाये। इतने इक्सरों की व रूरत नहीं है। दस या पंद्रह अफसर सारा काम कर सकते हैं। यब मैं ने इतना ज्यादा स्टाफ रखने के बारे में पूछा, तो मुझे बताया गया कि इतने लोग तो परानी कपनी के आये हुए हैं। हम ने इतने भादिमयों को रखने का कोई ठेका नहीं लिया हुमा है।

[सरवार स्वर्ण सिंह सोखी]

इस खर्च को कम करना चाहिये। कारखाने में नफा इस तरह की बचत करने से ही होता है। यह जरूरी नहीं है कि मिर्फ प्रोडक्शन से ही नफा होगा। प्रोडक्शन बडा प्रच्छा हो रहा है, लेकिन मेहरबानी कर के लेकिज को भी गंद किया जाये। यह कितनी प्रजीब बात है कि 5 लाख रुपये का नफा हुआ और 28 लाख रुपया खर्च कर दिया।

जहां तक एप्रिन्टिसिंगप का सवाल है, यह ठीक है कि 20 पायट इकानोसिक प्रांग्राम के मुताबिक पिक्लिक सेक्टर में एप्रेन्टिसज एक्ट प्रोविजन्ज को इम्प्लीमेंट करने की पूरी कोशिश की जा रही है। लेकिन हम बेखते हैं कि पांच स्टील प्लांटों मे 295 मेजुएट इंजीनियज, 113 टैकिनिकल एप्रिन्टिसिज और 2034 ट्रैड एप्रिन्टिसिज हैं। मैं समझता हूं कि इन प्लांटों में यह ताबाद तो ऊंट के मृह में जीरे की बात है। इस लिए यह ज़करी है कि एप्रिन्टिसिज की तादाद को बढ़ाया जाये।

जिन लोगों को ट्रेनिंग दी जाये, उन को बहीं एबजार्ब कर लेना चाहिए। इस किस्म की बहुत शिकायतें मिल रही हैं कि ट्रेनिंग मिल गई है, झब नयां करें। वे लोग एम० पीज० के पीछे दौड़ते फिरने हैं।

मैं इन डिमांड्ज को सपोर्ट करते हुए कहना चाहता हूं कि मिनिस्टर साहब अपने अफसरों को हिदायत करेकि वे अच्छी तरह काम करे, नहीं तो उन को वहां से हटा दिया जाये।

SHRI O. V. ALAGESAN (Tiruttam): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I would like to preface my speech with a few general remarks.

While speaking on Steel, one remembers with gratitude the late Shri T. T. Krishnamachari. It was he who conceived the idea of having three big steel plants with one million tonne capacity each way back in mid-fifties. It was with that vision of his and his daring that the public sector has

grown to its present size. We have been able to reach both in the public and the private sectors double the level of production which we conceived of, namely, nearly 6 million tonnes only after two decades. We may double the present level of production in another decade. We appreciate his foresightedness and his daring and his vision. He may be truly called the father of the steel industry in the public sector.

The steel industry covers the biggest segment of our investments in the public sector. In all public sector units, covering about 129 in number, out of the total investment of more than Rs. 7,000 crores, the steel sector alone accounts for a total investment of more than Rs. 2,000 crores which means that 30 per cent of our total investment has gone into steel. That is the biggest segment, as I said, in the entire public sector industry.

Now, Sir, what do we find? Does this ministry come up before Parlia. ment for scrutiny every year? No: it was not discussed last year; year before last it was discussed and the year previous to that, it was not discussed at all. I would like to submit and you may tell the Speaker that it should be possible for this House to go into the details fully and see the working of this ministry every year. Every year this important ministry should be taken up for scrutiny by the House and I should say that all the economic ministries must be brought before the House and they should be discussed so that the House knows, the country knows where we stand. This year, for example, we have been given only four hours to discuss this Ministry. God be thanked. which means Raghu Ramaiah be thanked, that this ministry is not pushed out at all: at least on the penultimate day, we have been able to discuss the demands of this ministry.

I would like to make a suggestion. Since the days of Shri Mavalankar,

much water has flown under the Jamna Bridge. We have changed the Rules of Procedure and this House is given the opportunity to discuss many things if did not discuss before. So, even if tomorrow the guillotine is going to be applied and several ministries demands are to be pasesd without a discussion, even after that, what stands in the way of the House from taking up such ministries for a discussion. I should like to place this suggestion before you and through you, the Speaker and also the Minister for Parliamentary Affairs that we should be allowed to discuss the ministries even after this under a suitable motion. This ministry is fortunate enough in having a dynemic person to be in charge of it and I should congratulate him and also the SAIL for the very good performance they have put up; in the year 1975-76, they have reached the production level of 5.7 million tonnes of saleable steel which is 16.4 per cent more than what they did in the year 1974-75. In that year, they produced only 4.9 million tonnes and now for the very good results achieved, I should congratulate the Ministry. We should while congratulating the ministry. remember that the major factor which contributed to this happy development is this. It is the product-mix engendered by the emergency, namely, improved availability of inputs, improvement in industrial relations, better discipline among the workers and cooperation between labour and management which were responsible for this development. All these things together which I called the product-mix of the emergency have contributed to this happy result; and the railways have done a wonderful job. Formerly there were complaints regarding non-availability of wagons, etc. but last year the railways have done a wonderful They have moved inputs into the steel factories more than what was targeted for and moved finished goods out of the steel factories more than targeted for. This is very encouraging.

Sir, there is one thing which is not so encouraging. Out of 5.7 million tonnes we are told that more than 6 iakh tonnes have been exported and out of a targeted production of 6.5 million tonnes in 1976-77 you have already booked orders for 2 million tonnes which means that during the last year and the coming year the nation will be consuming less than 5 million tonnes of steel. For a big country like India with a population of 600 million people the consumption of less than 5 million tonnes of iron and steel shows that there is something basically wrong with economy. The planners should take care of this situation and try to remedy it as early as possible. Let alone the population of 600 million. even if our population had stood at the same level as it was when we achieved Independence, that is, 350 million, I ask you whether the consumption of less than 5 million tonnes is anything sufficient. It is believed that consumption of iron and steel in a country is the index of the progress of that country and measured by that index, it seems that there is something wrong with our economy, it is sick and suffering.

Sir, on the matter of export, I would like to utter a note of warning. We are happy that the export of steel brings the much needed foreign exchange but I would like to know at what price! Are we not exporting at a price lower than what we are selling to our own people? If so, the question that arises is, are we subsidising industrialisation in other countries? This is a matter which has to be given serious thoughts. While we are happy that we are able to export-certainly it is more profitable to export the finished products rather than iron ore -if we export at a price less than the cost price or the sales price in this country then what are we doing! Are we doing something harmful or helpful to this country?

Now, I would like to say a few words about SAIL. This was con[Shri O, V. Alagesan]

311

stituted in the beginning of the year 1973. The author of this holding company idea, the late lamented Shri Mohan Kumaramanglam was questioned very closely in the House on the function of SAIL and the way in which it was going to work. I would like to say the most important question that was put was by my friend, Shri R. S. Pandey. The question raised by Shri R S. Pandey was regarding the accountability and answer. ability of SAIL to Parliament and the country. This is the reply of the Minister:

"The hon, member is perfectly right in emphasising the accountability of the Steel Authority of India to Government and to the country for its operation of such a wide area of our country's activity. economic That why the Government have specifically laid down that the Steel Authority of India must submit a report every six months regarding the working of that organisation. and Government certainly intend to see that the authorities in charge of the Steel Authority of India are made accountable for the exercise of power which is being granted to them in respect of organising our steel production".

This was a very clear answer that was provided by the then Minister to the question asked by Shri R. S. Pandey. But then what do we find? Has this been carried out? This very clear and unambiguous assurance by the Minister on the floor of the House -has this been carried out? In fact, I wrote to our Minister to send those reports. Half yearly reports means there would have been six reports by now. Even the annual reports for 1974-75 I could not get. There are only two report I could my hands on. Instead of sending the reports asked for the Minister was kind enough to send me a few cyclostyled papers concaining some information. valuable information, of course. But is it that was intended by the then Minister? This is a matter for the Speaker to go into. Also we have the committee on Assurances. To see whether the assurances given on the floor of the House are being followed or not. observed or not, is the job of that Committee.

I was very disappointed not to receive any report. Perhaps these reports were not submitted. I do not know. The Minister might choose to enlighten us on this point in the course of his reply. But the fact remains that these half-yearly reports which should have been submitted to Government, to Parliament and to the country are not before us.

Now regarding the setup of SAIL and the functions of the Ministry and SAIL. Here also I would like to quote. Kindly bear with me—this is my second and last quotation. This is regarding the functions of the Ministry:

"Co-ordination of the growth of the steel industry both in the public sector and private sector; planning, development, control and assistance to all iron and steel industries" and so on and so forth.

What are the functions of SAIL?

"To plan, promote and organise an integrated and efficient development of the iron and steel and associated industries such as iron ore ...: to coordinate the activities of the subsidiaries; to formulate and recommend to Government a national policy for the development of iron and steel and related input industries and to advise it on all policies and technical matters".

These are the important functions. These are huge tasks. I would like to say in paran thesis that this question of the framing of a national policy on iron and steel is yet to fructify. The Committee on Public Undertakings drew the attention of Government and SAIL to this matter. That apart, these two jobs are huge, big tasks. If anyone says that he can do both these jobs, do justice to both the jobs simultaneously, he will be a very bold man, a very big man—of a type which is not generally available to us. Now these two jobs, the Secretaryship of the Ministry and the Chairmanship of SAIL, are now combined in one person. Can these functions, big jobs, be combined in one and the same person? Can we expect him to carry both? This is a big question.

Now you might have heard about Arjuna of old. Among archers of Bharat, he was the one person who could shoot arrows with both hands with equal ease. For that reason, he was called savyasachi, the man who could despatch arrows with both hands, the right and the left. Do we have a savyasachi in the person of the incumbent of these two offices, of the Secretary of the Ministry and the Chairman of SAIL? I for one would feel that this is too big a job for one man to carry out satisfactorily and this is against all canons of administration. This has been drawn attention to by the ARC, by the Estimates Committee and by the Committee on Public Undertakings. Their recommendation is that these two jobs should never be combined into one and they should be held by separate people, and this should be given effect to. This recommendation which has been accepted by Government, which has been made by various bodies, is sought to be flouted. This, in my view is not a healthy thing to do. Suppose this example is followed by other ministries. Take for instance 'he petroleum ministry; it has got ONGC, IOC, Cochin Refineries, Madras Refineries, huge, big public sector undertakings; suppose they constitute a holding company and appoint a chairman and make him the chairmansecretary. How will it look? This had example should not spread; that is what I am warning you about. Similarly, take the ministry of chemicals and fertilizers. You have a number of big fertiliser units; the FACT. the IDPL which has several units and

so on. Suppose that ministry wants to follow your example: holding company, chairman of the holding company is the secretary to the ministry. How will it look like? Then this also leads to two different kinds of secretaries; their daily allowances are difterent. The chairman of SAIL will get Rs. 175 per day; you and I get Rs. 51; and the Rs. 4000 per month secretary of the Government of India who was looked upon with envoy in those days, gets less than Rs. 30 per day. If those two secretaries were to go to Bombay, the chairman-secretary can go and stay in Oberoi-Sheraton hotel; the other secretary will have to go into some miserable government hostel and stay there. Is it healthy to have this type of difference and different categories of secretaries?

The public sector industries which we have created are big economic empires. They are bigger than the ministries which create them; they wield greater economic power even than the state governments. They are, I should be excused for saying so, equivalents of the multinationals on the national scene. They have executives. We have abolished Maharajas and nawabs: the executives of the public sector companies are the new maharajas and nawabs. Our hon. Minister is a dynamic person but it is difficult to control the huge public sector undertakings for even the most capable minister. Even Mr. Kumaramangalam, I am told, did this only as a stopgap; he did not want this as a permanent arrangement. One cannot aspire to reign in hell as well as serve in heaven. I say that these two posts should be bifurcated soon. The secretaryship might go, I do not mean to a civilian or an ordinary bureaucrat, but to a technocrat.

SHRI CHAPALENDU BHATTA-CHARYYIA (Giridih): What about techno bureaucrats?

SHRI O. V. ALAGESAN: There is the danger of technocrats growing into bureaucrats; I hope the Minister

[Shri O. V. Alagesan]

will give his attention to this matter.
(Interruptions)

Taking capacity utilisation the other day the Minister of Industries thundered against unutilised capacity in the private sector and threatened that they would be visited with punitive measures. In the steel ministry, we have certainly done well in the year 1974-75 and 1975-76; I have no time now to quote the figures. It is better than 1973-74 but it is not better than 1972-73. In the sixties, for three years consecutively the private sector factory TISCO attained 100 per cent production In three consecutive years in 60s, TISCO attained cent per cent production. IISCO attained cent per cent production in one year during the sixtles; and so when we take that into account we have not travelled much. And under-utilisation is still there. This year TISCO will reach cent per cent production. That is something good. But, it is not new because TISCO attained full capacity utilisation during three years in the sixties.

Now, about profitability, in 1973-74, the steel industries suffered a net loss of Rs. 572 crores. In 1974-75 there was a net profit of Rs. 37.0 crores. The HSL had made a profit of Rs. 480 crores but Bokaro incurred a loss. But on the whole there was a net profit of Rs. 36.59 crores. But what about the Government loan that has been taken? The loan taken by the steel industry amounts to Rs. 44.00 crores. What is the interest that has been earned on this loan amount. It was about Rs. 26.23 crores Now, this works out to about 3 per cent. Now, we are told that Government advance loan to public sector undertakings and the interest works out to between 7 per cent and 105 per cent. It is not less than 7 per cent. It goes up to 10.5 per cent, under certain conditions. If you work out the rate of interest even at 9 per cent, this profit of Rs.

\$6.50 crores will convert into a net loss of about Rs. 12.0 crores. I would like the hon. Minister to explain what is the rate of interest, how they have calculated the interest and how they are going to pay the interest. Other groups of industries for instance. Chemicals group of industries set apart 5 per cent for interest and the Petroleum group of industries' amount set apart for this purpose works out to 10 per cent. I would like to know why the interest has been worked out at such a rate and we are shown the profit.

Another point, I would like to touch is about the sale proceeds. The net sales in 1973-74 amounted to Rs. 546.0 crores. In 1974-75, it amounted to Rs. 865.0 crores, about 44 per cent increase. While the value in rupees has creased, the quantity that was sold has remained more or less the same and the Committee itself has been constrained to point out that "substantial contribution came from price increase on sales." So, by increasing the price we have shown a greater sale proceeds and not by increasing the quantity sold. This increase in price means a bonanza to all private sector industries in the steel segment and they naturally welcomed this because it is not as if private sector will not welcome public sector under these conditions, because you put up the price of public sector products and that helps the private sector brings a bonanza to them. Why should they object? So this aspect of price increase should also be taken into account.

Now, one word about Salem Steel Plant. It is in my own State. Salem seems to be the cinderella among the steel sisters. While other sisters like Bhilai are over-fed, over-dressed and over-perfumed and are bursting with all good things of life, Salem is like one of the slum children. It is weak and emaciated, looking to Delhi for alms. It has not even the strength to cry, and only recently the mother instinct in the Prime Minister has come

to the rescue of Salem and she proposes to take some steps, and I do not Committees know what they are. are always constituted. As to whether the Committees will follow the mother instinct, I am very doubtful. This project was approved in 1972 at an estimated cost of Rs. 340.0 crores. Now. it has gone up to Rs. 517.0 crores according to one report and Rs. 518.10 according to another. These figures are very dangerous. One report says one thing and another report says another thing. I should say here that the report that has been brought out by SAIL, which has got an authorised capital of Rs. 2000 crores, a mighty sum in India, is a very shabby document. There should be some format. some way of supplying information. They give one set of figures and publication another gives another set of figures! Only Rs. 12 crores have been spent all these years on the 'Salem plant mostly on land, site preparation and some civil works. For 1976-'77. Salem Steel Limited asked for Rs. 16 crores and the generosity of the Central Ministry could not provide more than Rs. 3 crores! It is a very pitifully small sum. I hope the amount of Rs. 16 crores will be provided for it. The Minister should look at this project with greater sympathy. What disturbs me is, I found a news item sometime ago that if Rs. 16 crores are sanctioned this year next year they will have to sanction much larger amount which they are not prepared to provide and so they are not able to provide Rs. 16 crores this year! I do not know if that is true, but if it is true, it is a very funny situation in which we are in. I would like to appeal to the Minister to provide the full amount of Rs. 16 crores and also take care of it next year. In an investment amounting Rs. 400 crores and more, what is Rs. 16 crores? They will be able to tell the minister how to find these Rs. 16 crores. It is not a big amount, With this appeal. I conclude in deference to your wishes even though I have ·a few more points.

SHRI D. K. PANDA (Bhanjanagar): Sir, as for the performance of Ministry, production of steel increased by 15 per cent compared to last year. I congratulate the workers who during the emergency have sacrificed most of their cherished rights and earnings also. The minister also deserves congratulations for the performance. At the same time, there is a big contradiction that has come to the forefront. On the one hand the Steel Minister, the Prime Minister and everybody else have been advancing the cause of more and more production of steel. It has been declared by so many authorities in the ministry that there should not be any cutback in production. But at the same time, Mr Rusi Mody, perhaps brother of Mr. Piloo Mody, who the Director of TISCO has declared that since steel was not selling owing to the recession in the market. ducts worth Rs. 45 crores had piled up in the stockyard and the money ploughed back into production was locked up, forcing the company borrow a like amount from the banks. So, in the name of cost control. purchases have now been stopped. In February 76, a circular was issued not to issue any requisition for spare parts, and it is also necessary, according to him, to obtain permission from the General Superintendent even for purchase of materials worth Rs. 500! I draw the attention of the minister to this contradiction: on the ine hand there is a propaganda and demoralisation being spread among the workers, engineers, etc. saying that there is stockpiling. On the other hand, there is a call for more production. This has to be resolved. According to me, if our projections for industrial growth and export in the coming years are any indication, we can safely say that we have a really good case for proceeding with the production and not to have any cut-back. So, the hon. Minister should enlighten us about this contradiction which has come up.

[Shri D. K. Panda]

Regarding export of iron-ore, we feel that we should stop exporting iron-ore. We can use this iron-ore for industrialising our country and m future, we will be able to earn much more foreign exchange by producing more. There should be a specific direction to the policy of export also.

There is absolutely no doubt the public sector has made certain achievements and it has earned distinction in the production field But as for the workers who should have been rewarded for sacrifice, what did they get in return? In Rourkela Steel Plant, 14 trade umon activists have been removed from service under section 13 of the Standing Order. have made a special representation about four workers who have organised people in support of the Prime Minister's 20-Point Programme and declared their full support to the Government but nothing has been done about them also.

As far as workers' participation in management is concerned, this should be done at the Durgapur pattern. Representatives from INTUC, AITUC and HMS should be taken in the Board of Management

About contract labour in IISCO, I have received various letters and representations from Burnpur. There, the contract labour system has created havoc. It was stipulated in the first all India agreement that was signed that industries would not employ labour through contract in jobs of permanent and perennial nature. The plant level agreement was reached m 1971 in which it was stipulated that within a period of six months such workers would be absorbed. After a lapse of four years, we find that only 600 workers have been taken and there are still 1200 workers according to the agreed formula. I would, therefore, request the hon. Minister to see that all contract labour who are engaged in a perennial nature of job should be taken over immediately.

The permanent jobs which were being done departmentally on or before 1974 will continue to be done through regular employees. That was also the agreement that was signed on 30-7-75 but that was also not honoured.

Now, what is going on, because of this? The officer-contractor combine has built up and created their own foundation. Therefore we find that the Central and State laws are being violated. The law says:

"In cases where the workmen employed by the contractor perform the same or similar kind of work as the workmen directly employed by the principal employer, the wage rates, holidays, hours of work and other conditions of service shall be the same."

But this is not being implemented. These are the agreements which I am quoting. In spite of this, all these rules and agreements have been violated by these contractors. Therefore, I demand that the contract labour system should be abolished immediately as far as Burnpur is concerned.

In regard to Bhilai, the N.M.R. workers are on the increase, against the decision of the national joint consultative committee Their figure has now gone up to 3,000. I draw the attention of the hon, Minister to this fact and request that these 3,000 should be absorbed as regular workers.

About TISCO, I have already pointed out how demoralization is spreading. The time has now come for taking over TISCO, before it becomes actually sick. On 9-3-1974, the AITUC has addressed a letter to the Prime Minister; and prior to it a letter was addressed to Shri Brahmananda Reddy. You will find that subversive activities are going on in TISCO. I would quote only certain things. On 7-3-1976, 2200 workers are retrenched.

MR. CHAIRMAN; You have exceeded the time by two minutes.

SHRI D. K. PANDA: Kindly give me 8 more minutes,

MR. CHAIRMAN: I can give you only 5 minutes and not 8 minutes.

SHRI D. E. PANDA: How can I finish in 5 minutes?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please don't argue. Cut it short and finish it within 5 minutes.

More than SHRI D. K. PANDA: 1000 workers have been declared surplus. 8000 workers who were waiting for jobs, have lost their job opportunities'. We also come across the activities of foreign agents, Mr. Russi Mody heads the educational institute called the Xavier Labour Relations Institute. We find Father Cario, who is an American citizen, organizing lectures in the name of Moral Rearmament. You will find so many officers from TISCO, TELCO and Tinplaces joining them and taking keen interest in them.

In the Dimna Nala area in the hillside, there is a huge, palatial building, built at a cost of Rs. 2 lakhs. The Father of the Colmuri Church having the headquaters at Chaibasa is charge. This institution it is apprehended, is conducting espionage activities. There should be an immediate probe into the matter. And before TISCO becomes really sick, it should be taken over by the Government, because the Government has also invosted 45 per cent of the share capital in it. It is high time that we do it.

Now, as far as the expansion of the steel plants is concerned, as my friend has put it, Durgapur's performance has shown the way. It has advanced greatly. Therefore, it should be expanded. In regard to the expansion of Rourkela plant, I do not find any mention in the Report, in spite of the assurance given by hon. Minister-perhaps on 21-3-1976while he was in Orissa. Therefore, I demand that it should be immediately taken up.

As far as the development of noncoal mines is concerned, it is definitely necessary that scientific methods, research work, high level technology, all these things are necessary to devclop the mineral wealth of the country. Orissa is one of the leading producers of iron ore, manganese, chromite etc. The development of the minerals really involve research development of transport and communications, establishment of industries, export outlet Can we expect Rungta or a Bird & Company to achieve these things? It is difficult for them to do Moreover, all these things. deliberately do not invest money for these purposes. Therefore it is high time that these non-coal mines are taken up, especially if we really wanted an integrated policy, as in the case of steel.

The fact that these non-coal mines are in private hands creates the problem of unemployment. There is also dislocation in the mining programme. Only in one place in Orissa 185 mines are idle and out of these 140 are now on leave. If we take over these mines, the nation will be benefited to a great extent, because we know that the development of mineral resources cannot be done by these private companies, much less by those who are already throwing out thousands and thousands of workers into the streets. In that area, in Badbil 5,000 workers have been thrown out of employment. Therefore, I say that these non-coal mines should be nationalised.

In the mines of the National Mineral Development Corporation there is no participation of labour in management anywhere. In UP, though an apex body has been formed, you will be surprised to know that not even for a single day it could operate and not even for a single day any meeting could be fixed,

[Shri D. K. Panda]

because of the non-co-operation of the private same owners. The participation of labour will certainly lead to smooth functioning and so it should be effectively introduced. But that is possible only if we take drastic action against those mine owners.

स्रो अम्मनाय मिश्र (मधुबनी) सजापति महोच्य, मैं इस विषय पर कुछ बोल इस के पहले मैं प्रपना कर्तव्य सनझता हूं कि मैं समनीय मनी जी के बारे में एकाथ मध्य कहूं। मैं इन की बीग्यता, अमता और कार्य पट्टा से पूर्णत्या परिचित ह। मुझे इन की अमता से वरिचित होने का एक प्रयसर मिला। यह एक आधण दे रहे में और उस वक्त अनता को यह समझा ग्हे ये कि देश गरीब होते हुए भी बड़े बढ़े कारखाने खोजने के पक्ष में क्यों है ? इम्होंने कहा कि धनर हम धपने यहा कारखाने नहीं खोलते ७, जरूरत की बीजों का उत्पादन प्रपने यहा नहीं करते हैं तो हमें

परीय राष्ट्रों की झीर देखना होगा। संब के हैं जो सहारता देंगे वह समर्ल देंगे और फिर कर हम धाजादी प्राप्त करने के बाद की झाजादी का सुख और प्रारा का में कु बाद की झाजादी का सुख और प्रारा का बड़ी खुनी है कि जिस बिषय की चर्ची के लोगों से कर ए थे उन्हीं विषयों में से एक रिषय के प्रधाबी करती की हैसियत से प्राप्त के हमारे सामने कड़े हैं। मैं इन का स्वागत करता ह और इन का स्वागत करता ह।

सार्थ**ति महोस्य** अव भाग भगना भाष ग भगले दिन जारी रखे।

श्रव नदा की बैठक 11 मई के 11 वर्ज दिन के निए स्वीयन होती है।

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday the May, 11, 1976/Vassakha 21, 1898 (Saka)