AUGUST 20, 1974 Res. and Cos. (Temp. 232 231 Res. and Cas. (Temp. Restrictions on Dividends) Bill

16.28 hrs.

STATUTORY RESOLUTIONS DISAPPROVAL OF ORDINANCES NOS. 7 AND 9 OF 1974 AND COMPA-NIES (TEMPORARY RESTRICTIONS ON DIVIDENDS) BILL.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: we take up the next item. There are two Statutory Resolutions notice of by Shri R. R. Sharma and Shri S. M. Banerjee. The first Statutory Resolution relates to the Ordinance and the second Statutory Resolution of Shri S. M. Banerjce relates to the amended Ordinance. I was attracted by it as to how there can be two Statutory Resolutions relating to the same Ordinance.

We also take up the Companies on Divi-(Temporary Restrictions dends) Bill.

All these will be taken up together. Shri R. R. Sharma.

SHRI R. R. SHARMA (Banda): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I beg to move:

"This House disapproves of the Companies (Temporary Restrictions on Dividends) Ordinance, 1974 (Ordinance No. 7 of 1974) promulgated by the President on the 6th July, 1974."

Sir,

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN): Why not allow all of us to move first? All these three motions are to be considered together.

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: MR. will be creating a little confusion. He first moves, then makes his speech; then Mr. Banerjee moves and makes his speech; and then you move and make your speech. That is the order which we have been following.

SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN: All right.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: MR. R. R. Sharma.

16.31 hrs.

[SHRI NAVAL KISHORE SINHA in the Chair].

Restrictions on Dividends) Bill

श्री राम रतन शर्मा: सत्तारुढ़ दल द्वारा एक लम्बे भ्रसें से किए जा रहे म्रविश्व नीय खंडतों के वावजूद इस बारे में दो मत नहीं हैं कि आज देश अभृतपूर्व श्राधिक संकट में से हो कर गुजर रहा है। यह भी उतना ही सच है कि इस संकट से उबरने के प्रयासों के ग्रभी तक कोई टोस परिणाम नहीं निकले हैं। ऋर्थ मास्त्रियों, विशेषजों ग्रीर नीम हकीमों ने भी इस समस्या के समाधान के प्रयास किए हैं लेकिन फिर भी हमारी समस्यायें ज्यों की त्यों ही हैं।

1966 में लगभग ऐसी ही सनस्या उत्पन्न हुई थी और उसके लिए हमारे भासक दल ने फसल अच्छी न होना, विदेशी मुद्रा की कठिनाइयों ग्रौर राजनीतिक ग्रस्थिरता को दोषी ठहराया था। 1967 के चुनाव में देश के ग्राट राज्यों में कांग्रेस को पराज्य का मृह देखना पड़ा। उस सत्त्र राज्यों की अपेक्षा केन्द्र कहीं अधिक कमजोर था । उस समय जो परिस्थिति पैदा हुई उसका सामना करने के लिए आपको रुपये का ग्रवम्ल्यन करना पड़ा था जिस के कारण हमारी ग्रर्थ व्यवस्था को काफी कमजोरी सहनी पड़ी। परन्तु आज जो संकट हमारे सामने है इसका कारण क्या है ? अब तो संसद् में कांग्रेस का अभु अपूर्व बहमत है। जहां तक मैं सनझ पाया हं इतना बड़ा बहमत संसद में इससे पहले कांग्रेस दल का कभी नहीं था। ग्रधिकतर राज्यों में भी कांग्रेस की सरकारें हैं। ऐसी बात नहीं है कि कांग्रेस की सरकारें राज्यों में न हों इसलिए ग्रायको विषरीत परिस्थिति का सामना करना पड़ रहा हो। जनता ने राजनीतिक स्थिरता बनाए रखने के लिए, गरीबी हटाने के स्पष्ट ग्राश्वासन के बाद कांग्रेस को भीर श्रीमती इदिरा गांधी को सत्ता सौपी। परत्त एक वर्ष के भीतर ही हमें यह देखना पड़ गया कि गरीबी हटवे के बजाय बढ रही है। 1967 में देश में 30 प्रतिशत जनता गरीबी की रेखा के नीचे थी और भाज पचास प्रतिशत मे भी श्रीवक अनता गरीबी की रेखा के नीचे सह रही है। जब हमें स्वतन्त्रता मिली थी तब हम अधिक धनी थे। धक्क 1947 को भारत के पास सोलह पाउड जमा था। निकाली जाए तो प्रति अक्ति ग्रन्सी रुपया ग्रस्की रुपये प्रत्येक व्यक्ति के जिस्से छाले थे। बिदिश मरकार ने 1947 से पहले जो भारत के वाम पर कर्ना ित्या था उसके वारे में 1929 में श्री देमाई के समापतित्व मे गर भलभाई किमशन की स्थापना हुई थी और उम न स्पाद शब्दों से श्रपनी रियोर्ट में यह कहा था कि ब्रिटिश सरकार ने जो भी भारन के नाम पर रुपया लिया है उसको हम की वापिस करने की कोई जरूरत नहीं है। लेकिन काग्रेस सरकार ने जैसे ग्रीर बहन सी बातो पर ध्यान नही दिया उसी तरह मे इस रिपोर्ट को भी ताक पर रख दिया ग्रीर स्पब्ड घोषणा के बावजूद भी वह करवा उनको अदा कर विया। इस तरह में पहर ग्रगस्त तक रईस होने के बावजद 1972-73 में हम कहा पहुंच यह मैं आपके सामने रखना चाहना ह । 1972-73 म हमारे ऊपर कूल 16 लाख 8 हजा? 420 करोड रूपने का कर्जा वा किस मे में विदेशी कार्जी 7 हजार 328 करोड़ सपवे था । पी एल 480 का कर्ज 3200 करोड था। 1972-73 की कीमतो के हिसाब से ऋति व्यक्ति साय 500 रूपये जाकी मई जी। इतका ही प्रस्येक भारतीय पर कर्ज शह। बेसक बार्सिका से निए ऋण पर 196 76 करोड़ रूपये देय हैं।

इस में से केवल 52 180 करोड़ रूपये चिए गए हैं। इस सब को जोड़ने पर भारत सरकार पर ऋग भार हो सा 35000 इस हिमाब से प्रति बरोड रुपवे का। व्यक्ति ऋण भार 6.15 हुपये बैठता है। चपनी कोजनासो सरकार कियास्वयन के लिए प्रतिवर्ग किल्ला ऋण में सही है उससे इस दशक के अन्त प्रन्येक भारतीय पर 800 से ग्राजिक का ऋण हो जलगा। यह स्पया चुकता नहीं किया जा मकेशा ऐसे हम को मासार विखाई दे रहे है। धगर यही शरकार बनी रही और योजनाम्रो का यही रूप बना रहा तो हमारी सब योजनाए आगे चल कर ठप हो जाएमी।

मद्रा स्फीति न केवल हमारी बर्तकान व्यवस्था के लिए खनरा है बिल्क हमारे ममाज के ढाचे को ही वह नष्ट कर रही है। यह एक सामन्य बात है कि मुद्रा स्फीति से आय के विनरण में निरकुष नथा अस्मान परिकर्तन होते हैं। अगर मुद्रा स्फीति इसी अबिध गिन से बड़ी तो देश में अराजकता और बबंग्ना पैटा हो आएसी।

बढते हुए भावों को नियंतित करने के निए बनाई गई नीतिया बुरी तरह में ग्रमफल हुट है । ग्राप महंगाई को नियंत्रित नहीं कर पा रहे है । उसके स्थान पर एमें क्षेत्रों ग्रयवा एमें स्थानों पर महंगाई फल गई है जटा पर महंगाई होना ग्रनपेक्षित ही नहीं सबंधा श्रनुचित भी है । महंगाई रोकले के लिए जितने भी कट्रोल लागू किए गए है उन सब का नतीजा यह हुआ है कि कट्रोल की गई वस्तुए ब्लैंक मार्किट में ग्रा कर विकने लगी है ग्रीर महंगाई ककने के ब गए वप बढ़ी ही है ग्रीर कंट्रोल महंगाई को बढ़ाने है । इस क्षेत्र में भी सरकार ग्रसफ वर्ग कर नार्किट टरोकने में सरकार ग्रसफ

[श्री राम रतन गर्मा]

रही है। कोई भी प्रभावशाली कदम या इफैक्टिव माध्यम भाज तक भ्राप नही निकाल सके हैं किससे कट्रोल के बाद ब्लैक मार्किट को रोका जा सके।

मत्य वृद्धि के माथ माथ उत्पादन बढना चाविये था । 1964-65 में ही बनियादी ढाचे के विकास की गति कम होने लगी। घौद्योगिक विनियोजन घौर ग्रौद्योगिक उत्पादन की दर में कमी तथा योजना की नुलना में यो अनेतर व्यय में प्रपेक्षाकृत विद में मद्रा स्कीति की सम्भावनाए धीरे धीरे पनपनं लगी थी । भारतीय ग्रयं व्यवस्था ग्रांशिक मप में ही योजना बद्ध है। एसी व्यापक तथा मशका याजना भारत मे भ्रापन कभी नहीं बनाई जा कल्पनीय तथा ग्रव ल्पनीय सकटपूर्ण स्थितियो का मामना करने म मक्षम हो । उस भ्रथं व्यवस्था के तीन भाग है, नियाजित, अनियोजित और नजी मे बहुने वाले काले बाजा। ए की ग्रथं व्यवस्था। नियत्वण नियोजन ग्रीर लध्य निर्धारित करने से सम्बन्धित यांजना की रण नीति का महत्वपूर्ण हिम्मा खतरनाक हो गया है। इसके दो कारण है । पहला नौकरशाही रा निविज्ञा एवं व्यापारियों से प्रपनी शक्ति बढाने लगे है। दूसरा नियनण और नियमन व्यापारी वर्ग के लिए सेफ्टी वाल्व का काम करते है । राजनीतिज्ञ, नीकरणाह श्रोर ब्यापारी तीनां इम व्यवस्था के ग्रन्दर ग्रपनी शक्ति बढाना चाहते है । नीकरणाह चाहता है कि राजनीतिज्ञों और व्यापारी में जमकी गक्ति बढी एहं। रा नीनिज चाहता है कि नौकरशाह और व्यापारी में प्रधिक उसके पास मिन रहे भीर व्यापारी इन दोनों में घुम कर ग्रीर घूम का महाराले कर नौकरणाह भीर राजनीतिज्ञ को दवा कर, उनका खरीद कर भपना उल्ल मीधा करता है। जनता प्रपने भोलेपन और प्रमें शास्त्री प्रवती बाटकारिता के कारण यह मान लेते

मस्या नियंत्रण भौर विनियत्रण अथवा कुशल व अकुशल नियंत्रण की है। नेकिन वास्तविक समस्या अभिजाता बर्ग बारा शक्ति हथियाने, भातरिक सत्ता संघर्य भौर आधिक वचत पर उसके नियंत्रण की है, उसकी भृख की है।

काला बाजार की एक समानाम्तर अर्थ-व्यवस्था है, जिस की देन है बेरोज्जगारी, गरीबी और अखमरी । जनता दो ओर धके नें। जा रही है। जब ब्लक-मार्किटम में धन पदा करने हैं, तो यह प्राकृतिक है कि बहुन में लोगों के पास धन की बिल्कुल कमी हो जाएगी और वे भुजामरी के शिकार हो जायगे। जब आदमी भूजामरी का शिकार होता है, तो वह गैर-कानूनी व्यापार की ओर गाना है।

जनता को गैर-कानूनी व्यापार की श्रांर नौन धकेल रहा है, श्राप को इस की जड़ में जाना चाहिए। जब काला बाजार बढ़ा श्रौर जनता भूखो मरने लगी, तो पचासो तरह के गैर-कानूनी उद्योग-ध्रेष्ठे पनपने थगे। स्मर्गालग, गैर-काननी ढंग में शराब बनाना श्रादि तरह तरह के घ्रधों का नाम लिया जा सकता है, जिन में काले बाजार ने नना को जान-बुझ कर धकेल दिया है।

पाचवी योजना के प्रारूप में झात्म-निर्भता के उद्देश्य को ताक में रख दिया गया है। राष्ट्रीयकरण अथवा स्वदेशी की वात अनसुनी कर दी गई है। प्रस्तुत सदर्भ में विचारते समय नगता है कि ये झाडिनेम अधकचरे प्रयस्त हैं। इस सम्बन्ध में मैं इकालोसिक टाइब्स दिनाक 19-7-74 को उद्धत करना चाहना है:

"New Delhi has finally come out with an attempt to contain inflation by issuing two Ordinances, one ito restrict dividend distribution by companies and the other to impound

Res. und Cos.

additional wage claims. Evidently further measures are being contemplated. But as it is, it is clear that the measures announced do not form part of a coherent strategy and, as such, they constitute only half-baked attempts in dealing with a serious situation. To think that large dividend distributions add to inflation is far fetched, particularly in a situation like the present when capital formation is sluggish. Evidently, New Delhi seems to have been carried away by the high ratio of dividend distributions to the net profits of companies. According to the Cabi net Secretary, companies are paying 45 to 49 per cent of the net profits as dividends and this is being sought to be restricted to 33.3 per cent. The net profit of a company is defined under the Ordinance as the one computed in accordance with the provisions of Sections 349 and 350 of the Companies Act. 1956. This would mean the net profit arrived at after providing for depreciation but before taxation and development rebate cent study by the Reserve Bank of the finances of 350 large public limited companies in 1972-73 that the average ratio of dividends to profits before tax of these companies was only 31.8 per cent, against 29.3 per cent in 1971-72. This ratio of dividends to net profits may have gone up in 1973-74. But what is more important from the point of view of the shareholders is the actual dividend distribution on their risk capital. The RBI study shows that of the 350 companies, only 92 companies (against 82 in 1971-72) declared dividends above 15 per cent on the paid-up capital. Of the balance 115 companics fell in the dividend group 10 to 15 per cent. That no less than 91 companies paid no dividend at all is also significant. Taking the 350 companies together, the average rate of dividend was only 11.4 per cent. The level of dividend distribution 079-74 has generally gone up, tex-

tile companies, pharmaceuticals, etc, being the prominent among those which have made larger distribution. Surely, the shareholders of these companies will be considerably affected by the limitation of the dividend to 12 per cent of the face value of the shares of 33.3 per cent of net profit as defined under Sections 349 and 350 of the Companies Act.

What made the government think that rate of dividend above 12 per cent is on the high side is not clear. It is, on the contrary, considering the fact that the minimum lending rate of banks is 15 per cent and the rate of interest which the government proposes to pay on the impounded wages is itself 11 per cent. There should be some allowance for the risk capital. There has always been a wide gap between the deposit rates and lending rate, of banks on the one side and the rate of interest (dividend) on the risk capital, on the other side. But by limiting the dividend to 12 per cent, the government has narrowed down the gap. This is bound to have its repercussions on capital formation, which is not, as it is very encouraging. In fact, the RBI study of 350 large companies shows that the rate of gross assets formation declined from 9 per cent in 1971-72 to 7.8 per cent in 1972-73. After all, what does the government hope to achieve by this measure?"

सभापित महीवय : ग्राप स्वय प्रपना भाषण देने के बदले इकामीमिक टाइश्स में भाषण दिलवा रहे हैं।

SHRI R. R. SHARMA: I want to quote because to my assessment it is a very valuable suggestion which the Economic Times has given. "Only about Rs. 50 crores are expected to accrue to companies and will be available for their expansion and production programmes. While the dividend restriction will have only a limited success in fighting inflation.

Restrictions on Dividends) Bill 240 1974 Res. and Cos. (Temp.

[Shri R. R. Sharma]

it has, on the contrary, created a panic in the capital market. It would have caused a crash in the share market and a payments crisis, but for the fact that the stock exchange authorities have suspended official deals and have asked the brokers to carry over the business at a fixed carry over rate. Even so, many institutions, like the UTI, will be considerably affected by the depreciation in the value of their investments, once the market reopens. It is to be hoped that the government would reconsider its decision."

श्रीमन्, ग्रांडिनेंस ग्रौर विल लाए जाने की वैधानिक स्थिति पर एक वड़ी डीवेट हो चुकी है। 6 जुलाई को ग्रांडिनेंस जारी किये गये थे, जबकि 22 जुलाई से पालियामेंट का सत्र प्रारम्भ होने वाला था। इस लिए यह कोई ग्रावण्यक नहीं था कि इस को ग्रांडिनेंस के रूप में लाया जाता। इस को विल के रूप में लाया जा सकता था।

जहां तक वेज स्क्वीज का सम्बन्ध है. राजकीय कर्मचारियों के बढ़ते हुए महंगाई भत्ते में कटौती कर के पहले से कमजोर दर्ग को और कमजोर किया गया है। महंगाई भत्ता महंगाई के कारण दिया जाता है। जब दो साल के बाद उन को वह महंगाई भत्ता मिलता है, उस समयपता नहीं भावों की क्या स्थिति होगी ग्रौर क्या सरकार उस को रिपे करने की स्थिति में होगी या नहीं । सरकार को इस बारे में सोचना चाहिए था ग्रौर इस तरह वेज स्क्वीज नहीं करना चाहिए था। भारतीय आर्थिक संकट वस्तृत: भारतीय राजनीति का संकट है--कुछ राजनीतिक दलों, नेताश्रों तथा कुछ संस्थाश्रों ने जिन में शासकः कांग्रेस ग्रीर इन के पिछलरम् कम्युनिस्ट प्रमुख हैं, ने राष्ट्रीय मुख्यों श्रौर उद्देश्यों के अनुसार कार्य करना दन्द कर दिया है। उन की रूचि देश की जनता का शोषण कर के ग्रीर विदेशी पंजी के हाथों पूरी तरह बिक कर स्वयं को सता में जमाये रखने तक ही सीमित है। इसका नवीमतम उदाहरण यह तथ्य है कि अब हम औरतों कें भीतरी कपड़ों, कप-लेटों, सौन्दर्य प्रसाधनों तथा अन्य विलास सामग्री के निर्यात के लिए विदेशी पंजी के सहयोग के समझौते कर रहे हैं। जब तक जनता सत्ताधारी अभिजात वर्ग के विरुद्ध विद्रोह नहीं करती, संकट का समाधान नहीं हो सकता।

अन्त में मैं शासन में बैंग्ने अपने कांग्रेस के साथियों को आगाह कर देना नाहता हूं कि वे समय की गति को देखें। भूखी नंगी जनता की भावनाओं से खेलना बन्द कर दें। सदन में तथा सदन के बाहर भी संसद सदस्यों, मंत्रियों प्रान्तीय मंत्रियों और मुख्य मंत्रियों तथा प्रधान मंत्री तक के अपर तरह तरह के आरोप लगाएँ जाते हैं कि इन के पास बहुत अधिक सप्पत्ति है, ये आरोप लगाए जाते हैं कि इन के पास बहुत विशाल खेती है, सीलिंग की जमीन को लांध कर के अपने भयई भतीजों और परिवार वालों का नाम दे कर कोआपरेटिव सोसाइटी बना कर बहुत बड़ी जमीन अपने अधिकार में कर रखी है।

सभापित महैं। अभी तक तो आप विषय पर बोल रहे थे, श्रव आप विषय से बाहर जा रहे हैं।

श्री राम रतन शर्मा: राजनैंतिक संकट जो सामने है वह म बता रहा हूं। मैं श्रपने को भी उस में एक्स-क्लूड नहीं करता, श्राप को भी नहीं एक्सक्लूड करता। मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि श्रगर श्राप को स्वस्थ परम्परा डालनी है तो राजनीतिशिं को जिन को शासन की कुर्सी संभालनी है, श्राज श्राप हैं कल को हम हो सकते हैं, जो भी हों, उन को एक परम्परा कायम करनी होगी श्रौर वह परम्परा यह हो सकती है कि कोई भी एम एल ए, एम पी का चुनाव लड़े उस से पहले कानून उस को बांध देना चाहिए कि वह श्रपनी सम्पत्ति का

डिक्लेरेशन कर दे। इसके बाद पांच साल के ग्रंदर भी ग्रीर पांच साल के बाद जनता देखे कि जिस के पास दो एकड जमीन थी चनाव लडने के समय ग्रौर पांच साल में पावर में रहने के बाद उस के पास इतना मकाना, इतने संसत्ति, इतना पैसा ग्रा गया तो यह कहां से म्रा गया ? इस का निर्णय जनता करे। इस समय का उपयोग कर के मैं ग्रपने भाई संसद सदस्यों, मंत्रियों ग्रोर प्रधान मंत्री से तथा उन सभी से जिन के खिलाफ तरह तरह के ग्रारोप लगाए जाते हैं, निवेदन करना चाहता है कि वे ग्राज भी अपनी सम्पत्ति का पूरा विवरण दे दें ताकि जनता यह समझ जाय कि इन के पास क्या है ? मेरा पुरा विश्वास है कि काला धन केवल व्यापारियों के हाथ में नहीं है, वह बहत सारे मंत्रियों के साथ में है, वह बहुत सारे संसद-सदस्यों के हाथ म है, बहुत सारे दूसरे लोगों के हाथ में है, उन की भी जांच होनी चाहिए, उनकी भी तलाशी होनी चाहिए ताकि समय ग्राने पर इतिहास किसी पर उंगली न उठा सके कि स्नाप कानून दूसरों के लिए बनाते रहे ग्रीर खद वही धन्धा करते रहे।

इन सब्दों के साथ मैं श्राप से श्राप्रह करता हू कि मेरे इस प्रस्ताव को स्वीकार

MR. CHAIRMAN: Resolution moved:

"This House disapproves of the Companies (Temporary Restrictions on Dividends) Ordinance, 1974 (Ordinance No. 7 of 1974) promulgated by the President on the 6th July, 1974.".

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): I beg to move:

"This House disapproves of the Companies (Temporary Restrictions on Dividends) Amendment Ordi-

nance, 1974 (Ordinance No. 9 of 1974) promulgated by the President on the 15th July 1974.".

I oppose both the ordinances. Normally, I would have been glad to thank the hon. Minister for bringing forward any measure which would have curbed monopoly. But here we have realised the hollowness and futility of this measure which was brought merely to hoodwink the public in general. Out of about 3000 companies in the country, it is only about 200 companies. which pay dividends to the tune of 12 per cent or more. Out of that, if it is implemented effectively, what will be the net gain to the exchequer? It will not be more than Rs. 5 crores. But we have been suggesting from our party both inside Parliament and outside, various measures which would have plugged the loopholes which are so apparent and glaring in those companies which are minting money at the cost of the people. But no heed was paid and no measure has been brought by which Government can claim that they have been able to plug those loopholes. Various amendments have been made to the Companies Act and all that but keeping in view that there should be some loopholes kept so that they may ultimately benefit those capitalists.

What do we see about the functioning of certain business houses? I may mention certain big business houses like Birlas, Hindustan Lever and a few others. You will be surprised to learn that in the Birla House nobody pays wealth tax. They are supposed to be the poorest men in the cuntry. They have manipulated their accounts so well that none of them pays wealth tax. I was surprised to get this answer in Parliament to a question. How do they do it? This manipulation is done with the help of chartered accountants and so on and by distributing the shares among their family members, friends

[Shri S. M. Banerjee]

and those who help them. It is so manipulated that it is impossible for the Company Law Board or any authority, whether income tax or sales tax etc. to really put their hands on those persons who have to pay these taxes This is how they manipulate it.

Then they inflate their share values They cordon the shares of others. Ultimately what happened to Martin Burns? Goenkas cordoned off their shares. We raised a question in this House which was replied to by the then Finance Minister. He denied but ultimately it was found that they were cordoning the shares effectively and systematically.

If you see the working of Hindustan Lever, it is one of the biggest concerns manufacturing dalda, soap etc. They enjoy a monopoly in this. It is an international organisation. What are they doing? Ordinary lifebuoy is not available. Production has been minimised. Surf production has been minimised Even the production of ordinary lux has been minimised. Instead, they have brought out a soap called Lux Supreme which they say is just like Came which has no parallel in the world. Are we interested in Came and Lux Supreme or Sunlight and Lifebuoy, or any other soap which may be used by the ordinary person for washing and toilet purposes? It is really surprising they are doing these things. after questions have been raised in this House. I have personally written letters to the Finance Minister. and the Company Affairs Minister and other Ministers including the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals. Here I must congratulate the Chief Minister of UP who ordered a raid into the factory at Ghaziabad, bringing out so many tins of dalda unaccounted for, 85 tonnes of dalda were available in 'bags' which were not In spite of this, the persons who gave information about this, have been dismissed and three more

employees are likely to be dismissed I would request the Finance Minister to put his hand on that. I would also like to know whether as a result . of these searches and raids which took place in the Ghaziabad factory of Hindustan Lever the information got was found to be correct by the Chief Minister of UP, the Company Affairs Department or the Petroleum and Chemicals Ministry and various other concerned departments. If the information was found true, how is it that they have gone scot-free? We must be assured that the necessary action will be taken effectively.

What are they doing? They are minimising production. They were manufacturing dalda The price of a kilo was Rs 9. But since this is not remunerative, they started diverting their production from dalda to margarine

17.00 hrs.

The price of margarine today is Rs. 13 They are producing marper kilo. garine in preference to Dalda, Margarine is used by those who afford butter. In the West, people do use margarine in this case, because they were getting Rs. 13 per kilo for margarine, they minimised the production of dalda and they created artificial scarcity in the country. push up the price of dalda. to understand all this will be allowed? Should this company be allowed to hold the country to ransom? If there is a strike in the Hindustan Lever or in the Birla concerns or in the National Rayon or in the J. K. Rayon or in the Keshavrao Rayon,-these companies are minting money at the cost of the people and they are making fabulous profits-immediately the workers will be blamed that they are holding the country to ransom. What about those who are minimising production, who are reducing the production capacity and who are not utilising the idle capacity? Only 33-40 per

cent of the capacity is being utilised and 50-60 per cent remains idle. Is it not in the national interest to take over these concerns? Is it not high time that Hindustan Lever should be taken over? Sir, some time back, a question in regard to this was raised in this House and Shri F. A. Ahmed, who is going to be the President after two hours or one hour or he might have become the President-long live the President-denied and said have no intention to take over this concern'. I would like to know, why has not the Hindustan Lever been taken over. I am told they have paid Rs. 25 lakhs to the coffers of Congress Election Fund. I am subject to correction. The Finance Minister will be able to reply to this, whether it is Rs. 25 lakhs or Rs. 23 lakhs. Exact amount must be known to somebody. This is the main reason why they do not care much about the Chief Minister of UP.

Then, what is happening about selling of shares There were certain concerns who decided that should be sold to the employees. But, what are the categories of employees who are being given shares? Persons getting high salaries are the actual shareholders. Very few concerns in the country are selling shares to the ordinary clerks and other employees because they do not want these ordinary people to become shareholders, as they will have their say in the Annual General Meetings. They confine to those own shareholders who will vote for their own persons to become Managing Directors, Directors and Chairmen etc. This is how manipulation takes place.

The Government expects to get Rs. 5 crores out of this measure. What are the other measures by which Government could possibly get more money? I would like to know from Mr. Stephen, who is now disturbing the Finance Minister, what is the position in the cashew nut industry.

May I invite the attention of Mr. Stephen? What steps are being taken to extract more money from the cashew nut industry? He may be able to tell us The point is, he does not apply his mind and he goes on disturbing the Finance Minister. That is the whole difficulty. The cashew nut industry is not paying 12 per cent even. They are paying 10 per cent or 6 per cent.

The question is, some of these companies have been converted into junks. This is the case with all the industries, whether it is the textile industry or jute industry. When they are converted into junks, they get the Government to take them over. Government goes on taking them over. It has created a big hospital and goes on taking over the sick textile mills. What about healthy mills? Why should they not be taken over immediately?

In this case, this particular attempt which the Finance Minister is making will be nothing It will be an eye wash. What are they going to get? They are going to get Rs. 5 crores. If they had demonetised, it would have brought thousands of crores. I expected this time that in the Budget, they will at least say something about declaration that if somebody declares black money within three months or 'six' months, they will be taxed as per the Income-tax Act, without any fine and that money could have come into the hands of the Government. It was tried by Mr. TTK, but the result was not much. Still that should have In this case, you been attempted are taking only Rs. 5 crores from the companies whereas you are Rs. 300 crores from the ordinary salaried employees. Should the salaried employees suffer throughout their life? Their income-tax is deducted from the source. What about the tax evaders like Birlas, Tatas or Dalmias who go on dodging incometax, sales tax and other taxes? Non-

[Shri S. M. Banerice]

payment of Government revenue sometimes becomes their capital. What are the other measures which the Government propose to take to extract money from What them? about their high profits, known and When it comes to unknown? question of bonus, they maintain two books of accounts. I must thank the income-tax authorities for raiding certain big houses including Jindal's. I am extremely happy that Mr. Jindal who thought nothing could be done to him because of the patronage he enjoys from big people was raided by the income-tax authorities. I must congratulate them on their courage and conviction. But I do not know what is going to come out of it. During the raid, it was quite apparent how two books are kept. Even the ladies knew where they are kept and they put them into the fire immediately. Somebody even swallowed some very important papers which would have exposed their sinister design.

There was a press news published in our party organ, New Age that Mr. Kapadia got some benefit only because it was mentioned that he was the manager of Mrs. Gandhi. But it is Mr. Yashpal Kapur who is generally described in the press as the manager of Mrs. Indira Gandhi. He is a good friend of mine and it is my misfortune that it was being said that it was he who went to UP and wanted to get MR K. K Birla elected. My hon. friend, Shri R. K. Garg, who is the newly elected member from Hardwar asked in the UP Assembly whether it is a fact that Mr. Bahuguna or the Congress Pirty in UP wants to elect Mr. K. K. Birla. Is it not a fact that Mr. Bahuguna said, "If Mr. K. K. Birla is elected to the UP Assembly, I will resign and dissolve the Assembly"? In the end, Mr. Birla was rightly defcated. Is it not a fact that 16 rooms were taken in Carlton's hotel? Who is working for Mr. Birla?

There should be a thorough probe into this whole affair.

Unless the key industries are nationalised, our economy is not going to improve. When we requested that Hindustan Motors should be taken over, the then minister, Mr. Moinul Haq Chowdhury said it was a junk. They are manufacturing Ambassador cars which are good cars, though people say, everything in that car minus the horn makes noise. When there was a lock out in the Standard Motors in Madras, we could have taken over that company. Automomible industry should be taken over. Unless the key industries are nationalised our economy is not going to improve. This inflation cannot be checked only by fleecing the people on the one hand and limiting the dividend to 12 per cent. So far as take over is concerned, I do not know who is going to take over whom. The highest bidder will take the country. Therefore, I would request the hon Finance Minister not to rely solely on this measure cause Rs. 5 crores would be nothing The monopoly houses should be taken over. Otherwise, they will hold the country to ransom. During the Pakistani aggression these people were minting money, when lakhs of refugees came from Bangladesh in dire starvation conditions. At that time these sharks went to the borders and sold daily necessities at fabulous prices and minted money at the cost of starvation of these people. They have no feelings for others. want to mint money and maintain their profits at the cost of anything.

I am opposing this Bill only because this will not serve the purpose. Only a very small number of companies will be covered by this. Most of the monopoly companies will function in such a way that they do not declare any dividend at all. What about the control of their expenses? By this measure you are going to get only Rs. 5 crores whereas by freezing the

on Dividends) Bill

emoluments and dearness allowance you are getting Rs 300 crores want the Finance Minister to let us know what more measures are likely to be taken to control these big business houses, monopoly houses, to curb them and reduce or minimise their profits and take over them Because, unless the means of production are taken over by the State, nothing is going to happen in this courtry With these words, I support the motion of disapproval moved by my hon friend and request the hon Minister to make a statement

MR CHAIRMAN Resolution

"This House disapproves of the Companies (Temporary Restrictions on Dividends) Admendment O.di nance, 1974 (Ordinance No 9 of 1974) promulgated by the President on the 15th July, 1974"

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI YESHWANTRAO CHAVAN)

1 beg to move *

'That the Bill to provide in the interests of national economic development for temporary restrictions on the power of certain companies to declare dividends out of profits and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto be taken into consideration'

Sir when the Parliament was not in session an Ordinance was promulgat ed on 6th July, 1974 for curring the distribution of profits by companies A statement indicating the circumstances which necessitated the promulgation of the Companies (Temporary Restrictions on Dividends) Ordinance has already been laid on the of the House Hon Members are aware that in the case of a large number of companies the financial year ends on 31st December and the Annual General Meetings of the Companies at which dividends are de-

clared are generally held within six months from the close of the financial year ie 30th June. The dividends so declared at the Annual General Meetings are required to be discharged within 42 days from the date of declaration. The Ordinance prohibits the declaration of dividends and payment thereof in exerces of the distributable profits which were stipulated in the Ordinance.

- (a) 33-1/3% of the net profits after tax, or
- (b) an amount required to pay 12% dividend on the face value of the equity share of the Company and dividend payable on its preference shares whichever is lower.

Thus by the issue of this Ordinance on 6th July, payment of dividends in excess of the limits prescribed in the Ordinance have been checked

The distribution of dividends over 1200 medium and large public companies which made profits in 1970-71 and 1971-72 amounted to about 44 to 45 per cent of their after tax proits In many companies, the dividends dec'ared constituted more than 80 per cent of their after tax profits Similarly about 200 compan'es declared dividends on their equity at lo per cent or more Having regard to the fact that an equitable package of anti-inflationary measures include steps designed to curt consumption out of profits as well as other sources of income, it was considered necessary to fix a ceiling on the distribution of dividends This will curb the current consumption out of prefits, reduce reliance of the corporate sector on bank credit and thereby check the expansionary impact on money supply The funds so saved by the companies will be available to them for productive use in financing the capital cost of expansion, diversifications and replacements and at the

^{*}Moved with the recomemndation

same time it may also help to reduce the draft on the resources of the banking system. The total savings envisaged by limiting the distribution of dividends are estimated at Rs. 60 to 70 crores per annum and to that extent it will minimise he pressure on the resources of financial institutions.

There seems to be no real cause for worry for genuine investors in corporate scrips as in the long run this Ordinance should help the companies to improve their viability. In any realistic appraisal of the effects of this Ordinance, we must not lose sight of the fact that even under normal conditions, many investors in the new issue market do not expect to receive dividends in the first two years. As such, this measures need not have any adverse effect on the pace of capital formation.

I shall now refer to the few important clauses of the Bill. Clause 2(e) in the Bill defines the net profits which are the profits of the companies computed after payment of tax.

Clause 3 of the Bill defines the categories of the companies to which this Act will apply; these, inter alia, include all companes in which the public are substantially interested. It will also apply to the companies incorporated outside India who declare dividends in India.

A provision has been made under clause 4 that if the dividends have been declared and paid to one section of the shareholders of a company before 6th July but not paid to another section of the shareholders of the same company, this clause will not apply.

The Nidhis and Mutual Benefit Societies covered by Section 620A of the Companies Act have ben exempted from clause 7 which restricts among others the loans to the shareholders, as their main object is to grant loans to the shareholders and not to anyone else.

Clause 13 states that this Act shall not apply to the companies which are under liquidation as it is not our intention to delay the liquidation proceedings.

Sir, the present Bill seeks to replace the Ordinance issued on 6th and 15th July, 1974 subject to certain changes which are of a consequential or procedural or clarificatory nature by an Act of Parliament. I request the House to unanimously accept the Bill.

I move.

MR CHAIRMAN: Motion moved:

"That the Bill to provide, in the interests of national economic development, for temporary restrictions on the power of certain companies to declare dividends out of profits and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto, be taken into consideration."

SHRI NIMBALKAR (Kolhapur). I would first like to say that those from the Opposition benches who moved their motions against the Ordinances must be answered in a way. The first speaker—I am sorry I have seen him here for the first time today—has made more or less a political speech except for the quotation which he gave from the Economic Times

SHRI R. R. SHARMA; Have you seen me for the first time only today?

SHRI NIMBALKAR: YES.

If I have to answer the arguments of the Economic Times, as I ar as I know there is a column in the Economic Times where readers can write and as M.P.s we also find a place there; therefore, if I have to answer their arguments, I can write in that column.

As far as my friend, Mr. S. M. Banerjee, is concerned, I have seen him very often here, almost every day. He has been pointing out, in accordance with his ideology of course, that we should take ever more

and more key industries; he is, in other words, for nationalising as many industries as possible, beginning with monopolies, key industries and so cn. This question was once put in this very House and the enswer of Shri C Subramaniam, now the Minister of Industrial Development was like this: what have we done with the inqustries which we have nationalised; we cannot look after them well; and if we nationalise more industries, what is that going to bring us? I want to tell my friends from the Communist Party that what is happening in this country is that we are trying to work one system with an administrative system which is of quite a different ideology, in other words, having taken over institutions from an imperialist government, we are trying to work with those imperialist institutions socialist ideas which we have promulgated, with the result that we are achieving in this country neither this nor that. Ultimately our economy one can say, is neither fish nor fowl. The question is this. If you want to implement the Communist ideology, then you must have a super-structure which is Com-If you want to implement socialist ideology-if vo: want make a difference between Commuand socialism as we make-that super-structure must there. My contention is that, m our ccuntry, we do not have at present the super-structure to bring in socialism the way it should be brought in. I want socialism to come to this country, but I do not see the superstructure. I do not see even efforts being made to create that super-structure. For instance, I want to point out to my friend, Mr. S. M. Banerjee. that, even when Stalin created his public sector in Russia, what he first did was to create a cadre of people who are incorruptible. But we have not done that. Let us start with the super-structure before implementing anything. Let us start with the superstructure first, then only we will succeed. I am sorry, with the social outlook that our country has today

and the manner in which our institutions are functioning today. I am afraid, however much Mr. Banerjee may like us to take over any mills or monopoly undertaking and so on, we are going to make a mess of it rather than make something good out of it. As far as taking over sick mills are concerned, I agree with Mr. Baneriee that there are certain capitalists who make an absolute junk out of the factories with the hope that, at one stage, the Government will take over those factories. First of all, they have taken out as much money as possible. This is actually another incentive, So, give them massive compensation also. from the factories: and when Government takes over, they hope, it would give them mussive compensation also. This is actually another incentive. So, I cannot agree with my hon. friend Mr. Banerjee.

With these measures which are coming up, the Government is trying to tackle the economic problems of our country from three directions, namely. (1) decreasing the amount of moncy in circulation; (ii) decreasing the velocity of that circulation; and (111) helping in raising production at the same time. These are the three directions. Sir. not only the Opposition, but, any person who sees the present situation in our country would readily agree that this is a most formidable task.

We cannot just by taking one or two or three measures solve this problem. Many measures are necessary. We should consider many measures for the solution of the problems. At the same time we should have a good look at our own economy.

Also, we cannot expect that whatever measures we take will bring about immediately an improvement in the economy by getting rid of inflation and all that. It is not this one Ordinance alone which the Government has brought forward. They have talked about Package Project

255 Res. and Cos. (Temp. AUGUST 20, 1974 Res. and Cos. (Temp. Restrictions on Dividends) Bill

Ordinances, the There are other Wages Ordinance is also involved. The difference between these two Ordinances is this. While the Wages Ordinance is dealing with earned income, the other Ordinance is dealing with unearned income. That is the difference.

Then, another question was raised whether this money above twelve per cent will be used for investment in industries and so on for increasing production.

Now, my own personal experience is this. No industrialist likes to keep his money idle. He will always try to invest money to augment production. He may dodge taxes,-but that is another matter,-but basically his idea would be to invest his money in the industry or business and augument his business.

So, I do not think that to say that this money will not be used productively will be a valid argument.

As hon. Members will recollect, the hon. Finance Minister said that this will help also in reducing the pressure for borrowing money from the banks. And if you look at it in the credit squeeze, connection with you will find that this is a very good measure. If the credit squeeze does not become effective in the manner it should be in a se'ective way, then this money exceeding 42 per cent in some form or other becomes available and fills the gap and facilities increase in production.

One thing, I feel certain is These Ordinances, by themselves, are not going to effect much improvement in our economy. That is to say, it is again a question of follow-up measures. There are many more things which may be done besides these ordinances which will bring about what we intend to achieve. That is, of course, check in inflation. That means preventing smuggling. The State Minister, Shri Ganesh I hear, is going to de satyagraha. I do not know how far that will work. These days it becomes a sort of a fashion to deal with every problem. If satuagraha 18 going to help us, well God bless us.

Restrictions on Dividends) Bill

Then comes the check on black money. I think enough has been talked about demonestisation. I shall not go into that part of it. But, I think, there are many other things to be done in order to unearth the black money. For that, the Finance Minister and the entire Finance Ministry, for that matter, should work in coordination and to see what they can do.

Austerity measure is another point. It is very difficult to define what you call 'austerity measures'. When I was speaking on the Finance (2) Bill, I pointed out that the backbone of our socialist economy should be the public sector undertakings should run at a profit But since they are not run at a profit, it is difficult to talk about austerity measures. One of the austerity measures is to make the public sector undertakings run at a profit. There is no other way in which we can save our economy to-day. But one thing I can say. That is our Government, by concerted efforts, should see that our public sector undertakings are run at a profit

As regards reduction in money supp'y, in the recent weeks, something like Rs. 400 crores has been impounded. This is a very good sign. That shows that this Government is really serious about taking measures which will bring about an overall economic improvement in this country. I think that whatever my friends, from the Opposition side, might say, they will have to agree with me on one thing That is, the Government is not just sitting back and watching the situation but it is trying to guide our economy towards better days.

257 Res. and Cos. SRAVANA 29, 1896 (SAKA) Res. and Cos. (Temp. Restrictions on Dividends) Bill on Dividends) Bill

*SHRI J. MAI'HA GOWDER (Nilgirls): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I rise to express my views on The Companies (Temporary Restrictions on Dividends) Bill, 1974.

This Bill seeks to provide restrictions on the power of certain private sector companies to declare dividends out of their profits. It is claimed that this will curb consumer spending and promote savings in the economy. The President promulgated an Ordinance on 6th July, 1974 in this respect and, later, on the 15th July another Ordinance was promulgated by the President modifying certain provisions. Both these Ordinances are being repealed through this Bill. In effect, these Ordinances are being given due statutory form.

It has been mentioned in this House that there are nearly about 3000 companies in the private sector, out of which only 200 companies or so declare dividends at a rate higher than 12 per cent. It is also stated that these restrictions, will only yield a paltry sum of Rs. 5 crores. I have certain doubts about these things and I request the hon. Minister of Finance to clarify them.

Immediately after the promulgation of this Ordinance, several industrialists of the country expressed their lews that the present inflationary tendencies can be contained effectively only through augmenting production. They also felt that dividend is an inentive for higher production and any curb on the declaration of dividends hould seriously hamper production. All these views used to appear in the hewspapers. Similarly, certain eminent economists of the country were also of the opinion that substantial augmentation of production was the only answer for containing inflation in the country. The views expressed in

the newspapers amounted in effect that the Ordinance putting restrictions on the declaration of dividends might yield some fringe benefits. I would like to know from the hon, Minister of Finance whether tais Bill will in any way affect production in our country and whether this Bill will prove an effective weapon for containing inflation.

Sir, there is also another widely prevalent view in the country. The public sector undertakings of the Central Government have not been working profitably from their very inception and it is doubted whether they will ever be in a position to declare dividends. In the private sector, none of these 3000 companies has ever incurred loss. They are declaring year after year dividends at some rate or the other. It is widely believed that in order to side-track the issue public sector undertakings running continuously in loss and with a view to diverting the attention of the public from this unfortunate factor, the Government have come forward with this Bill restricting the powers of the private sector companies in the matter of declaring dividends.

I would like to request the hon. Minister of Finance that he should not misconstrue what I am going to say about the public sector undertakinge. I am not saying this just to find fault with the Government's management of public sector undertakings. The members belonging to the Opposition Parties as also members belonging to the ruling party have repeatedly on many occasions referred to this unhappy fact of our public sector undertakings running in losses. I am referring to this again because of the crying need for increasing our production. I am firmly convinced that our public sector undertakings suffer continued losses only because they are managed by men who have neither technical knowledge nor experience in

^{*}The Original speech was delivered in Tamil.

running them. I have to regretfully say that the public sector undertakings have become convenient places for the distribution of patronage by the ruling party. I was a Member of the Public Undertakings Committee of this House and I had the opportunity of visiting sector undertakings. many public Wherever I went, I saw invariably at the helm of allurs of the public sector undertakings a member belonging to the ruling party, a former Member of Parliament or a Member of the ruling party defeated in the elections or a retired official. Naturally, in the hands of such inexperienced people the sector undertakings public will languish

I have no hesitation in saying that the present economic crisis is mainly due to the inexorable fact that the huge investment in the public sector undertakings has not given any return so far in fact, to compensate this loss, more investments are required to be made. I would like to know whether the Government of India have really taken any concrete steps to find out why they continue to suffer losses and what steps are required to be taken for making them profitable units. 1 am constrained to say that perhaps the Government are intentionally allowing them to suffer losses so that the private sector monopolies can exploit this situation to their favour, under which circumstance the ruling party may also derive ce tain indirect benefits. I say this because I see no reason why the public sector undertakings have not been made to produce profitable results.

This unhappy situation may also help the Government in another way. The hesitation on the part of the Government to nationalise certain monopoly houses against which serious allegations of malpractices have been made on the floor of this House and also outside was till now a riddle to me. Now, I feel that the Government may take shelter under the excuse

that, when they have not been able to run the public sector undertakings profitably, it would not be wise to nationalise private sector undertakings even if there are serious allegations of malpractices against them.

Here. I would like to give the example of Hindustan Photo-film Company, a public sector undertakings in my Constituency, Ootacamund. It was being run by a Managing Director who had no experience in photo-films. He was working in a Koyna Plantation before he was made the Managing Director of this unit. Since he belonged to the State Government service. I saw to it that he was reverted back to the State Government Service and a really qualified and competent man appointed as the Managing Director of this public sector unit. So long as this unit continues to suffer losses. the private sector companies manufacturing photo-film would naturally exploit the situation in their favour. Such drastic steps should be taken by the Government of India if they are keen to ensure profitable working of the public sector undertakings. I have to point out that a Bill of this nature, putting restrictions on the declaration of dividends, is going to help the Government in containing the inflation to a small extent. If the present financial crisis is to be tackled effectively, then it is the primary duty of the Government to ensure that the public sector undertakings are run profitably. Smultaneously, the Government of India should also not hesitate to nationalise monopoly houses against serious allegations of financial malpractices have been made on the floor of this House. This the Government will be able to do boldly only when they make a success of the public sector units.

Finally, I have some doubts about Section 7(C) of this Bill, I should say that I am ignorant about the implication of this Section. I would request the hon, Finance Minister to clarify this section 7(C), which reads:

261 Res. and Cos. (Temp. Restrictions on Dividends) Bill

"grant any loan to any shareholder of the company; provided that nothing in clause (c) shall apply to a company which is declared by the Central Government under sub-section (1) of section 620A of the Companies Act, 1956, to be a Nidhi or a Mutual Benefit Society."

I do not know whether Cooperative Societies and co-operatives like the Handloom Cooperative Society in Tamil Nadu will be brought under the purview of this section. If they are covered by this Section, thousands of handloom weavers, who are the shareholders of the Handloom Cooperative Society-about 5300 or so will be greatly handicapped. They are shareholders of this society, which give- them loans for meeting their dayto-day requirements of raw material etc. Similarly, about 4500 small teagrowers who are shareholders of the Industrial Cooperative Society in my constituency-their number is about 4500 or so--will be greatly affected because they will not be able to get loans from the Society. The Society helps them in giving loans for the purchase of fertilisers etc. If such societies are covered by this Section, a large number of such people will be thrown out of their employment. Their small scale industry will also perish. I hope that they are not covered by this Section. Even if they are covered, I would appeal to the hon. Finance Minister that he should unhesitatingly exempt such societies from the purview of this Section in the interest of the livelihood of so many thousands of people. I request the Hon. Finance Minister to clarify this important issue in his reply to the debate.

Before I conclude, I would also appeal to him that effective and energetic steps must be taken to run the public sector undertakings successfully and profitably and he should also not hesitate to nationalise monopoly houses indulging in financial malpractices if the economic situation demands it

श्री शास सिंह आई (इंदौर) : सभापित महोदय, मैं इस बिल का हृदय से समर्थन करता हूं। मेरी मान्यता है कि जिस समाजवाद का हम नारा लगान हैं, उस की थ्रोर जाने के लिए यह मही दिशा में एक मही कदम है। यह बिल अस्थायी है। ग्रगर यह श्थायी बना दिया जाय, तो यद्यपि मैं मूर्तिपूजक या व्यक्ति-पूजक नही हू, फिर भी मैं रोजाना किनास मिनिस्टर के गले में हार डाला कहंगा।

मेरे विरोधी दल के जो माथी घ्रमी बोले हैं, मैं समझना हूं कि न्त्होंने गहराई में इस का ग्रध्ययन नहीं किया है। देश को खुशहाल बनाने में ये कम्पनिया बहुत बड़ा हिस्सा घदा कर मकती हैं गर साथ हूं। भ्रष्टाधार फलाने मं अर स्लैक मनी पैदा करने में भी ये बड़ा भारी काम कर मकती हैं। इस लिए इन का कट्टोल व्यवस्था और मैनेजमेट ईमानदार भ्रादिमयों के हाय में होना चाहिए।

ग्रभी राष्टीयकरण की बात कही गई है। मैं मानता ह कि राष्ट्रीयकरण वरी बीज नहीं है. बल्कि बहुन प्रच्छी चीज है। लेकिन उस राष्ट्रीयकरण को चलाने के लिए हमारी । एट्रीय भावना भी तो होनी चाहिए। मैं ने देखा है कि गवनंगेट ने जिस जिम उद्योग को हाथ में लिया, भीर जिन लोगों ने नारा लगाया कि इस उद्योग का राष्ट्रीयकरण करना चाहिए, राष्ट्रीयकरण के बाद उन्होंने ही उस की दूरी दशा की । तो नारे लगाना धार कामयाब बनाना, इन दोनों में बहुन फक है। मैं नो हड़ताल में विश्वास नही करता । मेरे साथी बहत ज्यादा विश्वास रखने है। पर मैं तो हडनाल भीर गो स्लो मं .वश्वाम नही रखता ह । मैं तो नियोशिएशन, कसीलिएशन भाविट्रेशन में विश्वास रखता हूं भीर उस में कामयाव

हुआ हूं। ऐसे कारखाने जो बन्द हो चुके थे, स्टेंड गर्वनेंगेंट श्रोर मेंडू ल गर्बनेंगेंट ने कह दिया। कहम कुछ नहीं कर सकते, वह कारखाना बना और वन जाने के बाद चला जब से 25 वर्जों तक उस ने लगातार लोस किया। ऐसे कारखाने को मैं ने हाथ में ले कर गहले वर्ष में नो उस का तोस बन्द किया है श्रीर इसरे वर्ष से ऐसा श्राफिट किया है कि उस के मुकाबिले उस केन्द्र में कोई दूसरा कारखाना श्राफिट नहीं कर रहा है। इसलिए मैं जा कह रहा हूं वह अपने अनुभव के वल पर कह रहा हूं। केवल किताब पढ कर नहीं कड रहा हूं।

इम आर्डिनेम में सब से बड़ी बात तो यह है कि इस में नकासन किस का है ? जो ग्राखं बन्द कर के डिविडेड बाटते थ, लगी हुई पूजी के बराबर नकासन होने के बावजूद भी दिविडेंद क्वेलाइजेशन रिजवं फण्ड इयाद जमा कर नकमान मे भी डिवीडेंड बाटने रहे हैं, ऐसे लोग ही चिल्ल पो मचाने विरोध करने के लिए पैदा हो नए है। याज यह बात वे इस झाडिनेस से नहीं कर सहेगे। तमारा उद्देण्य नवा है? यह पैमे का जो प्रसार है वह जहा तक बने रक सके । मैं तो यह भी कहता है कि हमे यह भी ठउराना होगा क लगी हुई पूजी के अगर कितना प्राफिट होना चाहिए । प्राफिट के कार भी बन्दिल होती चाहिए धनर भावा को रोकना है तो । आज भाव बढ ग्रनाप शनाप प्रा'फट करने हैं सुर फिर लागन खर्च भी कितना बताने हैं ? तो यह तो सारा बिल ही एसा है कि जिस के इतर बहुन गहराई से विचार करना चाहिए धार यारी चीजें बतानी चाहिए ।

यह एनक मैं ने ही लिखी है और लगभग 40-50 कस्पनियों के लेखें प्रोप्ते की है। एक माननीय सबस्ध : क्या नाम है ?

भी राम सिंह भाई : धाप को वे पूंगा । (ज्यासमाक) ... फल्यूनिस्ट मास्स्कों को तो मैं ने पहले ही मुपल दी हैं बहुत सी । उन से मेरा बड़ा स्लेह है, फिद भी दे सकता हूं।

इस को देखा जाय तो एक नहीं कितनी ही कम्पनियों के बैलेंस बॉट हैं धौर 1921 से ले कर धमी तक के हैं इन से पता बलेगा कि किस तरह में ये कम निया धपनें मुनाफे को बांटती रही हैं। देखी राज्यों मे तो 1948 के पहले वह हाल का कि कार-खानेदारों को जमीन भी मुस्त देना, पैसा भी देना और टैक्स कोई नहीं, बिलकुल नन्छ दामाद उन के होने थे। धभी मेरे साथीं ने बताया कि साहब, बुनाब के दक्त उम्मीद-वार को अपनी प्रापर्टी का हिमाब बनाना चा.हए। क्या दिसाब बताए? धाप के एक सदस्य ही इसनी प्रापर्टी ले कर बैठे हैं कि मेरी सारी पार्टी के आदिमयों के पास उतनी दोटल अपर्टी नहीं है।...

की राजरतम क्यां : क्या बात कर रहें हैं? कार की पार्टी में कीम कारवी ऐसा है, इस बात की उठाइए नत । जिस बात को मैंने बहुन मातिपूर्ण ढंग से घीर घण्छे ढंग में कहा है उस को कार्य कंट्रोक्सी में मत डालिए बरना भाष मुंह विधाने कारक किस्मुस नहीं हैं। क्यों दैसी बात कर रहे हैं? भाष की पार्टी में एसे मो, है करोड़ों भीर भगवें। की सम्पत्ति

भी राम सिद्ध धार्ष : मैं एक बात यह इसलिए कह रहा था कि दूसरे की धालोचना करना तो बहुत क्षानान है...(ध्यानका)

(Tomp. Bestrictions on Dividends) Bill

बी राम रतम शर्मी : मै बासोपमा नहीं कर रहा था। मैं ने वह बात नहीं थी....

सभावति महोदय : देखिए, बात बात पर बड़े होना ठीक नहीं है। मैंने माप की. बात सुनं. है और सारे सदन ने सुनी है, धन हर बात गर बडे होंग तो यह तो सम्खा नहीं है

भी राम जिला भाई : लेकिन खुद के सिए कुछ कहा जाय तो बहुत बुरा समाता है। मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि इन कृत्पनियों के द्वारा जो इन का बेबर कै.वेटल वा मल, उसी सेयर कैपिटन को पिछले मनाफे के टाइ: में इन्होंने बोनस सेयर दे कर कितना बड़ा लिया ? एक कम्पनी का बैनेंस सीट है 1973 का । 30 साम के जेयर कैपिटल से इस कम्पनी ने प्रपना श्रेयर कैपिटल 7 करोड कर लिया मीर श्राच भी उस के पास हाथ में तमाम रिवर्व मिला कर 9 करोड के करी। है भीर जो सलग शलग कम्पनियों के संदर इन्देस्ट किया हुआ है वह अलग । अगर वह कम्पनी जिस का कि शेयर कैपिटल 30 माख का था उस ने उस को 7 करोड का कर निया तो उस की पूंजी कितनी हो नई भीर उस को भगर 12 परसेंट के हिसाब से ही दिविडेट बांटना है तो उस की मूल पजी से कितना श्रीवक उस के पाम पैसा यह सोबने और विचारने चला गया ? ..(ध्यत्थाम) . . . धाव थी सेंट परसेट डिविडेंड बॉट रहे हैं, लगातार धपने जेवर कैपिटल को बढ़ा रहे हैं। धनर 12 परसेंट या यो कहिए कि 33 परसेंट मनाफे का उन्होंने बांटा और 66 परसेंट बा 67 परसेंट बच गया उन के पास तो बह कहा जाने वाला है? सब उस की बाट के नहीं का सकते जिस प्रकार पहले बाट कर बा जाते थे। प्राप्त कारखाने के भंदर हर वन यह समस्या पैदा होती है कि वर्किंग कैपिटल नहीं हैं भीर यह भी कारखानेदार जिकायत करते हैं कि बैंक इने रैसा नहीं दे रहे हैं इसलिए पैसे की बडी तंवी हो गई है। किन्तु शब शनर प्राफिट का पैसा वाकन कैपिटल के चंदर रहता है तो एक तो बाहर का भारी न्याज कारखानों के ऊपर नहीं पढ़ेना और दूसरी बात बैंकों पर भी भार नहीं पड़ेना भीर टोटल सर्वे में उत्पादन खर्चा भी उन का कम घाएना। तो मैं ऐसा मानता हुं कि इस में ऐसी कौन सी बात कही वर्ष है कि जिस का विरोध किया जाय।

on Dividends) Bill

मेरे साथी ने दूसरी एक बात कही पब्लिक सेक्टर के बारे में कि पब्लिक सेक्टर प्राफिट नहीं करता है घीर प्राइवेट सेक्टर क्यों प्राफिट करने लग जाता है । उस के बहत से कारण हैं। प्राइवेट सेक्टर बाले जहां कोई कारखाना बनाते हैं उन का उद्देश्य पैसा कमाने का होता है । उन में काम करने बाले लोगों की सुबंधा एवं उन के बेलफेयर का कोई क्याल नहीं होता और हम ने यह देखा है कि जब पब्लिक सैक्टर में कोई कारखाना डाला जाता है तो पहला काम तो यह किया जाता है कि उस के मंदर काम करने बालों के लिए पहले मुविधा का प्रबन्ध किया जाता है । प्रगर पहले उन के लिए प्रबन्ध नहीं किया तो हम यह मानते हैं कि पब्लिक सेक्टर में उस बारवाने को हम ठीक इंग से नहीं चला रहे हैं। उन के निवास और वैसफेयर की व्यवस्था पहले करनी होती है। यह देखना चाहिए कि वर्षं कारवाना चलाने में, वन्त्यादक के ग्रंबर कितना प्लान्ट भीर महीनरी श्रतिकत सवता है, फिर उस में काम करने बालों की रिष्ठायन के लिए, उन के वैलक्षेत्रर के लिए, टाउन डेवलपर्नेंट करने के लिए कितना चर्चा सनता है ? भी अर्था होता है उस के लगभग 50 प्रतिवत

267 Res. and Cos. (Temp. AUGUST Restrictions on Dividends) Bill

AUGUST 20, 1974 Res. and Cos. (Temp s) Bill Restrictions on Dividends) Bill

जो धनुत्पादक खर्चा होता है उस का कोई रिटर्न नहीं मिलता है । भगर भामवनी नहीं हुई तो उस का डेप्रिशिएशन भी नही निकाल सकते विल्डिंग और रिहायस के लिए। क्योंकि उस को कर्मवारियों को नाम साझ के किराये पर देते है। मैंते भिलाई के अदर देखा है, मेरी यनियन वहा है, नेपानगर के भदर देखा है, होशवाबाद के मन्दर देखा है, इन जगहों में भी मेरी यूनियने हैं। तो बहा बहा पब्लिक सेक्टर है और पब्लिक सेक्टर के कारखाने हैं वहा धनुत्पादक खर्च सरकार को बहुत उनादा करना पड़ता है भीर भगर बहा खर्चन किया जाय तो भाप यहा हाउस मे उस के विरोध में प्रावात लगाएगे कि देखिए टाटानगर मोदी नगर बना हमा है, बिरलानगर बना हमा है, वहा सब सुविधायें है लेकिन भाप यहा पब्लिक सेक्टर में कोई सुविधा नहीं दें रहे हैं? भाज धाप भिलाई मे आकर देखेंगे तो प्राप को अभिमान होगा कर हमे अभिमान होता है कि हुमारे देश का एक कारखाना ऐसा हैं। मैं तो रशिया में भी गया है, दुनिया के दूसरे देशों में भी गया है। मैंने उन देशों के कारखानी को देखा है, उन की टाउन-'लानिंग को देखा है भीर जब भिलाई को देखता हुतो हमे ऐसा सनता है कि हम किसी से पीछे नहीं हैं। लेकिन पीछे एक बात में हैं कि हमारी जवान तो बहुन चलती है लेकिन हमारे हाथ

पैर सीर मग्नव नहीं, , त्रझते हैं । अब तक हमारे हाथ पैर झौर, मग्नव नहीं चलेंगे मीर जवान चलती जायगी तब तक हमारा विका नहीं हो सकता । अक्षाप्र

तो मैं भाप से यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि यह जो हमारा बिल है वह बड़ा ही मण्डा है। इस में कोई भाव कम हो जाएंगे इतना नहीं है, लेकिन यह एक पहली सीढ़ी है। हम इस पर पैर रख रहें हैं और मैं विरोधी भाई जो बोले हैं उन में पूछना चाहता हू कि उन के सुझाब कौन से हैं? मुझा-स्फीत के प्रसार की रोकने के लिए उन्होंने मुझाब क्या दिए है? कोई नहीं। वे न तो देश के निर्माण में जन्होंने एक इंट पर दूसरी इंट रखी है, सिर्म रखी गई को गिराने का पर न ही किया है।

इन शब्दा क माथ ता बिला मन्नी जी ने 'वधेयक रखा है उस का मैं हृदय से समर्थन करता हू।

18 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Wednesday, August 21, 1974/Sravana 30, 1896 (Saka).