SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM: I beg to move;

"That the Bill be passed".

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed".

The motion was adopted

## 13.18 hrs

SECUNDERABAD AND AURAN-GABAD CANTONMENTS HOUSE RENT CONTROL LAW (REPEAL) BILL

THE MINISTER OF DEFENEC (SHRI AGJIVAN RAM): I beg to move:

"That the Bill to provide for the repeal of the Secunderabad and Aurangabad Cantonments House Rent Control Law, 1949 as passed by Rajva Sabha, be taken into consideration"

इसमे भी मान्यवर सवाल ऐसा ही है कि जब हंदराबाद मारत में णामिल हुआ और वहा पर संनिक शासन था तो औरगाबाद उस वक्त हैदराबाद में ही था. उस समय किरावेदारो और मालिको के सम्बन्धों पर नियंत्रण वरने के लिए एक बान्न मैनिक शायन ने बनाया था। उसके बाद औरगाबाद महाराष्ट्र मे मिल गया और हैदगबाद-सिक्न्द्रबाद आस्ध्र प्रदेश नायम होने पर उसमे गया। वहा भी उन्होन कानन बनाया और उसको लागु कर दिया गया। इस लिए यह अच्छा समना गया कि इस कानून को खत्म कर दिया जाय, जिममें कि वही नानुन वहा पर लागू नहे। और और भौर भाव द में दूसरे कानुन को ओ बढ़ां पर लागु था रखी जाय। रिर्पाल करने के बार भी इसमे प्रबन्ध कर लिया गया है कि जो कार्यवाहिया इस के मातहत की गई है, दुरुस्त समझा जाय जिमसे इसके काम में कोई गृष्टबड़ी पैदान हो प्रबन्ध इस दिन्ट से ित्या गया है।

मैं समझता हूँ कि यह बिक भी किरायेदारों के हक में है, इस लिये इस को पास किया जाय।

## MR. SPEAKLR . Motion moved :

"That the Bill to provide for the repeal of the Secunderabid and Aurangabad Cantol meits House Rent Cont.ol Law, 1949, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration".

SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE (Burdwan) This is also an innocuous Bill. I would only like to sibmit that by applyiig the provisions of the Bill which we have just Passed, the object of the present Bill could have been achieved and the present Bill could have been avoided, bicause the intention of both the Bills is the same If the intention is to apply the lo al rent control law in the Cantonments at Secunderabad and Aurangabad, that could have been achieved by the application of the Bill which we have just passed or by issuing a notification under that Bill and by allowing simplicitors the old law to lapse. I do not know why this has not been done So far as the od law is concerned which is sought to be repealed, we have no information as to how this law was against the interests of the tenants and other weaker sections. So far as this Bill is concerned, we support thus Bill and we submit only this that the notification that is intenned to be issued should be issued at the earliest so that there may not be any time

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM. I assure that the natification will be usued very soon and there will be no time lag

M'R SPEAKER. The question is

"That the Bill to provide for the repeal of the Secunderabad and Aurangabad Cantonments House Rent Control Law, 1949, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideratior."

The motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER: I shall now put the clauses.

[Mr. Speaker]

The question is:

That Clause 2 to 4, 'clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 to 4, Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI JAGJIVAN RAM : I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed"

MR. SPEAKER: The question Is:

"That the Bill be passed"

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): Let us have the quorum and then the Bill be passed.

MR. SPEAKER: The quorum is all right, but there are no speakers. We are badly in need of speakers.

We had decided that during lunch we need not press for the quorum. The quorum bell is being rung ..... Now there is quorum It should not have happened, because we have agreed that we will not raise it during the lunch hour, but still, even now, there is quorum.

The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

13.26 hrs.

ARREST OF MEMBER-Contd. (Shri A. K Gopalan)

MR. SPEAKER: I have to inform the House that I have received the following wireless message, dated the 26th May, 1972, from the Commissioner of Police, Trivand-rum:—

"Shri A. K. Gopalan, Member, Lok Sabha, who was removed and produced before the Sub-Magistrate. Trivand.um, earlier in petty case No. 97/72 of Poojappura Police Station of the same Caurt, refused to leave the Court Hall through released by the Court at 17.10 hours on the 25th May, 1972. He persisted to remain in the Court Hall disregarding the requests of Court Officials and disobeying lawful direction of police. The Magistrate had also complained to the police about this. Hence he was removed from the Court Hall at 22.45 hours on the 25th May, 1972. under Section 32 (2) of Kerala Police Act, 1960, and produced before Sub-Magistrate, Court II, Trivandrum, with petty charge No. 44/72 of Vanchivoor Police Station under Section 38(2). 47 and 52 of the same Act. The Magistrate remanded him to Judicial Custocy in Central Jail, Trivandium with direction to produce him before Court at 11.00 hours on the 26th, May, 1972."

So, he might have been produced already.

13 27 hrs.

DISCUSSION RE STEEP FALL IN PRICES OF AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES IN KERALA AND OTHER STATES ON WEST COAST OF INDIA

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Unnikrishnan—absent. Mr. Chandrappen. You will all have another hour extra for this subject; more than the normal time.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN (Tellicherry): Mr. Speaker, Sir, while speaking on the very serious problem of steeply falling prices of agricultural commodities in Kerala and some other States on the western coast, I wish to point out that the most important of them is the fail in the price of cocomula. As it is known to everyone, in Kerala the most important agricultural crop which determines the economy in that State is the coconut. For the last one year or a little