219 Personal Explanation by Member

AUGUST 1, 1972

[Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee] this delay? Who is responsible for this delay? He made an accusation. You said there was delay in nominating the Members You accused the Chair.

SHRI L. N. MISHRA : I have not made any accusation.

SHRI ATAT BIHARI VAJPAYEE: You said that there has been delay. You accused the Chair.

SHRI L. N. MISHRA : I have made no accusation against the Chair. There has been delayed nomination.

MR. SPEAKER: The Secretary tells me that nominations took place on the 17th and it was published on the 18th. Any way we shall look into it.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: 1 want to make a submission. This is a clear case of breach of privilege. It should be referred to the Privileges Committee. How can you absolve the Minister?

MR. SPEAKER: It is a not a breach of privilege. Every thing is not a breach of privilege. One thing—it is not a breach of privilege.

The House now stands adjourned for lunch and we will re-assemble at 2 p. m.

13.24 brs

The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till Fourteen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha them reassembled after Lunch at Four Minutes past Fourteen of the Clock

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

PERSONAL EXPLANATION BY MEMBER

भी जटल विहारी बाजपेयी (ग्वालियर): उप्प्राध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं धाप की इजाजुत से एक व्यक्तित स्पप्टीकरण देने के लिये खढ़ा हुआ हूं। कव जब प्रधान मंत्री जी ने सदन में भाषण (भया, मैं उस समय उपस्थित नहीं था। धायद उपस्थित भी होता तो टोका-टोकी करना ठीक by Member नहीं समफता। कल प्रधान मंत्री जी ने भपने भाषण में कहा---मैं उन, के एक बाक्य को उद्धरित करना बहता हूं----

"How date he says that we are leaving the people of Kashmir to the tender mercies of the Pakistanis ?"

मैं झपने भाषण मे इस तरह का कोई बाक्य प्रयोग में नही लाया। मैंने दो स्थानों पर काश्मीर का उल्लेख किया है। एक जगह मैंने कहा था कि शिमला समभौते के कारण...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The hon. Member can only read it out. There can he no debate on it now.

श्री अटल बिहारी वाजपेयी मैंने क्या कहा या, यह तो सुनना होगा।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : In the first place, the hon. Member should have been here and protested and that would have gone on record. The hon. Member had requested the Speaker, and the Speaker had agreed. But under the rules, no debatable matter should be allowed and no speech can be allowed. He can just read out what be has given to the Speaker.'

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: I am reading out what I actually said प्रधान मंत्री जी ने जो कुछ कहा है, बह मैंने नहीं कहा है----यह मैं कैसे बता सकता हूं, जब तक मैं यह नहीं बताऊंगा कि मैंने क्या कहा है।

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: That is not exactly contained in what he has given to the Speaker. Then, it becomes a debate...

SHRI K. MANOHARAN (Madras North) : Let hum clarify the position.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : If I allow him, then I would be violating the rules ?...

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE : What rule ?

221 Personal Euplanation SRAVANA 10, 1894 (SARA) by Member

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The rule under which he has written to the Speaker. He can only read that out and he cannot go into the details.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: Am I to read out only the portion of the Speech delivered by the Prime Minister or should I not read out from my speech also ?...

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER; He can read out only what he has written to the Speaker.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: Not exactly You have to allow me.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : No.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: It is not in the rules. There are no such rules

SHRIR V. BADE (Khargone): Kindly read rule 353.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: You have allowed me to give my personal explanation.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The hon. Member may kindly resume his seat for a minute...

THE PRIME MINISTER, MINISTER OF ATOMIC ENERGY, MINISTER OF ELECTRONICS, MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS, MINISTER OF INFORMA-TION AND BROADCASTING AND MINISTER OF SPACE (SHRIMATI INDIRA GANDHI): May I submit, Sir, that you allow the Hon'ble Member to state what he had said, if you have no objection It is up to you, Sir,

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE : 1 think now you are prepared to waive the rules...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The hon. Member should not anticipate what I am going to say. The rules says :

"A member may, with the permission of the Speaker, make a personal explanation although there is no question before the House, but in this case no deb³ table matter may be brought forward and no debate shall arise."

SHRI K. MANOHARAN : Here, there is no debate. He will only read out from his own speech.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let me finish what I was going to say. The hon. Member has written to the Speaker and his letter has been accepted and this is to be read to the House But if a debate arises from this, then...

SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK (Rohtak): He is only making a personal explanation.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: If he is going to start reading out what he said yesterday and what he did not say yesterday, then it becomes a kind of debate.

SHRIR R. SHARMA (Banda). He is only giving an explanation.

SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK : He is only explaining the position. What does explanation mean ?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: This is the convention, that first of all, the Speaker must assure himself that no debate would arise...

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: I met the Speaker in his Chamber and I convinced him...

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : On the basis of this letter.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE : On the basis of this letter, I sought his permission to offer a personal explanation. How can you prevent me from quoting from my own speech? It was not perhaps correctly understood by the Prime Minister and that was why she had made that remark.

223 Personal Explanation by Member

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The hon. Member should have incorporated all that in his letter to the Speaker, but he has not done that.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: It is not needed. I have sought his permission to make a personal explanation,

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : I am in the hands of the House.

SHRI K. MANOHARAN : The House has already agreed.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER \cdot I have to take the sense of the House. I am in the hands of the House. If the House would like me to depart from this well-laid rule, I have no objection ..

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE . There is no rule...

SHRI K. MANOHARAN : You may put the question, then.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER · I shall take the sense of the House,

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SWARAN SINGH): We cannot certainly be a party to your departing from the rule, and you. Sir, are in the Chair now, and you are the best person to interpret that rule. From the letter that he has sent, it is evident that it was only a limited matter and he has quoted one sentence from the Prime Minister's speech. While making his personal explanation, he cannot go over the whole speech.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE: I am not going over the whole of my speech.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER ; He says that he has not said that, He can say that he had not said that. What more does he want now ?

SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK: That is the only explanation that he wants to give.

MR. DEPUTY-SP3AKE? Lit ut not loss time over this now. In the first place, the hon. Member should have been here.

AUGUST 1, 1972 Personal Explanation 224 by Member

It is necessary that every Member who participates in the debate should be present in the House when another Member speaks so that if the other Member says something which he says he has not said, he can protest and that could go on record.

SHRI R. V. BADE : But there was interruption.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The hon. Member should have pro ested then, and that would have gone on record it is an unusual thing that when a speech is made, the Member is not here and then he comes forward the next day and says that he never said that and, therefore, he has to offer a personal explanation. This by itself is unusual...

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE : It is not unusual. This has been done here many times.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. I would say this that this is an exception and it is not a precedent. If we start this convention, then every Member will say that he must be given an opportunity for personal explanation and to say that he never said this or he never said that. Then, there would be no end to it. Even so, the hon. Member went to the Speaker with this written document, and the Speaker has agreed, and I assume that he had permitted him to make a personal explanation on the basis of what he had written to him.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE : Will you please read out my letter to the Speaker? Then I will be satisfied.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER : Yes, He has written :

"Under Rule 357, I seek your permission to give a personal explanation in regard to the speech made by the Prime Minister. While intervening in the debate on the Simla pact, the Prime Minister said :

'How dare he says that we are leaving the people of Kashmir to the tender mercies of the Pakistanis ?'

"I never said anything like that in my speech. Bither the Prime Minister did

225 Motion re. State on Agr. SRAVANA 10, 1894 (SAKA) on Bilateral Relations 226 between India aud Pak.

not listen to my speech attentively or she has deliberately attributed thoughts and words which were never expressed by me.

"As I must make my position clear, I seek your permission to make a personal explanation."

The hon. Speaker has permitted it.

SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE : I am satisfied now.

14.12 hrs.

MOTION Re. STATEMENT ON AGREEMENT ON BILATERAL RELATIONS BETWEEN INDIA AND PAKISTAN—Contd.

डा॰ गोविन्द दास (जबलपूर) : उपाध्यक्ष जी, मैं भाज यहां कोई लम्बा भाषण करने के लिए नहीं खड़ा हुआ हूं। कुछ नुक्तों को मैं उठाना चाहता हुं भीर मैं चाहता हूं जिन लोगों ने शिमला पैक्ट के विषद कुछ कहा है या उसके विरोध मे कोई प्रस्ताव झाज रखे है उनको उन नुक्तों पर विवार करने की क्रुपा करनी चाहिए। पहली बात तो मुझे कहनी है वह यह है कि हमने एक छे अधिक बार इस बात को कहा और दोहराबा है कि हम किसी भी देश की भूमि का एक इन्य भी अपने देश मे शामिल नहीं करना चाहते । क्या यह बात सही नहीं है ? अगर यह धात सही है तो जिस भूमि को हमने जीता बहु अगर हमारी भूमि नहीं थी तो हमारी उस घोषणा के मनुसार उसको वापिस करना हवारा कर्तेच्य हो जाता है। जिस समय हमने यह बोबणा की थी उस समय इन विरोधियों ने इस बात को क्यों नहीं कहा कि जो भूमि हम अधिकृत कर लेंगे उसको हम वापिस नहीं करॅंगे। उस समय तो कुछ नहीं कहा गया और झाज जब बहु भूमि वापिस की जाती है तो इस बात को नहा बाता है कि जो प्रविहन मुनि हमारी थी उस भूमि को हमें बापिस नहीं करना बाहिए।

दूसरी बात जो मुझे यहां पर कहनी है वह बह है कि इस कुछ सिद्धान्तों पर महात्मा गांधी के समय से चले हैं। उन्हीं सिद्धान्तों के अनुमार यह समझौता हुमा है। उन सिद्धान्तों की कहां अवहेलना हुई है इस बात को हमारे विरोधी लोग बतल।यें और कहें।

एक बात मैं मनोवैंझानिक कहना चाहता हूं घोर वह यह है कि जिन लोगो का स्वयं का कोई चुनाव केत्र नहीं है, जो लोग यहां पर महारानी सिंघिया की इत्पा से घा सके हैं..... (व्यवधान)... उन लोगों को देश के नाम पर कहने का क्या घधिकार है ?...(व्यवधान)...

भी भ्रटल विहारी बाजपेयी (ग्वालियर) : आप इन्दिरा गांधी की इत्पा से घाये हैं, आप क्या बोल रहे है...(ध्यवधान)... इनको लज्जा नही माती ।... (ध्यवधान)...

डा० गोबिन्ब दास : चुनाव मे इन लोगों की जो हार हुई उसके कारण जो इनके मन मे टीस थी उसको निकालने के लिए इस प्रकार की बातें कही जाती है। यह प्रपनी खोई हुई. प्रतिष्ठाको फिर से प्राप्त करने के लिए है। में गत 49 वर्षों से इस सदन का किसी न किसी हैसियत से सदस्य रहा हूं और मैंने इंदिरा जी की तीन पीड़ियों का काम स्वय देखा है और उन तीन पीढ़ियों के साथ स्वयं काम किया है। में समझता हूं मुझे इस बात का हक हासिल है कि मैं इन्दिरा जो के लिए कुछ मार्शीवचन कह सक्ं। मैं समझता हूं मेरे अतिरिक्त इस सदन का कोई ऐसा सदस्य नही है जो इस प्रकार के ग्राशीर्बचन कहने का हक रखता हो । मैं देखता हं बंगला युद्ध के समय से लेकर झाज तक जो बात हुई है वे केवल इसी देश के लिए नहीं, संसार के लिए एक मादर्श के रूप में हई है। हमने बंगला देश के युद्ध में इसलिए सहायता बी