323 Union Territories MARCH 28. Tax. Lawas (Amdt.) Bill [Shri F. H. Mohsin.]

As regards the other point mentioned by my hon. friend opposite that we could have issued instructions to the State Governments to withdraw the taxation, as already pointed out by Shri Ganesh, the matter is left to the State Governments. If they want to withdraw it, they may. It may not be advisable for the Central Government to interfere with their way of collection of duties. At the same time, I might add there that there are some States which are faced with acute scarcity conditions like Maharashtra, Andhra and Mysore.

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI: Bihar also.

SHRI F. H. MOHSIN: Yes. The State Governments may like to continue these levies for the benefit of those people who are living in those scarcity areas, of course, with the consent of the people's representatives in the Assembly. But if they choose to continue these levies for sometime more with a view to give some help to the people of the scarcity-affected areas, what is wrong in that? In Mysore, Maharashtra and Bihar, they may choose to continue it and if the concerned State legislature agrees with it, I do not think there should be any objection for the continuance of the levies. After all, the money so collected would be utilised for the people in the scarcity-affected areas. When we collected some amount for the refugees coming from Bangladesh for the people who are affected, there is nothing wrong in using the amount for our own people. Anyway this is a matter in which we do not want to issue any directions. We leave it to the States to decide as they like.

With these words, I commend the Bill to the acceptance of the House.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill further to amend certain taxation laws in the Union

MARCH 26, 1973 Capital of Punjab (Dev. & 3 24 Bill Rtg.) (Chandigarh Amdt.) Bill

> Territories, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. CHAIRMAN: There are no amendments.

The question is:

"That Clauses 2, 3 and 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2, 3 and 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI F. H. MOHSIN: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

15.49 hrs.

CAPITAL OF PUNJAB (DEVELOP-MENT AND REGULATION), (CHAN-DIGARH AMENDMENT) BILL

THE MINISTER OF WORKS AND HOUSING (SHRI BHOLA PASWAN SHASTRI): I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952, as in force in the Union territory of Chandigarh, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

I shall read the statement of objects and reasons. The Supreme Court in Messrs. Jagdish Chand Radhey Shyam Vs. the State of Punjab and Others (Civil Appeal No. 1099 of 1967) declared section 9 of the Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952 (Punjab Act XXVII of 1952), as in force in the Union territory of Chandigarh, as being violative of articles 14 and 19(1) (f) of the Constitution and held that the Central Government is not entitled to resume the

325 Capital of CHAITRA 5, 1895 (SAKA) Punjab (Dev. & Reg.) 326 (Chandigarh Amdt.) Bill

site or building transferred under section 3 of that Act or to forfeit the money paid in respect of such trans fer under the said section 9 The main ground on which the Supreme Court had based its conclusion was that there is nothing in the Act to guide the exercise of power by the Government as to when and how any of the methods for recovering the amount of consideration in arrears specified in section 3, 8 and 9 of the Act will be chosen

The decision of the Supreme Court has created several practica difficulties in administering the provisions of the Act Further the situation created by the decision of the Supreme (ourt is already having in aqverse eflect on the regulation and development of the entire city of Chandigarh. which has been planned and develop ed w th great care and at con iderable expense over the past several years It is therefore essential to remove the past several years. It is therefore essential to remove the objections pointed out by the Supreme Court by amending the Act retrospectively from the 1st of November 1966 being the date on which the Union te ritory of Chandigarh was formed, and to validate the actions taken under the impugned provisions of the Act

The Bill seeks to achieve the aforesaid objectives

MR CHAIRMAN Motion moved

"That the Bill further to amend the Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act 1952, as in force in the Union territory of Chancugarh, as passed by Rajya Sabha be taken into consideration'

*SHRI E R KRISHNAN (Salem) Mr Chairman, Sir I rise to say a few words on behalf of my party, the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam on The Capital of Punjab Development and Regulation (Chandigarh) (Amendment) Bill moved by the hon Minister of Works and Housing Shri Bhola Paswan Sastri I have no difference of opinion with the Government that the growth of Chandigarh should be according to a set plan All of us are aware that Chandigarh occupies a place of pride and prestige in the world of architecture and it has attracted wor d-wide attention in this regard I would like to know from the hon Minister of Works and Housing whether the Government should seek to maintain the growth and pride of Chandigarh through a Bill of this nature

The Government of India may take whatever steps they consider necessary in regard to the development of Chandigarh Such steps may create any kind of hardship for the common people living there Still under Sections 6 and 7 of the Bill they are debarred from approaching the courts of law for contesting such steps which hinder their day to day life So this question arises whether a city should be a means for the welfare of the people living there or whether the growth and development of a city should create miserable problems for the common people? I would request the hon Munister of Works and Housing to claify this point in his reply

Secondly I am constrained to say that by incorporating Sections 6 and 7 in the Bill the Government are perhaps trying to reduce the burden of arrears on the Courts of Law in the Punjab

Thirdly, so far it has not vet been decided whether Chandigarh should be the capital of the Punjab or Haryana I have to point out that since the Congress Party is in power in both these States it should be within the capacity of the Central Government to find a solution to this long-standing unresolved issue I would 'ike the hon Minister of Works and Housing to say for how many years more the Government will be showing its hesitancy in this matter.

Fourthly I would like to know whether the Government will bring

"The Original speech was deliver ed in Tamil

[Shri E. R. Krishnan]

forward such a vigorous legislation for implementing land reforms. While bringing forward the much-talked about urban ceiling law, will the Government of India take such a bold step as denying the right to approach a court of law to the rich people whose property might fall under the purview of the urban ceiling law?

Before I conclude, I would once again repeat my question as to when the fate of Chandigarh-whether it should be the capital of the Punjab or Haryana-is going to be decided by the Government of India.

I am greatful to you for giving me this opportunity to say a few words on this Bill.

With these words, I conclude.

श्वी रामावतार शास्त्री (पटना) : सभापति महोदय, इस बिल के सिलसिले में मझे एक ही बात कहनी है। इस बारे में मेरा कोई मतभेद नहीं है कि भण्डीगढ शहर का ठीक तरह से विकास फीया जाये। जब हम पूरे हिन्दुस्तान का विकास चाहते हैं, तो चण्डीगढ का विकास किया जाये, इस में एतराज की कोई बात नहीं है। दिल्ली ग्रौर ग्रन्य अगहों में विकास-कार्य चल रहा है, लेकिन शहरों में जो साधारण निवासी होते हैं----कौर वे चण्डीगढ़ में भी हैं----, उन के साथ ठीक व्यवहार नहीं होता है । विकास के नाम पर उन्हें हटा कर बाहर फेंक दिया जाता है झौर धनी बर्ग को लाभ पहुंचाने की कोशिश की जाती है। नाम जिया जाता है ग़रीबों को मागे बढ़ाने का, लेकिन हम देख रहे हैं कि दिल्ली आदि शहरों में झुग्गी झोंपड़ी वालों को तो बहुत दूर दूर मेज दिया जाता है मौर वे जमीनें पैसे वालों को दे दी जाती हैं।

चण्डीगढ़ में भी इसी तरह की बात हो रही है। मैं निबेबन करना चाहता हं कि डरकार चण्डीगढ़ को खरूर विकसित करे, झगर उस के विकास में कोई गड़वड़ी है, तो उस को दूर किया जाए, लेकित सरकार को खो ग़रीव तबके के लोगों को झपनी ढ़ष्टि से झोझल नहों करना चाहिए । मंत्री महोदय तो उसी तबके से झाते है झौर उन्हें यह झनुभव भी है कि उस तबके के साथ क्या व्यवहार होता है । इस लिए हरिजन तबके झौर पिछड़े वर्गों की तरफ़ उन का ध्यान रहना चाहिए । झविकार लेते समय झौर कानून बनाते समय उन वर्गों को नहीं भूलना चाहिए, नहीं तो बड़ी कठिनाई होगी । हम दिल्ली में देखते है कि किस तरह से उन लोगों की कठिनाइया बढ़ गई हैं झौर बड़े लोग उस से फ़ायदा उठा रहे हैं ।

सरकार को इस बात का ध्यान रखना चाहिए कि चण्डीगढ के विकास के साथ वहां के निचले तबके के लोगो का भी विकास हो । उन को वहा से भगादेने के बजाये उन के लिए ग्रावास, पानी, बिजली **भौर** जीवन के लिए भावश्यक भ्रन्य सुविधाओं की व्यवस्था करनी चाहिए । प्रगर इस बिल में इस बात का ध्यान रखा गया है. तो ठीक है, ग्रीर ग्रगर नही है, तो सर-कार को उस तरफ़ ध्यान देना चाहिए. नहीं तो बहत हगामा हो सकता है, जैसा कि दिल्ली मे हो रहा है। मैं जानता हं कि दिल्ली में दिल्ली डेब्रेलपमेंट एथा-रिटी भीर अन्य सरकारी विभाग किस तरह से जनविरोधी काम कर रहे हैं। इस तरफ़ मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान दिलाना मैं ग्रपना कर्त्तेव्य समझता हं।

को भरेला पाल्वान शाल्वी: सभापति महोदय, मुझे खुशी है कि दो माननीम सदस्यों ने इस विधेयक के सम्बन्ध में भपने विचार व्यक्त किये हैं। जो मान-नीय सदस्य पहले बोले वे, वे काने बचे हैं। उन्होंने उत्तर सुनने का भी कऽट नहीं किया। उन्होंने इस बात का किरोध

329 Capital of CHAITRA 5, 1895 (SAKA) Punjab (Dev. & Reg.) 330 (Chandigarh Amdt.) Bill

नहीं किया है कि चप्धीगढ़ का विकास नहीं होना चाहिए । उन्होंने कहा कि गवर्नमेंट इस बात का फ़ैसला कब करेगी कि चप्धीगढ़ किस स्टेट का कैपिटल होगा । उस का फ़ैसला भी होगा । उस का विकास तो होना ही चाहिए । चप्धीगढ़ किस स्टेट का कैपिटल होगा, यह पायंट रेलिवेंट नहीं है । माननीय सदस्य ने कोई नई बात नहीं कही है ।

16.00 hrs.

.

मैं माननींय मदस्य श्री रामावतार शास्त्री, को कहना चाहता हूं कि म्राज बड़े बडे शहरों पर ज्यादा खर्च करने का विचार हो रहा है। न केवल हमारा देश. बल्कि सारा विघव. भ्ररवन-माईडिड हो रहा है। इस में कोई सन्देह नहीं है कि शहरों पर ज्यादा खर्च हो रहा है, क्योंकि हमारा दृष्टिकोण ही ऐसा बन गया है।

जहां तक ग़रीबों का सवाल है, मैंने उस दिन भी कहा था कि ऐसा नही है कि गरीबों को उजाड़ा जाता है, या उजाड़ा जायेगा । शहरों में जहां बड़े लोग रहते हैं. ब्यापारी झौर सरकारी म्रफसर आदि रहते हैं, वहां ग़रीब भी रहते हैं। उन काखयाल रखा जाता है ग्रीर उन को बसाने का इन्तजाम किया जाता है। ग्रगर श्री शास्त्री चण्डीगढ का कोई मामला पेश करते कि वहां ग़रीबों को उजाड़ा गया, उन के लिए कोई इन्तजाम नही किया गया, तो मैं उस पर विचार करता। अगर झभी भी उन के पास ऐसी कोई सूचना हो धौर माननींय सदस्य हमें दें तो उस पर हम उचित कार्यवाही करेंगे। वैसे भी इस पर ख्याल रखा जायेगा। दिल्ली की शग्गी झोंपड़ी वालों की घोर सरकार का व्यान है। सरकार की पूरी सहानु-भूति उनके साथ है। वे गरीब लोग है जो कमाने के लिए यहां झाते हैं। लेकिन एक बात यह भी है कि चातों रात झोंप- ड़ियां बन जाती हैं, एक जगह उनको बसाया जाता है तो वे दूसरी जगह चले जाते हैं। आज गांवों से लोग शहरों की श्रोर जीविका कमाने के लिए झाने लग हैं, यह समस्या बढ़ती जा रही है झौर बढती जाएगी ।

श्री रामावतार शास्त्री: मुझे दक्षिण दिल्ली की झोंपड़ियों में जाने का मौका मिला था । वहां इंदिरा लेबर कैम्प है, शास्त्री लेवर कैम्प है, सुभाष लेवर कैम्प जवाहरलाल नेहरू लेबर कैम्प हैं, उन में कही भी पानी ग्रौर रोशनी का उचित प्रबन्ध नहीं है ।

श्री भोला पास्वान शास्त्री : इंदिरा कैम्प, शास्त्री कैम्प ग्रौर सुभाष कैम्प, ये कैम्प लगादेने सें ही रात भर मे मारा प्रबन्ध तो नहीं हो सकता है। बड़े झादि-मियो के नाम पर ये कैम्प रखे जाते हैं यह ग्रच्छी बात है लेकिन बडे ग्रादमी का नाम तो एक साइन बोर्ड है, सारा इन्तजाम रातों रात कैसे हो सकता है ? माननीय सदस्य को मै हतना आश्वासन ग्रवश्य देना चाहता हं कि झुग्गी झोंपड़ियों के बारे में ऐसी बात जो निहायत जरूरी है. वह सरकार के ध्यान सें लाएंगे तो सरकार उस पर पूरा ध्यान देगी झौर सरकार दे भी रही है। झुग्गी झोंपड़ा को ममस्या सरकार की नजर के सामन तैरती रहती है। इस भीर सरकार का पूरा ध्यान है।

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill further to amend the Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952, as in force in the Union Territory of Chandigarh, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, we shall take up the clauses. There are no

331 Capital of Punjab MARCH 26, 1978 (Dev. & Reg.) (Chandigarh Amdt.) Bill

amendments to clauses 2 to 7. I shall put clauses 2 to 7. The question is:

"That clauses 2 to 7 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 7 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1-(Short Title and Commencement).

Amendment made:

Page 1, line 4,-

for "1972" substitute "1973" (2) (Shri Bhola Paswan Shastri)

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That clause 1, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Enacting Formula

Amendment made:

Page 1, line 1,-

(Shri Bhola Paswan Shastri).

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Enacting Formula: as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The Enacting Formula, as amended, was added to the Bill.

The Title was added to the Bill.

SHRI BHOLA PASWAN SHASTRI: Sir. 1 move:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed." MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed:"

The motion was adopted.

16.05 hrs.

ANDHRA PRADESH STATE LEGIS-LATURE (DELEGATION OF POWERS) BILL

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI F. H. MOHSIN): I beg to move*:

"That the Bill to confer on the President the power of the Legislature of the State of Andhra Pradesh to make laws. as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The House is aware that in the Proclamation dated the 18th January. 1973 in relation to the State of Andhra Pradesh, the President has declared that the powers of the State Legislature shall be exercised by or under the authority of Parliament. However, in view of the otherwise busy schedule of the two Houses, it would be difficult for Parliament to deal with the various legislative measures that may be necessary in respect of the State. It would be even more difficult in situations requiring emergent legislation. The Bill, therefore, seeks to conter on the President the power of the State legislature to make laws in respect of the State. It has been the normal practice to undertake such legislation in relation to the States under the President's Rule and the present Bill is on the usual lines. Provision has been made for the constitution of a Consultative Committee, consisting of Members of Parliament in this regard. There will be 40 members from Lok Sabha and 20 from Rajya Sabha. Provision is also being

*Moved with the recommendation of the President,