13.28 hrs.

RE, SLOW PROGRESS OF WORK IN SALEM STEEL PLANT

*SHRI E. R. KRISHNAN (Salem): Hon. Mr. Speaker, Sir, I wish to submit an urgent matter of public importance in regard to Salem Steel Plant, which is in my constituency, Salem.

Sir, due to uncertainty in allotment of funds for the year 1974-75 from S.A.I.L. and from the Central Government and also due to allotment of only Rs. 3 crores in the year 1973-74, in general the progress of work in Salem Steel Plant has slowed down considerably. It is regrettable that the Central Government have not yet approved the procurement of Rs. 40 crores worth of plant and machinery required for the Steel Plant. This will delay further the completion of the first phase of the projects by about a year. The issue of tender enquiries for major equipment is not yet being issued The finalisation of know-how supplier from abroad is pending for a long time. On account of this, the Hindustan Steel Works Construction Ltd., will receive a set-back in its programme of work. The following will be the consequence of such inordinate delays: About 3000 employees will be out of work; 150 people of the permanent labour force will become surplus. Heavy construction equipment worth Rs 1 crore will become idle. This will paralyse the technical personnel already engaged in different specialised field work. Meficulous advance planning is required for the purchase of allotted .. steel and such other raw material. If the purchase of these items is postponed, it is likely that they may cost much more than what it is now. I have to say that already Rs. 7 crores have been invested in the Steel Plant at Salem. The tempo of construction built up by 31st March 1974 could not be continued due to the

uncertainty in the allocation of funds in the current financial year. I request that the hon. Minister of Steel should take immediate steps in this matter and make a statement on the floor of the House at the earliest

15.50 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

NOTIFICATION RE FLOATATION OF MAR-KET LOANS AND NOTIFICATION UNDER CENTRAL EXCISE RULES, 1944

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB KUMAR MUKHER-JEE). I beg to lay on the Table—

- (1) A copy of Notification No F. 5(10)-W&M/74 (Hindi and English versions) published in Gezette of India dated the 16th December, 1974, regarding floatation of market loans by the Central Government in December, 1974 [Placed in Library See No. LT-8777/74]
- (2) A copy of Notification No. G.S R 1304 (Hindi and English versions) published in Gazette of India dated the 7th December, 1974 issued under the Central Excise Rules, 1944, together with an explanatory memorandum. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-8778/741.

BOMBAY TENANCY AND AGRICULTURAL LANDS (GUJARAT 2ND AMDT) RULES, 1974

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND IRRIGATION (SHRI PRABHUDAS PATEL): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Landa (Gujarat Second

^{*}The Original Speech was delivered

Amendment) Rules, 1974 (Hindi and English versions) published in Notification No GHM/74/147/M--TNC--1073/16877-J-(LR) in Gujarat Government Gazette dated the 2nd September, 1974, under sub-section (4) of section 82 of the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act. 1948, read with clause (c) (iii) of the Proclamation dated the 9th February, 1974, issued by the President in relation to the State of Gujarat, together with an explanatory note [Placed in Library See No LT-8779/741.

13.31 hrs.

RE SECOND HOOGHLY BRIDGE PROJECT

SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE (Burdwan) rose—

SHRI S M BANERJEE (Kanpur).

MR SPEAKER. Only one notice was accepted, not all.

SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE: I raise one matter because of the importance of it to West Bengal. It is about the second Hooghly bridge project. We have seen in West Bengal papers that the Central Government is only going to bear half the cost of the project and is not willing to start even the construction of the project, until the State Government agrees to bear the other half. Only three or four days back, the Chief Minister of West Bengal stated openly after one of his regular visits to Delhi that the Central Government has agreed to bear cent per cent cost and has already sanctioned Rs. 50 lakhs for starting it. In view of these conflicting reports coming out from the the Central Government and the State Government, we want

to know what is the real position. This is essential because the House is going to conclude the session. This is a very important project necessary for the survival of the city of Calcuita We want to know whether it is going to be taken up or not. A statement should be made on this before the end of the session.

13.33 hrs

RE ATROCITIES ON HARIJANS

SHRI S. M RANERJEE (Kanpur):
My submission 15 directed to the hon
Minister of Home Affairs, Shri K
Brahmananda Reddy. We have been
receiving reports of atrocities on
Harijans The last but not the least
of such reports was the alarining
news that came day before that a
Harijan was beaten to death in
Jabalpur

MR SPEAKER: This was given notice of by another member. It will not be fair if I do not allow him and allow you instead on the spur of the moment

SHRI S M BANERJEE: It is the property of the House.

MR SPEAKER. It is my duty to call him, the member who has given notice of it.

SHRI S M BANERJEE: What I am mentioning may be in his name You may ask your reporters, if you like, to record this in his name. A Harijan was besten to death in Jabalpur the day before yesterday. I would request the Home Minister to make a statement on that.

बी झटल बिहारी वाजनेती: (ग्वालियर) झध्यक्ष महीदय, मैं ने डायरेक्टशन 115 के झन्तर्गत एक नोटिस दिया है।