

the present stage of preparation of Development Plans (Master Plan), Annexure II, is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-4126[65].

Srisaillam Project

1854. { Shri Kolla Venkalah:
Shri M. N. Swamy:

Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government of Andhra Pradesh had requested for the grant of foreign exchange to meet the expenditure towards the Srisaillam Project; and

(b) the amount requested for and the amount granted and when?

The Minister of Irrigation and Power (Dr. K. L. Rao): (a) Yes.

(b) The amount asked for is Rs. 2 crores against which no sanction has yet been issued.

Goldsmiths

1855. { Shri Gonal Datt Mengi:
Shri Abdul Ghani Goni:
Shri Samnani:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the number of goldsmiths affected by the Gold Control Order in each State of India;

(b) the number of goldsmiths (State-wise) who have been given rehabilitation loans or grants; and

(c) the total amount allocated for the purpose and disbursed, State-wise, so far?

The Minister of Finance (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): (a) It is esti-

mated that about 2,70,000 goldsmiths were affected as a result of the Gold Control Order.** Out of these about 2,28,307 have applied for certificates to work as goldsmiths and have been able to resume their occupation.

(b) and (c) A statement giving the information is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in library, see No. LT-4127/65].

Study of District Administration

1856. { Shri D. J. Naik:
Shri Chhotubhai Patel:

Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Planning Commission had prepared a scheme for studying the district administration in order to minimise paper work and delay; and

(d) if so, whether any study was made and the result thereof?

The Minister of Planning (Shri B. E. Bhagat): (a) and (b). In 1962, the Planning Commission, in collaboration with the Gujarat Government, prepared a scheme for the study of the working of the district administration in Sabarkantha. This Study was undertaken by the Planning Commission and has since been completed. Copies of the report of this Field Study Project have been placed in the Library of Parliament.

12 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

MAP OF INDIA PUBLISHED IN 'SOVIET LAND' SHOWING AKSAI CHIN AS A PART OF CHINA.

**State-wise figures of the total number of goldsmiths affected are not available but the figure 2,70,000 has been arrived at by an estimate derived from the number of goldsmiths, silversmiths, and gold dealers in the 1961 census as reported to Parliament in the background note to the Gold Control Bill.

Shri Buta Singh (Moga): Sir, I call the attention of the Minister of External Affairs to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:—

“A map published in the February, 1965 issue of the magazine ‘Soviet Land’ showing Aksai Chin as part of China”

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): The Government has seen a map of India and neighbouring countries published, along with an article entitled “Disarmament and Developing countries”, in the February 1965 issue of the magazine “Soviet Land”, brought out by the Information Department of the U.S.S.R. Embassy in India. This map is a rough small-scale sketch map showing the distribution of mineral wealth and resources which have already been prospected. Obviously, the purpose of publishing this map was not to show the international boundary of India and other countries. However, the international boundary of India as shown in this map does not conform to the well recognised international boundary as shown in Indian maps.

The attention of the Government of U.S.S.R. had been drawn in the years 1961 and 1962 to the erroneous depiction of India's international boundary in certain other maps originating in the U.S.S.R. The U.S.S.R. Government had replied that they would look into the matter. We have once again drawn the attention of the Soviet Government to the map published in the “Soviet Land” of February, 1965.

The House is aware that the relations between India and the Soviet Union are most cordial and that the Government of the U.S.S.R. has extended valuable assistance in the development of India's economy as well as India's defensive strength.

Shri Buta Singh: Since this magazine is printed at and published from Bombay, is it true that this kind of cartographic misdemeanour is a culpable offence under the law of our land; if so, may I know what action has been taken against this journal?

Shri Swaran Singh: No action has been taken and ... (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: He says that it is an offence under our law. The first portion of his question is that under our law this is an offence, because it is being published from Bombay, and therefore he wants to know what action has been taken.

Shri Swaran Singh: That is a legal matter; perhaps Shri Buta Singh knows a little more. We will examine it.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): Is it not his duty, Sir, to get it examined. Because he happens to be a lawyer, he does not even say that it is not so. He only says that it is a legal matter. I take objection to this kind of answer.

Mr. Speaker: Of course, this I do agree that the answer could have been better if it had been “I will get it examined”. But the question could not be put like this. This is not a point for information. If he wants to know whether it is an offence, it is not for the Minister to answer whether it is an offence or not. So the question cannot also be put that way. That is not an information, because that can be decided by looking into books and other statutes. Therefore, the question itself was not proper, but when it has been put and answer given, the answer could have been that it would be examined.

Shri Hari Vishva Kamath (Hoshangabad): Sir, on a point of order. Even after your suggestion, a very helpful suggestion to the Government I would say, the Minister has not the courtesy to get up and say that he will get it examined.

Mr. Speaker: He has said so.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He has not said so. He is still sitting.

Shri Swaran Singh: I have already said it. It is recorded already that I will get it examined. May be that it was drowned in the shouts that were raised.

Shri Solanki (Kaira): May I know whether the Government is aware that the same map was reproduced in a magazine called *The March of the Nation*. When this cartographic aggression by Soviet Russia was brought to the notice of the late Prime Minister, Shri Jawaharlal Nehru, he has assured us that he will ensure that such mistakes will not be committed in future. Is that assurance kept in mind by the present Government?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have not heard the latter part of the question.

Shri Solanki: The late Prime Minister, Shri Jawaharlal Nehru, had given an assurance in the House about the cartographic aggression which was committed earlier that he would take up the matter with the Soviet Union. Has any attempt been made by the Government to avoid any such aggression in future?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have already stated in my statement that the attention of the Government of USSR had been drawn in the years 1961 and 1962 to the erroneous depiction of India's international boundaries. I have also said that we are taking it up again with the USSR Government.

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): Is it true that a copy of this cartographic criminality is displayed in the *sanctum sanctorum*, the holy of the holies, the Kremlin itself and, if so, what is the reaction of this Government?

Mr. Speaker: I have already advised the hon. Member to be more careful in using his language when he is referring to....

Shri Kapur Singh: Sir, it is a very dignified language. I could not make it more polished—*sanctum sanctorum*, holy of holies, the Kremlin itself

Mr. Speaker: Sometimes the words may be very beautiful and edifying but the meaning underlying them may not be so.

Shri Kapur Singh: Then I will ask the question without *sanctum sanctorum* and holy of holies. Are the Government aware that a copy of this map has been displayed in the Kremlin itself? If that is so, what is the reaction of Government to that? Is that all right, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: Yes, quite all right.

Shri Swaran Singh: I have already said that the boundary is not correctly described in certain other maps originating from USSR. I cannot say if there is one officially displayed in the Kremlin. But I have already made it clear in my statement that there are other maps about which we have already taken objection.

Shri Kapur Singh: Does he propose to find out whether such a map is displayed in Kremlin?

Mr. Speaker: Yes, certainly he will find it out.

Shri Ranga: In view of the fact that similar things have happened when China was claimed by our Government to be a very friendly government and in view of the fact that the hon. Minister has himself stated that they had to draw the attention of Soviet Russia to this discrepancy, why is it that Government are so indifferent as to simply draw the attention of the Soviet Government instead of insisting upon a promise or assurance that they would withdraw these maps, wherever they are circulated—these maps are circulated in our country not only in the

[Shri Ranga]

English edition but also in the other regional language editions—and hereafter they would take care to see that ~~such~~ wrongs, such slander from a political point of view would not be done to India?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have already said that the description here is incorrect. We have already taken it up with the USSR Government. We are not indifferent.

Mr. Speaker: Has he got any assurance from them?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have said that we are again taking it up with them. There was no reply to the earlier letter.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: No acknowledgement even?

Shri Krishnapal Singh (Jalesor): In view of the reply given by the hon. Minister that there are cordial relations between this Government and the Soviet Government, do the Government propose to ask the Soviet Government to publish another map after the necessary corrections have been made?

Mr. Speaker: That is what they are taking up.

Shri Narendra Singh Mahida (Anand): May I know from the Minister of External Affairs whether immediate steps are being contemplated for the demarcation of the Indian border?

Mr. Speaker: There is no dispute about the border.

Shri P. H. Bheel (Dohad): What is the reaction of the Government regarding the Sino-Russian relationship?

Mr. Speaker: No reaction.

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय (देवास) : अगर अक्सार्ड-चिन के सम्बन्ध में आज वामपंथी या दक्षिण-पंथी कम्युनिस्ट यह कहें कि

देश के इस हिस्से को चीन को सौंप दिया जाये, तो मुझे पूरा विश्वास है कि सरकार भारत सुरक्षा नियमों के अन्तर्गत उन को बन्द कर देगी। लेकिन सर्वोदय नेता, श्री जयप्राश नारायण, ने भी यही बात कही है कि इस भूमि को चीन को पट्टे पर दे दिया जाये। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि सरकार ने उन के खिलाफ कोई कार्यवाही क्यों नहीं की है। मैं यह भी जानना चाहता हूँ कि क्या भारत सरकार ने अपने नक्शे, मानचित्र, विदेशों को भेजे हैं, जिस से वे ठीक ढंग से हमारे नक्शे छाप सकें।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : श्री गुलशन।

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरे प्रश्न का उत्तर नहीं दिया गया है। मैं अपने प्रश्न को रिपीट कर देता हूँ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : नहीं, उस को रिपीट करने की जरूरत नहीं है।

श्री गुलशन (मट्टडा) : यह नक्शा जिस पत्र में छपा है, क्या मिनिस्टर साहब उस की बांटी गई कापियों को जब्त करने के बारे में भी कुछ कदम उठावेंगे ?

Shri Sawran Singh: I have already said that we will examine the legal aspect of it.

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : मेरे प्रश्न का उत्तर नहीं आया है।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : मैं ने उस की इजाजत नहीं दी है।

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : इस बात का उत्तर नहीं दिया गया है कि क्या भारत सरकार की ओर से विदेशों में नक्शे भेजे गए हैं।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : अगर मैं ने कोई गलती भी की है, तो भी माननीय सदस्य को मेरी बात को मान लेना चाहिए।

(ii) REPORTED MEETINGS BETWEEN
SHEIKH ABDULLAH AND THE CHINESE
PRIME MINISTER

Mr. Speaker: I have received "calling attention" notices as well as notices of adjournment motions about Sheikh Abdullah again today. This has become the business of every day. Shri Hem Barua.

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री (बिजनौर) :
इस पर पहले काम रोको प्रस्ताव लिया जाना चाहिए ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : पहले मैं स्टेटमेंट होने दूंगा और उस को देखने के बाद इस का फैसला करूंगा ।

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): Sir, I call the attention of the Minister of External Affairs to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:

"The reported meeting between Sheikh Abdullah and the Chinese Prime Minister, Mr. Chou En-lai, in Algier."

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, I would crave the indulgence of the House that I might make a statement on this tomorrow because we have not heard anything officially yet from our Embassy. We are in touch with them and by tomorrow I will make a statement.... (Interruption).

Shri Daji (Indore): This is very important and Government has not got the information yet.. (Interruption)

श्री इतर चन्द कडगाव : कत भी इस बात को कहा गया था ।

Shri Daji: Shame.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Sir, I rise on a point of order. My point of order is that the "calling attention" notice has been tabled and the Minister has said that he has not received any official information from

the Ambassador. We have got this news not only from the newspapers but it was also announced twice over the All India Radio yesterday. The All India Radio knows it; everybody knows it. This is nothing but trying to shield Sheikh Abdullah who has become a traitor to this country.... (Interruption). He is a traitor.

श्री इतर चन्द कडगाव : हम ने कल भी यह सवाल उठाया था कि अगर वह चान-एन-लाई से मिलेंगे, तो आप क्या करेंगे । रेडियो पर भी बताया गया है ।

Shri S. M. Banerjee: He has met the Prime Minister of China. Shame.

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): Government take a most serious view of Sheikh Abdullah's meeting, that is, the reported meeting with Mr. Chou En-lai.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Head of an enemy country.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: I would like to request the House to allow the External Affairs Minister to make a fuller statement tomorrow. We will certainly consider.....

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Before the statement, bring him back.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: We will also consider as to what further steps are to be taken in this regard.

श्री मधु सिन्घे (मुंगेर) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है ।

Shri Hem Barua: On a point of order. We were told that our diplomatic personnel in Africa and elsewhere are warned by our Government to watch the movements of Sheikh Abdullah and report to the Government about his doings in foreign countries. Now, on Tuesday, he met Mr. Chou En-lai in Algiers and the news was given by Peking radio

[Shri Hem Barua]

and that news has been broadcast from the All India Radio also, not once but on several occasions. Sir, don't you think that here is the failure on the part of a Government in that the diplomatic personnel who have been watching Sheikh Abdullah's movements have failed in their duty? What do the Government propose to do and what does the Prime Minister propose to do about this failure on the part of the diplomatic personnel?

Mr. Speaker: As to what he proposes to do, he has already indicated. He has asked the indulgence of the House so that the Foreign Minister might be able to make a fuller statement. All these questions will arise at that moment when we hear him, whether there has been a failure, what failure has been there, what the House should do and all that. These questions will arise at that moment. Let us first hear the statement.

Shri Hem Barua: Between Tuesday and Thursday, the diplomatic personnel have failed in the performing of their duty. Don't you think like that, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: I cannot presume it unless I hear the statement. I will have the statement first and then decide whether really there has been a failure. All these questions will arise at that moment and not earlier.

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाप : शेख अब्दुल्ला को विदेश जाने देने से ही सरकार की असफलता नजर आती है ।

Shri Daji: Sir, I seek your protection.

Mr. Speaker: How is he being harmed?

Shri Daji: Sir, the calling attention notice is on a matter of urgent public importance and you are good enough to send the copies of that immediately to the Ministries concerned. Generally, all the other

Ministries reply on the same day. It is only this Ministry, the External Affairs Ministry, which does not give reply in time. Consistently, we have been finding for the last three or four days—not only today—that this Ministry everyday comes and wants time for one day or two days. The very object of the calling attention notice is lost. Already, there has been a delay of two days and if any further delay is caused, the very object of this will be lost. The Prime Minister has intervened but I want to know how is it that each time the External Affairs Ministry fails to give answer, the reply, in time.

Mr. Speaker: I have already.....

Shri Shinkre (Marmagoa): It is natural because the External Affairs has to gather the information from abroad. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. There ought to be some decorum maintained. He ought not to say anything when I am on my legs.

I have already told the House that as soon as I receive the calling attention notice, I just send them copies to find out the facts and the utmost that I would wait would be 48 hours. If I do not hear within 48 hours, automatically I will put it on the order paper. This is what I am doing. When there is a delay, I put it on the agenda without waiting further for the reply. I have already conveyed it to the House. That is what I can do. Papers to be laid on the Table.

श्री मधु लिमये : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा ब्यवस्था का प्रश्न है ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : इस वक्त कोई ब्यवस्था का प्रश्न नहीं है ।

श्री मधु लिमये : मैं तो पहले भी खड़ा हुआ था, लेकिन चूँकि आप खड़े होते थे, इसलिए मैं बैठ जाता था ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : भ्रम माननीय सदस्य खड़े हो जायें, मैं बैठ जाता हूँ ।

श्री मधु लिमये : आप ने जो व्यवस्था की है, उस को तो हम मानने के लिए तैयार हैं, लेकिन उस में एक आपत्ति है कि अगर आज इस पर बयान आता, तो कम से कम सदन के द्वारा यह बात कही जाती कि क्या वर्तमान कानून में ऐसी कोई व्यवस्था है, इन्तजाम है, जिस के मातहत शेख अब्दुल्ला के पासपोर्ट को तुरन्त रद्द कर के उन को वापस बुलाया जाता । कम से कम अगर वह इतना कर देते हैं, तो वह कल या परसों बयान दे सकते हैं । अगर वह आज बयान दे देते हैं, तो हम यह सुझाव दे सकते हैं ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : अगर माननीय सदस्य क्लब को देखें, तो उन को मालूम होगा कि मिनिस्टर टाइम मांग सकता है और उस को यह कहने का हक है कि वह कुछ समय के बाद बयान देगा । मैं उस को इन्कार नहीं कर सकता हूँ ।

श्री मधु लिमये : आप इस प्रश्न के महत्त्व को जान लें ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : मैं ने जान लिया है ।

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : कालिग एटेंशन मोशन पर विदेश मंत्री वक्तव्य दें, ऐसा कह कर आप ने समस्या की गम्भीरता को बहुत हल्का कर दिया है । यह प्रश्न इतना साधारण नहीं है । चाइनीज एम्बेसी का शेख अब्दुल्ला के साथ जिस समय यह यहाँ भी थे उस समय भी प्लेबेसिट फ्रंट के साथ बराबर सम्बन्ध रहा है । प्लेबेसिट फ्रंट के जितने भी पोस्टर प्लेबेसिट के सम्बन्ध में

अध्यक्ष महोदय : इस वक्त आप यह सब कैसे कह सकते हैं ?

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : मेरी बात आप सुन लें, उस के बाद जो व्यवस्था आप देंगे वह मुझे निरोधार्थ होगी । बात को बीच में अगर आप काट देंगे या मुझे बीच में ही

अगर आप रोक देंगे तो समस्या की गम्भीरता को सदन को और आप को मैं समझा नहीं पाऊंगा ।

मेरा अभिप्राय यह है कि चीन की जो एम्बेसी है वह भारतवर्ष में प्लेबेसिट फ्रंट की कमर थपथपाती रही है । चीनी गवर्नमेंट को पहले यह कहती थी कि काश्मीर भारत का भ्रान्तरिक मामला है वही चीन की सरकार आज यह भ्रम कहती है कि नहीं, शेख अब्दुल्ला और पाकिस्तान का पक्ष ही ठीक है । शेख अब्दुल्ला के भतीजे शेख रशीद ने कराची में बैठ करके यह सारे का सारा कार्यक्रम बनाया है । यहाँ बम्बई के एयर पोर्ट पर उनको विदा देने के तुरन्त बाद वह सीधे पाकिस्तान पहुँचे । यह सब योजनाबद्ध हो रहा है । इस सारी चीज से साफ पता चलता है कि काश्मीर पर आक्रमण की तैयारी हो रही है । काश्मीर हिन्दुस्तान के हाथ से जाने वाला है और पाकिस्तान और चिन दोनों मिल कर

कुछ माननीय सदस्य : नहीं ।

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : वही बात मैं कहना चाहता हूँ कि देखो समस्या गम्भीर होना चली जा रही है । समाधान कालिग एटेंशन से नहीं हो सकता इसके लिये काम रोको प्रस्ताव ही एडमिट किया जाना चाहिये ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : काम रोको प्रस्ताव भी पेंडिंग है । जब मैं उनकी स्टेटमेंट सुन लूंगा तभी फैसला कर सकूंगा कि आपा इसमें असफलता हुई है या गवर्नमेंट की या नहीं हुई है

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : गवर्नमेंट को रहना नहीं चाहिये । इसको एकदम त्याग-पत्र दे देना चाहिये । विदेश मंत्री से तो एक दम त्यागपत्र लिया जाय ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : वह आपके प्रवक्तव्य की बात है और किसी के (इंटरप्रांश) मैं आपको जो कल है उसको पढ़कर सुना देता हूँ

श्री राम सेवक यादव (बाराबंकी)
इस सम्बन्ध में अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा भी
एक निवेदन सुन लें ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : आप जरा ठहर
जायें । रूल 197 में यह लिखा हुआ है

"...to any matter of urgent
public importance and the Minister
may make a brief statement
or ask for time to make a state-
ment at a later hour or date".

श्री राम सेवक यादव : अध्यक्ष
महोदय ...

अध्यक्ष महोदय : इस तरह से नहीं
चल सकता है कि चार चार आदमी खड़े
हो जायें । अगर चार चार आदमी एक
साथ खड़े हो जायें तो किस तरह से काम
चल सकता है ?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Why did he
not tell us today? Since AIR has already
broadcast the news, the hon.
Minister certainly knew it that he had
a meeting.

अध्यक्ष महोदय : बनर्जी साहब यह
नहीं हो सकता है कि आप बोले चले जायें
बैठे हुए भी और खड़े हुए भी । मैं खड़ा
हूँ और आप बोले जा रहे

श्री स० मो० बनर्जी : मैं कम्प्लीट
कर रहा था ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : कम्प्लीट करने का
यह मतलब नहीं है कि आप यों ही बोले
चले जायें जब मैं बोल रहा हूँ तो.....

श्री राम सेवक यादव : अध्यक्ष
महोदय, विषय बहुत महत्वपूर्ण है । इस
को ध्यान में रखते हुए मैं इतना ही आपसे
निवेदन करना चाहता हूँ कि विदेश मंत्री या
प्रधान मंत्री जी चार बजे या पांच बजे या
कल भी बयान दे सकते हैं । इस समय प्रश्न
यह है कि जो घटना घटनी थी वह घट चुकी
है और भागे कुछ भयंकर नतीजे निकल सकते

हैं । उसका मुकबला करने के लिए तत्काल
क्या मंत्री महोदय के दिमाग में है, यह उन
को सदन में बताना चाहिये । मैं चाहता
हूँ कि काम रोकने प्रस्ताव को मान लिया
जाता (इंटरप्शन)

नयाबों के दरबार की तरह तालियाँ
बजाकर काम किया जाए, यह बरदास्त
नहीं किया जा सकता है ।

श्री मधु लिमये : मुक्ति सेना आयेगी
तब पता चलेगा ।

श्री रघुनाथ सिंह (बाराणसी) :
उसका सामना हम करेंगे ।

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : नेफा में
आपने क्या किया, हमको पता है ।

श्री मधु लिमये : देश को बेचना
चाहते हैं ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : अगर आप प्रोसी-
डिग को इस तरह से चलाना चाहते हैं तो
इस तरह से चलने नहीं दिया जा सकता है ।

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : नेफा से भाग
कर चले आये हैं ।

श्री राम सेवक यादव : गलती पर
गलती किये जा रहे हैं ।

श्री मधु लिमये : सामने पेंकिंग के
एजेंडः बहुत हैं ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : मुझे अप्पसोस के
के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि वाक्यादा तीर
पर शोर मचा हुआ है । मैं इसको कैसे कर
सकता हूँ जब तक फ़ैटसू न ले लूँ । आप
बार बार एक ही चीज को क जायेंगे ।
आप ने तो व्याख्यान ही दे दिया है । सब
जो कुछ आप कहना चाहते थे कह दिया ।
कोई वक्त नहीं था इस व्याख्यान के लिए
मैं इसको कैसे कर सकता हूँ । वाक्यादा
मेरे सामने होने चाहियें ।

श्री स० मो० बनर्जी : काम रोकने प्रस्ताव
से इसको आपने कालिग एटेंशन मॉडिस कर
दिया है ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : आप रूल चाहते हैं? मैं वह भी बता देता हूँ। जब मेरे पास कोई काम रोको प्रस्ताव आता है तो मुझे वाक्यात देखने होते हैं कि आया फेल्योर है या नहीं है। मैं उन वाक्यात को मालूम करता हूँ। ये वाक्यात मुझे अभी मालूम नहीं हुए हैं। इसलिए मैं ने इसकी इजाजत दी है ताकि मुझे वाक्यात मालूम हों और मैं फैसला कर सकूँ।

श्री स० भो० बनर्जी : आपने कॉमिंग एटेंशन नोटिस एडमिट कर लिया है।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : अभी दूसरा नामजूर नहीं किया है। जब तक वाक्यात नहीं आ जाते हैं तब तक मैं फैसला नहीं कर सकता हूँ। अगर आप बहुत ज्यादा ज़िद्द करते हैं तो मैं अभी फैसला दे सकता हूँ। मैं कहना चाहता हूँ कि किसी हालत में भी अब इसको इस वक्त एडमिट नहीं किया जा सकता है। इस खयाल से कि इसकी इम्पार्टेंस बहुत ज्यादा है, इसको मैं ने पॉन्डिंग रखा है। जब डिबेट चल रही हो एक्सटर्नल एफेयर्स की तो किसी भी हालत में काम रोको जो प्रस्ताव है वह दाखिल नहीं हो सकता है। बजाय इसके कि मैं इसका सहारा लूँ मैं आपकी हेल्प कर रहा हूँ। अगर आप इस बात का अभी फैसला चाहते हैं तो मैं अभी देने के लिए तैयार हूँ। यह दाखिल नहीं हो सकता है जब एक्सटर्नल एफेयर्स की बहस चल रही हो। ऐसे वक्त कोई दूसरा काम रोको प्रस्ताव चल नहीं सकता है।

श्री मधु लिमये : काश्मीर का मामला अन्दरूनी मामला भी है।

श्री प्रकाशवीर साहबी : प्रश्न एडमिशन का नहीं है। जो मैं कह रहा हूँ...

अध्यक्ष महोदय : श्री कपूर सिंह।

Shri Kapur Singh: I have to make a respectful suggestion with regard to the time of 48 hours you have stipulated for Government's making a reply to you in connection with call attention notices. Of late, we have found that our call attention notices, which really are sometimes a substitute for adjournment motions, and which have therefore a sense of urgency naturally belonging to these matters, are not treated by Government with that urgency; that sense of urgency is not being felt by Government. I therefore suggest that this period may be reduced to 24 hours ordinarily, unless you think that 48 hours are necessary in exceptional cases.

Shri Ansar Harvani (Bisauli): On a point of order, Shri Ram Sewak Yadav has described this august House as a 'Nawab's Durbar'. This is most humiliating and most insulting. I will request you to direct that this remark of the hon. Member be expunged from the proceedings of the House.

श्री राम सेवक यादव : यह गलत है जो माननीय सदस्य कह रहे हैं। मैं सफाई देना...

अध्यक्ष महोदय : बगैर उस वक्त आउट करने के इसी तरह से आप कह देते तो क्या...

श्री राम सेवक यादव : उन्होंने गलत बात कही है। इसकी मैं सफाई देना चाहता हूँ। मैंने यह कहा था कि इस सदन को बाजिद अली शाह का दरबार बनाने का प्रयत्न न करें। मैंने यह इसलिए कहा कि जब प्रधान मन्त्री ने कहा कि "बरदाश्त नहीं किया जाएगा" तो हमारे भाई लोगों ने, उधर बैठे हुए मानदीय सदस्यों ने बड़े जोर से तालियां बजाईं। मैंने कहा कि इससे काम नहीं चलेगा।

Shri Ansar Harvani: I would request you to call for the records and see if he has not made that remark in describing this House.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf (Jammu and Kashmir): The matter is most serious and it may lead to very serious consequences. I think the hon. Minister of External Affairs is quite correct in asking for time, because I think there is some deep-laid international conspiracy being hatched. This morning, I have seen in the papers that Sheikh Abdullah has given an interview to *Le Monde*, Paris, in which he has said that he does not intend to go back to his country, that is, Kashmir. He did not mean India.

Therefore, it would be very correct for all of us to go to the very depth of this question. The time the hon. Minister has asked for should be given so that he could go into it thoroughly and then come with a fuller statement.

Mr. Speaker: I have already given him time. What is the point in stressing the point again.

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Calcutta South West): When will the hon. Minister make the statement?

Mr. Speaker: Tomorrow, after question hour.

Shri Swaran Singh: Yes.

12.28 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER COMPANIES ACT

The Minister of Finance (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of Notification No. G.S.R. 297 dated 27th February 1965, under sub-section (3) of section 620A of the Companies Act, 1965.

[Placed in Library, See No. LT-4114/65]

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON PANCHAYATI RAJ ELECTIONS

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Community Development and Co-operation (Shri Shinde): On behalf of Shri S. K. Dey, I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the Report of the Committee on Panchayati Raj Elections, 1965. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-4115/65].

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER CENTRAL EXCISE AND SALT ACT, CUSTOMS ACT AND INCOME-TAX ACT

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Finance (Shri Rameshwar Sahu): I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Notifications:—

- (i) GSR 290 dated 27th February 1965, making certain further amendment to the Customs and Central Excise Duties Export Drawback (General) Rules, 1960, under section 38 of the Central Excises and Salt Act, 1944;

[Placed in Library, See No. LT-4116/65].

- (ii) GSR 294 dated 27th February 1965, under section 159 of the Customs Act, 1962;