7427 Meeting between CHAITRA 12,

 (ii) Reported meeting between Sheikh Abdullah and the Chinese Prime Minister—contd.

Mr. Speaker: The External Affairs Minister wanted time yesterday to make the statement about Sheikh Abdullah. Is he making it now?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): I would place before the House....

श्री बागड़ी (हिंसार) : बयान के पहले मैं एक निवेदन करना चाहता हूं । जिस ग्राधार पर यह बयान दिया जा रहा है उसके बाद से एक नई खबर छपी है और वह यह है की गख ग्रब्दुल्सा श्री चाऊ एन-साई से बातचीत कर चुके हैं । यह बयान उस ग्राधार पर दिया जा रहा है जब बातचीत वह करने जा रहे थे ....

ग्राध्यक्ष महोदयः सारी चीत्र आ जाएगी।

Shri Swaran Singh: In reply to the calling-attention-notice regarding Sheikh Abdullah's reported call at Algiers on the Prime Minister of China, Mr. Chou En-lai, I should like to make the following statement

Hon. Members have expressed their anxiety to have some information regarding this matter, and this we have been trying to gather ever since we came to hear of the matter. Sheikh Abdullah flew down to Algiers from Paris, and after staying in Algiers for a week or so, it appears that he proposes to leave for Saudi Arabia to perform the Haj with a brief stopover at Cairo. We also gather from our Embassy in Algiers that Sheikh Abdullah has been in contact with the

CHAITRA 12, 1887 (SAKA) Sheikh Abdullah 7428 and Chinese Premier (C.A.)

Algerian Foreign Office and has met various Algerian personalities.

By a coincidence, our Foreign Secretary happened to be in Algiers at the time of Sheikh Abdullah's visit, to attend the meeting of the Standing Committee of the Afro-Asian Conference. As hon. Members are aware, the Afro-Asian Conference is due to meet at the end of June. The Foreign Secretary is on his way back and is due in New Delhi tonight or tomorrow morning. We would like to have first-hand information from him about Sheikh Abdullah's activities in Algiers . . . (Interruptions).

श्री बागड़ी : ग्रपनी जिम्मेदारी समझों । यह कथा क्या बता रहे हो ?

म्राघ्यक्ष महोदयः ग्राप बैठ जायें। नहीं सुनाते हैं।

Shri Swaran Singh:..and whatever information he gathered about his talk with Mr. Chou En-lai I hope hon. Members would agree that it would be better....

Some hon. Members: No. Not at all.

Shri Swaran Singh: I hope hon. Members would agree that it would be better if we were to make a report to the House after we have had a talk with the Foreign Secretary. I would like to assure the House that after we have considered the information available, Government would not hesitate to take whatever action would be merited by the nature of Sheikh Abdullah's activities and the kind of conversation he has indulged in.

I should only like to add that we have instructed our Ambassador in Algiers to make it abundantly elear to Sheikh Abdullah that Government consider that it would be most improper if he were to visit China, for reasons which should be obvious to him and that if he should act contrary<sup>3</sup> to Government's wishes and advice, 7429 Meeting between

[Shri Swaran Singh]

a serious view will be taken of his activities.

Shri Hem Barua: On a point of order....

श्री मध लिमये: (मंगेर) : मैं एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठाना चाहता हं ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप बैठ जाइये ।

Mr. Speaker: When is the Foreign Secretary expected here?

Shri Swaran Singh: Tonight, or tomorrow morning at the latest.

Mr. Speaker: What is the earliest time that the Government can make the statement after ascertaining from him?

Shri Swaran Singh: Monday.

Shri Hem Barua: On a point of order. We have a diplomatic mission in Algiers and that diplomatic mission was instructed to watch the movements of Sheikh Abdullah and report matters to us. Now, the newspapers have reported that Sheikh Abdullah has not only met Mr. Chou En-lai but that he proposes to go to Peking, in spite of what our Prime Minister said. I have an apprehension that he might set up an emigre government in Sinkiang under Chinese auspices.

Now, our Foreign Secretary, Shri C. S. Jha, was present there in Algiers when Sheikh Abdullah met Mr. Chou En-lai, and he knew everything about it, because Sheikh Abdullah met him also.

Mr. Speaker: He should come now to the point of order.

Shri Hem Barua: I will come to that.

This is the series of events. We have a diplomatic mission there and that diplomatic mission has miserably failed to report to the Government. Secondly, we have had our Foreign Secretary present there during the meeting between Sheikh Abdullah and Mr. Chou En-lai, and he has also miserably failed to report to the Government.

Mr. Speaker: What is the point of order?

Shri Raghunath Singh: Is it а speech or a point of order?

Shri Hem Barua: Yesterday vou were pleased to say that you had called upon the Minister to make a statement within 48 hours. Yesterday when this matter had cropped up in the House, the Minister wanted some time to make a statement today. It was his own choice; we did not want that he should make a statement today. Now, today he comes and tells this House that he cannot make statement unless and until the Foreign Secretary flies back to India.

The Foreign Secretary was there. He knew everything about it. How is it that he did not report to Government immediately about this meeting? It is a very serious matter. The Government have gone back upon their assurance and it is upto to you now to defend our rights when the Government are treating us in such a casual and off hand manner.

Mr. Speaker: At the utmost, the allegation can be that they have failed to keep the promise that he made yesterday. But what is it that I should do, and what is the decision I should give according to the rules? What is the point of order that I should decide? (Interruptions). I must first learn and try to understand the point of order so that I can answer it. What is the decision I have to give? (Interruption).

श्री मत लिमये : मैं पहले से कह रहा था ....

7431 Meeting between CHAITRA 12, 1887 (SAKA) Shcikh Abdullah 74

**श्रध्यक्ष महोदय**ः ग्रापको भी सुन लेता हं।

श्री मधुलिम**थे :** कभी हम को पहले आप मौकाही नहीं देते हैं । पहले हम खड़े होते हैं लेकिन मौका पहले नहीं मिलता है । यह बड़ा अन्याय होता है हमारे साथ ।

**म्राप्यक्ष महोदय**ः ग्राप बैठ जाइये । इससे ज्यादा न कहिये ।

श्रीमधुलिमवेः ऐसाही करेंगे ग्राप । त्रापके लाडले हैं न ।

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): Apart from the question of points of order, of which, of course, you can take a very technical view there are certain matters of propriety which also flow from Government's observance or non-observance of certain canons of conduct. On this occasion, Shri Barua has pointed out that you had laid down this 48-hour limit because you felt that when Members of Parliament called attention to a matter of very urgent public importance-and you also agreed that it was a matter of urgent public importance-it was for Government to come forward as quick as ever that was possible. In this case, it may be that you were right in giving Government another opportunity to consult their official .....

Mr. Speaker: I have not given that opportunity.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: You have conceded that to Government.

Mr. Speaker: No. no. I have not said that. I only wanted to elicit facts, what do they want. I have not given them any time.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: My point is that since Government have offered to come back again on Monday—and that is what they have said in answer to your question—we might conceivably be expected to wait; but in the meantime, this House certainly has a right to know, because we are discussing affairs of Government during 1887 (SAKA) Sheikh Abdullah 7437 and Chinese Premier (C.A.)

this budget session, as to how our apparatus works. diplomatic The Minister has told us that the Foreign Secretary had been in Algiers for a couple of days or more. Our diplomatic representation is there, and an Indian national of the celebrity, and notoriety, of Sheikh Abdullah is in Algiers for more than a week, and he is hobnobbing with all kinds, and conditions, of people. I want to know how it is that the functioning of our Algiers. diplomatic apparatus in which is in telephonic communication with New Delhi, I am sure, is so bad that we have to wait upon the arrival of a particular official, however distinguished he may be, in order to get some idea as to what Government are doing in regard to a matter which is agitating the country.

This is a matter of such importance . . . (Interruptions).

श्री मधु लिमये : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, कल ग्रापने यह फरमाया था कि ग्राप उन का बयान मुन लेंगे, उस के बाद देखेंगे कि इस में सरकार की कोई घोर ग्रसफलता हुई है या नहीं । ग्राज तो उन का बयान ग्राया है उससे विलकुल साफ हो जाता है कि वह बयान नहीं दे सकते हैं । इससे ज्यादा घोर ग्रसफलता सरकार की ग्रौर क्या हो सकती है । इस सम्बन्ध में केवल नौ बातें मैं ग्रापके सामने कहूंगा । एक एक वाक्य है, ज्यादा समय नहीं लंगा ।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : नौ बातें ?

श्री मधु लिमय : क्योंकि मैंने अ-सफलता के बारे में ग्रापसे व्यवस्था चाही है ।

प्राच्यक्ष महोदय : इस वक्त प्रसफलता का सवाल नहीं है । इस वक्त सवाल है प्वाइंट ग्राफ प्रार्डर का । ग्रसफलता जो है वह तो बाद में देखी जायेगी ।

श्रीमघुलिमयेः उसीमें से व्यवस्था का प्रश्न श्रायेगा।

#### Meeting between 43 3

APRIL 2, 1965

प्राप्यक्ष महोदय : नहीं, जी नहीं । भापने जो व्यवस्था का प्रक्रन उठाया उस के बारे में बतलाईये । ग्रमफलता की बात बाद में ग्रायेगी ।

भी मध सिमये : आपने यह कहा था कि उन का बयान सूनने के बाद ग्राप फैसला करेंगे कि ग्रसफलता हई है या नहीं उनका जो बयान है उससे ज्यादा कोई मौर सबत ग्रसफलता के लिए ग्रावश्यक नहीं है । इसलिए मैं आप से अर्ज करूंगा कि हमारा जो स्थगन प्रस्ताव है, उसपर ग्राप फैसला दे और इस के लिए ग्राप ग्राज ही समय नियत कर दें यदि इस समय नौ बात अर्ज नहीं करने देते ।

ग्राध्यक्ष महोदय : इस समय वह सवाल नहीं है । आप बतलाइये कि इस में कौन सा प्वाइंट ग्राफ ग्रार्डर है। क्या मैंने कोई फैसला दे दिया था कि वह ग्रसफलता नहीं है। ग्राप खाडे हो गये ग्रीर मेरे ऊपर भी इल्जाम लगाया कि मैं पहले ग्राप को वक्त नहीं देता । ग्राप ने इतनी जोर से ग्रावाजें दीं ग्रौर कहा कि मैं दूसरों को पहले वक्त दे रहा हं। मैंने ग्राप का प्वाइंट ग्राफ आईर सूना तो वह यह था कि आप की राय में गवनंमेंट की ग्रसफलता है। मैं ग्रभी कोई फैसना नहीं दे रहा था

श्री मधु लिमये : यह बयान की ग्रसफलता है, यह मेरा निवेदन है ।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : इस में व्यवस्था की कौन मी बात है । क्या मैंने कुछ होल्ड किया है या नहीं।

श्री मधुलिमये : मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न यह है कि स्थगन प्रस्ताव को लिया जाये।

Shri Hem Barua: May I make a submission?

Mr. Speaker: No. Unless I identify a Member, no one shall speak. Ali should sit down.

#### Sheikh Abdullah and 7434 Chinese Premier (C.A.)

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : (बिजनौर) कल जिस समय ध्यान ग्राकर्षण प्रस्ताव ग्रौर प्रस्ताव दोनो ग्राप के सामने कामरोको उपस्थित थे तो ग्राप ने ध्यान ग्राकर्षण प्रस्तव पर सरकार को चौब स घटों के ग्रन्दर वक्तव्य देने के लिए कहा था ग्रौर कहा था कि तब फिर ग्राप इस पर निर्णय लेंगे कि ग्राया इस पर काम रोको प्रस्ताव ग्रा सकता है अथवा नहीं । चौबीस घंटे बाद ग्राज सरकार ने जो वक्तव्य दिया है उस वक्तव्य से न तो सदन को सन्तुष्टि है ग्रौर न, मेरा ग्रनुमान है, आप ही उस से सन्तूष्ट होंगे। इसी बीच में जो घटनायें घटी हैं वह यह कि जेख ग्रब्दल्ला

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप यह बतलाइये कि इस में व्यवस्थाका कौन सा प्रश्न है।

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : मैंने व्यवस्था का प्रश्न नहीं उठाया था।

भ्राप्यक्ष महोदय: यहां प्याइंट ग्राफ **ब्राईर उठाया गया । इस के सम्बन्ध** में बाकी चर्चातो चल नहीं रही है। जो भी मेम्बर साहबान खडे हो रहे हैं वे इसी बात पर मेरे ऊपर इल्जाम लगा रहेहैं कि मैं ने कहा था कि उन का बयान मूनकर फैसला दूंगा ।

कुछ माननीय सदस्य : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय ।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप सब बैठ जायें । जितने साहब यहां बोले उन्होंने यही कहा कि कल गवन मेंट को वक्त दिया गाथा ग्रौर मैंने कहा था कि उन का बयान सून कर मैं इस पर फैसला दुंगा कि आया असफलता हई है या नहीं स्रोर मैं काम रोको प्रस्ताव की इजाजत दुंया नहीं । म्राप ने गवर्नमेंट का बयान सूना । मुझ को ग्राप फैसला ही नहीं देते । पहले ही सब कुछ हो गया । क्या मैंने कोई फैसला िया है, क्या मैंने कुछ कहा है कि मैं इस की इजाजत देता हं या नहीं ।

7435 Meeting between CHAITRA 12, 1887 (SAKA) Sheikh Abdullah 7436 and Chinese Premier (C.A.)

भी रामसेवक यादव (बाराबंकी) : समय का सवाल उठाया गया कि मंत्री महोदय कब बयान देंगे ।

**भ्रष्यक्ष महोदयः भ**वंक्यार्में उन से यहभी नहीं पूछ सकताकि वह क्यावक्त मांगते हैं। यहतो मैं पूछ सकताहं।

श्वी रामसेवक यादव : मौजूदा परिस्थिति में जो बयान वह दे रहे थे उस का प्रग्न नहीं उठता था। वह तो खुद कहते हैं .....

प्राध्यक्ष महरोबय : मैं यही तो उनसे जानना चाहता था किं वह किस वक्त देंगे । प्रगर वह यह कहें कि वह चार बजे बयान देने के लिए तैयार हैं तो यह प्रलहदा बात है प्रगर वह कहें कि पांच दिन बाद देने के लिए तैयार हैं तो वह प्रलहदा बात है । यह सवाल किस तरह से नहीं उठता । इस को सुनकर क्या मुझे सोचना नहीं है ।

Shri Hem Barua: May I put a straight question to you?

प्रभ्यक्ष महोदय : मुझे कहने तो दीजिये । जब मैंने स्रभी तक कोई फैसला नहीं दिया तो मेरी समझ में यह नहीं ग्राता कि व्यवस्था का प्रश्न कहां से उठ गया ग्रीर मेरे ऊपर इल्जाम लगाया गया कि मैं ने उन को बक्त नहीं दे दिया । क्या मैं ने गवर्न-मेंट की बात मान ली क्या मैं उनसे पूछ भी नहीं सकता कि किस वक्त तक वह जल्दी से जल्दी बयान दे सकते हैं । यही तो किया था मैंने । ग्रीर क्या िया है मैंने जिस पर यह ऐतराज उठ गया, यह मेरी समझ में नहीं आता ।

भी प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : यदि ग्राप फैसला देने से पहले मेरी बात सुन लें तो ग्राप को निर्णय देने में सहायता होगी । भ्राप्थक्ष महोदय : जब मुक्ते सहायता की जरूरत होगी तो मैं जरूर ले लूंगा । लेकिन जब एक को बुलाता हूं तो दूसरे क्यों उठ जाते हैं ।

Shri Hem Barua: My straight question to you is this. Yesterday, the hon. Prime Minister said that the Government took a serious view of Sheikh Abdullah's meeting Mr. Chou En-Lai. The day before yesterday, our Foreign Minister said that Government would take a serious view. The progress so far is from 'would take' to 'took' a serious view. Don't you think that in this context we have a legitimate right to know about the reaction of the Government about the steps that the Government have contemplated to take against this organised conspiracy....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He would sit down.

Shri Hem Barua: Don't you think so, Sir?

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Sir, I rise on a point of order. Yesterday the hon. External Affairs Minister wanted more time and you were kind....

Shri Hem Barua: Kind to them.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: . . and you gave them time to collect more information. We heard the statement of the hon. Minister of External Affairs. Whatever possible information we could gather from the newspapers is with us. I am unable to believe that with all the powers of the Government machinery, they are unable to get information from those countries. My point is that the Minister of External Affairs or his colleagues in the Cabinet are deliberately and wilfully trying to mislead this House and conceal facts from the House. I am sorry to use this expression that indirectly they are trying to help the traitor to go to China and set up his own Government.

#### 7437 Meeting between

Sheikh Abdullah and 7438 Chinese Premier (C.A.)

Shri Raghunath Singh: Is it a speecn or a question or a point of order or an insinuation?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My point of order is this. Is it open to the minister to do like this after due notice has been given and after the calling attention notice had been admitted by you and an opportunity had already been given to the Minister, is it open to the hon. Minister to take protection and delay and try to conceal particular facts from this House, when everything has come out in the newspapers; I want to know whether he should not be held up for such things, for trying to mislead this House. It is high time that this Minister resigns....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He should resume his seat now.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My resumption will not help the Indian Government. I obey your orders, Sir; I resume my seat.

Mr. Speaker: Your continuing in this manner would help India? What should I do when your conduct is such? . . . (Interruptions).

श्री बागड़ी : ग्रघ्यक्ष महोदय मेरा ग्राप से सिर्फ एक निवेदन है कि इस तरीके से देश के ग्रन्दर जो एक जहती है ग्रीर देश की जनता का जो विग्र्वास है वह बुरी तरह से टूट रहा है । सभी लोग इधर उधर देशभक्ति की बात जरूर करते हैं, लेकिन शेख ग्रब्दुल्ला का जेल से निकाला जाना, इस तरह की उसे शोहरत मिलना, उसके ग्रपने को काश्मीरी मुर्सलम लिखने के बावजूद भी उस को पासपोर्ट देना, 35 हजार रुपये देकर के उसे बाहर भेजना ....

म्राप्यक महोदय : इस पर तो इस समय बहस नहीं हो सकती है ।

श्री बागड़ी: श्रत्जीरिया से किसी तरीके से फांस पहुंच जाना, किसी किस्म की कड़ी से जनता को गुमराह करना, इस के ूबारे में देश के प्रधान मंत्री की सब से बड़ी किमी ग्रीर साजिश हुई है, इस के ऊपर सात को सोचना चाहिये ।

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I am not going to allow this practice.

An hon. Member: Sir, on a point of order---,

Mr. Speaker: In all that has been raised so far, there was not one point of order.

Shri Khadilkar (Khed): Will you permit me to make one point-

Mr. Speaker: Simply under the guise of a point of order, I will not allow it. (Interruption). Order, order, Shall we continue to discuss a thing out of which nothing might come out? We should take some concrete step and try to proceed further.

Shri Alvares (Panjim): On a point of order-

Mr. Speaker: Has anything come out of those points of order that have been raised so far? Nothing.

Several hon. Members rose-

Shri Alvares: My point of order is---

Mr. Speaker: So many hon. Members are standing. Order, order.

Shri Alvares: My point of order is this. We do not make points of order frivolously.

Mr. Speaker: May I ask the hon. Member to give his judgment whether any of these things that have been raised earlier were points of crder?

Shri Alvares: That is not for me to say, Sir. I would like to raise a point of order. I do not generally raise any points of order in the House.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Khadilkar. Is it a point of order?

Shri Khadilkar: No point of order. I am just mentioning that on the point

# 7439 Meeting between CHAITRA 12, 1887 (SAKA) Sheikh Abduilah 7440

of order many submissions have been made because there was no point of order anywhere. The question is before you give your decision whether, as has been said, some more time should be allowed to ascertain facia, or, as Members in the Opposition have said whether the Government should come out immediately with a statement. Mv submission is, Sheikh Abdullah's utterances and movements have been highlighted by the foreign press and the press is interested in twisting what has happened. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

श्री यद्मपाल सिंह (कैराना) : ग्राप प्वाइंटं ग्राफ ग्रार्डर को मौका ही नहीं दे रहे हैं. ग्रौरों को मौका दे रहे हैं ।

**अध्यक्ष महोदयः** क्या है ग्राप का प्राइंट प्राफ ग्राइंट ।

श्री यशपालसिंह : मैं यह कह रहा हूं कि स्पीकर साहब का स्थान यहां हमारे लिए सर्वोच्च है । कल स्पीकर साहब ने भरे हाउस में फैसला दिया या कि वह कल फैसला देंगे, ग्रीर वह फैसला स्पीकर साहब नहीं दे सकें हैं इसका कारण विदेश मंती हैं ।

म्राप्यक्ष महोदयः श्राप मुझे मौका भी दें, फैसला देने का, पहले ही झोर कर रहे हैं।

Shri Alvares: May I be permitted to raise my point of order?

Mr. Speaker: Yes. He might also raise it.

Shri Alvares: My point does not arise from the admissibility or propriety or impropriety of not making a statement today. It arises from the text of the statement made by the Minister of External Affairs this morning. It is in regard to a contradiction of policy. Two days ago, the Prime Minister said that he would not permit Sheikh Abdullah.... 57(Ai) LSD-4. and Chinese Premier (C.A.)

Mr. Speaker: That is a different thing.

Shri Alvares: He has made a statement.

Shri Hari Vishnu Karuath (Hoshangabad): Sir, I would like to cite the rules. I would like to invite your attention to rule 197. I request you to pay very close attention to the wording of this rule. This is in regard to calling attention to matters of urgent public importance. The rule reads like this:

"A member may, with the previous permission of the Speaker, call the attention of a Minister to any matter of urgent public importance....

Now comes the important wording-

"and the Minister may make a brief statement or ask for time to make a statement at a later hour or date."

. If we examine the import of this wording, it means this. The hon. Minister did yesterday, after due deliberation-because you asked him to make a categorical statement,-say that he would make a statement today. This rule, in my humble judgment, does not permit or enable the Minister or the Government to go on asking for time. They have asked for time once. They cannot ask for time again. Once they asked, and it was given to them. But they cannot be given time on the second occasion. Only once, and not more, can they be given time. There is no rule under which you can go on permitting them more time.

Mr. Speaker: What is the remedy that he would suggest? If that is not made, if the statement is not made and the Minister asks for time, what is the remedy that he suggests? (Interruption). Order, order. These talks simply do not take us anywhere Some useful purpose should be served by

#### 7441 Meeting between

#### APRIL 2, 1965 Sheikh Abdullah and 7442 Chinese Premier (C.A.)

## [Mr. Speaker]

any interruption that is made. The Opposition Members have a responsibility as any others. They should realise their responsibilities as well. (*Interruption*). Order, order. The safest course for me then is this. There is a demand on the one side and there is opposition on the other. I will leave it to the House and ask for a vote.

### Some hon. Members: No, no.

**Mr. Speaker:** What should I do then, if I am not allowed to give my decision? That is the only course that I can adopt.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur (Jalore): I do not think that is the intention. My submission is about your last observation about your decision or ruling. The House wants you to give your ruling. That is the wish, both on this side and on the other side.

Mr. Speaker: He should realise that if I am not allowed to speak even and not allowed to give my decision, what is left for me to do. I should leave it to the House.

**Shri Raghunath Singh:** We will abide by your ruling, and we shall obey the Chair. (*Interruption*).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. When I am not allowed to speak, what should I do?

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): There are two things that I want to submit. Yesterday, there was a great uproar in the House on this question, and the Prime Minister made a statement, and the House became quiet. The House listened to the Prime Minister with rapt attention, and they thought that the same thing would be done today also. Since there is a lot of disturbance in the House, the Prime Minister should give some kind of reassurance to this House so that the House becomes quiet. (Interruption). Secondly, I do not think we should call for any vote. We would be guided by your ruling entirely.

You are the supreme master of this House and we do not want that your authority should be whittled down in any way.

Mr. Speaker: I am not being allowed to exercise my authority here.

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): We await your ruling. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Nobody has awaited my ruling and I am not allowed to give my ruling.

Shri Daji (Indore): I only want to seek a clarification without aportioning any blame to anyone. I would like to know definitely whether this statement that was read out is all the information that has been received by the Government from the embassy at Algiers. That is very important.

Mr. Speaker: That is a different thing.

श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : मैं बड़ी नग्रता से ग्रापसे निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि व्यवस्या देने से पूर्व या सदन का मत जानने से पूर्व, ग्राप एक बात पर ग्रवश्य विचार कर लें। कर्ल ग्रापने सरकार को समय दिया या कि वह 24 घंटे में जानकारी ले कर सदन के सामने प्रस्तुत करें, ग्रीर उसके बाद ग्राप व्यवस्या देंगे कि काम रोको प्रस्ताव को स्वीकार किया जाए ग्रयवा नहीं।

विदेश मंती ने जो वक्तव्य दिया है वह इतना निराशापूर्ण है कि उससे कोई समाधान नहीं होता। उनको 24 घंटे के अन्दर अपने राजदूतावास से सारी जान-कारी लेकर श्राज संसद को श्रौर संसद के ढारा सारे देश को देनी चाहिए थी, लेकिन विदेश मंती ने ग्राज ऐसा वक्तव्य दिया है कि मानों उनके पास कोई जानकारी नहीं है ग्रौर वह चौराहे पर खड़े व्यक्ति की तरह कोई वक्तव्य दे रहे हैं। जब कि दूसरी ग्रौर स्थिति यह है कि शेख प्रब्दुल्ला ने चाऊ एन लाई से ग्रपनी मुलाकात के

## 7443 Meeting between CHAITRA 12, 1887 (SAKA) Sheikh Abdullah

सारे तथ्य दिए हैं कि इस मलाकात में क्या क्या बातें हई हैं, ग्रौर यह भी कहा कि अवसर मिलने पर वह चीन अवश्य जाएंगे। दूसरे काहिरा में जो चीन का दतावास है उसने स्पष्ट रूप से यह घोषणा की है कि वह शनिवार को काहिरा पहुंच रहेहैं जहां से वह हज करने को जाएंगे, जहां से वह पाकिस्तान जाएंगे. फिर पाकि-स्तान से पीकिंग जाएंगे ग्रौर फिर पीकिंग से पाकिस्तान ग्रावेंगे ।

इसी बीच दूसरा तथ्य यह भी सामने ग्राया है कि नागा नेता फिजो भी इस बीच में चन जारहे हैं। गृह मंत्री जीने कुछ दिन पूर्व नागालैंड में जो उपद्रव हो रहेथे उनके सम्बन्ध में कहा था कि चीन नागा लोगों को गुरिल्ला ट्रेनिंग दे रहा है। ऐसी स्थिति में फिजो का चीन जाना, शेख ग्रब्दल्ला का चीन जाना, ग्रौर चीन के विदेश मंत्री का नेपाल ग्रौर पाकिस्तान जाना. इन तथ्यों के प्रकाश में आने के बाद भी ग्रब तक भारत सरकार चुप बैठी है। क्या जो परिस्थिति सामने आ रही है उससे भारत के लिए खतरा पैदा नहीं हो रहा है भौर क्या ये प्रयास देश की ग्रखंडता को खतरे में डालने के लिए नहीं किए जा रहे हैं। मैं समझता हं कि ऐसी स्थिति में विदेश मंत्री को कोई वक्तव्य न देना राजनीतिक ग्रसावधानी है, ग्रौर हम समझते हैं कि हमारा राजदूतावास भी वहां कोई कार्यबाही नहीं कर रहा है। विदेश मंत्रालय के जो सेकेटरी हैं उन्होंने भी विदेश मंत्री को पूरी जानकारी नहीं दी इस प्रकार वे भी अपनी जिम्मेवारी से हटे हैं। सरकार की इससे बडी विफलता ग्रौर कोई निहीं हो सकती । इसलिए मेरा ग्रापसे विनम्ग्र ग्रनरोध हैकि इस स्थगन प्रस्ताव को स्वीकार करें ग्रौर ग्राज इस पर चर्चा ग्रवश्य होनी चाहिए ।

Shri Sham Lal Saraf (Jammu and Kashmir): I feel that one important

#### 7444 and Chinese Premier (C.A.)

piece of information is wanted from Government and perhaps that may have a very important bearing on this subject. The Afro-Asian conference is going to be held there and 0117 Foreign Secretary is to attend that conference as a representative of this country. Now that he is coming back, I want to know whether he is being called back by Government for some specific purpose which has not been explained or he was scheduled to arrive here tomorrow.

#### Mr. Speaker: What does this matter?

श्री किञन पटनायक (सम्बलपूर): मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहता हं कि विदेश मंत्री के लिए एक बयान देने के वास्ते कोई खास जानकारी की इस वक्त जरूरत नहीं है क्योंकि शेख ग्रब्दुल्ला ने चाऊ एन लाई से किस भाषा में बातचीत की है या क्या क्या बातचीत की है वह ज्यादा जरूरी नहीं है जितना कि यह जानना कि शेख ग्रब्दूल्ला विदेश में किस प्रकार का काम कर रहेहें ग्रौर शेख ग्रब्दुल्ला जिस प्रकार का काम कर रहे हैं उसके प्रति सरकार का क्या रुख है, क्या नीति है उस पर बयान देने की जरूरत है । ग्रपनी नीति. ग्रपना रुख ग्रगर यह जल्दी हाउस को नहीं बतलाते हैं तो उससे यह नतीजा लग सकता है कि यह सरकार निश्चय ही नहीं कर पा रही है, कोई बात तय ही नहीं कर पारही है...

Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri Shri (Berhampur): I am a little confounded about the business we are discussing. Thrice or more than that, you wanted to give your ruling, but you have not given it. On the other hand, you are allowing members to discuss the admissibility of the adjournment motion that has been moved. If you do not give the ruling, I take it that you are allowing us to discuss the admissibility of the motion. On that, I would like to point out

#### Sheikh Abdullah and 7446 Chinese Premier (C.A.)

[Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri]

certain great lapses on the part of Government.

The other fact which has not been stated is in this matter the whole functioning of the Foreign Office and the Foreign Office secretariat is involved. Only three days back, there was the news that our Ambassador to the United States, our Acting High Commissioner in Great Britain, our Ambassador in Paris and another fourth Ambassador had come away from their stations, taking advantage of a free flight in the Air India. At the very time when in Paris Mr. Sheikh Abdullah was having confabulations with certain objectionable people and when he went from Paris to Algiers, all our Heads of Missions were absent. So, there is a grave doubt in our minds that the Foreign Office is functioning absolutely inefficiently and irresponsibly. These things must be discussed in this House.

Shri Narendra Singh Mahida (Anand): I want to draw your attention to rule 364, Sir; either you decide and give your ruling by yourself or let the House decide this issue.

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla (Mahasamund): On a point of order, Sir. Under rule 58(v), you are precluded from admitting any adjournment motion on this subject, which has been discussed in the House on an earlier occasion in the same session. So, this adjournment motion is not admissible according to that rule.

Shri Joachim Alva (Kanara): You were pleased to ask the External Affairs Minister whether he wanted more time. You have left it to our decision. But it is a very narrow issue. We would like to abide by your decision and not to make it a party issue. It is a grave matter and the External Affairs Minister wants a little more time. The issues are very grave. We have not yet settled down in Algeria in the sense that our Embassy has not been fully established. This is the first time and they have lots of difficulties. There are no two opinions about Sheikh Abdullah. If you want to hang him, you can hang him on Monday afternoon, so that the Minister will have time to make a statement before the House.

Shri Shinkre (Marmagoa): Let us sit tomorrow, Sir, so that Government may make a statement tomorrow.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri has already observed that this is rather confounding and confusing as to what we are supposed to decide now, and what you are supposed to decide also. If it is an adjournment motion, we have to take one attitude. If it is only a calling attention notice, I am conscious of the rule read out by Mr. Kamath. It is true that under that rule Government cannot be given any time when it comes to make a statement. They have already made a statement. All that they want to say now is, in addition to this information if some more information comes to our notice and to our possession, we would be able to give it to the House on Monday. I have been trying my best to see some light out of this discussion we are having. What is the point we want to make? Whatever information is in their possession, they have given to us.

Some hon. Members: No.

Shri Ranga: If we are dissatisfied with the total quantity of information that they have gained so far, then we have to pursue some other procedure. If we think that they are concealing something which is already within their knowledge, then we have to give the benefit of doubt to the Government.

अो मधु लिमये : आपको विरोधी दल की आरे से बोलना चाहिये । आप सरकारी दल के हक में क्यों बोल रहे हैं?

Shri Ranga: It is no good contradicting each other. While all my friends were on their feet, I did not raise my voice. I want to be heard. If I do not happen to agree with my friends, that does not mean that Τ should not have the right to express myself here.

If they have some information which it is feared that they are not willing to share with the House, there is a justification provided by the Government themselves that their Secretary is coming over here and they would like to get information from him and make sure of the correctness of whatever information they have, which they are supposed not to have given to us, and obtain additional information also; and, thereafter place all the information that would come within their knowledge to their satisfaction as being correct, before the House. That is all that Government have asked for. I do not see any reason why the House should not give them this opportunity of placing before the House full and properly vetted information after having got it from the most authentic source-the Foreign Secretary-who is not available today and who would be available soon. (Interruptions).

## श्री हकम चन्द कछवाय : माननीय सदस्य के दल नेही शेख ग्रब्दूल्ला की वकालत की थी ग्रौर उनको छुड़ाया था।

Shri Ranga: If, on the other hand, we want the Government to give a decision, this is not the proper occasion. It is for the Government to take a decision on whatever information they would be getting, and they would be getting it only later cn. Therefore, I am in favour of giving the time that Government have asked for.

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated-Anglo Indians): Prima facie, what Acharya Ranga stated would be the best course, because apparently Government may be required to take definite, drastic action. My only concern

#### 7447 Meeting between CHAITRA 12, 1887 (SAKA) Sheikh Abdullah 7448 and Chinese Premier (C.A.)

is this, and I think this will have to supersede all other consideration. ц Government ask for time till Monday -let them not until then take anv definite urgent action-they should let us know today whether they will be disabled by Monday from taking action to prevent Sheikh Abdullah from going to Peking. I do not know whether it is not possible-I know some thing about the passport rules because I have as a counsel dealt with a lot of passport forgery cases-but can't Government today with the information at their disposal come to the conclusion that Sheikh Abdullah must not be allowed to continue further his anti-national activities? Can't We immediately impound his passport? Do we have to wait til! Monday for taking further action? Can't we say today that we will impound his passport? Perhaps, our High Commissioner could do that....(Interruption). that . . . (Interruptions).

Shri Hem Barua: His passport should be cancelled before the enters any other country.

श्री झों कार लाल बेरवा : श्रीमन, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है:

Shri N. C. Chatterjee (Burdwan): We are feeling that the Government is playing with the House. Let there be a definite assurance that the passport of Sheikh Abdullah will be cancelled and that appropriate action would be taken against him later on for treason and that, if necessary, extradition proceedings would be taken against him if he does not choose to come back to India. If we can get that assurance, we have no objection to grant one or two days' time. But no further playing with the House, no further slurring over the issue

श्री झोंकार लाल बेरवा : श्रीमन, मेरा कडना यह है कि ग्रापने ग्राभी विदेश मंत्री 🇯 से पूछा कि वह कब वक्तव्य देंगे ग्रीर उन्होंने कहा कि वह सोमवार को वक्तव्य

[श्री ग्रोंकार लाल बेरवा]

देंगे । यह ठीक है । वह सोमवार को बक्तव्य दें या मंगलवार को दें । लेकिन क्या प्रधान मंत्री सदन को ग्रौर देश को यह ग्राश्वासन देंगे कि शेख ग्रब्दुल्ला जो भारतीय नागरिक होते हुए भी विदेश में बगावत का बिगुल बजा रहा है ग्रौर देश-विरोधी हरकतें कर रहा है, उसको हिन्दु-स्तान में ग्राते ही गिरफतार कर लिया जायेगा?

Mr. Speaker: Now could I say something?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let the Leader of the House give a lead.

Shri Hem Barua: He should make his position clear.

Mr. Speaker: We have already spent 55 minutes on this.

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): Sir, press reports which have appeared in our newspapers are most disconcerting and there is no doubt about it that we take a very sericus view of this development.

श्वी ग्रोंकार लाल बेरवाः यह तो कल ही कह दिया था। (Interruptions).

प्राध्यक्ष महोवय : क्या यह भी कोई कायदा है कि प्राइम मिनिस्टर को कहा जाये कि वह बोलें क्रोर ग्रगर वह बोलने के लिए खड़े हों, तो उनको बोलने न दिया जाये ? ऐसा तो कभी किसी हाउस में नहीं हुग्रा है । (Interruptions). मैं माननीय सदस्यों से कहूंगा कि वह इस तरह प्रोप्तीडिंग्ज को बन्द न करें ।

श्री रामसेवक यादवः ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्रगर इस तरह वीच में टोका-टाकी होती है, थो इसका मतलब यह नहीं है कि प्रधान मंक्षी को वोलने नहीं दिया जा रहा है मध्यक्ष महोदय : इसका ग्रौर क्या मतलब है ?

श्री रामसेवक यादव : वह तो कल से हो सीरियस ब्यूले रहे हैं, लेकिन हो क्या रहा है ? (Interruptions).

**ग्रध्यक्ष महोदयः मैं** ग्रापोजीशन से कहूंगा िक्याहाउस का काम चल।ने के लिए यह कायदा है। एक घंटा हमने पहले हे<sup>..</sup> जाया कर दिया है।

श्वी हरि विष्णु ज.त्रतः सभी म्रापो-जीशन नहीं।

भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय: मैं सभी आपोजीशन से नहीं, लेकिन आपोजीशन के जो मेम्वर ऐसा कर रहे हैं, उनसे कह रहा हं।

श्वी राम्सेवक यादवः समय बच सकता है, ग्रगर ठीक ढंग से चला जाये।

श्री हीर विष्णुकामतः हम प्रधान मंत्री को सूनना चाहते हैं।

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: We have positively to take some action in this matter, but it would be definitely advisable—of course, what has appeared in the newspaper seems to be correct —rather, it is essential that Government should further confirm it before any positive action is taken, and a day or two is not going to matter much.

Shri Hem Barua: He will be in Peking by then.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: So far as his going to Peking is concerned, as we have said, in so far as we are concerned, we will not permit it; and if he, of his own, goes to Peking, he will have to suffer the consequences.

श्री बागड़ी : क्या सफर करेगा ? (Interruptions).

श्वी रामसेवक यादवः सरकार क्या करेगी ? सरकार के हाथ में यह नहीं है । 7451 Meeting between CHAITRA 12, 1887 (SAKA) Sheikh Abdullah 7452 and Chinese Premier (C.A.)

श्वी बागड़ी: सरकार ने जो गलती स्रौर गुनाह किये हैं, उनके वारे में प्रधान मंत्री का क्या कहना है? उसने ही शेख ग्रब्दुल्ला को बाहर पहुंचाया है।

**श्री मघु लिमये :** प्रधान मंत्री एक ही बात कर सकते हैं––इस्तीफा देना । सबसे वढ़िया काम यही होगा ।

श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री : माननीय सदस्य ग्रमी ग्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव ला चुके हैं ग्रौर उस का नतीजा भी उन्होंने देख लिया है । उन को यह बात कहने का कोई हक़ नहीं है कोई ग्रधिकार नहीं है ।

श्वी मघुलिमये उधर 357 लोग जो बैठे हैं।

श्वी राम सेवक यादव ः न हटने का नतीजा भी देख लिया ।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : माननीय सदस्य हाउस की प्रोसीडिंग्ज को आवस्ट्रक्ट कर रहे हैं । ग्रगर वह इसी तरह चलेंगे, तो मैं एक्शन लूंगा । हाउस को यपनी रेपुटेशन का ख्याल रखना चाहिए । इस तरह से इस हाउस की कार्यवाही ग्रीर डेमोकेसी नहीं चल सकती है ।

श्री राम सेवक ्यादव ः शेख़ की जगह पुरी करने के लिए हम लोग हैं ।

**ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय**ः मुझे इस से मतलब नहीं है। मैं ने तो हाउस का काम चलाना है। ग्रगर माननीय सदस्य कार्यवाही को ग्रावस्ट्रक्ट करेंगे, तो मैं उस को नहीं चलने दंगा।

श्वी बागड़ी : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह ग्रावस्ट्रक्शन नहीं है । हम ग्रापनी बात कह रहे हैं और प्रधान मंत्री जी भी उस का जबाब दे रहे हैं । उन्होंने कहा ''ग्राप का उस्ताद" क्या ये प्रधान मंत्री के शब्द हैं ? उस्ताद कौन होता है ? हाउस में सब बराबर हैं । उस्ताद तो वह हैं, जिन्होंने शेख ग्रब्दल्ला को बाहर भेज दिया ।

Shri Kapur Singh: Let him make his statement. Let us hear him.

Mr. Speaker: But there are others who obstruct him. They do not seem to want to hear him. If this attitude continues, I will have to take strong action against those who do not allow him to proceed with his speech.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let us not be deprived of our right to hear him.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: I was telling the House that in case Sheikh Abdullah decides not to come to India and do as he wishes, visit different countries, of course, it will be up to him to do whatever he likes; but here we will have to take positive action against him and what that action should be, as I said, it will be advisable that we take a couple of days and then come to a final decision. I the have no doubt that we share Members. We feelings of the hon fully realise what the hon. Members have said, its importance and its implications. Its implications are very serious and Government will definitely take a decision in the light of the seriousness of the implications involved.

श्री बागड़ी : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय,

**ग्राच्यक्ष महोदय** : माननीय सदस्य बैठ जायें ।

**श्री बागड़ो** : यह सारे देश की स्रावाज है ।

ग्राघ्यक्ष महोदय : मैंने ग्राप से कहा है कि ग्राप बैठ जायें।

Mr. Speaker: Now the greatest concern of the hon. Members is that « some further harm might not be caused during these two days we are

#### Meeting between APRIL 2, 1965 7453 Sheikh Abdullah and Chinese Premier (C.A.)

#### [Mr. Speaker]

granting and whether there might be something done by him which, if the Government takes action just now. could be avoided. That is the point that Government has to consider. The fear or apprehension of the hon. Members is, if we take time to take any decision whether during these two days he might do something else which could be prevented if we take action immediately, just now. That is the anxiety of the hon. Members.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: A suggestion was made that his passport could be impounded.

Mr. Speaker: That is for the Government to decide because it is the Government which administers. I am not asking the Government to say what action they are going to take. I do not want the Government to disclose that. It is not necessary that they should disclose what action they intend to take. I am not insisting on that. I do not want that. But they should consider, should give full consideration to this aspect as to whether this delay or waiting on their part to hear the Foreign Secretary and then. afterwards, to take some action might not come in the way of any other thing or might not just encourage Mr. Abdullah to do further harm to this country during these two days which we might take in further discussion.

#### 13.00 hrs.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: As far as I can see, during the next two days I do not think Sheikh Abdullah will be able to do anything further which would harm the interests of our country. But, as I said, in spite of the passport, he is entirely free to do whatever he likes, but he has also to realise that he must suffer the consequences . . (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Now, no further discussion on that. So far as the adjournment motion is concerned. unless I know the facts, I cannot admit it simply on reliance of the newspapers which may even be correct. No discussion can take place merely on that. They might be a useful guide, they might give us information; but the facts are to be known and, therefore, so far as that is concerned, if the Government wants time, I am inclined to give them time up to Monday. But, again, I repeat my request and that warning that they should take proper precaution to see that nothing further is done during these two days so that our country might suffer . . . (Interruption).

#### 13.01 hrs.

PRESIDENT'S ASSENT TO BILLS

Secretary: Sir, I lay on the Table following six Bills passed by the Houses of Parliament during the current Session and assented to by the Vice-President discharging the functions of the President since a report was last made to the House on the 22nd March, 1965:

- (1) The Appropriation (Railways) Bill, 1965.
- (2) The Appropriation (Railways) No. 2 Bill, 1965.
- (3) The Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1965.
- (4) The Industries (Development and Regulation) Amendment Bill, 1965.
- (5) The Kerala Appropriation Bill, 1965.
- (6) The Kerala Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1965.

13.011 hrs.

#### RESIGNATION BY MEMBER

#### (SHRI AJIT PRASAD JAIN)

Mr. Speaker: I have to inform the House that Shri Ajit Prasad Jain, an