देहाती क्षेत्रों के विकास के प्रक्षन को विशेष रूप से महत्व विद्या जा रहा है। इस दृष्टिकोण से मैं माननीय प्रधान मंत्री जी से जानना चाहता हूं कि हमारे पहाड़ी क्षेत्रों के सम्बन्ध में, जो पिछड़े क्षेत्रों मे भी अधिक पिछड़े है. आप योजना आयोग के मामने क्या दिशा रख रहे हैं?

श्वी मोरारजी देसाई . पवतीय क्षेत्र कई प्रदेशों में है श्रीर हर एक प्रदेश में इन पर काम होना है, सिर्फ केन्द्र से ही काम नही हो सकता है, काम जो होना है, वह वहा होना है । वहां जो काम उन के विकास के लिये होगा, उस में केन्द्र मदद करेगा, पैसे से, मलाह से या जो भी मदद चाहिये, वह हम जम्द करेगे, लेकिन इस की योजना वहां ही बननी चाहिये, बहां बनती भो है. हमारे पास ग्राती भी है श्रीर आये भी भायेगी----एंसी श्रपेक्षा हम करते हैं।

डा० कर्ण सिंहः प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मावंजनिक जीवन मे पर्वतों का विशेष महत्व है। कालीदास ने कुमार-सम्भव मे एक क्लोक में कहा है ---

> भ्रस्तुत्तरस्या दिणिदेवतात्मा हिमालयानम् नागाधिराजः

पूर्वापरी : तार्यानधीवगाहय स्थित धधिव्या इव मानदण्ड :।

हिमालय पूर्व से पश्चिम तक फैला हमा है, उस ने इम देश की सम्ठति में विशेष योगदान दिया है। मैं प्रधान मन्नी जी में यह प्रश्न पूछना चाहता हं----जहा आलग-अन्य राज्य प्रपने पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों के विकास के सम्बन्ध में अपनी-अपनी योजनाये बनायेगे, वहा क्या मब यह आवश्यक नही है कि केन्द्र की ओर से, योजना-आयोग की प्रोर से, पर्यतीय क्षेत्रों का एक ऐसा मर्वेक्षण हो----क्योंकि यहां बहुत धन-दोलत है, नेफिन लोग सब से गरोब और पिछडे हुए है--- उस लिये ऐसी योजना बनाई जाये जो राज्य सरकारो की योजनाओं के आतिरिक्त केन्द्र की ओर से पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों के विकास के लिये कोई विस्तुत योजना हो ? क्या प्रधान मंत्री जी इस पर विचार करेंगे ?

श्री मोरारजी देसाई: मैंने यह कहा है कि इस पर जरूर विचार करेंगे ।

SHRI JAGANNATH SHARMA: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state what is the plan strategy for the development of the hill states. What is the plan allocation in the last Plan and in this Plan?

श्री मोरारजी बेस (ई: यह प्रश्न तो इस सं उपस्थित नहो होता।

Baveja Committee Report on Haidia +

*306. SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: SHRI ROBIN SEN:

Will the Minister of SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Baveja Committee set up by the Shipping and Transport Ministry in July, 1971 unanimously recommended in March, 1973, that a shipyard be immediately set up at Haldia;

(b) if so, why Government have not implemented the scheme as yet;

(c) the main recommendations contained in the Report of Baveja Committee;

(d) whether this Report was made available t_0 the Government of West Bengal; and

(e) if not, why not?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT (SHRI CHAND RAM) \cdot (a) to (e). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) The working Group set up under the Chairmanship of Shri Baveja, had considered Haldia as a suitable location for a Shipyard and recommended its selection for the purpose. The report was received in March, 1973.

(b) In the meantime suggestions were received from several quarters including various Maritime State Governments for setting up of Shipyards in their States. A Techno-economic Working Group was set up to evaluate bot on technical and economic considerations the sites proposed by States Governments including Haldia. Based on the report of the Technoeconomic Working Group Consultants were commissioned for the preparation of Preliminary **Project Reports** and the Consultants did not consider Haldia the best location for a Shipyard.

(c) The main recommendations of the Working Group are that there is a clear case for the establishment of at least one shipyard and even two more shipyards during the Fifth Plan. Haldia qualifies itself as a suitable location for such a shipyard, and may be selected for the purpose. The proposed Shipyard may be designed to cater for the construction of Tankers. Bulk carriers, etc. upto a size of approximately one lakh GRT (1.5 lakh DWT). A special Project team may be set up to undertake a comprehensive Project Report.

(d) No Sir.

(e) The Working Group was set up by the Government of India and no action was required to be taken by the State Government on the Report.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, it is a matter of regret that although Baveja Committee had clearly stated in their report that Haldia was a suitable place—in this context, I want to say that we want a shipyard in Orissa also, they have recommended for two—the answer here is in the affirmative for the following reasons.

1. It is within the reasonable distance from practically all the steel mills so far built. This is a most important criterion.

2. Calcutta and its hinterland constitute an area of heavy, medium and light industries which are fully established. The proximity of sources of production of brought out itms like machinery, equipment and fittings are thus fully assured.

"Haldia port is a satellite deep water port of Calcutta having been developed as a major outlet for the export trade."

Emanating from the eastern region, the requisite transport network has to be developed.

It has been clearly stated by them that though there are several problems, that cannot be prima facie considered as a disqualification for finding any location in any manner. I agree with that part. Now, in that context. I would like the hon. Minister to tell the House as to why has the Government not proceeded to start this ship building yard in Haldia and why is it that although West Bengal Government had asked for the copy of this report, they have not yet given it to them?

SHRI CHAND RAM: Sir, the position is very simple that the Baveja Committee investigated only one site. That was charged with the duty of Italdia. About Haldia, Baveja Committee submitted that it is a suitable site. It was considered as a suitable site only by Baveja Committee. Later on, there was a techno-economic Group.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Say techno-economic scuttling group.

MR. SPEAKER: Please allow him to reply.

SHRI CHAND RAM: That Group also included Haldia as one of the sites. Later on we engaged foreign consultants. The Baweja Committee was a non-technical committee. According to the PPR submitted by the 7

Oral Answers

foreign consultants it is commended that Haldia is not a suitable site as compared to other sites. We have no objection to supply the Baweja Committee report but it was a non-technical Committee. This Working Group was set-up by Government of Indua and no action was required to be taken by the State Government. Therefore it was not proper for us to submit the report to the State Government.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: SH, I want your permission to lay the Baweja Committee report on the Table of the House on one of these days.

Sir, what the hon'ble Minister has said is not quite correct. The Third Group was constituted with the motive of scuttling the well-established project report that Haldia was one of the most suitable places for establishing a ship-building yard. I draw the attention of the hon'ble Minister to Chapter VIII para 8(i). I quote:

"The Group has considered carefully whether Haldia or its vicinity is suited from the technical economic angles for the location of a shipyard and has come to an affirmitive conclusion for the purpose set-forth in Chapter VIII (para 8.1) pprima facie Gangra & Bedur rcpresent sites in the vicinity of Haldia where a shipyard can be set-up but the selection of a site must be left to a full time project organisation who could be expected to investigate and evaluate all possible sites in that area. Considering all relevant factor, it would appear desirable to plan the new shipyard at Haldia on the basis of an initial target capacity of 2,00,000 GRT with the maximum size of ships set at 1,00.000 GRT.... etc. etc."

Sir, I am once again saying that the Third Group was set-up with a motive to set the the earlier two reports which in positive and clear language and with full enough logic behind it suggested that the shipyard should be set-up at Haldia. Sir, the Minister must give an assurance to the House that of the two shipyards recommeded by this Committee one should go to Orissa and the other to Haldia.

SHRI CHAND RAM: Sir. it concerns the previous government. The previous government may have scuttled it. I do not know. That report had been obtained before this Government took over. Therefore, it is not for us to revert that procedure If you permit I will quote.

MR. SPEAKER: No. No. You may lay it on the Table of the House if you like.

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-DER: Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu has quoted the relevant portion of the second report. Sir, the present Janata Government are revising many policies of the last Government In the present situation may I ask whether the Government is ready to re-consider the old decision...and whether they will set up a new ship-building yard at Haldia as soon as possible?

MR. SPEAKER: The same question, he has already answered.

SHRI CHAND RAM Sir. in fact when this Bayeia Committee was set up to give recommendations on the feasibility of ship building complex at Haldia, it was expected that this project would provide employment to many unemployed people. And the present Government is of the view that suitable complex should be set up at Haldia. We have already promised that instead of ship building yard, we should set up a ship repairing yard and that would provide more employment opportunities to the people in that area than a ship building yard itself.

भी झनस्त बबे: मैं माननीय मंत्री महोदय से जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या नेजनल शिषिंग बोर्ड ने अपनी रिपोर्ट सरकार को भेज दी है ? यदि हां, तो उसमें कौन-कौन से शिपिंग यार्ड बनाने के लिये रिकमैण्ड किया है [?] साथ ही मैं यह भी जानना चाहता हूं

MR. SPEAKER: You put an independent question. It is a question relating to ship building at Haldia.

श्वी ग्रनन्त दवें: मिनिस्टर साहब ने ग्रपने रिप्लाई में भी बताया है कि ---

In the meantime, suggestions were received from several hon. Members includnig various maritime State Governments for setting up ship building yards in those States.

कईंंगक्तमेंटेस ने अपने-अपने प्रदेशों में शिषयार्ड बनाने के लिये प्रपोजल भेजे हैं। क्या गुजरात ने भी हिजरा में शिपयार्ड बनानें आ प्रपोजल भेजा है।

श्री चांद राम : नेशनल शिपिंग बोर्ड ने दो साइर्म के बारे में कहा है—ए क तो पेरादीप उड़ीसा में और दूसरा हिजरा गुजरात में इस रिपोर्ट से पहिले ही हम इन दो साइठ्स् के बारे में विचार कर रहे हैं। हमने इनके बारे में ग्लोबल टेंडर्स मंगाये हैं।

SHRI PRASANNBHAI MEHTA: Sir, the hon. Minister has just now stated that the National Shipbuilding Corpofation has recommended two sites one at Paradip and the other at Hijra. Keeping this in view, I would like to know from the hom. Minister⁴ when the decision on this will be finalise

SHRI CHAND RAM: Sir, as I have stated, we have invited global tenders till the 15th of April and after that the Consultants would be submitting a D. P. R. and thereafter a decision will be taken.

Export of Crockery

*307, SHRI R. K. MHALGI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the crockery units registered and duly licensed have been exporting their products;

(b) their production during 1977;

(c) the exports during 1977;

(d) whether any imports of crockery have been made during 1977; and

(e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES): (a) A few registered/licensed crockery units are exporting their products.

(b) The total production of crockery of the registered/licensed units in the country during 1977 was about 17,150 tonnes valued at about Rs. 970 lakhs.

(c) Exports of crockery during 1976-77 was 268 tonnes valued at Rs. 11.50 lakhs.

(b) and (d). Only sophisticated and special designs of crockery is imported. Imports of crockery during 1976-77 (April, 1976 to January, 1977) were 5 tonnes valued at Rs. 0.6 lakhs.

SHRI R. K. MHALGI: Sir, as per my information, there are 13 crockery units registered with the Government with an annual capacity of 34,000 ton-Further, the production during nes. the year 1977, as informed by the hon. Minister in his reply, was about 17,150 tonnes and the export during 1977 was about 268 tonnes. May I know whether the government have looked into the difficulties of the domestic industries? What are the reasons for production falling short of instaleld capacity and scanty export of the goods which are produced in small as well as medium scale industries?

SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES: The hon. Member is right in regard to installed capacity and production figures.